VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 15TH DAY OF JULY, 1998, AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	LEENORA V. EVERETT, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	MICHAEL H. TICKLE	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
OTHER:	DANIEL M. SIEGEL	COUNTY ATTORNEY

Mr. Moody arrived at 3:34 P.M.

ABSENT:	AUBREY S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #5

IN RE: INVOCATION – PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – AND CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Edward A. Bracey, Jr., Chairman of the Board, called the regular meeting to order at 2:08 P.M. followed by the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA

Mr. Bracey asked if there were any amendments to the agenda. There being none Mr. Bracey moved forward.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett , seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the minutes of the July 1, 1998 Continuation Meeting and the July 1, 1998 Regular Meeting are approved in their entirety.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mrs. Everett, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims are approved and funds appropriated for same using checks numbered 11011279 - 1011414 (void check(s) numbered 1011279 and 1010700); for Accounts Payable FY 97 - FY 98 in the amount of $184,314.63: General Fund $160,544.77; E911 Fund $368.00; Law Library $434.86; Fire Programs & EMS $1,610.00; CDBG Grant Fund $6,568.00; Capital Projects $14,789.00; and Accounts Payable for FY 98 - FY 99 in the amount of $346,756.19: General Fund $70,505.44; Forfeited Asset Sharing $6,027.00; and County Debt Service $270,223.75. There was a supplemental claim approved and funds appropriated for Accounts Payable in the amount of $13,290.00: IPR Grant $13,290.00.

IN RE: CLAIM – SHERIFF'S OFFICE – AIRPLANE SEIZURE AND STORAGE FEES

Mrs. Wendy Weber Ralph, Assistant County Administrator, explained there was a claim in the packet from the Sheriff's Office written in the amount of $6,027.00 with an explanation. This money will come from the Forfeited Asset Fund. That is the fund where when there are seizures made and property is involved, in this case it was a drug seizure, then the property can be sold and the funds go into the Forfeited Asset Sharing

Account for use by the Sheriff's Department. This seizure involves an airplane and also a Thunderbird, a car. On the airplane, as explained to her, there has been a rental fee for the hanger where the airplane has been stored. The $6027.00 is to pay for that rental, for the hanger for storage, so the assets could be released and passed to the County.

Mr. R. Martin Long, County Administrator, stated those funds, once the plane is sold, will be used to reimburse this expenditure for the storage. That money will be coming back to the County. We have to cover the storage and then the plane will be sold off and the funds will cover the storage costs.

Mr. Bracey asked why we were covering storage on our Airport. Mr. Bracey continued by stating to Sheriff Shands this is the first time, he had been on the Board about twelve (12) years, this is the first time he had ever seen this. He stated he assumed that the Sheriff's Department had seized property before or was this such a large thing and is why the Board got this type of report.

Sheriff Shands stated what happened was the Federal people got into it first and they were handling it. Then they turned it back over to the County along with the bill for storage.

Mr. Bracey stated then the Airport Authority charged you storage?

Sheriff Shands stated the plane was stored in Fairfax, Virginia.

Mr. Bracey asked when the airplane and car would be put up for public auction.

Sheriff Shands stated they must keep the car at least one (1) year. He stated he did not know about the plane.

Mr. Tickle questioned a 1997 airplane would only be valued at $10,000.00 to $20,000.00.

There was discussion involving the cost of an airplane and the method of appraising and selling this airplane.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that authorization is granted and funds appropriated from the Forfeited Asset Fund to pay E. G. & G. Dynatrend Services the storage on the 1997 Maule Airplane in the amount of $6,027.00.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT – APPRAISAL OF AIRPLANE PRIOR TO SALE

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mrs. Everett, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that before sale of the 1997 Maule Airplane it be properly appraised and the results of said appraisal be presented to the Board.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF REQUISITION #23 - COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION

Mrs. Wendy Weber Ralph, Assistant County Administrator, stated the following invoices are included in Requisition 23:

TOTAL OFFICE SOLUTIONS, INC.	$18,000.00
TOTAL OFFICE SOLUTIONS, INC.	406.77

TOTAL OFFICE SOLUTIONS, INC.	680.00
CSI	1,290.00
JMJ CORPORATION	970.00
HENING VEST COVEY	13,319.69
HENING VEST COVEY	2,838.31
TOTAL REQUISITION NUMBER 23	$37,504.77

There was discussion regarding the fees charged by Hening Vest Covey for looking at the punch list. Mr. Tickle requested to discuss this matter further after the meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mrs. Everett, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Requisition Number 23 in the amount of $37,504.77 be approved and funds appropriated for CIP expenses for the Courthouse Project Fund.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF REQUISITION #33 – SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

Mrs. Ralph stated Requisition #33 for the School Construction consists of payment to the following:

KBS, INC.	$611,040.82
FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.	454.50
JMJ CORPORATION	2,437.88
ACTON MOBIL INDUSTRIES	1,040.00
TOTAL OF REQUISITION NUMBER 33	$614,973.20

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Requisition Number 33 in the amount of $614,973.20 be approved and funds appropriated for CIP expenses for the School Project Fund.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF REQUISITION #34 – SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

Mrs. Ralph stated Requisition #34 for the School Construction consists of payments to the following:

CRESTAR BANK	$139,191.54
TOTAL OF REQUISITION NUMBER 33	$139,191.54

Mrs. Ralph explained that this payment is for capitalized interest on the 1997A bond issue. The total interest payment is $162,176.25 and the balance will come from the School's Debt Service Fund. The School Administration feel they will have sufficient funds to cover the balance due.

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mrs. Everett, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Requisition Number 34 in the amount of $139,191.54 be approved and funds appropriated for CIP expenses for the School Project Fund.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mr. Bracey asked if there were any citizens who had signed up to speak. There being none Mr. Bracey moved forward.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION – PUBLIC HEARING – PROPOSED CHANGE IN SIX YEAR PLAN FOR WALKER'S MILL ROAD

Mr. Ronald Reekes, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation, stated before the Public Hearing listed on the agenda he wanted to update the Board on what VDOT was doing concerning the Walker's Mill Road. He continued his Department had tried very diligently to obtain industrial access funds to improve this roadway and have been turned down on at least two (2) occasions. He stated in thinking about this over the weekend, he concluded the gentleman who makes the decision whether it should be used for industrial access funds or not needed to see the proposed project first hand. He stated he had invited him down on Friday, July 17th, to ride with him to review the site. He stated he had a tour set up and he had asked Mr. Long to come along with them. Hopefully, we can obtain industrial access funds. He again stated they are still working on pursuing other avenues to have this project funded. We do have to hold a Public Hearing to amend the six year plan to have Route 665 - Walkers Mill Road to have it included in the six year plan in order that they may proceed forward in repairing this roadway.

