VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 2ND DAY OF JANUARY, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	L.G. ELDER	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDING

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN FOR 1986

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. A.S. Clay. Mr. H. Clay seconded the nomination.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. A. Clay abstaining, Mr. A.S. Clay was elected Chairman for the year 1986 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 1986

Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. Mr. A. Clay seconded the nomination.

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. H. Clay, Jr. There was no second.

Voting in reverse order:

Mr. H.L. Clay, Jr. - Mr. Bennett voted "aye".

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. - Mr. Robertson, Mr. A. Clay, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye".

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was elected Vice-Chairman for the year 1986 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

THE CHAIRMAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF SCHEDULE AND TIME FOR 1986 REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following schedule is established for the regular meetings of the Board of Supervisors for the calendar year 1986:

January 2; January 15; February 5; February 19; March 5; March 19; April 2; April 16; May 7; May 21; June 18; July 16; August 20; September 17; October 1; October 15; November 5; November 19; December 3; December 17, 1986; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the regular meetings scheduled for the first Wednesday in the month will be held at 2:00 P.M. and the regular meetings scheduled for the third Wednesday in the month will be held at 7:30 P.M.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 18, 1985 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CHANGE IN PRINCIPAL--BOND FOR NAMOZINE VFD TO SELL COUNTY VEHICLE LICENSES

Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, stated there has been a change in the position of Treasurer for the Namozine VFD; therefore, the principal to be bonded to sell county vehicle licenses should be changed to correspond with the new Treasurer.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Lawrence Watkins, Treasurer, was designated as the principal agent to be bonded for selling county vehicle licenses for the Namozine VFD.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, presented his monthly report for December, 1985. He also reviewed the collection rate for taxes as of December 5, 1985, which was 84.58%.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha was not present, but his report for the month of December, 1985 was read by the Chairman.

IN RE: STATE & LOCAL HOSPITALIZATION CONTRACT--JOHN RANDOLPH HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign a contract for state and local hospitalization with John Randolph Hospital, $410.46/ day.

IN RE: POLICY TO PROHIBIT EMPLOYEE'S PERSONAL USAGE OF COMPANY VEHICLES, EXCEPT FOR COMMUTING

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following policy regarding employee personal usage of business vehicles of Dinwiddie County be and is hereby adopted:

1. The Board of Supervisors, due to valid business considerations, provides a business vehicle to various employees for their usage. The primary reason the vehicles are provided is for adequate transportation for business activities. However, the Board of Supervisors feels it is a convenience necessity for the employees to use these vehicles to commute to and from the business. It is the Board of Supervisors' policy that there should be no other personal use of these business vehicles, unless approved on a specific case-by-case basis. Other than those vehicles for which commuting is allowed, it is our policy that no personal use of any vehicles is allowed.

2. The Board of Supervisors agrees that it will be responsible for the automobile liability insurance coverage for these vehicles.

3. The Board of Supervisors will include the value of the employee's personal usage for commuting in each employee's W-2 annually. This value will be computed under the applicable

method as established by IRS regulations. Specifically, non-control employees will have their personal usage valued at $3.00 per day unless they have been approved for personal usage in addition to commuting. Then the value will be computed using the IRS's Annual Lease Value Table.

4. The Board of Supervisors will notify employees January 1 of every year that no federal income tax will be withheld from this imputed value.

5. The Board of Supervisors will withhold the required FICA (Social Security) tax computed on this value annually, no later than December 31 of each year.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The appointments to the Transportation Safety Commission were postponed to allow time to receive a recommendation from the Rescue Squad.

It was agreed that recommendations from the Board of Supervisors for appointment to the Board of Equalization should be submitted to the County Administrator by the January 15, 1986 meeting so they can be presented for consideration by the Circuit Court Judge.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Sheriff B.M. Heath gave the following comparison report for 1984 and 1985:

Civil Papers served:	1984 - 4,829	1985 - 5,192
Warrants Issued:	396	595
Warrants Issued - Other jurisdictions	236	263

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

IN RE: PETERSBURG-DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY--PROPOSED LEGISLATION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the City of Petersburg, on September 17, 1985, adopted a resolution requesting certain changes in the legislation creating the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority; and

WHEREAS, Attorney Robert McIlwaine has presented to the Board of Supervisors legislation reflecting the changes requested by the City of Petersburg;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it concurs with the requested changes by the City of Petersburg; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that it requests its representatives in the General Assembly to introduce this legislation during the 1986 session of the General Assembly.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board material for this meeting:

1. Estimated road construction costs for abandoned Seaboard System Railroad R/W from Rt. 670 to Rt. 142, Dinwiddie County & City of Petersburg.

