VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1978 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | CHARLES L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDED OVER THE MEETING

IN RE: STEVEN JONES, EXTENSION AGENT--RECOGNITION OF NEW OFFICES

Mr. Steven Jones, Agent, VPI & SU Extension Service, appeared before the Board to express his appreciation for the office space provided for he and his staff in the new Administration Building.

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Mr. L.A. Hodnett nominated Mr. A.S. Clay, Mr. Hargrave seconded this nomination. Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstained, Mr. A.S. Clay was elected Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the year 1978, or until their duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. nominated Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. Mr. Hodnett seconded this nomination. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett abstained, Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the year 1978, or until their duly elected successor assumes office.

MR. A. S. CLAY ASSUMED THE POSITION OF CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 21, 1977 regular meeting and the December 23, 1977 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

History Book Fund Check number HB-77-38 in the amount of $12.93.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of December, 1977.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS --DOG FUND -- LOAN

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $1,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Dog Fund account as a loan to be repaid when sufficient funds are available in the Dog Fund Account.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of December, 1977.

IN RE: WEST PETERSBURG ASSOCIATION--REQUEST FOR FHA FUNDING ELIGIBILITY

The Director of Planning presented to the Board copies of a proposed resolution for their consideration from the West Petersburg Community Association regarding FHA funding eligibility. It has come to their attention that all areas of Dinwiddie County are not eligible for FHA funding and they feel there should be a revision to include the entire county.

Mr. Scheid advised the Board that the County Administrator and Planning Commission were investigating other possibilities of FHA funding for the ineligible areas and asked they delay action until the investigation was complete.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board agreed to take the proposed resolution into consideration, action pending completion of investigation by the County Administrator and Director of Planning.

IN RE: FLOW OF FEDERAL DOLLARS INTO AND OUT OF THE COUNTY

The Director of Planning advised the Board that figures concerning the flow of federal dollars into and out of the County were very difficult to accummulate except in generalities which he felt would be of very little value to anyone. The question concerning these figures was raised at a previous meeting pertaining to CDBG funding.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County will not continue to pursue a figure showing the flow of federal funds into and out of the County due to the difficulty of obtaining such a figure unless it can be shown that such a figure will be useful; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County's position be communicated by letter to the individual requesting the information.

IN RE: CDBG 1978 PROGRAM--DECISION NOT TO PARTICIPATE

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett abstaining, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, public hearings for the 1978 Community Development Block Grant Program have been held by the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission chose not to make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors concerning participation in the 1978 CDBG Program; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie has received CDBG funds in the past which provided a valuable recreational asset to the County; and

WHEREAS, the demands on communities receiving and administering CDBG funds are rapidly increasing; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County is aware of the hardships encountered by communities who have received and developed projects in their areas due to the requirements set by the Department of HUD;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County Virginia that the County of Dinwiddie will not participate in the 1978 CDBG Program.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director of Social Services presented her report for the month of November, 1977.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SELL SURPLUS PROPERTY--DINWIDDIE SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board at their December 13, 1977 meeting voted unanimously to authorize the sale of old school buses and other items of furniture or equipment no longer serviceable to the School Board; and

WHEREAS, Virginia School Law Section 15.1-262 requires "the unanimous approval of the school board and the local governing body" to authorize the sale of school property;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County School Board be authorized to sell the following list of equipment; and

10 Used School Buses

| Bus# | Year | Identification# |
|---|---|---|
| 11 | 1965 | B75KU698460 |
| 12 | 1965 | B75KU698461 |
| 17 | 1965 | B75KU698466 |
| 19 | 1965 | B75KU698464 |
| 20 | 1965 | B75KU698465 |
| 22 | 1965 | B75KU698463 |
| 27 | 1966 | B75EU880206 |
| 31 | 1966 | B75EU880199 |
| 34 | 1966 | B75EU880201 |

1 Band Saw
1 Power Mower
1 Potato Peeler
2 Gas Stoves
1 Freezer
1 1972 Plymouth - 4 Dr. Sedan - PE41M2F202024
1 1972 Plymouth - 4 Dr. Sedan - PK41M2F202023

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this list be made available to the volunteer fire departments and rescue squad before they are offered for public bid.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING--ROHOIC PHYSICAL EDUCATION BUILDING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the cost of the Midway and Rohoic Elementary School gyms did not exceed the original amount approved; and

WHEREAS, the cost of the Rohoic School gym exceeded the approved loan and the Midway School gym was less than the approved loan; and

WHEREAS, regulations of the Literary Fund Loan do not allow transferring funds from one project to another; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board requests that the Board of Supervisors make an additional appropriation of $11,811.89 to complete payment for the Rohoic Elementary School gym;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County School Board is hereby requested to review their existing capital budget to determine the availability of funds to complete payment on the Rohoic Elementary School gym; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that if funding is not possible within the existing capital budget that the Dinwiddie County School Board approach the Board of Supevisors for the additional funds.

IN RE: CONSIDERATION OF COUNTY VEHICLE POLICY BY SCHOOL BOARD

Mr. Hargrave stated that a recent misfortune reminded him that he would like to see the School Board consider and possibly adopt the vehicle policy adopted by the Board of Supervisors. This vehicle policy had been sent to the School Board some months ago and it was his understanding that they had considered it but no action was taken. He requested that Dr. Vaughn secure a copy of this vehicle policy and review it with the School Board.

Dr. Vaughn indicated that he had a copy of this policy and he would bring it to the attention of the School Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Superintendent of Schools was asked to make the School Board aware of the County's vehicle policy and the Board's desire to have a policy adopted for all County vehicles, and further that if there was no reaction, a letter be sent requesting that the vehicle policy be placed upon the School Board agenda and a response returned to the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT--MEMBERSHIP IN SOUTHSIDE BEAUTIFICATION ASSOCIATION

Colonel William O. Antozzi, representing the Southside Beautification Association appeared before the Board to review the Association's activities and request the County's participation as a member. The membership fee would be $220.00 a year. Colonel Antozzi provided the Board with a copy of the Association By-Laws to be distributed to the members.

The Board advised Colonel Antozzi that they would like to review the by-laws and learn more about the Association and its activities before making a decision. The Chairman instructed the County Administrator to place the item on the February 1, 1978 agenda.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- CHAPTER 10 BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Kenneth Wright was appointed to the Chapter 10 Board, term expiring December 31, 1980.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOP. ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. J.M. Johnson was reappointed to the CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council, term expiring October 15, 1979.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--HIGHWAY SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Miss Barbara Goodman and Mr. Lynn Spain were reappointed to the Highway Safety Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1981.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:00 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:00 P.M.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--GOSPEL SING AT CAMP SCOTT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. William B. Daniel have made application to the Board of Supervisors for a special entertainment permit to hold a gospel sing on their property at Camp Scott, Dinwiddie, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Daniel have filed the proper application with the County, supplying all the information required to meet the County's regulations concerning a special entertainment permit;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that in accordance with Chapter 11A of the Dinwiddie County Code, Mr. and Mrs. William B. Daniel are hereby granted a special entertainment permit for a gospel sing to be held May 13, 1978 at Camp Scott, Dinwiddie, Virginia according to the regulations as outlined in said permit.

IN RE: PERMANENT COUNTY CAR--MOBILE CARDIAC UNIT FOR RESCUE SQUAD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Rescue Squad was granted the use of a county car by the Mobile Cardiac Unit for a period of 90 days beginning October 5, 1977; and

WHEREAS, this car has proven to be very beneficial to the operation of the unit and the citizens it serves; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Rescue Squad that they be allowed to keep the County car as a permanent mobile cardiac vehicle;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad be authorized to continue to use the County car as a mobile cardiac unit vehicle; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Rescue Squad be authorized to make any repairs that are needed to keep the vehicle in operation;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Rescue Squad will bear the costs for any repairs done.

IN RE: RENEWAL BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE #1993

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 has filed with the Commissioner of Accounts a record of all receipts and disbursements for 1977;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that in accordance with Section 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia, the Bingo and Raffle Permit for Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 is hereby renewed for the calendar year 1978.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF TRADITION--GIFT OF GAVEL TO RETIRING CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that beginning January 1, 1978, the gavel will be given to the retiring Chairman of the Board and a new gavel bought for the newly elected Chairman each year.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:13 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1978 AT 8:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR, VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | CHARLES L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the minutes of the January 4, 1978 meeting were approved with the following addition to the In Re: Permanent County Car -- Mobile Cardiac Unit for Rescue Squad:

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Rescue Squad will bear the cost for any repairs done."

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye";

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks -- numbering 78-1 thru 78-110 amounting to $68,206.85; County Construction Fund checks - numbering CCF-78-1 thru CCF-78-6 amounting to $7,991.04; Library Fund checks numbering LF-78-1 and Lf-78-2 amounting to $137.20.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - REZONING APPLICATION P-77-15 - HENRY L. RIGGS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 4, 1978 and Wednesday, January 11, 1978 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification from Agriculture A-2 to Business B-1 of a certain tract of land containing 2.61 acres more or less as shown by a "Map Showing A Lot of the Henry L. Riggs Land", dated November 3, 1971 and made by W. G. Chappell, C. L. S. The property is further described as the northeastern portion of Parcel (2)-C of Section 19 of said Zoning Map and is generally bounded to the east by Sutherland Manor Market and Hardware Store, to the south and west by the lands of Henry L. Riggs and to the North by U. S. Route 460.

Mr. Riggs appeared in support of his rezoning request.

Mr. Ted Baxter and Mr. Mike Leonard spoke in opposition to this rezoning request. Mr. Baxter stated that his wife, Mrs. Clair Baxter and Mrs. Margaret V. Pamplin were also opposed.

The Planning Commission minutes of the December 14, 1977 meeting were read wherein they recommended disapproval of Mr. Rigg's rezoning request.

Mr. Loid Hodnett stated that he considered the area to be residential and that the rezoning for business there had been done prior to his election to the Board. He further stated that he wanted to be very cautious about anymore rezoning until the Comprehensive Land Use Plan was completed.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett,

Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "nay", the rezoning application P-77-15 of Mr. Henry L. Riggs was denied.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- REZONING APPLICATION P-77-16 JOHN M. LOFTIS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 4, 1978 and Wednesday January 11, 1978 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification from Residential R-1 to Business, General B-2 of a certain tract of land containing 2.0 acres more or less shown as Parcel 27A of Section 45 of said Zoning Map. Said parcel of land contains a building previously occupied by a Stuckey's Shop and most recently, The Needle Stitch Store, No. 2. Generally, the land is bounded to the east by U. S. Route 1, to the south by Dinwiddie Plaza, to the west by the lands of Thomas O. Cairnes and to the north by the lands of Sterling F. Gholson.

Mr. Loftis appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The Planning Commission minutes of the December 14, 1977 meeting recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett abstained,

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of Parcel 27A, Section 45 of the Zoning Map from Residential R-1 to Business, General B-2. In all other aspects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- WEST PETERSBURG COMMUNITY COUNCIL ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the West Petersburg Community Council Association has filed application with the County Administrator for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, this application has been reviewed by the County Attorney; and

WHEREAS, Section 18.2-335(2) Code of Virginia requires "that an organization otherwise qualified to conduct bingo games and raffles must also have been in existence continuously for a period of two years immediately prior to seeking a permit"; and

WHEREAS, the Council has not been in existence for this period of time as evidenced by its Articles of Incorporation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the application of the West Petersburg Community Council Association for a Bingo and Raffle Permit is hereby disapproved.

IN RE: CENTRAL ABSENTEE VOTER ELECTION DISTRICT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Section 24.1-233.1 added to the Code of Virginia by the 1974 Session of the General Assembly provided in part, not withstanding any other provision of law for the purpose of counting and recording absentee ballots in all elections, the governing body of each city or county may establish a Central Absentee Voter Election District in the Courthouse or other public building, and the governing body of any town may establish such an election district for town elections which shall receive, count and record all such ballots cast within that city, county or town. The

decision to establish such district shall be made by the governing body in the month of January of each year to be effective February 1, of that year for a period of 12 months and immediate notification of such decisions sent to the State Board of Elections and the Electoral Board; and

WHEREAS, the Electoral Board of Dinwiddie County, Dinwiddie, Virginia does not desire to have a Central Absentee Voter Election District formed at this time.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it does not choose to establish a Central Absentee Voter Election District at this time; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator advise the State Board of Elections of this decision.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL BULLET PROOF VESTS -- SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Sheriff C. L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to request that he be allowed to increase the number of bullet proof vests for his department from 8 to 12.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to purchase 4 additional bullet proof vests for the Sheriff's Department making a total of 12.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT -- AMENDMENTS A-77-5 and A-77-6

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 4, 1978 and Wednesday, January 13, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider adoption of amendments A-77-5 and A-77-6 to Chapter 15, Subdivision Ordinance, and to Chaper 16A, Water and Sewer Ordinance. Specifically, the following sections will be reviewed: 15-2. Definitions; 15-5. Duties of agent generally; 15-23(f). Certificate of approval; and 16A-1A. Administration of chapter.

The public hearing was held; however, the County Administrator requested that, in the absence of the Director of Planning, action on these amendments be postponed until the February 1, 1978 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye";

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the public hearings for amendments A-77-5 to the Water and Sewer Ordinance and A-77-6 to the Subdivision Ordinance be postponed until the February 1, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:30 P. M. The Board moved into Open Session at 9:30 P. M.

IN RE: DISPOSAL OF PULPWOOD AT COUNTY LANDFILL -- AWARD OF BID

The County Administrator presented the following bids for pulpwood to be cut on the Landfill Property on Route 645 between Five Forks and Center Star Church.

Blackstone Timber Co., Inc. $3,200.00

L. O. Scott 900.00

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the bid for pulpwood on the Landfill property located on Route 645 between Five Forks and Center Star Church, be awarded to Blackstone Timber Co., Inc. in the amount of $3,200.00

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN CONTRACT -- RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the contract, attested by the County Administrator, between Leon J. Boisseau, Inc. and the County of Dinwidddie for renovation of the Dinwiddie County Courthouse.

IN RE: PANELING

The County Administrator presented two samples of paneling from the Contractor for the Board's consideration to be used in the renovation of the Courthouse: A light oak and a dark oak.

Of the two samples, the dark oak panel was the Board's first choice and the light oak panel was their second choice.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:45 P. M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT WILMURT'S MOTEL, ON JANUARY 26, 1978 AT 7:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR. VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

This meeting of the Board of Supervisors called at the request of the Town of McKenney.

IN RE: WATER AND SEWER SITUATION - TOWN OF MCKENNEY

Mayor William McElveen opened the meeting with an explanation of where the Town of McKenney was now in regards to its sewer project. The Sewer project which began June 1977, was to be completed by June 1978. The system now serves 192 and there is hope that 30 more could be obtained with this present construction project. According to calculations by the Town of McKenney's Consultant, R. Sturt Royer and Associates, 451 new customers would be needed to retire the debt by 1990. (Corrected 2/15/78 by deleting the preceding line).

The Town of McKenney's debt on this project is $360,000, $20,000 in interest is due this year with the principal being paid off in thirty nine years with payments being approximately $25,000 per year.

Within the Town of McKenney, connection to the water and sewer system is not mandatory and the fees presently are very very low. New connection fees have not been established.

Mr. McElveen indicated that the Town of McKenney's financial situation was such that the expenses would exceed the income by about the same amount of the payment to retire the debt on the sewer system. The reason that this meeting was called this evening was to explain to the Board of Supervisors the situation that the Town of McKenney was in and some of the problems that would result from the installation of the new sewer lines. After the Board and the Town Council of McKenney discussed in detail all situations and problems presented, the Board of Supervisors indicated they would discuss these matters and offer suggested solutions. In about two weeks the County Administrator would contact the Town to arrange another meeting with the Board and/or the County Administrator.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1978 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: VIRGINIA HOUSING AUTHORITY--DISCUSSION OF RENT SUPPLEMENT PROGRAM BY SHARON LUCK, PROGRAM MANAGER

Mrs. Sharon Luck, Program Manager, Virginia Housing Development Authority, appeared before the Board to discuss the Existing Housing Assistance Payments Program available to the County through the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Dinwiddie County would be eligible to receive annual contributions for at least 50 units if it desired to enter into the program.

THE REGULAR MEETING OPENED AT 2:00 P.M.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 18, 1978 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund - checks numbering 78-111 thru 78-185 amounting to $48,821.45; Dog Fund - checks numbering D-78-1 thru D-78-9 amounting to $925.54; County Construction Fund - check CCF-78-7 amounting to $30,769.48.

IN RE: TREASURER'S REPORT

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of January, 1978.

IN RE: ESTIMATED SURPLUS AT END OF 1977-78 FISCAL YEAR

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer is hereby requested to provide them with an estimated surplus in the General Fund as of June 30, 1978.

IN RE REQUEST FOR DOG PEN--SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to request their assistance in constructing a dog pen to house two bloodhounds purchased for the department. He stated that Investigator Alvin Booth offered to care for the dogs if a pen could be constructed at his home to house them.

The Board asked Sheriff Mitchell to obtain cost figures on the structure for their consideration at the next meeting.

Sheriff Mitchell stated that they were proceeding with the

building of the pen; however, any help the Board could offer would be appreciated.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of January, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G. T. Hughes presented his report for the month of January, 1978.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE BEAUTIFICATION ASSOCIATION: POSTPONEMENT OF MEMBERSHIP DISCUSSION UNTIL BUDGET SESSIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a decision on membership in the Southside Beautification Association is hereby postponed until the 1978-1979 budget sessions.

IN RE: APPLICATION FOR ELIGIBILITY - 1978 '701' PLANNING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to request their approval of an application for eligibility for 1978 '701' Planning Assistance. This document is required in order for the County to submit an application for 1978 funding.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Director of Planning is hereby authorized to submit application for eligibility for 1978 '701' Planning Assistance Funding to the Department of Housing and Urban Development; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the letter of transmittal accompanying this document.

IN RE: TENNIS COURT LIGHTING--ADDITION OF BOND AND APPROVAL OF CONTRACT

The Director of Planning presented to the Board for approval the contract between the County and the firm of Tate and Hill, Inc. for the installation of lights at the tennis court site.

Since the Board awarded the project to Tate and Hill, Inc. Mr. Tate arranged to be bonded which is required by law and cost $425.00. This amount was not included in the original contract price of $28,500.00.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Scheid to negotiate with Mr. Tate to install receptacles on the poles at the same time the lights were installed as they were not included in the original request and would not be very expensive.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County Virginia that the bonding fee of $425.00 be added to the original contract price of $28,500.00 between the County of Dinwiddie and the firm of Tate and Hill, Inc. for the installation of lights at the tennis court site; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contractor be requested to install four receptacles on the poles at the site which were not included in the original request; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign

the contract between the County of Dinwiddie and the firm of Tate and Hill, Inc. for the installation of lights at the tennis court site across from Dinwiddie Senior High in the amount of $28,925.00.

IN RE: UPDATE ON PROGRESS OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Director of Planning briefly reviewed the work that has been done toward completion of the Comprehensive Plan. He stated that he was working closely with Crater Planning District on several of the documents and hoped to have a draft ready by the March Planning Commission meeting. He also stated that his plans were to have the Comprehensive Plan with the Subdivision Ordinance adopted before the Zoning Ordinance as they were both complicated documents to comprehend.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, presented her report for the month of January, 1978.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE SCHOOL BUSES--SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent, appeared before the Board to present the lowest bids received on ten (10) school buses for the 1978-79 school year. They are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Chassis - International Harvester | 7069.52 ea. |
| Bodies - Smith-Moore Body Co. | 7154.75 ea. |
| | 14224.27 |

Dr. Vaughn stated he might be able to save $131.32 on each chassis reducing the cost to $14,092.95 per vehicle.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is hereby authorized to purchase ten (10) new school buses for the 1978-79 school year.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN TIMBER DEED--PULPWOOD AT COUNTY LANDFILL

The County Attorney appeared before the Board to request the Chairman's signature on a timber deed transferring timber rights for pulpwood cut at the Dinwiddie County Landfill to the Blackstone Timber Co. for a period of 90 days.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign the timber deed between the Board of Supervisors and G.B. Ragsdale, Blackstone Timber Co., conveying ownership of timber rights for 90 days beginning February 1, 1978, to cut, haul, manufacture and remove the timber and timber trees at the designated site at the Dinwiddie County Landfill for a sum of $3200.00.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Res. Engr., VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Perry stated that he knew several County roads needed attention as the cold weather had done extensive damage.

Mr. Clay asked that Route 738 be smoothed out with crusher run and Mr. Bennett requested that Route 611 between Centre Star and White Oak Road be taken care of as soon as possible.

IN RE: REVISION OF HIGHWAY PERMIT--WILL-KNOTT CORP. & COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE

The Resident Engineer, VDH&T, advised the Board that the original permit issued to Will-Knott Corporation to construct an entrance to their office building off Route 627 was no longer adequate since the County has agreed to construct a road there. He stated a new permit should be obtained jointly by the County of Dinwiddie and the Will-Knott Corp. for construction of the entrance and road.

The County Administrator stated that this could be accomplished.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF HITCHCOCK'S ROAD BY ROADVIEWERS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following road was viewed by the Board of Viewers on April 24, 1963, beginning at a point on Route 631 approximately 0.20 miles South of the Int. of Rt. 601 running in an easterly direction a distance of 1.65 miles to dead end; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the landowners along this road to have it taken into the secondary roads system;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Hitchcock's Road be placed on the agenda to be viewed by the 1978 Roadviewers; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors accepts the petition presented by the landowners along that road in 1963.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BICYCLE AND NATURE TRAILS

Miss Molly Andrews appeared before the Board to request that they consider constructing bike and nature trails throughout the County in their future planning.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF TRUCK--COUNTY LANDFILL

Mr. J.M. Loftis, Director of Sanitation, appeared before the Board to request that he be allowed to purchase a dump truck for use at the County Landfill and the various dumpster locations. Mr. Loftis stated that the truck would be especially helpful in hauling and spreading rock at the various dumpster sites. The truck could also be used extensively by the County School Board.

Mr. Loftis has been offered a Ford dump truck, which he considered to be in good condition by Gordon L. Lewis for $4,000.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. J.M. Loftis is hereby authorized to purchase a dump truck for the Dinwiddie County Landfill, cost not to exceed $4,000.00.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-77-5 - SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE

A public hearing was held at the January 18, 1978 meeting for the Board of Supervisors to consider adoption of amendment A-77-5 to Chapter 15, Subdivision Ordinance, specifically the following sections: 15.2 Definitions; 15-5. Duties of agent generally; 15-23(f). Preliminary plat--generally, contents; 15-32. Improvements generally; 15-71 (c). Certificate of approval.

No one appeared in opposition to this amendment. The County Administrator and Director of Planning recommended adoption of this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by making the following changes to Chapter 15, Subdivision Ordinance:

a. add, under Definitions, the following:

Authority. The county water authority or the authorized deputy, director, agent or representative thereof.

b. amend Section 15-5 to read as follows:

the agent shall perform its duties as regards subdivisions and subdividing in accordance with this chapter and the Virginia Land Subdivision Act.

In performance of its duties the agent may call for opinions or decisions, either verbal or written, from other departments in consd-dering details of any submitted plat. This authority by the agent shall have particular reference to the resident highway engineer, health officer and water authority agent.

c. amend Section 15-23(f) to read as follows:

(f) Topography at intervals satisfactory to the highway engineer and water authority agent, if required.

d. amend Section 15-32 to read as follows:

All required improvements shall be installed by the subdivider at his cost as set forth by the State Department of Highways, Water Authority and by this chapter. The subdivider's performance bond (s) shall not be released until construction has been inspected and approved by the appropriate engineer (s). All improvements shall be in accordance with the requirements set forth in this article.

e. amend Section 15-71 (c) to read as follows:

This subdivision known as _____. Subdivision is approved by the undersigned in accordance with existing subdivision regulations and may be committed to record.

_____, 19____ Signed_____
(date) Highway Engineer

_____, 19____ Signed_____
(date) Water Authority Agent

_____, 19____ Signed_____
(date) Health Officer

_____, 19____ Signed_____
(date) Chairman or Agent
Board of Supervisors

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-77-6 - WATER AND SEWER ORDINANCE

A public hearing was held at the January 18, 1978 meeting for the Board to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the Water and Sewer ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by adding Section 16A-1A, Administration of Chapter.

No one appeared in opposition to this amendment. The County Administrator and Director of Planning recommended adoption of the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by adding to Chapter 16A, Water and Sewer Ordinance, the following:

Section 16A-1A. Administration of Chapter.

This chapter shall be enforced by the director of the Authority or such other individual appointed by the Authority. The director shall serve at the pleasure of the Authority.

In all other respects said Water and Sewer Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOP. AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the appointment to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority was postponed until the February 15, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: REPRESENTATION ON THE PETERSBURG AIRPORT ADVISORY BOARD

Mr. Clay stated that he had been advised by representatives of the Airport Advisory Board that it would be beneficial to Dinwiddie County if it had representation on the Airport Advisory Board, to keep the Board of Supervisors informed of developments at the Airport.

The Chairman instructed the County Administrator to place this item on the agenda for the February 15, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:10 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADMISSION TAX--REQUEST TO INCLUDE DINWIDDIE COUNTY IN ENABLING LEGISLATION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to contact Senator Lewis Rawls and Delegate Eva Scott to request that Section 58-404.2 of the Code of Virginia be amended to include the County of Dinwiddie having authorization to levy an Admissions Tax.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:03 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE ROHOIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ON THE 14TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1977 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | LOID A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | GRANVILLE MAITLAND, VICE-CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| ABSENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: PROPOSED POLICIES, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE LAND-USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with assistance from the Planning Commission, answered questions and received public comment concerning the proposed policies, goals, and objectives of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

Loid A Hodnett
L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | BENNIE M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the minutes of the February 1, 1978 meeting be approved as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the minutes of the January 26, 1978 special meeting be approved with the following correction: Delete the line "According to calculations by the Town of McKenney's Consultant, R. Sturt Royer and Associates, 451 new customers would be needed to retire the debt by 1990."

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund - checks numbering 78-186 thru 78-287 amounting to $46,697.20; County Construction Fund - checks numbering CCF-78-8 thru CCF-78-11 amounting to $20,408.10; History Book Fund - check HB-78-1 amounting to $1.17; Library Fund - check LF-78-3 amounting to $207.00; Land Use Plan Fund - check LUP-78-1 amounting to $1,988.67.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--REZONING APPLICATION--P-77-17
GEORGE E. LEWIS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 1, 1978 and Wednesday, February 8, 1978 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification of Parcel 35, Section 52 (37.33 acres); parcel 1-B10 Section 52B (20,000 square feet); and parcel 1-B11, Section 52B (20,000 square feet) from "Residential R-1" to "Agriculture A-2".

No one appeared in support or opposition to this re-zoning request.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of this rezoning request at their January 11, 1978 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of Section 52, Parcel 35 and Section 52B, Parcels 1-B10 and 1-B11 of the Zoning Map from Residential

R-1 to Agriculture, General, A-2. In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby re-ordained.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF LITTER CONTROL PROGRAM

Mr. Bob Ritz appeared before the Board to discuss the Virginia Litter Control Act and how the litter control grants made available through this act, have been used to implement litter programs in other communities.

A litter control grant in the amount of $3,322.00 is available to Dinwiddie County to use in implementing a litter control program. Mr. Ritz stated he is very interested in involving Hopewell, Petersburg, Colonial Heights, Prince George, and Dinwiddie in this effort and has left an application with our Planner if Dinwiddie is interested.

IN RE: VIRGINIA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SECTION 8 RESOLUTION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Department of Housing and Urban Development has a program entitled Section 8 which provides rent supplemental assistance to low income families residing in existing housing; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Housing Development Authority was created by the Virginia legislature to assist political jurisdictions in providing low and moderate income families with housing in suff-cient quantity and quality; and

WHEREAS, the Department of HUD recognized the VHDA as a public housing authority which may enter into a contract agreement with the Department of HUD as well as political jurisdictions within the Commonwealth of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the VHDA has encouraged Dinwiddie County to submit a request to VHDA to be considered a candidate for participation in the Section 8, rent supplement program; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors believes that participation in this program will be beneficial to the residents of Dinwiddie County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of the Board be directed to execute and sign those documents necessary in order for Dinwiddie County to become eligible for the Section 8 program as administered by the VHDA; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Department of Social Services be authorized to administer the Section 8 program for Dinwiddie County and enter into those agreements necessary to implement said program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Department of Social Services consult the Board of Supervisors on those matters relating to the hiring of an individual (s) to oversee this program.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", Mr. Emmett Seay was reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring February 5, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, voting "aye", Mr. James M. Thrower was reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring February 5, 1982.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF VIRGINIA PROGRAM

Wendy Weber, Administrative Assistant, appeared before the Board to discuss the 1978 Virginia Program, a summer work-study program for college students. Dinwiddie County participated last year by employing two students at the Senior High School. These students are referred by the College they attend and would be available to work May 2nd through September 9th, 1978.

The Board instructed Miss Weber to obtain requests for positions from those departments interested for their review and decision at the March 1, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING -- DINWIDDIE WATER AUTHORITY

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had not received a reply to the draft of the letter of understanding from the Water Authority; therefore, action would have to be deferred.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS -- VEHICLE POLICY

Dr. Richard Vaughn appeared before the Board to present a copy of the Vehicle Policy adopted by the School Board at their February 14, 1978 meeting. This action was in response to the Board's request that the School Board review the County vehicle policy.

Dr. Vaughn also presented a financial report of the status of School Board funds as of 2-1-78.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR A CHANGE IN THE DATE FOR TRAPPING SEASON

Mr. Ernest Cerny, Jr. appeared before the Board to represent the hunters in the area who are concerned over the threat to their valuable hunting dogs by traps due to trapping season running simultaneously with deer season. A petition containing 113 signatures was submitted for the record.

Mr. Cerny demonstrated the traps used by high land trappers which mangle and sometimes kill any animals caught in them. It was his request that the County support the hunters' effort to have the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries change the dates for trapping season to January 5th through February 28th so that it would not involve deer season and pose a threat to the hunting dogs.

The Chairman instructed the County Administrator to investigate the alternatives available to the County and hunters in remedying this situation and present them for the Board's consideration at the March 1, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR BUDGET FOR FIRE CHIEF'S ASSOCIATION

Mr. Bob Mengel, Chief, Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department appeared before the Board to represent the Fire Chief's Association. Mr. Mengel stated that the Association played a valuable role in coordinating activities among the five fire departments, and it was the Association's request that the Board provide a budget appropriation to them in the amount of $500.00 to cover postage, meals, travel and other expenses incurred in their Association duties.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY GENERATOR -- SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Mr. Bob Mengel, Chief, Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department, stated that during recent power failures, he had been contacted by several citizens concerned about the termination of emergency communications. As the dispatcher's office at the Sheriff's Department has no emergency power supply, communications are cut when there is a power failure.

The County Administrator stated that $15,000 had been appropriated in the 1977-78 budget for an emergency power system for the jail.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO SCHOOL OPERATING FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there remains a balance in the School Bond Construction Fund as of this date in the amount of $13,680.42, which sum represents unexpended interest received on the investment of School Bond proceeds; and

WHEREAS, the purposes of the School Bond Construction Fund have been accomplished; and

WHEREAS, this Board, pursuant to the Code of Virginia, has authority to direct that such interest be used for general county purposes.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Treasurer is hereby directed to transfer the sum of $13,680.42 from the School Bond Construction Fund to the School Operating Fund in support of appropriations granted by this Board.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:00 P. M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened at 9:35 P. M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:40 P. M.

A. S. Clay

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN BUILDING ON THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1977 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | W.E. MCELVEEN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| ABSENT: | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: PROPOSED POLICIES, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE LAND-USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with assistance from the Planning Commission, answered questions and received public comment concerning the proposed policies, goals, and objectives of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:30 P.M.

Lord A Hodnett

L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON FEBRUARY 27, 1978 AT 7:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P. M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 9:25 P. M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:25 P. M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE MCKENNEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ON THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1977 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | GRANVILLE MAITLAND, VICE-CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | W.E. MCELVEEN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| ABSENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: PROPOSED POLICIES, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE LAND-USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with assistance from the Planning Commission, answered questions and received public comment concerning the proposed policies, goals, and objectives of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:50 P.M.

L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF MARCH, 1978 AT 2:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | BENNIE M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the minutes of the February 15, 1978 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General fund checks - numbering 78-288 thru 78-362 amounting to $18,336.14; Dog Fund checks - numbering D-78-10 thru D-78-21 amounting to $1,249.47.

IN RE: REPORT OF OFFICE ACTIVITIES - COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W. E. Bolte appeared before the Board to review the activities of the Office of the Commissioner of Revenue for the calendar years 1976 and 1977. Mr. Bolte stated a Board of Assessors should be appointed after July, 1978 for the 1979 general reassessment.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F. E. Jones presented his report for the month of February, 1978.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of February, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G. T. Hughes presented his report for the month of February, 1978.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley presented her report for the month of January, 1978.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF 1978 VIRGINIA PROGRAM

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie is eligible to participate as a potential employer for college students desiring employment during the period May 2, 1978 to September 9, 1978; and

WHEREAS, these students will be referred to the County through the Virginia Program from colleges throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, these students will be from Dinwiddie County at a cost of 31% of the student's gross wages with Workmen's compensation provided by the Virginia Program; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has expressed a need for three (3) students from June 5, 1978 to September 8, 1978.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that application be made to the Virginia Program to have the County designated as a potential employer for college students, available June 5, 1978 through September 8, 1978.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS -- 1978 ROADVIEWERS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following reappointments were made to the 1978 Roadviewers.

T. O. Rainey, Jr.
Cabell Bishop
Joseph L. Brown
C. E. Thweatt
C. Y. Avery

IN RE: LETTER OF ENDORSEMENT -- CRATER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CLINIC

The County Administrator presented a letter from the Crater Child Development Clinic of the Department of Health asking for the County's endorsement of a grant application which would serve the Dinwiddie, Petersburg, Hopewell, Colonial Heights, Prince George and Sussex Areas. The grant would establish an Infancy and Early Childhood Intervention and Treatment Program for multi-handicapped, retarded and emotionally disturbed preschool children. The program would provide additional services for children ages 0 - 5 at no cost to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to draft a letter of endorsement from the County in support of the Crater Child Development Clinic's grant application to establish an Infancy and Early Childhood Intervention and Treatment Program for multi-handicapped, retarded and emotionally disturbed preschool children, which would serve Dinwiddie and surrounding areas.

IN RE: STAIRWAY CHANGE -- RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE

The County Administrator asked that the Board state their feelings on the proposal to change the stairway in the Courthouse returning the front entrance to its original form. Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, and Mr. Leftwich stated they did not want the change. The proposal was therefore dropped.

IN RE: BID FOR FIRE TRUCK -- CENTRAL STATE HOSPITAL

The County Administrator advised the Board that the Counties of Dinwiddie and Brunswick had been given an opportunity to bid on a surplus fire truck owned by Central State Hospital. The bid is due March 10, 1978 at 2:00 P. M.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County Virginia that the County Administrator secure the necessary assistance in preparing a bid proposal for the Board's review to be submitted to the Department of Purchases and Supply for the 1954 surplus fire truck located at Central State Hospital.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 2:45. The meeting reconvened at 3:00 P. M.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT -- MIDWAY PTO

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Midway Elementary PTO has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Midway PTO meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Bingo and Raffle Permit for Midway Elementary PTO be renewed for calendar year 1978; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the fee for this permit be $10.00.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C. B. Perry, Resident Engineer and B. C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Bennett requested that attention be given to the following roads:

Route 611 between Route 613 & 627
Route 721
Route 627 from Route 751 to Route 611
Route 751
Route 613 from Route 611 to Route 627

Mr. Hargrave stated that he had held further discussions with the property owners on Route 734. Mr. Perry advised him that it would be discussed at the next budget discussion on priorities in the 6-year plan.

Mr. Clay requested the Highway Department to review Route 692 for repairs.

IN RE: SPEED LIMIT STUDIES -- ROUTE 714 AND FLOYD AVENUE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye". the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia has been requested by the citizens along Floyd Avenue in Maitland Subdivision and Route 714 to have 35 MPH Speed Limit signs posted; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is concerned about excessive speeding in these residential areas and feel a reduced speed limit should be enforced.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be requested to conduct a study on Floyd Avenue in Maitland Subdivision and Route 714 to determine the need for posting 35 MPH speed limit signs.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay,

voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:25 P. M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE ROWANTY HUNT CLUB ON THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH, 1977 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | LOID A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT 2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| OTHERS: | GRANVILLE MAITLAND, VICE-CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | W.E. MCELVEEN | PLANNING COMMISSION |

IN RE: PROPOSED POLICIES, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE LAND-USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with assistance from the Planning Commission, answered questions and received public comment concerning the proposed policies, goals, and objectives of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:50 P.M.

Loid A. Hodnett
L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON MARCH 6, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: 1978-1979 BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board met to review the 1978-1979 proposed budget. It was decided that the Board will sit in session to hear the individual budgets of the Constitutional Officers and Department Heads, Wednesday, March 15, 1978 beginning at 8:00 A.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:55 P.M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY SENIOR HIGH ON THE 7TH DAY OF MARCH, 1977 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | GRANVILLE MAITLAND, VICE-CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | W.E. MCELVEEN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |

IN RE: PROPOSED POLICIES, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE LAND-USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with assistance from the Planning Commission, answered questions and received public comment concerning the proposed policies, goals, and objectives of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:20 P.M.

L.A. HODNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF MARCH, 1978 AT 8:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | CHARLES L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the minutes of the February 27, 1978 special meeting, the March 1, 1978 regular meeting, and the March 6, 1978 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks - numbering 78-363 thru 78-484 amounting to $23,972.30; Revenue Sharing check - number RS-78-1 amounting to $5,776.77; History Book check - number HB-78-2 amounting to $1.76; County Construction Fund - number CCF-78-12 thru CCF-78-15 amounting to $19,314.97.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO COUNTY CONSTRUCTION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $160,000 from the General Fund and $40,000 from the Revenue Sharing Fund making a total $200,000 be transferred to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1976-1977 AUDIT

Mr. Walter E. Cox, of Dan Robinson and Associates, appeared before the Board to present the 1976-1977 audit and answer any questions they might have.

He also presented 3 copies of the Statement of Cash Receipts and Disbursements for FY 76-77, one of which is to be published in the paper.

IN RE: CENTRAL ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

Mr. Walley Cox briefly commented on the use of central accounting systems. He stated that if a locality had a good recordkeeping system to begin with, the central accounting system was usually good; however, if a poor recordkeeping system existed to begin with, the use of a central accounting system was, in most cases poor. Also, to make a central accounting system beneficial to a locality, it requires the cooperation of the County Administrator, Superintendent of Schools and the Treasurer.

IN RE: USE OF EMERGENCY RADIO FREQUENCY BY PRIVATE VEHICLES

Mr. Earl Gwaltney appeared before the Board to request that he be allowed to use Dinwiddie County's emergency frequency on the radio in his private vehicle. Mr. Gwaltney stated that it had been very useful in answering rescue calls when a rescue unit could not be contacted.

The Board expressed a concern for the uncontrolled use of the emergency frequency in the County and advised Mr. Gwaltney that guidelines for the use of the frequency will be drawn up for consideration at the next meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", Mr. Earl Gwaltney was authorized to continue to use the emergency frequency on the radio already installed in his private vehicle until a set of guidelines are established. The Board advised Mr. Gwaltney not to purchase additional units until the guidelines are decided upon.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE PANELING AND JUDGE'S DESK - USE OF LOBLOLLY PINE

Mr. Leon Boisseau, Contractor of the renovation of the Courthouse, appeared before the Board to determine where wood from the big loblolly pine, to be given by the Ruritan Club, would be used in the renovation and what style of wains coating to install.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County Virginia that the pine from the big loblolly tree be used in the paneling and judge's desk on the lower floor with the understanding that the desk be constructed so it can be easily taken apart and moved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that uniform chair railing be used throughout the building.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE RENOVATION -- REPLACEMENT OF CARPET

Mr. Leon Boisseau, Contractor, presented the following proposals for the hall and entrance areas on the lower level of the Courthouse in place of carpeting:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Install brick on floor of hall area #1 | $1,680.00 |
| 2. | Install brick on floor of front section area #2 | 685.00 |
| 3. | Install brick on floor of hall area #4 | 485.00 |

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that heavy duty floor mats be placed at the front and rear entrances where tile or brick was suggested and carpet remain in the rest of the building.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE -- REPLACEMENT OF PIPE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Boisseau be authorized to upgrade and replace the copper piping in the Courthouse as he deems necessary at a cost of $660.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Boisseau be authorized to upgrade the existing wiring to accommodate the needs of the newly renovated building.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE -- UPGRADE RADIATORS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the radiators in the Courthouse be upgraded by installing bleed valves and a new filter for the boiler at a cost of $155.00.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE -- INSTALLATION OF URINAL IN MEN'S ROOM

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the Contractor is authorized to install a urinal and partition in the men's restroom on the first floor of the Courthouse at a cost of $277.00.

IN RE: GENERAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK -- REQUEST FOR BUILT-IN BOOKCASE

The Contractor advised the Board that he had been requested by the Clerk of the General District Court to install a bookcase in the Clerk's area of the Courthouse.

Mr. Boisseau secured a cost estimate of $517.00. Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board disapproved the installation of a book case stating that other alternatives should be explored to suit the Clerk's needs.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE -- TREATMENT FOR TERMITES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Contractor be authorized to treat all the flooring in the Courthouse for termites where possible.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:35 P. M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON MARCH 20, 1978 AT 7:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |

IN RE: BUDGET PRESENTATION -- COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

Since the Commonwealth's Attorney could not be present at the budget sessions held Wednesday, March 15, 1978 by the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Elder briefly reviewed the major changes in his 1978-1979 budget and answered any questions the Board had concerning his request.

IN RE: 1978-1979 BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board met to continue work on the 1978-1979 proposed budget.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:10 P. M.

A. S. Clay

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. Knott

W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

OTHERS: EDWARD B. TITMUS
OSCAR W. EPPS
HARRY L. CLAY, JR.
JULIA R. WATKINS
CHARLES C. ASHBY

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1978-1979 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

The Board of Supervisors met jointly with the School Board to review the 1978-1979 proposed school budget. Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent, presented the budget and answered any questions they might have concerning it.

IN RE: PAVING PARKING LOT--ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, SOCIAL SERVICES AND HEALTH BUILDINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the matter of paving the parking area around the Administration Building, Social Services Building and Health Building was removed from the table.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", further discussion of this matter was postponed until the April 5, 1978 Board meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:30 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON MARCH 28, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: 1978-1979 BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board met to review the 1978-1979 budget. Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, met with the Board to briefly review the ADM Composite Index formula and its effect on Dinwiddie County's school funds.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:40 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 29TH DAY OF MARCH, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: FMHA INDUSTRIAL GRANT APPLICATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County Virginia, authorized the submission of a pre-application for $150,000 with FmHA for the development of an Industrial Park on August 18, 1976; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors resolved at their May 18, 1977 meeting to file a final application with FmHA; and

WHEREAS, the FmHA in their letter dated March 21, 1978 requested additional forms to be filed (FmHA 442-46 and FmHA 440-1) in order to complete the processing of the grant application;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the Letter of Intent to Meet Conditions, Form FmHA 442-46, and the Form FmHA 440-1, Request for Obligation of Funds; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that the County Administrator be directed to have prepared and submit the necessary forms in order to meet the April 1, 1978 deadline.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:40 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board moved into Open Session at 9:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:15 P.M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 5TH DAY OF APRIL, 1978, AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 15, 1978 regular meeting, March 20, 1978 special meeting, March 23, 1978 special meeting, March 28, 1978 special meeting and the March 29, 1978 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-485 thru 78-557 amounting to $47,504.90; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-78-22 thru D-78-34 amounting to $1868.27; County Construction Fund check CCF-78-16 amounting to $18,484.83; Revenue Sharing Fund-check RS-78-2 amounting to $40,000; History Book Fund check HB-78-3 amounting to $2.93.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of March, 1978. He also presented a statement of Bank Balances for 3/31/78 showing their relationship to outstanding debts to be paid at the end of the month.

IN RE: RELOCATION OF DINWIDDIE VOTING PRECINCT '301'

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Commonwealth Attorney be authorized to prepare an ordinance for advertisement to move the location of the Dinwiddie voting precinct 301 from the Agriculture Building to the new Administration Building.

IN RE: RETURN OF LEAA GRANT--VCIN TERMINAL

The County Administrator advised the Board and Sheriff Mitchell that since the $2,375 grant received through LEAA for the installation of a VCIN terminal at the Sheriff's Department has not been used, it was being returned by check LEAA-78-1 dated April 5, 1978.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of March, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G.T. Hughes presented his report for the month of March, 1978.

IN RE: THOMAS REEVES--CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Thomas Reeves was awarded $54.00 for 27 chickens.

IN RE: COMPLETION OF OUTSIDE LIGHTING--RECREATION SITE

The Director of Planning reported that the contract with Tate & Hill for the installation of lights at the recreation site should be completed within the next two weeks and the additional outlets have been installed.

IN R DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley presented her report for the month of March, 1978.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. R.L. Vaughn presented a copy of a Self-Evaluation of Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School for the Board's review.

IN RE: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--C-78-1--ADAMS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 22, 1978 and Wednesday, March 29, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval the application of the Adams Construction Company for a conditional use permit to operate a stone and gravel quarry site on a portion of Section 95, Parcel 16, containing approximately 28 acres which is owned by Mrs. Grace Winn Winfield. Said parcel is located on the boundary line of Dinwiddie and Sussex Counties, southeast of the intersection of Routes 630 and 40.

Mr. Robert M. Lyon, Adams Construction Company and Mr. Ronald Beamer, Ararat Rock Products Company and Mr. S.T. Winfield appeared in support of this request. No one appeared in opposition.

The Director of Planning stated that Adams Construction Company has obtained all the necessary permits and their papers seem to be in order; therefore, he would recommend approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Conditional Use Permit for Adams Construction Company to operate a stone and gravel quarry site is hereby approved with the following conditions:

1. Compliance by Adams Construction Co. with the requirements set forth by the Virginia Dept. of Highways and Transportation.
2. Continued compliance by Adams Construction Company with all State and/or federal permits required to operate a quarry.
3. Dinwiddie County will be included in a joint inspection for Erosion and Sedimentation Plan implementation upon termination of quarrying operation.
4. The conditional use permit will be reviewed every two (2) years, and if conditions met, will be renewed.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL SHELVES--JUVENILE & DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT CLERK

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mrs. Lena Roberts, Clerk, J & DR Court, requested that shelves be installed under the windows used to serve the public in the newly renovated office of the Courthouse; and

WHEREAS, these shelves were not included in the original contract; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Leon Boisseau evaluated the cost of constructing the shelves and gave a cost estimate of $300 to $500 depending upon the size;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the shelves requested by the Clerk of the J & DR Court be constructed as needed within the $300 to $500 range.

IN RE: REPLACEMENT OF HOT WATER TANK

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Leon Boisseau advised the Board that the Courthouse is presently served by a hot water tank located in the old jail which is in very poor condition; and

WHEREAS, the BOCA Code requires hot water to be provided for all public buildings; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Boisseau stated that a new tank can be installed during the present renovation for $350.00;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Boisseau be authorized to install a new hot water tank in the basement of the Courthouse.

IN RE: CENTRAL AIR--JUVENILE & DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT AND RELATED CLERK'S OFFICES

Mr. Leon Boisseau stated that Judge Campbell had expressed a need for an improved air conditioning system in the J & DR Courtroom and related Clerk's office in the Courthouse. As it now stands, a number of window units are used which causes cold air to enter in these windows during the winter season. The Board agreed to postpone discussion at this time.

IN RE: PAVING PARKING LOT--ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, SOCIAL SERVICES AND HEALTH BUILDINGS

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the entire parking area and entrance and exit arrangement to the three buildings should be reviewed before paving was considered. He also stated calcium chloride treatment would help the dust problem now existing. The Board concurred with Mr. Hargrave's suggestion, and no action was taken.

IN RE: USE OF 39.88 EMERGENCY FREQUENCY BY PRIVATE VEHICLES

The Board of Supervisors has been requested to allow members of the Rescue Squad and Fire Departments to place radios with the frequency of 39.88 in their private vehicles. Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye, the following guidelines were adopted:

The Dinwiddie County Rescue Squad shall be allowed three (3) radios on frequency 39.88 to be placed in the private vehicles of members of the Rescue Squad. The Volunteer Fire Departments shall not be allowed radios on frequency 39.88 to be placed in the private vehicles of members of the volunteer fire departments.

1. The rescue squad shall be responsible for selecting those individuals that are allowed these radios.

The users of these radios are subject to the following rules:

1. The radios are to be used only in connection with the activities of the volunteer unit of which the individual is a member.

2. The radios and the 39.88 crystals for the radios must be purchased by the owner of the vehicle in which the radio is to be placed.

3. The owner of the vehicle assumes all cost for the maintenance of said radio.

4. The owner of the vehicle shall have in May of each year his radio certified and a copy of that certification delivered to the Office of the County Administrator. If this is not accomplished, then the County shall direct the volunteer organization to remove said crystals from the individual's radio and deliver to the Office of the County Administrator.

5. Any substantiated abuses of the use of the radio shall force the County to direct the volunteer organization to remove the crystals from the individual's radio and deliver to the Office of the County Administrator.

6. If the individual ever leaves the volunteer organization he shall immediately have the crystals removed from the radio and delivered to the Office of the County Administrator.

7. The Board of Supervisors in granting permission to the volunteer units to allow members of their organizations to place radios in their vehicles, reserves the right to direct at any time the volunteer units to deliver to the County Administrator any number or all 39.88 crystals.

The intent of this policy is to assist the local volunteer organizations in accomplishing their goals of better serving the citizens of Dinwiddie County. If at any time, the Board of Supervisors determines the radios placed in the individuals' automobiles are not attaining the desired results, they will immediately remove the 39.88 crystals from the radios.

IN RE: VIRGINIA LITTER CONTROL GRANT APPLICATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of grants for the purpose of promoting enforcement of anti-litter statutes and ordinances and for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses and supports such a program for the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby authorizes the Department of Sanitation to plan and budget for a coordinated anti-litter program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes the County Administrator and/or the Director of Planning to apply for the grant, and to be responsible for the administration, implementation, and completion of the program as it is described in the attached application form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby requests the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve the application and program, said program being in accord with Regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, were present to answer any questions the Board might have. The following items were discussed:

1. Review of Six-Year Plan - The Board set the date of April 19, 1978 at 7:00 P.M. to meet to review the budget for the Six Year Plan for Improvements to the Secondary Road System in Dinwiddie County.

2. Route 721 - Petition for Tar and Gravel - Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. presented a petition from residents along Route 721 requesting the VDH&T to tar and gravel the road. Mr. Beverly Fisher spoke in behalf of the residents stating that new homes had been built since the last road count and the road was heavily travelled.

3. Route 715 - Mr. A.S. Clay stated that the road count on Route 715 has increased to 70 and a petition was being prepared to request tar and gravel.

4. Route 1306 - West Petersburg area - Mr. John Crawley asked the Board to consider their request for extension of Route 1306, approximately .1 mile, which presently serves residents at the end of that road. The Resident Engineer stated that the extension would have to be considered as a rural addition and should be viewed by the Roadviewers.

5. Browder Road Extension - Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. stated that the Browder Road was presently under construction and he would like to see it extended to serve that area cut off by I-85.

   The County Administrator was instructed to take the necessary action to have the paperwork required ready for action by the Board at the April 19, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--USE OF 1978-1979 REVENUE SHARING MONIES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 8, 1978; Wednesday, March 15, 1978; Wednesday March 22, 1978 and Wednesday, March 29, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive comments from the citizens of Dinwiddie County, on the uses of $450,664.00 Revenue Sharing money in the County's 1978-1979 budget. No comments were received from the public.

IN RE: LANDSCAPING--HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING

The County Administrator presented proposals from Watkin's Nurseries for landscaping the area around the Health and Social Services Buildings. The Board postponed discussion of this item until the Fall or until the parking arrangement and flow of traffic in the complex area was re-evaluated.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF DUCT WORK AND HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS--SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING

The County Administrator presented a bid from Cavalier Trane Air Conditioning to replace the ductwork and install a heat pump in the Social Services Building.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to obtain additional bids on this work for the Board's review. He was also instructed to obtain figures that would provide a comparison of the use of the present oil system with the installation of a heat pump.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE--CPDC

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye, Mr. W.C. Knott was appointed to the Transportation Policy Committee, term coincident with his term on the Executive Committee of the CPDC.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--VFW POST 1490

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, VFW Post 1490 has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, the VFW Post 1490 meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Bingo and Raffle Permit for VFW Post 1490 is hereby granted for calendar year 1978; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the fee for this permit be $10.00.

IN RE: RELOCATION OF DUMPSTERS--INTERSECTION OF RT. 607 & 604

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. advised the Board that he had received several complaints about the accummulation of trash at the dumpster site at the Intersection of Rt. 607 & 604. He felt much of the problem was due to the location of the containers so close to the County line and the fact that the road served as a thoroughfare for residents outside the County. Mr. Hargrave stated that if the cans were relocated, it might alleviate some of the unwanted trash being deposited there; and instructed the County Administrator to make the Director of Sanitation aware of the problem.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR SUPPORT--CITIZEN PROBLEMS WITH CENTRAL STATE HOSPITAL

Mrs. Susan Simmons, a resident of the Northern end of the County near Central State Hospital, appeared before the Board to request their assistance in obtaining action by the State Administration concerning the problems encountered with occupants of Central State Hospital and the Southside Training School, who are allowed to wander off the premises. Mrs. Simmons presented several newspaper articles involving County residents who had encountered the hospital patients, and have found no recourse through other channels.

After a discussion, the Board instructed the County Administrator to investigate avenues of relief that might be available to these residents.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:15 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:50 P.M.

IN RE: RESCHEDULING OF SUMMER MEETINGS--JUNE THROUGH SEPTEMBER

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the evening Board meetings scheduled for June 21, 1978; July 19, 1978; August 16, 1978; and September 20, 1978 be canceled; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the time of the meetings scheduled for June 7, 1978; July 5, 1978; August 2, 1978; and September 6, 1978 be changed from 2:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:07 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE COURTHOUSE, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 14TH DAY OF APRIL, 1978 AT 10:00 A.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session to discuss acquisition of land at 10:00 A.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:00 A.M.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION OF AGENT--PURCHASE OF LAND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. F.E. Jones was authorized to act as agent for Dinwiddie County to bid on land parcels to be offered for public auction, Saturday, April 15, 1978, by Ownby Realty.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF LAND PARCELS TO BE BID UPON FOR COUNTY PURCHASE

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the land parcels to be bid upon at public auction, Saturday, April 15, 1978 were ranked as follows:

First Choice - Block B - Not to exceed $30,500
Second Choice - Tract D&E - Not to exceed $20,000
Third Choice - Tract D only - Not to exceed $11,500
Fourth Choice - Tract C - 64 Acres - Not to exceed $39,000.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:15 A.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: L.G. ELDER

*NOTE:

At the consent of the Board of Supervisors, this meeting was held without the knowledge of the County Administrator. This was due to the fact that the land involved was owned by the County Administrator. Therefore, he was not present at the meeting nor had any knowledge that the meeting was being held.

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF APRIL, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: 1978-1979 BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board of Supervisors met to review the 1978-1979 proposed budget.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:15 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF APRIL, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to discuss a public hearing date for review of the 1978-1979 secondary road priorities in the six-year plan.

The Board authorized Mr. Perry to advertise a public hearing for Wednesday, May 17, 1978 at 7:00 P.M.

Mr. Perry also distributed Notice of a Willingness to Hold a Public Hearing for a proposed project on Route 40 in Dinwiddie County from the Dinwiddie-Nottoway County Line to 2.33 miles east of the Dinwiddie-Nottoway County Line.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The Board adjourned at 7:15 P.M. until the regular meeting to be held at 8:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF APRIL, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the April 5, 1978 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-558 through 78-694 amounting to $71,969.32; Revenue Sharing Fund checks-RS-78-2A through RS-78-5 amounting to $14,334.80; County Construction Fund check CCF-78-16 amounting to $8,007.20; Library Fund Check LF-78-4 amounting to $129.28.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-1 - ROBERT L. BOWMAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 5, 1978 and Wednesday, April 12, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to hold a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County, to change the district classification of a portion of Parcel 109A, Section 21, containing approximately 22 acres of a total of 25.7 acres from "Business B-2" to "Residential R-2". The parcel of land is located north of Lewis Road and Kitchen's Restaurant on the West side of U.S. #1.

The rezoning application was withdrawn by Robert L. Bowman on April 11, 1978. The Board of Supervisors accepted the withdrawal and no action was taken. Any future consideration for rezoning is subject to the regular review procedure established by ordinance.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-2 - ROBERT L. BOWMAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 5, 1978 and Wednesday, April 12, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to hold a public hearing to consider for adoption an Ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification of Parcels (1)-9, (1)-10 and (1)-11, Section 21C, containing approximately 1/2 acre each from Business B-1 to Residential R-2. The parcels of land are located on the West side of Rt. 670 near the intersection of Rt. 670 and U.S. Rt. 1.

The rezoning application P-78-2 was withdrawn on April 19, 1978 by Brenda B. Gholson with the consent of Robert L. Bowman, Mrs. Gholson having a part interest in the property. The withdrawal was accepted and no action was taken by the Board. Any future consideration for rezoning is subject to the regular review procedure established by ordinance.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - 1978-1979 BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Tuesday, April 4, 1978; Wednesday, April 5, 1978; and Wednesday, April 12, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County

Virginia to conduct a public hearing on the 1978-1979 Dinwiddie County budget.

The County Administrator presented the budget highlighting the significant changes. Questions were received and answered from the floor.

Several comments were directed toward the construction of a school bus garage. Mr. Clay and Mr. Bennett stated they could cut $100,000 out of capital outlay.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following item be cut $50,000 in the 1978-1979 budget:

School Bus Garage $150,000; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following budget is hereby approved:

ANTICIPATED INCOME

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 10 | FROM LOCAL SOURCES | $3,458,650 |
| 60 | FROM STATE SOURCES | 850,098 |
| 68 | FROM REVENUE SHARING | 450,664 |
| 110 | FROM SURPLUS | 159,636 |
| | TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME | $4,919,048 |

ESTIMATED EXPENSES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | County Administration | 103,038 |
| 2 | Assessment of Taxable Property | 118,205 |
| 3 | Collection and Disbursement of Taxes and Other Receipts | 48,774 |
| 4 | Recording Documents | 10,310 |
| 5 | Administration of Justice | 47,650 |
| 6 | Crime Prevention and Detection | 378,445 |
| 7 | Fire Prevention and Extinction | 35,234 |
| 8 | Public Welfare | 7,800 |
| 9 | Public Health | 35,655 |
| 10 | Public Works | 250,788 |
| 11 | Advancement of Agriculture and Home Economics | 29,150 |
| 12 | Protection of Livestock and Fowls | 16,756 |
| 13 | Elections | 21,430 |
| 14 | Maintenance of Buildings and Grounds | 80,620 |
| 15 | Highway Road and Street Lighting | 5,500 |
| 16 | Road Administration | 175 |
| 18 | Miscellaneous Operating Functions | 224,838 |
| 19 | Capital Outlay | 443,000 |
| | TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES | $1,857,368 |
| 20 | TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS | |
| | 1101 Virginia Public Assistance Fund From General Fund | 499,830 |
| | 1101 School Fund From General Fund | 2,111,186 |
| | 1101 School Fund From Revenue Sharing Fund | 450,664 |
| | TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSE AND TRANSFERS | $4,919,048 |

IN RE: TAX RATE - 1978

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Tuesday, April 4, 1978; Wednesday, April 5, 1978; and Wednesday, April 12, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1978 tax rate.

Several citizens spoke in opposition to the proposed tax rate of $4.50 up from $4.00 for the year, 1977.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1978, a tax of $4.40 per One Hundred Dollars of assessed valuation on all taxable real estate located in this County, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year, 1978 a tax of $4.40 per One Hundred Dollars of assessed valuation of all taxable machinery and tools located in this County on January 1, 1978, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1978 a tax of $4.40 per One Hundred Dollars of valuation of all taxable tangible personel property located in this County on January 1, 1978. The respective levies hereby ordered being also applicable to the mineral lands in the County and to the real estate and tangible personal property of public service corporations based upon the assessment thereof fixed by the State Corporation and duly certified.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 11:00 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 11:15 P.M.

IN RE: RURAL DEVELOPMENT GRANT--APPROVAL OF PREAPPLICATION

Mr. Charles Turner, Executive Director, Crater Planning District Commission, appeared before the Board to discuss the Rural Development Grant Program and ask for their concurrence in submitting a preapplication for the CPDC. This grant from the Department of Agriculture would provide funds for a study of rural counties and their towns to identify and break down the barriers inhibiting growth and employment opportunities. The grant in the amount of $40,000 would be of no cost to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses the preapplication for $40,000 to the Department of Agriculture for a rural development study by the CPDC.

IN RE: "701" PLANNING ASSISTANCE GRANT

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to request their approval for submission of a preapplication for a '701' Planning Assistance Grant. This grant in the amount of $4,500 would provide funds for revision of the County Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances to implement the recently developed Comprehensive Land Use and Housing Plan. The local share for the grant would be $15,500.00 consisting of in-kind monies.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Director of Planning is authorized to submit preapplication for 1978 '701' Planning Assistance funding in the amount of $4,500.00 to the Department of Housing and Urban Development; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County that the County Administrator be authorized to sign the preapplication and necessary paper work to accompany it.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR FLASHING SCHOOL ZONE LIGHTS - ROUTE 703

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has reviewed the safety conditions on Route 703 at the Dinwiddie Elementary School concerning children crossing the road to classroom facilities; and

WHEREAS, justification has been made for installation of two (2) flashing warning lights at this location; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has authorized the purchase of the necessary equipment for this project; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has requested that the Board of Supervisors take the necessary steps to have these two warning lights installed on Route 703 at the School Board's expense;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways is hereby requested to authorize the installation of two (2) flashing school lights on state right of way on Rt. 703 at the Dinwiddie Elementary School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the proper permits be filed with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation by the Dinwiddie School Board to install these lights.

IN RE: RESCHEDULING OF SUMMER MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors at their April 5, 1978 meeting concerning the rescheduling of summer meetings, be rescinded; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the day Board meetings scheduled for June 7, 1978; July 5, 1978; August 2, 1978; and September 6, 1978 are hereby cancelled.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF BID--DRAPERIES FOR SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING

The County Administrator presented the following bids for draperies for the Social Services Building:

| | |
|---|---|
| Decorite | $1836.65 |
| Mill End Shop | 1250.00 |

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the bid for draperies in the Social Services Building be awarded to Mill-End Shop in the amount of $1250.00.

IN RE: PERMIT BOND - VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, it becomes necessary from time to time for the County of Dinwiddie to obtain permits from the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for work across, over, and upon the highway system of Virginia's right of way; and

WHEREAS, expense, damage or injury may be sustained by the Commonwealth of Virginia growing out of the granting to said County by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation of said permits for the works aforesaid;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie this 19th day of April, 1978 that the County of Dinwiddie will indemnify and save harmless the Commonwealth of Virginia from any expense, damage or injury which she may sustain growing out of the granting to said County by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation of said permits for such works on State's right of way.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:40 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 3RD DAY OF MAY, 1978 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the April 14, 1978 special meeting; April 18, 1978 special meeting; April 19, 1978 special meeting; and the April 19, 1978 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF LAND PURCHASED AT PUBLIC AUCTION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors at their April 14, 1978 special meeting authorized Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, to act as agent for Dinwiddie County to bid on land parcels offered at public auction, Saturday, April 15, 1978; and

WHEREAS, these land parcels were ranked by the Board according to their preference to be bid upon and monetary limits were set for each parcel; and

WHEREAS, Mr. F.E. Jones, Agent for the County, purchased Tract C consisting of 64 acres for $39,000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the April 14, 1978 special minutes be amended to show: Fourth Choice - Tract C - 64 acres - Not to exceed $39,000; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Tract C, containing 64 acres on Route 627, 3 ½ miles North of U.S. #1 South at Dinwiddie Court House, at a cost of $39,000 be accepted by the County contingent upon a title clearance report by an attorney appointed by the Circuit Court Judge; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia tht check #RS-78-5 in the amount of $3,900 be approved as a down payment for the purchase of the above described land; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that check #RS-78-7 in the amount of $35,100, the balance payment for the land be approved contingent upon the same.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks - numbering 78-695 through 78-777 amounting to $48,013.23; Dog Fund checks numbering D-78-35 through D-78-47 amounting to $1,568.47; Revenue Sharing checks RS-78-6 and RS-78-7 amounting to $62,379.00.

IN RE: STAGGERING OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' TERMS OF OFFICE

Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. asked the Commonwealth Attorney

to look into what steps should be taken to allow the staggering of terms for election of the Board of Supervisors. The Commonwealth Attorney stated that a resolution is needed by the Board of Supervisors to this effect which would be prepared for consideration by the Board at the May 17, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of April, 1978.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of April, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G.T. Hughes presented his report for the month of April, 1978.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley presented her report for the month of April, 1978.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-78-2--CHANGE IN VOTING PRECINCT 301

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 19, 1978 and Wednesday, April 26, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change precinct number 301, Dinwiddie from the Dinwiddie Agriculture Building to the Dinwiddie Government Center.

No one appeared in supoort or in opposition to this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended, by the deletion and addition of the following words to section 7A-3:

Chapter 7A - Elections

Sec. 7A-3 <u>Precincts--Names, number and polling Places.</u>

ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 3

Number 301, Dinwiddie Dinwiddie County Agricultural Building (This should be deleted and the following added in its place.) Dinwiddie County Government Center.

IN RE: MCKENNEY FIRE CONTRACT--CHANGE IN APPROPRIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstained, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the present contract for fire service with the Town of McKenney provides for a $1000 minimum payment and $100 for each call over ten calls during a calendar year; and

WHEREAS, by resolution passed April 13, 1978 the Council of the Town of McKenney requests that the minimum contract payment be raised to $2,000 with $200 per call over ten within a calendar year;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the minimum contract fee be raised to $1500 with $150 per call for every call over ten within a calendar year effective January 1, 1978.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SELL MULTILITH PRINTING MACHINE

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Multilith Printing Machine located in the Circuit Court Clerk's office is no longer operable; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Circuit Court Clerk to have the machine removed from the Clerk's office; and

WHEREAS, the Asbury Methodist Church has offered the County $50.00 for the machine and no other party has expressed an interest; and

WHEREAS, the Clerk of the Circuit Court and the County Administrator feel this is adequate compensation for the machine and recommend its sale;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the offer of $50.00 by Asbury Methodist Church, McKenney, Virginia for the Multilith Printing Machine located in the Clerk's Office be accepted.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CHAPTER 10 BOARD

The County Administrator advised the Board that Mr. George Robertson has resigned from the Chapter 10 Board, effective May 3, 1978; therefore, a replacement is needed to fill his unexpired term ending 12/31/78.

Mr. Loid A. Hodnett nominated Mrs. Gypsy Atkinson. Mr. Leftwich seconded the nomination.

Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. nominated Mrs. Donna Elder. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination. Mr. Loid A. Hodnett moved that the nominations be closed. All members voted aye.

Mr. Hodnett and Mr. Leftwich voted "aye" for Mrs. Gypsy Atkinson. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "aye" for Mrs. Donna Elder. Mrs. Donna Elder was appointed to the Chapter 10 Board to fill the unexpired term of George E. Robertson, term expiring 12/31/78.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--DONALD ANDREWS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Donald Andrews was awarded $50.00 for two large goats.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--CHESTER SEDIVEY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Chester Sedivey was awarded $52.25 for 19 laying chickens.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--MARY WYATT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Mary Wyatt was awarded $86.50 for 30 laying chickens.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING - AWARD OF BID FOR HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEM

The County Administrator presented the following bids for repair of the heating and cooling system in the Social Services Building:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Canada Sheet Metal: | New A.C. unit-New duct work; | $3650 |
| | New Heating - Baseboard | $5388 |
| | TOTAL | $14038 |
| Cavalier Trane | New combined A.C. & Heating Units - New Duct Work | $3800 |
| Cavalier Trane | Old A.C. & Heating Units - New Duct Work | $4000 |

The County Administrator recommended the bid of Cavalier Trane to use the existing air conditioning and heating units and install new supply ductwork in the amount of $4,000.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the bid to upgrade the heating and cooling system in the Social Services building was awarded to Cavalier Trane in the amount of $4,000 which will include using the existing air conditioning and heating units and installing new supply ductwork.

IN RE: HEALTH BUILDING--DISCUSSION OF RENOVATION

The County Administrator presented two proposals for work to be done on the Health Building for the Board's review and consideration.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the County Administrator to obtain more information on the work to be done for their consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Discussion of Browder Road Extension - Mr. Hargrave stated his concern for having to re-establish a priority on the extension of the Browder Road not included in the present construction work. This extension was not included due to a problem in obtaining the needed right-of-ways. Mr. Hargrave felt this road should not have to be placed back in the priority listing as it had been top priority at one time and was a problem created by the State Highway System in the construction of I-85.

The Commonwealth Attorney presented a deed, properly signed and executed, giving the needed right-of-ways. This portion was already surveyed, and he stated all the paperwork was complete for the road to be considered as a rural addition.

Mr. Bennett and Mr. Clay felt that they could not consider this road ahead of priorities already established since other citizens felt their needs were just as important.

The Resident Engineer stated that he would research the original I-85 plans to see what relief might be available for the citizens in that area.

2. Petition on Rt. 715 - Mr. A.S. Clay presented a petition containing 43 names from citizens along Rt. 715 requesting that the road be treated for hard surfacing by the Highway Department.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL WOOD FROM LOBLOLLY PINE -- J & DR COURTROOM DESK

The County Administrator advised Mr. Bennett and Mr. Clay that the contractor needed additional wood for the desk to be constructed for the J & DR Court Room. Due to a change in plans, two small tables are going to be constructed and additional wood is needed for the legs. Mr. Bennett instructed the contractor to contact Mr. W.D. Allen, Jr. concerning the wood.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:40 P.M. to discuss land

acquisition and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:40 P.M.

IN RE: MEETING WITH THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS, TREASURER, AND COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

The Board of Supervisors invited the Superintendent of Schools and his staff, Commissioner of Revenue and his staff, and the Treasurer and his staff to meet with them at the conclusion of its agenda for the May 3, 1978 meeting. This meeting was to discuss matters of mutual concern such as: 1. Communication problems between the above mentioned department heads and the County Administrator; 2. How the Board of Supervisors might be of help to these department heads in the carrying out of their duties.

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools and Else B. Knott, Clerk of the School Board, came to discuss with the Board the critical need for computer help. In addition, the Superintendent briefly touched upon the school bus garage, evaluation of school buildings, and a microfiche unit.

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, sat in on the the discussion between the Superintendent of Schools and the Board but stated he did not have any matter to bring up. None of the Treasurer's staff nor the Commissioner of Revenue and his staff appeared.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:25 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF MAY, 1978 AT 8:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | CHARLES L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the minutes of the May 3, 1978 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks - numbering 78-778 thru 78-893 amounting to $24,162.62; Land Use Plan check - LUP-78-2 amounting to $6,445.89; History Book Fund check HB-78-4 amounting to $1.76; County Construction Fund - checks numbering CCF-78-18 thru CCF-78-19 amounting to $14,260.38; Dog Fund checks - numbering D-78-48 thru D-78-58 amounting to $549.98.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- VDH&T 1978-79 SECONDARY ROAD PRIORITIES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Tuesday, May 2, 1978 and Tuesday, May 9, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing jointly with the Department of Highways and Transportation to receive comments from interested citizens concerning the 1978-1979 construction priority list for the secondary system in Dinwiddie County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 33.1-70.01 of the Code of Virginia, (1950, as amended) the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie jointly held a public hearing with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the public hearing was to receive comments from interested citizens concerning the 1978-1979 construction priority list for the secondary system in Dinwiddie County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie this 17th day of May, 1978, that it has officially adopted the 1978-1979 construction priority list for the secondary system as set forth in the Six-Year Plan which was adopted on the 21st day of December, 1977.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C. B. Perry, Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Bennett questioned the status of the rural additions established for the 1977-1978 fiscal year. They were the Browder Road, Mitchell Road, and the Bobbitt Road. Mr. Perry stated that the Browder Road should be completed this year. Approximately 3/4 of the Mitchell Road should be completed but additional monies from the 1978-1979 FY would be needed to complete the work. The Bobbitt Road would probably require all 1978-79 monies.

Mr. Bennett also asked what would be done with the

unfinished portion of the Watkins Road. Mr. Perry stated that he would research what action had been taken on the Watkins Road and advise Mr. Bennett of his findings.

Mr. Bennett stated he would like to have the road through Berryfield Subdivision added to the list for the 1979 Roadviewers.

IN RE: WINGATE APPRAISAL SERVICE

Mr. Harold C. Wingate, ASA, SRA, Wingate Appraisal Service of Roanoke, Virginia, appeared before the Board to present a proposal for the 1979 general reassessment work in Dinwiddie County. He stated his firm would begin work September 1, 1978 and end December 1979 for a total cost of $110,000. An additional mapping service would be offered for $11,250.00 as an addendum to the appraisal or $15,250.00 under separate contract. Mr. Wingate advised the Board that he would need their decision by June 22, 1978 in order to begin work by September 1.

IN RE: PROJECT TO ELIMINATE JOHNSON GRASS

Mr. George Board, Extension Agent, appeared before the Board to review a grant available to the County to establish a project to eradicate Johnson Grass. The funds would be made available by the Department of Agriculture on a 50/50 match basis. Costs would involve a supervisor hired by the County to coordinate the project and equipment and supplies needed. He also stated an ordinance should be adopted by the County, if it were selected for the project, to enforce actions to eradicate Johnson Grass county-wide. The County would be under no obligation for submitting its name for consideration for the project by the Department of Agriculture.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that application be made to the Department of Agriculture for consideration for funding to establish a project in Dinwiddie County to eradicate Johnson Grass; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that by submitting this application, the County is under no obligation to accept funding if it should be selected and does not desire to do so.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-3 - ANNA S. HARRISON AND WILLIAM GOODWIN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday May 3rd and Wednesday, May 10, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, by amending the zoning map to change the district classification of Parcel 19-H, Section 35, containing 17.66 acres and a portion of Parcel 19, Section 35, containing approximately 60.75 acres from "Residential R-1" to "Agriculture A-2".

Mr. William Goodwin and Mrs. Anna Harrison appeared in support of their rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The April 12, 1978 Planning Commission minutes recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of parcel 19H, Section 35 containing 17.66 acres and a portion of parcel 19, Section 35, containing approximately 60.75 acres from "Residential R-1" to "Agricultural A-2". Said parcels are bounded as follows:

1. Parcel 19H, Section 35 is bounded on the northwest and south-

west by Virginia Hills Subdivision; on the southeast by Petersburg Baptist Association and Anna Harrison; and on the northeast by Anna Harrison.

2. Parcel 19, Section 35 is bounded on the northwest by R. K. Wilson; on the southwest by Virginia Hills Subdivision, William Goodwin and Petersburg Baptist Association; southeast by Ronald Harrison and a line 445 feet from, and parallel to, Route 613 (lands of which are owned by Anna Harrison); and on the northeast by Thomas H. Brown.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-4 - JOHN R. HAWKS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 3, 1978 and Wednesday, May 10, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County to hold a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, by amending the Zoning Map to change the district classification of a portion of Parcel 78C, Section 20, containing approximately 1.78 acres from "Agricultural A-2" to "Business B-2".

Mr. Hawks appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The April 12, 1978 Planning Commission minutes recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of a portion of Parcel 78C, Section 20, containing approximately 1.78 acres from "Agricultural A-2" to "Business B-2".

Said land is bounded to the northeast by the lands of Robert E. Newton, to the east by Jack T. Branch, Sr., to the south by Route 460 and to the west by Robert E. Marek. A proposed plat of the property is on file with the application.

In all other respects the Zoning Ordinance of Dinwiddie County is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-5 - L. EUGENE RIGGS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 3, 1978 and Wednesday, May 10, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to hold a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, by amending the Zoning Map to change the district classification of Parcel #1, Section 19A(1) from "Agricultural A-2" to Residential R-1".

Mr. Riggs appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The April 12, 1978 Planning Commission minutes recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie, County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1,

1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of Parcel No. 1, Section 19A(1) of the Zoning Map from "Agricultural A-2" to "Residential R-1".

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: 1978 ROADVIEWER'S REPORT

The following Roadviewer's report was filed on May 11, 1978:

Petition for Roanoke Street Extension

The Board of Viewers viewed a road in the West Petersburg Subdivision which is a continuation of Roanoke Street, North. This street begins at the Intersection of Route 1302 (Dinwiddie Avenue) thence running in a northernly direction to Dead End, a total length of 0.10 mile.

The Board of Viewers recommends that this street be taken into the Secondary System as a rural addition provided that it meets the Highway Commission Rural Addition Policy dated March 19, 1964. The Board also recommends that the property owners involved must re-establish the forty (40) foot right of way on record. The existing travelway is approximately sixteen (16) feet in width and serves five (5) houses which have entrance pipe in the driveway. Also the rights of way involved on the extension section of Roanoke Street must be verified and proof established.

The Board of Viewers voted unanimously for the addition and recommended it be taken in when funds are available. It was also an unanimous vote of the viewers that this addition be Number One (1) priority of the roads viewed today. Estimated construction costs $6,000.00.

Petition for Walker Road & Others

The following road was viewed by the Board of Viewers on April 14, 1963 and due to Right of Way problems was never built.

The Dinwiddie Board of Supervisors at their meeting on February 1, 1978 requested that the Board of Viewers review the requested road since certain changes were involved. Therefore, the road review begins on Route 601 0.98 miles east of Route 743 running in a southernly direction approximately 0.50 miles, thence in a easternly direction 0.22 miles to Dead End making a total length of approximately 0.72 miles. The first 0.50 miles has been partially constructed by the property owners and the remaining 0.22 miles is an approximate ten (10) foot travelway.

The Board of Viewers unanimously recommends that this road be taken into the Secondary System with the provisions that property owners provide a fifty (50) foot Right of Way with proper survey, and that it be built when funds are available.

The Board of Viewers unanimously voted that this addition be given priority Number Two (2) of the petitions viewed today. Serves six (6) houses. Estimated construction costs $20,000.

Petition of Dinwiddie Board of Supervisors (Browder Road Extension)

The Board of Viewers viewed a road beginning at the Dead End of Route 758 known as Browder Road (Jones Road on Plat) and running in a northernly direction, thence in a westernly direction, thence in a southernly direction to Dead End, a total length of 0.54 miles. There is at present only wheel tracks as evidence of a road, and they are not within the survey line as shown on the plan as prepared by W. G. Chappel C.L.S., dated February 1974 and described as Jones Road on the Plan Sheet.

As far as can be determined there are no utilities involved. This road would only serve two (2) houses and one (1) of those is not occupied at this time.

The Board of Viewers after viewing the proposed road voted unanimously to reject this addition due to the fact that Route 758 now serves next to the last house occupied. Estimated construction

cost $24,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the Roadviewers report was accepted as presented.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF RENOVATION OF HEALTH BUILDING

Further discussion was held concerning renovation of the Health Building. Action by the Board was postponed pending further information to be provided by the County Administrator.

IN RE: STAGGERED ELECTION TERMS -- BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Commonwealth's Attorney advised the Board that a resolution adopted by the Board was the only criteria needed to stagger their terms of office. The Electoral Board would determine by lot those individuals/districts to serve two year terms.

The Commonwealth's Attorney advised the Board to hold a public hearing to receive citizen input before making a decision even though it was not required by law.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF FINAL APPLICATION -- 1978-79 HIGHWAY SAFETY FUNDING

The Secretary to the Highway Safety Commission presented to the Board the final application for 1978-79 Highway Safety Funding for their review and approval.

The application in the amount of $10,450.00 consists of the following breakdown:

1. Pupil Transportation - Driver Training Equipment
   Local - $4,000.00 Federal - $4,000.00

2. Pedestrian Safety - Two (2) Warning Lights & Installation
   Local - $500.00 Federal - $500.00

3. Police Traffic Services - Ten Magnetic Light Beacons and Ten Flashlights
   Local - $400.00 Federal - $400.00

4. Emergency Medical Services - Portable Communication Unit
   Local - $325.00 Federal - $325.00

TOTAL LOCAL - $5225.00 FEDERAL - $5225.00

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following items be included in the 1978-79 final application for Highway Safety Funding in the amount of $10,450.00 ($5,225.00 Federal and $5225.00 Local Match): Driver training equipment for the Pupil Transportation Department, two school zone warning lights for the School Board; magnetic light beacons and flashlights for the Sheriff's Department and one portable communication unit for the Rescue Squad; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign said application and all necessary information attached as the authorized official of the County.

IN RE: AUDIT OF COUNTY RECORDS FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the firm of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates has offered to audit the accounts and records of Dinwiddie County including those of the Board of Supervisors, School Board and the Welfare Board for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978 at per diem rates of $85.00 and $170.00 for staff accountants and partners respectively, plus out-of-pocket expenses; and

WHEREAS, Daniel A. Robinson and Associates has been auditing the records of the County for several years and has rendered to the County outstanding service.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the firm of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates is hereby employed to audit the records of Dinwiddie County for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978.

IN RE: 1979 REASSESSMENT

The County Administrator advised the Board to be considering those people they desire to appoint to serve on the 1979 Reassessment Board by June 30th or July 1st, 1978.

He also stated an appraisal presentation would be made by representatives from the State at the next meeting of the Board for their consideration and review.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PROPOSAL -- EMERGENCY GENERATOR SYSTEM FOR THE JAIL

The County Administrator stated he had discussed the installation of an adequate emergency generator system for the jail with a representative of William M. Bowman, who had presented a turn-key proposal to do the work.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to secure additional bids on the system for their review before making a decision.

IN RE: REAR ENTRANCE ROAD -- ADMINISTRATION BUILDING COMPLEX

The County Administrator advised the Board that a permit from the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation had been obtained for the rear entrance road into the Administration Building Complex. Because of the delay in starting, the contractor was securing current cost estimates on materials and hoped to begin work within the next 7 to 10 days.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF DRAPERIES -- SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING AND ASCS OFFICE

The County Administrator presented to the Board a new proposal from Mill End Shop to install new draperies in the Social Services Department and ASCS Offices.

Due to the changes in specifications desired by the occupants, the cost proposal accepted by the Board at their April 19, 1978 meeting would have to be increased due to the increase in material required.

The County Administrator recommended that the motion accepting the previous bid from Mill End Shop be rescinded and the project be bid again.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", a decision is postponed pending clarification of the drapery specifications desired by the occupants of the building.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE -- REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. W. E. Bolte, Commissioner of the Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization to transfer $92.00 from his postage account to his telephone account.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors

of Dinwiddie County, Virginia endorses Mr. Bolte's request to the Compensation Board to transfer $92.00 from his postage account to his telephone account.

IN RE: OAKHILL SUBDIVISION -- PRESENTATION OF PETITION

Mrs. Gloria Jones of Oakhill Subdivision appeared before the Board to ask what action was going to be taken with the petition presented by the residents of Oakhill Subdivision to have certain streets in the Subdivision hard surfaced and taken into the State Secondary Road system.

The County Administrator advised Mrs. Jones that the petition had been received and had to be reviewed with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation before submitting it to the Board at their June meeting.

IN RE: CRITICISM OF SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT -- JAMES PORTER

Mr. James Porter appeared before the Board to express his concern for the number of break-ins he had encountered at his service station and other citizens have encountered at their homes. He felt that either the number of deputies was not adequate to cover the County or the present staff were not doing their jobs.

Sheriff Mitchell was present and advised Mr. Porter that the County had as many deputies as was allowed for the size of the County; therefore, citizen participation was needed to aid the Sheriff's Department in their effort to provide protection in the County.

IN RE: SPECIAL MEETING DATE

The Board set the date of Tuesday, May 30, 1978 at 7:00 P. M. to meet in Special Session. Plans for the new school bus garage would be one of the topics of discussion.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:00 P. M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 30TH DAY OF MAY, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | SAM SHANDS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |

IN RE: ROUTE 672 - ROAD DETOUR AND CONSTRUCTION OF NEW BRIDGE OVER N&W RAILROAD

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation representatives, Mr. C.B. Perry and Mr. B.C. Medlock appeared before the Board concerning the construction of a new bridge and approaches over the N&W Railroad on Route 672; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Highways presented a tentative plan and detour whereby the new bridge could be constructed leaving the old bridge in place to carry traffic until the new bridge is completed; and

WHEREAS, then the road would have to be closed for an approximate period of ninety (90) days to construct the approaches to the new bridge; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that this proposed plan be given concurrence by the Board prior to the final development of the plans; and

WHEREAS, this plan will provide a savings of County Funds of $60,000 or more, and also will shorten the road closing period by at least five months or more; and

WHEREAS, in addition, it will prevent a major relocation and undue disturbance to residents involved.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby adopt the plan and proposal as submitted by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for the planning of the road and bridge over the N & W Railroad on Route 672.

IN RE: REPAIRS TO TRASHCAVATOR -- AWARD OF BID

The Director of Sanitation presented the following bids for repairs to be done to the 977 Trashcavator:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Virginia Tractor Co., Inc. #1 | $11,221.10 |
| 2. | Virginia Tractor Co., Inc. #2 | 7,451.92 |
| 3. | Virginia Tractor Co., Inc. #3 | 4,988.76 |
| 4. | Wilson-Finley #1 | 6,209.76 |
| 5. | Wilson-Finley #2 | 5,613.92 |

Mr. Loftis stated that #1 bid of Wilson-Finley was comparable to Virginia Tractor Company bid #2; and Wilson Finley bid #2 was comparable to Virginia Tractor bid #3.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "nay", the Bid #2 of Virginia Tractor Co., Inc. for repair work to be done to the trashcavator 977 in the amount of $7,451.92 was accepted.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:35 P. M. The Board returned to Open Session at 8:45 P. M.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF SCHOOL BUS GARAGE

The School Board met jointly with the Board of Supervisors to discuss plans for the new school bus garage. Mr. George Soloe, Superintendent of Maintenance and Transportation presented a rough sketch of a proposed building for the Board's review. After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the School Board to contact suppliers of metal buildings for further plans and cost estimates.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF HEALTH BUILDING - APPROVAL OF BID

The County Administrator presented the following bids for renovation of the Health Building:

| | Boisseau | Witt | Watkins | Trane |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Roof | 11,616 | 8,030 | 5,100 | - |
| Heating | | | 6,643 | |
| A.C. | 22,968 | - | 9,600 | 10,000 |
| Elec. | 5,443.68 | - | 1,185 | - |
| Carpentry | 18,760.77 | - | 17,080 | - |
| TOTAL | 58,788.45 | 8,030 | 39,614* | 10,000 |
| Plus | -0- | -0- | 10% 3,961 | -0- |
| TOTAL | -0- | -0- | 43,575 | -0- |
| Plus Profit | | | 18% 7,843 | |
| TOTAL | -0- | -0- | 51,418 | -0- |

*Not to Exceed

Because Mr. Winfred Watkins was the low bidder, the Board negotiated with him on the items they desired to replace, install, or renovate.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Winfred Watkins be retained on a cost-plus basis to do the following items:

1. New Roof - base cost of $5100.00
2. Electrical wiring and installation of new light fixtures - base cost of $1185.00 (This figure might be somewhat higher due to the fact that the heating and air conditioning systems are not to be installed and some wiring for the light fixtures was included in these figures).
3. Interview Rooms and Dressing Rooms - base cost of $2180.00
4. Steps installed at back door - No defined cost.
5. Repairs to ceiling and waiting room and other areas - No defined cost.
6. Painting of all inside areas - Ne defined cost.
7. Plumbing to be checked out and made certain it is

in good working condition - No defined cost.
8. Tile floor between hallway and waiting room to be repaired - No defined cost.
9. Ramp at front entrance for handicapped. - No defined cost.
10. Installation of one window A.C. unit - No defined cost.
11. Installation of sink in X-ray room - No defined cost.
12. New doors if needed and other minor problems corrected as they are encountered. - No defined cost.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PAVING NORTH ENTRANCE ROAD & PARKING LOTS

The County Administrator advised the Board that the contractor had contacted him about the Board's desire to pave the Administration Complex parking lot since the de-acceleration lane for the North entrance road could be done at the same time. This would mean a substantial savings in cost to the County. The County Administrator then presented two bids for paving the parking lot and new de-acceleration lane:

1. Shoosmith Brothers (8800 sq. yds. at $3.90 per square yard) $34,320.00

2. Short Paving (8758 sq. yds. at $3.65 per square yard) 31,966.70

The Board discussed the alternatives available but no decision was made at this time.

IN RE: SPECIAL MEETING

The Board set the date of Thursday, June 15, 1978 at 7:00 P.M. to meet in Special Session. The following items will most likely be discussed at this meeting:

1. Reappropriation to Dept. of Social Services
2. Decision on carpet & light fixtures for Courtroom
3. Approval of emergency Deputy Dog Warden
4. Review of comprehensive land use plan
5. Approval of '701' grant.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:30 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE, 1978 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: "701" PLANNING ASSISTANCE GRANT - 1978

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County applied to the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Intergovernmental Affairs, on April 19, 1978 for a HUD "701" Planning Assistance Grant; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Intergovernmental Affairs responded to Dinwiddie County's request in an affirmative manner; and

WHEREAS, the sum of money offered equates the sum of money requested;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the sum of $4,500 offered by the Department be accepted by Dinwiddie County for the preparation of revisions to the County's Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance and development of a Capital Improvements Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County's one third match portion be provided by in-kind services of the Director of Planning, Planning Commission members and Planning Department Secretary in an amount equal to, or exceeding, a sum of $15,500.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the work program developed by the County Planning Department is, indeed, the County's official work program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is authorized to negotiate and enter into any and all contracts with the Department of Intergovernmental Affairs and all others associated with this "701" grant.

IN RE: REAPPROPRIATION TO DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, in May of 1978, the County received a check in the amount of $7,828.28 reimbursement for local funds involved in the administration of the Aid to Dependent Children Program from 10-01-77 to 3-31-78; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Social Services has requested permission to use a portion of the money (2,532.00) to secure additional state matching funds to purchase needed furniture and equipment for the agency as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1978 Volare | $4,900 |
| 2 Folding Tables | 100 |
| 16 Folding Chairs | 192 |
| 5 Calculators | 625 |
| 1 Shredder | 798 |
| Draperies | 2,000 |

| | |
|---|---|
| 2 Valets | 244 |
| Postage | 3,800 |
| TOTAL | $12,659 |

WHEREAS, included in this request are the funds approved for the installation of draperies in the Social Services Department; therefore, the motion adopted April 19, 1978 awarding the bid for draperies to Mill-End Shop is hereby rescinded; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $2,532.00 be transferred from the General Fund to the VPA Fund to obtain $10,128.00 in State matching funds to purchase needed furniture and equipment for the Social Services Department.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF CARPET & LIGHT FIXTURES FOR CIRCUIT COURTROOM

Mr. Leon Boisseau presented several carpet samples and a catalog of light fixtures for the Board's consideration to place in the Circuit Courtroom of the Courthouse.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that carpet #34, Rich Brown be placed in the Circuit Courtroom of the Courthouse; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that four (4) light fixtures from Seagull Light Co., Philadelphia, in antique brass at a cost of $77.13 each be placed in the Circuit Courtroom and one light fixture in antique brass at a cost of $114.25 be placed above the Judge's bench in the Circuit Courtroom of the Courthouse.

IN RE: REVIEW OF COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

Dr. R.R. Butterworth, Mr. J.O. Lee, and Mayor Wm. McElveen of the Planning Commission met with the Board and Mr. Denny Morris and Mr. Victor Lu of the CPDC staff to review the Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Morris and Mr. Lu briefly reviewed two documents which will be incorporated into the plan and answered any questions the members had.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the staff to use a plain black and white foldup document to publish and distribute the Comprehensive Land Use information to the public. Meetings will be held later to determine what content will be included in the publication.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following claims were approved:

County Construction Fund checks #CCF-78-20 and CCF-78-21 amounting to $37,279.33.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF EMERGENCY DEPUTY DOG WARDEN

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Lawrence Al Brooks, Jr. was appointed as Deputy Dog Warden, effective June 15, 1978.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:55 P.M.

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 21ST DAY OF JUNE, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. - VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 17, 1978 Regular meeting, May 30, 1978 Special meeting, and the June 15, 1978 Special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr, Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that $25,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Fire Vehicle Fund; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $53.03 be transferred from the General Fund to the LEAA Account; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following amounts be transferred from their respective accounts to the General Fund:

| | |
|---|---|
| Auto Tag Account | $166,500 |
| Building Permit Account | 22,000 |
| Landfill Account | 20,000 |
| Sales Tax--1% Local Account | 240,000 |
| Utilities Account | 172,000 |

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks - numbering 78-894 thru 78-1133 amounting to $62,059.20; Library Fund checks - numbering LF-78-5 thru LF-78-7 amounting to $406.49; County Construction Fund - checks numbering CCF-78-20 thru CCF-78-24 amounting to $40,352.32; History Book Fund check number HB-78-5 amounting to $.59; Dog Fund checks - numbering D-78-59 thru D-78-68 amounting to $1,347.96; Revenue Sharing Fund check number RS-78-8 amounting to $1,199.00.

IN RE: JACK WESTMORELAND - CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye, Mr. Jack Westmoreland was awarded $250.00 for two (2) sheep.

IN RE: FRANK CHAMBERS - CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Frank Chambers was awarded $600.00 for twelve (12) pigs.

IN RE: DONALD ANDREWS - CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Donald Andrews was awarded $50.00 for two (2) large goats.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF APPRAISAL SERVICE -- DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION

Mr. Fred Forberg of the Department of Taxation appeared before the Board to discuss the State's proposal to perform the 1979 reassessment for Dinwiddie County. Mr. Forberg began by urging the Board to have the original tax maps brought up to date and placed on mylars for easy reproduction and use during the general reassessment. Mr. Forberg quoted a base cost of $41,200 using two men as appraisers. Additional costs of assessors, public hearings and office incidentals would be approximately $18,000 making a total fee of $59,200. He stated that the Department could not put this price in a contract offer to the County until August as it had other State offices to pass through for approval. The preparation of new tax maps was not included in this proposal.

IN RE: APPROVAL FOR EXTRA HELP--COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization to hire one additional person for his office beginning in July to help with the increase in work created by the 1979 general reassessment. After the reassessment is under way, two people may be needed; however, one individual would satisfy his needs at the present time.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich,, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Commissioner of Revenue is hereby authorized to hire an additional employee for his office beginning in July to aid in the 1979 general assessment.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of May, 1978.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of May, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G.T. Hughes presented his report for the month of May, 1978.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley presented his report for the month of May, 1978.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-6 - EDWARD H. BOSWELL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 7, 1978 and Wednesday, June 14, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance by changing the district classification from "Residential R-1" to "Agricultural A-2", of the following tracts of land: Sec. 21, Parcel 48A, containing 20 acres; Sec. 22, Parcel 42, a portion thereof containing approximately 5 acres; and Sec. 22, Parcel 56, a portion thereof containing approximately 2 acres. The property is located on the eastern and western side of Rt. 672 approximately 3/8 of a mile south of Rt. 672 and Rt. 603 intersection.

Mr. Edward H. Boswell spoke in support of his rezoning request. No one spoke in opposition.

The Planning Commission minutes of May 10, 1978 recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification from Residential R-1 to Agricultural A-2 of the following tracts of land as described:

1. Section 21, Parcel 48A, containing approximately 20 acres and generally bounded to the east by Route 672, to the south by the lands of R.O. Mayes and R.S. Mayes, to the west by S.A.L. Railroad, and to the north by the lands of J.A. Flowers;

2. Section 22, Parcel 42, containing approximately 5 acres of a total of 50 acres and generally bounded to the west by Route 672, to the north by S.A.L. Railroad, to the east by lands of E.A. Boswell and to the south by Edna Boswell.

3. Section 22, Parcel 56, containing approximately 2 acres of a total of 51.2 acres and generally bounded to the west by Route 672, to the north by E.H. Boswell, to the east by the lands of Edna Boswell and to the south by Edna Boswell.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - A-78-1 - AMENDMENTS TO SEC. 17-34 & 17-36

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 7, 1978 and Wednesday, June 14, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to hold a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance of Dinwiddie County as follows:

1. Delete Section 17-34, Minimum Lot Area, and in its stead insert the following as the new Section 17-34, Minimum Lot Area;

"The minimum lot area for permitted uses in residential district R-1 shall be as follows:

(a) For lots with public water and sewer service, seventeen thousand (17,000) square feet or more.
(b) For lots with public water or sewer service, eighteen thousand five hundred (18,500) square feet or more.
(c) For lots with on-site septic and water facilities, twenty thousand (20,000) square feet or more".

2. Delete Section 17-36, Frontage, and in its stead insert the following as the new Section 17-36, Frontage:

"The minimum lot width at the street right-of-way line for permitted uses in residential district R-1 shall be as follows:

(a) For lots with public water and sewer service, ninety (90) lineal feet as measured from one side lot line to the other along the building set back line.
(b) For lots with public water or sewer, ninety five (95) lineal feet as measured from one side lot line to the other along the building set back line.
(c) For lots with on-site septic and water facilities, one hundred (100) lineal feet as measured from one sdie lot line to the other along the building set back line".

Mr. H.L. Henshaw spoke in favor of this amendment for himself and on behalf of the Homebuilder's Association.

No one from the audience spoke in opposition to the amendment.

The Director of Planning stated that the Water Authority had been exposed to the proposed amendments several times but had taken no official position.

Mr. Hodnett stated that he was opposed to any reduction in lot size requirements.

Mr. Bennett stated he was in favor of the lot size change in areas where public water and sewer was available.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to see a tabulation of existing lot requirements in comparable zoning in surrounding areas for the Board's review.

Mr. Hodnett moved that A-78-1 be disapproved. There was no second and Mr. Hodnett withdrew his motion.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Amendment A-78-1 be referred to the Planning Commission for further detailed information on existing lot size requirements (not proposed) in surrounding areas.

IN RE: EMERGENCY POWER SYSTEM FOR JAIL--AWARD OF BID

The County Administrator presented the following bids for installation of an emergency power system in the jail:

| | Bowman | Adkins |
|---|---|---|
| 10 kw | 6,975.86 Dayton<br>7,558.00 Unico or Cola | 7,497.00 G.E.<br>115 Vol. 88 AMP |
| 15 kw | 9,880.00 Unico or Cola<br>60 Amp | 10,488.00 G.E.<br>115 vol. 128 Amp |
| | 10,430.00 Unico or Cola<br>100 Amp | |
| 18 kw | 9,936.42 Dayton<br>100 Amp | 10,488.00 Dayton<br>115 vol. 156 Amp |
| | No stove<br>No A.C.<br>No Hot Water Heater | No stove<br>No A.C.<br>No Hot Water Heater |

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye" the bid from W.M. Bowman for a 100 Amp 18 kw Dayton emergency generator system for the jail was approved at a cost of $9,936.42.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Julia Watkins was reappointed to the School Board, term expiring June 30, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS -- SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. J.J. DeBoer and Mr. J.W. Prince were reappointed to the Social Services Board, terms expiring June 30, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Knott was reappointed to the CPDC Executive Committee term expiring June 30, 1979.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- JUSTICE & CRIME PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich abstained, Mr. T.J. Leftwich was reappointed to the Justice & Crime Prevention Advisory Council, term expiring June 30, 1979.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- DEWITT-DINWIDDIE-ROCKY RUN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the DeWitt-Dinwiddie-Rocky Run Athletic Association has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Association meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the DeWitt-Dinwiddie-Rocky Run Athletic Association is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1978.

IN RE: COMPENSATION FOR 1978-1979 BOARD OF ASSESSORS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave- Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the compensation for the 1978-1979 Board of Assessors for Dinwiddie County be set at $40.00 per day.

IN RE: PARKING AREA--FRONT OF ADMINISTRATION BUILDING COMPLEX

Mr. Clay stated he had received several complaints from citizens who could not find a parking space easily accessible to the ASCS Office. Therefore, he felt parking spaces should be made available along the southbound exit lane in front of the Administration Building complex. Mr. Hargrave stated he had also received the same type of complaints about parking to enter the other buildings in the complex.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that 30 minute parking signs be erected to allow parking on the building side of the southbound exit lane in front of the Administration Building on a trial basis before any permanent parking in that area is established.

IN RE: EMPLOYEE PARKING--ADMINISTRATION BUILDING COMPLEX

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich voting "nay", Mr. Hargrave abstained,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all County employees of the departments utilizing the Administration Building Complex parking lot will park on the last two parking rows to allow public access to the buildings.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:15 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:40 P.M.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF APPRAISAL FIRM -- 1979 REASSESSMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, on May 17, 1978, Mr. Harold Wingate of Wingate Appraisal Service appeared before the Board to discuss his proposal to perform the 1979 reassessment for Dinwiddie County at a cost of $110,000; and

WHEREAS, Wingate Appraisal Service would update the transfers as shown on the Commissioner of Revenue's tax maps in conjunction with the appraisal at a cost of $11,250.00 or under a separate contract at a cost of $15,250.00; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Fred Forberg of the State Department of Taxation appeared before the Board to discuss the State's proposal to perform the reassessment at a cost of $41,200 including overhead;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the State Department of Taxation is hereby instructed to appraise the real estate for Dinwiddie County beginning September, 1978.

IN RE: MONTHLY RECORD OF DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES - 1978-1979 FY

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is instructed to send out a letter to all Department Heads, including the School Board, reminding them to be aware of their budget expenditures as they enter the new fiscal year; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that each Department Head keep a cumulative total of his departmental expenditures by category; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that any expenses encumbered by a Department beyond its budget allocation must be brought to the Board of Supervisors for prior approval.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF NEW MCKENNEY FIRE CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at their May 3, 1978 meeting, the Board of Supervisors set the new annual rate for fire calls made by the Town of McKenney Fire Department outside the town limits in Dinwiddie County at $1500 with $150 per call for every call over ten within a calendar year; and

WHEREAS, the Town of McKenney accepted this offer at their June 8, 1978 meeting;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the new fire contract with the Town of McKenney dated June 21, 1978 is hereby approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is authorized to sign said contract with the Town of McKenney.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR STREET LIGHTS - DEWITT

Mr. A. S. Clay stated that he had been approached by Mrs. Montelle Boisseau to have a street light placed in DeWitt. The County Administrator was instructed to arrange for a representative from VEPCO to meet with he and Mr. Clay to review the situation.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF JULY, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. - VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO REFINISH FURNITURE--CIRCUIT COURTROOM

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leon Boisseau was authorized to refinish the benches, railing, tables, chairs and doors in the Circuit Courtroom of the Dinwiddie County Courthouse.

IN RE: REPLACEMENT OF HARDWARE THROUGHOUT COUNTY COURTHOUSE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leon Boisseau was authorized to replace all the hardware throughout both floors of the County Courthouse at a total cost of $2,045.00.

IN RE: COIN SHELVES--GENERAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leon Boisseau was authorized to construct two coin drawers for the General District Court Clerk's Office at a cost of $75.00.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF COUNTY SEAL--DINWIDDIE HOMEMAKERS

Mrs. Ellen Perdue of the Dinwiddie Homemakers appeared before the Board to present to them a replica of the County Seal done in needlepoint by Mrs. E.B. Cheatham. Mrs. Perdue requested that it be displayed in the County Extension Office. The Board expressed their appreciation to Mrs. Perdue and the Homemakers for their contribution.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the June 21, 1978 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-1134 thru 78-1336 amounting to $77,082.07; Dog Fund checks numbering D-78-69 thru D-78-82 amounting to $2560.75; History Book fund checks numbering HB-78-6 thru HB-78-8 amounting to $550.32; Library Fund checks numbering LF-78-8 and LF-78-9 amounting to $250.70; County Construction Fund checks numbering CCF-78-25 thru CCF-78-27 amounting to $19,345.28; LEAA Fund checks numbering LEAA-78-2 and LEAA-78-3 amounting to $530.25.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-78-7A--JAMES E. CHANDLER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Friday, July 7, and Wednesday, July 12, 1978 for the Board

of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance by changing the district classification from "Business B-2" to "Agriculture A-2" of approximately 12 acres of Sec. 45, Parcel 79, containing a total of 30.7 acres. Said parcel is located north of Route 703, east of intersection of I-85 and Route 703. P-78-7A is submitted as an amendment to the previously advertised rezoning request.

Mr. Chandler appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The July 12, 1978 minutes of the Planning Commission recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of approximately 12 acres of Parcel 79, Section 45, totalling 30.7 acres from "Business B-2" to "Agricultural A-2". Said parcel is defined as follows:

> Starting at the iron pipe located on the north side of Route 703, 94.80 feet east of the Virginia Department of Highway's monument for station 39 + 65.00 as shown by the plat dated May 8, 1978, drawn by W.G. Chappell for Ralph and Janet Kaufman, proceeding N 4° 44' 56" E to the rear property line as established by D.B. 81, P. 57 and referenced plat found in plat book 2, page 76, then proceeding S 44° 25' E to a corner marked by a cedar stake, then proceeding S 47° 50' W approximately 184' to a large White Oak, then proceeding S 11° 10' E to the new State Highway 703 right-of-way line, then proceeding generally in a north westernly direction along the State Highway 703 right-of-way line to the point of beginning.

In all other respects, said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-78-8 -- JAMES M. BLAND

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Friday, July 7, and Wednesday, July 12, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the district classification from "Agricultural A-2" to "Business B-2" of Section 19, Parcel 24, containing approximately 3 acres. Said parcel is located north of Route 460 at the intersection of Old Route 631 (now Rt. 743) and Route 460 in Sutherland.

Mr. James M. Bland appeared in support of his rezoning application. Mr. John Clements, Chairman of the Bank of Southside Virginia, also appeared in support of this rezoning case. If rezoned the Bank of Southside Virginia proposes to build a branch office on this parcel of land.

The following people in the Sutherland-Church Road area also spoke in favor of the rezoning request: Brenda Olgers, Will Green, and Billy Seay.

Mrs. Louise Walker presented a petition containing 44 names in opposition to the rezoning request to be made a part of the minutes. Mrs. Walker also presented a petition containing 43 signatures requesting that all parcels of land, occupied and unoccupied, that are not already zoned for business along Route 460 from Routes 631 and 708 to Highway 226, also to include 1/2 mile on 631 South, 1/2 mile north on Rt.708, and 743 from 460 to River Road be rezoned from Agriculture A-2 to Residential R-1 and further to allow some protection for present farmers and cattle owners, that if their property be resold, it will be sold residential.

The following people also spoke in opposition to this rezoning request: William Coleman, Henry G. Walker, Sr.; Clarence A. Beatley, Jr.; Mrs. T.L. Kemp; Marion Greenburg; Mike Leonard; Rose Coleman; and Mary Cacek.

The June 14, 1978 Planning Commission minutes recommended disapproval of the rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of Parcel 24, Section 19, containing approximately 3 acres as shown by a plat surveyed by Charles C. Townes for H.G. Hart and recorded in the Circuit Court Clerk's office on August 25, 1972, from "Agriculture General A-2" to "Business, Limited, B-1". In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN HEARINGS

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to review the status of work on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan. He stated that the fliers for the public hearings would soon be ready to mail out and suggested the following meeting dates and areas:

September 26, 1978 Rohoic Area
September 28, 1978 McKenney Area
October 3, 1978 Dinwiddie High School Area

The Board agreed to these dates and set the meetings to begin at 8:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF AMENDMENT A-78-1 SEC. 17-34 & 17-36

A public hearing was held on this amendment on June 21, 1978. Action by the Board was postponed until additional information was presented by the Director of Planning.

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to present additional information concerning lot size and road frontage requirements in surrounding localities as requested.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett abstained,

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by making the following changes to Chapter 17, Zoning:

1. Delete Section 17-34, Minimum Lot Area, and in its stead insert the following as the new Section 17-34, Minimum Lot Area;

"The minimum lot area for permitted uses in residential district R-1 shall be as follows:

(a) For Lots with public water and sewer service, seventeen thousand (17,000) square feet or more.
(b) For lots with public water or sewer service, eighteen thousand, five hundred (18,500) square feet or more.
(c) For lots with on-site septic and water facilities, twenty thousand (20,000) square feet or more."

2. Delete Section 17-36, Frontage, and in its stead insert the following as the new Section 17-36, Frontage:

"The minimum lot width for permitted uses in residential district R-1 shall be as follows:

(a) For lots with public water and sewer service, ninety (90) lineal feet as measured from one side lot line to the other along the building set back line.
(b) For lots with public water or sewer, ninety five (95) lineal feet as measured from one side lot line to the other along the building set back line.
(c) For lots with on-site septic and water facilities, one

hundred (100) lineal feet as measured from one side lot lot to the other along the building set back line."

IN RE: CONSTRUCTION OF SHELVES--MAP ROOM FOR COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte appeared before the Board to request additional cabinet space for the storage of land records because none of the new cabinets were large enough. He stated that he brought cabinets from the Courthouse to house the records which he would like to return or store to free up additional space. Mr. Bolte also stated that the counter installed to hold the visible index files needed additional support to prevent it from warping any further.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to contact Mr. Leon Boisseau or Mr. Winfred Watkins to install the shelves needed under the counter holding the visible index files in the map room of the Commissioner of Revenue's office on a cost-plus basis.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of June, 1978. He also submitted a Finance Report of County monies in the bank as of June 30, 1978.

IN RE: DOG FUND REPORT

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, presented a report as of June 30, 1978 on the balance of the Dog Fund. He stated that the fund was operating in a deficit which would require a loan from the General Fund in the amount of $7500 to meet expenses for the next 6 months.

After a brief discussion, the County Administrator was instructed to prepare a report on all expenditures and fees for the Dog Fund for the past fiscal year for review and consideration by the Board at the next meeting.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of June, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Deputy Dog Warden, presented his report for the month of June, 1978.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley presented her report for the month of June, 1978.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS--TITLE I EXPENDITURES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has requested authorization to make expenditures in the amount of $318,918 for the Title I program during the 1978-79 school year; and

WHEREAS, this project is 100% reimbursable, to be requested monthly from the State Department of Education;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County School Board is hereby authorized to make expenditures in the amount of $319,918 for the Title I program during the 1978-79 school year.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting"aye", Mrs. Vivian Zaruba was reappointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring July 1, 1982.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TRASH BOXES--FARMER'S WAREHOUSE

Mr. Leftwich stated that he had been contacted by Mr. James who lives near the dumpsters located at the Farmer's Warehouse about the problem with odor and flies at this location.

The County Administrator stated that he and the Director of Sanitation were trying to secure a site to relocate these dumpsters, hopefully by the Fall. In the interim, the Director of Sanitation was instructed to spray those boxes more often located in the heavily populated areas of the County.

Mr. Bennett asked whether the drainage holes in the dumpsters allowed the entrance of rats at the various locations. He requested that the Director of Sanitation research the possibility of using a strainer-type plug for the boxes and report his findings at the next meeting.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ASCS RENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Elmore Goodwyn, Director of the local ASCS Office, has requested a reduction in the space for which the County was charging rent occupied by the ASCS; and

WHEREAS, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that the Virginia State ASCS Office has a great interest in the rent being charged the local ASCS office; and

WHEREAS, a reduction of space and/or rent to the ASCS would also result in a reduction of the rent charged to the Department of Social Services; and

WHEREAS, the ASCS rent is based on the following:

| Square feet | - | Determined on gross area |
|---|---|---|
| 1503 | | Office space and storage room |
| 88 | | *½ of ASCS Corridor |
| 120 | | *½ of toilet-janitor |
| 60 | | *½ of mechanical room |
| 1771 | | Total x $5.00 = $8,855 |

*The Social Services pays the other half

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the ASCS is requested to provide the Board of Supervisors with a letter showing their intent to remain in the office space provided the rental space is reduced; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that if such letter is received, the ASCS rent will be reduced as follows:

Elimination of the one-half mechanical room - 60 square feet

Elimination of the storage space immediately adjacent to the ASCS toilet - 64 square feet.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these reductions in rent if accepted by the ASCS Office are to take effect at the beginning of the contract year which is October 1, 1978.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF CONDITIONAL ZONING

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to discuss a proposed amendment to Sec. 17-2 of the zoning ordinance- Sec. 17-93A, Conditional Zoning.

After a brief discussion, the Director of Planning was authorized to advertise this amendment for public hearing by the Planning Commission at their next meeting to consider for recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:20 P.M. to discuss land acquisition and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 12:10 P.M.

IN RE: INTERIM APPOINTMENTS DURING ABSENCE OF COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following interim appointments be made during the absence of the County Administrator, effective at 9:01 A.M. on July 20, 1978 and expiring August 7, 1978 at 9:01 A.M.:

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, as acting Subdivision Agent and Zoning Administrator; Miss Wendy S. Weber as acting County Administrator.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF LAND--DINWIDDIE COUNTY LANDFILL

The County Administrator advised the Board that additional land was needed at the County Landfill to enable the Sanitation Department to adequately drain the ditches of rainwater into an adjacent stream which runs down through approximately 15.00 acres owned by Union-Camp Corporation.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to negotiate a purchase of approximately 15.00 acres adjacent to the County Landfill from Union-Camp Corporation at a cost of $500.00 per acre.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS - REVENUE SHARING TO SCHOOLS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, wishes to maximize its future revenue sharing entitlements by allocating its revenue sharing funds for school capital expenditures for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978 as permitted under the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Treasurer is hereby directed to transfer the unexpended balance of the Revenue Sharing Trust Fund to the School Fund at June 30, 1978 in support of the following expenditures as needed in the following order:

| | |
|---|---|
| 17F2-Maintenance of School Plant: | |
| 215a - Repair and Replacement of Furniture and Equipment: | |
| Wt.#1630 Typewriters | 12870.00 |
| Wt.#1881 Tractor | 8560.00 |
| 19 - Capital Outlay: | |
| 400a-School Buses | 58290.00 |
| 403-Furniture and Equipment | 32251.55 |
| 600b-Improvements to Sites: | |
| Wt.#483 | 115.00 |
| Wt.#486 | 1391.00 |
| Wt.#976 | 255.00 |
| Wt.#1257 | 470.00 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Wt.#2137 | 1099.80 |
| | Wt.#2332 | 92.56 |
| | Wt.#2557 | 1131.25 |
| 699-Other Capital Outlay | | |
| | Wt.#484 Purchase of Title I Building | 4000.00 |
| 20 - Debt Service: | | |
| 801-Payment of Literary Fund Loan: | | |
| | Wt.#1 | 11950.00 |
| | Wt.#490 | 16300.00 |
| | Wt.#1433 | 23300.00 |
| | Wt.#1715 | 1036.00 |
| | Wt.#2138 | 10000.00 |
| 800a-Payment of Bonds - Wt.#1002 | | 32463.40 |
| | TOTAL | 215575.56 |

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the foregoing transfer shall not increase any appropriation to the School Fund, but shall be in lieu of General Fund transfers in a like amount for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1978.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:20 P.M.

A. S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. - VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 19, 1978 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks numbering 78-1337 thru 78-1533 amounting to $148,643.01; Dog Fund checks D-78-9A thru D-78-13A and D-78-83 thru D-78-86 amounting to $1676.53; History Book checks HB-78-9 thru HB-78-15 amounting to $1,172.00; County Construction Fund checks numbering CCF-78-28 and CCF-78-29 amounting to $20,057.00; LEAA Fund checks numbering LEAA-78-4 and 5 amounting to $530.25.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO DOG FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Treasurer advised the Board that the Dog Fund presently had a deficit balance; and

WHEREAS, he stated $7500.00 is needed to cover monthly expenses of the Dog Warden through the next six months;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $7500.00 be transferred from the General Fund to the Dog Fund as a loan to be repaid when sufficient funds are available in the Dog Fund account.

IN RE: JUVENILE SERVICES STUDY PRESENTATION

Mr. Herman Bates, CPDC Juvenile Services Coordinator, appeared before the Board to discuss a proposed Juvenile Services Study jointly sponsored by the Virginia Department of Corrections and the Crater Planning District Commission. The two-year study would involve an analysis of needs of juveniles and resources available in the County.

In conjunction with the study, he asked that the Board of Supervisors appoint a steering committee of three to five persons to work with the CPDC Staff in analyzing needs and developing long-range goals for the County. The Committee should be comprised of a representative cross-section of agency heads as well as citizens of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- P-78-9 -- FLORENCE KELLOGG

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 2, 1978 and Wednesday, August 9, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance by changing the district classification from "Residential R-1" to "Agriculture A-2" Parcel 14B, Section 35, containing 10.03 acres. Said land is located on the east side of Route 613 at the intersection of Route 673 and Route 613.

No one appeared in support of this rezoning request. Mr. Raymond Rivers presented a petition containing 20 names in opposition to this rezoning request to be made a part of the minutes. Mrs. Delores Bland also spoke in opposition.

The July 12, 1978 Planning Commission minutes recommended disapproval of the rezoning application.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the rezoning application, P-78-9, of Mrs. Florence Kellogg was disapproved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- P-78-10 -- DANA L. THOMASON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 2, 1978 and Wednesday, August 9, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the district classification from "Agriculture A-2" to "Business B-2" of a 2 acre parcel of Section 45, Parcel 105, containing a total of 8.5 acres. Said parcel is located on the east side of Route 1, north of the Rt. 1 and Rt. 740 intersection, at the Ole Faithful Store.

Mr. Dana Thomason appeared before the Board to withdraw his rezoning request. He further requested that the Board of Supervisors reinstate the rights conveyed to him in 1974, to wit, a zoning permit for the construction of two home sites at some future date.

No one spoke in favor of this rezoning request or in opposition.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the land in question and conclusions presented should be reviewed for further discussion. The Board members agreed to visit the site and bring back their comments.

IN RE: RESTORATION OF LAND MAPS--COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization to have the present land maps re-inked onto a mylar copy and one copy be reproduced from the original and kept on file at the Department of Taxation. He stated that the base of the present map is off scale and to correct the base has been estimated to cost $40,000. He stated that Mr. Ernest C. Curry, Jr. and Mr. William Creadick, retired employees of the Mapping Division, Department of Taxation, would be available for the re-inking of the map, but not for the correcting of the base. Mr. Curry would work on an hourly basis of $9.00 per hour and Mr. Creadick on an hourly basis of $7.50 per hour, and will work part-time at their own discretion. The estimated cost for the labor to re-ink the map and the materials needed is estimated not to exceed the sum of $16,000.00.

Mr. Hargrave questioned the need for two original copies to be done and instructed Mr. Bolte to make sure there was not a duplication of effort before having the work done.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Commissioner of Revenue was authorized to proceed with the restoration of the land maps onto two mylar copies provided there was no duplication of labor involved in the original work at a cost not to exceed $16,000.00.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of July, 1978. He also presented a finance report showing county funds on checking account and CD's in the various banks.

IN RE: ADVERTISEMENT OF 1977 DELINQUENT TAXES

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, appeared before the Board to request authorization to advertise the list of individuals delinquent in paying 1977 taxes.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich,

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. F.E. Jones is hereby authorized to publish in the newspaper the list of names of those individuals delinquent in paying 1977 taxes.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of July, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Deputy Dog Warden, presented his report for the month of July, 1978.

A report of 1977-78 income and expenses for the Dog Fund was presented for the Board's review as requested at the July 19, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley presented her monthly report of expenditures and requested authorization to change line items within the budget without changing totals. The Board concurred with her request.

IN RE: 1977-78 REPORT OF RECEIPTS & DISBURSEMENTS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools appeared before the Board to present a summary of 1977-78 Receipts and Disbursements. He requested approval of those transfers needed within the School Operating Budget to balance the 1977-78 School Budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the transfers needed within the School Operating Budget to balance the 1977-78 School Budget be approved.

IN RE: TITLE VI-B FLOW THROUGH PROGRAM

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to make expenditures in the amount of $56,725.81, of which $8,310.81 is carry over for the previous year, for the Title VI-B Flow Through Program; and

WHEREAS, these monies are reimbursable on a monthly basis from the Department of Education;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is hereby authorized to make expenditures in the amount of $56,725.81, of which $8,310.81 is carry over for the previous year, for the Title VI-B Flow Through Program to be reimbursed on a monthly basis from the State Department of Education.

IN RE: ROUTE 734--ROAD DETOUR & HARD SURFACE WORK

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, VDH&T and Mr. Russell Garrison, Burton P. Short & Sons, appeared before the Board concerning the closing and road detour of four locations on Route 734 in conjunction with Project 0734-026-183M501 to install multi-line pipe; and

WHEREAS, the road closings at these four locations will expedite the project in order to bring about completion of the

road sooner as well as enhance the safety of the travelling public during construction; and

WHEREAS, only three closings will be actually required for approximately ten days each as two locations will be closed simultaneously;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby approve the plan submitted by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for road closing and detouring during the hard surface construction on Route 734.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF WEST AVENUE

The County Administrator stated that citizens along West Avenue off Route 600 have requested that it be hard surfaced.

The Resident Engineer, VDH&T, stated that two alternatives were available to have the work done: 1. Secondary Road Route - The road would be viewed by the Roadviewers and built to rural addition standards. 2. The landowners could bear the cost; however, the road must then meet subdivision road standards. Mr. Perry gave an estimated cost of $12,000.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to have the road viewed by the Roadviewers as soon as possible for consideration as a rural addition to the Secondary Roads system.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

The following requests were brought to the attention of the representatives of the Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation:

1. Mr. Clay asked that the ditches be cleaned on Route 738.
2. Mr. Bennett asked that the following locations be reviewed where high water problems had occurred during the recent rainfalls:
   a. U.S. #1 in front of the Water Authority building.
   b. U.S. #1 in front of the old Jones' Nursery location.
   c. Route 460 -- West bound lane at M.G. Rainey's farm.

IN RE: ALCOHOL TREATMENT PROGRAM--REQUEST TO TRANSFER TO LOCAL CONTROL

The County Administrator presented a request from the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board to transfer the state operated Alcohol Treatment Program to the local board. The State would continue total funding of the program but the Chapter 10 Board would assume the administrative expenses.

He felt that accepting another state program would eventually lead to total funding by the local board, and in turn, by the member localities. Therefore, he recommended disapproval of this request.

Some Supervisors expressed concern about this program not being related to Mental Health. In response to a question from the Board, the County Administrator indicated he had not received input from the County's representatives on the Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the transfer of the Alcohol Treatment Program to the local Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board was disapproved.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF PLUMBING INSPECTOR FOR WATER & SEWER TAPS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, an inspection will be needed for each connection to the public water and sewer lines being installed and each connection to a house in the Northern end of the County; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Oscar Moon will be inspecting the connections to the public water and sewer lines and, therefore, would require very little time and effort to inspect the water and sewer connection to the house; and

WHEREAS, the Water Authority is in concurrence with this additional responsibility;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Oscar Moon be appointed as Plumbing Inspector for the public water and sewer connections in the Northern end of the County.

IN RE: REGIONAL BUILDING CODE APPEALS BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, recognizing the requirement in the Code of Virginia (Sec. 36.105) that it have a building code appeals board constituted of 5 members having expertise as provided in the said Code Section 127. 22; and

WHEREAS, recognizing that the options available are to wit, a local board for said jurisdiction, contracting with another local board or establishing a regional board; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, is of the opinion that the expertise required of board members can best be secured on a multijurisdictional basis;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that, under the power granted it by the State in Section 15.1-21 of the Code of Virginia, it does hereby endorse the concept of the regional building code appeals board, in concert with the other member jurisdictions of State Planning District 19; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses the following organizational items:

1. Initial membership categories and terms of office:

| | CATEGORY | JURISDICTION | TERM OF OFFICE |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Architect | Colonial Heights | 4 |
| 2. | "Structural or civil engineer of architectural engineering experience". | Petersburg | 2 |
| 3. | Contractor or superintendent of construction | Emporia | 5 |
| 4. | Contractor or superintendent of construction | Prince George | 1 |
| 5. | Civil engineer or "structural or civil engineer of architectural engineering experience.". | Hopewell | 3 |

2. The (mayor/chairman) shall make the appointment, as required.

3. Compensation of members: $30 per meeting, payable by jurisdiction with appeal.

4. The building code appeals board will be expected to develop its internal procedures.

5. The Crater Planning District will act as the repository

for the board's files.

6. There is no limit on the number of terms of office, but membership should be rotated, where possible, among all participants.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WILSON, HEBRON FORD RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson, Hebron, Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson, Hebron, Ford Ruritan Club is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1978.

IN RE: SEWER LINE FOR SOCIAL SERVICES, HEALTH & FIRE DEPARTMENT BUILDINGS

The County Administrator presented the following bids for the installation of new sewer lines from the Social Services, Health and Fire Department buildings to the existing pump station:

| | |
|---|---|
| C.C. Powell | $2250 |
| Stamie Lyttle | $3500 |

The County Administrator recommended C.C. Powell for the job since they were familiar with the existing system.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the bid to install new sewer line from the septic tank serving the Health, Social Services and Fire Department buildings to the existing pump chamber be awarded to C.C. Powell and Sons at a cost of $2250.00.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:10 P.M. to discuss land acquisition. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:15 P.M.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF LAND--UNION-CAMP CORPORATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Union-Camp Corporation owns a tract of timber land in Dinwiddie County, ±84 acres, that embraces a stretch of the old Dinwiddie Stage Road and a portion of the battlefield at Reams' Station; and

WHEREAS, under their Land Legacy Program, Union-Camp has been able to donate certain parcels of land designated as having ecological or historical significance to various agencies for preservation; and

WHEREAS, this ±84 acre parcel has been designated under the Land Legacy Program and Union-Camp would like to make a gift of the land to Dinwiddie County for preservation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accepts this ±84 acre parcel located at Reams' Station as a gift from Union-Camp Corporation for historical preservation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that the Chairman be authorized to sign the deed accepting such land on behalf of Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: ADVERTISEMENT OF A-78-3 -- BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a public hearing for amendment A-78-3, to permit financial institutions in a B-1 zoning classification, be advertised to be heard by the Board of Supervisors at their October 18, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: PARTICIPATION IN FUNDING--FIRE TRUCK FOR CARSON VFD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Carson VFD serves the counties of Dinwiddie, Prince George, and Sussex; and

WHEREAS, the Prince George Board of Supervisors has requested that the Counties of Dinwiddie and Sussex participate in the funding of a new fire truck for the Carson VFD; and

WHEREAS, approximately 30% of Carson's calls are answered in Dinwiddie County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby agrees to fund 30% of the cost of a new fire truck for the Carson VFD, cost not to exceed $55,000.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:20 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 20TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: DOG WARDEN--DISCUSSION OF EXTRA HELP

Mr. G.T. Hughes appeared before the Board to discuss several items:

1. His present workload and its effect on the condition of his injured leg--Mr. Hughes stated that he was advised to do light work until his leg fully recovers and that would require some extra help under his present workload.

The Board advised Mr. Hughes that he could request the assistance of the Deputy Dog Warden when needed until November 1, 1978.

2. Emergency ordinance in certain areas to tie dogs running loose--The Dog Warden stated that he had been contacted by citizens in the West Petersburg, Piney Beach area and by Central State concerning stray dogs running loose and becoming a nuisance. He requested that the Board adopt an ordinance that would provide the Dog Warden with authority to have all dogs tied for thirty days in certain areas where needed. This would allow him time to collect and remove the stray dogs.

3. Discussion of enclosing female dogs in season--The Dog Warden stated that female dogs in season left accessible during this period caused considerable problems by attracting stray dogs. He stated he would like to further look into an ordinance to present to the Board that would help alleviate this problem in the County.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the August 16, 1978 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 78-1534 thru 78-1742 amounting to $112,790.00; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-78-87 thru D-78-93 amounting to $1,482.92 and D-78-94 thru D-78-100 amounting to $355.50; History Book Fund checks-numbering HB-78-16 thru HB-78-19 amounting to $897.04; Land Use Plan checks-numbering LUP-78-3 in the amount of $5316.73; County Construction Fund checks-numbering CCF-78-30 thru CCF-78-34 amounting to $28,911.12.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-78-4--CONDITIONAL ZONING

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 6, 1978 and Wednesday, September 13, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption the following amendment to the Zoning Ordinance:

A-78-4. Add to Sec. 17.1. Definitions-Conditional Zoning and Special Exception.
Add a new Section 17-93A. Conditional Zoning.

The Director of Planning presented this amendment and recommended its adoption. It was approved by the Planning Commission at the August 9, 1978 meeting.

No one appeared in support or in opposition to this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by making the following changes to Chapter 17, Zoning Ordinance:

1. add to Section 17-1. Definitions, the following:

   a. Conditional zoning. The reclassification of land from one zoning district to another with reasonable conditions governing the use of such land, such conditions being in addition to the regulations provided for in the particular zoning district sought.

   b. Special exception. A special use, that is a use not permitted in a particular district except by a conditional (special) use permit granted under the provisions of Section 17-93.

2. add a new section as follows:

   Section 17-93A. Conditional zoning.

   a. It is the general policy of the County in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Virginia (15.1-489) to provide for the orderly development of land, for all purposes, through zoning and other land development legislation. Frequently, where competing and incompatible uses conflict, traditional zoning methods and procedures are inadequate. In these cases, more flexible and adaptable zoning methods are needed to permit differing land uses and at the same time to recognize effects of change. It is the purpose of Section 17-93A to provide a more flexible and adaptable zoning method to cope with situations found in such zones through conditional zoning, whereby a zoning reclassification may be allowed subject to certain conditions proffered by the zoning applicant for the protection of the community that are not generally applicable to land similarly zoned. The provisions of this section shall not be used for the purpose of discrimination in housing.

   b. The applicant may make a voluntary proffering in writing of reasonable conditions, prior to a public hearing before the governing body, in addition to the regulations provided for the zoning district or zone by this ordinance, as a part of a rezoning or amendment to a zoning map; provided that (1) the rezoning itself must give rise for the need for the conditions; (2) such conditions shall have a reasonable relation to the rezoning; (3) such conditions shall not include a cash contribution to the county; (4) such conditions shall not include mandatory dedication of real or personal property for open space, parks, schools, fire departments or other public facilities not otherwise provided for in the Code of Virginia 15.1-466 (f); (5) such conditions shall not include payment for or construction of off-site improvements except those provided for in the code of Virginia 15.1-466 (j); (6) no condition shall be proffered that is not related to the physical development or physical operation of the property; and (7) all such conditions shall be in conformity with the duly adopted land use plan if applicable.

   c. The zoning administrator shall be vested with all nec-

cessary authority to administer and enforce conditions attached to a rezoning or amendment to a zoning map, including (1) the ordering in writing of the remedy of any noncompliance with such conditions (2) the bringing of legal action to insure compliance with such conditions, including injunction, abatement, or other appropriate action or proceeding; and (3) requiring a guarantee, satisfactory to the governing body, in an amount sufficient for and conditioned upon the construction of any physical improvements required by the conditions, or a contract for the construction of such improvements and the contractor's guarantee, in like amount and so conditioned, which guarantee shall be reduced or released by the governing body, or agent thereof, upon the submission of satisfactory evidence that construction of such improvements has been completed in whole or in part. Provided, further, that failure to meet all conditions shall constitute cause to deny the issuance of any of the required use, occupancy, or building permits, as may be appropriate.

d. The Zoning map shall show by an appropriate symbol on the map the existence of conditions attaching to the zoning on the map. The zoning administrator shall keep in his office and make available for public inspection a Conditional Zoning Index. The Index shall provide ready access to the ordinance creating conditions in addition to the regulations provided for in a particular zoning district or zone.

e. Any zoning applicant who is aggrieved by the decisions of the zoning administrator pursuant to the provisions of the Code of Virginia 15.1-491.3 may petition the governing body for the review of the decision of the zoning administrator.

f. There shall be no amendment or variation of conditions created until after a public hearing before the governing body as advertised pursuant to the provisions of the Code of Virginia 15.1-431.

In all other respects, the Dinwiddie County Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY

Mr. Charles Turner, Executive Director, CPDC appeared before the Board to discuss the creation of a Regional Housing Authority.

The proposal would include a joint effort of the Counties of Dinwiddie, Greensville, Prince George, Surry and Sussex for the purpose of implementing and operating needed housing programs in these areas of District 19. Mr. Turner stated that he was presenting the proposal for discussion and did not need a decision by the Board at this meeting.

Several questions arose from the Board and people present, which Mr. Turner stated he would investigate and report his findings to the Board before a decision was made.

IN RE: TRI-CITIES SOLID WASTE STUDY--PHASE TWO

Mr. Charles Turner, Executive Director, CPDC, appeared before the Board to present a proposal for Dinwiddie's participation in the market criteria and design basis phase of the Tri-Cities' resource recovery program. The study would determine whether a market commitment was substantial enough to make a refuse/energy program feasible in the tri-cities area or not. Dinwiddie County did not participate in the first phase. Chesterfield, Colonial Heights and Hopewell have already agreed to participate. The total cost of the project would be approximately $8500 at the most, and could be less, divided among the participants.

The Board advised Mr. Turner that they would consider the information for action at their October 4, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: FORD VFD--DISCUSSION OF PUMPER

Mr. Maurice Harver, Chief, Ford VFD, appeared before the Board to discuss the condition of the Department's pumper and request their assistance in obtaining a new one. He stated that the truck was in deplorable condition which made it very hazardous for the firemen when sent to fight a fire.

Mr. Bennett stated that he would like to look at the truck himself and asked that some action be taken at the October 4, 1978 meeting.

The Board agreed to look at the truck and advised Mr. Harver they would meet with him on Thursday night before the regular fire department meeting.

IN RE: INCREASE IN TELEPHONE RATES ON THE DINWIDDIE EXCHANGE

Connie Akers, Manager of the Petersburg Office of the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, appeared before the Board to explain why the Dinwiddie exchange, effective September 8, 1978, will receive a rate increase ranging from 55¢ to $3.60 per customer.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supevisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney is hereby instructed to contact the State Corporation Commission to determine: 1. Why the Petersburg exchange has a lower monthly rate than the Dinwiddie exchange. 2. What constitutes the formula known as "weight factor". 3. A detail explanation of how the weight factor is applied to the Dinwiddie exchange and to the Petersburg exchange.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, presented the following reports for the Board's review: Comparison of Taxes Assessed-1977 & 1978; Comparative Report on Applications for Real Estate Exemption for the Elderly.

Mr. Bolte also stated that he had requested participation from the Compensation Board in the cost of restoring the land records. The Compensation Board informed Mr. Bolte that they could not participate as it was totally a county project.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his regular report for the month of August, 1978. An additional report was submitted showing receipts and disbursements for each fund during the month of August.

Mr. Jones stated that tax tickets would be mailed in a few weeks. He also stated that the County presently had $1.3 million on certificates of deposit.

IN RE: PETITION FOR INT. OF RT. 665 and RT. 698

Mr. Larry G. Elder presented a petition containing 34 signatures requesting that the intersection of Routes 665 and 698 be examined to determine what steps should be taken to alleviate the hazardous traffic situation that exists.

The petition was turned over to the Highway Safety Committee for their review and recommendations.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF PURCHASE OF NEW LENS--SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell presented a request for approval to purchase a 135mm "zoom lens" for the 35mm camera used by his depart-

ment. He stated that the present lens was not sufficient for its intended use and would be allowed $75.00 as a trade-in on a new lens. The cost of the new lens would be $350.00.

The Commonwealth Attorney stated that the lens would be very beneficial in investigative uses such as drugs and related situations in which observations were needed.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Sheriff be authorized to purchase a 135 mm "zoom lens" for his department at a cost of $350.00.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of August, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G.T. Hughes presented his report for the month of August, 1978.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to discuss the following items:

A. Comprehensive Plan Hearings - The Planner distributed documents to be used by the Board during the Comprehensive Plan public hearings and asked for their comments on the format to be used. The Chairman stated that he felt the Planning Commission should chair the meetings as they had worked with the plan more than the Board members. The Board agreed to meet Monday, September 25, 1978 at 7:30 P.M. with the Planning Commission to discuss the plan and format to be followed at the hearings.

B. Flood Insurance Program - The Planner advised the Board that he had discussions with representatives concerning the flood insurance program for flood plain areas in the County. He stated that an ordinance could be adopted to provide for what would be allowed in a flood plain area. The Board authorized him to investigate such an ordinance and present it to the Planning Commission for their review and recommendations.

C. Vacant Lot Ordinance - The Planner presented information concerning a vacant lot ordinance for the County. Concern had been expressed by the West Petersburg Council for the hazards that these lots cause in a community if they are not maintained. It had been previously introduced to the Planning Commission and they hesitated to take any action without some direction by the Board.

The Board instructed the Planner to accummulate further information on such an ordinance to present for their consideration on or before the October 18, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley presented her reports for the month of August, 1978.

IN RE: SLH CONTRACTS--MEDICAL COLLEGE OF VIRGINIA, PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign contracts for State and Local Hospitalization with the Medical College of Virginia at the rate of $153.20 per day and Petersburg General Hospital at the rate of $116.08 per day.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. R.L. Vaughn presented an invitation to the Board to view the schools in the County on Thursday, October 5, 1978.

Mr. Bennett asked if the ventilation problem was being taken care of at the Jr. High School. Dr. Vaughn stated that the maintenance contractor was aware of the problem and was working to remedy it.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX SCENIC RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution is adopted:

WHEREAS, the Honorable John N. Dalton, the Governor of Virginia, has made the following appointments and reappointments to the Appomattox Scenic River Advisory Committee: Warren C. Purcell, Chesterfield County; Dr. Joseph Hillier, Chesterfield County; Dr. George Partin, Chesterfield County; D. W. Cook, Sr., Dinwiddie County; Raymond Hensahw, Dinwiddie County; Tom Miller, Petersburg; G. Richard Beck, Petersburg; C. Bernard Gilpin, Petersburg; Dr. Freddie W. Nicholas, Chesterfield County; Howard C. Clayton, Chesterfield County; and

WHEREAS, the County of Chesterfield's membership on this committee has increased from three (3) to five (5); and

WHEREAS, the City of Petersburg's membership on this committe has remained unchanged at three (3); and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie's membership has decreased from three (3) to two (2); and

WHEREAS, all members of this Appomattox Scenic River Advisory Committee were reappointed except W. C. Scheid, the Director of Planning for Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County is concerned about the re-shaping of the Appomattox Scenic River Advisory Committee to the detriment of Dinwiddie County.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of this Board is hereby directed to write the Governor asking (1) why was the Appomattox Scenic River Advisory Committee restructed so that the County of Chesterfield would have five (5) members and Dinwiddie County would only have two (2) members; (2) why the Director of Planning of Dinwiddie County, W. C. Scheid, was not reappointed to the Appomattox Scenic River Advisory Committee; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the County's Representatives in the General Assembly.

IN RE: JUVENILE SERVICES STUDY STEERING COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Herman Bates, Juvenile Services Planner, appeared before the Board at the August 16, 1978 meeting to discuss the Juvenile Services study conducted jointly by the CPDC and the Virginia Department of Corrections; and

WHEREAS, incident to this study a comprehensive analysis of areas of need within the youth services field for Dinwiddie County will be prepared; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been asked to appoint a steering committee of three to five persons to assist in this analysis; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors does not desire to participate unless a real need can be shown for appointing such a committee;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, chooses not to appoint a steering committee for the Juvenile Services study in Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF NEW ASCS CONTRACT

The County Administrator presented a new contract prepared by the ASCS office for rental of office space in the Social Services building. Because of differing opinions on the interpretation of the contract, the Board agreed to postpone action until these items were clarified.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that action on the rental of the Social Services building to the ASCS office be postponed contingent upon clarification of the intent of the contract.

IN RE: ELEVATOR MAINTENANCE CONTRACT

The County Administrator presented Full Maintenance and Service proposals from Virginia Elevator Co., Inc. for the elevator located in the Administration Building. He stated that other companies had been contacted but were reluctant to give proposals for maintenance on equipment they did not install.

The Board took these proposals into consideration and instructed the County Administrator to investigate the minimum safety maintenance requirements for an elevator of this type before any action on a proposal is taken.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE YOUTH FOOTBALL LEAGUE ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Youth Football League has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Association meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Youth Football League Association is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1978.

IN RE: TURKEY SHOOT APPLICATION--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

The County Administrator introduced an application for a turkey shoot for St. John's Catholic Church on Route 613, Dinwiddie County.

According to Sec. 14-3 of the County Code, the Board of Supervisors "shall grant or deny its approval of a particular target or shooting range by proper resolution not less than 28 days from the date of presentation of the application therefor to the Board."

The County Administrator was instructed to place the application on the agenda for consideration by the Board of Supervisors at their October 18, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--DISCUSSION OF PROPERTY

Mr. Loid A. Hodnett advised the Board that the Namozine VFD has been offered two proposals for the property upon which their

fire department building is located:

1. To buy the whole parcel, approximately 6 acres at $32,000
2. To buy the 2 acres on which the building is located for $12,000.