Mr. Bracey stated he would like to open the Public Hearing for the proposed change in the Six Year Plan to amend it to include Route 665 - Walkers Mill Road.

There were no citizens signed up to speak. Mr. Bracey asked if there were any citizens in attendance wishing to speak.

There was one citizen who wished to be heard:

1. James E. Tatum - He wished to know what roads were currently included in the six year plan.

Mr. Bracey asked Mr. Reekes to address that question.

Mr. Reekes asked Mr. Tatum what road he was speaking of.

Mr. Tatum replied the roads in the six year plan, we do not know what roads are currently in the six year plan.

Mr. Reekes stated they were trying to amend the six year plan to include one additional roadway. That was the purpose of this Public Hearing. We wish to add Route 665 - Walkers Mill Road, McKenney. The rest of the six year plan does not change. The priorities do not change, simply we have to add this one project into the plan.

Mr. Bracey stated he felt to be fair to the citizens Mr. Reekes needed to state why this road is proposed to be added to the Six Year Plan.

Mr. Reekes stated this road is being added because the RGC Mineral Plant in McKenney is running trucks to Sussex County for further processing and this traffic has really deteriorated the road to such a point VDOT is not able to maintain it any longer. It is now, or better stated it was a hard surface road, and now it is over fifty percent (50%) gravel. Since we do not have the maintenance funds to cover repairing it we have to use construction funds to improve the road in order to meet RGC Mineral Plant's needs. There have been suggestions that we restrict the roadway; this is simply going to transfer the problem to another road. That would mean we have to fix two (2) roads. We want to keep the traffic where it is but we must repair the road where it can be traveled over and the general public can travel over it with out experiencing what is being experienced today.

Mr. Bracey asked Mr. Tatum if he understood Mr. Reekes answer.

Mr. Tatum stated he did.

There being no further citizens wishing to speak Mr. Bracey closed the Public Hearing on the proposed change in the Six Year Plan.

Mr. Tickle asked what time on Friday the activity was to take place.

Mr. Reekes stated eight o'clock (8:00 A.M.) at the residency. He stated to Mr. Tickle he was welcome to come along if he so liked.

Mr. Tickle stated his suggestion was, since they have a chairman, if he is available that he also attend.

Mr. Bracey asked when.

Mr. Reekes stated the time and place again and continued by stating this is the man who can say yes or no to the industrial access funds.

Mr. Tickle stated this was his recommendation: the Chairman attend to stress the Board of Supervisors point. He stated he knew the County Administrator will be voicing it but as a political entity he felt the Chairman should also be there. He stated he did not wish to use the term strong arm but make a very strong verbal comment that we don't feel it is justified for us using our money to pay for something another county is getting the tax advantages from. Using $400,000.00 of our road money, out of 1.8 million dollars, which is roughly 28% of our money for roads, is just not justified in this case.

Mr. Reekes stated Mr. Tickle made a good point.

Mr. Bracey stated he could not attend and asked Mr. Tickle to attend in his place and to please do his homework before he attended.

Mr. Bracey stated he had no problem but wished Mr. Reekes, or someone else, could guarantee that our money for roads is going to be put back for our roads. We have so many roads in Dinwiddie that need work; we have a six year plan; now what we are going to do is take a barrel of money to do a road for an industry that has more money than this County ever dreamed of having and take from our citizens their road funds. If someone could guarantee him that next year something wouldn't happen or the County would get their money back.

Mr. Reekes stated he was trying, that was all he could say was that he was trying. There is no guarantee we will get industrial access funds.

Mr. Bracey stated he did not care what type of funds they were. He asked Mr. Reekes if he thought it will be feasible to call the Governor's Office.

Mr. Reekes stated he wanted to pursue this avenue on Friday before pursuing other avenues. He stated he had been talking to the County Administrator for the past week and a half concerning this. We do want to pursue this avenue and we thought the best thing to do was to bring the horse to the water, to let him see for himself.

Mr. Bracey stated if the horse doesn't drink---

Mr. Reekes stated if we do not meet the criteria ---

Mr. Bracey stated if the horse don't drink then he thought we need to go to the highest official, the head of this State, the Governor.

Mr. Reekes stated then we bring another horse.

Mr. Bracey stated we want to see who does the drinking so we know who we are casting our votes for on election day.

Mrs. Everett stated she thought we all knew we have to have that road repaired. It is in deplorable state and a lot of cars are being damaged. There have been wrecks on this road because of the condition. We need to take every step possible to try to get some funding to help with the cost.

Mr. Bracey stated not some funds to help but some funds other than Dinwiddie County funds.

Mrs. Everett stated that was what we have to do but in the meantime we need to have this roadway put into the Six Year Plan so he can remedy the situation. She stated she moved that it be included in the Six Year Plan.

Mr. Bracey asked as top priority.

Mr. Reekes stated yes sir.

Mr. Bracey stated everything else goes back.

Mr. Reekes stated no sir, well---

Mr. Bracey stated Mrs. Everett your road is going back.

Mrs. Everett stated no it is not!

Mr. Bracey called for roll call.

Mrs. Everett stated her road had been advertised in August.

Mr. Long injected the following stating he had attempted to contact Mr. Russell St. J. Clark, and he is out of the office until this date.

Mr. Reekes stated he had contacted Mr. Paul Lamperson and set it up with him. Mr. Russell St. J. Clark will be there on Friday.

Mrs. Everett asked if he had said Wheaton Road would be advertised.

Mr. Reekes stated yes.

Mr. Bracey stated as long as she gets Wheaton Road everything is all right. He asked for a roll call.

Mrs. Pamla A. Mann, Administrative Secretary, stated she needed a second to Mrs. Everett's motion.

Mr. Tickle stated he would second the motion but wished to inquire as to whether the appropriate documentation had been written by the County Administration and sent to the proper authorities within VDOT as the Board had requested at the previous meeting.

Mr. Long stated on this incident he was not sure about correspondence but he did know that he has been involved with this issue for a couple of months. It came up first about two (2) months ago through Mr. Clay.

Mr. Reekes stated at that time they tried to secure industrial access funds. He stated he had documentation he would be glad share with the Board.