2. Draft state plan for low-level radioactive waste disposal for the Commonwealth of Virginia.

3. Memos from the Va. Assoc. of Counties concerning: Reception for legislators on January 29, 1986; Information for local government telephone case; Request for financial support of lobbyist for annexation legislation.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board of Supervisors moved into Executive Session at 2:34 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:54 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 4:55 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. G. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	L.G. ELDER	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 2, 1986 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-1 through 86-113 and 86-115 through 86-118 amounting to $165,334.13; History Book Fund check #HB-86-1 in the amount of $1.76; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-1 in the amount of $8,029.01.

IN RE: FORD VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION FOR UNEXPECTED EXPENSES

Mr. Gray Davis, Chief, Ford VFD appeared before the Board to discuss the department's financial status and request participation from the County in the amount of $5200. Mr. Bennett stated he met with the fire department members and he felt the County should participate in helping the department with some of their unexpected expenses. Mr. Davis explained that the department purchased a cascade system in October for $5,485 and in December, they had $5200 of unexpected repair work on their fire trucks. Mr. Bennett stated the department had $27,443.40 in total expenses for the past year of which the County contributed $8,000. He, therefore felt the County should assist the department with the $5200 of major expenses.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an additional appropriation of $5200 was approved for the Ford Volunteer fire department.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING A-86-1--CABLETELEVISION ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 1, 1986 and Wednesday, January 8, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider for adoption an ordinance to repeal the existing Chapter 15A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia and to adopt a new Chapter 15A dealing with Community Antenna Television Systems.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Mr. Robertson stated the citizens have been disappointed in the past but he felt confident with the new firm that has come forth and hoped to move forward in bringing cablevision to the County.

Mr. H. Clay questioned the limits of the initial franchise area and pointed out that a couple of heavily populated areas very close to the area outlined could be easily picked up. Mr. Chuck Stigberg, one of the representatives of the cabletelevision firm, stated that an initial franchise area had to be established to make a successful start. However, the bank involved has expressed an interest in expanding.

Mr. Robertson asked where the head end would be located. Mr. Stigberg stated they were looking at a water tower site within the service area.

The County Administrator pointed out that the map of the initial franchise area could easily be amended at a later date with the Board's approval.

Mr. Robertson asked what the anticipated starting and completion dates were. Mr. Stigberg indicated that depends on how long it took to get the utility agreements.

Mr. Hargrave asked the consultant, Fred Griffin, if he thought there were any compromises in the ordinance. Mr. Griffin stated he felt the County was adequately protected.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the existing Chapter 15A of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie be repealed and a new Chapter 15A dealing with Community Antenna Television Systems be adopted as follows:

CHAPTER 15A

TELEVISION

COMMUNITY ANTENNA TELEVISION SYSTEMS

Article I. Definitions and Application.

15A-1. Definitions
15A-2. Grant of Authority
15A-3. Applications for Franchise

Article II. Franchise Conditions.

15A-4. Franchise Term
15A-5. Franchise Fee
15A-6. Insurance - Bonds - Indemnity
15A-7. Acceptance
15A-8. Books and Records
15A-9. Subscriber Fees and Rates

Article III. Transfer or Revocation.

15A-10. Franchise Transfer
15A-11. Franchise Revocation
15A-12. Termination for Cause
15A-12a. Tranfer of Ownerhip to County
15A-12b. Grantee's Obligation as Trustees
15A-12c. Management Fee

Article IV. Systems Operation.

15A-13. Initial Franchise Area
15A-14. Extension of Service Facilities
15A-15. System Description
15A-16. Construction Schedule
15A-17. Operational Requirements
15A-18. Tests and Performance Monitoring
15A-19. Complaint Procedure
15A-20. Conditions of Street Occupancy

Article V. General Provisions.

15A-21. Protection of Privacy
15A-22. Compliance with State and Federal Law
15A-23. Special License
15A-24. Franchise Validity
15A-25. Failure to Enforce and Time of Essence
15A-26. Rights Reserved to the County
15A-27. County Administrator to Enforce

For Authority, see section 15.1-23.1 of the Code of Va.

Article I. Definitions and Application.

Sec. 15A-1. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, the following words and phrases shall have the meaning respectively ascribed to them by this section:

Community antenna system or cable television system. Any Facility which is operated to perform for hire, either in whole or part, the service of receiving, amplifying, modifying or originating television, radio or other electrical signals for the purpose of transmitting or distributing such signals by wire, cable or other means to suscribing members of the public, except that such definition shall not include (1) any system which serves fewer than two hundred and fifty (250) subscribers; (2) any system which serves only the residents of one or more continous apartment dwellings under common ownership, control or management, and commercial erstablishments located on the premises of such dwellings; or (3) any system commonly known as master antenna system.