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed to meet at 7:00 P.M. at the Namozine VFD, Thursday afternoon to look at the property in question.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:10 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into open session at 12:20 P.M.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR NEW CARDIAC CAR--RESCUE SQUAD

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Hodnett stated that if there was another car available, the Rescue Squad would like to have a replacement for the cardiac unit that was wrecked; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator advised the Board that George Soloe was preparing the old Sheriff's cars for use by the School Board and County departments, one of which could be made available for use by the Rescue Squad.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Rescue Squad be provided with one of the old Sheriff's cars when they were made available for use as a cardiac unit.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:35 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 25TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1978 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF FORMAT--COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PUBLIC HEARINGS

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to discuss the format to be followed for the public hearings to be held on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and answer questions they might have.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ASCS CONTRACT

The County Administrator presented the revised copy of the ASCS contract for rental of office space in the Social Services building.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the contract with the ASC Committee for rental of office space in the Social Services Building was approved, effective October 1, 1978, at a monthly rate of $686.25.

IN RE: INSTALLATION OF SINK AND COUNTER--EMPLOYEE LOUNGE OF ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

The County Administrator presented a bid from Winfred Watkins to install a sink and counter in the staff lounge of the Administration Building at a cost of $845.00. A previous proposal for this work had been submitted by W.F. Hamm in the amount of $1400.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the proposal of Winfred Watkins to install a sink and counter in the lounge area of the new Administration Building was accepted at a cost of $845.00.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:10 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE ROHOIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ON THE 26TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | W.E. MCELVEEN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with the assistance of the Planning Commission, received public comment and answered questions concerning the proposed Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:50 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE SUNNYSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ON THE 28TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1978.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| ABSENT: | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with the assistance of the Planning Commission, received public comment and answered questions concerning the proposed Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1978 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the September 20, 1978 regular meeting, September 25, 1978 Special meeting, September 26, 1978 special meeting, and the September 28, 1978 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-1743 thru 78-1820 amounting to $51,598.85; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-78-101 thru D-78-109 amounting to $1356.53; County Construction Fund checks-numbering CCF-78-35 in the amount of $2,250.00.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, presented his report for the month of September, 1978. He advised the Board that he received the bill for filing cabinets authorized in the 77-78 budget year in the amount of $1026.00 to be paid in this budget year. He also stated he would submit a request to the Compensation Board to share in the cost of the cabinets.

IN RE: DOG TAG FEES--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE FOR INCREASE

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, stated that the sale of 1979 dog tags would begin in November and requested that the Board consider the fees to be charged since income from the fees in the past has not been sufficient to meet the Dog Warden's operating expenses.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Commonwealth Attorney was instructed to prepare an ordinance, increasing the Dog Tag fees from $3.00 to $4.00 on single tags; from $25.00 to $35.00 on 20 dog kennels and from $35.00 to $45.00 on 50 dog kennels, to be advertised for the November 1, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: EMERGENCY GENERATOR--VENTILATION OF ROOM

The County Administrator presented an estimate from W.M. Bowman in the amount of $710.00 to ventilate the room which contains the emergency generator for the jail. This would include locating a fan in the room at $460.00 and $250.00 to louver the door.

The Board felt the contractor had some obligation to see that the generator was properly ventilated when installed and instructed the County Administrator to renegotiate the ventilation with the contractor on a cost basis.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of September, 1978.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to discuss the following items:

A. Flood Insurance Program - Mr. Scheid distributed material for the Board's review related to a flood plain ordinance to be introduced at a later date.

B. Comprehensive Land Use Meetings - Mr. Scheid distributed copies of the Planning Commission minutes on these meetings for the Board's review.

C. Vacant Lot Ordinance - Mr. Scheid distributed copies of Vacant Lot Ordinances existing in the cities of Petersburg and Hopewell and the County of Chesterfield and discussed information he had gathered during conversations with these localities as to the effectiveness of their programs. After a general discussion, no action was taken by the Board.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley introduced Ms. Jacqueline James, a Planning Technician, who will be working with her department under the CETA program.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn appeared before the Board to remind them of the trip to tour the schools on Thursday and to find out those that would be present for lunch.

IN RE: WATER AUTHORITY--DISCUSSION OF FEES FOR FIRE PLUGS

Mr. John Clements, Chairman and Mr. Robert Ritchie, Director of the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, appeared before the Board to discuss the rental fee to be charged to the County for the fire plugs installed in the Northern end of the County.

Mr. Clements stated that 50 plugs have been installed at a cost of $978.79 each. He stated that the Water Authority's proposal for the County's fee is $200 per hydrant per year. He further stated that this fee would help the cost of water and sewer to be borne by the citizens in the Northern end of the County by contributing to the retirement of the debt of the project.

Mr. Bennett and Mr. Hargrave stated that they felt a rental fee should be related to the cost of the plugs returnable at a rate comparable to the rate of the project loan. The project loan with FHA is 5% for 40 years. Mr. Hargrave requested information that would show the annual cost of returning the money for the installation of the fire plugs at a rate comparable to the return of the water and sewer project loan.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a decision on the rental fee for fire plugs in the Northern end of the County was postponed until the October 18, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: WATER AUTHORITY--WATER AND SEWER CONNECTION INSPECTIONS

Mr. John Clements advised the Board that Mr. Oscar Moon would be looking for any changes made inside the homes to connect to the public water and sewer lines during his connection inspections and would make the Building Inspector aware of these. Mr. Clements further stated that if this extra work became great enough to require additional compensation, the Water Authority would return to the Board to request assistance for this service.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF COURTHOUSE--EXPLANATION OF COSTS EXCEEDING THE CONTRACT

Mr. Leon Boisseau presented to the Board a detailed list of expenditures which exceed the amount stated in the original contract for the renovation of the Courthouse for their review.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to compare the list of extra expenditures with the requirements of the contract and resolve the

changes made, with the assistance of the Commonwealth Attorney and those individuals involved, to present for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

He presented a report from the Roadviewers who met on Tuesday, October 3, 1978 to view West Street. He stated that the Browder Road was complete and the Mamie Q. Mitchell road would be next on the priority list of rural additions.

IN RE: JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL PROGRAM

Mr. George Board appeared before the Board to advise them that Dinwiddie has been selected by the Department of Agriculture as a pilot county in the program to eradicate Johnsongrass and explain what their participation would involve. Dinwiddie County agreed to apply to participate in the program at the Board's May 17, 1978 meeting.

The program would last three years and would involve a maximum of $5,000 cash contribution each year. The State would match this amount. The money would involve hiring a part-time person to coordinate the program and the purchase of necessary chemicals to be used. A Committee would also be set up to monitor the program. Mr. Board estimated that the cost could be divided among the farmers at approximately $50.00 each. Mr. Board also stated that most of the farmers he had contacted felt the program was greatly needed. An ordinance must also be adopted to enforce the Johnsongrass Control Program. Mr. Bennett stated that he would like to hear the comments from a public hearing on the ordinance before making a decision.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to prepare a Johnsongrass Control ordinance for advertisement for a public hearing to be held November 1, 1978.

IN RE: REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY

At the September 20, 1978 meeting, Mr. Charles Turner presented to the Board a proposal to establish a Regional Housing Authority for the counties in the Crater Planning District to implement and operate needed housing programs in these areas.

The Director of Planning stated at the time that the Planning Commission did not recommend Dinwiddie County's participation in such an Authority. The County Administrator stated that records show that the housing situation in the County has improved over the past few years without the help of this type of body or the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia desires not to participate in a Regional Housing Authority.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT - FY 1979

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of grants for the purpose of promoting enforcement of anti-litter statutes and ordinances and for the purpose of enhancing local litter

control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses and supports such a program for the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby authorizes the Department of Sanitation to plan and budget for a coordinated anti-litter program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes the County Administrator and/or the Director of Planning to apply for the grant, and to be responsible for the administration, implementation, and completion of the program as it is described in the attached application form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby requests the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve the application and program, said program being in accord with Regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: FORD VFD PUMPER

Mr. Maurice Harver, Chief, Ford VFD appeared before the Board at their September 20, 1978 meeting to request assistance in repairing their pumper and/or purchasing a new one for the department. The Board met with the Ford VFD to look at the pumper and agreed that an amount of repair work was needed.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Bennett stated that he would meet with the Ford VFD to discuss the repairs. The County Administrator was then instructed to contact two or three fire truck representatives to review the Ford VFD pumper and the Old Hickory fire truck and present estimates as to what repairs they felt should be made for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: SOLID WASTE STUDY--PHASE TWO

Mr. Charles Turner, Executive Director, appeared before the Board at their September 20, 1978 to discuss the market criteria and design basis phase of the Tri-Cities resource recovery program and offer the County an opportunity to participate. A decision was postponed at that time.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does not wish to participate in the market criteria and design basis phase of the Tri-Cities resource recovery program.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--DISCUSSION OF PURCHASE OF LAND

After viewing the land in question, approximately six acres where the Namozine VFD is located, several Board members expressed a desire for further information before making a decision on the purchase of the property.

Mr. Hodnett and Mr. Leftwich agreed to talk with the President of the Optimist Club to confirm the proposals offered and to secure a plat of the property to present to the Board at or before the October 18, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE--INTRODUCTION OF STATE APPRAISER

Mr. W.E. Bolte appeared before the Board to present Mr. Julio Del Corso, Appraiser for the State Department of Taxation, who will begin the reassessment work in the Northern end of the County the week of October 9, 1978.

Mr. Bolte advised the Board that Mr. Rennie Bridgman had been elected Chairman of the Reassessment Board and Mr. R.V. Lancaster, Vice-Chairman.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:55 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 6:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE DINWIDDIE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL ON THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | R.R. BUTTERWORTH, CHAIRMAN | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | GRANVILLE MAITLAND, VICE-CH. | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors, with the assistance of the Planning Commission, received public comment and answered questions concerning the proposed Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 4, 1978 regular meeting and the October 5, 1978 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-1821 thru 78-1951 amounting to $78,763.04; History Book Fund check number HB-78-20 in the amount of $12.90; Land Use Plan Fund check- number LUP-78-4 in the amount of $1850.00; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-78-10 and LF-78-11 amounting to $240.98.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-78-3--ADDITION OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TO SECTION 17-57

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, October 4, 1978 and Wednesday, October 11, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption the following amendment to the Zoning Ordinance: A-78-3. Add to Sec. 17-57. Permitted Uses. (17) Financial Institutions.

The following people spoke in support of the amendment: Mr. John Clements; Mr. & Mrs. James Bland; Mr. James Hammill; and Mr. B.Z. Clarke.

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by adding the following use to Section 17-57 Permitted Uses.

(17) Financial Institutions.

In all other respects, the Dinwiddie County Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: FIRE HYDRANT FEES--WATER & SEWER PROJECT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. John Clements and Mr. Robert Ritchie, Dinwiddie County Water Authority, appeared before the Board at their October 4, 1978 meeting to discuss the rental fee to be charged to the County for the fire plugs installed in the Northern end; and

WHEREAS, a decision was postponed until additional infor-

mation could be accummulated; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Clements presented to the Board, a cost proposal prepared by R. Stuart Royer & Associates which reflected not only the cost of the fire plugs but also the additional cost of larger lines and valves associated with these hydrants; and

WHEREAS, this cost proposal would retire the total cost over 40 years at 5% interest for $9,824.89 annually; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors felt they should only return the cost of the fire hydrants themselves, $47,162.12;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the annual rental fee to be charged to the County for fire hydrants installed in the Northern end of the County in the first phase of the water and sewer project be set at $5,000 annually payable for 15 years.

IN RE: SHOOTING RANGE PERMIT--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

Mr. Frank J. Stech, Sr. presented to the Board of Supervisors, on September 20, 1978, an application for the operation of a target range beside St. John's Recreation Hall in Dinwiddie County.

In accordance with Section 14-3 of the Dinwiddie County Code, the Board of Supervisors shall grant its approval or denial not less than 28 days from the introduction of the application.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the application for operation of a target range beside St. John's Recreation Hall in Dinwiddie County by St. John's Catholic Church was approved for 12 months beginning October 18, 1978.

IN RE: WEST STREET--ROADVIEWERS REPORT

The following Roadviewer's Report was filed on October 3, 1978:

The Board of Viewers of Dinwiddie County met at 10:00 A.M. on October 3, 1978 at the Petersburg Residency Office for the purpose of viewing a rural addition known as West Street.

The Board of Viewers viewed a road known as West Street and adjacent to Edge Hill Park Subdivision beginning at a point on Route 601 (River Road) 0.11 miles west of Route 226 running in a northernly direction 0.40 miles to North Drive and the right of way line of the Norfolk and Western Railroad.

The existing road has been graded and has a roadway width of approximately twenty (20) feet with twenty-two (22) feet from ditch to ditch. It is lightly stabilized and the approach ramp to Route 601 needs to be raised. The utility poles are located six (6) feet on the existing right of way. It is possible that the road could be built within a forty (40) foot right of way. The road servies (8) eight houses. Also, the rights of way must be verified and proof established.

The Board of Viewers unanimously recommends that this road be taken into the secondary system with provisions that the county or property owners provide a minimum forty (40) foot right of way, and that it be built when funds are available. Estimated construction costs $6,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Roadviewer's report on West Street was accepted as presented and West Street was placed on the bottom of the priority list of roads to be taken into the secondary system.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--PURCHASE OF LAND

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at the September 20, 1978 meeting, Mr. Loid A. Hodnett advised the Board that the Optimist Club had offered the Namozine VFD two proposals for purchase of the land upon which the fire department building is located; and

WHEREAS, these proposals were:

1. 6 acres at $32,000 2. 2 acres, upon which the building is located, for $12,000; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the land in question and plat showing the surrounding area, the Board felt that the two acres on which the fire department building is located will meet their present needs;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby agrees to purchase two acres of land on which the Namozine VFD is located from the Petersburg Optimist Club; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney be authorized to have the property surveyed for the County if needed, and search the title, and prepare a deed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sum of $12,000 be appropriated to purchase said property.

IN RE: WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION COVERAGE--ADDITION OF OLD HICKORY TO VOLUNTEER UNITS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a resolution was adopted June 16, 1976, establishing those volunteer units covered under the County's Workmen's Compensation Policy; and

WHEREAS, the Old Hickory VFD was created after this resolution was adopted.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia tht the resolution adopted June 16, 1976 be amended to include the members of the following organizations as employees of the County for the purpose of coverage under the County's Workmen's Compensation Policy:

Dinwiddie Volunteer Rescue Squad
Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department
Ford Volunteer Fire Department
Namozine Volunteer Fire Department
Carson Volunteer Fire Department (members living in Dinwiddie County)
Old Hickory Volunteer Fire Department
Dinwiddie County Special Police

IN RE: OLD HICKORY FIRE TRUCK--DISCUSSION OF REPAIRS

As requested by the Board at their October 4, 1978 meeting, the County Administrator presented a report on repairs needed for the Old Hickory VFD truck. The companies of Jack L. Slagle and Sutton & Clark reviewed the vehicle and stated it was in good condition except for age. The pump would need to be repaired and both companies would give a cost based on time and materials. The Old Hickory VFD has parts for the pump on order at Sutton & Clark and are trying to do the repair work themselves. Mr. Clay stated he would talk with the Department and suggest that the members take the pump to Sutton & Clark to be repaired, estimated cost between $400 and $500.

IN RE: FORD VFD TRUCK--DISCUSSION OF REPAIR WORK

As requested at their October 4, 1978 meeting, the County Administrator presented to the Board a cost outline from Jack L. Slagle for repair work needed on the Ford VFD pumper as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| 2 reel swivels replaced on booster reels & both booster nozzles repaired | 150.00 |
| Overhaul BARTON-AMERICAN midship pump including valves & piping existing | Approx 2000.00 |
| One existing 500 gallon booster tank replaced with a new one that is treated with FMC "SSB" tank liner inside & repiped to pump | 1200.00 |
| One Akron full flow quarter-turn ball valve installed in tank to pump line | 250.00 |
| One throttle cable & tachometer installed on pump panel | 125.00 |
| One pump shifter repaired or replaced | 125.00 |
| Do needed body work, repaint, restripe & reletter entire unit | 1800.00 |

The County Administrator stated that the company suggested replacing the booster tank. They did not investigate far enough to determine if a new tank was actually needed.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the repair work be done to the Ford VFD pumper as stated above, omitting the body work, and having the booster tank examined to determine if it needs replacing.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF WORK-STUDY PROGRAM--1978-79 BUDGET YEAR

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented a request for the Board's approval in continuing the Work Study Program for forty high school students. The total cost would be $35,297.00 of which the County's share is 20% or $7,059.40. Ms. Julia Summey, Coordinator of the program, was also present to answer any questions.

The Board questioned the increase of County funds requested last year from $2,402.59 to $7,059.40. Dr. Vaughn stated that the funding was low last year because the state cut their share in half and the students were paid only for half the hours they worked.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $7,059.40 be hereby appropriated for the fiscal year 1978-79 for the continuation of the Work-Study Program for the high school students.

IN RE: ROOF REPAIR WORK--SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY ELEMENTARY; DINWIDDIE JR. HIGH; MIDWAY ELEMENTARY

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn appeared before the Board to request authorization to secure bids and expend funds to repair and/or replace the roof on the following schools: Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary; Dinwiddie Jr. High, and Midway Elementary.

He stated that the architects have been contacted on Southside Elementary and the Senior High to see what their responsibilities were as to the deteriorated roofs at those schools.

The members agreed that the roof repair was needed and instructed the Superintendent of Schools to obtain bids for their review.

IN RE: SCHOOL MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT--ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL

Dr. Richard Vaughn presented a request for authorization to employ additional personnel for the School Maintenance Department in order to provide preventive maintenance.

Mr. Soloe, Superintendent of Maintenance & Transportation stated that the maintenance work was growing and he did not have the skilled personnel needed. The request was for three (3) skilled employees for 7.5 months ($19,575.00) and one helper for 7.5 months ($3915.00).

The members agreed that the roofs for the schools were the number one priority but there was also a need to maintain the buildings to prevent further damage to the roofs.

Mr. Clay stated that he would like to see the cost estimates on the roofs before proceeding with additional help.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett and Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to hire two skilled maintenance workers for the Department of Maintenance and Transportation on a temporary basis of 7.5 months; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the individuals be hired with the understanding that the term of employment is 7.5 months, any extensions provided only with the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: COMMUNITY FACILITIES PLANNING

The Director of Planning suggested that the School Board consider a standing committee to review the school buildings and plan maintenance and repair work on a five or six year basis as a Capital Improvement Program so that the work could be budgeted.

IN RE: FLOOD PLAIN STUDY--APPOMATTOX RIVER AREA

The Director of Planning stated that when a flood plain study was done in Dinwiddie County, the only area that was prepared in detail was the Reedy Creek area.

His concern, therefore, was for the land along the Appomattox River where possible business and residential growth could occur. Having a detail study of the area would aid in future zoning and development decisions there. At this time, he felt the study could be done without funding from the County.

The Board agreed there was a need for a detail flood plain study of the Appomattox River area and instructed the Planner to proceed with having it done, but to return to the Board for approval if any finances were involved.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF FURNITURE--JUDGE'S CHAMBERS & CIRCUIT COURT AND JUVENILE & DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURTROOMS

The County Administrator presented the following bids on furniture for the Circuit Court Judge's chambers and chairs for the Circuit Courtroom and the J & DR Courtroom:

1 Chair - Jasper - 2855 - Color - Brick EP49 - Rubber Casters
Beckwith ($372.68)
Litton ($331.48)
Jasper #890HR
Marks ($280.80)

2 Chairs - Jasper - 2800 - Color - Brick EP49 - Rubber Casters
Beckwith ($697.24)
Litton ($597.82)
Jasper #882
Marks ($539.50)

1 Desk 2860 77 F BB Size 77 x 39
Beckwith ($658.35) Litton ($684.60) Marks ($611.32)

1 Credenze 2800 CA 64 BED BB Size 64 x 31
Beckwith ($595.00) Litton ($612.00) Marks ($552.50)

1 Bookcase 1932 BED-G Size 32½ x 15 x 54
Beckwith ($507.15) Litton ($353.40) Marks ($470.92)

1 1903 - C Chair - Curry GC56 - Rubber Casters Seat Bronze D042
Beckwith ($320.60)
Marks ($297.70)
Jasper #3950
Litton ($269.61)

4 1901 - Chairs - Curry GC56 Seat Bronze DO-42
Beckwith ($1,027.60)
Marks ($954.20)
Jasper #3951
Litton ($861.96)

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to purchase the following items of furniture for the Circuit Court Judge's chambers and the Circuit Courtroom and J & DR Courtroom:

1 Chair 2855 - Color - Brick EP49 - Rubber Casters Litton ($331.48)
1 Chair 2855 - Color - Butterscotch US32 - Rubber Casters- Litton ($331.48)

2 Chairs 2800 - Color - Brick EP49 - Rubber Casters Litton ($597.82)
2 Chairs 2800 - Color - Butterscotch US32 - Rubber Casters Litton ($597.82)

1 Desk 2860 77 F BB Size 77 x 39 Marks ($611.32)

1 Credenze 2800 CA 64 BED BB Size 64 x 31 Marks ($552.50)

1 Bookcase 1932 BED-G Size 31½ x 15 x 54 Marks ($470.92)

1 1903 - C Chair - Curry GC56 - Rubber Casters Marks ($297.70)
Seat Bronze D042

4 1901 - Chairs - Curry GC56 Marks ($954.20)
Seat Bronze DO-42

TOTAL $4745.24

IN RE: JOINT MEETING WITH PLANNING COMMISSION TO DISCUSS THE COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN COMMENTS/CHANGES

The Board set the date of Thursday, October 26, 1978 at 7:30 P.M. to meet with the Planning Commission members to discuss comments and revisions on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: STUDENT WORKSHOP--VIRGINIA STUDENT SAFETY PROGRAM

The Secretary of the Highway Safety Commission appeared before the Board to request funding for transportation and lodging for Ms. Barbara Goodman and a group of students to attend the Virginia Student Safety Program Conference to be held November 3 and 4, 1978 at Ingleside Red Carpet Inn in Staunton, Virginia. She said anticipated costs would be transportation and two rooms for the night of November 3.

The result of the Conference would be the establishment of a Virginia Student Safety Program at Dinwiddie County Senior High to carry out safety programs. One project in mind would be to promote highway safety in the elementary schools.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", costs of transportation and two rooms for one night's lodging was authorized for Ms. Barbara Goodman and student representatives for attendance at the Virginia Student Safety Program at Ingleside Red Carpet Inn, Staunton, on November 3, and 4, 1978.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session to discuss land acquisition at 10:20 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:25 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:25 P.M.

A.S.CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 26TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1978 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | J.O. LEE | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | ANN SCARBOROUGH | PLANNING COMMISSION |
| | E.D. MCKENNEY | PLANNING COMMISSION |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COMMENTS/REVISIONS ON COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

The Board of Supervisors met with the Planning Commission to discuss public input, comments and revisions on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.G. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1978 AT 2:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G. S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | C. L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| | L. G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 18, 1978 regular meeting and the October 26, 1978 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-1953 thru 78-2040 amounting to $59,407.77; Dog Fund checks numbering D-78-110 thru D-78-118 amounting to $1,389.72; County Construction Fund checks numbering CCF-78-36 thru CCF-78-39 amounting to $57,924.67; History Book Fund-check HB-78-21 in the amount of $50.00.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-78-5 JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, October 18, 1978 and Wednesday, October 25, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to further amend by adding a section regarding Johnsongrass Control and implementation and administration of programs related thereto declaring the subject grass to be a public nuisance.

Mr. George Board, Dinwiddie Extension Agent, spoke in support of the program, and stated that he had not encountered any strong opposition to the program in the County.

No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted and as heretofore amended, be further amended pursuant to Section 15.1-867.2 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, by the addition of the following:

Chapter 12 - Offenses - Miscellaneous

Sec. 12-6. Johnsongrass Control and Implementation and Administration of Programs Related Thereto

a) The existence of growth of a species of grass, Sorghum halepense, commonly known as "Johnsongrass," as well as other Sorghum spp. with perennial rhizomes, (includes perennial sweet sudangrass, sorghum almum and hybrids derived therefrom) are hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

It shall be unlawful to knowingly allow Johnsongrass to set seed on any land in Dinwiddie County, Virginia and it shall be the duty of each landowner to mow, fallow, treat with herbicides, or use such other practices as may be approved by the County Board of Supervisors as effective in preventing seed set on all Johnsongrass or other prennial Sorghum spp. on his property. Provided, however, a landowner or lessee may enter into a written compliance agreement

with the County Board of Supervisors or their designee, specifying terms and conditions of a control program and so long as all the terms and conditions are being complied with, there is no violation of this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful to import Johnsongrass into this county or to transport Johnsongrass within this county in any form capable of growth or to knowingly contaminate any uninfested land with Johnsongrass through the movement of rootstocks, plant parts, seed, soil, mulch, nursery stock, farm machinery, or other media.

The Board may enter into an agreement with the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for the purpose of the control of Johnsongrass within the County and may accept funds from said Department as agreed upon; further, this ordinance is in accordance with Section 15.1-867.2 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

b) There is hereby established a Johnsongrass Control Committee composed of three (3) members, each to serve at the pleasure of the Board. Said committee shall appoint all individuals responsible for a Johnsongrass control program.

The Johnsongrass Control Committee, or their representatives, shall conduct surveys to determine the location and amount of infestations of Johnsongrass within the county; shall provide the necessary technical and other assistance to landowners in a cooperative control or eradication program; and may effect a program of spraying or other control practices on road rights-of-way, drainage ditch banks, parks, playgrounds, utility rights-of-ways and other public or private lands.

The Board may accept, use or expend such aid, gift, grant, or loan as may from time to time be made available from any source, public or private, for the purposes of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.

Services rendered for actual control practices may be billed to the landowner or lessee and collected. All reimbursements shall be promptly deposited in a special Johnsongrass control fund and shall not revert to the General Fund of the County regardless of date accrued or collected.

Any person who fails or refuses to comply with this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, if convicted, shall be punished accordingly.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-78-6--INCREASE IN DOG TAG FEES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, October 18, 1978 and Wednesday, October 25, 1978 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 4-5, Chapter 4 of the Dinwiddie County Code to increase fees for license of individual dogs by one dollar and kennels by three dollars.

No one spoke in support or opposition to this amendment.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to see the Dog Warden keep records of those dogs which warrant his services to ascertain whether the problems were caused by licensed or stray dogs.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to increase fees as follows:

Chapter 4 - Animals and Fowl

Article II - Dogs Generally

Section 4-5 Dog licenses generally - Required license taxes specified.

Each Dog. For each dog, four dollars.

Kennel for twenty dogs. For a kennel of twenty dogs, twenty-five dollars.

Kennel for fifty dogs. For a kennel of fifty dogs, thirty-five dollars.

In all other respects said code section is hereby reordained.

IN RE: CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION-UPDATE ON ACTIVITIES

Mr. W.C. Knott, Chairman and Mr. Charles Turner, Director, Crater Planning District Commission, appeared before the Board to present an update on the Commission's activities and their application to Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: TELEPHONE RATE STRUCTURE

Mr. E.C. Addison, Director, Division of Communications, State Corporation Commission appeared before the Board to explain the C & P telephone rate structure and its effect on Dinwiddie County.

The Board questioned the possibility of obtaining a no toll charge for calls between McKenney and Petersburg. Mr. Addison stated that a petition requesting such a study would be needed from 5% of the residents of McKenney. He further stated that he could begin the process by obtaining a percentage of interest from C&P's own records to determine how many calls were placed to Petersburg now, and that he would keep in touch with the County Administrator as to any information he accummulated.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of October, 1978.

IN RE: VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES CONFERENCE

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, stated that a conference for local government officials was to be held November 12th through November 14th at the Homestead, which he would like to attend if the County would pay his expenses.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", expenses were approved for Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, to attend the Virginia Association of Counties' Conference to be held November 12-14, 1978 at the Homestead.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of October, 1978.

IN RE: HIGHWAY SAFETY COMMISSION--REQUEST FOR NAME CHANGE TO TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

The Secretary of the Highway Safety Commission appeared before the Board to present a request from the Commission for authorization to change the name of the Highway Safety Commission to Transportation Safety Commission.

On July 1, 1978 the Highway Safety Division became the Department of Transportation Safety and assumed not only the responsibilities of highway safety but also those of air, water, rail, and mass transit. All local commissions have been urged to change their names in conformity with the Department of Transportation Safety and to assume these additional areas of responsibility in their locality.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Highway Safety Commission be hereby named the Transportation Safety Commission; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the present members of the Highway Safety Commission be appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT FOR NORTHSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Northside Elementary School has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo & Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, Northside has filed with the Commissioner of Accounts a record of all receipts and disbursements for 1977.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that in accordance with Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia, the Bingo & Raffle Permit for Northside Elementary School is hereby renewed for the calendar year 1978.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN--CONTROL OF ENGINE POLLUTION

The County Administrator advised the Board that the County Attorney has investigated what courses of action were available to regulate noise and air pollution related to internal combustion engines on Lake Chesdin. Since records of exact boundaries on Lake Chesdin between Dinwiddie and Chesterfield County are not available it is difficult to determine where exact control of the waters lies and would make it difficult to control an ordinance without a mutual agreement of both counties.

The County Administrator stated he would contact the County Administrator of Chesterfield County to find out what their feelings were in regard to a pollution ordinance on Lake Chesdin.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF EASEMENTS RELATED TO SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS

The County Administrator advised the Board that a problem had arose concerning a developer obtaining a drainage easement across another landowner's property for development of a subdivision, and he felt the same type of problem could occur with future developments in the County.

Mr. Charles Perry, Resident Engineer, reviewed the drainage requirements made by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation and discussed an approach used by Chesterfield County, wherein the County enters into a "hold harmless agreement" relieving the developer of the responsibility of acquiring the drainage easement.

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed that the arrangements for obtaining an easement should be left up to the landowner and the developer without the County becoming involved.

IN RE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, Va Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Hargrave requested that the ditches be cleaned on Route 605 and stone be added to prevent water buildup. He also asked the Highway Department to review Rt. 666 from Rt. 667 to Rt. 670 and Rt. 680 near the Sussex County Line for consideration to be paved because the traffic count has risen above 50 in these areas.

Mr. Clay questioned the status of the intersection of Rt. 665 and Rt. 698. Mr. Medlock stated they were working with the landowner to eliminate the trees which were hindering sight distance at that location.

Mr. Hodnett requested that the ditches be pulled on Rt. 601 near the Rinker development.

IN RE: PUBLICATION OF WATER AND SEWER RATES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors understands that the water and sewer rates will be considered for adoption by the Dinwiddie Water Authority at its regular scheduled monthly meeting on November 13, 1978: and

WHEREAS, it is the understanding of the Board of Supervisors that these rates will not be published or revealed to the public in any way until after they are adopted; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is of the opinion that these water and sewer rates should be known by the users of the water and sewer system prior to their adoption.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie Water and Sewer Authority is hereby requested to reveal to the public as soon as possible the water and sewer rates that are to be considered for adoption at the November 13th meeting; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that if the public has not had sufficient time to familiarize themselves with the water and sewer rates that consideration of said rates be postponed to a later meeting.

IN RE: RICHARD RUNDLE--DISCUSSION OF WATER AND SEWER RATES

Mr. Richard Rundle appeared before the Board to impress upon them the minimum fee of $10.00 and the average fee of $14.00 for water and sewer rates discussed at the May 16, 1973 meeting when mandatory connections were adopted.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:35 P.M. to discuss land acquisition. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:55 P.M.

IN RE: CARDIAC CAR--REQUEST FOR FUNDING FOR NEW MOTOR

Mr. Loid Hodnett advised the Board that the motor in the cardiac car given the Rescue Squad by the County had broken down and had to be replaced. The cost of the new motor installation was $1,194.37. He requested that the $2,000 budgeted for the Rescue Squad in the 78-79 budget be made available for the cost of the new motor and that the gasoline used by the Rescue Squad continue to be paid by the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the gas used by the Rescue Squad continue to be paid by the County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $2,000 be appropriated as the County's 1978-79 budget contribution to the Rescue Squad.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF TWO-THIRDS OF FINAL PAYMENT TO W.F. HAMM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

The County Administrator advised the Board that an inspection had been made of the Administration building by the Architect and Contractor and final payment was due in the amount of $75,000.00.

Since there were still several items to be taken care of by the Contractor before his work was finished, he suggested that two-thirds of the final payment ($50,000) be released now, holding the balance until the work was complete.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that payment to W.F. Hamm Construction Company be approved in the amount of $50,000.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $50,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:10 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.G. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1978, AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 1, 1978 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-2041 through 78-2246 amounting to $30,679.88; Library Fund check-LF-78-12 in the amount of $11.52; History Book Fund check-HB-78-22 in the amount of $8.00.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the appointments were postponed until the December 6, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: JAIL--APPROVAL OF PUMPING STATION

The County Administrator presented a bid from Stamie E. Lyttle Co., Inc. to furnish and install a pumping station at the jail to carry the sewer from the facility to the septic tank. The existing pipes were not installed to accommodate gravity flow and have, therefore, been a source of constant problems.

The cost proposal included the cost of two alternating pumps for $5,100 or one pump at $3600.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the bid of Stamie E. Lyttle Co. for the installation of two pumps at the Dinwiddie County Jail was approved at a cost of $5100.

IN RE: PAY CLASSIFICATION PLAN & GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE

The County Administrator advised the Board that State law required localities with fifteen or more employees to adopt a pay classification plan and grievance procedure and Dinwiddie is approaching this number.

He, therefore, asked for the Board's reaction to accumulating information on what alternatives were available to the County to have a study and plan developed. One source would be grant monies from the Intergovernmental Personnel Act through the State Department of Personnel and Training.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to accummulate information on the development of a pay classification plan and grievance procedure to present to the Board for their review and consideration.

IN RE: HEALTH BUILDING RENOVATION RECAP

The County Administrator briefly reviewed the renovation work done on the Health Building. He stated that the building had been vastly improved from its previous condition and the workers seemed to be satisfied. One problem did exist with the heating controls which Honeywell was working on to correct.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING--PAINTING & CAULKING WINDOWS

The County Administrator stated that he had obtained one bid for painting and caulking the windows at the Social Services Building. Mr. Bennett instructed the County Administrator to obtain bids from Jessie Johnson and George Pack for the Board's consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT--LEASE AGREEMENT

The County Administrator advised the Board that the last lease agreement with the Health Department for rental of the Health Building was negotiated in 1971. Since that time, the building has been renovated and services increased which warrants the development of a new lease agreement.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to develop a new lease agreement to be negotiated with the Health Department for the Board's review.

IN RE: NOTIFICATION OF RAILROAD CROSSING WORK

Mr. Hargrave advised Sheriff Mitchell that the Highway Department has urged the railroad to notify the Sheriff when roads were blocked or detoured due to railroad work and asked that he in turn notify emergency and school personnel.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:35 P.M. to discuss land acquisition and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 9:15 P.M.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--ACCEPTANCE OF PURCHASE PRICE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, after the October 18, 1978 meeting, there was some question as to whether the purchase of 2.2 acres, where the Namozine VFD is located, was offered for sale by the Optimist Club at $12,000 or $14,000; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Loid A. Hodnett met with the Optimist Club and the price of $12,000 was confirmed for the 2.2 acres adjacent to and including the land upon which the Namozine VFD is located;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Attorney is authorized to proceed as instructed in the resolution adopted by the Board at their October 18, 1978 meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:20 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: M.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 6TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1978 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 15, 1978 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 78-2247 thru 78-2333 amounting to $54,343.69; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-78-119 thru D-78-127 amounting to $1,479.59.

IN RE: DAVID M. GRIFFITH & ASSOCIATES--APPROVAL OF CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following agreement was adopted:

THIS AGREEMENT, entered into this 6th day of December, 1978, and effective immediately by and between David M. Griffith and Associates, Ltd. (hereinafter called the "Consultant") and the County of Dinwiddie, State of Virginia (hereinafter called the "County"), WITNESSETH THAT:

WHEREAS, the County has programs which it operates with Federal funding, and

WHEREAS, the County supports these programs with support services paid from County appropriated funds, and

WHEREAS, the State of Virginia, Division of Social Services will pay a fair share of these costs if supported by an approved cost allocation plan, and

WHEREAS, the Consultant is staffed with personnel knowledgeable and experienced in the requirements of developing and negotiating such governmental cost allocation plans, and

WHEREAS, the County desires to engage the Consultant to assist in developing a plan which conforms to Federal requirements and will be approved by their representatives;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the parties hereto mutually agree as follows:

1. Employment of Consultant. The County agrees to engage the Consultant and the Consultant hereby agrees to perform the following services.

2. Scope of Services. The Consultant shall do, perform and carry out in a good and professional manner the following services:

A. Development of a central services cost allocation plan which identifies the various costs incurred by the County to support and administer Federal programs. This plan will contain a determination of the allowable costs of providing each supporting service such as purchasing, legal counsel, disbursement processing, etc.

B. Negotiation of the completed cost allocation plan with the representatives of the State of Virginia. These negotiations must be successfully conducted on the County's behalf before any compensation is due the Consultant.

C. Assistance in preparing the initial claims to the State of Virginia for recovery of funds due the County. Consultant will also monitor the progress of claims through the State to insure the County receives all recoveries due it.

D. Prepare indirect cost proposal for the Division of Social Services. Additionally, any major EPA, HUD or FHA Grants presently on hand or forthcoming in the immediate future.

3. Time of Performance. The services to be performed hereunder by the Consultant shall be undertaken and completed in such sequence as to assure their expeditious completion and best carry out the purposes of the agreement. All services required hereunder shall be completed by May 31, 1979. The cost allocation plan will be available by February 28, 1979 for your review and our negotiation with Federal and State representatives.

4. Compensation. The County agrees to pay the Consultant a sum not to exceed Four Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars ($4,500) for all services required herein, which shall include reimbursement for expenses incurred. Consultant agrees to complete the project and all services provided herein for said sum.

5. Method of payment. The Consultant shall be entitled to payment in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph. The Consultant agrees to undertake this engagement with the following advantages to the County. First, the Consultant will not require any compensation for services until a cost allocation plan has been prepared and is acceptable as a basis for filing claims. Second, Consultant's fees are to be paid from recoveries paid by Federal programs (such as Welfare) to the County during the initial plan's effective period. Recoveries due solely from the plan will be shared equally by the County and the Consultant until Consultant's fees are paid in full. Then all additional funds are paid to the County. Payment to Consultant will be made within two weeks of receipt by the County of Federal funds realized soley from the plan.

6. Changes. The County may, from time to time, require changes in the scope of the services of the Consultant to be performed hereunder. Such changes, which are mutually agreed upon by and between the County and the Consultant, shall be incorporated in written amendment to this agreement.

7. Services and Materials to be Furnished by County. The County shall furnish the Consultant with all available necessary information, data, and material pertinent to the execution of this agreement. The County shall cooperate with the Consultant in carrying out the work herein and shall provide adequate staff for liaison with the Consultant and other agencies of County government.

8. Termination of Agreement for Cause. If, through any cause, the Consultant shall fail to fulfill in timely and proper manner his obligations under this agreement, the County shall thereupon have the right to terminate this agreement with or without cause, by giving written notice to the Consultant of such termination and specifying the effective date thereof, at least five (5) days before the effective date of such termination.

9. Information and Reports. The Consultant shall, at such time and in such form as the County may require, furnish such periodic reports concerning the status of the project, such statements, certificates, approvals, and copies of proposed and executed plans

and claims and other information relative to the project as may be requested by the County. The Consultant shall furnish the County, upon request, with copies of all documents and other materials prepared or developed in relation with or as part of the project. Working papers prepared in conjunction with the cost allocation plan will be turned over to the County for safekeeping.

10. Records and Inspections. The Consultant shall maintain full and accurate records with respect to all matters covered under this agreement. The County shall have free access at all proper times to such records, and the right to examine and audit the same and to make transcripts therefrom, and to inspect all program data, documents, proceedings, and activities.

11. Accomplishment of Project. The Consultant shall commence, carry on, and complete the project with all practicable dispatch, in a sound economical, and efficient manner, in accordance with the provisions thereof and all applicable laws. In accomplishing the project, the Consultant shall take such steps as are appropriate to insure that the work involved is properly coordinated with related work being carried on in the County.

12. Provisions Concerning Certain Waivers. Subject to applicable law, any right or remedy which the County may have under this Contract may be waived in writing by the County by a formal waiver, if, in the judgment of the County, this contract, as so modified, will still conform to the terms and requirements of pertinent laws.

13. Matters to be Disregarded. The titles of the several sections, subsections, and paragraphs set forth in this contract are inserted for convenience of reference only and shall be disregarded in construing or interpreting any of the provisions of this contract.

14. Completeness of Contract. This contract and any additional or supplementary document or documents incorporated herein by specific reference contain all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the parties hereto, and no other agreements, oral or otherwise, regarding the subject matter of this contract or any part thereof shall have any validity or bind any of the parties hereto.

15. County Not Obligated to Third Parties. The County shall not be obligated or liable hereunder to any party other than the Consultant.

16. When Rights and Remedies Not Waived. In no event shall the making by the County of any payment to the Consultant constitute or be construed as a waiver by the County of any breach of covenant, or any default which may then exist, on the part of the Consultant, and the making of any such payment by the County while any such breach or default shall exist in no wise impair or prejudice any right or remedy available to the County in respect to such breach or default.

17. Personnel. The Consultant represents that he has, or will secure at his own expense, all personnel required in performing the services under this agreement. Such personnel shall not be employees of or have any contractual relationship with the County. All of the services required hereunder will be performed by the Consultant or under his supervision, and all personnel engaged in the work shall be fully qualified to perform such services.

18. Consultant Liability If Audited. The Consultant will assume all financial and statistical information provided to the Consultant by County employees or representatives is accurate and complete. Any subsequent disallowance of funds paid to the County under the plan is the sole responsibility of the County. Consultant will, however, provide assistance to the County should an audit be undertaken of County indirect costs.

19. Notices. Any notices, bills, invoices, or reports required by this agreement shall be sufficient if sent by the parties in the United States mail, postage paid, to the address noted below:

County of Dinwiddie, Virginia
P.O. Drawer 70
Dinwiddie, Virginia 23841

David M. Griffith & Assoc, Ltd.
1932 Burr Oak Drive
Glenview, Illinois 60025

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County and the Consultant have executed this agreement as of the date first written above.

Dinwiddie County, Virginia

BY: _____
A.S. Clay
Chairman

ATTEST_____
W.C. Knott

David M. Griffith & Assoc., Ltd.

BY:_____
Mr. David R. Mazo
Vice-President

IN RE: REPORT ON GENERAL REASSESSMENT

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, briefly commented on the current status of the general reassessment. He stated that 600 parcels of land had been appraised, and the Reassessment Board has requested that the State send the second appraiser to the County.

IN RE: PAYMENT OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO RENOVATING TAX MAPS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, on August 16, 1978, the Board of Supervisors authorized the Commissioner of Revenue to proceed with the restoration of the existing tax maps; and

WHEREAS, the individuals hired to perform the work, Mr. Ernest C. Curry, Jr. and Mr. William Creadick, have requested that payroll deductions be made from the checks they receive for their services; and

WHEREAS, it was the understanding of the Board that they were purchasing a service from these individuals and not hiring them as employees of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Commissioner of Revenue inform Mr. Creadick and Mr. Curry that no payroll deductions will be made from the checks they receive from the County for their services; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Curry and Mr. Creadick will not be considered employees of the County nor accrue any costs that accompany an employee on the County's payroll.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of November, 1978.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of November, 1978.

IN RE: COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN -- PUBLIC HEARING DATE

The Director of Planning advised the Board that the Planning Commission would be holding a public hearing on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan at their next meeting on Wednesday, December 13, 1978, and asked which meeting in January did the Board wish to hold their public hearing on the plan.

The Board instructed Mr. Scheid to advertise for a public hearing on the Comprehensive Land Use Plan at their evening meeting on January 17, 1979.

IN RE: ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Mr. John Sapon, Assistant Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to answer questions or receive comments they might have.

Mr. Hargrave asked what progress had been made on repair of the schools. Mr. Sapon stated that the architect had been contacted but no decisions had been made. The Board asked Mr. Sapon to offer Dr. Vaughn and the School Board their assistance in getting this work accomplished.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CPDC COURT SERVICES ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Lena Roberts was reappointed to the CPDC Court Services Advisory Council, term expiring October 1, 1981.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--WATER AUTHORITY MEMBERS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich abstained, Mr. M.G. Rainey, Mr. John H. Clements, Mr. John W. Scarborough, Mr. Frank N. Hale, and Mr. T.J. Leftwich were reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, terms expiring December 18, 1980.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--ABIDCO MEMBERS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. W.E. Bolte, Mr. H.B. Batte, Mr. G.C. Martin, Mr. L.C. Boisseau, and Mr. W.C. Knott be reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation Board term expiring 12/31/79; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mr. Lynwood Inge be appointed to replace Mr. N.C. Olgers, term expiring 12/31/79.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CHAPTER 10 BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Dr. Donna Elder was reappointed to the Chapter 10 Board, term expiring 12/31/81.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT--APPOINTMENTS

The Chairman stated that the following appointments were to be postponed: Johnsongrass Control Committee; CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council; CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council; Planning Commission (2 members); and Transportation Safety Commission (2 members).

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:31 P.M. to discuss Personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 3:10 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Hodnett requested that the Highway Department look at Route 601 to see what could be done to correct the damage

caused by the installation of water and sewer line. Mr. Perry stated he could not predict when the Water Authority would be through with their work; however, he would look at the road to see what could be done to improve its condition.

IN RE: REGIONAL LEGISLATIVE WORKSHOP

The County Administrator announced a regional legislative workshop to be held Thursday, December 14, 1978 at the Holiday Inn and asked what members thought they could attend.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 3:21 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 3:33 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA STUDENT SAFETY PROGRAM

Ms. Becky Harris, Co-Sponsor of the Dinwiddie Student Safety Organization and those students who attended the Staunton VSSP appeared before the Board to review the Staunton Program and outline their plans for implementing safety programs in the County. Their first project is to conduct a skateboard safety program at Rohoic Elementary School before the Christmas holidays.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:40 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1978 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | CHARLES L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 6, 1978 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund-checks numbering 78-2334 thru 78-2563 amounting to $99,115.98; Library Fund-check number LF-78-13 in the amount of $207.00; County Construction Fund-checks numbering CCF-78-40 thru CCF-78-42 amounting to $1557.73; and Dog Fund checks numbering D-78-128 thru D-78-135 amounting to $1027.49.

IN RE: PAY CLASSIFICATION AND GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE--IPA FUNDING

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at their November 15, 1978 meeting, the Board of Supervisors authorized the County Administrator to accummulate additional information on what alternatives were available to the County to have a pay classification and grievance procedure plan developed; and

WHEREAS, after a discussion with representatives from the Department of Personnel and Training, it was determined that Dinwiddie was eligible to receive Intergovernmental Personnel Act funds to have an outside consultant develop this plan; and

WHEREAS, the project would include all County departments the Social Services Department, and the School Board at an approximate cost of $7,000 to $8,000; and

WHEREAS, IPA funds are available to local governments on a 20% matching basis of which the majority can be made up of in-kind services; and

WHEREAS, the next step would be to have a proposal developed to put out on bid to a list of consultants for review and selection by the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to proceed with the development of a Pay Classification and Grievance Procedure through the Department of Personnel and Training utilizing IPA funds.

IN RE: AUDIT PRESENTATION AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The County Administrator advised the Board that Mr. Walter E. Cox of Dan Robinson & Associates would be present at

the January 3, 1979 meeting to deliver the 1977-78 audit along with the additional tax-related financial information requested by the Board at an earlier meeting.

IN RE: CLERK'S OFFICE--REPAIR OF DAMAGED SHINGLES

The County Administrator advised the Board that a portion of the roof of the Clerk's Office had fallen off because of deterioration of the shingles, and he did not know at this time what the full extent of damage was.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to have the necessary repair work done and to advise them of the full extent of damage when it can be determined for further action if needed.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CPDC COMMUNITY RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. J.O. Lee was reappointed to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council, term expiring October 31, 1980.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Gilbert Wood was appointed to the Planning Commission to replace Dr. R.R. Butterworth, term expiring December 31, 1982.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Granville Maitland was reappointed to the Planning Commission, term expiring December 31, 1982.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Board instructed the Planning Commission to recommend a representative to the CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council to replace Dr. R.R. Butterworth who submitted his resignation.

IN RE: SLH CONTRACT--GREENSVILLE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign a contract for State and Local Hospitalization with Greensville Memorial Hospital at the rate of $97.07 per day.

IN RE: FORD AVENUE--ROAD ENTRANCE BLOCKED BY TRUCKS

Mr. Leftwich stated that residents along Ford Avenue were encountering difficulty getting to their homes due to large trucks blocking the entrance of the road.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to consult with the Virginia Department of Highways and the State Police concerning this matter.

IN RE: RESTORATION OF LAND MAPS--METHOD OF PAYMENT OF PERSONNEL

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at its regular scheduled meeting on August 16, 1978, the Board of Supervisors approved a verbal agreement--presented by the Commissioner of Revenue, W.E. Bolte--with Mr. Ernest C. Curry and Mr. William Creadick to restore the land maps

in the Commissioner of Revenue's office onto two mylar copies; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Curry was to receive $9.00 an hour and Mr. Creadick, $7.50 per hour as the basis of payment with no deductions paid by the County and no benefits provided by the County; and

WHEREAS, the total amount of the contract was not to exceed $16,000; and

WHEREAS, at its regular scheduled meeting, on December 6, 1978, the Board of Supervisors was advised by Mr. Bolte, that Mr. Curry and Mr. Creadick have stated that they will not continue the restoration of the land maps unless the appropriate payroll deductions are made from their hourly wages; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors informed Mr. Bolte that it was their understanding that the County would not assume any liability under the agreement except for the stated fee per hour; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Bolte indicated he would carry this message back to Mr. Curry and Mr. Creadick and report to the Board at the next meeting; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Bolte presented a letter from Mr. Curry indicating that he would not continue the restoration of the maps unless appropriate deductions were made from his hourly wages;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is authorized to make the appropriate deductions from Mr. Curry's and Mr. Creadick's hourly wages beginning January 1, 1979.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT TO BUILDING FEE SCHEDULE--ATTACHED GARAGES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney is instructed to prepare an amendment to the Dinwiddie County Code, Chapter 6, Building Regulations, Sec. 6-3, (a) (2) deleting "attached garages" from the two cents per square foot fee; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to advertise this amendment for consideration by the Board at a public hearing.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:30 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 28TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1978 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | E.B. TITMUS | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | O.W. EPPS | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | J.R. WATKINS | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | C.C. ASHBY | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | R.L. VAUGHN | SUPERINTENDENT |
| | G.L. SOLOE | TRANSP. & MAINTENANCE |
| ABSENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. - VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF SCHOOL PROJECTS--VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE

The Board of Supervisors met jointly with the School Board to discuss the following items:

1. Bus Garage
2. Roof Repairs - Jr. High, Sunnyside-McKenney, Midway High School, Dinwiddie Elem., Junior High Annex
3. Re-wiring - Dinwiddie Elem., Midway, Sunnyside-McKenney, Jr. High
4. C-R Lights - Dinwiddie Elemen., Northside, McKenney, Sunnyside-McKenney, Eastside, Midway
5. Replace boiler - Midway
6. Sewerage System - Jr. High, High School, Southside
7. Sunnyside-McKenney - interior & exterior - renovation or new facility; new gym
8. Wash basins, commodes, urinals - Dinwiddie Elem., Midway, Jr. High
9. Floor Tile - Dinwiddie Elemen., Jr. High
10. Track at High School
11. Consider use of Architect to evaluate Sunnyside-McKenney School - cost estimate for renovation if feasible; construction of new facility.
12. Science labs - Junior High
13. Computer - payroll, budgetary accounting

Dr. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated he had submitted Items 1 through 7 above to the Department of Treasury to be considered for the next sale of bonds by the Virginia Public School Authority, and he would need a decision by the Board by the end of January, 1979. The Board instructed Dr. Vaughn to secure definite costs on the items needed for their consideration before a decision was made and to make sure that all the necessary repairs were included in their proposal.

IN RE: RENOVATION/NEW CONSTRUCTION -- SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

The Board of Supervisors and School Board discussed at great length the alternatives available to either renovate Sunnyside McKenney Elementary School or to construct a new building. Dr. Vaughn stated there was some question as to whether it was legally feasible to renovate the school.

The Board authorized Dr. Vaughn and the School Board to determine if renovating Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary was legally feasible, and if so, to consult with an Architect to arrive at a cost estimate to do the work.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PURCHASE OF COMPUTER--SCHOOL BOARD

Dr. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that he and his staff had reviewed their bookkeeping needs and determined that a computer would perform the needed work for a cost of $25,000.

This would include two programs, one for payroll and one for budgetary accounting. In their review, three firms were considered: IBM, NCR, and Burroughs.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he was agreeable to the School Board using their present capital budget to purchase the equipment or to sending a letter of intent to purchase to the firm desired pending final authorization by the Board of Supervisors.

Dr. Vaughn stated he would obtain cost estimates on comparable equipment from the three firms for further consideration before a decision was made.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:20 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 3RD OF JANUARY, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDING

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Mr. L.A. Hodnett nominated Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr., Mr. Leftwich seconded this nomination. Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. was elected Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the year, 1979, or until their duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. nominated Mr. T.J. Leftwich. Mr. Hodnett seconded this nomination. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich abstained, Mr. T.J. Leftwich was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the year 1979 or until their duly elected successor assumes office.

MR. T.J. LEFTWICH AS VICE-CHAIRMAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR IN THE ABSENCE OF THE CHAIRMAN.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF GAVELS TO PREVIOUS CHAIRMEN

As established at the January 18, 1978 meeting of the Board of Supervisors, a gavel was to be presented to the retiring Chairman of the Board and a new one purchased for the presiding Chairman.

The County Administrator presented gavels to the following members who previously served as Chairmen of the Board: Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. who served from 1972-1976; Mr. L.A. Hodnett who served for the year 1977; and Mr. A.S. Clay who served for the year 1978.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the minutes of the December 20, 1978 regular meeting and the December 28, 1978 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1977-78 AUDITS

Mr. Walter E. Cox of Dan Robinson and Associates could not appear at this time; however, he did forward copies of the 1977-78 audit to the Board for their review, and is scheduled to appear at their January 17, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-78-7--FLOOD PLAIN DISTRICTS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 20, 1978 and Wednesday, December 27, 1978 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance establishing flood plain districts, requiring the issuance of permits for development, and providing factors and conditions for special exceptions to the terms of the ordinance.

The Director of Planning explained the contents of the proposed ordinance and stated that the Planning Commission recommended its adoption. Mr. Scheid further stated that flood plain measures must be adopted by the Board by January 17, 1979 in order for Dinwiddie County to be eligible to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program.

No one appeared in support or opposition to the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by adding the following:

## Chapter 9 A

## Flood Plain Districts

### Article I. In General


9A-1 Purpose
9A-2 Definitions
9A-3 Administration
9A-4 Applicability
9A-5 Compliance
9A-6 Abrogation and greater restrictions
9A-7 Penalty for violation
9A-8 Severability
9A-9 Municipal liability


### Article II. Establishment of Flood Plain Districts


9A-10 Description of districts
9A-11 Official flood plain map
9A-12 Interpretation of district boundaries
9A-13 District boundary changes


### Article III. District Provisions


9A-14 Limitations, generally
9A-15 Permitted activities
9A-16 Activities permitted by conditional use


### Article IV. Non-Conforming Uses


9A-17 Continuation, generally


### Article V. Special Exceptions


9A-18 Appeals
9A-19 Review criteria
9A-20 Report of findings


### Article I. In General

#### Section 9A-1. Purpose

The purpose of these provisions is to prevent the loss of property and life, the creation of health and safety hazards, the disruption of commerce and governmental services, the extraordinary and unnecessary expenditure of public funds for flood protection and relief, and the impairment of the tax base by:

(a) regulating uses, activities, and development which, acting alone or in combination with other existing or future uses, activities, and development, will cause unacceptable increases in flood heights, velocities and frequencies.
(b) restricting or prohibiting certain uses, activities, and developments from locating within areas subject to flooding.
(c) requiring all those uses, activities, and developments that do occur in flood-prone areas to be protected and/or flood-proofed against flooding and flood damage.
(d) protecting individuals from buying lands and structures which are unsuited for intended purposes because of flood hazards.

#### Section 9A-2. Definitions.

For the purpose of this chapter, the following words and phrase

shall have the meaning respectively ascribed to them by this section:

Flood. A general and temporary inundation of normally dry land areas.

Flood Plain. A relatively flat or low land area adjoining a river, stream, or watercourse which is subject to particl or complete inundation; an area subject to the unusual and rapid accumulation of runoff of surface waters from any source.

One Hundred Year Flood. A flood that, on the average, is likely to occur once every one-hundred (100) years (i.e., that has one (1) percent chance of occuring each year, although the flood may occur in any year).

Section 9A-3. Administration.

The Director of Planning for Dinwiddie County is hereby appointed by the governing body to administer this chapter.

Section 9A-4. Applicability.

These provisions shall apply to all lands within the jurisdiction of Dinwiddie County, outside of the incorporated limits the Town of McKenney and identified as being flood prone as stipulated in this ordinance.

Section 9A-5. Compliance.

No land shall hereafter be developed and no structure shall be located, relocated, constructed, enlarged, or structurally altered except in full compliance with the terms and provisions of this ordinance and any other applicable ordinances and regulations.

Section 9A-6. Abrogation and Greater Restrictions.

This ordinance supercedes any ordinance currently in effect in flood prone areas. However, any underlying ordinance shall remain in full force and effect to the extent that those provisions are more restrictive.

Section 9A-7. Penalty for violations.

Any person who fails to comply with any or all of the requirements or provisions of this ordinance or direction of the Planning Director or any other authorized employee of the County shall be guilty of an offense and, upon conviction, shall pay a fine to the County of Dinwiddie of not less than Twenty-Five Dollars ($25.00) nor more than Three Hundred Dollars ($300.00) plus costs of prosecution. In default of such payment such person shall be imprisoned in the County prison for a period not to exceed ten (10) days. Each day during which any violation of this Ordinance continues shall constitute a separate offense. In addition to the above penalties all other actions are hereby reserved including an action in equity for the proper enforcement of this Ordinance. The imposition of fine or penalty for any violation of, or non-compliance with, this Ordinance shall not excuse the violation or non-compliance or permit it to continue; and all such persons shall be required to correct or remedy such violations or non-compliances within a reasonable time. Any structure constructed, reconstructed, enlarged, altered, or relocated, in non-compliance with this Ordinance may be declared by the Board of Supervisors to be a public nuisance and abatable as such.

Section 9A-8. Severability.

If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Ordinance shall be declared invalid for any reason whatever, such decision shall not effect the remaining portions of this Ordinance which shall remain in full force and effect, and for this purpose the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

Section 9A-9. Municipal liability.

The degree of flood protection sought by the provisions of

this ordinance is considered reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on acceptable engineering methods of study. Larger floods may occur on rare occasions. Flood heights may be increased by man-made or natural causes, such as ice jams and bridge openings restricted by debris. This ordinance does not imply that areas outside the flood plain districts, or that land uses permitted within such districts will be free from flooding or flood damages.

This ordinance shall not create liability on the part of Dinwiddie County or any officer or employee thereof for any flood damages that result from reliance on this ordinance or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder.

## Article II. Establishment of Flood Plain Districts

### Section 9A-10. Description of districts.

The various flood plain districts shall include areas subject to inundation by waters of the one hundred (100) year flood. The basis for the delineation of these districts shall be the Flood Insurance Study for Dinwiddie County prepared by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, dated July 1, 1978.

(a) The Floodway District is delineated for purposes of this Ordinance using the criteria that a certain area within the flood plain must be capable of carrying the waters of the one hundred (100) year flood without increasing the water surface elevation of tht flood more than one (1) foot at any point. The areas included in this District are specifically defined in Table 2 of the above referenced Flood Insurance Study and shown on the accompanying Flood Boundary and Floodway Map.

(b) The Flood-Fringe District shall be the area of the 100-year flood plain not included in the Floodway District. The basis for the outermost boundary of this District shall be the one hundred (100) year flood elevations contained in the flood profiles of the above referenced Flood Insurance Study and as shown on the accompanying Flood Boundary and Floodway Map.

(c) The Approximated Flood Plain District shall be that flood plain area for which no detailed flood profiles or elevations are provided, but where a one hundred (100) year flood plain boundary has been approximated. Such areas are shown on the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map. Where the specific 100-year flood elevation cannot be determined for this area using other sources of data such as the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Flood Plain Information Reports, U.S. Geological Survey Flood Prone Quadrangle, etc., then the applicant for the proposed use, development and/or activity shall determine this elevation in accordance with hydrologic and hydraulic engineering techniques. Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses shall be undertaken only by professional engineers or others of demonstrated qualifications, who shall certify that the technical methods used correctly reflect currently accepted technical concepts. Studies, analyses, computations, etc., shall be submitted in sufficient detail to allow a thorough review by the County of Dinwiddie.

### Section 9A-11. Official Flood Plain Map.

The boundaries of the Flood Plain Districts are established as shown on the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map which is declared to be a part of this ordinance and which shall be kept on file at the County Administrator's Offices.

### Section 9A-12. District boundary changes.

The delineation of any of the flood plain districts may be revised by the Board of Supervisors where natural or man-made changes have occurred and/or more detailed studies conducted or undertaken by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or other qualified agency or individual documents the need for such change. However, prior to

any such change, approval must be obtained from the Federal Insurance Administration.

Section 9A-13. Interpretation of district boundaries.

Initial interpretations of the boundaries of the Flood Plain Districts shall be made by the Director of Planning. Should a dispute arise concerning the boundaries of any of the Districts, the Board of Supervisors shall make the necessary determination. The person questioning or contesting the location of the district boundary shall be given a reasonable opportunity to present this case to the Board of Supervisors and to submit his own technical evidence if he so desires.

## Article III. District Provisions

Section 9A-14. Limitations, generally.

All uses, activities, and developments occurring within any flood plain district shall be undertaken only upon the issuance of a zoning permit, building permit and an erosion and sedimentation control permit. Such development shall be undertaken only in strict compliance with the provisions of this ordinance and with all other applicable codes and ordinances such as the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code. Prior to the issurance of any such permit, the Building Official and/or Planning Director shall require all applications to include compliance with all applicable State and Federal laws.

Under no circumstances shall any use, activity, and/or development adversely affect the capacity of the channels of floodways of any watercourse, drainage ditch, or any other drainage facility or system.

Prior to any proposed alteration or relocation of any channels or floodways of any watercourse, stream, etc. within Dinwiddie County, approval shall be obtained from the State Water Control Board. Further, notification of the proposal shall be given to all affected adjacent municipalities. Copies of such notifications shall be forwarded to the State Water Control Board, the State Department of Intergovernmental Affairs, and the Federal Insurance Administration.

In the Flood Plain District (Floodway, Flood-Fringe and Approximated Flood Plain Districts) the development and/or use of land shall be permitted in accordance with the regulations of this ordinance provided that all such uses, activities, and/or development shall be undertaken in strict compliance with the floodproofing and related provisions contained in the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and all other applicable codes and ordinances.

In addition, in the Floodway District no development shall be permitted except where the effect of such development on flood heights is fully offset by accompanying improvements which have been approved by all appropriate local and/or State authorities as required above.

The placement of any mobile home, within the Flood Plain District, is specifically prohibited.

In regard to design criteria for utilities and facilities see Chapter 15 of the Dinwiddie County Code.

Section 9A-15. Permitted activities.

In the Flood Plain District the following activities are permitted provided that they are in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance and are not prohibited by any other ordinance and provided that they do not require structures, fill, or storage of materials and equipment.

(a) Agricultural uses such as general farming, pasture, grazing, outdoor plant nurseries, horticulture, truck farming, forestry, sod farming, and wild crop harvesting.

(b) Public and private recreational uses and activities such as parks, day camps, picnic grounds, golf courses, boat launching, and swimming areas, hiking, and horseback riding trails, wildlife and nature preserves, game farms, fish hatcheries, trap and skeet game ranges, and hunting and fishing areas.

(c) Accessory residential uses such as yard areas, gardens, play area, and pervious parking and loading areas, airport landing strips, etc.

Section 9A-16. Activities permitted by condition use.

The following activities may be permitted provided that they are in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance and are not prohibited by any other Ordinance:

(a) Structures, except for mobile homes, accessory to the uses and activities in Section A above.
(b) Utilities and public facilities and improvements such as railroads, streets, bridges, trasmission lines, pipe lines, water and sewage treatment plants, and other similar or related uses.
(c) Water-related uses and activities such as marinas, docks, wharves, piers, etc.
(d) Extraction of sand, gravel, and other materials.
(e) Temporary uses such as circuses, carnivals, and similar activities. For the review procedure involving issuance of a conditional use permit see Section 17-93 of the Dinwiddie County Code.

## Article IV. Non-Conforming Uses

Section 9A-17. Continuation, generally.

A structure or use of a structure or premises which lawfully existed before the enactment of these provisions, but which is not in conformity with these provisions, may be continued subject to the following conditions:

(a) Existing structures and/or uses located in the Floodway District shall not be expanded or enlarged.
(b) The modification, alteration, repair, reconstruction or improvement of any kind to a structure and/or use, shall be undertaken only in full compliance with the provisions of the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code.

(c) Uses of adjuncts thereof which are, or become, nuisances shall not be permitted to continue.

(d) If any nonconforming use (structure or activity) is discontinued for a period exceeding two (2) years after the enactment of this ordinance, it shall be deemed abandoned and any subsequent use shall conform to the requirements of this chapter.

(e) If a non-conforming use (structure or activity) is changed to a more limited con-conforming use, such use may not revert back to the prior use or uses.

## Article V. Special Exceptions

Section 9A-18. Appeals.

Whenever any person is aggrieved by a decision of the Planning Director with respect to the provisions of this ordinance, it is the right of tht person to appeal to the Board of Supervisors for a special exception. Such appeal must be filed, in writing within thrity (30) days after the determination by the Planning Director. Upon receipt of such an appeal, the Board of Supervisors shall set a time and place for the purpose of hearing the appeal, which shall be not less then ten (10) nor more than thrity (30) days from the date of the receipt of the appeal. Notice of the time and place of the hearing of the appeal shall be given to all parties at which time they may appear and be heard. The determination by the Board of Supervisors shall be final in all cases.

Section 9A-19. Review criteria.

In passing upon applications for Special Exceptions, the Board of Supervisors shall satisfy the following factors:

(a) The danger of life and property due to increased flood heights or velocities caused by encroachments. No special exception shall be granted for any proposed use, development, or activity within the Floodway District that will cause any increase in flood levels during the one hundred (100) year flood.

(b) The danger that materials may be swept on to other lands or downstream to the injury of others.

(c) The proposed water supply and sanitation systems and the ability of these systems to prevent disease, contamination, and unsanitary conditions.

(d) The susceptibility of the proposed facility and its contents to flood damage and the effect of such damage on the individual owners.

(e) The importance of the services provided by the proposed facility to the community.

(f) The requirements of the facility for a waterfront location.

(g) The availability of alternative locations not subject to flooding for the proposed use.

(h) The compatibility of the proposed use with existing development and development anticipated in the forseeable future.

(i) The relationship of the proposed use to the comprehensive plan and flood plain management program for the area.

(j) The safety of access to the property, in time of flood, for ordinary and emergency vehicles.

(k) The expected heights, velocity, duration, rate of rise, and sediment transport of the flood waters expected at the site.

(l) Such other factors which are relevant to the purposes of this Ordinance.

The Board of Supervisors may refer any application and accompanying documentation pertaining to any request for a special exception to any engineer or other qualified peron or agency for technical assistance in evaluating the proposed project in relation to flood heights and velocities, and the adequacy of the plans for protection and other related matters.

Special exceptions shall only be issued after the Board of Supervisors has determined that the special exception will be the minimum relief to any hardship.

Section 9A-20. Findings.

The Board of Supervisors shall notify the applicant for special exception in writing, that the issurance of a special exception to construct a structure below the one-hundred (100) year flood elevation (a) increases risks to the life and property, and (b) will result in increased premium rates for flood insurance.

A record of the above notification as well as all special exception actions, including justification for their issuance, shall be maintained and any special exceptions which are issued shall be noted in the annual report submitted to the Federal Insurance Administrator.

In all other respects, said Ordinance is reordained in its entirety.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-78-8--AMENDMENT TO SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE--FLOOD PRONE AREAS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 20, 1978 and Wednesday, December 27, 1978 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance amending the subdivision ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, by providing for design criteria for utilities and facilities in flood prone areas.

The Director of Planning explained the content of this proposed amendment and its relation to the flood plain ordinance . The Planning Commission recommended its adoption.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended be further amended as follows:

1. add to Section 15-6. Advertising standards.

(c) Lands subject to periodic inundation by floodwater of the one in one-hundred year storm as determined by the Flood Insurance Study prepared by the U.S. Department of HUD, Federal Insurance Administration, dated July 1978.

2. add to Section 15-23. Preliminary plat - Generally; contents.

(j) All lands found within the floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Study for Dinwiddie County prepared by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, dated July 1978.

3. add to Section 15-31. Land subject to flooding.

All new or replacement sanitary sewer facilities, and private package sewage treatment plants (including all pumping stations and collector systems) shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the systems and discharges from the systems into the flood waters. In addition, they should be located and constructed to minimize or eliminate flood damage and impairment.

All new or replacement water facilities shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the system, and be located and constructed to minimize or eliminate flood damages.

All utilities such as gas lines, electrical and telephone systems, being placed in flood-prone areas should be located, elevated (where possible) and constructed to minimize the chance of impairment during a flooding occurrence.

Streets and sidewalks should be designed to minimize their potential for increasing and aggravating the levels of flood flow. Drainage openings shall be required to sufficiently discharge flood flows without unduly increasing flood heights.

All storm drainage facilities shall be designed to convey the flow of surface waters without damage to persons or property. The system shall insure drainage away from buildings and on-site waste disposal sites. The Board of Supervisors may require a primary underground system to accommodate frequent floods and a secondary surface system to accommodate larger, less frequent floods. Drainage plans shall be consistent with local and regional drainage plans. The facilities shall be designed to prevent the discharge of excess runoff onto adjacent properties.

In all other respects said Subdivision Ordinance is readopted in its entirety.

IN RE: REASSESSMENT REPORT

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to present a brief report on the progress of the general reassessment. He stated that 873 parcels have been appraised as of January 1, 1979 and the second appraiser is to begin work, January 21, 1979.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF APPRAISERS AS TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at its regular scheduled Board meeting on June 21, 1978, Mr. Fred Forberg of the Department of Taxation proposed to perform the 1979 Reassessment for Dinwiddie County at a cost of $41,200; and

WHEREAS, this $41,200 was to be paid directly to the Department of Taxation with the Department of Taxation paying the appraisers' salaries and expenses; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Forberg stated that an official contract could not be executed until August of 1978, regarding Dinwiddie County's reassessment; and

WHEREAS, during the first week of October, 1978, Mr. Forberg advised the County that he could not execute a contract until after January 1, 1979; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Forberg requested that the County pay directly the appraisers until January 1, 1979, at which time the Department of Taxation would pick up the appraisers' salaries and expenses; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Forberg has advised the County that the Department of Taxation does not intend to pick up the appraisers' salaries and expenses on January 1 as previously stated; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Forberg has requested the County continue paying the appraisers' salaries and expenses directly;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby agrees to continue paying the two appraisers directly; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia classifies the two appraisers as temporary employees.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of December, 1978. Mr. Jones advised the Board that pre-printed applications for county tags were enclosed in all the state income tax packages mailed out with instructions to be returned when county tags were purchased. He stated that this was a pilot program and requested that the press publicize their efforts.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN DEED CONVEYING UNION CAMP CORPORATION PROPERTY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Vice-Chairman be authorized to sign the deed between the County of Dinwiddie and Union Camp Corporation conveying 13.08 acres to Dinwiddie County at a cost of $6,533.00.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of December, 1978.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Deputy Dog Warden, presented his report for the month of December, 1978.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM GENERAL FUND TO DOG FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie

County, Virginia that $2500.00 be transferred from the General Fund to the Dog Fund to cover payroll and expenses through the months of January and February, 1979.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have. She stated that the Food Stamp Program had increased due to the recent changes in regulations and her department was working to stay on top of the requests.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. R.L. Vaughn appeared before the Board to submit his monthly financial report and answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Hodnett asked tht the stop sign be replaced at the intersection of Sterling Road and Oak Street. He further requested that the Highway Department move towards repairing those roads damaged by the installation of water and sewer, as soon as the work is completed.

Mr. Hargrave asked what steps were going to be taken to improve Route #1 near the home of Clifford Reese after the resurfacing experiment. Mr. Medlock stated that since Route 1 was an experimental project, the Highway Department wanted to study the results of the work very closely before making any changes in that area.

The County Administrator stated that he would be forwarding a letter to the Highway Department very shortly requesting a cost analysis on Bishop Street in the South Dinwiddie Subdivision. The landowners along that street have shown an interest in having the street taken into the secondary system.

Mr. Medlock advised the Board that he had been contacted several times by representatives of the N & W Railroad concerning the problem of dumping at the intersection of Rt. 142 and Rt. 672; however, since it was not on State right of way, the Highway Department did not have the authority to take any corrective action.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstained, Mr. Granville Maitland, Wilson, Virginia; Mr. Max D. Roberts, Rt. 2, Box 135, McKenney, Virginia; and Mr. A.S. Clay, DeWitt, Virginia were appointed to the Johnsongrass Control Committee.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED COUNTY POLICIES--AUTO ACCIDENTS AND WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION

The County Administrator presented proposed policies dealing with the reporting procedure of auto accident claims and workmen's compensation claims for their consideration.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to see the auto accident policy be distributed to those county offices responsible for county vehicles and claims for their input with the understanding that a policy will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Hodnett requested that a statement be inserted that if the accident or claim is not reported within the required period of time, the individual might be responsible for payment of the claim.

The Board agreed and instructed the County Administrator to distribute the auto accident policy and workmen's compensation policy to those county offices responsible for their comments by the January 17, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: DRAFT RFP--CLASSIFICATION AND PAY PLAN

The Administrative Assistant handed out draft copies of the RFP to be sent to various consultant firms for bids to develop a classification and pay plan and grievance procedure for Dinwiddie County and asked for the Board's comments and recommendations.

IN RE: OLD HICKORY VFD--DISPOSAL OF OLD CHASSIS

Mr. Clay stated that he had been contacted by the Old Hickory VFD concerning the chassis they received from Carson VFD. They advised Mr. Clay that it could not be used because of its size and would like for the County to dispose of it.

The County Attorney advised the Board that it could be sold at public auction without authorization by the Circuit Court Judge.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to see it sold at the same time the old Sheriff's cars were sold.

The County Administrator stated there were several items stored in the attic of the Courthouse which should be cleaned up and sold or disposed of. The Board instructed the County Administrator to move ahead on that project.

IN RE: RECONCILIATION--COURTHOUSE CONTRACT

The County Administrator stated that he and the County Attorney were working towards resolving the differences between the Contractor's bills and the original contract on the renovation of the courthouse which should be ready for their consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

The County Administrator presented a solid waste management plan prepared by the federal and state government, which stated that by 1990, landfills would be obsolete because of increasing government regulations in that area.

IN RE: PROPOSED HEALTH BUILDING LEASE AGREEMENT

The County Administrator advised the Board that he would like to finalize the proposed health building lease agreement with the County Attorney and forward it to the Health Department for their consideration if the members had no comments or changes to be made. The Board concurred with the proposed lease as presented.

IN RE: FORD AVENUE

The County Administrator stated that he was working with the Highway Department to resolve what was contained in ther permit they granted before requesting assistance from the State Police to resolve the problem involving large gas trucks blocking the entrance to Ford Avenue.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:25 P.M.

T. J. Leftwich
T. J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. Knott
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the minutes of the January 3, 1979 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1 through 79-102 amounting to $57,010.85; Litter Control Fund check number LC-79-1 in the amount of $3,322.00; History Book Fund checks-numbering HB-79-1 through HB-79-3 amounting to $212.11; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-1 through D-79-3 amounting to $850.30; County Construction Fund checks-number CCF-79-1 in the amount of $3,208.21.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1977-78 STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS & DISBURSEMENTS

Mr. Walter E. Cox, of Dan Robinson & Associates, appeared before the Board to present the 1977-78 audit and answer any questions they might have.

He also presented a ten-year statement of receipts and disbursements previously requested by the Board for their use in budget discussions.

Mr. Cox distributed a copy of HB 1306 for the Board's review that might have a considerable impact on the local government financial operations in relation to the recent case involving Isle of Wight County.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PUBLISH THE 1977-78 STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to publish the 1977-78 Statement of Receipts and Disbursements in the Progress-Index.

IN RE: COMPREHENSIVE LAND-USE PLAN--ADOPTION

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 3, 1979 and Wednesday, January 10, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to hold a public hearing to consider for adoption the Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Dinwiddie County.

The Director of Planning outlined the proposed changes to the Plan recommended by the Planning Commission and three additional requests submitted since their recommendation.

Mr. Henry G. Walker appeared before the Board to ask when the Sutherland area would be considered for rezoning to a Residential R-1 classification. Mr. Scheid stated that the Zoning Map and Subdivision Ordinance, that accompany the Land Use Plan, should be ready for consideration by May or June of this year. The County Administrator stated that with the adoption of the Land Use Plan, it would be "highly improbable (but not impossible)" that a request for rezoning of property in that area to B-2 would be approved. Mr. Hodnett stated it would be "highly unlikely" that Business would locate there, and he felt that Mr. Walker and the residents in that area had achieved what they were working for.

No one appeared to speak in support of or in opposition to the Comprehensive Land Use Plan as presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Comprehensive Land Use Plan be adopted as presented with those changes incorporated recommended by the Planning Commission; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following three changes also be incorporated as requested:

1. That the property owned by Reverand R.J. Cutchin located on the east side of U.S. #1 about one and one half miles North of the Courthouse remain Business B-2.

2. That the property owned by Mr. Gilbert Henshaw located on Rt. 460 across from the Rohoic Elem. School remain Business B-2.

3. That the land located on the intersection of Rt. 622 and Rt. 460 near the Ford Post Office, be considered for Business Use.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-78-12--RONNIE RICHARDSON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 3, 1979 and Wednesday, January 10, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to hold a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the district classification from "Residential R-1" to "Residential R-2", Section 21-1, Parcel (1) Block 9, Lot 5 containing approximately 1/2 acre. Said land is located on Floyd Avenue in the Maitland Village Subdivision.

Mr. Richardson appeared in support of his rezoning request. He stated that his wife wished to open a one-chair beauty shop of which she would be the only operator and there would be no advertising.

The following people also appeared in support of this rezoning request: Robert Kafka and Warren Sutton.

No one appeared in opposition.

The Planning Commission recommended disapproval of this rezoning request at their December 13, 1978 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", rezoning request P-78-12 of Mr. Ronnie Richardson was disapproved.

IN RE: FIRE DEPARTMENTS--DISCUSSION OF COMMUNICATION PROBLEMS

Mr. Ben Hawkins of the Namozine VFD, appeared before the Board to discuss the communications problems the fire departments face in receiving and sending messages through the Dispatching

Office.

After a general discussion, the Board asked Mr. Hawkins and the other fire departments present to remain until the end of the meeting to jointly discuss alternatives to alleviate some of these problems.

IN RE: RESCUE SQUAD--PARTICIPATION IN PURCHASE OF TRANSPORT UNIT

Mr. Earl Gwaltney, President, Rescue Squad, appeared before the Board to request the County's assistance in the purchase of a transport unit for the Rescue Squad. The unit is a 1978 repossessed model with 14,500 miles on it and could be purchased equipped with radio for $15,943.53. Mr. Gwaltney stated it would be economical to the Squad because of the gasoline savings as well as a decrease in the use of the present ambulances. Mr. Hargrave asked if the use of the transport unit might eliminate the need to purchase a new SWAB body every year as has been done in the past and Mr. Gwaltney stated that he felt it would. Mr. James Ritchie, representing the Rescue Squad Board of Directors, stated that the purchase of the unit was approved unanimously by the Board of Directors.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett abstained,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $15,000 be appropriated to the Dinwiddie Ambulance and Rescue Squad towards the purchase of a 1978 Transport Van.

IN RE: DONALD ANDREWS--FIRE PROTECTION

Mr. Donald Andrews appeared before the Board to discuss fire protection in the County. He stated that he felt their equipment was very outdated and unsafe to operate and urged the Board to move ahead on meeting the departments' needs in the future.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE #1993

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge has filed a record of all receipts and disbursements for 1978; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 has paid its $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Bingo and Raffle permit for Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 be renewed for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: PETERSBURG JAYCEES PIG-NIC--APPROVAL OF APPLICATION

Mr. Robert C. Walker, representing the Petersburg Jaycees, appeared before the Board to request their approval of a permit to sponsor a barbecue on Wednesday, April 25, 1979 between 4:00 and 8:00 P.M. at the Petersburg Airport property located in the County. A crowd of 1500 is expected with tickets to be sold prior to the event. A portion of the proceeds would be given to the Southside Virginia Emergency Crew and Dinwiddie Rescue Squad.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the application of the Petersburg Jaycees to hold a barbecue on April 25, 1979 was approved with the conditions as stated therein.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITION TO RADIO MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS

Mr. Hodnett advised the Board that the Namozine VFD has four radios and a base station for which they are paying maintenance on a call basis, which could be placed under the County's maintenance contract.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the base station, one solid state mobile unit, one hybrid mobile unit and two tube-type mobile units for the Namozine VFD were approved to be placed under the County's maintenance contract, effective February 1, 1979.

IN RE: ROUTE 666--REQUEST TO BE HARD-SURFACED

Mr. Romel Tucker and several citizens who live along Route 666 from Rt. 626 to Rt. 670 and Rt. 670 from Rt. 682 to Rt. 666 appeared before the Board to express their concern for the poor condition of the road and asked the Board's assistance in having the road improved and hard surfaced.

The Board advised Mr. Tucker that the County roads were under the control of the State Highway Department and are constructed when funds become available. They also advised Mr. Tucker to leave his name and telephone number with the Secretary and a representative from the Highway Department would be asked to contact him concerning this road.

IN RE: BOARD OF ASSESSORS--PAYMENT FOR MILEAGE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Clay stated he had been contacted by Mr. Rennie Bridgman requesting that mileage be paid to the Board of Assessors members when they are required to use their personal cars; and

WHEREAS, the reason the Board members would be required to use their personal cars for their work in the County would be because the Assessor's car was too small to carry all the members;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that 15¢ per mile be paid to each member of the Board of Assessors who has to use his personal car in traveling throughout the County for the general reassessment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the amount of mileage paid to individual Board members for use of their cars be deducted from the travel expenses paid to the Assessor for that month.

IN RE: BOARD OF ASSESSORS--H. BARNER BATTE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. H. Barner Batte be recommended for appointment by the Circuit Court Judge to the Reassessment Board to replace Mr. E.G. Mitchell, who resigned because of health reasons.

IN RE: VEPCO POLE--INSTALLATION ON NAMOZINE VFD LAND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye" the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Virginia Electric and Power Company has requested permission to install a pole on the Namozine VFD land to provide service to the Water Authority pumping station; and

WHEREAS, a VEPCO representative has met with the VFD to determine a satisfactory location;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that VEPCO is hereby authorized to install a pole on the Namozine VFD property to provide service to a pumping station for the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:45 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:03 P.M.

IN RE: FIRE DEPARTMENTS--MEETING TO DISCUSS COMMUNICATIONS PROBLEMS

Representatives from those fire departments present remained to discuss various alternatives to improve emergency communications in the County. After a brief discussion, a meeting was set for Monday, January 22, 1979 at 7:30 P.M. for the Board to meet jointly with representatives of the fire departments, rescue squad, and Sheriff's Department.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT--LEON J. BOISSEAU

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a dispute has arisen concerning proper billing for work done for Dinwiddie County on the Court House Building by Leon J. Boisseau, Inc., pursuant to a contract dated January 16, 1978, and

WHEREAS, this dispute has gone unresolved since October, 1978, and the County is desirous of settling this dispute, and

WHEREAS, the County, through its Board of Supervisors acting at its regular meeting, January 17, 1979, authorized the payment of an additional $3,208.21 to Leon J. Boisseau, Inc. (bringing the total paid under said contract and for extras to $87,054.71, the total bill having been $102,184.08) as payment in full for all services provided and billed through December 15, 1978, provided said Corporation agrees to accept said offer in complete satisfaction of all claims of any kind whatsoever through December 15, 1978, and further agrees that the only additional items to be billed are in the following categories:

1. Construction and installation of Judge's bench for the Circuit Court Room

2. Refinishing remainder of benches and chairs for the Court House

3. Purchase and installation of new hardware for all doors in the Court House not to exceed $4,225.00

4. Removal of present flooring in the old District Court Clerk's Office and installation of a concrete floor properly installed and carpeted with the County agreeing to pay one-half (1/2) of said cost per bills presented not to exceed $1,000.00 and

WHEREAS, Leon J. Boisseau, Inc. is desirous of accepting the offer of the County;

NOW THEREFORE WITNESS this agreement made this 17th day of January, 1979.

ATTEST:

LEON J. BOISSEAU, INC.

_____
Secretary

By:_____
President

DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By:_____
W.C. Knott
County Administrator

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:45 P.M.

T. J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE EXTENSION OFFICE MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 22ND DAY OF JANUARY, 1979 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HAGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| OTHERS: | E.B. TITMUS, CHAIRMAN | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | H.L. CLAY | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | C.C. ASHBY | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | O.W. EPPS | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | J.R. WATKINS | SCHOOL BOARD |
| | G.L. SOLOE | MAINT. & TRANSP. |
| | REPRESENTATIVES, VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPTS., DISPATCHERS | RESCUE SQUAD, |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |

IN RE: ROOF REPAIR AT JUNIOR HIGH AND MIDWAY--AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH WORK

Dr. Vaughn and the School Board appeared before the Board to request authorization to proceed with the roof repair at the Junior High School and at Midway School as firm bids had been received on those two schools. He stated the proposed cost to be $138,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the roof repair of Midway and the Junior High Schools, cost not to exceed $138,000.

IN RE: COMMUNICATION PROBLEMS IN THE COUNTY WITH EMERGENCY ORGANIZATIONS

The Board received comments and suggestions from the Sheriff's Department, Rescue Squad, and Volunteer Fire Departments present to resolve any difficulties they were having with emergency communications in the County.

The following suggestions and courses of action were agreed upon:

1. A large scale map explicitly showing areas of responsibility for each fire department will be placed in the Dispatcher's Office for their use. The same map will also be distributed to the fire departments and rescue squad.

2. The Dispatchers were asked to alert a whole department when a fire call is received and the next closest department as a backup.

3. A committee was set up to mutually agree upon a set of guidelines to be followed by the Dispatcher on duty when emergency calls are received.

4. The Board instructed the County Administrator to place the authorization to proceed with bids on a new base station on the agenda for their February 7, 1979 meeting.

5. The Rescue Squad agreed to be called to report to all structural fires.

6. The Dispatchers were advised to tell citizens involved in the fire calls to contact the fire chief whose department worked the fire if they have any questions or complaints.

IN RE: TRAINING SESSIONS

The Deputy Coordinator advised the group of a training session on hazardous materials to be held March 12 and 13 at the fire house in Colonial Heights. She also asked for their participation in a radiological monitoring school to be set up shortly with the Rescue Squad.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:15 P.M.

T. J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY, 1979 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | M.J. RAINEY, JR., CHAIRMAN | WATER AUTHORITY |
| | E.F. MASSIE, JR. | R. STUART ROYER & ASSOC. |
| | W.C. SCHEID | DIRECTOR OF PLANNING |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PETERSBURG AIRPORT PROPERTY

The County Administrator reviewed the items that would be discussed in a joint meeting with the Petersburg City Council, Thursday, February 1, 1979 at 7:30 P.M. in City Council Chambers. The topics include the Master Plan for the Petersburg Airport and its development for industrial prospects.

IN RE: FMHA INDUSTRIAL GRANT

The Director of Planning reviewed the Industrial Grant approved by the Farmer's Home Administration for the County of Dinwiddie in the amount of $150,000. He stated that this grant can be used for the installation of water and sewer lines to the proposed industrial site at the Petersburg Airport. Mr. E.F. Massie, Jr., R. Stuart Royer and Associates, stated that the design work on water and sewer lines had been completed to the Rohoic Elementary School. The design work must be done from this point to the industrial site located on Petersburg Airport property.

Mr. Scheid pointed out that it was necessary for the County to own the property that was classified as the Industrial Park and to which the water and sewer lines were to be run. In its application to the Farmer's Home Administration, the Board of Supervisors had listed the Bradt site as the proposed Industrial Park. An indication from the Farmer's Home Administration that they would agree to the transfer of the Industrial Park from the Bradt site to the Petersburg Airport was needed. Mr. Massie further stated that contingent upon the completion of Sections J and K of the first phase of the original water and sewer project and an availability of industrial funds from the County and the Farmer's Home Administration, he felt that both water and sewer could be put into operation for industrial purposes at the Petersburg Airport by the Fall of 1979.

Numerous questions have been asked above and these answers should be forthcoming as a result of discussions with the City of Petersburg and the Farmer's Home Administration.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE BIDS FOR PAVING PARKING LOT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Administrator is hereby instructed to secure bids in the following manner on the parking lot serving the Administration, Health, and Social Services Building:

1. Tar and Gravel - Life Expectancy
2. Asphalt - Life Expectancy

IN RE: PROTECTION AT LANDFILL

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr.

Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there have been numerous breakins at the Landfill with the County suffering a large amount of damage and loss of property; and

WHEREAS, there appears to be little success in capturing those that are responsible; and

WHEREAS, there appears little that can be done to prevent such breakins; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Donald Adams, a Deputy Sheriff, has stated that he will place his trailer at the Landfill, thereby affording some protection to the County's property;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Donald Adams is authorized to place his trailer at Dinwiddie County Landfill under the following conditions:

1. The County will furnish septic tank and drainfield.
2. The County will furnish water.
3. Mr. Adams will furnish electricity.
4. There will be no charged by the County for Mr. Adams locating his trailer on the Landfill property.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

T.J. Leftwich
T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH THE CITY COUNCIL OF PETERSBURG HELD IN THE PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, PETERSBURG, VIRGINIA, ON THE 1ST DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1979 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| OTHERS: | HERMANZE FAUNTLEROY | MAYOR, CITY OF PETG. |
| | FLORENCE FARLEY | VICE-MAYOR, CITY OF PETG. |
| | EUGENE MORRIS | COUNCILMAN, CITY OF PETG. |
| | CHARLES CUTHBERT | COUNCILMAN, CITY OF PETG. |
| | LEROY ROPER | COUNCILMAN, CITY OF PETG. |
| | JOHN SLATE | COUNCILMAN, CITY OF PETG. |
| | MIKE PACKER | CITY ATTORNEY |
| | BOB LEE | CITY OF PETERSBURG |
| | W.C. SCHEID | DIR. OF PLANNING |
| | JIM MCCORMICK | DEWBERRY, NEALON, & DAVIS |
| ABSENT: | GEORGE S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | REV. CLYDE JOHNSON | COUNCILMAN, CITY OF PETG. |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MASTER PLAN OF PETERSBURG AIRPORT--PRESENTATION BY DEWBERRY, NEALON & DAVIS

Mr. Jim McCormick, Dewberry, Nealon & Davis, presented an Executive Summary of the Petersburg Municipal Airport Master Plan Study, highlighting the major sections.

He stated that Dinwiddie County's main concern in relation to the Airport development would be the land use control surrounding the property and the effects of future industry locating in the proposed industrial park. Additional land or easements should be acquired by the City along Route 460 W in order to meet the safety standards set by the FAA.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session with the City Council of Petersburg at 8:43 P.M. to discuss the disposition of real estate. The meeting reconvened at 9:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:55 P.M.

T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the minutes of the January 17, 1979 regular meeting and the January 22, 1979 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-104 thru 79-193 amounting to $56,499.28; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-4 thru D-79-16 amounting to $1746.27; County Construction Fund check CCF-79-2 in the amount of $15,000.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to advise them of the status of the general reassessment. He reported that, to date, 1375 parcels have been appraised. As of February 1, 1979, the second appraiser, Mr. Robert Kidd, submitted his resignation and a replacement has been selected by the State to begin work February 12, 1979.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones, presented his report for the month of January, 1979.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD LAND--ACCEPTANCE OF DEED

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following deed required action by the Board of Supervisors;

THIS DEED, made this 30th day of January, 1979, by and between Petersburg Optimists, Incorporated, a Virginia Corporation, herein called Grantors, and Dinwiddie County, Virginia, herein called Grantee, provides:

WHEREAS, Petersburg Optimists, Incorporated, conveyed 0.47 acres, more or less, as shown on the attached plat as Namozine Fire Department, to said fire department by deed dated March 28, 1959, and recorded May 18, 1959, in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, in Deed Book 104, at page 402, with the restriction that if said property ever "ceases to be used actively as a volunteer fire department or if said property is ever annexed by the City of Petersburg, Virginia, and fire protection is offered by said City, then the said party of the first part (Petersburg Optimists, Incorporated) is to have a right of re-entry and take possession of said property and any improvements," and

WHEREAS, Petersburg Optimists, Incorporated, is desirous of removing any and all restrictions from the above mentioned deed and

also conveying the adjoining parcel containing 0.86 acres, more or less, to the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, for the sum of $12,000.00, the Board of Directors having on November 18, 1978, by resolution so authorized their President to execute the necessary deeds,

NOW, THEREFORE, WITNESSETH: That for $10.00 and other valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Grantors hereby grant and convey with General Warranty unto the Grantee the following described real estate:

> All that certain lot or parcel of land with the improvements thereon and the appurtenance thereto belonging, lying, being, and situated in Rohoic (Formerly Namozine) Magisterial District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, containing 0.86 acres, more or less, lying on the south side of Hill Street in Edge Hill Park and more particularly described as "Part Lot 14" and "Remainder Lot 15" on a certain plat entitled "Map Showing Parcels of Land Situated Rohoic District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, to be Acquired by the County of Dinwiddie, " made by W.G. Chappell, CLS, dated January 15, 1979, said plat being made a part hereof and recorded herewith.
>
> BEING a portion of the same property conveyed to Petersburg Optimists, Incorporated, from Julian R. Gresham, Sr., et ux, by deed dated September 19, 1945, and recorded September 28, 1945, in the Circuit Court Clerk's Office, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, in Deed Book 72, at page 232.

The Grantors covenant that they have the right to convey such lands to the Grantee; that they have done no act to encumber such lands, that the Grantee shall have quiet possession of such lands free from all encumbrances; that they will execute such further assurances of such lands as may be requisite.

THAT FOR $10.00 and other valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Grantors hereby grant and convey by Quit Claim Deed unto the Grantee all their right, title and interest in the following described real estate with the express understanding that any and all restructions or rights of re-entry imposed by and/or for the benefit of said Grantors are hereby released:

> All that certain lot or parcel of land with the improvements thereon and the appurtenance thereto belonging, lying, being and situated in Rohoic (formerly Namozine) Magisterial District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, containing 0.47 acres, more or less, described as "Namozine Fire Department" on a certain plat entitled "Map Showing Parcels of Land Situated Rohoic District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, to be Acquired by the County of Dinwiddie", made by W.G. Chappell, CLS, dated January 15, 1979, said plat being made a part hereof and recorded herewith.
>
> BEING the same property conveyed to Namozine Volunteer Fire Department of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, from Petersburg Optimists, Incorporated, by deed dated March 28, 1959, and recorded May 18, 1959, in the Circuit Court Clerk's Office Dinwiddie County, Virginia, in Deed Book 104, at page 402.

These conveyances are made subject to recorded conditions, restructions, and easements affecting the properties hereby conveyed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Vice-Chairman is hereby authorized to sign the above described deed on behalf of the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a check in the amount of $12,000 be forwarded to the Petersburg Optimists, Inc., for purchase of the above described land.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of January, 1979.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. G.T. Hughes appeared before the Board to present his report for the month of January, 1979 and to discuss the new regu-

lations that will affect his department as a result of the Animal Welfare Act and legislation from the 1978 General Assembly.

IN RE: DAVID E. WILLIAMS--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. David E. Williams be awarded $200.00 for two hogs.

IN RE: WHITE OAK FARMS SUBDIVISION--APPROVAL OF PLAT

The Director of Planning presented a plat of White Oak Farms Subdivision for the Vice-Chairman's signature. The plat has been reviewed by the planning department and Zoning Administrator and has received the necessary signatures; therefore, he recommended its approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the Vice-Chairman was authorized to sign said plat of White Oak Farms Subdivision on behalf of Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE NEW SCHOOL BUSES

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase ten (10) new school buses at a maximum total cost of $167,000 for the 1979-80 school year.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to purchase ten (10) new school buses for the 1979-80 school year, total cost not to exceed $167,000; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the funds for the purchase of these new buses be included in the 1979-80 school board budget.

IN RE: TITLE IV PROJECT--ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board has requested that an additional appropriation in the amount of $18,330 be included in the 1978-79 school budget to advance the recently approved Title IV project, consisting of Library and Learning Resources; and

WHEREAS, this project is 100% reimbursable from Federal funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $18,330 be added to the 1978-79 school budget to be expended for the Title IV project, which is 100% federally reimbursable.

IN RE: PETITION TO REPEAL DOE SEASON IN DINWIDDIE COUNTY--1979-80 DEER SEASON

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, concerned citizens of Dinwiddie County have presented petitions requesting that the Board of Supervisors recommend to the Virginia Game Commission that there be a two bag limit with no does included in the County for the 1979-80 deer season; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors does hereby concur with this request;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia recommends to the Virginia Game Commission that there be a two bag limit with no does included in Dinwiddie County for the 1979-80 deer season; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia requests the Virginia Game Commission consider this resolution with the attached petitions at its public hearing to be held February 23, 1979.

IN RE: NEW BASE STATION FOR RESCUE & FIRE FREQUENCY--39.98

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to secure bids for the purchase of a new base station for the 39.98 radio frequency; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that only firms that offer quality communications equipment be included in the solicitations for bids.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS UNIT FOR FIRE DEPARTMENT PRIVATE VEHICLE

Mr. Clay stated that he had been contacted by a member of the Old Hickory VFD asking that he be allowed to install a mobile unit in his private vehicle using the 39.98 emergency frequency.

The County Administrator advised the Board that this could be done by amending the previous resolution adopted by the Board changing 0 units to 1 unit per vehicle for the fire departments.

After a brief discussion, no action was taken.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. W.D. Allen, Jr. and Mr. J.P. Derby were reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, terms expiring 2/5/83.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION--TERM OF OFFICE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, before November 17, 1976, the members of the Planning Commission also served as the Transportation Safety Commission of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, on November 17, 1976, the Transportation Safety Commission was reorganized and new members were appointed to fill the unexpired terms of the original body which ran coincident with the Planning Commission terms; and

WHEREAS, at that time, no length of terms were set for the new membership when the unexpired terms of the Planning Commission ran out;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following individuals be appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission for one year beginning January 1, 1979; and

Sheriff's Dept. - Lynn Spain
Driver Education - Barbara Goodman
Planning Commission - E.D. McKenney
Pupil Transportation - George L. Soloe
Rescue Squad - L.A. Hodnett

Fire Departments - William Queen (to replace Mr. Maurice Harver)
Board of Supervisors - L.A. Hodnett
Sheriff C.L. Mitchell

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that in the event an organization wishes to change its representative on the Transportation Safety Commission, that a letter of resignation be sent to the Board of Supervisors along with the name of the new nominee to replace this individual, subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: COMMITTEE TO MEET WITH PETERSBURG CITY COUNCIL--DISCUSSION OF AIRPORT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. L.A. Hodnett, Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. and Mr. W.C. Knott were appointed to meet with an equal number of representatives from the Petersburg City Council to discuss future development of the Petersburg Airport.

IN RE: AUTO ACCIDENT POLICY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that accidents involving County cars have not been immediately reported to the County Administrator's office; and

WHEREAS, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that repairs to County cars are not being done in the most economical manner; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon every employee that drives a county vehicle to operate it in the safest manner possible; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon every employee that drives a county vehicle to maintain this vehicle in excellent running condition;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia in case of an accident involving a County vehicle, a written report will be on the desk of the County Administrator within two (2) working days after the accident; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that any repairs or maintenance that requires reimbursement by the County's insurance company be authorized by the County Administrator's office prior to said work being done; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors if this written report is not filed with the County Administrator within this two day period, the individual(s) involved shall be held responsible.

IN RE: WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION POLICY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, in the past it has been the policy of the Board of Supervisors that when an employee was injured in the line of duty that his salary would continue to be paid in the same manner as it was prior to the injury; and

WHEREAS, checks received from the Workmen's Compensation Insurance would be credited to the County's general fund; and

WHEREAS, although this arrangement has been monetarily beneficial to the employee, the overall results of this policy have not been satisfactory;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that effective January 1, 1979, when an employee is injured in the line of duty, his salary shall cease and he shall receive the Workmen's Compensation checks; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all injuries incurred in the line of duty will be reported immediately to the Department Head and written notice submitted to the Office of the County Administrator within thirty (30) days; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that failure to comply with these guidelines could result in the employee being held responsible for any fines that might accrue to the County as a result of his negligence.

IN RE: DISTRIBUTION OF AUTO ACCIDENT & WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION POLICIES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the County Administrator is instructed to distribute the auto accident and workmen's compensation policies to all those employees and volunteers of the County effected with a cover letter of explanation.

IN RE: FARM CENSUS PROCLAMATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following proclamation was adopted:

Because the economy of Dinwiddie County benefits greatly from farming, the County's presiding officials bring to the attention of all county residents the 1978 Census of Agriculture.

WHEREAS, the farm census is the source of accurate information on the number of farms and farm operators in our county;

WHEREAS, it is also the source of information about our basic agricultural assets; and

WHEREAS, it also provides accurate data on farm sales as well as farm production costs in our county; and

WHEREAS, many business decisions made by the County's farmers, as well as those who do business with them, depend heavily upon accurate benchmark data from the Census of Agriculture;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia urge all farm operators to fill out and return promptly the farm census questionnaires they have received in the mail and thus help to make the 1978 census the most successful in a series of 31 farm census counts which began in 1840.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL SPEAKER FOR SEPARATE SHERIFF'S FREQUENCY (.54)

Mr. Hargrave advised the Board that he met with fire department and rescue squad representatives to review the present communications situation in the County. Mike Arthur of Cardinal Communications was also present to explain the separation of the Sheriff's frequency from general law enforcement which will be .54. Sheriff Mitchell advised the Board that application for this new frequency had been made.

Mr. Hargrave stated that Mr. Arthur also advised the group that an additional speaker for the console to accommodate the new frequency would enhance the reception and cost approximately $225.00. The County Administrator stated he would invite Mr. Arthur to appear before the Board to explain the operation of our present communications system and the effect of this frequency change.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", Mr. Clay

voted "nay", the County Administrator was authorized to have Cardinal Communications install an additional speaker for the console in the Dispatcher's office to accommodate the reception of a separate frequency (.54) for the Sheriff.

IN RE: PAYMENT TO W.F. HAMM

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the remaining work to be done by the firm of W.F. Hamm Construction Company on the Administration Building has been reviewed by the Architect, the County Administrator, and Mr. Hamm; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the work to date, the Architect and County Administrator concur that a check for $15,000 is an appropriate amount to be paid to the Contractor at this point; and

WHEREAS, after this payment, a balance of $10,665.50 will be retained until all work on the Administration Building is complete;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that check CCF-79-2 in the amount of $15,000 be authorized to be paid to W.F. Hamm Construction Company for work done to date on the Administration Building; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $15,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:10 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:20 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 4:20 P.M.

T.J. Leftwich

T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1979 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: WATER & SEWER RATES--INFORMAL DISCUSSION WITH CONCERNED CITIZENS OF DINWIDDIE

Mr. Laxton Wilson, Co-Chairman of the Dinwiddie Concerned Citizens, appeared before the Board to informally discuss the proposed water and sewer rates with citizens from the Northern end of the County.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

At 7:50 P.M., the Chairman ended the discussion in order for the regular scheduled Board meeting to begin at 8:00 P.M.

G.S. Bennett Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the January 31, 1979 special meeting, the February 1, 1979 special meeting and the February 7, 1979 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-194 thru 79-327 amounting to $73,821.57; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-79-1 in the amount of $99.34.

IN RE: SUSSEX COUNTY IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION

Mr. James T. Perry, Director of the Sussex County Improvement Association appeared before the Board to discuss the functions of his organization and to request authorization to conduct a survey of the needs of the citizens in Dinwiddie County.

Presently, the Association is a federally funded organization serving the needs of the underprivileged, disadvantaged, and minority groups in Sussex County. To increase the organization's activities and thereby receive more federal funding, Mr. Perry would like to expand his organization's services into the Counties of Dinwiddie and Surry. Therefore, a survey is needed to determine the needs of the people in these areas. Federal funding is allocated according to the needs of those people to be served. The only requirement of the Board of Supervisors in relation to these activities would be to appoint representatives to a Board of Directors to oversee the operation of the program if it were expanded to include Dinwiddie County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. James T. Perry and the Sussex County Improvement Association be authorized to conduct a survey of the citizens of Dinwiddie County to determine the need for expansion of a Community Action Agency into the County.

IN RE: CONCERNED CITIZENS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY--PRESENTATION OF VIEWS ON WATER AND SEWER RATES

Mr. Laxton Wilson, Co-Chairman of the Dinwiddie Concerned Citizens of the Northern end of the County, appeared before the Board to formally present the position of these citizens on the proposed water and sewer rates and request assistance from the County in subsidizing the cost of the project.

He stated that the rates proposed would cause a great

hardship on the citizens of the Northern end of the County and felt the burden should be carried by the County as a whole since the benefits resulting from the project would accrue to the entire County. Mr. Wilson requested that the Board transfer $1,250,000 from the Revenue Sharing Fund to pay a portion of the cost of the water and sewer project.

The Board advised those citizens present that they were not in a position to make a decision at this time.

Mr. Wilson asked that the citizens be given an opportunity to meet with the Board before the public hearing on the rates was held and the rates possibly set on March 5, 1979. Mr. John Scarborough, member of the Water Authority, stated that a public hearing must be held; however, the rates could be set then or anytime thereafter.

After a brief discussion the Board agreed to meet with Mr. Wilson and his group on Tuesday, February 27, 1979 at 8:00 P.M. at the High School, if available, to discuss the water and sewer rates.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-1--AMENDMENT TO BUILDING FEE SCHEDULE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 7, 1979, and Wednesday, February 14, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to delete from the Building Permit Fee Schedule based on two cents per square foot the addition of "and attached garages."

No one appeared in support or opposition to the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the deletion of "and attached garages" from Section 6-3 (a) (2) to read as follows:

Chapter 6 - Building Regulations
Sec. 6-3 Fee Schedules

(a) Building Permits

(2) Basements, second and higher stories, two cents per square foot.

In all other respects said code section is hereby reordained.

IN RE: 1979 VIRGINIA PROGRAM

Wendy S. Weber, Administrative Assistant, appeared before the Board to present the 1979 Virginia Program and to request authorization to ask for position requests from the County Departments.

The Virginia Program is a federally funded cooperative effort by colleges and universities to provide summer employment for college students. Cost to the County would be 31% of the gross salary of each individual employed during the period May 1-September 7, 1979.

In the past, the School Board has employed two to three students through this program to provide clerical services at various schools during the summer.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Ms. Weber was authorized to ask for available positions within the county departments for the Board's review and consideration for participation in the 1979 Virginia Program.

IN RE: RURAL ADDITION TO STATE SECONDARY SYSTEM--MAMIE Q. MITCHELL ROAD

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr.

Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation is hereby requested to start construction on the Mamie Q. Mitchell Road off of Route 561 in Dinwiddie County from a now existing balance of approximately $10,600.00 in the rural addition fund of the 1978-79 budget; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this rural addition be completed from the new addition funds which will be set up in the 1979-80 budget. It is estimated that approximately $10,000 will be needed from the 1979-80 budget for the construction of this rural addition which will approximate the total cost of $20,600; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this resolution accompany the resolution of August 18, 1976 to the State Highway Commission in which the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County as requested the addition.

IN RE: 1979-80 HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

The Secretary of the Transportation Safety Commission appeared before the Board to present the projects to be included in the 1979-80 Highway Safety Plan. The total local cost of the proposed projects would be $52,825 of which $31,700 would be hard cash monies required from the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following projects were included in the 1979-80 Highway Safety Plan:

1. Sheriff's Department - To purchase eleven (11) crossbar light systems ($550 each) and eleven (11) sets of County Sheriff Department vehicle emblems ($25 each) for the Sheriff Department vehicles and to purchase a mobile radar unit to aid in enforcement of the 55 MPH speed limit. ($2300) - Local Cost $8625; Federal Cost $8625.

2. Rescue Squad - To purchase and install a 100 Watt mobile communication unit in the Rescue Squad crash truck. Local Cost $1000; Federal Cost $1000.

3. Driver Education - To clear, grade, and pave an 80,000 square foot area for a driving range and install fencing; and to grade and pave an 1800 square foot security area. Local Cost $40,000; Federal Cost $40,000.

4. Pupil Transportation Department - To purchase a mobile radar unit to be used for checking the speed of school buses at random locations and to be used by other law enforcement personnel in the County when needed. Local Cost $2500.00; Federal Cost $2500.00.

5. School Board - To purchase and install two (2) school zone traffic control lights at Dinwiddie Senior High School increasing the number from 4 to 6 in the County. Local Cost $700.00; Federal Cost $700.00.

IN RE: FLASHING LIGHTS--DINWIDDIE JUNIOR HIGH

The Superintendent of Schools presented a request for authorization to secure a permit from the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to install two (2) school zone traffic control lights on U.S. #1 at the Dinwiddie Junior High School. These lights are 50% federally funded through Transportation Safety funding.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting

"aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation is hereby requested to authorize the installation of two (2) flashing traffic control lights on state right-of-way on U.S. #1 at the Dinwiddie Junior High School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the proper permits be filed with the Virginia Department of Highways & Transportation by the Dinwiddie School Board to install these lights.

IN RE: VEPCO SERVICE AGREEMENT--EFFECTIVE MARCH 4, 1979

The County Administrator presented the new contracts for electrical services with the Virginia Electric and Power Company effective March 4, 1979. He stated the new rates were negotiated by the Virginia Association of Counties and the Virginia Municipal League at 2.604 cents per kwh. Included in the new agreement will be a fuel adjustment clause which was not included before and a percentage factor that will fluctuate due to the North Anna power plant. This factor is referred to as Rider #1 in the amount of .002291. Street lights will also increase to $3.67 per month for 3300 lumen lights.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the Agreement for the purchase of electricity and electrical services by Municipalities and Counties of the Commonwealth from the Virginia Electric Power Company on behalf of Dinwiddie County, effective March 4, 1979.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR INDUSTRIAL ACCESS FUNDS--INDUSTRIAL PARK SITE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, E.S.E. Incorporated desires to establish a plant in Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, said Corporation has located a parcel of land in said county lying approximately 2600 feet south of U.S. Route 460 at the Petersburg Municipal Airport; and

WHEREAS, the County not only approves the project, but also is interested in promoting industry in the county; and

WHEREAS, the plant site does not join on any established road and in conferring with the City of Petersburg it appears that primary access to the industrial park site be provided from Route 460 for a distance of approximately 4000 feet.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby make request of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for industrial access funds as provided for in Section 33.1-221 of the Code of Virginia and does guarantee the necessary right-of-way for the construction of said road, it further guarantees the adjustment of any utilities which might interfere with construction; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the requested funds are to be used to construct a road from Rt. 460 opposite from the Rohoic Elementary School, to the property of E.S.E. Incorporated.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett,

Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Church meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that St. John's Catholic Church is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:03 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:25 P.M.

IN RE: WATER AND SEWER RATES--CANCELLATION OF SPECIAL MEETING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors reviewed all the facts concerning the water and sewer rates proposed by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority; and

WHEREAS, an in depth discussion was held with the Chairman of the Authority, M. G. Rainey, Jr; and

WHEREAS, due consideration was given to comments made by the Concerned Citizens of Northern Dinwiddie regarding the water and sewer rates; and

WHEREAS, the Board was of the opinion that holding a special meeting on February 27, 1979, would not allow sufficient time for the County to adequately review its financial position and determine what assistance it could render to the Water Authority;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the special meeting set for February 27, 1979 at 8:00 P.M. in the Dinwiddie High School be cancelled; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Water Authority is requested not to make a final decision on the water and sewer rates at its public hearing scheduled for March 5, 1979; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors at a public meeting will meet with the concerned Citizens of Northern Dinwiddie to explain its position on assisting the Water Authority with its water and sewer rates; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that this resolution in no way obligates the Board of Supervisors to any financial assistance to the Water Authority to reduce the water and sewer rates but does indicate a feeling the Board has that the concerned citizens of Northern Dinwiddie are faced with water and sewer rates that could prove to be burdensome.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:30 P. M.

ATTEST: W. C. Knott

G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1979 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:30 P.M.

IN RE: BOAT LANDING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the boat landing at Lake Chesdin is jointly operated and maintained by the County of Dinwiddie and the Virginia Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries; and

WHEREAS, the County has agreed to maintain the sanitary facilities, provide trash pickup, police protection and open and close the entrance gates; and

WHEREAS, the facilities at the boat ramp have been vandalized on numerous occasions; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors feel that supervision of the gate and facilities would help alleviate this abuse and enhance the operation of the facility for the public;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Administrator be authorized to negotiate with an individual(s) to supervise the public entering and leaving the landing as well as to provide whatever maintenance is needed for the facilities; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this individual(s) will be contracted for a trial period only, service to begin April 1, 1979.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:35 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF MARCH, 1979 AT 2:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G. S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T. J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L. A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M. I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A. S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | CHARLES L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the February 21, 1979 special meeting, the February 21, 1979 regular meeting, and the February 28, 1979 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-320 thru 79-405 amounting to $52,968.49; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-17 thru D-79-33 amounting to $2604.39; County Construction Fund check-CCF-79-3 in the amount of $4,887.16.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1979-80 REVENUE SHARING MONIES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 21, 1979 and Wednesday, February 28, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to receive comments from the citizens of Dinwiddie County on the uses of $350,000 Revenue Sharing in the County's 1979-80 budget.

Mr. Paul Moore, representing the Dinwiddie Concerned Citizens appeared before the Board to request that the Revenue Sharing Funds be allocated to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to subsidize the water and sewer project and reduce the monthly rates for the residents in the Northern end of the County.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the second appraiser, Mr. Paul Hanson, began work with the County's general reassessment as of March 6, 1979. Approximately 1500-1600 parcels have been completed to date.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of February, 1979. Mr. Jones advised the Board that he would be retiring at the end of this term of office and would not seek re-election in November.

IN RE: TAX RELIEF FOR THE HANDICAPPED

The Commonwealth Attorney briefly discussed the various aspects of providing tax relief for the handicapped. He advised the Board that an ordinance must be adopted similar to the ordinance providing tax relief for the elderly, in accordance with the Code of Virginia.

Mr. Bolte stated that his office could handle whatever changes were needed to provide the administration of the program if such an ordinance were adopted.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the County

Administrator and County Attorney to provide them with the necessary information to pursue the establishment of tax relief for the handicapped in the County for the tax year 1980.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Deputy Dog Warden, presented his report for the month of February, 1979.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid presented a revised bike plan prepared by the Crater Planning District Commission for the Board's review and comments. He recommended that Route 226 be reconsidered due to the heavy traffic along that road.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. R.L. Vaughn advised the Board that the traffic control lights ordered for Route 703 at the Dinwiddie Elementary School had arrived and would soon be installed.

IN RE: '208' CONSORTIUM

Mr. Hargrave briefly discussed the present status of the '208' Consortium study and how future efforts would affect the County of Dinwiddie. He stated that the study, to eliminate pollution in the waters of Central Virginia, was completed in 1977 and the actual '208' Consortium body dissolved; therefore, the authority for future use and coordination of the waters lies with the State Water Control Board. The SWCB is now offering continued local input in the planning effort to the various localities involved in the original study. A budget of $100,000 is proposed to finish the project, 75% EPA funded and 25% local. The local contribution would be divided among the localities, of which Dinwiddie's share would be approximately $700. Mr. Hargrave recommended that the County continue to participate in the project as future utilization of the waterways would certainly impact upon the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to forward the following letter:

Mr. Robert V. Davis
Executive Secretary
State Water Control Board
Post Office Box 11143
Richmond, Virginia 23230

Dear Mr. Davis:

Please be advised that Dinwiddie County desires to pursue discussions with the State Water Control Board staff in regard to developing a continuing "208" water quality management planning effort within the Richmond-Crater area.

The County supports the SWCB's staff positions of attempting to redefine the 208 study area and establishing a sound working relationship with the appropriate local governments on a collective basis.

The County is willing to meet at the earliest possible date to discuss this most substantive issue.

IN RE: 1979 VIRGINIA PROGRAM--AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie is eligible to participate as a potential employer for college students desiring employment during the period May 1, 1979 through September 7, 1979; and

WHEREAS, these students will be referred to the County through the Virginia Program from colleges throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, these students will be from Dinwiddie County at a cost of 31% of the student's gross wages with Workmen's Compensation provided by the Virginia Program; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has expressed a need for three (3) students, two at the Senior High and one (1) at the Junior High;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that application be made to the Virginia Program to have the County designated as a potential employer for college students, available May 1, 1979 through September 7, 1979.

IN RE: 1979-80 DOE SEASON--RECOMMENDATION BY GAME COMMISSION

The County Administrator reviewed the recommendations made by the Virginia Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries at their public hearing in relation to the petition submitted by Dinwiddie County requesting a two bag limit on deer with no does for the County's 1979-80 deer season. Due to the percentage of deer kill and the fact that the doe kill does not exceed 40% of the total deer kill, the Committee will recommend for adoption on April 13, 1979, the limits of two (2) bucks or one (1) buck and one (1) doe for the 1979-80 season. If this recommendation is not accepted by the Commission, the original limits of two (2) bucks, or two (2) does, or one (1) buck and one (1) doe will remain in effect.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to receive any comments or answer any questions they might have.

1. Mrs. Robert Brown requested that the ditches be cleared on Rt. 725 and that the sign be replaced.

2. Mr. Hargrave stated that an adjustment was needed in the traffic light allowing traffic to turn left on U.S. #1 onto Rt. 226. Mr. Medlock stated that the adjustment had been recommended by the Traffic Engineer and would be made very shortly.

3. Mr. Leftwich asked what progress was being made with the Sunoco Station at Ford Avenue. Mr. Medlock stated he was working with the owner to solve the problem with large trucks at this area.

4. Mr. Henry Marston requested assistance with the ditches on Rt. 642. He stated that the Highway Department was using a new machine on the road at the present time which he hoped would help alleviate the problem.

5. Mr. Bennett asked what the Department did in relation to trash along the roads. He had been approached by a resident on Rt. 601 which has become a trash problem due to recreational traffic. Mr. Medlock stated that the interstates and primary roads were maintained but not all secondary roads. The Department has been furnishing bags to individuals who wanted to volunteer to clean various areas.

6. Mr. Bennett questioned the status of the unfinished portion of the Watkins Road. Mr. Medlock stated that all the necessary work had been done and it was now the County's decision as to the priority given for the road to be completed. Mr. Bennett instructed the County Administrator to place the Watkins Road on the list to be considered by the Board when they review the priority listings of the rural additions.

IN RE: BUDGET MEETING--VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

The Board agreed to meet with the VDH&T to review the 1979-80 budget for highway maintenance and improvements on Monday, March 19, 1979 at 7:00 A.M. at the Petersburg Residency Office.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--PURCHASE OF HOSE FOR FIRE HYDRANTS

Mr. Hodnett presented to the Board a request from the Namozine VFD to purchase 2400 feet of 3" hose to accommodate the use of the new fire hydrants in the Northern end of the County. The hose would be used by two pumpers, 1200 feet each.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Namozine VFD is hereby authorized to purchase 2400 feet of 3 inch hose for its two pumpers to accommodate the new fire hydrants in the Northern end of the County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this hose will be funded by the County.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE SEPARATE FIRE & RESCUE FREQUENCY

The Board previously discussed with Mr. Mike Arthur, President, Cardinal Communications, the present status of the County's radio system and his recommendations for needed improvements. He stated that the most immediate need is a new base station for the fire and rescue frequency. He also discussed the upcoming change in the 39.5 Sheriff and local government frequency to 39.54. This change would omit local government use of 39.54 and it would become police only. In order to provide clear communication with fire and rescue only, Mr. Arthur recommended that the County request a frequency coordination from the FCC to locate a low band frequency to be allocated to fire and rescue only. The fire and rescue in the County presently operate on 39.98 frequency. The fee for locating this frequency for the County would be $20.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Mike Arthur be authorized to request a separate frequency for the County of Dinwiddie's fire and rescue to remove it from the present 39.98 local government frequency; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the fee for requesting this frequency shall be $20.00.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:23 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:25 P.M.

IN RE: 1979-80 BUDGET HEARINGS--CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS & DEPARTMENT HEADS

The Board set the date of Tuesday, March 13, 1979 to receive budget requests from the Constitutional Officers and Department Heads.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE CONTRACT--ACCEPTANCE OF BILLING THROUGH DECEMBER 15, 1978

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement:

WHEREAS, a dispute has arisen concerning proper billing for work done for Dinwiddie County on the Court House Building by Leon J. Boisseau, Inc., pursuant to a contract dated January 16, 1978, and

WHEREAS, this dispute has gone unresolved since October, 1978, and the County is desirous of settling this dispute, and

WHEREAS, the County, through its Board of Supervisors acting at its regular meeting, January 17, 1979, authorized the payment of an additional $4,887.16 to Leon J. Boisseau, Inc. (bringing the total paid under said contract and for extras to $88,733.66, the total bill having been $102,184.08) as payment in full for all services provided and billed through December 15, 1978, provided said Corporation agrees to accept said offer in complete satisfaction of all claims of any kind whatsoever through December 15, 1978, and further agrees that the only additional items to be billed are in the following categories:

1. Construction and installation of Judge's bench for the Circuit Court Room
2. Refinishing remainder of benches and chairs for the Court House
3. Purchase and installation of new hardware for all doors in the Court House not to exceed $4,225.00
4. Removal of present flooring in the old District Court Clerk's Office and installation of a concrete floor properly installed and carpeted with the County agreeing to pay one-half (½) of said cost per bills presented not to exceed $1,000.00, and

WHEREAS, Leon J. Boisseau, Inc. is desirous of accepting the offer of the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, WITNESS this agreement made this Seventh day of March, 1979.

ATTEST: LEON J. BOISSEAU, INC.

_____ By: _____
SECRETARY PRESIDENT

DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By: _____
Chairman

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that the agreement adopted by the Board of Supervisors at their January 17, 1979 meeting be rescinded.

IN RE: VIRGINIA WATER PROJECTS FUNDING--AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE

The County Administrator advised the Board that funding assistance was available for individuals unable to meet the costs of the water and sewer hookups through the Virginia Water Projects Program. Dinwiddie County is eligible to receive $10,000 for individuals who meet the poverty level set by the program. If eligible, an individual could receive the entire water and sewer hookup or a portion thereof.

Applications will be received for a 30-day period and reviewed by the Virginia Water Projects Administration for funding. An individual will be needed for approximately two to three hours daily, including Saturday, to process the applications.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to secure assistance for approximately 30 days to process applications

for funding for Dinwiddie County citizens through the Virginia Water Projects; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the applications be made available in an accessible location(s) in the Northern end of the County during this period.

IN RE: RESIGNATION OF ANIMAL WARDEN

The Board of Supervisors acknowledged the resignation of Mr. G.T. Hughes, Animal Warden, effective February 28, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE VACANCY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator is hereby authorized to advertise the position of Animal Warden at a salary range of $8500-$10,000, applications to be received through March 20, 1979.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess for dinner at 5:45 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 7:00 P.M.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BOND AGREEMENT--1979 SCHOOL BONDS

The Board met with the School Board to discuss the proposed $1,000,000 school bond issue. Mr. Bennett stated that the Board of Supervisors had serious reservations about approving the obligation of funds to specific projects at this time without specific plans and definite cost proposals to back up the allocated amounts. He stated, therefore, that the Board of Supervisors was in agreement with the $1,000,00 bond proposal with the understanding that the School Board will approach the Board for authorization on an individual project expenditure basis. Mr. Harry Clay asked the Board if there were any particular projects that they were concerned about. Mr. Hodnett stated that he felt $300,000 was too high for a school bus garage and that funding for the driving range was contingent upon 50% funding from the federal government.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he strongly felt there was a need for a project superintendent on the school improvements to be made. He recommended that the School Board authorize someone to perform Mr. Soloe's duties during this period so he could oversee the projects or either hire someone to take the projects over until their completion.

IN RE: ONE MILLION DOLLAR VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND RESOLUTION

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 7th day of March, 1979,

PRESENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| George S. Bennett, Jr., Chairman | Election District #1 |
| Thomas J. Leftwich, Vice-Chairman | Election District #2 |
| Loid A. Hodnett | Election District #2 |
| Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. | Election District #3 |
| Aubrey S. Clay | Election District #4 |

ABSENT:

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

| Member | Vote |
|---|---|
| G. S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| T. J. Leftwich | Aye |
| L. A. Hodnett | Aye |
| M. I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |
| A. S. Clay | Aye |

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF $1,000,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1979A OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. It is hereby determined to be necessary and expedient for Dinwiddie County to finance capital projects for public schools by contracting a debt in the amount of One Million Dollars ($1,000,000), issuing its school bonds therefor and selling the same to the Virginia Public School Authority, a state agency prescribed by the General Assembly pursuant to Article VII, Section 10 (b) of the Constitution of Virginia.

2. It is hereby determined to be in the best interest of Dinwiddie County to accept the offer of the rate of interest which will produce a differential of not more than 1/10 of 1% over the net interest cost to the Authority on the bonds which it will sell to provide the necessary funds to purchase the County's bonds, provided that the offer of the Virginia Public School Authority shall not be finally accepted and the bonds shall not be awarded until the Board of Supervisors shall have approved the rate of interest, as finally determined, which the bonds are to bear.

3. The bonds shall be dated December 15, 1978, shall be designated "School Bonds, Series of 1979A," shall mature in numerical order in installments of $50,000 on December 15 in each of the years 1979 to 1998, inclusive, without option of prior redemption and shall bear interest payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 at such rate as may be determined prior to their sale.

4. At the request of the Virginia Public School Authority the bonds shall be issued initially as fully registered bonds without coupons, with one bond being issued for each maturity, numbered R-1 to R-20, inclusive. Principal and interest on the bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia. The bonds shall be signed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, shall be countersigned by its Clerk and its seal shall be affixed thereto.

5. The fully registered bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

(FORM OF REGISTERED BOND)

No. R___ $50,000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

DINWIDDIE COUNTY

School Bond, Series of 1979A

Dinwiddie County, Virginia, for value received, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the order of

VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY

upon presentation and surrender hereof the principal sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($50,000) on December 15, 19__, and to pay to the Virginia Public School Authority interest from the date hereof to maturity at the rate of _____ per cent (_____%) per year, payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15. Both principal of and interest on this bond are payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia.

This bond is one of an issue of $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1979A, of like date and tenor, except as to

number, and maturity, and is issued pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution and the Public Finance Act, as amended, to provide funds for financing capital projects for public schools.

This bond may be exchanged without cost at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, for an equal aggregate principal amount of coupon bonds without privilege of registration, of the denomination of $5,000 each, of the same maturity, bearing interest at the same rate and having attached thereto coupons representing all unpaid interest due or to become due thereon.

The full faith and credit of Dinwiddie County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this bond.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exit or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have happened, exist and have been performed, and the issue of bonds of which this bond is one, together with all other indebtedness of Dinwiddie County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this bond to be signed by its Chairman, to be countersigned by its Clerk, its seal to be affixed hereto, and this bond to be dated December 15, 1978.

COUNTERSIGNED:

| | (SEAL) | |
|---|---|---|
| Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia | | Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County Virginia |

6. Fully registered bonds without coupons may be exchanged at the expense of the County at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, for an equal aggregate principal amount of coupon bonds without privilege of registration, of the denomination of $5,000 each, appropriately numbered, of the same maturities, bearing interest at the same rate and having attached thereto coupons representing all unpaid interest due or to become due thereon. Upon request of the Virginia Public School Authority, the County shall execute and deliver as soon as practicable coupon bonds in exchange for fully registered bonds. All fully registered bonds surrendered in any such exchange shall be cancelled.

7. Principal and interest on the coupon bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia. The bonds shall be signed by the manual or facsimile signature of the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, shall be countersigned by its Clerk and its seal shall be affixed thereto or a facsimile thereof printed thereon. The coupons attached to the bonds shall be authenticated by the facsimile signatures of the Chairman and Clerk.

8. The Coupon bonds and the coupons attached thereto shall be in substantially the following form:

(FORM OF COUPON BOND)

No._____ $5,000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

DINWIDDIE COUNTY

School Bond, Series of 1979A

Dinwiddie County, Virginia, for value received, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to bearer upon presentation and surrender hereof the principal sum of

FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($5,000)

on December 15, 19__, and to pay interest thereon from the date hereof to maturity at the rate of _____per cent (_____%) per year, payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 upon presentation and surrender of the attached coupons as they become due. Both principal of and interest on this bond are payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia.

This bond is one of an issue of $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1979A, of like date and tenor, except as to number and maturity, and is issued pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution and the Public Finance Act, as amended, to provide funds for financing capital projects for public schools.

The full faith and credit of Dinwiddie County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this bond.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have happened, exist and have been performed, and the issue of bonds of which this bond is one, together with all other indebtedness of Dinwiddie County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this bond to be signed by the manual or facsimile signature of its Chairman, to be countersigned by its Clerk, its seal to be affixed hereto or a facsimile thereof printed hereon, the attached interest coupons to be authenticated by the facsimile signature of its Chairman and Clerk, and this bond to be dated December 15, 1978.

COUNTERSIGNED:

_____ (SEAL) _____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(FORM OF COUPON)

No._____ $_____

June
On December 15, 19__, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, will pay to bearer the sum of _____Dollars ($_____) in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, being the semiannual interest then due on its School Bond, Series of 1979A, dated December 15, 1978, and number_____.

_____ (SEAL) _____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

9. The full faith and credit of Dinwiddie County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on the bonds. There shall be levied and collected annually on all taxable property in the County an ad valorem

tax over and above all other taxes authorized or limited by law sufficient to pay such principal and interest as the same respectively become due and payable.

10. After the bonds have been awarded, the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the bonds prepared and executed in accordance with their terms and to deliver the bonds to the Treasurer of Virginia on behalf of the Virginia Public School Authority upon payment therefor.

11. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this resolution to be delivered forthwith to the Clerk of the County School Board of Dinwiddie County. The bonds hereby authorized shall not be issued until the County School Board shall have adopted an appropriate resolution consenting to the issuance of the bonds.

12. Such officers of Dinwiddie County as may be requested are hereby authorized and directed to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Section 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by bond counsel for the County.

13. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this resolution to be filed forthwith with the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County and within ten days thereafter to cause to be published once in a newspaper having general circulation in the County a notice setting forth (1) in brief and general terms the purpose for which the bonds are to be issued and (2) the amount of such bonds.

14. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

15. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held the 7th day of March, 1979, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Board of Supervisors, this 7th day of March, 1979

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors of
Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: RETENTION OF BOND COUNSEL

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye",

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, desires to retain the firm of Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia, as its bond counsel in connection with the issuance and

sale of approximately $1,000,000 School Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the County has received a disclosure by Hunton & Williams, pursuant to Virginia Code Sections 2.1-349(a)(2) and (b)(5), being part of the Virginia Conflict of Interests Act, of certain members and associates of such firm, and spouses of members or associates, who serve governmental agencies other than the County;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia shall be retained as bond counsel in connection with the issuance and sale of approximately $1,000,000 School Bonds.

2. The agreement to retain Hunton & Williams as bond counsel is a contract for legal services which, in the judgment of the County, in the public interest should not be acquired through competitive bidding.

3. This resolution shall be filed as a matter of public record with the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF REVISED 1978-79 SCHOOL BUDGET

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented a revised 1978-79 school budget for the Board's review and approval.

The Board briefly discussed the 1979-80 budget and asked that the School Board keep their salary increases in line with those of the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the 1978-79 revised budget for the School Board be approved as presented.

IN RE: FORD VFD--AUTHORIZATION TO INSTALL GAS TANK

Mr. Maurice Harver and Mr. Bobby Christopher of the Ford VFD appeared before the Board to request authorization to install a gas tank at the Ford Fire Department building. Since their regular source of gas changed ownership, they are unable to obtain gas beyond normal store hours.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Ford VFD be authorized to have a gas tank installed at the Ford VFD building similar to the one installed at the Namozine VFD building and in the same cost range.

IN RE: 1979-80 BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board briefly reviewed the projected income section of the 1979-80 budget.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $80,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Fire Vehicle Fund.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:20 P.M.

G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF MARCH, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the March 7, 1979 regular meeting minutes be approved as presented with the exception of the In Re concerning the public hearing on 1979-80 Revenue Sharing monies; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this In Re be read for those present.

IN RE: MINUTES--IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1979-80 REVENUE SHARING MONIES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the section concerning a public hearing on 1979-80 Revenue Sharing monies of the March 7, 1979 minutes was approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-406 thru 79-508 amounting to $23,794.76; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-34 thru D-79-36 amounting to $79.00; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-79-2 and LF-79-3 amounting to $129.27; County Construction Fund check-Number CCF-79-4 in the amount of $9,586.89.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-1--R.B. PAYNE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Thursday, March 8, 1979 and Thursday, March 15, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification from "Agricultural A-2" to "Residential R-1A" of certain parcels of land containing 1.1 acre, more or less, shown as parcels 1,2,3,4 and 4B of Insert 24A on the Zoning Map of said County. The property sought to be rezoned is bound generally on the east by State Route 639, on the West by the lands of Silas Hopkins; on the north by the land of Wendell L. Springston and on the South by Rt. 460.

Mr. R.B. Payne appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of Parcels 1,2,3,4, and 4B, Insert 24A of the Zoning Map from "Agricultural A-2" to "Residential R-1A" and in all other respects, said Zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: OPPOSITION TO CHANGE IN QUAIL HUNTING SEASON DATES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a group of bird hunters and farmers concerned about the proposed change in the dates of the quail hunting season appeared before the Board to request that the Supervisors express to the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries the extreme dissatisfaction with the proposed change; and

WHEREAS, the present quail season dates in the State are West of the Blue Ridge beginning second Monday in November, ending January 31st; East of the Blue Ridge beginning second Monday in November, ending February 15th; and

WHEREAS, the proposed change would make the quail hunting season the same for the entire State of Virginia, beginning the Saturday before the First Monday in November and closing January 31st; and

WHEREAS, in the Eastern part of the State, it remains warmer later into the fall; and

WHEREAS, the farmers do not finish harvesting their grain crops until later in the Fall; and

WHEREAS, the quail do not mature until later in the Fall; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has through its own research decided that the change in the hunting season dates would be detrimental.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie, Virginia, that it is totally opposed to the change in the dates of the quail hunting season in the Eastern half of Virginia and urges the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries to retain the present quail hunting season dates for Eastern Virginia beginning the second Monday in November and ending February 15th; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that as indicated above it wishes to express on behalf of the citizens of the County, their opposition to the proposed change in quail hunting season dates; and

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a copy of this resolution be sent to the County's representatives in the State legislature.

IN RE: BASE STATION FOR 39.98 FREQUENCY--AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE

The County Administrator presented the following bids on a new base station for the 39.98 frequency.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Aerotron - 100 Watt MPAC Base Station | $2,278 |
| 2. | RCA - 1000 Upright Base Station | $2,553.50 |

He further stated that the two items were comparable in quality and service.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie

County, Virginia, that the bid for an Aerotron 100 Watt MPAC Base Station be accepted from Cardinal Communications, Inc. for a cost of $2,278.00.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE CONCERNED CITIZENS--MANDATORY HOOKUP FOR WATER AND SEWER

Mr. Laxton Wilson, Co-Chairman of the Dinwiddie Concerned Citizens, appeared before the Board to request their consideration of repealing the mandatory hookup requirement for water and sewer in the County. Mr. Wilson listed the following changes since the mandatory hookup policy was adopted:

1. The number of customers served decreased from 1400 to 960.
2. The County's participation in fire hydrant costs decreased from the original engineer's proposal to $5,000 annually.
3. The method of financing changed from a bond issue with pyramiding payments to FHA financing which cannot be pyramided.
4. The use of asbestos has caused extensive study and concern as a potential health hazard.

With these changes in mind, Mr. Wilson urged the Board to re-evaluate the water and sewer project and consider repealing mandatory hookup to water and sewer in the County.

The Board advised Mr. Wilson they would take this information into consideration. No action was taken at this time.

IN RE: JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL PROGRAM--TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, on November 1, 1978, the Board of Supervisors adopted a Johnsongrass Control Ordinance in the County; and

WHEREAS, the County entered into an agreement with the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services on a 50/50 basis to find the expenses incurred in the administration of the program not to exceed $5,000 per year; and

WHEREAS, funds from the State are available on a reimbursement basis only;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $10,000 be transferred from the General Fund to a Johnsongrass Control Fund.

IN RE: TAX RELIEF FOR THE HANDICAPPED

The County Administrator presented material requested by the Board at their last meeting concerning tax relief for the handicapped. No action was taken at this time.

IN RE: DOG CONFINEMENT DURING MAY--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT

The County Administrator presented a proposed ordinance for the Board's consideration to advertise for public hearing concerning an amendment to the period prohibiting dogs to run at large in the County during the month of May. The ordinance would require dogs on the East side of I-85 to be confined May 1-15 and dogs on the West side of I-85 to be confined May 16-31, 1979.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the amendment to the period prohibiting dogs to run at large in the County during the month of May.

IN RE: WEST PETERSBURG COMMUNITY COUNCIL--APPEAL FOR ASSISTANCE IN HEALTH HAZARDS

Mr. John Crawley, President, West Petersburg Community

Council, presented a request for assistance from the Board in eliminating several problem areas in the Community. His concern was that, although the County had no ordinance governing these problem areas described, he felt the Crater Health District was not putting forth an effort to deal with the health hazards that exist. He therefore requested that the County contact the Health District to expedite a course of action.

The letter presented was read for those present. After a brief discussion, the County Administrator was instructed to relay this information to the Crater Health District requesting their assistance in this matter.

IN RE: WATER PROJECTS FUNDING ASSISTANCE

The Director of Planning advised those present that funding assistance was being made available to Dinwiddie County residents through the Virginia Water Projects to totally or partially fund the costs of individual water and sewer hookups. Individuals would have to be in the low to moderate income bracket to qualify.

Applications will be processed March 27, 29, April 3, 5, 10, and 12 from 4:30 P.M. to 7:30 P.M. and March 24, 31, and April 7 from 10:00-3:00 P.M. at the Dinwiddie County Water Authority building. He stated that the County was processing applications only and at this time did not know what amount of funding was available.

IN RE: WATER AND SEWER RATES--DISCUSSION OF BOARD'S INPUT

Mr. Laxton Wilson asked the Board when they would give a decision as to their participation, if any, in the water and sewer project. He stated his concern was that the public hearing on the water and sewer rates was scheduled for April 2, 1979 and they would like to have the Board's decision before that time.

The Board advised Mr. Wilson that they were awaiting certain information from sources they were unable to control. However, when their decision was ready, it would be made at a public meeting, hopefully by April 2, 1979. If not, the Water Authority would be asked not to conclude their public hearing on the water rates.

Mr. Hodnett stated that as soon as a decision was made by the Board, he would advise the two Co-Chairmen of the Dinwiddie Concerned Citizens group.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:45 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:25 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following Virginia Public School Authority agreement for the sale of $1,000,000 school bonds.

VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY

AGREEMENT

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Proposed sale date: | March 28, 1979 | Name of Locality: | Dinwiddie |
| Amount: | $16,250,000 | | |
| Number of Participating Localities: | 7 | Participation: | $1,000,000 |

1. If the Virginia Public School Authority agrees to accept the lowest bid offered when the bids are opened, the locality will sell its bonds to the Authority at rates of interest which will produce a differential of not more than 1/10 of 1% over the net interest cost to the Authority.

2. Bonds will be dated December 15, 1978. The first maturity will be December 15, 1979; maturities will be annually thereafter in approximate equal annual installments.

3. The Authority intends to receive the bonds in registered form in the amount of each maturity. You will agree to substitute coupon bonds if requested later.