Mr. Tickle stated he thought the last time the Board met the recommendation from the Board was the County Administration would document this and send it to the either the Commissioner or the person that directly has input to make that decision. He continued if he was wrong he stood corrected. He stated he thought someone from the County was supposed to document that and he had not seen any documentation on that issue at all.

Mr. Long stated he did not think Mr. Tickle was wrong at all. He thought that was how it came up. Mr. Reekes contacted him because he was not available at the last meeting and asked him if he agreed we take this step before doing that because Mr. Reekes thought it was in the best interest in working with the Department and so he agreed to that. Mr. Long explained further by stating that is why it has not been done because Mr. Reekes had asked him about this intermediate step in between start and finish to bring Mr. Gibbons in.

Mr. Tickle stated he would like to address Mr. Bracey's comment. When the Board asks for something to happen, he expected it to happen. He felt the County should use what little bit of political influence the Chairman and the County had with VDOT and on up the ladder. It seems nice but Mr. Long should have let the Board know plans were being changed and it was not being documented. He stated he assumed the Board's recommendation had been carried out and then finds out Mr. Reekes and Mr. Long were changing plans and doing it their way. He stated he thought we had a two prong attack and it appears now we have made this change by just trying to get individuals to approve it at a lower position rather than using our influence and doing it two prong.

Mr. Long stated he concurred with Mr. Reekes to do what he thought was best within the hierarchy of VDOT to establish the end that we are trying to achieve.

Mr. Reekes stated he would like to try this way first. He thought it was much more tactful; trying not to strong arm any body and just try to show them it meets the criteria that is spelled out.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following resolution is adopted:

WHEREAS, the Resident Engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation has recommended pavement strengthening for Route 665, Walker's Mill Road; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors agrees with the recommendation to restore this road to its original condition;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie this 15th day of July, 1998, that it hereby approves amending the 1998 Six-Year Construction Improvement Plan and the 1998-1999 fiscal budget for secondary roads improvement to include Budget Item 0665-5002 for the purposes of pavement strengthening of Route 665, Walker's Mill Road.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION – REPORT

Mr. Reekes stated he had one other comment. Boydton Plank Road at the R. T. Elder plant was fixed last night.

Mr. Bracey stated he wanted to ask Mr. Reekes a question about that road. Are we going to allow that road to become a Walkers Mill Road. He stated he could see it coming.

Mr. Reekes stated he could not disagree. He stated he did not know any other way to get the trucks in there. It is the best alternative of all the other secondary roads. The industry itself fixed the entrance and they have been very good to work with.

Anytime he has called them they have gone out patched, fixed entrances, and have taken care of what ever the problem might be.

There was additional discussion about the trucks and the areas they were traveling. The industrial access road will be in place soon and this will remedy the situation.

Mrs. Everett asked about the interstate mowing policy.

Mr. Reekes stated they mow as much and often as they can. Part of the problem is the equipment that mows around the guardrail breaks down on a regular basis.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE – REPORT

Mr. Bracey stated Mrs. Marston had informed him she had some very important work to do and she would not be in attendance unless needed.

IN RE: TREASURER – REPORT

Mr. Long informed the Board that Mr. William E. Jones, Treasurer, was not present because he was on vacation.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY – REPORT

Mr. T. O. Rainey, Commonwealth Attorney, came forward stating he had nothing to report but was available for questions. He reminded everyone of the open house on Friday from 2:00 to 4:00 P.M.

Mrs. Everett asked about the pornography issue.

Mr. Rainey stated he would review his notes and see that was addressed.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT – REPORT

Sheriff Samuel Shands came forward to pass out and present his monthly report. He asked if the Board had any questions for him.

Mr. Tickle asked about the Sheriff's Department using the Tranquility Lane spot as a speed trap.

Sheriff Shands stated he would move down the road.

Mrs. Everett asked about the dumpsters on Vaughan Road.

Sheriff Shands stated he would ask for closer surveillance.

Mr. Bracey questioned Sheriff Shands about the new telephone system concerning complaints received about the method of answering the telephone. Mr. Tickle also had concerns about the menu and the method of answering the telephone.

Sheriff Shands answered Mr. Bracey's and Mr. Tickle's concerns to the best of his ability.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR – REPORT

Mr. Dwayne H. Abernathy, Building Inspector, came forward to present his monthly report. He asked the Board if they had any questions.

Mr. Abernathy also gave a report on the land clearing brush piles still remaining on the property at his final inspection.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN – REPORT

Mr. Steve Beville, Animal Warden, came forward and presented his report for the month of June 1998. He asked if there were any questions regarding his report.

Mrs. Everett stated she had heard some concerns regarding dog problems in the Piney Beach area.

Mr. Beville stated he has had traps set for over a week and driven the area on a regular basis with no luck.

There was discussion on the rules and regulations regarding confinement and Mr. Beville was instructed to get with Mr. Long, the County Attorney and Mr. Scheid to discuss the rules, regulations and control issues.

Mr. Beville stated he wanted to discuss the license issue which Mrs. Ralph had brought up at the last meeting. The figures Mrs. Ralph had disclosed were only from one station being recorded. He stated he had been by to see Mr. William E. Jones, Treasurer, so he could check the total figures for last year but the figures were not available.

Mr. Long added they had found out through Mr. Jones that some of the stations had not turned in collections for licenses yet at the time the report was printed. So the figure reported was lower than it should have been because some collections for licenses had not been received by Mr. Jones' office.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING – REPORT

Mr. William C. Scheid, Director of Planning, came before the Board to present his monthly report. He stated he was available for questioning.

IN RE: PLANNING DEPARTMENT – DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REHABILITATION TRAINING WORKSHOP

Mr. Scheid continued by stating the Board would find in their packet a letter from the Department of Housing and Community Development requesting attendance at the Rehabilitation Training Workshop. The Program Management Office of this Department conducts a training program annually for participants in CIG and IPR funded rehabilitation programs. The training covers administrative, technical and construction aspects of these programs. The Department of Housing and Community Development believes that it is important for the persons involved in the program to attend so they can learn about new policy interpretations, administrative procedures and technical directives that have been put into effect. The Department found that the CIG and IPR Programs are sufficiently complex and this annual refresher course is important and useful for grantees to meet and discuss programs with one another. They, along with Mr. Scheid, conveyed they believe it is important for Cheryl Stewart to keep current with the program since she directs the flow of IPR paperwork and funds through the County administration and occasionally receives questions from program applicants and rehabilitation recipients. To that end they requested that Cheryl Stewart be authorized to attend the 1998 Rehabilitation Workshop, September 29 through October 1, 1998 in Bristol, Virginia. The registration fee is $150.00 and lodging is $53.00 per night for three (3) nights. The total cost for registration and lodging will be $309.00. The cost for this workshop is covered through the IPR program. There will be other costs associated with this such as meals and transportation to and from Bristol. He also stated we will need to pay one-half (1/2) of the fees for Ms. Gladys Vassor. We only need to pay one-half (1/2) of hers because she also works for the Town of Blackstone and they will be paying the other half. We are trying to arrange a car pool for Cheryl and Gladys to travel with.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye", BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that authorization is granted to send Cheryl Stewart from the Planning Department to Bristol, Virginia to attend the Department of Housing and Community Development's annual training program for participants in CIG and IPR funded rehabilitation programs September 29 through October 1, 1998 with all funds being covered through the IPR program.