4. Virginia public School Authority's commitment to the purchase bonds will be subject to satisfactory legal opinion.

5. Resolutions should be prepared by the locality's approving bond attorney. The Virginia Public School Authority should be notified promptly when the resolutions are approved.

6. Enter here telephone number (804) 469-3717 to reach your Board of Supervisors in session on March 28, 1979 at 12:15 p.m., for the purpose of formally acting upon interest rates fixed by the Virginia Public School Authority.

7. If the Authority deems it to be in the best interest of the Authority and the participating locality to change the March 28, 1979 sale date, this agreement will remain in effect for sixty days from March 28, 1979.

VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY

By: R.T. Marsh, Jr.
Chairman

Date: March 8, 1979

COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE

By: George S. Bennett, Jr.

Title: Chairman, Board of Supr.

Date: March 21, 1979

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY--ALTERNATE MEMBER

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. M.G. Rainey, Jr. was appointed as an alternate to Dinwiddie County's representative on the Appomattox River Water Authority.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:40 P.M. until 12:00 Noon Wednesday, March 28, 1979.

THE CHAIRMAN RECONVENED THE MEETING AT 12:00 NOON, MARCH 28, 1979. THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS WERE PRESENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| GEORGE S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

ABSENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

*IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE--ACCEPTANCE OF INTEREST RATE

At a continuation of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 28th day of March, 1979,

PRESENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| GEORGE S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| T. J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| A. S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

ABSENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

It was reported to the meeting that the best bid received by the Virginia Public School Authority for the purchase of its School Financing Bonds, Series 1979A, called for a net interest cost of 5.6284% and that the Authority has offered to purchase at a price of par and accrued interest the $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1979A, of Dinwiddie County at an interest rate of 5.72% per year, payable on each December 15 and June 15.

Thereupon the following resolution was adopted by the following roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as follows:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| G.S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| T.J. Leftwich | Aye |
| A.S. Clay | Aye |

BE IT RESOLVED By the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1979A, of Dinwiddie County, heretofore authorized by resolution adopted by this Board on March 7, 1979, be and the same are hereby sold to the Virginia Public School Authority at a price of par and accrued interest from the date of the bonds to the date of delivery and shall bear interest at the rate of 5.72% per year.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a continuation of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the 28th day of March, 1979, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Board of Supervisors, this 28th day of March, 1979.

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:48 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W.C. KNOTT

*Mr. Hodnett and Mr. Hargrave were in contact by telephone with the other members present at the meeting and indicated their approval of the interest rate.

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF APRIL, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the March 21, 1979 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-509 thru 79-604 in the amount of $68,207.38; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-37 thru D-79-45 amounting to $1569.44.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte reported that 2400 parcels of land out of a total of 11,000 have been appraised to date.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of March, 1979. He also presented a statement of sales and fund balances for the Dog Fund.

IN RE: FIRE AND RESCUE TAG POLICY

Mr. F.E. Jones, Treasurer, advised the Board that a number of people joining the fire and rescue departments have requested a refund for their county stickers and a fire or rescue tag to replace it, free of charge. He advised the Board that he thought a county policy should be adopted concerning the distribution of these tags.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to look into the matter and provide them with the necessary information to consider a policy.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of March, 1979.

IN RE: DEPUTY DOG WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of March, 1979.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT RENEWAL--DEWITT, DINWIDDIE, ROCKY RUN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the DeWitt, Dinwiddie, Rocky Run Athletic Association has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal

of their Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Association has filed a record of receipts and disbursements for 1978 and paid the $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Bingo and Raffle Permit for the DeWitt, Dinwiddie, Rocky Run Athletic Association be renewed for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Hodnett voting "nay", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement:

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES
AND
DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA
FOR THE PILOT CONTROL PROGRAM OF JOHNSONGRASS

This agreement is between the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, hereinafter referred to as the Department, and the County of Dinwiddie for the purpose of providing a test program of control of Johnsongrass in said county during the fiscal year commencing on July 1, 1978 and ending on June 30, 1979. In consideration of the promises and mutual covenants herein obtained, the parties do hereby agree as follows:

A. The County agrees to the following:

1. The county Board of Supervisors shall appoint a County Johnsongrass Control Committee who subsequently shall appoint a Johnsongrass control program supervisor, if needed; approve all expenditures of funds for the purposes of this agreement, and; subject to written instructions of the County Committee, administer the Johnsongrass program for the County.

2. All disbursements of funds for the Johnsongrass program in the County shall be made by the County treasurer.

3. The County may disburse funds only for the control and eradication of Johnsongrass in the County and only for the following expenses:

   a. chemicals; b. equipment; its purchase, rental, maintenance and repair; c. labor; d. mileage; e. office supplies; f. protective clothing; g. contractual services.

4. There shall be no reimbursement by the Department for any expenditures by the County, other than those listed in paragraph 3 above, without prior written approval of the Department.

5. Claims for reimbursements from the Department will be allowed only if supported by an itemized list of expenditures. All claims shall be submitted quarterly, but no reimbursement shall be made for any expenditures not claimed before the end of the fiscal year in which they were accrued.

B. The Department agrees to the following:

1. The Department will reimburse the County for fifty percent (50%) of all its authorized expenditures made pursuant to this agreement provided that the total contribution by the Department for this purpose shall not exceed $5,000.00 during fiscal year July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979, subject to the provisions of paragraph C.2. below.

In determining reimbursement, any funds received by the County for services rendered under this program shall first be deducted from the authorized expenditure figures submitted to the Department.

C. It is agreed by both parties that:

1. This agreement remains in force until June 30, 1979 unless both parties agree to an earlier termination date; further, this agreement may be renewed annually, if funds for such purpose permit, until June 30, 1981.

2. The Department may, on or before March 31, 1979, review the expenditures of the County made pursuant to this agreement, and, if at that time there are any funds of the $5,000 allocated to the County which have not been expended, or obligated to be spent by the end of the fiscal year, then such sums may, at the option of the Department, be withdrawn from the use of the County, and its total allocation under this agreement reduced accordingly.

This agreement shall be effective November 1, 1978.

Dinwiddie County, Virginia

by: George S. Bennett, Jr.
Chairman, Bd. of Supervisors

Date: April 4, 1979

Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services

by: S. Mason Carbaugh
S. Mason Carbaugh, Commissioner

Date: March 27, 1979

IN RE: CARSON VFD TRUCK

The County Administrator presented a letter from the County of Prince George concerning the purchase of a fire truck for the Carson VFD.

The bid awarded by Prince George for a new truck was $59,020.02. The County of Dinwiddie, by resolution adopted August 16, 1979, agreed to pay 30% of the cost of a fire truck for Carson, purchase price not to exceed $55,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that, after consideration of the bid awarded by Prince George County for a new fire truck for the Carson Volunteer Fire Department, their resolution of August 16, 1978 remain as adopted.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF AMTRAK ABANDONMENTS

The County Administrator advised the Board of a proposal by AMTRAK to abandon three lines affecting Dinwiddie County as follows:

1. The Hilltopper--East-West Line comes in from West Virginia, through Farmville and ends in Petersburg (one train in each direction/day).
2. The Champion--North-South Line runs from New York to Florida. It follows the I-85 Corridor once into Petersburg (one train in each direction/day), and
3. The Silver Meteor--North-South Line runs from New York to Florida. It follows the I-95 Corridor (one train in each direction/day).

He further stated that public hearings would be held on the proposal to which the Board could forward whatever input or position they desired.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that no response be made to the AMTRAK proposal at this time.

IN RE: COMMUNICATIONS MEETING--APRIL 10, 1979

Mr. Hargrave asked the Sheriff if the communications meeting scheduled for April 10, 1979 at 3:00 P.M. at the jail was in agreement with him and if he and his personnel would be present. The Sheriff advised Mr. Hargrave that he and his personnel would be present.

IN RE: FUNDING ASSISTANCE FOR CITIZENS IN WATER AND SEWER PROJECT

The County Administrator stated that Virginia Water Projects applications for water and sewer hookup assistance were still available at the Dinwiddie County Water Authority Building on Tuesdays and Thursdays and Saturdays. He further stated that the Farmer's Home Administration was extending its boundaries into the County to make low interest rate loans available for water and sewer needs of the citizens in Northern Dinwiddie.

IN RE: PETERSBURG TRANSIT LINE--EXTENSION TO CENTRAL STATE HOSPITAL

The County Administrator presented a letter from the City of Petersburg advising the Board of the City's plans to extend a transit line into the County to serve Central State Hospital. No service would be available West of Central State unless the County would enter into an agreement with the City to be accountable for any deficit occured in providing the service.

Mr. Hodnett stated that he felt the transit line should be extended to provide service to the County residents in the Northern end of the County. He also questioned the City's legal right to extend the route without seeking approval or permission from the County.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to determine if the City of Petersburg could operate a bus line in the County without permission being granted by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:43 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:00 P.M.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION 1979-80 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Friday, March 23, 1979 and Friday, March 30, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing jointly with the Department of Highways and Transportation to receive comments from interested citizens concerning the 1979-80 construction priority list for the secondary system in Dinwiddie County.

The following roads were discussed:

1. Route 642--Mr. G.A. Maitland and Mr. Henry Marston requested that Rt. 642 from Rt. 613 to Rt. 644 be paved.

2. Mr. W.M. Leonard requested that Rt. 601 be considered for improvements due to the growth in population and the increase in recreational traffic along this route. Mr. Hodnett advised Mr. Leonard that it was included in the six-year plan.

3. Mr. Romel Tucker requested that Rt. 666 from Rt. 670 to Rt. 667 be paved. A petition is being circulated to obtain permission from the landowners along that road showing their willingness to donate a right of way to the State to have the road paved. The petition will be presented when it is completed.

4. Mr. John McCormick requested that Rt. 743 between Rt. 460 and 601 be paved.

5. Mr. Clay requested that the following roads be considered for paving-
   A. Rt. 715 from Rt. 613 to Rt. 645
   B. Rt. 680 (that portion that is not hard surfaced).
   C. Rt. 738 from Rt. 645 to Rt. 647
   D. Rt. 637 - dead end off Rt. 645.

6. Mr. Hargrave requested that Rt. 605 from Rt. 604 to Rt. 678 be considered for paving.

7. Mr. Bennett again requested that Rt. 642 be considered for paving. He also asked that ditches on Rt. 746 be cleared.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:45 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:30 P.M.

IN RE: RECESS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Chairman declared a recess until 7:00 P.M.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1979-80 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Supt. of Schools, appeared before the Board to present the 1979-80 school budget and answer any questions they might have.

Ms. Julia Summey, Chairman of the Program of Action Committee of the D.E.A., appeared before the board to state the Association's support of the School Board budget and to urge the Board of Supervisors to approve the budget as presented.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 7:53 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF APRIL, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the April 4, 1979 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks 79-605 thru 79-747 amounting to $83,571.66; History Book Fund check #HB-79-4 in the amount of $9.38.

IN RE: SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL--REQUEST BY SCHOOL BOARD FOR NEW SCHOOL

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to present the following resolution adopted by the School Board on April 10, 1979:

At a meeting of the Dinwiddie County School Board, held at Dinwiddie, Virginia on April 10, 1979:

WHEREAS, the School Board of Dinwiddie County retained the firm of Moseley-Hening Associates, Inc., to evaluate three (3) alternatives regarding Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School.

1. Renovate the present school
2. Construct a new school
3. Combine the student bodies of Sunnyside Elementary and McKenney Elementary Schools: and

WHEREAS, the School Board has studied the report completed by Moseley-Hening Associates, Inc., and

WHEREAS, the vast majority of the parents with children attending Sunnyside Elementary School are in favor of constructing a new school, having appeared before the School Board to express their feelings; and

WHEREAS, these parents understand that to pay for the cost of construction, taxes might have to be increased by the Board of Supervisors;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, on motion of Edward B. Titmus, seconded by Oscar W. Epps, and carried, all members voting "aye", that it is their recommendation to the Board of Supervisors that a new school be constructed in place of the Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the School Board of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that as of this date, the proposed location of the school is unknown, the cost of the school is unknown, and the size and capacity of the school is unknown; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the School Board of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that its request for the new school be favorably considered by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY PTO--REQUEST FOR NEW SCHOOL

Mr. Frank Freudig, President, Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary P.T.O., appeared before the Board in support of the School Board's request to construct a new school. The following people also spoke in favor of the construction of a new school: Denise Winfield, President, Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary S.G.A.; Joseph Lyle, Acting Mayor of McKenney; Mr. Thomas Jordan, and Mr. Edward Veazy.

Mr. Clay stated that he felt a new school was needed and the situation would have to be studied. Mr. Bennett agreed and advised Mr. Freudig he would keep in touch with him as to the progress made.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-2--DOG CONFINEMENT DURING THE MONTH OF MAY

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 4, 1979, and Wednesday, April 11, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the period of prohibiting dogs to run at large in the County to be that those on the East side of U.S. Interstate 85 are to be prohibited during the period of May 1-May 15 of each year and those on the West side of U.S. Interstate 85 are to be prohibited during the period of May 16-May 31 of each year.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this proposed amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following change:

Chapter 4 - Animals and Fowl

Section 4-9. Same - Prohibited for certain times during May.

No person residing in the County of Dinwiddie on the east side of U.S. Interstate 85 shall cause or permit any dog owned or kept by him to run at large in the County during the period of May 1-May 15 of each year and no person residing in the County of Dinwiddie on the west side of U.S. Interstate 85 shall cause or permit any dog owned or kept by him to run at large in the County during the period of May 16-May 31 of each year.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-3--LEO WILLIAMS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 4, 1979, and Wednesday, April 11, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the district classification of a portion of Parcel 18, Section 7 totalling approximately 139 acres from Agricultural A-3 to Residential R-1.

Mr. Leo Williams appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of Mr. Williams' request with the following addendums:

1. There was an implied agreement that the County would look favorably upon the future rezoning of this site especially in view of the original preliminary plat showing future development plans, the provision of a central water supply, the excessive road standards required, the requirement of primary and secondary drainfields and the location.

2. While the request appears to violate the adopted comprehensive land use plan, it is felt that this is the minimum relief which should be granted to the applicant in order to be fair to him, in a economic sense, for the previous development of his land.

3. Future rezoning requests in this area, to include the remainder of Mr. Williams' farm will be closely scrutinized and it should not be interpreted by the applicant or other landowners in the area that this 'leap frog' trend will be encouraged through future rezonings.

Mr. Hargrave asked that these conditions be accepted as presented and added as an addendum to the Board's action on this rezoning application. All members concurred.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of a 53.3 acre portion of Parcel 18 Section 7 totalling approximately 139 acres from Agricultural A-3 to Residential R-1. Said portion of land is shown on a map entitled Preliminary Sketch - Chesdin Manor, dated October 27, 1975 (revised February 14, 1979) prepared by Legat and Legat, Inc. (now known as Legat, Elrod and Associates, Inc.). In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-2--JAMES MUSGROVE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 4, 1979, and Wednesday, April 11, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the district classification from Residential R-1 to Agricultural A-2 of the following tracts of land: Parcels 128B, and 128C of Sec. 21; Parcels 10, 11, 12, 19 and 21A of Section Insert 21G, Block 1; and Parcel 3 of Section 21G, Block 3.

The County Administrator read a letter from Mr. Musgrove, dated April 18, 1979, requesting withdrawal of his rezoning application and permission to present a revised request to the Planning Commission.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby accepts Mr. Musgrove's withdrawal of rezoning request P-79-2.

IN RE: REGIONAL BUILDING CODE APPEALS BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, recognizing the requirement in the Code of Virginia (Sec. 36.105) that it have a building code appeals board constituted of 5 members having expertise as provided in the said Code Section 127. 22; and

WHEREAS, recognizing that the options available are to wit, a local board for said jurisdiction, contracting with another local board or establishing a regional board; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, is of the opinion that the expertise required of board members can best be secured on a multijurisdictional basis;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that, under the power granted it by the State in Section 15.1-21 of the Code of Virginia, it does hereby endorse the concept of the regional building code appeals board, in concert with the other member jurisdictions of State Planning District 19; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses the following organizational items:

1. Initial membership categories and terms of office:

| | CATEGORY | JURISDICTION | TERM OF OFFICE |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Architect | Colonial Heights | 4/30/79-4/29/83 |
| 2. | "Structural or civil engineer of architectural engineering experience." | Petersburg | 4/30/79-4/29/81 |
| 3. | Contractor or superintendent of construction | Emporia | 4/30/79-4/29/84 |
| 4. | Contractor or superintendent of construction. | Prince George | 4/30/79-4/29/80 |
| 5. | Civil engineer or "structural or civil engineer of architectural engineering experience." | Greensville | 4/30/79-4/29/82 |

2. The (mayor/chairman) shall make the appointment, as required.

3. Compensation of members: $30 per meeting, payable by jurisdiction with appeal.

4. The building code appeals board will be expected to develop its internal procedures.

5. The Crater Planning District will act as the repository for the board's files.

6. There is no limit on the number of terms of office, but membership should be rotated, where possible, among all participants; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that their motion adopted August 16, 1978 be rescinded.

IN RE: RURAL ADDITION PRIORITIES-STATE SECONDARY ROAD SYSTEM

The County Administrator read the following list of roads to be considered for rural addition priorities:

1. Mamie Q. Mitchell (under construction).
2. Bobbitt Road (Previously established as next priority).

In alphabetical order:

Browder Road Extension; Oakhill Subdivision; Roanoke Avenue; Robert Walker Road; Watkins Road Extension; West Street.

Because of additional information needed before these roads can be prioritized, the Board postponed action until their next budget discussions with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTED FOR U.S. HIGHWAY #1

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, during the summer of 1978, an effort was made to rehabilitate approximately two and one-half miles of U.S. Route 1 North of Dinwiddie Courthouse; and

WHEREAS, this attempt at rehabilitation was in lieu of paving this portion of U.S. Route 1; and

WHEREAS, this rehabilitation effort has been a total failure with the road having deteriorated to the point that it has had a disastrous effect upon the traveling public; and

WHEREAS, chunks and slabs of pavement have pelted automobiles that travel this portion of the highway, resulting in many broken windows and other damages; and

WHEREAS, the traveling public should not have to endure this hazardous stretch of road;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Department of Highways and Transportation take immediate action to remedy the problems caused by the attempted rehabilitation of this stretch of U.S. Route 1 North of Dinwiddie Courthouse; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this portion of U.S. Route 1 be totally and completely restored to the best condition possible; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this action be taken immediately.

IN RE: CANCELLATION OF SUMMER NIGHT MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the day meetings scheduled for the 1st Wednesdays in the months of June, July, August and September (June 6; July 4; August 1; and September 5) 1979 are hereby canceled; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the regular meetings scheduled for the third Wednesdays in the months of June, July, August and September (June 20; July 18; August 15; and September 19) will be held at 8:00 P.M. as scheduled.

IN RE: STATE EEO PLAN--STATEMENT OF INTENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, in order to comply with Federal regulations, all localities participating in the Personnel and Administration Program of the State Office of Emergency and Energy Services, must either adopt the State EEO Plan or adopt one of their own; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors at its January 19, 1977 meeting adopted the State EEO Plan; and

WHEREAS, a current statement of compliance is required to be kept on file by the State Office from each locality;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby adopts the following statement of intent:

We endorse the intent of the Virginia Equal Employment Opportunity Plan. Every effort will be made to insure that our employment practices will comply with the principles of fitness and merit without regard to race, creed, sex, age, national origin, political affiliation or other non-bona fide occupational qualification.

GEORGE S. BENNETT, JR.

CHAIRMAN

APRIL 18, 1979

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN VACANCY--APPOINTMENT OF L.A. BROOKS, JR.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. was appointed as Animal Warden.

IN RE: OLD HICKORY VFD RADIO--AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE BIDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to secure bids for their consideration for a new radio to be placed in the new fire truck for the Old Hickory Volunteer Fire Department.

IN RE: SCHEDULING OF SPECIAL MEETING ON LAND USE TAXATION

Mr. Clay advised that he had made arrangements for Mr. Mark Tubb to speak before the Board and interested citizens on Tuesday, April 24, 1979 at 7:00 P.M. relative to Land Use Taxation. Mr. Bennett stated that arrangements had already been made for Mr. Otho Fraher to speak on the same subject. The Board agreed that an advertisement should be placed in the newspaper making the public aware of this special meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:50 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL, 1979 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF LAND USE TAXATION

A public discussion was held concerning Land Use Taxation and Agriculture Districts. Mr. Otho Fraher, State Department of Taxation and Mr. Mark Tubb, Virginia Farm Bureau, appeared to discuss these two subjects and answer any questions.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:55 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:30 P.M.

IN RE: WATER SERVICE TO THE CENTRAL STATE HOSPITAL COMPLEX

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has made every effort to work with the representatives of the State Hospital Board on the water rate for the Central State Hospital Complex; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority has stated that it must adopt its water and sewer rates within the next few days;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that the County Administrator is authorized to write the Secretary of Human Resources, Dr. Jean M. Harris, that the Board of Supervisors has decided to charge Central State Hospital Complex the same water rate as all other users in the area; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this letter outline the efforts made by the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator to work with the State Hospital Board.

IN RE: RESERVE SEWER CAPACITY FOR INDUSTRIAL PARK

The Board of Supervisors, for some time, has been considering contracting with the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to reserve sewerage capacity for the proposed industrial park owned jointly by the County of Dinwiddie and the City of Petersburg at the Petersburg Airport. At the request of the Board of Supervisors, Mr. M.G. Rainey, Jr., Chairman, and Mr. John H. Clements, Vice-Chairman of the Dinwiddie County Water Authority presented to the Board alternatives available for reserving this sewerage capacity.

Mr. Rainey stated that those connecting initially will use approximately 200,000 gallons of the 500,000 gallons that the Water Authority has reserved with the City of Petersburg. This would leave approximately 300,000 gallons of which the County could reserve that portion they felt necessary to accommodate the industrial park.

The amount of debt to be retired by the Water Authority is $190,860 to the Farmer's Home Administration for the water and sewer lines and $18,000 to the City of Petersburg for the sewer plant. This makes a total of $208,860 in debt retirement that the Water Authority must pay each year. If the 500,000 gallons of sewerage allowed to Dinwiddie County by the City of Petersburg is divided into $208,860, it gives you a cost of forty-two cents per gallon.

The Board of Supervisors lent the Water Authority $250,000 several years ago and repayment was dependent upon the time when the Water Authority was financially able to do so. This $250,000 was to prepare the necessary paperwork to be able to bid the project. Since only 75% of the original project has been constructed, the Water Authority at this time is only including the repayment of $188,500 which would be 75% of the money they owe the County. The Board of Supervisors has agreed to let this $188,500 remain with the Water Authority provided it is invested at 9% interest with an annual return of $16,965. This would give the County a reserve sewerage capacity of 40,393 gallons. For every thousand dollars a month, $12,000 a year, the County could reserve a sewerage capacity of 28,571 gallons. As the County releases this reserve, there will be a reduction in the cost to the County at the rate of forty-two cents per gallon released.

After a lengthy discussion, the Board agreed that it should request a contract with the Water Authority to reserve sewerage capacity. The contract would contain the following terms:

1. The Board of Supervisors wishes to reserve 212,000 gallons of sewerage capacity.

2. The cost to the County would be $88,965 paid for as follows:

   Interest of $16,965 (9%) on the $188,500 plus $72,000 annually for a total cost of $88,965 (forty-two cents per gallon).

3. The contract would be for a thirty day period that would automatically renew itself if there were no changes.

4. If there were changes, this would allow the release of reserve capacity and a reduction in the cost to the County at thirty day intervals.

5. The release would be in 1,000 gallon increments at the rate of forty-two cents per gallon.

6. The gallons would be released for one of two reasons:

   A. When an industry was located in the Dinwiddie-Petersburg Industrial Park, the amount of gallons needed by this industry would be released to the Water Authority.

   B. When the Water Authority has reached the maximum number of gallons that it has available, it will contact the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to request the release of additional sewage capacity.

7. The County will discontinue payment to the Water Authority of any funds received from the State Hospital Board as a result of the sale of water to the Central State Hospital Complex.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to write a letter to the Water Authority outlining the County's proposal to purchase reserve sewerage capacity for the industrial site located at the Petersburg Airport.

IN RE: FORD VFD--AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE CLASS A PUMPER

The Ford VFD previously submitted two proposals from Jack L. Slagle as follows:

1. "Class A" two-stage 1,000 GPM pumper - $53,950.40
2. "Class A" two-stage 1,000 GPM pumper w/ canopy type cab $57,522.90

The fire department's request was to purchase the Class A Pumper with the canopy type cab. The FMC Corporation which provides the chassis has stated that there is a 10% chassis price increase effective April 17, 1979. Jack L. Slagle has stated that they will honor the stated proposal prices through April 30, 1979 on both trucks.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Leftwich voting "nay", the motion to purchase the "Class A" two-stage 1,000 GPM pumper for $53,950.40 was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of the Board is authorized to sign a contract to purchase a 1979 "Class A" two-stage 1,000 gallon pumper with a canopy type cab mounted on a Ford chassis at a cost of $57,522.90 from Jack L. Slagle and FMC Corporation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Ford VFD members be urged to consider releasing the old fire truck they now have to the Dinwiddie VFD in an effort for Dinwiddie to operate longer without having to purchase a new truck; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $15,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Fire Vehicle Fund.

IN RE: OLD HICKORY VFD TRUCK

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia executed a contract with Jack L. Slagle and FMC Corporation for the purchase of a 1979 FMC-Bean Model T-1000 Tanker-Pumper at a cost of $41,631.50 for the Old Hickory VFD; and

WHEREAS, the truck will be ready for delivery on April 25, 1979;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is authorized to sign a check in the amount of $41,631.50, payable to FMC Corporation out of the Fire Vehicle Fund for the purchase of a 1979 FMC-Bean Model T-1000 Tanker-Pumper mounted on a Chevrolet chassis.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN SALARY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the starting salary of the new Animal Warden be set at $9600 effective April 16, 1979.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:20 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr
G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF MAY, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: PRIORITIES FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SECONDARY ROADS SYSTEM -- 1979-80

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors met with representatives of the VDH&T in a workshop session on May 2, 1979 to review and finalize priorities for improvements to the secondary roads system for 1979-80; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held April 4, 1979 to receive public input concerning these improvements; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments made at the public hearing and recommendations from the VDH&T representatives, the Board of Supervisors finalized the priority listing for 1979-80 secondary roads improvements;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the priority listing of secondary road improvements be adopted as presented by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for the fiscal year 1979-80 with the addition of eight roads as requested by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD FUNDING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, ESE, Inc., a manufacturing and processing company, has purchased 10 acres of land to locate a plant in Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, ESE, Inc. has purchased said land in Dinwiddie County lying approximately 2600 feet south of U.S. Route 460 at the Petersburg Municipal Airport; and

WHEREAS, the plant site does not join an established road; and

WHEREAS, ESE, Inc. plans to manufacture cooling systems for iron and steel furnaces, piping systems for general industry and instrumentation systems for industries and institutions; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie agrees to adjust or have adjusted all utilities within the project right-of-way at no cost to the Commonwealth; and

WHEREAS, the County agrees to provide the necessary rights-of-way at no cost to the Commonwealth; and

WHEREAS, the location of ESE, Inc. in Dinwiddie County will aid the economy of the County and the area in general; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County concurs with the use of Industrial Access Funds for this project; and

WHEREAS, Industrial Access Road Funds are available under 33.1-221 of the Code of Virginia to construct access to this Industrial site;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia request that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Commission commit Industrial Access Road Funds to construct a road from U.S. Route 460 to said Industrial site; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County will be responsible for that portion of the cost of constructing this access road which exceeds the industrial access grant subject to the terms of an agreement to be later entered into between the County and the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF SEWERAGE CAPACITY--CONTRACT WITH DINWIDDIE WATER AUTHORITY

The Chairman read the following letter addressed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority outlining the County's desire to purchase reserve sewerage capacity for the industrial park located at the Petersburg Airport:

Mr. M.G. Rainey, Jr.
Chairman
Dinwiddie County Water Authority
Sutherland, Virginia 23885

Dear Bud:

The Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors wishes to request that the Dinwiddie County Water Authority consider entering into an agreement so that the Board can reserve a certain number of gallons of sewerage capacity.

The contract would contain the following terms:

1. The Board of Supervisors wishes to reserve 212,000 gallons of sewerage capacity.
2. The cost to the County would be $88,965 paid for as follows: Interest of $16,965 (9%) on the $188,500 plus $72,000 annually for a total cost of $88,965 (forty-two cents per gallon).
3. The contract would be for a thirty day period that would automatically renew itself if there were no changes.
4. If there were changes, this would allow the release of reserve capacity and a reduction in the cost to the County at thirty day intervals.
5. The release would be in 1,000 gallon increments at the rate of forty-two cents per gallon.
6. The gallons would be released for one of two reasons:
   A. When an industry was located in the Dinwiddie-Petersburg Industrial Park, the amount of gallons needed by this industry would be released to the Water Authority.
   B. When the Water Authority has reached the maximum number of gallons that it has available, it will contact the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to request the release of additional sewerage capacity.
7. The County will discontinue payment to the Water Authority of any funds received from the State Hospital Board as a result of the sale of water to the Central State Hospital Complex.

The above is a general outline of what the Board of Supervisors has in mind. It would remain for the attorney for both groups to put it in final form.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE STUDENT SAFETY CLUB

Ms. Kim Rice, Regional Representative to the Virginia Student Safety Association, appeared before the Board to review the various safety projects the Safety Club has been involved in at the schools during the past school year.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr.

Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the April 18, 1979 regular meeting and the April 24, 1979 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks numbering 79-748 thru 79-834 amounting to $55,370.79; Dog Fund checks numbering D-79-46 thru D-79-56 amounting to $1144.40.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of April, 1979.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF DEED--PETERSBURG AIRPORT PROPERTY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a deed is being prepared between the County of Dinwiddie and the City of Petersburg providing for joint ownership of 118 ± acres located at the Petersburg Airport; and

WHEREAS, the Board concurs with the wording of the deed as it provides for protection of the city and county in the future use of the property;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign said deed on behalf of the County upon presentation of deed and proper title search.

IN RE: FARM BUILDING PERMIT--REFUND OF FEES

The Commonwealth Attorney advised the Board that upon reviewing the County Building Regulations, Section 6-3, Fee Schedule, and consulting with State officials and the state BOCA Code, he found that farm buildings are exempt from any fees, inspections or building code regulations; and further, that the Dinwiddie County Code is in conflict with the State Code in this respect. He further stated that previous fees charged for building permits for farm buildings should be refunded. A fee may be charged if the individual requests a special inspection.

The Board instructed the County Attorney to draw up an amendment to the County Code dealing with building fees in accordance with the State Code for their review.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the fee charged to Mr. Granvil Brandon for a farm building in the amount of $1,146.48 be refunded; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a list be made of all fees charged for farm buildings since September, 1973 be prepared for the Board's review.

IN RE: SHERIFF--DISCUSSION OF NEW PISTOLS

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to discuss the purchase of new pistols for his department. He stated that state inspections had been made while members of the department were at the Crater Police Academy firing range and the guns were found to be faulty.

The Sheriff had been offered twelve (12) new guns at $110 each by a local dealer with the option of replacing the guns every two years at very little cost to the County.

The Board instructed the Sheriff to obtain further information on this proposal and other alternatives for their review if he desires to pursue replacing the guns in his department.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of April, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of April, 1979.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--HELEN EMORY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mrs. Helen Emory was awarded $95.00 for one hog.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--ROGER VANCLEEF

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Roger VanCleef was awarded $57.00 for 19 chickens.

IN RE: OPTIMIST CLUB--WITHDRAWAL OF REZONING REQUEST P-79-4

The Director of Planning read a request from the Petersburg Optimist Club stating their desire to withdraw their rezoning request P-79-4 which was scheduled to be heard by the Board of Supervisors at their May 16, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley reviewed the present status of the Food Stamp Program and advised the Board that they were trying to process all requests on a timely basis by appointment.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY FINAL APPLICATION--FY 79-80

The Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission presented the following projects for the Board's consideration for final application for 1979-80 Transportation Safety Funding:

1. Sheriff's Department - The purchase of eleven (11) crossbar light systems ($550 each) and eleven (11) sets of County Sheriff Department vehicle emblems ($25 each) for the Sheriff's Department vehicles. Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared in support of his request. The previous project submitted in the Work Program included a mobile radar unit. The Sheriff stated that he did not feel a radar unit was needed by his department at this time.

   Local - $6325
   Federal - $6325

2. Driver Education - The first stage of a multiple car driving range by clearing, grading and paving an 80,000 square ft. area, including fencing and underground communications; and an 1800 sq. ft. security area. Mr. Hargrave asked if the work done in that area in conjunction with the recreational area could be used as a soft match for the driving range. The Secretary advised him that any work done after the grant was awarded could be used. Dr. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that he felt the project would receive a more favorable review if more hard match was shown in the local effort.

   Local - $40,000
   Federal - $40,000

3. Pupil Transportation Department - To purchase a mobile radar unit to be used in monitoring school buses and to be used by other law enforcement personnel when needed. Mr. Clay questioned the Department's need for a radar unit as this was the responsibility of the State Police and the Sheriff had stated his department did not need one at this time. Mr. Soloe stated a unit had been used successfully in the past. The Sheriff stated he would assist Mr. Soloe in any way he could in the effort to monitor his bus drivers.

Local - $2500
Federal - $2500

4. School Board - To purchase and install two school zone traffic control lights at the Dinwiddie Senior High Mr. Hargrave asked if the School Board would be responsible for the maintenance of these lights. The Superintendent agreed that they would.

Local- $700
Federal - $700

5. Rescue Squad - To purchase and install a 100 Watt mobile communications unit in an ambulance. Mr. Hodnett stated that the Rescue Squad would reimburse the County for their local match.
Local - $900
Federal - $900

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Secretary is authorized to file the final application for 1979-80 Transportation Safety Funding; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the projects as presented above be included in the final application at a total cost of $100,850; $50,425 local match and $50,425 federal; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign said application and all necessary information attached as the authorized official of the County.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--MIDWAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL P.T.O.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Midway Elementary School P.T.O. has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of their Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979; and

WHEREAS, the P.T.O. has filed a record of receipts and disbursements for 1978 and paid the $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Midway Elementary P.T.O. be renewed for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: ZONING ADMINISTRATOR AND SUBDIVISION AGENT--TRANSFER OF TITLE AND RESPONSIBILITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors, when it created the position of Director of Planning, intended for this position

to assume the duties of Subdivision Agent and Zoning Administrator at the appropriate time; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has recommended to the Board of Supervisors such action be taken; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Planning has indicated that he is ready to accept these two responsibilities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the title of Subdivision Agent and the responsibility of that position are hereby transferred from the County Administrator, W.C. Knott to the Director of Planning, W.C. Scheid, effective May 2, 1979; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the title of Zoning Administrator and the responsibility of that position are hereby transferred from the County Administrator, W.C. Knott, to the Director of Planning, W.C. Scheid, effective May 2, 1979.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE ROOF REPAIRS

The County Administrator presented a proposal from Mr. Leon Boisseau on repairing and painting the roof of the Courthouse. The Board instructed the County Administrator to obtain at least two bids for their review before a decision is made on the work to be done.

IN RE: PAVING OF ADMINISTRATION BUILDING PARKING LOT--AWARD OF BID

The County Administrator presented the following bids on paving the parking lot at the County Administration Building:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Shoosmith Bros. | $37,955.22 |
| 2. | Short Paving Co., Inc. | $35,750.00 |

Mr. Robert Brown asked that the Board consider including a ramp for the handicapped to be added to the side circle drive leading to the front entrance of the Building. The Board instructed the County Administrator to check into provision for a ramp and report his findings at the next meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the bid of Short Paving Co., Inc. was accepted for $35,750.00 to pave the parking lot at the County Administration Building.

IN RE: APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR WATER AND SEWER LINES TO AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL PARK

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorized the submission of a preapplication for $150,000 with FMHA for the development of an Industrial Park on August 18, 1976; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors on November 17, 1976 authorized the allocation of $150,000 from the General Fund to match the federal grant if the application received final approval from the FmHA; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors authorized the County Administrator to submit a final application to FmHA on March 29, 1978; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors was notified of final application approval by FmHA on April 26, 1978 in the amount of $150,000; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie has entered into an agreement with the City of Petersburg for ownership of 100+ acres of land at the Petersburg Municipal Airport to be developed as an Industrial

Park; and

WHEREAS, a part of the agreement involved the County providing sewer and water facilities to this site.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following items be accomplished.

1. The County Administrator shall contact the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and request that they assume the responsibility for the design, construction and inspection of the water and sewer facilities needed to serve said Industrial Park.

2. The County Administrator shall convey a sense of urgency to the Water Authority in the immediate design and construction of said systems.

3. The Water Authority shall keep all records and reports required by the FmHA in the construction of said systems as well as submit all documents to the FmHA.

4. Upon completion and final inspection of the sewer and water systems, the Water Authority accept ownership of the systems and submit to the County all documentation needed so that the County may be reimbursed $150,000 by the Farmers Home Administration.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that $300,000 be transferred from the General Fund to a Water and Sewer Account, said monies to be used by the Water Authority to finance the water and sewer facilities which will serve the Industrial Park; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Water Authority shall request as needed from the County the funds to finance construction of the water and sewer lines; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Water Authority shall present to the Board of Supervisors for approval the design for the water and sewer lines to serve the industrial park; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Water Authority shall present to the Board of Supervisors, before contracts are let, for approval the costs of said lines; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that a contract shall be agreed upon between the Board of Supervisors and the Water Authority allocating to the County a certain percentage of the connection fee for industries and other connections on said water and sewer lines as repayment of the $150,000 that the County is investing in these water and sewer lines.

IN RE: OLD HICKORY VFD--PURCHASE OF RADIO FOR NEW FIRE TRUCK

The County Administrator presented the following bids for a mobile radio for the new fire truck for Old Hickory:

| | |
|---|---|
| RCA 100 Watt | $1695 |
| Aerotron 100 Watt | $1460 |

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Aerotron 100 Watt Mobile unit was accepted at a cost of $1,460.00 from Cardinal Communications, Inc.

IN RE: AUDIT OF COUNTY RECORDS FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1979

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting

"aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the firm of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates has offered to audit the accounts and records of Dinwiddie County including those of the Board of Supervisors, School Board, and the Welfare Board for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1979 at per diem rates of $85.00 and $170.00 for staff accountants and partners respectively, plus out-of-pocket expenses; and

WHEREAS, Daniel A. Robinson and Associates has been auditing the records of the County for several years and has rendered to the County outstanding service;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the firm of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates is hereby employed to audit the records of Dinwiddie County for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1979.

IN RE: ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURES--LIGHT USAGE

The Chairman stated that he had been asked to take measures to conserve energy in the building by cutting out those lights in the hall which were not needed. The Board agreed with this action and instructed the County Administrator to look into other possibilities where light usage in the building could be reduced.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:25 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:20 P.M.

IN RE: EXTENSION SERVICE--REVIEW OF PLAN OF WORK AND DUTIES

The District Extension Agent, Mr. Cary Tomlinson, and the Dinwiddie Extension Agents, Mr. Steven Jones, Mr. George Board, Mrs. Elnora Perry, and Mrs. Patsy Barnes met jointly with the Board of Supervisors to give them a brief review of their duties and the overall functions of the Extension Service.

They advised the Board that with the vacancy of the Extension Agent position that serves the 4-H function in the County, the remaining agents were filling in enough to keep the program going; however, they did not feel the needs of the program were being adequately met as they should be.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:25 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF MAY, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the May 2, 1979 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-835 through 79-929 amounting to $25,922.81; Library Fund check LF-79-4 in the amount of $41.59.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--EASTSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL P.T.O.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Eastside Elementary School P.T.O. has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Eastside Elementary School P.T.O. has paid the $10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a Bingo and Raffle Permit be granted to the Eastside Elementary School P.T.O. for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE CONCERNED CITIZENS--COMMENTS ON PROPOSED AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY AND THE DINWIDDIE WATER AUTHORITY

Mr. Laxton Wilson, Co-Chairman, Northern Dinwiddie Concerned Citizens' appearedbefore the Board to express the Association's reaction to the Board's recent action to enter into a contract with the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to purchase reserve sewerage capacity for the Dinwiddie-Petersburg Industrial Park.

Mr. Wilson requested that the Board confirm the agreement with the Water Authority with the following amendments:

1. That all funds received from the sale of water to Central State Hospital be given to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

2. That the 9% interest rate on the County's loan to the Water Authority be revoked and the original conditions of the loan reinstated.

3. That a more realistic figure be considered for average usage than the 4200 gallons now being used.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1979-80 BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Monday, April 30, 1979; Wednesday, May 2, 1979; and Wednesday, May 9, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing on the 1979-80 Dinwiddie County budget.

The County Administrator outlined the income and highlighted significant changes over last year's budget. The Constitutional Officers and Department Heads described their own individual budgets and answered any questions from the floor.

Ms. Julia Summey, representing the Dinwiddie Education Association, spoke on behalf of the teachers requesting that the $200,000 cut from the School Board budget be reinstated.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following budget is hereby approved except for the cut in the Treasurer's salary with the understanding that Mr. Jones will continue his negotiations with the Compensation Board to restore it to the amount advertised in the budget:

| ANTICIPATED INCOME | 79-80 |
|---|---|
| Local | $3,577,000 |
| State | 1,018,150 |
| Revenue Sharing | 455,450 |
| From Surplus | 219,730 |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME | $5,270,330 |

| ESTIMATED EXPENSES AND TRANSFERS | |
|---|---|
| 1. County Administration | 106,000 |
| 2. Assessment of Taxable Property | 103,720 |
| 3. Collection and Disbursement of Taxes and other Receipts | 57,170 |
| 4. Recording Documents | 12,550 |
| 5. Administration of Justice | 49,770 |
| 6. Crime Prevention and Detection | 474,330 |
| 7. Fire Prevention and Extinction | 42,340 |
| 8. Public Welfare | 7,800 |
| 9. Public Health | 38,910 |
| 10. Public Works | 333,750 |
| 11. Advancement of Agriculture and Home Economics | 32,000 |
| 12. Protection of Livestock and Fowls | 19,460 |
| 13. Elections | 21,680 |
| 14. Maintenance of Buildings and Grounds | 94,620 |
| 15. Highway Road and Street Lighting | 11,000 |
| 16. Road Administration | 200 |
| 18. Miscellaneous Operating Functions | 261,750 |
| 19. Capital Outlay | 75,000 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSE | $1,742,050 |
| 20. Transfers to Other Funds | |
| 1101 School Fund from Revenue Sharing Fund | 455,450 |
| 1101 Virginia Public Assistance Fund from General Fund | 535,420 |
| 1101 School Fund from General Fund | 2,537,410 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSE AND TRANSFERS | $5,270,330 |

IN RE: TAX RATE - 1979

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Monday, April 30, 1979; Wednesday, May 2, 1979, and Wednesday, May 9, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1979 tax rate.

A tax rate of $4.40 was proposed which is the same rate as the 1978 rate. No one appeared in support or opposition to the rate.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1979, a tax of $4.40 per One Hundred Dollars of assessed valuation on all taxable real estate located in this County, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year, 1979 a tax of $4.40 per One Hundred Dollars of assessed valuation of all taxable machinery and tools located in this County on January 1, 1979, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1979 a tax of $4.40 per One Hundred Dollars of valuation of all taxable tangible personal property located in this County on January 1, 1979. The respective levies hereby ordered being also applicable to the mineral lands in the County and to the real estate and tangible personal property of public service corporations based upon the assessment thereof fixed by the State Corporation and duly certified.

IN RE: SPECIAL MEETING--LAND USE ORDINANCE

Mr. Granville Maitland, representing the Farm Bureau, appeared before the Board to request that a public hearing be held in June to consider Land Use Taxation for it must be adopted by June 30, to be effective for the coming tax year. The Chairman informed Mr. Maitland that a special meeting was scheduled for May 23, 1979 to discuss the Land Use Ordinance and a decision would be made at that time as to whether a public hearing will be advertised for the June 20, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS TO BE MADE

The Chairman reminded the members that there were several appointments to be made at the June meeting. Included in these are the two school board members, whose present terms expire June 30, 1979.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:15 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 12:05 P.M.

IN RE: PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES--WATER AND SEWER SUIT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a class action suit has been filed in federal court against the Board of Supervisors by concerned citizens in the Northern end of the County involved in the water and sewer project; and

WHEREAS, attorney fees and related costs may be incurred that require payment prior to the availability of insurance funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that any costs incurred against the

Board as individuals or as members of the Board of Supervisors be paid out of the General Fund.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:10 P.M.

G.S. BENNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 23RD DAY OF MAY, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS ORDINANCE FOR AGRICULTURAL, HORTICULTURAL, FOREST OR OPEN SPACE REAL ESTATE

This being the time and place as advertised Monday, May 21, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing to receive citizen input concerning a Special Assessments Ordinance for Agricultural, Horticultural, Forest Or Open Space Real Estate.

Mr. Mark Tubbs, Coordinator of Local Affairs and Political Education Programs of the Public Affairs Department of the Virginia Farm Bureau Federation reviewed the Special Assessments concept and and answered questions from the floor.

Several people present expressed their support of the concept. No one spoke against it.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 9:30 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 10:20 P.M.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD--AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE UTILITY VAN

The Dinwiddie VFD requested assistance from the Board of Supervisors in the purchase of a new utility van for the department. The van is used primarily to transport members to the scene of the fire.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye',

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an amount not to exceed $4300 be appropriated for the Dinwiddie VFD towards the purchase of a utility van.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ROOF REPAIRS--AWARD OF BID

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented two bids on roof repairs to the Dinwiddie Elementary School as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| C&E Roofing & Remodeling, Inc. | $32,700.00 |
| Slate repairs--not to exceed | 600.00 |
| Clarksville Roofing & Sheet Metal Co. | $45,104.00 |
| Slate repairs | 800.00 to 900.00 |

Dr. Vaughn requested authorization to award the bid to C&E Roofing and Remodeling, Inc., payable out of the Virginia Public School Authority Bond funds.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board authorized the School Board to award the bid for roof repairs to the Dinwiddie Elementary School to C&E Roofing & Remodeling, Inc.at a cost of $32,700; slate repairs not to exceed $600; payable out of the Virginia Public School Authority Bond fund.

IN RE: ADDITION TO DRIVING RANGE APPLICATION FOR TRANSPORTATION SAFETY FUNDING

The Secretary of the Transportation Safety Commission advised the Board that an additional soft match, if available, could be added to the driving range application for funding to increase the federal share of participation. If the Board agreed, $10,000 soft match in salaries and administrative services would be added to the local match increasing the County's share to $50,000 and the federal share to $50,000. The Board concurred with this action.

IN RE: ADMINISTRATION BUILDING PARKING LOT--DISCUSSION OF ADDITIONAL WORK

The County Administrator discussed with the Board certain areas that needed upgrading to prevent continued and additional erosion after paving of the parking lot. In addition, several of these improvements are needed from the standpoint of safety and facilitating traffic movement.

The Board of Supervisors, after a tour of the parking lot and viewing the various improvements needed, agreed that they should be done prior to the paving of the parking lot.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to proceed with the following:

1. Installation of a ramp for the handicapped in front of the Administration Building.
2. Pave driveway area by dumpsters and to rear entrance of School Board Office at a rate of 275 lbs. per square yard of type S-5 bituminous concrete.
3. Concrete curbing and gutters in general vicinity of pumping station and dumpsters.
4. Concrete curbing and gutters in area between main parking lot and Rt. 627.
5. Drop inlet and concrete piping from access road off Rt. 627 where it intersects with entrance road off Rt. 1 to the creek area at rear of property.

IN RE: LAND FROM WILL-KNOTT CORPORATION

The County Administrator relayed a request to the Board of Supervisors from W.B. Knott, Jr. and H.T. Williams that only that portion of the land owned by the Will-Knott Corporation used for the rear entrance road be deeded to the County. If the additional portion of the .17 acre agreed to be deeded to the County was needed for road expansion, then it would be done so without cost to the County. The reason for this request was to allow Will-Knott Corporation to own the land up to the road rather than the County owning a small strip between the Will-Knott Corporation and the road.

The Board agreed that this was a reasonable request and instructed the County Administrator to have the deed and plat reflect the agreement to convey the additional land if needed as well as conveying the actual land used for the road.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS ORDINANCE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING

The Board of Supervisors decided, rather than to delay any further the decision on whether to advertise the Special Assessments Ordinance, to instruct the County Administrator to advertise a public hearing on the ordinance for June 20, 1979.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:45 P.M.

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

G.S. Bennett Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 24TH DAY OF MAY, 1979 AT 10:00 A.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:00 A.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 1:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 1:00 P.M.

G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 30TH DAY OF MAY, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-930 thru 79-1021 amounting to $59,273.09; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-57 thru D-79-70 amounting to $1521.39; County Construction Fund check number CCF-79-5 in the amount of $5869.32.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:05 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:20 P.M.

IN RE: RETENTION OF ATTORNEYS--WATER AND SEWER SUIT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, desires to retain the firm of Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia, in connection with its defense of the suit styled Robertson et al. v. Ritchie et al., Civil Action 79-0495-R, pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the County has received a disclosure by Hunton & Williams pursuant to Virginia Code Sections 2.1-349 (a) (2) and (b) (5), being part of the Virginia Conflict of Interests Act, of certain members and associates of such firm, and spouses of members or associates, who serve governmental agencies other than the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia, shall be retained in connection with the defense of the Board of Supervisors in the suit styled Robertson et al. v. Ritchie et al., Civil Action 79-0495-R, pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the agreement to retain Hunton & Williams is a contract for legal services which, in the judgment of the County, in the public interest should not be acquired through competitive bidding.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting

"aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:25 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:55 P.M.

G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF JUNE, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the May 16, 1979 regular minutes, the May 23, 1979 special minutes, the May 24, 1979 special minutes, and the May 30, 1979 special minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1022 through 79-1210 amounting to $40,450.10.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following transfers be made from their respective funds to the General Fund:

| | |
|---|---|
| Auto Tag Account | $170,000 |
| Building Permit Account | 22,000 |
| Landfill Account | 17,000 |
| Sales Tax - 1% Local | 278,000 |
| Utilities Tax | 171,000; and |

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $7,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Dog Fund Account.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte appeared before the Board to report that approximately 4700 parcels of land have been appraised to date.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of May, 1979.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of May, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of May, 1979.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following livestock claims be awarded:

1. G.W. Wyatt -- $68.80 for two (2) pigs.
2. J.E. Beville -- $250.00 for one (1) calf.
3. Mac Butterworth -- $600.00 for one (1) cow.
4. Wayne Slagle -- $50.00 for one (1) goat.
5. James Potts -- $65.00 for one (1) hog; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved for the Dog Fund- checks numbering D-79-71 through D-79-79 amounting to $1,223.30.

IN RE: JUVENILE SERVICES NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT

Mr. Larry G. Elder, Commonwealth Attorney, appeared before the Board to summarize the Juvenile Services Needs Assessment Report for Dinwiddie County. This report is the first phase of a joint effort by the Crater Planning District Commission and the Virginia Department of Corrections to conduct a comprehensive analysis of juvenile services in the County. Mr. Elder served as a member of the Steering Committee made up of a variety of interests and expertise in the County.

The following needs were highlighted: 1. The need to get information to juveniles (i.e. drugs, alcohol). 2. Need for summer jobs. 3. Need for public recreation.

The culmination of this project will be to emphasize meeting the needs of youth through volunteer and existing agencies within the County according to priorities as established by the Board of Supervisors.

As the Board requested more time to sufficiently review the content of the report, action was postponed until the July 18, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--DAVID ROANE--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT C-79-1

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 7, 1979 and Wednesday, June 14, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval the application of Mr. David Roane for a conditional use permit to operate a cabinet shop on a portion of property designated by the tax map as Section 20, Parcel 35 containing approximately 10.1 acres located on the North side of U.S. Route 460 between Routes 226 and 632 (approx. 1/3 miles E. of 632).

Mr. Roane appeared in support of his request. No one appeared in opposition. The Director of Planning stated that the permit was not required to be reviewed by the Planning Commission. Because of the location of the shop and the surrounding property, he felt the use would not adversely effect anyone and therefore, recommended the permit be approved with no conditions attached.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Conditional Use Permit, C-79-1, be approved with no conditions attached.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-2A--SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS FOR AGRICULTURAL, HORTICULTURAL, FOREST OR OPEN SPACE REAL ESTATE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 6, 1979, and Wednesday, June 13, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia by adding Article 8, Sections 8-24 through 8-31, dealing with special assessments for Agricultural, Horticultural, Forest or Open Space Real Estate.

The County Administrator briefly reviewed the proposed ordinance. The following people spoke in support of the ordinance: Mr. Granville Maitland; Mr. Tom Gravitte-for agricultural only; Mr. Billy Bain. The following people spoke against the proposed ordinance: Mr. Veazy; Mr. Steve Weber, Mr. George Robertson, Mr. Samuel Richardson, Mr. Richard Earl, Mr. Andy Perdue; Mr. Paul Moore; Mrs. Anne Scarborough. Mrs. Louise Hamner and Mr. Roy Coleman requested that a decision be postponed until more information was available.

Mr. Clay stated that he was in favor of the special assessments ordinance; however, he would be willing to wait until further information was available. Mr. Leftwich stated that he was not against the ordinance but he would like to wait until after the reassessment to make a decision. Mr. Hodnett stated he did not feel that there was enough information available to make a decision at this time. He also felt action should be deferred until after the reassessment. Mr. Hargrave stated he had reservations about the ordinance because of a lack of understanding among the people as well as insufficient information; therefore, he felt the reassessment should be recorded and additional public information sessions held before a decision was made.

Mr. Bennett stated that the effect of the ordinance was an unknown factor and was usually that way when a vote was taken. He further stated that he hated to see retired people in the rural areas have to sell their land to pay their taxes.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the special assessments ordinance is not adopted.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE YOUTH FOOTBALL LEAGUE ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Youth Football League Association has made application to the Board of Supervisors to renew their Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Youth Football League Association has paid the $10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Bingo and Raffle Permit be renewed for the Dinwiddie Youth Football League Association for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 9:45 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 10:20 P.M.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--1979 ROADVIEWERS

The appointment of the 1979 Roadviewers was postponed until the July 18, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC COMMISSIONERS--W.C. KNOTT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Knott was reappointed to the CPDC Commissioners, term expiring June 30, 1983.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE--W.C. KNOTT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Knott was resppointed to the CPDC Executive Committee, term expiring June 30, 1983.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

The appointment to the CPDC Planning & Development Advisory Council was postponed until the July 18, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--JUSTICE & CRIME PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL--T.J. LEFTWICH

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich abstained. Mr. T.J. Leftwich, was reappointed to the CPDC Juvenile and Crime Prevention Advisory Council, term expiring June 30, 1980.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CRATER COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH PLANNING COUNCIL FRANCES T. WELLS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mrs. Frances T. Wells was reappointed to the Crater Comprehensive Health Planning Council, term expiring June 30, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--JOHN TYLER BOARD OF DIRECTORS--H. BARNER BATTE

Since Dr. Robert Smith term expires June 30, 1979 and he cannot be reappointed, Mr. Hargrave suggested Mr. H. Barner Batte to serve because of his prior affiliation with the school.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. H. Barner Batte was appointed to the John Tyler Board of Directors, term expiring June 30, 1983.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SCHOOL BOARD--HARRY L. CLAY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Harry L. Clay was reappointed to the School Board, term expiring June 30, 1983.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SCHOOL BOARD--EDWARD B. TITMUS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, voting "aye". Mr. Bennett voting "nay", Mr. Edward B. Titmus was reappointed to the School Board, term expiring June 30, 1980.

IN RE: PAY CLASSIFICATION & PERSONNEL POLICY STUDY--AWARD OF BID

The Administrative Assistant presented the following proposals for the development of a Pay Classification and Personnel Policy Plan for the County:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Yarger & Associates, Inc. | $8,326.00 |
| | Additional Assistance | 1,500.00 |
| 2. | Municipal Advisors, Inc. | 7,284.00 |
| | Additional Assistance | 975-1875 |
| 3. | Overman, Robinson & Brown, Inc. | 6,470.00 |
| | Additional Assistance | 630.00 |

She stated that the three firms were comparable in their qualifications and references contacted were equally pleased with the work done. This work would be done through Intergovernmental Personnel Act Funding on a 20% local match basis made up of all in-kind services except $100.00. Additional assistance to provide a Grievance Procedure and Affirmative Action Plan will be $630.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the low bid of Overman, Robinson and Brown, Inc. was selected to develop a Pay Classification and Personnel Policy Plan for the County at a cost of $7100.00, total hard monies $730.00.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF TRACTOR--RECREATION PROGRAM

Mr. Bill Trinkle, Director of the Summer Recreation Programs, appeared before the Board to request their assistance in one-half of the purchase price of a tractor to be used for the recreation program. The request for $3,200 would be matched by the School Board, for a total cost of $6,400.00. Time and availability of the tractor presently used by the School Board makes it difficult for use by the Recreation program. Three bids were received and a 26 HP gasoline Ford for $6400 was the low bid.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $3200 be appropriated towards the purchase price of a tractor for use in the Recreation program.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:40 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 12:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:05 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF JULY, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | D.T. ADAMS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF CROSS-SECTION OF BIG PINE TREE

Mr. Horace L. Henshaw, President, Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club appeared before the Board to present to the County a cross-section of the world's largest loblolly pine, which was located in Dinwiddie County. The pine was prepared by the Ruritan Club for the County. The Board expressed their appreciation for the cross-section which will be displayed in the Administration Building.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the June 20, 1979 regular minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1211 through 79-1414 amounting to $53,038.26; History Book Fund - check number HB-79-5 in the amount of $7.43; Library Fund - check number LF-79-5 in the amount of $130.96; County Construction Fund-check number CCF-79-6 in the amount of $1,496.67; Dog Fund - checks numbering D-79-85 and D-79-87 thru D-79-89 amounting to $173.15; Johnsongrass Control Fund - check number JGC-79-5 in the amount of $103.80.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--ROBERT RAGSDALE--REZONING APPLICATION P-79-5

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Tuesday, July 3, 1979 and Tuesday, July 10, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the district classification from "Planned Residential PRD" to "Residential Limited R-1" a certain tract of land owned by Mr. Robert Ragsdale containing approximately 101 acres and more specifically designated by the County tax maps as Section 33, Parcel 3. Said land is generally bounded as follows: east by U.S. Route 1; south by lands of Gene Wilson and W.E. Turner (Route 613); west by Kate R. Williams; and north by Lake Jordan.

Mr. Robert Ragsdale appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission's action at their June 6, 1979 meeting wherein they recommended approval with the following conditions:

1. lot size shall be a nimimum of two (2) acres.
2. minimum road frontage shall be 200 feet.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of approximately 101 acres of land designated as section 33, parcel 3, from Planned Residential PRD to Residential, Limited R-1, with the conditions that the minimum lot size shall be 2 acres; and the minimum road frontage shall be 200 feet.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a comparative report on applications for real estate exemption for the elderly for 1979.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of June, 1979.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF EVELYN ABRAHAMS SCHOLARSHIP FUND ACCOUNT--BANK OF VIRGINIA

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Evelyn Abrahams Scholarship Fund was established to provide scholarships for deserving graduates of the Dinwiddie County High School; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County is established as the Trustee of this fund; and

WHEREAS, heretofore, these monies have been deposited and disbursed by Treasurer's checks drawn against the General Fund account at the Bank of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, due to the increase in income and the number of recipients, the Treasurer has requested authorization to establish a separate account for the management of these funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to establish an Evelyn Abrahams Scholarship Fund Account with the Bank of Virginia, transferring these funds from the Dinwiddie County General Fund Account.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of June, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of June, 1979.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES--INCREASE IN 1979-80 BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, additional state funds in the amount of $25,000 have been made available to the Department of Social Services through Title XX; and

WHEREAS, in order to receive these monies, an additional

local match to the 1979-80 Welfare budget of $3,580 is required;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $3,580 in additional local funds be appropriated to the 1979-80 Welfare budget.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS--TITLE 1 EXPENDITURES

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has requested authorization to make expenditures in the amount of $347,975.59 for the Title I program during the 1979-80 school year; and

WHEREAS, this project is 100% reimbursable, to be requested monthly from the State Department of Education;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County School Board is hereby authorized to make expenditures in the amount of $347,975.59 for the Title I program during the 1979-80 school year.

IN RE: REWIRING OF DINWIDDIE ELEMENTARY, MIDWAY AND JR. HIGH SCHOOLS

The Superintendent presented the following bids for rewiring Dinwiddie Elementary, Midway and the Junior High Schools:

| | Dinwiddie | Midway | Jr. High |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rudy Hawkins | $79,429 | $46,584 | $14,655.87 |
| J.E. Humphries | 126,460 | 47,880 | 23,180 |
| W.M. Bowman | 75,100 | 44,600 | 20,150 |

The School Board recommended that the contract for Midway and the Jr. High be awarded to Rudy Hawkins and the work for the Dinwiddie Elementary School be awarded to W.M. Bowman. Two contractors were recommended for the elementary schools due to the size of the jobs and the necessity to complete main line work prior to the opening of school.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for work at Midway and the Jr. High be awarded to Rudy Hawkins at a cost of $46,584 and $14,655.87 respectively; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for work at the Dinwiddie Elementary School be awarded to W.M. Bowman at a cost of $75,100.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF PRIORITIES--ROADS TO BE TAKEN INTO THE SECONDARY ROAD SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following roads have been viewed by the Roadviewers and have met the requirements set by the VDH&T to be taken into the secondary road system when monies become available according to priorities established by the Board of Supervisors;

Bobbitt Road; Browder Road Extension; Roanoke Avenue; Robert Walker Road; Watkins Road Extension; West Street;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia instructs the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to construct from the list above:

1. West Street (officially West Drive)
2. Bobbitt Road

IN RE: ROAD IMPROVEMENTS--RTES 226, 600 AND LEE BOULEVARD PROJECT #0226-026-101,C501

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the VDH&T has proposed safety improvements to Route 226 at its intersection with Route 600 and Lee Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, these improvements consist of widening existing pavement to 36 feet, to provide continuous left turn lanes and relocating the intersection of Rt. 600 to the west; and

WHEREAS, no public opposition has been expressed to these improvements;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with Project 0226-026-101,C501, Federal Project HHS 5402(103) for highway safety improvements; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this resolution be forwarded to the VDH&T to be incorporated into their environmental document for this project.

IN RE: BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS OVER N&W RAILROAD ON RT. 672

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the bridge on Rt. 672 over the Norfolk and Western Railroad is being considered for improvement by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the Norfolk and Western Railroad has a requirement that all new structures built over the railroad must have a minimum vertical clearance of 23'; and

WHEREAS, the Norfolk and Western Railroad's requirement results in additional costs to the project;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia on this 18th day of July, 1979 that it concurs with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation's position with regard to the improvement project on Route 672 (Project 0672-026-185,C501,B644) in that it be held in abeyance until such time as:

1. the Norfolk and Western Railroad agrees not only to their normal participation, which is normally 10% of the total cost of the bridge and approaches but, also agrees to bear the increased costs which is a direct result of the minimum vertical clearance requirement; or

2. until such time as the demand for this improvement project would justify the Secondary Road Improvement Fund for Dinwiddie County bearing the increased costs which is as a result of Norfolk and Western Railroad's vertical clearance requirement.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Board instructed the Planning Commission to offer for their consideration a replacement for Dr. R.R. Butterworth on the CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council who re-signed thereby leaving a vacancy.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. John R. Hodges was appointed to fill the unexpired term of Mr. W. Lynn Spain, ending December 31, 1979.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--1979 ROADVIEWERS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following were reappointed to the 1979 Roadviewers:

T.O. Rainey, Jr.; Cabell Bishop; Joseph L. Brown; C.E. Thweatt; C.Y. Avery

IN RE: COURTHOUSE ROOF REPAIRS

The County Administrator presented the following bid for roof repairs to the Courthouse Building:

Leon Boisseau - $1,430.00

Requests for bids were also sent to N.W. Martin & Sons, Inc.; C&E Roofing; Howard Davis Construction Co.; Whitley Roofing Co.; and W.D. Wall; however, these firms did not desire to submit a bid.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay", the bid of Mr. Leon J. Boisseau for roof repairs to the Courthouse was accepted at a cost of $1,430.00.

IN RE: AUTO TAG POLICY--VOLUNTEER FIREMEN & RESCUE SQUAD MEMBERS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following policy for automobile tags for volunteer firemen and rescue squad members was adopted:

1. Each volunteer gets one (1) County Auto Tag free.

2. Each volunteer may purchase one (1) additional County Auto Tag for $15.00 per tag provided he has more than one (1) vehicle.

3. If a volunteer department lacks a sufficient number of County Auto Tags for its volunteers, the Department shall obtain from those volunteers that purchased an extra County Auto Tag a sufficient number to accommodate those volunteers not possessing a free County Auto Tag.

4. When a volunteer joins a Department, he may exchange his sticker for a County Auto Tag but his $15.00 paid for the County Auto sticker will not be refunded. He may receive a free County Auto tag at the beginning of the next calendar year.

IN RE: ENDORSEMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at the June 20, 1979 meeting of the Board of Supervisors, the Juvenile Services Needs Assessment Report for Dinwiddie County was presented; and

WHEREAS, this report is the first phase of a joint effort by the Crater Planning District Commission and the Virginia Department of Corrections to conduct a comprehensive analysis of juvenile services in the County; and

WHEREAS, the culmination of this project will be to emphasize meeting the needs of youth through volunteer and existing agencies within the County according to priorities as established by the Board of Supervisors;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia endorses the Juvenile Services Needs Assessment Report for Dinwiddie County as presented.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REPORT BY AIRPORT COMMITTEE

The County Administrator presented a report prepared by the Airport Committee and their ad hoc staff stating their recommendations on the Petersburg Airport and its operation. They recommend that an Interim Committee be appointed to prepare legislation to be presented to the General Assembly to establish an Airport Authority.

Mr. Hodnett stated that the Authority would control the Airport and industrial property; however, he understood that the City Council of Petersburg and the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors would exercise final authority.

The Board postponed action on the Committee's recommendations until the August 15, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION--
COMPENSATION FOR MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority has been a rather dormant organization in the past, thereby incurring little expenses; and

WHEREAS, with the recent addition of a new industry in the County and future activity in that area, the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority has become a very active body;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority members be paid $25.00 per meeting they attend, payment not to exceed one meeting per month.

IN RE: NOMINATION FOR 1979 JEFFERSON CUP AWARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Virginia Association of Counties Jefferson Cup Award will be presented at the Association's Annual meeting in November; and

WHEREAS, nominations are submitted from the membership of the Association based on outstanding contributions to local government; and

WHEREAS, it is the feeling of the Board that the County Administrator is a deserving candidate for this award;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby nominate Mr. W.C. Knott, County Administrator for the 1979 Jefferson Cup

Award; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the letter of nomination.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:10 P.M.

G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 24TH DAY OF JULY, 1979 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| ABSENT | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD UTILITY VAN--APPROVAL OF PAYMENT OF COUNTY APPROPRIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors at its May 23, 1979 meeting authorized the appropriation of $4300 towards the purchase of a utility van for the Dinwiddie VFD; and

WHEREAS, the utility van has arrived and bill for payment presented in the amount of $6845.00;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign a check in the amount of $4300, payable to the Dinwiddie VFD for the County's appropriation towards the purchase of a 1979 utility van for the department.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ENERGY CRISIS & EFFECT ON COUNTY OPERATIONS

The County Administrator explained to the Board the effect of the energy situation on the operation of the Sheriff's Department, Social Services, volunteer personnel and other county departments, and actions taken to reduce gas consumption.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:30 P.M.

G.S. Bennett Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE AUDITORIUM OF THE DINWIDDIE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the July 18, 1979 regular minutes and the July 24, 1979 special minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1415 thru 79-1644 amounting to $171,453.68; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-90 thru D-79-103 amounting to $1519.35; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-10 and JGC-11 amounting to $202.02; Library Fund checks-numbering 79-LF-6 and 79-LF-7 amounting to $120.15; LEAA Fund check number 79-LEAA-1 in the amount of $922.00; Fire Vehicle Fund check number FVF-79-2 in the amount of $4300.00.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of July, 1979.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. J.L. Blaha presented his report for the month of July, 1979.

IN RE: SLH APPLICATIONS--MEDICAL COLLEGE OF VIRGINIA--PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL--GREENSVILLE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign contracts for State and Local Hospitalization with the Medical College of Virginia at the rate of $177.03 per day; Greensville Memorial Hospital at the rate of $107.59 per day; and Petersburg General Hospital at the rate of $132.02 per day.

IN RE: LITERARY LOAN--CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board for the County of Dinwiddie, on the 15th day of August, 1979, presented to the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, an application addressed to the State Board of Education of Virginia for the purpose of borrowing from the Literary Fund $1,000,000 for the new school building at

McKenney, Virginia, to be paid in 20 annual installments, and the interest thereon at three (3) per cent paid annually;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the application of the Dinwiddie School Board to the State Board of Education of Virginia for a loan of $1,000,000 from the Literary Fund is hereby approved, and authority is hereby granted the Dinwiddie School Board to borrow the said amount for the purpose set out in said application;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will each year during the life of this loan, at the time they fix the regular levies, fix a rate of levy for schools or make a cash appropriation sufficient for operation expenses and to pay this loan in annual installments and the interest thereon, as required by law regulating loans from the Literary Fund.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--AUTHORIZATION TO IMPLEMENT 1979-80 SPECIAL PROJECTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board has been granted monies for certain projects to be implemented during the 1979-80 fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, these projects are 100% reimburseable by the State Department of Education;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to make expenditures for the following projects for the 1979-80 school year on a 100% reimburseable basis:

1. Implementation of Competency Based Education in Vocational Education - $50,000 - 1st year - semi-annual reimbursement ($25,000 each of next two years).

2. In-service training CBE - $3,750 - semi-annual reimbursement.

3. ACCEPT - Accommodating Children Classified Exceptional - Professional Training - $11,235 - Quarterly reimbursement

4. RE-LEAF - Responsive Education - Learn, Earn and Function - $73,450 - Quarterly reimbursement.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC STUDY--INTERSECTION OF U.S. #1 AND RT. 650 AT DEWITT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, numerous accidents and near-accidents have taken place at the intersection of Rt. 1 and Rt. 650; and

WHEREAS, the frequent users of this intersection and the citizens that live in the area are concerned about the dangers posed by the intersection of U.S. Rt. 1 and Rt. 650; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of these people that these dangers be minimized or eliminated;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be requested to conduct a study of the intersection of Rt. 650 and U.S. Rt. 1 at DeWitt; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that upon completion of this study,

that appropriate action be taken by the VDH&T to eliminate the dangers and hazards that exist at this intersection.

IN RE: WEST DRIVE--ACCEPTANCE INTO THE SECONDARY ROADS SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation is hereby requested to add a section of road known as West Drive beginning at a point on Route 600, 0.11 miles west of Route 226, running in a northerly direction 0.40 miles to North Drive and the right of way line of the Norfolk and Western Railroad, to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that it guarantees the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right of way of forty feet with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage; as recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 485 dated July 12, 1922.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-6--GEORGE M. PACK, JR.

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 1, 1979 and Wednesday, August 8, 1979 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the district classification from "Agricultural A-2" to "Business B-2" a certain tract of land containing 1.54 acres and designated by the County Tax Maps as Section 29, Parcel 48A.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action wherein they recommended approval at their July 11, 1979 meeting.

Mr. Pack appeared in support of his request. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of approximately 1.54 acres of land designated as Section 29, parcel 48A, from Agricultural, general, A-2 to Business, general, B-2.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD--DISCUSSION OF TRUCK REPAIR

Mr. Chris Goad, Chief, appeared before the Board to discuss the present status of the Dinwiddie VFD fire trucks. He stated that the 1956 unit, purchased from Chesterfield, was irreparable, and the 1971 pumper wuld be out of service for two weeks leaving one vehicle to cover the department. He, therefore, requested that the Board appropriate funds to purchase a new "Class A" pumper for the department which would alleviate a critical situation.

Due to a lack of adequate information and a thorough understanding of the various alternatives available, the Board instructed the Dinwiddie VFD to work with the County Administrator to find a suitable solution to relieve the immediate equipment problem and return to them with their findings.

IN RE: VIRGINIA ALCOHOL SAFETY ACTION PROGRAM--ESTABLISHMENT OF CAPITAL AREA SAFETY COUNCIL

Mr. Mark Saunders, Director, John Tyler VASAP, appeared before the Board to discuss the proposed Capital Area Safety Council and answer any questions they might have. The County Administrator stated that he had been contacted by Judge W.I. Moncure, Gen. Dist. Court Judge, who stated that he used the program and would like to see it continue.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth of Virginia in the interest of highway safety has provided for probation, education, and rehabilitation of persons charged with a violation of Section 18.2-266 of the Code of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, Section 18.2-271.1 of the Code of Virginia authorizes any county, city, town or cities or any combination thereof to establish and if established, to operate alcohol safety action programs, or driver alcohol treatment and rehabilitation programs or driver alcohol education programs in connection with highway safety; and,

WHEREAS, Section 15.1-21 of the Code of Virginia Joint Exercise of Powers Act authorizes local units of government to exercise their powers, privileges and authorities jointly for the operation of a multijurisdictional venture;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia this 15th day of August, 1979, that there be established the Capital Area Safety Council to provide probation, education, and rehabilitation of those persons charged with a violation of Section 18.2-266 of the Code of Virginia and referred from the Courts of this jurisdiction and other jurisdictions as the Supervisory Board shall approve, and that

(1) A Supervisory Board shall be established consisting of the General District Court Judges appointed to each of the participating jurisdictions and other law enforcement or governmental officers and private citizens as this Board may deem appropriate;

(2) A Chairman and Vice Chairman shall be selected by majority vote of the members of the Supervisory Board each September. The Board shall hire and supervise an Executive Director who shall be responsible for esgablishing operational policies for the program, hiring and supervising the staff of the program and controlling all revenues and expenditures for the operation of the program;

(3) An operating budget shall be prepared by the Executive Director and submitted for approval to the Supervisory Board for each fiscal year which will include client fees and other available funds as deemed appropriate by the Board but will include no costs to the participating jurisdictions;

(4) An annual report shall be prepared under the supervision of the Supervisory Board and presented to the governing body of each participating jurisdiction indicating the activities of the Council;

(5) This agreement shall remain in effect for three (3) years commencing immediately and thereafter shall be automatically renewable from year to year. The withdrawal of any unit of government or units of government from this agreement shall not alter the terms of this agreement except that only those local units of government participating in the agreement shall have representation on the Supervisory Board;

(6) A participating city or county may withdraw at any time by official action of its governing body;

(7) Title to all property acquired by the Council shall be vested with the Council so long as two or more local units of government continue to participate in its operation. In the event

that all local units of government withdraw from the operation of the Council or in the event that only one local government continues to participate in the operation of the Council, all property owned by the Council shall be sold at public auction and the proceeds of such sale shall be apportioned and be paid to those units of local government which have participated in the operation of the Council from 1979 until its dissolution, and each local government shall be paid an equal percentage of the proceeds.

IN RE: MANUFACTURE, SALE AND TRANSPORTATION OF INDUSTRIAL ALCOHOL--APPROVAL OF PERMIT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, certain citizens of the Commonwealth desire to manufacture, transport or sell industrial alcohol; and

WHEREAS, there exists in the Commonwealth an energy crisis, the extent of which is presently unknown; and

WHEREAS, the Attorney General has determined that regulations are desireable to allow and encourage the production of industrial alcohol; and

WHEREAS, an application shall be submitted to the Office of the Attorney General in the form prescribed accompanied by a certified copy of the resolution of the governing body of the city, county, or town in which the industrial alcohol is to be manufactured approving the granting of a permit; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Granville Maitland has requested approval by the Board of Supervisors for the granting of a permit to allow him to manufacture and transport such alcohol for industrial and non-beverage purposes in Dinwiddie County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby approve the granting of a permit to Mr. Granville Maitland for the manufacture and transport of alcohol for industrial and non-beverage purposes; such operation to be in Darvills District of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, in compliance with all applicable State and federal laws and regulations.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-4--MOTOR SPORTS COMPLEX WITH CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT IN AGRICULTURAL A-2 AREA

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 1, 1979 and Wednesday, August 8, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by adding a use to Section 17-18. Permitted Uses, the following:

(43) Motor Sports Complex with conditional use permit.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission minutes wherein they recommended approval of the amendment at their July 11, 1979 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended, be further amended by adding the following use to Section 17-18. Permitted Uses.

(43) Motor sports complex with conditional use permit.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-79-2--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--PAUL SAWYER PROMOTIONS, INC.

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 1, 1979 and Wednesday, August 8, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval the application of Mr. Paul Sawyer for a Conditional Use Permit for a Motor Sports Complex to be located on approximately 500 acres of land that is generally bounded as follows: to the north by Picture Lake Branch, to the east by US Rt. 1, to the south by Lake Jordan and the lands of Mrs. Kate Williams, Mrs. Emily Miller, Mrs. Cary Shields, Mrs. Nanny Bybee and Mrs. Hattie Scott and to the west by Rt. 632.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of this permit at their July 11, 1979 meeting.

Mr. Kenneth Campbell, Sec./Treas. of Paul Sawyer Promotions, Inc. presented to the Board the plans for a Motor Sports Complex and was available for any questions they might have. Mr. Paul Sawyer, President; Mr. Merlin O'Neil of Chesterfield County; Mr. Steve Jones of the architectural firm of Jones & Strange-Boston; Mr. George T. Ross of Realty Management & Development (Richmond); Mr. Paul Kreckman of the Real Estate Firm of Rountrey and Associates (Richmond) and Mr. Hugh Hawthorne were also present in support of the project.

Due to the difficulty in discerning between discussion on the proposed amendment and the conditional use permit, all comments are combined under this section of the minutes.

The following people spoke in support of this conditional use permit: Mr. Littleton P. Harris, Mr. Wert Smith, President of the Petersburg Chamber of Commerce, presented a resolution passed by the Chamber of Commerce in support of the Colonial American Raceway locating in Dinwiddie County; Mr. Donald Andrews; Mr. Billy Bain; Mr. Hugh Hawthorne; Mr. Dana Thomasson felt a feasibility study should be made before a decision was given.

The following people spoke in opposition: Mr. Richard Jones, representing Citizens Advocating a Peaceful Environment; Mr. Tom Leetch; Rev. Fred Thompson; Mr. Forrest Clay; Rev. T.A. Lacy: Rev. Doyce Ford; Mr. Ray Heller; Mr. James H. Ritchie; Mr. B.J. Zitta. Mr. Bobby Antkowiak asked the Board to postpone a decision until more information was available. Mr. Gene Barwick presented the following letter in opposition which he asked to be incorporated into the minutes:

OPEN LETTER TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA CONCERNING THE RACETRACK

AUGUST 15, 1979

Tonight you, as an elected official of this county, face an issue that undoubtedly will have the biggest impact on me and other citizens than any event in the history of this County. The issue that I refer to, of course, is the request by the Promoters for your approval to construct and operate a Super Speedway.

I have been a resident of Lakewood Subdivision for the past 11 years. The residents of this subdivision and myself would like to respectfully request that you vote NO to this issue. The reasons that we make this request are many and have been well stated. The most important reason why we make this request is due to the nearness of this Super Speedway to our subdivision. The noise and associated air pollution of race cars running at 150 to 180 miles per hour for 30 to 40 days per year during practice, time trials and races and the complete blocking of our subdivision by heavy traffic will completely disrupt the way of life as we know it now. Many of my neighbors will be forced to leave at whatever loss, because they will be unable to tolerate these conditions.

Lakewood Subdivision is being annexed against our wishes into a Gigantic Racing Complex. Why must we suffer so that the County can reap a questionable profit? Why must we suffer so that a few Promoters can become financially wealthy?

Based on conversations with many people in the past few days, I have to be realistic and face the fact that you will probably vote to grant approval to these Promoters tonight. If this proves to be a fact instead of speculation and since the sole purpose of this venture is for profit of a few people and not required by the public for any reason except enjoyment, I and other residents of this subdivision expect you as an elected official of this county to protect our interests as follows:

1. We expect you to provide security for our families and property against the 50 to 70 thousand people attracted to this complex.
2. We expect you to protect our homes against unbearable noise levels so that our people who have to work shift work will be able to obtain adequate rest during the daylight hours of the 30 to 40 racing days. All regulations as set forth by the proper Regulatory Agencies concerning noise on residential areas should be rigidly enforced.
3. We expect you to protect our water aystems, in the event these people elect to drill wells into the underground water streams and extract millions of gallons of water.
4. We expect you to protect our air quality against drifting rubber dust scuffed from racing tires, dust from parking areas and fumes and smog from thousands of idling vehicles.
5. We expect you to provide us with a reasonable and unobstructed access to the State Highway system so that we can get to our jobs on time and so that emergency vehicles can get to our subdivision if needed.

If you as an elected official of this county grant approval for this complex and allow the deterioration of these stated conditions, then you have not protected the interest of these citizens. Lakewood Subdivision will be forced to suffer for the profit of others.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to respectively request that a copy of this letter be made a part of the minutes of this meeting held on August 15, 1979.

E.T. Barwick

Mr. Hargrave stated he had two areas of concern: 1. He was a race fan and from his experience in attending races did not think there was justification for a moral issue. He felt competition built the United States. 2. He felt that sufficient research had been done to provide the Board with enough information to make a decision.

Mr. Clay stated that he agreed with Mr. Hargrave and from his experience in attending a race, felt the advantages outweighed the disadvantages. Mr. Bennett stated that he was the other Board member who attended the races the previous weekend and felt the operators were trying to make the events more family-oriented. Mr. Hodnett asked how the compatibility of the proposed racetrack to the surrounding area in Dinwiddie County compared to the racetracks visited. Mr. Clay and Mr. Bennett stated they were quite similar to Dinwiddie's proposed rural location.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Conditional Use Permit C-79-2 of Paul Sawyer Promotions, Inc. be approved with the following conditions:

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

PAUL SAWYER PROMOTIONS, INC.

CONDITIONS

1. General Conditions

A. The use of the property and facilities shall be limited to:

(1) Four (4) automobile racing dates annually sanctioned by a nationally recognized body. Each date shall not exceed six (6) consecutive days and all racing activity shall take place during daylight hours. Races shall not begin prior to 12:50 P.M. on Sundays, and shall end prior to darkness.

(2) The testing of racing cars and their accessories between the hours of 9:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. This use is limited to 60 days per year and is prohibited on Sundays and holidays.

(3) The testing of muffled automobiles and their accessories, including high performance driving schools.

Any other commercial and/or recreational use of this facility shall require a conditional use permit hearing by the Board of Supervisors. Application shall be filed 60 days prior to proposed event.

B. Concession stands and other associated accessory uses may be operated only on those days during which the facility is permitted to operate.

C. The design capacity of the motor sports complex is based on an anticipated gathering of 50,000 people. Any change in this figure may require an adjustment in the number/capacity of supporting facilities or utilities.

D. The internal security force shall be under the control of the Dinwiddie County Sheriff who will, after consultation and agreement with the promoter of the motor sports complex activity, determine the size of the force requirement for each date the facility is open for racing or other approved use. The promoter shall pay the cost of all personnel used in excess of the regular on-duty Sheriff's deputies assigned to the race track and shall hire only those individuals which are deemed competent to perform security duties.

E. The motor sports complex and all ancillary structures, as well as the proposed uses shall be designed, located and used in such a way which will not adversely affect the future development and utilization of the Petersburg Municipal Airport. Specific reference is made to the 1000' wide clear area which is projected through this area as extended from the end of the main runway.

F. All conditions of this permit are subject to the final approval of the Board of Supervisors.

2. Special Provisions

a. Location, type, capacity and financing of water service and storage facilities shall be designed as required by the Virginia Department of Health, State Water Control Board, and the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

b. Location, type, capacity and financing of sanitation facilities shall be designed as required by the Virginia Department of Health, State Water Control Board and the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

c. Parking areas shall be designed on the basis of 130 vehicles per acre.

d. The total parking spaces provided shall not be less than 17,000

spaces distributed between infield parking and parking spaces which serve the grandstands.

e. The storm water plan shall be oriented to minimize the impact of storm water runoff into Lake Jordan and Picture Lake.

f. The landscape plan shall be oriented toward the retention of all trees that need not be removed for construction. Where trees are removed in the buffer strip, reforestation shall be accomplished with evergreens at least six feet in height with three years of growth. (Special consideration shall be given to the buffer separating Lakewood Subdivision from the Motor Sports Complex.)

g. An external highway plan shall be prepared based on the requirement that all vehicles shall be discharged within 3 hours after completion of the race. The plan shall establish the cost of the improvements and personnel requirements for traffic control. The plan shall be subject to the review of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation and Virginia State Police and it shall be coordinated with the County before receiving their approval.

h. The Planning Commission shall review the applicant's site plan and submit it's comments and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors within 45 days of its receipt by the Planning Commission.

i. The implementation of the approved site plan except for the landscaping (1 year) and perimeter buffer planting (3 years), shall be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

j. This conditional use permit may be revoked after a period of 36 months from date of issuance if no noticeable effort towards construction has begun.

k. Location, type, size and height of all exterior signs shall be subject to the review and approval of the Board of Supervisors.

3. Site Plan Review and Implementation. The applicant shall propose and submit to the Subdivision Agent a site plan. The Subdivision Agent shall forward the plan to the Planning Commission which shall have 45 days to review the plan and send it to the Board of Supervisors with comments. The Board shall review the plan and require any changes which are required as a result of conditions imposed by the special exception permit. In addition, to the general and special conditions previously cited, the site plan and supplemental data shall be clearly drawn to a scale of 200 feet to one inch and must show the following:

(1) The proposed title of the project and the name of the developer and person(s) responsible for its preparation.

(2) Existing zoning and zoning district boundaries.

(3) A certified plat of the boundaries of the property involved, county boundaries, the general location, dimension, width, grade and treatment of all existent easements and existing roads, the general location of all buildings, or waterways, major tree masses and other existing physical features in or adjoining the project.

(4) Topography of the project area with contour intervals of two feet or less.

(5) The approximate location and sizes of sanitary sewers, water mains, water storage facilities, disposal areas, and other underground structures, existing and planned, in or near the project.

(6) The general location, dimensions, width, proposed grades, treatment and character of construction of proposed internal driveways, parking areas, entrances and exits, outdoor lighting systems, storm drainage (on and off the site), water service and sanitation facilities (dump stations, rest rooms, etc.).

(7) The general location of proposed setback lines, rights of way and easements.

(8) Approximate height and location of all proposed buildings and structures, accessory and main, or major excavations with respect to each other and to lot lines.

(9) Preliminary plans and elevations of the various buildings and structures and sight lines from primary highways.

(10) A landscaping plan indicating location, height, and material of all existing and proposed fences, walls, screen planting, landscaping and buffer strips (which shall be not less than twenty (20) feet in depth).

(11) General location, character, size and height and orientation of proposed signs.

(12) A tabulation of total number of acres in the project, and the percentage thereof proposed to be devoted to the various uses, i.e. parking, driveways, open space, sanitation facilities and other reservations.

(13) General method of solid waste disposal.

(14) A vicinity map showing the relation of the proposed use to other uses in the vicinity.

(15) Drawings of the proposed exterior elevation and plans of all buildings and an outline of specifications of the proposed architectural treatments for those exteriors including an architectural perspective drawing of the proposed project as it will appear from its principal road frontage.

(16) Proposed plans for sedimentation control during construction and for storm water drainage during operation.

(17) Statement of intent to comply with the minimum requirements and performance standards of the County Code and signed by the owner of the proposed development or, in the case of a corporation, an officer thereof, or its authorized agent.

IN RE: PETERSBURG AIRPORT INTERIM COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the County of Dinwiddie and the City of Petersburg to form an Authority to own and operate the Petersburg Airport; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for legislation to be passed by the General Assembly creating such authority; and

WHEREAS, there is a need for an interim group to be established to see that the proper steps are taken to have the necessary legislation prepared and monitor other activities regarding the creation of an Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is required to appoint two (2) members to this interim group;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it approves the establishment of an Interim Airport Committee with authority to carry out duties as required; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that before any actions taken by the Committee become final they must be approved by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, and the City Council of Petersburg.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--PETERSBURG AIRPORT INTERIM COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr.

Hargrave abstained,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Neal Barnes and Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. be appointed to the Petersburg Airport Interim Committee.

IN RE: ENTERTAINMENT FESTIVAL PERMIT--WEST PETERSBURG COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the West Petersburg Community Council has made application for a special entertainment permit to hold a "disco" at the Dinwiddie County Giants' Baseball Park on August 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, their application meets the requirements as set forth in Chapter 11A, Sec. 1-8 of the Dinwiddie County Code;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby grants a special entertainment permit to the West Petersburg Community Council to hold a "Disco" at the Dinwiddie County Giants' Baseball Park on August 24, 1979.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM DEPUTY DOG WARDEN

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Ed Swimmeler be appointed as Interim Deputy Dog Warden.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 1:55 A.M.

G.S. Bennett Jr
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the August 15, 1979 regular minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1645 thru 79-1859 amounting to $185,099.65; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-104 thru D-79-118 amounting to $1798.17; Johnsongrass Control Fund check-number JGC-79-12 thru JGC-79-16 amounting to $352.86; County Construction Fund check-numbering CCF-79-7 and CCF-79-8 amounting to $60,427.68; Library Fund check-number LF-79-8 in the amount of $130.96.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--GENERAL FUND TO COUNTY CONSTRUCTION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $75,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of August, 1979.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. J.L. Blaha presented his report for the month of August, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of August, 1979.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to discuss the following items:

1. Mr. Scheid presented the Board with final copies of the Comprehensive Land Use document. He stated copies were available to the public at the price of $5.00 each.

2. Mr. Scheid informed the Board that the first check from the Virginia Water Projects, Inc. to assist in water and sewer hookups had been received.

3. Mr. Scheid briefed the Board on the status of the '208' Consortium and recommended that the County continue its representation on this body.

4. Mr. Scheid informed the Board that the Census personnel were working in the County at the present time to accumulate a mailing list for the 1980 Census. Due to the low pay, workers were hard to secure and he, therefore, felt a concern for the quality of work that would be done. Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like an opportunity to communicate with these individuals as to the importance of the Census as it is used in a number of formulas which are the basis for funding for the County. Mr. Scheid stated that he would have an opportunity to review their lists to make reasonably sure all areas of the County had been covered.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT- FY 1980

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of grants for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses and supports such a program for Dinwiddie County as is indicated in the attached Application Form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby authorizes the Director of Planning to plan, budget, and apply for a Grant, which if approved, will be used to fund said Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby requests the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve said Application and Program, said Program being in accord with the Regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--1978-79 SCHOOL BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has requested that certain transfers be made to balance the 1978-79 school budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following transfers be made within the 1978-79 School Operating Budget:

| TRANSFER FROM: | TO: | AMOUNT: |
|---|---|---|
| 17a Administration | 17b1 Instruction | $ 2,467.43 |
| 17b2 Other Instr. Costs | 17b1 Instruction | 2,222.48 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | " | " | " | 17c | Att. & Health Services | 267.17 |
| " | " | " | " | 17e | Food Services | 14,647.62 |
| " | " | " | " | 17f1 | Oper. of Plants | 9,980.36 |
| " | " | " | " | 17f2 | Maint. of Plants | 14,446.76 |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF SCHOOL ATHLETIC TRACK

The Superintendent of Schools appeared before the Board to request permission to proceed with the preliminary ground preparation for the new athletic track. Funds in the amount of $20,000 for this work were included in the school bond issue.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to see more definitive plans and cost estimates for the area before the School Board proceeded with the work. The Director of Planning advised the Board that the work was programmed to be done in conjunction with the proposed driving range requested under Transportation Safety funding. Action was postponed until more detailed information can be provided.

IN RE: CHESDIN MANOR SUBDIVISION--SECTION ONE--ADDITION TO SECONDARY ROAD SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Chesdin Court, beginning at a point on Route 1510, 1.03 miles north of Route 601 and running in an easterly direction 0.04 miles to dead end with turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this road in Chesdin Manor Subdivision, Section One, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective October 1, 1979, with a maintenance bond and fee, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia 1950, as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right-of-way of 50' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 10, Page 68 and 71, dated July 2, 1976.

IN RE: ROUTE 622--RELOCATION AT FORD--PROJECT 6460-026-104,C504

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 622, from Route 460 to 0.08 Miles North of Route 460, a distance of 0.08 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 460, Project 6460-026-104,C504, dated at Richmond, Virginia, April 19, 1979";

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the portions of Secondary Route 622, i.e., Section 2, shown in brown on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 460, Project 6460-026-104,C504 dated at Richmond, Virginia, April 19, 1979", a total distance of 0.14 miles be, and hereby is, added

to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33-141 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the sections of old location, i.e., Section 1, shown in green on the aforementioned sketch, a total distance of 0.08 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33-76.12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: ROUTE 604 AT SCL RAILROAD--PROJECT 0604-026-192,C501

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 604, from 0.231 Miles S.W. Seaboard Coast Line Railroad to 0.314 Miles N.E. Seaboard Coast Line Railroad, a distance of 0.546 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and,

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 604, Project 0604-026-192,C501 dated at Richmond, Virginia, July 26, 1979";

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the portions of Secondary Route 604, i.e., Section 1, shown in red on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 604, Project 0604-026-192, C501 dated at Richmond, Virginia, July 26, 1979", a total distance of 0.52 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33-141 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sections of old location, i.e., Section 2, shown in blue on the aforementioned sketch, a total distance of 0.30 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33-76.12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the State Highway Commission be requested to take the necessary action to discontinue the sections of old location, i.e., Section 3, shown in yellow on the aforementioned sketch, a total distance of 0.18 miles, as a part of the Secondary System Highways as provided in Section 33-76.7 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: CARSON VFD--PARTICIPATION IN REPAIR WORK OF FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Joe Rogers, Chief, Carson VFD, appeared before the Board to request their financial assistance in the cost of extensive repairs done to one of their fire vehicles (Unit 62). He stated that the total cost was $1,347.03 of which Prince George County has agreed to pay 50% (673.51). He asked that Dinwiddie County participate to the same extent.

Mr. Clay stated that he felt the County should participate in 30% of the cost since approximately 30% of Carson's calls are in Dinwiddie.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will fund fifty percent of the repair work for Unit 62 of the Carson VFD in the amount of $673.51.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-3--AGRICULTURAL, RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT A-R

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 5, 1979 and Wednesday, September 12, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by adding a district classification as follows:

Article IVA. Agricultural, Rural Residential, District A-R.

Section 17-31.1 Intent
Section 17-31.2 Permitted uses
Section 17-31.3 Minimum lot area
Section 17-31.4 Setback
Section 17-31.5 Frontage
Section 17-31.6 Yards
Section 17-31.7 Height of buildings
Section 17-31.8 Special provisions

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission actions wherein they recommended approval at their July 11, 1979 meeting.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this amendment. For further review and accumulation of information, action was postponed until the October 3, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: E.T. BARWICK--DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED RACETRACK

Mr. E.T. Barwick appeared before the Board representing residents of Lakewood Subdivision to discuss the following items concerning the location of the proposed racetrack in Dinwiddie County:

1. Mr. Barwick asked whether there had been any development on the racetrack since the public hearing? The Chairman stated he did not know of any.

2. Mr. Barwick asked if land in the area of the racetrack zoned Agricultural A-2, which is not owned by the racetrack developers could be used for public parking? The County Administrator stated that a request for this type of use would have to be evaluated by the Zoning Administrator and, if needed, by the Board of Supervisors. The Director of Planning stated that a public parking area was not a use allowed in an A-2 area at the present time, and could not be permitted.

3. Mr. Barwick stated that the usual procedure with large racetracks was to hold a late model race on Saturday and the grand national on Sunday. He, therefore, wondered whether those two races would be considered separately as two racing days allowed or as one. The Board informed Mr. Barwick they would be considered as one racing date.

4. Concerning taxes on racetrack revenue, Mr. Barwick inquired as to which method the Board would use, the gross receipts or admissions tax. The Board informed Mr. Barwick that a decision had not been made.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--REVISED PROCEDURES

The County Attorney appeared before the Board to review the changes made in the Bingo and Raffle law, effective July 1, 1979, and the new requirements placed on the governing body. He stated that the major changes are as follows:

1. An official shall be named to accept applications and conduct investigations.
2. An individual shall be selected to audit annual reports of each organization which are required to be filed by November of each year. An audit fee may be charged not to exceed 1% of gross receipts of the organization.
3. An application fee may be charged.
4. A locality has the option of adopting an ordinance prohibiting "instant bingo".

The County Attorney stated that he felt the amendments to the law were made to control problem areas that might be associated with these functions; however, he was not aware of any problems with organizations in Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he did not want the audit to be a burden on the organization; however, he felt the cost of the audit should be recovered.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to send a copy of the Code section and the application and financial forms to applicants advising them that there will be an audit fee charged. Action on the fee and selection of an auditor was postponed until the October meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be appointed as Administrator to receive applications for Bingo and Raffle Permits.

IN RE: CANCELLATION OF MCKENNEY FIRE CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie executed a contract on June 21, 1978 (effective January 1, 1978) with the Town of McKenney for the services of the McKenney VFD: and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is now appropriating an annual sum to the Town of McKenney for the McKenney VFD that exceeds the amount specified in the fire contract; and

WHEREAS, there no longer exists the need for the fire contract;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the fire contract with the Town of McKenney executed on June 21, 1978 is hereby cancelled; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Town Council be provided with a copy of this resolution.

IN RE: SOIL SURVEY--AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has authorized the Soil Conservation Service to conduct a soil survey in Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has reviewed and approved the cooperative agreement between Dinwiddie County and the Soil Conservation Service, USDA;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of the Board is here-

be authorized to sign said agreement on behalf of the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED

The Commissioner of Revenue appeared before the Board to present his recommendations concerning amendments to the Tax Relief for the Elderly Ordinance and the possibility of including the handicapped or disabled. Mr. Bolte recommended changes due to inflation and the inclusion of the disabled for this tax relief.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to prepare an ordinance for amendments to the Tax Relief for the Elderly and the inclusion of the disabled with the Commissioner of Revenue's recommendations to be advertised for consideration by the Board of Supervisors at a public hearing.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD--AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE NEW FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Chris Goad, Chief, Dinwiddie VFD, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase a new fire truck. He stated that he obtained three bids and recommended a 1979 Hamerly Pumper from Sutton and Clark at a cost of $55,050 with equipment. He stated that the vehicle could be delivered in two weeks and, at that time, the 1971 pumper would have to be sent in for repairs. Mr. Hargrave stated that he had discussed Dinwiddie's needs with the fire department members and felt they should be authorized to finalize the bids and purchase the new truck.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie VFD be authorized to purchase a 1979 Pumper from Sutton and Clark at a cost of $55,050.; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign the necessary paperwork to secure this vehicle.

IN RE: RETENTION OF REGIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACADEMIES WITH LOCAL CONTROL OF THEIR GOVERANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Crater Criminal Justice Academy was formed as a separate and independent entity under Section 15.1-21 of the Code of Virginia Joint Exercise of Powers Act for purposes of providing training opportunities for criminal justice agencies of the Southside area of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the Crater Criminal Justice Academy was established to conduct primarily mandated basic, advanced, and specialized training; and

WHEREAS, the Crater Criminal Justice Academy was established under the assumption that State and Federal funds would continue to provide subsidy for this training venture; and

WHEREAS, the Crater Criminal Justice Academy was established in 1975 and has successfully conducted creative training programs and has continued to enjoy favorable ratings for the administration of its affairs since its inception by applicable State agencies; and

WHEREAS, the consolidation of the Crater Criminal Justice Academy's facilities on the campus of Richard Bland College has provided immeasurable support and assistance in terms of offices,

storage, classrooms, gymnasium, library, parking, instruction, telephones, and low overhead; and

WHEREAS, the State Study, authorized by the Virginia State Crime Commission, recommends the merger of the Crater Criminal Justice Academy with the Richmond Regional Criminal Justice Training Center; and

WHEREAS, the Crater Criminal Justice Academy has geared its training and services to medium to smaller agencies, none of which have the in-house ability to conduct their own independent training like those of the more metropolitan areas surrounding the Richmond Regional Criminal Justice Training Center; and

WHEREAS, no information has been disseminated which addresses available housing or additional costs to house students from the Crater Criminal Justice Academy service area at the Richmond Regional Criminal Justice Training Center, and such housing away from the locality would prohibit their use in local emergency situations; and

WHEREAS, there is no significant cost savings or improved efficiency by merging the Crater Criminal Justice Academy with any other training facility; and

WHEREAS, while the merger of the Crater Criminal Justice Academy with the Richmond Regional Criminal Justice Training Center would create a larger center, it would lose its flexibility and ability to respond quickly to local needs in Southside Virginia; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Chas. L. Mitchell and Mr. T.J. Leftwich, the County's representatives on the CCJA Board of Directors support the effort to prevent the consolidation of the agencies;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia both individually and collectively, strongly oppose any attempt to merge the Crater Criminal Justice Academy with any other training facility or program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia calls upon the Governor, Members of the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Virginia State Crime Commission, Secretary of Public Safety, Virginia Criminal Justice Services Commission and the Virginia Council on Criminal Justice, to use their good offices to assure that the training needs of criminal justice agencies continue to be provided by regional academies, under the governance of local boards, who are responsive to the needs of the local criminal justice agencies.

IN RE: RELOCATION OF TRASH DUMPSTERS--CITY OF PETERSBURG PROPERTY

The County Administrator advised the Board that the City of Petersburg approved their request to grant the County permission to locate trash containers on 3.4 acres of land located on the North side of Rt. 460 across from the Petersburg Airport with the following conditions:

1. The County will vacate the property if needed by the City with a 30 day notice.
2. The County will hold the City harmless during their use of this property.

The dumpsters involved are presently located at the Rohoic Elementary School. He further stated that the site would require a considerable amount of clearing and the entrance would have to be prepared according to the Highway Department requirements. He estimated the approximate cost to be $5,000 to $7,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett

voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the City of Petersburg has been requested to grant permission to Dinwiddie County to locate trash containers on 3.4 acres of land on the north side of Rt. 460 across from the Petersburg Airport; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of Petersburg has agreed for the County of Dinwiddie to use this parcel of land for the location of trash containers;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will hereby enter into an agreement with the City Council of Petersburg to locate trash dumpsters on said property owned by the City of Petersburg; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accepts the following conditions:

1. The County of Dinwiddie will vacate the property with 30-day notice from the City Council of Petersburg.
2. The County of Dinwiddie will hold the City of Petersburg harmless during their use of this property; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to proceed with the preparation of the site to receive these dumpsters.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

The County Administrator informed the Board that under Sec. 15.1-1377 of the Code of Virginia, no officer or employee of the County can serve on the Board of Directors of the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority. Therefore, Mr. L.A. Hodnett and Mr. Clark M. Wood, Jr. have submitted their resignations accordingly leaving two vacancies on the Board.

Mr. Hodnett nominated Mr. James E. Harrison. Mr. Clay nominated Mr. Russell Whitaker. The nominations were closed.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. James E. Harrison be appointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring 2/5/81; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Russell Whitaker be appointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring 2/5/80.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ZONING CHANGES--COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

Mr. Henry Walker appeared before the Board to request that action be taken to change the district classification of his property in Sutherland and other landowners in that area along Route 460 from A-2 to R-1 as designated in the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

The Director of Planning stated that he had anticipated work on the Zoning Ordinance and map to be ready for consideration by the Board by July, 1979; however, the Planning Commission's work was behind schedule.

The alternative to action on the Zoning Ordinance and map as recommended in the Land Use Plan would be for the individual landowners to submit rezoning requests. Mr. Scheid stated this would be very costly to the landowners and would not include the vacant lots which would not render the protection the landowners were seeking.

The Director of Planning was instructed to bring this matter to the attention of the Planning Commission at their next meeting and get a firm commitment from the members as to their target date for the Zoning Ordinance and map to be ready for consideration by the Board.

IN RE: WATER AND SEWER FEE RELIEF--ELDERLY AND DISABLED

Mr. Laxton Wilson approached the Board to request that they consider some type of relief for the elderly and disabled for the water and sewer fees. The Chairman informed Mr. Wilson that this was an issue that should be taken up with the Water Authority.

IN RE: TOWN OF MCKENNEY--REQUEST FOR COUNTY TO ASSUME PAYMENT OF STREET LIGHTS

Mr. Clay reminded the Board of the request by the Town of McKenney to have the County assume payment for the street lights located in the Town of McKenney.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would not be opposed to this action if an investigation was made of the present lights to see that they are in line with the arrangement of street lights in the rest of the County.

The County Administrator was instructed to meet with a representative from VEPCO to review the street lights in McKenney and report their findings to the Board.

IN RE: VACANCY--APPRAISERS FOR REASSESSMENT

The County Administrator informed the Board that Julio DelCorso was leaving to take a position with the City of Colonial Heights and a replacement should be coming by October 1, 1979. He also stated that an additional appraiser had been requested. The Board stated that they wanted to impress upon the State the need for uniformity which the change in personnel might affect during the reassessment. The County Administrator stated that he would request that Mr. Fred Forberg attend the October 3, 1979 meeting to discuss the Board's concerns.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:00 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 1:00 A.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 1:00 A.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF OCTOBER, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the September 19, 1979 minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1860 thru 79-1953 amounting to $77,168.32; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-119 thru D-79-127 amounting to $1048.25; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-79-16 thru JGC-79-19 amounting to $220.75; Fire Vehicle Fund check-number FVF-79-3 in the amount of $53,500.00.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a report of the Comparison of Taxes Assessed for the Years 1978 and 1979. He also stated that the 1979 tax tickets were ready and should be mailed out within the next two weeks.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of September, 1979.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of September, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of September, 1979.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--C.E. BEACH AND JOHN BISHOP

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. C.E. Beach and Mr. John Bishop be awarded $60.00 for two pigs.

IN RE: A-79-3--AGRICULTURAL, RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT A-R

A public hearing on A-79-3, Agricultural, Rural Residential District A-R was held on September 19, 1979 at which time action was postponed until additional information could be accumulated. The Director of Planning reviewed this information and recommended that the Board adopt the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by adding the following Article to the Zoning Ordinance:

## Article IVA. Agricultural, Rural Residential, District A-R.

### Section 17-31.1 Intent.

The purpose of this district is to encourage continued agricultural and forest uses, protect environmentally and ecologically sensitive areas, and preserve the natural beauty of rural areas of the County where urban services (i.e. water and sewer mains, etc.) are not planned. At the same time, the district is intended to provide developmental flexibility by allowing for spacious residential development for those who choose to live in a rural environment. All subdivision proposals will be carefully reviewed prior to granting an A-R classification to insure that the proposal is compatible with the surrounding environment and existing land uses. All County ordinances will be in full effect in this district with the exception of Chapter 16A of the Dinwiddie County Code, entitled Water and Sewers. Should a central water/sewer system be constructed, it shall meet the minimum standards and requirements promulgated by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and appropriate State regulatory agency.

### Section 17-31.2 Permitted Uses.

In agricultural, rural residential, district A-R, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following:

(a) single-family dwellings
(b) parks, playgrounds and recreation areas
(c) schools, private or public
(d) churches
(e) off-street parking, as required by this chapter
(f) accessory buildings, as defined

### Section 17-31.3 Minimum Lot Area.

The minimum lot area for permitted uses shall be two (2) acres.

### Section 17-31.4 Setback.

All structures shall be located fifty-feet or more from any street right-of-way which is fifty feet or greater in width or seventy-five feet or more from the center line of any street right-of-way less than fifty feet in width.

### Section 17-31.5 Frontage.

The minimum frontage for permitted uses shall be two hundred feet.

### Section 17-31.6 Yards.

In agricultural, rural residential, district AR, the yard regulations shall be as follows:

(a) Side Yards. The minimum side yard for each main structure shall be twenty feet and for each accessory building five feet.

(b) Rear yards. Each main structure shall have a minimum rear yard of fifty feet and each accessory building five feet.

### Section 17-31.7 Height of buildings.

Buildings and structures in agricultural district A-R may be erected up to thirty-five feet in height; except, that:

(a) The height limit for dwellings may be increased up to forty-five feet provided, that the side yards for each permitted use is increased one foot for each additional foot of building height over thirty-five feet.

(b) No accessory building which is within twenty-feet of any party lot line shall be more than one story high. All accessory buildings shall be less than the main building in height.

Section 17-31.8 Special provisions applicable to corner lots.

(a) Of the two sides of a corner lot the front shall be deemed to be the shorter of the two sides fronting on streets.

(b) The minimum side yard on the side facing the side street shall be the same as that required for the front yard.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMITS--DESIGNATION OF APPLICATION FEE AND AUDIT FEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, under Section 18.2-340.2 of the Code of Virginia, an organization shall obtain a permit from the governing body to conduct bingo games and raffles in the County; and

WHEREAS, the governing body may charge a $25.00 application fee or any lesser amount or waiver the fee as it chooses; and

WHEREAS, under Section 18.2-340.7 an audit shall be conducted after November 1 by the County's designated official of the financial report submitted by organizations conducting bingo games and raffles in October of each year; and

WHEREAS, the governing body shall establish a reasonable audit fee not to exceed one per centum of the gross receipts which an organization reports; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors does not want to place a financial burden upon an organization; however, it does feel the cost of processing applications and auditing records should be recovered;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the application fee for Bingo and Raffle Permits shall be $10.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the audit fee shall be one per centum of the gross receipts which an organization reports.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF AUDIT OFFICIAL FOR BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMITS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18.2-340.7 of the Code of Virginia, an audit is required after November 1 of the financial reports of organizations conducting bingo games and raffles within the County; and

WHEREAS, these reports shall be filed for audit by such official as the governing body may designate;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Wendy S. Weber be designated as the local official to receive the financial reports and be responsible for their auditing.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club is hereby granted renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--MCKENNEY RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the McKenney Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the McKennev Ruritan Club is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: MCKENNEY STREET LIGHTS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Town Council of McKenney has requested that the Board of Supervisors take into the County street light system and assume payment for those street lights that are located in the Town of McKenney; and

WHEREAS, upon an on site inspection, it was determined that the Town of McKenney is being billed for a total of 76 street lights; and

WHEREAS, these lights were found to be comparable in location and quality to those located throughout the remainder of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the street lights for the Town of McKenney be taken into the County's street light system; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia assumes the payment of the street light bill of the Town of McKenney.

IN RE: STREET LIGHT REVIEW--OAK STREET, WOODSTREAM DRIVE, WEST PETERSBURG

Mr. Leftwich requested that Oak Street be reviewed to determine the feasibility of placing a street light there. He also asked that Woodstream Drive be viewed along with three new locations in West Petersburg.

The County Administrator was instructed to contact a representative from VEPCO to view these locations and report their findings at the October 17, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD--ESE, INC.

The County Administrator reviewed with the Board the contract between the County and the state for Industrial Access

Project 0684-026-208,C501.

The agreement states that all costs above $150,000 shall be borne by the County. He further stated that the City of Petersburg is to pay 50% of this additional cost; however, the contract itself is between the State and the County of Dinwiddie since the property is located within the County.

The County has fifteen days in which to accept the cost proposals for the project when submitted. To perform the work needed to secure the cost proposals, certain expenses will be incurred which shall be borne by the County whether it elects to accept the proposals and proceed with the work or not.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to contact the City of Petersburg to clarify their understanding as to how the extra costs incurred on the road will be shared. Action was postponed until this information is made available.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COMPUTER EQUIPMENT

The County Administrator briefly reviewed the information accumulated to date on computer equipment for the County and those departments who have expressed a desire to participate. The equipment being considered is an IBM Systems 32.

Mr. Hodnett stated that he would like to sit down with all the departments and determine their computer needs and then develop an RFP to be bid upon for the County.

Mr. Hargrave supported Mr. Hodnett's approach but stated that he could not agree with hiring additional personnel. He felt the existing personnel could be reassigned to accommodate the equipment.

Mrs. K.B. Talley, Director of Social Services, stated that she felt her Food Stamp Program alone would warrant the use of a computer and monies could be appropriated to support their usage.

Dr. Vaughn stated that he had an immediate need for some type of equipment; however, he could wait as late as the first of the year.

The Board agreed to discuss the need for computer equipment with the various County departments to determine their needs and prepare a set of specifications for which bids may be accepted by the end of November. If this date cannot be met, they felt Dr. Vaughn should be authorized to secure the equipment he needs for his department.

IN RE: BOAT LANDING AGREEMENT--LAKE CHESDIN

The County Administrator reviewed the agreements proposed between the County of Dinwiddie and the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries for operation and maintenance of the boat landing at Lake Chesdin.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to forward the proposal as presented to them on this date to the Virginia Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries for their consideration.

IN RE: INDUSTRIAL ACCESS--RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR ESE, INC.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the City of Petersburg has contractually agreed to deed a right-of-way from 632 to the ESE construction site; and

WHEREAS, since this contract was executed, the City of Petersburg has deeded to the County of Dinwiddie one-half interest in said land; and

WHEREAS, it is required that Dinwiddie County participate in the deed conveying the right-of-way to ESE, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, this right-of-way conveyance will become null and void upon completion of the industrial access road from Route 460 to the ESE, Industrial site;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of the Board is hereby authorized to sign the deed conveying the right-of-way to ESE, Inc.

IN RE: DAVID M. GRIFFITH & ASSOCIATES--RENEWAL OF AGREEMENT FOR FY 1979

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie entered into an agreement with David M. Griffith & Associates on December 6, 1978 to prepare a 1978 cost allocation plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie was eligible to recover administrative funds through the Department of Welfare as a result of this plan; and

WHEREAS, it would be in the best interest of the County to continue these services for 1979;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign a renewal agreement with the firm of David M. Griffith and Associates for fiscal year 1979.

IN RE: WEST PETERSBURG--DISCUSSION OF VACANT LOT ORDINANCE AND RODENT CONTROL

Mr. John Crawley, President, West Petersburg Community Council, Inc. appeared before the Board to discuss what steps have been taken to alleviate the problems in West Petersburg caused by vacant lots and abandoned buildings.

In reply to Mr. Crawley, the County Administrator stated the following:

1. Since the County does not have the equipment nor the manpower to raze abandoned buildings, outside assistance is needed. At this point, the assistance sought has not made a commitment as to what time the work might be accomplished.

2. In relation to the problem of rodent control, the Health Department has completed a study of the situation listing the various areas that need to be addressed in alleviating the rodent control problem. However, the Health Department has not stated what actions it will take.

3. The vacant lot ordinance has been researched by the County Attorney. Mr. Elder stated that the Board has authority to enforce an ordinance; however, he would recommend that the County determine what authority the Health Department has and what it intends to do before considering the adoption of a local ordinance.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to ask a representative of the Health Department to attend the October 17, 1979 meeting to discuss these items.

IN RE: JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL AGREEMENT--EXTENSION OF CONTRACT TIME

Mr. A.S. Clay, the Board's representative to the Johnsongrass Control Committee advised the Board that since the Johnsongrass Control program was late in starting this year, the Committee would like to extend the original contract period another year beyond June 30, 1981 to gain full benefit of the pilot program.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services is hereby requested to amend the original contract between the Department and the County of Dinwiddie to extend the agreement period for renewal of the Pilot Control Program of Johnsongrass in Dinwiddie County from June 30, 1981 to June 30, 1982.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:35 P.M. to discuss land acquisition. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:40 P.M.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL WORKING DAYS--APPRAISERS

The County Administrator explained the need for the Appraisers to work on Saturdays and holidays in order to complete the Reassessment by the end of the year. The Board was in total agreement with the County Administrator and directed him to retain the services of Hanson, DelCorso and Stacy for the days mandated.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:45 P.M.

G. S. Bennett Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, Jr., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 17TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1979 AT 8:00 P. M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A. S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L. G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY |
| | C. L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye", the October 3, 1979 regular minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund Checks - numbering 79-1954 thru 79-2071 amounting to $72,477.84; History Book Fund check HB-79-6 in the amount of $4.11; Library Fund check number LF-79-9 in the amount of $226.00; County Construction Fund check number CCF-79-9 in the amount of #3,073.72.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF SURPLUS VAN -- C & P TELEPHONE COMPANY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Conrad Akers and Mr. A. W. Perkins, Jr. of the C & P Telephone Company have presented to the Board of Supervisors a van to be used by the Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is desirous of acknowledging and expressing full appreciation to Mr. Akers, Mr. Perkins and the C & P Telphone Company for this contribution.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mr. Conrad Akers, Mr. A. W. Perkins, Jr. and the C & P Telephone Company are hereby commended for the donation of this van and support of the volunteer fire department; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of this meeting and copies forwarded to Mr. Akers and Mr. Perkins.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PISTOLS -- SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Sheriff Charles L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to present a proposal from Mr. R. B. Faison for Smith and Wesson Model 66 Stainless Steel .357 magnum revolvers with a trade-in allowance for the Smith and Wesson Model 10, 38 Specials presently used by the department.

Sheriff Mitchell stated that the pistols now being used were in poor condition and would not pass inspection for use on the firing range.

The Board instructed Sheriff Mitchell to obtain other competitive bids on these pistols for their consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: "BOSS" ENERGY CONTROL SYSTEM -- APPROVAL FOR SCHOOLS

Upon motion of Mr.Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, all schools are presently covered by a maintenance contract with Honeywell, Inc; and

WHEREAS, under the Building Operations Service System, participating schools would be monitored twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week to reduce energy usage to the lowest level possible; and

WHEREAS, a side benefit from this system is immediate knowledge of malfunction of equipment and servicemen dispatched to make repairs; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Elementary, Southside Elementary, Rohoic Elementary, Dinwiddie Jr. High School and Dinwiddie Sr. High School would be included under the "Boss" program; and

WHEREAS, the net expenditure for this system would be $25,222 as opposed to the present payment of $39,872 for maintenance only resulting in a guaranteed cost savings of $14,650.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to enter into an agreement with Honeywell, Inc. to institute the "Boss" Energy program at those schools as designated; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes those transfers of funds within the school budget as needed to accommodate this increase for maintenance.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The appointments to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council and the CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council were postponed at this time.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF STREET LIGHTS -- OAK STREET; WEST PETERSBURG

The County Administrator reviewed with the Board the result of his investigation with the VEPCO representative of the street lights as instructed at the October 3, 1979 meeting as follows:

1. Oak Street does not have a light at the present time. It was recommended that one be placed there.

2. Woodstream Drive has three lights with underground service. Their review did not reveal a need for additional street lights. A request was made for an additional light at the end of the road because of late night activity. To place a light there would result in an extra cost to the County.

3. To bring the lights up to good standing, it is recommended that one light be placed at the corner of Nottoway and Warwick; two on Surry Avenue; and one light be moved on Surry Avenue.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that VEPCO be instructed to install 3300 lumen street lights at the following locations:

(1) on Oak Street

West Petersburg
(1) on the corner of Nottoway and Warwick
(2) on Surry Avenue
(1) relocated on Surry Avenue; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator investigate the possibility of placing a light at the cul-de-sac on Woodstream Drive and the extra cost to the County and report his findings to the Board for their consideration.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF MANDATORY CONNECTION FEES

Mr. Emery Veazey appeared before the Board to discuss Sec. 16A-9 of the water and sewer ordinance dealing with penalties for non-compliance with the Water Authority regulations.

The Commonwealth's Attorney advised Mr. Veasy that the Water Authority was responsible for enforcing its own rules and regulations; therefore, his discussion should be directed to the Authority.

IN RE: DUMPSTER LOCATION -- VIRGINIA BRAGAN

Mrs. Virginia Bragan appeared before the Board to express her concern about the relocation of the dumpsters to Rt. 460 adjoining her property. She stated she was not notified and she felt that she should have been. She also stated that she felt more trees should be cleared revealing the area to eliminate undesireable activities that might take place in a secluded area.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye', the meeting adjourned at 8:40 P.M.

G. S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. Knott
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 7TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the October 17, 1979 minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-2072 thru 79-2151 amounting to $59,008.59; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-128 thru D-79-143 amounting to $1,479.15; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-79-20 thru JGC-79-24 amounting to $407.91.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte appeared before the Board to advise them that the computer service, Acme Visible Records, Inc., presently used by his office to prepare tax records is going out of business. He stated that he had contacted another firm, Business Data of Virginia, and found them to be a comparable service which he would probably utilize in the future.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of October, 1979.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--DOG FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Treasurer advised the Board that approximately $2500 is needed to be transferred to the Dog Fund to cover monthly expenses through February, 1980; and

WHEREAS, these funds will be transferred from the Dog Fund to the General Fund at such time that there is a sufficient balance to do so.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $2500 be transferred from the General Fund to the Dog Fund as a loan to be repaid when sufficient funds are available in the Dog Fund Account.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--PURCHASE OF PISTOLS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following bids were presented for the purchase of new .357 Magnum revolvers for the Sheriff's Department:

R.B. Faison - $104/each
Hub Uniform Co. - $181.50/each
Southern Police Equip. Co. - $90.43/each; and

WHEREAS, Sheriff Chas. L. Mitchell requested that the County accept the low bid of Southern Police Equipment Company and purchase twelve (12) .357 Magnum revolvers at the cost of $141.43 each with a trade-in value on the department's present guns of $51.00 making the net cost $90.43 each.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Sheriff be authorized to purchase twelve (12) .357 magnum revolvers from the Southern Police Equipment Company at a net cost of $90.43 each.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF 1980 POLICE CARS

The County Administrator submitted the following bids received for the purchase of twelve (12) new police vehicles:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Master Chev. Sales, Inc. | Chev. Impala 4 dr. Sedan $7207.21 | Chev. Malibu 4 dr. Sedan $6947.77 |
| Woody's Chevrolet, Inc. | Chev. Impala 4 dr. Sedan $7444.71 | Chev. Malibu $7043.17 |
| Triangle Dodge, Inc. | Dodge St. Regis $7176.61 | Dodge Aspen $6601.68 |
| Ted Curry Motors, Inc. | Ply. Gran Fury $7159.83 | Ply. Volare $6598.08 |
| Dinwiddie Motor Company | Ford LTD 4 dr. $7198.83 | |
| Petersburg Motor Company | NO BID SUBMITTED. | |

The Sheriff requested that the County accept the low bid from Ted Curry Motors, Inc. for the 1980 Gran Fury, 118.5 wheel base in the amount of $7,159.83.

The Board felt that due to the energy crisis and inflated costs of fuel, a smaller car should be considered. The Board asked to meet with the Deputies prior to the regular scheduled meeting on November 21, 1979.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of October, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of October, 1979.

IN RE: R.J. WILLIAMS--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. R.J. Williams be awarded $150.00 for two (2) hogs.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to discuss the following items:

1. 1980 Census Review - Mr. Scheid informed the Board that the County would not have the opportunity to review the preliminary mailing lists for the 1980 Census as he had stated before. After receiving information on the Local Review Program, the County will be asked to compare the census results with its own population records for accuracy. He therefore felt the need to update the field survey he previously prepared to have accurate figures for comparison. The preliminary survey from the Census Bureau should be circulated in March of 1980 for review by the localities.

2. FHA Grant - Mr. Scheid advised the Board that after discussions with Farmers Home Administration, the County was advised to transfer the $150,000 FHA grant for water and sewer construction to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority for administering the program and the required paperwork. The County originally applied for the grant and it was, therefore, awarded in the name of Dinwiddie County. The Board instructed Mr. Scheid to prepare a resolution for their consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES--EMERGENCY ENERGY PROGRAM

Mrs. K.B. Talley appeared before the Board to advise them of a State Emergency Energy Program to be administered by the Social Services Department to meet emergency energy needs. She stated that funds were available from the State in the amount of $76,746.00 on a reimbursement basis; therefore, the County would be required to provide the initial outlay of funds.

Funds are available for qualifying recipients with a $200 limit per household to provide fuel or money for fuel. Action to transfer the funds needed was postponed until the November 21, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: ADULT BASIC EDUCATION PROGRAM--FY 1979-80

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board has requested that the County fund the Adult Basic Education Program for 1979-80 as it has in the past; and

WHEREAS, the total cost of the program for the school system will be $5,060.00 for which the County would be responsible for 10% or $506.00; and

WHEREAS, the remainder of the cost will be reimbursed on a quarterly basis; and

WHEREAS, a program will be operated through the School division at Dinwiddie Correctional Unit #27 costing $2,000 which the Virginia Rehabilitation School Authority will pay 10% or $200.00;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that monies be appropriated to fund the Adult Basic Education Program for fiscal year 1979-80 in the amount of $7,060.00 of which the County will be responsible for $506.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that reimbursement for this program be requested quarterly.

IN RE: ESE, INC.--DISCUSSION OF WATER AND SEWER SCHEDULE

Mr. Ed Sweeny, President, ESE, Inc. and Mr. John Dodson, Attorney for ESE, Inc., appeared before the Board to review the construction progress to date of ESE, Inc. and inquire as to the

completion date of the water and sewer lines to the site. He stated that his firm would like to proceed with construction of the building six months prior to completion of the water and sewer lines.

The Chairman presented the following letter to Mr. Sweeny outlining the maximum dates scheduled for completion of the water and sewer service. The County Administrator stated that this time frame represented the maximum completion dates and every effort would be made to finish as early as possible.

Mr. Edward Sweeny
ESE, Inc.
P.O. Box 60
Dinwiddie, Virginia 23841

Dear Mr. Sweeny:

In response to your request to outline a schedule and a completion date for the water and sewer line to your property at the Airport Industrial Park, the following are dates provided to us by the County's engineering firm, R. Stuart Royer and Associates:

1. The design for the water and sewer lines to your property has been completed.

2. Specifications for the bidding and construction of these lines should be completed by November 12, 1979. Upon completion, they will be submitted to the State Health Department and the State Water Control Board for their approval.

3. On December 12, 1979, the Water Authority will advertise for bids. This can be done without approval of the State Health Department and the State Water Control Board.

4. On January 12, 1980, bids will be opened. By this time, approval from the State Health Department and the State Water Control Board should have been received.

5. No later than February 12, 1980, bids will be awarded. In all likelihood, there will be two contractors for the sewer line and one contractor for the water line.

6. On March 12, 1980, work will begin on the project.

7. On September 12, 1980, installation of the water line should be completed.

8. On September 12, 1980, installation of the sewer line should be completed.

Construction on areas known as K-1 and J-1 of the original water and sewer area to be served should begin around the first of the year. These are connecting links between the present system and the water and sewer lines that will extend out to the Industrial Park at the Airport.

It is assumed that the schedule outlined above is the maximum time that will be required and it is hoped that the water and sewer lines can be completed much sooner. Because of the nature of its construction and the path it must follow, the water line is assured of being completed without any delays or difficulty. We do not anticipate any difficulty or delays with the sewer line, but the nature of its construction requires a greater period of time for its installation. If sewer should not be available when your building is ready to open, plans will be prepared to accommodate your needs for a short period of time.

You may rest assured that the Board of Supervisors, the Water Authority, and the County's engineering firm will do everything possible to have the water and sewer available upon completion of your building. You will be provided with a monthly update beginning January 15, 1980 on the status of the water and sewer lines to your property.

Sincerely,

George S. Bennett, Jr.
Chairman

The Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation stated that the industrial road to the site should follow fairly close to the water and sewer line schedule. Mr. Sweeny stated that the road would not deter his construction plans.

IN RE: CLAY ESTATES--ADDITION OF EUGENE DRIVE & EDRIE DRIVE TO STATE SECONDARY SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Edrie Drive, beginning at a point on Route 601, 0.45 miles east of Route 743 and running in a northerly direction 0.17 miles to dead end with turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Eugene Drive, beginning at a point on Edrie Drive, 0.13 miles north of Route 601 and running in a westerly direction 0.34 miles to dead end with turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these roads in Clay Estates Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right-of-way of 50' and 60' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 10, Pages 104 and 105 dated January 26, 1977.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Charles Perry, Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to receive their comments concerning roads in the County.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to incorporate Route 666 into the 6-year plan for improvements as soon as possible. Mr. Perry advised the Board that a meeting should be set up to review the 6-year plan after the first of the year and that the Board should realize there would be a reduction in state revenue to work with.

Mr. Bennett requested that the bushes be cleared along Rt. 622 between Rt. 610 and 645 because of the hazardous traffic problems they were causing.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE WOMEN OF THE MOOSE

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Women of the Moose, Chapter No. 1296, has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Women of the Moose, Chapter 1296, is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC COMMUNITY RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mrs. Hattie M. Walker be reappointed to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council, term expiring October 31, 1981.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Granville Maitland be appointed to the CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council, term expiring October 15, 1980; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Joseph M. Johnson be reappointed to the CPDC Planning and Development Advisory Council, term expiring October 15, 1981.

IN RE: TRASH TRUCK--CONVERSION TO MANUAL TRANSMISSION

The Director of Sanitation advised the Board that the 1975 trash truck was out of service and would have to have a new transmission installed at an approximate cost of $4500. He stated that the truck presently had an automatic transmission which continually needed repair.

Because of the continual problems encountered with the automatic transmission and the tremendous expense to have it repaired, the following alternatives were presented for the Board's consideration:

1. Replace present transmission - approximate cost $4500.

2. Replace present transmission - approximate cost $4500. Order parts for conversion from automatic to manual transmission - approximate cost $12,000.

3. Replace present transmission - approximate cost $4500. Order new truck and trade in old truck - approximate cost $30,000. Place body on new truck - approximate cost $3,000.

4. Replace transmission in truck - approximate cost $4500. Order new truck. Do not trade in old truck - cost approximately $45,000. Order new body - approximate cost $30,000.

5. Withdraw from trash collection business.

6. Consider going to new system such as the roll off system.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Director of Sanitation be instructed to replace the present transmission on the trash truck at an approximate cost of $4500; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that parts be ordered for conversion from automatic to a manual transmission, approximate cost $12,000.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--WATER AND SEWER CONNECTION FEE

Mr. Donald Porter appeared before the Board to advise them that the Namozine VFD has received notice to connect their firehouse to the water and sewer service at a connection fee of $1500. He advised the Board that their department was unable to pay the fee and requested the County's assistance.

The Board advised Mr. Porter that the County would pay the connection fee and Mr. Leftwich and Mr. Hodnett stated they would contact the Water Authority to request that the fee be reduced to $500 or waived. The Board then instructed Mr. Porter to obtain bids on the installation of the lines for their consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: STATE HEALTH DEPARTMENT--DISCUSSION OF WEST PETERSBURG

Dr. J.R. Tietjen, Health Director and Mr. Steve Owen, Supervisory Sanitarian, Crater Health District appeared before the Board to review the survey of rodent infestation made by the Health Department in the West Petersburg Subdivision and their recommendations for corrective action. Dr. Tietjen reviewed a detailed map of the lots outlining rodent infestation and other problem areas.

Dr. Tietjen stated that the Health Department's recommendation to the County for corrective action would be enforcement of citizen responsibility through a local ordinance. With the adoption of a local ordinance, the Health Department would have a basis for enforcing compliance by the residents.

The Board asked Dr. Tietjen to review existing ordinances that would meet the needs of the Health Department and the County and submit his recommendation for their consideration.

IN RE: REMOVAL OF DETERIORATED HOMES--WEST PETERSBURG

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors conducted a housing survey of the West Petersburg Subdivision in 1977-1978 and identified several deteriorated homes which are not occupied; and

WHEREAS, the State Department of Health conducted a field inspection of the West Petersburg Subdivision in 1978-1979 and found many health problems associated with these deteriorated homes; and

WHEREAS, the County contacted the owners of these structures and obtained legal releases from said owners for the removal of these structures; and

WHEREAS, the citizens in this general vicinity support this home removal program;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County request of the Virginia National Guard (176 Engineer Group) assistance in the removal of eight (8) deteriorated homes which are located in the West Petersburg subdivision.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:45 P.M. to discuss land acquisition and legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:50 P.M.

IN RE: AGREEMENT BETWEEN DINWIDDIE COUNTY AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA--PROJECT 0684-026-208, C501--INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to sign the following County-State Agreement:

THIS AGREEMENT, made this 7th day of November, 1979, between DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, hereinafter referred to as the "County" and the COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION, hereinafter referred to as the "Department",

WHEREAS, the County has requested the Department to approve Industrial Access Funds to build an access road to serve E.S.E., Incorporated; and

WHEREAS, E.S.E., Incorporated qualifies under the Industrail Access Funding requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Department has allocated $150,000 of Industrial Access Funds under the authority of Section 33.1-221 of the Code of Virginia as amended to provide access to E.S.E., Incorporated; and

WHEREAS, an Industrial Access project known as Project 0684-026-208, C501 has been designated to provide access to this facility.

NOW, THEREFORE, WITNESSETH, that for and in consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter stipulated, the County and Department agree as follows:

1. To the construction of the access road designed as Project 0684-026-208, C501 in accordance with plans and standard specifications of the Department.

2. The work to be done under this Agreement consists of the construction of the access road described in Paragraph 1. The work herein described is hereinafter referred to as the "Project" and costs and expenses in connection with it are hereinafter referred to as "Project Expense".

3. Responsibility for the several necessary items of work shall be as follows:

   A. The Department will:

      1. Provide from the Industrial Access Fund, funds in an amount not to exceed $150,000 for the engineering and construction of the access road. The project will be designed with a pavement width of twenty-four (24) feet plus shoulders and regular drainage items will be constructed in accordance with plans prepared by the Department and approved by the County.

      2. When plans have developed to the point where a detailed estimate can be prepared, the County will be advised of the project cost exceeding $150,000.

      3. Accomplish the roadway construction by contract following its competitive bid procedures making charges for all cost incurred as project expense, exclusive of right of way and utility adjustment which will be the responsibility of the County.

      4. Provide all administrative and inspection activities associated with the project as project expense.

      5. On completion of the project, bill the County for all cost over and above the Industrial Access

allocation of $150,000.

6. Jointly with the County, has the responsibility in the event the contractor files a claim for extra compensation or time extension under Section 105.17 of the January 1, 1978 Road and Bridge Specification, Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation and applicable laws to determine whether the claim is valid or not. Any additional cost resulting from a valid claim, whether at the administrative level or as a result of litigation shall be added to the total project expense. The decision of the Highway and Transportation Commissioner in the validity, responsibility and amount of the claim shall be final.

B. Dinwiddie County will:

1. Cause any utilities located within the right-of-way necessary for this project, which are in conflict with this construction, to be adjusted at no cost to the Department.

2. Provide for the necessary right-of-way at no cost to the Department.

3. Reimburse the Department for all actual engineering and construction cost over and above the $150,000 allocated and will submit payment of such within thirty (30) days of billing by the Department.

4. Advise the Department within fifteen (15) days of being advised of the estimated project costs in excess of $150,000 whether the County desires to proceed with the project. If the County decides that the project should be cancelled, the County will reimburse the Department for all project costs incurred to date within thirty (30) days of billing by the Department.

5. Agree that the location, form and character of all traffic control devices and markings installed or placed by any public authority or other agency shall be subject to approval by the Department.

6. Will not permit any reduction in the number or width of traffic lanes, or alterations of channelization islands, after construction of the project, without prior approval of the Department.

4. Any work necessary in connection with the project which is not specifically provided for in paragraph 3 hereof or its subsections shall be done by one of the parties hereto as may be mutually agreed upon by said parties. All work shall be done in accordance with the plans and specifications referenced to in paragraph 1.

5. All plans, profiles, specifications, general and special provisions, revisions and any other documents required to complete the construction of the work in an acceptable manner are hereby made a part of this Agreement.

6. This Agreement when properly executed shall be binding upon the parties hereto.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be executed in duplicate, each by its duly authorized officers

and the County Seal of the party of the Second part affixed hereto, all as of the day, month and year hereinabove first written.

DINWIDDIE COUNTY

ATTEST: BY _____

_____ TITLE _____

TITLE_____

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

BY _____

Deputy Commissioner & Chief Engineer

ATTEST:

_____

TITLE_____

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Lertwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.

G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the November 7, 1979 minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-2152 thru 79-2356 amounting to $65,536.69; History Book Fund-check number HB-79-6A in the amount of $8.00; County Construction Fund-check number CCF-79-10 and CCF-79-11 amounting to $5,578.94; Library Fund Checks-number LF-79-10 and LF-79-11 amounting to $109.00.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF DEED--D. CARLETON MAYES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, D. Carleton Mayes and Elizabeth L. Mayes, his wife, are desirous of making a gift of real estate to Dinwiddie County consisting of four (4) lots known as Lots 7,8,9 and 10, Block B, on a "Map of Dinwiddie, Va." as recorded in Plat Book 1, at page 367, in the Dinwiddie Circuit Court Clerk's Office; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined by professional appraisal concurred in by the Board of Supervisors that the fair market value of said property is $25,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the gift is made on the condition that said property be used exclusively for public purposes;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to accept with the stated restrictions said gift on behalf of Dinwiddie County acting by and through the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--AUTHORIZATION TO SELL SURPLUS BUSES & EQUIPMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has authorized the sale of old school buses and other items of furniture or equipment no longer serviceable to them; and

WHEREAS, Virginia School Law Sec. 15.1-262 requires "the unanimous approval of the school board and the local governing body" to authorize the sale of school property; and

WHEREAS, the County desires to include certain items of surplus equipment in this sale;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County School Board be authorized to sell those items of furniture and equipment at public auction which are no longer serviceable to the County.

IN RE: COMPUTER EQUIPMENT--AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE EQUIPMENT FOR SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board on several occasions has requested authorization to purchase computer equipment to accommodate their present bookkeeping needs; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors was desirous of investigating the possibility of equipment that would handle the bookkeeping needs of the entire county including the Schoo Board; and

WHEREAS, no action has been taken to purchase equipment to accommodate the needs of the entire County; and

WHEREAS, the present bookkeeping equipment used by the School Board is frequently out of service due to repairs;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to buy, rent, lease or purchase a computer system to accommodate their bookkeeping needs.

IN RE: SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY SCHOOL--AUTHORIZATION TO HIRE ARCHITECT

The Superintendent of Schools appeared before the Board to advise them that application for a literary loan for a new school at Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary had been filed and to request authorization to secure an architect to prepare the necessary plans and specifications.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes the Dinwiddie County School Board to enter into a contract with an architect to prepare the plans and specifications for a new Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School.

IN RE: SCHOOL BUS GARAGE

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to proceed with securing an architect to prepare the plans and specifications for a new school bus garage and administer its construction. Mr. Hargrave and Mr. Clay felt that hiring an architect on a regular percentage basis would be in excess of what was needed for the construction of a school bus garage. Mr. Hargrave stated that if an architect was necessary, he would rather see the work contracted on an hourly basis with a "not to exceed" amount established. Dr. Vaughn stated that there could be a savings in contracting with the same architect for the garage that would be secured for the new Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the necessary work for the construction of the new school bus garage; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of

Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all plans are subject to final approval by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: SUSSEX COUNTY IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION

Mr. Gilbert Burrough, consultant for the Sussex County Improvement Association, appeared before the Board to explain the purpose of the Association and request a resolution in support of the creation of a community service agency. This agency would encompass Dinwiddie, Sussex, Surry and Prince George counties, its purpose being to work with existing social action agencies in these communities. Mr. Burrough stated that preliminary data has been accumulated on the needs assessment survey authorized by the Board of Supervisors at their February 21, 1979 meeting; however, the final results will not be ready until March, 1980. He further stated that a resolution in support was needed at this time to secure a $50,000 federal grant which would be used among the four localities to establish a community services agency staff.

The Board advised Mr. Burrough that they would like to see the preliminary data accumulated thus far as well as input from other CSA's in the surrounding area before a decision is made. Mr. James Perry, Director of the Sussex County Improvement Association advised the Board he would forward this information to the County Administrator.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-7--RONALD T. ELDER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 7, and Wednesday, November 14, 1979, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the District Classification from "Agricultural A-3" to "Conditional Residential R-1" a tract of land shown as parcel 1B-1 of Sec. 7 of the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County. The conditions which have been proffered are as follows:

1. All lots shall have a minimum area of one acre.
2. All land within 100 feet of Lake Chesdin shall be subject to reasonable restrictions against disturbance of the soil or cutting of the timber. The purpose of these restrictions is to minimize the possibility of soil erosion into the Lake and these restrictions shall be in favor of both Dinwiddie County and the Appomattox River Water Authority.
3. All lots fronting on Lake Chesdin shall be subject to a restriction against the use of surface fertilizers. The purpose of this restriction is to minimize the possibility of fertilizers washing into the Lake and this restriction shall be in favor of both Dinwiddie County and the Appomattox River Water Authority.

Mr. Sam Johnson, Attorney, White, Hamilton, Wyche and Shell, spoke in behalf of Mr. Elder in his rezoning request. He stated that the original request had been R-1 zoning; however, their desire was to amend the original application to "Conditional Residential R-1" with the conditions as stated. Mr. Ronald T. Elder and Mr. Gerald Moody, partners in Ron-Gil Properties, appeared in support of their rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action wherein they recommended downzoning to an A-R District Classification at their October 10, 1979 meeting.

The Board expressed a concern for further development on Lake Chesdin due to the threat to the water supply. The

Director of Planning was instructed to secure additional information on guidelines to be used for development along a water supply source such as Lake Chesdin for their review.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, voting "aye", rezoning application P-79-7 was tabled until the December 19, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a brief recess at 9:35 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 9:43 P.M.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-8--GERALD WEBB

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 7 and Wednesday, November 14, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification from "Agricultural A-2" to "Business B-2" a tract of land shown as parcel 65 of Sec. 33 of the Zoning Map of said County.

Mr. Gerald Webb appeared in support of his rezoning request. He stated that the property, presently occupied by the Country Music Lodge, would be sold contingent upon approval of his rezoning request. The prospective buyer plans to modify the lodge to operate a restaurant.

No one appeared in opposition. The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission minutes wherein they recommended approval at their October 10, 1979 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by changing the district classification of Parcel 65, Section 33 of the Zoning Map from "Agricultural A-2" to "Business, General B-2" and in all other respects, said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: CLOSING OF TREASURER'S OFFICE--INTERNAL AUDIT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. F.E. Jones will be retiring, effective December 31, 1979; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis will assume the position of Treasurer, effective January 1, 1980; and

WHEREAS, an internal audit of the Treasurer's office is required before the new Treasurer takes office; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Jones has requested that the Treasurer's office be closed for this audit beginning December 26, 1979 through January 1, 1980.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer's office be closed for an internal audit beginning December 26, 1979 through January 1, 1980; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to duly advertise the closing of his office.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF POLICE CARS--1980

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following bids were submitted for new police cars at the November 7, 1979 meeting:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Master Chev. Sales, Inc. | Chev. Impala 4 dr. Sedan $7207.21 | Chev. Malibu 4 dr. Sedan $6947.77 |
| Woody's Chevrolet, Inc. | Chev. Impala 4 dr. Sedan $7444.71 | Chev. Malibu $7043.17 |
| Triangle Dodge, Inc. | Dodge St. Regis $7176.61 | Dodge Aspen $6601.68 |
| Ted Curry Motors, Inc. | Ply. Gran Fury $7159.83 | Ply. Volare $6598.08 |
| Dinwiddie Motor Company | Ford LTD 4 dr. $7198.83 | |
| Petersburg Motor Company | NO BID SUBMITTED; and | |

WHEREAS, action was postponed pending further discussions with the Sheriff's Department on the possibility of using a smaller wheel base car; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff has requested that twelve (12) cars be purchased at the low bid of Ted Curry Motors, Inc. for the 1980 Plymouth Gran Fury, 118.5 inch wheel base for $7159.83 each;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that twelve (12) Gran Fury Plymouths be purchased from Ted Curry Motors, Inc. at a cost of $7159.83 each.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF ANIMAL WARDEN VEHICLE

The County Administrator presented the following bids for a new truck for the Animal Warden:

Master Chevrolet - 1/2 ton 8' Fleetside Pickup - 305 V8 - $5953
Woody's Chevrolet - 1/2 ton 8' Fleetside Pickup - 305 V8 - $5976
Triangle Dodge - D-150 318 V8 Pickup - $6006.40
Dinwiddie Motor Co. - F100 302 V8 - $6082

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the bid of Master Chevrolet Sales, Inc. for a 1/2 ton, 305 V8 Fleetside Pickup was accepted at a cost of $5953.00.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF BUILDING INSPECTOR VEHICLE

The County Administrator presented the following bids for a new car for the Building Inspector:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Master Chevrolet - | Malibu 4.4V8 267 CI | $5783 |
| | Malibu 5.0V8 305 CI | $5877 |
| Woody's Chevrolet - | Malibu 4.4V8 267 CI | $5800 |
| | Malibu 5.0V8 305 CI | $5900 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Triangle Dodge - | Aspen 318 V8 | $5806.05 |
| | Aspen 360 V8 | $5852.80 |
| Ted Curry - | Volare 318 V8 | $5775.00 |
| Dinwiddie Motor Company - | Granada 302 V8 | $5992 |
| | Fairmont 255 V8 | $5703 |

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the bid of Dinwiddie Motor Company for a Ford Fairmont 255 V8 at a cost of $5703.00 be accepted.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF NEW DUMPSTER LOCATION--ROUTE 460 W

Mr. Robert Brown, whose property adjoins the new trash dumpster location on Rt. 460W, appeared before the Board to express his concern about the secluded dumpster location on Rt. 460. He stated that additional trees should be cleared around the site to make the dumpsters visible to the road. He felt this would discourage undesireable activities around the dumpster site.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FHA GRANT TO WATER AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia applied for an industrial grant from FmHA for the development of an industrial park at the Petersburg Municipal Airport; and

WHEREAS, the County was awarded the sum of $150,000 from FmHA which is to be used for the construction of water and sewer lines to serve the site; and

WHEREAS, the County has been advised by the FmHA that this grant may be assigned to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority for use as specified above; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority has expressed a desire to administer this grant;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the industrial grant for $150,000 to construct sewer and water lines be transferred to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

IN RE: EMERGENCY FUEL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Department of Social Services of the County of Dinwiddie has been notified of the availability of $76,746 for Emergency Fuel Assistance during the coming winter; and

WHEREAS, the program has been authorized by Governor John Daulton to assist low-income families with the ever increasing cost of fuel; and

WHEREAS, local social service agencies will need to obtain a local appropriation to cover anticipated benefit and administrative costs which is subject to full (100%) reimbursement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that there is appropriated $76,746 for an Emergency Fuel Program for the winter 1979-80; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these funds be transferred from the General Fund to the local Social Service Department as needed to cover the expenses of this program.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT RENEWAL--DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge No. 1993, has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of their Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Lodge has met the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge, No. 1993, is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: STONY SPRINGS SUBDIVISION

Mr. James McKensie, a resident of Stony Springs Subdivision, appeared before the Board to discuss several items:

1. He asked that the Subdivision be reviewed for installation of street lights and proper signing. He felt that yield signs were needed in several locations. He also requested that a sign designating the subdivision as Stony Springs Subdivision be installed. The Board advised Mr. McKensie that they would ask the Highway Depaartment to review the area and make their recommendations as to what signs were needed.

2. He advised the Board that he was a speaker representative for the State Department of Corrections and would like to help the juveniles in the County. In addition, he suggested that those people needing wood for fuel contact the Petersburg Social Services Department who are conducting a wood drive.

3. Mr. McKensie stated he was concerned about the crime that took place at night in the area and asked for the County's assistance in the protection of the people in his community.

The County Administrator advised Mr. McKensie to leave his name and address and this information would be forwarded to the proper contact person.

IN RE: SECURITY LIGHT--DUMPSTER LOCATION ON ROUTE 460W

Mr. Steve Weber appeared before the Board to request that a security light be placed at the dumpster location on Rt. 460 W.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:35 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:10 P.M.

IN RE: DECEMBER PAYROLL CHECKS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that payroll checks for the month of December, 1979 be distributed December 20, 1979.

IN RE: ADVERTISEMENT OF ADMISSIONS TAX AND TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY AND/OR DISABLED ORDINANCES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye" the County Administrator was instructed to advertise the Admissions Tax Ordinance and Tax Relief for the Elderly and/or Disabled Ordinance for a public hearing at their December 19, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: SPECIAL MEETING--DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be instructed to arrange a meeting with the Dinwiddie County Water Authority on December 4, 1979 at 7:30 P.M. at the County Administration Building; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Steve Weber and Mr. George Robertson, incoming members of the Board of Supervisors, be advised of this meeting for their information and edification.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:20 P.M.

T. J. Leftwich
T. J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. Knott
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1979 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LOID A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters with the Water Authority and the attorneys representing both groups.

The Vice-Chairman thanked Mr. Steve Weber and Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr., newly elected Board members, for attending this meeting.

The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

T.J. Leftwich
T.J. LEFTWICH, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY |
| | A.G. SIMMONS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the November 21, 1979 minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-2357 thru 79-2445 amounting to $67,791.34; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-144 thru D-79-158 amounting to $2233.23.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of November, 1979.

IN RE: POLICE VEHICLES-CHANGE IN NUMBER ORDERED

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at their November 21, 1979 meeting, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution approving the purchase of twelve (12) police vehicles per the request of Deputy Sheriff B. M. Heath; and

WHEREAS, Sheriff Charles L. Mitchell has advised the Board that the number of vehicles to be purchased should be thirteen (13) rather than twelve (12);

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the resolution adopted on November 21, 1979 authorizing the purchase of twelve (12) vehicles is hereby repealed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to purchase thirteen (13) Gran Fury Plymouth police vehicles from Ted Curry Motors, Inc. at a cost of $7,159.83 each.

IN RE: POLICE VEHICLES--CROSSBAR LIGHT OPTION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Sheriff C.L. Mitchell has communicated to the Board of Supervisors through Deputy Sheriff Al Simmons that he wishes to install on eight of the new police vehicles crossbar lights; and

WHEREAS, the center hole 4-wire option to accommodate the crossbar lights cost an additional $35 per vehicle; and

WHEREAS, Ted Curry Motors, Inc. included a quotation of $35 per car for this work in their proposal;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to include the center hole 4-wire option on the eight (8) police vehicles to be ordered for the Sheriff's Department with National Sheriff's colors at a cost of $35.00 per vehicle.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of November, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Ed Swimmeler, Deputy Animal Warden, presented his report for the month of November, 1979.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Mrs. K.B. Talley appeared before the Board to review the status of the Emergency Fuel Program. She stated that 219 applications had been received to date and contracts were being drawn up with the various fuel providers.

She also introduced Dr. Taylor, the new Psychologist with the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board, who has established practice in the Dinwiddie Mall.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR FLASHING SCHOOL ZONE LIGHTS--ROUTE 627

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has been awarded Transportation Safety funding for the installation of two (2) flashing warning lights on Route 627 at the Dinwiddie Senior High School; and

WHEREAS, the School Board requests that they be allowed to secure the necessary permits from the VDH&T to have the lights installed;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation is hereby requested to authorize the installation of two (2) flashing school zone lights on state right-of-way on Rt. 627 at the Dinwiddie County Senior High School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the proper permits be filed with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation by the Dinwiddie School Board to install these lights.

IN RE: DRIVING RANGE--AWARD OF TRANSPORTATION SAFETY GRANT

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, advised the Board that the application for Transportation Safety funding has been awarded in the amount of $40,000 for a driver education range. He stated he would appear before the Board to request authorization to match the award with $30,000 local hard cash when more definitive cost needs were determined.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE IBM 5110 COMPUTER--SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr.

Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors at their November 21, 1979 meeting authorized the School Board to buy, rent, lease or purchase a computer system to accommodate their bookkeeping needs; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the various proposals, the School Board requests that they be authorized to purchase an IBM 5110 Computer; and

WHEREAS, the following purchase break-downs were presented:

| | |
|---|---|
| Basic Hardware | $16,845.00 |
| Educational Allowance | - 1,684.50 |
| Hardware | 15,160.50 |
| Start Up | 856.00 |
| Programming | 4,850.00 |
| Maintenance 3½mos. @131.00 | 458.50 |
| TOTAL | $21,325.00 |

Start-Up

| | |
|---|---|
| Freight | 93.00 |
| Convenience Kit | 263.00 |
| Supplies | 500.00 |
| | 856.00 |

(Additional Monitor $179-335 approx.) Later, if needed.

Need a check on/about December 17, 1979 for $1,516.05

Installment Payment - 5 yrs. - Interest = $2,599.25

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to enter into an agreement with IBM to secure a 5110 Computer in the most economical manner.

IN RE: RENEWAL OF BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WOMEN OF THE MOOSE CHAPTER #1296

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Women of the Moose, Chapter #1296, has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of their Bingo and Raffle permit for calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Women of the Moose, Chapter 1296, is hereby granted renewal of their Bingo and Raffle Permit for calendar year 1980.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF FENCING--DUMPSTER SITE ON ROUTE 460W

The County Administrator presented the following bids for chain link fencing for the dumpster site on Rt. 460W:

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Russell Fences | - | 72" | 640 ft. | $3,000 |
| | | | Barbed Wire | 300 extra |
| | | 96" | 640 ft. | $3,800 |
| | | | Barbed Wire | 300 extra |
| Wallace Fences | | 72" | 643 ft. | $3,267.39 |
| | | | Barbed Wire | 246.16 extra |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 96" | 643 ft. | $3,807.59 |
| | Barbed Wire | 246.16 extra |

Mr. Clay stated that he felt welded wire would be sufficient to enclose the site and could be installed with County personnel. After a brief discussion, the County Administrator was instructed to obtain cost estimates on welded wire to be installed by County personnel for their consideration.

Mr. Hodnett stated he would like to see a security light placed at the dumpster site if possible. The County Administrator stated he would investigate the installation of a light with regard to the flight path of the airport and the location of the dumpsters and report his findings.

IN RE: COMPENSATION OF WATER AUTHORITY MEMBERS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Section 15.1-1249, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, requires that the compensation of members of Water and Sewer Authorities created pursuant to the Virginia Water and Sewer Authorities Act be fixed by resolution of the local government body; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County, acting through its Board of Supervisors, created the Dinwiddie County Water Authority on December 18, 1968, inadvertently failing to approve any compensation for the members of said Authority; and

WHEREAS, said Authority members have been receiving $50.00 per month for one meeting plus $25.00 for each additional meeting as compensation with full knowledge of the Board of Supervisors;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all previous payments to said Authority members as indicated above are hereby ratified and henceforth said Authority members shall be compensated at the rate of $50.00 per month for one meeting plus $25.00 per month for each additional meeting, with a maximum of $1200 per year, plus actual expenses incurred.

IN RE: RESIGNATION OF M.G. RAINEY, JR. AS DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY MEMBER

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the resignation of Mr. M.G. Rainey, Jr., as a member of the Dinwiddie County Water Authority is hereby accepted effective December 1, 1979.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a brief recess at 2:50 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 2:55 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--PUBLIC HEARING FOR SIX-YEAR PLAN

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to establish dates for a workshop session and public hearing on updating the Six Year Plan for the secondary road system.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Board will meet in Special Session on

Wednesday, December 19, 1979 at 4:00 P.M. with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for a workshop discussion of the Six-Year Plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the public hearing on the Six-Year Plan will be held at 3:00 P.M. on Wednesday, January 2, 1980; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Board will sit in Special Session at 6:00 P.M. on Wednesday, December 19, 1979 with representatives of the firm of Overman, Robinson, and Brown to review the County personnel system.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to receive any comments they might have concerning the roads in the County.

1. Mr. Hargrave stated that there was a site distance problem at the home of Mr. Savage on Route 605 due to the location of the entrance to his property. Mr. Perry said he would review the area.
2. The County Administrator stated that grass and weeds have grown through the asphalt ditches on Rt. 627 between U.S. #1 and the Laine residence obstructing the drainage of water. Mr. Perry stated he would investigate the area and take the appropriate corrective action.

IN RE: REVIEW OF STONY SPRINGS SUBDIVISION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. James McKensie, a resident of Stony Springs Subdivison, appeared before the Board at their November 21, 1979 meeting to request a review of Stony Springs Subdivision for the location of street and traffic control signs; and

WHEREAS, the streets in this subdivison have been taken into the State Secondary System;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be requested to conduct a study of the streets in Stony Springs Subdivision with regard to street and traffic control signing; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation take the appropriate action to have these signs installed.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:15 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:30 P.M.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY MEETING--DECEMBER 11, 1979

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye",

the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Transportation Safety Commission will hold a dinner meeting on December 11, 1979 at Wilmurt's Restaurant; and

WHEREAS, the Area Coordinator for the Department of Transportation Safety has been asked to attend; and

WHEREAS, these Commission members receive no other compensation for their services throughout the year;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the expenses for this dinner meeting of the Transportation Safety Commission be paid by the County.

IN RE: ADVANCE FOR EXPENSES--REGISTRAR'S CONVENTION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mrs. Betty Jeter, Registrar, has requested an advance from the County for expenses to be incurred attending a Registrar's convention; and

WHEREAS, there is no petty cash fund to accommodate funds of this type;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a check be advanced to Mrs. Betty Jeter in an amount as she deems necessary to cover expenses for this Registrar's meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

T. J. Leftwich
T. J. LEFTWICH, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. Knott
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1979 AT 4:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: 1980 SIX YEAR PLAN WORKSHOP SESSION

The Board met with Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, to review the 1978 Six Year Plan and discuss the revised 1980 Six Year Plan. Mr. Perry outlined the finances available for the upcoming budget years which shows a major reduction in funding for secondary road improvements. He then reviewed those projects which he felt could be funded under the revised Six Year Plan which runs through 1985.

IN RE: RECESS FOR DINNER

The Board recessed at 5:40 P.M. for dinner. The meeting reconvened at 6:45 P.M.

IN RE: REVIEW OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION--PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Mr. Bob McIlwaine, Attorney for the Airport Interim Committee, Jim Fogle of ABIDCO, Harry Evans, Airport Manager and the members of the Interim Committee for the Airport met with the Board of Supervisors to review the proposed legislation for the creation of the Airport and Industrial Authority and to answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 7:25 P.M.

G.S. Bennett, Jr.
G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: M.C. Knott
M.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1979 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.A. HODNETT | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION--FRANCIS E. JONES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. F.E. Jones served the County of Dinwiddie as Treasurer from January 1, 1952 through December 31, 1979 with integrity, and dedication; and

WHEREAS, the current Board of Supervisors on the Nineteenth day of December, 1979, is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express full awareness of, and appreciation for, his unselfish and honorable work in behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby commends Mr. Francis E. Jones for his many contributions and devoted service to the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Francis E. Jones and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the December 4, 1979 special meeting and the December 5, 1979 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-2446 through 79-2671 amounting to $125,652.19; Va. Water Projects checks-numbering VWP-79-1 in the amount of $15,300; Library Fund check-number LF-79-12 in the amount of $249.00; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-159 thru D-79-168 amounting to $1140.77.

IN RE: PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY LEGISLATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has, in the past, expressed an interest in the development of the Petersburg Municipal Airport for industrial purposes as well as expanded air

operations; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie and the City of Petersburg have formed an Interim Airport Board whose purpose was to draft the appropriate language for the establishment of a Joint Airport Authority; and

WHEREAS, said Board has prepared a charter which would establish the Petersburg and Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County is desirous of establishing said Airport and Industrial Authority on a permanent basis;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the draft charter establishing the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority be approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that said Charter be introduced to the 1980 General Assembly of Virginia so that appropriate action may be taken to establish the Airport and Industrial Authority as a permanent organization.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ARCHITECTURAL PLANS--SCHOOL BUS GARAGE

Mr. William Moseley of Moseley-Hening & Associates appeared before the Board to review the site plan and architectural designs developed by his firm for the new school bus garage. Cost of the completed project is estimated to be $354,000. The building would include 11,000 sq. ft. of maintenance area and 3,000 sq. ft. of office and classroom space.

Mr. Clay questioned gravelling the large parking area which would basically be used only for parking buses. Mr. Hargrave agreed that there was not an immediate need for gravelling the parking area and this would represent a substantial cost savings. Mr. Clay also stated he would like to see the entire area fenced because of the vandalism problem experienced with parked buses.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the drawings and design specifications as needed to submit to bid the construction of the school bus garage as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that after receipt and review of the bids, a recommendation will be made to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ARCHITECTURAL PLANS--NEW MCKENNEY SCHOOL

Mr. William Moseley, Moseley-Hening and Associates, appeared before the Board to present architectural designs and site plan for the new Sunnyside McKenney Elementary School. The new school would be located adjacent to the existing school which would be torn down. The building as designed would consist of 35,000 sq. ft. for a total cost of $1,836,000.

Dr. Vaughn stated that application for a $1,000,000 literary loan has been received but no action taken by the State to date. The balance of the cost could be financed through Va. Public School Authority funds or other loans.

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr.

Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the School Board is authorized to proceed with the drawings and design specifications necessary to submit to bid the construction of the new Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School as outlined; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that after receipt and review of the bids, a recommendation will be made to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

IN RE: REZONING CASE--P-79-7--RONALD T. ELDER

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", rezoning case P-79-7 was removed from the table for discussion.

The Director of Planning reviewed additional information he had obtained requested by the Board of Supervisors at their November 21, 1979 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended be further amended by changing the District Classification of Parcel 1B-1 Section 7 of the Zoning Map from Agricultural A-3 to Agricultural Rural Residential A-R. The conditions to become a part of the rezoning are as follows:

1. All land within 100 feet of Lake Chesdin shall be subject to reasonable restrictions against disturbance of the soil or cutting of the timber. The purpose of these restrictions is to minimize the possibility of soil erosion into the Lake and these restrictions shall be in favor of both Dinwiddie County and the Appomattox River Water Authority.

2. All lots fronting on Lake Chesdin shall be subject to a restriction against the use of surface fertilizers, crop poisons, insecticides, gardening chemicals, and other hazardous chemicals and further the storage of these or other materials which would be hazardous due to leakage into the water supply.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-5--TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY AND/OR PERMANENTLY AND TOTALLY DISABLED

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 5, 1979 and Wednesday, December 12, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code to repeal the existing Article VI and re-enact new provisions dealing with tax relief for the elderly and/or permanently and totally disabled.

The County Administrator reviewed the contents of the ordinance. Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated that he was satisfied with the requirements to establish eligibility as set out by the ordinance.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting

"aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the repeal of the existing Article VI of Chapter 8 and the addition of the following:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article VI. Real Estate Tax Exemption for Certain Elderly and/or Permanently and Totally Disabled Persons.

Section 8-15. Definitions

For the purposes of this article, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them by this section:

Affidavit. The real estate tax exemption affidavit.

Commissioner. The commissioner of the revenue of the County or any of his duly authorized deputies or agents.

Dwelling. The full-time residence of the person or persons claiming exemption.

Exemption. Exemption from the county real estate tax according to the provisions of this article.

Permanently and Totally Disabled. Unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment or deformity which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for the duration of such person's life.

Property. Real property.

Taxable year. The calendar year, from January 1 until December 31, for which exemption is claimed.

Treasurer. The treasurer of the county.

Section 8-16. Exemption authorized.

Real estate tax exemption is provided for qualified property owners, who are not less than sixty-five years of age and/or permanently and totally disabled and who are eligible according to the terms of this article. Persons qualifying for exemption are deemed to be bearing an extraordinary real estate tax burden in relation to their income and financial worth.

Section 8-17. Administration of exemption.

The exemption shall be administered by the commissioner according to the provisions of this article. The commissioner is hereby authorized and empowered to make such inquiry of persons seeking exemption, requiring answers under oath, as may be reasonably necessary to determine qualifications for exemption as specified by this article. The commissioner may require the production of certified tax returns to establish income or financial worth.

Section 8-18. Requirements for exemption.

Exemption shall be granted to persons subject to the following provisions:

(a) The title of the property for which exemption is claimed is held, or partially held, on January 1 of the taxable year, by the person or persons claiming exemption.

(b) The head of the household occupying the dwelling and owning title, or partial title, thereto is sixty-five years or older on December 31 of the year immediately preceding the taxable year or is permanently and totally disabled as defined herein on December 31 of the year immediately preceding the taxable year.

(c) The total combined income during the immediately preceding taxable year from all sources of the owners of the dwelling living therein, and of the owner's relatives, living the dwelling, does not exceed twelve thousand dollars; provided however, that the first four thousand dollars of income of each relative, other than spouse, of the owner or owners, who is living in the dwelling shall not be included in such total.

(d) The net combined financial worth, including equitable interests, as of the thirty-first day of December of the immediate preceding taxable year, of the owners, and of the spouse of any owner, excluding the value of the dwelling and the land, not exceeding one acre, upon which it is situated does not exceed twenty-five thousand dollars.

(e) If such exemption is claimed based on a permanent and total disability, the application for exemption shall have attached thereto a certification by the Social Security Administration, the Veteran's Administration, or the Railroad Retirement Board, or if such person is not eligible for certification by any of these agencies, a sworn affidavit by two medical doctors licensed to practice medicine in the Commonwealth, to the effect that they have conducted physical examinations of the applicant and such applicant is permanently and totally disabled as defined in Section 8-15.

Section 8-19. Application for exemption; affidavit.

(a) Annually, not later than May 1, and not before February 1, of the taxable year, the person or persons claiming an exemption must file a real estate tax exemption affidavit with the commissioner.

(b) The affidavit shall set forth, on a form to be furnished by the commissioner, the names of the related persons occupying the dwelling for which exemption is claimed, their gross combined income, and their total combined net worth, including equitable interests.

(c) If after any audit and investigation, the commissioner determined that the person or persons are qualified for exemption, he shall so certify to the treasurer, who shall deduct the amount of exemption from the claimant's real estate tax liability.

Section 8-20. Amount of exemption.

Where the person or persons claiming exemption con-

forms to the standards and does not exceed the limitations contained in this section, the tax exemption shall be as shown on the following schedule:

| TOTAL INCOME ALL SOURCES | TAX EXEMPTION |
|---|---|
| $0 to $4,000 | 100% |
| $4,001 to $5,000 | 90% |
| $5,001 to $6,000 | 80% |
| $6,001 to $7,000 | 70% |
| $7,001 to $8,000 | 60% |
| $8,001 to $9,000 | 50% |
| $9,001 to $10,000 | 40% |
| $10,001 to $11,000 | 30% |
| $11,001 to $12,000 | 20% |

Section 8-21. Changes in Status.

Changes in respect to income, financial worth, ownership of property or other factors occurring during the taxable year for which the affidavit is filed and having the effect of exceeding or violating the limitations and conditions provided in this article shall nullify any relief of real estate tax liability for the then current taxable year and the taxable year immediately the following.

Section 8-22. Violations.

Any person or persons falsely claiming an exemption shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not less than fifty dollars nor more than five hundred dollars for each offense.

Article VII. Real Estate and Personal Property Tax.

Section 8-23. Due date; penalty for late payment.

The payment of taxes due and owing for the current year to the county for real estate and/or for personal property, machinery and tools, shall be paid by or on behalf of persons owing such taxes by the fifth of December or by the first business day thereafter if the fifth of December is not a business day. Where such taxes have not been paid to the county by the close of business of the fifth of December or the first business day thereafter if the fifth of December is not a business day, there shall be due and owing by such taxpayer to the county a penalty sum in the amount of ten percent of the total sum of such taxes owed.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-79-6--ADMISSIONS TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 5, 1979 and Wednesday, December 12, 1979 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Article VIII, Sections 24 through 28 imposing an admissions tax pursuant to Sec. 58-404.2, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

Mr. Billy Leonard spoke in opposition to the tax. Mr. Thomas Leetch spoke in favor of the admissions tax at 10%. Mr. M.T. Gravitte, Jr. also spoke in favor of the tax.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to question their intent for exemption of jointly sponsored activities where one organization is profit-oriented and one is non-profit. He felt that administrative details of this type should be clearly stated in the ordinance. He also stated that he and the Treasurer could see no justification for

an extension for filing returns.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that A-79-6 to add Article VIII, Section 24-28 imposing an Admissions Tax not be adopted.

IN RE: SUSSEX COUNTY IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. James Perry, Director for the Sussex County Improvement Association, appeared before the Board at their February 21, 1979 meeting to request authorization to conduct a needs assessment survey in the County to determine the feasibility of establishing a Community Service Agency to include Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, at the November 21, 1979 meeting, Mr. Gilbert Burrough, consultant for the Sussex County Improvement Association, appeared before the Board to request a resolution in support of the creation of a community action agency to include Dinwiddie, Sussex, Surry and Prince George counties; and

WHEREAS, no action was taken pending the accumulation of additional information; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the preliminary data and discussions with other service agencies in the County, it was determined that services provided by a community action agency would duplicate those services already available to the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia chooses not to participate in the creation of a Community Action Agency.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the appointments of all members to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, one member to the Planning Commission, and two members to the Crater Planning District Commission were postponed until the January 2, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--REQUEST FOR CROSSBAR LIGHTS

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase Sheriff Department emblems for his police vehicles and crossbar lights for seven of the cars. He stated that the request for this equipment was not funded through a grant application from the Department of Transportation Safety for the 79-80 period.

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Sheriff was instructed to reapply for the requested equipment through Transportation Safety Funding for the 1980-81 funding period.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AGENTS TO SELL AUTO TAGS AND DOG TAGS

Mrs. Margaret Lewis, Deputy Treasurer, appeared before the Board to request approval to renew agreements with the fol-

lowing agents to sell auto tags and dog tags for the County:

Auto Tags - Namozine VFD
Baltimore Corner Store - Bill Marston

Dog Tags - Wallace's Store - Robert Wallace
Bolster's Store - Mike Barnes
Edgehill Hardware - Johnny Howerton
Ford Market - Diane & John W. Bishop

She stated the Commission would stay the same - $1.00 per auto tag and 10% on gross sales for dog tags.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the agents to sell auto tags and dog tags be approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM GENERAL FUND TO DOG FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $5,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Dog Fund.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Leftwich, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:55 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:35 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:35 P.M.

G.S. Bennett Jr
G.S. BENNETT, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF JANUARY, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDING

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Mr. G.S. Bennett nominated Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Mr. George E. Robertson seconded this nomination. Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstaining, the nominations were closed. Mr. Hargrave was elected Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the year 1980 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

Mr. G.E. Robertson nominated Mr. Aubrey S. Clay. Mr. Bennett seconded this nomination. Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, the nominations were closed. Mr. Clay was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the year 1980 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

MR. M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the December 19, 1979 special meeting and the December 19, 1979 regular meeting were approved as presented and the County Administrator was instructed to make available to the public 15 copies of the minutes at each Regular Meeting.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-1 and 80-2 amounting to $6379.46.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the reassessment was basically complete and notices would be mailed beginning January 15, 1980.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the intent of the Board of Assessors was concerning the establishment of an Equalization Board. Mr. Bolte stated he did not know but forward the Board's inquiry on to the Assessment Board members. Mr. Clay suggested that a note be included with the assessment notices explaining the relationship of the new values set at 100% to the present tax rate. Mr. Bolte stated he would pass this information along to the Board of Assessors.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of December, 1979.

IN RE: RESOLUTION DISCHARGING TREASURER FROM COLLECTING OLD DELINQUENT PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Chapter 20 Title 58 of the Code of Virginia provides for the method of discharging the Treasurer from the obligation to continue collecting old delinquent personal property taxes; and

WHEREAS, due to the retirement of F.E. Jones, Treasurer this Board wishes to expedite the turnover of assets in his office to the incoming officer;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia acknowledges receipt of the delinquent lists submitted pursuant to Section 58-983 of the Code of Virginia directs that no portion of such lists be published, and gives the Treasurer credit for all unpaid personal property tax tickets for tax year 1975 and all tax years prior to 1975 and the Treasurer shall not be required to make further collections on those taxes for which he is given credit pursuant to Section 58-990 of the Code of Virginia.

IN RE: RESOLUTION CHANGING ACCOUNTS WITH BANKS FROM F.E. JONES, TREASURER, TO MARGARET W. LEWIS, TREASURER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Francis E. Jones, retired as duly elected Treasurer of the County of Dinwiddie effective December 31, 1979; and

WHEREAS, Margaret W. Lewis, having qualified and by operation of law, commenced serving as duly elected Treasurer of the County of Dinwiddie effective January 1, 1980;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following banks: the Bank of Southside Virginia, Stony Creek; the Bank of Southside Virginia, Carson; the Bank of Southside Virginia, Rohoic; the Bank of McKenney; First Colonial Savings & Loan; and the Bank of Virginia are requested to change the accounts and deposits held for the County of Dinwiddie form COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE, by Francis E. Jones, TREASURER to read by Margaret W. Lewis, TREASURER. The following banks: Bank of Southside Virginia, Stony Creek; the Bank of Southside Virginia, Carson; the Bank of Southside Virginia, Rohoic; the Bank of McKenney; First Colonial Savings & Loan; and the Bank of Virginia are directed to pay only those checks signed by the outgoing Treasurer and his authorized deputies bearing dates prior to January 1, 1980, when signed by the incoming Treasurer, or a deputy if so authorized. The following banks: The Bank of Southside Virginia, Stony Creek; the Bank of Southside Virginia, Carson; the Bank of Southside Virginia, Rohoic; the Bank of McKenney; First Colonial Savings & Loan; and the Bank of Virginia are requested to send future statements and paid checks to the new Treasurer.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION OF NEW SIGNATURE CARDS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors

of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following individuals are designated to sign all orders, drafts, checks or warrants on the accounts of the County of Dinwiddie:

1. Chairman and Vice-Chairman
2. County Administrator and Assistant to the County Administrator
3. Treasurer and Deputy Treasurer

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all orders, drafts, checks or warrants shall bear three (3) signatures consisting of the Chairman, or Vice-Chairman, the County Administrator or Assistant to the County Administrator and the Treasurer or Deputy Treasurer.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 2:15 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 2:30 P.M.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Mr. L.G. Elder distributed copies of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act and Conflict of Interest Law to the Board for their information.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. J.L. Blaha presented his report for the month of December, 1979.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1980 CENSUS

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to review the elements of local involvement in the 1980 Census and determine their desire as to active participation by his office. He stated that the federal government was planning a large campaign to publicize the Census; however, he was not sure to what extent.

Mr. Scheid distributed several items for the Board's review which could be used for publicity within the County. He stated that the federal government recommended two groups to be appointed within the County, a Complete Count Committee to educate the community and a Local Review Program Committee to review the technical data accumulated. Mr. Scheid advised the Board that if the Board desired to commit his office to work closely with the census in the County, it would require a great deal of his time as well as funds from his budget.

The Board agreed that the Census was a high priority item and should be monitored; however, they were reluctant to commit the time and funds without knowing to what extent the federal government intended to participate. No action was taken pending further information to be collected by the Director of Planning.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley advised the Board of a needs assessment survey which has been distributed to them by her department and asked that they fill it out and return it as soon as possible.

She also stated that Dinwiddie County has been awarded a second allocation in the amount of $94,139 for the Fuel Assistance Program.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1980 SIX YEAR PLAN FOR SECONDARY ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Tuesday, December 18, 1979 and Monday, December 24,

1979, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County to conduct a joint public hearing with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for the purpose of discussing with the citizens of Dinwiddie County the entire Six-Year Plan and to receive the interested citizens comments.

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to review the Six-Year Plan and receive public input.

Mrs. Kathleen King requested that Route 692 off Rt. 709 in DeWitt be included for hard surfacing.

The Board will meet with the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation to discuss the citizen comments received and determine whether any changes are needed in the Six-Year Plan before adoption.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF WALKER ROAD AND SHANDS ROAD FOR ACCEPTANCE INTO STATE SECONDARY SYSTEM

The County Administrator presented the deeds and accompanying plats for the Walker Road off Rt. 601 and the Shands Road off Rt. 613 requesting the Board of Supervisors to accept the e roads into the State Secondary system for construction when funds become available according to a prioritized list established by the Board of Supervisors and the VDH&T.

Pending further review by the VDH&T, action was postponed until the February 6, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING--AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE BIDS FOR LANDSCAPING

The County Administrator advised the Board that the landscaping to be done around the Social Services building has not been completed and requested authorization to proceed with the project.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to secure cost proposals for the landscaping project around the Social Services building for the Board's review.

IN RE: PETERSBURG JAYCEES ANNUAL PIG-NIC--APPROVAL OF PERMIT FOR 1980

The County Administrator presented an application for a permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held April 30, 1980 at the Petersburg Airport. Attendance of 3,000 is expected. Proceeds will be divided between the Southside Virginia Emergency Crew and the Dinwiddie Emergency Crew.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the application of the Petersburg Jaycees for a permit to hold a barbecue on April 30, 1980 was approved with the conditions as stated therein.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT RENEWAL--MCKENNEY RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the McKenney Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of their Bingo and Raffle Permit for calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in

Section 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the McKenney Ruritan Club is hereby granted renewal of their Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION--STEVE WEBER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber abstained, Mr. Steve Weber was appointed to the Planning Commission, term expiring 12/31/83.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF PERSONNEL SYSTEM--OVERMAN, ROBINSON, AND BROWN

Mr. Otis Brown and Mr. Alan Saville of Overman, Robinson, and Brown, Inc. appeared before the Board to present the personnel system developed for the County and answer any questions they might have. This project was authorized by the Board through IPA funding in June, 1979.

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed to meet at a later date to discuss the plan among themselves and further to meet with Mr. Brown and Mr. Saville to discuss any questions they might have.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF GAVEL TO G.S. BENNETT, JR.

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. presented a gavel to Mr. G. S. Bennett, Jr. in honor of his term as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors during the year 1979.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:10 P.M. to discuss Personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:45 P.M.

IN RE: INSURANCE FOR TREASURER'S OFFICE

The Board held a brief discussion concerning the purchase of additional insurance coverage for loss or theft of money being transported from the Treasurer's Office to the various banks as well as coverage of burglary of the office and its contents.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to not renew insurance coverage for burglary of the Treasurer's Office as well as the transport of money from the Treasurer's office to the various banks.

IN RE: EMPLOYMENT OF FULL-TIME OPERATOR -- DINWIDDIE LANDFILL -- THOMAS AMPY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a vacancy was created at the Landfill by the resignation of William B. Daniel, Jr.; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to operate trash pickup six days a week, including Sundays; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Thomas Ampy has been working part-time

to aid the Landfill Department in the weekend pickups and other extremely busy periods of time; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Sanitation feels Mr. Ampy's work has become an integral part of the Landfill operations and he has proved to be an efficient worker;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Thomas Ampy be hired as a full-time Light Equipment Operator at a beginning salary of $9695.00.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:55 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the minutes of the January 2, 1980 meeting be approved with the following addition:

"In Re: Minutes - and the County Administrator was instructed to make available to the public 15 copies of the minutes at each Regular Meeting."

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-1 thru 80-101 amounting to $64,136.91; County Construction Fund - check #CCF-80-1 in the amount of $764.38; History Book Fund - check #HB-80-1 in the amount of $9.97; Library Fund - check #LF-80-1 and LF-80-2 amounting to $55.28.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-9--SOUTHSIDE SERVICE CORPORATION

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 2, 1980 and Wednesday, January 9, 1980 for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification from "Residential R-1" to "Residential R-1A" the tracts of land shown as Sec. 9, Parcels 66, 67 and 68 of the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County. The property known as the Brickwood Golf Course is on the northwest side of Rt. 226 adjacent to the Rohoic Farms Subdivision.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission minutes wherein they recommended disapproval at their November 14, 1979 meeting. He also read a letter from Mr. G.S. Bennett, who could not be present expressing his opposition to the rezoning request and the reasons for his action.

Mr. B.T. Kinsey, Jr., attorney for the Titmus Foundation and United Virginia Bank, present owners of the property, appeared before the Board to present the rezoning request. He stated that the applicant, Southside Service Corporation, desire to submit a request for conditional zoning on the property even though the present request was for Residential R-1A. The following conditions were proposed: 1- Prohibition of the construction of two-family duplexes. 2- The proposed lots would have only two entrances to Rte 226 to reduce traffic congestion. Mr. Kinsey responded in detail to all the points made by the opposition at the Planning Commission public hearing. In addition, he addressed the negative items listed in Mr. Bennett's letter.

Mr. Jeff Collins, Charles Townes & Associates, presented a site plan of the proposed division of lots. Mr. Kinsey emphasized that the rezoning would allow smaller lot sizes which would allow a more efficient use of the property needed to accommodate the contour of the land. He further stated that it would be in the best interest of the County to develop the property in the high density area of the County where water and sewer would be available and its development would be in agreement with its proposed usage in the Comprehensive Land Use Plan. Mr. Emery Veazey spoke in support of the rezoning request with the proposed conditions. The Chairman advised Mr. Veazey that the request being considered was for rezoning from Residential R-1 to Residential R-1A.

Mrs. Lottie Coleman, Mr. Richard Earl, and Mr. Bruce Manson spoke in opposition to the rezoning request. Dr. Richard Vaughn stated that he was opposed to traffic being rerouted through other subdivisions due to this development. A petition of 400+ names in opposition was presented at the Planning Commission meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the rezoning application, P-79-9, of Southside Service Corporation was denied.

IN RE: CONCERNED CITIZENS--MR. EMERY VEAZEY

Mr. Emery Veazey advised the Board that he was not prepared to make a presentation at this time. Mr. Weber asked whether he was speaking for himself or as a representative for the Concerned Citizens. Mr. Veazey stated he could not speak for the organization at this time.

IN RE: ENDORSEMENT OF CAT SCANNER--PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, having been advised of the history of the approval process for a body scanner for Petersburg General Hospital, wishes to make its position known on the matter; and

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the list of agencies which have advised approval of this revolutionary diagnostic tool and finds that not one disapproval was voiced and that the staff of the State Commissioner of Health recommended approval; and

WHEREAS, many citizens of Dinwiddie County, including those in the medical profession have expressed to the Board of Supervisors the urgent need for this body scanner; and

WHEREAS, within Dinwiddie County alone, an average of five persons per month are transported from the County to a scanner in Richmond, a trip taking about five hours' time each; meaning that a rescue squad unit and its personnel are out of service for that time; and

WHEREAS, similar situations exist throughout the area served by Petersburg General Hospital, and the sense of this resolution will not be made clearer by a repetition of facts repeatedly presented in other documents;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the State Commissioner of Health, Dr. James B. Kenley, is hereby urged to reverse his previous disapprovals and expedite securing the total body scanner by Petersburg General Hospital; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Governor John N. Dalton.

IN RE: GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR. -- PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF BUSINESS AFFILIATION

Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. submitted a letter he wrote requesting an opinion from the County Attorney concerning his continuing business with Dinwiddie County under the Conflict of Interest statutes and the County Attorney's letter in reply for the Board's acceptance and disclosure to the public.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia acknowledge the following letters; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a copy of these letters be incorporated into the minutes of this meeting:

December 21, 1979

Mr. Larry Elder
Commonwealth Attorney
Dinwiddie County
Dinwiddie, Virginia 23841

Dear Larry:

On January 1, 1980 I will take Office as Supervisor, District 2 on the Dinwiddie Board.

January 1, 1980, I will become President and Owner of P&R Business Machines Corp. The Corp. now does business with the School Board in the form of Service Contracts and sales of machines; also, it does business with the Treasurer, Commissioner of Revenue, etc.

Please advise me as to continuing business with Dinwiddie County under the Conflict of Interest statutes of the County and the State of Virginia. Also please advise with reference to County Registrar, etc.

Thank you.

George E. Robertson, Jr.

December 26, 1979

George E. Robertson, Jr.
P&R Business Machines Corp.
715 E. Washington Street
PO Box 68
Petersburg, Virginia 23803

Re: Conflict of Interest

Dear George:

Section 2.1-349 (1) of the Virginia Conflict of Interests Act prohibits a member of the Board of Supervisors from contracting in any way with the Board of Supervisors if he has a material financial

interest in the contract. Obviously you have a material financial interest in P&R Business Machines Corp. and as such P&R may not contract with the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County. Section 2.1-349(2) allows you to contract with other governmental agencies if you made proper disclosures and the agency with which you are contracting determines that competitive bidding is not in the public's interest or awards such contract after competitive bidding. I also call your attention to Section 2.1-353. Copies of the pertinent sections are enclosed.

Specifically, I am of the opinion that if proper disclosures are made, P&R may contract with all constitutional officers, the School Board, Registrar and any governmental agency of Dinwiddie County other than the Board of Supervisors.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Larry G. Elder

IN RE: TURKEY EGG ROAD--REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC STUDY

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the citizens that live along Rt. 740, Turkey Egg Road, have expressed their concern about the excessive speeds travelled by vehicles who use Rt. 740, Turkey Egg Road; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors feel that residential development has increased the dangers posed by the 55 MPH speed limit and a reduced speed limit should be enforced;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be requested to conduct a traffic study of Route 740, Turkey Egg Road, to determine the need for a reduction of the speed limit.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:10 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the January 16, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-102 thru 80-195 amounting to $67,316.38; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-1 thru D-80-16 amounting to $2007.39; Fire Vehicle Fund checks-numbering FVF-80-1 and FVF-80-2 amounting to $36,405.44.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO FIRE VEHICLE FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $20,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Fire Vehicle Fund.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of January, 1980.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY--APPROVAL OF TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mrs. Sandra Sneade, Secretary to the Commonwealth Attorney, will be taking four to six weeks maternity leave which will necessitate hiring a temporary replacement; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Elder has requested an additional appropriation for his office in the amount of $700.00, $350.00 State and $350.00 local for temporary help;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with the Commonwealth Attorney's request for an additional appropriation of $700.00 to hire a temporary replacement for his Secretary while she is on maternity leave.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of January, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of January, 1980.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--FRANK R. TABON

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Frank R. Tabon be awarded $148.00 for two hogs.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--J.C. MILLER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. J.C. Miller be awarded $61.00 for 28 chickens and 1 duck.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley reported that the Fuel Assistance Program was progressing and if the $200 limit was not raised, she did not feel she would need an additional local appropriation. She stated that the administrative duties were being handled by three full-time CETA employees and two of her regular staff.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF SUNNYSIDE-MCKENNEY CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of Schools appeared before the Board to request authorization to establish a Sunnyside-McKenney construction account to receive and disburse monies for construction of the new Sunnyside-McKenney Elementary School; and

WHEREAS, he requested that $25,000 be transferred to this fund to cover expenses to be incurred through February and March; and

WHEREAS, this amount will be reimbursed to the County upon approval of the Literary Loan for construction of the school;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a Sunnyside-McKenney School construction account for the School Board be established; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $25,000 be transferred from the General Fund to this account.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE SIX NEW 52 PASSENGER SCHOOL BUSES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of Schools advised the Board of Supervisors that the School Board plans to buy ten (10) school buses in the coming year, six 52 passenger, four 64 passenger; and

WHEREAS, these ten new buses were scheduled to be ordered sometime during the month of March; and

WHEREAS, he had been advised that there were now available six 1979 52 passenger buses at a cost of $15,104.29; and

WHEREAS, if these six buses were purchased now, the County would realize a savings of $3,744.00 over the 1980 prices of $15,728.29; and

WHEREAS, he felt this was sufficient savings to recommend that the Board of Supervisors purchase the buses now;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is hereby authorized to purchase six new 1979 buses at a cost of $15,104.29 each; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an amount of $90,000+ be appropriated to pay for the six buses.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ORDER FOUR NEW 64 PASSENGER SCHOOL BUSES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of Schools requested authorization to order four (4) new 64 passenger buses to be paid for in the 1980-81 budget year; and

WHEREAS, these four buses will complete the annual replenishment of ten (10) buses;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to order four (4) new 64 passenger school buses at $16,545.00 each to be paid in the 1980-81 budget year.

IN RE: FARM BUREAU--REQUEST TO ELIMINATE TAX ON FARM MACHINERY AND LIVESTOCK

Mr. Raymond Mann, President of the Farm Bureau, appeared before the Board to present a request that the Board of Supervisors take action to eliminate the tax on farm machinery and livestock. Mr. Mark Tubbs was also present to speak for the Farm Bureau. He stated that out of 93 counties he had contacted, 28 have eliminated the tax and 11 eliminated the tax on livestock only. Mr. Hargrave asked what the situation was in other states because he felt competition should be equitable. Mr. Robertson stated that he wanted it made clear that the tax rate suggested by Mr. Tubbs had not been offered by the Board. Mr. Weber stated that he did not feel a tax relief could be given to a small segment of the County when the loss would have to be absorbed by the whole county. Mr. Bennett stated that he would see that the farmers' request was considered as the budget work began.

The following people spoke in favor of eliminating the tax: James Ritchie; Billy Bain.

Mr. Emery Veazey spoke in opposition to eliminating the tax.

Mr. Robertson asked how many farmers were involved in paying the tax. Mr. Bain stated approximately 300. Mr. Clay stated that a few farmers were paying a large percentage of the tax.

The Chairman advised those in attendance that their request would be taken into consideration by the Board.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF AUDIT

Mr. Spencer Elmore of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates appeared before the Board to present the 1978-79 audit. He advised the Board that the turnover audit for the Treasurer's office will be presented at the March 5, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF SIX YEAR PLAN

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Sec. 33.1-70.01 of the Code of Virginia, 1950 as amended, requires the Board of Supervisors to conduct a joint public hearing with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for the purpose of discussing with the citizens of Dinwiddie County the entire Six Year Plan and to receive the interested citizens' comments; and

WHEREAS, this Six-Year Plan shall consist of improvements of the secondary roads in Dinwiddie County and is based on the best estimate of funds to be available to the County for expenditure on the Six-Year Plan period on the secondary system; and

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held on the 2nd day of January, 1980 at 3:00 P.M. in the Board Meeting Room of the Administration Building; and

WHEREAS, following the said public hearing, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, together with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation have reviewed comments received at the public hearing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby adopt the Six Year Plan as presented by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM RTE 651 to RTE 623

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be authorized to transfer a balance of $6,000 for a safety improvement project on Rte 651, Budget Item #5303 to Rte 623, Budget Item #5306, to improve the radius on all four (4) corners at the intersection of Rt. 708 and Rt. 623.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC STUDY--U.S. #1 and Rt. 460W

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been contacted on numerous occasions by individuals concerned about the hazardous traffic situation at the intersection of Rt. 1 and Rt. 460 W; and

WHEREAS, accidents continue to occur due to vehicles failing to stop at the end of U.S. Rt. 460 where it intersects with Rt. 1; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is desirous of having a thorough investigation conducted by the VDH&T to determine what steps can be taken to improve the safety aspects of this intersection;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the VDH&T is hereby requested to conduct a traffic study of the intersection of U.S. #1 and Rt. 460W; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that upon completion of this study that appropriate action be taken by the VDH&T to eliminate the dangers that exist at this intersection.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to receive comments concerning roads in the County.

1. Mr. Bennett stated that he had been contacted by Mr. Jimmy Olgers concerning the hazardous traffic situation caused by vehicles travelling from Rt. 708 to Rt. 460 near Olger's Store. He stated there was a danger created due to the number of children that frequent the area as well as turkeys that he raises. He requested that the VDH&T investigate the area to determine what steps could be taken to alleviate the hazardous traffic situation.

2. Mr. Robertson stated he had been contacted by several individuals concerning the need for a crossover on Rt. 460 across from the new trash dumpster site. Mr. Perry stated he would investigate the area to see if state guidelines would allow a crossover to be placed there.

3. Mr. Robertson asked about the status of the unpaved portion of Lee Boulevard in Edgehill Subdivision and what steps would have to be taken to have it taken into the State secondary system. Mr. Perry stated that the landowners along the unpaved portion should petition the Board of Supervisors to have the road viewed by the Roadviewers this Spring for recommendation to be accepted into the State Secondary system.

4. Mr. Clay stated that he had been contacted by citizens concerned about the safety hazards created by heavy traffic on Rt. 619 from Rt. 635 to Rt. 650. Mr. Percy Abernathy stated that there were frequent school bus stops along the road which made it dangerous for children due to the large hauling trucks that frequently travel that portion of the road. Mr. Perry stated he would review the area. Mr. Hargrave stated that Mr. Soloe should be advised of the situation to determine if some of the bus stops could be rearranged to help the problem.

5. Mr. Donald Andrews appeared before the Board to present an article on a snow removal project undertaken in Kentucky between the VDH&T and the farmers and to offer this information to the Highway Department here. Mr. Perry advised Mr. Andrews he would like to discuss the project with him further.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY--PURCHASE OF SEWERAGE CAPACITY CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement:

THIS AGREEMENT, made this 26th day of December, 1979, by and between the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereinafter referred to as the County, and the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, hereinafter referred to as the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the County is desirous of reserving 212,000 gallons of sewerage capacity for industries locating in the areas served by the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Authority is desirous of accommodating the County;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereto mutually covenant and agree as follows:

1. The Authority will reserve for the County sewerage capacity in the amount of 212,000 gallons beginning on July 1, 1979. Inability or failure on the part of said Authority to furnish the County the sewerage capacity shall terminate this agreement.

2. The County agrees to pay to the Authority in the fol-

lowing manner: 212,000 gallons of reserve sewerage capacity at an annual rate of $72,000 payable in monthly installments of $6,000.

3. Reserve sewerage capacity will be released for one of the following two reasons: (1) When an industry locates in the Dinwiddie-Petersburg Industrial Park, the amount of sewerage capacity needed by this industry would be released to the Authority. (2) When the Authority has used all of the sewerage capacity available to it, it will contact the County to request the release of additional sewerage capacity.

4. The reserve sewerage capacity will be released in 1,000 gallon increments at the rate of 34¢ per gallon for the first 211,000 gallons and 26¢ per gallon for the last 1,000 gallons.

5. The term of this contract shall be for a period of one (1) year, beginning July 1, 1979. This contract is to be renewed automatically from year to year unless the County notifies the Authority in writing of the termination of said agreement sixty (60) days prior to July 1, 1980 or prior to July 1 of any year thereafter.

6. The sewerage capacity can be released and the payment for said sewerage capacity reduced accordingly by the County on the 1st day of each month or the 1st working day thereafter.

WITNESS THE FOLLOWING SIGNATURES:

DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By: _____ WITNESS: _____
Title: _____

DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

By: _____ WITNESS: _____

Title: _____

IN RE: EXTENSION SERVICE

Mr. Cary Tomlinson, District Extension Agent, appeared before the Board to advise them he was leaving his present position and to introduce his replacement, Dr. Charles Perkins.

Mr. Perkins advised the Board that he looked forward to working with them. He also advised them that Mr. Steven Jones would be retiring February 29, 1980 and commended him for his many years of service with the agency.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY--RUSSELL WHITAKER

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Russell Whitaker was reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring 2/5/84.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF NEW TRASH TRUCK

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, presented the following bids for a new trash truck for the Landfill Department:

| Trucks: | | Bodies: | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Crane Carrier | $42,765 | Pak-Mor | $24,499 | 35 cu. yd. |
| White | $43,369 | E-Z Pack | $24,381 | 35 cu. yd. |
| | | | 27,891 | 33 cu. yd. High Compaction. |

Mack $42,613 plus $760 (E-Z 35 C.Y.) Heil $25,537 - 36 cu. yd.
plus $450 (E-Z 33 C.Y.)
plus $950 (Heil 36 C.Y.)

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Mack chassis with an E-Z Pack 33 cubic yard high compaction body be approved for purchase at a cost of $70,954.00.

IN RE: USE OF DUMPSTERS TAKEN OUT OF SERVICE

The Director of Sanitation advised the Board that he had been contacted by someone interested in purchasing the old dumpsters that have been taken out of service for $12/can. The Board advised Mr. Loftis to check into revamping the boxes so they can be used rather than selling them. Mr. Donald Andrews suggested that the cans would be valuable to the Vocational students to use in their training if no other use could be found.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--APPROPRIATION FOR RADIO & LIGHTS FOR UTILITY VAN

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a maximum of $1500 be appropriated for the Namozine VFD to purchase a radio and lights for the utility van donated by the C&P Telephone Company.

IN RE: STREET LIGHT--LEE BOULEVARD

Mr. Robertson stated that he had been contacted by citizens that live along Lee Boulevard in the Edgehill Subdivision to install a street light on their street. Because a portion of Lee Boulevard has not been taken into the Secondary System, it would set a precedent to install a street light before this were done. No action was taken at this time.

IN RE: CONSIDERATION OF LEASH LAW

Mr. Weber stated that he would like to see the Board consider a leash law for subdivisions due to the problems caused by stray dogs. The County Administrator stated that the legality would have to be investigated as to whether the law would have to apply countywide.

Mr. Donald Andrews stated that he felt wild dogs were a major problem in the County and something should be done.

The County Administrator was instructed to discuss the leash law with the County Attorney. No action was taken.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:55 P.M. to discuss Personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 6:15 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Thursday, February 7, 1980.

FEBRUARY 7, 1980 - CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 6, 1980 MEETING

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL SYSTEM

The Board discussed the personnel system presented at their January 2, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 8:00 A.M., Tuesday, February 12, 1980.

FEBRUARY 12, 1980 - CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 6, AND FEBRUARY 7, 1980 MEETINGS

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: BUDGET PRESENTATIONS

The Board met with Constitutional Officers and heads of departments and volunteer agencies to receive their 1980-81 proposed budgets.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 7:00 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION--T.J. LEFTWICH

The Chairman presented the following resolution to Mr. T. J. Leftwich:

WHEREAS, Mr. Thomas J. Leftwich, served the County of Dinwiddie as a member of the Board of Supervisors from January 1, 1976 through December 31, 1979 with integrity, dedication, and respect for his fellow man; and

WHEREAS, the current Board of Supervisors on the twentieth day of February, 1980, is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express full awareness of, and appreciation for, his unselfish and honorable work in behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby commends Mr. Thomas J. Leftwich for his many contributions and devoted service to the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Mr. Thomas J. Leftwich, and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

* IN RE: RESOLUTION--LOID A. HODNETT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Loid A. Hodnett, served the County of Dinwiddie as a member of the Board of Supervisors from November 8, 1974 through December 31, 1979 with integrity, dedication, and respect for his fellow man; and

WHEREAS, the current Board of Supervisors on the twentieth day of February, 1980, is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express full awareness of, and appreciation for, his unselfish and honorable work in behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby commends Mr. Loid A. Hodnett for his many contributions and devoted service to the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Mr. Loid A. Hodnett, and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION--GEORGE S. BENNETT, JR.

The Director of Planning presented a resolution to Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. recognizing his contributions to the Dinwiddie Planning Commission.



*Mr. Hodnett was not present to receive his copy of the resolution.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the February 6, 1980 regular meeting were approved as read.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-196 through 80-333 amounting to $155,559.80; Library Fund checks-LF-80-3 and LF-80-4 amounting to $213.31.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-79-10--W. PARKER SIMMONS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 6, 1980 and Wednesday, February 13, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Map of Dinwiddie County to change the district classification from "Residential R-1" to "Business, General B-2" approximately 5 acres of land of a total tract of 25 acres designated as Section 10, Parcel 9 on the Dinwiddie Tax Maps. The property is generally bound as follows: on the south by U.S. Route 1, on the west for 300 feet in depth by Top Auto Parts, on the north by the lands of W. Parker Simmons and to the east by the lands of Southside Virginia Training Center.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action wherein they recommended approval at their January 9, 1980 meeting.

Mr. Parker Simmons appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended be further amended by changing the district classification of a portion of Section 10, Parcel 9 of the Zoning Map, from Residential, R-1 to Commercial, General, B-2. Said property is generally bounded as follows: on the south by US Rt. 1, on the west to a depth of 300 feet by Top Auto Parts, to the rear by a line that parallels Rt. #1 at a depth of 300 feet from Rt. 1 by the remaining lands of W. Parker Simmons and to the east by the lands of Southside Training Center. In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: 1980 VIRGINIA PROGRAM--AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie is eligible to participate as a potential employer for college students desiring employment during the period May 1 through September 11, 1980; and

WHEREAS, these students will be referred to the County through the Virginia Program from colleges throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, these students will be from Dinwiddie County at a cost of 31% of the student's gross wages with Workmen's Compensation

provided by the Virginia Program; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has expressed a need for two (2) students, one at the Senior High and one at the Junior High;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that application be made to the Virginia Program to have the County designated as a potential employer for college students, available May 1 through September 11, 1980.

IN RE: SCHOOL CENSUS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a school census is required every three years; and

WHEREAS, the work is more readily performed by bus drivers in the Spring before the school session terminates; and

WHEREAS, the funds needed for this work would be budgeted in the 1980-81 school budget; however the work will be completed and payment to the workers needed before the new budget year; and

WHEREAS, an additional appropriation to the 1979-80 school budget to complete the 1980 Census would eliminate the need for these funds in the 1980-81 budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an additional $9,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the School Operating Fund in the 1979-80 school budget to complete the 1980 school census.

IN RE: DRIVING RANGE--AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to present a breakdown of the bid received for the driver education driving range as follows:

1. Base Bid
   a. entrance (paved) with culvert
   b. 200 x 400 (8" aggregate & 2"asphalt)
   c. 5' shoulder (6" deep)
   d. radiation cable system $82,400

   could reduce by $5,000 to $6,000 if shoulder eliminated and 2" of base course eliminated.

2. Fencing around driver range with gate - $10,200.

   could reduce by approximately $1,500 with specification modifications which would not adversely affect project.

   a. substitute 2" - #9 guage wire mesh in place of 1 3/4 - #10 guage.
   b. use 2" diameter line posts rather than 2½".
   c. substitute 7 guage tension wire for 1 5/8" top rail.

3. Paint design for driver range - $2,400.

4. Traffic light and controls - $13,300.

5. Vehicle storage area with fence and barbed wire - $3,850.

   Total project cost - $112,150.00

   Money available - 70,000 ($40,000 grant; $30,000 county).

*A few comments:
   a. original grant request reduced by $10,000.
   b. original scope of work did not include traffic

light.
c. inflation.
d. design specifications were not finalized when original estimate was made.

Mr. Scheid stated that three bids were solicited and only one was submitted, that of Burton P. Short. He recommended that the traffic light be eliminated. He also recommended removing the 5' shoulder but retaining the paint design and security area. Mr. Scheid stated that 2" of the base course could be eliminated reducing it to 6"; however, it would be questionable as to the weight limits the course could take. Fencing was an item that could be postponed until the next funding period; however, he expressed a concern for the range being open for unauthorized use without a means of securing the area. Mr. Robertson suggested digging a ditch around the area and placing chains at the entrances. Mr. Hargrave asked if there was a security problem with the driver education cars now parked behind the school. Dr. Vaughn stated there had been some vandalism. He further stated that he thought the paint design was necessary for use of the range. Mr. Clay stated that he would rather keep the 8" base course so that there would be no question as to weight limits allowed on the range.

Mr. Weber stated he would agree with the base bid, the fencing and the paint design. Mr. Bennett asked if there were any funds in the bond issue left over from projects already completed. Dr. Vaughn stated that he thought some monies would be available. He further stated that the School Board recommended accepting the base bid, the paint design and the security area.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Robertson voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the base bid work on the driving range including the paint design; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the fencing and security area be included in the 1980-81 funding request to the Department of Transportation Safety.

Mr. Robertson voted "nay" because he felt the security area should be included in the work to be done at this time.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR DRIVING RANGE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to transfer monies where available within the bond issue to cover the additional funds needed for the driver education range.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ATHLETIC TRACK

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to discuss the construction of an athletic track to be located at the recreation site across from the high school. He stated that $20,000 had been authorized in the bond issue for a track; however, the cost could run from $100,000 to $150,000. He stated the site preparation could be accomplished by equipment already there for the driving range for $7,000 to $10,000. The cheapest material for the track itself would be screen material for approximately $3700 delivered.

Dr. Vaughn stated that the School Board would like to see the project initiated with the $20,000 available in the bond issue. He agreed with having the site preparation done while the earth-moving equipment is at the site for the driving range.

The Chairman asked that Dr. Vaughn review the items in the bond issue to determine what monies were available and suggested

that the track be considered again at the time of the bond issue for Sunnyside-Elementary School.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the site preparation for the athletic track within the bond funds authorized for the project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Superintendent review the projects within the bond issue to determine their current status and report his findings to the Board as soon as possible.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION--G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION--W. WAYNE GWALTNEY AND REAPPOINTMENT OF PRESENT MEMBERS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Wayne Gwaltney be appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, term expiring 12/31/80; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. E.D. McKenney, Mr. G.L. Soloe, Mr. William Queen, Mr. Roy Hodges, Ms. Barbara Goodman, and Sheriff C. L. Mitchell be reappointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, terms expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TIME FOR CITIZEN COMMENT

Mr. Robertson suggested that 30 minutes be allotted at the beginning of each meeting for comments from citizens who were present. Mr. Hargrave stated that his only concern was the control of this time in relation to the length of the entire meeting. He further stated that the agenda was closed on Friday prior to the meetings in order to allow adequate time for the Supervisors to research and prepare for those items before them. Action was postponed until the Board members have a chance to consider the suggestion.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT TO ABIDCO--JACK DEBOER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Jack DeBoer was appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT TO ABIDCO--DONALD WILLIAMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Donald Williams was appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT TO ABIDCO--LYNWOOD INGE

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Lynwood Inge was reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT TO ABIDCO--L.C. BOISSEAU

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. L.C. Boisseau was reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT TO ABIDCO--W.C. KNOTT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Knott was reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT TO ABIDCO--W.E. BOLTE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. W.E. Bolte was reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring 12/31/80.

IN RE: OLD HICKORY VFD--PARTICIPATION IN PURCHASE OF UTILITY VAN

The Old Hickory VFD requested assistance from the Board for one-half of the purchase price of a new utility van to be used primarily to transport personnel to fires.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an amount not to exceed $3500 be appropriated for the Old Hickory VFD towards the purchase of a utility van.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION--G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the Crater Planning District Commission, term expiring 12/31/83.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION--CHARLES TITMUS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Charles Titmus was reappointed to the Crater Planning District Commission, term expiring 12/31/83.

IN RE: ACTION AGAINST TEE PEE BOW HUNT JAMBOREE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Tee Pee Bow Hunt Jamboree has been scheduled for April 4 and 5, 1980; and

WHEREAS, the promoters of this hunt wish to secure a location in Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the hunt would involve the killing of domesticated and non-game animals for a profit; and

WHEREAS, strong opposition has been expressed both within and outside of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth Attorney has advised the promoters by letter that this action is against the law and is a use not allowed under the Zoning ordinance of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia strongly opposes the Tee Pee Bow Hunt Jamboree and will do everything possible to see that it does not take place in Dinwiddie County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that their action be communicated by letter to the promoters urging them to discontinue their efforts to hold this event.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION--EMERGENCY FUEL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Department of Social Services of the County of Dinwiddie has been notified that the fuel allowance for eligible households has been increased from $200 to $400; and

WHEREAS, an additional appropriation of $94,139 is needed to fund the program until it expires 3/31/80; and

WHEREAS, the money will be transferred to the Department of Social Services only as needed; and

WHEREAS, these funds will be reimbursed to the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that there is appropriated an additional $94,139 for the Emergency Fuel Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these funds be transferred from the General Fund to the local Social Services Department as needed to cover the expenses of the program.

IN RE: REPAYMENT OF CONSTRUCTION OF WATER LINES ALONG INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD TO VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation have decided it is in the best interest of both parties to install the water line at the same time that the industrial access road is built into the Petersburg Airport; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation will advertise for bids the industrial access road and the water line; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation needs assurance from the County of Dinwiddie that it will reimburse the VDH&T the cost of installing the water line along the industrial access road;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation can be assured that the Board of Supervisors will fund the installation of the water line along the industrial access road; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it will reimburse the VDH&T upon being provided adequate documentation of expenditures.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:25 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF MARCH, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the February 20, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-334 to 80-425 amounting to $61,333.97; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-17 thru D-80-32 amounting to $2,084.67; Fire Vehicle Fund-check FVF-80-3 in the amount of $3,125.00.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $40,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Fire Vehicle Fund.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING --USE OF 1980-81 REVENUE SHARING FUNDS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Saturday, February 23, 1980; Sunday, February 24, 1980; and Wednesday, February 27, 1980 to receive public input concerning the uses of $132,234 in Revenue Sharing funds.

Mrs. Becky King requested that these funds be earmarked for an athletic track. There being no other comments, the hearing was closed.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of February, 1980.

IN RE: BUILDING INPSECTOR

Mr. J.L. Blaha presented his report for the month of February, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of February, 1980.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF 1980-81 HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

The Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission pre-

sented to the Board the 1980-81 Highway Safety Plan for their review and approval.

The Plan consists of the following projects in priority order:

1. Sheriff's Department - Bar lights with mounts and speakers and vehicle emblems for seven police cars; and one radar unit. Local - $7300 Federal $7300.

2. Driver Education - To complete the driver education range by adding fencing, security area and a control tower. Local - $45,000 Federal - $45,000

3. Rescue Squad - To purchase 20 pagers for the members of the Rescue Squad. Local - $3700 Federal - $3700

4. School Board - To purchase and install one traffic control light on Rt. 661 at the Dinwiddie Senior High; To purchase and install two traffic control lights on U.S. #1 at the Southside Elementary School. Local - $1,000 Federal - $1,000

5. School Board - To purchase 65 18" cones and 35 36" cones for off the road school bus driver training. Local - $700 Federal $700.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the 1980-81 Highway Safety Plan in the amount of $115,400 be approved as presented.

IN RE: ROAD BONDING FOR SUBDIVISION ROADS

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to discuss the County's policy on road bonding for subdivision roads. He presented several examples of bond procedures in adjacent communities as well as forms of bonding for the Board's consideration. At the present time, the County retains the full amount of the bond until the subdivision roads are complete.

Mr. Richard Henshaw requested that because of the increasing expense of bonding the Board consider a partial reduction of a bond based on the percentage of the roads completed. Mr. Weber stated that he would like to see the full bond retained until the work was completed to protect the County. Mr. Clay stated that he felt some relief should be provided for the developer.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator, the Director of Planning, and the County Attorney are hereby directed to study this matter and to prepare a report outlining a course of action for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to receive comments and answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Perry advised the Board that the traffic study requests for Route 740 and the Int. of Rt. 1 and Rt. 460 had been forwarded to the Traffic Engineer. He also stated that he had written a letter to the District Engineer concerning placing a crossover on Rt. 460 across from the dumpster site. If allowed, construction of the crossover would *be approximately $45,000. Mr. Perry further advised the Board that

*Note: See March 19, 1980 minutes

after investigating the intersection of Rt. 708 and 460 at Olger's Store, a "Stop Ahead" sign had been placed there.

The County Administrator advised Mr. Perry that the sign on Lee Boulevard in Edgehill Park needs to be replaced or upgraded.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--WATER AUTHORITY--A.J. EUBANK

The following nominations were made for the appointment to the Water Authority to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. M.G. Rainey.

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. A.J. Eubank. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Elwood Heisey. Mr. Weber nominated Mr. John V. Talmage.

The vote was as follows: Mr. Weber and Mr. Robertson voted for Mr. John V. Talmage. Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber voted for Mr. Elwood Heisey. Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voted for Mr. A.J. Eubank. Mr. A.J. Eubank was appointed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, filling the unexpired term of M.G. Rainey, Jr. expiring December 18, 1980.

IN RE: LEASH LAW IN R-1, R-1A, and R-2 ZONED AREAS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the County Attorney was instructed to prepare an ordinance for the Board's review to provide for a leash law to be enforced in R-1, R-1A, and R-2 zoned areas of the County.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:47 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M. Wednesday, March 12, 1980.

CONTINUATION OF MEETING OF MARCH 5, 1980

ALL MEMBERS PRESENT

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS LEGAL & PERSONNEL MATTERS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board went into Executive Session to discuss personnel and legal matters at 7:30 P.M. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:45 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:45 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF MARCH, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION--LOID A. HODNETT

The resolution for Mr. Loid A. Hodnett, adopted at the February 20, 1980 meeting, was presented.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the minutes of the March 5, 1980 meeting be approved with the following addition:

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION--A notation be added regarding the installation of a crossover across from the dumpster site on U.S. Rt. 460 W at the approximate cost of $45,000--*A later call from the Resident Engineer changed this figure to $12,000.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF TURNOVER AUDIT--TREASURER'S OFFICE

Mr. Wally Cox, Daniel A. Robinson and Associates, appeared before the Board to present the turnover audit for the Treasurer's Office and answer any questions they might have. He stated he would return the next week to work with the County Administrator's Office and Treasurer on the Uniform Financial Reporting System.

IN RE: 1980-81 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to present the 1980-81 school board budget. The Board advised Dr. Vaughn they would meet with the School Board at a later date to discuss the budget in more depth. Mr. Weber requested that the Dinwiddie Education Association have an opportunity to meet with the Board at some time during the budget sessions. Mr. Frank Freudig, President, stated that the Association would like a closed session with the Board aside from their discussion with the School Board. The Chairman stated that the Board would have to determine whether this would be allowable under the Freedom of Information Act.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-426 through 80-539 amounting to $140,672.20.

IN RE: REPORT ON ZONING APPLICATION COSTS

Mr. Robertson asked if the fee charged for processing rezoning applications actually covered the costs incurred by the County. After

a brief discussion, the Director of Planning was instructed to make a report on the fees charged and the costs incurred at the next meeting.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF LEASH LAW ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at their March 5, 1980 meeting, the Board of Supervisors instructed the County Attorney to draft a leash law ordinance for R-1, R-1A, and R-2 zoned areas of the County; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the ordinance, it is the desire of the Board, if legally possible, to further limit the area of enforcement by certain boundaries within Election District 2;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the ordinance be referred to the County Attorney to determine if certain boundaries within Election District 2 are allowable within the leash law ordinance; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the revised ordinance be reconsidered for advertisement for a public hearing at the mid May meeting.

IN RE: VEPCO CONTRACT

The County Administrator presented the new Agreement for the purchase of electric service by counties of the Commonwealth of Virginia from the Virginia Electric and Power Company for a period of three years from July 1, 1979 through June 30, 1982.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the Agreement for the purchase of electric service by Counties of the Commonwealth of Virginia from the Virginia Electric and Power Company term effective July 1, 1979 through June 30, 1982.

IN RE: AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the deed conveying from the City of Petersburg and the County of Dinwiddie to the Commonwealth of Virginia (Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation) a right-of-way for an Industrial Access Road from Rt. 460 to the Industrial site of ESE, Inc.

IN RE: BANK FRANCHISE TAX--ORDINANCE REVISION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to prepare an ordinance amending the present County Code to accommodate the new regulations contained in the Bank Franchise Tax due to House Bill 994 passed by the 1980 General Assembly.

IN RE: ROAD BONDING

The Director of Planning asked if the Board was prepared to give any guidance or take action on the road bonding procedures presented at the previous meeting.

The Board advised Mr. Scheid that they would like additional time to study the proposals and instructed the County Administrator to place the item on the April 2, 1980 agenda.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:50 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 1980 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:32 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:20 P.M.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1980-81 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to review the 1980-81 School Board budget and answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Bill Trinkle appeared before the Board to review the present Recreation program and present a recommendation for expansion of the program for the Board's consideration. The expansion would require a budget of $20,000.

Mr. Weber requested that if the budget had to be reduced, the School Board find areas other than teacher salaries to make the reductions. Mr. Frank Freudig, President of the DEA, stated that the Dinwiddie Education Association, representing 252 teachers, endorsed the school board budget as presented.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:02 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 1980 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss personnel matters relative to the School Board budget. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:04 P.M.

IN RE: BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board reviewed the School Board budget and County budgets outlining areas where clarification or change was needed.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:37 P.M. to discuss personnel matters relative to County employees in the County budget. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:00 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF APRIL, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the March 19, 1980 regular meeting, the March 26, 1980 special meeting and the March 27, 1980 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-540 thru 80-628 amounting to $62,137.06; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-33 thru D-80-42 amounting to $1322.51.

IN RE: MAC BUTTERWORTH--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Mac Butterworth was awarded $650 for one (1) cow.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-80-1--CHANGE IN POLLING PLACE FOR PRECINCT 202

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 19, 1980 and Wednesday, March 26, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 7A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, to reflect a change in the polling place for Precinct Number 202 from the Brickwood Golf Course to Rock Church, Cedar Heart Lane.

Mr. Robertson expressed his concern for the location being so close to another existing polling place. The County Attorney stated that the location had been investigated and received approval from the Attorney General's office.

No one appeared in support or opposition to the rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following change:

CHAPTER 7A - ELECTIONS

Section 7A-3. Precincts - Names, number and polling places.

The numbers and names of the precincts constituting the various election districts shall be as follows:

| Precinct | Polling Place |
|---|---|
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 1 | |
| Number 101, Darvills | Darvills Community Center |
| Number 102, White Oak | Allen's Store |
| Number 103, Church Road | Midway Elementary School |
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 2 | |
| Number 201, Rohoic | Rohoic Elementary School |
| Number 202, Brickwood | Rock Church, Cedar Heart Ln. |
| Number 203, Edgehill | Namozine Volunteer Fire Dept. |
| Number 204, New Hope | St. John Recreation Hall |
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 3 | |
| Number 301, Dinwiddie | Dinwiddie County Gov't. Center |
| Number 302, Reams | Baird's Store |
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 4 | |
| Number 401, Cherry Hill | Old Hickory Hunt Club Bldg. |
| Number 402, McKenney | McKenney Town Hall |
| Number 403, Rocky Run | Rocky Run United Methodist Church |

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a report on the completion of the 1980 general reassessment and advised the Board that the book was on file in the offices of the Clerk of the Court and the Commissioner of Revenue.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of March, 1980.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of March, 1980.

IN RE: REVIEW OF COSTS FOR REZONING CASES

The Director of Planning presented a report on costs incurred for processing rezoning applications as requested by the Board at its last meeting.

The Board felt the costs incurred for processing the applications and advertising for the public hearings should be recovered. The report showed that in some instances, the present fees charged did not recover the costs incurred by the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Planner was instructed to prepare an amendment to the present zoning ordinance to change the application fee for rezoning applications to a deposit of $100 to cover costs of processing the rezoning applications. Any amount over $100 will be billed and any amount under the $100 deposit will be refunded to the applicant.

IN RE: SMALL FARM PROGRESS REPORT--ENDORSEMENT OF RESEARCH CENTER

Mr. H.L. Maclin, Assistant Research Associate for the Bureau of Economic Research and Development at Virginia State University appeared before the Board to review the establishment of the Small Farm Development Center and request the Board's endorsement of the Center's efforts to work with low income small farm families within Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Maclin stated that in Dinwiddie there were 496 small farmers. He stated that the primary objective of the Center is to develop new methods to assist agencies and organizations to better address the needs of the small farmer. No financial assistance is being requested.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses the efforts of the Small Farm Development Center to work with low-income small farm families in the County to better address their needs.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS TO AIRPORT AUTHORITY

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the preliminary work done by the Interim Airport Committee was to be commended and expressed appreciation to Mr. Hargrave for serving on this committee. According to the State Code, Mr. Hargrave as a Board member could not be appointed to the established Authority.

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. W.C. Scheid, term ending January 31, 1982; Mr. Neal Barnes and Mr. Loid A. Hodnett, terms to expire January 31, 1983 and 1984 as designated by random selection. Mr. Bennett seconded the nomination.

Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Emery Veazey.

The vote was as follows:

For Mr. Veazey: Weber
Against Mr. Veazey: Robertson, Bennett, Clay, Hargrave

For Mr. Hodnett: - * Robertson, Bennett, Clay, Hargrave
Against Mr. Hodnett: Weber

For Mr. Barnes: - * Weber, Robertson, Bennett, Clay, Hargrave

For Mr. Scheid: - term expiring 1/31/82 - Weber, Robertson, Bennett, Clay, Hargrave

* A drawing was held to determine the expiration date of Hodnett's and Barnes' terms. Mr. Hodnett's name was drawn for the term ending 1/31/83, and Mr. Barnes' name was drawn for the term ending 1/31/84.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to receive comments and answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Weber requested that the Highway Department contact Mr. Harry Valenta concerning a tree that hangs over the road causing a traffic hazard on Rt. 670.

Mr. Hargrave stated that ditch work was needed on Rt. 670, 1/2 mile before it intersects with Rt. 666, near Mr. Granville Robinson due to a culvert that dams up and floods the field.

Mr. Robertson asked that some of the roads be reviewed that had been damaged due to the installation of the water and sewer lines. He felt that they had not been restored to their original condition as promised before the construction work began.

IN RE: WORKSHOP SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING FOR SECONDARY ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

After a brief discussion, the Board set the date of April 15, 1980 at 7:30 P.M. to meet in a workshop session with representatives

of the VDH&T to review priorities on secondary road improvements. The public hearing to receive citizen comments was set for May 7, 1980 at 3:00 P.M.

IN RE: CHANGES IN SECONDARY SYSTEM DUE TO RELOCATION AND CONSTRUCTION ON RT. 734

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 734, from Route 619 to Route 626, a distance of 3.140 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 734, Project 0734-026-183, M501, M502 dated at Richmond, Virginia, November 21, 1979."

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the portions of Secondary Route 734, i.e, Sections 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 shown in red on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 734, Project 0734-026-183, M501, M502 dated at Richmond, Virginia November 21, 1979", a total distance of 0.67 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33-141 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sections of old location, i.e, Section 2 & 5, shown in blue on the aforementioned sketch, a total distance of 0.14 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33-76.12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the State Highway Commission be requested to take the necessary action to discontinue the sections of old location, i.e., Sections 1,3,4,6,7,8,9 and 19, shown in yellow on the aforementioned sketch, a total distance of 0.62 miles, as a part of the Secondary System Highways as provided in Section 33-76.7 on the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF WALKER ROAD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accept conveyance to the County of a certain right-of-way fifty foot in width leading in a southerly direction from State Route 601, River Road, and thence turning and running in a generally easterly direction to a dead-end. Said roadway being 50' in width plus a larger entranceway at its intersection with Route 601 and including a turn around with a radius of 50' at the end of said road and more particularly shown on map entitled "Proposed Walkers Road" dated August 21, 1979 made by W.G. Chappell, C.L.S., this plat is recorded with and made a part of the deed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it does hereby request that the State Department of Highways and Transportation accept the above described roadway into the State secondary road system and the Chairman of the Board and the County Administrator are authorized to execute, acknowledge, seal and deliver on behalf of the County and the Board such documents as may be necessary to effect such transfer of the easement and right-of-way to the State; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and

Transportation be requested to construct this road as soon as monies become available according to a list of priorities as established by the Board.

IN RE: ROAD BOND POLICY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, questions have arisen regarding the county's policies for bonding of subdivision roads; and

WHEREAS, the present policies of the County are as follows:

1. A bond issued by a surety in the full amount of the estimated project costs is required.
2. The Board of Supervisors reviews and accepts/rejects the bond.
3. No partial release of a bond is permitted.; and

WHEREAS, these policies may cause a hardship for a developer during the present economic stresses; and

WHEREAS, after a thorough investigation, the Board was provided with information on the State Code, proposed changes and bond procedures in surrounding counties to review;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following policies for bonding of subdivision roads in Dinwiddie County be approved:

1. Forms of bonding and method of acceptance by the County

   a. The subdivision agent shall be empowered to accept, on behalf of Dinwiddie County the following:

      - a corporate (surety) bond
      - an irrevocable letter of credit
      - for bonding an amount of $5,000 or less, any other instrument of financial bonding which is deemed legal and proper, after consultation with the County Administrator and County Attorney.

   b. The Board of Supervisors shall review and advise the Subdivision Agent of its willingness to accept bonding in any other form or condition not set out above.

2. Release of bonding by Dinwiddie County

   a. The subdivision agent shall be empowered to partially release the secured bonding in the following cases:

      - when a portion of the road system has been constructed and accepted by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation
      - when a portion of the development plan has been abandoned and the recorded plat has been proeprly vacated.

In determining the amount of bond to be retained and to insure that legal technicalities have been addressed, the subdivision agent shall consult with the VDH&T and County Attorney prior to releasing a portion of the bond. Said amount retained shall take into consideration the costs of construction for the unbuilt portion of the road system, inflation and the proper maintenance/repair (performance) bonding for the road system accepted by the VDH&T. The latter consideration may be eliminated if separate arrangements are made between the developer and the VDH&T.

   b. The subdivision agent shall be empowered to release the entire bond (less 10% as the situation may dictate) in the following cases:

- when the entire road system has been constructed and accepted by the VDH&T.
- when the entire development plan has been abandoned and the appropriate recorded plat has been properly vacated.

A 10% retention of bonding will remain in effect for a period of 1 year after completion and acceptance of the road system by the VDH&T. Such requirement shall be waived if a separate arrangement is made between the developer and the VDH&T for the maintenance and repair of the roads accepted.

IN RE: BOSS ENERGY CONTROL SERVICE--ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County presently has a maintenance contract with Honeywell, Inc. for the heating and cooling equipment in the County buildings; and

WHEREAS, Honeywell, Inc. has conducted an energy survey of the Administration Building to determine what role the Boss cost-shared energy control service could play in additional energy savings; and

WHEREAS, the study shows that the BOSS System can reduce energy expenditures for electricity by 19% over the next 12-month period; and

WHEREAS, the Boss System virtually guarantees compliance with summer and winter thermostat requirements of the federal government; and

WHEREAS, Honeywell guarantees that Dinwiddie County will recover the first year cost of $3,696 (308/month), or Honeywell will remove the system and refund to the County the difference between actual first year cost and the actual savings;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign a contract with Honeywell, Inc. to install the Building Operations Service System (BOSS) for the Administration Building at the cost of $308 per month.

IN RE: AUDIT OF COUNTY RECORDS FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1980

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the firm of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates has offered to audit the accounts and records of Dinwiddie County including those of the Board of Supervisors, School Board, and the Welfare Board for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1980 at the hourly rate of $30.00 for certified public accountants and 2.5 x payroll rate for all other personnel, plus out-of-pocket expenses; and

WHEREAS, Daniel A. Robinson and Associates has been auditing the records of the County for several years and has rendered to the County outstanding service;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the firm of Daniel A. Robinson and Associates is hereby employed to audit the records of Dinwiddie County for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1980.

IN RE: INCREASE IN DUMPSTER FEES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

areas of the County; however, the areas should be based on objective criteria, i.e. certain densities.

Mr. Robertson stated that he needed answers to several questions he had concerning the ordinance before taking any action. After a brief discussion, the County Attorney was instructed to prepare a written reply for the records concerning the leash law. The County Administrator was instructed to obtain information from Prince George on their experience with a leash law.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", discussion on the leash law was postponed for further investigation.

IN RE: PRESENTATION BY DINWIDDIE EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

Mr. Frank Freudig, President of the Dinwiddie Education Association, appeared before the Board to review the present status of the school teachers salaries and benefits and some of the problems they were facing. He asked for the Board's support of the School Board budget as presented and support of the school teachers in their effort to perform their duties.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the teachers should be supported and paid what they have requested.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the Board was sympathetic towards the needs of the teachers and asked if the teachers would support a high tax rate to meet these requests. Mr. Freudig stated that he felt the teachers would support a tax increase.

Mr. Clay stated that he felt the Board supported the teachers however, everyone is feeling inflation and the citizens are faced with increasing taxes.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the teachers could not expect their salaries to increase with the cost of living.

The Board thanked the DEA for their presentation and advised them their request would be considered during the budget preparation.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess for dinner at 5:53 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 6:30 P.M.

IN RE: BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board continued to work on the preparation of the 1980-81 budget.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE 1980-81 BUDGET AND TAX RATE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to advertise the 1980-81 budget and the following tax rates for a public hearing to be held May 7, 1980 at 7:30 P.M.:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | .66 |
| Mobile Homes | .66 |
| Mineral Lands | .66 |
| Public Service | .66 |
| Personal Property | 6.00 |
| Farm Machinery | 6.00 |
| Machinery and Tools | 6.00 |

IN RE: INCREASE IN CONSUMER'S UTILITY TAX

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the fees charged for private/commercial use of dumpsters in the County is $20 for 4 cubic yd. containers; $25 for 6 cubic yd. containers and varying amounts for special locations; and

WHEREAS, these fees have not been increased since the trash collection system was initiated; and

WHEREAS, the costs of providing these services have increased thereby requiring an increase in the fees charged;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the fees presently charged for private/commercial use of trash dumpsters be increased by 35%; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this increase be effective July 1, 1980.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:07 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:25 P.M.

IN RE: INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD FOR E.S.E. INC--ACCEPTANCE OF COST ESTIMATE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County has requested the VDH&T to approve Industrial Access Funds to build an access road to serve E.S.E. Inc.; and

WHEREAS, the VDH&T has allocated $150,000 of Industrial Access Funds to provide access to E.S.E. Inc.; and

WHEREAS, the County is to reimburse the Department for all actual engineering and construction costs over and above the $150,000 allocated: and

WHEREAS, before the project is put to bid, the County is to be advised of a cost estimate which indicates the total estimated construction cost which the County has the option to accept or reject; and

WHEREAS, the Department has presented a cost estimate which is within 15% $\pm$ of the actual control estimate; and

WHEREAS, the Department proposes to advertise the project in May and therefore needs an assurance that E.S.E., Inc. has entered into a firm contract for the construction of their facility and acceptance of the proposed cost estimate by the Board before the advertisement date;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby accepts the construction estimate for the Industrial Access road for E.S.E. Inc. as presented by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the VDH&T be authorized to advertise the access road for construction as scheduled.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF LEASH LAW ORDINANCE

The County Attorney briefly discussed the alternatives available to the Board concerning the enforcement of a leash law in the County. He stated that the ordinance could be restricted to certain

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Attorney be instructed to prepare an amendment to the Consumer's Utility Tax ordinance increasing the local tax from ten to sixteen percent; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the amendment be advertised for a public hearing on May 7, 1980 at 7:30 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:35 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1980 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1980-81 SECONDARY ROADS BUDGET

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, met with the Board to discuss in detail the 1980-81 Secondary Roads budget. The Board discussed each individual road project and the recommendation of Mr. Perry as to the projects that should be funded and to those that should be deferred during the coming fiscal year.

This secondary roads budget will be considered for approval at the May 7, 1980 Board meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:10 P.M. to discuss land acquisition and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:10 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:10 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF APRIL, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the April 2, 1980 regular meeting and the April 15, 1980 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-629 through 80-747 amounting to $72,963.67; Library Fund check-number LF-80-5 in the amount of $92.66; History Book Fund check-number HB-80-2 in the amount of $6.45.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The appointments to be made to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority and the 1980 Roadviewers were postponed until the May 7, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: CANCELLATION OF SUMMER DAY MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the day meetings scheduled for the first Wednesdays in the months of June, July, August and September (June 4, July 2, August 6, September 3), 1980 are hereby cancelled; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the regular meetings scheduled for the third Wednesdays in the months of June, July, August, and September (June 18; July 16; August 20; and September 17), 1980 will be held at 8:00 P.M. as scheduled.

IN RE: TREASURER--TRANSFER OF 1979-80 BUDGET FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Treasurer has requested authorization to purchase a calculator for her office to replace a 99 Remington calculator which became inoperative in March; and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer has requested authorization from the Compensation Board to transfer $150 from surplus funds in the

deputy's salary category of her budget to pay for this equipment purchase; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors concurs with her request;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Compensation Board is hereby requested to authorize the Treasurer to transfer $150 from the Compensation of Deputies category in her 1979-80 budget to purchase a calculator for her office.

IN RE: BUDGET COMMENTS--GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.

Mr. Robertson made the following recommendations for the Board's consideration concerning the 1980-81 budget:

He stated that he was very concerned about the proposed budget and tax rate and welcomed any citizen comments.

1. He recommended that a line item budget be adopted and that no over expenditures or transfers be made without prior approval of the Board of Supervisors.

2. Three bids should be obtained for any item purchased which exceeds $100 and the Treasurer should be shown evidence that the best selection was made for that item.

3. The County Administrator be instructed to reduce the entire county budget by 4%, not including salaries.

Mr. Robertson stated that this would result in a $204,000 reduction which would allow a 6¢ reduction in real estate taxes or a $1.00 reduction in personal property taxes. He recommended the real estate tax reduction.

IN RE: RESOLUTION--S.E. WINN, JR.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following article in memory of Mr. S.E. Winn, Jr. be spread upon the minutes of this meeting:

Samuel Edward Winn, Jr., known as S.E. to the people of Dinwiddie, died February 28, 1980, leaving behind a record of service to the County and the community. S.E. served on the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors, representing the Darvills District from March, 1966 to November, 1974. He served as Vice-Chairman 1968 through 1974. During his years of service on the Board, the planning began on the new Administration Building, the County Landfill opened, and an industrial park was created near McKenney. S.E. Winn's life touched many people in the community, in his business and in his involvement in public, church, and community services.

He has left his mark. He will be remembered.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF EQUALIZATION BOARD

The Chairman stated that he had received a few phone calls concerning the appointment of an Equalization Board and asked the County Attorney to briefly review the legal aspects.

Mr. Elder stated that if an Equalization Board was not appointed, an individual has the right to petition the court to adjust his assessment. He further stated that the Judge may appoint three to five members to an Equalization Board if requested by the Board of Supervisors. These individuals must receive training from the State Department of Taxation.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the County Administrator to document the names of those individuals who called to ask for an adjustment in their assessment. These individuals will be mailed a form to fill out stating the reason for their complaint which the Board will review to determine the need for an Equalization Board.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ISSUE PAYMENT TO APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY FOR DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Appomattox River Water Authority bills the County of Dinwiddie for water used by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority on a monthly basis; and

WHEREAS, payment is required by the 15th of the month in which the bill is received; and

WHEREAS, the due date usually falls prior to a regular meeting of the Board at which claims are approved for payment;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a check be drawn and payment made upon receipt of the monthly bill from the Appomattox River Water Authority.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:50 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:30 P.M.

IN RE: MEETING WITH DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

The Board agreed to meet with the Dinwiddie County Water Authority on Wednesday, April 23, 1980 at 8:15 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:37 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 23RD DAY OF APRIL, 1980 AT 8:15 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: WORK SESSION WITH DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

The Board met in a workshop session with members of the Water Authority, and Ed Massie, Engineer with R. Stuart Royer and Associates, to discuss the contracts for construction of the water and sewer lines to the Airport Industrial Park, the financing involved, and alternatives for extending service along U.S. Route 1.

A resolution concerning these contracts will be prepared by the County Administrator for consideration at the May 7, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: MEETING WITH SCHOOL BOARD

The Board agreed to meet Thursday evening, May 1, 1980 to further discuss the 1980-81 budget and to meet at 8:00 P.M. with the School Board to further discuss their 1980-81 budget.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye, the meeting adjourned at 11:15 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF MAY, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: CHANGE IN MEETING PLACE FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS ON 1980-81 BUDGET, TAX RATE AND CONSUMER UTILITY TAX

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the public hearings on the 1980-81 budget, the 1980 tax rate, and the Consumer Utility Tax scheduled for 7:30 P.M. at the County Administration Building will be held in the auditorium of the Dinwiddie Senior High School.

IN RE: WORK SESSION WITH SCHOOL BOARD

The Board met with the School Board members to discuss items of mutual concern. The following major topics were discussed:

Sunnyside Elementary School; Teacher Salaries; Administrative Personnel; School Buses; School Bus Garage; and Maintenance of Schools.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF WELL FOR NEW SCHOOL BUS GARAGE

The Superintendent of Schools advised the Board that bids were expected to be received within the next week on the construction of a well at the site of the new school bus garage. He stated that the estimated cost was $7,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to award the bid for construction of the well at the school bus garage if the bids received are within the proposed cost estimate of $7,000, without additional approval from the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH APPLICATION FOR VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BONDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to draft a letter to the Virginia Public School Authority indicating an interest in obtaining bonds through the Authority at their next sale in the amount of $1,300,000.

IN RE: MEETING TO REVIEW 1980-81 BUDGET

The Board agreed to meet Monday, May 5, 1980 at 7:30 P.M. in special session to review the 1980-81 budget and tax rates.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:30 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF MAY, 1980 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board met in a workshop session to discuss the 1980-81 budget.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:45 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF MAY, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | CLARK WOOD | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the April 16, 1980 regular meeting and the April 23, 1980, May 1, 1980 and May 5, 1980 special meetings were approved as presented with the correction of one typographical error in the April 16, 1980 regular meeting minutes.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks--numbering 80-748 thru 80-834 amounting to $58,565.98; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-43 through D-80-59 amounting to $3017.70.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Revenue appeared before the Board to express a need for additional funds in the Stationery Office Supplies & Printing and the Temporary Employee/Extra Help categories of his budget; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Revenue has requested the Compensation Board to authorize the following transfers within his 79-80 budget:

From Repairs to Office Equip. & Furniture to Stationery, Office Supplies & Printing $200

From Advertising to Stationery, Office Supplies & Printing $100

From Postage and P.O. Box Rent to Temporary Employees/ Extra Help $250; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors concurs with this request;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Compensation Board be requested to authorize the transfer of $300 to the Stationery, Office Supplies, & Printing category and $250 to the Temporary Employee/Extra Help category from the designated accounts within the Commissioner of Revenue's 79-80 budget.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of April, 1980.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Mr. Larry Elder presented the following items: 1. Summary of discussion on the dog leash law. 2. Section 29-213.25 of the Code dealing with Compensation for livestock and poultry killed by dogs. 3. Mr. Elder asked that he not be designated as absent in special meeting minutes of which he was not notified.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of April, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of April, 1980.

The Chairman stated that in reference to the number of claims being paid that the Dog Warden be instructed to keep a list of animal complaints answered by category i.e. dog nuisance calls vs. livestock claims, to give a better understanding of where his time is spent.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley advised the Board that there might be a delay in Food Stamp funds until an appropriation is made by Congress.

She further stated that approximately $142,000 was spent in the Fuel Assistance Program.

IN RE: REVIEW OF 1981 CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLAN

Mrs. Barbara A. Bolton, Housing and Community Resources Planner for the Crater Planning District Commission appeared before the Board to request endorsement of the 1981 Criminal Justice Plan and grant applications and answer any questions they might have.

The following comments/questions were asked:

1. The Board questioned the source of information on equipment needed by the magistrates. It was suggested that this particular section could be rewritten to be less specific.

2. In reference to the Drug Enforcement Program to be funded through the Sussex County Sheriff's Department, the Board asked for evidence from the Sheriff that he was agreeable to participate.

Ms. Bolton stated she would research these questions and forward a response to the Board. Further action on the plan was postponed until the May 21, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--NORTHSIDE PTO

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Northside PTO has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the PTO meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Northside PTO is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Mr. Clay nominated Mr. Wayne C. Barnes. Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Laxton Wilson. The vote was as follows:

For Mr. Wilson--Weber, Robertson
For Mr. Barnes --Clay, Bennett, Hargrave

Mr. Wayne C. Barnes was appointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. Russell Whitaker, ending February 5, 1984.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--1980 ROADVIEWERS

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. T.O. Rainey, and Mr. Cabell Bishop. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. T.O. Rainey and Mr. Cabell Bishop were appointed to the 1980 Roadviewers.

Mr. Weber nominated Mrs. Barbara Wilson. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Henry G. Walker, Sr. Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mrs. Barbara Wilson and Mr. Henry G. Walker, Sr. were appointed to the 1980 Roadviewers.

Mr. Clay nominated Mr. C.Y. Avery. Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. C.Y. Avery was appointed to the 1980 Roadviewers.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO AWARD BIDS FOR WATER & SEWER LINES TO INDUSTRIAL PARK AND ROHOIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously authorized the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to design and receive bids on the water and sewer lines to serve the Airport Industrial Park and the Rohoic Elementary School; and

WHEREAS, the bids have been received on these water and sewer lines, and the Board of Supervisors needs to authorize the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to proceed with the project; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors needs to outline funding for the entire project estimated to cost $628,000; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has received a $150,000 grant from the Farmer's Home Administration; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has set aside $300,000; $150,000 to match the grant, $150,000 to be used in lieu of the grant until reimbursement is received; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority has advised the Board that the State Health Department has recommended to the State Water Control Board disapproval of the installation of its sewer lines; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority has advised the Board of Supervisors that this problem should be ironed out at a meeting scheduled with the State Water Control Board;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Water Authority is hereby authorized to enter into contracts to install water and sewer lines to the Airport Industrial Park and the Rohoic Elementary School after appropriate State Agency approval; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of

Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the $628,000 cost of this project be funded in the following manner:

1. $300,000 from Water and Sewer Account
2. $150,000 grant from the FmHA to be reimbursed after the expenditure of the first $300,000 by the County.
3. The manner of financing the balance, $178,000, be determined at such time as the money is needed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Water Authority shall request monthly the amount of money needed to pay the contractors for the installation of the water and sewer lines to the Airport Industrial Park and the Rohoic Elementary School.

IN RE: TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT--DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following agreement was adopted:

THIS AGREEMENT, dated this 7th day of May, 1980, by and between City of Petersburg, Petersburg, Virginia, and the County of Dinwiddie, Dinwiddie Virginia, and his, her, their or its heirs, successors and assigns, hereinafter referred to as "Owner", and the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, Petersburg Virginia, a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia, hereinafter referred to as "Authority", part of the second part.

The Owner does hereby grant and convey unto the Authority a temporary construction easement not to exceed 20 ft. in width south of proposed Route 684 from State Route 460 to proposed building of E.S.E. Inc., as shown on Contract N-3, Sheets 3 and 4, of Project 7109, dated November, 1979, R. Stuart Royer & Associates, Consulting Engineers, Richmond, Virginia.

In the part of this section used, the Contractor will remove all trees and dispose of all stumps, wood and brush. After these lines are finished, the Contractor will grade and seed the area disturbed in accordance with Contract Documents of R. Stuart Royer & Associates Plans and Specifications for Project 7109, Contract N-3.

IN RE: BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors has received numerous complaints from the citizens of Dinwiddie County regarding the inequities in the reassessment of real estate in Dinwiddie County completed March 31, 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors feels that many of these complaints are justified; therefore, a Board of Equalization is needed to correct these inequities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Honorable Thomas V. Warren, Judge, Eleventh Circuit Court District, appoint a Board of Equalization for Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: LAND USE PUBLIC HEARING

Mr. Bennett advised the Board that to be effective for the 1981 tax year, an ordinance on land use would have to be adopted by June 30, 1980. Therefore, he felt a public hearing should be held before that time to determine if land use would be desireable in the County. Two public hearings were held previously but no action was taken. Mr. Robertson stated that he did not

feel like enough information had been gathered or facts related to indicate whether land use would be beneficial to the County. Therefore, he felt a public hearing would be premature at this time. Mr. Robertson moved that a study committee be appointed to determine the pros and cons of land use to report back to the Board within a reasonable time.

Mr. Weber stated that he was opposed to land use; however, he would be in agreement to a study committee, and seconded the motion.

Mr. Bennett stated that he was not opposed to the study; however, he did not want to have to postpone action for another year.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt enough information had been gathered concerning land use. The Board must now study and understand the information to take action. He was, therefore, in favor of a public hearing.

Mr. Clay stated that he would like to move ahead with a public hearing.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the motion was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise a public hearing for consideration of the land use ordinance on Wednesday, June 4, 1980 at 8:00 P.M. at the Administration Building.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION 1980-81 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Thursday, April 24, 1980 and Wednesday, April 30, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing jointly with the Department of Highways and Transportation to receive comments from interested citizens concerning the 1980-81 secondary roads improvement budget.

The following comments were made:

1. Mrs. Kathleen King appeared before the Board to request that Route 692 between Rt. 709 and Rt. 40 be hard-surfaced. She stated that the road count taken June, 79 showed a traffic count of 44; however she had counted over 50 cars per day.

2. Mr. Lynwood Inge requested that improvements be made to Rt. 708 from the Amelia County line to the N&W railroad West of Sutherland. He stated that the road was dangerous and needed widening, straightening, and paving in areas.

3. Sgt. Major Kellam appeared before the Board concerning Route 615 that extends off U.S. Rt. 1 near Ye Olde Blue Tartane. He stated that the last traffic count was 48; however, he knew it was averaging 55 cars per day now. He further stated that the original survey showed a dead end road with a cul-de-sac at the SCL railroad; however, the road was continued and has now become a through road to Duncan Road, thereby increasing the traffic. Mr. Kellam requested that either the road be closed beyond the railroad or, if left open, the road be hard surfaced.

4. Mr. Percy Abernathy appeared before the Board to request that the Highway Department give serious attention to improvement of Rt. 619 between Rt. 735 at Hawkins Church and Stony Creek. He stated that the hill and dips in the road made it very difficult to see school buses which have to make stops along that route to pick up children. He also requested that the ditches along Rt. 619 be pulled and the trees and bushes be cut back which were causing sight problems along that road.

5. Mr. W.F. Creath appeared before the Board to request that the necessary action be taken to have the roads in Berryfield

Subdivision taken into the secondary system. Mr. Bennett stated that he would ask that the subdivision roads be placed on the 1980 Roadviewer's list.

6. Mr. Larry Elder requested that Route 721 off Rt. 460 be hard-surfaced. He stated that the last traffic count was 71.

7. Mr. Albert Mathias appeared before the Board to request the designation of a bike trail along Rtes. 627, 611, 751, 624, 699, 708, and back to 611 starting at Trinity Church. Mr. Perry stated he would investigate what steps should be taken and the possibility of establishing a bike trail and respond to the Board.

8. Mr. Bennett stated that he had been contacted by Mrs. J.C. Stephenson concerning Rt. 683 and the status of construction work there.

9. Mr. Robertson asked that the Highway Department look at Fairway Road in Rohoic Farms to determine what improvements were needed concerning potholes in the road.

10. Mr. Weber asked what work was planned for U.S. #1. Mr. Perry stated it was scheduled for partial plant mix overlay.

11. Mr. Robertson asked if any work was planned for improving the curve on Rt. 613 as you approach the bridge over White Oak Creek. Mr. Medlock stated work was already scheduled for that area.

12. The County Administrator stated that he had been contacted to request that the Highway Department place the bridge over Rt. 672 at Oakhill Subdivision on the list for improvements. He also advised the Highway Department that he had been contacted by citizens concerned about the trash accummulation at the intersection of Rt. 603 and 672.

13. Mr. Bennett asked that the Highway Department give attention to the trash accumulation on Rt. 601 between 708 and 732.

IN RE: TEMPORARY DETOUR--ROUTE 708

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a construction project is planned for the bridge on Rt. 708 over Whipponock Creek; and

WHEREAS, this project will require temporarily closing Rt. 708 for approximately three weeks; and

WHEREAS, by closing the road, the construction of a detour immediately around this bridge will not be needed resulting in a savings of $30,000 to $50,000; and

WHEREAS, the temporary detour will result in approximately 2.2 miles in additional travel time and will cause no hardship for access of emergency vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the closing and detour will be scheduled when it is most convenient for the farmers who use this route heavily throughout the year;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the VDH&T be authorized to temporarily close Rt. 708 for approximately three (3) weeks during the construction project of a new bridge over Whipponock Creek.

IN RE: RECESS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board recessed at 4:30 P.M. to reconvene at 7:30 P.M. at the Senior High School.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-80-2--CONSUMER UTILITY TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 9, 1980 and Wednesday, April 16, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia to reflect an increase in the utility tax from ten (10) per centum to sixteen (16) per centum.

Public comment was received in conjunction with the 1980-81 budget hearing and are listed under that section.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1980 TAX RATE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Monday, April 7, 1980; Wednesday, April 9, 1980; Tuesday, April 22, 1980; and Wednesday, April 30, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1980 tax rate.

A tax rate of .66 on real estate and $6.00 on personal property is proposed.

Public comment was received in conjunction with the 1980-81 budget and are recorded under that section.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1980-81 BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Monday, April 7, 1980; Wednesday, April 9, 1980; Tuesday, April 22, 1980; Wednesday, April 30, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1980-81 Dinwiddie County budget.

The Chairman announced that action on the budget and tax rate could not be taken following the public hearing. This action is scheduled for May 26, 1980 at 8:00 P.M.

He also announced that the Board had taken action to request the Circuit Court Judge to appoint an Equalization Board to review the reassessment.

A public hearing on the Land Use ordinance is scheduled for Wednesday, June 4, 1980 at 8:00 P.M. to consider land use to be effective for the 1981 tax year.

The County Administrator outlined the income and highlighted significant changes over last year's budget. The Constitutional Officers and Department Heads described their own individual budgets and answered any questions from the floor.

The following people made comments or asked questions concerning the budget: Emery Veazey; Paul Moore; Willie Edwards; Donald Andrews; John Scarborough; Anne Scarborough; Tom Newsom; Pete Thrower; Robert Ragsdale; Richard Earl; Francis Fenderson; Henry Walker, Sr.; Nadine Milton; Billy Bain; Hope Tunstall; Polly Thrower; Reverand Lacy; Vivian Zaruba; John Frazer, Jim Williams; Gerald Phillips; Edward Pair; Frank Freudig, representing the DEA; Bill Jones; Granville Maitland; Mrs. Hamner; R.C. Clarke; Sgt. Major Kellam.

The Chairman briefly reviewed the major reasons for the increase in taxes: increase in school funds requested; deficit spending in the past; and the reduction in revenue sharing. He further explained the position of the Board concerning revenue sharing which its renewal is unknown at this time. Mr. Weber stated that he would like to see a tax reduction; however, he felt all classes of real estate and personal property should be taxed equally.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 1:30 A.M., May 8, 1980.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF MAY, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 7, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 80-835 through 80-943 amounting to $41,078.16; County Construction Fund check number CCF-80-2 in the amount of $1,184.98; Johnsongrass Control Fund check #JGC-80-1 in the amount of $18.08; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-60 through D-80-62 amounting to $159.95; Fire Vehicle Fund Check #FVF-80-4 in the amount of $36,346.90; Water and Sewer Fund check #W&S-80-1 in the amount of $35,722.89.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-1--GILBERT HENSHAW

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1980 and Wednesday, May 14, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the district classification of a portion of Section 34, Parcel 62, totalling approximately 69 acres, from Residential R-1 to Agricultural A-2.

Mr. Gilbert Henshaw appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition to this request. The Planning Commission recommended approval at their April 9, 1980 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended be further amended by changing the District Classification of a portion of Section 34 Parcel 62 containing approximately 69 acres from Residential R-1 to Agricultural A-2. Said property is the undeveloped portion of the Virginia Hills Subdivision and is generally bounded to the north by the lands of Mr. R.K. Wilson, Sr. and Mr. Samuel Henson, to the west by Section 2 of the Virginia Hills Subdivision, to the south by the land of Mr. Goodwyn and Anna Harrison and to the east by the land of Mr. R.K. Wilson. Sr.

In all other respects, said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-2--LOUIS SHELL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Pro-

gress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1980 and Wednesday, May 14, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the district classification of Section 7, Parcel 2A and a portion thereof of Section 7, Parcels 1F and Parcel 2 containing a total of 105.9 acres from Agricultural Conservation A-3 to Conditional, Agricultural Rural Residential A-R.

Mr. Louis Shell appeared in support and presented the following conditions to be included with the rezoning request:

1. All land within 100 feet of property line of Appomattox River Water Authority, being the 164 foot contour line, shall be subject to reasonable restrictions against disturbance of the soil or cutting of the timber. The purpose of these restrictions is to minimize the possibility of soil erosion into the Lake, and these restrictions shall be in favor of both Dinwiddie County and the Appomattox River Water Authority.

2. The first 200 feet adjacent to the property of Appomattox River Water Authority on all lots fronting on Lake Chesdin shall be subject to a restriction against the use of surface fertilizers, crop poisons, insecticides, gardening chemicals, and other hazardous chemicals and further the storage of these or other materials which would be hazardous due to leakage into the water supply.

Mr. Shell also presented an application signed by Mr. and Mrs. Eugene Clay, adjoining landowners, showing their concurrence with the rezoning request.

No one appeared in opposition to this rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended be further amended by changing the district classification of Section 7, Parcel 2A and portions thereof of Section 7, Parcels 1F and 2 containing a total of 105.9 acres from Agricultural, Conservation A-3 to Conditional, Agricultural, Rural Residential AR. Said property is generally bounded to the north by Chesdin Acres Subdivision and Ron Gil Properties, to the east by the lands of Continental Can, to the south by the lands of Eugene L. Clay and to the east by the waters of Lake Chesdin. The conditions to be imposed upon the subdivision are as follows:

1. All land within 100 feet of property line of Appomattox River Water Authority, being the 164 foot contour line, shall be subject to reasonable restrictions against disturbance of the soil or cutting of the timber. The purpose of these restrictions is to minimize the possibility of soil erosion into the Lake, and these restrictions shall be in favor of both Dinwiddie County and the Appomattox River Water Authority.

2. The first 200 feet adjacent to the property of Appomattox River Water Authority on all lots fronting on Lake Chesdin shall be subject to a restriction against the use of surface fertilizers, crop poisons, insecticides, gardening chemicals, and other hazardous chemicals and further the storage of these or other materials which would be hazardous due to leakage into the water supply.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--BANK FRANCHISE TAX--A-80-4

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1980 and Wednesday, May 14, 1980

for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia to repeal the existing Article V and add a revised Article V imposing a Bank Franchise Tax by the addition of Section 8-14 through 8-14D.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by repealing the existing Article V in its entirety and adding the following:

Chaper 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article V. Bank Franchise Tax

Sec. 8-14. Definitions

For the purposes of this ordinance, the following words shall have the meanings ascribed to them by this section:

a. "Bank" shall be as defined in Section 58-485.01 of the Code of Virginia.
b. "Net Capital" shall mean a bank's net capital computed pursuant to Section 58-485.07 of the Code of Virginia.

Sec. 8-14A. Imposition of County Bank Franchise Tax.

a. Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 10.01 of Title 58 of the Code of Virginia, there is hereby imposed upon each bank located outside any incorporated town but otherwise within the boundaries of this county a tax on net capital equalling eighty (80) per centum of the state rate of franchise tax set forth in Section 58-485.06 of the Code of Virginia.

b. In the event that any bank located within the boundaries of this county but outside any incorporated town located herein and is not the principal office but is a branch extension or affiliate of the principal office, the tax upon such branch shall be apportioned as provided by Section 58-485.012 of the Code of Virginia.

Sec. 8-14B. Filing of Return and Payment of Tax.

a. On or after the first day of January of each year, but not later than March 1 of any such year, all banks whose principal offices are located within this county but outside any incorporated town herein shall prepare and file with the Commissioner of the Revenue a return as provided by Section 58-485.013 of the Code of Virginia in duplicate which shall set forth the tax on net capital computed pursuant to Chapter 10.01 of Title 58 of the Code of Virginia. The Commissioner of the Revenue shall certify a copy of such filing of the bank's return and schedule and shall forthwith transmit such certified copy to the State Department of Taxation.

b. In the event that the principal office of a bank is located outside the boundaries of this county or within any town located herein, and such bank has branch offices located within this county, in addition to the filing requirements set forth in Section "a" hereof, any bank conducting such branch business shall file with the Commissioner of the Revenue of this county a copy of the real estate deduction schedule, apportionment and

other items which are required by Sections 58-485.012, 58-485.013 and 58-485.014 of the Code of Virginia.

c. Each bank, on or before the first day of June of each year, shall pay into the treasurer's office of this county all taxes imposed pursuant to this ordinance.

Sec. 8-14C. Effective Date of Ordinance.

The provisions of this ordinance shall be effective for the year beginning January 1, 1980.

Sec. 8-14D. Penalty upon bank for failure to comply with ordinance.

Any bank which shall fail or neglect to comply with any provisions of this ordinance shall be fined not less than one hundred nor more than five hundred dollars, which fine shall be recovered upon motion, after five days' notice in the circuit court of this county. The motion shall be in the name of the Commonwealth and shall be presented by the attorney for the Commonwealth of this locality.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--FIRST MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

Mr. William A. Patton, Vice-President, First Management Corporation, appeared before the Board to present an application for a special entertainment permit to hold a music festival on Sunday, June 29, 1980 at the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park located on U.S. #1 South.

The event will be held from 11:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M. with an expected crowd of 5,000.

Mr. Ronnie Watson, owner of the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park on Emerald Isle, North Carolina appeared before the Board to describe the festival and answer any questions they might have. Mr. Watson stated that the Jaycees were working with him to handle parking and security, and they had communicated with Sheriff Mitchell to provide police protection and traffic control.

The Director of Planning stated he had visited the site and the conditions of the permit were being met to his satisfaction.

The County Attorney expressed a concern as to a plan for law enforcement. He felt a formal plan should be presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the First Management Corporation be granted a special entertainment permit to hold a music festival at the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park on Sunday, June 29, 1980; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that approval is contingent on the following conditions being accomplished prior to the event:

1. Presentation of a formal plan for adequate law enforcement.
2. That the event be scheduled to begin at 12:00 Noon.

IN RE: DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES

Mr. Kenneth Wright, representative for Dinwiddie County to the Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board, appeared before the Board to request that an additional $1810 be added to the $19,950 budgeted for 1980-81 for the District 19 Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Agency. This would provide a 9% increase over last year's budget and would mainly be used for salary increases.

The Board advised Mr. Wright that his request would be considered when action was taken on the budget.

IN RE: CENTRAL SERVICES COST ALLOCATION PLAN--1980

The County Administrator presented an agreement from David M. Griffith & Associates to prepare a 1980 update to the Central Services Cost Allocation Plan for Dinwiddie County.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign an agreement with David M. Griffith and Associates to prepare an update to the County's Central Services Cost Allocation Plan for 1980.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT A-80-5--INTEREST CHARGE ON DELINQUENT TAXES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to advertise amendment A-80-5 concerning an increase in the interest charge on delinquent taxes, for a public hearing on June 18, 1980.

IN RE: CABLE TELEVISION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, an interest has been expressed in the Northern end of the County concerning cable television; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Board to investigate the feasibility of extending this service to the residents in that area;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to contact those firms able to offer cable television in the Northern end of the County to determine the feasibility of the service to those citizens who desire it.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF 35MM CAMERA FOR INVESTIGATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Sheriff presented a request for authorization to purchase a 35 MM camera with flash and case; and

WHEREAS, an estimate of $400 was received from the Old Dominion Camera Shop; and

WHEREAS, this camera will be used by Investigator Hodges in the performance of his duties; and

WHEREAS, this item was not included in the 1979 budget request for the Sheriff's Department;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Sheriff is hereby authorized to purchase a 35 MM camera with flash and case, cost not to exceed $400.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the

Board moved into Executive Session at 9:07 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 9:50 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:00 P.M. Monday, May 26, 1980.

MAY 26, 1980 -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 21, 1980 MEETING

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: ADOPTION OF CONSUMER UTILITY TAX - PUBLIC HEARING - 5-7-80

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to increase the utility tax as follows:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article IV. Utility Tax

Sec. 8-6 Imposed; amount.

There is hereby imposed and levied by the county upon every purchaser of a utility service, a tax in the amount of sixteen per centum of the charge (exclusive of any federal tax thereon) made by the seller against the purchaser with respect to each utility service, which tax in every case shall be collected by the seller from the purchaser and shall be paid by the purchaser unto the seller for the use of the county at the time the purchase price or such charge shall become due and payable under the agreement between the purchaser and the seller; provided, however, that in case any monthly bill submitted by any seller for residential service shall exceed ten dollars for a residential user, there shall be no tax computed on so much of such bill as shall exceed ten dollars; except, that there shall be no tax computed on bills submitted for electric service for heating water and space heating where a separate meter is used solely for water heating and space heating service or on bills submitted for unmetered electric service. In the case of any apartment house or other multiple family dwelling using gas service through one or more master meters, the sum of ten dollars shall be multiplied by the number of dwelling units served and for electric service the sum of ten dollars shall be multiplied by the number of dwelling units served. In case any monthly bill submitted by any seller for commercial or industrial service shall exceed one hundred dollars there shall be no tax computed on so much of such bill as shall exceed one hundred dollars; provided, further, that there shall be no tax computed on bills submitted on sales of utility service for resale. Bills shall be considered monthly bills if submitted twelve times per year for periods of approximately one month each.

In all cases where the seller collects the price for utility services other than on a monthly basis, the tax hereby imposed and levied may be computed on the aggregate amount of purchases during the period billed for; provided, that the amount of tax to be collected shall be the nearest whole cent to the amount computed, and such tax shall not exceed the sum of one dollar and sixty cents for residential users of

electricity, gas or telephone service, or sixteen dollars for commercial or industrial users, multiplied by the number of months, or portion thereof, covered by bill.

This rate to be effective July 1, 1980.

IN RE: CUTS IN 1980-81 BUDGET

The following cuts were made in the 1980-81 budget:

1. Appomattox Regional Library - 18A-205

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contribution for the Appomattox Regional Library be reduced by $9,050 to $55,570.

2. Southeastern 4-H Camp - 18E-702

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $10,000 included for the Southeastern 4-H Camp be omitted and reconsidered during the 1981-82 budget sessions.

3. Appomattox Soil Conservation District - 18E-702

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contribution for the Appomattox Soil Conservation District be reduced from $1500 to $1000.

4. Advancement of Agriculture & Home Economics - 11-114

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that one of the vacant positions in the Dinwiddie Extension Service office be eliminated reducing the budgeted figure by $7,188.

5. School Board 20-1101

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is advised that the County's local appropriation is reduced by $54,000 to $3,647,590.

6. Board of Supervisor's Travel--1A-220; County Administration X-tra Help--1C-109

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Board of Supervisor's travel be reduced

by $500 to $1500; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Extra Help be reduced by $500 to $500.

7. Board of Zoning Appeals--10F-100

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the $600 for the Board of Zoning Appeals be eliminated.

8. Elections--13-112

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Compensation of Election Officials be reduced by $1,000 to $6,500.

IN RE: ADDITIONS TO 1980-81 BUDGET

1. REVENUE SHARING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was defeated:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $272,000 in Revenue Sharing Funds be included in the 1980-81 budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $250,000 in Revenue Sharing funds be included in the 1980-81 budget.

2. RESCUE SQUAD

Mr. Robertson proposed the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $600 be added to the Rescue Squad category in the budget. After a lengthy discussion, in which Mr. Edward Titmus, a member of the Board of Directors of the Rescue Squad, said that the additional $600 was not needed, Mr. Robertson withdrew his proposed resolution.

3. LIVESTOCK TAX

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was not adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that livestock tax be included in the personal property tax and be levied for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: 1980-81 BUDGET APPROVAL -- PUBLIC HEARING -- 5-7-80

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting

"aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following 1980-81 budget is hereby approved:

| | | 80-81 |
|---|---|---|
| ANTICIPATED INCOME | | |
| 10 | From Local Sources | 4,709,150 |
| 60 | From State Sources | 1,281,230 |
| 68 | From Revenue Sharing | 368,230 |
| 110 | From Water & Sewer Account | 150,000 |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME | | 6,508,610 |
| ESTIMATED EXPENSES | | |
| 01 | General Government Administration | |
| 011 | Legislative | 20,460 |
| 012 | General & Financial Admin. | 238,530 |
| 013 | Board of Elections | 24,870 |
| 02 | Judicial Administration | |
| 021 | Courts | 19,070 |
| 022 | Commonwealth's Attorney | 37,810 |
| 03 | Public Safety | |
| 031 | Law Enforcement & Traffic Control | 364,250 |
| 032 | Fire & Rescue Services | 52,470 |
| 033 | Correction & Detention | 94,580 |
| 034 | Inspections | 20,950 |
| 035 | Other Protection | 24,610 |
| 04 | Public Works | |
| 041 | Maintenance of Highways & Streets | 22,200 |
| 042 | Sanitation & Waste Removal | 213,710 |
| 043 | Maintenance of Bldgs. & Grounds | 94,530 |
| 044 | Water Service | 60,000 |
| 05 | Health & Welfare | |
| 051 | Health | 51,440 |
| 052 | Mental Health & Mental Retardation | 19,950 |
| 053 | Social Services | 10,380 |
| 06 | Education | |
| 064 | Community Colleges | 660 |
| 07 | Parks, Recreation & Cultural | |
| 073 | Library | 55,570 |
| 08 | Community Development | |
| 081 | Planning & Community Development | 108,060 |
| 082 | Environmental Management | 26,200 |
| 083 | Cooperative Extension Program | 28,140 |
| 09 | Non-departmental | |
| 091 | Non-departmental | 338,500 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES | | 1,926,940 |
| 5100 | TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS | |
| 5101 | Va. Public Assistance Fund from General Fund | 651,850 |
| 5102 | School Fund from General Fund | 3,411,590 |
| 5103 | School Fund from Rev. Sharing Fund | 368,230 |
| 5104 | Water Authority from Water & Sewer | 150,000 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES & TRANSFERS | | 6,508,610 |

IN RE: 1980 TAX RATES ADOPTED -- PUBLIC HEARING 5-7-80

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr.

Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was not adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED that the tax rates for 1980 are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | 62.5¢ |
| Mobile Homes | 62.5¢ |
| Mineral Lands | 62.5¢ |
| Public Service | 62.5¢ |
| Personal Property | 5.00 |
| Farm Machinery | 5.00 |
| Machinery & Tools | 5.00 |

Mr. Weber stated that regardless of the rate adopted, he felt everyone should be treated equally.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1980 a tax of 62 cents per One Hundred Dollars of assessed valuation on all taxable real estate and mineral lands located in Dinwiddie County and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1980 a tax of $5.20 per One Hundred Dollars of assessed valuation of all taxable machinery and tools located in Dinwiddie County on January 1, 1980, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1980 a tax of $5.20 per One Hundred Dollars of evaluation of all taxable tangible personal property (excluding livestock) located in Dinwiddie County on January 1, 1980, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1980 a tax of $4.00 per One Hundred Dollars of assessed evaluation of all taxable farm machinery located in Dinwiddie County on January 1, 1980 and that there be and is hereby levied applicable real estate and personal property taxes on the real estate and tangible personal property of the public service corporations based upon the assessment thereof fixed by the State Corporation Commission.

IN RE: CHANGE IN SUMMER MEETING DATES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the resolution adopted April 16, 1980 concerning cancellation of summer day meetings be repealed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following changes and cancellations are made in the following meetings:

June 4, 1980 - Time changed from 2:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M.
July 2, 1980 - Meeting cancelled.
August 6, 1980 - Meeting cancelled.
August 20, 1980 meeting changed to August 19, 1980.
September 3, 1980 meeting cancelled.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION--SUNNYSIDE SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board previously authorized the transfer of $25,000 to the Sunnyside School Construction Fund; and

WHEREAS, this fund has been depleted and refund from the Literary Fund is not yet available; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has requested an additional $10,000 until an application for refund from the Literary Fund can be made;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $10,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Sunnyside School Construction Fund.

IN RE: POLICE PROTECTION PLAN--ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT

The County Administrator advised the Board that a police protection plan has been presented by Mr. William Patton, FMC Corporation, for their special entertainment permit as requested by the Board at the May 21, 1980 meeting. He stated it would be reviewed by his office and the County Attorney before being presented for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:35 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:_____
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF JUNE, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: LAND USE ORDINANCE -- A-80-6 -- PUBLIC HEARING

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 21, 1980 and Wednesday, May 28, 1980 to conduct a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia by adding Article 8, Sections 8-24 through 8-31 to the existing code. This amendment deals with special assessments for agricultural, horticultural, forest or open space real estate.

Mr. Fred Forberg, Director, Property Tax Division, State Department of Taxation, appeared before the Board to review the ordinance and answer any questions they might have.

The following people spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance: Mr. Emery Veazy; Mr. Andie Perdue; Mr. Henry Walker; Mr. Paul Moore; Mr. Richard Earl.

Mr. James Ritchie and Mr. Larry Elder spoke in favor of the agricultural category only. Mr. Emery Veazy stated he would support the agricultural category.

The following people spoke in favor of the amendment: Mr. Bob Mengel; Mr. Mark Tubbs and Mr. Raymond Mann representing the Dinwiddie Farm Bureau; Mr. Channing Baskerville.

Mr. Weber stated that he was not in favor of the Land Use ordinance at this time. Mr. Bennett stated that he felt taxes should be paid by the people who require the services and additional development would increase taxes. He, therefore, supported the Land Use ordinance.

The Director of Planning stated that he would like to make a correction to a letter he drafted last year concerning land use. At that time, the County was undergoing a general reassessment; therefore, his comments were no longer relevant. He was in favor of preserving the agricultural use in the more urbanized areas of the county.

Mr. Clay stated he was in favor of the Land Use ordinance. He did not think many farmers would apply for the special assessment.

Mr. Robertson asked the following questions: 1. He asked if any counties presently under land use had withdrawn. Mr. Forberg stated that he was not aware of any that had withdrawn. 2. He asked Mr. Bolte how many additional personnel his office would require to administer the Land Use ordinance. Mr. Bolte stated he would need one individual at an approximate cost of $10,000 to $11,000. This would not be a state participating function.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the public hearing was closed and that a decision would be considered at the June 18, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION--SUNNYSIDE SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board has received a bill for architect's fees in the amount of $11,132.98 for the new Sunnyside School; and

WHEREAS, the previous funds advanced to this account by the Board will have been depleted before refund from the Literary Fund is obtained;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an additional $10,000 be advanced to the Sunnyside School Construction Fund from the General Fund.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:20 P.M. to discuss land acquisition. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:25 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:25 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the May 21, 1980; May 26, 1980; and June 4, 1980 meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: PROPERTY TRANSFER TO THE PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors entered into joint ownership of a parcel of land containing 107 acres, more or less, with the City of Petersburg on May 4, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution on December 19, 1979 requesting the State legislature to create the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority; and

WHEREAS, the State legislature created said Authority in March, 1980; and

WHEREAS, said Authority is to become fully functional as of July 1, 1980;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia conveys to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority its full interest in the 107 acre parcel of land as described by the deed recorded in Deed Book 200, Page 257 of the Circuit Court Clerk of Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 80-944 thru 80-1168 amounting to $136,077.93; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-63 thru D-80-79 amounting to $1890.36; Johnsongrass Control Fund check #JGC-80-2 in the amount of $35.16.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--TREASURER'S OFFICE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Treasurer appeared before the Board to request authorization to transfer funds within her 1979-80 budget; and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer has requested the Compensation Board to authorize these transfers; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors concurs with this request;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Compensation Board be requested to authorize the following transfers within the Treasurer's 1979-80 budget:

| | |
|---|---|
| $350.00 | from Deputy Treasurer's Salary to Temp. Help |
| $110.00 | from Deputy Treasurer's Salary to Telephone |
| $100.00 | from Deputy Treasurer's Salary to Stationery, Office Supplies, etc. |

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of May, 1980.

IN RE: DOG WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of May, 1980.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley appeared before the Board to discuss the following items:

(1) She stated that the financial report had been completed for her department and the results were within budget.

(2) She recommended that the Board reappoint Mr. A.S. Clay to the Social Services Board, whose term expires June 30, 1980.

(3) She advised the Board that the County received $23,711 in recovered costs from the Central Services Cost Allocation Plan submitted for FY 78-79.

IN RE: SECONDARY ROAD IMPROVEMENTS 1980-81 BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors met with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation in a workshop session on April 15, 1980 to discuss the 1980-81 Secondary Roads Budget; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held jointly with the VDH&T on May 7, 1980 to receive public input; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments made at the public hearing and recommendations from the VDH&T representatives, the Board of Supervisors concurs with the 1980-81 secondary roads budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the 1980-81 Secondary Roads Improvements budget be adopted as presented by the VDH&T.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, VDH&T. appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Mr. Perry stated that the speed limit study requested for Turkey Egg Road was complete. A letter recommending a speed reduction has been forwarded to the Traffic Engineer for his approval.

2. Mr. Perry advised the Board that a letter has been forwarded to the Traffic Engineer recommending that flashing lights be placed at the intersection of U.S. Rt. 1 and Rt. 460

where a traffic study had been requested.

3. In relation to the request concerning a bike trail, Mr. Perry stated he was awaiting information from the Department which he would share with the Board when received.

4. Mr. Robertson stated that he had been contacted by citizens concerned with the load limits on Cedar Heart Lane and advised them to wait until action was pursued on the improvements on Rt. 226.

5. Mr. Perry stated that a public hearing would be held on July 9 at 8:00 P.M. concerning the project at the intersection of Rt. 1 and Rt. 226.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-3--HERBERT T. WILLIAMS, III

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Thursday, June 5, 1980 and Wednesday, June 11, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning maps of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the classification of land parcel 45D-(1)-12B from Residential, General R-2 to Business, General B-2.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action in which they recommended approval at their May 14, 1980 meeting.

Mr. Williams was not present but had contacted the Board members prior to the meeting. No one appeared in support or opposition to the rezoning request.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended be further amended by changing the district classification of land parcel 45D-(1)-12B containing 1.28 acres from Residential, General, R-2 to Business, General, B-2. Said property contains the Dinwiddie Mall building and is generally bounded to the south by the Farm Bureau building and to the north, east and west by the estate of W. Potter Sterne.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-80-3--CHANGE IN ZONING FEES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Thursday, June 5 and Wednesday, June 11, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 17-100 of the Dinwiddie County Code.

The Director of Planning reviewed the action of the Planning Commission who recommended approval at their May 14, 1980 meeting. No one appeared in support or opposition to the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code be amended as provided by 15.1-431 and 15.1-49 (f) of the Code of Virginia by deleting Section 17-100 (b) and in its stead insert the following:

(b) Each application for rezoning shall be accompanied by a check or money order in the sum of one hundred

dollars which shall be used to pay the expense of advertising and mailing notices. If actual expenses associated with the rezoning are less than one hundred dollars, then a check in the amount of the difference shall be drawn upon the general fund and sent to the applicant. If actual expenses associated with the rezoning exceed one hundred dollars, then the applicant shall be billed for the difference.

In all other respects, said ordinance of Dinwiddie County is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-80-5--INTEREST ON DELINQUENT TAXES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 4, 1980 and Wednesday, June 11, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code to impose an interest charge of 10% per annum on delinquent taxes effective with taxes due on December 5, 1980.

Mr. Donald Andrews spoke in opposition. No one spoke in support.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of the following paragraph to Sec. 8-23 and in all other respects Section 8-23 is reordained:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article VII. Real Estate and Personal Property Tax.

Sec. 8-23. Due date; penalty for late payment.

In addition to the penalty provided herein, any such taxes that remain unpaid on the first day of the month next following the month in which such taxes become due shall be delinquent and interest thereon of ten percent per annum shall be added to the amount of taxes or levies due from such taxpayer, which, when collected by the treasurer, shall be accounted for in his settlements.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF HIGH PRESSURE RESPIRATORY AIR SYSTEM--NAMOZINE VFD

Mr. Donald Porter, Namozine VFD, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase a 9.2 CMF capacity respiratory air system for $6,295. He stated he had contacted two firms, and the fire departments were recommending the purchase from Pressure Systems, Inc. The fire departments and rescue squad have been filling their tanks from the Namozine cascade system; however, they were having trouble obtaining their air supply.

Mr. Hargrave asked if another locality would refill their bottles. Mr. Porter stated they would, but it would not always be convenient to deliver the bottles to the supply.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Porter had checked the State net quotation. He stated he had not but would get together with the County Administrator and do so.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Namozine VFD be authorized to purchase a 9.2 CMF high pressure respiratory air system to serve the air supply needs in the county.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--RAFT REGATTA

Mr. Robert Walker of the Petersburg Jaycees appeared before the Board to request approval of a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a "Raft Regatta" at Leonard's Campground on Lake Chesdin on September 13, 1980. Approximately 3,000 people are expected and proceeds will go to the United Way of Southside Virginia.

Mr. Walker stated he had been given tentative approval by the Appomattox River Water Authority and had contacted the Greater Dinwiddie and Sutherland Jaycees for their support.

Mr. William Leonard, owner of Leonard's Campground, stated that there was very little water activity in September after Labor Day. The Coast Guard Auxiliary will be present to monitor the water activity.

Mr. Robertson stated he had reviewed the site and found it to be adequate for the event; therefore, he endorsed the request.

Mr. Weber questioned the safety aspects in relation to the dam. Mr. Walker stated the rafts would be travelling away from the dam and they would have adequate insurance to cover the event.

The County Administrator stated he had spoken with the Director of the Appomattox River Water Authority and they found no detrimental effects on the lake. He recommended approval subject to final approval by the Appomattox River Water Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Petersburg Jaycees be granted a special entertainment permit to hold a Raft Regatta at Leonard's Campground on Saturday, September 13, from 8:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this approval is contingent upon approval by the Appomattox River Water Authority.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF LAND USE ORDINANCE

After holding two public information hearings in 1979 and a public hearing on June 4, 1980 and reviewing the information and comments received, the Board considered final action on an ordinance dealing with special assessments for agricultural, horticultural, forest or open space real estate.

Mr. Weber stated he was opposed to the land use ordinance because it did not treat all areas of the county equally. He further stated it would be an added expense to the Commissioner of Revenue and the County could not stand the loss in tax revenue.

Mr. Robertson stated he had studied the information on Land Use and had found no strong indication from any of the County officials that land use was actually needed or would help the landowner. He suggested that the ordinance be deferred for a study committee to review and determine its effect on the County.

Mr. Bennett stated he felt the ordinance would benefit the landowner in Northern Dinwiddie more than in his area. He further stated that something had to be done to take the burden off the landowner and he hoped Land Use would do what it was

intended to do. He was not against reviewing the program every year, and if found not to be working effectively, removing it.

Mr. Clay stated that out of 70 counties and cities who had adopted Land Use, none had rescinded it, and he felt this was a favorable indication. He was, therefore, in favor of Land Use.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he was discouraged by the comment that land use appears as passing the tax burden on to other parts of the County. He said it was a redistribution of the tax. He read the Virginia Code section which states the purpose of the ordinance which he felt to be proper in principle.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was not adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the County Code dealing with special assessments for agricultural, horticultural, forest or open space real estate not be adopted.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, there was no second, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was not adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Article 8 dealing with special assessments for agricultural real estate only be adopted.

Mr. Bennett moved and Mr. Clay seconded that the amendment to the Dinwiddie County Code concerning special assessments for agricultural, horticultural, forest and open space real estate be adopted. There was a lengthy discussion.

Upon substitute motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was not adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that section 8-25 in the proposed amendment to Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code read "an application of thirty dollars ($30.00) shall accompany each application."

Upon substitute motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was not adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that section 8-25 in the proposed amendment to Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code read "an application of twenty-five dollars ($25.00) shall accompany each application."

The Chairman then called for the vote on Mr. Bennett's motion: Mr. Bennett - aye; Mr. Clay - aye; Mr. Hargrave - aye; Mr. Weber - nay; Mr. Robertson - nay.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article VII. Special Assessments for Agricultural, Horticultural, Forest & Open Space Real Estate

Section 8-24. Findings of county.

The County of Dinwiddie finds that the preservation of

real estate devoted to agricultural, horticultural, forest and open space uses within its boundaries is in the public interest and/ having heretofore adopted a land use plan, hereby ordains that such real estate shall be taxed in accordance with the provisions of Article 1.1 of Chapter 15 of Title 58 of the Code of Virginia and of this ordinance.

Section 8-25. Applications.

The owner of any real estate meeting the criteria set forth in Section 58-769.5 and 58-769(b) of the Code of Virginia may, at least 60 days preceding the tax year for which such taxation is sought, apply to the Commissioner of Revenue for the classification, assessment and taxation of such property for the next succeeding tax year on the basis of its use, under the procedures set forth in Section 58-769.9 of the Code of Virginia. (In any year in which a general reassessment is being made such application may be submitted until 30 days have elapsed after the notice of increase in assessment is mailed.) Such application shall be on forms provided by the State Department of Taxation and supplied by the Commissioner of Revenue and shall include such additional schedules, photographs and drawings as may be required by the Commissioner of Revenue. An individual who is the owner of an undivided interest in a parcel may apply on behalf of himself and the other owners of such parcel upon submitting an affidavit that such other owners are minors or cannot be located. An application shall be submitted whenever the use or acreage of such land previously approved changes; provided, however, that such property owner must revalidate annually with the Commissioner of Revenue any applications previously approved. An application fee of ten dollars ($10.00) shall accompany each application.

(b) A separate application shall be filed for each parcel on the land book.

Section 8-26. Determination of qualifications - Generally.

Promptly upon receipt of any application, the Commissioner of the Revenue shall determine whether the subject property meets the criteria for taxation hereunder. If the Commissioner of the Revenue determines that the subject property does meet such criteria, he shall determine the value of such property for its qualifying use, as well as its fair market value.

In determining whether the subject property meets the criteria for "agricultural use" or "horticultural use" the Commissioner of the Revenue may request an opinion from the Commissioner of Agriculture and Commerce; in determining whether the subject property meets the criteria for "forest use" he may request an opinion from the Director of the Department of Conservation and Economic Development; and in determining whether the subject property meets the criteria for "open space use" he may request an opinion from the Director of the Commission of Outdoor Recreation. Upon the refusal of the Commissioner of Agriculture and Commerce, the Director of the Department of Conservation and Economic Development or the Director of the Commission of Outdoor Recreation to issue an opinion, or in the event of an unfavorable opinion which does not comport with standards set forth by the respective director, the party aggrieved may seek relief from any court of record wherein the real estate in question is located. If the court finds in his favor it may issue an order which shall serve in lieu of an opinion for the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 8-27. Same--Use of fair market value.

The use value and fair market value of any qualifying property shall be placed on the land book before delivery to the treasurer and the tax for the next succeeding tax year shall be extended from the use value.

Section 8-28. Roll-back tax--Imposed.

There is hereby imposed a roll-back tax, and interest thereon, in such amounts as may be determined under Virginia Code Section 58-769.10, upon any property as to which the use changes to a non-qualifying use.

Section 8-29. Same--Reports to county assessor; penalties.

(a) The owner of any real estate liable for roll-back taxes shall, within sixty days following a change in use, report such change to the Commissioner of the Revenue or other assessing officer on such forms as may be prescribed. The commissioner shall forthwith determine and assess the rollback tax, which shall be paid to the treasurer within thirty days of assessment. On failure to report within 60 days following such change in use and/or failure to pay within 30 days of assessment such owner shall be liable for an additional penalty equal to ten per centum of the amount of the roll-back tax and interest, which penalty shall be collected as a part of the tax. In addition to such penalty, there is hereby imposed interest of one-half per centum of the amount of the roll-back tax, interest and penalty, for each month or fraction thereof during which the failure continues.