Mr. Bracey stated please don't send a soul to something that they have to end up spending their money. That should not cost that young lady any money at all out of her pocket.

IN RE: ZONING ADMINISTRATOR – REPORT

Mr. John M. Altman, Jr., Zoning Administrator, came forward and presented his monthly report. He asked if the Board had any questions.

Mrs. Everett asked about the tall grass ordinance. She asked if there was any chart stating how much we would charge if the County had to cut your grass for you.

Mr. Altman stated there were no fees set when the ordinance was adopted. He agreed there should be a set fee and it should be large enough to where it hurts. He felt a flat rate plus an hourly rate to cover the amount of time it takes to cut the grass should be enacted.

Mr. Bracey instructed Mr. Long to handle this and have a report ready at the next meeting. He also asked if the County had anybody to cut this grass or were we going to depend on a contractor.

Mr. Long stated we did not have personnel available. We would have to hire out this type of work.

There was discussion as to how we would get grass cut when we enforced the ordinance.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES – REPORT

Mrs. Peggy McElveen, Director of Social Services, came forward to present her monthly report. She gave the Board an 18 month report on Welfare Reform. She reported their case load had dropped from 245 to 149; 61 were mandatory work participants, 46 are already working and 15 are left (5 are new to the program and 10 are hard to serve). They are shifting from job training to job retention. She continued by reporting to the Board on their automation and conversion to the new system. Mr. Long had received a letter from their Commissioner informing the Local Governments that they were converting to an entirely new computer system. The deadline for completing the conversion was February 1999 but they have almost completed theirs. They plan to be finished by the end of July 1998. She reported it is working very well. She shared with the Board the new healthcare program for children under the age of 19 who are in families that are below 185% of the poverty level. It is called Title 21.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS – REPORT

Mr. Long stated Mrs. Troilen Seward, Superintendent of Schools, was not present because they were on a retreat this week.

IN RE: RECREATION – REPORT

Mr. Timothy C. Smith, Recreation Director, came forward to present his monthly report and an 18 month report.

Mrs. Everett stated she would like to commend him on his 18 month report. She stated it was a very good report.

Mr. Long also stated he felt the report was excellent and he hoped Mr. Smith would share the compliments with Mrs. Charity Taylor, Secretary for Parks and Recreation, who he thought had a part in putting this report together.

Mr. Tickle asked Mr. Smith, based on the areas done in the 18 month report what areas did he feel could be enhanced.

Mr. Smith replied that obviously they have been mainly sports and one of his goals is to get us out of that, well not out of it but to expand beyond that. He asked the Board to review his projected plans for 1999 where they will find his desire to increase the number of non-athletic and special event programs. He stated he felt that was an area of the County that was critically below. He hoped to find the resources of the folks that can teach the classes, qualified people. Also coming up with locations to teach the classes. That is what he is working on at this point.

Mr. Bracey stated Mr. Tickle you engineered, well you created the questions about that report, and since that time he has watched those two (2) people work many many man hours trying to pull this together. He would like to thank them for it. Not only that but Mr. Smith, Mr. Clay and himself went to Williamsburg and James City to look at some recreational programs and he now better understood what Mr. Smith meant when he said he wanted to expand beyond the basic athletic programs. There were programs there that were impressive. He stated he would hope the Board would see fit in the very very near future of allowing Mr. Smith and his staff to work with Eastside School to create the basics for our recreational programs beyond athletics. Mr. Bracey stated he felt he learned a lot from the trip with Mr. Smith and saw first hand where Mr. Smith wanted our Recreation Department to go. He hoped the other Board members would take time to visit other localities with Mr. Smith.

Mr. Tickle stated, he found from the data Mr. Smith's Department had presented that the data supported his concerns. His concerns were with the fall soccer, spring soccer, girls youth spring softball, and girls summer softball. In these areas we have children under the age of 15 and we have sports related activities our age groups are so far apart. There is a difference between a child that is 8 and one that is 11. There is a difference between a child that is 12 and one that is 15. A 15 year old female is a woman and a 12 year old does not have the same physical capabilities. It is the same thing with boys, there is big difference between a 10 year old boy and a 13 year old boy. When you only have 119 children, in three divisions, and you are going from 10 to 13 – it does not present a very competitive sport to start with. Then you have physical differences between male and female and that is even a greater problem. His only concern, because he agrees with Mr. Bracey on what you have done and how you have done it, you are doing a great job. He would like to see us grow this and find a way to grow and see if we can get a more competitive program. That competitiveness is going to help us in our High School programs. Keep up the good work.

IN RE: WASTE MANAGEMENT – REPORT

Mr. Dennis King, Director of Waste Management, came before the Board and presented his report for the months of June and July 1998.

Mr. King stated he had problems over the weekend with equipment shortage and manpower shortage. He stated he even drove a truck on Saturday to pick up trash. It was looking good but with it being a pretty weekend and with us being short like that. Some things he did not know about and if he had he would have worked later. He stated he is not a person for excuses.

Mrs. Everett stated this was never any criticism against you or your men. It is a criticism of the citizens that are disrespectful of our property and our system. When she got the call today they had high praise for you all for coming out there Monday and cleaning everything up. The slobs have again been out and it looked like it did again today. It is sickening. The dumpsters are not filled but the ground is.

Mr. King stated he has found the signs they have put up out are acting as a kind of deterrent and he thinks they might try them in other areas. They are seeming to be effective.

Mrs. Everett stated she felt signs stating if you do not show proper respect for this facility the County will have to close it.