(b) Any person making a material misstatement of fact in any application filed pursuant hereto shall be liable for all taxes, in such amounts and at such times as if such property had been assessed on the basis of fair market value as applied to other real estate in the taxing jurisdiction, together with interest and penalties thereon, and he shall be further assessed with an additional penalty of one hundred per centum of such unpaid taxes.

Section 8-30. Applicability of state law.

The provisions of Title 58 of the Code of Virginia applicable to local levies and real estate assessment and taxation shall be applicable to assessments and taxation hereunder mutatis mutandis including, without limitation, provisions relating to tax liens and the correction of erroneous assessments, and for such purposes the roll-back taxes shall be considered to be deferred real estate taxes.

Section 8-31. Effective date.

This ordinance shall be effective for all tax years beginning on and after January 1, 1981.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY FINAL APPLICATION--FY 80-81

The Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission presented the following projects for the Board's consideration for final application for 1980-81 Transportation Safety funding:

1. Sheriff's Department - Bar lights with mounts and speakers and vehicle emblems for seven police cars and a radar unit.

   Local - $7300
   Federal - $7300

2. Driver Education - To complete the driver education

range by adding fencing, security area and a control tower.

Local - $45,000
Federal - $45,000

3. School Board - To purchase and install one traffic control light on Rt. 661 at the Dinwiddie Senior High; To purchase and install two traffic control lights on U.S. #1 at the Southside Elementary School.

Local - $1,000
Federal - $1,000

4. School Board - To purchase 65 18" cones and 35 36" cones for off the road school bus driver training.

Local - $700
Federal - $700

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstained, the radar unit for the Sheriff's Department was removed from the Transportation Safety final application.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Secretary is authorized to file the final application for 1980-81 Transportation Safety funding; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the projects as presented above with the deletion of the radar unit for the Sheriff's Dept. be included in the final application at a total cost of $103,000; $51,500 local match and $51,500 federal; and

BE FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign said application and all necessary information attached as the authorized official of the County.

IN RE: CONTRACT FOR VANS--CRATER DISTRICT AREA AGENCY ON AGING

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Crater District Area Agency on Aging has requested authorization to place two additional vans in Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, these vans would be titled in the name of the County to obtain municipal tags, with insurance and related expenses borne by the CDAAA; and

WHEREAS, gas will be purchased from the County and payment made on a quarterly basis; and

WHEREAS, one van is operating in the County under this arrangement at the present time;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign the agreement between the Crater District Area Agency on Aging, Dinwiddie County and the Gillfield-Crater Nutrition Project for the Elderly covering three vans to be located in Dinwiddie County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Item 3(b) be amended by adding No. (6) as follows: "When, determined by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County that there is an insufficient gas supply to furnish the three vans."

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Oscar Epps was reappointed to the Dinwiddie County School Board, term expiring 6/30/84.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstained, Mr. A.S. Clay was reappointed to the Social Services Board, term expiring 6/30/84.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the appointment to the Appomattox Regional Library Board was postponed.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Knott was reappointed to the CPDC Executive Committee term expiring 6/30/81.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC JUSTICE & CRIME PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstained, Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. was appointed to the CPDC Justice & Crime Prevention Advisory Council, term expiring 6/30/81.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF FENCING AROUND AIRPORT SITE DUMPSTERS & SITES IN GENERAL

Mr. Weber stated that he had received complaints from the landowners adjoining the dumpster site on U.S. Rt. 460 about trash blown onto their property and he felt a fence was needed. He also stated that he would like to have a security light placed at the site.

The County Administrator stated that the biggest complaint about the sites is trash being blown on surrounding property.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to obtain cost proposals on a lesser grade of fence for the dumpster sites in the County to present for their review at the next meeting and prepare a report on the installation of a security light at the dumpster site on U.S. Rt. 460.

IN RE: SECURITY PLAN FOR BEACH MUSIC FESTIVAL

Mr. Robertson asked if the security plan for the Beach Music Festival being held by the First Management Corporation had been presented. The County Administrator stated that a plan had been submitted and reviewed to the satisfaction of all parties concerned.

IN RE: PURCHASE RESOLUTION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia is keenly aware of the concerns of citizens towards maintaining the costs of government at a minimum level; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors, as representatives of the people desires to keep the cost of government at a minimum level

and to obtain $1.00 of value for each $1.00 spent;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that beginning with the 1980-81 fiscal budget all categories of the budget will not be exceeded by Departments without the express consent of the Board of Supervisors; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any item purchased exceeding $200 must have at least three (3) bids and the lowest bid will be accepted when deemed that the item(s) bid on are equal to that outlined in the request to bid; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that each invoice submitted for payment have the following statement signed by the Department Head imprinted on the invoice, "I CERTIFY THAT THIS INVOICE REFLECTS THE LOWEST PRICE THAT I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO OBTAIN FROM SUPPLIERS FOR COMPARABLE ITEM" signed_____ (title and name of Department); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that all Constitutional Officers, Departments, Commissions, Authorities, Boards and Committees not directly under the budget directives of the Board of Supervisors, be encouraged to follow the guidelines of this resolution.

IN RE: RESOLUTION ON TERMS OF APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Robertson presented a resolution concerning the length of terms allowed for appointments to various Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees in the County. He asked that the County Attorney review it for legality and that it be placed on the agenda for their consideration at the July 16, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: DONALD ANDREWS--DISCUSSION OF RIGHT OF WAY

Mr. Donald Andrews appeared before the Board to discuss a legal problem concerning his property located beside the recreation field across from the high school. The recreation field is owned by the School Board. Mr. Andrews stated that the recreation field infringes upon his 30' right of way and the County has marked this area for the location of two more utility poles.

The Chairman advised Mr. Andrews that this was a legal matter to be turned over to the County Attorney who will determine if the School Board or the Board of Supervisors is responsible. He further stated that the Board of Supervisors would remain passive until this determination is made. Dr. Vaughn stated that he had met with the County Attorney and an answer would be forthcoming at the July meeting. Until that time the poles will not be erected.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:50 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:40 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:40 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF JULY, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the June 18, 1980 meeting were approved as presented with the following changes:

"IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION"

4. "advised them to wait until action was pursued on the 'improvements' on Rt. 226."

5. "public hearing would be held on July '9', at 8:00 P.M....."

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-1255 through 80-1350 amounting to $104,065.90; Johnsongrass Control check-number JGC-80-6 in the amount of $120.79; Library Fund check-number LF-80-6 in the amount of $272.26; Water & Sewer Fund check-number W&S-80-2 in the amount of $7,957.53; History Book Fund check-number H-80-3 in the amount of $5.28; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-86 through D-80-89 amounting to $389.69.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE

1. Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a Comparative Report on Applications for Real Estate Exemption for Certain Elderly and Totally and Permanently Disabled Persons for 1975-1980.

2. Land Use -- Mr. Bolte presented a letter stating his projected costs for the administration of the Land Use ordinance to cover the initial phase through November 14, 1980:

| | |
|---|---|
| Part-time Help | $1,850 |
| Seminar - 3 persons | 342 |
| Advert., Postage, Handbills | 200 |
| Total | $2,392 |

Mr. Bolte further stated that an additional $200 would be needed for the Clerk to accompany him to visit other localities to observe the Land Use ordinance in operation.

Mr. Robertson stated that he would like to see the figures on the cost to the County per applicant as soon as it could be determined. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the cost should be charged to the applicant.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting

"aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the appropriation of $2592 as requested by the Commissioner of Revenue for the initial phase of the Land Use ordinance be incorporated into the 1980-81 budget.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of June, 1980.

IN RE: CRATER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACADEMY--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION

Deputy Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to request that the Board grant the additional appropriation of $794.40 as requested by the Crater Criminal Justice Academy for the 1980-81 budget year. He stated that the Academy was the Department's source of training and the training was required by the State.

Mr. Bennett stated that he objected to the State cutting back on funding programs which the County was forced to pick up. Mr. Clay agreed with Mr. Bennett. Mr. Weber stated he felt the deputies should be provided with the schooling that was required.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the request by the Crater Criminal Justice Academy for an additional appropriation of $794.40 to the 1980-81 budget was denied.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of June, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of June, 1980.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to discuss the following items in response to inquiries he received:

1. An inquiry was made as to whether the County could charge for the issuance of health permits. Mr. Scheid stated that the County could charge a fee for the issuance of health permits. Mr. Robertson requested that Mr. Scheid obtain more information as to how other localities were handling the fee and the amount they charge for the Board to review.

2. An inquiry was made as to whether the County could charge for services rendered at special functions held in the County, i.e. the Beach Music Festival. Mr. Scheid stated the County could charge for its services which should be established when application for the event was made. Mr. Clay stated that the County should proceed with the establishment of an admissions tax.

The Board directed the Director of Planning to draft a policy to use as a checklist when an application is made for a special permit for their consideration to be included in the application process.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--1979-80 SCHOOL BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave

voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of Schools, Richard L. Vaughn, advised the Board of Supervisors on March 12, 1980 that an additional $163,500 would be needed for energy costs for the fiscal year 1979-80; and

WHEREAS, the School Board incurred expenses in all categories which exceeded their total appropriations from all sources for the 1979-80 budget year by $207,880.38; and

WHEREAS, there is anticipated additional income from the State in the amount of $32,778.33, leaving a net deficit of $175,102.05;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $207,880.38 be transferred from the General Fund to the School Board Operating Fund retroactive to June 30, 1980.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF 1980-81 SCHOOL BOARD SPECIAL PROJECTS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board has requested authorization to make expenditures for the following special projects for the 1980-81 school year;

| | |
|---|---|
| Title I Monthly Reimbursement | $373,604.00 |
| Vocational Education - CBE (Competency Based Education - Semi-annual reimbursement). | 40,000.00 |
| Special Education - Flow-Through Funds | 73,260.00 |
| Special Education - Project RELEAF | 70,752.00 |
| Adult Basic Education | 6,800.00; and |

WHEREAS, these projects are 100% reimbursable except for $440 of Adult Basic Education which would be borne by the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that there is hereby appropriated on an as needed basis the sum of $564,416.00 for the 1980-81 school year special projects listed above.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO APPLY FOR VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BONDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the appropriate officials be authorized to prepare the necessary paperwork to have Dinwiddie County included in the next bond sale by the Virginia Public School Authority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the amount of this bond sale is $1,300,000.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION OF SITE PREPARATION FOR SUNNYSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Mr. William Moseley of Moseley-Hening and Associates appeared before the Board to explain the time schedule for construction of the Sunnyside McKenney School and request authorization for the School Board to award the bid for site preparation. He stated that if the site was prepared, construction could start immediately upon the awarding of the bid in September for construction.

Of the bids received, the firm of Bishop and Settle of Alberta was the lowest at $53,000 based on the amount of cut and fill that had to be done.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the School Board is authorized to award the bid for site preparation for the Sunnyside Elementary School to Bishop and Settle of Alberta.

IN RE: SURVEY WORK AND SOIL ANALYSIS FOR SUNNYSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with having the survey and soil evaluation work done as needed for the site preparation for construction of the Sunnyside Elementary School.

IN RE: ADVANCEMENT OF FUNDS TO SUNNYSIDE SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer up to $100,000 as needed to the Sunnyside School Construction Account; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this money be reimbursed to the General Fund as money from the Literary Loan becomes available.

IN RE: ADDITION OF BONNEVILLE STREET TO STATE SECONDARY SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Bonneville Street, beginning at a point on Route 627, 0.33 miles north of Route 1405 and running in a westerly direction 0.50 miles to dead end with turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this road in Bonneville Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the secondary system of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie, Virginia guarantees the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right of way of 50' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 9, Pages 104 and 105, dated February 19, 1975.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--UNITED METHODIST WOMEN

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the United Methodist Women have made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the organization meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the United Methodist Women be hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT--APPOINTMENT TO APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY

The appointment to the Appomattox Regional Library Board was postponed until the August 19, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Robert Ritchie be reappointed to the Appomattox River Water Authority, term expiring November 30, 1980.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CRATER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACADEMY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave was appointed to the Crater Criminal Justice Academy, term expiring December 31, 1983.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT RESOLUTION

After reviewing the appointment resolution presented by Mr. Robertson at the June 18 meeting, the County Attorney advised the Board that the resolution could be adopted in the form of a policy or an ordinance. Mr. Robertson stated that several of the members requested time to receive public input before considering any action, and therefore asked that it be placed upon the August 19, 1980 agenda for consideration by the Board.

IN RE: RENEWAL OF JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to sign the Addendum to the Agreement between the County and the Department of Agriculture extending the Johnsongrass Pilot Program until June 30, 1981.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN AGREEMENT WITH DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION FOR PURCHASE OF WATER

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting

"aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement with the Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation for the purchase of water:

THIS AGREEMENT, made this 1st day of July, 1980, by and between the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereinafter referred to as Dinwiddie and the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation hereinafter referred to as the Department.

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie is a participating member of the Appomattox River Water Authority, and as such, furnishs water from said Authority to all locations in Dinwiddie County, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the Department is desirous of purchasing water from Dinwiddie;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereto mutually covenant and agree as follows:

1. At its facility at Central State Hospital located in Dinwiddie County, Virginia, Dinwiddie will furnish to the Department all its water needs and the Department will purchase all of its water needs for such from Dinwiddie.

2. Inability or failure of the Appomattox River Water Authority to furnish Dinwiddie water shall suspend the operation of this agreement for the period of time of such inability or failure.

3. The Department will pay Dinwiddie's cost from the Appomattox River Water Authority per 1,000 gallons furnished plus a surcharge of five (5) cents per 1,000 gallons furnished.

4. Dinwiddie will bill the Department on a quarterly basis for the cost and the surcharge within ten (10) days from the date Dinwiddie is billed by the Appomattox River Water Authority.

5. Dinwiddie has the absolute right to assign this water contract to any successor in interest; however, any such assignment shall be subject to the terms hereof and further that any such assignment shall be contingent upon such successor becoming a participating member of the Appomattox River Water Authority.

6. This agreement is effective July 1, 1980 and the term of this agreement shall be for a period of six (6) years.

7. Dinwiddie shall advise the Department by January 1, 1985 if it desires to change the amount charged or any other conditions of this agreement.

WITNESS THE FOLLOWING SIGNATURES AND SEALS:

DINWIDDIE COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR DINWIDDIE COUNTY

By: _____
M.L. Hargrave, Chairman

Attest: _____
W.C. Knott, County Administrator

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION

By: _____
Leo E. Kirven, Jr., M.D.
Commissioner

IN RE: FENCING AT DUMPSTER SITES

The County Administrator advised the Board that bids have been solicited for fencing around certain dumpster sites in

the County. The bids will be received on July 23 and presented for action by the Board at the August 19, 1980 meeting.

He further stated that the Director of Planning will have a report on the security light for the dumpster site on Route 460 at the next meeting.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF CABLE TELEVISION

A brief discussion was held concerning cable television in the County. The County Attorney advised the Board that a session on cable television was going to be held at the Local Government Officials' Conference in August which he felt would be beneficial for the members to attend.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft an ordinance for the Board's consideration for advertisement at the August 19, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: PAYMENT TO AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $13,000 be approved to be paid to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority out of their 1980-81 budget appropriation.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL 1980-81 BUDGET REQUESTS

After reviewing the requests received from the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board and the Appomattox Soil and Water Conservation District for additional 1980-81 budget appropriations, the Board agreed that no action would be taken at this time. The County Administrator was instructed to draft a letter to these organizations advising receipt of their requests but no action taken.

IN RE: ORDINANCE TO PROHIBIT THE DISPOSAL OF NUCLEAR OR HAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIALS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft an ordinance prohibiting the disposal of nuclear and hazardous waste materials in the County for advertisement to be considered for adoption at the August 19, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: STREET LIGHTS--DINWIDDIE DRUG STORE-SUPERMARKET COMPLEX

Mr. Pete Thrower appeared before the Board to request that the County assume payment for the street lights surrounding the Dinwiddie Drugstore-Supermarket area. The Board stated it would take the request under advisement. No action was taken.

IN RE: NEW BRIDGE AT DINWIDDIE POST OFFICE

Mr. Clay requested that the Highway Department be alerted to the traffic problem of speeding across the new bridge located at the Dinwiddie Post Office on Rt. 619. He felt proper signing might help alleviate some of the safety hazards.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting

"aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into open session at 11:25 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:25 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the July 16, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENT DATE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the resolution adopted at the July 16, 1980 meeting establishing the term of office for the Appomattox River Water Authority appointment to expire November 30, 1980 be rescinded.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-1430 to 80-1480 and 80-1484 to 80-1581 amounting to $166,825.98; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-80-97 thru D-80-101 amountint to $791.79; Johnson-grass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-80-10 thru JGC-80-12 amounting to $223.19; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-80-7 and LF-80-8 amounting to $122.00; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-80-3 in the amount of $915.75; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that checks 80-1481; 80-1482; and 80-1483 not be paid.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Revenue submitted a request for $1250 for new aerial photographs in his 1980-81 budget; and

WHEREAS, this request was approved by the Board of Supervisors but not approved by the Compensation Board; and

WHEREAS, the need for these new photos has been enhanced by the requirements of the administration of the Land Use Ordinance;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the entire amount of $1250 be funded by the County for the purchase of new aerial photographs for the Commissioner of Revenue's office.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of July, 1980.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE CABLE T.V. ORDINANCE

After several discussions by the Board concerning cable-television, the ordinance was placed upon the agenda for consideration for advertisement. Mr. Hargrave stated that he was not opposed to conducting a public hearing to consider the ordinance; but since the Board had not seen a copy of the proposed ordinance, he was opposed to advertising it at this time.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the Cable T.V. ordinance for a public hearing to be held September 17, 1980.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE NUCLEAR WASTE ORDINANCE

Because of the magnitude of a nuclear waste ordinance and the need by the County Attorney to collect additional information, Mr. Weber felt advertisement of the Nuclear Waste Ordinance should be postponed at this time. Mr. Hargrave again stated he was opposed to advertisement without seeing a copy of the proposed ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the nuclear waste ordinance for a public hearing to be held September 17, 1980.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE APPOINTMENT ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the appointment ordinance for a public hearing to be held September 17, 1980.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF SALARY INCREASE--SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Sheriff Chas. L. Mitchell received approval from the Compensation Board to increase the salaries of Wanda H. Maitland from $7700 to $7840 and J.R. Hodges from $11,230 to $11,740 in his 1980-81 budget; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff has requested approval of these increases from the Board of Supervisors;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with the following increases within the Sheriff's 1980-81 budget:

Wanda H. Maitland from $7,700 to $7,840
J.R. Hodges from $11,230 to $11,740

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of July, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of July, 1980.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--J.C. OLGERS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson,

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. J.C. Olgers be awarded $51 for 51 chickens.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF HEALTH PERMIT FEES

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to review with them information he was instructed to obtain concerning fees for the issuance of health permits. Mr. Scheid recommended that a fee be charged because: 1. The cost would be borne by the person receiving the service 2. It might reduce some of the unnecessary calls for site inspections. Mr. Robertson stated he was not prepared to make a decision but would like to see an ordinance drafted for consideration at the next meeting. Mr. Clay stated that he hated to see the County put another cost on the property owner. Mr. Weber and Mr. Bennett stated that they favored a fee.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay", the County Attorney was instructed to prepare an ordinance for advertisement for a public hearing at the September 17, 1980 meeting, imposing a $25.00 fee on the issuance of health permits in the County.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--RULES & REGULATIONS

The Director of Planning presented sample guidelines used by Prince George County for the issuance of special entertainment permits as requested by the Board at its last meeting. He stated that his primary concern was a police plan which was the responsibility of the Sheriff to see that it is enforced.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the applicant should be guided in providing the information needed. He further stated that a letter authorizing the Board to inspect and close down, if necessary, a function should be attached to the permit along with certification from the Sheriff.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to see an objective list of general requirements that could be checked off when application is made for a permit.

After a brief discussion, the Director of Planning was instructed to develop a set of guidelines to be used for Special Entertainment Permits to be presented to the Board for their consideration.

IN RE: SLH APPLICATIONS--MEDICAL COLLEGE OF VIRGINIA--PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL--GREENSVILLE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign contracts for State and Local Hospitalization with the Medical College of Virginia at the rate of $193.71 per day; Petersburg General Hospital at the rate of $144.21 per day; and Greensville Memorial Hospital at the rate of $121.16 per day.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ITEMS SOLD AT AUCTION

Dr. R.L. Vaughn presented a list of items sold by the School Board at an auction on August 9, 1980.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following items which were sold at auction

on August 9, 1980 by the Dinwiddie County School Board be approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to sign the titles to these items over to their respective owners:

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 1973 Plymouth (School) | PK41M3F230091 | Johnny Russell | $25.00 |
| 2. | 1971 Plymouth (School) | PK41L1F184474 | Alan Mitchell | 30.00 |
| 3. | 1975 Plymouth (BOS) | PK41M4D230611 | Johnny Russell | 45.00 |
| 4. | 1973 Plymouth (School) | PK41M3F230094 | Johnny Russell | 25.00 |
| 5. | 1975 Plymouth (BOS) | PK41M5D192138 | Fred Palmer | 75.00 |
| 6. | 1959 Ford-F600 (BOS) | F60D9N24455 | J.T. Russell | 125.00 |
| 7. | 1973 Plymouth (School) | PK41M3F230092 | Johnny Russell | 75.00 |
| 8. | 1975 Plymouth (BOS) | PK41M5D192142 | Johnny Russell | 25.00 |
| 9. | 1949 Ford-F-6 (BOS) | 98RT155458 | J.T. Russell | 50.00 |
| 10. | 1956 Ford F-700 (BOS) | F70R6H75319 | J.T. Russell | 500.00 |
| 11. | 1966 Ford School Bus #36 | B75EU880202 | J.T. Russell | 50.00 |
| 12. | 1966 Ford School Bus #30 | B75EU880197 | J.T. Russell | 120.00 |
| 13. | 1966 Ford School Bus #33 | B75EU880203 | J.T. Russell | 110.00 |
| 14. | 1965 Int. School Bus #26 | 1803FD104577F | Chris Goad | 110.00 |
| 15. | 1967 Ford School Bus #43 | B75EUB02195 | J.T. Russell | 75.00 |
| 16. | 1966 Ford School Bus #39 | B75EU880205 | Alan Mitchell | 75.00 |
| 17. | 1966 Ford School Bus #35 | B75EU880198 | Frank Freudig | 200.00 |
| 18. | 1966 Ford School Bus #37 | B75EU880204 | J.T. Russell | 90.00 |
| 19. | 1965 Int. School Bus #29 | 1803FD104598F | J.T. Russell | 125.00 |
| 20. | Bleacher Stands - 1st set | | Norman Wyatt | 55.00 |
| 21. | Bleacher Stands - 2nd set | | Chris Jones | 50.00 |
| 22. | Bleacher Stands - 3rd set | | A.W. Chappell | 60.00 |
| 23. | Lawn Mower | | J.T. Russell | 20.00 |
| 24. | Speaker | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 25. | Record Player | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 26. | Projector | | Russell Williams | 5.00 |
| 27. | Projector | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 28. | Projector | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 29. | Projector | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 30. | Calculator | | F.E. Jones | 15.00 |
| 31. | Calculator | | F.E. Jones | 10.00 |
| 32. | Void | | | |
| 33. | Microscope | | J.T. Russell | 16.00 |
| 34. | Microscope | | T.F. Green | 27.00 |
| 35. | Microscope | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 36. | Filmstrip Projector | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 37. | Projector | | Frank Freudig | 4.00 |
| 38. | Filmstrip Projector | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 39. | T.V. | | Russell Williams | 5.00 |
| 40. | Tape Player | | Johnny Russell | 1.00 |
| 41. | Microscope | | Alan Mitchell | 5.00 |
| 42. | Hot Water Heater | | Oscar Epps | 25.00 |
| 43. | Sewing Machine | | George Rapp | 25.00 |
| | | | | $2261.00 TOTAL |

IN RE: SCHOOL BUS GARAGE--AWARD OF BID

The Superintendent of Schools appeared before the Board to present the following bids received for the Vehicle Maintenance Facility:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | R.J. Beasley Construction Corp. | $428,052 |
| 2. | Howard Davis Construction Co., Inc. | 459,000 |
| 3. | Heindl-Evans, Inc. | 410,000 |
| 4. | Kenbridge Building Systems, Inc. | 412,769 |
| 5. | Ladco, Inc. | 406,850 |
| 6. | Payne Construction Company | No Bid |
| 7. | W.M. Saunders Construction Co. | 415,000 |
| 8. | Walthall Construction Corp. | 418,456 |

He stated that the School Board's recommendation was to accept the low bid of Ladco, Inc. including the brick front for $406,850. Dr. Vaughn advised the Board that $354,000 had been allowed in the bond issue and he felt enough funds were left over from other projects to cover the balance.

Mr. Bennett asked how much money was already committed to the architect and the project to date. Dr. Vaughn stated that

$33,500 was committed which included architect fees, soil borings, survey work and the well.

Mr. Wm. Moseley of Moseley-Hening Associates, Inc. was present to answer any questions that the Board might have. He stated the original estimate was $354,000; however, that did not include the equipment which was needed inside. A brief discussion was held concerning the design-build route.

Mr. Weber asked if the project should be rebid. Mr. Moseley suggested that the low bid be accepted and then negotiate with the contractor to see how the costs could be reduced. He further stated that it was unusual to negotiate with all the low bidders. He would suggest rather that the Board change the specifications and completely rebid the project.

Mr. Robertson moved that the base bid of Ladco, Inc. for $401,850, not including the brick front, be accepted. There was no second.

A further discussion was held as to what reductions could be made on the cost of the building. Mr. Moseley felt that $15,000 to $25,000 could be eliminated and still not hurt the operation of the garage.

Mr. Robertson withdrew his previous motion and moved that the School Board be authorized to accept the base bid of Ladco, Inc. for $401,850, not including the brick front, and further that the School Board work to reduce the cost as much as possible aesthetically without hurting the functional aspects of the garage. Mr. Weber seconded the motion asking that it be amended by rebidding the project. Since this could not be done, Mr. Weber withdrew his second. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye". Mr. Clay and Mr. Bennett voted "nay".

IN RE: 1980 ROADVIEWER'S REPORT

The following roadviewer's report was presented, made on July 22, 1980:

Petition for Winfield Road and Others

The following road was viewed by the Board of Viewers on July 22, 1980. This road begins at the intersection of Route 670 and 613, running in an easterly direction approximately 0.22 miles, thus in a northerly direction approximately 0.08 miles then in a westerly direction approximately 0.22 miles back to intersection of Route 670, 0.08 miles north of intersection Route 670 and Route 613.

This addition serves seven (7) houses and there is an existing 10 foot soil roadway over most of the area. It is suggested that the road be located on the south side of the powerline except at the intersection of Route 613 and Route 670. It is to be to the right passing under the first electric power span then connecting at the Route 613 and Route 670 intersection.

The Board of Viewers unanimously recommends this rural addition with the provision that a 50 foot surveyed right of way be acquired and that it be constructed when funds are available. Estimate construction costs $26,000.00.

Petition for Oak Hill Subdivision (Hazel Avenue and Bell Avenue

The Board of Supervisors requested that the Board of Viewers review the petition which was viewed May 7, 1968, involving the above two (2) streets, Hazel Avenue beginning at a point on Route 603 and running in a southerly direction to the property line of the N&W approximate length of 0.26 miles. Bell Avenue begins at a point on Route 672 0.31 miles south of Route 603 runway and is in a southerly and westerly direction to Hazel Avenue, approximate

length of 0.16 miles.

The Board of Viewers recommends that these two (2) streets be taken into the Secondary System as a rural addition under the Highway Commission Rural Addition Policy dated March 19, 1964. Since this subdivision was put to record in 1957, this would require that owners pay 50% of construction cost. The Board of Viewers also recommends that the property owners involved or county must re-establish the 50 foot right of way according to the plat recorded in the Dinwiddie County Court. Estimated maintainable costs $2,000, construction costs $30,000. This road serves twenty (20) houses.

Petition for Berrifield Subdivision - Oak Street, Pine Street, & Elm Street

The Board of Viewers viewed the above streets in the Berrifield Subdivision. Oak Street begins at a point on Route 751 running in a southerly direction, thence in a south westerly direction to dead end, length 0.16 miles. Pine Street begins at a point on Oak Street 0.09 miles south of Route 751 running east to Elm Street, length 0.13 miles. Elm Street begins on Route 751 running in a southerly direction to Pine Street, length 0.10 miles.

These streets are in travelable condition. Some utilities are in the street and a quit claim deed must be executed and a permit issued to the utility company. This subdivision was put to record in 1955.

These streets can be included in the Secondary System as a rural addition under the State Highway Commission Policy of March 19, 1964, whereas the property owners guarantee 1/2 of the cost of construction. Estimated total $30,000 construction cost.

The Board of Viewers recommends the above streets with above provisions.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the 1980 Roadviewer's report was approved as presented.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--D.C. GIANTS BALL PARK

Mr. Earnest Johnson appeared before the Board to request approval of a Special Entertainment Permit to hold an "All Night Disco" on August 30, 1980 at the D.C. Giants ball park on Route 613. The proceeds would be used by the D.C. Giants ball team. Mr. Johnson stated that the fliers that had been distributed were misleading in the number expected. He predicted not more than 200 would attend.

Mr. Robertson stated that he had visited the site and had some reservations about the safety of the ball park itself, i.e. bleachers, liability insurance. He also questioned if there were adequate parking and sanitary facilities. Mr. Johnson stated the ball park was covered by liability insurance and the parking and sanitary facilities were adequate for this function.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was also concerned about the noise factor because he had received complaints on previous occasions. Mr. Johnson said he would be in charge of the function and would personally see that the noise was controlled.

Mr. Robertson further stated that he felt 5:00 A.M. was too late and 12:00 midnight or 12:30 would be a reasonable time to stop. He asked if adequate police protection and fire and rescue would be provided. Mr. Johnson said he had a verbal agreement with three deputies and he was sure he could get adequate fire and rescue coverage.

Mr. Hargrave said he was mainly concerned about the noise

factor and had received a call from a resident in the area.

Mr. Aubrey Pennington, Mr. W.E. Bishop and Mr. Harris, landowners who live near the ball park, were present to speak in opposition to the approval of a permit for the function. They said they had been bothered on at least two previous occasions by loud music which kept them from sleeping.

Mr. Johnson stated that these other events had not been sponsored by the ball club, and he would personally see that the noise and music was controlled or shut down the event.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Earnest Johnson was granted a Special Entertainment Permit to hold an "All Night Disco" at the D.C. Giant's Ball Park on August 30, 1980 subject to the following conditions being met:

1. A letter be submitted, signed by the Sheriff naming three off-duty deputies who will provide police coverage for the event, also bearing the signatures of the three named deputies.

2. A letter signed by Mr. Johnson giving written permission for the Board, its lawful agents or duly constituted law enforcement officers to go upon the property at any time and make an inspection for the purpose of determining compliance with the ordinance and authority to shut down the event if determined necessary.

3. The function will stop at 12:00 midnight.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 11:30 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 11:35 P.M.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mrs. Cornelia B. Roberts was appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring June 30, 1984.

IN RE: FENCING BIDS FOR DUMPSTER SITES

The Chairman stated that as only two bids have been received on the fencing at the dumpster sites, there was not a sufficient number to act upon.

IN RE: NIGHT LIGHT--DUMPSTER SITE ON ROUTE 460

Mr. Weber moved that, if allowed by the FAA, a night light be installed at the dumpster site on Route 460. There was no second. Mr. Weber and Mr. Hargrave voted "aye", Mr. Robertson and Mr. Clay voted "nay". Mr. Bennett abstained. The motion was defeated.

IN RE: DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BUDGET ALLOCATION

The County Administrator advised the Board that $19,950 had been budgeted for Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services for the 1980-81 budget year and they were now requesting one-half of their appropriation. Mr. Robertson stated that he had been very concerned about the elimination of the Southside Sheltered Workshop which would leave seven citizens of Dinwiddie County without the benefit of that program. He advised the Board that the appropriation for the Workshop had been $2800 and he would like to see a like amount appropriated this year out of the $19,950 for mental health and mental retardation services.

After a brief discussion, the County Administrator was instructed to ask the Director and the Dinwiddie members of the

District 19 MH&MR Services Board to appear at the September 17, 1980 meeting to discuss their budget.

Mr. Weber moved that one-half of the budget appropriation of $19,950 for Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services be forwarded as requested with the designation of $1400 for the Southside Sheltered Workshop. There was no second. Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voted "nay". Mr. Robertson abstained.

IN RE: LANDFILL & TRASH COLLECTION PROPOSALS

A decision on alternatives to upgrading the trash collection equipment was postponed until more accurate cost figures can be obtained.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO BID CLEANING CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County presently has had a contract with Morton G. Thalhimer's, Inc. for cleaning services for the county buildings for the past three years; and

WHEREAS, it is felt that the County should determine it is receiving the best service at a competitive price;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to seek bids on the cleaning service for the county buildings.

IN RE: CONTROL OF BUYING & SELLING GOLD AND SILVER IN THE COUNTY

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to have an ordinance drafted to control businesses buying and selling gold and silver in the County. Mr. Elder stated the Local Attorney's Association was seeking a change in the State law concerning some type of controls. He stated he would check into whether local controls were allowed and if so, draft an ordinance to that effect for the Board's consideration at their next meeting.

IN RE: RENEWAL OF SOIL SURVEY AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Cooperative Agreement #58-33A7-0-1 for soil surveys in Dinwiddie County, Virginia be renewed for the period October 1, 1980-September 30, 1981.

IN RE: DROUGHT RELIEF FOR DINWIDDIE COUNTY'S FARMERS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the drought conditions in Dinwiddie County have severely affected the farmers; and

WHEREAS, total losses from drought conditions in Dinwiddie County are approaching the $2,400,000 level; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors

to request the Governor to declare the County a drought disaster area.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby instructed to file with the Governor of Virginia a request that the County of Dinwiddie be designated a drought disaster area.

IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following Virginia Public School Authority Agreement for the sale of $1,300,000 school bonds:

Proposed sale date: September 18, 1980 Name of Locality: County of Dinwiddie

Amount: $20,025,000

Number of Participating Localities: 12 Participation: $1,300,000

---

1. If the Virginia Public School Authority agrees to accept the lowest bid offered when the bids are opened, the locality will sell its bonds to the Authority at rates of interest which will produce a differential of not more than 1/10 of 1% over the net interest cost to the Authority.

2. Bonds will be dated June 15, 1980. The first maturity will be December 15, 1981. Maturities will be annually thereafter in approximate equal annual installments.

3. The Authority intends to receive the bonds in registered form in the amount of each maturity. You will agree to substitute coupon bonds if requested later.

4. Virginia Public School Authority's commitment to purchase bonds will be subject to satisfactory legal opinion.

5. Resolutions should be prepared by the locality's approving bond attorney. The Virginia Public School Authority should be notified promptly when the resolutions are approved.

6. Enter here telephone number (804) 469-3717 to reach your Board of Supervisors in session on September 18, 1980 at 12:15 P.M., for the purpose of formally acting upon interest rates fixed by the Virginia Public School Authority.

7. If the Authority deems it to be in the best interest of the Authority and the participating locality to change the September 18, 1980 sale date, this agreement will remain in effect for sixty days from September 18, 1980.

VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY

By: _____
Chairman

Date: August 14, 1980

COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE

By: M. B. Grayson Jr.

Title: Chairman, Bd. of Supr.

Date: August 19, 1980

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF VANDALISM--BRICKWOOD GOLF COURSE

Mr. Weber stated that he had been contacted by Mr. Ted Baxter concerning the vandalism occurring at the Brickwood Golf

Course. He would like permission to place a trailer on the property to allow someone to live there for security reasons. Due to the zoning, mobile homes are not allowed.

Mr. Scheid stated his alternatives were to reconstruct one of the present buildings or ask that the zoning ordinance be amended to allow mobile homes.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 5:30 P.M., September 2, 1980.

SEPTEMBER 2, 1980 - CONTINUATION OF AUGUST 19, 1980 MEETING

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: RETENTION OF BOND COUNSEL

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County desires to retain the firm of Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia, as its bond counsel in connection with the issuance and sale of approximately $1,300,000 School Bonds to the Virginia Public School Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has received a disclosure by Hunton & Williams, pursuant to Virginia Code Sections 2.1-349(a)(2) and (b)(5), being part of the Virginia Conflict of Interests Act, of certain members and associates of such firm, and spouses of members or associates, who serve governmental agencies other than the County;

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

1. Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia, shall be retained as bond counsel in connection with the issuance and sale of approximately $1,300,000 School Bonds to the Virginia Public School Authority.

2. The agreement to retain Hunton & Williams as bond counsel is a contract for legal services which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, in the public interest should not be acquired through competitive bidding.

3. This resolution shall be filed as a matter of public record with the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: $1,300,000 VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND RESOLUTION

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 2nd day of September, 1980, which was adjourned from a regular meeting held on the 19th day of August, 1980,

PRESENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Chairman | Election District #3 |
| A.S. Clay, Vice-Chairman | Election District #4 |
| G.S. Bennett, Jr. | Election District #1 |
| G.E. Robertson, Jr. | Election District #2 |
| Steve Weber | Election District #2 |

ABSENT:

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

| Member | Vote |
|---|---|
| M.I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |
| A.S. Clay | Aye |
| G.S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| G.E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |
| Steve Weber | Aye |

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF $1,300,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1980, OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

1. It is hereby determined to be necessary and expedient for Dinwiddie County to finance capital projects for public schools by contracting a debt in the amount of One Million Three Hundred Thousand Dollars ($1,300,000), issuing its school bonds therefor and selling the same to the Virginia Public School Authority, a state agency prescribed by the General Assembly pursuant to Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution of Virginia.

2. It is hereby determined to be in the best interest of Dinwiddie County to accept the offer of the Virginia Public School Authority to purchase the bonds at a rate of interest which will produce a differential of not more than 1/10 of 1% over the net interest cost to the Authority on the bonds which it will sell to provide the necessary funds to purchase the County's bonds, provided that the offer of the Virginia Public School Authority shall not be finally accepted and the bonds shall not be awarded until the Board of Supervisors shall have approved the rate of interest, as finally determined, which the bonds are to bear.

3. The bonds shall be dated June 15, 1980, shall be designated "School Bonds, Series of 1980," shall mature in numerical order in installments of $65,000 on December 15 in each of the years 1981 to 2000, inclusive, without option of prior redemption and shall bear interest payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 at such rate as may be determined prior to their sale.

4. At the request of the Virginia Public School Authority the bonds shall be issued initially as fully registered bonds without coupons, with one bond being issued for each maturity, numbered R-1 to R-20, inclusive. Principal and interest on the bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia. The bonds shall be signed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, shall be countersigned by its Clerk and its seal shall be affixed thereto.

5. The fully registered bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

(FORM OF REGISTERED BOND)

No. R- $65,000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

DINWIDDIE COUNTY

School Bond, Series of 1980

Dinwiddie County, Virginia, for value received, hereby

acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the order of

VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY

upon presentation and surrender hereof the principal sum of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($65,000) on December 15, 19__, and to pay to the Virginia Public School Authority interest from the date hereof to maturity at the rate of ___per cent (___%) per year, payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15. Both principal of and interest on this bond are payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia.

This bond is one of an issue of $1,300,000 School Bonds, Series of 1980, of like date and tenor, except as to number and maturity, and is issued pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution of Virginia and the Public Finance Act, as amended, to provide funds for financing capital projects for public schools.

This bond may be exchanged without cost at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, for an equal aggregate principal amount of coupon bonds without privilege of registration, of the denomination of $5,000 each, of the same maturity, bearing interest at the same rate and having attached thereto coupons representing all unpaid interest due or to become due thereon.

The full faith and credit of Dinwiddie County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this bond.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have happened, exist and have been performed, and the issue of bonds of which this bond is one, together with all other indebtedness of Dinwiddie County; is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this bond to be signed by its Chairman, to be countersigned by its Clerk, its seal to be affixed hereto, and this bond to be dated June 15, 1980.

COUNTERSIGNED:

_____ (SEAL) _____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

6. Fully registered bonds without coupons may be exchanged at the expense of the County at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, for an equal aggregate principal amount of coupon bonds without privilege of registration, of the denomination of $5,000 each, appropriately numbered, of the same maturities, bearing interest at the same rate and having attached thereto coupons representing all unpaid interest due or to become due thereon. Upon request of the Virginia Public School Authority, the County shall execute and deliver as soon as practicable coupon bonds in exchange for fully registered bonds. All fully registered bonds surrendered in any such exchange shall be cancelled.

7. Principal and interest on the coupon bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia. The bonds shall be signed by the manual or facsimile

signature of the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, shall be countersigned by its Clerk and its seal shall be affixed thereto or a facsimile thereof printed thereon. The coupons attached to the bonds shall be authenticated by the facsimile signatures of the Chairman and Clerk.

8. The coupon bonds and the coupons attached thereto shall be in substantially the following form:

(FORM OF COUPON BOND)

No. $5,000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

Dinwiddie County

School Bond, Series of 1980

Dinwiddie County, Virginia, for value received, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to bearer upon presentation and surrender hereof the principal sum of

FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($5,000)

on December 15, 19 , and to pay interest thereon from the date hereof to maturity at the rate of _____per cent (___%) per year, payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 upon presentation and surrender of the attached coupons as they become due. Both principal of and interest on this bond are payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia.

This bond is one of an issue of $1,300,000 School Bonds, Series of 1980, of like date and tenor, except as to number and maturity, and is issued pursuant to the Constitution and statues of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution of Virginia and the Public Finance Act, as amended, to provide funds for financing capital projects for public schools.

The full faith and credit of Dinwiddie County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this bond.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have happened, exist and have been performed, and the issue of bonds of which this bond is one, together with all other indebtedness of Dinwiddie County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statues of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this bond to be signed by the manual or facsimile signature of its Chairman, to be countersigned by its Clerk, its seal to be affixed hereto or a facsimile thereof printed hereon, the attached interest coupons to be authenticated by the facsimile signatures of its Chairman and Clerk, and this bond to be dated June 15, 1980.

COUNTERSIGNED:

_____ (SEAL) _____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County,
Virginia

Chairman, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County,
Virginia

(FORM OF COUPON)

No. $_____

June 15
On December 15, 19 , Dinwiddie County, Virginia, will pay to bearer the sum of _____Dollars ($_____) in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, being the semiannual interest then due on its School Bond, Series of 1980, dated June 15, 1980, and numbered____.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County,
Virginia

Chairman, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County,
Virginia

9. The full faith and credit of Dinwiddie County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on the bonds. There shall be levied and collected annually on all taxable property in the County an ad valorem tax over and above all other taxes authorized or limited by law sufficient to pay such principal and interest as the same respectively become due and payable.

10. After the bonds have been awarded, the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the bonds prepared and executed in accordance with their terms and to deliver the bonds to the Treasurer of Virginia on behalf of the Virginia Public School Authority upon payment therefor.

11. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this resolution to be delivered forthwith to the Clerk of the County School Board of Dinwiddie County. The bonds hereby authorized shall not be issued until the County School Board shall have adopted an appropriate resolution consenting to the issuance of the bonds.

12. Such officers of Dinwiddie County as may be requested are hereby authorized and directed to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Section 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by bond counsel for the County.

13. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this resolution to be filed forthwith with the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County and within ten days thereafter to cause to be published once in a newspaper having general circulation in the County a notice setting forth (1) in brief and general terms the purpose for which the bonds are to be issued and (2) the amount of such bonds.

14. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

15. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors held the 2nd day of September, 1980, which was adjourned from a regular meeting held on the 19th day of August, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Board of Supervisors, this ninth day of September, 1980.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of
Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:45 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the August 19, 1980 meeting were approved as presented with the following amendment:

That a statement of explanation be added to the Advertisement of Cabletelevision Ordinance and the Nuclear Waste Ordinance In Re's explaining that Mr. Hargrave voted "nay" to the advertisement of these two ordinances due to the fact that the Board did not have copies of the proposed ordinances in hand to review before taking action.

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF STUDENT GUESTS

Mr. Bennett introduced the following students who were attending the meeting from Mr. Walter Given's high school government class: Dale Andrews, Todd Morgan, Laurie Bennett, Sharon Geoff, and Wendy Hall.

IN RE: VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES ANNUAL MEETING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Check #80-1795 not be approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that no one from the County attend the Va. Assoc. of Counties Annual Meeting at the Homestead at county expense.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks - numbering 80-1582 thru 80-1794 in the amount of $135,176.02; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-13 thru JGC-16 amounting to $334.76; Library Fund check #LF-80-9 in the amount of $39.91; Solid Waste Fund check #SW-80-1 in the amount of $4,060; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-80-4 in the amount of $65,064.89.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the personal property book has been completed and the real estate book should be completed next week. He also stated that he had received 150 applications for Land Use.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of August, 1980.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO BORROW FUNDS FOR MONTH OF SEPTEMBER, 1980

The Chairman stated that it has become necessary for the County to borrow funds because of new construction projects, slow state reimbursements and tax revenue which has not yet begun to come in. Mrs. Lewis presented a report for the Board's review showing the expenditures and revenue expected for the next three months and the amount of money needed to be borrowed in order for the County to meet its obligations. She suggested, however, that the Board take action to borrow the needed funds on a month by month basis to have a more clear picture of the funds needed. She stated that $360,000 would be needed to meet obligations for the month of September. Mr. Bennett asked what the cost to the County would be for borrowing the funds. The County Attorney stated that the Code limits the rate to 6%.

Mr. Bennett asked that the departments be requested to hold any bills that can be postponed during this interim period in which money has to be borrowed. Mr. Hargrave asked that those agencies that have been advanced money and owe reimbursement to the County be advised of the County's situation and be formally requested to forward these funds owed as soon as possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors anticipates a temporary deficit in the revenue of the County; and

WHEREAS, the County anticipates collecting taxes on real estate, personal property and machinery and tools in an amount of $3,451,860 during the current calendar year;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that pursuant to Sections 2.1-326.1; 2.1-326.2; 2.1-326.3; 15.1-545 and 15.1-546, Code of Virginia, 1973, Repl. Vol., as amended, the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to execute the proper notes and documents for a loan in the amount of $360,000 with an interest rate to be determined by competitive bids from area banks to be repaid out of anticipated current revenues no later than December 15, 1980.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of August, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of August, 1980.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT--ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS FROM CDAAA

Mrs. King B. Talley advised the Board that she has received a letter from Mr. Richard Bull, Director of the Crater District Area Agency on Aging offering $5100 to the Dinwiddie Social Services Department to be used for in-home care of the elderly. This service can be provided by the local Social Services Department throught its Companion Care Program. These funds would be given with no strings attached.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave

voting "aye", Mrs. King Talley was authorized to accept $5100 from the Crater District AAA to provide in-home care for clients over 60 years of age through the Companion Care program.

IN RE: VIRGINIA HILLS SUBDIVISION--ACCEPTANCE OF ROADS--SECTION II

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Tanglewood Drive, beginning at a point on Route 1120, 0.18 miles west of Route 1121 and running in a westerly direction 0.06 miles to Northwood Drive. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Northwood Drive, beginning at a point on Tanglewood Drive 0.24 miles west of Route 1121, and running in a southerly direction 0.08 miles to Circlewood Drive. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Circlewood Drive, beginning at a point on Northwood Drive 0.08 miles south of Tanglewood Drive, and running in a north westerly direction 0.46 miles to dead end with turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordnance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these roads in Virginia Hills Subdivision, Section Two, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right of way of 60' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 10, Pages 129, 130, 131 dated November 30, 1977.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-80-6A-- CABLETELEVISION ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1980 and Wednesday, September 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia to add Chapter 15A to provide for regulation of Community Antenna Television Systems.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt he needed more time to review the ordinance. Mr. Weber stated that his main purpose in bringing up cabletelevision was for the citizens to have an opportunity to subscribe to cable TV. if it were to become available in the County. He realized the members needed more time to review the ordinance and its effects and stated he would move to defer action until the October 1, 1980 meeting at which time he would make a motion to approve the ordinance.

Mr. Robertson stated that he concurred with Mr. Weber but he wanted the citizens to understand that just because the ordinance was approved, it did not mean that cabletelevision would become immediately available. He stated he had talked with Sammons, Inc. and they had not considered coming into Dinwiddie but would have to find out if it would be profitable. Mr. Bennett stated that he had been contacted by several people in his area that were interested; however, he did not think the communications Company would find it feasible to come out that far. Mr. Hargrave stated that nothing prevented cabletelevision from coming into the County now without the ordinance; however, he felt the ordinance was needed for the protection of the citizens.

Mr. Hargrave further stated that the Board was sympathetic to the ordinance; therefore, he would ask for additional questions or opposition from those present.

Mr. Richard Earl, Mrs. Stewart, and Mr. Emery Veazey asked questions concerning the ordinance. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", action on the cabletelevision ordinance was deferred until the October 1, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--LIMITATION OF TERMS--A-80-7

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1980 and Wednesday, September 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 2 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, to add Article III, Sec. 2-6, limiting consecutive terms on Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees.

Mr. Robertson read the original resolution which he introduced and stated that some terms were already limited by the State Code. Mr. Weber stated that the ordinance was not intended for any one group of people. He felt there were other people in the County who could do a good job and he was, therefore, in favor of the ordinance.

Dr. C.C. Ashby was present to speak on behalf of the School Board in opposition to the ordinance. He stated that he felt experience was very valuable in the appointed positions and more than eight years was needed for a School Board appointment.

Mr. Edward Titmus spoke against the ordinance. He questioned as to why the Board of Supervisors did not include themselves in the limitations. Mr. Robertson stated they could not legally do so. Mr. Titmus further stated that if someone was not doing their job as expected, the Board of Supervisors had the option of not reappointing them. He also felt experience was valuable.

Mr. Harry Clay stated that he was not speaking for the School Board; however, he felt experience was valuable and a complete turnover would handicap an organization. He stated it was difficult to approach individuals on the State level unless you were well known and had been around for a while.

Mr. Richard Earl spoke in support of the ordinance.

The Chairman stated he had received a letter from the Superintendent of Schools stating the advantages of not limiting the terms of the appointments.

Mr. Clay stated that he agreed with Mr. Titmus on the value of experience; however, it was difficult finding a qualified person willing to serve.

Mr. Bennett stated that he felt each Board member has

the right to initiate a policy such as this for himself. He felt the citizens would let him know if appointees were not doing their job.

Mr. Hargrave stated he rejected having a written rule to follow which would force someone to resign that was doing a good job to be replaced by someone inexperienced, He felt that conscientious people would let you know when they felt they could no longer serve adequately.

Mr. Andie Perdue spoke in support of the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", amendment A-80-7 to limit the terms of office for appointees was not adopted as presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 2 - Administration

Article III. Members of Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees

Sec. 2-6. Limitations of Consecutive Terms on Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees.

Periods of service of appointees appointed by the Board of Supervisors to Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees, in addition to any applicable state statute limiting said appointments, are hereby limited as follows:

1. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a one (1) year term are hereby limited to three (3) reappointments for a total of no more than four (4) consecutive terms.

2. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a two (2) year term are hereby limited to three (3) reappointments for a total of no more than four (4) consecutive terms.

3. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a three (3) year term are hereby limited to one (1) reappointment for a total of no more than two (2) consecutive terms.

4. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a four (4) year term are hereby limited to one (1) reappointment for a total of no more than two (2) consecutive terms.

5. Citizens serving on any Board, Commission, Authority or Committee as of the date of this ordinance that their terms of office exceed the limits, may be reappointed for one additional term.

Any citizen appointed to fill the vacancy of an unexpired term who serves for a period that amounts to a majority of a full term shall have that term counted as a term of service.

All citizens become eligible for reappointment to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee after the passage of one (1) full term.

This ordinance shall in no way preclude an appointee from completing a term of office he or she is currently serving, but the number of terms served in the past on any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee shall be controlling in making reappointments.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-80-8 -- HEALTH PERMIT FEES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1980 and Wednesday, September 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 6 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Sec. 6-59, Septic Tank Permit and Fee.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this amendment. Mr. Bennett stated that the only comments he received were from builders who were opposed to additional costs.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 6 - Building Regulations

Section 6-59. Septic Tank Permit and Fee*

It shall be unlawful for any person to install or repair, have, allow or contract to install or repair a septic tank system in the county individually or for another without first obtaining a septic tank permit. The septic tank system shall be designed and approved by the county health department. Permits for new systems and for repairs to existing systems shall be issued by the county health department.

Upon application for a septic tank system permit, and before the permit is issued, the applicant shall pay to the county zoning administrator's office a permit fee in the amount of twenty-five dollars. All permits become null and void twelve months after date of issue and cannot be used for installation of individual sewage disposal systems until renewed in writing by the health department with no additional fee required. No fee shall be required for a permit to repair an existing system.

*See Code of Virginia Section 15.1-520 for authority.

IN RE: MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BUDGET APPROPRIATION

Mr. Kenneth Wright, Dinwiddie's representative to the District 19, MH&MR Services Board appeared before the Board to discuss their appropriation for 1980-81. Mr. Wright stated that the MH&MR Services Board had not received an increase and he needed to know which programs the County was going to fund.

Mr. Robertson stated that during the budget sessions, the elimination of the Southside Sheltered Workshop was discussed, and he had proposed sending $2800 to the Workshop out of the $19,950 allocation.

Mr. Wright stated that he had interpreted the letter from the Board concerning their budget allocation as meaning the full $19,950 would go the MH&MR Services Board. He further advised the Board that the reason the Workshop was not being funded was because the State did not approve the program and was not funding it. He stated that the individuals receiving the Sheltered Workshop services could be absorbed in the other programs.

Mr. Robertson stated that the United Way and other civic groups do fund the workshop and just because the State does not approve it, it does not mean the program is not worthwhile.

Mr. Wright advised the Board that if funds were given to the workshop out of the $19,950 allocation, then other important programs would be cut or have to be eliminated.

Mrs. Talley said her department had greatly benefited from the MH&MR Services in the past year and she hated to see funds put into something not funded by the State.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Chapter 10 Services would not cease. The services provided by the Workshop could be absorbed elsewhere. Mr. Robertson stated that the services were not comparable to those offered by the Workshop.

Mr. Wright stated that if the Workshop would use another name for its services, the State would probably fund it. Mrs. Talley stated that if the Board of Supervisors let the Chapter 10 Board be circumvented, they would be setting a bad precedent.

Mr. Robertson moved that the Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Board be given $17,150 for 1980-81. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Clay stated that Mr. Wright had been appointed to represent Dinwiddie County on the Chapter 10 Board and his recommendation to allocate the full $19,950 to Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services should be accepted. Mr. Wright stated that if $2800 were removed from the allocation, they would lose $9,334 in state funds. Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett and Mr. Hargrave voted "nay".

Mr. Bennett moved that $19,950 be allocated to the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board to be distributed as listed below:

| | |
|---|---|
| Administration | $2,125 |
| Mental Health Services | 12,392 |
| Developmental Center | 5,433 |

Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye". Mr. Weber voted "nay".

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO ALLOW SECURITY MOBILE HOMES AT COMMERCIAL AND BUSINESS LOCATIONS

Mr. Ted Baxter appeared before the Board to discuss the vandalism that has occurred at the Brickwood Golf Course and request permission to place a mobile home there for security purposes. As the property is presently zoned residential, mobile homes are not allowed.

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed that this type of vandalism was a frequent problem in most commercial and business locations.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April

1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 17.

Sec. 17-49. Permitted Uses.

(22) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation (nonconforming use) for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home.

Sec. 17-63. Permitted Uses.

(32) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home.

Effective Date.

An emergency exists and this ordinance is effective immediately on and after its adoption.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO DRAFT A PERMANENT ORDINANCE TO ALLOW SECURITY MOBILE HOMES IN COMMERCIAL & BUSINESS AREAS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney and Director of Planning prepare an ordinance for consideration by the Planning Commission and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to allow security mobile homes in commercial and business locations for security purposes.

IN RE: NIGHT LIGHT AT DUMPSTER SITE ON U.S. RTE. 460

Several discussions have been held concerning placing a security night light at the dumpster site on Rte. 460. The County Administrator presented to the Board correspondence from the Federal Aviation Administration and the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority stating security lights would be allowed in the area if proper shielding is used.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, there was no second, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the installation of a security light at the dumpster site on U.S. Rte. 460 was approved.

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE REGULATING DEALERS IN PRECIOUS METALS AND GEMS

As requested by the Board, an ordinance regulating dealers in precious metals and gems was presented for their consideration for advertisement. Because of its importance and need at this time, the Board felt it should be immediately adopted as an emergency ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to provide for the addition of Article IV to Chapter 10 as follows:

Chapter 10

LICENSES GENERALLY

Article IV. Dealers In Precious Metals and Gems

Sec. 10-11. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, the following words shall have the meanings ascribed to them by this section:

(a) "Dealer" shall mean any person, firm, partnership or corporation engaged at any location in the county in the business of purchasing precious metals or gems or making loans for which precious metals or gems are received and held as security provided, however, that retail merchants personally located within the county shall be exempt insofar as they make purchases directly from manufacturers or wholesalers of precious metals or gems for their inventories. "Dealer" shall include merchants whose business is itinerant in the county. As used herein "Dealer" includes employers and principals on whose behalf the purchase or loan was made and all employees and agents who personally make such purchases and loans. When any act is required of a corporation, it shall be performed by its president.

(b) "Precious Metals" shall mean any item containing as part of its composition in any degree gold, silver, platinum or pewter.

(c) "Gems" shall mean any item containing or having any precious or semi-precious stones customarily used in jewelry or ornamentation.

Sec. 10-12. Permit required.

Beginning on September 17, 1980, no dealer shall purchase precious metals or gems or make loans for which precious metals or gems are received and held as security without first obtaining a permit from the County Administrator of the county as provided herein and without complying with all other provisions of this chapter. Possession of a permit issued in another locality shall not relieve a dealer of the obligation to obtain a permit from the County Administrator.

Sec. 10-13. Method of obtaining permit.

The permit required herein shall be issued by the County Administrator or his designee upon payment of a $25 application fee and satisfaction of the requirements herein. The application fee shall not be imposed on subsequent applications so long as the business has been operated continuously without interruption since the issuance of the previous permit. The applicant shall be issued a permit if he satisfies the County Administrator of his good character and he has not been convicted within the past seven (7) years of a felony or a crime of moral turpitude. Information required on the application shall include the applicant's full name, aliases, address, age, sex and fingerprints, and the name, address and telephone number of the applicant's employer, if any, and the location of the place of business of the dealer. No license shall be valid for more than six (6) months from the date of issuance but may be renewed in the same manner as the initial license is obtained. If the dealer does not operate continuously from the date of obtaining his permit, then he shall notify the County Administrator of any ceasing or renewing of business or change in location. Failure to operate on weekends or holidays shall not be construed as a ceasing or disruption.

Sec. 10-14. License non transferable and to be displayed.

The license issued hereunder shall be a personal privilege and shall not be transferable, nor shall there be any abatement of the fee for such license by reason of the fact that the dealer shall have exercised the privilege for any period of time less than for which it was granted. The license shall at all times be displayed prominently by the dealer on his business premises.

Sec. 10-15. Falso statements.

Any false statement made on the application form voids the license ab initio.

Sec. 10-16. Information from sellers.

Dealers shall ascertain the name, address and age of sellers of precious metals or gems and shall require the seller to verify same by some form of identification issued by a governmental agency, which identification must show as a part of it the picture of the person so identified.

Sec. 10-17. Records, copies of bills of sale required.

Every dealer shall maintain adequate records to reflect the following information which shall appear on bills of sale, the form of which shall be provided by the County Administrator, one copy of which is to be retained by the dealer, one copy to be delivered during regular county work hours to the Sheriff at his office within twenty-four (24) hours of the sale, and one copy to be delivered to the seller of such precious metals or gems. If the purchase or loan occurs during a weekend then the delivery to the Sheriff shall be made no later than 10:00 A.M. of the next regular county work day. The required information is as follows:

(1) The name of the dealer and his employer or principal if any.
(2) A complete description of each item purchased including weight of the precious metals or gems purchased by the dealer, such description to include all names, initials, serial numbers or other identifying marks or monograms appearing on the item in question.
(3) The name, address and age of the seller.

Sec. 10-18. Prohibited purchases.

No dealer shall purchase or make a loan of precious metals or gems from any seller who is under the age of eighteen (18). No dealer shall purchase or make a loan of precious metals or gems from anyone whom the dealer believes or has reason to believe is not the owner of such precious metals or gems.

Sec. 10-19. Dealer to retain purchases.

The dealer shall retain all precious metals or gems purchased for a minimum of five (5) calendar days from the time of filing the bill of sale of their purchase with the Sheriff. During such period of time no change shall be made to any item containing precous metals or gems.

Sec. 10-20. Dealer's bond.

Prior to receiving his application, every dealer shall enter a bond or provide surety to be payable to the county in the penal sum of five thousand dollars and conditioned upon due observance of the terms of this chapter.

Sec. 10-21. Availability of bond proceeds.

Any person aggrieved by the dealer's violation of the provisions of this ordinance who shall recover a final judgment against him therefor may maintain an action in his own name upon the bond or surety.

Sec. 10-22. Penalty.

Violation of any provisions of this chapter shall be a misdemeanor and, upon conviction therefor, shall be punished by a fine of not more than $1,000, or a jail term of not more than twelve (12) months or both.

Sec. 10-23. Severability.

If any section of this ordinance or portion thereof is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court, it shall be regarded as severed and the remaining sections and portions shall

continue in full force and effect.

Sec. 10-24. Effective date.

An emergency exists and this ordinance is effective immediately on and after its adoption.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE TO REGULATE DEALERS IN PRECIOUS METALS & GEMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to advertise for a public hearing at the October 15, 1980 meeting, the ordinance regulating dealers in precious metals and gems.

IN RE: TRASH TRUCK REPAIR

Several discussions have been held concerning repair of the 1974 Kenworth trash truck and/or purchase of a new one. The 1974 Kenworth has been idle due to a blown motor. Mr. J. M. Loftis and the County Administrator recommended rebuilding the blown motor in the 1974 Kenworth and installing a new manual transmission. This would provide two trucks in good running condition and the 1973 as a back up truck. The Board would set aside $30,000 for the next two years towards the purchase of a new truck. Mr. Weber asked if the purchase of a new truck would be better for the Landfill operation at this time. Mr. Loftis said he did not feel it would.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the Director of Sanitation was authorized to have the motor rebuilt and a new manual transmission installed in the 1974 Kenworth at an approximate cost of $14,113.60.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--RENEWAL FOR WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club is hereby granted renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session to discuss legal matters at 11:10 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:40 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 12:00 Noon, Thursday, September 18, 1980.

THE CHAIRMAN RECONVENED THE MEETING AT 12:00 NOON, SEPTEMBER 18, 1980. THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS WERE PRESENT:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE--ACCEPTANCE OF INTEREST RATE

At a continuation of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 18th day of September, 1980,

PRESENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

ABSENT:

| | |
|---|---|
| G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

It was reported to the meeting that the best bid received by the Virginia Public School Authority for the purchase of its School Financing Bonds, Series 1980, called for a net interest cost of 8.3318% and that the Authority has offered to purchase at a price of par and accrued interest the $1,300,000 School Bonds, Series of 1980, of Dinwiddie County at an interest rate of 8.4% per year, payable on each June 15 and December 15.

Thereupon the following resolution was adopted by the following roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as follows:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| M.I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |
| A.S. Clay | Aye |
| G.E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the $1,300,000 School Bonds, Series of 1980, of Dinwiddie County, heretofore authorized by resolution adopted by this Board on September 2, 1980, be and the same are hereby sold to the Virginia Public School Authority at a price of par and accrued interest from the date of the bonds to the date of delivery and shall bear interest at the rate of 8.4% per year.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a continuation of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the 18th day of September, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Board of Supervisors this nineteenth day of September, 1980.

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors of
Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 5:30 P.M., Thursday, September 25, 1980.

THE CHAIRMAN RECONVENED THE MEETING AT 5:30 P.M., SEPTEMBER 25, 1980.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $360,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 25th day of September, 1980, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |
| Steve Weber | Aye |
| George S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| Aubrey S. Clay | Aye |
| George E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on September 25, 1980, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to $360,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1980; and authorized and directed the County Administrator to solicit proposals to purchase notes evidencing such borrowing; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from First & Merchants National Bank to purchase its $360,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the First & Merchants National Bank, and to deliver the notes to First & Merchants National Bank upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 25th day of September, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 30th day of September, 1980.

County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADDITION OF NEW BLOCK TO TRASH TRUCK REPAIR

The County Administrator informed the Board that a new block was omitted from the cost proposal approved at the last meeting for repair of the 1974 Kenworth truck. The cost for a new block will be approximately $3300, and is needed to complete the repair work.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a new block be authorized to be included in the repairs for the 1974 Kenworth trash truck.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 7:00 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the September 17, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-1795 thru 80-1874 amounting to $57363.06; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-80-17 thru JGC-80-18 amounting to $109.85.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of September, 1980.

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $360,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES--BANK OF MCKENNEY

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 1st day of October, 1980, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |
| Steve Weber | Aye |
| George S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| Aubrey S. Clay | Aye |
| George E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on October 1, 1980, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to $360,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1980; and authorized

and directed the County Administrator to solicit proposals to purchase notes evidencing such borrowing; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from the Bank of McKenney to purchase its $360,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the Bank of McKenney and to deliver the notes to the Bank of McKenney upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 1st day of October, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 2nd day of October, 1980.

_____
County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $360,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES--THE BANK OF VIRGINIA

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 1st day of October, 1980, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |
| Steve Weber | Aye |
| George S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| Aubrey S. Clay | Aye |
| George E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on October 1, 1980, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to $360,000

in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning Janaury 1, 1980; and authorized and directed the County Administrator to solicit proposals to purchase notes evidencing such borrowing; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from the Bank of Virginia to purchase its $360,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the Bank of Virginia, and to deliver the notes to the Bank of Virginia upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 1st day of October, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 2nd day of October, 1980.

_____
County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of September, 1980.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR SECOND AND THIRD QUARTER PAYMENTS BY THE PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to request the second and third quarter appropriations for the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority. The Board expressed concern for the advancing of the third quarter if it is not needed at this time because of the County's tight money situation and having to borrow money.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby appropriates to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority, $13,000 as the second quarter payment.

IN RE: DISAGREEMENT WITH CENSUS RESULTS

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to

discuss the census results for the County. The results showed 22,000 people and 6721 housing units with a 3.17 people per household count. Mr. Scheid stated that he disagreed with the 3.17 figure but didn't know how to prove it was wrong. As these are just preliminary figures, Mr. Scheid stated he would like to challenge these numbers, thereby forcing the Census Bureau to validate their figures. He further stated that the institutions located in the County, Southside Training School and Central State Hospital, caused the figures to be skewed. However, he has been unable to obtain the method used by the Bureau to count these facilities. As the Census figures play an important part in funding for the County, the Board agreed every effort should be made to see that the figures are correct.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Director of Planning was authorized to challenge the figures presented in the preliminary census count and to take whatever steps are necessary to see that these figures are correct.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--1979-80 SCHOOL BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has requested that certain transfers be made to balance the 1979-80 budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following transfers be made within the 1979-80 School Operating Budget:

| TRANSFER FROM: | TO: | AMOUNT: |
|---|---|---|
| 17d2 Transportation | 17b2 Other Inst. Cost | $ 31,592.13 |
| 17h Summer School | " | 4,753.04 |
| " " " | 17a Administration | 505.01 |
| 17g Fixed Charges | " " " | 14,707.51 |
| Add. State, Federal, & Other Sources | " " " | 6,370.97 |
| " " " | 17b1 Instruction | 19,276.24 |
| " " " | 17c Att. & Health Services | 356.38 |
| " " " | 17d1 Transp.-Oper. | 5,721.80 |
| " " " | 17e School Food Service | 41,051.99 |
| " " " | 19 Capital Outlay | 9,600.60 |
| " " " | 21 Refund of Revenue | 1,175.08 |
| Add. County Funds | " " " | 2,635.92 |
| " " " | 17f1 Oper. of Plants | 122,651.99 |
| " " " | 17f2 Maint. of Plants | 28,106.56 |
| " " " | 20 Debt Service | 16,163.15 |

IN RE: ROWANTY VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL--OPEN HOUSE

Dr. Vaughn informed the Board that an Open House was being held at the Rowanty Voc-Tech School on November 12, 1980 at 10:00 A.M. to which they were all invited.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ATHLETIC TRACK AND ITS LOCATION

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, brought the Board up to date on the progress of the athletic track. He stated that the preliminary grade work had been done in conjunction with the driving range thereby reducing the expected cost of the track.

He indicated that if further work was not to be done this fall, the track area needed to be seeded down and that this work could be done as a school agricultural project. Mr. Scheid requested that the Board advise him when funds would be available and when he should proceed with the work on the track. He felt the total cost to complete the work would be under $40,000.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt a recommendation should come from the School Board on what direction the track should take. Mr. Robertson requested that the Board be provided with the pros and cons of locating the track around the football field rather than at the recreation site. Mr. Scheid stated that a meeting was held some time ago between the School Board and the Athletic Department and it was their preference that the track be located at the Recreational site.

Mr. Weber stated that he had talked with Beasley Jones and the Athletic Director and after viewing the two sites, he felt the recreational field was the best location for the track.

Mr. Robertson said he was only concerned about larger expenses down the road.

After further discussion, Mr. Scheid was instructed to have the track seeded down for the winter and to delay further work until the Board of Supervisors and School Board could sit down and mutually discuss future work on the track.

IN RE: ADVERTISEMENT OF DELINQUENT TAXES

Mrs. M.W. Lewis appeared before the Board to ask if they desired that the 1979, 1978, and 1977 delinquent tax list be published in the Progress-Index. Mr. Robertson asked whether it would be more advantageous to run a small preliminary ad stating delinquent names would appear at a later date before actually printing the entire list.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to publish in the Progress-Index the 1979, 1978, and 1977 list of delinquent personal property and real estate taxes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to publish in the Progress-Index an advertisement stating that the delinquent tax list would be published on October 28, 1980.

IN RE: UNITED BIO-FUEL INDUSTRIES, INC.

Mr. Jack L. Kidwell, President, United Bio-Fuel Industries, Inc. appeared before the Board to briefly review their proposed plans for the development of a resource recovery/ethanol plant in the Richmond and Tri-Cities area and ask the Board's support in their endeavor.

IN RE: VA. DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. He reported on the status of two projects in Dinwiddie:

   a. Rt. 684 to the Industrial Park should be completed this month.
   b. Rt. 460 should be completed this month.

2. The County Administrator stated that he had received a compliment for the Highway Department from a citizen for work done to take care of a problem on Rt. 625 which he wanted to pass along to Mr. Perry.

3. Mr. Perry stated that the cross-over on Rt. 460 across from the dumpster site has been completed.

4. Concerning the problems encountered at the Int. of Rt. 460 & U.S. #1, Mr. Perry reported that blinking lights were being installed to help alleviate some of the accidents that have occurred there.

5. Mr. Robertson asked what was being done about the problem of parking at Gene's BBQ at the intersection of Rt. 613 and U.S. #1. The parking has been causing site problems for traffic at that intersection. Mr. Perry stated that he had discussed with the owner putting a physical barrier there but decided against it because of the problems it would cause for the business operation. Instead, lines have been painted which he realized needed repainting at the present time. However, he emphasized the need for the owner and the State Police and Sheriff's Department to patrol the area.

Mr. Weber stated that he had been approached by Mr. Lowery concerning the installation of reflectors along the State right of way at his tractor business location on U.S. #1. The reflectors are inhibiting access to his telephone. Mr. Perry stated he would contact Mr. Lowery about this problem.

6. Mr. Clay stated that the right of way needed trimming and the ditches needed to be pulled on Rte. 715.

7. Mr. Robertson requested that a traffic count be made on Rt. 615. He stated that a rezoning matter would be coming before the Planning Commission in the near future and he would like to have an up to date traffic count available.

8. Mr. Hargrave asked that the Highway Department try to bring the elevations up on Rt. 666 near Goodwyn's bridge and the new power line before the wet season because of the heavy flooding in that area. Mr. Perry stated that what needed to be done was to determine how high the road needed to be to prevent future flooding before any paving of that road was done.

9. The Secretary of the Transportation Safety Commission questioned the installation of lights at the entrance and exit off Rt. 226 to the Namozine VFD. Mr. Perry stated that present Highway Department policy would not allow lights to be placed there because the fire department was too far off the road. He stated that the entrance had been taken into consideration in the Rt. 600 project.

IN RE: REPORT ON SOIL SURVEY

Jerry Quesenberry, Soil Scientist, Soil Conservation Service, appeared before the Board to review the progress on the soil survey for the County. He introduced Mr. Ian Rodihan who will be assisting him for the remainder of the survey. He stated that to date, 7,000 acres in progressive mapping has been completed in the County and 27,000 acres is projected for the next year. He advised the Board, however, that both he and Mr. Rodihan will continue to have out of the county mapping to do. He stated that requests for land use mapping were being handled by priority listing through Mike Jones's office. He stated that by June of next year, a third person, probably on a scholarship program from Virginia Tech, should be assigned to the survey.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION ORDINANCE

After several discussions and a public hearing on the cabletelevision ordinance, action was deferred until this meeting. The Chairman briefly reviewed the changes desired by the Board in the wording of the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie

County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie Code as adopted April, 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to provide for the regulation of community antenna television systems by the addition of Chapter 15A as follows:

# CHAPTER 15A*

# TELEVISION

## COMMUNITY ANTENNA TELEVISION SYSTEMS


Article I. Definitions and Application.

15A-1. Definitions
15A-2. Grant of Authority
15A-3. Applications for Franchise.

Article II. Franchise Conditions.

15A-4. Franchise Term.
15A-5. Franchise Fee.
15A-6. Insurance - Bonds - Indemnity.
15A-7. Acceptance.
15A-8. Books and Records.
15A-9. Subscriber Fees and Rates.

Article III. Franchise Transfer or Revocation.

15A-10. Franchise Transfer.
15A-11. Franchise Revocation.
15A-12. Termination for Cause.

Article IV. Systems Operation.

15A-13. Initial Franchise Area.
15A-14. Extension of Service Facilities.
15A-15. System Description.
15A-16. Construction Schedule.
15A-17. Operational Requirements.
15A-18. Tests and Performance Monitoring.
15A-19. Complaint Procedure.
15A-20.. Conditions of Street Occupancy.

Article V. General Provisions.

15A-21. Protection of Privacy.
15A-22. Compliance with State and Federal Law.
15A-23. Special License.
15A-24. Franchise Validity.
15A-25. Failure to Enforce Franchise and Time Essence.
15A-26. Rights Reserved to the County.
15A-27. Designation of County Administrator as Enforcing Administrator.


*For Authority see Code of Va., Sec. 15.1-23.1.

### Article I. Definitions and Application.

#### Sec. 15A-1. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, the following words and phrases shall have the means respectively ascribed to them by this section:

Community antenna system or cable television system. Any facility which is operated to perform for hire, either in whole or in part, the service of receiving, amplifying, modifying or originating televisions, radio or other electrical signals for the purpose of transmitting or distributing such signals by wire, cable or other means to subscribing members of the public, except that such definition shall not include: (1) any system which serves fewer

than two hundred and fifty (250) subscribers; (2) any system which serves only the residents of one or more continuous apartment dwellings under common ownership, control or management, and commercial establishments located on the premises of such dwellings; or (3) any system commonly known as a master antenna system.

Depreciated value. The value as shown on the Grantee's books and records of all the cable television system's tangible assets after depreciation which shall be calculated to the end of the Grantee's last fiscal year. Such value shall not include "good will" or any value that Grantee's books and records attribute to the franchise.

Fair market value. The price that a willing buyer would pay to a willing seller for a going concern based on the system valuation and sale multiples prevailing in the industry at the time at which the new Grantee is required to purchase the current Grantee's assets.

Franchise. The non-exclusive rights granted hereunder to construct and operate a cable television system along the public ways in the County, or within specified areas in the County, and is not intended to include any license or permit required for the privilege of transacting and carrying on a business within the County as may be required by other ordinances of the County.

Gross subscriber revenues. Those revenues including installation fees, subscriber fees and disconnect and re-connect fees, derived from the supplying of regular subscriber service. Revenues shall also include revenues derived from per-program or per-channel charges, leased channel revenues, advertising revenues, or any other income derived from the system.

Net profit. The amount remaining after deducting from gross revenues all of the actual, direct and indirect, expenses associated with operating the cable television system including the franchise fee, interest, depreciation and federal or state income taxes.

Public way. The surface, the air space above the surface, and the area below the surface of any public street, highway, lane, path, alley, sidewalk, boulevard, drive or other public right-of-way including public utility easements or rights-of-way, and any temporary or permanent fixtures or improvements located thereon now or hereafter held by the County which shall entitle the County and the Grantee to the use thereof for the purpose of installing and maintaining the Grantee's cable television system.

Regular subscriber service. The distribution to subscribers of signals over the cable television system on all channels except leased channels, those for which a per-program or per-channel charge is made, two-way services, and those intended for reception by equipment other than a television broadcast receiver.

Sec. 15A-2. Grant of Authority.

After a public hearing upon such conditions as shall be established by the Board, the Board is authorized to grant one or more non-exclusive franchises conveying the right to construct and operate a cable television system within the public ways of the County. Franchises will be granted to the applicants which in the construction and financial plans and arrangements are both feasible and adequate to fulfill the conditions set forth in this chapter and in the franchise agreement. However, no provision of this chapter shall be deemed or construed as to require the Board to grant a franchise following receipt of any franchise application.

Sec. 15A-3. Applications for franchise.

(a) All applications for a cable television franchise shall be submitted to the Board on a written application form supplied by the Board. The application shall request facts and information the Board deems appropriate. Applications shall be accompanied by a non-refundable application fee of $500 to offset direct expenses incurred in the franchising and evaluation procedures.

(b) Any applicant who is granted a non-exclusive franchise shall, in addition to the non-refundable fee specified hereinabove, pay to the County upon acceptance of the franchise, $15,000. Such non-refundable payment shall be used to offset any direct costs incurred by the County and not defrayed by the application fee provided for herein.

## Article II. Franchise Conditions.

### Sec. 15A-4. Franchise term.

The term of the franchise shall be fifteen years from the date the franchise is accepted by the Grantee. The Board may renew the Grantee's franchise for a period of time not inconsistent with the then applicable FCC rules and regulations upon such terms and conditions as may be set by the Board. If the Board fails to renew the franchise, the Board shall, on the expiration date of the franchise select a new Grantee and cause such new Grantee to take the assets at fair market value.

### Sec. 15A-5. Franchise fee.

(a) The Grantee shall pay to the County, in consideration of the granting of the franchise to use the public ways for the operation of a cable television system, three percent of its annual gross subscriber revenues during the period of its operation under the franchise.

(b) The Grantee shall file with the County, within forty-five days after the expiration of each of the Grantee's fiscal quarters, a financial statement clearly showing the gross subscriber revenues received by Grantee during the preceding quarter. Payment of the quarterly portion of the franchise fee shall be payable to the County at the time such statement is filed. The Grantee shall also file, within one hundred twenty days following the conclusion of the Grantee's fiscal year, an annual report prepared and audited by a Certified Public Accountant acceptable to the County, clearly showing the yearly total gross subscriber revenues.

(c) The County shall have the right to inspect the Grantee's income records, the right of audit and the recomputation of any amounts determined to be payable under this chapter provided, however, that such audit shall take place within twelve months following the close of each of the Grantee's fiscal years. Any additional amount due the County as a result of the audit shall be paid within thirty days following written notice to the Grantee by the County which notice shall include a copy of the audit report. The cost of such audit shall be borne by the Grantee if it is properly determined that the Grantee's annual payment to the County for the preceding year is increased thereby by more than five percent.

(d) In the event that any franchise payment or recomputed amount is not made on or before the applicable dates heretofore specified, there shall be assessed a penalty of five percent of the amount due and interest shall be charged from such due date at the annual rate of eight percent.

### Sec. 15A-6. Insurance - Bonds - Indemnity.

(a) At all times during the term of the franchise, including the time for removal of facilities or management as a trustee, the Grantee shall obtain, pay all premiums for, and file with the County written evidence of payment of premiums and executed copies of the following:

(1) A general comprehensive public liability policy indemnifying, defending and saving harmless the County, its officers, boards, commissions, agents or employees from any and all claims by any person whatsoever on account of injury to or death of a person or persons occasioned by the operations of the Grantee under the franchise herein granted or alleged to have been so caused or occurred with a minimum liability of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars ($500,000) per personal injury or death of any one

person and One Million Dollars ($1,000,000) for personal injury or death of any two or more persons in any one occurrence.

(2) Property damage insurance indemnifying, defending, and saving harmless the County, its officers, boards, commissions, agents and employees from and against all claims by any person whatsoever for property damage occasioned by the operation of Grantee under the franchise herein granted or alleged to have been so caused or occurred with a minimum liability of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars ($250,000) for property dsmage to the property of any one person and Five Hundred Thousand Dollars ($500,000) for property damage to the property of two or more persons in any one occurrence.

(3) Copyright infringement insurance, indemnifying, defending, and saving harmless the County, its officers, boards, commissions, agents, and employees from and against all claims of any person whatsoever for copyright infringement occasioned by the operation of the Grantee under the franchise herein granted or alleged to have been so caused or occurred with a minimum liability of One Million Dollars ($1,000,000) for the infringement of said copyrights.

(4) A performance bond running to the County with good and sufficient surety approved by the county in the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars ($100,000) conditioned upon the faithful performance and discharge of the obligations imposed by this chapter and the franchise awarded hereunder from the date thereof. At such time as the Grantee completes a significant portion of its obligation to service a percentage of the occupied dwelling units in the County, the Grantee may petition the Board to reduce the amount of the performance; provided, however, that such bond shall not be reduced below $50,000.

(b) The bond and all insurance policies called for herein shall be in a form satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall require thirty days written notice of any cancellation to both the County and the Grantee. The Grantee shall, in the event of any such cancellation notice, obtain, pay all premiums for, and file with the County, written evidence of payment of premiums, duplicate copies of any insurance so cancelled within thirty days following receipt by the County or the Grantee of any notice of cancellation.

(c) The Grantee shall, at its sole cost and expense, indemnify and hold harmless the County, its officials, boards, commissions, agents and employees against any and all claims, suits, causes of action, proceedings and judgments for damage arising out of the operation of the cable television system under the franchise. These damages shall include but not be limited to penalties arising out of copyright infringements and damages arising out of any failure by Grantee to secure consents from owners, authorized distributors or licensees of programs to be delivered by the Grantee's cable television system whether or not any act or omission complained of is authorized, allowed, or prohibited by the franchise. Indemnified expenses shall include, but not be limited to, all out-of-pocket expenses, such as attorney fees, and shall also include the reasonable value of any services rendered by the County Attorney or his assistants or any employees of the County.

(d) No recovery by the County of any sum by reason of the bond required in this chapter shall be any limitation upon the liability of the Grantee to the County under the terms of this chapter except that any sums so received by the County shall be deducted from any recovery which the County shall establish against the Grantee under the terms of this chapter.

Sec. 15A-7. Acceptance.

This chapter and the franchise and their terms and conditions shall be accepted by the Grantee by written instrument filed with the County Administrator within thirty days after the granting of the franchise. In its acceptance, the Grantee shall declare that it has carefully read the terms and conditions of this chapter

and the franchise and accepts all of the terms and conditions imposed by this chapter and the franchise and agrees to abide by same.

Sec. 15A-8. Books and Records.

All books and records of the Grantee concerning its operations within the County shall be made available for inspection and audit by the County within thirty days after such request has been made. The Grantee shall file with the director of utilities accurate maps or plats of all existing and proposed installations within the County. Such maps and plats shall conform to the requirements of the director of utilities and shall be kept continuously up to date.

Sec. 15A-9. Subscriber fees and rates.

By its award of any franchise, the Board approves the initial subscriber rates, deposits and fees as set forth in its application to the County for a cable television franchise. Such rates shall remain in effect a minimum of two years from the date of the granting of the franchise. No increase in rates, deposits, or fees shall be made except as authorized by the Board after an appropriate public hearing affording due process. The Grantee shall be entitled to a fair and reasonable return on his investment so long as the appropriate rates, deposits and fees are in the best interests of the County. No request may be initiated if a similar request pursuant to this section has been proposed within the previous six months. Grantee may, at its discretion, waive, reduce or suspend connection fees for specific or undeterminate periods or monthly service fees for promotional purposes; provided, however, that the Grantee notifies the County of such waiver, reduction, suspensions or discount.

The Grantee shall not, with regard to fees, discriminate or grant any preference or advantage to any person; provided, however, that the fees may be negotiated between Grantee and the owner or owners, or committee acting on their behalf for regular subscriber service provided to ten or more dwelling units within an apartment building, condominium, garden apartment or townhouse complex under common ownership, or to ten or more room units within hotels and motels or to commercial establishments engaged in the sale of television receivers.

Article III. Franchise Transfer or Revocation.

Sec. 15A-10. Franchise transfer.

(a) Any franchise granted by the Board shall not be assigned, transferred, sold or disposed of, in whole or in part, by voluntary sale, merger, consolidation or otherwise or by forced or involuntary sale, without prior consent of the Board upon such conditions as may therein be prescribed.

(b) Any sale, transfer or assignment shall be made by a bill of sale or similar document, an executed copy of which shall be filed with the County Administrator within thirty days after any such sale, transfer or assignment. The Board shall not withhold its consent unreasonably provided, however, the proposed assignee agrees to comply with all the provisions of this chapter and the franchise and must be able to provide proof of financial responsibility as determined by the Board.

(c) No such consent shall be required for a transfer in trust, mortgage, or other instrument of hypothecation, in whole or in part, to secure an indebtedness except that when such hypothecation shall exceed seventy-five percent of the fair market value (as defined in S1, Article I) of the property used by the Grantee in the operation of its cable television system. Prior consent of the Board, expressed by resolution, shall be required for such transfer and said consent shall not be withheld unreasonably.

(d) Prior approval of the Board shall be required where

ownership or control or more than 50% of the right of control of the Grantee is acquired by a person or group of persons acting in concert, none of whom already own or control 50% or more of such right of control, singularly or collectively. By its acceptance of this franchise the Grantee specifically grants and agress that any such acquisition occurring without prior approval of the Board shall constitute a violation of this franchise by the Grantee.

Sec. 15A-11. Franchise revocation.

(a) In addition to all the rights and powers reserved to the County, the County reserves as an additional power the right to terminate the franchise and all rights and privileges of a Grantee hereunder in any of the following events or for any of the following reasons:

(1) A Grantee shall by act or omission violate any material term or condition of this chapter, and, within thirty days following written demand by the County shall fail to effect compliance.

(2) A Grantee becomes insolvent, unable or unwilling to pay its debts or is adjudged a bankrupt.

(3) A Grantee attempts to or does practice any fraud upon the County or subscribers.

(b) The Grantee shall not be declared at fault or be subject to revocation under any provision of this chapter in any case in which performance of any such provision is prevented for reasons beyond the Grantee's control. A fault shall not be deemed to be beyond the Grantee's control if committed by a corporation or other business entity in which the Grantee holds a controlling interest, whether held directly or indirectly.

(c) If the Board determines that such non-compliance was without just cause, then the Board may adopt a resolution which terminates the franchise and instructs the Grantee to promptly remove from the public way all of its cable television facilities within ninety days from the date the Grantee receives a written copy of such resolution. The Board shall be empowered to seek legal and equitable relief in order to ensure compliance with this provision.

Sec. 15A-12. Termination for Cause.

(a) If, at any time during the term of this franchise, the Board determines that a Grantee has materially breached the terms and conditions imposed by this chapter and the franchise after the county has exhausted all of the remedial steps provided for herein, the County may terminate the franchise.

## Article IV. Systems Operation.

Sec. 15A-13. Initial franchise area.

(a) Grantee shall furnish to the County as part of the formal application a map of suitable scale showing all streets and public buildings indicating the Initial Franchise Area (IFA) to be served. The IFA shall include not less than ten percent (10%) of the total occupied dwelling units within the County boundaries. The map shall also list the names of all neighborhoods, developments and communities served.

(b) The Initial Franchise Area shall be subject to approval by the County, and may be amended at any time, either by the County on its own motion or upon petition to the Grantee by fifty percent (50%) of the residents within the area to which the proposed amendment applies. Petitions are acceptable only in areas in which the total number of occupied dwelling units divided by the total number of miles of paved and unpaved, public and private, streets and roads (exclusive of limited highways) within the extended area exceeds seventy-five.

(c) The Grantee may be required to interconnect its cable television system with other cable television systems or other broadband communications facilities located in contiguous communities so long as such interconnection is for the benefit of subscribers within the County. Such interconnection shall be made within ninety days of a request made by the Board.

Sec. 15A-14. Extension of Service Facilities.

(a) Grantee shall extend its full service outside the Initial Franchise Area, in accordance with the approved fee schedule, to any location within the County boundaries upon written request by five or more applicants living within one thousand yards of each other.

(b) Grantee shall be entitled to recover, from the applicants requesting such service extensions, the direct, total cost of that portion of the combined trunk and feeder line extension which exceeds an average of one hundred fifty feet per subscriber, measured along the most practicable route from the nearest technically feasible point on Grantee's system, not including the length of service drops.

(c) Grantee shall make every reasonable effort to cooperate with cable television franchise holders in contiguous communities in order to provide cable service in areas within the County but outside the Grantee's Initial Franchise Area. The County shall make every reasonable effort to cooperate with the franchising authorities in contiguous communities, and with the Grantee, in order to provide cable television service in areas outside the County.

Sec. 15A-15. System description.

(a) The cable television system to be installed by Grantee shall comply in all respects with the capacity, capability, and technical performance requirements set forth in the FCC's Rules for Cable Television including applicable amendments thereto and including public, education, government and leased access channels.

(b) The Grantee's cable television system shall operate with at least twenty channel capacity.

(c) The Grantee's cable television system shall have technical capacity to enable it to provide nonvoice return communications upon installation of additional equipment not requiring rewiring of the cable television system.

(d) The Grantee's cable television system shall maintain at least one specially designated noncommercial public access channel available on a first-come, nondiscriminatory basis. The system shall maintain and have available for public use the minimal equipment and facilities necessary for the production of programming for such a channel. One such channel will be made available without charge, except that production costs may be assessed for live studio presentations exceeding five minutes. Such production costs and any fees for use of other public access channels shall be consistent with the goal of affording the public a low-cost means of television access.

(e) The Grantee's cable television system shall maintain a specially designated access channel for use by local educational authorities. This channel shall be made available without charge from the time of commencement of cable television service in the County until five years after completion of the system's basic trunk line.

(f) The Grantee's cable television system shall maintain a specially designated access channel for local government use. This channel shall be made available without charge from the time of

commencement of cable television service in the County until five years after completion of the system's basic trunk line.

(g) The Grantee's cable television system shall offer its excess channel capacity for leased access services, and on one of the leased channels, priority shall be given to part-time users.

(h) Whenever all of the channels described in paragraphs (d), (e), (f) and (g) of this section are in use during eighty percent (80%) of the weekdays (Monday to Friday) for eighty percent (80%) of the time during any consecutive three-hour period for six consecutive weeks, the Grantee's cable television system shall, within the limits of its channel capacity specified in paragraph (b) of this section and if consistent with then applicable FCC rules and regulations, have six months in which to make a new access channel available for any or all of the purposes for which such channels are designated.

Sec. 15A-16. Construction schedule.

(a) Upon accepting the franchise, Grantee shall, within sixty days, file the documents required to obtain all necessary Federal, State and local licenses, permits and authorizations required for the conduct of its business.

(b) Within three months after accepting the franchise, Grantee shall furnish the County a construction schedule and map setting forth target dates by areas for commencement of service to subscribers. The schedule and map shall be updated whenever substantial changes become necessary.

(c) Grantee shall complete construction of the system in the Initial Franchise Area and offer and deliver cable television service in full accordance with this chapter and the franchise granted hereunder to subscribers in not less than twenty-five percent (25%) of the occupied dwelling units within the Initial Franchise Area within one year after receiving all necessary permits, authorizations and licenses with additional servicing of twenty-five percent (25%) per year until one hundred percent is served within four years.

(d) Every three months after the start of construction, Grantee shall furnish the County a report on progress of construction until complete. The report shall include a map that clearly defines the areas wherein regular subscriber service is available.

Sec. 15A-17. Operational requirements.

(a) Grantee shall construct, operate and maintain the cable television system in full compliance with the rules and regulations, including applicable amendments, of the Federal Communications Commission and all other applicable Federal, State or County laws and regulations, including the latest editions of the National Electrical Safety Code and the National Fire Protection Association National Electrical Code. The cable television system and all its parts shall be subject to inspection by the County.

(b) Grantee shall maintain an office which shall be open and accessible to the public with adequate telephone service during all usual business hours, including facilities for twenty-four recording of subscriber complaints.

(c) Grantee shall exercise its best effort to design, construct, operate and maintain the system at all times so that signals carried are delivered to subscribers without material degradation in quality (within the limitations imposed by the technical state-of-the-art).

(d) Copies of all correspondence, petitions, reports, applications and other documents sent or received by Grantee from Federal or State agencies having appropriate jurisdiction in matters affecting cable television operation shall be simultaneously furnished by the Grantee to the County.

(e) In the case of any emergency or disaster, the Grantee shall, upon request of the County Administrator, make available,

free of charge, its facilities to the County for emergency use during the emergency or disaster period.

Sec. 15A-18. Tests and performance monitoring.

(a) Not later than ninety days after any new or substantially rebuilt portions of the system is made available for service to subscribers, technical performance tests shall be conducted by the Grantee to demonstrate full compliance with the Technical Standards of the Federal Communications Commission and this chapter. Such tests shall be performed by, or under the supervision of, an engineer with proper training and experience approved by the County. A copy of the report shall be submitted to the County, describing test results, instrumentation, calibration, and test procedures, and the qualifications of the engineer responsible for the tests.

(b) System monitor test points shall be established at or near the output of the last amplifier in the longest feeder line, at or near trunk line extremities, at not fewer than eight widely scattered locations. At least once each month, the following data shall be obtained and recorded for each monitor test point, made available for County inspection, and retained in Grantee's files until the relevant portion of the system has been either substantially rebuilt or replaced:

(1) Visual and aural carrier level on each active channel.

(2) Carrier-to-noise ratio on at least four frequencies distributed across the pass band (to avoid interrupting service, these measurements may be approximate, and will be used only to detect significant changes.)

(3) Visual inspection of picture quality on all active channels to detect degradation in quality attributable to the system.

(c) At any time after commencement of service to subscribers, the County may require additional tests, full or partial repeat tests, different test procedures, or tests involving a specific subscriber's terminal. Requests for such additional tests will be made on the basis of complaints received or other evidence indicating an unresolved controversy or significant non-compliance and such tests will be limited to the particular matter in controversy. The County will endeavor to so arrange its requests for such special tests so as to minimize hardship or inconvenience to Grantee or to the subscriber.

(d) A copy of the annual performance tests report required by the Federal Communications Commission shall be simultaneously submitted to the County.

(e) The County shall have the right to employ qualified consultants if necessary or desirable to assist in the administration of this chapter.

Sec. 15A-19. Complaint procedure.

(a) Except for circumstances beyond the Grantee's control, the Grantee shall establish a maintenance service capable of locating and correcting major system malfunctions promptly. Such maintenance service shall be available, in addition to normal business hours, to correct such major system malfunctions affecting a number of subscribers which occur from the time the Grantee's main, local office closes until 12:30 A.M. Monday through Friday and from 8:00 A.M. until 12:30 A.M. on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays.

(b) A listed local telephone number shall be made available to subscribers for service calls at any time of the day or night. Investigative action shall be initiated in response to all service calls, and corrective action shall be completed as promptly as practicable. Appropriate records shall be made of service calls, showing when and what corrective action was completed. The Grantee

shall furnish each subscriber at the time service is installed written instructions that clearly set forth procedures for placing a service call, or requesting an adjustment.

(c) The Grantee shall interrupt system service after 7:00 A.M. and before 1:00 A.M. only with good cause and for the shortest time possible and, except in emergency situations, only after providing notice of service interruption at least twenty-four hours in advance of the service interruption. Service may be interrupted between 1:00 A.M. and 7:00 A.M. for routine testing, maintenance, and repair, without notification, any night except Friday, Saturday or Sunday, or the night preceding a holiday.

Sec. 15A-20. Conditions of street occupancy.

The County hereby grants to the Grantee the right to use all public ways owned by the County for the purpose of installing cable television structures, lines, equipment and facilities, so long as such use is consistent with the legal rights owned by the County and the requirements of this chapter. Prior to installing any such structures, lines, equipment and facilities the Grantee shall notify the County of its plans and the County may require such modifications as will protect the existing utilities within the public way.

(a) Grantee shall utilize existing poles, conduits and other facilities whenever possible, and all transmissions and distribution structures, lines and equipment erected by the Grantee within the County shall be so located as to cause minimum interference with the proper use of streets, and to cause minimum interference with property owners who adjoin such streets.

(b) Whenever the County shall require the relocation or reinstallation of any property of the Grantee in any of the public ways within the County, it shall be the obligation of the Grantee upon notice of such requirement to immediately remove and relocate or reinstall such property as may be reasonably necessary to meet the requirements of the County. Such relocation, removal or reinstallation by the Grantee shall be at the sole cost of the Grantee.

(c) Where the County or a public utility serving the County desires to make use of the poles or other wire-holding structures of the Grantee but agreement therefore with the Grantee cannot be reached, the Board may require the Grantee to permit such use for such consideration and upon such terms as the Board shall determine to be just and reasonable, if the Board determines that the use would enhance the public convenience and would not unduly interfere with the Grantee's operations.

(d) Wherever all electrical and telephone utility wiring is located underground, either at the time of initial construction or subsequently, the television cable shall also be located underground, at Grantee's own expense. If the facilities of either the electric or the telephone utility are aerial, the television facilities may be located underground at the request of a property owner, provided that the excess cost of the installation, labor and materials of underground over aerial location shall be paid by the property owner making the request to the Grantee.

(e) Grantee shall, at its own expense and in a manner approved by the County, restore to County standards and specifications any damage or disturbance caused to the public way as a result of its operations or construction on its behalf. Grantee shall guarantee and maintain such restoration for a period of one year against defective materials or workmanship. If the public way is not restored to the County's satisfaction, the County shall have the right to have such work performed and charge such cost to the Grantee.

(f) Whenever, in case of fire or other disaster, it becomes necessary in the judgment of the Chiefs of the Fire or Police Departments to remove or damage any of the Grantee's facilities, no charge shall be made by the Grantee against the County for restora-

tion and repair.

(g) Grantee shall have the authority to trim trees on public property at its own expense as may be necessary to protect its wires and facilities, subject to the supervision and direction of the County. The Grantee shall obtain the written consent of the County prior to trimming any trees within rights of way.

## Article V. General Provisions.

### Sec. 15A-21. Protection of privacy.

(a) Grantee shall not permit the transmission of any signal, aural, visual or digital, including "polling" the channel selection, from any subscriber's premises without first obtaining written permission of the subscriber. This provision is not intended to prohibit the use of transmission of signals useful only for the control or measurement of system performance.

(b) Grantee shall not permit the installation of any special terminal equipment in any subscriber's premises that will permit transmission from subscriber's premises of two-way services utilizing aural, visual or digital signals without first obtaining written permission of the subscriber.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person to attach or affix or to cause to be attached or affixed any equipment or device which allows access or use of the cable television service without payment to the Grantee for same. The affixing or attaching of any equipment or device capable of allowing access or use of the cable television service shall be deemed prima facie evidence of a violation of this section.

(d) Any person violating this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $1,000.

### Sec. 15A-22. Compliance with state and federal law.

(a) The Grantee shall, at all times, comply with all laws of the state and federal government and the rules and regulations of any federal administrative agency. If any state or federal law or rule or regulation of any federal administrative agency is in conflict with the terms and conditions of this chapter or the franchise, the Board shall, as soon as possible following knowledge thereof, amend this chapter and franchise in a manner to bring both into compliance with such law, rule or regulation.

(b) Any further amendments or modifications of the Federal Communication Commission's Rules for Cable Television affecting this chapter or the franchise including allowable franchise fee, may be incorporated at the option of the County into this chapter and the franchise within one year of the adoption of such modification or at the time of franchise renewal, whichever occurs first.

(c) Nothing herein shall prevent Grantee from pursuing good faith appeals from any laws or regulations of the state or federal government with compliance with previous law or regulations if permitted by the appropriate court or agency.

### Sec. 15A-23. Special license.

The County reserves the right to issue a license, easement or other permit to anyone other than the Grantee to permit that person to traverse any portion of the Grantee's franchise area within the County in order to provide service outside the County. Such license or easement, absent a grant or a franchise in accordance with this chapter, shall not authorize nor permit such person to provide a cable television service of any nature to any home or place of business within the County nor to render any service or connect any subscriber within the County to the Grantee's cable television system.

### Sec. 15A-24. Franchise validity.

The Grantee agrees, by the acceptance of the franchise, to accept the validity of the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise in their entirety and that it will not, at any time, proceed against the County in any claim or proceeding challenging any term or provision of this chapter or the franchise as unreasonable, arbitrary or void or that the County did not have the authority to impose such term or condition.

Sec. 15A-25. Failure to enforce franchise and time essence.

(a) The Grantee shall not be excused from complying with any of the terms and conditions of this chapter or the franchise by any failure of the County, upon any one or more occasions, to insist upon the Grantee's performance or to seek Grantee's compliance with any one or more of such terms or conditions.

(b) Whenever this chapter or the franchise sets forth any time for any act to be performed by or on the behalf of the Grantee, such time shall be deemed of the essence and the Grantee's failure to perform within the time allotted shall, in all cases, be sufficient grounds for the County to invoke the remedies available under the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise.

(c) Nothing herein shall be construed to require the Grantee to comply with the terms of this chapter or the franchise if prevented from doing so by disaster, war, civil disobedience or other Act of God.

Sec. 15A-26. Rights reserved to the County.

The County hereby expressly reserves the following rights:

(a) To adopt, in addition to the provisions contained herein and in the franchise and in any existing applicable ordinances, such additional regulations as it shall find necessary in the exercise of its police power provided, however, that such regulations, by ordinance or otherwise, shall be reasonable and not in conflict with the rights herein granted.

(b) To revoke, amend or modify the franchise granted pursuant to this chapter should the Federal Communications Commission, as a result of its certification or registration process, require that substantial sections of the chapter be altered or deleted.

Sec. 15A-27. Designation of County Administrator as Enforcing Official.

In all instances where this chapter refers to the "County", without referring to a specific official, the appropriate representative of the Board of Supervisors shall be the County Administrator or other designated County official.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SEEK PROPOSALS FOR CABLETELEVISION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to proceed with the solicitation of proposals from interested companies for the location of cabletelevision in the County.

IN RE: ALLOCATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1981

A resolution was presented for the Board to consider for adoption which would provide for the 1980-81 budget allocations in the new form required by the State Auditor's Office, July 1, 1980.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie

County, Virginia, that the following functions are allowed an allocation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1981, in accordance with the budget which is filed with the records of the Board:

| Function | Allocations |
|---|---|
| Board of Supervisors | 20,460 |
| County Administrator | 61,470 |
| County Attorney | 6,910 |
| Legal Services | 25,000 |
| Independent Auditor | 8,000 |
| Commissioner of the Revenue | 73,290 |
| Equalization Board | 3,300 |
| Treasurer | 60,560 |
| Board of Elections | 24,870 |
| Circuit Court | 3,750 |
| County Court | 1,900 |
| Magistrate | 270 |
| Circuit Court Clerk | 13,150 |
| Commonwealth's Attorney | 37,810 |
| Policing & Investigating | 364,250 |
| Volunteer Fire Departments | 43,470 |
| Ambulance & Rescue Squad | 6,000 |
| Fire Prevention & Extinction | 3,000 |
| Confinement & Care of Prisoners | 78,710 |
| Probation Office | 3,270 |
| Other Correction & Detention | 12,600 |
| Building Inspector | 20,950 |
| Dog Warden | 18,210 |
| Operation of Dog Pound | 5,900 |
| Medical Examiner | 500 |
| Road Administration | 200 |
| Street Lighting | 22,000 |
| Maintenance of County Landfills | 141,710 |
| Other Public Works-Reserve Sewerage Capacity | 72,000 |
| Courthouse and Admin. Grounds | 94,530 |
| Water Service | 60,000 |
| Public Health | 51,440 |
| Mental Health & Mental Retardation | 19,950 |
| Board of Public Welfare | 1,800 |
| Institutional Care | 2,000 |
| Area Agency on Aging | 800 |
| Other Social Services | 5,780 |
| Community Colleges | 660 |
| Libraries | 55,570 |
| Planning Commission | 42,620 |
| Other Planning & Com. Develop. | 54,000 |
| Planning District Com. | 11,440 |
| Environmental Management | 26,200 |
| Advancement of Agric. & Home Economics | 28,140 |
| Employee Retirement & Other Benefits | 101,000 |
| County Insurance | 120,000 |
| Internal Services | 61,500 |
| Capital Outlay | 56,000 |
| Total General Fund | 1,926,940 |
| VIRGINIA PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND | 651,850 |
| SCHOOL FUND | 3,779,820 |
| WATER AUTHORITY | 150,000 |
| Total Allocations | 6,508,610; and |

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to transfer from the General Fund to the Virginia Public Assistance Fund, School Fund, and the Water Authority Funds as needed to meet the foregoing allocations and

from the Revenue Sharing Trust Fund to the School Fund entitlements as received for general revenue sharing.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session to discuss legal matters with the Water Authority and a personnel matter. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:50 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:50 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF STUDENTS

The Chairman recognized Ms. Wendy Hall and Ms. Sharon Groff, students in attendance from the Senior High School.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the October 1, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-1875 through 80-2009 amounting to $89,571.31; Land Use Planning Fund check #LUP-80-1 in the amount of $4500; History Book Fund check #HB-80-4 in the amount of $4.11; County Construction Fund check #CCF-80-3 in the amount of $612.59; Library Fund checks numbering LF-80-10 through LF-80-12 amounting to $223.41; Water and Sewer Fund check #W&S-80-5 in the amount of $223,370.22; Solid Waste Management Fund check #SW-80-2 in the amount of $400; Litter Control Fund check #LC-80-1 in the amount of $6,644.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO WATER AND SEWER ACCOUNT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, $300,000 was previously transferred from the General Fund to the Water and Sewer Account for construction costs for the sewer lines to the Rohoic School and the Industrial Park; and

WHEREAS, bills have been presented for payment which exceed this $300,000 and there is a need to transfer an additional $200,000 to this account;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer up to $200,000 from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Account, retroactive to October 10, 1980; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be instructed to transfer these funds as needed.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-80-9--REGULATION OF DEALERS IN PRECIOUS METALS AND GEMS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, October 1, 1980 and Wednesday, October 8, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Dinwiddie County Code by the addition of Article IV to Chapter 10 relating to the regulation of dealers in precious metals and gems for providing for a penalty.

This ordinance was adopted as an emergency ordinance at the September 17, 1980 meeting. No one appeared in support or opposition.

Mr. Robertson asked if the ordinance had been refined to meet the County's needs. The County Administrator stated that the forms being used were uniform to those used in other localities. Mr. Roy Hodges, Deputy Sheriff, stated that the ordinance was working now with the dealer presently located in the County.

Mr. Hargrave stated he had received a suggestion that the County investigate the use of a proof of ownership form. Mr. Hargrave and Mr. Robertson questioned, however, what evidence of ownership on certain items could be presented. After a brief discussion, the County Attorney was instructed to investigate the use of a proof of ownership form to be considered for incorporation into the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", amendment A-80-9 was deferred for action at the November 5, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT AND OPERATIONAL PLAN FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES

Ms. Wendy Weber, Deputy Coordinator, presented a mutual aid agreement and operational plan for emergency services between the cities of Colonial Heights, Petersburg, Hopewell, and the counties of Dinwiddie, Prince George and Chesterfield. She stated this agreement would formalize services that would be rendered between localities when a state of emergency exists or is impending. This agreement has been approved by the County's attorney and insurance agent.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed Ms. Weber to present the agreement to the local volunteer organizations for their input; therefore, action was postponed until this information could be obtained.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ROOMS FOR VIRGINIA STUDENT SAFETY ASSOCIATION MEETING

Ms. Wendy Weber presented a request from the Virginia Student Safety Association for funding for several students to attend the annual meeting on November 14 and 15, 1980 at the Ingleside Inn in Staunton, Virginia.

Ms. Barbara Goodman, sponsor, will be taking several students on Thursday night and several will be joining them on Friday and stay until Saturday. Therefore, they would need two rooms for Thursday, November 13, 1980 and two rooms for Friday, November 14, 1980.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", funding is approved for students attending the Virginia Student Safety Association annual meeting in Staunton for two rooms on November 13, and November 14, 1980.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD MEETING

Mr. Clay reminded the Board that the Appomattox Regional Library Board was meeting Monday, October 20, 1980 and the members were invited to attend.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- DARVILLS COMMUNITY CENTER

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Darvills Community Center has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Community Center meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Darvills Community Center is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- MIDWAY PTO

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Midway PTO has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Midway PTO meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Midway PTO is hereby granted a renewal of their Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: RESOLUTION APPROVING BANKS SELECTED BY TREASURER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following banks selected by the Treasurer are hereby approved in accordance with Sections 58-943 and 58-943.2 of the Code of Virginia:

First & Merchants National Bank
United Virginia Bank
Central Fidelity Bank
Community Bank

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:50 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1980 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE CHANGING FILING DEADLINE FOR LAND USE APPLICATIONS

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of the Revenue, appeared before the Board to discuss the filing deadline for Land Use applications. He stated the present deadline is Monday, November 3, 1980 and he felt that a large portion of the County was unaware of the availability of filing. Mr. Hargrave stated that he made some phone calls in his district and he estimated 20-30% had not heard of the Land Use application process. Mr. Bolte stated that under Sec. 58-769.8 of the State Code, the Board of Supervisors by ordinance may extend the filing deadline with a late filing fee.

Mr. Robertson questioned whether proper notification had been given for this special meeting. He was assured that notification had been given as legally required. Mr. Robertson stated that he felt there had been sufficient time for filing and moved that the deadline not be extended. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. He stated that similar action was taken to extend the deadline in Prince George and they had a great deal of trouble with it. He, therefore, was against extending the deadline. Mr. Hargrave stated that the State Code allowed the extension assuming it would be needed. Mr. Bennett said the ad did not appear in a paper of circulation in the Darvills district and he, therefore, felt many of the citizens were not aware of Land Use.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the motion not to extend the deadline for filing for Land Use was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article VII. Special Assessments for Agricultural, Horticultural, Forest and Open Space Real Estate

Section 8-25. Applications.

(c) The filing deadline for calendar year 1980 shall be January 1, 1981.

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING LATE FILING FEE FOR LAND USE APPLICATIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the fol-

lowing addition:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article VII. Special Assessments for Agricultural, Horticultural, Forest and Open Space Real Estate

Section 8-25. Applications.

(d) The late filing fee shall be $10.00.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:55 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 8:30 P.M.

IN RE: AWARD OF BID FOR SUNNYSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented a total cost figure of $2,184,859 for construction of the new Sunnyside Elementary School, which includes the low base bid submitted by Howard Davis Construction Co., Inc. and alternates.

Bill Moseley and Jim Hening of Moseley-Hening Associates, Inc. were present to answer any questions the Board might have. The Board reviewed the figures and items included. Dr. Vaughn stated that the total cost figure came within the $2,300,000 figure requested in the literary loan and school bond issue.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the total project cost of $2,184,859 be accepted, which includes the low base bid of Howard Davis Construction Company for construction of the new Sunnyside Elementary School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the construction project as outlined within the total cost figure.

IN RE: ATHLETIC TRACK DISCUSSION

The Board and School Board agreed to meet at 4:00 P.M. on Wednesday, November 5, 1980 to discuss the school athletic track.

IN RE: SUNNYSIDE SCHOOL GYMNASIUM

Mr. Clay stated that he had been contacted by citizens concerned with recreational facilities for the Sunnyside students during the fall and winter seasons until the new school is built. Mr. Melvin Alsbrook, Principal, Sunnyside Elementary, stated that they were working out the difficulties at the present time until the new school was available.

IN RE: USE OF HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM

Mr. Robertson questioned the use of the high school auditorium and the adequacy of the present fee charged. Dr. Vaughn stated the cafeteria was actually used more than the auditorium; however, the School Board did need to look at revising their present fee schedule.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 8:55 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 9:00 P.M.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:00 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:30 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:32 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes for the October 15, 1980 regular meeting and the October 29, 1980 special meeting were approved as presented with the following amendments to the October 29, 1980 special meeting minutes:

(1) Mr. Robertson asked that the minutes be amended to show that he questioned the notification for the meeting and was informed that notification had been given as legally required.

(2) Mr. Weber asked that the minutes be amended to add his statements showing his disagreement with extending the Land Use filing deadline.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-2010 thru 80-2105 amounting to $16,121.76; Johnsongrass Control Fund-checks numbering JGC-80-19 and JGC-80-20 amounting to $19.03; Water & Sewer Fund - check #W&S-80-6 amounting to $28,165,56.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of October, 1980.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR INTERPRETATION OF SEC. 58-769.8 OF THE STATE CODE OF VIRGINIA--LAND USE APPLICATION

Mr. Robertson asked the County Attorney to present his interpretation of Sec. 58-769.8 of the State Code dealing with deadlines for Land Use Applications.

IN RE: COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Mr. Robertson informed the Board that he had attended a meeting with the Chiefs of the local fire departments and they would be presenting a packet of information on a back-up communications system to be discussed at the November 19, 1980 meeting. Mr. Roy Hodges, Investigator, stated that the radio system was fully operating at the present time.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of October, 1980.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to discuss the following items:

1. He presented a synopsis of two rezoning cases and an amendment that would be coming before the Board at their November 19th meeting.

2. Mr. Scheid stated that the Census results had been revised upward--now showing 22,285 people and 6,787 housing units. He also presented a sample card being mailed out in each tax notice seeking information from those individuals who did not receive census forms.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:15 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:12 P.M.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE AREA MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

Mr. David Stone, Director, Southside Area Mental Health Services, appeared before the Board to introduce himself and advise them that the organization would like to increase its public exposure.

He expressed the organization's appreciation for the past support of the Board and advised them he was available at anytime they had questions or desired to discuss the program with him.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--DISCUSSION OF LEE BOULEVARD

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to discuss Lee Boulevard located in Edgehill Subdivision and the problems they were encountering over ownership of the median strip. Presently, the median strip which divides Lee Boulevard as well as a spite strip to the North of West Dirve are owned by the developer, Builder's Inc. Citizens now wanting to leave their homes and cross to the other side of Lee Boulevard are having to cross private property. He stated that an attorney has been negotiating with the landowner to acquire this property for the Highway Department. The timber located on the spite strip has been valued at $1100 and the landowner is asking for $2500. Mr. Perry stated that there have been numerous complaints resulting from the median strip, i.e. dead trees, parking problems, etc. which the Highway Department nor the County have any control over. He stated the Highway Department is also considering changing Lee Boulevard to one-way in and one-way out traffic, which cannot be done until this ownership is changed.

Mr. Perry advised the Board that the Department did not have the funds to buy the property and asked if the County would be interested in obtaining ownership.

Mr. Robertson stated he would favor buying the median strip if he was assured the necessary improvements could then be done to take the remainder of Lee Boulevard into the system.

Mr. Hargrave stated that County Dollars have never been used before for this type of purchase and he did not favor setting a precedent. He stated he would support any effort to negotiate with the landowner. Mr Weber asked if the property could be condemned. Mr. Perry advised him it could not. Mr. Clay stated he was against using county funds to buy property. Mr. Perry stated he would appreciate any help the Board could give in working with the landowner. He stated after conferring with the attorney representing the department, he would report back later to the Board.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have:

1. Mr. Robertson stated he had received a complaint about two dead trees on the Dinwiddie side of the underpass on Route 600.
2. Mr. Robertson informed Mr. Perry that he had received favorable comments on the street signs in Rohoic Farms and Dinwiddie Gardens and on the crossover to the trash dumpsters on Rte. 460.
3. Mr. Robertson said he had received a great deal of comment from citizens concerning Rt. 615 and the amount of traffic using it as a connection to get to Rt. 670. He asked if the road could be closed to eliminate this problem. Mr. Perry stated that the traffic count was not high enough to hard surface and the Dept. could not block the road. It would have to be blocked by the landowners at the end of the road. Mr. Hargrave stated that the problem would not improve until the two roads were connected to allow a better traffic flow. Mr. Perry stated that if the lots at the end of the road were not occupied, he didn't know whether that section connecting the two roads could be taken into the secondary system.

   After a lengthy discussion the Board instructed the County Administrator to determine who those landowners at the end of the road are in order to contact them about closing the road or dedicating a right-of-way for construction. Mr. Perry stated he would investigate whether that unoccupied portion could be taken in and report back to the Board.

4. Mr. Bennett asked Mr. Perry to review Rt. 611 on his way back to the office.

5. Mr. Clay asked Mr. Perry to check on Rt. 645 off Rt. 611 where the shoulders are very narrow.

IN RE: REGULATION OF DEALERS IN PRECIOUS METALS & GEMS ORDINANCE

After adopting as an emergency ordinance on September 17, 1980 and holding a public hearing at the October 15, 1980 meeting, the Board postponed the ordinance relating to regulation of dealers in precious metals and gems for action until this meeting. The Board requested information relating to a proof of ownership section at the October 15, 1980 meeting. The County Attorney advised the Board that this was not used in any of the surrounding jurisdictions.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to provide for the addition of Article IV to Chapter 10 as follows:

Chapter 10

LICENSES GENERALLY

Article IV. Dealers In Precious Metals and Gems

Sec. 10-11. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, the following words shall have the meanings ascribed to them by this section:

(a) "Dealer" shall mean any person, firm, partnership or corporation engaged at any location in the county in the business

of purchasing precious metals or gems or making loans for which precious metals or gems are received and held as security provided, however, that retail merchants personally located within the county shall be except insofar as they make purchases directly from manufacturers or wholesalers of precious metals or gems for their inventories. "Dealer" shall include merchants whose business is itinerant in the county. As used herein "Dealer" includes employers and principals on whose behalf the purchase or loan was made and all employees and agents who personally make such purchases and loans. When any act is required of a corporation, it shall be performed by its president.

(b) "Precious Metals" shall mean any item containing as part of its composition in any degree gold, silver, platinum or pewter.

(c) "Gems" shall mean any item containing or having any precious or semi-precious stones customarily used in jewelry or ornamentation.

Sec. 10-12. Permit required.

Beginning on November 5, 1980, no dealer shall purchase precious metals or gems or make loans for which previous metals or gems are received and held as security without first obtaining a permit from the County Administrator of the county as provided herein and without complying with all other provisions of this chapter. Possession of a permit issued in another locality shall not relieve a dealer of the obligation to obtain a permit from the County Administrator.

Sec. 10-13. Method of obtaining permit.

The permit required herein shall be issued by the County Administrator or his designee upon payment of a $25 application fee and satisfaction of the requirements herein. The application fee shall not be imposed on subsequent applications so long as the business has been operated continuously without interruption since the issuance of the previous permit. The applicant shall be issued a permit if he satisfies the County Administrator of his good character and he has not been connected within the past seven (7) years of a felony or a crime of moral turpitude. Information required on the application shall include the applicant's full name, aliases, address, age, sex and fingerprints, and the name, address and telephone number of the applicant's employer, if any, and the location of the place of business of the dealer. No license shall be valid for more than six (6) months from the date of issuance but may be renewed in the same manner as the initial license is obtained. If the dealer does not operate continuously from the date of obtaining his permit, then he shall notify the County Administrator of any ceasing or renewing of business or change in location. Failure to operate on weekends or holidays shall not be construed as a ceasing or disruption.

Sec. 10-14. License non transfereable and to be displayed.

The license issued hereunder shall be a personal privilege and shall not be transferable, nor shall there be any abatement of the fee for such license by reason of the fact that the dealer shall have exercised the privilege for any period of time less than for which it was granted. The license shall at all times be displayed prominently by the dealer on his business premises.

Sec. 10-15. False statements.

Any false statement made on the application form voids the license ab initio.

Sec. 10-16. Information from sellers.

Dealers shall ascertain the name, address and age of sellers of precious metals or gems and shall require the seller to verify same by some form of identification issued by a governmental

agency, which identification must show as a part of it the picture of the person so identified.

Sec. 10-17. Records, copies of bills of sale required.

Every dealer shall maintain adequate records to reflect the following information which shall appear on bills of sale, the form of which shall be provided by the County Administrator, one copy of which is to be retained by the dealer, one copy to be delivered during regular county work hours to the Sheriff at his office within twenty-four (24) hours of the sale, and one copy to be delivered to the seller of such precious metals or gems. If the purchase or loan occurs during a weekend then the delivery to the Sheriff shall be made no later than 10:00 A.M. of the next regular county work day. The required information is as follows:

(1) The name of the dealer and his employer or principal if any.

(2) A complete description of each item purchased including weight of the previous metals or gems purchased by the dealer, such description to include all names, initials, serial numbers or other identifying marks or monograms appearing on the item in question.

(3) The name, address and age of the seller.

Sec. 10-18. Prohibited purchases.

No dealer shall purchase or make a loan of precious metals or gems from any seller who is under the age of eighteen (18). No dealer shall purchase or make a loan of precious metals or gems from anyone whom the dealer believes or has reason to believe is not the owner of such precious metals or gems.

Sec. 10-19. Dealer to retain purchases.

The dealer shall retain all precious metals or gems purchased for a minimum of five (5) calendar days from the time of filing the bill of sale of their purchase with the Sheriff. During such period of time no change shall be made to any item containing precious metals or gems.

Sec. 10-20. Dealer's bond.

Prior to receiving his application, every dealer shall enter a bond or provide surety to be payable to the county in the penal sum of five thousand dollars and conditioned upon due observance of the terms of this chapter.

Sec. 10-21. Availability of bond proceeds.

Any person aggrieved by the dealer's violation of the provisions of this ordinance who shall recover a final judgment against him therefor may maintain an action in his own name upon the bond or surety.

Sec. 10-22. Penalty.

Violation of any provisions of this chapter shall be a misdemeanor and, upon conviction therefore, shall be punished by a fine of not more than $1,000, or a jail term of not more than twelve (12) months or both.

Sec. 10-23. Severability.

If any section of this ordinance or portion thereof is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court, it shall be regarded as severed and the remaining sections and portions shall continue in full force and effect.

IN RE: MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT AND OPERATIONAL PLAN FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES

Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry appeared before the Board to

discuss the mutual aid agreement and operational plan presented at the last meeting. At that time, she was instructed by the Board to discuss the agreement with the fire and rescue organizations and solicit their comments.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated that she met with representatives of fire, rescue and the Sheriff's Department and they agreed with the documents as presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following agreement and operational plan were approved:

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this 5th day of November, 1980, by and between THE CITY OF COLONIAL HEIGHTS, THE CITY OF PETERSBURG, THE CITY OF HOPEWELL, THE COUNTY OF CHESTERFIELD, THE COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE AND THE COUNTY OF PRINCE GEORGE.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, it has been determined that the provision of Emergency Services across jurisdictional lines in emergencies will increase the ability of the parties to preserve the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the tri-cities area and adjoining counties; and

WHEREAS, Section 44-146.20 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, authorizes local governments to establish and carry into effect a plan to provide mutual aid.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Declaration of Emergency - When a state of emergency exists within the boundaries of any of the parties hereto, as the result of, or due to the imminence of fire, flood, epidemic, war, internal disorder, or other public disaster, the party or parties shall notify the other party or parties to this Agreement of such state of emergency and its need for emergency aid or assistance. Assistance shall be rendered according to the procedures established in the operational plan developed and agreed to by all parties to this Agreement, pursuant to the provisions in paragraph 2 herein. Each party shall designate the appropriate official empowered to request assistance under this Agreement. This official shall also be the party to which the notifying jurisdiction shall direct its need for emergency aid or assistance.

2. Operational Plan - The mutual assistance to be rendered under this Agreement shall be available upon the development and approval of an Operational Plan by the parties hereto. The plan shall outline procedures to be followed in responding to a request for assistance. The parties shall designate the appropriate official in their jurisdiction who shall be empowered to request assistance under this Agreement. Parties shall meet at least annually to review and, if necessary, to propose amendments to procedures in requesting assistance. Any proposed amendment shall not be effective until approved by written memorandum by all the parties to this Agreement.

3. Governmental Immunity - The services performed and expenditures made under this Agreement shall be deemed for public and governmental purposes and all immunities from liability enjoyed by the local government within its boundaries shall extend to its participation in rendering emergency assistance outside its boundaries.

(a) It is understood that for the purposes of this Agreement, the responding party is rendering aid once it has entered the jurisdictional boundaries of the party receiving assistance.

The requesting jurisdiction will be responsible for replacing any expended consumable supplies borrowed from another jurisdiction. The requesting jurisdiction assumes only such liability for duty actions of the Emergency Services Director or his designated agent as may be determined under general law for damages to property or

person committed while performing his duty in a reasonable and prudent manner in accordance with orders or directions given him by the proper authority of the requesting jurisdiction.

4. Employment Benefits - (a) All the immunities from liability and exemptions from laws, ordinances and regulations which the parties' firemen, policemen, rescue or ambulance attendants, agents and employees have in their own jurisdictions shall be effective in the jurisdiction to which they are giving assistance.

(b) All pension, relief, disability, workmen's compensation or other benefits enjoyed by said employees in their own jurisdictions shall extend to the services they perform under this Agreement outside their respective jurisdictions.

5. Direction of Assistance - (a) The parties' firemen, policemen, rescue or ambulance attendants, agents and employees rendering assistance under this Agreement shall do so under the direction and control of the appropriate official designated by the jurisdiction requesting the aid.

(b) The parties shall notify each other of the name, address and telephone number of the official authorized to direct mutual aid activities within their jurisdiction.

6. Duration - This Agreement shall remain in effect until terminated by all the parties hereto upon written notice setting forth the date of termination. Withdrawal from this Agreement by one party hereto shall be made by thirty days written notice to all other parties, but shall not terminate the Agreement among the remaining parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the date first above written.

EMERGENCY SERVICES MUTUAL AID OPERATIONAL PLAN

I. Criteria for Requesting Assistance

A. A state of emergency shall exist or appear imminent. This state of emergency shall include but not be limited to the following:

1. Normal terrain search.
   a. For persons who are presumed lost and who are not capable of taking care of themselves (e.g., small children, the mentally retarded, the aged, and the ill.)

2. Natural or man-made disaster, such as floods, tornadoes, fire and storms.

3. For wanted persons hiding in an area that needs to be surrounded by police and controlled by road blocks.

4. Riots, civil disorders, strikes, unusual assemblies and other similar problems.

5. Cases requiring the assistance of a specialist or specialists.

B. The requesting jurisdiction shall have committed or shall have foreseen the need to commit all its available resources.

II. Procedure for Requesting Assistance

A. The official authorized by the parties to the Mutual Aid Agreement to request assistance shall do so by contacting the individual(s) in the jurisdictions designated in Attachment "A".

B. The radio communications system, or any other available communications system, shall be used to make a request for assistance.

C. All jurisdictions party to the Mutual Aid Agreement shall be alerted by general broadcast over the radio communications system of the possible need for mutual aid assistance, of an actual request for assistance, and, if necessary, the need to stand by.

D. The request for assistance shall state:
1. The nature of the emergency and its location.
2. The type and number of personnel requested.
3. The type of equipment needed.
4. The name and location of the ranking officer or official to whom the assisting personnel shall report.

III. Use of Assistance.

A. The authorized official of the assisting jurisdiction shall determine the type of personnel to be dispatched and shall use the best available communications system to acknowledge the request, stating the amount and type of assistance which will be provided. As a follow-up and confirmation to the assistance requested, the authorized official requesting assistance shall request by letter, on official letterhead, and signed by the official authorized to make the request, a formal copy of the request for emergency services assistance. This request shall be delivered to the emergency services organization(s) of the jurisdiction(s) providing the assistance.

B. The assisting personnel shall report to and shall be under the command of the ranking officer or official named in the request.

C. Whenever possible, assisting personnel shall be deployed as integral units and under their own supervisor. If such deployment is not possible, the assisting personnel shall be deployed as members of a team with emergency services personnel of the requesting jurisdiction. If neither of the preceding is possible, then deployment shall be determined by the ranking officer or official named in the request (IID-4).

D. The nature of the emergency shall be a consideration of determining where assisting personnel shall be deployed.
1. In the event of a natural or man-made disaster, the assisting personnel generally shall be deployed on the scene of the disaster or a predetermined stating area.

E. If the member jurisdiction which is called upon to dispatch manpower pool assistance to another jurisdiction already has an emergency situation of its own, either existing or impending, which will require emergency use of its full force on its home grounds, it must immediately notify the requesting agency that it cannot spare the officers, explaining the reason it cannot.

IV. Withdrawal of Assistance

A. Whenever possible, the assisting personnel and equipment shall be withdrawn pursuant to the mutual agreement of the requesting and assisting jurisdictions. If agreement is not possible, either the requesting or assisting jurisdiction may unilaterally withdraw the assisting personnel or equipment after notifying the other(s) of the intended action. Notification of withdrawal, by mutual agreement or unilaterally, shall be confirmed in writing by the official as described in Section III hereof.

IN RE: STAGGERED TERMS FOR WATER AUTHORITY MEMBERS

The Chairman stated that the resolution to stagger terms for the Water Authority members was referred to the County Attorney for revision to be presented at the December 17, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: NOTIFICATION FOR BOARD MEETINGS

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt action taken at the October 29, 1980 special meeting on the Sunnyside Elementary School was significant and deserved public support. He, therefore, requested that in the future, the press be notified of all meetings held by the Board of Supervisors. No action on this request was taken by the Board.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 4:20 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 4:27 P.M.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ATHLETIC TRACK

The School Board requested to meet with the Board to discuss the athletic track and its future development. Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent, stated that several meetings had been held between the Athletic Department, Beasley Jones, W.C. Scheid, the School Board and himself to determine the best location for the track and they felt that the recreational field across from the high school would be the best site.

The Director of Planning presented several overviews for the Board's consideration showing the location of the track around the high school football field and at the recreational site. He pointed out the advantages and disadvantages of each site. Mr. Scheid also presented cost estimates for the construction of the track which was $15,634, the low cost figure (cinders) and $33,314 as the high cost figure (asphalt). If the track was located at the high school, the baseball field would have to be relocated at a cost of $53,500. He stated that he would not recommend starting any work until next Spring to let the site settle after grading.

Mr. Robertson asked what the School Board was requesting. Dr. Vaughn stated that $20,000 had been set aside out of the first bond issue and he felt that if the higher quality track was approved, the additional funds could come from the second bond issue.

Mr. Hargrave asked if all the wiring and roof repair had been done that was approved in the first bond issue. He stated he wanted to be assured that all the previously authorized work would be done and satisfactorily completed before authorizing the bond funds to be spent elsewhere. Mr. Clay stated he would like to see all the projects completed before approving the track.

Dr. Vaughn stated these projects were being completed. The only grey area was other roof repairs needed.

The School Board stated they needed three things from the Board:

1. To have the track approved.
2. To locate the track across from the high school at the recreational site.
3. Authorization to proceed with the track as far as the Board would monetarily allow at this time.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt an athletic track was needed and that it should be located across from the high school. He further stated that the School Board should be authorized to begin work on the track next Spring using the $20,000 out of the bond issue.

Mr. Clay stated that he agreed the County needed a track and it should be located across from the high school, but he would like to see the school bus garage completed before starting a new project. He did not feel this was a time to be spending more money.

Mr. Robertson stated that he questioned the location of the track before but after visiting the school and the facilities,

he felt across from the high school would be the best location. He further stated that the athletic department and students need the moral support and if no action is taken now, they might be looking at an inflated figure later. He, therefore, felt the Board should approve the three items requested by the School Board and let them begin.

Mr. Bennett stated he agreed with the need and location and he would approve the track if the School Board could begin with just a cinder track at this time.

Mr. Hargrave said he agreed with the track and location and he felt the time needed until May for the site to settle would determine what money would be spent and how elaborate a track would be needed.

Mr. Robertson asked if these figures would hold until next Spring. Mr. Scheid had stated the costs were just estimates.

Dr. Ashby stated that the main thing the School Board needed was to know they had the support of the Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an athletic track be approved to be located on the recreational site across from the high school; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the design and cost of the track will be determined next Spring at which time the site would be ready for construction; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with proper treatment of the site for the winter months to prepare it for construction in the Spring.

IN RE: RECREATIONAL DIRECTOR

Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, advised the Board that he had not hired a replacement for Mr. Bill Trinkle, Summer Recreational Director. He asked if the Board would like to see the position made full-time to be in charge of recreation for the County.

After a brief discussion, the Board advised Dr. Vaughn that they did not feel a full-time position was necessary and instructed him to hire a replacement on the same basis as Mr. Trinkle previously worked.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:50 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into open session at 6:03 P.M.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION FOR COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO REPRESENT AND VOTE FOR DINWIDDIE COUNTY AT VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES' ANNUAL MEETING--PROXY

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that William C. Knott, County Administrator, is hereby authorized to represent the County at the 1980 Virginia Association of Counties' Annual Meeting and vote on its behalf.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 6:10 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | AL SIMMONS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the November 5, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 80-2106 thru 80-2334 amounting to $88,686.26; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-80-13 and LF-80-14 amounting to $295.78; Johnsongrass Control Fund check number JGC-80-21 in the amount of $16.73; History Book Fund check number HB-80-5 in the amount of $8.00; Water and Sewer Fund check number W&S-80-7 in the amount of $96,028.27.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-4--WILLIAM PATTON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 5, 1980 and Friday, November 14, 1980, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning map of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the classification of a portion of land parcel 21-67 containing 15.15 acres from Agricultural, General A-2 to Residential, Limited R-1.

Mr. Scheid reviewed the Planning Commission minutes wherein they recommended disapproval of this rezoning request.

Mr. Herbert Williams appeared to represent Mr. Patton who could not be present for the meeting. Mr. Patton submitted a letter requesting that due to his absence the rezoning request be tabled until the December 17, 1980 Board meeting.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Scheid why the surrounding landowners had not been listed nor notified. Mr. Scheid responded that it was his policy to notify only those landowners affected by the parcel of land being rezoned. Since Mr. Patton was only rezoning a portion of his land and still maintained a strip between the parcel of land being rezoned and the other landowners, he did not list or notify them. After a brief discussion, the Board agreed that its policy would be to notify those landowners adjacent to the land parcel whether it is being rezoned in its entirety or a portion thereof.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this rezoning request.

Mr. Weber stated that the Planning Commission minutes listed 6 conditions for their action and he felt the Board should act on the request at this meeting.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was inclined to go along with the Planning Commission action; however, if Mr. Patton needed to be here, he would move the public hearing be continued until the December 3, 1980 meeting. Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Weber stated he still agreed with the Planning Commission action but would wait until the December 3, 1980 meeting if the Board desired. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave voted "nay".

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-5--DONNIE GREENWAY

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 5, 1980 and Friday, November 14, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning map of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the classification of a 0.35 acre portion of land parcel 22-68 from Residential Limited R-1 to Agricultural General A-2.

Mr. Scheid reviewed the P.C. minutes wherein they recommended approval of this rezoning request.

Mr. Greenway did not appear in support of his application. No one appeared in favor or opposition to this rezoning request.

Mr. Weber moved that the rezoning request be approved. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson asked if any response was received from any of the landowners notified. Mr. Scheid stated there was no response.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the area surrounding this property was going to be zoned Agricultural A-2 in the Comprehensive Land Use plan. Mr. Scheid stated it would. Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt at this time, approving this rezoning request would be spot zoning. Mr. Clay stated that if the whole area was going to be rezoned Agricultural, it would be more appropriate to wait until that time to approve agricultural zoning for Mr. Greenway's request.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the individual had paid his money for the application to be considered and should be allowed to open his store without any further delay.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended be further amended by changing the district classification of a 0.35 acre portion of land parcel 22-68 from residential, limited, R-1 to agricultural, general, A-2. Said property being platted by Pritchard and Legat on October 18, 1961 and described as follows:

> Commencing at a pipe on the southern edge of State Route 676; thence 159.58 ft. S 31$^{o}$ 58' W. along the Western line of the property now or formerly owned by J.E. Cliborne, to a pipe, thence 129.45 ft. N 51$^{o}$ 55'E to a pipe on State Route 676; thence along the southern edge of said road 75 ft. S 38$^{o}$ 05' E. to the point of beginning.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-80-9A--SECURITY MOBILE HOMES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 5, 1980 and Wednesday, Novem-

ber 12, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by adding a permitted use to Secs. 17-33, 17-41, 17-49, 17-55.2 and 17-63. This amendment was adopted by the Board of Supervisors as an Emergency Measure at their September 17, 1980 meeting. Mr. Gilbert Charboneau spoke in favor of this amendment. No one appeared in opposition.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action where they recommended disapproval. He reviewed their concerns for allowing mobile homes in residential areas. They felt it was a law enforcement problem to be dealt with through the law enforcement agency.

Mr. Hargrave stated he thought the comments raised by the Planning Commission should be reviewed, and drafted into the ordinance. He further stated that he agreed with the intent of the ordinance for protection.

Mr. Weber stated that he favored the security trailers; however, a conditional use permit was needed for each request to be heard on a case by case basis by the Board and, if needed, referred to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Clay stated that he thought the security trailers were needed and he was not opposed to hearing each request on a case by case basis. However, he didn't see the necessity for the expense of advertising for two bodies to review each case.

Mr. Robertson stated that he agreed with the intent, but he felt the ordinance needed to be narrowed down to be heard case by case either by the Board or both the Board and the Planning Commission.

Mr. Weber stated that the ordinance should be amended to include a conditional use permit with review by one or two bodies and that the County Administrator make the appropriate changes in the amendment and bring it back to the Board for review.

Mr. Scheid stated that the Planning Commission could hear each case and send their recommendations to the Board to be heard in the same month.

The County Administrator stated that adding a conditional use permit would require the request to come to the Board and they could consider it or pass it to the Planning Commission for their review and recommendation. The Planning Commission and Zoning Administrator could work with the applicant on the conditions.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code as adopted April 1, 1970 and as heretofore amended be further amended by adding the following use to those sections indicated within the Dinwiddie County Zoning Ordinance.

1. Section 17-33. Permitted Uses.

(22) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation (nonconforming use) for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home with a conditional use permit.

Section 17-41. Permitted Uses.

(12) A security mobile home, in an area used for a

business or commercial operation (nonconforming use) for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home with a conditional use permit.

Section 17-49. Permitted Uses.

(22) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation (nonconforming use) for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home with a conditional use permit.

Section 17-55.2. Permitted Uses.

(i) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation (nonconforming use) for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home with a conditional use permit.

Section 17-63. Permitted Uses.

(32) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home with a conditional use permit.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: BACK-UP COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Mr. Ben Hawkins appeared before the Board to present a proposal for a new back-up radio system to be located in the Sheriff's Department. He stated that a meeting was held between representatives of the fire departments, rescue squad and Sheriff's Department to discuss the recent communications problems. He stated the back-up system was discussed with the Sheriff and he was in total agreement. The cost of the unit would be $2521. The system would be installed in the Sheriff's Department using the existing tower.

Mr. Robertson stated that he had met with the Fire Chiefs and Rescue Squad and was told there had been a problem with the equipment being down for repair for such a long period of time. He stated that he hoped the new equipment would prevent this problem. He also felt that the existing equipment should be thoroughly checked to see that it is in top operating condition. He stated there should be sufficient funds in the Sheriff's maintenance budget to have this done.

Al Simmons stated that the Sheriff supported the new equipment. Mr. Robertson, therefore, recommended approval.

Mr. Hargrave agreed that there was a problem with the panels, and he felt problems with the equipment had been occurring too frequently. He further stated that other governments had similar problems.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt there were so many varieties of equipment it was hard for one man to be knowledgeable in them all.

Chris Goad stated that all systems need a good back-up system.

Mr. Weber stated that he also met with the fire and rescue group and felt the County was fortunate to have dedicated people. He, therefore, moved approval of the radio equipment as

presented by the Fire and Rescue groups. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion.