Mr. King stated they did that at Claiborne Road and we closed it. He stated he can foresee in the future that we are going to have to take the bull by the horns in that area and set up something like a Rohoic there. Sheriff Shands is going to help us look after that area this month along with the placing of signs and we hope things will look better.

IN RE: WASTE MANAGEMENT – LANDFILL CLOSURE

Mr. King continued they have been proceeding on the closure on the landfill. They are at a point where they can do some work. We separated the closure. The closure is primarily earth work and grass seeding. There was another aspect of closing that we separated from that work. That was the gas detection probes that are around landfills to detect migrating methane gas. We are at a point where we can do that. He would like to see the County do something because he had talked with DEQ today and all he does is make excuses of why we have not done this or that. We have gone about the proper method to reach this point and we need to finish the project. He asked for approval to move forward on that work.

Mr. Bracey asked if Mr. King and Mr. Long could take care of this.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that authorization is granted to move forward with the installation of gas probes for the landfill closure with funds appropriated from the CIP Fund.

Mr. Bracey asked Mr. Long to work with Administration and give the Board the bottom line to what we need, how we need it, of taking care of the basic things at the landfill. From what he can see, when you told me you did not have the help, why? Did someone get sick, did they lay out, what is the problem? Don't we have people we can call in when someone is out?

Mr. King stated the County needs to ask how much staff do they want to have. We had one employee who's brother died, one man has been working every week end - he works his butt off - he wanted last week end off and I said hey take it off. This is why I drove myself this weekend. I could have made him come in but I said it is just not fair to this guy. It was not that we had a problem with this staff it was just that –

Mr. Bracey said he just wanted to know what County Administration can do to help in this situation. It just doesn't look good to have all this stuff piled up. You cannot work a man every Saturday and Sunday.

IN RE: PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER -- REPORT

Mr. David M. Jolly, Public Safety Officer, came forward and presented his monthly report.

IN RE: PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER – EQUIPMENT NEEDS FOR POSITION

Mrs. Everett stated she saw in the packet his request for equipment to do your job with. When we hired a Safety Director we did not put a line item in the budget for equipment because we would have to determine what that cost was later on and put it in.

He has outlined his needs and they deal with radios, emergency response things, law enforcement equipment and inspection, investigation and miscellaneous equipment. The total cost comes to $8,079.84. He needs this equipment to do his job and he is doing a splendid job in this County. She would like to see the Board fund these purchases today and put it in the budget.

Mr. Moody asked where is the money; are we just going to appropriate it?

Mr. Long stated we will have to show it under the equipment line items in his budget. As where to pull it from at this point he would only know the reserve to pull it from.

Mrs. Everett stated it will have to come from there because we have left that line item out of the budget.

Mr. Long stated we left it blank because we had no way of knowing what the needs were going to be until he got here and outlined them for us.

Mr. Moody stated he knew Mr. Jolly needed this equipment but we just lost $386,000.00 a few weeks ago.

Mr. Bracey stated we are going to get that money back.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that authorization is granted for the Public Safety Officer to purchase the following equipment at the low bidder prices for a total of $8,079.84:

ITEM NEEDED RADIOS	COMM TRONICS	TELEPAGE	RAIDO COMM.
VHF MAXTRAC 6 CHANNEL LOW BAND	$ 528.00	$ 580.00	$ 530.00
VHF HI-BAND RADIO	560.00	670.00	560.00
UHF MAXTRAC RADIO	604.00	711.50	605.00
PORTABLE RADIO WITH CHARGER	718.00	798.00	725.00
MINTOR III PAGER	400.00	345.00	400.00
INSTALLATION	500.00	380.00	420.00
VEHICLE REPEATER	700.00	625.00	1,200.00
TOTAL	**$ 4,010.00**	**$ 4,109.50**	**$ 4,440.00**

EMERGENCY RESPONSE CAPABILITIES	GALLS	GUARDIAN FIRE	FIRE-X
DASHMISER II STROBE DASHLIGHT	$ 200.00	$ 219.50	$ 197.57
DASHMISER I STROBE REAR DECK	110.00	120.56	108.85
STROBE GRILL LIGHTS	320.00	379.62	340.00
ELECTRONIC SIREN	210.00	235.00	223.44
6 POSITION SWITCH	70.00	101.85	97.44
TOTAL	**$ 910.00**	**$ 1,056.53**	**$ 967.30**

LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT	SOUTHERN POLICE	GREEN TOP	DANCES SPORTING GOODS
HANDGUN	$ 525.00	$ 565.00	$ 585.00
NYLON BELT HOLSTER FOR GUN	60.00	62.30	65.00
NYLON DUTY BELT	35.00	35.50	42.50
NYLON AMMUNITION CASE	24.00	27.50	26.50
NYLON HANDCUFF CASE	20.00	22.00	22.30
HANDCUFFS	22.00	25.00	NO BID
NYLON MACE CASE WITH MACE	25.00	27.50	33.50
TOTAL	**$ 711.00**	**$ 764.80**	**$ 774.80**

INSPECTION AND INVESTIGATION	GALLS	GUARDIAN FIRE	FIRE-X
RECHARGEABLE FLASHLIGHT	$ 80.00	$ 69.50	$ 65.50

100' TAPE MEASURE	20.00	25.00	27.50
BINOCULARS	60.00	70.00	75.00
SET OF TURNOUT GEAR	1,227.00	1,202.00	NO BID
SAFETY HARD HAT	65.00	67.50	NO BID
TOTAL	**$ 1,452.00**	**$ 1,434.00**	**$ UNABLE TO BID SOME ITEMS**

MISC. EQUIPMENT	**RICHMOND CAMERA**	**RITZ CAMERA**	**LUMS**
35 MM CAMERA WITH CASE	$ 853.50	$ 765.34	$ 875.67
	SEARS	**MAC TOOLS**	**SNAP ON**
COMPLETE TOOLS BOX	150.00	225.00	227.00

IN RE: PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER - DARVILLS COMMUNITY CENTER

Mr. Moody asked about the investigation of the Darvills Community Center fire. Has anything been determined regarding the nature of the fire?

Mr. Jolly stated it had been determined to be of incineration in nature. They have a few leads, it is a joint effort between the Sheriff's Office and him. As of this date this is about as much as he could say in public.

Mr. Long stated Mr. Jolly would be available to him for questions after the meeting.

Mrs. Everett stated they should have had some insurance on that center.

IN RE: FIRE & RESCUE ASSOCIATION – REPORT

Mr. Jolly stated he was also representing Chief Lewis as he was fighting a fire at Five Forks. He asked if there were any questions for the Fire and Rescue Association.

Mr. Tickle had questions as to the structuring of the Squads throughout the County.

Mr. Bracey suggested that Mr. Tickle and Mr. Jolly plan a meeting to discuss this issue.

IN RE: PLAN OF FINANCING FOR CHAPARRAL STEEL

Mr. Daniel M. Siegel, County Attorney, came forward to give a brief synopsis of the proposed Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia related to a Plan of Financing for Chaparral Steel.

Extract

Mr. Tickle had concerns about this $80 million coming back to haunt us.

Mr. Siegel stated he saw no way that could happen. He felt this adequately protects the County from any concerns that might come up throughout the financing. All the County is doing was giving public approval that is required in the Federal Law and State Law and nothing else.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following Resolution is adopted:

WHEREAS, the Industrial Development Authority of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the "IDA") has considered the application of Texas Industries, Inc., whose address is 1341 West Mockingbird Lane, Suite 700W, Dallas, Texas 75247, with a local address at 6887 Church Road, Petersburg, Virginia 23803 (together with Chaparral Steel Company, its wholly-owned subsidiary, the "Company), requesting the issuance of the IDA's exempt facility revenue bonds in connection with a plan of financing of an amount not to exceed $80,000,000 (the "Bonds"), in one or more series from time to time, to assist in financing the acquisition, construction and equipping of facilities related to dust, slag and scale collection and processing, waste processing and wastewater treatment, and other qualified and functionally related and subordinate facilities (the "Project") comprising "solid waste disposal facilities" or "sewage facilities," as described in Section 142 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code") to be located at the Company's recycling steel and rolling mill facility for the production of structural steel products located on an approximately 760 acre parcel located at west of Squirrel Level Road, east of Church Road, north of Flank Road, and south of the Norfolk Southern railroad tracks, southeast of the intersection of State Route 603 and Church Road in the Rohoic Magisterial District of the County;

WHEREAS, Section 147 (f) of the Code provides that the governmental unit having jurisdiction over the issuer of private activity bonds and over the area in which any facility financed with the proceeds of private activity bonds is to be located must approve the issuance of such bonds after a public hearing has been held with respect to the issuance of bonds for such facility;

WHEREAS, the IDA issues its bonds on behalf of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia (the "County"); the Project is to be located in the County; the Board of Supervisors of the County (the "Board") constitutes the highest elected governmental unit of the County; and the IDA held a public hearing regarding the Company's plan of financing related to such matter in accordance with Section 147 (f) of the Code on June 30, 1998; and

WHEREAS, the IDA has recommended that the Board approve the issuance of the Bonds in accordance with such plan of financing, and copies of the IDA's resolutions approving the issuance of the Bonds, subject to the terms to be agreed upon, and requesting the Board's approval in accordance with Section 147 (f) of the Code, a summary of the public hearing, and a fiscal impact statement have been filed with the Board;

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA:

1. The Board hereby approves the plan of financing by the IDA and the issuance of the Bonds pursuant thereto for the benefit of the Company, solely to the extent required by Section 147 (f) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 15.2-4906 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended (the "Virginia Code"), to permit the IDA to assist in the financing of the Project.
2. This approval of the plan of financing does not constitute an endorsement to prospective purchasers of the Bonds of the creditworthiness of the Project or the Company, the ability of the Company to pay the Bonds or the successful operation of the Project. As required by Section 15.2-4909 of the Virginia Code, the Bonds shall provide that neither the County nor the IDA shall be obligated to pay the Bonds or the interest thereon or other costs incident thereto except from the revenues and moneys pledged therefore and that neither the faith and credit nor the taxing power of the Commonwealth of Virginia, or any political subdivision thereof, including the County or the IDA, shall be pledged thereto. The County makes no representation of any kind as to the Bonds or the Project. Any purchaser of Bonds shall purchase such Bonds at his sole risk and neither the County nor the IDA shall have knowledge of or interest in the success of the Project or payment of the Bonds. The County approval herein has been requested by the Company is solely for purposes of Section 147 (f) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 15.2-4906 of the Virginia Code.
3. The Board directs the County Administrator to request allocations from the "state ceiling", as such term is defined in Chapter 50 of Title 15.2 of the

Virginia Code in accordance with Section 146 of the Internal Revenue Code to cover the initial issuance of up to $50,000,000 of Bonds in calendar year 1998, and any remaining balance of the $80,000,000 of Bonds in 1998 or thereafter, to finance the Project.

4. This resolution neither provides nor implies any assurance of receipt of any such allocations from the state ceiling, and whether or when the Company receives such allocations is not determined by the County. Moreover, the Board understands that the documents in connection with issuance of the Bonds shall provide that the Company will indemnify the County and the IDA, and their respective officers, employees, directors, and agents from any liabilities, obligations, claims, damages, penalties, losses, costs and expenses in any way connected with the Project or the issuance of the Bonds.
5. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

IN RE: BUILDING AND GROUNDS SUPERINTENDENT – REPORT

Mr. Donald W. Faison, Construction Inspector, came forward to present his monthly report. He began by introducing his new employees Mr. Byron Walker and Ms. Lois Ferrell.

Mr. Bracey asked the Board be kept informed about the repair work at Midway.

Mr. Faison asked Mr. Bracey if the Board would like to have copies of the Dunbar, Milby and Williams reports.

Mr. Bracey stated he did not know about the rest but he would like to have them.

Mr. Long asked if they would like them placed in the Board packets every two weeks.

Mr. Bracey stated yes.

Mr. Faison continued by stating you can now see the Health Department building. The next, which is step two, will be to have the outside of the building pressure cleaned. After that we hopefully will be moving to the interior.

Mr. Bracey asked who was responsible for getting a new sign for the Courthouse.

Mr. Long stated he was the person and he would look into it.

Mr. Faison stated he wanted to go back to one old thing. The Board had discussed grass cutting with Mr. Altman today and you also talked to Mr. Smith about his duties of cutting grass, and part of his new duties was cutting grass. He stated this is now three (3) employees cutting grass. Just be sure the Board is thinking about getting the equipment to do the job with!

Mr. Bracey stated his philosophy is let's sit down and talk about it.

Mr. Bracey said he had to commend Mr. Faison. He further stated he hated to continue commending men but Donnie was doing a really good job.

IN RE: BREAK

Mr. Bracey called for a five (5) minute break at 4:10 P.M.

Mr. Bracey called the meeting back to order at 4:20 P.M.