Mr. Wayne Gwaltney asked why the system downstairs at the jail wasn't moved upstairs to the Dispatcher's Office while the equipment was down. He was advised he would have to discuss that with the Sheriff. Mr. Gwaltney also stated that the mobile units in the County were in poor condition.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Earl Gwaltney if the equipment had been checked to see that it was compatible with the existing equipment at the Sheriff's Department and if the details had been worked out for installation. Mr. Gwaltney said they had not.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the County Administrator be authorized to talk to the Motorola representative to work out the details for installation to insure the new system would be compatible. Mr. Clay stated that the equipment should be investigated thoroughly to see that it is compatible and adequate for the County's operation.

Mr. Simmons stated that he had some trouble with the repair service.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the volunteer fire departments and the rescue squad are hereby advised that it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to purchase a back-up radio system to be located in the jail; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is to determine that the system proposed by the fire departments and the rescue squad is adequate and compatible with the present communications system and report his findings at the December 3, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: PAVING ENTRANCE TO AIRPORT DUMPSTERS--REVIEW OF BIDS

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had received only two bids for the project to pave the entrance to the Airport dumpsters and, according to the purchasing policy of the County, this was not a sufficient number to take action on.

IN RE: CHESDIN MANOR STREETLIGHTS

Mr. Robertson presented a petition from sixteen residents of Chesdin Manor requesting that street lights be installed. The County Administrator was instructed to meet with a Vepco representative to review the needs of the subdivision and present their findings.

IN RE: ADVANCEMENT OF FUNDS FOR 80-81 FUEL PROGRAM

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director of Social Services, presented an overview of the upcoming Fuel Program to be administered through her department from December 1, 1980 to April, 1981. She stated she was hiring four temporary workers to administer the program and would need $16,000 up front money from the County to begin the program. This money is 80% reimburseable and could be 100% if the other 20% would be picked up by the State. She stated that if all the funds were used, the cost to the County would be $3200.

Mr. Hargrave asked what it cost the County last year. Mrs. Talley stated there was no cost. Mr. Hargrave stated that this was another example of another layer of government forcing the County to pick up the funding. He also stated that he felt there was a large amount of abuse in the program. He stated he would

like to see letters of disagreement sent to the Governor and the County's legislative representatives stating these concerns. The Board members agreed.

Mrs. Talley stated, however, that the County's ABC funds would be withheld if the money was not appropriated. The Board felt it was futile to discuss their action any further.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $16,000 be advanced to the Department of Social Services for administration of the 1980-81 Fuel Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator incorporate the Board's comments of disagreement into a letter to be forwarded to the Governor and the County's legislative representatives.

IN RE: PERSONNEL SYSTEM

The Chairman stated that the members should bring themselves up to date on the personnel system presented to them earlier so they can meet to discuss it and move ahead on some type of formal action by the first of the year.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 3RD DAY OF DECEMBER, 1980 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the November 19, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks--numbering 80-2335 thru 80-2432 amounting to $1,161,359.38.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of November, 1980.

IN RE: SHERIFF--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to request $3,000 additional funds for the category of Parttime Dispatchers in his 1980-81 budget. He stated that he had already used 2/3 of his extra help funds which includes part-time dispatchers and parttime cook.

Mr. Weber asked the Sheriff how soon he would need these funds. The Sheriff told him in a couple of months.

Mr. Robertson suggested that since the whole communications picture was under study at this time that consideration of this request be postponed until the study was complete and recommendations made. Mr. Clay and Mr. Weber agreed that action on the request should be postponed.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the request for additional funding for parttime dispatchers was postponed.

IN RE: BLINDS FOR JAIL WINDOWS

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell presented a request for window shades to cover the prisoners' windows at the jail. He stated that on many occasions, the prisoners had been a nuisance to citizens because of the uncovered windows.

The Board agreed that this protection was needed and instructed the Sheriff to look into the costs of installing these shades to be considered at the next Budget session.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of November, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of November, 1980.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIMS--R. CROWDER

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the livestock claim of Mr. R. Crowder for $165 for 11 turkeys was postponed until the value of the turkeys ($15 each) can be verified.

IN RE: FUEL PROGRAM--SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, Department of Social Services, briefly reviewed the progress on the Fuel Program being administered by her department. She stated the program was simpler this year and was running very smoothly.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to review two construction projects, the School Bus Garage and the Sunnyside Elementary School. He stated the School Bus Garage was running on schedule and a preconstruction conference had been held the day before on the Sunnyside School.

IN RE: REZONING APPLICATION P-80-4--CONTINUATION OF PUBLIC HEARING

The public hearing on rezoning application P-80-4, Mr. William Patton, was continued until this meeting. The Director of Planning briefly reviewed the application. Mr. Herbert Williams gave a few opening remarks and was available to answer any questions.

Mr. William Patton appeared before the Board to present his application. Mr. Patton felt that sufficient information had not been presented at the Planning Commission meeting. He presented several exhibits showing the master plan for the area and its relation to the Comprehensive Land Use Plan. He felt the best use for the area is residential. Mr. Patton stated that the lot sizes had been increased to 150 x 300 feet. A soils analysis was presented by Mr. Larry Madison, Soil Scientist.

Mr. Weber asked if Mr. Madison could guarantee that the systems installed on the proposed lots would not fail. Mr. Madison stated that all systems would eventually fail and that was the reason for requiring a back-up system. Mr. Williams asked Mr. Madison if in his professional opinion he thought the lots would function within the County's regulations. Mr. Madison stated they would.

Mr. Patton stated that "strip zoning" as it had been called could be good if the proper balance was kept. He showed pictures of the varieties of houses that would be built and the rehabilitation of two homes in existence.

In reference to industrial planning, Mr. Patton presented a letter from Mr. Ed Sweeny, President, ESE, Inc. and Mr. Dick Boisseau, Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, stating there was a need for housing in the area. Statistical information on single family dwellings was presented in support of the need for housing. Mr. Patton further stated that the development of the proposed lots would benefit the County by an increase in taxes on the books. Other letters of support were presented from Mr. Robert Ragsdale, Mr. George

Robinson, Mr. B.Z. Clarke and Mr. E.P. Wilkinson.

Mr. Patton further discussed citizen concern over Rt. 615. He stated that Mr. C.B. Perry and Mr. B.C. Medlock of the VDH&T had stated that Rt. 615 would be eligible for paving if the road count were at least 50 and he felt residential development would cause a controlled increase in usage, not develop a speedway. Mr. Patton also stated that he had a petition signed by landowners along the road and users of the road supporting the rezoning application.

Mr. Weber stated he was concerned about the size of the lots and what the people were getting who would be buying them later. Mr. Patton stated he had expanded the size of the lots and there was sufficient room for on site wells and septic systems.

Mr. Weber asked Mr. Patton if he had considered A-R zoning. Mr. Patton stated he had discussed A-R zoning with Mr. Scheid but it would not be economically feasible.

Mr. Robertson asked about the type of houses that would be built. Mr. Patton stated they would be 1200-1400 sq. ft. He also stated that there would be covenants in the deeds restricing the size of the houses and the varieties.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was concerned about the increase in usage of the existing road that needs to be paved and the fact that there are no funds available to do the work within the next few years. He asked if Mr. Patton felt any obligation or desire to help the improvement of the road. Mr. Patton said he did not because it was already the responsibility of the State. Mr. Robertson stated that if the rezoning request were approved, he urged Mr. Patton to add a certain amount of funds toward improving the road. Mr. Patton stated that no matter whether the development was built or not, it would not help the road problems.

Mrs. Kellum stated that if the County wanted progress, they would have to approve some housing, and she asked for a little help for the citizens in that area.

Mr. Robertson asked the Director of Planning if the presentation given at this meeting would change the position taken by the Planning Commission. Mr. Scheid stated that there were several points to be considered. (1) Whatever is done would set a precedent for similar requests in the future. (2) The Comprehensive Land Use Plan would have to be changed or (3) If not, how will similar cases be handled in the future? (4) Why just rezone the road frontage property? Why not rezone the entire parcel, which would force improvement of the road? (5) If R-1 is the best use, would larger lots be desired which would provide less use of water and sewer. (6) A central water system might be required, rather than individual wells and septic system. Mr. Scheid further stated that Mr. Patton had felt the Planning Commission minutes were in error but when presented to the Planning Commission, they said the minutes were correct. He said he was now awaiting a decision from the County Attorney.

Mr. Weber asked if Mr. Patton would consider connecting to water now and sewer later if it was available. Mr. Patton stated economics would not allow him.

Sgt. Major Kellum appeared in support of the rezoning request. As a resident along Rt. 615 he said he desired the development so the area could benefit from better services from the utilities and the county. He further stated that a road count had not been put on the road. He said he had counted as high as 70 cars a day.

Mr. E.P. Wilkinson appeared in support of the rezoning request.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Weber moved that the rezoning request, P-80-4, of William Patton be disapproved. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion stating that the request was not compatible with the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and he saw no reason to overrule the recommendation of the Planning Commission. However, he did have a desire to help the residents in the area. Mr. Weber stated that he did not feel it would help to have 500 more houses at this time. His main concern was with the small lots people would be buying later on.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the rezoning application, P-80-4 of Mr. William A. Patton was disapproved.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 4:11 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 4:18 P.M.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--DISCUSSION OF NEW TRUCK

Mr. Bill Queen of the Namozine VFD appeared before the Board to discuss the problems they are having with their 1964 tanker and to present three bids for a new tanker-pumper. He advised the Board that the 1964 pumper had a large hole in the tank and the pumping capacity is not large enough to handle the increased need in the area. Mr. Donald Porter also stated that the brakes on the truck were not adequate to carry the large amount of water. The original date of replacement of the truck was 1984.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the department had looked at retanking the truck. Mr. Porter said they had not because of the baffling that would have to be moved.

The department recommended the truck manufactured by Oren for $87,959 which would be available by August, 1981.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the Department could get an estimate on retanking the truck until enough money has been set aside to buy a truck as originally scheduled. Mr. Porter stated an estimate could be gotten; however, he wondered what inflation would do to the cost of a new truck later on. He further stated that the brakes would need replacing along with other things due to the age of the truck. Mr. Clay stated that low mileage should not be as harmful on the brakes. Mr. Porter stated that his main concern was the safety of the vehicle. Mr. Robertson suggested that if the truck were retanked to consider a 1500 gallon tank rather than a 2,000 gallon for adequacy of the brakes. Mr. Weber agreed that a new truck was needed and a larger one. Mr. Porter stated that the department had talked with Mr. Ed Sweeny about fire protection for his new industrial needs which would require increased usage of a truck. Mr. Ben Hawkins stated that the truck being proposed was a diesel engine. He further stated that the moral of the department needed support to keep the interest alive.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the County could not do everything at one time; however, he agreed with upgrading the truck when the funds were available.

Mr. Robertson stated that if $25,000 was being set aside each year, and delivery would be in August, then the Board would only be advancing the schedule by $30,000. He stated he wanted to do everything he could for the fire departments and he was concerned about the safety of the truck. He

then asked if the department had checked into a trade-in value on the truck. They stated they had not. Mr. Robertson stated he would like to see the department members present a concrete method of disposing of the truck, to see if it could be traded in and to determine if it will run 10 more months in its present condition.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Namozine VFD be instructed to obtain prices on retanking, rebraking, and trading in the 1964 tanker; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that action be postponed until the December 17, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have:

1. In discussing Rt. 615, the Board agreed that it could not be blocked to through traffic. Mr. Medlock stated that the Highway Department would not continue to maintain the road any further than it was required to.

2. Mr. Robertson informed Mr. Medlock that all the traffic signs along Rt. 226 going to Rt. 460 had been knocked down and should be reinstalled.

3. Mr. Robertson asked that the Highway Department monitor the sweeping of the entrance road off Rt. 226 to Lone Star to see that it is kept clear of sand and debris obstructing traffic using the road.

4. Mr. Robertson stated that the signs on Glendale and DelKeith Roads needed replacing.

5. Mr. Robertson reminded Mr. Medlock about the two dead trees on Ferndale Road. Mr. Medlock said the property owner had been contacted about removal of the trees.

Mr. Weber asked that the holes be filled where bumps had been burned off U.S. #1.

6. The County Administrator presented a letter from the Highway Department concerning the environmental impact of the project on Route 600. The Board stated they endorsed the project and knew of no real opposition.

7. Mr. Medlock advised the Board that the Department was working with a surveyor to clear up the boundary lines on the Shands Road. Only two homes are occupied on that road at the present time.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--PLANNING COMMISSION

Mr. Weber offered Mr. Ralph Lewis to replace Mr. E.D. McKenney on the Planning Commission. Mr. Robertson offered Mrs. Inell Spencer Moody to replace Mrs. Ann Scarborough.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Ralph Lewis and Mrs. Inell Moody be appointed to the Planning Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1984.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following be reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Indistrial Development Corporation, terms expiring December 31, 1981:

Mr. Jack DeBoer, Mr. Donald Williams, Mr. Lynwood Inge, Mr. L.C. Boisseau, Mr. W.C. Knott, Mr. W.E. Bolte.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Kenneth Wright be reappointed to the District 19 Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Board, term expiring December 31, 1983.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Mr. Robertson offered Mr. A.S. Clay to replace himself on the Transportation Safety Commission. Mr. Weber offered Mr. Gilbert Wood to replace Mr. E.D. McKenney. As the Sheriff's Department has two representatives on the Commission, it was suggested that Sheriff Mitchell be appointed as an Ex Officio member.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. A.S. Clay and Mr. Gilbert Wood be appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1981; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Roy Hodges, Mr. Wayne Gwaltney, Mr. George Soloe, and Mr. Bill Queen be reappointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1981; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Sheriff Charles L. Mitchell be reappointed as an Ex Officio member.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY

Mr. Robertson nominated Dr. J. Darrell Rice to replace Mr. Robert Ritchie on the Appomattox River Water Authority, whose term expired November 21, 1980.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Dr. J. Darrell Rice be appointed to the Appomattox River Water Authority, term expiring November 21, 1984.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Granville Maitland be reappointed to the CPDC Planning & Development Advisory Council, term expiring

October 31, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC COMMUNITY RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Board instructed the Director of Planning to suggest a replacement from the Planning Commission to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council to present to them for consideration.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Robertson stated that the Water Authority members could serve until reappointment and he felt they should remain until after the first of the year. Mr. Weber stated the appointments should be postponed until the litigation concerning the members is cleared. The County Administrator was instructed to ask the County Attorney to determine when these appointments could be made to present at the next meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:40 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 6:14 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 6:15 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the December 3, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-80-2433 thru 80-2678 amounting to $137,594.35; Water and Sewer Fund check #W&S-80-8 in the amount of $57,155.16; County Construction Fund check #CCF-80-4 in the amount of $50.00; Library Fund check LF-80-15 in the amount of $69.50.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AUTO TAG AGENT--GIBB'S STORE

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis, Treasurer, appeared before the Board to request authorization for auto tags to be sold at Gibb's Store at Wilsons. Mrs. Lewis stated that since tags were no longer sold at the Baltimore Corner Store, an agent was needed in the Darvills-Wilsons area.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Gibb's Store at Wilsons be hereby approved as an agent to sell auto tags for the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, $300,000 and $200,000 have previously been transferred to the Water and Sewer Account; and

WHEREAS, an additional $100,000 is needed to cover the balance of the water and sewer project; and

WHEREAS, funds from the Industrial Grant nor the School Bond Account are available at this time; and

WHEREAS, a request has been presented by the Superintendent of Schools for an additional $100,000 for the School Construction Fund; and

WHEREAS, funds from the Literary Loan are not available at this time; and

WHEREAS, these funds are expected to be returned to the General Fund as these other sources become available;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $100,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the Water and Sewer Account as needed, retroactive to December 10, 1980; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $100,000 be transferred from the General Fund to the School Construction Fund as needed.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-6--JAMES A MUSGROVE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Thursday, December 4, 1980 and Wednesday, December 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning map of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, by changing the district classification from Residential R-1 to Agricultural A-2 of the following tracts of land:

Parcels 128B and 128C of Sec. 21; Parcels 10,11,12,19, 20A and 20B of Sec. Insert 21G, Block 1; and Parcel 3 of Sec. Insert 21G, Block 3.

The Director of Planning briefly introduced the case. Mr. Weber asked Mr. Scheid if the property could be rezoned A-2 with a conditional use permit, since Mr. Musgrove only wanted to build four houses on the property. Mr. Scheid stated that he did not think the number of houses could be limited under conditional zoning. Mr. Weber then asked if a variance could be granted to build more than one home. Mr. Scheid advised him that it would have to go before the Board of Zoning Appeals and it was very difficult for him to answer not knowing exactly what Mr. Musgrove wanted.

Mr. Hargrave asked why Mr. Weber was questioning the number of homes that could be built. Mr. Scheid stated that there was an access problem. Only two right-of-ways exist and Mr. Musgrove felt it was not financially feasible to build a road to serve more homes.

Mr. Musgrove appeared in support of his rezoning request. He stated that 35 acres were swamp and water which he would not build on. He further stated that he could subdivide and have twelve one-half acre lots but it would take more money to build the road than he would gain from the development of the lots. Under Agricultural A-2, he could build four houses, two on each right-of-way. He stated these four houses could connect into the water and sewer along Lewis Road.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this rezoning request.

Mr. Weber stated that he had wanted to see the request granted with a conditional use permit but since this could not be done, he felt Mr. Musgrove should pursue obtaining a variance.

Mr. Scheid reviewed the Planning Commission minutes wherein they recommended disapproval. The case was considered by the Planning Commission at two meetings, at which it was tabled the first time.

Mr. Robertson asked how many Planning Commission members were present at the meeting. Mr. Scheid stated he thought six were there.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt there was no reason to change the opinion of the Planning Commission and moved disapproval

of the rezoning request. Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the rezoning request P-80-6 of James A Musgrove was disapproved.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--PURCHASE OF NEW TANKER-PUMPER

Mr. Donald Porter and members of the Namozine VFD appeared before the Board to present information on retanking, rebraking and trading in their 1964 pumper requested by the Board at their December 3, 1980 meeting.

Mr. Porter presented one bid on retanking the truck from Jones and Frank for $7,888. He stated he had tried several other places and they would not bid. The bid was to replace the 2,000 gallon tank with a 1500 gallon tank. He further stated that the truck had been weighed and the tank was 30,170 pounds on a 25,000 pound chassis. Mr. Porter also presented an estimate from Colonial Ford Truck to rebrake the truck converting to air brakes for $10,000 and a bid from Standard Parts for $9,848. He stated that the companies contacted felt the brakes were physically in good shape for that type of brakes. However, the members felt the brakes were not sufficient for the size of the truck.

Mr. Porter further stated that Jack Slagle offered $4,000 for the 1964 pumper; however, the members felt this was based on Slagle being awarded the bid for a new truck.

Mr. Weber asked the department if they thought they could do a better job selling it themselves. Mr. Porter felt they could store the pump and probably sell the chassis for close to what Jack Slagle had offered for the whole truck.

Mr. Robertson asked if the present truck would last until August, 1981. Mr. Bill Queen stated he felt it would if the truck condition didn't get any worse. He stated the tank wasn't losing alot of water at this time.

Mr. Hargrave felt they should first look at the reduced load on the brakes after retanking before spending money on new brakes at the same time. Mr. Porter stated he was still concerned about the safety of the truck because on several occasions, the brakes had failed and then come back. Parker Simmons stated that the brakes got so hot on runs that the linings were glazed.

Mr. Ben Hawkins presented the cost and financing plan for the purchase of a new truck from Jack Slagle for $90,539.70. The new truck would be a diesel engine, have a 1,000 gpm pump and a 1500 gallon tank. He stated the Ford chassis would be $39,627.00 with probable delivery in May of 1981. If the chassis is paid for within 30 days, the County would receive a $2,377.62 rebate. The balance of the truck could be financed for five years and payments would be $9,482.25 a year. The first payment would not be due until November, 1982. Expected delivery of the truck is November 1, 1981.

Mr. Hargrave stated that payment for the chassis would have to be put in the upcoming budget. Mr. Weber stated that he felt what the fire departments presented was the most feasible plan and the diesel engine was needed; therefore, he would approve their request. Mr. Hargrave stated that he wanted to discuss the diesel engine. He stated he was concerned about the wear on a diesel engine on some of the roads the department had to travel. He asked if they should wait before going to a heavier pump. Mr. Queen stated they needed the heavier equipment now.

Mr. Porter stated that he thought the fire department could purchase a new radio if the new truck were purchased.

Mr. Henry Walker asked what would happen to the price of the truck if the County waited to purchase it. He stated the department felt they needed a truck and were dedicated people.

Mr. Weber moved that the County purchase the tanker-pumper as presented by the Namozine VFD for $90,539.70 with the financing to be determined at a later date. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave felt that $90,000 was a large jump from the last truck that was purchased for $57,000. He also stated that he felt the diesel engine should last longer than the expected 20 years.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye", Mr. Clay voted "nay".

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT--MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

A memorandum of understanding was presented by the Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Robertson moved that the Memorandum of Understanding be taken under advisement until it could be more clearly understood. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", action was postponed.

IN RE: REGIONAL SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the need for continuing study and monitoring of all aspects of solid waste disposal was the subject of a resolution by the City Council of Colonial Heights;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following procedure is hereby adopted:

First, that the Crater Solid Waste Study Task Force be appointed to provide guidance during an initial planning period. This task force shall be comprised of the Chief Executive Officer of each member local government of the Crater Planning District Commission. In addition, the County Executive of Chesterfield County is requested to participate. The staff of the Crater Planning District Commission shall act as the secretariat.

Second, the task force shall recommend, in a timely manner, the appointment of a solid waste study commission to implement the planning to be developed by the task force; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. W. C. Knott, County Administrator of Dinwiddie County is hereby appointed by this governing body as its representative on the Crater Solid Waste Study Task Force.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT RENEWALS--DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE AND WOMEN OF THE MOOSE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge and the Women of the Moose have made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of their Bingo and Raffle Permits for the calendar year 1981; and

WHEREAS, these two organizations meet the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and have paid the required $10 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge and the Women of the Moose are hereby granted renewals of their Bingo and Raffle Permits for the calendar year 1981.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Robert Bowden be appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, term expiring December 31, 1981.

IN RE: CPDC COMMUNITY RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL

The appointment to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council was postponed until the January 21, 1980 meeting to allow the three new members to the Planning Commission to have an opportunity to serve if they so desire.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION

Mr. Weber stated that due to the feeling of the Va. Dept. of Highways & Transp. that it would be a conflict of interest, Mr. Ralph Lewis would be unable to serve on the Planning Commission at this time.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the resolution adopted at the December 3, 1980 meeting appointing Mr. Ralph Lewis to the Planning Commission be rescinded; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. John V. Talmage be appointed to the Planning Commission, term expiring December 31, 1984.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:20 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened to Open Session at 10:15 p.m.

IN RE: SECURITY LIGHT AT DUMPSTER SITE--ROUTE 460

The County Administrator presented a letter from VEPCO stating an estimate for the installation of a security light at the dumpster location on Rt. 460. The total cost of the light including installation would be $1303.70. Mr. Hargrave stated he could not justify paying that much money for a security light. Mr. Clay agreed.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that based upon the estimate for installation presented by VEPCO, that the motion to install the security light at the dumpster site on Rt. 460 adopted at the September 17, 1980 meeting be rescinded.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF CHRISTMAS HOLIDAYS FOR COUNTY EMPLOYEES

Mr. Clay stated that he had been questioned by the

Social Services Department about what holidays the County employees were going to be given for Christmas and New Years. The Governor has declared December 26 and January 2 holidays for all State employees.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that December 26, 1980 be declared a holiday for County employees; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that January 2, 1981 will be a work day.

IN RE: FIRE INSURANCE CLASSIFICATION FOR ROHOIC DISTRICT

The County Administrator presented a letter from the Fire Insurance Services office stating that the fire insurance classification for the Rohoic District in Dinwiddie County has been improved from Class 9 to Class 6. The new rates will be effective January 1, 1981.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr.Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:27 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDING

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, Mr. A.S. Clay was elected Chairman of the Board for the year 1981 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board for the year 1981 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 17, 1980 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1 through 81-8 amounting to $30,352.71; History Book Fund - check #HB-81-1 in the amount of $11.73; Water & Sewer Fund - check #W&S-81-1 in the amount of $30,729.12.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of December, 1980. Mr. Robertson asked how taxes were coming in. Mrs. Lewis stated as of December 5, 1980, 84.5% were in, which was about 1% less than last year. Mr. Robertson also asked if Mrs. Lewis had received any delinquent taxes as a result of running the delinquent list in the paper. She stated she had received $7,000 and she felt that $5,000 was as a result of the ad that was run. The list of remaining uncollected 1977 taxes has gone to the Clerk's Office for the Board's action.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of December, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of December, 1980.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley appeared before the Board to give a brief update on the Fuel Allocation Program. She stated that due to a computer problem, the payment of checks has been held up; however, she hoped that it had been corrected and checks would be going out shortly.

IN RE: CHANGES IN PRIMARY SYSTEMS DUE TO RELOCATION AND CONSTRUCTION ON RT. 460

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Primary Route 460, From 6.542 Mi. E. Dinwiddie-Nottoway C.L. to 1.406 Mi. E. Dinwiddie-Nottoway C.L., a distance of 5.136 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 460, Project 6460-026-104, C508, C505 dated at Richmond, Virginia 11-5-80".

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the portions of Secondary Route 639, i.e., Section 2, shown in brown on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 460, Project 6460-026-104, C508, C505 dated at Richmond, Virginia 11-5-80", a total distance of 0.08 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33.141 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sections of old location, i.e., Section 1, shown in green on the afore-mentioned sketch, a total distance of 0.19 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33-76.12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:15 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 2:57 P.M.

IN RE: VA. DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Mr. Medlock advised the Board that work on the Bobbitt Road was almost complete and the Board should again meet to establish priorities for the next rural addition.

2. In discussing rural additions, Mr. Robertson asked what would be needed to complete Rt. 615 to connect it with Rt. 670. Mr. Medlock advised the Board that obtaining the needed right-of-ways between Rt. 615 and Rt. 670 was the only thing holding them up.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to take the necessary action to obtain the right-of-ways needed by the VDH&T to allow the construction of that portion of the road needed to connect Rt. 615 with Rt. 670.

3. Mr. Medlock asked what the Board wanted to do with West Drive. He stated he didn't want to see it dropped at this point as he thought from the way the deed read that possibly the County might already own or could rightfully take the property in question. He further advised the Board to ask the County Attorney to review the deed.

The County Administrator stated that he had tried on several occasions to contact the attorney working with the case, Steve White, but was not successful. He said he would try to talk with him in person before the February 4, 1980 meeting.

4. Mr. Robertson asked what the time frame was for completing the project at the intersection of Rt. 600 and Rt. 226. Mr. Medlock stated he hoped it would be completed this year, probably after July. Mr. Robertson also asked about the Southern Pines project. Mr. Medlock stated that should be complete before July, 1981.

5. Mr. Weber asked Mr. Medlock to check on repairing the entrance to Rt. 714 off U.S. #1 where a large piece of the pavement has been knocked out.

6. Mr. Hargrave asked what the possibility was of having the stop sign at the intersection of Rt. 619 and U.S. #1 changed to a Yield sign. Mr. Medlock stated that all secondary roads fronting on or leading into a primary road must have a stop sign according to present regulations.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:25 P.M.

A.S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF JANUARY, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 7, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-9 through 81-125 amounting to $109,919.63.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--FUNDING FOR PART-TIME DISPATCHERS

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to request $3,000 additional funding for Part-time Dispatchers for his 1980-81 budget. This request had been postponed from a previous meeting. He stated that due to vacations taken and an excessive amount of illness, this category has been depleted.

Mr. Robertson stated that action on the request had been postponed to allow an evaluation of the Dispatching system. He stated that the Dispatcher plays a key role in emergency situations and he felt the County must be prepared for a major disaster. He further stated that training was available now at the Crater Criminal Justice Academy for Dispatchers.

Mr. Robertson moved that the $3,000 additional funding be approved for Part-time Dispatchers contingent upon all Dispatchers being required to take a two-day training course. Mr. Weber seconded the motion, stating that improving the Dispatchers would benefit the County.

Mr. Bennett asked whether the funds would be 100% reimbursed. He was advised they would be.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to be assured that the depletion of funds was due to an excessive amount of illness rather than a lack of diligence by some workers.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, voted "aye".

IN RE: LAND USE VALUATION PRELIMINARY VALUES

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to present a preliminary report on the result of Land Use valuations. They are as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Total Fair Market Value | $124,524,100 |
| Total Land Use Value | 78,648,640 |
| Total Fair Market Value Deferred | 45,875,460 |

Mr. Bolte reported that the total deferred value amounts to $284,428 in tax dollars. Based upon the present levy, he further stated that an increase of 9.46¢ would be needed to offset this deferred value.

Mr. Bennett asked what was decided on Land Use in Amelia. Mr. Bolte stated that he thought all categories were omitted except agriculture.

Mr. Weber asked how many applications were made for Horticulture. Mr. Bolte advised him there were none. He then asked how many forest companies had applied. Mr. Bolte said two or three but not all of them.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the County was headed for a difficult time as the year goes by and he felt the Board was going to need to reconsider limiting Land Use effective January 1, 1982 to Agriculture only. He advised the Board to think about it through their work on the budget to see if there were any alternatives; however, he could see no other choice at this time. He urged the Board to seriously consider it since action would have to be taken by July 1 of this year. He felt the burden should be taken off the landowner to equalize the tax. He didn't feel all four categories were needed at this time.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the tax burden had been equalized. It was placed on those in homes who cause the burden and call for services. He further stated that the Board had expected 12% in their previous discussions on Land Use.

Mr. Robertson stated that the comments made towards homeowners being a separate faction that caused the tax burden upset him. He felt all citizens were a part of the County.

Mr. Hargrave stated he had not meant to infer they were a separate faction, only that people cause the demand for services.

Mr. Robertson felt all citizens should pay on an equal basis. The burden should not be put on one segment and he hoped they would be able to work out a solution in the future.

Mr. Hargrave stated they needed to look at decreasing some of the expenses rather than where the income was coming from.

Mr. Weber stated that he had been against Land Use in the beginning and he still was. He just wanted to see everyone treated equally.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT--FY 81-82

The Director of Planning presented an application for renewal of the Litter Control Grant for FY 81-82. He stated the grant was for $6,644 to hire three individuals for the County's summer clean-up program around the dumpster sites.

Mr. Robertson asked that the employees hired be from the County. He was advised they have been in the past.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of Grants for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses and supports such a program for the County of Dinwiddie as is indicated in the attached Application Form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby authorizes the County Administrator to plan, budget, and apply for a Grant, which if approved, will be used to fund said Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby requests the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve said Application and Program, said Program being in accord with the Regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: PETERSBURG JAYCEES ANNUAL PIG-NIC -- APPROVAL OF PERMIT FOR 1981

The County Administrator presented an application for a permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held April 29, 1981 at the Petersburg Airport. Attendance of 3,000 is expected.

Mr. Robertson asked if any new Rescue Squads had been added for distribution of the proceeds. He was advised that Ettrick-Matoaca and Prince George Emergency Squads had been added. Approval of the permit is contingent upon approval by the Airport Authority. The Director of Planning stated the Authority had given approval contingent upon their review of the operations plan.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the application for a permit for the Petersburg Jaycees to hold a barbecue on April 29, 1981 was approved with the conditions as stated therein.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:40 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:25 P.M.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF AUDIT

Mr. Walter E. Cox of Robinson, Farmer and Cox Associates appeared before the Board to present the 1979-80 audit and answer any questions they might have.

He advised the Board that there was $914.99 in the deferred account of the Treasurer that should be paid to Mr. F.E. Jones personally. This cash is a result of accumulated cash overages incurred while he was in office.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:40 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF STUDENT GUESTS

The Chairman recognized Mr. Warren Darby and Mr. Todd Morgan, student guests from the Senior High School.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 21, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-126 through 81-222 amounting to $67,265,52.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of January, 1981.

IN RE: DISCUSSION ON ENFORCEMENT OF COUNTY TAGS

Mr. Robertson stated that he had noticed several cars that did not have current county tags or tags at all as he came into the Administration Building and asked what could be done about enforcement. Mrs. Lewis stated that she felt enforcement was the responsibility of the Sheriff's Department. Mr. Robertson asked if the Board could take any steps to help this problem. Mr. Bennett suggested that the print-out from DMV that Mr. Bolte received be compared against the list of tags purchased to see who was delinquent and then letters be sent to these individuals advising them of their responsibilities.

Mr. Hargrave questioned whether all County residents were on that list. Mr. Bolte stated some residents with Petersburg addresses were listed in the City of Petersburg. Mr. Bolte further stated that he felt the code should be enforced by the Sheriff's Department, not by writing letters.

Mr. Robertson asked if a county employee could take it on as a part-time project to check county tags.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the Sheriff's Department should serve tickets. Sheriff Mitchell advised the Board that he felt the courts should be urged to set higher penalties. Mr. Bennett asked the Sheriff if he planned to have any road checks. The Sheriff stated he planned to within the next couple of weeks.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of January, 1981.

IN RE: W.F. SLAYDEN--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. W.F. Slayden was awarded $75 for three (3) goats.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BONDING--WATER SYSTEM FOR CHESDIN MANOR

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, appeared before the Board to discuss a form of bonding presented by Leo Williams for the water system needed for Sections 2,3 and 4 of Chesdin Manor. This bonding is in the form of an agreement between Leo Williams, First Colonial Savings & Loan Assoc. and Moseley & Nash Enterprises, Inc. Mr. Scheid stated he had reviewed it with the County Attorney and their concern was that this agreement did not include the county and, therefore, gave the County no enforcement ability should the project fail. This agreement also represents a form of bonding which is not included in the bonding policy adopted by the County which provides for either a corporate surety bond or an irrevocable letter of credit.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the agreement for bonding presented by Leo Williams for Sections 2, 3, and 4 of Chesdin Manor not be accepted.

IN RE: RENEWAL--JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL AGREEMENT

The County Administrator presented an Addendum to the Agreement between the County and the Virginia Dept. of Agriculture and Consumer Services for the pilot program for Johnsongrass Control. The original agreement expires June 30, 1981. This Addendum would allow the agreement to continue until June 30, 1982.

Mr. Bennett suggested that the Supervisor working with the program come to the next Board meeting to make a recommendation. Mr. Clay stated that he felt the Supervisors who have worked with the program had done a good job of making the farmers and public aware of the problem and he would like to see it extended.

Mr. Weber asked Mr. Bennett if he had had many farmers respond in his area. He stated he had not because Johnsongrass wasn't as prevalent there.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator is hereby authorized to sign the Addendum to the Agreement between the County of Dinwiddie and the Va. Dept. of Agriculture and Consumer Services to extend the pilot program for Johnsongrass Control through June 30, 1982.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT--MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

The Memorandum of Understanding presented by the Appomattox River Soil and Water Conservation District for execution with the County was postponed for further study at the December 17, 1980 meeting. Mr. Clay stated that he could not see the need for a long agreement when the County has always cooperated and worked with the District in the past. Mr. Hargrave agreed

and stated he saw no need for a written agreement with specific responsibilities delineated for each party.

Mr. Clay read a letter drafted by the County Administrator in response to the Memorandum of Understanding.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to forward the following letter to the Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District:

Dear Mr. Antozzi:

This is in response to your letter of November 20, 1980 in which you enclosed a proposed Memorandum of Understanding for the Board of Supervisors' consideration and possible approval.

The Board of Supervisors supports the overall goals and objectives as outlined when the Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District was established several years ago. However, it does not feel the need to execute a Memorandum of Understanding.

As the need arises, the Board of Supervisors will be most happy to discuss the concerns of the Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District and urges you to call upon the various departments of the County to assist in carrying out your duties.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--RENEWAL FOR DARVILLS COMMUNITY CENTER

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Darvills Community Center has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of a Bingo & Raffle Permit for 1981; and

WHEREAS, the Community Center meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Darvills Community Center is hereby granted renewal of their Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1981.

IN RE: SHANDS ROAD

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to review the status of the Shands Road for consideration to be built and taken into the secondary roads system. He stated there was still some confusion on the survey. Since the road was first considered, several people have moved and Mr. Medlock advised the Board to review the road again before taking any action.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Shands Road be removed from the present priority list and placed on the roads to be reviewed this Spring by the 1981 Roadviewers.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. The County Administrator provided the Board with a list of roads in the County to be put in a priority listing to be taken into the State Secondary Roads system. Also included was a list of roads in subdivisions. The County Administrator advised the Board that they should first decide whether they wanted to include roads in subdivisions in this priority listing.

Mr. Medlock stated the Department had $17,000 that could be used now or held over until the new fiscal year.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he knew there were a number of other subdivisions built prior to the ordinance which he would like to see included in a list and then have them viewed by the Roadviewers.

Mr. Clay agreed that he would like to have all the roads viewed again.

Mr. Hargrave stated that after reviewing the status of the Browder Road Extension and the number of houses left to serve, he felt the Highway Department had gone as far as their policy allowed. Mr. Medlock stated the Highway Department felt they were finished with the road. Mr. Hargrave, therefore, suggested that the road be removed from the list.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a list be prepared of all the roads in the County, including those in old subdivisons, that are to be considered to be taken into the secondary roads system; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these roads be viewed by the 1981 Roadviewers and recommendations be made to the Board of Supervisors.

2. Mr. Medlock advised the Board that the suggestion to put a yield sign at the intersection of Rt. 619 and U.S. #1 had not been turned down. The Department was still reviewing it.

3. The Chairman of the Board presented Mr. Medlock and the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation with a County Seal to be placed in the Petersburg Residency Office.

IN RE: ENDORSEMENT OF OLD DOMINION EMS ALLIANCE GRANT APPLICATION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Richmond Emergency Medical, the Southside, South Central and Crater Emergency Medical Services Councils have joined to create the Old Dominion EMS Alliance; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this Alliance is to achieve better coordination of existing EMS resources and to supplement these resources to improve the delivery of emergency medical services in the region; and

WHEREAS, this organization has previously applied for

federal funding under the EMSS Act for the grant period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981 and wishes to apply for a continuation of these funds for July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982; and

WHEREAS, these funds may be utilized by local emergency squads and emergency medical organizations to upgrade their services and improve the overall emergency medical response;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses the efforts of the Old Dominion EMS Alliance to secure federal funding to provide for a regional EMS system in Central Virginia.

IN RE: 1980-81 TRANSPORTATION SAFETY FUNDS

The Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission advised the Board that the reimbursement for the 1979-80 project of the driving range, in the amount of $40,000, has been received. She furthrer advised the Board that the 1980-81 project requests had received tentative approval but no expenditures of money could be made.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Aubrey T. Pennington, Sr. was appointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring February 5, 1985.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:21 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., February 10, 1981.

FEBRUARY 10, 1981 - CONTINUATION OF MEETING HELD FEBRUARY 4, 1981

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1979-80 AUDIT AND 1980-81 INCOME AND EXPENSES

The Board reviewed and discussed the 1979-80 audit and the 1980-81 income and expenses.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:30 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: RECOGNITION OF TRI-HI-Y CLUB

The President and sponsor of the Dinwiddie Senior High Tri-Hi-Y Club and several of the members were recognized by the Chairman.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the February 4, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-223 through 81-355 amounting to $70,011,32; Library Fund checks-numbers LF-81-1 and LF-81-2 amounting to $260.34; County Construction Fund check #CCF-81-1 in the amount of $125; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-81-2 in the amount of $26,038.40.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-7--A.D. LIVINGSTON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 4, 1981 and Wednesday, February 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning map of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the district classification of Section 80, Parcel 62, containing approximately 4 acres from Business, General B-2 to Agricultural, General A-2, more specifically bounded as follows: to the east by I-85; to the north by Gulf Oil Corporation; to the west and south by the lands of John E. Batts.

The Director of Planning reviewed the rezoning request and the action taken by the Planning Commission who recommended approval at their January 14, 1981 meeting.

Mr. Livingston appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the zoning map of Dinwiddie County be amended to change the district classification from business, general B-2 to agricultural, general, A-2 a certain parcel of land containing

4 acres more or less, being designated as parcel 62 of section 80 of the County land records.

In all other respects, said Zoning Ordinance of Dinwiddie County is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-80-8--LYNN GRIMMER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 4, 1981 and Wednesday, February 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning map of Dinwiddie County, Virginia by changing the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 65A, containing 8.4 acres, from Residential, Limited R-1 to Agricultural, General A-2. Said parcel of land is more specifically bounded as follows: to the west by Rt. 672; to the south by Rt. 613; to the east by the lands of Mitchell Harris and John Staton; and to the north by the lands of Virginia P. Short.

The Director of Planning reviewed the request and the action by the Planning Commission who recommended approval at their January 14, 1981 meeting.

Mrs. Lynn Grimmer appeared in support of her rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the zoning map be amended by changing the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 65A, containing 8.4 acres from residential R-1 to agricultural, A-2.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-81-1--PLANTERS' WAREHOUSE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 4, 1981 and Wednesday, February 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the County Code of Dinwiddie by changing the district classification of Sec. 21, Parcel 149, from Residential R-1 to Industrial General, M-2. Said parcel of land contains approximately 8.4 acres and is generally defined by a plat drawn by George Whitman, Jr., a copy of which accompanies the rezoning request. The land is bounded on the south, east and west by the Petersburg Municipal Airport and to the north by U.S. Rt. 460.

The Director of Planning reviewed the request and action taken by the Planning Commission, who recommended approval at their February 11, 1981 meeting. Mr. Scheid read a letter from the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, adjacent property owners, wherein they stated they did not object to the rezoning but requested the following condition be added to protect future air operations:

> "Future development of this land, building or premises shall not permit any radio, television, power, etc., tower which would penetrate the air space needed for aircraft or cause any disturbance of an electrical/sight visibility nature in the operations of said aircraft or airfield."

He then read a letter in response from Mr. Warren Bain representing the Planters' Warehouse, stating that the principals accepted the condition as requested by the Airport Authority.

Mr. Scheid questioned whether a condition of this type

could be placed on the rezoning and advised the Board that sections of the County ordinance already provided this protection. He stated that under Sec. 17-78 (a) of the County Code for M-2 zoning, before any permit for construction or a new use is issued, the plans must be submitted and approved by the Zoning Administrator. He also cited Sec. 17-99 which states restrictions applicable in areas adjacent to Airports. Mr. Scheid, therefore, questioned whether the letter was needed and recommended reference to those Code Sections for the protection of the Airport property.

Mr. Robertson stated his concern for the crossovers on Rt. 460 for access to the property. Mr. Scheid advised him that a crossover was very close to the entrance to the property.

Mr. Warren Bain appeared in support of the rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Zoning Map be amended by changing the district classification of Section 21, Parcel 149, from Residential, R-1 to Industrial, General, M-2.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: LETTER TO PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY - REZONING CASE P-81-1

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to write a letter to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority outlining the Board's action on rezoning case P-81-1, Planter's Warehouse, and citing the Code Sections which provide protection for their concern.

IN RE: PROGRESS-INDEX--SPECIAL EDITION AD PARTICIPATION

The County Administrator presented a request from the Progress-Index for the County's participation in a special edition in recognition of Ft. Lee and Ft. Pickett. The cost of an ad in the issue would be $432. Mr. Hargrave stated that an ad would be nice but he could not see the cost at this time when the Board would be sitting down shortly to face the budget. Mr. Bennett agreed stating that the County was facing hard times. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the County should contribute to show their appreciation for having Ft. Lee and Ft. Pickett. Mr. Robertson stated that he didn't want it to appear that the County did not support or appreciate Ft. Lee and Ft. Pickett; however, he felt the County had to be frugal at this time.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County not participate in placing an ad in the Special Edition of the Progress-Index in tribute to Ft. Lee and Ft. Pickett; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be instructed to write a letter to the Progress-Index expressing the County's appreciation for the invitation to participate in the Special Issue.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FRANKLIN HALL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Franklin Hall was reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring February 5, 1985.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION--LEGERT HAMILTON

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Legert Hamilton was appointed to the Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term of Mr. J.O. Lee, term expiring December 31, 1981.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--1981 ROADVIEWERS

The following nominations were made for the 1981 Roadviewers: Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Henry G. Walker, Sr.; Mr. Weber nominated Mrs. Barbara Wilson; Mr. Clay nominated Mr. C.Y. Avery; Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. John A. Hawkes; Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. T.O. Rainey. All members voting "aye", the following appointments were made for the 1981 Roadviewers:

Mr. Henry G. Walker, Sr; Mrs. Barbara Wilson; Mr. C.Y. Avery; Mr. John A. Hawkes; Mr. T.O. Rainey.

IN RE: WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Weber asked that the Water Authority appointments be made at the next meeting. The County Administrator was instructed to find out if the water and sewer litigation was settled and if these appointments could be made at the next meeting.

IN RE: 1981-82 HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

The Secretary presented the 1981-82 Highway Safety Plan approved by the Transportation Safety Commission at their February 10, 1981 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following projects were approved in the order of priority as listed for inclusion in the 1981-82 Highway Safety Plan:

| | | Local | Federal |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | School Board - Driver Education Range | | |
| | Fencing - Range & Security Area - including gate | 9500 | 9500 |
| | Equipment - Range & Classroom | 4800 | 4800 |
| 2. | School Board - Flashing Lights | | |
| | Two Flashing School Zone Lights Eastside Elementary School | 1000 | 1000 |

IN RE: REPORT ON RT. 615 AND CABLETELEVISION

Mr. Robertson asked if there was any progress on the right-of-way acquisition for Rt. 615. The County Administrator stated he had no report at this time.

Mr. Robertson also asked about the status of the cable-television effort. The County Administrator stated there was one company who was very interested that he was allowing 60 days before contacting again and one company that was slightly interested.

IN RE: DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had talked with Richard Jones, the attorney who was handling the delinquent real estate suits, and was told he would be filing twenty to thirty suits within the next sixty days. Then he would proceed with the next ones turned over to the Circuit Court Clerk.

IN RE AUTO TAG ROAD CHECKS

The County Administrator stated that the Sheriff has held numerous road checks, which several have been tried and fined by the court. Mr. Robertson asked if a list of the number checked and prosecuted was kept. The County Administrator stated he did not know but could find out.

IN RE: 1981 ROADVIEWERS

The County Administrator advised the Board that the Roadviewers would probably go out in March to review the roads on the list they received plus those roads in subdivisions that are eligible. He stated he had two new requests to be added but had not received the necessary paperwork.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:45 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:09 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 8:00 A.M., Thursday, February 19, 1981.

FEBRUARY 19, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 18, 1981 MEETING

ALL MEMBERS PRESENT

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:00 A.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 7:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Tuesday, February 24, 1981.

FEBRUARY 24, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 19, 1981 MEETING

ALL MEMBERS PRESENT

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1981-82 PROPOSED BUDGET

The Board of Supervisors reviewed the projected income and expense figures for the 1981-82 budget.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr.

Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:00 P.M., Monday, March 2, 1981.

MARCH 2, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 24, 1981 MEETING

ALL MEMBERS PRESENT

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:10 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:05 P.M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | ROY HODGES | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the February 18, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-356 through 81-442 amounting to $66,014.80.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- 1981-82 REVENUE SHARING

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 18, 1981 and Wednesday, February 25, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on proposed uses for $365,000 in revenue sharing monies in the 1981-82 budget.

The County Administrator read a letter submitted by Mr. H. Barner Batte suggesting that the revenue sharing monies be used for the following:

1. Add sufficient funds to the 1981-82 budget item Capital Outlay #19/415 Clerk's Office, to add a wing on the present building. This should include a new roof.

2. Use part of the funds to set up an Insurance Trust Fund to decrease the cost of the insurance for the County which is presently budgeted at $120,000.

There were no other comments. No action was taken.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ROAD CHECKS

Investigator Roy Hodges reported that several road checks for county tags have been held and approximately 50 summons issued, of which one-fourth have been tried.

IN RE: COUNTY TAGS FOR VOLUNTEER ORGANIZATIONS

Mr. Clay brought to the Board a request from the Sheriff's Department to give County stickers to the volunteer fire departments and Rescue Squad to place on their windshield in addition to the metal tags they receive. The members are frequently stopped by the Sheriff's Department because there is no county sticker visible on their windshield.

Mr. Robertson asked the Treasurer if issuing these stickers would cause any problems for her office. She advised him that there

would be none that couldn't be worked out.

The County Administrator advised the Board that new metal tags would be ordered for the first of next year, 1982, and suggested that the stickers be given out in conjunction with the new metal tags to aid the recordkeeping of the Treasurer. Mr. Bennett stated that he felt it should be strongly emphasized that the County stickers must be placed on the same vehicle as the metal tag is displayed.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was instructed to issue a county sticker to those members of the volunteer fire departments, rescue squad and special police who receive metal tags, effective January 1, 1982.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of February, 1981.

IN RE: TREASURER--AUTHORIZATION FOR CASH IN OFFICE ACCOUNT

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis, Treasurer, advised the Board that the auditors were working with her to set up her books for the new uniform accounting system and had advised her to establish a Cash In Office account. Mrs. Lewis stated that this account would provide operating money for each day, whereas in the past she had held back a receipt of some type for working change the next day. She felt $200 would be an adequate amount, and was advised by the auditor that it could be written on a Treasurer's check. She emphasized that this money would be used for operating change, not to be spent.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to establish a Cash In Office Account in the amount of $200, by Treasurer's check, to be used only as operating money for daily business needs.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of February, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of February, 1981.

IN RE: R. STEWART--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. R. Stewart was awarded $108 for six (6) pigs.

IN RE: VERNON RAGSDALE--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

The Animal Warden presented a livestock claim from Mr. Vernon Ragsdale for $76 for a 95 lb. calf. In his report, he stated that he did not see the dogs bring the calf down and could not verify the cause of death.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the claim of Mr. Vernon Ragsdale for $76 for one calf was denied.

IN RE: INVESTIGATION OF LIVESTOCK & FOWL CLAIM LAWS

The County Administrator advised the Board that several changes have been made in the law governing the payment of livestock

and fowl claims. He further stated he would ask the County Attorney to review these changes and advise the Board of any action they should take. Mr. Bennett asked if a question could be added to the claim form to find out if the individual has insurance on the animal listed to prevent double payment. The County Administrator stated that he would add this to his instructions to the County Attorney.

Mr. Weber stated he had been contacted by a citizen who had a stray dog on his property that he could not catch or get rid of. He had been told by the Animal Warden that he could not shoot the dog on his property. Mr. Weber questioned whether this was correct. The County Administrator stated that the County did not like to shoot an animal on someone's property if it was not causing damage or harm to property or an individual. He stated that the general public was very critical when something like that took place.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn advised the Board that the reimbursement from the Literary Loan for money advanced by the County had been received and regular reimbursements should continue to come in for expenditures made on the new Sunnyside Elementary School.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR--PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to introduce Mr. Richard M. Smith, who began work March 2, 1981 as Executive Director of the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority.

Mr. Smith stated he was very pleased to be working with the County and the City of Petersburg and was hoping to improve the industrial picture. He distributed copies of a brochure to be mailed out nationally to prospective clients. Mr. Scheid stated that most of the work done in the past was done by the CPDC with a grant and he felt this brochure could be done at a minimal cost.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt industry was needed in the County and he hoped the entrance on U.S. #1 could be improved.

The Board welcomed Mr. Smith to the County and thanked him.

IN RE: WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Water Authority appointments be made at the April 1, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF 'JIMMY KARNES DAY'

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that Jimmy Karnes has been afflicted with an incurable disease and his family is incurring large medical expenses; and

WHEREAS, civic and volunteer organizations throughout the County have organized a campaign to raise money to help the Karnes family;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Saturday, April 4, 1981, be designated "Jimmy Karnes Day"; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Din-

widdie County, Virginia that a copy of this resolution be delivered to the Karnes family and a copy be spread upon the minutes of the March 4, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: PROBLEMS AT BOAT LANDING

The County Administrator advised the Board that the County was facing a serious problem at the Boat Landing because of destruction of property and concern for public safety. He advised the members that there were three alternatives available to the County at this time:

1. To continue to operate in the present manner. 2. To control the operation. 3. To close the operation.

He recommended that if the County wanted to control the boat landing, that they should take steps to do so. He suggested the following: 1. Replace the gate that was stolen with cables. 2. Post the hours of operation 3. The County contract with or hire individuals to man the gate while it is open and charge a $1.00 entrance fee. This $1.00 fee has been authorized by the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries but the County has not been charging it. The County Administrator stated that he hoped the $1 fee would offset the expenses incurred by the County and felt he needed 60-90 days to get it fully operating.

Mr. Weber stated that he would like to see the boat landing stay open and felt that with the help of the Game Warden and Sheriff's Department it could be a nice place to be enjoyed by the citizens of the County.

Mr. Clay stated that he wanted to see it controlled but he wanted the gate fee to take care of the expenses.

Mr. Bennett asked if the road could be closed and where the entrance fee would be collected. He felt the collection point should be near the boat landing to monitor the area.

Mr. Robertson stated he had visited the area and saw the conditions as outlined by the County Administrator. He questioned the collection of the $1.00 fee as there was the possibility that cars would be parked elsewhere and individuals might try to walk in the through the woods. He also questioned whether they might congregate at other areas along the road and cause similar problems. He wondered whether the toll collection could be placed on the road so that no one could get to the boat landing area itself without paying.

The County Administrator suggested that an individual on Rt. 601 could issue the ticket and someone at the parking area could collect the ticket. He stated that the area must be closed at night and with the proper cable and patrol by the Sheriff's Department, it should be a workable operation.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County would assume any more responsibility by charging. The County Administrator advised him there should be no more liability. Mr. Robertson then asked if there were ample funds to do the repair work needed. The County Administrator advised him that the County had been doing repairs all along as the County was responsible for these in the Agreement outside of the toll collection being discussed.

Mr. Clay stated the County would need someone to keep long hours in the summer because of the longer daylight hours.

The Director of Planning stated that there was a considerable amount of swimming and wading at the landing which is not allowed and is very dangerous. He felt that tickets should be issued to enforce the rules.

Mr. Bennett asked the County Administrator what he needed from the Board. He stated he needed permission and authorization

to draw up a plan to present to the Board to control the boat landing operations.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to proceed with a plan to control the operation of the Boat Landing to be presented to the Board for their consideration.

Mr. Bennett stated that he would like to see a 90-day limit be set at which time the operation would be evaluated and that a detailed set of income and expense figures be kept to see if the toll collected was going to be sufficient.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Mr. Bennett stated that Route 627 was terribly rough where the bridge had been replaced and asked the Highway Department to review it. Mr. Medlock stated that they planned to smooth out that area.

Mr. Bennett also reminded Mr. Medlock that Rt. 611 was unbearable and asked him to take a close look at a bad dip in the road on the portion between old Rt. 460 (751) and Rt. 708.

2. Mr. Clay stated that he had received a request to have Rt. 692 paved.

3. Mr. Medlock stated that they would be replacing the bridge on Rt. 708 with pipe and they were about ready to begin work on Clay Street.

4. Mr. Robertson asked that the Highway Department check the timing on the stop light at the intersection of Rt. 1 and Rt. 226. He felt it was holding up traffic too long in the evening rush hour which was causing safety problems because of the direct sunlight at that time.

He also asked Mr. Medlock to investigate the pipe underneath the road at the intersection of Sutherland Drive and Tara Drive in Sutherland Manor. Because the pipe sticks out too far, he felt it could not allow proper drainage and was causing the erosion of the residents' property there.

5. The County Administrator stated they were waiting on the paper work for one more road before setting a date for the Roadviewers to go out. He advised Mr. Medlock to pick a date sometime the last two weeks of the month and get back with him to confirm a time for the Roadviewers to go out.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:20 P.M.

A. S. Clay
A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF MARCH, 1981 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.(arrived 9:50 P.M.) | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, all members present voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:10 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:10 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | J.R. HODGES | INVESTIGATOR |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 4, 1981 regular meeting were approved as presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 16, 1981 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-443 through 81-552 amounting to $58,707.76; Water and Sewer Fund-check # W&S-81-3 in the amount of $17,645.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--APPROVAL OF PURCHASE OF BLOODHOUNDS

Mr. J.R. Hodges, Investigator, presented a request for authorization to purchase a pair of bloodhound puppies to be used by the Sheriff's Department for investigations.

The cost of each puppy would be $200 and could be purchased with funds allocated in the Sheriff Department's budget for Board and Care of Dogs. It is felt by the Department that these dogs are needed because of the age of the bloodhound that the Department has now and the need for training them at an early age.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request for authorization to purchase two bloodhound puppies for the Sheriff's Department at $200 each, was approved.

IN RE: TREASURER--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR EXTRA HELP

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis, Treasurer, appeared before the Board to request an additional $1500 for extra help in her 1980-81 budget.

Mrs. Lewis stated that conditions have developed through the year that could not be foreseen at the time of preparation of her 1980-81 budget, which have required extra personnel and an increase in her workload.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an additional appropriation of $1500 be approved for Extra Help for the Treasurer in the 1980-81 budget.

IN RE: 1981 VIRGINIA PROGRAM--AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie is eligible to participate as a potential employer for college students desiring employment during the period from June 15 to September 1, 1981; and

WHEREAS, these students will be from Dinwiddie County at a cost of 31% of the student's gross wages with Workmen's Compensation provided by the Virginia Program; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has expressed a need for one student at the Senior High School;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that application be made to the Virginia Program to have the County designated as a potential employer for college students, available from the approved starting date through September 1, 1981.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF 1980-81 SCHOOL BOARD FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following transfers within the 1980-81 School Board budget were approved:

| TRANSFER TO | AMOUNT | FROM | AMOUNT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 17a Administration | $ 7,980 | 17b1 Instruction | $ 7,980 |
| 17b2 Other Instructional Costs | 4,880 | 17g Fixed Charges | 4,880 |
| 17c Attendance & Health Services | 140 | 17g Fixed Charges | 140 |
| 17d2 Replacement of Transportation Vehicles | 32,000 | 17d1 Pupil Transportation Optional | 32,000 |
| 17e School Food Service | 27,960 | 17d1 Pupil Transportation Optional | 13,490 |
| | | 17b1 Instruction | 14,470 |
| 19 Capital Outlay | 3,150 | 17b1 Instruction | 3,150 |
| | $76,110 | | $76,110 |

IN RE: COUNTYWIDE RECREATION PROGRAM--YMCA

Mr. Robertson presented Mr. Cleve Wright and Mr. Larry Toombs from the Tri-Cities YMCA to discuss recreation services they could offer to the County. Mr. Robertson stated there was a desire expressed for recreation in the County for ages 6 - 90 and he felt the YMCA was an opportunity to provide recreation without additional cost to the County.

Mr. Wright gave a brief introduction on the YMCA and its expansion. Mr. Toombs, Executive Director, briefly reviewed the services available to the County and suggested that if the Board was interested that they get together for a workshop discussion at another time. He explained that the YMCA had no intention of taking over anyone's existing programs. They would offer coordination and expertise, and any programs they offered would be on a fee per person basis to be paid by those individuals participating.

Mr. Robertson stated that the County has allocated $12,000 for recreation through the School Board budget and he felt the YMCA

could offer expertise to the summer Director. Mr. Hargrave stated that there has always been a problem getting those people in the Northern end of the County to get together as the rural areas have done to provide recreation. He felt the school facilities need to be used, and he was pleased with the idea of the programs being paid for by those who participate.

Mr. Bennett asked how the County could start a program. Mr. Toombs stated that the YMCA would coordinate and publicize the programs, working through someone or an organization already established in the County. He further stated the costs for utilities and maintenance of a county facility would be figured into the fee charged and returned to the County.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the program should be presented to the School Board since they handled the recreation program.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia endorses the concept of coordinating recreation in the County with the services provided by the YMCA; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a committee be appointed, made up of the necessary factions involved, to further discuss the program and present a recommendation for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--EASTSIDE ELEMENTARY PTO

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Eastside Elementary PTO has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for calendar year 1981; and

WHEREAS, the PTO meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Eastside Elementary School PTO is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1981.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE BRANCH OFFICE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following letter was approved to be sent to the Southside Electric Cooperative:

Mr. J. Woodrow Martin
President
The Board of Directors
Southside Electric Cooperative
Crewe, Virginia 23930

Dear Mr. Martin:

The Board of Supervisors is extremely pleased that the Southside Electric Cooperative has chosen to locate a branch office and warehouse at Dinwiddie Courthouse. The citizens of Dinwiddie County are very happy at the prospect of the Cooperative putting itself in a position to respond much quicker to their needs. All comments have been most favorable, especially in the area of faster location of problems during a power failure.

Southside Electric Cooperative is providing this same type of service at its branch office located in Altavista. I am sure the co-op customers in the western part of your district appreciate your efforts to provide better service. Those of us who are customers in the eastern portion of the district feel the action to establish a branch at Dinwiddie Courthouse is most appropriate, especially since this area is the fastest growing in the service district.

To paraphrase a sentence that was in your informational handbook introduction, "Southside Electric Cooperative enjoys a healthy relationship with local jurisdictions and officials and it welcomes any suggestions and/or ideas that will help the Cooperative as it seeks to provide efficient, dependable electric energy and service in the future." This was emphasized at your meeting with local government officials on January 8, 1981. For Dinwiddie County, this valued association is twofold, as a local government and as a customer.

For all the citizens of Dinwiddie County, we thank you for your concern and action to provide better service and we look forward to a growing and healthy relationship.

IN RE: FENCING AROUND AIRPORT TRASH DUMPSTER SITE

The County Administrator advised the Board that the Director of Sanitation, John Loftis, had received two proposals on fencing the airport trash dumpster site, but was unable to get a third one. Mr. Loftis also presented to the Board the cost of materials to do the job using men from his department, which he estimated to be $900. The fencing material would be welded wire.

Mr. Bennett asked how big the trash problem was. Mr. Robertson stated he had visited the site on several occasions and couldn't find where the trash was blowing on property to any great extent.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he thought he remembered a concern expressed for fear of dogs at the location.

Mr. Weber moved that Mr. Loftis be authorized to erect a fence at the site using his men and the materials he proposed for $900. Mr. Bennett stated that from the comments he heard, he really wondered whether a fence was needed.

The County Administrator stated that he had brought the proposal to the Board because the County has a large investment in the site, and he felt that fencing would show the Board wanted to protect that investment and it might lessen public pressure concerning the appearance of the trash site. Mr. Loftis recommended the site be fenced because he felt there was a problem with trash blowing in the road and on adjacent property. Mr. Hargrave suggested that fencing might reduce the workload of cleaning up the area.

Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the installation of a fence around the airport dumpster site was approved as presented using the Landfill personnel.

IN RE: CRATER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACADEMY--APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL 1980-81 FUNDING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, due to a reduction in federal funding causing the State to reduce funds allocated to the CCJA, the Board was requested to appropriate an additional $794.40 to the CCJA for the 1980-81 budget year; and

WHEREAS, at its July 16, 1980 meeting the Board objected to the State's reduction in funds, forcing the localities to pick

up the balance, and therefore denied the additional appropriation; and

WHEREAS, because of the Board's action, the Academy has advised that it must close its doors to Dinwiddie County, thus causing training for several of the personnel of the Sheriff's Department to be disrupted; and

WHEREAS, the Board took its original action as an expression of difference with the State's action, realizing the denial of funds would most likely have to be addressed at a later date;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an additional $794.40 be appropriated for the 1980-81 budget allocation to the Crater Criminal Justice Academy.

IN RE: STREET LIGHT REQUEST--WEST PETERSBURG

Mr. Robertson brought to the Board a request from Mr. John Crawley, a resident of West Petersburg, to have a street light installed near his home. There is a vacant area near his house which is not lighted and he would like the light installed for security reasons. Mr. Robertson moved that the street light be approved. Mr. Weber seconded the motion.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the street Mr. Crawley lived on was lighted and, if so, couldn't the existing lights be rearranged to accommodate his needs.

The County Administrator stated that he and Mr. Don White, representative from VEPCO, had reviewed the area and the existing lights meet the company's lighting specifications; therefore, they could not recommend to the Board the installation of another light in that area.

Mr. Bennett stated that he didn't want to see the Board install a light for just one individual, and in the past, the Board followed VEPCO's recommendations. He further stated that the street light bill was getting out of hand and he hated to see the debt increased. Mr. Clay and Mr. Hargrave agreed.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "nay", the request was denied.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:27 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:34 P.M.

IN RE: SALARY INCREASE FOR 1981-82 BUDGET PREPARATION

The Board discussed various percentages to be used for salary increases in preparing the 1981-82 budget.

Mr. Weber moved that 10% be approved for County employees including fringe benefits. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Weber and Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "nay".

Mr. Bennett moved that for budget development, the County Administrator use a percentage not to exceed 9%, including fringe benefits, for salary increases for County employees. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Weber voted "nay."

IN RE: COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION OF COUNTY RECREATION PROGRAMS AND YMCA INVOLVEMENT

Mr. Robertson suggested that a committee consisting of

himself, W.C. Scheid, W.C. Knott, Robert Bowden, and Larry Toombs be established to work up a position paper to present on recreation in the County and the involvement of the YMCA. The Board agreed.

IN RE: WORKSHOP SESSION ON SECONDARY ROADS SIX-YEAR PLAN

The Board agreed to meet with representatives of the VDH&T after the regular meeting on April 1, 1981 for a workshop session on the six-year plan.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., March 23, 1981.

MARCH 23, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF MARCH 18, 1981 MEETING -- 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.-(arrived 9:30 P.M.) | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: REVIEW OF 1981-82 BUDGET

The Board reviewed the budget for the 1981-82 fiscal year.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | J.R. HODGES | INVESTIGATOR |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 18, 1981 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-553 through 81-637 amounting to $58,652.84.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of March, 1981.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of March, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of March, 1981.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIMS--DONALD ANDREWS; BILL ELLIOTT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Donald Andrews be awarded $75.00 for three (3) goats; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Bill Elliott be awarded $68.00 for one (1) billy, one (1) nanny, and one (1) kid goat.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COUNTY HEALTH INSURANCE GROUP FOR AIRPORT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board, representing the Airport Authority, to request authorization to place Mr. Richard Smith, Airport Authority Executive Director, on the County's health insurance plan. All premiums would be paid by the Airport Authority.

The County Administrator was asked if there would be any problem with approving this request. The County Administrator advised the Board that he had requested a letter from the Authority so it could be investigated with the Blue Cross/Blue Shield office before making a recommendation to the Board. Action was postponed until the next meeting for further investigation.

IN RE: STAGGERED TERMS FOR WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority was created by Resolution adopted December 18, 1968, consisting of five (5) members and prescribing two (2) year terms of office, all of said members' terms of office expiring on December 17, 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia is now desirous of providing for four (4) year terms of office and creating a system of staggered appointments;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following appointments be made effective December 18, 1980:

| NAME | Term of Office |
|---|---|
| | 2 years |
| | 3 years |
| | 3 years |
| | 4 years |
| | 4 years; and |

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that at the expiration of the above terms of office each position shall be filled for a four (4) year term of office. Vacancies occuring during a term of office shall be filled for the remainder of the unexpired term; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the determination of which appointments will fill each term of office will be decided by the random drawing of those names appointed.

IN RE: WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

The following nominations were made for appointments to the Water Authority:

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Larry Toombs. Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. A.J. Eubank and Mr. Joseph Patterson. Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Ralph Lewis. Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. John Clements, Mr. John Scarborough, and Mr. T.J. Leftwich.

Mr. Weber moved that each member of the Board nominate one individual for appointment. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett stated that he felt this action should be discussed since it was not a written procedure. Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the members on the Authority should be users of the water system and that he was not trying to put restrictions on any of the members of the Board. Mr. Weber and Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "nay".

Mr. Robertson moved that the nominations be delayed until a later date. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, and Mr. Clay voted "nay".

The voting for the nominees was as follows:

1. Mr. Larry Toombs - Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr.

Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay abstained.

2. Mr. A.J. Eubank - Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Clay voted "nay".

3. Mr. Joseph Patterson - Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Weber abstained.

4. Mr. Ralph Lewis - Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay abstained.

5. Mr. John H. Clements - Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "nay".

6. Mr. John Scarborough - Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Weber abstained.

7. Mr. T.J. Leftwich - Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Weber and Mr. Robertson voted "nay".

From the majority of votes cast, Mr. A.J. Eubank, Mr. Joseph Patterson, Mr. John Clements, Mr. John Scarborough, and Mr. T.J. Leftwich were appointed to the Water Authority.

Mr. Robertson stated he was bitterly opposed to the manner in which the appointments were handled. Mr. Weber stated that he felt it was unfair to the Northern end of the County.

IN RE: DETERMINATION OF TERMS FOR WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

As decided by the Board, the appointments made were drawn at random for the following terms of office:

T.J. Leftwich - two year term expiring December 17, 1982.
A.J. Eubank - three year term expiring December 17, 1983.
John Scarborough - three year term expiring December 17, 1983.
John Clements - four year term expiring December 17, 1984.
Joseph Patterson - four year term expiring December 17, 1984.

IN RE: AUDITING FIRM SELECTION FOR 1981

The County Administrator presented a proposal from the firm of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates to perform the June 30, 1981 audit for the County. Their proposal stated they would provide services at the hourly rate of $35 for principals and 2.5 x the payroll rate for all other personnel plus out-of-pocket expenses.

A proposal for the Indirect Cost Allocation Plan was also submitted; however, the County Administrator suggested that action be delayed until other proposals could be received.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the proposal of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates be accepted for the June 30, 1981 audit. Mr. Bennett seconded the motion and stated that he felt the firm of Robinson, Farmer Cox Associates should be retained this year because we were well into the fiscal year and they had worked closely with the Treasurer in establishing her records for the new accounting system. However, he would like to look into considering other proposals at the next selection time.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the firm of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates was retained for the County to perform the June 30, 1981 audit.

IN RE: VEHICLE LICENSES ORDINANCE--AMENDMENT TO SECTION 11.18

The County Administrator advised the Board that the County's present vehicle licenses ordinance requires the taxpayer to pay only those taxes on the automobile he wishes to license before buying a county sticker. He further stated that the State

Code now allows localities to change their ordinances to read that the taxes on all vehicles owned by the taxpayer must be paid prior to licensing any one or all of the vehicles. If desired, a resolution is, therefore, needed to authorize the County Attorney to prepare the amendment for advertisement.

Mr. Robertson questioned what was included under the word "vehicles". The County Administrator stated that the definition would be clarified when the County Attorney prepared the amendment for the Board's consideration.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber abstaining, the County Attorney was authorized to prepare the amendment to Section 11.18, Vehicle Licenses Ordinance, of the County Code to coincide with the State Code.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a five minute recess at 3:02 P.M.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:07 P.M to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:25 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to review the financial outlook for secondary road improvements and to answer any questions they might have.

He stated that a date should be set for a public hearing to receive public input on improvements to the secondary roads system. The Board agreed to hold the public hearing at their May 6, 1981 meeting at 2:00 P.M.

Mr. Perry briefly reviewed the outlook for the upcoming budget year showing the decline in revenues for the Department since 1976. He advised the Board that with the present funding, looking at the six-year plan, the first ten projects could possibly be funded. However, they would be in a better position to review the priorities after the public hearing on May 6.

Mr. Perry further advised the Board that due to the mild winter, the Department had received very few complaints about the roads in the County.

The County Administrator advised Mr. Perry that the information needed by the Roadviewers had been completed and he could meet with them to discuss the roads before setting a date for the Roadviewers to go out.

IN RE: UPDATE ON BOAT LANDING AND CABLETELEVISION

The County Administrator advised the Board that he hoped to have a report on the Boat Landing ready at the next meeting. He also hoped to be ready for the Board to authorize the solicitation for proposals for Cabletelevision at the same meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until Monday, April 13, 1981 at 7:30 P.M.

APRIL 13, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 1, 1981 MEETING -- 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1981-82 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools and the members of the School Board appeared before the Board to present the 1981-82 School Board budget and answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Tuesday, April 14, 1981.

APRIL 14, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 13, 1981 MEETING -- 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: REVIEW OF 1981-82 BUDGET

The Board reviewed the income and expense figures for the 1981-82 budget.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:50 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:15 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:16 P.M.

A. S. Clay
A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. Knott
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Mr. Hargrave moved that the minutes of the April 1, 1981 meeting be approved as presented. There was no second. The County Administrator read the minutes in their entirety. Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes were approved as presented.

Mr. Robertson stated that he would like to make a few comments concerning the minutes. He referred to page 91 of the Virginia County Supervisor's Manual, section titled Quorum and Method of Voting which states "A majority of the members of the Board of Supervisors constitutes a quorum. With few exceptions, all matters are passed by a majority of those present and voting. However, the Constitution of Virginia requires a majority vote of all members elected to the board to pass any ordinance or resolution appropriating money exceeding the sum of $500, imposing taxes, or authorizing the borrowing of money". He further referenced the same section reading "Abstention from voting does not constitute a negative vote; thus, a motion may pass with one affirmative vote if a quorum is present and the other members present abstain from voting." Mr. Robertson then read the section of the April 1, 1981 minutes outlining the votes cast for the nominations made for the Dinwiddie County Water Authority members. He stated that at the point at which votes were cast for Mr. John Clements, five members had been appointed to the Authority, and by appointing Mr. John Scarborough and Mr. T.J. Leftwich, the Board appointed 7 members to a five-man board. Mr. Robertson then asked for a ruling from the Chair who turned to the County Attorney for an opinion. Mr. Larry Elder, County Attorney, stated he would be glad to respond after he had an opportunity to research the issue.

Mr. Robertson then read the following statement and asked that it be incorporated into the minutes of this meeting:

"Mr. Chairman, unfortunately, with modifications, the minutes are recorded correctly. However, they do not reflect the grave injustice done to the citizens of District Two (2). By your joint action to eliminate their two (2) supervisors from making appointments to the Dinwiddie Water Authority, you have, in my opinion, disenfranchised District Two (2), this violating the one man-one vote concept set forth by the courts.

Gentlemen. It is "Clear the air and provide leadership for all of Dinwiddie County" time or it is "Hold on to your false teeth, there is a bumpy road ahead" time. I prefer the former.

You have been quoted as saying "that our appointees were picked because they were opposed to mandatory water and sewer connections." Gentlemen. Mandatory connections are behind us. Around 900 connections have been made, the General Assembly has passed legislation that may alleviate this problem in the future. Secondly, I do not require nominees to agree to support my views prior to nomination nor do I interfere with their right and obligation to perform

the duties of that office. I examine, very closely, their qualifications to perform the duties of that office and their willingness to serve. My appointee, Mr. Larry Toombs, was picked for his expertise in preparing budgets, grants, etc. in his capacity as Director of the Tri-Cities YMCA and prior experience with the City of Petersburg as Director of Recreation. Since our prime concern with the Water Authority is to provide water and sewerage for Dinwiddie County in the most cost effective way possible, these, in my opinion, are very valuable assets.

Gentlemen. When I came on the Board in 1980, I pledged to myself that I would do everything possible to serve Dinwiddie County as a whole and then your districts and our district would benefit. Apparently, I have not succeeded in convincing you that there are many concerns of all citizens besides water and sewer. Over half of the citizens of our district are not affected by the water and sewer and their concerns are with taxes, schools, roads, etc. as are all citizens. These are the areas that I feel we must work in as a team to fully represent, youth trying to obtain the best education that we can afford, the young citizen or young couple trying to make a living, the senior citizen trying to put away something for the future, the retired citizen trying to live on fixed income and last but not least, to relieve the burden on the middle aged and middle income citizens.

Sectionalization of Dinwiddie is not in the best interest of the citizens. All citizens must have equal representation in the government thru its elected officials. Without equal representation we will always be involved in procedural matters rather than putting our combined efforts towards solving the problems that affect the living standards and the growth of Dinwiddie.

Gentlemen. You set a precedent at our last meeting when you chose to nominate seven (7) names for five (5) appointments. Now. I ask you to set another precedent and rescind that action. By rescinding that action and each supervisor nominating one (1) person for each seat, you will be taking a giant step towards reuniting Dinwiddie citizens thus saying to all "this Board will in the future, be involved in the constructive development and growth of Dinwiddie."

Mr. Chairman. I move that the appointments to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, made on April 1, 1981, be withdrawn and that each supervisor nominate one (1) person to serve on the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and then be properly elected to serve.

Mr. Weber seconded the motion, stating he had talked with the Board prior to making his nomination and they seemed satisfied with his selection. He stated he was very disappointed in their action and he felt he could not serve the people he represented if he was not allowed to make appointments as he saw fit.

Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave and Mr. Clay voted "nay". Because there was a tie vote and only four members present, this item will be placed on the May 6, 1981 agenda for action by all five members.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund-checks numbering 81-643 through 81-775 amounting to $109,108.95; History Book Fund-check #HB-81-2 in the amount of $1.17.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--VERNON RAGSDALE

Mr. Clay advised the Board that he had been contacted by Mr. Vernon Ragsdale concerning a livestock claim that had been denied at the March 4, 1981 meeting. He stated that after con-

versation with Mr. Ragsdale, he felt that the claim was justified and asked the Board to reconsider payment. Mr. Robertson stated that he was reluctant to make a motion either way, not having talked further with the Animal Warden. After a brief discussion, the County Administrator was instructed to discuss the claim with the Animal Warden for consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: PARTICIPATION IN COUNTY HEALTH INSURANCE GROUP BY AIRPORT AUTHORITY EMPLOYEE

As decided by the Board, at the April 1, 1981 meeting, action on the request by the Airport Authority to place the Executive Director on the County's health insurance group plan was postponed for further investigation. All premiums would be paid by the Airport Authority.

The County Administrator advised the Board that the County was already providing this service to an employee of the Town of McKenney and he did not think adding another employee would pose any problem. He did state that the only exposure it would cause the County would be the effect on the County's experience rating which could be beneficial as well as detrimental.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Executive Director of the Airport Authority be allowed to participate in the County's health insurance group plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all premiums and costs will be paid by the Airport Authority and the check for said premiums will be delivered to the County by the 25th of each month.

IN RE: STATUS OF CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS IN RELATION TO THE VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia urges the Virginia Association of Counties not to amend the By-Laws of the Virginia Association of Counties to include Sheriffs, Commonwealth Attorneys, Treasurers, Commissioner of Revenues and Clerks of the Circuit Court in the membership; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia urges the Virginia Association of Counties not to involve the Sheriffs, Commonwealth Attorneys, Treasurers, Commissioner of Revenues and Clerks of the Circuit Court in any manner in the business of the Virginia Association of Counties.

IN RE: RELOCATION OF STATE OFFICE OF THE VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it supports the relocation of the Virginia Association of Counties' offices from Charlottesville, Virginia to Richmond, Virginia.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PREPARE REQUEST FOR CABLETELEVISION PROPOSALS

The County Administrator requested that he and the County Attorney be authorized to prepare a document to be sent out to various firms to solicit proposals for providing cabletelevision to a portion of the County. This document will be presented to

the Board for approval before it is sent out.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney and the County Administrator were authorized to prepare the necessary paperwork for the Board's review to be sent out to solicit proposals to provide cabletelevision to a portion of Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT TO VEHICLE LICENSES ORDINANCE

Mr. Robertson asked the County Attorney what was included under the term "vehicles" in the proposed amendment to Sec. 11-18, Vehicles Licenses Ordinance. Mr. Elder advised the Board that the term "vehicles" included all those vehicles that have to be state licensed--motor vehicles, trailers, and semi-trailers.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the amendment to Sec. 11-18, Vehicle Licenses Ordinance for a public hearing on May 20, 1981.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE JUNIOR WOMAN'S CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Junior Woman's Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for calendar year 1981; and

WHEREAS, the Junior Woman's Club meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Junior Woman's Club is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1981.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD--ADVANCE ON 1981-82 BUDGET

Mr. Hargrave advised the Board that he had been contacted by the Dinwiddie VFD requesting a $2,000 advance on their 1981-82 budget towards the purchase of a used jeep for the department. He stated that their 1953 jeep was no longer operable and they were going to fix up the new jeep to be used as a brush truck.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a $2,000 advance was authorized to be paid to the Dinwiddie VFD from their 1981-82 budget allocation.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 8:00 P.M., Tuesday, April 21, 1981.

APRIL 21, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 15, 1981 MEETING -- 8:00 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session

at 8:25 P.M.

IN RE: REVIEW OF 1981-82 BUDGET

The County Administrator reviewed with the Board the income and expense figures for the 1981-82 budget within the guidelines set at the last budget work session.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR COPY OF BUDGET MATERIAL

Mr. Linwood Norman, reporter for the Progress-Index, appeared before the Board to request a copy of the working papers used by the Board during the work session. He further stated that the material was public information and it was a violation of the Freedom of Information Act not to provide him with a copy.

Mr. Hargrave stated there was no finality to the figures discussed until advertised for a public hearing and at that time, copies would be available for the public. He also felt the summary figures given would present the impact of the budget discussed.

Mr. Bennett stated that there would be a presentation of the proposed budget at the public hearing but the Board needed at least one more work session.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was concerned about the legal requirements as to whether the materials were actually a public document. In light of the Freedom of Information Act, he felt it prudent to seek legal advice. Mr. Weber stated that he thought the rates were too high but he felt there was little that could be cut now. Therefore, he personally felt a copy of the working papers should be provided to Mr. Norman.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County seek a legal opinion on Mr. Norman's request. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:10 P.M.

A.S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 6TH DAY OF MAY, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

The Chairman welcomed Mrs. Susan Bethea and the students of her Business Law and Consumer Economics classes.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the April 15, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-776 through 81-864 amounting to $66,302.83.

IN RE: STATEMENT OF APPRECIATION TO FIRE AND RESCUE DEPARTMENTS

Mr. Weber stated that a fire broke out in the home next to him on Monday afternoon and due to the efforts of the Namozine and Dinwiddie Fire Departments and the Rescue Squad, the house was saved. He, therefore, wanted to take this opportunity to express his appreciation to these volunteers and asked that the Board members give deep thought and consideration to requests made in the future by these departments.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the Compensation Board has approved the following transfers to the category of Part-time and Extra Help within his 1980-81 budget:

| | |
|---|---|
| Postage & P.O. Rent | $200 |
| Advertising | 100 |
| Stationery, Office Supplies | 200 |
| | $500 |

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of April, 1981.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION--WATER AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Robertson stated that he would like to make a few comments in reference to the letter written by the County Attorney in reply to the questions raised at the April 15, 1981 meeting concerning the Water Authority appointments. Mr. Robertson stated that

he agreed with the following statements in Mr. Elder's letter of April 16, 1981:

1. "The minutes make it absolutely clear as to who the Board's majority intended to appoint"..

2. "In conclusion, it would appear that a simpler procedure could have been used in selecting the appointees"...

Mr. Robertson stated, however, that Mr. Elder's letter did not address the points he raised. Mr. Robertson moved that the Board request an opinion from the Attorney General's Office on the points raised at the April 15, 1981 meeting concerning the Water Authority appointments. Mr. Weber seconded the motion.

Mr. Bennett asked if the County Attorney had any comment and if the Board could request an opinion on this ussue.

Mr. Elder stated that the Board had the right to request an opinion; however, he felt Mr. Robertson should clarify what he is requesting to be answered and include those points in his motion.

Mr. Robertson stated that the following points were to be included in his motion for clarification by the Attorney General's Office: "That at the point where the Board had voted for five individuals, five individuals were elected, and there was no need to vote on the 6th and 7th nominees. By voting as the Board did, seven men have been elected to a five-man board."

Mr. Elder stated that his letter did address those points as reference was made to Sec. 15.1-540 of the Virginia Supervisor's Manual; however, he would send the request to the Attorney General along with a copy of his letter and the minutes.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "aye", Mr. Hargrave voted "nay".

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of April, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of April, 1981.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--BILL ELLIOTT

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, appeared before the Board to review the fowl claim of Mr. Bill Elliott for two turkeys, $13 each, and one swan. A question had arisen at a prior meeting concerning the value of the swan. Mr. Brooks recommended $250. This was the amount requested by the owner, and after checking with pet shops in the area, Mr. Brooks found prices for swans starting at $300 each.

Mr. Hargrave questioned whether swans were an eligible livestock claim. The County Attorney advised the Board that the claim on the swan was eligible to be paid; however, the County was only required to make payment if sufficient funds are available in the Dog Fund Account.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the claim be paid, totalling $276, if funds are available. Mr. Bennett seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson asked if they were setting a precedent by paying a claim like this. Mr. Clay agreed with Mr. Robertson stating that the County might be running into problems later.

Mr. Elder stated again that the County was only obligated to pay out of funds available, and these funds were dog license

fees and not County taxes.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson voting "nay", Mr. Bill Elliott was awarded $276 for two turkeys and one swan.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--W.B. WALKER

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented the claim of Mr. W.B. Walker for one hen, $3.00, and one rooster, $25.00. The Board had previously questioned paying $25.00 for a rooster. Mr. Brooks advised the Board that it was a fighting game cock. Mr. Clay suggested that they pay the regular market price for a rooster.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "nay", Mr. W.B. Walker was awarded $28.00 for one hen and one rooster.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--R. CROWDER

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. R. Crowder was awarded $165 for eleven turkeys.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--DONNA WHITE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Donna White was awarded $63 for 21 chickens.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--VERNON RAGSDALE

As discussed at the last meeting, the claim of Mr. Vernon Ragsdale for $76 for one calf was again placed upon the agenda for reconsideration. Mr. Clay stated he had talked with Mr. Ragsdale and felt that the claim should be reconsidered.

The Animal Warden stated that after reviewing the evidence and finding no marks on the legs or hind quarters, he did not think the calf was killed by dogs. He further stated he found no dog tracks other than at the spot where the dogs were seen eating. He stated that the Veterinarian also found no evidence to sustain that the calf was killed by dogs.

Mr. Weber stated that this was the first time, there had been any doubt on a claim and felt the decision of the Board should remain as stated.

Since no motion was made, previous action by the Board to deny the claim remains as recorded.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--VA. DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION 1981-82 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 22, 1981 and Wednesday, April 29, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing jointly with the Department of Highways and Transportation to receive comments from interested citizens concerning the 1981-82 secondary roads improvement budget.

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, was present to open the hearing and answer any questions. He advised the Board that funds for road improvements were down 43% due to inflation, reduced revenues, and allocating more funds to maintenance.

The following comments were made:

1. Mr. W.M. Leonard appeared before the Board to request that improvements be made to Route 601. He stated that in 1974, Mr. Lancaster informed him that the road was being surveyed to be widened

and nothing was done. Then, in 1979, Mr. Perry informed him that funds were allocated for improvements on the road and nothing has been done. He further stated there were 65 families on the road with a potential for 60 more and it was time to do something to the road.

2. Mrs. Diane Glass appeared before the Board to request that some maintenance work be done to the Walker Road to help the six families that live on the road to get in and out. She stated that when it rains, sometimes the creek overruns the bridge. She also stated that she agreed some work was needed on Rt. 601.

The County Administrator stated that the survey was done on the Walker Road and the plat recorded, so the Board must now establish a priority for it to be constructed.

Mr. Medlock stated that Rt. 601 was a high priority in the improvement program but funds were low. He stated that the Highway Department was preparing a plan to make improvements when funds become available, by lowering the design and trying to obtain funds from the legislature.

Mr. Robertson then asked the County Administrator to explain the procedure for having a road taken into the state secondary system.

Mr. Medlock distributed a copy of proposed revenues for 1981-82 and a list of projects to be considered by the Board. This concluded the public hearing.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to receive comments and answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Weber asked who was taking care of cutting the grass on I-85 and U.S. #1. Mr. Medlock stated that funds would be drastically reduced after July, and that usually, cutting was postponed until after the cool weather. However, the Department will try to take care of the trouble spots.

Mr. Clay stated that a dangerous site problem exists at the intersection of Rt. 613 and U.S. Rt. 40 due to parking at Darvills Store. Mr. Medlock stated the Department would look into that problem.

Mr. Hargrave asked about the status of changing the stop sign to a yield sign where Rt. 619 intersects with U.S. #1 at Dinwiddie. Mr. Medlock stated that the location had been reviewed and the Department felt it was safer to leave the intersection as it is; however, if the Board wanted to push the issue, they might be able to get it changed.

Mr. Medlock advised the Board that repair work had recently been done on the bridge on U.S. #1 North of the entrance to the Water Authority office. However, due to deterioration, it will eventually have to be replaced.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF EMERGENCY 911 SERVICE

Mr. Robert F. Atkins, Jr., of the C&P telephone Co. appeared before the Board to explain the Emergency 911 Service and its benefits to Dinwiddie County.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Atkins advised the Board he should be able to provide them with a proposal on the Basic 911 Service for their consideration within 30 days.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF LAKE CHESDIN & WHIPPERNOCK BOAT LANDINGS

The County Administrator stated he had discussed the boat landings with quite a few people and after further investigation made

the following recommendations:

1. Terminate the property and boat landing agreement with the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries, effective October 31, 1981.

2. Request that the Commission of Game & Inland Fisheries adequately secure the Lake Chesdin and the Whippernock Boat Landings so they cannot be used and abused by the public.

3. If the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries desires that these boat landings remain open, that the Board of Supervisors strongly urges the Commission to have 24 hour supervision and surveillance of these boat landings.

He further stated that abuse of the facilities was still occurring and both locations were becoming a real burden to the Sheriff's Department. He felt that it would just be too costly for the County to operate the facilities.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the County had authority to terminate the contract. The County Administrator stated 'yes', with 30 days notice prior to the anniversary date. Mr. Hargrave then stated that he couldn't see why tax money should cope with these problems. If people wouldn't abuse the facilities, it could be a nice place but he was ready to throw the towel in.

Mr. Robertson stated that he appreciated the recommendations given and agreed with Mr. Hargrave. He then asked if any thought had been given to asking the Commission to give 24 hour surveillance and asked what would happen if the State abandoned the locations.

The County Administrator stated the landings would have to be barricaded.

Mr. Weber stated he was opposed to closing the boat landings for the public. He would like to see something worked out with the Commission to leave these boat landings open.

Mr. Hargrave stated that we wouldn't be closing the landings by withdrawing from the agreement. It would then be the State's responsibility.

Mr. Clay agreed that the State should have the responsibility of operating the landings.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the County did have some responsibility.

The County Administrator was instructed to discuss the boat landings further with the Commission and place it on the August agenda for consideration.

IN RE: MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING--DINWIDDIE SOIL SURVEY

The County Administrator presented a Memorandum of Understanding for the Board's consideration for the ongoing Soil Survey. This agreement is between the Soil Conservation Service, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, the Va. Soil & Water Conservation Commission, Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District and the Board of Supervisors and outlines the description of the work and the responsibility of each agency.

Mr. Hargrave questioned the need of the involvement of all the other agencies when the work was being paid for by the County. He stated that he was afraid the cost would be raised if the other agencies wanted different standards than what the County does, requiring additional work. The Director of Planning advised the Board that the cost to the County would not go above what had been agreed to in the Cooperative Agreement #58-33A7-0-1.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr.

Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Memorandum of Understanding concerning the Dinwiddie Soil Survey be approved contingent upon review and concurrence by the Director of Planning; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign said Agreement on behalf of the County.

IN RE: SCAVENGING AT DUMPSTER LOCATIONS

Mr. Frank Freudig appeared before the Board to discuss the problem of scavenging at the dumpster locations. He advised the Board that this almost caused him to hit a child at the dumpster location on U.S. Rt. 460. He stated there was a real need to regulate this practice.

The County Administrator stated that scavenging was not allowed and should be posted on the dumpsters and the sign at the Rt. 460 location. He further stated that the Sheriff's Department would be asked to step up their surveillance.

IN RE: AIRPORT AUTHORITY--REQUEST FOR SOILS INFORMATION

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board on behalf of the Airport Authority to request permission to obtain preliminary soils information for their industrial sites during the ongoing soil survey. He asked the Board to consider allowing the soil survey team to do this work for the Authority.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 3:50 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 4:00 P.M.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING DATE--1981-82 BUDGET AND TAX RATE

After a brief discussion, Mr. Robertson stated that he could see no further cuts in the budget at this point and would suggest that a public hearing be set to receive citizen input.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the public hearing for the 1981-82 budget was set for Wednesday, June 3, 1981, budget presentation at 7:00 P.M. and period to receive public input beginning at 8:00 P.M.; to be held at the Cafeteria of the Dinwiddie County Senior High School.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE 1981 TAX RATE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following tax rates for 1981 were authorized to be advertised for a public hearing:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | .82 |
| Mobile Homes | .82 |
| Mineral Lands | .82 |
| Public Service | |
| Equalized | .82 |
| Unequalized | 4.40 |
| Personal Property | 5.50 |
| Machinery and Tools | 5.50 |
| Farm Machinery | 4.00 |

IN RE: APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY

Mrs. Cornelia Roberts stated that the Appomattox Regional Library Board had not received any feedback since their 1981-82 budget request was submitted and wondered if the Board would like for them to present their budget, or be present at the budget hearing.

The members stated they would like the Library Board members to be present; however, they would not be called upon to present their budget.

IN RE: REDUCTION IN 1981-82 SCHOOL BOARD ALLOCATION

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Supt. of Schools, appeared before the Board to ask for suggestions in areas to reduce the School Board budget by the $266,000 cut given by the Board of Supervisors. He stated that with the reduction, he could not find a way to provide the 9% salary increase for the teachers.

Mr. Weber stated that he could not understand Dr. Vaughn's statement and hoped the School Board would find a way to provide the 9% increase, even if something else had to be cut.

Mr. Hargrave suggested postponing the purchase of the maintenance and driver education vehicles. He stated that the Board had given considerable thought to how the cut could be made without affecting teachers' salaries.

Mr. Robertson stated that he would be very unhappy if the teachers' salaries are cut and hoped the Board will do what they can to keep the 9% salary increase.

Mr. Bennett stated that he was hesitant to make suggestions after last year and he would issue a challenge to the School Board to keep their budget within the funds allocated.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:40 P.M. to discuss "salaries". The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:07 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:07 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 20TH DAY OF MAY, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | JOHN R. HODGES | INVESTIGATOR |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 6, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-865 through 81-959 and 81-961 through 81-964 amounting to $51,881.23.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-81-1--AMENDMENT TO VEHICLE LICENSES ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 6, 1981 and Wednesday, May 13, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia to delete the second paragraph of the current Section 11-18 and the addition of a new paragraph to require the payment of personal property taxes owing on all vehicles before a county sticker shall be issued for any vehicle registered to said applicant.

No one spoke in support or opposition to this ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked if information would be available at the establishments selling county stickers to verify payment of taxes. The County Administrator advised the Board that a delinquent list of names would be available for verification.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the deletion of the second paragraph of the current Section 11-18 and the addition of a new paragraph to read as follows:

Chapter 11

MOTOR VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC

Article II. Vehicle Licenses

Sec. 11-18. Licensing requirements and tags, stickers, etc., generally.

No vehicle so taxable shall be licensed unless and until the applicant for such license shall have produced satisfactory evidence that all personal property taxes upon all motor vehicles, trailers or semitrailers, which personal property taxes have been assessed or are assessable against such applicant for the taxable year 1968 and every subsequent year thereafter, have been paid.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY FINAL APPLICATION--FY 81-82

The Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission presented the following projects for the Board's consideration for final application for 1981-82 Transportation Safety funding:

| | Local | Federal |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Completion of Driver Education Range (Fencing and Equipment) | 14,300 | 14,300 |
| 2. Two Flashing School Zone Lights | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| TOTAL | 15,300 | 15,300 |

Mr. Hargrave questioned the need for fencing at the driving range. He felt the fencing there now was adequate.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the projects as presented above be included in the final application for Transportation Safety Funding at a total cost of $30,600; $15,300 local match and $15,300 federal; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign said application and all necessary information attached as the authorized official of the County.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF HIGHWAY PROJECTS--ROUTE 40

The County Administrator presented information on two highway construction projects being proposed on Rt. 40 for the Board's consideration. The projects consist of constructing a 10 x 10 box culvert carrying Route 40 over Turkey Egg Creek and widening an existing bridge at Reedy Creek.

Mr. Bennett asked if Route 40 would have to be closed for these projects. The County Administrator stated that from what he could ascertain from the information from the VDH&T, the road would not be closed.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the projects on Route 40 as proposed by the VDH&T, to construct a 10 x 10 box culvert carrying Rt. 40 over Turkey Egg Creek and widening an existing bridge at Reedy Creek, were approved.

IN RE: LAND USE ORDINANCE--DISCUSSION OF AMENDMENT

Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber asked that this item be placed upon the agenda for discussion.

Mr. Robertson stated that when the Land Use Ordinance was approved last year, the information used was based on experience from other localities and the County had no experience of its own to base a decision on. He stated that based on the experience and figures the County has now, Land Use is placing a 10¢ per $100 burden on homeowners. He further stated that he has had considerable input from citizens throughout the County and feels it was an unwise decision to allow all categories under Land Use. He felt it was time to hear the citizens' feelings on Land Use.

Mr. Robertson moved that a public hearing be advertised for 7:30 P.M., June 4, 1981 to receive public input on an amendment to the Land Use Ordinance to eliminate all categories except Agricultural Use.

Mr. Weber seconded the motion stating that he agreed with Mr. Robertson. He further stated that he felt the County doesn't need Land Use; however, if it remains, only the Agricultural category should be allowed. He stated that he felt after hearing the citizens, the Supervisors would change their minds. He further stated that farmers were already getting a tax break and the forest category, especially, was not needed.

Mr. Bennett stated that he disagreed with Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber. He stated that the County had only been under Land Use for six months and if it were changed now, it would be a hastily made decision. He stated that timber companies had only 23% in Land Use whereas 77% was made up of private timber owners. He stated that he realized that the timber companies were causing the greatest concern but he questioned what they were actually costing the County. He further stated that he felt they were providing a service to the County by preserving open space and feared the change in Land Use would encourage the timber companies to go into the real estate business. He, therefore, opposed a public hearing.

Mr. Robertson stated that the time schedule set by the State required action by June 30 to be effective January 1, 1982 and taxes realized would not be able to be included in the 1982-83 budget. Also, he stated a reassessment would be done in 1984 and coupled with the Land Use damages, the County would be in such hot water, it couldn't come out. He stated he felt it was time to hear the citizens and do everything possible to ease their tax burden. He felt it was time to have a public hearing to hear what the citizens wanted. He also stated that even if Land Use was a good thing, it was a luxury Dinwiddie County could not afford. He stated they were proposing a large real estate tax increase and personal property was one of the highest in the area. He stated that the original intent of Land Use was to protect the owners of large blocks of land so they wouldn't have to sell it off, but only those landowners near the urban area of the County were benefitting. Those deeper in the County were being hurt the most. He felt the homeowners should not have to pay all the burden.

Mr. Bennett stated that taking away Land Use would not reduce the tax rate. The only way to reduce taxes is to reduce expenditures. He stated Land Use is only a redistribution of where the tax money is coming from.

Mr. Robertson stated that it is not equal taxation when certain areas are given preferential treatment, and if the only way to reduce taxes is to cut expenses, maybe the Board needs to look at the budget again.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he agreed with Mr. Bennett that taking away Land Use would not reduce taxes; it's merely a redistribution. He further stated that land is not market-valued like a car or a home. It is being valued by the State based on sales that are happening and that land is being outpriced. He also stated that no one has tried to understand and study Land Use more than the Board. They held several public information hearings and the results are within 2¢ in change of what occurred in Prince George and was predicted for Dinwiddie County. He, therefore, felt there was no need for another public hearing, but would support a change in the application fee if it was not covering costs.

Mr. Weber stated that what the Board was doing was raising the taxes of the homeowner and giving a tax break to the big timber companies. He stated he wanted Agricultural use only included. The farmers were already getting two tax breaks. He further stated that they were not treating the citizens equally, and he did not think the County needed Land Use. If so, then Agricultural use, only, should be included.

Mr. John Sowers stated that the biggest issue was the loss in tax revenues. He felt that with taxes going up 10¢, the Board should reduce expenditures by 10¢ instead to make up the revenue loss caused by Land Use, not penalize the citizens.

Mr. Andie Perdue agreed that the budget should be reduced. He had talked with a number of citizens and they were unhappy. He felt the timber companies did not need a big tax break and the citizens deserve another chance to express their feelings on Land Use now that they know the facts.

Mr. Bolte stated that so far, fees collected for Land Use have amounted to $16,000 and expenses to date have been $11,000. He estimated that a good bit of the expenses will not be reoccurring. He felt his office would probably get by with $5,000 for the coming year; therefore, funds seem adequate.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Bolte about an additional position for Land Use and a request for a new position next year. Mr. Bolte stated that additional part-time help was included, but the new position was turned down. Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Bolte about the adequacy of the $10 fee because he had understood it was not covering expenses. Mr. Bolte stated that the costs had not been more than the $10 fee charged, so far.

Mr. Clay stated that he had not had alot of input except one individual from the Northern end that supported Land Use. He stated that he couldn't understand the statements made that land use was not fair, because he paid for his lot and one acre of land also. He stated that the Board knew all taxes would have to go up some when it was approved. He stated that he agreed with Mr. Hargrave that public hearings have been held and there hasn't been enough time to really test it. He stated that about half of the Counties have adopted Land Use and only 1 or 2 have backed out, so it must be a good thing.

Mr. Weber asked if the County was losing $250,000 to $300,000 a year in taxes due to Land Use. The County Administrator stated that this year, the County would lose $274,000. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the County couldn't afford to lose this money.

Mr. Raymond McCants spoke in support of a public hearing.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "nay", the motion to hold a public hearing on an amendment to the Land Use Ordinance to delete all categories except Agricultural was defeated.

IN RE: CANCELLATION OF SUMMER DAY MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the meetings scheduled for the first Wednesday in the months of July, (July 1), August (August 5), and September (September 2), 1981, be cancelled.

IN RE: CHANGE IN MID-JUNE MEETING DATE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the meeting scheduled for June 17, 1981 be rescheduled to be held Wednesday, June 24, 1981 at 8:00 P.M.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COUNTY ATTORNEY

Mr. Raymond McCants asked if the County Attorney was supposed to attend all meetings by law or just at request by the

Board. Mr. Hargrave stated that the Board as a group had not required the County Attorney to attend all meetings but maybe that was something they should consider.

IN RE: REVIEW OF METHOD USED TO DISPOSE OF ANIMALS

Mr. Hargrave requested that in light of the recent publicity received by the County on its method of disposing of dogs, that the County Administrator briefly review the facts leading up to the newspaper articles and what method the County does use. Mr. Hargrave felt the County has been misjudged and poorly viewed due to the articles.

The County Administrator reviewed the events leading up to the letter received from the Virginia Federation of Humane Societies accusing the County of not following guidelines put out by the Animal Welfare Officer, Dr. Leroy Bowen. Dr. Bowen listed three recommended methods and stated that other methods would continue to be monitored for addition later on. The County Administrator stated that prior to this, there had never been a list of approved methods and the method used by the County was considered unfavorable, only by the Virginia Federation of Humane Societies.

He stated that the County now uses T-61, a drug, which if administered properly, is just as humane as any other method. Dr. Leroy Bowen, however, disagrees stating that it is not a listed recommended use. However, a list has not been published stating those methods disapproved for use.

The County Administrator stated that when T-61 was selected for use by the County, it was selected upon recommendation of local veterinarians and supported by the Dinwiddie SPCA.

Since receipt of the letter from the Humane Society, which did not come to the County Administrator, the use of T-61 has been under discussion by the County. The Progress-Index stated that the County was facing a suit, which the County Administrator stated was incorrect, as he knew of no suit filed against the County.

He further stated that the County will assess the situation.

As to the recommended methods, the County Administrator stated that carbon monoxide was not necessarily the best way. He further stated that sodium pentobarbital is a controlled drug and permits must be obtained from the State and Federal governments accompanied by strict regulations. The drug must be kept in a locked vault which is inspected by the federal government. He stated it would be very costly to the County but the County has not ruled out its use.

IN RE: NOTIFYING OWNERS OF DEAD DOGS WHEN FOUND

Mr. Raymond McCants asked what the State Highway Department and the Animal Warden does about notifying the owner of a dead animal found on the road.

The County Administrator stated that the Animal Warden tries to notify the owner and will turn the tag over to the owner if he desires. He stated that he did not know the exact procedure followed by the Highway Department but would discuss it with them at the next day meeting when they would be in attendance.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1981-82 BUDGET

Mr. John Sowers appeared before the Board to discuss areas of the proposed budget that he felt could be cut. The three areas he mentioned were the School Bus Garage, the Appomattox Regional Library, and Land Use.

He stated that people were very unhappy about the proposed tax increase and felt that the Board was going to have to do some real searching to cut the budget. He suggested that the County use

the $60,000 for the Appomattox Regional Library to put into the library at the Senior High and pay a librarian here. The Board advised him they considered that in the beginning but it was not allowed by State law.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:25 P.M. to discuss "legal matters". The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:03 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:03 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF JUNE, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF JILL POPE--REPRESENTING THE VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

The Chairman recognized Ms. Jill Pope representing the Virginia Association of Counties, who was present to view the meeting and receive any comments from the Board of Supervisors that they might have for the Association.

IN RE: CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION--JIMMY KARNES DAY

Mr. Donald Porter, member of the Board of Directors for the Jimmy Karnes Fund Committee, presented the Board with a Certificate of Appreciation in recognition of their outstanding aid and assistance rendered to the Jimmy Karnes Fund Raising Committee.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 20, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-965 through 81-1047 amounting to $66,580.34; County Construction Fund-check #CCF-81-2 amounting to $3165.50.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF PRIORITY LIST OF THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FOR 1981-82 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to review the proposed priority list for the Dinwiddie County 1981-82 Secondary Roads Improvement budget and ask for their input concerning the proposed construction items. He presented a list of sixteen items which could be funded.

Mr. Clay asked about roads that have been removed from the list. Mr. Medlock stated they would be returned to the list, once funds became available. Mr. Robertson asked about the status of Rt. 226 and Rt. 601. Mr. Weber asked about the status of Lee Boulevard.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held jointly with the

Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation on May 6, 1981 to receive public input on the 1981-82 Secondary Roads Improvement budget; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors met with representatives of the VDH&T in a workshop session on June 3, 1981 to discuss the construction priorities for the 1981-82 Secondary Roads Improvement budget; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments made at the public hearing and recommendations from the VDH&T representatives, the Board of Supervisors concurs with the priorities as listed in the 1981-82 Secondary Roads Improvement budget;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the priority list of the construction projects for Dinwiddie County's 1981-82 Secondary Roads Improvement budget be adopted as presented by the VDH&T.

IN RE: "CHANGES IN SECONDARY SYSTEM DUE TO RELOCATION & CONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 605"

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 605, from Route 604 to 1.917 Mi. S.W. Int. Rt. 604, a distance of 1.917 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 605, Project 0605-026-163, C502 dated at Richmond, Virginia May 20, 1981."

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the portions of Secondary Route 605, i.e., Sections 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 shown in red on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 605, Project 0605-026-163,C502 dated at Richmond, Virginia May 20, 1981, a total distance of 1.31 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33-141 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sections of old location, i.e, Sections 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10, shown in blue on the afore-mentioned sketch, a total distance of 0.97 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33-76.12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the State Highway Commission be requested to take the necessary action to discontinue the sections of old location, i.e., Sections 2 and 11, shown in yellow on the afore-mentioned sketch, a total distance of 0.25 miles, as a part of the Secondary System Highways as provided in Section 33.76.7 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: REVIEW OF 1981 ROADVIEWER'S REPORT

The County Administrator reviewed the Roadviewer's Report for 1981, outlining those roads which were carried over from past consideration. The list consisted of twelve roads of which five were subdivisions. He advised the Board that they now needed to prioritize these roads for consideration for funding.

Mr. Robertson asked if the usual procedure was to

first consider those that were carried over from the past year. He was advised that in the past, it has usually been handled that way.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the members should look at all the roads in terms of length, cost, need, and people served to make a decision on priorities.

Mr. Bennett asked how much money was available for rural additions. Mr. Medlock stated that $30,000 to $40,000 would be available plus $18,000 carried over from the present budget year.

Mr. Robertson stated that he agreed with Mr. Hargrave; however, he felt they should look at the roads where people have a real need now, i.e., the Walker Road. Mrs. Olethia Walker, a contributing landowner, appeared before the Board to review the condition of the Walker Road off Rt. 601 and what she had done personally to enable people to use the road. Mr. John Shands and Mr. O.L. Birdsall, contributing landowners, appeared on behalf of the Shands Road.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to obtain information on length, cost, people being served, and need on each road for the Board to consider at a later meeting. Mr. Clay suggested that the Board take a day to go out and actually view the roads for their own information.

Mr. Weber thanked the Highway Department and the Road-viewers for their work.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF 1980-81 UNEXPENDED SECONDARY ROADS RURAL ADDITION FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation transfer any unexpended rural addition funds in the 1980-81 Secondary Roads budget for Dinwiddie County to the 1981-82 Secondary Roads budget.

IN RE: DISPOSAL OF DEAD ANIMALS BY VDH&T

A question arose at a previous meeting concerning the Highway Department's policy on disposing of dead animals. Mr. Medlock advised the Board that if the animal was wearing a collar with a name on it, they would try to notify the owner. However, they did not try to locate the owner if the dog only had a county tag. He also stated that they were cutting down on the dog patrol, and would only be running it Monday through Friday. Mr. Hargrave asked the Department if they picked up a dog with a county tag only if they would send the tag to the County Administrator's office for possible identification through the dog license records. Mr. Medlock agreed.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of May, 1981.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of May, 1981.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO GENERAL FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer the present balance of $291,582.34 in the 1% Local Sales Tax Fund and the payment to be received June 15, 1981 for Local Sales Tax to the General Fund; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer the balance of $5,703.57 in the Retirement and Insurance Account to the General Fund.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of May, 1981. Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Brooks why the number of dogs picked up was low as compared to previous months. Mr. Brooks advised the Board that he had spent more time answering complaints than usual.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF GUIDELINES FOR LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY CLAIMS

The County Administrator and County Attorney presented to the Board a proposed set of guidelines for their consideration concerning processing livestock and poultry claims. These guidelines were taken directly from the State Code.

Mr. Robertson asked if the claimant took his case to court and was turned down, would the County still be liable to pay the claim. The County Attorney advised him that it would depend upon the reason the claim was turned down.

Mr. Bennett asked if the present claim form included a question as to whether the claimant carried insurance on the livestock or fowl killed. The County Administrator stated that if the guidelines were adopted, the present claim form would have to be changed and that question would be addressed.

Mr. Hargrave questioned the practicability of guideline #3 concerning the claimant exhausting all legal remedies against the owner of the dog. He stated that this might cause people to restrain from giving out information.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following guidelines for processing Livestock and Poultry claims were adopted:

1. The claimant has furnished evidence within sixty days of discovery of the quantity and value of the dead or injured livestock and the reasons the claimant believes that death or injury was caused by a dog.

2. The Animal Warden or other officer shall have been notified of the incident within seventy-two hours of its discovery.

3. The Claimant first has exhausted his legal remedies against the owner, if known, of the dog doing the damage for which compensation under this section is sought. Exhaustion shall mean a judgment against the owner of the dog upon which an execution has been returned unsatisfied.

4. If there are not sufficient moneys in the dog fund to pay these claims, they shall be paid in the order they are received when moneys become available.

5. Upon payment under this section, the local governing body shall be subrogated to the extent of compensation paid to the right of action to the owner of the livestock or poultry against the owner of the dog and may enforce the same in an appropriate action at law.

IN RE: RELEASE AGREEMENT--COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement on behalf of the County:

RELEASE AGREEMENT

This Agreement made by and between Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors (hereafter Dinwiddie) and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company (hereafter USF&G).

WITNESSETH:

Whereas, Dinwiddie entered into a contract with W.F. Hamm Construction Company (hereafter Hamm), for the construction of a County Administration Building located at Dinwiddie, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, USF&G, as surety, furnished a certain Performance Bond and Payment Bond Number 66-0120-1041-76 on behalf of Hamm to Dinwiddie; and

WHEREAS, on or about January 30, 1980, Hamm was notified by Dinwiddie that they had failed to comply with the terms and conditions of the contract between Dinwiddie and Hamm and called upon USF&G, a surety for Hamm, to fulfill Hamm's obligation to Dinwiddie under USF&G's Surety Bond; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to settle all claims which now exist or may hereafter arise out of the foregoing matters;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, promises and agreements herein contained, the parties mutually agree as follows:

1. USF&G will pay to Dinwiddie the sum of Six Thousand Dollars ($6,000.00). Upon such payment USF&G will be released from all of its obligations under the aforesaid bond with regard to the performance of the work contracted for by Hamm with Dinwiddie.

2. USF&G will not be released from the provisions of said bond regarding payment to laborers and material men for any amounts due at the time of the cancellation of Hamm's contract by Dinwiddie. In the event any laborer or material supplier of Hamm files suit against Dinwiddie, USF&G agrees to undertake defense of Dinwiddie and to hold Dinwiddie harmless therefrom, provided all suit papers pertaining thereto are furnished USF&G within the time provided for filing responsive of pleadings.

3. USF&G agrees to hold Dinwiddie harmless from any loss or expense caused by any creditors of Hamm claiming an interest in the unpaid balance of the Hamm-Dinwiddie contract price, subject to the notice as in "2".

4. The aggregate liability of the USF&G to Dinwiddie laborers and material men under its bond and under this agreement remains the amount of USF&G's bond penalty. All sums heretofore paid by USF&G to laborers, material men, or others in the performance of this contract and all sums paid pursuant to this agreement shall not, in the aggregate, exceed the bond penalty. In the event the bond penalties are paid by the USF&G under the obligations imposed by the bonds and this agreement, the USF&G will have no further obligations nor liabilities to Dinwiddie under this agreement or the performance bond and to third party beneficiaries under the labor and material payment bond. The bond penalties are $997,111.00 under the performance bond and $997,111.00 under the labor and material payment bond.

5. It is the intention of the parties that this understanding shall not prejudice any of the rights or remedies of either Dinwiddie

or USF&G in defending any claims, or by asserting any claims, or counter claims against Hamm. The sole intention of the parties hereto being to settle the claims among themselves arising out of the Hamm-Dinwiddie contract and the bond issued by USF&G.

In Witness Whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in their respective corporate names by duly authorized representatives.

Witness

_____

Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors

By_____

_____
DATE

Witness

_____

UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUARANTY CO.

By_____

_____
DATE

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE INCREASE IN LAW LIBRARY FUND FEE

The County Administrator advised the Board that H.B. 1289 allows a governing body by ordinance to increase the costs charged for civil suits from $1 to $2. These fees are collected and used for the Law Library Fund.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft for advertisement an amendment to Sec. 2-2, Chapter 2, Article I of the County Code to increase the Law Library Fund fee in Civil Suits to Two Dollars ($2.00).

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC LIBRARY IN SCHOOLS

The County Administrator advised the Board that in a previous meeting, a question arose about housing a public library in the county high school. He stated that in 1973, the Board considered locating the public library in the high school vs. joining the Appomattox Regional Library. He cited three main reasons why the County chose to join the Appomattox Regional Library:

1. Federal Aid Title II and State Aid Funds cannot be comingled with other funds.

2. A public library may be located in a school; however, it must be a completely separate entity.

3. The State Code indicates that any county may enter into a contract with a state supported institute of higher learning which did not include a high school.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:25 P.M. to discuss "legal matters". The Board reconvened into Open Session at 4:30 P.M.

IN RE: RECESS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board recessed at 4:30 P.M. to reconvene at 7:00 P.M. at the Dinwiddie Senior High School.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1981 TAX RATE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Sunday, May 17, 1981; Monday, May 18, 1981; and Tuesday, May 26, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1981 tax rate.

A tax rate of $.82 on real estate and $5.50 on personal property is proposed.

Public comment was received in conjunction with the 1981-82 budget and are recorded under that section.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1981-82 BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Sunday, May 17, 1981; Monday, May 18, 1981; and Tuesday, May 26, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1981-82 Dinwiddie County budget.

The Chairman announced that action on the budget and tax rate would not be taken following the public hearing. This action is scheduled for June 24, 1981 at 8:00 P.M.

The County Administrator outlined the income and highlighted significant changes over last year's budget.

The following people made comments or asked questions concerning the budget and proposed tax levies:

Mrs. Vivian Zaruba; Mrs. Cornelia Roberts; Mr. Donald Andrews; Mr. Henry G. Walker, Sr., Mr. J.W. Crumpler; Mr. Richard Earl, Sr.; Mr. Leo Wright; Mr. Karl Crowder; Mr. Ivan Beville, Mr. John Sowers; Mr. Andie Perdue; Mr. John Scarborough; Mr. Joe P. Lewis; Mrs. June Crumpler; Mr. James Winbush; Mrs. Faye Spiers; Mr. John Carr; Mr. Edgar P. Jones; Mr. Beasley Jones.

Mr. Weber stated that last year, the School budget was cut $400,000 and teachers received a 10 to 11% salary increase. This year, the budget is only being cut $266,000 and the Superintendent has stated that salary increases will be affected. Mr. Weber stated that he hoped the School Board can find other things to cut and allow the teachers their 9% increase.

Mr. Robertson thanked the citizens for coming. He said the County has not actually lost $274,000 in revenue; it has been transferred to the homeowner. He stated that the Board was going to work further on the budget and he had learned two things from the citizens. One was that they wanted less government and the other is they don't want Land Use.

Mr. Bennett stated that he appreciated the public comments and would look hard to find other areas to reduce expenditures. He said that as a Board member, he was in a difficult position. He indicated the Board had worked hard on the budget and he felt some comments were unjustified by seeing the budget only one time. He further stated that he felt Land Use was good for the citizens. He pointed out that the Board was honestly trying to cut the budget and that while other areas may have lower tax rates, they were also being reassessed every year. He felt the proposed salary increase was about equal to other areas. He also stated that he wished the citizens would come to more of the meetings and make the Supervisors aware of what they wanted.

Mr. Clay stated that he wished the citizens would call him to discuss their concerns.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:42 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF JUNE, 1981 AT 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. (arrived 8:00 P.M.) | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL REPORT

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented to the Board of Supervisors the following recap of the financial position of the County as of June 16, 1981 and its anticipated position on June 30, 1981:

| Fund | Balance 6/12/81 | Ant. Receipts | Expen. | Gen. Fund Trans. Needed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fire Vehicle | 4,691.16 | -0- | 40,000.00 | 35,308.84 |
| V.P.A. | 32,379.50 | 2,942.00 | 53,135.00 | 17,813.50 |
| C.B.E. | (13,757.75) | | | 13,757.75 |
| Title VI-B | 1,430.56 | 20,287.07 | 22,434.15 | 716.52 |
| County School | 72,749.19 | 414,040.33<br>172,733.35* | 1,153,645.10** | 623,563.11<br>Bal. of Budg. |
| Total: | | | | 691,159.72 |
| General Fund | 857,798.98 | 28,228.14<br>TR | 120,000.00<br>691,159.72 | 74,867.40 |

*May not be received from State by 6/30/81 and includes project refunds

**552,000 are for June and July payrolls dated 6-30-81. May be carried as Warrants Payable until due date

Funds Needed 7/1/81

| | |
|---|---|
| County School Bond Payment | 79,875.00 |
| " " " " | 19,837.00 |
| Employer's Cost-Fringe Ben. | 84,168.07 |
| V.P.A. | 5,150.00 |
| | 189,030.07 |

Funds Advanced to Various Projects & Due General Fund

| | |
|---|---|
| School Construction | 176,002.26 |
| A.B.E. | 3,012.90 |
| C.B.E. | 26,225.31 |
| E.S.E.A.-Title I | 98,280.20 |
| Title VI-B (5539.20 from 79-80) | 40,518.14 |
| V.P.A.-Fuel | 8,039.08 |
| | 352,077.89 |

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO BORROW FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there appears to be a need to borrow funds to

operate the County from July 1, 1981 to December 15, 1981;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Administrator and the County Attorney are hereby authorized to do the following:

If the funds are needed prior to June 30, 1981, the paperwork to borrow funds should be completed and ready for action at the Board's June 24, 1981 meeting.

If the funds are not needed until mid-July, 1981, the paperwork to borrow the funds should be completed and ready for action at the July 15, 1981 Board meeting.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR REPORTS LOSS OF INCOME

The County Administrator told the Board that income totalling $32,500 has been lost due to cutbacks in two categories and the addition of an $8,000 expense item by the Compensation Board. The loss of revenue in the Revenue Sharing account is $22,500 and in the State Sales Tax based on school age population, $10,000. The Compensation Board has awarded the Sheriff two additional deputies; therefore, there was a need for the Board of Supervisors to budget for an additional car amounting to $8,000.

IN RE: AIRPORT AUTHORITY REQUEST BUDGET STAY AT $52,000

Loid Hodnett, one of Dinwiddie County's three representatives on the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, requested that the Board of Supervisors leave in tact the $52,000 now in the budget for the Authority. He stated this money was very much needed to insure that grants coming from the federal and state governments could be matched. He felt that many improvements have been made at the Airport and future improvements would assist in adding to the tax base of the County.

Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber concurred with Mr. Hodnett's request to leave the budgeted amount at $52,000; and if Petersburg should withdraw from the Authority, the County of Dinwiddie should take appropriate steps to acquire full control of the Authority and fund the Authority.

Mr. Hargrave indicated a desire for the County to remain a partner with the City of Petersburg in this Authority venture. He did not want the citizens of Petersburg nor the City Council to interpret the remarks made that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie was urging them to withdraw from the Authority. He indicated that the City of Petersburg would receive many benefits that have not been previously brought to the public's attention.

Mr. Clay and Mr. Bennett both indicated their first impulse was to reduce the County's budgeted amount to that of the City of Petersburg but would give serious consideration to additional funds needed for matching federal and state grants.

IN RE: COUNTY AND SCHOOL SALARIES AND OTHER BUDGET ITEMS

Mr. Robertson presented to the Board a plan whereby the County would pay the FICA for the employee rather than providing a 9% salary increase. This would save the County, according to his figures, $144,008.24. The Board of Supervisors asked the County Attorney to determine if this plan was in accordance with IRS regulations and the Code of Virginia.

Mr. Robertson brought up several other items, among them County's insurance, stationery, travel voucher, radio maintenance, gas for all departments and the probation car.

Mr. Weber brought up pest control, Chapter 19, and the Library.

Mr. Bennett indicated he would withhold further comments until a decision had been made on the pay scale proposed by Mr.

Robertson.

Decision on all budget matters will be considered at the June 24, 1981 Board meeting.

IN RE: SALARY OF ASSISTANT COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Compensation Board on May 15, 1981, advised the Board of Supervisors that the salary for the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney would be set at $6,350.00 for the fiscal year 1981-82; and

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth's Attorney requested in a letter to the Compensation Board, dated May 19, 1981, that the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney's salary be reconsidered; and

WHEREAS, on June 12, 1981, the Compensation Board advised the Commonwealth's Attorney that the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney's salary had been increased to $7,050.00 for the fiscal year 1981-82, subject to concurrence of the Board of Supervisors;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that they concur with the annual salary for the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney of $7,050.00 fixed by the Compensation Board in their letter of June 12, 1981.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(3), the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:45 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 24TH DAY OF JUNE, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | JOHN R. HODGES | INVESTIGATOR |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the June 3, 1981 regular meeting and the June 16, 1981 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1048 to 81-1195 amounting to $93,278.86; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-81-3 thru LF-81-5 amounting to $606.45.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM FIRE VEHICLE FUND TO GENERAL FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that $4,691.16 be transferred from the Fire Vehicle Fund to the General Fund.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-81-2--AMENDMENT TO INCREASE THE LAW LIBRARY FUND FEE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 10, 1981 and Wednesday, June 17, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 2-2, Chapter 2, Article I of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, to increase the Law Library Fund fee in civil suits to Two Dollars ($2.00).

Mr. Hargrave questioned the need for raising the fee. The County Attorney advised the Board that the fees collected are used to pay for various law books and corresponding supplements used by the courts and the public. He further stated that with the increase in supplements and rising costs, the present fee will soon be inadequate.

No one appeared for or against this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to increase the Law Library Fund fee in civil suits by repealing the existing

Section 2-2 and reenacting said section to read as follows:

Chapter 2 - Administration

Article I. In General

Section 2-2. Same--Special costs assessed in civil suits for establishment, etc., of county law library; law library generally.

The General District Court shall assess as costs in all civil suits the sum of two dollars and the Circuit Court of the County shall assess as costs in all civil suits instituted in such court the sum of two dollars, and such costs shall be collected by the clerk of each of such courts and remitted to the treasurer of the county and held by such treasurer subject to disbursements by the board of supervisors for the establishment, use and maintenance of a law library and for the acquisition of law books and law periodicals and, if necessary, for the compensation to be paid to a librarian or other necessary staff for the maintenance of such library and for the acquisition of suitable quarters if it becomes necessary.

The compensation of such librarians and the necessary staff and the cost of suitable quarters for such library shall be fixed by the governing body and paid out of the fund created by the imposition of such assessment of costs. The assessment provided for herein shall be in addition to all other costs prescribed by law, but shall not apply to any action in which the commonwealth of any political subdivision thereof or the federal government is a part and in which the costs are assessed against the commonwealth, political subdivision thereof or federal government. The governing body is authorized to accept contributions to the fund from any bar association. Such library shall be for the use of the court and the public.

The effective date of this ordinance shall be July 1, 1981.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF 1981-82 SCHOOL BOARD SPECIAL PROJECTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board has requested authorization to make expenditures for the following special projects for the 1981-82 school year;

1. Flow-through Funds - Title VIB - Carry-over from 1979-80, and 1980-81. - $25,000 maximum - Catch up on referrals for Special Education.

2. CBE - Vocational Curriculum Guides - $23,000

3. Title I - Remedial program - $245,764 + approximately $5,000 carry-over funds.

4. Title VI-B - Flow-through 1981-82 - Maximum $70,600

5. Project RE-LEAF - 81-82 - $60,032.98 - continue day treatment services - most severe ED students.

WHEREAS, these projects are 100% reimburseable;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that there is hereby appropriated on an as needed basis the funds to proceed with the 1981-82 school year special projects as listed above.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH NEW BOILER AT MIDWAY SCHOOL

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to present the low bid received on the new boiler at Midway School and to request authorization to proceed with the project. He stated that the bid was a little more than the the amount designated in the bond issue and approximately $3500 additional funds would be needed for materials. However, he assured the Board that there were sufficient funds in the bond issue to cover this project.

Mr. Hargrave asked if an electric system was considered for hot water rather than oil. Dr. Vaughn advised the Board that this project was included in the energy study by the State. Mr. Bennett asked if the projects authorized for the bond issue have been within their estimated costs. Dr. Vaughn indicated that several projects have been under the estimated cost.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to accept the bid of $26,800 for the new boiler for Midway School, including the $3500 additional funds as needed for materials; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the project as presented.

IN RE: CUTS IN 1981-82 BUDGET

The County Administrator advised the Board that due to reductions of $22,500 in Revenue Sharing, and $10,000 in State Sales Tax based on school age population and the addition of an extra Deputy Sheriff requiring the purchase of a car and radio and other changes by the Compensation Board, there was a $40,710 deficit to be made up to balance the proposed budget.

Mr. Robertson stated that the County Attorney had ruled that the County would not be allowed to pay the employee's Social Security which he had proposed at the last meeting would result in a considerable savings for the County.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the building permit fees be revised in the new year, which he felt would generate $5,000 in additional income.

After a general discussion, the following cuts were made in the 1981-82 budget:

1. Board of Supervisors--Dues and Subscriptions--1101-5801

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, there being no second, Mr. Bennett and Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the motion to reduce Dues and Subscriptions for the Board of Supervisors by $1,000 was defeated.

2. County Administrator--Traveling Expenses--1201-5501

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the traveling expenses for the County Administrator's office be reduced by $1,000 to $3,000.

3. Operation of Dog Pound--Labor--3501B-1001

Mr. Bennett moved that Labor under Operation of Dog Pound and Maintenance of Boat Landing be reduced by $1750 to $2000. There was no second. Mr. Weber stated that he didn't want to do anything to hurt the chances of keeping the boat landing open. Mr. Bennett withdrew this motion.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Labor for Operation of Dog Pound and Maintenance of Boat Landings be reduced by $1000 to $2,750.

4. Landfill--Extra Help--4204-1002

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Extra Help category for the Landfill be reduced by $1,000 to $8,000.

5. Reserve Sewerage Capacity--4206-5605

Mr. Bennett moved that the reserve sewerage capacity to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority be reduced by $3,000 to $69,000. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson stated that he hoped the Board was not getting into a situation which would cause the water and sewer rates to be raised to the users. Mr. Weber agreed with Mr. Robertson. Mr. Hargrave stated that he understood their concerns but he felt experience would show that the Authority could handle a reduction by October, but he would be satisfied to leave the budget as proposed if the members would agree to address the subject at that time. Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "nay", the motion was defeated.

6. Planning Commission--Mileage--8101-5501

Mr. Bennett moved that mileage for the Planning Commission be reduced by $1,000 to $1,000. There was no second. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the Planner was doing a good job and the Board had committed a certain amount of travel for him when he was hired.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the mileage for the Planning Commission be reduced by $1,000 to $1,000.

7. Airport Authority--8106-5607

Mr. Bennett moved that the contribution to the Airport Authority be reduced by $19,300 to equal the contribution by the City of Petersburg. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson stated that he would agree with reducing the contribution to equal that of the City of Petersburg if the County would be receptive to the Airport Authority when it requests matching funds. Mr. Hargrave stated he would be agreeable to offer support up to the proposed $52,000 figure.

Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contribution to the Airport Authority

be reduced by $19,300 to $32,700.

8. School Board--$60,000--17D1 and 17F1

Mr. Bennett moved that the School Board budget be reduced by $60,000. He felt there were reductions that could be made in fuel and also the allocation by the County would then be equal to the State's proposed contribution to the Schools. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson stated that he wanted to amend the motion to make sure funds were not cut from the Instruction category so as not to cut the teachers' salary increases. The County Attorney stated that the Board could make appropriations to certain major categories to achieve their desired cuts.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $60,000 be cut from the categories of Transportation and Operation of School Plant in the 1981-82 School Board budget.

Mr. Bennett stated that he abstained from voting because he did not think the cuts should be limited to specific areas.

9. Gas--9104-5408

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the gasoline category for the County be reduced by $10,000.

10. Pest Control--4302-3017

Mr. Weber moved that $500 be cut from Pest Control for the county buildings. There was no second. The increase to this category is due to the addition of the Social Services and Health buildings to the pest control contract.

Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "nay", the motion was defeated.

11. District 19 Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Board--5201-5602

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the appropriation to the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board be reduced by $1,000 to $18,950.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1981-82 BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following 1981-82 budget is hereby approved:

| ANTICIPATED INCOME | 1981-82 |
|---|---|
| From Local Sources | $5,231,790 |
| From State Sources | 1,350,320 |

| | |
|---|---|
| From Revenue Sharing | 342,700 |
| From General Fund | 50,910 |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME | 6,975,720 |

ESTIMATED EXPENSES

| | |
|---|---|
| 01 General Government Administration | |
| 011 Legislative | 18,200 |
| 012 General & Financial Administration | 223,250 |
| 013 Board of Elections | 24,760 |
| 02 Judicial Administration | |
| 021 Courts | 19,790 |
| 022 Commonwealth's Attorney | 42,200 |
| 03 Public Safety | |
| 031 Law Enforcement and Traffic Control | 412,030 |
| 032 Fire and Rescue Services | 63,700 |
| 033 Correction & Detention | 120,930 |
| 034 Inspections | 21,350 |
| 035 Other Protection | 23,530 |
| 04 Public Works | |
| 041 Maintenance of Highways & Streets | 27,200 |
| 042 Sanitation & Waste Removal | 225,890 |
| 043 Maintenance of Buildings & Grounds | 103,110 |
| 044 Water Service | 80,000 |
| 05 Health & Welfare | |
| 051 Health | 60,500 |
| 052 Mental Health & Mental Retardation | 18,950 |
| 053 Social Services | 10,380 |
| 06 Education | |
| 064 Community Colleges | 610 |
| 07 Parks, Recreation & Cultural | |
| 073 Library | 60,390 |
| 08 Community Development | |
| 081 Planning & Community Development | 91,400 |
| 082 Environmental Management | 26,200 |
| 083 Cooperative Extension Program | 30,020 |
| 09 Non-departmental | |
| 091 Non-departmental | 346,410 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES | $2,050,800 |

5100 TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS

| | |
|---|---|
| 5101 Virginia Public Assistance Fund from General Fund | 721,230 |
| 5102 School Fund from General Fund | 3,860,990 |
| 5103 School Fund from Revenue Sharing Fund | 342,700 |
| 5104 Water Authority from Water & Sewer Account | -0- |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES AND TRANSFERS | $6,975,720 |

IN RE: 1981 TAX RATES ADOPTED

Mr. Hargrave moved that the following tax rates be adopted:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | .81 |
| Mobile Homes | .81 |
| Mineral Lands | .81 |
| Public Service | |
| Equalized | .81 |
| Unequalized | 4.40 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Personal Property | 5.40 |
| Machinery & Tools | 5.40 |
| Farm Machinery | 4.00 |

Mr. Bennett seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson stated that he appreciated the work done by the Board to lower the rates; however, he still was not happy with the distribution because the rates did not treat everyone equally.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the following tax rates were adopted for the tax year 1981:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | .81 |
| Mobile Homes | .81 |
| Mineral Lands | .81 |
| Public Service | |
| Equalized | .81 |
| Uneqalized | 4.40 |
| Personal Property | 5.40 |
| Machinery & Tools | 5.40 |
| Farm Machinery | 4.00 |

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--SCHOOL BOARD--DR. CHARLES C. ASHBY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Dr. Charles C. Ashby was reappointed to the Dinwiddie County School Board, term expiring June 30, 1985.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD--RUBY BAIN

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Ruby Bain was reappointed to the Social Services Board, term expiring June 30, 1985.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD--RUBY LEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Ruby Lee was appointed to the Social Services Board, term expiring June 30, 1985.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The appointments to the Regional Library Board and the Justice and Crime Prevention Council were postponed until the July 15, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the CPDC Executive Committee, term expiring June 30, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett abstaining, Mr. George S. Bennett, Jr. was appointed to the Crater Planning District Commission, to fill an unexpired term ending June 30, 1983.

IN RE: REVIEW OF ROADVIEWER'S REPORT

As requested at the June 3, 1981 meeting, the County Administrator presented to the Board additional information on the roads to be prioritized for rural additions in the State

secondary system. The information provided ranked the roads according to cost of construction per home served.

Mr. Bennett asked if all the roads were recorded. The County Administrator stated all the roads were recorded except for Lee Boulevard which they were in the process of working on. Mr. Hargrave stated that some of the roads may have been recorded with less than 50' right-of-ways which would have to be resolved with the Highway Department.

Mr. Robertson asked which of the roads on the list were the oldest which have been carried over. The County Administrator stated that the subdivision roads were the oldest recorded and the unbuilt portion of the Watkins Road was the oldest road carried over. He also stated the Walker Road and the Shands Road had been in the rural addition process for a long time but were only recently recorded.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the recording doesn't indicate the suffering from problems with the roads which the Board would need to discuss with the Highway Department.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to set up an appointment with the Highway Department for the Board to go out and view the roads under consideration.

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO COUNTY PURCHASING POLICY

The County Administrator advised the Board that the County was running into difficulty under the present purchasing policy obtaining three bids on certain projects and this requirement sometimes prevented the acceptance of any bid at all. He, therefore, asked that the Board review the policy with these comments in mind.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the purchasing policy for the County adopted June 18, 1980 be amended as follows:

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any item purchased exceeding $200 must 'solicit' at least three (3) bids and the lowest will be accepted when deemed that the item(s) bid on are equal to that outlined in the request to bid."

IN RE: CHANGE IN STATUS OF VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS AND RESCUE SQUAD MEMBERS FOR INSURANCE COVERAGE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors feels that it is in the best interest of the County to make certain changes in insurance coverage of volunteer firefighters and rescue squad members in the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby rescinds the motion of June 16, 1976 except for the Dinwiddie County Special Police, and the motion of October 18, 1978 except for the Dinwiddie County Special Police pursuant to Sec. 65.1-4.1 of the Code of Virginia which allows the County to declare all volunteer firefighters and rescue squad members of the County to be County employees for purposes of Workmen's Compensation Insurance coverage; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that all volunteer firefighters and rescue squad members of Dinwiddie County shall not be considered employees of the County for purposes of Workmen's Compensation Insurance coverage.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF VOLUNTEER FIREMEN'S & FIRST AID SQUAD'S ACCIDENT POLICY--CHESTERFIELD INSURERS, INC.

The County Administrator presented to the Board a proposal from Chesterfield Insurer's, Inc. for insurance coverage for the County's volunteer firefighters and rescue squad members. The policy would be used in lieu of the present Workmen's Compensation policy and would result in a greatly reduced annual premium. The County Administrator further stated that Chesterfield Insurers seemed to be the only company they could find in the State that offered this type of coverage, and those counties he had contacted that have adopted this policy for their volunteers are pleased with the coverage. He advised the Board that the coverage offered was much broader than Workmen's Compensation coverage at a lower premium.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accepts the Volunteer Firemen's and First Aid Squad's Accident Policy offered by Chesterfield Insurers, Inc. for coverage of the County's volunteer firefighters and rescue squad members, effective July 1, 1981.

IN RE: BLACKSTONE VFD--REQUEST FOR INSTALLATION OF 39.98 RADIO CRYSTAL

Mr. Bennett presented a letter from the Fire Chief of the Blackstone VFD requesting authorization to install the County's 39.98 radio crystal in the Department's station wagon to use when assisting the Ford VFD.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to investigate the request and report his findings to the Board.

IN RE: DECLARATION OF JULY 19, 1981 AS 'PRAYER AGAINST CRIME DAY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, crime in America has almost begun to run rampant, with the rate of law violations rising steadily in an apparent irreversible trend; and

WHEREAS, crime is reducing the United States to the status of an impotent society whose capability of maintaining elementary security on the streets, in schools and for the homes of our people is in doubt; and

WHEREAS, regardless of differing opinions and various reasons for the cause of the soaring problem, the fact remains that the situation has become critical, and drastic measures must be taken to prevent our society from becoming completely chaotic; and

WHEREAS, we are aware that in addition to evidences of crime made public knowledge, an even greater degree of lawlessness is in existence that is creating an attitude of hopeless-

ness in our citizenry; and

WHEREAS, a majority of people want to think positively about the criminal justice system and in order to support that system it is essential that a reversal of the present trend must occur; and

WHEREAS, we firmly believe that prayer can change things, and our society, as well as the criminal justice system can be improved through the effects of sincere, intensive prayer efforts coupled with plans and programs for positive social and criminal justice changes;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, does hereby proclaim the day of Sunday, July 19, 1981, as

PRAYER AGAINST CRIME DAY

in the Tri-City Area and urge that each of our citizens pray each day that God will protect our society from being overwhelmed by lawlessness; pray for victims of crimes and for their families and friends; pray for people who commit crimes, that they will repent and be changed; pray that the criminal justice system will be fair and effective; and pray for the protection of law enforcement officers; thereby utilizing a potentially powerful weapon against one of the most critical problems to face our nation, communities and homes in this generation.

SIGNED this 24th day of June, 1981 at Dinwiddie, Virginia.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:55 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:25 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 13TH DAY OF JULY, 1981 AT 8:30 A.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |

IN RE: REVIEW OF ROADS REQUESTED TO BE TAKEN INTO THE SECONDARY ROADS SYSTEM

The Board of Supervisors met with Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, to review the following roads for consideration to be taken into the secondary roads system:

Shands Road; Walker Road; Roanoke Street Extension; Short Street and Ritchie Avenue; Watkins Road; Browder Road Extension; Bishop Street and Rainbow Street; Southside Subdivision; Hazel Avenue and Bell Street; Oak Street, Pine Street and Elm Street; Lee Boulevard Extension.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:18 P.M.

A.S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 15TH DAY OF JULY, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.O. RAINEY | ASS'T. COM. ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the June 24, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1276 to 81-1389 amounting to $90,854.78; Library Fund Check-number LF-81-6 in the amount of $152.31; History Book check-number HB-81-3 in the amount of $5.86.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 13, 1981 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BORROWING FUNDS

As authorized by the Board at the June 16, 1981 special meeting, the County Administrator presented to the Board information needed to proceed with borrowing sufficient funds to meet the financial obligations of the County for the months of July through November, 1981.

He stated that the maximum allowed to be borrowed by the County is one-half of the anticipated taxes to be collected; however, he and the County Attorney recommended that $750,000 be borrowed from the Bank of Southside Virginia at 8.5% and $950,000 be borrowed from the Bank of Virginia at 9.5%. Another proposal was received from Central Fidelity at a rate of 10.57%.

Mr. Robertson asked if it would be possible to borrow the entire $1.7 million from the Bank of Southside Virginia at the lower interest rate. The County Administrator stated that he considered his recommendation to be in the best interest of the County.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administrator contact the Bank of Southside Virginia to determine the maximum amount that could be borrowed at the 8.5% rate and call an emergency meeting of the Board if needed to take action to obtain this rate. After a brief discussion, Mr. Robertson withdrew his motion.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he was reluctant to postpone action with the possibility of losing the interest rates that have been offered. Mr. Hargrave moved that the County Administrator be authorized to proceed with borrowing as much as

possible of the $1.7 million from the Bank of Southside Virginia at 8.5% and the balance with the Bank of Virginia as long as the action does not result in increasing the total cost as now proposed. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of June, 1981.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO SCHOOL FUND

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis, Treasurer, requested authorization to transfer $41,918.64 from the General Fund to the School Fund retroactive to June 30, 1981, to balance that account. These funds will be transferred back to the General Fund upon receipt of sufficient State funds to do so.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $41,918.64 from the General fund to the School Fund retroactive to June 30, 1981.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of June, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of June, 1981.