IN RE: DISTRICT 19 COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD

Mr. Long stated as he had indicated in their packets last month there has been a change in the legislation affecting Community Service Boards. He had asked Mr. Joseph E. Hubbard, Executive Director of District 19 Community Services Board, to attend this meeting to answer any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Hubbard came forward to give a brief synopsis of the changes. It is his understanding the State has deemed it necessary to have each of the localities that make up the respective forty (40) Community Services Boards to formally pass resolutions designating their Boards as one (1) of three (3) options. The intent as it has been passed on to the Community Services Board System is not to change the structure of the existing Boards but to recognize the structure that they have evolved into. For multi-jurisdictional such as District 19, the operating Board which is simply put, is a Board that employs it's own staff and provides services that is the only type of structure that matches what we are currently doing.

Mr. Bracey asked if this was to Dinwiddie County's advantage.

Mr. Hubbard stated the bottom line to this, as it is being presented, is it is nothing more than a step for the localities to take. The literature that has come out and been passed on to VACo and VML indicates this in no way does anything different as far as the liability issues go for the Counties.

Mr. Long stated he felt this was the only part that he did not understand. He stated he did not see any changes in funding or anything else. It was just a designation but he still wanted to ask Mr. Hubbard to come out in the event the Board had any questions before they adopted the resolution.

Mr. Tickle stated he was in support of the resolution; however, he had concerns and complaints about the agency. There was discussion regarding Mr. Tickle's experiences with the agency and complaints he had received from other citizens.

After lengthy discussion Mr. Bracey suggested that Mr. Tickle and Mr. Hubbard make an appointment to discuss this matter.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following Resolution is adopted:

WHEREAS, *The Code of Virginia,* Section 37.1-194 requires each locality to designate the type of community services board through which it provides mental health, mental retardation, and substance abuse services to its residents; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia delivers its services through District 19 Community Services Board; and

WHEREAS, District 19 Community Services Board, through its Board of Directors appointed by member localities, sets policy for the provision of mental health, mental retardation, and substance abuse services that are provided through District 19 Community Services Board Staff or contacts with other organizations and providers; and

WHEREAS, this model most closely fits the definition of "operating community services board" as outlined in the law; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that District 19 Community Services Board is hereby designated as an operating community services board with the duties and responsibilities conferred upon it by law and such other duties and responsibilities assigned by this Body.

Mr. Hubbard stated there was one other item that needed to be discussed. It was the performance contract.

Mr. Long stated the only item he was aware of was the Resolution.

Mr. Hubbard stated this is another code change. In the past when the Board approved the budget that was all that was required. What the State Code now says is the Performance Contract, which is their contract with the State, must be formally adopted by the locality.

Mr. Long stated he must have missed that but would put the information back into the packets next month and ask for approval.

Mr. Bracey stated to Mr. Hubbard he need not be present for that unless he deemed it necessary.

Mr. Hubbard stated he would love the opportunity to meet on a regular basis with the Board. The one thing he would like to leave with the Board is there is a perception with Regional Boards that they are State Agencies. They are not a State Agency. They are a locally governed agency.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS – APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Extract

Mr. Bracey stated we needed to appoint a person to fill the position of Ms. Gloria Harvell who cannot serve again. She has served on the Board since 1990 and is no longer eligible to serve another term. At the present time we have one (1) application for this position from Kenneth G. Swanson.

Upon motion of Mrs. Everett, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Kenneth G. Swanson is appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board effective immediately for a term expiring June 30, 2002.

Mr. Bracey asked Mrs. Mann to prepare a resolution for Ms. Gloria Harvell for the time served on this Board.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS

Mr. Long stated the first item under his comments in the Board packets, was the Resolution for the final school completion bonds , public capital project , and refunding. There are still some questions on combining, we are looking on combining those with the water bond and he requested we wait until August 5th. This should not affect the closing and the sale of these bonds.

IN RE: COUNTY WELL UPDATE

Mr. Long continued he had enclosed a status report and quite a bit of documentation from the Health Department. At the last meeting Mr. Tickle had asked about an update on the water system at all the County buildings. What was prepared was a report of the tests that have been taken at all the buildings, received the levels that we are at. And you will see the overall recommendation the Administration has is on the top sheet is as follows:

RECOMMENDATION:

A. If the Board chooses to remove the bottled water in the Administration Building, Social Services Building and Health Department, we do so after the corrosion control system has been installed and is tested. Then we will need to flush the system and make sure the water fountains are in working order in all three (3) buildings.
B. If the Board chooses to remove the bottled water in the Jail, we proceed with connecting the old Courthouse well to the Jail Well. If we continue to use the old Courthouse well, we will have to raise the well above grade and upgrade our pump house as required by the Health Department. We will then need to install a treatment system on the Jail well that is designed to filter VOC's and MTBE's. The alternative would be to live on a quarter to quarter reporting basis with the possibility of VOC's and MTBE's showing up at any time in the potable water being used by the inmates. At this time, we do not have a cost estimate on a treatment system.

Mr. Tickle still had questions regarding why we are not using the water. He feels there is nothing in the water that will hurt anyone and that the County should not be paying for water.

Mrs. Everett wanted to know about the cost of doing all the work necessary to do away with the bottled water.

Mrs. Ralph stated she did not know because the jobs had not been bid out. She did however know the filters had to be changed regularly on the jail system.

Mr. Bracey stated he understood what was being said. He said we need to go and look to see if we have the necessary things in place to use this water, the necessary fountains and so forth. All this has to be put into the cost. Mr. Bracey stated he did not hear anything about the new courthouse building.

Mrs. Ralph stated they are using their water because we have not had anything show up from their new well. Their water will be tested also. They are on a new well system.

Again Mr. Tickle stated his problem was in spending $1,000.00 or more a month on bottled water. He expressed we are spending enough per year on water to hire another employee.

Mr. Bracey and Mr. Long stated they were concerned with spending all this money and still having a problem with the water. There was also discussion about the new machine that the School Board office had that filtered the water.

Mr. Bracey suggested to Mr. Long he call Diamond Springs and inform them the Board is considering taking the water out because of the price and see if they are willing to work with the County to bring the cost down.

Mrs. Ralph stated she was assuming we are putting the corrosion control treatment on the Administration well system and then we are going off bottled water or are we are staying on in these three buildings.

Mr. Bracey asked how much that was going to cost.

Mrs. Ralph stated the corrosion control system will cost about $2900.00.

Mr. Faison stated new fountains will have to be purchased to go into the Social Services building and Health Department building.

The Board advised Administration to continue with the corrosion control system on the Administration well, flush the system and work with Mr. Tickle on judgment of the water quality.

IN RE: ARCHITECTURAL/DESIGN SERVICES – FIRE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES BUILDING

Mrs. Ralph stated at the CIP Workshop the Board wanted to proceed with securing somebody to design fire and rescue building plans. In order for Administration to do that we need to adopt the following resolution:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATION

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County (the "Board") wishes to receive proposals from qualified firms for the County's "Architectural/Design Services – Fire/Emergency Medical Services Building", to evaluate various subjective factors, including a demonstrated understanding of the project, experience and qualifications, schedule and quality of work, and to negotiate specific contractual terms and conditions favorable to Dinwiddie County (the "County"); and

WHEREAS, Section 11-41 (c) of the Virginia Public Procurement Act provides that goods and services may be procured by competitive negotiation upon a determination made in advance and set forth in writing that competitive sealed bidding is not advantageous to the public;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The County Administrator, in cooperation with other County staff persons, is authorized to issue on behalf of the County, a written request for proposals for the County's "Architectural/Design Services – Fire/Emergency Medical Services Building" on the basis that competitive sealed bidding is not practicable and not fiscally advantageous to the public as it is advisable for the County to have the flexibility allowed by competitive negotiation procedures to consider many factors including negotiated price, experience and qualifications of the vendor, length of contract performance and other terms and conditions.
2. This Resolution shall constitute a written determination that competitive sealed bidding is not advantageous to the public for the reasons stated above.
3. This Resolution shall be effective as of July 15, 1998.

Upon motion o Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the above resolution is adopted for the purpose of soliciting proposals from qualified firms for the County's "Architectural./Design Services – Fire/Emergency Medical Services Building".

Mr. Bracey stated he had seen drawings made by staff of what the County would be looking for in this design. He stated Dinwiddie County is getting better because now when this architect came we would have information to present to him.

IN RE: BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Mr. Clay – was not present

Mrs. Everett – She stated she had attended the Extension Leadership Council meeting last night and she thought there might be a few items you would be interested in. Mike Parrish announced that the tobacco field day will be held at the Blackstone Research Station 9:00 A.M. on August 4th. August 27th is peanut and cotton field days at the Holland Tidewater Research Station. Also she wanted to report that there will be a Dinwiddie County Fair at Airport July 30th through August 2nd. It is being put on by Admit One Events. She stated reports are they are doing quite well with obtaining booths, exhibits, etc. Her last remark involved a request for a County flag to be sent to VACo for display at the annual meeting.

Mr. Moody – no comments

Mr. Tickle – He stated he had two (2) items that he wanted the Board to think about and obtain information on.

a) Meals' Tax – he would like to meet with Mrs. Ralph and Mr. Long concerning that matter and then report back to the Board.

   Mr. Bracey stated he would like for Mrs. Glenice Townsend, Fiscal Officer for the County, to be a part of that committee. He would like for them to meet before Mr. Tickle says anything to anybody.

   Mrs. Everett had concerns and Mr. Bracey assured her everything would be made public after the committee meeting. Mr. Bracey asked Mr. Siegel if a committee meeting was legal. Mr. Siegel stated it was.

b) His second request is regarding property on Simpson Road near the NWB property. This is the third time he has brought this up and he would like to see the Board take action at this time. He was asking the County Administration, with the Board's support, to investigate the State donating that land to Dinwiddie County. He did not feel that the Mental Health Department should make a profit on State land and for economic development. He further stated his beliefs regarding economic development on State land is that the County is the best persons to do that. When Governor Allen came we suggested that to him, we thought their economic person was going to take care of it and they did not. He stated he was asking the Board to write a letter to have the State donate the land, for economic development, to Dinwiddie County. The word he had received is that it is not land that mental health is going to use. That is the idea and concept of how the land got donated to the State Police. They are looking to sell the land, if they do anything, to make a profit for mental health. He did not think that was the function of State government to make money on land. He felt if it was economic development then the County should be in charge of that.

   Mr. Long asked if he was talking about the property that they have been considering putting the State Police on.

   Mr. Tickle replied yes, there are approximately seven (7) lots there.

   Mr. Long stated he could certainly do that. He stated the reason the State Police could not locate there was because of sewage connections. It was going to cost too much.

   Mr. Tickle stated $70,000.00 to run the sewage lines.

   Mr. Bracey stated then they are not coming.

   Mr. Tickle stated the Water Authority was negotiating with the State to find out who is going to pay parts of it or all of it or none of it. That is an issue with the State, why the State Police are so far down on the Water Authority. Rumor has it the Mental Health Department is negotiating with a hotel for the other six (6) lots. His personal opinion is this is not an issue for Mental Health Department to be in economic development. This should be more of a County property, this is State owned land. Why not get the State of Virginia to donate the land to the County since the Mental Health Department is not going to use this property for Mental Health Department related concerns. Let us say who we want to put there and how we want to put it.

Mr. Bracey stated we need to start with Jay DeBoer. Mr. Moody stated we need to ask for help from Richard Holland. Mr. Tickle suggested Senator Marsh also.

Mr. Bracey – Mr. Bracey stated Mrs. Troilen Seward, Superintendent of Schools, had asked for a meeting with Mrs. Ralph, Mr. Long, and himself concerning Dinwiddie Elementary School. He stated he would like another Board member to be in the meeting. He would also like for Mrs. Seward to ask her chair or vice-chair to be there so there will be no miss understanding. Mr. Long was instructed to set the meeting up.

RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye" pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, Section 2.1-344 (A) - 1 Discussion of employment, salaries, disciplining of public officers, appointees, or employees of any public body (Code Compliance Officer, County Administrator); and Section 2.1-344 (A) - 7 Consultation with legal counsel (possible litigation involving a vendor) the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:14 P.M.

A vote having been made and approved the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 6:17 P.M.

IN RE: CERTIFICATION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mrs. Everett, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County convened an executive meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.1-344.1 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that such Executive meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the executive meeting to which this certification resolution applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the executive meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mrs. Everett, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mrs. Everett, Mr. Bracey voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 6:20 P.M. to be continued at 4:00 P.M. for an Executive Session at The Homeplace Restaurant, followed by an open session in the Board Room of the Pamplin Administration Building at 6:00 P.M. for a CIP Workshop.

Edward A. Bracey, Jr.
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

R. Martin Long
County Administrator

/pam