IN RE: FOWL & LIVESTOCK CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following claims were approved:

Mrs. Adele Brockman was awarded $60.05 for 52 chickens.

Mr. E.W. Stone was awarded $71.55 for 27 hybrid pullets.

Mr. W.R. Bishop was awarded $135 for one hog.

IN RE: REVIEW OF CENSUS INFORMATION FOR REDISTRICTING PURPOSES

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, appeared before the Board to review the 1980 Census figures and the resulting distribution of people per election district.

To be effective December 31, 1981, the County Administrator suggested that the Board meet and prepare a redistricting plan for public hearing and approval by the mid-September meeting to forward to the Justice Department as soon as possible thereafter. He stated that the Board, therefore, needs to decide who they desire to do the work and when they can meet.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the cost of an outside consultant be obtained in the meantime for the Board to consider.

Mr. Clay and Mr. Weber stated that they felt the Director of Planning could do the work and present the alternatives to the Board.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the Board of Supervisors should prepare the redistricting plan with guidance from the County and he would not support outsiders doing the work.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administration prepare tentative plans for the Board to consider at their next meeting and the Board establish a time schedule thereafter to review them. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. Hargrave asked that each member of the Board offer any suggestions or thoughts they have to the Administration as they formulate the plans and that these suggestions in turn be passed along to the other members. He also emphasized two items that he wanted the Board members to think about during their deliberations. 1. That the redistricting be done in a manner to cause the lines to be moved as little as possible. 2. That the Board consider the flexibility of returning to five districts and a one-man per district concept.

After further discussion, the Board agreed to adjourn this meeting until Monday night, July 20, 1981 at 7:30 P.M. to begin work on the redistricting plan.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley advised the Board that she had received her 1981-82 approved budget and cuts had been made which resulted in a $7800 reduction in local funds.

She also advised the Board that the first payment of three has been received for the Central Services Cost Allocation Plan in the amount of $9634.

IN RE: SLH APPLICATIONS--MEDICAL COLLEGE OF VIRGINIA--PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL--GREENSVILLE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Chairman be authorized to sign contracts for State and Local Hospitalization with the Medical College of Virginia at the rate of $226.52 per day; Petersburg General Hospital at the rate of $157.84 per day; and Greensville Memorial Hospital at the rate of $134.79 per day.

IN RE: ADULT BASIC EDUCATION PROGRAM--1981-82

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to submit a grant application for the Adult Basic Education Program for 1981-82 in the amount of $6800. The local cost would be 10% or $680, which he stated was available in the School Board budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Superintendent was authorized to proceed with the grant application to the Virginia Adult Education Service for the 1981-82 Adult Basic Education Program.

IN RE: COMMUNITY/SCHOOL DIALOGUE PROJECT

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to proceed with the project application for School Community Involvement grant funds in the amount of $2,550.

This grant would be used to offset expenses of holding meetings and gathering information on various community activities needed and desired in the County. The local match would be in-kind services of those individuals involved.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

the Superintendent of Schools was authorized to proceed with the project application for $2,550 in School Community Involvement Grant Funds for the Community/School Dialogue Project.

IN RE: ROUTE 645--AUTHORIZATION TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise for public hearing on August 19, 1981, consideration of conveying by deed the necessary right-of-way to the Highway Department for widening Rt. 645 by the Dinwiddie County Landfill. The public hearing is required by the State Code.

IN RE: MEETING TO DISCUSS ROAD PRIORITIES

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Board did not receive a definite answer to a couple of questions asked during their meeting with the Highway Department to view the roads to be taken into the secondary system. These questions dealt with the allowance of a 30' road width and the spreading of expenditures of funds on several roads rather than all on one road to be taken into the system.

The County Administrator was instructed to pursue these questions with the Highway Department for discussion by the Board at their next meeting.

IN RE: RECREATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. gave a brief report on the activities to date of the Recreation Committee and their tentative schedule of activities planned in the future. He stated that the existing recreational activities were in good hands and the Committee wanted to concentrate on developing other areas in the County. He indicated they had met with the Community Involvement Group to coordinate activities and suggested that Ms. Diane Galbreath, a member of that group and a resident of the County, be appointed to the Recreation Committee.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Diane Galbreath was appointed to the Recreation Committee.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--JUSTICE & CRIME PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL

The appointment to the CPDC Justice & Crime Prevention Advisory Council was postponed until the next meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Ellen Perdue was appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring June 30, 1985.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the CPDC Metropolitan Planning Organization, term expiring June 30, 1982.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARINGS--CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION AND PROCUREMENT LAWS

Mr. Hargrave advised the Board that public hearings were being held to consider requiring certificates of competency in certain crafts within each jurisdiction. He indicated that he was opposed to this certification and would like for the County to be represented as such at the hearing. He felt

the Building Inspector's review of all work done was adequate at this time without all the additional overhead of testing.

The County Administrator stated that there has been discussion among the licensing officials that local testing was needed and the local building officials had discussed having the testing done on a regional basis which would be less costly to the individual jurisdictions.

Mr. Robertson and Mr. Clay also expressed opposition to testing as it would be an additional cost to the County.

Mr. Hargrave further stated that a public hearing was also scheduled to study the procurement laws of the Commonwealth and possible application to local governments. He was opposed to the State dictating procurement laws for the County and wished to be represented at this public hearing also.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to attend or have the Director of Planning attend these public hearings to express the County's position on these two issues.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:50 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:22 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M. Monday, July 20, 1981.

JULY 20, 1981--CONTINUATION OF JULY 15, 1981 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

L.G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $750,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 20th day of July, 1981, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| Aubrey S. Clay | Aye |
| George E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |
| Steve Weber | Aye |
| George S. Bennett, Jr. | Aye |
| Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. | Aye |

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on July 20, 1981, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to $750,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1981; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from The Bank of Southside Virginia to purchase its $750,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the Bank of Southside Virginia, and to deliver the notes to The Bank of Southside Virginia upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 20th day of July, 1981, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 22nd day of July, 1981.

_____
County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $950,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 20th day of July, 1981, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

| MEMBER | VOTE |
|---|---|
| Aubrey S. Clay | Aye |
| George E. Robertson, Jr. | Aye |
| Steve Weber | Aye |

George S. Bennett, Jr. Aye
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. Aye

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on July 20, 1981, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to $950,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1981; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from The Bank of Virginia to purchase its $950,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the Bank of Virginia and to deliver the notes to The Bank of Virginia upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 20th day of July, 1981, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 22nd day of July, 1981.

_____
County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF SECONDARY ROAD PRIORITIES

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had contacted the Resident Engineer for the Department of Highways concerning the question of spreading of expenditures over several roads rather than totally on one or two to be taken into the system.

The Resident Engineer had discussed the matter with the Secondary Roads Director who advised him that the Highway Department policy would not allow the spreading of funds on rural additions in the manner desired by the Board for, basically, two reasons: 1. There may not be any funds available to put into the roads next year. 2. Money cannot be spent on a road unless it has been taken into the Secondary Roads system.

With these comments in mind, the Board agreed to work on establising priorities on the roads being considered at the

August 19, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL VEHICLES

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had been contacted by the Sheriff concerning the purchase of a new police car for the new Deputy Sheriff he has hired.

The County Administrator made the following suggestions for the Board to consider before making a decision. He stated that three cars would be needed; a replacement and two new cars for the two new deputies.

He indicated that the State usually bought their cars through Pocquoson Motors and they had several additional police vehicles on hand if the Board wanted to purchase from them.

He further stated that he had information on mileage of all the cars being used now and would gather together some price comparison figures for their consideration in the next few days.

Mr. Hargrave asked that the Sheriff meet with the Board to discuss the cars he needs for his Department prior to the Board taking action.

IN RE: KENNEL LICENSES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS

Mr. Robertson stated that he had received several calls concerning dogs barking in kennels in subdivisions and bothering the surrounding neighbors. He indicated that he was disturbed by the fact that anyone could obtain a kennel license without any consideration of the surrounding landowners. Mr. Weber stated that he did not feel that kennels should be allowed in subdivisions.

The Board instructed the County Administrator, with the Zoning Administrator and the County Attorney, to investigate what alternatives were available in helping to counteract the problem and report their findings.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REDISTRICTING ALTERNATIVES

The County Administrator presented to the Board a table of population figures by Election District as a result of the Census count showing the increases and decreases in each one. The population figure that will be used is 20,282, which does not include the mental institutions located in the County. Mr. Bennett questioned where these population figures were counted. He was advised that the population figures for the mental institutions were included in Dinwiddie County in every case except representation due to a decision by the Courts.

The Director of Planning then presented working maps for the Board's use in making the needed changes. The County Administrator suggested that since E.D. #4 totals were comparable to its previous totals, that it be left in tact with as little change as possible.

Mr. Hargrave stated that if the same racial balance could be maintained, basically, the same shapes of the districts should meet the requirements of the Justice Department. He indicated that he felt making two separate election districts out of Election District #2 would be beneficial. He further stated that he felt the change could be made by having the Director of Planning go into Election District #1 and #3 to obtain the needed numbers for Election District #2 and then return to the Board with the resulting lines for their review and comments.

Mr. Weber stated that he was opposed to splitting Election District #2 into separate districts.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the Director of Planning to make the changes indicated and return to the Board for further comments.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Wednesday, July 29, 1981.

JULY 29, 1981--CONTINUATION OF JULY 20, 1981 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

LARRY G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT RELATING TO RECREATIONAL OR OTHER USE OF LAKE CHESDIN BY PUBLIC

The County Administrator presented an amendment prepared to add Section 12-7 to Chapter 12 of the County Code concerning public use of Lake Chesdin. This amendment has been adopted by the Appomattox River Water Authority and Chesterfield County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the amendment for a public hearing on August 19, 1981.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BOAT LANDING

The County Administrator suggested to the Board an alternative to determine what use is really being made of the boat landing. He asked that the Board consider allowing him to hire individuals for a period of 30 to 45 days to be stationed at the boat landing to collect a $1.00 parking fee. The individual would work from 6:00 A.M. to 9:00 or 10:00 P.M., after which a cable would be drawn across the entrance and locked. He offered this suggestion to the Board as a means of determining what the public demand actually is for keeping the boat landing open. Mr. Robertson asked if there would be any obligation to repair and keep open the restroom facilities. The County Administrator stated he would have to restore them to the point of being safe. Discussion was delayed until later on in the meeting.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF REDISTRICTING PROPOSAL AND AUTHORIZATION FOR ADVERTISEMENT

As instructed at the last meeting, the County Administrator and Director of Planning presented a redistricting proposal for the Board's review. The County Administrator distributed some basic information on voting precincts.

The Director of Planning outlined the redistricting proposal displayed by maps. In the proposal, the new lines drawn would reflect 277 taken from E.D. #1 and 923 from E.D. #3 to be added to E.D. #2. The resulting lines follow natural boundaries very smoothly keeping the racial balance close to what was approved before.

Mr. Robertson asked the Director of Planning to present the alternative plan that he himself had proposed. In this plan, 272 would be taken from E.D. #1; 159 white and 113 black. From E.D. #3, 1,142 would be taken; 715 white and 426 black. Mr. Scheid stated that he encountered some difficulty in drawing the lines because the proposal split census blocks and were a little more irregular.

Mr. Hargrave asked if any consideration had been given to splitting E.D. #2. The Director of Planning stated that he

had not dealt with that issue.

Mr. Weber stated that he could live with either plan, keeping the disturbance of people as small as possible.

Mr. Robertson stated that in submitting his proposal, he did not have the figures showing the black/white ratio. After looking at the figures presented, Mr. Robertson felt his proposal would be out of line and, therefore, the first proposal would be more equitable.

Mr. Bennett asked Mrs. Jeter, the Registrar, if she had seen the plans. She stated that from the viewpoint of her office, she could work with either plan.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that three voting precincts would be effected by removing people and two precincts would have additions. He stated that he liked the first proposal offered.

The County Administrator made the following comments:

1. That in preparing the plan, the lines lent themselves to a smooth flow along boundary lines, thereby keeping a normal shape.

2. The census blocks did not have to be split.

3. The district boundary lines were outstanding in that they so readily followed natural boundaries, i.e., road, stream, road, and would be easy to identify.

4. The plan transfers the appropriate amount of people.

5. The plan maintains the appropriate black-white ratio in E.D. #1 and #3 with a small change in #2.

He, therefore, would recommend acceptance of the first proposal.

Mr. Clay stated that he also liked the first proposal presented.

Mr. Robertson moved that the first redistricting proposal be accepted for advertisement for public hearing at an appropriate date. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

The County Administrator suggested the August 19, 1981 meeting. The County Attorney stated that an ordinance would have to be drafted and two weeks be allowed for advertisement. He also indicated that everything should be finalized and prepared to forward to the Justice Department by September 30, 1981.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the target date be September 16 and if any changes were needed, there would be a two week period in which to do so.

Mr. Robertson amended his motion to indicate a public hearing to be held on September 16, 1981. Mr. Hargrave accepted the amendment and seconded the motion.

Mr. Weber thanked Mr. Knott and Mr. Scheid for their work and asked that the Registrar be provided with a copy of the map.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1), of the Virginia Free-

dom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:18 P.M. to discuss employment. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 8:25 P.M.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO HIRE INDIVIDUAL TO COLLECT PARKING FEE--LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING

From earlier discussions, the County Administrator reviewed the suggestion that individuals be hired to collect a $1.00 parking fee at the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing from 6:00 a.m. to 9 or 10:00 P.M. Mr. Hargrave suggested that a $2.00 fee be charged. The County Administrator advised that the present agreement stated $1.00; however, he would investigate the possiblity of changing it.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County's insurance adequately covered what was planned and he was assured it did.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that something be done to make the individual look official, i.e., a uniform and identification card.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to hire individuals to collect a $1.00 parking fee at the boat landing for a period of 45 days and to take whatever steps are needed to accomplish the project.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:30 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 15, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Mr. Bennett questioned whether the payment of V.A.L.E.C.O. dues had been included in the budget. The County Administrator advised him they were not.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1390 through 81-1622 amounting to $230,683.48; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-81-7 through LF-81-9 amounting to $364.98; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-81-1 through JGC-81-6 amounting to $212.59; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Check #81-1498 be changed to reflect the deletion of the payment of dues for V.A.L.E.C.O.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of July, 1981.

IN RE: ADVERTISING OF DELINQUENT TAX LIST

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis, Treasurer, advised the Board that she had prepared the June 30 delinquent tax list and asked whether the Board desired to have the list published. Mrs. Lewis stated that she thought about $6,000 to $7,000 had been collected due to the list being published last year. Mr. Hargrave asked what the cost of advertising would be. Mrs. Lewis estimated it would be $1500. At 20% cost, Mr. Hargrave suggested that it might be advantageous to skip a year.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board instructed the Treasurer not to advertise the delinquent tax list in 1981.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE BIDS ON THREE NEW POLICE VEHICLES

Captain B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to pre-

sent a request for three new police vehicles and a new radio for Investigator Fisher's car.

Mr. Robertson asked what the State contract on radios was now. The County Administrator stated the contract was with G.E. at a cost of $700 or $800. Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the County was going to have to decide to standardize all the radios or continue accepting the low bid. He felt there was a need to standardize in order to have an effective maintenance contract.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the budgeted items requested were alright but he didn't want to go beyond what was budgeted for. Three new cars with radios had been included in the budget.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administrator be authorized to request bids on three new police vehicles and that action on new radios be delayed until more information is received. Mr. Weber seconded the motion.

Mr. Clay stated that delaying buying the cars would be delaying the new Deputy that has been hired. He suggested that cars were available from Pocquoson Motors as stated at the last meeting. Mr. Robertson replied that he didn't see how getting a car from Pocquoson would expedite things. Mr. Hargrave asked if the State had moved down in car size. The County Administrator advised that they had been forced to because of the reduction in size by the manufacturers.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voted "aye", Mr. Clay voted "nay".

IN RE: CLOTHING ALLOWANCE FOR ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATOR

Captain B.M. Heath also presented a request for approval of a clothing allowance for an additional investigator. The appropriation budgeted for the other investigators is $500 each.

Mr. Hargrave and Mr. Robertson questioned whether the additional investigator was included in the discussions on the clothing allowance.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a clothing allowance in the amount of $500 be provided for the additional investigator within the existing budgeted amount; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the checks for the clothing allowances will be drawn at the September 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of July, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of July, 1981.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--C.Y. BRYANT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. C.W. Bryant was awarded $150 for one (1) calf.

Mr. Weber asked that the Animal Warden be present at those meetings where claims were presented for payment.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--V.D. ANDREWS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. V.D. Andrews was awarded $60.00 for two (2) goats.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Mrs. King B. Talley presented her financial report for the month of July, 1981.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF 1981-82 TITLE I PROJECT

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to make expenditures for the Title I project in the amount of $316,913.83. He stated these funds are 100% reimbursable.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is authorized to make expenditures in the amount of $316,913.83 for the Title I Project.

IN RE: SPECIAL EDUCATION PROJECT--IN-SERVICE EDUCATION

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to make expenditures in the amount of $4200 for In-Service Education. These funds are 100% reimbursable.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is authorized to make expenditures in the amount of $4200 for the Special Education In-Service Education project.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF ATHLETIC TRACK CONSTRUCTION

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to proceed with the construction of the athletic track. He stated that $20,000 was available in the bond issue to do the work, of which $3,000 has been spent.

The Director of Planning presented a cost breakdown using 2" screenings. Mr. Robertson asked about the preparation time for each event using screenings. Mr. Scheid advised him that there would be preparation time for each event as opposed to permanent markings on asphalt. However, asphalt would cost approximately $13,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the School Board was authorized to proceed with the construction of the athletic track as presented to be funded out of the bond issue at $20,000.

IN RE: TEACHER CENTER PROJECT

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request approval of a grant application in the amount of $43,947 for a Teacher Center Project. He stated that it was his understanding that once the grant is approved,

the funds will be advanced. Ms. Julia Summey has been appointed as Director of the Center. She was present and distributed a brief summary of the project. No additional local funds are requested. She stated an in-kind match of $5,034 in school board funds has been committed which consists of reproduction costs, supplies and maintenance of the trailer used for office space.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there was a federal commitment for three years. Ms. Summey stated it was a year to year commitment and the County would be under no obligation nor would there be a request for increase in funds.

Mr. Hargrave asked Dr. Vaughn what his opinion of the project was. Dr. Vaughn stated he was very much in support of the project as he felt it would be a great assistance to the teachers and in turn to the students. Mr. Hargrave questioned the authority of the policy board established. Ms. Summey advised him that although the policy board would have decision making powers, they would always act under the approval of the Superintendent of Schools and in unison with School Board policy.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the School Board was authorized to proceed with the Teacher Center Project as presented upon federal grant approval.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF REVISED 1981-82 BUDGET

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented his revised 1981-82 budget reflecting the changes in local funds. He advised the Board that after reviewing the figures, he had to increase the budgeted amount for electricity.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--ROUTE 645 RIGHT-OF-WAY

This being the time and place as advertised on August 5, 1981 and August 14, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider conveying 1.51 acres, more or less, of land to the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Highways and Transportation for the purpose of improving State Route 645 located in the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this conveyance.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to execute the deed on behalf of Dinwiddie County with the Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF RURAL ADDITION PRIORITIES FOR 1981-82

Considering costs, need and funds available, the County Administrator suggested that the Board consider establishing priorities for only one or two rural additions this year rather than trying to set priorities on the entire list of roads. Approximately $40,000 is available for rural additions in FY 81-82.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the following priorities be established:

1. Bishop and Rainbow Streets
2. Lee Boulevard Extension

Mr. Robertson seconded the motion, stating that he felt the Board deserves appreciation for going out and looking at the roads to clarify priorities.

Mr. Weber stated he would like to see Roanoke Avenue as #3. After a brief discussion, the Board decided to stay with only two priorities.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber,

Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--HOLIDAY INN TRAV-L-PARK

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 12, and Wednesday, August 19, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to consider a request for a Special Entertainment Permit submitted by Mr. Lewis G. Andrews and Mr. Gerald Webb to hold a carnival/amusement ride event at the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park. The dates for the event will be August 24 through August 29, 1981.

The application was reviewed by the Planning Commission on August 12, 1981 at which time they recommended disapproval.

Mr. Lewis G. Andrews, Jr., co-owner of the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park and co-applicant for the permit appeared before the Board in support of his request. Mr. Andrews apologized for not being present at the Planning Commission meeting. He then proceeded to comment on the five points raised by the Planning Commission at their meeting.

1. Regarding ingress/egress, Mr. Andrews stated that he did not feel the traffic volume would be terrifically large. He indicated that he would guess between 2500 to 3000 would be in attendance and Sgt. Massengill of the State Police had committed his department to monitor the traffic and crowd disorders. Mr. Andrews also assured the Board that no parking would be allowed on U.S. #1. The State Police would also monitor any site distance problems entering U.S. #1 from the Trav-l-Park.

2. Regarding the rescue and fire support, Mr. Andrews talked with Mr. Sessums and Mr. Gwaltney of the Rescue Squad and they had assured him they would be on stand-by to give assistance and would have a vehicle on the premises when time allowed. He also talked with Captain Ogburn of the Dinwiddie VFD who assured him that they would also give support when needed. Mr. Baxter Sessums was present and reiterated the fact that rescue support would be provided.

Mr. Andrews stated he had talked with Lt. Harvell of the Sheriff's Department concerning law enforcement protection. Lt. Harvell advised him that there would probably be no more than two off-duty officers for the event. Captain Heath stated that the men on duty could give some support but could not be present at the event at all times. He also stated the officers could be there on their days off for pay but there would not be more than two, most likely, at any one time.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Andrews knew how many were expected and how he would handle the numbers. Mr. Andrews stated he would expect 2500 to 3000 and would just have to deal with the situations as they arose. Mr. Robertson also asked how the closing at 11:00 P.M. will be handled. Mr. Andrews advised him he would rely upon the deputies and State Police.

Mr. Robertson also asked about adequate insurance coverage. Mr. Andrews replied that he had adequate coverage and a hold harmless agreement with Griffith Shows.

3. Regarding the noise factor, Mr. Andrews submitted a petition with 25 signatures from surrounding residents within 1/3 to 1/2 mile from the Park who favor the event. He also encouraged the Board to attend and make him aware of any corrections needed.

Mr. Weber asked if he had contacted the residents of Lakewood Subdivision and Mr. Andrews replied he had not.

4. Concerning the security problem, Mr. Andrews stated he would have to rely on the law enforcement. Mr. Robertson asked if the Holiday Inn had control of the event and Mr. Andrews assured him he did.

5. Mr. Andrews addressed the concern with the topography of the area and the support of rides and adequate parking. He said he had been assured by Griffith Shows that the lay of the land was conducive to the program and there was sufficient area for parking. Mr. Robertson asked about rain and how it would affect the parking area. Mr. Andrews stated the lay of the land was an advantage if it rained. He further stated alcoholic beverages would not be allowed on the premises. Mr. Raymond McCants asked about the lighting. Mr. Andrews stated the lights would be situated so that any glare would not inhibit traffic on U.S. #1.

Mr. Edward Nugent spoke against the approval of the permit. His two main concerns were access to Petersburg on U.S. #1 and the fact that the promoters of the event did not pay their way. He felt the County was providing services and not receiving compensation.

Mr. Robertson stated that he could not approve the permit without some hesitation as he was not definitely satisfied with security. He felt Mr. Andrews had good intentions for a successful event and if the County didn't try this type of event, they might never have an opportunity to make corrections. He understood Mr. Andrews was willing to pay the deputies and make a contribution to the fire and rescue organizations. Mr. Robertson then moved that the entertainment permit be approved.

Mr. Weber stated he was bothered by the poor communications and he was concerned about police protection. He indicated that he was also concerned about the protection of the people and with alot of hesitancy seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson further stated that he felt the Planning Commission did a good job with the information they had.

Mr. Weber stated that it was Mr. Andrew's responsibility to see that people like Mr. Nugent were able to get to Petersburg. He also thought the County should be receiving a return on an amusement tax.

Mr. Hargrave stated that Mr. Andrews had convinced him that he would try to control the event. But he wanted to emphasize that the existence of the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park itself in that area of the County had been a point of considerable controversy.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Lewis G. Andrews, Jr. and Mr. Gerald Webb be granted a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a carnival/amusement ride event at the Holiday Inn Trav-l-Park from August 24, 1981 to August 29, 1981 at the times stated; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this permit will be issued upon payment of the $10.00 fee per performance(day) and upon obtaining the proper permits from the Commissioner of Revenue of Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--AMENDMENT ON USE OF LAKE CHESDIN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Pro-

gress-Index on Wednesday, August 5, 1981 and Wednesday, August 12, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 2 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia to add Sec. 12-7 relating to the recreational or other use of Lake Chesdin by the public and to provide for a penalty.

Mr. Thomas W. Evans, representing the Virginia BASS Federation appeared before the Board to speak to the ordinance. He stated he had no objection to the ordinance as his organization was concerned about safety; however, he did question how the 45 MPH speed limit would be applied. He indicated that most boats are not equipped with speedometers. He stated he would rather see speed control put on conditions or reckless driving. He felt the fishermen were having to move closer home to fish now and he hoped the County would not impose a law that would put them in jeopardy.

Mr. Hargrave said he shared Mr. Evans' concern when he read the amendment but he felt something had to be done to control speeding. He suggested that the Board consider a change in wording and let Mr. Evans present his concerns to Chesterfield.

The County Administrator advised the Board that the Attorneys from Chesterfield and Dinwiddie County worked out the agreement with the Game Commission.

Mr. Robertson asked if it was required that both localities have the same ordinance. The County Administrator stated the County Attorneys would like to have them the same.

The County Administrator suggested that the County Attorney explore these concerns about the speed limit and recklessness with Chesterfield County and report back to the Board at the September 16, 1981 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to explore the wording of Item #2 concerning the 45 MPH speed limit and the addition of a section dealing with recklessness with Chesterfield County and report back to the Board at the September 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA BASS STATE FEDERATION--CONCERNS ON LAKE CHESDIN AND THE PUBLIC BOAT LANDING

Mr. Tom Evans, representing the Virginia BASS State Federation, appeared before the Board to express their concern for the condition and use of the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing and the new hours of operation being enforced by the County. He distributed pictures of the Youth Bass Club who took a day to clean up the boat ramp in an effort to maintain the facility. He indicated that he felt a certain group of individuals wanted to abuse the ramp and the fishermen were caught between this group and the government who wants to close it. He further stated that the fishermen were concerned because the cable was being closed before dark. Mr. Evans indicated that the Bass Federation wanted to work with the County and the Game Commission on keeping the ramp open and maintaining a nice facility for public use.

Mr. Hargrave advised Mr. Evans to review the past correspondence on how the County had worked to have a public boat landing established and how it has become quite a burden to the taxpayers.

The County Administrator advised Mr. Evans and the Federation members that they would be privy to the results of the 35-day trial regulation of the landing and the County would welcome any assistance they could give.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 11:05 P.M. The meeting

reconvened at 11:15 P.M.

IN RE: DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BOARD

Mr. David Stone, Director, District 19 MH&MR Services Board, appeared before the Board to present the annual report and answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: USE OF 39.98 FREQUENCY BY BLACKSTONE VFD

As instructed at the last meeting, the County Administrator presented information from the FCC concerning the use of the County's 39.98 frequency by another jurisdiction. The Blackstone VFD has requested authorization to install a 39.98 crystall in their station wagon for use on mutual aid calls with the Ford VFD. Chief Maurice Harver had expressed a desire to have the communication if allowed by the FCC and authorized by the Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Blackstone VFD be authorized to install one 39.98 crystal in their station wagon to use for mutual aid calls in assisting the Blackstone VFD.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--CPDC COMMUNITY RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL

Since there was no recommendation from the Planning Commission, the appointment to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council was postponed.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC JUSTICE & CRIME PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL AND CRATER CRIMIMAL JUSTICE ACADEMY

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Charles E. Veazey, Sr. be appointed to the CPDC Justice & Crime Prevention Advisory Council, term expiring June 30, 1982; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Charles E. Veazey, Sr. be appointed to the Crater Criminal Justice Academy, to fill the unexpired term, of Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr., ending December 31, 1983.

IN RE: ABIDCO APPOINTMENTS

Because of a change in By-Laws, the County Administrator advised the Board that the members of the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation would need to be reappointed at the September 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: RADIO MAINTENANCE CONTRACT FOR FIRE, RESCUE AND POLICE

The County Administrator requested guidance from the Board as to how to handle repair calls on the County's radio system. He stated there were several questions to be answered, i.e.--Whether the Board is going to buy all of its radios from one company or continue to buy from the low bidder; or Whether the Board wants to enter into a maintenance contract or continue to call on an "as needed" basis as the County is presently doing.

Mr. Robertson suggested that the County continue to call for repairs on an "as needed" basis until further information

can be collected for review. Action was postponed until the September 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--THOMAS MANSON

The County Administrator presented an application for an Entertainment Permit from Mr. Thomas Manson to hold a disco and picnic for the Young Blood Softball Team on Route 629 on September 5, 1981.

Since the application was received on August 19, 1981, which was not sufficient time before the date of the event for the Board to consider, no action could be taken.

IN RE: REVIEW OF BOAT LANDING OPERATIONS

Mr. Raymond McCants briefly gave an update on the operations of the Boat Landing since the beginning of the thirty-five day trial period.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:50 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 12:04 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:04 P.M.

A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1981, AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| | T.O. RAINEY, JR. | ASS'T. COM. ATTORNEY |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the August 19, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1623 through 81-1830 amounting to $156,045.62; Johnsongrass Control Fund-checks numbering JGC-81-7 thru JGC-81-9 amounting to $86.20; Library Fund check #LF-81-10 amounting to $65.29.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of August, 1981.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell advised the Board that he had hired two new deputies and one dispatcher as budgeted and would appreciate their consideration of his request for additional police vehicles.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of August, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of August, 1981.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1981 REDISTRICTING PLAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 2, 1981 and Wednesday, September 9, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to repeal the existing Chapter 7A, of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, relating to elections and the boundaries of election districts and precincts, and adopt a new Chapter 7A, relating to elections and the boundaries of election districts and precincts to be effective at midnight, December 31, 1981.

The County Administrator reviewed the proposed changes in district lines and voting precincts, stating that the boundary

lines were changed as little as possible.

Mr. Emery Veazey asked if there was any division of Election District #2. He was advised there was no division in the proposal being presented.

Mr. Edward Titmus asked what Boards and Commissions would have to be reappointed. The County Administrator advised him that only the School Board would have to be reappointed by December 31, 1981.

Mr. Edward Bracy asked if the proposed plan was the ideal situation. He was advised that it created the least change and was the closest percentage-wise to equal representation per district.

Mr. Robertson moved that the Redistricting Ordinance and plan be adopted as presented. Mr. Weber seconded the motion.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the proposal was a very good plan as it upset voting as little as possible in bringing about equal representation. He further stated that he was sorry the Board could not include five districts for a one-member per district representation.

Mr. Bennett stated that he thought the lines were drawn as simple as they could be and were very identifiable. He further stated that he agreed with the five man district and couldn't see why there would be any opposition.

Mr. Weber stated he felt alot of hard work had gone into the plan and the people were represented equally. However, he indicated he did not want to split District #2. He felt the people were closely united and he did want to disrupt them.

Mr. Bennett asked why Mr. Weber felt it was better to maintain the two-member district.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the people were working together, and it made a difference to keep the district as one.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the repeal of the existing Chapter 7A. Elections, and the adoption of the following:

Elections

CHAPTER 7A.

ELECTIONS

§§ 7A-1 Election districts--Number and population.
7A-2 Same--Boundaries.
7A-3 Precincts--Names, number and polling places.
7A-4 Same-Boundaries.
§ 7A-5 Effective date of chapter.

Sec. 7A-1. Election districts--Number and population.

Pursuant to authority contained in the Constitution of Virginia and in sections 15.1-37.4 through 15.1-37.7, section 15-571, section 15.1-571.1 and Sections 24.1-17 and 24.1-17.1 of the Code of Virginia and in accordance with the order granted April 2, 1975, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Richmond Divison, in the case of "M.C. Hardy vs. Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, et al.," 387F. Supp. 1252, the county shall be and is divided into four election districts, as hereinafter set forth, which shall be designated numerically from

Number 1 to Number 4. The election districts, their approximate population and the number of members of the Board of Supervisors allocated to each district are as follows:

| District | Population | Number of Board Members |
|---|---|---|
| Election District Number 1 | 4,097 | 1 |
| Election District Number 2 | 7,986* | 2 |
| Election District Number 3 | 4,119 | 1 |
| Election District Number 4 | 4,180 | 1 |

*The population for election district Number 2 excludes 2,220 persons who are residents of Central State Hospital and the Southside Virginia Training Center. The population of election district Number 2 including such persons is 10,206.

Sec. 7A-2. Same--Boundaries.

The boundaries of election districts are described and shown as follows on a certain map entitled "General Highway Map, Dinwiddie County," dated January 1, 1979, prepared by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, Richmond, Virginia, which is hereby adopted by reference:

(a) Election District Number 1. Beginning at the point at which Turkey Egg Creek flows into the Nottoway River; thence northwardly along said creek to State Route #40; thence northeastwardly along the Darvills Magisterial District line to the point at which Darvills, Rowanty, and Sapony Magisterial Districts converge; thence northwardly along the Darvills Magisterial District line to State Route #613, being the point which Darvills, Namozine, and Rowanty Magisterial Districts converge; thence northeastwardly along State Route #613, being the Namozine Magisterial District line, to the intersection of said State Route #613 and State Route #628; thence northwardly along State Route #628 to U.S. Highway #460; thence eastwardly along U.S. Highway #460 to State Route #623; thence northwardly along State Route #623 to the point at which Whipponock Creek flows beneath State Route #623; thence northwardly along Whipponock Creek to the boundary line between Dinwiddie and Chesterfield Counties in the Appomattox River; thence westwardly up the Appomattox River being the boundary line between Dinwiddie and Chesterfield Counties, to Namozine Creek; thence southwestwardly along Namozine Creek, being the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Amelia County and between Dinwiddie County and Nottoway County, to the northwesternmost point of Dinwiddie County; thence southwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Nottoway County to the Nottoway River; thence southeastwardly along the Nottoway River to the point of beginning.

(b) Election District Number 2. Beginning at a point on the eastern boundary of Dinwiddie County at the point at which State Route #606 crosses the same; thence northwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Prince George County to the boundary line of the City of Petersburg; thence clockwise around the City of Petersburg along the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Dinwiddie County to the point at which said common boundary line converges with the boundary line of Chesterfield County in the Appomattox River; thence westwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Chesterfield County up the Appomattox River to its convergency with Whipponock Creek being the boundary line of Election District Number 1; thence southwestwardly along Whipponock Creek to the point where it crosses under State Route #623; thence southwardly along State Route #623 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #460; thence westwardly along U.S. Highway #460 to its intersection with State Route #628; thence southwardly along State Route #628 to its intersection with State Route #613; thence eastwardly along State Route #613 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #1; thence southwestwardly along U.S.

Highway #1 to its intersection with State Route #660; thence southwardly along State Route #660 to the point at which it crosses Gravelly Run; thence eastwardly along Gravelly Run to the point at which it crosses under State Route #670; thence southwardly along State Route #670 to its intersection with State Route #605; thence eastwardly along State Route #605 to its intersection with State Route #669; thence north-eastwardly along State Route #669 to its intersection with State Route 606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to its intersection with State Route #604; thence northwardly along State Route #604 to its northernmost intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to the point of beginning on the eastern boundary of Dinwiddie County.

(c) Election District Number 3. Beginning at the point on the eastern boundary of Dinwiddie County, on its boundary with Prince George County, at the point at which State Route #606 crosses said eastern boundary of Dinwiddie County; thence southwardly and southwestwardly along the boundary line of Dinwiddie County, along its boundary line with Prince George County and its boundary line with Sussex County to the point at which the boundary line of Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District converge on the southeastern boundary of Dinwiddie County; thence northwestwardly along the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to the point at which same reaches State Route #650; thence northeastwardly along State Route #650 to its intersection with State Route #656; thence northwardly along State Route #656 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #1; thence north-eastwardly along U.S. Highway #1 to the point at which it crosses over Stony Creek; thence northwestwardly along Stony Creek to its confluence with Chamberlains Bed Creek; thence northwestwardly up Chamberlains Bed Creek to the point at which it crosses under State Route #645; thence northwardly along State Route #645 to State Route #613; thence eastwardly along State Route #613 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #1; thence southwardly along U.S. Highway #1 to its intersection with State Route #660; thence southwardly along State Route #660 to the point at which it crosses Gravelly Run; thence eastwardly along Gravelly Run to the point at which it crosses under State Route #670; thence southwardly along State Route #670 to its intersection with State Route #605; thence eastwardly along State Route #605 to its intersection with State Route #669; thence northeastwardly along State Route #669 to its intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to its intersection with State Route #604; thence northwardly along State Route #604 to its northernmost intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to the point of beginning on the eastern boundary of Dinwiddie County.

(d) Election District Number 4. Beginning at the point at convergence between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District on the southeastern boundary line of Dinwiddie County, its common boundary line with Sussex County; thence northwestwardly along the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to State Route #650; thence northeastwardly along State Route #650 to State Route #656; thence northwardly along the boundary line of Election District Number 3 to State Route #613; thence Southwestwardly along the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Namozine Magisterial District to the convergency of said district line with the eastern boundary line of Darvills Magisterial District; thence southwardly along the boundary lines between Darvills Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District to its convergency with the boundary line of Sapony Magisterial District on State Route #650; thence southwestwardly along the boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to the Nottoway River; thence southeastwardly along the Nottoway River to the point at which the boundary lines of Greensville, Dinwiddie, and Sussex Counties converge; thence northeastwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Sussex County to the point of beginning.

Sec. 7A-3. Precincts--Names, number and polling places.

The numbers and names of the precincts constituting the various election Districts shall be as follows:

| Precinct | Polling Place |
|---|---|
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 1 | |
| Number 101, Darvills | Darvills Community Center |
| Number 102. White Oak | Allen's Store |
| Number 103, Church Road | Midway Elementary School |
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 2 | |
| Number 201, Rohoic | Rohoic Elementary School |
| Number 202, Brickwood | The Rock Church |
| Number 203, Edgehill | Namozine Volunteer Fire Dept. |
| Number 204, New Hope | St. John's Recreation Hall |
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 3 | |
| Number 301, Dinwiddie | Dinwiddie County Government Center |
| Number 302, Reams | Baird's Store |
| ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 4 | |
| Number 401, Cherry Hill | Old Hickory Hunt Club Building |
| Number 402, McKenney | McKenney Town Hall |
| Number 403, Rocky Run | Rocky Run United Methodist Church |

Sec. 7A-4. Same--Boundaries.

The boundaries of the precincts are described and shown as follows on the map which is hereby adopted by reference;

(a) Darvills Precinct, number 101. Beginning at the point at which Turkey Egg Creek enters the Nottoway River; thence northwardly and northeastwardly along the boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to the point which is the point of convergency between Darvills, Sapony, and Rowanty Magisterial Districts; thence northwardly along the boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District to the point at which it crosses Butterwood Creek; thence westwardly up Butterwood Creek to the western boundary line of Dinwiddie County; thence southwardly along the common boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Nottoway County to the Nottoway River; thence southeastwardly along the Nottoway River to the point of beginning.

(b) White Oak Precinct, Number 102. Beginning at the point on the boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District at which Butterwood Creek crosses said line; thence northwardly along the boundary line of Darvills Magisterial District to Namozine Creek; thence southwestwardly along Namozine Creek to the northwesternmost point of Dinwiddie County; thence southwardly along the common boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Nottoway County to the point at which Butterwood Creek crosses said boundary; thence eastwardly along the Butterwood Creek to the point of beginning on the boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District.

(c) Church Road Precinct, Number 103. Beginning at the point on the eastern boundary of Darvills Magisterial District at the point at which Namozine, Darvills and Rowanty Magisterial Districts converge; thence northeastwardly along the boundary line between Namozine Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District to State Route #628; thence northwardly along State Route #628 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #460; thence eastwardly along U.S. Highway #460 to its intersection with State Route #623; thence northwardly along State Route #623 to the point at which it

crosses Whipponock Creek; thence northeastwardly along Whipponock Creek to the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Chesterfield County in the Appomattox River; thence northwestwardly up the Appomattox River along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Chesterfield County to a point at which Namozine Creek enters the Appomattox River; thence southwestwardly along Namozine Creek to the boundary line of Darvills Magisterial District; thence southwardly along the common boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Namozine Magisterial District to the point of beginning.

(d) Rohoic Precinct, Number 201. Beginning at the intersection of State Route #628 and State Route #613; thence eastwardly along State Route #613 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #1; thence southwestwardly along U.S. Highway #1 to its intersection with State Route #660; thence southwardly along State Route #660 to the point at which it crosses the Seaboard Coastline Railway; thence northeastwardly along the existing Seaboard Coastline Railway and continuing along the road bed of the old Seaboard Airline Railway to State Route #670; thence northwardly along State Route #670 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #1; thence northeastwardly along U.S. Highway #1 to the point where it crosses the Norfolk and Western Railway; thence westwardly along the Norfolk Western Railway to State Route #623; thence southwardly along State Route #623 to its intersection with U.S. Highway #460; thence westwardly along U.S. Highway #460 to its intersection with State Route #628; thence southwardly along State Route #628 to State Route #613 and the point of beginning.

(e) Brickwood Precinct, Number 202. Beginning at the intersection of State Route #226 and State Route #600; thence northwestwardly along State Route #600 to the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Chesterfield County at the Appomattox River; thence westwardly along the boundary line between Chesterfield County and Dinwiddie County in the Appomattox River to the point at which Whipponock Creek enters the Appomattox River; thence southwestwardly up Whipponock Creek to the point at which it crosses State Route #623; thence southeastwardly along State Route #623 to the point at which it crosses the Norfolk and Western Railway; thence eastwardly along the Norfolk and Western Railway to the point at which same crosses under State Route #226; thence northeastwardly along State Route #226 to the point of beginning.

(f) Edgehill Precinct, Number 203. Beginning at the Appomattox River at the point at which the boundary line of Dinwiddie and Chesterfield Counties and the City of Petersburg converge; thence southwardly along the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Dinwiddie County to the Norfolk and Western Railway; thence westwardly along the Norfolk and Western Railway to the point where it crosses under State Route #226 (Cox Road); thence northeastwardly along State Route #226 to its intersection with State Route #600; thence northwestwardly along State Route #600 to the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Chesterfield County at the Appomattox River; thence eastwardly along the boundary line between Chesterfield County and Dinwiddie County in the Appomattox River to the point at which the boundary line of Dinwiddie and Chesterfield Counties and the City of Petersburg converge, being the point of beginning.

(g) New Hope Precinct, Number 204. Beginning at the point at which the Norfolk and Western Railway crosses the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and the County of Dinwiddie; thence westwardly along the Norfolk and Western Railway to the point where it crosses under U.S. Highway #1; thence southwestwardly along U.S. Highway #1 to its intersection with State Route #670; thence southwardly along State Route #670 to the point where it crosses the old roadbed of Seaboard Airline Railroad; thence southwestwardly along the old roadbed of Seaboard Airline Railroad to the point where it converges with the existing Seaboard Coastline Railway; thence southwestwardly along the Seaboard Coastline Railway to the point at which it crosses State Route #660; thence south-

wardly along State Route #660 to the point at which it crosses Gravelly Run; thence eastwardly along Gravelly Run to the point at which it goes under State Route #670; thence southwardly along State Route 670 to its intersection with State Route #605; thence eastwardly along State Route #605 to its intersection with State Route #669; thence northeastwardly along State Route #669 to its intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to its intersection with State Route #604; thence northwardly along State Route #604 to its northernmost intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to the point at which it crosses the boundary line between the County of Dinwiddie and the County of Prince George; thence northwardly along the boundary line between the County of Dinwiddie and the County of Prince George to the point where the boundary line of the City of Petersburg and the County of Dinwiddie and the County of Prince George converge; thence along the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and the County of Dinwiddie, to the point of beginning.

(h) Dinwiddie Precinct, Number 301. Beginning at the intersection of U.S. Highway #1 and State Route #613; thence westwardly along State Route #613 to its intersection at Five Forks with State Route #627 and State Route #645; thence southwardly along the boundary line between Election District Number 3 and Election District Number 4 to State Route #650; thence southwestwardly along State Route #650 to the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District; thence eastwardly along the boundary lines between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to State Route #609; thence northwardly along State Route #609 to its intersection with State Route #670; thence northwardly along State Route #670 to the point where it crosses Gravelly Run; thence northwestwardly along Gravelly Run to the point at which it goes under State Route #660; thence northwardly along State Route #660 to its intersection of U.S. Highway #1; thence northwardly along U.S. Highway #1 to its intersection with State Route #613 and the point of beginning.

(i) Reams Precinct, Number 302. Beginning at the point at which State Route #606 crosses the eastern boundary line of Dinwiddie County, being a common boundary line with Prince George County; thence southwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Prince George County to its convergence with the boundary line of Sussex County; thence southwestwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Sussex County to the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District; thence northwestwardly along the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to State Route #609; thence northwardly along State Route #609 to its intersection with State Route #670; thence northwardly along State Route #670 to its intersection with State Route #605; thence eastwardly along State Route #605 to its intersection with State Route #669; thence northeastwardly along State Route #669 to its intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to its intersection with State Route #604; thence northwardly along State Route #604 to its northernmost intersection with State Route #606; thence eastwardly along State Route #606 to the boundary of Prince George County and the point of beginning.

(j) Cherry Hill Precinct Number 401. Beginning on the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District at the intersection of State Route #650 and State Route #709 at DeWitt; thence southwardly along State Route #709 to State Route #609; thence southwestwardly along State Route #609 to the Nottoway River, boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Brunswick County; thence southeastwardly along the Nottoway River to the point at which the boundary lines between Dinwiddie, Sussex, and Greensville Counties converge; thence northeastwardly along the boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Sussex County to the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District; thence westwardly along the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial

District to the point of beginning.

(k) McKenney Precinct, Number 402. Beginning at the point at which State Route #609 crosses the Nottoway River, boundary line between Brunswick County and Dinwiddie County; thence northeastwardly up State Route #609 to State Route #709; thence northwardly along State Route #709 to the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District, intersection with State Route #709 and State Route #650; thence southwestwardly along the boundary between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to the point at which said boundary line converges with the boundary line of Darvills Magisterial District; thence southwestwardly and southwardly along the boundary line between Sapony Magisterial District and Darvills Magisterial District to the Nottoway River, boundary line between Dinwiddie County and Brunswick County; thence southeastwardly along the Nottoway River to the point of beginning.

(l) Rocky Run Precinct, Number 403. Beginning at the point of convergence between Darvills, Rowanty, and Sapony Magisterial Districts; thence northwardly along the boundary line between Darvills Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District to the point at which same converges with Namozine Magisterial District; thence northeastwardly along the boundary line between Namozine Magisterial District and Rowanty Magisterial District to the boundary line of Election District Number 3 at the intersection of State Route #613 and State Route #645; thence southwardly along the boundary line between Election District Number 3 and Election District Number 4 to State Route #650; thence westwardly along State Route #650 to the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District; thence westwardly along the boundary line between Rowanty Magisterial District and Sapony Magisterial District to the point of beginning.

Sec. 7A-5. Effective date of chapter.

This chapter, as hereby amended, shall be effective December 31, 1981, at midnight.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

As instructed by the Board at the August 19, 1981 meeting, the County Administrator presented a letter from the County Attorney addressing those concerns expressed at the public hearing to amend the ordinance concerning recreational use of Lake Chesdin.

Mr. Elder stated that he had talked with the County Attorney from Chesterfield and both felt it necessary to have identical ordinances. As for enforcement, he indicated the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries and Chesterfield County authorities would use radar if necessary. He also stated in his letter that reckless operation of a boat is already covered by State statutes.

Mr. Bennett stated that he had received input from a citizen prior to the meeting who lived on Lake Chesdin and owns a jet boat. The individual was very disturbed about (b) and (c) in the amendment. He felt recklessness was more of a problem than speeding.

Mr. Donald Andrews stated that he felt the Board needed to do a little more soul searching before making a decision. He felt the boats were not causing the problem.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt 45 MPH was too fast for boats to be travelling on Lake Chesdin. He felt some control was needed and that the amendment should be adopted.

Mr. Robertson stated that this amendment would not affect the recklessness concern; however, it would give all concerned a mutual goal. He also indicated that it would be hard for the enforcement authorities if the Counties did not have

the same ordinances. He stated that he agreed with the Game Commission and the Appomattox River Water Authority. He felt the Board should be cognizant of the water source for a large area and the Appomattox River Water Authority indicated they felt the ordinance was needed. He would, therefore, encourage the Board to adopt the amendment.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he agreed speeding was not the problem.

Mr. Robertson moved that the amendment be adopted. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave amended the motion to adopt the amendment by deleting (b) and (c). Mr. Bennett seconded the amendment. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "nay", the amendment was defeated.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to add Section 12-7 relating to the recreational or other use of Lake Chesdin by the public and to provide for a penalty as follows:

Chapter 12 - Offenses - Miscellaneous

Section 12-7. Restrictions on recreational or other use of Lake Chesdin.

(a) For the purposes of this section, "motorboat" shall mean any watercraft, of any description, propelled by machinery whether or not such machinery is the principal source of propulsion.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person to operate a motorboat on Lake Chesdin at a speed in excess of forty-five (45) miles per hour.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person to operate a motorboat on Lake Chesdin which motorboat is equipped with a motor or engine that expels exhaust directly into the atmosphere.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to operate a motorboat on, to swim in, or to otherwise use Lake Chesdin for recreational or other purposes, within a distance of 300 feet from the George F. Brasfield Dam.

(e) It shall be unlawful for any person to use the George F. Brasfield Dam for recreational or other purposes.

(f) Any person who violates any provision of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than fifty dollars.

(g) Nothing is this section shall be construed to apply to any person performing any official duty pertaining to the operation or maintenance of Lake Chesdin or the George F. Brasfield Dam, or pertaining to the enforcement of this section.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING CONTRACT

The County Administrator presented a brief summary of the results of a 35 day experiment of charging a $1.00 parking fee at the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing. Overall results were good as vandalism was reduced and overall agency cooperation and attention to the area was improved. Since the boat landing contract with

the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries comes up for automatic renewal November 1st unless a 30 day notice is given, the County Administrator advised the Board that action was needed at this meeting. He further advised the Board that they had basically three alternatives:

1. Terminate the contract and close the boat landing.
2. Operate the boat landing with an attendant.
3. Open and close the gate only at specified times, with no attendant.

The County Administrator stated that the Game Commission should be made aware of the Board's desire.

Mr. Clay made a suggestion that someone be contracted with to lease the Boat Landing with the proceeds to be used as the individual's income. The individual could also set up concession stands or sell bait.

Mr. Weber stated that he had listened to the comments concerning the 35-day experiment and he did not want to see the Boat Landing closed. He indicated that he liked Mr. Clay's suggestion of contracting with someone to lease the boat landing. He stated that he felt the users of the ramp would not object to paying a fee and he felt some county money should be used to keep the landing open for the public to use.

Mr. Robertson then read the contract with the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries. He stated he also favored the suggestion of someone operating the boat landing on a lease basis if an individual would be willing to. However, he felt County tax money should not be used to maintain it.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he had no problem with the contract with the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries. He also indicated that he would like to see someone lease the boat landing and the users pay for the service. He stated he agreed that the Commission should be alerted to the Board's intentions.

The Chairman instructed the County Administrator to notify the Commision of Game and Inland Fisheries of the Board's desire to make changes in the Boat Landing contract for action before the November 1 renewal date or to extend the renewal date if necessary.

IN RE: AIRPORT AUTHORITY--APPLICATION FOR ACCESS ROAD FUNDS

The Director of Planning appeared before the Board to discuss the Airport Authority's desire to submit an application for access road funds to make improvements on the entrance road to the Airport off U.S. #1. He advised the Board that the only possible costs he could envision would be the relocation of utilities and purchase of a small portion of land to make these improvements. Mr. Loid Hodnett, a member of the Authority stated that the Authority was authorized to commit reimbursement of funds if any were expended; however, he felt there should not be any needed.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the county could approve the submission of an application by the Airport Authority with the assurance that no County monies would have to be expended. The Director of Planning stated that the improvements could be designed so there would not have to be any property acquisition; however, it would not be the most desireable design. He further stated that there would be some highway engineering costs. He also indicated that the future goal was to establish a main entrance off Route 460.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the 1980 General Assembly amended Section 33.1-221 of the Code of Virginia (1950) relating to the fund for construction of industrial access roads within the counties, cities and towns of the Commonwealth to include access roads to publicly owned airports; and

WHEREAS, the Petersburg Municipal Airport is a publicly owned airport located entirely within the County of Dinwiddie; and

WHEREAS, the present access road (Route 641) entrance serving the Petersburg Municipal Airport poses a safety hazard to vehicular traffic entering and leaving the Airport; and

WHEREAS, the Resident Office of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation has reviewed and proposed entrance modifications which are the minimum standards to provide a significant increase in the safety factor for vehicular traffic entering and leaving the Airport.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be requested to fund those improvements recommended by the Resident Office of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to improve the safety of vehicular ingress/egress to the Airport.

IN RE: BOARD REQUIRES APPROVAL OF ENTRANCE MODIFICATIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that before funds are obligated, before contracts are assigned, and before agreements are entered into, that the approval of this board be obtained.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Jack DeBoer for reappointment. Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. Lynwood Inge for reappointment. Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Donald Williams for reappointment. Appointments to the remaining three vacancies were postponed.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Jack DeBoer, Mr. Lynwood Inge and Mr. Donald Williams were appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, terms expiring September 30, 1982.

IN RE: CPDC COMMUNITY RESOURCES ADVISORY COUNCIL

The appointment to the CPDC Community Resources Advisory Council was postponed pending further guidance from the Crater Planning District Commission.

IN RE: REPORT ON POLICE VEHICLES

The County Administrator advised the Board that he was unable to obtain bids on police vehicles for this meeting as the car dealers had not obtained the specifications on police vehicles for 1982. Therefore, he had solicited bids to be returned on October 1, 1981 which will be presented for the Board's consideration at the October 7, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT--CHESTERFIELD COUNTY

Wendy Quesenberry, Deputy Coordinator, presented a mutual aid agreement between the County of Chesterfield and the localities of Petersburg, Colonial Heights, Hopewell, Prince George, and Dinwiddie County. She advised the Board that this agreement was separate from and in addition to the agreement approved in December

of 1980. Because Chesterfield County could not enter into that agreement, they have submitted one in addition for the Board's consideration, which is only between Chesterfield County and the other localities named.

Mr. Hargrave asked if Chesterfield had submitted a contact list like the other localities had done in the original agreement. Mrs. Quesenberry stated they had not because an operational plan was not included but she was sure they would be glad to provide the information.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement:

THIS AGREEMENT, made this 16th day of September, 1981, by and between the City of Colonial Heights, the City of Petersburg, the City of Hopewell, the County of Dinwiddie, the County of Prince George and the County of Chesterfield, Virginia.

W I T N E S S E T H

WHEREAS, it has been determined that the provision of Emergency Services across jurisdictional lines in emergencies will increase the ability of the parties to preserve the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the tri-cities area and adjoining counties; and

WHEREAS, Section 44-146.20 of the Code of Virginia, 1950 as amended, authorizes local governments to establish and carry into effect a plan to provide mutual aid.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. That the parties hereto will endeavor to provide emergency services support to the jurisdictions which are parties to this agreement within the capabilities available at the time the request for such support is made. "Emergency services" in this Agreement is defined as fire-fighting services, police services, rescue services, communications, radiological and chemical accident services and the administration of approved state and federal disaster recovery and assistance programs.

2. That nothing contained in this agreement should in any manner be construed to compel any of the parties hereto to respond to a request for emergency services support when the apparatus of the jurisdiction to whom the request is made is, in the opinion of that jurisdiction, needed or is being used within the boundaries of that jurisdiction, nor shall any such request compel the requested jurisdiction to continue to offer emergency services support in another jurisdiction when its apparatus or equipment is, in the opinion of the requested jurisdiction, needed for any reason within the boundaries of its jurisdiction.

3. That no party to this agreement shall be liable to any other party hereto for any loss, damage, personal injury or death to emergency services support personnel or equipment resulting for the performance of any services under this agreement, whether such loss, damage, injury or death shall occur within or without the jurisdictional boundaries of the respective parties hereto.

4. The services performed and expenditures made under this agreement shall be deemed for public and governmental purposes and all immunities from liability enjoyed by the local government within its boundaries shall extend to its participation in rendering emergency assistance outside its boundaries. It is understood that for the purposes of this agreement, the responding party is rendering aid once it has entered the jurisdictional boundaries of the party receiving assistance.

5. That there shall be no liability to any of the par-

ties hereto for reimbursement for injuries to apparatus, equipment or personnel occasioned by a response, or for injuries to such apparatus, equipment or personnel incurred when going to or returning from another jurisdiction.

6. That each party requesting assistance under the terms of this agreement agrees to pay the actual cost of specialized agents such as foam, light water, etc., or other expended consumable supplies, which are used in providing emergency services within its jurisdiction.

7. That any party hereto desiring to request assistance pursuant to the terms and conditions of this agreement shall make such request to the ranking operational duty officer or to the chief executive officer of each party hereto.

8. The personnel of any party rendering assistance to a jurisdiction requesting assistance under this agreement shall render such assistance under the direction of the appropriate official designated by the requesting jurisdiction; provided, however, that the ultimate control of the personnel of any party rendering assistance shall be by the officers or supervisors of such personnel.

9. That the parties contracting hereto recognize that they are each fully capable of providing independent services to adequately serve their respective political subdivisons.

10. The governing bodies of the County and the City each agree that this agreement shall not be used against the County in any future annexation proceedings nor shall any cooperative undertaking arising out of this agreement be used against the County in any future annexation proceedings. This cooperative agreement is entered into in furtherance of the policies set forth in Section 15.1-1041(6)(v) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, and shall be without prejudice to the County.

11. This agreement may be amended or modified by the mutual consent of all of the parties hereto and any party to this agreement may withdraw from this agreement at any time by giving thirty (30) days written notice to that effect to the other parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this agreement as of the date first above written.

IN RE: KENNEL LICENSE AMENDMENT

Mr. Robertson stated that he was placed on the agenda at the last meeting to discuss kennels in subdivisions. Because kennels in subdivisions were causing a great deal of concern to some residents, he asked that the Board request the County Attorney to draft an amendment to the ordinance which prohibits the issuance of a kennel tag in a subdivision.

Mr. Donald Andrews stated that animal control was needed in the County and he would provide the Board with information on total dog control. Mr. Weber stated that he also felt animal control was needed in the County.

IN RE: LEW JONES SUBDIVISION--DISCUSSION OF ROAD SYSTEM

Mr. Clay asked what was being done towards improving the roads in the Lew Jones Subdivision in reference to the money in an escrow account. He stated he had been contacted by the residents of the subdivision, and they were very anxious to have something done before the winter season.

The Director of Planning stated he had viewed the roads with the Highway Department Resident Engineer who felt there was enough money in the escrow account to finish the project, hopefull before the winter season.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved in to Executive Session at 9:50 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:44 P.M.

IN RE: COST ALLOCATION PLAN - 1981

The County Administrator presented two proposals to prepare the cost allocation plan for Dinwiddie County for 1981. The two firms to be considered are: David M. Griffith & Assoc., and Robinson, Farmer, and Cox.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay", the firm of David M. Griffith and Assoc. was awarded the contract to prepare the 1980-81 Cost Allocation Plan for the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay", the meeting adjourned at 10:45 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 7TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1981, AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | CLAIBORNE FISHER | INVESTIGATOR |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the September 16, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1831 thru 81-1916 amounting to $94,776.65.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6), of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:03 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 2:31 P.M.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--APPROVAL OF SATELLITE BASE STATION

Mr. Donald Porter, Namozine VFD, appeared before the Board to request authorization to secure approval from the Federal Communications Commission to install and operate a satellite base station that would transfer all dispatching for the Namozine VFD to the Dinwiddie Sheriff's Department. He advised the Board that the dispatch system for Central State Hospital, who presently dispatches the Namozine VFD, is not operating 24 hours a day and has expressed a desire to stop dispatching the department's calls. In view of that future situation, Mr. Porter stated that Namozine VFD has obtained a radio that will allow the transfer in dispatching and, therefore, needs FCC authorization and concurrence by the Board of Supervisors to operate it. Mr. Porter further stated that this system could provide some continuity of service if the main radio tower was blown down.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Namozine VFD be authorized to install and operate a satellite base station enabling them to be dispatched from the Sheriff's Department; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to request approval for the satellite base station for Namozine VFD from the Federal Communications Commission.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--INSTALLATION OF WARNING LIGHTS

Mr. Ben Hawkins, Namozine VFD, appeared before the Board to discuss a project he has been working on to have amber warning lights installed on Rt. 226 for the Namozine VFD to alert traffic of fire trucks entering the highway. He stated he had received authorization to install the lights at three locations. The lights will be radio controlled and activated from the fire house before the equipment or trucks leave. Mr. Hawkins stated that the most the lights would cost would be $3,000 and he was hoping they would be less. He indicated that he wanted to alert the Board about the project and he would report back to them when he had finalized the cost and details for their review and consideration.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a Comparative Report on 1980 and 1981 Assessments and a report on Tax Exempt & Tax Immune Property.

IN RE: LATE FILING FEE FOR REVALIDATION OF LAND USE APPLICATIONS

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, advised the Board that the County is authorized to impose a late filing fee for revalidation of Land Use applications after December 5. He suggested a $10.00 fee and indicated he felt the fee would help offset the administrative cost of processing the applications.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft an amendment to the Land Use Ordinance authorizing a late filing fee for revalidation of Land Use applications for the Board's consideration for advertisement at the next meeting.

IN RE: FILING CABINET--COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization to ask the State Compensation Board to participate in the cost of a new filing cabinet for his office. He stated that with the increase in filing for real estate, another cabinet was needed. He estimated the cost to be $150 to $175.

Mr. Robertson asked if microfilming had been investigated for future recordkeeping. Mr. Bolte advised him that the State Library was encouraging it.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Commissioner of Revenue be authorized to request the State Compensation Board to participate in the cost of a new filing cabinet for his office.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of September, 1981.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Mr. Larry G. Elder advised the Board that the redistricting package has been forwarded to the Justice Department for review. He indicated the Justice Department has sixty days in which to approve or disapprove the plan.

Mr. Elder also stated that he had discussed cable television with other local attorneys and would have amendments for the Board to consider at their next meeting.

Mr. Robertson asked if programming capabilities for various

services were available with some of the cable television firms. He stated that he did not want to delay cable television from coming into the County; however, he did want to make sure the County got the best service available for the money.

Mr. Elder said that there were any number of different programming capabilities available with the various companies and the service you get is determined when the franchise is awarded.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF THREE POLICE CARS -- 1982

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following bids were submitted for new 1982 police vehicles:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Master Chevrolet Sales, Inc. | Impala | No Bid |
| | Malibu | Submitted |
| Woody's Chrevrolet, Inc. | Impala | No Bid |
| | Malibu | Submitted |
| Triangle Dodge, Inc. | Diplomat | 8,062.25 |
| | | 174.00 Two tone paint |
| | | 36.55 Center Hole |
| | | 8,812.85 Total |
| Ted Curry Motors, Inc. | Grand Fury | 8,675.00 |
| | | 140.00 Two tone paint |
| | | 15.00 Wheels |
| | | 36.55 Center Hole |
| | | 60.35 Oil Cooler |
| | | 8,926.90 Total |
| Petersburg Lincoln Mercury, Inc. | Marquis | 9,597.75 |
| | Zephyr | 8,193.44 |
| Petersburg Ford | LTD | 9,135.94 |
| | Fairmont | 8,082.90; and |

WHEREAS, Sheriff Charles L. Mitchell has requested three new police vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the Dodge Diplomat from Triangle Dodge, Inc. was the lowest bid submitted; and

WHEREAS, Investigator C.L. Fisher, representing the Sheriff, stated that the Dodge Diplomat would be adequate for the Department's needs;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the low bid of Triangle Dodge, Inc. be accepted for three 1982 Dodge Diplomats: base price $8,602.25, Center hole, 4 wire harness $36.55, Paint tops of cars $174.00; at a total cost of $8,812,85 each.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of September, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of September, 1981.

IN RE: CHANGES IN SECONDARY SYSTEM DUE TO RELOCATION AND CONSTRUCTION ON PROJECT 0619-026-169-C501, B638

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting

"aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 0619, Bridge over SCL Railroad and approaches, a distance of 0.258 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 0619, Project 0619-026-169-C501, B638 dated at Richmond, Virginia, October 6, 1981;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the portions of Secondary Route 619, i.e., Sections 3 & 4, shown in brown on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 619, Project 0619-026-169-C501, B638 dated at Richmond, Virginia October 6, 1981, a total distance of 0.08 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33-141 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sections of old location, i.e., Sections 1 & 2, shown in red on the afore-mentioned sketch, a total distance of 0.08 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33-76.12 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Robertson asked about the Rt. 708 project. Mr. Perry stated the project had gone smoothly and should be complete in a week.

Mr. Robertson then asked about the time schedule for Rt. 226 and the entrance to Southern Pines. Mr. Perry stated that a tentative advertisement date for Rt. 226 is March, 1982 and due to the winter season coming on, the entrance to Southern Pines will probably be sdheduled for the same time.

Mr. Robertson stated that after the improvements made at the Rt. 600 and Rt. 601 intersection, the road needed to be relined.

Mr. Hargrave asked that Rt. 666 be kept in mind. He felt that since the power line installation had contributed to the low point in the road causing so much trouble, that some compensation from the electric company should be made to assist the Va. Dept. of Highways in making the necessary improvements.

Mr. Perry stated he had a slide presentation on the financial status of the department he would like to present to the Board.

Mr. Clay asked if there was anything planned for improvements to Rt. 619. Mrs. Dorothy Roney had made the inquiry. Mr. Perry stated he would stop by to talk with her.

Mr. Clay also asked about the Lew Jones Subdivision. The Director of Planning advised that he was trying to work something out with the Highway Department.

IN RE: STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

The County Administrator asked that Mr. Perry meet with him to discuss the Statewide Transportation Plan and its comparison with the six-year plan for the County.

Mr. Robertson stated that as the County's representative to the Metropolitan Planning Organization, he wanted to bring them up to date on a couple of things. In reference to the recent discussions concerning $55 million that was originally earmarked for a connector between I85 and I95, he stated the MPO members have discussed the issue and are trying to keep the money for use within the Crater Planning District.

He also stated that he recommended that Plan 2000, which contains very little improvements for Dinwiddie County, be passed through the Planning Commission for recommendations to the Board.

IN RE: STANDARD COMMUNICATIONS RADIOS

Mr. Earl Gwaltney asked a representative from Southside Radio Communications to make a presentation to the Board on Standard Communications Radios. The radio he presented was a new low band unit which costs $725.00 each, including tone board. The representative stated that installation would be $30.00 per unit and all service work would be performed by Southside Communications in Colonial Heights.

IN RE: DISPOSAL OF NONHAZARDOUS WASTE MATERIAL--ROCHESTER BUTTON FACTORY

Mr. Nick Krauszer, Plant Manager of the McKenney branch of the Rochester Button Company, and Mr. Mickey Whitmore, Regional Manager, appeared before the Board to request authorization to dispose of a non-hazardous waste product in the County Landfill. The County Administrator stated that the Health Department had granted approval for disposal of the material and he and the Director of Sanitation would recommend that a contract be drawn up with a one-year limit to accept the waste material for an agreed upon fee, payable one year in advance.

Mr. Robertson asked how the waste would be brought to the Landfill. Mr. Whitmore stated that the waste will be mixed with cement and brought in a cement truck to the Landfill. Mr. Hargrave asked how the material would be handled. Mr. Whitmore stated it would solidify after it was poured. The County Administrator stated that they envisioned the material would solidify and be crumbled and mixed with the other trash the next day.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the hazardous material was. Mr. Whitmore stated that it was the pearl pigment which was over the lead content allowed when tested.

Mr. Weber stated that there were a number of tests that should be run to determine if there were other toxic materials present, and he would like to see the results of the tests that have been made. Mr. Weber further indicated that a schedule should be established to check samples and monitor the wastes being deposited.

Mr. Whitmore stated that a timetable for monitoring would be set.

Mr. Robertson asked what happens when rain hits the substance. Mr. Whitmore stated a test was made which showed that the material passes in that form. He also stated there was no odor.

Mr. Bennett asked what they were doing with the waste now. Mr. Whitmore stated they were transporting to Baltimore and between the two factories, it was costing $1,000 a day. He further indicated that the material was not solid enough to actually use as cement.

Mr. Robertson asked what the County would do if the Health Inspector found a problem and stopped the disposal. Mr. Whitmore indicated that they could stockpile or revert back to transporting.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he wanted to be assured that the County would not be responsible if later on, previous testing was

found to be unsatisfactory and half the Landfill had to be dug up and transported elsewhere to be disposed.

Mr. Robertson stated if disposal was allowed, it would be for the pearl dust only under this contract.

Mr. Weber stated he was against it but he could agree to allow the disposal if he was assured it would be closely monitored and tested.

Mr. Whitmore stated it would be tested, but maybe not on a weekly basis because it would be too costly. He also stated that the State Health Department makes a monthly inspection.

Mr. Bennett asked if the contract was continued to transport to Baltimore until December, could the decision be postponed until the next meeting. Mr. Krauszer stated that because of equipment modifications that had to be made, they needed a decision now.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to see a contract drafted with the necessary assurances to react to.

Mr. Bennett stated he would like to see more background information and some alternatives looked at.

Mr. Whitmore stated that an alternative being explored was using the waste as a filler for asbestos.

Mr. Bennett asked if an abandoned rock quarry had been explored. The County Administrator stated that a license would be difficult to get for a site.

Mr. Hargrave asked if a couple of simulated runs could be made to the Landfill to see how the material would handle. Mr. Whitmore stated that this had been done in Kenbridge and maybe Mr. Loftis would like to talk with them to discuss their experience.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that tentative approval be given pending review of the contract drawn up.

Mr. Clay said he would like to see tentative approval pending a contract being drawn up by all parties involved.

Mr. Weber stated he didn't think the Board had enough information. He stated he would like to see more tests run for toxic materials and copies of the tests.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County be provided with certified results showing that tests have been conducted for every toxic chemical possible whether or not thought to be included in the Rochester Button Company waste; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that upon receipt of these certified tests, that the County Administrator and the County Attorney are authorized to draw up a contract for presentation at the next meeting, said contract taking into consideration the concerns expressed by the Board members at this meeting; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the length of the contract be for twelve months with a fee set acceptable to the Board payable one year in advance.

IN RE: ROCKINGHAM COUNTY ANNEXATION SUIT APPEAL

Mr. Hargrave requested that the Board of Supervisors be provided with facts about the decision rendered by the judges in the Rockingham County annexation suit. He stated this information was needed prior to the Board of Supervisors determining their position on filing a brief on behalf of Rockingham County's appeal to the State

Supreme Court. The County Attorney advised Mr. Hargrave and the Board that he wanted to see more information on the case and gather additional facts before discussing the filing of a brief with the Board.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT RAMP UPDATE

The County Administrator stated that he was waiting for a reply from the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries regarding the Board of Supervisors' request concerning the boat landing at Lake Chesdin. The Commission meets October 16, 1981.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 4:30 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 4:40 P.M.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

The Development Committee of the Appomattox Regional Library asked to meet with the Board to review the Library's 1980-81 development goals and its goals for the next five years. Mrs. Cornelia Roberts, Mrs. Vivian Zaruba, Mrs. Judith Marks, members of the Committee and Mr. Robert Worley, Director were present.

Mr. Worley advised that as of July, the residents of the Enon area of Chesterfield County were being charged a $5.00 fee for using the Library in Hopewell. He stated that the Library Board had been approached by Chesterfield stating they would offer certain things if the Enon residents were allowed to use the Library free of charge. Chesterfield County has indicated that they could not at this time enter the Library contract as a participating member; however, they would offer their Ettrick-Matoaca branch free of charge to Dinwiddie residents in the Northern end of the County and the use of their computerized circulation system. This would save personnel costs for the Library, although they would need to purchase the hardware to take advantage of the system. An extra bookmobile has also been offered free of charge . Since Dinwiddie is a contributing member, Mr. Worley wanted to know the Board's reaction to this offer.

Mr. Clay wanted to know if it was a one-year offer. He was advised it would be a two to three year contract.

Mr. Hargrave stated that it looked like a good deal. The additional van would free up a bookmobile for use as a stationary unit in different locations which might pick up additional patrons. Mrs. Zaruba stated it had been very successful with the Community Education program at the High School.

Mrs. Roberts stated that the original goal was to develop branch libaries in the participating localities and progress seems to have stagnated. Mrs. Zaruba advised the Board that the library had books for the branchs in storage.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Library Board was doing a good job.

Mr. Worley asked if the Board wanted to review the financial structure of the contract. As it now stands, all participants pay on a straight percentage basis and help each other in building a branch as far as operating costs, but not capital outlay.

Mrs. Roberts advised that they would welcome any comments before the Library Board meets again on the 20th.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session to discuss legal matters at 5:14 P.M. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 6:35 P.M.

IN RE: DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY VEHICLE POLICY

Mr. Hargrave asked that the County vehicle policy be redistributed to the departments in the county.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., October 13, 1981.

OCTOBER 13, 1981 -- CONTINUATION OF OCTOBER 7, 1981 MEETING -- 7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN (arrived 7:45 P.M.) | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

A.S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 21ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 1981, AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | B.M. HEATH | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 7, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1917 through 81-2043 amounting to $82,243.97; History Book Fund check #HB-81-4 in the amount of $2.40.

IN RE: ADULT BASIC EDUCATION--AUTHORIZATION TO INCREASE EXPENDITURES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, $6,800 has been approved in the 1981-82 School Board budget for the Adult Basic Education Program; and

WHEREAS, this program is 90% reimburseable by the State; and

WHEREAS, there is a need for an additional teacher which would increase the expenditures to $7,688; and

WHEREAS, the additional funding has been approved by the State;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to increase the expenditures for the Adult Basic Education program in the 1981-82 school board budget from $6800 to $7688 for the addition of a teacher.

IN RE: LAKEWOOD SUBDIVISION--STREET LIGHT REQUEST

Mr. Weber presented a request for an additional street light in Lakewood Subdivision. He stated that there is one street light located on the street which the residents would like to move to the entrance and they would like an additional light placed at the end of the road for security purposes.

The County Administrator stated that VEPCO had not looked at the light but he had and according to the action taken in the past, the street would be eligible for another street light.

Mr. Clay stated that he had been delaying a request for a street light in DeWitt; however, he felt one was justified there just as much as anywhere else in the County. Mr. Bennett stated that there were probably locations that needed street lights for security purposes all over the County.

The Chairman instructed the County Administrator to have the VEPCO representative look at the status of the street light in Lakewood Subdivision and bring the recommendation back for action by the Board.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The appointments to be made to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation and the CPDC Court Services Advisory Council were postponed.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CPDC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Joseph Johnson was reappointed to the CPDC Planning & Development Advisory Council, term expiring October 15, 1983.

IN RE: LATE FILING FEE FOR REVALIDATION OF LAND USE APPLICATION ORDINANCE--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the ordinance to amend Chapter 8, Article 8 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, by the addition of a paragraph to Sec. 8-25 dealing with the late filing of revalidation forms for Land Use was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing.

IN RE: CROSS-CONNECTION AND BACKFLOW PREVENTION IN WATER & SEWER SYSTEMS ORDINANCE--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE

The County Administrator reviewed the ordinance stating that its purpose was to prevent backflow from a private system and cross-connecting within one's home. Mr. Hargrave stated that it was necessary for health purposes.

Mr. Hargrave asked if Chapter 16A established that any reference to "County" means the Dinwiddie County Water Authority. Mr. Elder stated that the entire Chapter 16A will be enforced by the Water Authority. Mr. Hargrave further indicated that he felt the ordinance should also apply to private systems for example, in subdivisions. Mr. Elder stated that he did not think the present wording would cause a problem but the systems could be enumerated if the Board so desired.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to have the County Attorney review the ordinance, making the needed changes as discussed by the Board.

Mr. Robertson stated he would like to have representatives of the Water Authority and Health Department present at the public hearing to answer any questions since the ordinance was of such a technical nature. Mr. Weber stated he would also like the Water Authority to be present because certain items in the ordinance needed to be defined.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the ordinance to amend Chapter 16A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia by the addition of Article VII dealing with cross-connection and backflow prevention in water and sewer systems was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing with the changes as outlined in the Board's discussion.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION ORDINANCE--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENTS

Mr. Larry G. Elder, County Attorney, presented an ordi-

nance for the Board's consideration for advertisement changing certain sections dealing with community antenna television systems. Mr. Elder stated that he had discussed the ordinance with surrounding jurisdictions, and the amendments basically contained the same language as the original ordinance. The reference to "county ownership" which had been deleted in the original ordinance was an option open to the County to use as leverage on the Grantee to correct problems that might arise, and in no way obligated the County to use it.

Mr. Hargrave asked why the County had to get involved as the middle person because it would only be extra overhead to bear.

Mr. Elder advised him that it was a power given by the legislature which the County did not have to use.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt if it was not required, it did not have to be written in.

The County Administrator stated that he felt you would find that local governments in most cases became involved because they derive a fee from the cabletelevision operation.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt it was good business for the County to get into because it makes the operation orderly. He stated that cabletelevision was a complicated business and the County shouldn't shirk its duty.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he was in favor of cabletelevision but he didn't see why the County had to get involved as the middle man. He considered cabletelevision to be a utility like the telephone company, which the County does not become involved in.

Mr. Weber stated that he wanted to thank Mr. Elder for all his work and he would like to see the ordinance passed. He felt the ordinance was needed to protect the people.

Mr. Elder reviewed another change of raising the IFA to 20%. Mr. Hargrave asked if 20% had been looked at to see if it was economically feasible.

Mr. Elder stated that the figure could be lowered later on, and 10% at this time may be too low. He indicated that the County may adopt additional requirements at the end of the 5th and 10th year to keep up with the "state of the art."

Mr. Robertson asked if by requiring a 35 channel capacity the County was eliminating local concerns from bidding. Mr. Elder advised him that all the companies should have this capacity as a minimum.

Mr. Hargrave questioned providing the service outlet free of charge to public facilities in the franchise area. He was concerned about how those paying for the service felt about it. He felt everyone should pay their own way.

Mr. Weber stated that it was a beneficial service that might save some money for the County later on.

Mr. Elder stated that it was an inexpensive thing for the Company to do.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstaining, the amendment dealing with Community Antenna Television Systems was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TRASH TRUCK REPAIRS

Mr. John M. Loftis, Director of Sanitation, appeared be-

fore the Board to discuss repair work needed on the 1973 Kenworth trash truck. He presented an estimate from Truck Enterprises of Richmond, Inc. for a total repair job in the amount of $31,187.41; however, he felt the repair work needed would be considerably less.

Mr. Clay stated that they needed to find an alternative to keep the trucks running because there were no funds budgeted for a new truck or major repairs.

Mr. Robertson indicated that he was of the opinion that the last major repairs made would keep all the trucks in good running condition for four or five years.

Mr. Loftis stated that the rebuilt truck was being used as a spare. Mr. Hargrave indicated that he thought this 1973 truck was going to run for a while until a new one was needed. The County Administrator reviewed the events that had taken place with the trucks since last year. He stated that he and the Director of Sanitation recommended that the truck schedule be adjusted to keep things running until the Spring at which time the Board would have two options: They could consider purchasing a new chassis and new body or purchase a new chassis and reuse the E-Z pack body. The 1973 truck would be parked after the purchase and used for parts.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County had considered contracting out the service. He was advised that the County had investigated it and found it not to be cost effective.

The County Administrator indicated that there were two firms considering using trash for industrial purposes, and he thought proposals would be ready for the Board's consideration in the next thirty days. He felt this would have an effect on what equipment was purchased.

Mr. Weber stated that he did not want to see any more money spent on the 1973 truck.

Mr. Loftis said he agreed.

Mr. Weber stated that he had been advised that there was a considerable amount of bumping in the new truck. Mr. Loftis stated that was probably caused by experimenting with radial tires.

Mr. Loftis stated he could run the 1973 truck until it stopped and then use the reserve truck if the Board would require the operator to drive it.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that if the trucks were run out, there would not be a back up.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the reserve truck should be used full time rather than letting the 1973 run out. Mr. Loftis indicated that the operator did not like to drive the reserve truck (1974) because it did not run fast enough. Mr. Loftis further stated that he knew the truck would run 47 MPH and he felt that was fast enough for a truck that size.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the rear end of the 1973 could be switched to the reserve truck if that would help the situation. The County Administrator stated he felt it could be done. Mr. Bennett asked that the cost figure for switching the rear ends be obtained before making a decision.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Director of Sanitation was instructed to use the 1974 reserve truck on a regular basis leaving the 1973 as a reserve; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the cost and feasibility of switching the rear ends of these two trucks be determined for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", according to Sec. 2.1-344 (1), of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:25 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:30 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Tuesday, October 27, 1981.

OCTOBER 27, 1981--CONTINUATION OF OCTOBER 21, 1981 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:30 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:30 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 21, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-2044 through 81-2129 amounting to $76,655.65.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of October, 1981.

IN RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY

Mr. Larry G. Elder advised the Board that he was waiting for information from the County Attorney in Albemarle County on the Rockingham County annexation suit before making a recommendation to the Board.

Mr. Elder further advised the Board that he had just received the information he needed for the waste disposal contract with the Rochester Button Company, and he would have it ready for the November 18, 1981 meeting. Mr. Hargrave asked that the Board be provided copies of the contract ahead of time so they may thoroughly review the document before taking any action.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of October, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of October, 1981.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley advised the Board that the Fuel Assistance Program started Monday and 110 applications have been received. She further stated that the income level has been raised; however, the benefits have been greatly reduced and they may face some serious problems by January or February.

The County Administrator advised Mrs. Talley that the rental agreement for the Social Services building is being reviewed and he would be in touch with her after the first of the year.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. R.L. Vaughn presented to the Board information on the Composite Index of Local Ability-to-Pay and Components for the 1982-84 Biennium.

IN RE: SOIL SURVEY UPDATE

Mr. Jerry Quesenberry, Soil Scientist, appeared before the Board to review the progress on the ongoing soil survey. He stated that 39,000 acres had been mapped to date and 32,000 acres were scheduled to be mapped for FY 1982. He indicated that 11,000 acres were scheduled to be mapped out of the County and 60 days would be spent in land inventory mapping.

Mr. Quesenberry advised the Board that he had a Virginia State Co-op student who worked with him until August, 1981 who he hoped will be able to return to the County full time after graduation in May. He stated that the survey was on schedule at this time to be completed in 1985; however, future progress will depend upon the federal budget constraints.

IN RE: YEAR 2000 TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Mr. Joe Vinsh, CPDC Transportation Planner and Mr. Oscar Gray, Planner for the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to briefly review the Tri-City Area Transportation Study and the projected highway needs by the year 2000. Mr. Gray reviewed the projects discussed for Dinwiddie County, which include improvements on Rt. 226. He stated that the work began with a survey in 1972 and has been reviewed by a Technical Committee and the Metropolitan Planning Organization. They looked at what the facilities could handle during peak hour traffic. He stated they also asked for projects using existing facilities of which none were in Dinwiddie. This plan also does not include the projects already listed in the County's Six-Year Plan.

The Director of Planning advised the Board that the Planning Commission has begun to review the Plan and have submitted several other suggestions: Route 708 from Rt. 600 to the Boat Landing; Squirrel Level Road because of a proposed I-85 interchange to handle traffic from General Foods; the bridge in Piney Beach; the bridge on U.S. #1 above the Produce Center; and Sterling Road.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the Northern end of the Halifax Road was very similar in need to Sterling Road.

Mr. Scheid indicated that with the present Highway funding status, none of these projects can be completed by the Year 2000.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the U.S. #1, Route 142 intersection had been considered. Mr. Scheid stated that he had suggested that it be looked at.

Mr. Robertson stated he would like to have any suggestions or comments from the Board prior to the first of the year for discussion at the MPO meetings.

Mr. Gray stated that the plan has been approved by the three cities involved. He indicated that after all the modes involved are incorporated, an environmental assessment will be made before returning to the Planning Commission and Board for action.

IN RE: VIRGINIA STUDENT SAFETY CONFERENCE

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Secretary, Transportation Safety Commission, appeared before the Board on behalf of the Dinwiddie Chapter of the Virginia Student Safety Club to request funding for several students to attend the annual conference in Staunton. Ms. Barbara Goodman, Sponsor of the group, requested funding for one room on Friday night, November 20, 1981 and three rooms on Saturday, November 21, 1981 for a total cost of $126.00 plus tax.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated that she felt the conference was beneficial to the students and helped them in carrying out their safety programs throughout the year.

Mr. Bennett asked why this conference was not funded through the School Board budget. Mrs. Quesenberry stated that she felt the Safety Club was an extension of the Transportation Safety Commission and the students provided a beneficial service to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", funding for the Dinwiddie Chapter of the Virginia Student Safety Club to attend the Virginia Student Safety Program conference in Staunton was approved for one room on Friday, November 20, 1981 and three rooms on Saturday November 21, 1981.

Mr. Bennett suggested that this funding be included in the School Board budget next year.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING UPDATE

The County Administrator advised the Board that the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries accepted at their October 16, 1981 meeting the two changes proposed by the Board in the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing Contract: 1. To change the parking fee from $1.00 to $2.00. 2. To hire an individual to open and close the landing and run a concession stand. The County Administrator stated he had not tried to locate an individual awaiting direction from the Board.

Mr. Clay asked if the County would have to erect a shed or permanent building for protection for the individual. The County Administrator stated he would like to see an individual hired that had a mobile trailer rather than a permanent structure. Mr. Hargrave agreed and suggested a school bus.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to explore the possibilities available in obtaining an individual to operate the boat landing and bring his recommendations to the Board for consideration.

IN RE: PROBATION OFFICE VEHICLE

The County Administrator advised the Board that a car for the Probation Officer had been budgeted and he needed authorization to secure bids. Mr. Weber asked what size car would be considered. He was advised it would be a small car that would be suitable to the needs of the Probation Officer.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the condition was of the present car. The County Administrator advised him that it needed some major repairs which the School Transportation Department was taking care of and then it would be used on the driving range in place of purchasing a new car.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to obtain bids on a cost efficient car for the Probation Officer.

IN RE: STREET LIGHT--LAKEWOOD SUBDIVISION

Mr. Weber reminded the Board that he had asked that an additional street light be considered for Lakewood Subdivision. The County Administrator stated he had reviewed the area concerned and according to the criteria used in the past, Lakewood Subdivision would be eligible for another street light.

Mr. Clay asked what he criteria was. The County Administrator stated that the criteria included length of the road, distance between lights, and how lights serve the homes; but the number of homes was not an overriding factor. He advised the Board that they needed to make a decision at this time as to whether they were going to continue to install street lights.

Mr. Weber moved that an additional street light be approved for the Lakewood Subdivision. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion stating that some concern should be given to the location of the street light to be sure it would not cause a problem shining in the window of any of the residents. Mr. Weber stated that he did consult the residents and they had no problem with installing another street light. They wanted it for security reasons. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber Mr. Hargrave voted "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "nay".

Mr. Clay stated that he felt the Board needed to decide whether the County could afford to install street lights all over the County. He felt the Board needed to establish certain criteria to be met before street lights were installed.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he agreed but he didn't feel he could treat new requests any differently from those requests that have been approved in the past, because they pay taxes, too. He further stated that he could easily vote to take all of them out.

Mr. Clay stated that things have changed now and there will always be problems when a service is cut or stopped.

Mr. Weber stated he didn't think all the lights should be taken out but he did feel everyone should be treated the same, and he would continue to vote for them where they were needed.

Mr. Clay replied that "need" is only a judgment. Mr. Hargrave agreed that criteria for street lights should be developed for future requests.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a report on Tax Relief for the Elderly and Totally and Permanently Disabled for the years 1975 through 1981. As of September 1, 1981, there were 146 applicants for the Elderly and 8 for the Disabled.

IN RE: SHERIFF--REQUEST FOR PHONE JACKS FOR THE JAIL

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell appeared before the Board to request authorization to secure prices on phone jacks for the jail to present to the Board. He stated that each prisoner was allowed one call per week and now they had to be individually led to the pay phone by the jailer which was dangerous and tied up manpower.

He stated he would need 8 jacks at the most to be placed on the wall opposite the cells so a phone could be handed to the prisoner to make a call.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to secure prices on phone jacks for the jail for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: SPEED LIMIT REDUCTION--ROUTE 714

Mr. Tucker Ramsey was present to request a speed limit reduction on Route 714 to 25 MPH. Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, stated he had received correspondence from Mr. Ramsey and had already requested a traffic study for the road.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--REQUEST FOR CHECK FOR NEW FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Robertson advised the Board that members of the Namozine VFD were making arrangements to pick up their new fire truck on November 13, 1981 and they would need a check at that time.

The County Administrator stated that the County would need a statement (invoice) from the Company.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a check is authorized to be drafted for the Namozine VFD to pick up their new fire truck upon receipt of proper documentation of the final cost.

IN RE: CONFINEMENT OF DOGS IN HEAT--REQUEST TO INVESTIGATE ORDINANCE

Mr. Hargrave stated that dogs in heat, which are not confined, were becoming a nuisance and caused problems for other dog owners. He stated he would like to see the legality researched of an ordinance requiring confinement of these dogs for the Board's consideration.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to investigate the legality of an ordinance requiring confinement of dogs in heat for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C. B. Perry, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to give a slide presentation on the present financial status of the Department and how it is viewed for the years to come. Mr. Perry stated that although there has been a slight increase in revenues, the inflationary costs of road maintenance has eaten it up. Mr. Medlock presented a copy of the approved Six Year plan for road improvements for Dinwiddie County which showed no funding available by 1985-86.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC STUDY--LOCATION OF PRODUCE CENTER ON U.S. #1

Mr. Weber stated he was concerned about the traffic hazard created by the entrance to the Produce Center on U.S. #1. He stated that cars travelling South reach a 55 MPH speed limit and can't see over the crest of the hill those cars stopped waiting to make a right turn into the Produce Center parking lot.

Mr. Perry stated that this situation had been brought to his attention by the Transportation Safety Commission when the store was built. At that a time a left turning lane was put in but the Traffic Engineer did not see a need for a reduction in the speed limit. He further stated that they looked at a right turn lane but because of the closeness of the bridge and the 55 MPH speed limit, a turning lane would be of little benefit. Mr. Medlock stated that the storage lane for left turns had been recently completed which should help the traffic situation.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the VDH&T is requested to reconsider a speed limit change and to monitor the turn movements at the location of the new Produce Center on U.S. #1.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:55 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:20 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 4:26 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, ON THE 17TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1981 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sections 2.1-344(1) and 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:55 P.M.

A.S. Clay
A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 18TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | CLARK WOOD | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 4, 1981 regular meeting and the November 17, 1981 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-2130 through 81-2335 amounting to $122,354.49; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-81-11 and LF-81-12 amounting to $354.75.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--E. HARRIS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. E. Harris was awarded $189.00 for two (2) hogs.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-81-5--CROSS-CONNECTION AND BACKFLOW PREVENTION IN WATER & SEWER SYSTEM

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, 1981 and Wednesday, November 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 16A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, by the addition of Article VII dealing with cross-connection and backflow prevention in water and sewer systems.

Mr. John Clements of the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and Mr. Ed Massie, Engineer for R. Stuart Royer & Associates, appeared before the Board to review the ordinance and answer any questions. Mr. Gill, District Engineer for the State Health Department and members of the Water Authority were also present.

Mr. Robertson asked if the recent opinion submitted by the County Attorney concerning mandatory connections allows this ordinance to be considered. Mr. Elder stated that his referenced opinion did not apply here. The ordinance being considered applied to all water systems in the County.

Mr. Ed Massie stated that the ordinance was the result of a federal mandate in the Safe Drinking Water Act, adopted by Virginia in 1977 for the protection of the general public using a public water supply. Mr. Weber asked if a check-valve in the water line would work. Mr. Massie stated it would; however, they are not accepted by the State Health Department. Mr. Hargrave asked if the definition of pollutants was inclusive. He felt chemicals should be added. Later on in the meeting, the County Attorney ad-

vised the Board that the definition of pollutants should include "inorganic" substances.

Mr. Robertson asked what protects the individual user from the system. Mr. Massie stated that the amendment being considered would protect other users of the system. He further advised that the Appomattox River Water Authority has its own lab to allow stringent testing of the water before it comes to the Dinwiddie system.

Mr. Weber asked what type of sampling was done by the Dinwiddie Water Authority. He was advised that four tests were taken each month which take approximately four days for receipt and processing by the State Health Department.

Mr. Richard Earl, Mr. John Talmage, Mr. John Sowers, Mr. Laxton Wilson and Mr. Andie Perdue spoke in opposition to the ordinance.

Mr. Talmage asked how the inspections would be made. Mr. M.G. Rainey, Director of the Water Authority, informed him that a questionnaire would be sent to all users of the system and investigations would be made where it was felt to be probable cause. Mr. Talmage stated he was against anyone having the right to come on private property without a warrant.

Mr. John Sowers asked if the Authority presently requires backflow prevention devices. Mr. Gill stated that the State Health Department requires all suppliers of public water to have backflow prevention devices, and the Authority is trying to comply by adopting this ordinance. Mr. Sowers then asked if the ordinance requires these devices be installed at every residence. He was advised it did not. Mr. Sowers then stated that there was no protection for the people if not required and he felt the regulations could be met more easily by placing check-valves in the meter boxes. Mr. Sowers also indicated that he felt entering private property and inspecting individual homes was unconstitutional.

Mr. Gill responded to the reference to check-valves saying that they were not 100% safe due to possible leakage.

Mr. Laxton Wilson asked if the rules and regulations of the Water Authority didn't already contain wordage that would prevent cross-connection and backflow. Mr. Rainey responded that the present regulations do not address cross-connection.

Mr. Massie was asked if other Water Authorities had the right to inspect homes. Mr. Massie stated that different areas handled it in varying degrees. Mr. Perdue asked if other localities have this same ordinance. He was advised that they all will in time. Mr. Clements stated that Sussex County has it. The County Attorney stated that Chesterfield County has an identical ordinance and it has to be read in conjunction with the Water Authority rules and regulations and the State Code. He further stated that the County is not doing anything that the Health Department isn't already requiring. He stated that the ordinance does not give anyone the right to randomly inspect someone's property and that a search warrant may be required. He indicated it is merely an administrative tool to enforce the regulations of the Act.

Mr. Perdue asked what recourse a citizen would have if the Water Authority wanted to inspect his property. The County Attorney stated that he would have the same rights as with a police officer, that is, a search warrant may be required.

Mr. Wilson stated that if the Water Authority had to be schooled in the procedure they would follow, then action on the ordinance should be postponed.

Mr. Weber moved that the ordinance not be approved at this meeting. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the Water Authority already had too much power. He further indicated that there were several things in the ordinance he didn't approve of: 1. Entrance of pro-

perty during reasonable hours. Mr. Weber asked what was meant by reasonable hours. Mr. Clements stated that questionnaires would be sent out and if it were felt there was probable cause to inspect, they would discuss a time with the individual. 2. Violations - reasonable time to correct or remove the violation. Mr. Weber asked what would be a reasonable time. Mr. Clements stated this would depend upon the severity of the violation and what the State Health Department rules and regulations say. 3. Penalty - Mr. Weber asked if $200 wasn't a little high. Mr. Clements stated that the decision would be up to the court.

Mr. Weber then stated that he would never vote for an ordinance that would fine the citizens, and he did not feel this was a good ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked if each prevention device would have to be approved by the Authority Director on an individual basis. Mr. Clements indicated they would have to refer to the Standards of the Act. Mr. Rainey stated the devices must meet all the applicable codes. Mr. Robertson then asked if each device installed would be approved if it met regulations or would they have to be approved on a case by case basis. Mr. Rainey stated that the devices would have to be approved by the Authority and the State Health Department.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt there were too many ambiguities in the ordinance and the discussion had brought out a lot of fallacies. He further indicated that he was not sure from the discussion that the cross-connection and backflow prevention ordinance was even necessary and that he believed the ordinance as written would not serve the purpose. He then urged the other members not to vote for it.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the hardware approved would be limited to those eligible under the standards of the Act. He was advised they would. He stated it therefore seemed logical to him that the Authority would allow those devices approved by the standards to be installed. Mr. Clements advised him that he was correct.

Mr. Elder stated that those participants on the water system who were still connected to a private water supply but had backflow prevention devices would not be in violation of this ordinance. They would be in violation of the Water Authority regulations which is a different issue.

Mr. Clay asked who would be liable if contamination got into the system. Mr. Elder stated that the responsible user and everyone involved in administering the system could be sued.

Mr. Weber asked if the backflow prevention devices are available to the people. Mr. Clements stated that information on the type and quality of device would be available. Mr. Weber then asked if the Authority would consider placing a device in every residence if the citizen paid for it. Mr. Clements stated he didn't know.

The question was asked who would be required to install the backflow prevention devices and who would finance them. Mr. Gill stated that the Health Department was looking for unusual situations where the device would be required and probably 50% to 75% of the users would not need the device.

Mr. Wilson asked if a device would be required where there was hot water heat. Mr. Gill said no if there were no chemicals involved.

Mr. Weber moved that the ordinance not be approved. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "nay". The motion was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr.

Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of the following Article to Chapter 16A:

Chapter 16A - Water and Sewers

Article VII. Cross-Connection and Backflow Prevention.

Sec. 16A-69. Definitions.

The following terms, whenever used or referred to in this article, shall have the respective meanings set forth below, unless the context clearly requires a contrary meaning or any such term is expressly defined to the contrary elsewhere in this article:

Backflow. The flow of water or other liquids, mixtures, or substances into the distributing pipes of a potable supply of water from any source other than its intended source.

Cross-connection. Any physical connection between a potable water supply and any waste pipe, soil pipe, sewer drain or any unapproved source or system. "Cross-connection" includes any potable water supply outlet which is submerged or can be submerged in waste water and any other source of contamination.

Health hazard. Any conditions, devices or practices in the water supply system and its operation which create or, in the judgment of the director of the water authority, may create, a danger to the health and well being of the water consumer.

Plumbing system. The water supply and distribution pipes; plumbing fixtures and traps; soil, waste and vent pipes; building drains and building sewers including their respective connections, devices and appurtenances within the property lines of the premises; and water-treating or water-using equipment.

Pollution. The presence of any foreign substance (organic, inorganic, radiological or biological) in the water that tends to degrade its quality so as to constitute a hazard or impair the usefulness of the water.

Water, potable. Water free from impurities in amounts sufficient to cause disease or harmful physiological effects. Its bacteriological and chemical quality shall conform to the requirements of the Virginia Water works Regulations of the state department of health and the requirements of the county water authority.

Water, nonpotable. Water that is not safe for human consumption or that is of questionable potability.

Water works. All structures and appliances used in connection with the collection, storage, purification and treatment of water for drinking or domestic use and the distribution thereof to the public or residential consumers as set forth in Title 62.1, Chapter 4, Section 62.1-45a, Code of Virginia 1950, as amended.

Sec. 16A-70. Required.

Every building, premises or structure in the county shall be constructed, equipped and maintained in such a manner as to prevent the possibility of pollution of the public water supply by cross-connection or backflow of liquids.

Sec. 16A-71. Cross-connections prohibited.

(a) The public potable water supply system shall be designed, installed and maintained in such a manner as to prevent contamination from non-potable liquids, solids or gases from being introduced into the potable water supply through cross-connections or any other piping connections to the system.

(b) Cross-connections between the public potable water system and other systems or equipment containing water or other substances of unknown or questionable safety are prohibited except when and where, as approved by the director of water authority, suitable protective devices such as the reduced pressure zone back-flow preventer or equal are installed, tested and maintained to insure proper operation on a continuing basis.

Sec. 16A-72. Backflow and backsiphonage prohibited.

The public potable water system shall be protected against backflow and backsiphonage by installing and maintaining at all fixtures, equipment and outlets, where back flow or backsiphonage may occur, a suitable backflow preventer as approved by the director of water authority.

Sec. 16-73. Responsibility of director of water authority.

(a) The director of the water authority, or his designated agent, shall inspect the plumbing in every building or premises in the county as frequently as in his judgment may be necessary to ensure that such plumbing has been installed in such a manner as to prevent the possibility of pollution of the public water supply through the plumbing.

(b) The director of the water authority, or his designated agent, shall have the right of entry into any building, during reasonable hours, for the purpose of making inspection of the plumbing systems installed in such building or premises for cross-connection or backflow problems caused by improper installation, repair or maintenance, faulty equipment or other causes. Upon request, the owner or occupants of property served by the public water system shall furnish to the inspection agency pertinent information regarding the piping system on such property.

Sec. 16A-74. Compliance with applicable rules and regulations.

Any cross-connection or backflow prevention device or system shall be designed, installed and maintained in such a manner as to be in compliance with the Cross-Connection Control Manual, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Programs, Water Supply Division, 1973; the BOCA Basic Plumbing Code, 1975; section 6.00 of the Virginia Waterworks Regulations entitled "Cross-connection and Backflow Prevention Control in Waterworks"; and the definitions contained in section 2.00 of that publication are adopted by reference except where inconsistent with definitions contained in this chapter.

Sec. 16A-75. Violations.

The director of the water authority shall notify the owner or authorized agent of the owner, of the building or premises in which there is found a violation of this article of such violation. The director of the water authority shall set a reasonable time for the owner to have the violation removed or corrected. Upon failure of the owner to have the defect corrected by the end of the specified time interval, the director of the water authority may, if in his judgment an imminent health hazard exists, cause the water service to the building or premises to be terminated or deny service to such premises.

If it is found that the backflow prevention device has been removed or bypassed or if a cross-connection exists, or if the pressure in the waterworks is lowered below ten psi guage, the director of the water authority shall take positive action to insure that the waterworks is adequately protected at all times.

Sec. 16A-76. Penalty.

The owner or authorized agent of the owner responsible for the maintenance of the plumbing systems in the building who knowingly

permits a violation to remain uncorrected after the expiration of time set by the director of the water authority shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine of not more than two hundred dollars for each violation. Each day of failure to comply with the requirements of this article, after the specified time provided in section 16A-75, shall constitute a separate violation.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-81-6--LATE FILING FEE FOR REVALIDATION OF LAND USE APPLICATIONS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, 1981 and Wednesday, November 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8, Article 8 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia by the addition of a paragraph to Section 8-25 dealing with the late filing of revalidation forms for Land Use.

Mr. Larry Elder, County Attorney, appeared before the Board to present the amendment. He stated that the late fee would be levied on applications filed from December 6, 1981 until January 1, 1982.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of the following paragraph to Chapter 8, Article VIII, Section 8-25:

Chapter 8 - Finance and Taxation

Article VIII. Special Assessments for Agricultural Real Estate

Section 8-25. Applications.

. . . . Annual Revalidation forms prescribed by the Commissioner of the Revenue which are required to be filed by December 5 of each year may be filed during the period of December 6 through January 1 upon the payment of a late filing fee of $10.00.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a brief recess at 10:07 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 10:12 P.M.

IN RE: ROCHESTER BUTTON COMPANY--CONTRACT FOR DISPOSAL OF NON-HAZARDOUS WASTES

The County Administrator presented a contract for the Board's consideration between the County of Dinwiddie and the Rochester Button Company to dispose of the Button Company's non-hazardous wastes in the County Landfill. The County Administrator stated that the Board had discussed disposing of the waste in the Landfill of a private industry, and when he discussed this alternative with Mr. Nick Krauszer, Plant Manager, Mr. Krauszer stated it would not be a suitable alternative at this time.

Mr. Nick Krauszer and Mr. Don Arnaud, Vice-President, Rochester Button Company, were present. Mr. Krauszer advised the Board that Rochester Button Company agreed with the proposed contract except for the blanks to be filled in which they would have to discuss. He further advised that they would agree to 60-day testings; however, they felt $100/week to be a little higher than they had budgeted for. He indicated that they had been led to believe that the cost would be for filling a trench for two years.

If so, then, Rochester Button Company would pay half of the amount stated for one year in advance.

Mr. Weber asked what it was costing the Company per day to haul the waste material to Philadelphia. Mr. Krauszer stated that it was costing them $300/day; however, their contract would expire November 19, 1981. He further indicated that they were sending a load every two to three weeks and using the County Landfill would be considerably cheaper.

Mr. Weber asked what objection Rochester Button Company had to having a local industry pick up the waste and deposit it in their own landfill. Mr. Krauszer stated it would be more cumbersome and more costly.

Mr. Arnaud stated he had talked with Dr. Gulevich of the State Health Department who advised him it would have to be taken to an approved Landfill, and that they were not aware of a private firm that would provide this service more economically.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Board had found two State approved Landfills in the business of disposing of industrial wastes and one was within 30 to 40 miles of the Rochester plant. He further indicated that the County has not disposed of any hazardous materials at this time and he feared what might happen with the material later on. Also, the County's Landfill is not surrounded by sampling wells. Since there were other Landfills available, Mr. Hargrave felt the Board would rather see the waste material taken to Chesterfield Co. or Charles City where these sites are located rather than expose the County.

Mr. Krauszer stated the Company would agree to discuss this alternative in the future; however, the present hauling contract expires tomorrow and he didn't see how they could dispose of the waste any cheaper than the County Landfill.

Mr. Arnaud commented that the Company has acted properly in providing the facts about the content of the waste material and were using overkill to comply. He felt the Company was a County taxpayer and they deserved a little consideration. Mr. Arnaud also stated that the Company has spent $30,000 making equipment changes to accommodate the disposal and hauling the waste material 30 to 40 miles would be difficult.

Mr. Weber stated that the Board had asked for additional information which had been provided; however, they did not have any information on what happens to the material after several years. Therefore, he felt the County should be cautious.

Mr. Hargrave stated there was competition in the firms that offered the disposal services and he felt Rochester Button Company could dispose of their waste material for $3,000 a year or less.

Mr. Arnaud stated the Company would be willing to pay $3,000 a year but he had talked with other people in the business and he could not obtain a firm quotation of the cost of using other sites. He, therefore, still felt the County Landfill would be cheaper.

Mr. Clay indicated that he felt the County was morally obligated to do something for the Company at this time. He stated the County had a good industry now and he felt they should be given a contract until they could seek other means.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the Board had been given short notice on the request and he did not want to take a chance. He asked why the Company did not investigate other sites before coming to the County.

Mr. Krauszer indicated that the Company did not see a need to. Mr. Arnaud reiterated adding that they throught arrange-

ments with the County were moving along in a normal, progressive manner. He then asked that the County grant a 30 to 60 day contract to allow the Company to dispose at the Landfill until another site can be found.

Mr. Robertson indicated that he did not see any real need to shorten the contract and he felt the County should take care of its own. He would, therefore, be in favor of voting on a contract subject to agreement on a fee.

Mr. Bennett stated that he shared the concerns voiced by the other members and he feared as a result, Dinwiddie County would be a site considered for other industrial wastes. He indicated he would agree to a contract for a year or shorter period while the Company looked for another alternative. Mr. Bennett felt the fee should be designed to return the expense to the County.

Mr. Hargrave asked why the County wanted to take a risk when there were other sites available. He further stated that if disposal was approved, he would like to see a separate trench dug and if something turned up later and the disposal site had to be monitored, it would cost much more than $5,000 or $100/week. Mr. Hargrave asked if the Company were allowed to dispose in a separate ditch for 30-60 days, would they relocate these deposits when another site was located.

Mr. Krauszer replied that he felt that would be unreasonable.

Mr. Arnaud stated the Company would look for another site but they were asking for a little help from the County now.

Mr. Hargrave commented that he appreciated the proper manner of the Company and that they were trying to locate a site to properly dispose of the waste.

Mr. Robertson moved that the contract be approved for one year with the following terms:

1. Testing every 4 weeks
2. $5200 fee payable in advance.

There was no second. Mr. Bennett questioned the fee because he felt it should cover costs plus a percentage and the cost is unknown at this time. The County Administrator stated that he and the Director of Sanitation had figured the costs involved and arrived at the $100 per week figure. Mr. Robertson then withdrew his motion.

Mr. Weber moved that action on the contract be tabled until the next meeting, pending contact by the Rochester Button Company with Shoosmith concerning disposal of the waste material. There was no second.

Mr. Hargrave offered a substitute motion that the County make provisions to receive the waste material for 60 days pending the Company finding another disposal site at which time the Company will relocate the waste material already deposited in the County landfill to the new location. There was no second. Mr. Hargrave voted "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "nay". Mr. Bennett abstained. The motion was defeated.

The following votes were cast on Mr. Weber's original motion: Mr. Weber voted "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voted "nay", Mr. Hargrave abstained. The motion was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following contract:

THIS AGREEMENT, made in duplicate this 18th day of November, 1981, by and between the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, acting by and through its Board of Supervisors, hereinafter referred to as County; and Rochester Button Company, its successors

or assigns, hereinafter referred to as Company;

WITNESSETH:

That for and in consideration of the covenants and agreements hereinafter contained to be kept and performed by the respective parties hereto, it is agreed as follows:

1. The County agrees to allow the Company the right to dispose of non-hazardous wastes resulting from the manufacture of buttons at its plant in McKenney, Virginia, in the County Landfill. In addition to its ordinary meaning, "hazardous wastes" shall include any substance so labelled by the Virginia State Board of Health in their rules and regulations.

2. The location within the landfill, manner of disposal and schedule of disposal shall be at the discretion of the County's Director of Sanitation.

3. The Company agrees to cause randomly selected samples of said substance to be submitted to an independent laboratory for analysis and provide the results of such analysis to the County and State Health Departments the first (1st) week and every four (4) weeks thereafter. The County reserves the right to make this provision less restrictive if circumstances warrant.

4. For services provided the Company by the County, the Company agrees to pay the County a fee of $700.00 to be paid in advance. No portion of said fee shall be refunded if this Agreement is terminated through no fault of the County.

5. The term of this Agreement is sixty (60) days, beginning on the 19th day of November, 1981 and ending on the 17th day of January, 1982.

6. If either party violates any of the terms of this Agreement, the Agreement may be terminated immediately.

7. Company agrees to keep, save and hold County harmless from any and all actions, liabilities, damages, judgments, costs and expense that may be brought or in any wise accrue against County in consequence of this Agreement or for any act, negligence or ommission of Company, its agents, subcontractors, employees or workmen, in the performance of this Agreement. Specifically, but not in way of limitation, if at any time it is determined that any substance disposed of by Company is hazardous, Company agrees to remove from County's landfill and hold County harmless for any liability associated therewith.

8. This writing constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and any changes of any kind whatsoever to the terms of this Agreement shall be in writing approved by the County and Company. This Agreement is to be interpreted and enforced according to the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:

DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
By: Aubrey S. Clay, Chairman

ATTEST: William C. Knott
County Administrator

ROCHESTER BUTTON COMPANY
By:

ATTEST:

Mr. Hargrave suggested that a separate trench be dug for storage of this material for the 60 day period. The County Administrator stated that he would like to work with the Director

of Sanitation and Mr. Weber and Mr. Hargrave to determine the best location. Mr. Hargrave suggested that the State Health Inspector should be consulted.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--APPROVAL OF AMBER WARNING LIGHTS

Mr. Ben Hawkins of the Namozine VFD appeared before the Board to present a request for funding of two amber warning lights for the entrance to the Namozine VFD. He stated one light would be located at the new intersection with Rt. 600 and one would be located in front of the bank on Rt. 226. He advised the Board that the plans had been approved by the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation and they would furnish the signs. Total cost would be $2,099 plus the monthly charge for two meters which the Department requests the County to assume. The lights would be radio operated from the firehouse when leaving for a fire call.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County appropriate $2,099 for the purchase and installation of two amber warning lights for the Namozine VFD and that the County assume the monthly electric bill for the two accompanying meters. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett stated that he felt this request should be considered at budget time. Mr. Clay agreed, stating that the Board had just bought a $90,000 fire truck. Mr. Hawkins indicated there could be as many as five fire vehicles at the entrance off Rt. 226 and there was a potential for a major accident.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the lights could be manually operated at the meter and the radio purchase be postponed until budget time. Mr. Hawkins stated that would defeat the purpose because the light would not be located right beside the entrance. Mr. Hawkins further indicated that if the firehouse was ever moved, the lights could still be used. He stated he needed an answer before the price quotation ran out.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-81-2--FIRST COLONIAL FINANCIAL CORPORATION

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, 1981 and Wednesday, November 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the County Code of Dinwiddie by changing the District Classification of a portion of Sec. 44B2, Parcel (a)1, from Agricultural, General A-2 to Agricultural Rural Residential, A-R.

Mr. Scheid reviewed the Planning Commission action wherein they recommended approval of this rezoning request. Mr. James R. Mann, representing First Colonial, was present in support of the request. No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Bennett asked if everytime the building rights were used up on a parcel of property, would there be a request for A-R zoning. Mr. Scheid stated that the request was compatible with the Comprehensive Land Use Plan for that area.

Mr. Bennett stated he was bothered because the request would strip the road frontage leaving all the property in the back with no provision for streets. He added that the traffic along the road was very fast moving for this type of residential development. Mr. Bennett indicated that he felt the request was to increase the value of a piece of property bought for speculation, and he disagreed with it.

Mr. Weber stated that the Planning Commission considered A-R zoning to be appropriate for this area.

Mr. Bennett stated there would be no way to stop this type of development all the way down Rt. 611. Mr. Hargrave felt the request would be irresponsibly using the road frontage.

Mr. Mann stated that the property behind the area requested had been sold and the same type of zoning was on the other side of the road. He also asked if the request was complying with the ordinance.

Mr. Scheid stated it did; however, the Board was addressing stripping the road frontage.

Mr. Weber moved that rezoning request P-81-2 be approved. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "nay". The request was denied.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--DAVID MOORE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, 1981 and Wednesday, November 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption a Conditional Use permit to allow a security mobile home on land parcel 21-146 which is located at the Southwest corner of the intersection of Rt. 460 and I-85. The existing building is operated as a mechanical shop.

Mr. David Moore appeared in support of his request. No one appeared in opposition. Mr. W.C. Scheid reviewed the Planning Commission minutes of November 11, 1981, wherein they recommended approval with the following conditions:

1. The mobile home shall be removed upon termination of the business.

2. The mobile home shall be located such that it will not detract from other commercial uses in area.

3. The conditional use permit should be reviewed one (1) year from the date of issuance to determine if the security mobile home is accomplishing the purpose for which it was intended.

Mr. Weber stated he had visited the site and talked with Mr. Moore, and he was in favor of allowing the mobile home for security purposes for one year.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request for a conditional use permit for Mr. David Moore was approved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--CABLETELEVISION AMENDMENTS--A-81-7

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, 1981, and Wednesday, November 11, 1981 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 15A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, by changing certain sections dealing with Community Antenna Television Systems.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstained,

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended be further amended by the following changes to Chapter 15A and in all other respects Chapter 15A is hereby re-ordained:

The following language is added to the end of the referenced section:

Section 15A-4. Franchise Terms

. . . or in its sole discretion the County may purchase the assets of the grantee's cable television system at its then fair market value.

Section 15A-5. Franchise Fee

(e) In the event the franchise is terminated prior to its expiration date, and the County invokes its right to purchase the Grantee's cable television system, the Grantee shall file with the County, within thirty days of the date that ownership and control passes to the County or its assignee, a financial statement clearly showing the gross subscriber revenues received by Grantee since the end of the previous fiscal quarter. The Grantee shall pay the franchise fee due at the time such statement is filed.

The following section is to read as follows:

Section 15A-12. Termination for Cause

(a) If, at any time during the term of this franchise, the Board determines that a Grantee has materially breached the terms and conditions imposed by this chapter and the franchise after the County has exhausted all of the remedial steps provided for herein, the County may either terminate the franchise or purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system at a cost not to exceed depreciated value.

(b) In the event the County exercises its option to purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system at their depreciated value, it shall give the Grantee written notice of its intent to do so. The Grantee shall, within seven days of receipt of such notice, enter into bona fide negotiations with the County for the purpose of consummating the transaction at the earliest possible time.

(c) In the event the County elects to purchase the Grantee's cable television system and the fair market value or its depreciated value cannot be agreed upon, the final price shall be determined by the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie.

The following sections are added to the existing chapter:

Section 15A-12A. Transfer of Ownership to County.

(a) Upon payment of the purchase price, the Grantee shall immediately transfer to the County possession and title to all facilities and property, real and personal, related to its cable television system free from any and all liens and encumbrances not agreed to be assumed by the County in lieu of some portion of the purchase price. The Grantee shall make it a condition of each contract entered into by it with reference to its operations under this chapter and franchise, that the contract shall be subject to the exercise of this option by the County and that the County shall have the right to succeed to all privileges and obligations thereof upon the exercise of such option.

(b) The County shall have the right and power to assign its purchase rights to a successor Grantee selected by the County in a manner not inconsistent with the provisions of this chapter.

Section 15A-12B. Grantee's Obligation as Trustee.

Until such time as the Grantee transfers to the County or to a new Grantee possession and title to all assets, real and personal, related to its cable television system, the Grantee shall, as trustee for its successor in interest, continue to operate the cable television system under the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise and to provide the regular subscriber service and any and all of the services that may be provided at that time. During such interim period, the Grantee shall not sell any of the system assets nor shall the Grantee make any physical, material, administrative or operational change that would tend to (1) degrade the quality of service to the subscribers, (2) decrease income, or (3) materially increase expenses without the express permission, in writing, of the County or its assignee. The County shall be permitted to seek legal and equitable relief to enforce the provisions of this section.

Section 15A-12C. Management Fee.

For its management services during this interim period, the Grantee shall be entitled to receive as compensation, the net profit, as defined herein, generated during the period between the date the Grantee received written notice from the County of its intent to purchase the Grantee's cable television system or the expiration date of the franchise, whichever is earlier, and the payment of the purchase price. Such management services shall not be continued without Grantee's consent for more than twelve months. However, if the Board determines that the Grantee is responsible for any delay in transfer of ownership and control, the Grantee shall continue to operate the cable television, as provided for in section 15A-12B, without compensation for its services until the sales agreement is executed and ownership and control passes to the County or its assignee. In addition, the County shall also have the further right to (1) forwith terminate Grantee's franchise and have the system removed or (2) to purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system at its depreciated value.

The second sentence in subsection (a) of the referenced section shall read as follows:

Section 15A-13. Initial Franchise Area.

(a) . . . . The Initial Franchise Area (IFA) shall include not less than twenty percent (20%) of the total occupied dwelling units within the County boundaries . . . .

The following language is added at the end of subsection (b) of the referenced section:

Section 15A-13. Initial Franchise Area.

(b) . . . . This shall in no way restrict the right of the County to act on its own motion.

The following language is added at the end of the first sentence of subsection (c) of the referenced section:

Section 15A-13. Initial Franchise Area.

(c) . . . . as conclusively determined by the County.

Subsection (b) of the referenced section is to read as follows:

Section 15A-15. System Description.

(b) The Grantee's cable television system shall operate with at least thirty-five channel capacity.

The following language is added at the end of subsection (c) of the referenced section:

Section 15A-15. System Description.

(c) . . . . The County shall have the option of requiring active nonvoice return communication when it becomes feasible and is in the community's interest.

The existing second sentence of subsection (e) of the referenced section is deleted and the following language added:

Section 15A-15. System Description.

(e) . . . . This channel shall be installed and made available without charge from the time of commencement of cable television service in the County.

The following subsection is added to the referenced section:

Section 15A-15. System Description.

(i) Grantee shall provide, without charge within the Initial Franchise Area, one service outlet to each fire station, public and private school, police station, public library and such buildings as used for municipal purposes as may be designated by the County; provided, however, that, if it is necessary to extend Grantee's trunk or feeder lines more than three hundred feet solely to provide service to any such school or public building, the County shall have the option, either of paying Grantee's direct costs for such extension, in excess of three hundred feet, or of releasing Grantee from the oblitation to provide service to such building. Furthermore, Grantee shall be permitted to recover, from any public building owner entitled to free service, the direct cost of installing, when requested to do so, more than one outlet, or concealed inside wiring, or a service outlet requiring more than two hundred fifty feet of drop cable.

The following language is added to the end of subsection (d) of the referenced section:

Section 15A-18. Tests and Performance Monitoring.

(d) . . . . If no such FCC reports are required the County may require tests and reports comparable to those now required by the FCC.

The following subsections are added to the referenced section:

Section 15A-26. Rights reserved to the County.

(c) The right to adopt additional regulations at the end of the fifth and tenth years of the franchise to require that the system be upgraded to what is then considered a "State of the art", system.

(d) To require that programming information be published and made available to subscribers in a timely fashion.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SOLICIT PROPOSALS FOR CABLE TELEVISION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney and the County Administrator be authorized to prepare the necessary paperwork to solicit proposals for cable television.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The appointments to be made at this meeting were postponed.

IN RE: ROCKINGHAM COUNTY ANNEXATION SUIT

The County Attorney advised the Board that he still had not received the information he had requested from the Attorney handling the Rockingham County annexation brief and he, therefore, had no recommendation. He added that the brief had to be filed by December 1, 1981.

Since a brief was not available for consideration, the Board decided to take no action.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:57 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 12:04 A.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:04 A.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER, 1981 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | LARRY G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-2336 thru 81-2428 amounting to $1,815,872.67.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 18, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of November, 1981.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of November, 1981.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING--REZONING APPLICATION P-81-3

Mr. W.C. Scheid advised the Board that Dr. Janeshwar Upadhyay has requested that the time frame for public hearings on his rezoning request P-81-3 be expedited. He stated that the Planning Commission would be holding a special meeting on December 17, 1981 and he needed to know by that time if the Board would consider hearing the case at their January 6, 1982 meeting. The Board advised Mr. Scheid that they would make a decision at their December 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mr. Weber asked the Director how the Fuel Program was progressing. Mrs. K.B. Talley advised him that her department has received 572 applications to date and processing is moving along very smoothly.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVANCE FUNDS FOR "PRIDE HOUSE" PAYROLL

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Supt. of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization for the Treasurer to advance funds for the "Pride House" payroll on a month to month basis, if needed. He stated there has been a delay in receiving the federal funds for November and he felt the same thing would happen in December.

Mr. Weber asked if Dr. Vaughn felt there would be a problem receiving the federal funds. Dr. Vaughn advised him there would be no problem since the funds have already been approved.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to advance funds for the "Pride House" payroll for the months of November and December, 1981.

IN RE: LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSING--PAYMENT OF SECOND YEAR

Mr. Robertson presented an article to the Superintendent of Schools concerning the two-year Licensed Practical Nursing program at the high school. The article stated that the second year of the program was clinical work done at the Petersburg General Hospital which the localities would be asked to fund at approximately $1200 per student. Mr. Robertson asked if this decision had been made by the School Board, and if not, would the Board of Supervisors have an opportunity to voice an opinion before a decision is made. Mr. Robertson further stated that he would be opposed to the School Board paying the second year tuition for these students.

Dr. Vaughn indicated that he had made the School Board aware of the program and the additional cost; however, they have not made a final decision. He further stated that he would notify the Board members before a decision was made so they would have an opportunity for input.

IN RE: RECEIPT FOR PAYMENT OF TAXES

Mr. Weber stated that he had been contacted by several citizens who were concerned that they did not receive a receipt when they mailed in their tax payment. He indicated he had talked with the Treasurer, and each tax notice stated that if a receipt was desired, the individual should send a self-addressed, stamped envelope. This was done in an effort to save some expense to the County. Mr. Weber felt, however, that the Board should consider funding the sending of receipts to the individual citizens who mailed in their tax payments.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION

Mr. Weber asked what the status was of cabletelevision for the County. The County Attorney stated that the ordinance was being retyped and advertisements should be ready for the Board's consideration at its next meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA STUDENT SAFETY CLUB--REVIEW OF CONFERENCE

Mr. Charles Stout, Vice-President of the Dinwiddie Chapter of the Virginia Student Safety Club, Ms. Kim Titmus and Mr. David Lyle, State Officers, along with other local officers of the Club appeared before the Board to review the activities of the Club during the year and the conference they attended in Staunton. Other awards received at the conference were: Best Project and Best Scrapbook, and Ms. Barbara Goodman received the Best Sponsor Award.

The students thanked the Board for their continuing support of the program.

IN RE: ADDITION OF SUBDIVISION ROADS WITHIN LEW JONES SUBDIVISION TO STATE ROAD SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Lew Jones Subdivision was a duly recorded subdivision within the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the developer sold several building sites within the Lew Jones Subdivision over a period of time; and

WHEREAS, the developer was not able to complete the interior road system to state standards as requested by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie requested the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to complete the interior road system to State specifications at County expense; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation complied with the County's request and has completed the interior road system.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Seaboard Drive, beginning at a point on Route 644, 0.22 miles south of Route 650 and running in an easterly direction 0.08 miles to Southern Avenue. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportations Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Southern Avenue, beginning at a point on Seaboard Drive 0.08 miles east of Route 644 and running in a southerly direction 0.12 miles and beginning at a point on Seaboard Drive and running in a northerly direction 0.09 miles. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these roads in Lew Jones Subdivision if accepted be added to the secondary system of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with the maintenance bond and fee waivered pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) since the roads were constructed by Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation personnel using properly approved materials and construction techniques; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia, a minimum unrestricted right of way of 50' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in plat book 9, pages 84 and 85 dated August 21, 1974 and plat book 10, pages 15 and 16 dated June 20, 1975.

IN RE: YEAR 2000 PLAN AND PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, presented the following proposed amendments to the Year 2000 Transportation Plan for the Board's review. He stated that Mr. Robertson, the County's MPO representative, needs the Board members' concurrence or views by the next meeting since he will be voting on the Plan in January.

1. Route 1 - Route 226 - Route 600 - Appomattox River Bridge. This project is the only one presently contained in the Year 2000 Plan. It is recommended that it remain in the plan.

2. Bridge on Route 672. Replacement of existing bridge. This project is contained in the 6 year program. The project has been deferred. An approximate cost of $600,000 is anticipated at today's prices.

3. Bridge on Route 1. Recently the VDH&T has determined that this bridge is deteriorating and a weight limitation has been established. Route 1 is a primary artery in the County and should receive immediate attention. It is estimated that the replacement cost would be around $800,000.

4. Intersection of Rt. 142 and Route 1. This has been, and continues to be, a safety problem. Corrective action could range from a traffic light to relocation of the intersection. A cost estimate would vary with the solution chosen.

5. Route 613 from Petersburg City Limits to Route 741. This project

is contained in the 6 year program. The road is not adequate and will require reconstruction, widening, rebuilt shoulders/ditches and, possibly, relinement. The Year 2000 Plan may contain an interchange at I-85 and Rt. 613 (within city limits). If this should occur, improvements to this road will be imperative. Current costs for the road is approximately $550,000.

6. Route 601 (River Road) from Route 600 to 776. This project is in the 6 year program. It is estimated that this road will cost around $400,000. It has a seasonally high volume of traffic with extra wide loads.

7. Route 603 (Sterling Road). As the northern portion of the County develops, this road will become critical as a link between Rt. 226 and Route 1. There are no recommendations on what improvements should be made; therefore, there is no cost estimate.

8. Route 226. This road carries a high volume of mixed traffic. As development occurs, this road will not be capable of adequately handling the traffic. Part of the solution to the problem will be improvements to Sterling Road and the intersection of Rt. 1 and 142 (as well as the bridge replacement).

Mr. Robertson stated that he concurred with all the items as listed except in two areas:

1. Rt. 603 - He thought the improvements made should be minimal. He did not feel the road should be improved by widening it to make a major speedway from Rt. 226 to U.S. #1.

2. Rt. 226 - He felt minimal improvements should also be made on this road, but not the construction of three lanes to provide access for high speed traffic.

Mr. Weber agreed with the items listed and the concerns of Mr. Robertson. No action was taken at this time.

IN RE: UNITED BIO-FUEL INDUSTRIES--PRESENTATION

Mr. Meade G. Pratali, Director of Plant Development for United Bio-Fuel Industries, Inc. appeared before the Board to give a presentation of the proposed solid waste resource recovery facility and discuss a possible contract with the County to dispose of the County's solid waste for a determined tipping fee per ton. Mr. Pratali stated that the ultimate goal is to produce alcohol.

He advised the Board that his organization was canvassing the area municipalities to see who would be interested in contracting for this service and to secure a non-binding Intent to Contract. This will allow the financial institution to determine the use and the resulting tipping fee. A final contract will be negotiated in six months, and it is hoped the complete facility would be operational in two years.

Mr. Robertson asked what benefits would the County derive. Mr. Pratali stated the County could do away with 99% of its Landfill operation. He stated the County would still have to maintain a Landfill. Certain items such as construction items, steel bindings, hazardous wastes and chemicals would not be accepted. Mr. Pratali stated the major advantage would be to extend the life of the County's landfill.

Mr. Robertson asked what localities have been contacted. Mr. Pratali stated that Petersburg has committed. They are in the process of contacting Richmond, Chesterfield, Amelia, Prince George, Charles City, Surry, Sussex, Colonial Heights, Nottoway and Hanover. He further stated that he needed a decision on the County's intent to contract by the end of December so the financial advisors could determine what was needed.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt this type of process was the coming thing of the future; however, the County was interested in the tipping fee and weighing the costs against the operation of the Landfill. He stated the County would still have to operate their collection system.

No action was taken at this time.

IN RE: TRI-CITY RECYCLING CORPORATION

Mr. James Gliszczynski, President, Tri-City Recycling Corporation, appeared before the Board to ask if he could set another date for his presentation since his Engineer could not be present at this time. The Board advised him that he could make his presentation at the December 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Weber asked what the Highway Department was doing on U.S. #1. Mr. Medlock stated that the Department was trying out a new line removing machine.

Mr. Weber then asked what was being done about the turning arrows on #1 at the Produce Center. Mr. Medlock advised him that it was under study.

Mr. Clay asked the Department to look at Rt. 738 to see what could be done about the rough areas. Mr. Medlock stated they were scheduled to work on the road the next day.

IN RE: SHERIFF--REQUEST FOR PHONE JACKS

The Chairman asked the Sheriff if he had any bids on installing phone jacks in the jail. The Sheriff stated that a C&P Telephone representative came out and looked at the jail and advised the Sheriff that the installation he desired could not be done. However, he told the Sheriff that they were presently working on an alternative solution that should be available by July.

IN RE: PROBATION DEPARTMENT VEHICLE--AWARD OF BID

The following bids were presented for the Board's consideration for a new vehicle for the Probation Officer:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Master Chevrolet Sales, Inc. | 1982 Citation - 7696.88<br>1981 Citation - 6999.00<br>1982 Cavalier - 7792.06 |
| 2. | Triangle Dodge, Inc. | 1982 Aries - 7550<br>1982 Omni Miser - 6995<br>1981 Aries - 6685 |
| 3. | Ted Curry Motors, Inc. | 1982 Plymouth Horizon - 6520 |
| 4. | Petersburg Lincoln Mercury | 1982 Zepher - 7269.04<br>1982 Lynx - 7238.10 |
| 5. | Petersburg Ford | 1982 Escort - 6699.96 |

The County Administrator stated that all the bids were for 4-door vehicles as requested by the Probation Officer. The low bid was the Plymouth Horizon from Ted Curry Motors for $6520.00.

Mr. Clay asked what was being done with the old Probation Office vehicle. The County Administrator stated it was going to be used by the School Board on the driving range.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the low bid of Ted Curry Motors, Inc. for a 1982 Plymouth Horizon for the Probation Officer be accepted at a cost of $6520.00.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

Since two of the Board members were absent, the appointments were postponed until the December 16, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: STATEMENT CONCERNING COLLECTION OF TAXES--GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. read the following statement and asked that it be incorporated into the minutes:

"Mr. Chairman, ---Gentlemen---from recent discussion that this Board has had---I feel that a strong desire and an even stronger determination exists towards maintaining tax rates at 1980-81 level--or lower. We, as a Board, working together, must and will reach this goal. The cooperation of Department Heads, employees, boards, authorities, commissions should be requested and in the unlikely event that our requests are not honored, we should then mandate compliance by reducing all department budgets by a percentage necessary to maintain the tax rate. I do not believe that this will be necessary as our department heads have always been most cooperative and I do not forsee any change in the future.

In addition to preparing for 1981-82 budget, I believe that we should try to make paying taxes easier, if that is possible. Taxes are due to be paid by Dec. 5th and the citizens must pay hundreds, in some cases, thousands of dollars here at a time when other demands on their income are heavy. A citizen with a $5,000 automobile will pay $270.00. A house assessed at $40,000 will pay $324.00-- A total of $594.00 while a farmer with a 100 acre farm assessed at $100,000 including his home will pay $810.00 plus his car or truck valued at $5,000 will pay $270 for a total of $1,080.00. These are conservative figures. How do we make paying taxes easier?

1. Bill quarterly or semi-annually and let the taxpayer pay on a voluntary basis or bill and exempt those that request exemption. There are those that have annual income, such as farmers. These should be exempted. Additional personnel would not be needed as tax bills would be prepared in duplicate or quadruplicate and one copy mailed to the citizens quarterly or semi-annually. Postage would be the main expense---some time would be required to pull copies and put in envelopes. I do not believe that this would be excessive. Citizens then would be able to budget their taxes thus relieving the pressure of paying all taxes at once.

2. Recently, Va. Beach, I believe, announced that citizens could pay their taxes with credit cards. A service charge, equal to the credit card service charge, would be added to the taxes paid. This method would allow the taxpayer to pay his taxes to the bank in 12 payments thus making tax payments appear to be easier. This method would, perhaps, make it unneccessary for the County to borrow monies to run the County thus creating a better cash flow and eliminating some interest. This method has not been tested and may have some flaws; however, I would recommend that we explore this method of tax collection.

Mr. Chairman, these are just two of the possibilities. As we progress I am sure that other ideas will be forthcoming. I urge expedient consideration of these and all future suggestions. In order for billing, other than annual, to help citizens during 1982, everything must be ready to go in March or June.

Thank you.

IN RE: PROPOSAL OF ORDINANCE TO CONTROL LOUDNESS

Mr. Clay advised the Board that he had been contacted by one of the Investigators concerning the possibility of enacting an ordinance to control loud music. The Investigator had received a complaint about loud music and he did not have any legal support to rectify the situation.

Mr. Weber asked if there wasn't a law against disturbing the peace already on the books. The County Attorney advised him there was; however, the law referred to civil suit and not criminal relief. He further stated that the Board could adopt an ordinance to control loudness; however, a limitation and measure of allowable decibels would have to be defined and included.

Mr. Robertson asked if this would permit the Sheriff's Department to stop the noise without a civil warrant. The County Attorney stated it would if the disturbance was made a criminal offense.

The Board instructed the County Attorney to draft an ordinance to control loudness for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:15 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 4:15 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1981 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | T.O. RAINEY, III | ASS'T. COM. ATTORNEY |
| | T.E. GIBBS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 2, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-2429 thru 81-2647 amounting to $128,028.56; History Book Fund-check #HB-81-5 in the amount of $7.00.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF PLAQUE--M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.

The Chairman presented Mr. Hargrave with a plaque for his service as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the year 1980. Mr. Hargrave has previously served as Chairman for the period 1972 through 1976.

IN RE: TRI-CITY RECYCLING CORPORATION

Mr. James A. Gliszczynski, President, Tri-City Recycling Corporation, appeared before the Board to make a presentation on his proposed facility to be constructed in Prince George County to receive, classify and prepare for resale the materials contained in the area localities' solid waste and sewage sludge. To be operational, he stated he would need a 20-year commitment from the localities he contracts with to divert or cause to be delivered to the site the solid waste generated. Mr. Gliszczynski proposes a $10.00 per ton tipping fee for all municipal, commercial, and industrial solid waste and $50.00 per dry ton of sewage sludge. He further stated that private cars and trucks delivering directly to the site would not be charged. A $1.00 per ton rebate would be allowed if a private contractor was operating in the county, and Mr. Gliszczynski also proposes a profit sharing plan to be working within the first year. Mr. Gliszczynski stated all items would be accepted except hazardous wastes which should allow localities to eliminate their Landfills.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was having difficulty comparing the presentation of Tri-City Recycling with United Bio-Fuels because there seems to be extreme differences in fees and certain expectations. He also asked what assurances the County has if the plant were to fail and the County have to return to its Landfill operation.

Mr. Gliszczynski stated that the Corporation would post a bond stating the Corporation would bear the cost of putting the County back into the Landfill business.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the tipping fee was based on economics to operate or the average cost of running a Landfill. Mr. Gliszczynski stated that the fee will satisfy the debt and utility costs. The profit will be in the recyclable material that can be used.

Mr. Weber asked if Mr. Gliszczynski had any plants in operation now. He advised Mr. Weber they had a small plant adjacent to the Petersburg Landfill which handles cardboard and paper and it had been very successful.

Mr. Weber stated that the tipping fee comparison with the cost of operating the Landfill was the County's concern because there would still be the cost of running the collection system. He further stated that he thought this type of plant was the coming thing for the future and he would like to see the plant now in operation in Petersburg.

Mr. Gliszczynski stated that all the parts of the plant were separately assembled and tested.

Mr. Weber asked what happens if there are problems starting up the plant. Mr. Gliszczynski stated there would be a three month testing period before full operation.

Mr. Hargrave asked what would happen to the County's trash if the plant was down for a number of days. Mr. Gliszczynski stated his plant will have storage and they will maintain a Landfill at the site.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Gliszczynski thought both companies could be operational in the area. Mr. Gliszczynski said his company could not. He would need the solid waste from the localities around Petersburg, approximately 500 tons per day. He stated no one has committed so far, but they all have received the Letters of Intent to consider. He further indicated he could begin construction with commitments from Hopewell and Chesterfield and he felt if Dinwiddie and Colonial Heights committed, others would consider it.

Mr. Robertson asked what the time frame for starting was. Mr. Gliszcysnki stated they plan to break gound in May and be operational in a year. The Engineer for Tri-City Recycling then made a slide presentation on the plant and how it will operate.

No action was taken.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1980-81 AUDIT

Mr. Walter E. Cox of Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates presented the 1980-81 audit and explained the differences in the form of the audit due to the new reporting system required by the State. He also indicated that if there were specific line item questions, he could provide a typed copy of the auditor's working papers. Mr. Hargrave asked that these papers be sent and questions on the line items be understood while the figures are fresh in mind.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR USE OF COUNTY FREQUENCY BY CHIEF OR ASSISTANT CHIEFS OF FIRE DEPARTMENTS

Mr. Maurice Harver, Chief, Ford VFD, appeared before the Board on behalf of the fire departments in the County to request authorization to operate a radio on the county's frequency in the private vehicle of the Chief or Assistant Chief of each volunteer fire department. Mr. Harver advised the Board that this would enable the Chief to communicate with the other trucks and assemble them at the site before he arrives at the scene. They are presently communicating with portable radios but they have to stop the vehicle or put it out the window to talk. Mr. Harver stated that the request was for four radios.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he could see the advantages but he did not want to extend the same type of problems the County was

now encountering with the Rescue Squad. He indicated the main criticism was extra traffic on the communication system and the unhappiness always settles back on the Board. Mr. Harver stated there should not be any extra traffic because they were already using the protable units. Mr. Hargrave suggested a clip-on antenna that could be exchanged between the Chief and Assistant Fire Chief without getting into a permanent situation.

Mr. Weber stated that he thought this would benefit Dinwiddie County. He agreed with Mr. Hargrave that the County has had some problems with the same use in the Rescue Squad; however, he felt the fire departments could be requested to control the use.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the Sheriff had been consulted. Deputy Gibbs stated that there have been problems with unnecessary traffic from the Rescue Squad; however, the fire departments would only use the radios in response to a fire.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the fire departments are going to take care of the maintenance of the private radios. Mr. Harver stated they would.

The County Administrator asked the Assistant Commonwealth Attorney if there would be any liability accrued to the County by allowing the use of the County's frequency for a radio in a private vehicle. Mr. Rainey stated he would have to research it.

Mr. Robertson moved that the Chief or Assistant Chief of each of the volunteer fire departments be allowed to operate a radio on the County's frequency in their private vehicles subject to the liability to the County being investigated and subject to the control of use of the radios by the fire departments. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: APPROVAL OF YEAR 2000 TRANSPORTATION PLAN

The Director of Planning briefly reviewed the proposed items to be included in the Year 2000 Transportation Plan as presented at the December 2, 1981 meeting and asked for the Board's reaction. Mr. Weber stated that he was in favor of the items as presented. Mr. Hargrave asked if the County Administrator had any objection to any of the items. The County Administrator stated that alot of time had gone into the planning process and he saw no problem with the plan as presented.

Mr. Clay asked if the plan could be modified if circumstances and needs should change later on. Mr. Scheid stated it could be changed.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Year 2000 Plan with the proposed amendments was approved as presented.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR EARLIER PUBLIC HEARING DATE--P-81-3

The Director of Planning presented a request from Dr. Janeshwar Upadhyay to have the public hearing for his rezoning request scheduled at the first meeting of the Board in January rather than the regular night meeting. Mr. Scheid indicated that he needed a decision from the Board to be able to properly advertise the notice.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Board had postponed a decision awaiting any input from Mr. Bennett since the request was in his area. The County Administrator indicated that Mr. Bennett had input concerning the case but from his last conversation, Mr. Bennett indicated that he would most likely not be present for either meeting in January.

Mr. Hargrave felt the Board should follow its regular policy of hearing rezoning cases at the night meeting as there seemed to be no real emergency in the request.

Mr. Robertson was concerned that if Jack had comments to be made and would not be at either meeting in January, the Board might want to consider waiting until February.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the Director of Planning was instructed to advertise the rezoning application P-81-3 for a public hearing to be held January 20, 1982.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION ADVERTISEMENTS

Mr. Lanny Rainey, Assistant Commonwealth Attorney, appeared before the Board to present the advertisement notice for cabletelevision and discuss various ways it could be run. He stated that the ad could be run locally as well as nationally, and if the Board decided to advertise nationally, he recommended a publication called Cable Vision which is published in Denver, Colorado. The magazine is a first rate publication and is published weekly. The cost would be $200 and they would require a three to four week set up time. Mr. Robertson and Mr. Hargrave questioned the feasibility of someone from outside the area coming in if they read the notice in the Cable Vision publication. The County Administrator indicated that only one or two companies could run a direct line into the County; therefore, any other company would be establishing a new system. Mr. Robertson questioned the need for another public hearing and asked what the time frame would be before cabletelevision could actually come into the County. He was advised that the public hearing held would be to consider the proposals received. The County Administrator stated that the advertisement would probably run thirty days and the public hearing held 30 to 45 days later; however, the time could be shortened if the Board desired.

Mr. Weber stated that if the ad was run in the national magazine, would it reach the local firms. Mr. Rainey stated he couldn't guarantee that it would and suggested the Board might want to consider running the ad locally also. Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt the $200 would be wasted on a national advertisement and suggested the ad be run locally.

Mr. Weber moved that the ad for cabletelevision be run in the Richmond Times-Dispatch and the Progress-Index. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE TO CONTROL UNREASONABLY LOUD NOISES

The Assistant Commonwealth Attorney presented an ordinance and notice for advertisement for the Board's consideration to amend Chapter 12 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, by the addition of Sec. 12-7 dealing with unreasonably loud noises. This ordinance was requested by the Board to be drafted at the December 2, 1981 meeting. Mr. Rainey stated the draft was based on similar ordinances in other localities and established a $300 fine or 30 days in jail. Mr. Hargrave asked if the Sheriff's Department had been consulted as to whether they would use it. Mr. Clay stated that it was brought about by a request by an Investigator who needed such an ordinance to take corrective action. Mr. Robertson asked if it was enforceable. Mr. Rainey indicated it was being used in other localities and had not been thrown out of court. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the Sheriff's Department might have a little trouble enforcing it but at least there would be something on the books.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the ordinance was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing at the February 17, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. James Thrower. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Melvin Alsbrook. Mr. Weber nominated Mr. John Crawley. The following votes were cast:

Mr. John Crawley - Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "nay".

Mr. Melvin Alsbrook - Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Weber voted "nay".

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. - Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. James Thrower - Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. James Thrower, Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. and Mr. Melvin Alsbrook were appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, terms expiring September 30, 1982.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CHAPTER 10 BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Donna Elder was reappointed to the Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Chapter 10 Board, term expiring December 31, 1984.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--PLANNING COMMISSION

Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. Legert Hamilton for reappointment. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Max D. Roberts.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Legert Hamilton was reappointed and Mr. Max D. Roberts was appointed to the Planning Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1985.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. A.S. Clay, Mr. Wayne Gwaltney, Mr. Roy Hodges, Mr. Robert Bowden, Mr. Bill Queen, Mr. Gilbert Wood and Mrs. Barbara Wilson were appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1982.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining because he is a Moose Lodge member, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for calendar year 1982; and

WHEREAS, the Moose Lodge meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1982.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE WOMEN OF THE MOOSE

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining because he is a Moose Lodge member, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Women of the Moose has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for calendar year 1982; and

WHEREAS, the Women of the Moose meet the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Women of the Moose is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1982.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL BAR LIGHT AND FIREBALL LIGHT

Mr. T.E. Gibbs, Deputy Sheriff, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase and pay for before December 31, 1981, an additional bar light for the Sheriff's Department under the Transportation Safety Grant they were awarded. He stated there was enough money left in the grant to purchase and install one more bar light. He also indicated there was a need for fireball lights to be used by the unmarked cars when involved in traffic and accident situations; however, there was only enough money left in the grant to put towards the purchase of one (1) fireball light. Mr. Gibbs, therefore, requested that the Board appropriate funds for four (4) more fireball lights, approximate cost $125.00.

Mr. Robertson questioned the laws allowing lights to be used on the dash of a car. Mr. Gibbs stated he could not respond to that, but the fireball lights did come with shields.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would rather discuss the additional appropriation at budget time.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff's Department is hereby authorized to purchase one additional bar light and one fireball light under the Transportation Safety grant to be paid for when the bill is received.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:25 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:57 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:57 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 29TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1981 AT 7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and (6), of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:06 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:06 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 6TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDING

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Mr. Clay nominated Mr. G.E. Roberston, Jr. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Weber moved that the nominations be closed. Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, the nominations were closed.

Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was elected Chairman of the Board for the year 1982 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Steve Weber. Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the nominations be closed. Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Weber abstaining, the nominations were closed.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber abstaining, Mr. Steve Weber was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board for the year 1982 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

Mr. Robertson assumed the Chair and thanked Mr. Clay for his dedicated service as Chairman for the year 1981.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the December 16, 1981 regular meeting and the December 29, 1981 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-2648 through 81-2650 amounting to $612.56 and 82-1 thru 82-7 amounting to $31,090.68; History Book Fund-check #HB-82-1 in the amount of $2.93.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Sheriff C.L. Mitchell thanked the Board for their support during 1981. He then stated that the new police cars would soon be delivered and he would like the Board to consider purchasing radios for these cars.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of December, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented reports for the months of November and December, 1981.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

1. Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to present information on the rezoning case P-81-3 they will be considering on January 20, 1982.

2. He also presented a letter from the Chairman of the Planning Commission asking the Board to set a date to meet with the Planning Commission to review the revised zoning ordinance. The Board advised Mr. Scheid they would discuss a time and let him know.

IN RE: CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION DESIGNATION AS STREET NAMING CLEARINGHOUSE FOR THE TRI-CITIES AREA

Mr. Denny K. Morris, Acting Executive Director of the Crater Planning District Commission, appeared before the Board to present a resolution authorizing the CPDC to act as a street naming clearinghouse for the Tri-Cities area. He stated that the system would benefit the localities in helping to prevent duplication of street names and the resulting confusion for police, fire and rescue services as well as the general public.

Mr. Weber stated that he could see where the service would benefit the fire, rescue and police and he would be in favor of the system.

Mr. Robertson asked if the system would enhance the development of a grid system for the County. Mr. Morris stated it would have no effect on the grid system.

Mr. Robertson asked if the CPDC was not operating, could the system be subdivided and used among the various localities. Mr. Morris stated it was a manual card system which could be made available to the jurisdictions; however, there still would be a need for someone to coordinate it.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he was concerned that this review would be an added time layer to the subdivision review process and suggested that a list be sent to the localities to review before a street name is submitted to the CPDC. He did not see the need for time lost in mailing the proposed names back and forth between the developer and the Commission.

Mr. Morris stated that any problems with duplications could be handled by a phone call without a lot of time lost in mailing.

Mr. Hargrave further indicated that a list could be kept with the local subdivision agent and updated monthly to cut down on red tape. Mr. Morris stated he felt he could handle Mr. Hargrave's concerns with the local subdivison agent.

Mr. Scheid stated that, heretofore, as subdivison agent, he had no way of checking street name duplications except with the post office who weren't always able to assist him. As to time lost, Mr. Scheid stated the review process now takes 60 days due to the requirements of the Highway Department and the Soil Conservation Service so he did not think the street name review would slow the process.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there exists within the Petersburg-Colonial Heights-Hopewell Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area a problem of duplicated names; and

WHEREAS, on August 7, 1981, the Tri-Cities Area Transportation Technical Committee discussed this problem, in light of strengthening and enhancing police and fire emergency implementation, postal and business communications and general public determination of locations throughout the Tri-Cities Area, and directed that a feasibility study be undertaken concerning the establishment of a street naming clearinghouse; and

WHEREAS, the feasibility study has resulted in the recommendation that the Crater Planning District Commission be designated as the street naming clearinghouse for the Tri-Cities Area, to include southern Chesterfield County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Crater Planning District Commission be designated as the street naming clearinghouse for the Tri-Cities Area, to review all proposed names for new streets; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that no street name shall be used which duplicates or is likely to be confused with the name of any existing street in the Tri-Cities Area; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following procedures be instituted for the review of proposed street names:

1. Following receipt of a preliminary subdivision plat, the local government Subdivision Agent shall submit the list of proposed street names to the Crater Planning District Street Name Clearinghouse for review.

2. Within five (5) days, the names will be reviewed and checked against the master list of existing street names and the Subdivision Agent will be informed as to the findings.

3. If duplications are identified, the Subdivision Agent shall notify the subdivider, who will be required to submit new names before the preliminary plat can be approved.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of December, 1981.

Mrs. Lewis also presented a request from Mr. George Williams who operates Southern Hardware at Sutherland, to be designated as an agent to sell dog tags. Mrs. Lewis indicated that she did not feel there was a need for another agent in that area. No action was taken.

IN RE: TREASURER--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE DUE DATE FOR COUNTY TAGS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to place a notice in the paper indicating the due date for county tags sold at the Namozine VFD and the Treasurer's Office.

IN RE: PETERSBURG JAYCEES ANNUAL PIGNIC--APPROVAL OF PERMIT FOR 1982

The County Administrator presented an application for a permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held May 5, 1982 at the Petersburg Airport.

Mr. Robertson asked if they had received approval from the Airport Authority. The County Administrator advised him they

would present their request to the Airport Authority once they secured approval for their permit which is contingent upon obtaining permission to use the Airport site from the Airport Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees to hold their annual Pig-Nic on May 5, 1982 was approved with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--AIRPORT AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Scheid was reappointed to the Airport Authority, term expiring January 31, 1985.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--MCKENNEY RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the McKenney Ruritan Club has made application for a Bingo and Raffle permit for the years 1981 and 1982; and

WHEREAS, the Ruritan Club meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fees;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the McKenney Ruritan Club is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle permit for the years 1981 and 1982.

IN RE: STATUS OF DOGS IN HEAT ORDINANCE

Mr. Hargrave asked what the status was of the ordinance being prepared for dogs in heat. The County Attorney advised him there were several things that needed to be discussed before he could prepare the ordinance. He questioned how to define the condition and then how the ordinance was going to be enforced.

Mr. Hargrave stated that his purpose in asking that the ordinance be drafted was to prevent the spectacle and neighborhood complaints caused by the animals. He felt the ordinance would provide a tool for the Animal Warden.

After a brief discussion, the County Attorney was instructed to draft an ordinance for the Board's consideration at the next meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:44 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 3:00 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Mr. Weber stated he was still receiving complaints about Ritchie Avenue at the intersection with U.S. #1. Mr. Perry advised him that he expected information from the Traffic Engineer within the next week.

2. Mr. Clay stated the dirt portion of Rt. 647 was in bad shape and needed some gravel.

3. Mr. Hargrave commended the Highway Department for the steady improvement of signing and delineation on the primary roads.

4. Mr. Robertson stated that he had received several complaints about the damage from construction on Rt. 600. He stated the warning signs were removed at 4:30 in the afternoon and people did not realize the holes were there. Mr. Perry stated he needed to meet with the contractor and the Water Authority to discuss the matter.

IN RE: VIDEO VISION--SCHEDULED FOR FEBRUARY 3, 1982 MEETING

Mr. Robertson stated that he had been contacted by Mr. Jim Longworth and Ms. Joanne Williams of Video Vision requesting to be placed on the agenda to give a presentation to the Board. Upon agreement by the members, he instructed the County Administrator to place them on the February 3, 1982 agenda.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:12 P.M.

George E. Robertson Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1982 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | T.O. RAINEY, III | ASS'T. COM. ATTORNEY |
| | DONALD ADAMS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend T.A. Lacy, Pastor of the Olive Branch Baptist Church gave the invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the January 6, 1982 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-8 through 82-135 amounting to $113,605.51; Lew Jones Account check #LJ-82-1 in the amount of $16,249.21.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--REZONING APPLICATION P-81-3

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 6, 1982 and Wednesday, January 13, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the County Code of Dinwiddie by changing the district classification of Sec. 15(5), parcels B,C,D and H from Agricultural, General, A-2 to Residential, Limited R-1.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, appeared before the Board to present the rezoning application and review the Planning Commission's recommendations. He stated the Planning Commission, at their December 17, 1981 meeting, recommended downzoning the rezoning request, P-81-3, to A-R zoning.

Dr. Janeshwar Upadhyay appeared in support of his rezoning application. He stated that he purchased the property for investment purposes three to four years ago. He further explained that he was opening an industry in the County's industrial park and it was his desire to develop the property for homes for his employees coming to the County. He indicated that his present plans included five or six homes of the $20,000-$30,000 category or modular homes with the installation of a central water supply. Dr. Upadhyay stated that his reason for requesting R-1 zoning was because the banks had indicated they would be more willing to advance money if the property had R-1 zoning. He explained there had been some confusion in the beginning because some of the surrounding property owners had confused his request with the Henshaws' development plans in the area. In closing, Dr. Upadhyay said he still desired the R-1 zoning classification; however, if the Board could not grant R-1, he would accept A-R.

Mr. Weber asked if Dr. Upadhyay was only considering a small number of homes in the request. Dr. Upadhyay stated he could only afford six at the present time.

Mr. Bennett asked if he intended to install a central water and sewer system. Dr. Upadhyay advised him that he was only proposing a central water system at this time. Mr. Bennett then asked why the surrounding landowers were not opposed to the development when they realized it was Dr. Upadhyay and not the Henshaws. Dr. Upadhyay indicated that he felt the property owners in the area did not feel he was a big businessman trying to make alot of money from a large development. Mr. Bennett then asked if Dr. Upadhyay had explored the possibilities of developing land already zoned R-1. Dr. Upadhyay stated he considered that first but could not afford to purchase the land.

Mr. Hargrave stated that although Dr. Upadhyay's intent was a small development now, if the R-1 zoning was granted, there would be no limitation except that which is in the R-1 zoning classification, and the property could very likely change hands.

Mr. Robertson asked if a central water system would be built for 5 to 6 homes or expanded to accommodate 25-30 homes later on. Dr. Upadhyay stated he would have to follow the Code requirements.

Mr. Allen M. Halloway, Sr. appeared before the Board in opposition to the rezoning request. He stated he would be in favor of 5 acre parcels but not anything less. Mr. Bennie Hensley also appeared in opposition.

Mr. Scheid read the minutes of the Planning Commission stating the action taken and his own comments concerning the request as follows:

"1. The comprehensive land use plan indicates that this area should remain in agricultural/timber use.

2. When the property was divided by the parent tract concept, it was clearly stated that one (1) building site would be permitted on each site (except on parcel H which is a row).

3. While there are some homesites in this area, they are built upon one (1) acre or greater land parcels (R-1 with central water could allow building sites of 18,500 sq. ft. which is less than ½ acre).

4. Previous rezonings of similar nature have been as follows:
   a. P-76-3 - Algra Corp, A-2 to R-1 on Route 670 near Hatchers Run, 29.46 acres, disapproved.
   b. P-76-14 - Vance Barker, A-2 to R-1 on Route 611 near Rt. 460, 9.5 acres, disapproved (this land is located in same part of County as P-81-3).
   c. P-75-8 & P-77-8 - Ron-Gill Properties, A-3 to R-1 on Rt. 750 at Lake Chesdin, 20.3 acres, disapproved (eventually rezoned AR by case P-79-7).
   d. P-80-4 - William Patton, A-2 to R-1 on Route 615 behind Blue Tartan (Rt. 1), 15.15 acres, disapproved.
   e. P-80-2 - Louis Shell, A-3 to AR on Route 750 at Lake Chesdin, 105.9 acres, approved.

5. While this development would use a central water system (most likely), each home would be on individual septic systems.

6. Route 624 is hard surfaced, State maintained road.

7. The timber was cut on this property about 4 years ago.

8. The property is not in the land use program.

9. There are many land parcels already zoned for residential purposes that are found near or within the urbanized area.

After reviewing the request, I must recommend either disapproval of the rezoning request or approval of a downgrading of the request to an AR district. The major concerns which I see are:

1. violation of the comprehensive land use plan;
2. actions taken on similar requests; and
3. higher density of residential development than found in surrounding areas.

Mr. Robertson asked if Dr. Upadhyay wished to amend his request to A-R zoning since the request before the Board at this time was R-1. The Chairman advised Dr. Upadhyay that if the rezoning request for R-1 was denied, he would have to go through the rezoning process again in order for the Board to consider the A-R classification.

After further discussion, Dr. Upadhyay asked that his rezoning request be amended to A-R.

Mr. Weber stated that he had voted for the A-R zoning as a planning commission member and had visited the site. He felt that Dr. Upadhyay's business will benefit Dinwiddie County and the County should help him in this request. Mr. Weber then moved that the rezoning request of Dr. Janeshwar Upadhyay, P-81-3, be approved for A-R Zoning. There was no second.

Mr. Halloway asked if it was legally possible to consider the A-R classification without re-advertising and holding a public hearing. The Assistant Com. Attorney and Mr. Scheid advised him that the maximum allowable classification, R-1, had been advertised, and the State Code allows the Board to consider downzoning to a lesser zoning classification without an additional notification and public hearing.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "nay", the rezoning request of Dr. Janeshwar Upadhyay, P-81-3 was denied.

Dr. Upadhyay asked what recourse he now had. Mr. Scheid advised him that he could pursue his request through the court system or wait six months and submit another rezoning request. Dr. Upadhyay stated he did not have time to wait 6 months. He felt he had been treated unfairly and if he had known this was going to happen, he would not have recommended that his industry locate in Dinwiddie.

Mr. Bennett stated he was sorry Dr. Upadhyay felt that way but he did not feel he was treated differently than any other applicant. He further indicated to Dr. Upadhyay that he still had the same building rights that he had when he first purchased the property.

Mr. Robertson stated that although he voted for the A-R zoning, he agreed that Dr. Upadhyay had not been treated any differently than anyone else.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the Board was making a mistake in not helping Dr. Upadhyay and he felt if the Board continued this way, they would never get any industry in the County.

IN RE: ROCHESTER BUTTON COMPANY

Mr. Nick Krauszer, Manager, Rochester Button Company, appeared before the Board to discuss the contract with the County for disposing of the company's non-hazardous waste in the County Landfill. This contract expired January 17, 1982. Mr. Krauszer stated that the company had made changes since November to accommodate the disposal and he felt it was working out well. He, therefore, asked that the contract be extended for a year, to be renogiated at the end of that year. He further indicated that the Company understood that a larger trench that would last three years

would be needed and they were willing to pay for the cost of digging the trench. After the initial cost of the trench, Mr. Krauszer stated the Company would like to see the fee reduced to the minimum needed to keep up the maintenance of the trench.

Mr. Clay stated he would like to see the County approve a one year contract and agree upon a cost for digging the trench, with a maintenance fee after the initial cost was returned.

The County Administrator stated he had discussed the costs with the Director of Sanitation and their estimated cost for the trench would be from $3900 to $4500. The ditch would last four to five years and meet State requirements.

Mr. Clay asked how often the material had to be covered. The County Administrator stated he was working with the State Health Department to make a determination. The State representative did not make a decision when the disposal first started because he wanted to watch the material for a while. The County Administrator stated they were hoping to work out a more lenient requirement which would be less costly.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he agreed with the one year contract. His only concern was that the disposal was safely done, and suggested that the same tests be run with samples at the end of the year as was done in the beginning. Mr. Krauszer stated they were saving samples with that in mind.

Mr. Weber stated that in the beginning he had been the most opposed to the contract but the company had supplied the information he asked for and after visiting the site, he would agree to the one year contract.

Mr. Robertson asked if the initial expenditure for the trench is needed now. The County Administrator stated it would be because the trench would be dug now.

Mr. Robertson suggested that if the Company was going to pay for the trench, then a figure should be put in the contract.

Mr. Bennett asked if it would be cheaper to advertise and get a private contractor to dig the trench. The County Administrator stated that he felt the County could do it cheaper since the minimum and maximum figures given were based on private contractors costs for digging it.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following contract:

THIS AGREEMENT, made in duplicate this 20th day of January, 1982, by and between the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, acting by and through its Board of Supervisors, hereinafter referred to as the County; and Rochester Button Company, its successors or assigns, hereinafter referred to as the Company;

WITNESSETH:

That for and in consideration of the covenants and agreements hereinafter contained to be kept and performed by the respective parties hereto, it is agreed as follows:

1. The County agrees to allow the Company the right to dispose of non-hazardous wastes resulting from the manufacture of buttons at its plant in McKenney, Virginia, in the County Landfill. In addition to its ordinary meaning, "hazardous wastes" shall include any substance so labelled by the Virginia State Board of Health in their rules and regulations.

2. The location within the landfill, manner of disposal and schedule of disposal shall be at the discretion of the County's Director of Sanitation.

3. The Company agrees to cause randomly selected samples of said substance to be submitted to an independent laboratory for analysis and provide the results of such analysis to the County and State Health Departments every four (4) weeks of operation. The County reserves the right to make this provision less restrictive if circumstances warrant.

4. For services provided the Company by the County, the Company agrees to pay the County a fee of $4000.00 to be paid in monthly installments of $333.33. No portion of this fee shall be refunded if this Agreement is terminated through no fault of the County.

5. The term of this Agreement is one year, beginning on the 18th day of January, 1982 and ending on the 18th day of January, 1983.

6. If either party violates any of the terms of this Agreement, the Agreement may be terminated immediately.

7. Company agrees to keep, save and hold County harmless from any and all actions, liabilities, damages, judgments, costs and expense that may be brought or in any wise accrue against County in consequence of this Agreement or for any act, negligence or ommission of Company, its agents, subcontractors, employees or workmen, in the performance of this Agreement. Specifically, but not in way of limitation, if at any time it is determined that any substance disposed of by Company is hazardous, Company agrees to remove from County's Landfill and hold County harmless for any liability associated therewith.

8. This writing constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and any changes of any kind whatsoever to the terms of this Agreement shall be in writing approved by the County and Company. This Agreement is to be interpreted and enforced according to laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:

DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
By: George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: William C. Knott
County Administrator

ROCHESTER BUTTON COMPANY

By:

ATTEST:

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF PLAQUE--A.S. CLAY

The Chairman presented a plaque to Mr. A.S. Clay in recognition of his service as Chairman for the year 1981.

IN RE: KENT BOOTY--RECOGNITION OF BIRTH OF SON

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Kent Booty, the esteemed and renowned reporter for the Progress-Index and his wife, Wynnie, brought into this world, Matthew, their first-born son, on January 19, 1982 at 3:27 P.M.; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County is desirous of recognizing this momentous occasion in the lives of Kent and Wynnie Booty;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby memorializes this day in history

by recognizing Matthew as the junior reporter for the Progress-Index; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Matthew's first article will be a plea to Kent and Wynnie to bring into this world a brother or sister; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this resolution be delivered to Matthew Booty and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: BRIDGE OVER N&W RAILROAD--U.S. ROUTE 1

The County Administrator presented a questionnaire from the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation concerning the environmental aspects of the project to replace a substandard bridge over the N&W Railroad on U.S. Rt. 1. The project has been discussed previously by the Resident Engineer.

The Board had no negative comments and urged the VDH&T to continue with its plans to have the bridge replaced.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC COURT SERVICES ADVISORY COUNCIL

The County Administrator stated that he had talked with Mrs. Lena Roberts who had served on the Court Services Advisory Council and she had discussed the appointment with Judge Benjamin Campbell. They felt the organization was fairly dormant at this time and recommended that an appointment was not needed.

The Board members agreed with this recommendation.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE DEALING WITH FEMALE DOGS IN HEAT

The Assistant Commonwealth Attorney presented an ordinance dealing with female dogs in heat for the Board's consideration for advertisement. Mr. Rainey explained that the ordinance is meant to discourage owners from allowing their female dogs to run at large while in heat and allows them one warning.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to advertise the ordinance for a public hearing at the February 17, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: BILL DEALING WITH NOISE CONTROL

The County Administrator stated that there was a bill introduced concerning noise control and asked the Assistant Commonwealth Attorney to investigate it to see what effect it would have on the local ordinance dealing with loudness being considered by the Board.

IN RE: EXTENSION OF DEADLINE--COUNTY TAGS AND DOG TAGS

Mr. Clay stated that the Treasurer had discussed with him extending the deadline ten days to purchase County tags and dog tags due to the inclement weather.

The County Administrator stated the Board could adopt an emergency ordinance for 60 days without a public hearing. He indicated that the Treasurer felt alot of people have been out of work also and do not have the funds needed to purchase the tags.

Mr. Hargrave stated he wanted to make sure it was understood that the extension was for this year only because of the inclement weather.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr.

Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors finds that due to the unusually harsh weather it may be difficult or impossible for some County residents to purchase vehicle licenses and dog licenses by the due date of January 31, 1982, as required by the Dinwiddie County Code in Article II of Chapter 11 as to vehicles, and Article II, Chapter 4 as to dogs;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the license deadlines referred to above be and hereby are extended to midnight, February 10, 1982, for the year 1982 only.

The Board of Supervisors finds that an emergency exists and that this action shall go into effect without advertising.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:35 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:07 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:00 P.M., January 21, 1982.

JANUARY 21, 1982 -- CONTINUATION OF JANUARY 20, 1982 MEETING
7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: REVIEW OF BUDGET

The Board of Supervisors reviewed the income figures for 1981-82 and discussed the income picture for 1982-83.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., February 1, 1982.

FEBRUARY 1, 1982 -- CONTINUATION OF JANUARY 21, 1982 MEETING
7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: REVIEW OF BUDGET

The Board of Supervisors discussed several areas of the expense side of the budget for 1982-83.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, voting

"aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., February 2, 1982.

FEBRUARY 2, 1982 -- CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 1, 1982 MEETING
7:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: REVIEW OF BUDGET

The Board of Supervisors met with members of the School Board to discuss various areas of the 1982-83 budget.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:25 P.M.

George E. Robertson
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF FRBRUARY, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Wilson G. Conwell, Pastor, Smyrna Baptist Church, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the January 20, 1982 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-136 through 82-221 amounting to $80,000.55.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of January, 1982.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of January, 1982.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid appeared before the Board to present the following:

1. Mr. Scheid advised the Board that they would be receiving several amendments to the Erosion and Sediment Control ordinance to consider at their February 17, 1982 meeting. These amendments are required by State law.

2. Mr. Scheid reminded the Board that the Planning Commission would still like to set a date to meet with them to discuss proposed changes to the zoning ordinance.

3. Mr. Scheid advised the Board that there would be a considerable amount of aircraft activity at the Airport on February 19th beginning around 4:00 A.M. due to an exercise being conducted by the Air Force and Quartermaster School.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. Betty Williams advised the Board that the Dinwiddie Social Services Department would be receiving 5,000 pounds of

cheese to distribute to food stamp recipients. She then outlined the schedule and guidelines for distribution throughout the County.

IN RE: GOODRICH LAND IN EDGEHILL PARK

The County Administrator presented to the Board a deed from Goodrich conveying to the County a strip of land between two right-of-ways extending from the end of Lee Boulevard to the Norfolk and Western Railroad tracks. The County requested that this deed be prepared at the same time the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation was having a deed prepared conveying to them the strip of land between the two right-of-ways of Lee Boulevard.

This strip of land being conveyed to the County and the two right-of-ways on either side are not in the state secondary system; therefore, the County must pay for the recordation of the deed and the attorney's fee for preparing the deed. At such time that these right-of-ways and the strip of land are taken in the state secondary system, these costs will be paid for by the citizens adjoining the two strips of land.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the deed accepting a strip of land in Edgehill Park between West Lee Boulevard and East Lee Boulevard and beginning at a point 1,429 feet north of the strip north of the intersection of Cox Road, said point being further designated as being at a point being 205 feet north of the intersection of East Lee Boulevard and Pelham Street; thence running in a northerly direction 725 feet to the end of said strip as shown on said plat; it being the intention to convey all that portion of the median strip between West Lee Boulevard and East Lee Boulevard lying to the north of a point 205 feet north of the intersection of Pelham Avenue and East Lee Boulevard in said subdivision; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County assume the attorney's fee for preparation of the deed and the fee for recordation.

IN RE: ROUTE 1401--ADDITION AND ABANDONMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 1401, from U.S. Rt. 1 to Dead End, a distance of 0.50 miles, has been altered, and a new road has been constructed and approved by the State Highway Commissioner, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and

WHEREAS, certain sections of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 1 Project 420-BW-2 dated at Richmond, Virginia January 21, 1982;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the portions of Secondary Route 1401, i.e., Sections 3, shown in red on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 1 Project 420-BW-2 dated at Richmond, Virginia January 21, 1982 a total distance of 0.01 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the sections of old location, i.e., Sections #2, shown in blue on the afore-mentioned sketch, a total distance of 0.03 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33.1-155 of the Code of Vir-

ginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the State Highway Commission be requested to take the necessary action to discontinue the sections of old location, i.e., Sections #1, shown in yellow on the afore-mentioned sketch, a total distance of 0.07 miles, as a part of the Secondary System Highways as provided in Section 33.1-150 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: VIDEO VISIONS

Mr. Jim Longworth, Co-Owner, Video Visions, appeared before the Board to offer the services of his company to prepare a mini-documentary or video tape which could be used for industrial and community development. He further stated that his company had prepared similar documents for Prince George and Petersburg which had been used very successfully.

Mr. Longworth presented a written proposal for the Board's consideration and invited them to come by his office at any time to view the films he had produced for other localities.

Mr. Robertson stated he had viewed the films and he felt they would build a good foundation for any county or city.

IN RE: MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BOARD

Mr. David Stone, Director, Mental Health Services, appeared before the Board to present an update on activities of the Mental Health Services Board and answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: REASSESSMENT DATE

The County Administrator advised the Board that because of the size of the County, the Board could elect to have another reassessment in four years, which would be 1983, or delay it to five or six years. He stated, if the Board elected every four years, the reassessment would begin July 1, 1982 and he would need authorization now to solicit for bids to do the work. Also, personnel requirements have to be scheduled in advance as well as updating the maps.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the longer they waited, the more inequitable the values became and he would support a four year reassessment.

Mr. Clay stated that he could not see the need to hurry with a four year reassessment because he did not feel there would be that much of a change in values. He felt the County could wait another year.

Mr. Weber stated he was in favor of a four year reassessment.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated that with economic conditions as they were, there was not that much building going on nor property transfers. He, therefore, felt the Board could wait another year without being hurt too much.

Mr. Bennett stated that he agreed with everything that had been said and he did not know how one year would effect values. However, he did know the reassessment would be costly and he felt it would be easier to put it off another year.

Mr. Clay stated that he agreed with the four year concept but land was not selling and he did not think they would see that much difference.

Mr. Bennett indicated that there had been such a tremendous jump last time because they had waited seven years. Therefore, he did

not feel there would be such a tremendous jump waiting another year.

Mr. Robertson stated that he wanted to see the least impact on the citizens as possible and with the rate of inflation, even four years would show a big increase in values. Therefore, he wanted to lessen the impact and would support reassessment every four years.

Mr. Bennett moved that consideration of the reassessment be postponed. Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye", Mr. Robertson voted "nay".

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--APPROVAL OF FOUR NEW RADIOS

The Sheriff presented a request for four (4) radios, three for the three new cars and one replacement. As requested by the Board, the County Administrator advised them that General Electric held the state contract until April 30, 1982. However, he indicated that the radio they were offering was not considered to be "the state of the art." The County Administrator also presented a quote from one other firm to give a comparison figure.

Mr. Hargrave asked the Sheriff what his experience had been with the G.E. radios. The Sheriff stated it had been average.

Mr. Weber asked what the experience had been with Motorola. The Sheriff stated that the service from Lowe's had been better; however, all the brands were about the same.

Mr. Robertson stated that several deputies and various fire and rescue members had commented that reception with the present radios was very poor in various sections of the County. The Sheriff stated that the repairman had advised him that the console was bad and the parts had become obsolete. He was also unable to locate a manual to order the parts.

Mr. Bennett asked when were the new cars coming and the Sheriff stated in a few days. Mr. Bennett then asked how long it would take to get the radios and the County Administrator told him eight weeks.

Mr. Weber moved that four (4) radios be purchased from Motorola at a cost of $1312 each. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Bennett stated that he did not want to delay action; however, he did not feel that they had enough information to make a decision.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the G.E. radio is already outdated and possibly parts would soon be obsolete. He felt the Board should standardize the radios they buy and build a relationship with one repairman.

Mr. Bennett stated he couldn't argue with that but the Board did not know that Motorola was the best.

Mr. Clay stated that if you go with one firm only, they have no competition and no incentive to give a better price.

Mr. Hargrave felt the Board would get better service and work with Motorola.

Mr. Robertson stated he was cognizant of the purchase policy; however when dealing with the life and death of citizens, he felt the Motorola radio would be the most cost effective and had better service.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voted "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "nay".

Mr. Clay asked if the Board could take this action in light of the purchasing policy they had adopted since they had only two bids.

Mr. Robertson stated that if the purchase policy was mandatory, several actions taken by the Board since the adoption

would be in error.

Mr. Clay stated they did not know Motorola was the best. Mr. Robertson stated that he had information in front of him that referred to the G.E. radio being 10 years old, and he did not want that for the citizens.

Mr. Clay stated that he had brought the subject up several months ago and he didn't see why they couldn't wait two more weeks when they were talking about $2400.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF PERSONNEL POLICY

The County Administrator presented to the Board the personnel policies drafted two years ago for the County as a part of a complete personnel system. He stated the policies had been reviewed and the changes they requested incorporated. These policies would apply only to the employees of the Board of Supervisors. He further indicated that the Board was not being asked to adopt the pay scale or grievance procedure.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the personnel policies were adopted as presented for the employees of the Board of Supervisors, exclusive of the pay scale and grievance procedure, effective January 1, 1982.

IN RE: TRASH COLLECTION BIDS

The County Administrator advised the Board that he was gathering information to put together a bid package for trash collection for the County. He asked if it was the Board's desire to proceed with bidding the trash collection.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like for the members to review the specifications to be sent out and be completely satisfied with what was being requested. The County Administrator assured the Board that they would see the complete package before it was sent out.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to proceed with the preparation of a bid document for the Board's consideration for trash collection in the County.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

He reported that to date, the Department had spent 99% of its budget for snow removal. He also stated that some of the roads were failing now but most were in pretty good shape.

Mr. Weber thanked the Highway Department for the work done during the bad weather.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION--EXTENSION OF DEADLINE

The County Administrator stated that the deadline for receiving cabletelevision proposals was January 27, 1982 and the County did not receive any. He stated he did receive an indication from a couple of companies that they did not have enough time to prepare a package. He, therefore, recommended that the Board consider re-advertising or extending the deadline.

Mr. Robertson felt he had two firm commitments if the deadline was extended at least 45 days. The County Attorney recommended they readvertise and contact those companies that had expressed an interest that they were extending the deadline. Mr. Elder further indicated that he felt it was a waste of time to advertise in the paper.

Mr. Robertson stated one of the firms also had a problem with the 35 channel requirement. The County Attorney advised him that the ordinance required only that they have the capacity for 35 channels.

Mr. Weber stated that he felt the deadline should be extended but something was still needed in the paper.

The County Attorney stated that he had talked with people knowledgeable in the cabletelevision area and they felt there were firms all over the country that would find this area profitable. He, therefore, recommended an ad in the trade magazine.

Mr. Hargrave felt they should consider the magazine advertisement now.

Mr. Robertson indicated they were going to need more than 45 days if they used the magazine.

Mr. Weber moved that an ad be placed in the cabletelevision trade magazine extending the deadline to receive proposals to 60 days from the date of publication of the ad. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Clay suggested that the companies who had expressed an interest be contacted first to see what results they would get before advertising in the magazine.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there should be some negotiation offered to consider those firms who could not meet the specifications. He stated he was satisfied if the two representatives from the area to be served were satisifed with the advertising as suggested.

Mr. Robertson stated he was concerned that if they contacted only those who had expressed an interest, they might end up with only one bidder and pay a higher price.

Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF HISTORICAL DISPLAY COMMITTEE

The Chairman stated that there was a need to have a committee established to review items of historical value received by the County and make recommendations to the Board for their use and/or display.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a historical display committee be established; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Din widdie County, Virginia that Mr. W.E. Bolte, Mrs. Hattie Walker, and Mrs. Anne Scarborough be appointed to serve on this committee.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE REVENUE SHARING PUBLIC HEARING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise for a public hearing to be held March 3, 1982 at 2:00 P.M. to receive public input on the County's revenue sharing funds for 1982-83.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information

Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:45 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:21 P.M.

IN RE: REVIEW OF PURCHASING POLICY

Mr. Bennett asked that the purchasing policy be placed on the agenda at the next meeting for review.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 4:22 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE RICHMOND HYATT HOUSE, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1982 AT 6:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF LEGISLATION IN 1982 GENERAL ASSEMBLY

The Board met with Senator Richard Holland, Delegates Beasley Jones and Norman Sisisky to discuss legislation in the 1982 General Assembly.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:30 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1982 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | J.R. HODGES | INVESTIGATOR |

IN RE: INVOCATION

Dr. G. Wayne Jordan, Pastor, Asbury, Manson, and Rocky Run Churchs, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the February 3, 1982 and the February 16, 1982 meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-222 through 82-338 amounting to $74,594.90; Law Library Fund-checks numbering LF-82-1 and LF-82-2 amounting to $336.20; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-number JGC-82-1 and JGC-82-2 amounting to $160.00.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 14A, SOIL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL

The Director of Planning presented several amendments to Chapter 14A, Soil Erosion and Sediment Control ordinance to meet the minimum requirements legislated by the State. Since the amendments are legislated by the State, a public hearing was not required.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Chapter 14A of the County Code be amended as follows:

Add the following to Section 14A-3, Definitions

Person. Any individual, partnership, firm, association, joint venture, public or private corporation, trust estate, commission, board, public or private institution, utility, cooperative, county, city, town, or other political subdivision of this State, any interstate body, or any legal entity.

Town. An incorporated town.

Conservation Standards. Standards adopted by the Commission, district or County pursuant to Section 21-89.4 and Section 21-89.5 of the State Code.

Specifications. The written procedures, requirements or plans to control erosion and sedimentation as officially adopted by the County of Dinwiddie.

Delete the following from Section 14A-3

Plan approving authority. The Administrator, who, it is contemplated, will act with the assistance of the county planner and the Dinwiddie Soil Conservation Service in the exercise of his responsibility for determining the adequacy of conservation plans and who shall approve plans he determines to be adequate.

and add the following:

Plan approving authority. The Administrator as defined by this Chapter.

Delete: Section 14A - 4(b) and in its place

Add: Section 14A-4(b) Individual service connections; construction, installation, or maintenance of electric and telephone utility lines; installation, maintenance, or repair of any underground public utility lines when such activity occurs on an existing hard surfaced road, street or sidewalk provided such land disturbing activity is confined to the area of the road, street or sidewalk which is hard surfaced;

Delete: Section 14A-4(d) and in its place

Add: Section 14A-4(d) Surface or deep mining; exploration or drilling for oil and gas including the well site, roads and off-site disposal areas;

Delete: Section 14A-4 (i) which reads as follows:

"Engineering operations under section 21-2(c) of the Code of Virginia; provided, that this shall apply when grading, excavating and filling."

and its place

Add: Section 14A-4 (j) Tilling, planting or harvesting of agricultural, horticultural, or forest crops;

Delete: Section 14A-6. Erosion and sedimentation control plans - Generally, in its entirety and in its stead insert the following:

Add: Section 14A-6. Erosion and sedimentation control plans - Generally.

An erosion and sediment control plan, drawn to a scale not less than one hundred feet to one inch, is required and shall detail those methods and techniques to be utilized in the control of erosion and sedimentation, and as a minimum, this plan shall comply with the State Criteria, Standards and Specifications found in Chapter 3 of the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook, Second Edition, 1980, as amended which by reference is adopted as a portion of this chapter and is to be included in the Dinwiddie County Erosion and Sedimentation Control Handbook.

Delete: Section 14A-12, Fees. In its entirety and, in its place

Add: Section 14A-12, Fees. A plan review and inspection fee of twenty-five dollars for projects envolving one acre or less, plus two dollars per acre of land or part thereof in excess of one acre shall be paid at the time of filing erosion and sedimentation control plans. Such fee shall, in no instance, exceed one hundred fifty dollars for a single construction project. Projects to be constructed in phases, sections, or stages shall be considered as separate land disturbing activities and, as such, will require separate land disturbing permits with appropriate fees.

In all other respects said erosion and sedimentation control

ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE SHELTERED WORKSHOP--1982-83 BUDGET REQUEST

Mr. Morton B. Spero, appeared before the Board on behalf of the Southside Sheltered Workshop to discuss their 1982-83 budget request. He stated that the workshop provides a working environment for the mentally retarded and physically handicapped in an effort to prepare them for a job in the outside world. The seven participants from Dinwiddie County were present and Mr. Spero introduced them individually.

He stated that the budget contribution requested from the County is $400 per participant per year or $2800 and asked that the Board strongly consider including this in the upcoming budget. Mrs. Madge McCants, Director at the Workshop, invited the Board to visit them at any time.

The Chairman advised Mr. Spero that the request would be considered during the Board's budget deliberations. No action was taken.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-2--NOISE CONTROL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 3, 1982 and Wednesday, February 10, 1982, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 12 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia by the addition of Sec. 12-7 dealing with unreasonably loud noises.

Mr. Ralph Payne of Southern Pines Subdivision, spoke in support of the ordinance. He stated that he had had considerable problems with dog kennels in the subdivision and he felt the ordinance would help to control it.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Bennett asked if the loud music had been a real problem. Mr. Clay stated that a complaint about loud music had been the basis for the request for an ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked if the ordinance was enforceable and if it was used in other areas.

The County Attorney stated the ordinance was in operation in other areas and he felt it was enforceable.

Mr. Bennett asked Investigator Hodges if he felt it would be enforced. Mr. Hodges stated it would. He felt there would be a warning on the first complaint and then a summons issued.

Mr. Weber stated he had received complaints on dogs and loud music and felt the ordinance was needed in the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of Section 12-7 to Chapter 12:

Chapter 12 - Offenses - Miscellaneous

Section 12-7. <u>Noise - Unreasonably loud, etc. - Prohibited</u>

It shall be unlawful to create any unreasonably loud, disturbing or unnecessary noise in the county, and noise of

such character, intensity and duration as to be detrimental to the life or health of any person or to unreasonably disturb or annoy the quiet, comfort or repose of any person is hereby prohibited.

The following acts, among others, are declared to be loud, disturbing and unnecessary noises, but such enumeration shall not be deemed to be exclusive, namely:

(a) The playing of any radio, phonograph or any musical instrument in such a manner or with such volume as to annoy or disturb the quiet, comfort or repose of persons in any dwelling, hotel or other type of residence.

(b) The keeping of any animal or bird which by causing frequent or long continued noise shall disturb the comfort and repose of any person in the vicinity to such an extent as shall constitute a nuisance.

Any person convicted of such violation shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punished accordingly.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-3--FEMALE DOGS IN HEAT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 3, 1982 and Wednesday, February 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 4 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia by the addition of Sec. 4-9A dealing with female dogs in heat.

The County Attorney stated the ordinance differed somewhat from ordinances in other jurisdictions but he felt it was enforceable as worded.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of Section 4-9A to Chapter 4:

Chapter 4 - Animals and Fowl.

Section 4-9A. - Female Dogs in Heat.

No person shall knowingly cause or permit any female dog in heat to run at large in the County at any time. Evidence that said person was previously informed by the Animal Warden or any other person that said dog is in heat shall create a rebuttable presumption that said person knowingly allowed the dog in question to run at large in violation of this ordinance.

Any person who permits a female dog in heat to run at large in violation of this Section shall be liable to a fine of not less than five nor more than twenty-five dollars.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COUNTY PURCHASING POLICY

At the request of Mr. Bennett, the County's purchase policy was placed on the agenda for discussion.

Mr. Bennett stated that he was dissatisfied with the way the radios were purchased at the last meeting and he wondered whether the purchase resolution was needed if they weren't going to follow it.

He further indicated that the resolution stated no categories of the budget would be exceeded without prior approval by the Board. He noticed the Landfill equipment repair category was over budget and asked if it had been brought to the Board's atten-

tion.

The County Administrator stated he had advised the Board of two major bills in that category, but at the time, it was not over budget.

Mr. Bennett stated that he didn't really have a problem with the repairs, but he just wondered if the resolution was needed if it was not going to be followed.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Bennett if he was recommending that the Board follow the policy better than they had in the past.

Mr. Bennett said yes, if they were going to keep it.

The County Administrator noted that the only exception to the policy was items bought at state net which they had discussed.

Mr. Hargrave asked the County Administrator if he could say what the savings had been or what it had cost the County to operate under the policy.

The County Administrator stated it was very difficult to put a figure on savings or cost, but the Landfill especially had been hampered from taking advantage of special deals or sales, i.e. tires and batteries.

Mr. Hargrave asked if in these special sales the items were comparable to a price at state net. If so and the evidence were shown to the Board, the County should have that flexibility in using the policy to take advantage of the special deal or sale.

Mr. Clay asked what effect the Procurement Bill will have on the policy if passed.

Mr. Robertson indicated the County would have to adopt their own ordinance or follow the State's.

The County Administrator stated that the resolution would serve as the basis for the ordinance.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to continue with the policy. Mr. Robertson stated he would also like to see the policy continued adding the flexibility as described earlier by Mr. Hargrave.

After further discussion about the radios purchased, Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt if the Board adopted the policy, they also had the authority to waive it.

Mr. Bennett agreed, stating he would let the policy lay until the legislation is finished in the General Assembly.

IN RE: FREE DOG TAGS FOR COUNTY BLOODHOUNDS

The County Attorney presented a request from the Sheriff's Department to authorize the Treasurer to issue free dog tags for the department's three bloodhounds.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to issue free dog tags for the Sheriff Department's three bloodhounds.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye" pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:52 P.M. The

meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:48 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:48 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 22ND DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1982 AT 7:30 A.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.(left at 3:40 PM) | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:10 A.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:25 A.M.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1982-83 BUDGETS

The Board met in session to receive the 1982-83 budgets of the Department Heads and Constitutional Officers. No action was taken.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:20 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF MARCH, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Joseph B. Fields, Jr., Pastor, Mount Zion Baptist Church, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the February 17, 1982 regular meeting and the February 22, 1982 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-339 through 82-427 amounting to $75,880.35.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1982-83 REVENUE SHARING FUNDS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 17 and Wednesday, February 24, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive citizen input on the uses of $325,000 Revenue Sharing funds in the 1982-83 budget.

No public comment was submitted. No action needed, the public hearing was closed.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF LEAA FUND BALANCE TO GENERAL FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there remains a balance of $205.47 in the LEAA account; and

WHEREAS, the LEAA program is no longer operational and these funds are not being used; and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer desires to clear this account;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer the balance of $205.47 from the LEAA Account to the General Fund.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of February, 1982.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of February, 1982.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Building Inspector had a schedule for inspections. Mr. Blaha stated that he made inspections upon request with a few spot inspections if he happened to be in the area.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of February, 1982.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--FRANK VALENTA

The Animal Warden submitted a fowl claim for Mr. Frank Valenta in the amount of $63.00 for 16 hens and three geese. Since he had not had an opportunity to verify the requested values with the County Extension agent, the County Administrator asked that action be delayed until the next meeting.

The Chairman instructed the County Administrator to place the claim on the agenda for the March 17, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. W.C. Scheid presented three items to the Board that will be coming to them for action at their March 17, 1982 meeting.

These items were rezoning request P-82-1; Amendment A-82-1 and Conditional Use Permit C-82-1.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. Betty Williams, representing the Director, gave a brief report on the cheese allocation for the County. She stated that out of an allocation of 6,060 pounds, 5,595 pounds were distributed. The remaining cheese will be distributed to the Sheriff's Department, the Foster Care program and kept on storage for emergency use within the Social Services Department programs. Mrs. Williams thanked all those who helped with the distribution.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer, and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Mr. Weber asked what could be done to improve the condition of the Walker Road. He stated he had been called by Mr. David Glass. Mr. Perry advised him that the Highway Department could not expend any funds on roads not taken into the state secondary system.

Mr. Perry added that his department had spent up to the cap put on expenditures which included rural additions, and he was hoping there would be a re-allocation later on.

2. Mr. Robertson asked about the progress on the bridge on U.S. #1 over the N&W railroad.

Mr. Perry indicated that the bridge project was the number one priority in the district, but no funds had been previously allocated to it.

He stated it was scheduled for advertisement in September and construction time would probably be six months. He added that the design was for four-lane traffic; however, finances would dictate whether that design would actually be constructed.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY--EXPANSION OF FACILITIES

Mr. Richard D. Hartman, General Manager, ARWA, appeared before the Board to make a presentation on a proposed expansion of the Authority's facilities. He also presented a request for the Board's approval of a resolution that clarifies a definition of bonds and additional bonds as set forth in the County of Dinwiddie's agreement dated September 9, 1964.

Also present were Mr. Darrell Rice, Dinwiddie's representative on the ARWA Board; a representative of the consultant firm of Henningson, Durham and Richardson; and Bill Daniel, the Authority's financial advisor.

Mr. Hartman stated that the Authority's Board had approved expansion of the existing plant from 22 MGD to 46 MGD pending satisfaction of all legal requirements. One of the legal requirements is passage of the resolution presented.

Mr. Hartman indicated that without expansion, the Authority would have to proportion water during the peak times which is usually the summer months. In addition, without the expansion, the County would not be able to guarantee prospective industry the water they need to locate in the County. He further stated that a certain amount of improvements will have to be made whether the expansion was made or not, which would increase the user rates to an extent.

Dr. Rice stated that he concurred with Mr. Hartman and felt that the expansion was needed to insure future development and to continue to supply the present users.

Mr. Weber stated he was concerned over the present water supply and the effect that building another dam would have on the present system.

Mr. Hartman stated that building another dam was being considered for additional storage. He then briefly commented on the Virginia Beach proposal stating much more studying and research will have to be done.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, an Agreement entitled "Agreement By and Between the Authority and the County of Dinwiddie was made and entered into as of the 9th day of September, 1964, by and between Appomattox River Water Authority (the "Authority"), and the County of Dinwiddie (the "County"); and

WHEREAS, the water supply constructed pursuant to the Agreement and presently maintained and operated by the Authority (the "Water Supply System") is not adequate to meet the growing demand for filtered water within the service area, as such term is defined in the subparagraph entitled "SERVICE AREA" in Paragraph 5 of the Agreement (the "Service Area"); and

WHEREAS, certain improvements and extensions to the Water Supply System are necessary in order to provide an adequate supply of filtered water to the Service Area; and

WHEREAS, such improvements and extensions will require the issuance and sale of Additional Bonds, as referred to in Section 401 of the resolution of the Authority entitled "Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of $8,500,000 Water Revenue Bonds of the Appomattox River Water Authority" (the "Resolution"); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Authority desire to clarify the terms of the Agreement in order to facilitate the issuance of such Additional Bonds;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors

of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby finds and agrees that the issuance of Additional Bonds is necessary in order to finance those improvements and extensions to the Water Supply System required to provide an adequate supply of filtered water for the members of the Authority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the term "Bonds", as that term appears in the Agreement, is hereby defined to have the meaning ascribed to it in the Section 103(7) of the Resolution, and that the term "Bonds" shall include any Additional Bonds, as referred to in Section 401 of the Resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the issuance of Additional Bonds by the Authority is in connection with improvements and extensions to the Water Supply System to be made within the Service Area and that said Water Supply System, as the term is used in the Agreement, shall mean said System as extended and improved now or in the future in order to provide an adequate supply of filtered water for the members of the Authority and otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Authority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby agrees and consents to the issuance of Additional Bonds in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, this resolution and the Resolution.

IN RE: REASSESSMENT RESOLUTION

The County Administrator advised the Board that although they decided at their February 3, 1982 meeting not to reassess this year, Sec. 58-778 of the State Code requires that a resolution be adopted stating their intent. He suggested that a resolution be adopted indicating they would reassess in five-year intervals, which could be changed if desired in the fifth year indicating they would reassess in six-year intervals.

Mr. Robertson stated that he did not feel they should penalize the citizens for a slow economy. He felt that values would go up again if they waited to reassess and citizens would have to pay taxes on those higher values. Mr. Robertson indicated that if the reassessment were done this year, at a 10% increase, the gain in revenues might be enough not to have to raise the tax rate.

He further stated that he felt the citizens should have the opportunity to take advantage of the lower property values now. He, therefore, recommended that the Board members give careful consideration to reassessing every four years.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that he had talked with a couple of members who served on the Board of Assessors since the Board made the decision not to reassess this year and they brought out a couple of things he had not considered before. One is that the economy is so disturbed, it is a very bad time to reassess. During the long period of reassessing, the values at the end probably would be very different than those values for property assessed at the beginning. He further stated that he really didn't understand where there would be a gain by reassessing now. The cost of reassessing would only be more frequent if it were done every four years.

Mr. Bennett stated that he agreed with Mr. Hargrave. He felt from Mr. Robertson's statement that he indicated he would rather raise taxes with an assessment than with the rate. Mr. Bennett stated that he felt the Board had to look at reducing expenditures to help the citizens.

Mr. Robertson stated that he did not want to raise taxes at all. He wanted to do what was best for the citizens.

Mr. Clay stated that it will take a certain amount to run the government regardless. Since nothing is stable now, he felt it was not the time to reassess.

Mr. Weber stated that he agreed with five years before, but he now felt the Board should stay with a four-year reassessment.

Mr. Bolte advised the Board that the County's sales assessment ratio was in good shape. He further indicated that a reassessment equalizes and should not be looked at to raise revenues. He stated that there is not alot of activity at the present time, and he really didn't know of any "hot spots" in the County. Therefore, he sincerely felt that the Board could wait another year to reassess.

Mr. Bennett stated he felt his property values were holding and he didn't think anything had decreased in value since the reassessment.

Mr. Weber moved that the County reassess every four years. There was no second. Mr. Weber and Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voted "nay". The motion was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors had determined that it is not in the best interest of the citizens of the County nor the governing body of the County to reassess the County in four-year intervals at this time;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the reassessment for Dinwiddie County will be done at five-year intervals in accordance with Sec. 58-778, unless there is a desire to reconsider in the fifth year to reassess in six-year intervals.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF UNITED NEGRO COLLEGE FUND WEEK

Mr. Robertson advised the Board that in their information packet, they received a letter from Mr. W.J. Bradley, Jr., requesting that March 21 - 28, 1982 be declared United Negro College Fund Week and that an Honorary Chairman be designated to serve on the UNCF Committee for the Tri-City area.

Mr. Robertson asked that he be authorized to sign the proclamation declaring the United Negro College Fund Week and appoint an honorary chairman from Dinwiddie to this Committee.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the week of March 21st through March 27th has been designated United Negro College Fund Week; and

WHEREAS, the United Negro College Fund (UNCF) Campaign is now planning for its annual Fund Raising Drive; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Willie J. Bradley, Jr. has been appointed UNCF Chairman for the 1982 Lou Rawls Parade of Stars TV Special for the Tri-City area, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Bradley has requested that the County of Dinwiddie designate the week of March 21 through March 27 as United Negro College Fund Week; and

WHEREAS, the UNCF Committee has requested the Board of Supervisors appoint an honorary Chairman for the UNCF Committee;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie this 3rd day of March, 1982 that it does hereby proclaim the week of March 21 through March 27, 1982 as United Negro College Fund Week in Dinwiddie County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Din-

widdie County, Virginia that Mr. Legert Hamilton is hereby designated as honorary chairman of the UNCF Committee of the Tri-City area.

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO VEHICLE LICENSES ORDINANCE--EMERGENCY ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by repealing Sections 11-12, 11-13, and 11-18 of Chapter 11, Article II and replacing them by the following:

Chapter 11. Motor Vehicles and Traffic

Article II - VEHICLE LICENSES

Section 11-12. Licenses Required

There is hereby imposed a license tax upon every motor vehicle, trailer or semitrailer normally garaged, stored or parked in the county.

Section 11-13. Exemptions

The provisions of this article shall not apply to any vehicle exempted by the provisions of sections 46.1-45 to 46.1-48 and section 46.1-66 of the Code of Virginia, nor shall the provisions of this article apply to any vehicle licensed pursuant to sections 46.1-49 or 46.1-50 of the Code of Virginia, nor to any vehicle displaying special permanent plates as approved and issued by the division of motor vehicles pursuant to section 46.1-149.1 of the Code of Virginia to veterans with service-connected disabilities nor to any vehicle otherwise exempted by state law.

Section 11-18. Licensing requirements and tags, stickers, etc., generally.

Any person coming under the provisions of this article shall make application for license upon forms prescribed by the treasurer of the county, and upon payment of the required tax shall be issued, as evidence thereof, a tag, tin or sticker such as may be prescribed by the Board of Supervisors which shall be conspicuously placed upon the vehicle so licensed, in such a manner as instructed by the treasurer of the County. Operating any vehicles required to be licensed by this article on the streets, highways or roads of this county without displaying such tag, tin or sticker shall be a violation of this article, even though the license tax has been paid.

No vehicle so taxable shall be licensed unless and until the applicant for such license shall have produced satisfactory evidence that all personal property taxes upon all motor vehicles, trailers or semitrailers, which personal property taxes have been assessed or are assessable against such applicant for the taxable year 1968 and every subsequent year thereafter, have been paid.

The Board of Supervisors finds that an emergency exists and that this action will go into effect as an emergency ordinance.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT--VEHICLE LICENSES ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise amendment A-82-4, Vehicle Licenses Ordinance, for a public hearing to be held May 5,

1982.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT--A-82-5--CHANGE IN POLLING PLACE--PRECINCT 302

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise A-82-5, Change in Polling Place, Precinct 302 for a public hearing to be held May 5, 1982.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE ADDITIONAL VOTING MACHINES

The County Administrator presented a letter from the Registrar indicating the cost of two additional voting machines. The additional machines are required by law to accommodate the additional voters transferred to Precinct 302 as a result of the Redistricting. The cost of a new machine would be $4200. A reconditioned machine could be purchased for $1875. The Registrar also stated that there was a possibility that one additional machine may be required.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Electoral Board is hereby authorized to purchase three reconditioned machines, at a cost of $1875 each.

IN RE: BOAT LANDING UPDATE

The County Administrator advised the Board that he was in the process of finalizing a proposal for the operation of the Boat Landing and he hoped to have a report by the March 17th or April 7, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: HIGH SCHOOL INTERNSHIP IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Mr. Robertson stated that a letter from Mr. John Saunders, Assistant Principal, Dinwiddie Senior High, was included in their information section concerning an internship in local government for eligible high school students. Mr. Robertson requested that he be allowed to investigate the project further and come back with a plan for the Board's consideration at a later date. The members concurred with this request. Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Robertson to keep in mind that some of the members would have difficulty scheduling free time during the day but would be available at night or on weekends.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:50 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:20 P.M.

IN RE: FORD VFD--USE OF OLD CAR

Mr. Bennett advised the Board that the Ford VFD had requested to appear before the Board to discuss the use of a 74 Oldsmobile to transport members to fires. Mr. Bennett discussed with the Chief that he would like to see a vehicle taken out of service when one was put in. After further discussion, the department decided they would sell the vehicle. Mr. Bennett added that the department would soon have the van, donated by the telephone company, ready to put in service.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Tuesday, March 9, 1982.

MARCH 9, 1982--CONTINUATION OF MARCH 3, 1982 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: REVIEW OF 1982-83 BUDGET PROJECTIONS

The County Administrator reviewed the projected income and expenditures for the 1982-83 budget, highlighting the major changes.

IN RE: NEW POSITIONS FOR SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

During the all day budget session, Sheriff Charles L. Mitchell presented a request for four new jailors, two deputies and one records clerk. The Board discussed the needs of the Sheriff's Department and agreed upon three new positions--two jailors and one records clerk.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:10 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:12 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:12 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.O. Knott
W.O. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 17TH DAY OF MARCH, 1982 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | T.E. GIBBS | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Eugene R. Hemphill, Pastor, Lebanon, Crawford and Mt. Olivet United Methodist Churches, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:03 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:25 P.M.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the March 3, 1982 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 82-428 through 82-552 amounting to $65,786.05; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-82-3 through LF-82-5 amounting to $86.75; Johnson-grass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-82-3 and 4 amounting to $160.00; and Lew Jones Account number LJ-82-2 in the amount of $69.00.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--FRANK VALENTA

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Frank Valenta was awarded $63.00 for 16 hens and 3 geese.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-1--PUBLIC AIRPORTS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 3 and Wednesday, March 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 17-77 of the Dinwiddie County Code to allow "public airports with, if needed, one security dwelling unit."

The Director of Planning reviewed the amendment and action by the Planning Commission who recommended approval of the amendment at their February 10, 1982 meeting. Mr. Scheid stated that Sec. 17-77 of the Code provided for heavy industrial use; however, public airports were not listed. Since the County has an airport located in such an area, he felt the amendment was needed to provide for it and to allow a dwelling unit for protection against vandalism.

Mr. Louis Thibault, Airport Manager of the Petersburg Airport, appeared before the Board in support of the amendment. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber,

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code be amended by adding the following use to Section 17-77.

(26) Public airports with, if needed, one security dwelling unit.

In all other respects, said ordinance of Dinwiddie County is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-82-1--SID MAYES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 3, and Wednesday, March 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the County Code of Dinwiddie by changing the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 31, from Industrial General M-2 to Business, General B-2.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action wherein they recommended approval at their February 10, 1982 meeting.

Mr. Sid Mayes appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Mayes stated that the land was presently zoned heavy industrial and since the railroad line was abandoned and there was no water and sewer available to the area, he really didn't see where the land would be of any use to an industry. He further stated that he felt the Business, B-2 zoning would be more appropriate since the land was close to Petersburg and the Elks Club was interested in relocating there pending action on the rezoning. Mr. Mayes indicated that the Elks wanted to build a new club house which he felt would be a nice contribution to the area.

Mr. Bennett asked what was the objective of the Elk's Club. Mr. Mayes stated it was a benevolent organization that does charitable projects much like the Moose Lodge.

Mr. Weber asked how much land out of the 15 acre parcel was being considered for use by the Elks Club. Mr. Mayes said 7½ to 8 acres as determined by a survey.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Mayes had discussed the best use of the land with anyone since water and sewer was not too far away and the railroad spur could be reactivated.

Mr. Mayes stated he had but he really didn't see any industrial use in the foreseeable future as there is already land available in the industrial park for an interested industry.

Mr. Hargrave said it saddened him to see such an appealing site go but it has been zoned industrial for many years and has not been used.

Mr. Weber stated Mr. Nobles, an adjoining landowner, had some objections at the Planning Commission meeting. Mr. Mayes said he had talked to Mr. Nobles and felt he had satisfied his concerns.

Mr. Weber stated Mr. Nobles was out of town and could not be present at this meeting but he had been favor of the Planning Commission action.

Mr. Bennett indicated that should the rezoning be approved and industry wanted to locate on the property, he felt the Elks would sell and the land has been zoned industrial all these years and no one has been interested before.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting

"aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Zoning Map be amended by changing the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 31, containing 15.25 acres from Industrial, General, M-2 to Business, General, B-2.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-82-1--SANDRA TAYLOR

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 3, and Wednesday, March 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption a conditional use permit, C-82-1, for Sandra Taylor to allow an amusement center for youth and weekend dancing for youth in the Old Stuckey's building on U.S. Route 1.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action. He stated the Planning Commission considered the amusement center separate from the dances. They did not consider allowing dances at this time, but approved the amusement center with the following conditions:

1. Hours of operation for weekday/weekends. Suggested hours: Monday thru Thursday, 3:00 P.M. - 10:00 P.M.
   Friday and Saturday, 12:00 Noon - 11:00 P.M.
   Sunday, 12:00 Noon - 10:00 P.M.

2. Periodic checks by the Zoning Administrator to insure a proper environment for our youth.

He further stated the Planning Commission felt the dances might be considered later with another application.

Mr. Joe Lewis, Ms. Taylor's father, appeared in her absence and spoke in favor of the request. He stated Ms. Taylor wanted to run a small game room. She would live in the back of the building and be there at all times. He further indicated there would not be many dances held and they wanted to sell hotdogs and other refreshments.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt people were always concerned about what would happen with a place like this and never really give children a chance to prove they can take care of themselves.

Mr. Hargrave moved approval of the Conditional Use Permit with the following conditions:

1. The permit be approved for a 6-month trial basis with written re-application to the Board to review their experience and add or delete conditions as needed.

2. The center open at 3:00 P.M. on school days and close at 11:00 P.M. on nights preceding school days.

   The center open at 9:00 A.M. on non-school days and close at 12:00 midnight on nights preceding non-school days.

3. Dances be limited to the same hours.

4. Approval of the permit be contingent upon inspection for safety by the County building official.

5. An occupancy limit be determined by the building official and posted.

6. Opening the center be contingent upon the building official's approved inspection report being submitted to the County Administrator's office.

Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. Weber stated his main concern was for the safety and well-being of the children. He felt a youth center was needed with proper supervision. He indicated he would be willing to try it on a six month basis and he hoped it could be extended.

Mr. Clay asked Mr. Lewis if he had any objections to the conditions.

Mr. Lewis stated that he had difficulty with not being able to open until 3:00 P.M. on school days. He stated he felt it was the school's responsibility to keep the children on school grounds.

He further indicated their intent was to open at 8:00 A.M. and run until 10:00 or 11:00 P.M. on school nights.

Mr. Robertson stated there were no hours listed in the application; therefore, how could the center function while the children were in school.

Mr. Lewis indicated there may be adults interested in playing the games on rainy days.

Mr. Robertson indicated it was beginning to sound like a general business rather than a youth center.

Mr. Weber stated the application asks for a youth center and he knew that being near the school, the kids would tend to skip classes. He was not in favor of a regular business.

Mr. Lewis stated the operators of the center would call the Principal when they saw kids skipping classes.

Mr. Robertson again indicated he thought the application was for a youth center, not machines for the general public.

Mr. Scheid stated a general business was not considered by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Hargrave stated the fact that the center was near a school would draw kids and the children at the Junior High were at a difficult age to control.

Mr. Clay stated the 3:00 P.M. opening time wouldn't apply to the summer months.

Mr. Robertson asked what type of machines were going to be offered. The co-operator of the proposed center was present and indicated that he would be running the business with Ms. Taylor. He stated that the machines would mainly be the video type. He also indicated that a meeting had been held at the Junior High concerning the center and the teachers did not object to its operation. He further stated that he would like to see the younger group have use of the center; however, all ages liked to play the machines. He felt that limiting the time from 3:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. would cut down on the business and it would not be profitable enough to operate with those hours.

Mr. Robertson asked if there was an age limit on playing the games. The County Attorney stated there were no legal limitations that he knew of.

Mr. Hargrave stated there was only 2½ months of school left and with the summer, he felt a five month trial period would provide enough experience to reconsider the application in the Fall and make any adjustments needed.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was willing to go along with

the motion but after hearing that the center was not strictly youth-oriented, he would have to vote against it.

Mr. Hargrave commented there are similar machines in businesses all over the County, and he really didn't understand at what point a permit was required to operate the machines.

Mr. Weber asked whether the center could operate without a permit.

The County Attorney advised him that it could not without a conditional use permit.

Mr. Clay withdrew his second to the motion on the floor. Mr. Hargrave withdrew his motion and made the following motion:

1. The conditional use permit be granted for a five month trial period with written re-application to the Board to review their experience and add or delete conditions as needed.

2. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on school days and close at 11:00 P.M. on nights preceding school days.

3. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on non-school days and close at 12:00 midnight on nights preceding non-school days.

4. Dances be limited to the same hours.

5. Approval of the permit be contingent upon inspection for safety by the county building official.

6. An occupancy limit be determined by the building official and posted.

7. Opening the center be contingent upon the building official's inspection report being submitted to the County Administrator for final approval.

Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Reverend Gene Hemphill stated that he was concerned with alcohol and drug abuse in the County and he had three questions:

1. Has the Sheriff's Department had any input as to policing the area?

2. What are the requirements for lighting inside and outside the building?

3. What kind of supervision will be available in the building and parking lot?

In reply, Mr. Robertson advised Reverend Hemphill that the building would be inspected for safety but he didn't know if there were any requirements for lighting.

He further stated that the supervision would have to be from management inside and outside the facility.

The co-operator indicated there would be three adults available at all times. As to lighting, he stated there were two floodlights and four or five spotlights to cover the entire building outside and it would be well lighted inside. He added that alcohol would not be allowed on the premises.

The Reverend Hemphill stated he had been talking with the youth in the County and they would like to have some recreational facilities so they would not have to travel to Petersburg. Therefore, with his concerns put to rest, he would like to see the youth center approved.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt the success of the center was completely in the hands of management. He felt the youth needed a place to go and this center could be used positively.

Mr. Clay felt the Board should act in a positive way. He added tht Mr. Lewis operates a successful business.

Mr. Bennett stated he was not opposed to a five month trial period.

Mr. Weber indicated he had mixed feelings because he did not like opening at 9:00 A.M. and he was concerned the youth center would be turned into a business. He indicated he was willing to try it for five months.

Mr. Bennett stated he thought the Secretary in the schools usually called the parents when the kids aren't there and maybe the Principal could be asked to spot check attendance.

Mr. Robertson stated he was still deeply concerned that the center would not be for youth only. He felt there was not enough information to act on and, therefore, he could not approve it.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett voted "aye", Mr. Robertson voted "nay".

IN RE: REQUEST FOR STREETLIGHTS--ROUTE 601

Mr. John Drinkwater appeared before the Board to request streetlights for Route 601 near Ashley Lane. Mr. Drinkwater indicated he thought three lights would be enough and might help alleviate the vandalism that was occurring in that area.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to investigate the area with a VEPCO representative to determine where street lights could be placed and report this information to the Board for their consideration.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TAX EXEMPT LANDS

Mr. Sid Mayes advised the Board he had found there was an ordinance that allowed civic organizations to own five acres of land tax-free and up to ten acres if approved by the local governing body. He indicated the portion of his property being sold to the Elks Club would be approximately 7½ to 8 acres because of its odd shape in the back. He distributed a list of other organizations who own more than five acres and are not taxed on it; therefore, it did not appear that the ordinance had been adhered to in the past.

Mr. Robertson asked how much land is tax exempt now.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, indicated they had just found out about the ordinance and were checking into it. He added alot depends upon what use is made of the land. He stated some holdings over five acres have been on the books for years.

Mr. Mayes stated he was bringing this to the Board because whether they decided to go back and tax all these organizations over five acres or continue to exempt them, he would like for the Elks Club to be treated the same.

Mr. Robertson felt if no action was taken, treatment of these organizations would remain status quo.

Mr. Hargrave indicated a need to take action on those organizations who hold acreage between five and ten acres to meet the requirements of the ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked if no action were taken would the Elks be treated differently.

The County Attorney stated the question was whether the organization could own land over five acres. He indicated the law states that the trustees cannot hold title to land over five acres without an ordinance allowing it.

Mr. Hargrave said the issue should be cleared up.

Mr. Bennett asked if the Code requires these organizations be tax exempt. The County Attorney advised him they have to be tax-exempt; however, they cannot own more than five acres without action by the Board.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the County Attorney draft an ordinance for the Board's consideration for advertisement at the next meeting. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

IN RE: TRASH COLLECTION SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator asked the Board to review the specifications he distributed for trash collection and let him know of any changes needed. He indicated there was one item to be added and he hoped to have the package ready for consideration for bidding at the April 7, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION--RESIGNATION OF DONALD WILLIAMS

Mr. Weber advised the Board he had talked to Mr. Donald Williams concerning his appointment to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation and since he found he was unable to attend the meetings, he felt he should resign from the organization.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Board accepted the resignation of Mr. Donald Williams from the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Frank Freudig to replace Mr. Donald Williams on the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation. Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Frank Freudig was appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring September 30, 1982.

IN RE: LOCAL GOVERNMENT INTERNSHIP

Mr. Robertson advised the Board he had talked with Mr. John Saunders concerning the Local Government internship for high school students and Mr. Saunders felt they should wait and submit a project next year. Mr. Robertson stated he would keep the Board posted on their progress.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for calendar year 1982; and

WHEREAS, the Church meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required $10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the St. John's Catholic Church is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1982.

IN RE EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6), the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:45 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:29 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Tuesday, March 23, 1982.

MARCH 23, 1982--CONTINUATION OF MARCH 17, 1982 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:32 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:10 P.M.

IN RE: HISTORICAL DISPLAY COMMITTEE

Ms. Wendy Quesenberry advised the Board that the Historical Display Committee met on Thursday afternoon and felt they needed guidance from the Board as to what their mission was. She explained that the Committee needed to know whether the Board wanted them to only consider displaying the items the County had already been given or come up with some guidelines for accepting items in the future.

Mr. Hargrave stated it had been his intent for the Committee to design a display case to house the items the County already had, which will be compatible with the building. He felt the items displayed should be limited to those that have historical significance to the County.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed Ms. Quesenberry to ask the Committee to come up with some recommendations on displaying these items for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., March 31, 1982.

MARCH 31, 1982--CONTINUATION OF MARCH 23, 1982 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:35 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:00 P.M.

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend William F. Mahon, Pastor, Ocran United Me-

thodist Church, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1982-83 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Mr. Robertson opened the discussion of the 1982-83 School Board budget with the following statement:

"I would like to take this opportunity to welcome you to our meeting. Your presence shows that democracy is at work. We would like to see you more often.

After last Tuesday's meeting (3/23/82) I felt good. I felt that we accomplished the following:

1. We relayed to the citizens of Dinwiddie County that with high unemployment, fixed incomes, reduced federal and state appropriations and uncertain economy, the Board of Supervisors, would not, I repeat, would not increase taxes for 1982-83 and hopefully 1983-84, thus showing compassion for the times that we are living in.

2. We informed the department heads that the citizens of Dinwiddie are living with reduced incomes and they feel that government should not go first class when they are not able to go first class. Therefore, department heads were told that the Board of Supervisors would not fund increase above the 1981-82 spending level.

3. The School Board was informed that local dollars to them would remain the same as shown in the 1981-82 school budget. We expect that the School Board will receive approximately $400,000 additional money from the State during 1982-83. This would give the School Board an opportunity to increase the salaries of teachers and or support personnel by between 6 and 8%. $400,000 divided by 300 teachers would mean about $1,300 per teacher, including fringe benefits of approximately 18%. If the average salary of teachers is $14,400, that would mean about a 9% salary increase (including fringe benefits). If you divide $400,000 by 500 teachers and support personnel, that would mean an increase of approximately 6% (including fringe benefits. If. If, the inflation rate of 3.7% (as stated in the media) is correct, then the 9% increase would mean that teachers are 5.3% ahead of last year when they were given an increase of 9% with double digit inflation. They were going backwards by 2 or 3% depending upon the inflation rate. A 2.3% difference if the increase was 6%.

The Board of Supervisors does not have control over how the School Board appropriates the money. We can only state how much the citizens of Dinwiddie County will appropriate. The Board of Supervisors urges the School Board to maintain the same level of spending in 1982-83-84 as they had in 1981-82 and to use additional monies received from the state to increase salaries of teachers and/or support personnel.

Should the economy improve, I feel certain that the Board of Supervisors will take appropriate action, as needed, to correct any deficiencies.

Thank you."

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented the 1982-83 school board budget, highlighting the major changes. Dr. Vaughn indicated that he had received additional information since his discussion with Mr. Robertson and he was glad he had an opportunity to present these facts to the Board at this time.

Keeping all other increases to a minimum, Dr. Vaughn stated that the School Board would need an additional local appropriation of $369,580 to be able to give the school personnel a 10% increase in salary as suggested by the General Assembly in the Appropriations Act.

The following people spoke in support of the School Board budget: Mrs. Lillian Stewart, Dinwiddie Junior High; Mr. Sylvester Stanley, Sunnyside Elementary; Julia Summey, Dinwiddie Education Association, and Reverend William F. Mahon of Sutherland.

IN RE: AUDIT SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator presented specifications for the Board of Supervisors to review for bidding the County's annual audit.

The Chairman instructed him to place the specifications on the April 7, 1982 agenda.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:15 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF APRIL, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Wiley P. Wallace, Pastor, Central Baptist Church gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the March 17, 1982 regular meeting, the March 23, 1982 continued meeting and the March 31, 1982 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-553 through 82-642 amounting to $97,254.80.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF FORMULA FOR FINANCING LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Mr. Charles Thomas, Administrator, Crater Health District, and Mr. Tom Williams, Administrative Director of the Division of Community Health Services, appeared before the Board to review and answer any questions they might have concerning the State's formula for funding local health departments.

Mr. Williams advised the Board that the formula was set in 1954 as a gentleman's agreement without legislation. He indicated the General Assembly had looked at the formula for possible legislative action for revision; however, it had been set aside for study. He further indicated that a task force had studied the formula and had come up with a different approach but no action has been taken.

Mr. Robertson asked if the 8.15 % increase in the local share was due to the reassessment since the formula is based on estimated true value. Mr. Williams stated it was the main reason for the increase.

Mr. Hargrave stated that there was a range of least valuable to the most valuable real estate used in the formula and the State has put a cap on the most valuable. Therefore, the county's values are steadily approaching the cap, thereby increasing the local share and lowering the state's percentage share. Mr. Williams and Mr. Thomas agreed with that analysis.

Mr. Weber stated that he was concerned with the programs mandated by the State for which no funds were provided.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of March, 1982.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR MORE ROAD CHECKS FOR COUNTY STICKERS

Mr. Weber stated that he would like to see the Sheriff's Department hold more road checks for county stickers. The County Administrator was instructed to pass this request on to the Sheriff.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of March, 1982.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of March, 1982.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley advised the Board that her department was out of the fuel and cheese business and she felt both programs turned out well.

IN RE: VIRGINIA PROGRAM--AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie is eligible to participate as a potential employer for college students desiring employment during the summer; and

WHEREAS, these students will be referred to the County through the Virginia Program from colleges throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, these students will be from Dinwiddie County at a cost of 33% of the student's gross wages with Workmen's Compensation provided by the Virginia Program; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has expressed a need for one (1) student at the Senior High;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that application be made to the Virginia Program to have the County designated as a potential employer for college students for the period June 1 - August 20, 1982.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO REPAIR ROOFS WITH BOND FUNDS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to use the remaining bond funds to make needed roof repairs on the schools. He indicated there was approximately $79,000 left to make these needed repairs.

Mr. Robertson asked if the $79,000 would be enough to make the repairs needed. Dr. Vaughn stated Mr. Soloe was collecting estimates on the work to be done and then priorities would have to be set to use the funds as long as they lasted. Mr. Hargrave asked if these repairs were included in the items outlined for the bond issue. Dr. Vaughn stated these repairs were in addition to those outlined in the request for the bond issue.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Superintendent of Schools was authorized to use funds left in the bond issue to make needed roof repairs on the schools.

IN RE: PROJECT TO ESTABLISH YOUTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES OFFICE

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, Community Education, appeared before the Board to present a project that would establish an office on Youth and Community Services. She stated that she had found a source of funding through a grant from the Department

of Corrections through the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act that would provide for the expansion of the community education program for youth. She estimated the total project cost to be $40,000 fo which $10,000 would be a local match. Mrs. Galbreath stated that there would be enough cash and local services in the Community Education program to make the local match required.

Mrs. Galbreath stated there were three requirements if the Board approved the project application:

1. A resolution must be adopted by the Board.
2. A Youth Commission must be established and 20 members appointed.
3. The County must be the agency that applies for the funds.

Mr. Robertson asked if there was a deadline. Mrs. Galbreath stated the application must be in by April 30, 1982.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the grant would require the development of a statement of delinquency problems in the county since there would be competition with other localities for the money. Mrs. Galbreath advised him there would be competition but the award would not be to the locality who had the greatest number of delinquency problems. Dr. Vaughn indicated that alot of the youth needs had already been developed by the Youth Services Committee that has been functioning in the County prior to this time.

Mr. Robertson asked if the program would need matching funds from the County. Mrs. Galbreath stated that there would be enough funds in the Community Education program.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the recreation funds be looked at for possible match for the program.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors is committed to having recognized, developed, and delivered to youth such services in Dinwiddie County that are designed to improve the quality of life for youth and prevent delinquency; and

WHEREAS, citizen participation is acknowledged as necessary and beneficial to the planning, development, and coordination of services for youth; and

WHEREAS, the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act passed by the State Legislature in 1979 endorses the establishment of a Youth Services Board;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia,

1. That there be established a Youth and Community Services which shall derive its authority from the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County. The Youth and Community Services Board shall function in an advisory capacity in the establishment of the Youth and Community Services in compliance with minimum standards for the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act of 1979 and local requirements of Dinwiddie County.

2. Appointment to the Youth and Community Services Board shall be by the governing body.

a. Youth and Community Services Board members shall be comprised of at least one-half citizens representation, including two youth with the remaining representation from youth servicing agencies.

3. The responsibilities of the Youth and Community Services

Board shall include but not be limited to:

a. Assisting in establishing goals and objectives.
b. Encouraging any additions, deletions, or changes in laws, policies and procedures that will improve community conditions for youth development.
c. Providing a public forum where concerns about youth can be expressed.
d. Presenting an annual report to the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County regarding the progress and development of coordinated services to youth.

4. Youth and Community Services Board shall elect its own officers and establish its own by-laws in compliance with all minimum standards for the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act of 1979 and local requirements of Dinwiddie County.

5. Youth and Community Services Board members shall serve for a term of no less than three years with youth serving a term no more than one year. Initial appointment shall be staggered to insure that not all terms expire at the same time.

6. The Dinwiddie County Administrator shall delegate responsibility for the administration of Youth and Community Services to the Dinwiddie County School Board. Youth and Community Services staff shall be responsible for carrying out the objectives set down by the Youth and Community Services Board with the approval of the School Board.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Weber asked about the work done on the bridge over the N&W railroad on U.S. #1. Mr. Medlock stated that the work done was a temporary measure and the weight restriction would be kept on the bridge until it could be rebuilt. He added they hoped the reconstruction could be done the latter part of the year.

IN RE: SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL--INTENT TO NEGOTIATE

The County Administrator presented a resolution for the Board's consideration to indicate which firm they would negotiate with for disposal of the county's solid waste. He stated that both companies had made presentations and they now needed some type of indication from the Board as to if the County was willing to contract out its solid waste disposal and, if so, with what firm so they could complete their financial studies. He clearly stated that adoption of the resolution would in no way obligate the Board to either firm.

Mr. Hargrave stated that his concern was that the tipping fee not exceed the true cost of disposal.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there have been described to the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the "County"), plans of Tri-City Recycling, Inc., a Virginia corporation ("Tri-City"), to construct and equip a facility for the processing of municipal solid wastes; and

WHEREAS, Tri-City in its appearance before the County has described the benefits to the County of a contract for processing of municipal solid wastes generated and collected within the County;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. That it is hereby found and determined that the construction and equipping of a facility for the processing of municipal solid wastes would provide a valuable service to the provision of municipal services by the County of Dinwiddie.

2. That the County Administrator is hereby authorized to negotiate a proposed contract between the County and Tri-City which would obligate Tri-City to receive and process municipal solid wastes generated and collected by the County; such contract to contain such other terms and conditions as may be required by the County and Tri-City and to become effective upon receipt of written notice from Tri-City to the County that the facilities have been completed and are ready to accept municipal solid wastes for processing. The County Administrator shall submit the final contract to the Board of Supervisors for its approval, and thereupon the Chairman of the Board shall have authority to sign the contract on behalf of the County.

3. That nothing contained in this resolution shall be taken to preclude the County Administrator from exercising his general authority to negotiate with any other party for the provision of similar services; to preclude presentation of such similar contracts to the Board for consideration; or to preclude the Board from directing such negotiations..

IN RE: TRASH COLLECTION SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator presented the specifications for trash collection in their final form for the Board's consideration to request bids. He suggested an addition to the specifications should the Board decide to contract with one of the firms that the contractor be required to purchase the equipment no longer needed by the County for trash collection.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was concerned the specifications were too restrictive.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that the specifications needed to be tight in order to be assured of a quality service. He further suggested the Board might want to consider selling the buckets also. The County Administrator said the buckets had been considered; however the cost would be $120,000 and if the contracting firm did not receive the contract again, he would be left holding a considerable investment. The contractor would also have to amortize the cost of the buckets which would unduly influence the bid.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County would be responsible for repairing or replacing the buckets. The County Administrator stated the County would put the buckets at the site and the contractor would be responsible for maintaining the site and for repair of the buckets.

Mr. Hargrave asked about including a provision for buckets for special events. The County Administrator indicated a paragraph could be added to take care of special events. Mr. Clay suggested the individual holding the special event could negotiate directly with the contractor.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the decision to bid the trash collection be postponed until a decision was made on contracting with a firm for solid waste disposal.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator add a paragraph to the specifications for trash collection to cover providing for trash collection at special events; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of

Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the decision to request bids for trash collection be postponed.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AUDIT SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator presented specifications for the Board's consideration to request bids on the County's annual audit.

Mr. Hargrave stated that by bidding the audit he did not want to imply that the County was obligated to take the lowest bid.

The County Administrator advised him that the specifications state that the County reserves the right to reject any and all bids.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the specifications for the annual audit be approved as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be instructed to request bids on the annual audit for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE DEALING WITH LAND HOLDINGS OF BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise admendment A-82-4, dealing with land holdings of benevolent associations for a public hearing to be held May 5, 1982.

IN RE: RECOGNITION OF CLASS STUDYING DINWIDDIE COUNTY

The Chairman recognized Mrs. Kathy Young and Mrs. Freda Byrd and their class of students in grades 4-7 selected to participate in a class in "Learning About Dinwiddie County". He then took the time to explain what action had been taken on the items listed on the agenda.

IN RE: FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION--REQUEST FOR FUNDING FOR SUMMER EMPLOYMENT

The Chairman read a letter from Mrs. Gladys Holland, County Supervisor for the FHA Petersburg office, requesting funding for a student worker for employment in the FHA office for the summer months. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the agency should fund its own personnel and the Board agreed that they should not become involved in the funding of personnel in a federal agency.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the request to fund summer employment for the Farmers Home Administration Office was denied.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and (6), the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:38 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:35 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., April 13, 1982.

APRIL 13, 1982--CONTINUATION OF APRIL 7, 1982 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1982-83 PROPOSED INCOME AND EXPENSE FIGURES

The County Administrator briefly touched on the major changes in the proposed budget figures. He advised the Board that the major increase in repairs to buildings is due to several improvements that must be made. Among those are: replacing the roof on the Clerk's Office; removing several trees behind the courthouse; running the water line to the courthouse; and replacing the floor in the Health Department building. The Board discussed these but no action was taken.

Mr. Clay indicated that he was concerned that there was not enough money budgeted for the vehicle fund. The County Administrator suggested that $50,000 could be transferred to this account before the end of the present budget year and with the $40,000 budgeted for next year, $90,000 would be available for vehicle purchases as needed. The Board discussed increasing the vehicle fund figure in the budget but no action was taken.

Mr. Bennett suggested that a ceiling be placed on the amount of the Blue Cross/Blue Shield premium paid on an individual employee and let the employee be responsible for paying any increase beyond this ceiling during the year. The Board discussed this but no action was taken.

In the budget presented to the Board, the additional appropriation shown for the School Board budget was $232,000 made up of the return of the $100,000 surplus this year in their budget, additional income generated by the Utility License tax if adopted, and additional interest income. The County Administrator advised the Board that he felt this amount of money could provide at least an 8.5 % increase for school personnel.

Mr. Robertson asked the members to give an indication of what they felt would be an appropriate increase to budget for the schools. Mr. Hargrave stated that he would agree with anything in the $150,000 to $180,000 range because he felt a 6% to 8% salary increase was fair. He added that personnel should realize that the accompanying fringe benefits are also an increase provided for them. Mr. Clay indicated he would agree with $150,000 if taxes would not be raised for the next two years. Mr. Robertson indicated $150,000 and Mr. Weber stated he could approve $180,000 for the schools. Mr. Bennett stated that he would agree with $0 to $100,000 because he had hoped salaries could remain the same for this year without an increase. He felt that the same citizens are being asked to carry the tax burden and job security alone should mean something to the employees.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:10 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:15 P.M.

IN RE: APPROPRIATION TO BE ADVERTISED FOR 1982-83 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, there being no second, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the increase in appropriation to be advertised for the 1982-83 School Board budget from local funds be the return of $100,000 surplus in the present school budget and $50,000 for the debt retirement payment due.

IN RE: PROPOSED 1982-83 SALARY ADJUSTMENTS FOR COUNTY EMPLOYEES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay", Mr. Weber abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the proposed budget reflect no increase in salaries for county employees; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $15,000 be set aside for salary adjustments after an evaluation of individual performance and job requirements.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING DATE--1982-83 BUDGET AND PROPOSED TAX RATES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the public hearing for the 1982-83 budget be set for Wednesday, May 19, 1982; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following tax rates for 1982 be authorized to be advertised for a public hearing:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | .81 |
| Mobile Homes | .81 |
| Mineral Lands | .81 |
| Public Service | |
| Equalized | .81 |
| Unequalized | 4.40 |
| Personal Property | 5.40 |
| Machinery & Tools | 5.40 |
| Farm Machinery | 4.00 |

IN RE: CHOICE OF OPTION TO PAY EMPLOYER'S SHARE OF RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had received a letter from the Virginia Supplemental Retirement System indicating that the General Assembly is providing an option to localities of phasing in the employer share of the retirement contribution for the 1982-84 biennium. He stated that the rate is increasing to 7.4% and the following options are being offered:

| | OPTION A | OPTION B |
|---|---|---|
| YEAR | RATE IF NO PHASE-IN | RATE WITH PHASE-IN |
| 1982-83 | 7.40% | 5.92% |
| 1983-84 | 7.40% | 6.93% |
| 1984 and After | 7.40% | 7.52% |

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Option B be elected as the method the County chooses to make its VSRS contribution for the 1982-84 biennium.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr.

Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:30 P.M.

G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 1982 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | JOHN R. HODGES | INVESTIGATOR |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend David P. Jordan, Pastor of the Friendship Baptist Church of Kenbridge and the Levi and Triumph Baptist Churches of Prince Edward County, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the April 7, 1982 regular meeting and the April 13, 1982 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-643 through 82-772 amounting to $84,142.58; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-82-5 and 6 amounting to $145.00; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-82-6 through LF-82-8 amounting to $97.41; History Book Fund check #HB-82-2 in the amount of $3.52.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO DRAFT AND ADVERTISE UTILITY LICENSE TAX ORDINANCE

The County Administrator briefly explained the Utility License Tax, a tax imposed on gross sales of utilities operating in the County, stating that it was already in effect in 18 counties in the State. He estimated the tax would generate $60,000 revenue for the county and would not be an additional tax on the citizens. Because it is a tax, the Board will have to wait seven days after the public hearing is held to take any action.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft a Utility License Tax ordinance and the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the ordinance for a public hearing to be held May 19, 1982.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

The Chairman advised the Board that the terms of office for James M. Thrower and Emmett Seay on the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority expired on February 5, 1982. Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. Thrower. Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Seay.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. James M. Thrower and Mr. Emmett Seay are hereby reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, terms expiring February 5, 1986.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING CONTRACT

In the Fall of 1981, the Board of Supervisors requested that the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries include in the Property and Boat Landing Agreement an increase in the parking fee from $1.00 to $2.00 and provisions for a concession stand. The Commission approved these requests and included them in the contract sent to the Board for their approval.

Mr. Hargrave asked what "staff approval" meant concerning the concession stand. The County Administrator advised him that it meant the Game Commission staff.

Mr. Weber asked if the County Administrator had secured anyone to run a concession stand and operate the facility. The County Administrator stated he had not found anyone.

Mr. Weber stated that he hated to see an increase in the parking fee; however, he felt it was necessary to provide a clean and safe place for the public.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to execute the following Agreement with the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries:

PROPERTY AND BOAT LANDING AGREEMENT

This Agreement is entered into this 1st day of November, 1981 by the County of Dinwiddie, hereinafter referred to as the County, and the Virginia Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries, hereinafter referred to as the Commission.

WHEREAS, the County deems it desirable that it join with the Commission to maintain a public boat ramp, parking, and related facilities on Commission land known as the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing; and

WHEREAS, the Commission shall:

1. Maintain boat ramp and docks.
2. Provide and maintain necessary signs.
3. Maintain roads and parking areas--grade and stone, etc.
4. Provide Game Warden patrols.
5. Permit the County to charge a fee of up to $2.00 per vehicle for use of facilities other than boat ramp.
6. With staff approval, permit the County to provide and maintain a concession facility.

WHEREAS, the County shall:

1. Clean, pump, and maintain toilets.
2. Provide and maintain trash containers.
3. Clean up and remove trash-litter.
4. Mow grass and control weeds, brush, or other vegetation.
5. Provide reasonable police patrols.
6. Open and close the entrance gate at times designated by the County; and

WHEREAS, the above special terms are mutually agreed upon and violation of any terms by either the County or the Commission may result in cancellation of this Agreement by either party; and

WHEREAS, the term of this Agreement is for one (1) year. The Agreement will renew itself each year unless terminated by either party with thirty (30) days written notice prior to the anniversary date.

WITNESS THE FOLLOWING SIGNATURES AND SEALS:

County of Dinwiddie, By

_____
W.C. Knott, County Administrator

Virginia Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries, By

_____
Richard H. Cross, Jr.
Executive Director

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF GAME WARDEN--JAMES MICHAEL C. BONAVITA

Mr. James Bonavita, Game Warden for Dinwiddie County, appeared before the Board to introduce himself and to answer any questions they might have concerning his duties. He explained that beginning July 1, 1982, he will have police powers. This will extend his authority and allow him to be of more assistance to the State Police and the Sheriff's Department. He added it would also allow him to enforce the new regulations adopted by Dinwiddie and Chesterfield County concerning speeding and exhaust fumes on Lake Chesdin.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the new regulations be posted at the Boat Landing and the other marinas on the lake. Mr. Bonavita offered his assistance in posting the signs if the County would have them prepared.

IN RE: MEETING AT AIRPORT--APRIL 26, 1982

The Chairman reminded the members that the Airport Authority needed an indication from the members as to whether they would be attending the meeting at the Airport on April 26, 1982 at 5:00 P.M. Mr. W.C. Scheid stated a box lunch would be provided.

All five members indicated they intended to be there.

IN RE: ROAD CHECKS FOR COUNTY TAGS

Mr. Weber asked if any road checks for county tags had been held since the last meeting. Mr. Roy Hodges stated he thought one had been held but they were not finding many violations in the County. Mr. Weber asked Mr. Hodges to take back to the Sheriff his request for a road check to be held on Halifax Road.

Mr. Hargrave also asked Mr. Hodges to take his request back to the Sheriff that the area around the jail be straightened up. He stated it was becoming very cluttered with old appliances and trash.

IN RE: BOAT LANDING OPERATION REPORT

Mr. John Gibbs and Mr. Raymond McCants, employees at the Boat Landing, were present and the Board asked if they had any comments concerning the boat landing operation. Mr. Gibbs stated that he was receiving complaints from the public about potholes in the road to the ramp and about the poor shape the ramp itself was in. The County Administrator stated that those two areas were the responsibility of the Game Commission and they were notified of these conditions about two weeks ago. Mr. Gibbs stated he would pass this information on to the public when asked.

Mr. Bennett asked if there was alot of activity at the ramp since it opened. Mr. McCants reported that $789.50 had been taken in since April 1, 1982.

Mr. Gibbs asked why he never saw the Sheriff's Department pass through the boat landing area. Mr. Hodges stated that the

deputies had been instructed to ride through there but he would notify Captain Heath of their concerns.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:32 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 5:00 P.M., April 26, 1982.

APRIL 26, 1982--CONTINUATION OF APRIL 21, 1982 MEETING--5:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.(arrived at 6:30 PM) | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: TOUR OF AIRPORT COMPLEX AND SURROUNDING AREA

The Board joined the Airport Authority members for a flying tour of the Airport Complex and surrounding area and a walking tour of the Airport facilities, including hangars, maintenance and repair areas and airport terminal.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:00 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 5TH DAY OF MAY, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Chairman opened the meeting with the Lord's Prayer, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the April 21, 1982 regular meeting and the April 29, 1982 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 82-773 through 82-863 amounting to $76,734.69.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION 1982-83 SECONDARY ROADS BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Friday, April 30, 1982 and Tuesday, May 4, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing jointly with the Department of Highways & Transportation to receive comments from interested citizens concerning the 1982-83 secondary roads improvement budget.

Mr. C.B. Perry, Resident Engineer and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, were present to open the hearing and answer any questions.

The following comments were made:

1. Mr. G.C. Crumpler appeared before the Board to request that Rt. 738 be improved. He stated it was a 1.10 mile dirt road connecting Rt. 645 and Rt. 647, and saved approximately five to six miles travel time for working people. Mr. Crumpler indicated that the road was in such bad shape that it was difficult to make the turn into the road without sliding in the ditch. He added that some work had been done; however the traffic had mashed the dirt down and reopened the ruts. Mr. Herbert Martin was also present in support of improvements to the road.

Mr. Perry stated the road had been in the six-year plan but had been pushed back due to a shortfall in funds.

Mr. Clay asked if these roads pushed back would be brought back into the six-year plan as funds became available. He was also interested in having improvements made to Rt. 738.

Mr. Perry advised the Board that all the roads would be considered again when the Board met to update the six-year plan and establish priorities. In the meantime, Mr. Perry indi-

cated the Department could shape up the road.

Mr. Robertson asked for a report after Mr. Perry reviewed the road.

2. Mr. Sid Mayes appeared before the Board to discuss Route 142. The portion he was concerned about lies within the city limits of Petersburg; however, he thought if improvements were made, it would probably have to be a joint effort with the County. He stated the road is a real safety hazard.

Mr. Perry advised Mr. Mayes to forward his concern to the City of Petersburg because it does lie within the City's boundaries.

This concluded the public hearing.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-5--CHANGE IN POLLING PLACE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 21, 1982 and Wednesday, April 28, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 7A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, to reflect a change in the polling place for Precinct Number 302 from Baird's Store to Oak Grove United Methodist Church.

The change is recommended by the Electoral Board and Registrar to provide an improved facility for voting purposes.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes:

CHAPTER 7A - ELECTIONS

Section 7A-3. Precincts - Names, number and polling places.

ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 3

| Precinct | Polling Place |
|---|---|
| Number 302, Reams | Oak Grove United Methodist Church |

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-4--VEHICLE LICENSES AMENDMENT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 21, 1982 and Wednesday, April 28, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 11 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, to repeal Sections 11-12, 11-13 and 11-18 of Chapter 11, Article II and adding revised Sections 11-12, 11-13 and 11-18 dealing with vehicle licenses.

Mr. Elder presented the amendment indicating the change clears up who is responsible for enforcing the ordinance, and aides the Sheriff's Department in carrying out their duties.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by repealing Sections 11-12, 11-13, and 11-18 of Chapter 11, Article II and replacing them by the following:

Chapter 11. Motor Vehicles and Traffic

Article II - VEHICLE LICENSES

Section 11-12. Licenses Required

There is hereby imposed a license tax upon every motor vehicle, trailer or semitrailer normally garaged stored or parked in the county.

Section 11-13. Exemptions

The provisions of this article shall not apply to any vehicle exempted by the provisions of sections 46.1-45 to 46.1-48 and section 46.1-66 of the Code of Virginia, nor shall the provisions of this article apply to any vehicle licensed pursuant to sections 46.1-49 or 46.1-50 of the Code of Virginia, nor to any vehicle displaying special permanent plates as approved and issued by the division of motor vehicles pursuant to sections 46.1-149.1 of the Code of Virginia to veterans with service-connected disabilities nor to any vehicle otherwise exempted by state law.

Section 11-18. Licensing requirements and tags, stickers, etc., generally.

Any person coming under the provisions of this article shall make application for license upon forms prescribed by the treasurer of the county, and upon payment of the required tax shall be issued, as evidence thereof, a tag, tin or sticker such as may be prescribed by the board of supervisors which shall be conspicuously placed upon the vehicle so licensed, in such a manner as instructed by the treasurer of the county. Operating any vehicles required to be licensed by this article on the streets, highways or roads of this county without displaying such tag, tin or sticker shall be a violation of this article, even though the license tax has been paid.

No vehicle so taxable shall be licensed unless and until the applicant for such license shall have produced satisfactory evidence that all personal property taxes upon all motor vehicles, trailers or semitrailers which personal property taxes have been assessed or are assessable against such applicant for the taxable year 1968 and every subsequent year thereafter, have been paid.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-6--LAND HOLDINGS OF BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATIONS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 21, 1982 and Wednesday, April 28, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Article IX, Sec. 8-32, authorizing benevolent associations to hold up to ten (10) acres of real estate.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of Article IV, Section 8-32:

CHAPTER 8 - FINANCE AND TAXATION.

Article IX - Miscellaneous Exemptions

Section 8-32. Benevolent Associations - Real Estate Held

Pursuant to Section 57-20 of the Code of Virginia the trustees of any benevolent or other such association as enumerated in Section 57-19 of the Code of Virginia are hereby authorized to take and hold a quantity of real estate in Dinwiddie County not exceeding ten (10) acres at any one time. All such holdings heretofore acquired are validated.

IN RE: CONFEDERATE WOODS SUBDIVISION--SECTION TWO--ADDITION OF STONEWALL DRIVE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors this 5th day of May 1982, that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Stonewall Drive, beginning at a point on Route 1405, 0.20 mile east of Route 627 and running in a southeasterly direction 0.43 mile to dead end with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specification and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that this road in Confederate Woods Subdivision, Section Two, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia, a minimum unrestricted right of way of fifty (50) feet with necessary easements for cuts, fill, and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 10, Pages 100 and 101, dated January 6, 1977.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of April, 1982.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Mr. Weber asked if the Sheriff's Department had been holding road checks for county decals. The Sheriff stated they had been and have taken several to court.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of April, 1982.

Mr. Hargrave stated he had spoken with the Building Inspector about an improperly wired trailer. He asked that the County Administrator and Building Inspector look into providing for proper grounding requirements when an inspection is made.

Mr. Robertson asked if a written report had been submitted for the inspection of the Amusement Center in the old Stuckey's building.

The County Administrator stated an inspection had been made but the written report was not finalized.

Mr. Robertson requested a copy of the report when it is finished.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of April, 1982.

Mr. Brooks also presented two livestock claims for the Board's consideration and indicated he had verified the values.

Mr. Robertson stated that in the future, he would like for Mr. Brooks to indicate on the claim whether he recommended approval or not.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--A.W. BROWDER

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. A.W. Browder was awarded $71.25 for three (3) pigs.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--H.N. VANCLEEF

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. H.N. VanCleff was awarded $266.80 for two (2) calves.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT -- FY 1982-83

The Director of Planning presented a resolution for the Board's consideration to approve submission of an application to renew the County's Litter Control program for 1982-83. He explained that the total amount of the grant is $3795, $250 of which goes to the Town of McKenney unless they choose to return it to the County for use as they have done in the past.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of Grants for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby endorses and supports such a program for the County of Dinwiddie as is indicated in the attached Application Form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby authorizes the County Administrator to plan, budget, and apply for a Grant, which if approved, will be used to fund said Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of

Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby requests the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve said Application and Program, said Program being in accord with the regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: EXTENSION OF ON-PREMISES BEER SALES TO 2:00 A.M.

Mr. Mastin Kitchen, owner of Kitchen's Restaurant, appeared before the Board to request the extension of on-premises beer sales until 2:00 A.M. Presently, beer can be sold until 12:00 Midnight, but with the change to daylight savings time, Mr. Kitchen stated it gets dark later and the time limit, therefore, cuts into his business sales. He added that since all the surrounding localities had extended their on-premises sales until 2:00 A.M. and his business is very close to Petersburg, he lost alot of business to the City. Mr. Kitchen indicated that he had not decided he would keep his business open until 2:00 A.M., but he would like the option if customers wanted to stay longer and finish their beer or he was having a dance with a well-mannered crowd.

Mr. Richard B. Temple, Temple's Exxon, appeared in support of the extension of time. Wilmurt's Restaurant and the El Matador Restaurant were also represented.

The County Attorney advised the Board that any action on extending the time would have to be considered by the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board and a request to them would have to be by a unanimous vote of those Board members present.

Mr. Robertson asked what kind of time frame would there be for consideration by the ABC board. The County Attorney indicated he really couldn't say, but he thought there could be a decision made by the end of the month.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there are numerous ABC Board licensees in Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, under existing rules and regulations, beer and wine sales are prohibited after 12:00 Midnight; and

WHEREAS, the adjoining City of Petersburg has approved liquor by the drink and service of all alcoholic beverages is permitted until 2:00 A.M., and

WHEREAS, this results in a loss of revenue to Dinwiddie County businesses due to customers patronizing businesses in the City of Petersburg;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia unanimously requests that an exception be granted to Dinwiddie County, Virginia for beer and wine sales on-premises until 2:00 A.M.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--PATTERSON'S MILL SOFTBALL CLUB

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, appeared before the Board to present a request from the Patterson's Mill Softball Club for a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a Blue Grass Concert at the Patterson's Mill Ball Park on July 17, 1982. Mr. Earl Elder and Mr. Jerry Stigall, applicants, were present to answer any questions about their request. The proceeds from the function are to be used for improvements to the ball park and to help the finances of the Ball Club.

Mr. Scheid stated the applicants have given evidence of meeting all the requirements of the application to the County Administrator's and his satisfaction. They have made

the proper contacts for fire, rescue and police protection and have assured him they would shut down at 12:00 Midnight. They expect to sell 750 tickets.

Mr. Clay stated he had not received any objections to the concert in the area.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", a Special Entertainment Permit was approved for the Patterson's Mill Softball Club to hold a Blue Grass Concert at the Patterson's Mill Ball Park on July 17, 1982 subject to the conditions as stated in the permit.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF STREET LIGHT--ROUTE 601

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been requested by John Drinkwater to place a streetlight along Route 601 in the vicinity of his house; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator and the VEPCO representative recommend that this streetlight be installed;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is directed to have installed on Route 601 a streetlight on VEPCO pole #8.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1982-83 AIRPORT BUDGET REQUEST

Mr. Robertson stated he felt the Board should indicate their position on the budget request of $21,000 for the Airport Authority, an additional $6,000 on the present proposed budget allocation. He added that since Petersburg City Council has asked the City Manager to come up with $21,000 in their budget, he felt their decision would be predicated on what Dinwiddie is going to appropriate.

Mr. Hargrave stated he wanted to better understand the need for the items outlined in the budget requested. He questioned the amount listed for heat and light with so few workers and the need for part-time clerical help.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Secretary-Treasurer to the Airport Authority, briefly commented on a few of the line items, indicating the justification for the amounts. He stated that the Authority had a contract with the Airport Manager to fund the heat and light for the terminal building on a de-escalating basis. He added that some of the light cost was fixed because the runway and beacon lights had to be kept on. The contingency funds were set aside for repairs that had to be made or the airport would have to be closed down. Mr. Scheid indicated they did not want to dip into the funds set aside for improvements because they expected the federal government to come up with the match. He stated that the clerical personnel was needed because there was correspondence that had to be handled on a daily basis dealing with grants and airport activities, between the hours of 9 and 5.

Mr. Hargrave stated his only concern was that the funding requested was for items that were truly needed.

Mr. Clay indicated he was willing to make an effort to find the $6,000 extra appropriation. Mr. Hargrave agreed.

Mr. Weber stated he felt the $6,000 additional appropriation for the Airport Authority should be added to the budget.

He felt the Airport Manager was doing a good job and it was the Board's duty to keep it going.

Mr. Clay stated he was impressed with the Airport operations but he wanted to see where the money was coming from before making a commitment.

Mr. Robertson asked if the $6,000 was available. The County Administrator stated the Board would have to approve what changes were made to come up with the extra $6,000. Mr. Robertson asked if it could be taken from the General Fund. The County Administrator stated it could.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, is in favor of an effort to find $6,000 additional funding for the Airport Authority in the 1982-83 budget.

IN RE: RANDY FITZGERALD--RECOGNITION OF BIRTH OF SON

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Randy Fitzgerald, the esteemed and renowned reporter for the Richmond News Leader and his wife, Kristie, brought into this world, Kyle Randolph, their first-born son, on April 1, 1982 at 8:15 A.M.; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County is desirous of recognizing this momentous occasion in the lives of Randy and Kristie Fitzgerald;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby memorializes this day in history by recognizing Kyle Randolph as the junior reporter for the News Leader; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Kyle's first article will be a plea to Randy and Kristie to bring into this world a brother or sister; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this resolution be delivered to Kyle Randolph Fitzgerald and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:14 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:30 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:30 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 19TH DAY OF MAY, 1982 AT 8:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |
| | CLARK WOOD | DEPUTY SHERIFF |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend J.E. Meredith, Assistant Pastor, Center Star Baptist Church, delivered the Invocation which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the May 5, 1982 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 82-864 through 82-985 amounting to $44,068.13; Library Fund check #LF-82-9 in the amount of $25.00.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1982-83 BUDGET--1982 TAX RATE & UTILITY LICENSE TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 5, 1982 and Wednesday, May 12, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the 1982-83 budget, the 1982 tax rate and an ordinance to amend Chapter 10 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Article IV, to provide for a license tax on certain utility and service companies.

The County Administrator outlined the income and expenses and highlighted significant changes over last year's budget. He stated no increase in the tax rates had been advertised. He explained the proposed Utility License Tax assesses utilities operating within the County at the rate of one-half of one percent of gross sales.

The Chairman opened the public hearing stating the Board has spent a considerable amount of time on the budget presented to keep it within the present tax structure without having to raise the rate. He also indicated $15,000 had been set aside for use for salary increases for those thirteen employees directly under the authority of the Board of Supervisors, after a careful evaluation of these employees and their positions.

The following people made comments or asked questions concerning the budget, tax levies and the Utility License Tax:

Ms. Julia Summey; Ms. Lillian Stewart; Ms. Cornelia Roberts; Mr. Richard Earl; Ms. Laura Gregory; Mr. John Scarborough and Mr. Loid Hodnett.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, advised the Board that a separate tax rate could be established for the cate-

gory of Heavy Construction Machinery, which would be taken from Personal Property.

Mr. Robertson stated the Board had undertaken some economy measures in the gas and vehicle categories. The present gas contract is open ended which allows for the County to take advantage of the fluctuating gas prices. He further stated the change in the way the State reimburses for county vehicle expenses, makes it advantageous to run the vehicles longer and ask the County employees to bear this in mind when operating the vehicles.

Mr. Hargrave added that in the same vein, the County was looking at possibly undertaking self-maintenance on all county vehicles in a centralized effort rather than at random as it is done now.

There being no further comments, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

IN RE: SELECTION OF AUDIT FIRM

The County Administrator advised the Board the following proposals were received to perform the County's audit: Deloitte, Haskins & Sells - $11,000; A.M. Pullen - $12,000; and Robinson, Farmer, Cox - $9500. He further stated that quality service would be provided by all three firms.

Mr. Robertson questioned the out-of-pocket expenses mentioned in all three proposals and asked if there was a way of putting a cap on these expenses. The County Administrator stated all three proposals gave a quotation "not to exceed" for performing the actual audit. The out-of-pocket expenses arise when the firm is called during the year to assist the Treasurer or other departments. However, he felt this assistance has been used very judiciously by the departments in the past. Mr. Hargrave indicated he really didn't see any way you could put a cap on this expense, only to hope it will be controlled by judicious use.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the firm of Robinson, Farmer and Cox was selected to perform the audit for the County for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1982.

IN RE: EVALUATION OF EMPLOYEES

Mr. Robertson asked the members whether they desired to complete the evaluation and salary adjustments of the County employees prior to July 1, 1982, and if so, they needed to set a meeting date. Mr. Clay stated that he felt any adjustments should be made prior to July 1, 1982. Mr. Hargrave suggested that the meeting for evaluation of employees be added to the June 2, 1982 agenda. The other members agreed.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION

Mr. Robertson stated the Board had solicited bids for cabletelevision on two occasions and did not receive a bid either time. He felt either the contract needed amending or there just wasn't any interest by cabletelevision companies to come into the County.

Mr. Robertson then asked for concurrence from the other members to meet with representatives of a couple of cabletelevision firms to see what was needed in a contract to bring cabletelevision into the County. Mr. Weber indicated he felt the members should meet with the cabletelevision firms because the people should receive better service.

The Board members agreed with Mr. Robertson's request. Mr. Hargrave suggested the County Attorney be present at any meeting that is arranged.

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION--B. C. MEDLOCK

Mr. Hargrave stated Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, was retiring after many years of valuable service to the County and moved that a resolution of appreciation be prepared for presentation at the June 2, 1982 meeting. Mr. Bennett seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

IN RE: REDUCTION IN ENERGY COSTS--HONEYWELL BOSS SYSTEM

The County Administrator reviewed a letter from Honeywell, Inc. showing approximately $6900 in energy cost savings for the second year of the County's use of the BOSS System.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The Chairman adjourned the meeting at 9:35 P.M.

George E. Robertson Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF JUNE, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |
| | C.L. MITCHELL | SHERIFF |
| ABSENT: | L.G. ELDER | COUNTY ATTORNEY |

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Herman Grandison, Pastor, Pleasant Grove Baptist Church, delivered the Invocation which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the May 19, 1982 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 82-986 through 82-1076 amounting to $79,793.86.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of May, 1982.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of May, 1982.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF 1981-82 UNEXPENDED SECONDARY ROADS RURAL ADDITION FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there is a remaining balance of $44,289 in the VDH&T 1981-82 secondary roads rural addition budget for the County of Dinwiddie; and

WHEREAS, the County may elect to carry forward this remaining balance for use in the 1982-83 fiscal year budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation transfer any unexpended rural addition funds in the 1981-82 Secondary Roads budget for Dinwiddie County to the rural addition funds in the 1982-83 Secondary Roads budget.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF PRIORITY LIST OF THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FOR 1982-83 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

Mr. C.B. Perry, II, Resident Engineer and Mr. B.C. Medlock, Ass't. Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to review

the proposed priority list for the Dinwiddie County 1982-83 Secondary Roads Improvement budget and ask for their input concerning the proposed construction items. He presented a list of ten items that could be funded.

Mr. Perry stated that these projects were established in the County's six-year plan.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held jointly with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation on May 5, 1982 to receive public input on the 1982-83 Secondary Roads Improvement Budget; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors met with representatives of the VDH&T in a workshop session on June 2, 1982 to discuss the construction priorities for the 1982-83 Secondary Roads Improvement budget; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments made at the public hearing and recommendations from the VDH&T representatives, the Board of Supervisors concurs with the priorities as listed in the 1982-83 Secondary Roads Improvement budget;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the priority list of the construction projects for Dinwiddie County's 1982-83 Secondary Roads Improvement budget be adopted as presented by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION--BASKERVILLE C. MEDLOCK

The Chairman presented the following resolution to Mr. B.C. Medlock:

WHEREAS, Baskerville C. Medlock has served the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation with integrity and dedication for a period of more than thirty-six years, from May 6, 1946 to June 30, 1982, the last twenty-six years as Assistant Resident Engineer; and

WHEREAS, Baskerville C. Medlock has played an important role in the development and improvement of the Highway system in Dinwiddie County and has provided valuable guidance to the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors on the 2nd day of June, 1982 is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express its awareness of and appreciation for his unselfish and honorable work on behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby commends Mr. Baskerville C. Medlock for his many contributions and devoted service; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Mr. Baskerville C. Medlock and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-82-2--BLUE TARTANE AMUSEMENT CENTER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress Index on Saturday, May 21 and Saturday, May 28, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider a Conditional Use Permit, C-82-2, for Mr. Jimmy Ray Pope. Said application requests that a conditional use permit be issued to land parcel 21(1)B which contains the main building of Blue Tartane to allow an amusement center. The land parcel is located on the east side of Rt. 1 about 1/8 mile south of Rt. 460 and Rt. 1 intersection.

The Director of Planning reviewed the application and action taken by the Planning Commission at their May 12, 1982 meeting. The Planning Commission recommended approval with the following five conditions: 1. Grant the permit for a five (5) month trial period with written re-application to the Board to review their experience and add/delete conditions as needed. 2. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on school days and close at 11:00 P.M. on nights preceding school days. 3. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on non-school days and close at 12:00 midnight on nights preceding non-school days. 4. County building official inspect building for compliance with BOCA Code. 5. An occupancy limit be determined and posted.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Scheid why the Board had to act on a special use permit for certain areas and others he did not.

Mr. Scheid explained that the Zoning Ordinance requires a Conditional Use Permit for the "public amusement center" use. Mr. Robertson then asked at what point was a business considered an amusement center. Mr. Scheid indicated when the primary source of income was from the amusement machines, then a permit would be required. Mr. Robertson then asked what would happen with the permit granted to Ms. Sandra Taylor at the end of the five month trial period. Mr. Scheid stated that when the applicant first approached him, the request was for an amusement center. However, if there are only three or four machines at the location and the use has changed, a determination as to whether the permit will be needed will have to be made at the end of the five month period.

Mr. Jimmy R. Pope appeared in support of his request. The Chairman asked Mr. Pope if he understood and agreed with the conditions. Mr. Pope indicated that he agreed with the conditions as stated by the Planning Comission. He stated it was not his intention to become a nuisance. He was going to have a family place with no alcoholic beverages allowed. Mr. Pope further stated he was willing to work with the School Board on the hours.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Bennett asked what type of machines Mr. Pope was going to have. Mr. Pope stated he was planning on having four to five video machines, a couple of pinball machines, and three pool tables. He also wanted to sell sodas and packaged snack foods.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Conditional Use Permit C-82-2 of Mr. Jimmy Ray Pope to operate an amusement center in the Old Blue Tartane Building on U.S. #1 was approved with the following conditions:

1. Grant the permit for a five (5) month trial period with written re-application to the Board to review their experience and add/delete conditions as needed.

2. The Center open at 9:00 A.M. on school days and close at 11:00 P.M. on nights preceding school days.

3. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on non-school days and close at 12:00 midnight on nights preceding non-school days.

4. County building official inspect building for compliance with BOCA code.

5. An occupancy limit be determined and posted.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO 1982-83 BUDGET

The County Administrator stated that he had received all the Constitutional Officers budgets except the Sheriff and they

would generate very little effect on the proposed budget figures. The only other change needed was the increase in the audit fee from $8,000 to $9500 due to the selection of an audit firm at the last meeting.

1. 8106-5607--Contribution to Airport Authority -- Mr. Robertson stated that he would like to see $6,000 transferred from the General Fund to increase the appropriation to the Airport Authority from $15,000 to $21,000.

Mr. Hargrave moved that $6,000 be transferred from the General Fund to increase the appropriation to the Airport Authority from $15,000 to $21,000 with the condition that an attempt be made to satisfy the Secretarial needs of the Authority within the County Administrative offices with a phone number to handle the Authority business.

Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

2. Tax Rate -- Mr. Weber moved that $60,000 be transferred from the General Fund to reduce the personal property tax from $5.40 to $5.10. He felt the $5.40 rate was entirely too high. There was no second. Mr. Weber and Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "nay". The motion was defeated.

3. 1208-3002--Auditing Cost CPA -- Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the auditing cost was increased from $8,000 to $9,500. The County Administrator indicated that the $1500 could be taken from the changes in the Constitutional Officers' budgets as set by the Compensation Board.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1982-83 BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the following 1982-83 budget is hereby approved:

| ANTICIPATED INCOME | 1982-83 |
|---|---|
| From Local Sources | 5,469,800 |
| From State Sources | 1,481,200 |
| From Revenue Sharing | 325,000 |
| From General Fund | 106,000 |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME | 7,382,000 |
| ESTIMATED EXPENSES | |
| 01 General Government Administration | |
| 011 Legislative | 18,200 |
| 012 General & Financial Admin. | 234,830 |
| 013 Board of Elections | 24,080 |
| 02 Judicial Administration | |
| 021 Courts | 22,510 |
| 022 Commonwealth's Attorney | 45,910 |
| 03 Public Safety | |
| 031 Law Enforcement & Traffic Control | 469,750 |
| 032 Fire & Rescue Services | 76,600 |
| 033 Correction & Detention | 87,530 |
| 034 Inspections | 21,350 |
| 035 Other Protection | 23,530 |
| 04 Public Works | |
| 041 Maintenance of Highways & Streets | 30,200 |
| 042 Sanitation & Waste Removal | 225,510 |
| 043 Maintenance of Bldgs.& Grounds | 103,110 |
| 044 Water Service | 80,000 |
| 05 Health & Welfare | |
| 051 Health | 73,890 |
| 052 Mental Health & Mental Retard. | 21,750 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 053 | Social Services | 10,380 |
| 06 | Education | |
| 064 | Community Colleges | 670 |
| 07 | Parks, Recreation & Cultural | |
| 071 | Parks & Recreation | 9,500 |
| 073 | Library | 64,010 |
| 08 | Community Development | |
| 081 | Planning & Community Development | 84,690 |
| 082 | Environmental Management | 26,200 |
| 083 | Cooperative Extension Program | 32,720 |
| 09 | Non-departmental | |
| 091 | Non-departmental | 448,500 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES | | 2,235,420 |
| 5100 | TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS | |
| 5101 | Virginia Public Assistance Fund from General Fund | 810,590 |
| 5102 | School Fund from General Fund | 4,010,990 |
| 5103 | School Fund from Rev. Sharing Fund | 325,000 |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES AND TRANSFERS | | 7,382,000 |

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1982 TAX RATES

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the State has authorized a new tax rate classification, called Heavy Construction Machinery, which would be taxed at the same rate as personal property if the Board desired.

Mr. Weber stated that he still felt the personal property rate was too high and he would like to see it reduced to $5.10. He stated he felt the rate was ridiculous and an injustice to the citizens.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the tax rates be adopted as advertised with the addition of the Heavy Construction Equipment category. He further stated that he appreciated Mr. Weber's feelings. He felt the Board should be commended for being able to maintain the present rates without raising them.

Mr. Bennett stated that he agreed with Mr. Hargrave. Everyone would like to see a decrease but the popularity gained this year would be lost next year because the Board would have to double taxes to recover. He added that the County had to borrow money for the first time he could ever remember. He asked the Treasurer if she felt we would have to borrow this year. Mrs. Lewis indicated they might since tax monies would not come in until November.

Mr. Robertson stated that he agreed with decreasing the personal property rate to $5.10. He indicated that the interest gained on money received if the County started quarterly billing would alleviate the need to borrow money. He added that he had asked the Board members to talk with other localities so they could sit down and discuss the pros and cons of quarterly billing.

Mr. Bennett seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the following tax rates were adopted for tax year 1982:

| | |
|---|---|
| Real Estate | .81 |
| Mobile Homes | .81 |
| Mineral Lands | .81 |
| Public Service | |
| Equalized | .81 |
| Unequalized | 4.40 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Personal Property | 5.40 |
| Machinery & Tools | 5.40 |
| Farm Machinery | 4.00 |
| Heavy Const. Machinery | 5.40 |

IN RE: ADOPTION OF UTILITY LICENSE TAX

The Board held a public hearing on the Utility License Tax with the budget and tax rate at the May 19, 1982 meeting. The Chairman stated it was not a direct tax on the citizens who use the utility services and would generate approximately $60,000.

Mr. Hargrave stated all taxes are eventually passed on to the consumer; however, the citizens were already paying a share of the cost of the license tax assessed on utilities in other localities.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the addition of Article IV, to provide for a license tax on certain utility and service companies equal to one-half of one percent of said companies' gross receipts as follows:

CHAPTER 10 - LICENSES GENERALLY

Article IV - Utility and Service Companies

Section 10-11. Definitions.

For the purposes of this Article, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively described to them by this section:

Gross Receipts. The gross receipts of the business from all earnings, fees, commissions, brokerage charges and rentals from all income whatsoever arising from or growing out of the conduct of the business during the calendar year immediately preceding the license tax year for which the tax is being computed, without any deduction whatsoever, unless otherwise expressly provided.

Person. Any individual, firm, copartnership, corporation, company, association or joint stock association. Such term shall include any trustee, receiver, assignee or personal representative thereof carrying on or continuing a business.

Section 10-12. In General - Administration.

(a) Effective Date. This Chapter shall be in full force and effect on and after July 1, 1982.

(b) Tax Year. The licenses required herein shall be issued and effective on a fiscal year basis of July 1 through June 30.

(c) Basis for Computation of Tax. The annual license taxes herein imposed shall be based on the gross receipts of the person for the calendar year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which the license is to be issued.

(d) Duty of Treasurer. It shall be the duty of the County Treasurer to collect the license taxes imposed herein.

(e) Application for license; filing; contents. All persons embraced by this Chapter shall make application for licenses to the Commissioner of the Revenue at his office in the County Administration Building. The Commissioner of the Revenue shall furnish the necessary forms which shall be properly filled in

with such information as the Commissioner may require. The Commissioner shall compute the amount of the license tax and, after obtaining a copy of the Treasurer's receipt described herein, shall issue a license to the applicant.

All licenses shall state the amount assessed and paid, the privilege to be exercised and the period of time for which the license is valid.

(f) Information to be furnished by applicant. Every applicant for a license to conduct any business, under the provisions of this Chapter shall furnish the Commissioner of the Revenue on or before February fifteenth of each year, in writing, with his correct name and trade name, his correct address, and a record of gross receipts, verified by oath, for the past calendar year, as well as such other information as may be required by law, on forms prescribed by the Commissioner of the Revenue. In the event of a failure or refusal to file with the Commissioner of the Revenue the information necessary to enable him to assess a license tax on the basis provided by law, the Commissioner of the Revenue shall assess such license tax upon the best information he can obtain, adding thereto the penalty prescribed by law.

(g) When license taxes payable. All license taxes imposed by this Chapter, except as herein otherwise provided, shall become due and payable on or before July fifteenth of each license tax year. In all cases where the person shall begin the business upon which a license tax is imposed under this Chapter, after July first of each license tax year, such license tax shall become due immediately, and payment shall be made within thirty days of the time such person commences business.

(h) Penalty for failure to pay license tax when due. There shall be a penalty of ten percent of the sum of the tax added to all license taxes imposed under the provision of this Chapter that are unpaid on the due date of July fifteenth of each license year; or in the case of any person first engaging in a business, profession, trade or occupation after January first of each license tax year the penalty shall be added on the thirty-first day after he first engages in such business, profession, trade or occupation.

In addition to the penalty for failure to pay on time, interest will accrue on the sum of the tax and penalty at the rate of ten percent per annum. Interest will be computed from the first day following the day on which the tax was payable. Taxes and penalty herein provided shall be assessed and collected in the manner provided by law for the enforcement of the collection of other taxes.

(i) Persons liable for license tax to keep records, report of gross receipts. Every person liable for a license tax under this Chapter which is based on gross receipts shall keep all records and accounts necessary to compute and to verify such gross receipts or gross expenditures, and the report of such gross receipts or gross expenditures shall be taken from such records. All such records and general books of account shall be open to inspection and examination by any authorized representative of the County, and shall be maintained for a period of three years.

Each licensee whose license is measured by gross receipts or gross expenditures shall submit to the Commissioner of the Revenue, not later than February fifteenth of each year, a report of his gross receipts or gross expenditures for the preceding year.

(j) Enforcement of Chapter. In the enforcement of the provisions of this Chapter, the Commissioner of the Revenue of the County, in addition to the powers herein specifically granted, shall have all and the same enforcement authority with respect to County licenses that State law confers upon Commissioners of the Revenue generally with respect to State licenses. As one of

the means of ascertaining the amount of any license tax due under the provisions of this Chapter, or of ascertaining any other pertinent information, the Commissioner of the Revenue may propound interrogatories to each applicant and may use such other evidence as he may procure. Such interrogatories shall be answered under oath, and it shall be unlawful for any applicant for a County license to refuse to answer any such interrogatories.

The Commissioner of the Revenue of the County and his deputy or deputies shall have such duties, authority and power with respect to the enforcement of the provisions of this Chapter as may be conferred by the Board of Supervisors.

The Commissioner of the Revenue or his duly qualified deputy or deputies shall have the power to summon any person by registered letter or otherwise to appear before him at his office at a time to be specified in such summons and to answer, under oath, questions touching such taxpayer's license tax liability. Failure of such person to answer such summons without good cause or failing or refusing to answer under oath questions touching his tax liability shall be a misdemeanor and punishable as provided by Section 10-12(k) of this Chapter. The Commissioner of the Revenue or his duly qualified deputy or deputies, after the hereinabove set out powers of enforcement have been exhausted, shall have the added power to proceed by warrant to enforce compliance with the provisions of this Chapter.

(k) License required; compliance with Chapter; penalty for violation of Chapter. It shall be unlawful and constitute a misdemeanor for any person to conduct a business included herein without a license as required under the provisions of this Chapter. It shall also be unlawful and constitute a misdemeanor for any person to violate any of the provisions of this Chapter. Any person who is convicted of violating any of the provisions of this Chapter shall, except where some other penalty is specifically provided, be punished by a fine not to exceed $1,000. or by imprisonment in the County jail for a period not to exceed thirty days, or both. Each day any person shall continue to violate the provisions of this Chapter after the due date of any license tax prescribed in this Chapter shall constitute a separate offense.

(l) Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary for the fiscal year 1982-83 all applicants shall apply for and provide all information required herein to the Commissioner of the Revenue for such licenses no later than July 15, 1982, and shall pay the license tax computed no later than August 15, 1982.

Section 10-13. Telephone and telegraph companies.

Every person engaged in the business of providing telephone and telegraph communications in the County shall pay for the privilege an annual license tax equal to one-half of one percent of the gross receipts during the next preceding calendar year, as herein above defined, from business accruing to such person from such business in the County; provided, however, charges for long distance telephone calls shall not be considered receipts of business in the County.

Section 10-14. Heat, light, power and gas companies.

Every person furnishing heat, light, power and gas for domestic, commercial and industrial consumption in the County shall pay for the privilege an annual license tax equal to one-half of one percent of the gross receipts, as hereinabove defined, of such business derived from within the County during the next preceding calendar year, excluding such service furnished to other electric utilities for resale.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO PREPARE PROCUREMENT ORDINANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE CODE

The County Administrator stated that the General Assembly adopted and the Governor signed a law requiring localities to abide

by the State Procurement requirements. He indicated that the Board has the alternative of abiding strictly by the State requirements or adopting a local ordinance incorporating a portion of the State requirements.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the Board should draw up its own ordinance with as little of the State requirements as possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the 1982 session of the General Assembly passed a bill requiring the localities abide by the State procurement regulations or adopt a local ordinance incorporating a portion of the state procurement regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Governor has signed this bill into law;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney is hereby instructed to draft for presentation to the Board of Supervisors a County ordinance reflecting the requirements of the State Code and the present County procurement policy.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The Board postponed the appointments to be made until June 16, 1982.

IN RE: EXTENSION OF JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL PROGRAM

The County Administrator stated that the General Assembly appropriated funds to the State to continue the Johnsongrass Control program through 1984 by matching funds with interested counties. He added that there were sufficient funds in the Johnsongrass Control fund now so that there would not be a need for an additional appropriation.

Mr. Clay stated he felt the program has been a success. He indicated the farmers had been informed about the problem and encouraged to use the chemicals available. He stated most of the money has been used to reimburse for the purchase of chemicals because Mr. Maitland, Extension Agent, has been acting as the Supervisor.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the 1982 Virginia General Assembly appropriated $100,000 for fiscal 1982-84 for the continuation of the State's Johnsongrass Control program; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors believes that the Johnsongrass Control Program in the County has been a success;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Johnsongrass Control Program is continued for the period July 1, 1982 through June 30, 1984.

IN RE: TRASH DUMPSTER ABUSE

The County Administrator stated that abuse of the County's trash dumpsters has been a problem for many years. However, the problem has become worse in the past few months. People place large items that are not allowed in the dumpsters in front of them preventing other people from getting close enough to deposit their trash and sometimes obstructing the trash trucks from unloading the boxes. He stated that his main concern was to find

a way to control the abuse so that it won't cost the County more than it is costing for trash collection. Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, stated that the biggest problem is those items that are supposed to be carried to the Landfill. He added that there hasn't been but two tickets written in the last five or six years. Mr. Hargrave asked if the locations were properly signed. Mr. Loftis stated they were. Mr. Robertson asked if there was alot of scavenging. Mr. Loftis stated scavenging was a big problem and they tore the signs down as soon as he put them up. Mr. Loftis suggested that the Board hire someone for 60-90 days, deputize them and place them under the County Administrator's control to police the dumpster locations. He added that the County's neighbors were causing most of the problems. He stated that the County did not own the property the dumpsters were located on, and they have to rely on the good will of the citizens.

Mr. Hargrave asked the Sheriff if he couldn't put men at the dumpster locations without the County having to hire someone and pay him extra. The Sheriff said he would be happy to help, but he felt Mr. Loftis could do as much as his men.

Mr. Hargrave indicated the individual would have to be out of uniform and not in a police car to be effective. He felt the Sheriff has enough manpower to do this.

Mr. Loftis stated it was costing the County $30,000 a year to clean up the mess.

Mr. Weber asked if an ordinance could be drawn up to prevent outsiders from using the dumpsters. He was told it could not.

Mr. Robertson asked what help the Sheriff could be. The Sheriff stated he could try to work something out and report to the Board in thirty days.

Mr. Hargrave emphasized that the individual must commit to being out of uniform and not in a police vehicle. He added he was agreeable to the other suggestion of hiring someone. He indicated alot of abuse could be prevented by getting people to control their sloppy habits.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Litter Control money could be used to hire someone. The County Administrator stated he would check.

Mr. Clay felt a deputy could slow the abuse down, but they needed to move on it right away. He suggested using the Sheriff's deputies and hiring someone to work with them.

Mr. Weber stated he was against paying $60 a day and felt the Litter Control money should be used.

Mr. Travis Wells, an employee at the Landfill, stated that people deposit items they should not at dumpsters right in front of him. He felt they needed someone to write tickets, and put the names in the newspaper.

Mr. Clay moved that the County Administrator be authorized to hire someone for a short period of time to work with the Sheriff's Department deputies to police the dumpster locations, cost not to exceed $2,000. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Bennett suggested a possible second landfill location somewhere around the Airport property for people to deposit items not allowed in the trash dumpster.

Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

IN RE: ADOPTION OF COUNTY VEHICLE POLICY

The County Administrator presented a county vehicle

policy for the Board's review. Mr. Robertson felt the policy was very good but suggested that the driver be required to be a licensed operator.

The County Administrator asked that the Probation Officer be allowed to park his car at his home since he is frequently called out at night and no one is at the State Police headquarters after working hours.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following vehicle policy was adopted:

1. Policy Intent - This policy is intended to establish uniform regulations for the use of Dinwiddie County Vehicles by Dinwiddie County employees, members of Volunteer Fire Departments and the Rescue Squad.

2. Assignment of County Vehicles -

   A. One County vehicle is assigned to the Building Inspector and no other person shall operate this vehicle without the approval of the County Administrator. This vehicle is to be used by the Building Inspector during his working hours. During off-duty hours, this vehicle shall be parked in the County Administrative Complex parking lot.

   B. One County vehicle is assigned to the Probation Officer and no other person shall operate this vehicle without the approval of the County Administrator. This vehicle is to be operated by the Probation Officer during his working hours. During off-duty hours, this vehicle shall be parked at the residence of the Probation Officer.

   C. One County vehicle is assigned to the Animal Warden and no other person is authorized to operate this vehicle without the approval of the County Administrator. This vehicle is to be used by the Animal Warden during his working hours. During off-duty hours, this vehicle shall be parked at the residence of the Animal Warden.

   D. One County vehicle is assigned to the Director of Maintenance and no other person shall operate this vehicle without the permission of the County Administrator. This vehicle is to be used by the Director of Maintenance during his working hours. During off-duty hours, this vehicle shall be parked in the County Administrative complex parking lot.

   E. Six county vehicles are assigned to the Director of Sanitation to be operated by the employees at the County Landfill in accordance with instructions of the Director of Sanitation. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the permission of the County Adminstrator. These vehicles are to be used by these employees during their working hours. During off-duty hours, these vehicles shall be parked at the County Landfill and/or in the County Administrative Complex parking lot.

   F. Two fire vehicles titled in the name of the County of Dinwiddie are located at Carson VFD. The Fire Chief and those members of the volunteer fire department designated by him are authorized to operate these vehicles. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the approval of the County Administrator.

   G. Five fire vehicles titled in the name of the County of Dinwiddie are located at Dinwiddie VFD. The Fire Chief and those members of the volunteer fire department designated by him are authorized to operate these vehicles. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the approval of the County Administrator.

   H. Four fire vehicles titled in the name of the County of Dinwiddie are located at Ford VFD. The Fire Chief and those members of

the volunteer fire department designated by him are authorized to operate these vehicles. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the approval of the County Administrator.

I. Six fire vehicles titled in the name of the County of Dinwiddie are located at Namozine VFD. The Fire Chief and those members of the volunteer fire department designated by him are authorized to operate these vehicles. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the approval of the County Administrator.

J. Four fire vehicles titled in the name of the County of Dinwiddie are located at Old Hickory VFD. The Fire Chief and those members of the volunteer fire department designated by him are authorized to operate these vehicles. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the approval of the County Administrator.

K. Seven Rescue vehicles and two boat trailers titled in the name of the County of Dinwiddie are located at the Dinwiddie Ambulance and Rescue Squad building. The Captain and those members of the Rescue Squad designated by him are authorized to operate these vehicles. No other person shall operate these vehicles without the approval of the County Administrator.

3. Policy -

A. All operators of vehicles shall have a valid operator's license.
B. County vehicles are not driven to or from work.
C. Personal use of County vehicles is prohibited.
D. Those assigned a County vehicle are responsible for maintaining the vehicle in the condition in which it was assigned to him.
E. County vehicles are not to be used under the pretense of performing a service for the County or its citizens.
F. No alcoholic beverages shall be consumed while operating a county vehicle.
G. No alcoholic beverages shall be consumed during the six hour period prior to operating a county vehicle.
H. No alcoholic beverages shall be transported in a county vehicle.
I. Employees assigned a County vehicle are to report to the County Administrator on the last working day of each month the odometer reading.
J. All questions concerning this county vehicle policy shall be directed to the County Administrator.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REPAIRS--977 TRAXCAVATOR

The County Administrator advised the Board that the damage done to the 977 Traxcavator when it burnt on May 12, 1982, has been evaluated by the Adjustor and Virginia Tractor. The estimate is $44,000 and has been accepted by the insurance company. He indicated that the insurance company will pay the costs to restore the Traxcavator; however, some parts have depreciated and the County will have to share in the cost of their replacement. He added that certain parts were not damaged but should be replaced while torn down. He stated the Adjustor will work hand in hand with Virginia Tractor on the work to be done, and the County should have a new machine when the work is finished.

Mr. Bennett asked why the insurance would not pay the entire coverage. The County Administrator stated the reason was that it wasn't totally destroyed.

Mr. Hargrave felt someone should maintain close contact with the work to be done because alot of it will be subjective judgment. The County Administrator stated that if any thing major developed, they would come back to the Board.

IN RE: EVALUATION OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES

The Chairman stated that the Board should decide when they were going to meet to do the evaluation of county employees.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the evaluation procedure

adopted in the personnel policies be done by the Department heads so the Board would have something to start with. The other members agreed. The County Administrator stated he would try to have the evaluations done by the June 16, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: CANCELLATION OF SUMMER DAY MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the meetings scheduled for the first Wednesday in the months of July (July 7, 1982); August (August 4, 1982); and September (September 1, 1982) be cancelled.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:36 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott
W.C. KNOTT