Depreciated value. The value as shown on the Grantee's books and records of all the cable television system's tangible assets after depreciation which shall be calculated to the end of the Grantee's last fiscal year. Such value shall not include "good will" or any value that Grantee's books and records attribute to the franchise.

Fair market value. The price that a willing buyer would pay to a willing seller for a going concern based on the system valuation and sales multiples prevbailing in the industry at the time at which the new Grantee is required to purchase the current Grantee's assets.

Franchise. The non-exclusive rights granted hereunder to construct and operate a cable television system along the public ways in the County, or within specified areas in the County, and is not intended to include any license or permit required for the privilege of transacting and carrying on a business within the County as may be required by other ordinances of the County.

Gross subscriber revenues. Those revenues including installation fees, subscriber fees and disconnect and re-connect fees, derived from the supplying of regular subscriber service. Revenues shall also include revenues derived from per-program or per-channel charges, leased channel revenues, advertising revenues, or any other income derived from the system.

Net profit. The amount remaining after deducting from gross revenues all of the actual, direct and indirect, expenses associated with operating the cable television system including the franchise fee, interest, depreciation and federal, or state income taxes.

Public way. The surface, the air space above the surface, and the area below the surface of any public street, highway, lane, path, alley, sidewalk, boulevard, drive or other public right-of-way including public utility easements or rights-of-way, and any temporary or permanent fixtures or improvements located thereon now or hereafter held by the County which shall entitle the County and the Grantee to the use thereof for the purpose of installing and maintaining the Grantee's cable television system.

Regular subscriber service. The distribution to subscribers of signals over the cable television system on all channels except leased channels, those for which a per-program or per-channel charge is made, two-way services, and those intended for reception by equipment other than a television broadcast receiver.

Sec. 15A-2. Grant of Authority.

After a public hearing upon such conditions as shall be established by the Board, the Board is authorized to grant one or more non-exclusive franchises conveying the right to construct and operate a cable television system within the public ways of the County. Franchises will be granted to the applicants which in the construction and financial plans and arrangements are both feasible and adequate to fulfill the conditions set forth in this chapter and in the franchise agreement. However, no provision of this chapter shall be deemed or construed as to require the Board to grant a franchise following receipt of any franchise application.

Sec. 15A-3. Applications for Franchise.

(a) All applications for a cable television franchise shall be submitted to the Board on a written application form supplied by the Board. The application shall request facts and information the Board deems appropriate. Applications shall be accompanied by a non-refundable application fee of $100.00 to offset direct expenses incurred in the franchising and evaluation procedures.

(b) Any applicant who is granted a non-exclusive franchise shall, in addition to the non-refundable fee

specified hereinabove, pay to the County upon acceptance of the franchise, $5,000.00. Such non-refundable payment shall be used to offset any direct costs incurred by the County and not defrayed by the application fee provided for herein.

Article II. Franchise Conditions.

Sec. 15A-4. Franchise Term.

The term of the franchise shall be fifteen years from the date the franchise is accepted by the Grantee. The Board may renew the Grantee's franchise for a period of time not inconsistent with the then applicable FCC rules and regulations upon such terms, and conditions and in such manner as required by Federal law or regulation then in effect. If the Board fails to renew the franchise, the Board shall, on the expiration date of the franchise select a new Grantee and cause such new Grantee to take the assets at fair market value or in its sole discretion the County may purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system at its then fair market value.

Sec. 15A-5. Franchise Fee.

(a) The Grantee shall pay to the County, in consideration of the granting of the franchise to use the public ways for the operation of a cable television system, five percent (5%) of its annual gross subscriber revenues during the period of its operation under the franchise.

(b) Payment of the franchise fee shall be quarterly and made within forty-five days after the expiration of each of the Grantee's fiscal quarters based on a estimate of gross subscriber revenues, and shall take into account the time value of money. The Grantee shall also file, within one hundred twenty days following the conclusion of the Grantee's fiscal year, an annual report prepared and audited by a Certified Public Accountant acceptable to the County, clearly showing the yearly total gross subscriber revenues broken down on a quarterly basis. For each and every fiscal quarter Grantee's gross subscriber revenue estimates fall 20% or more below actual receipts a penalty of 15% shall be imposed on the amount by which the actual revenue exceeded the esimate. Additional fees and the penalty, if any, shall be paid upon the filing of the annual report.

(c) The County shall have the right to inspect the Grantee's income records, the right of audit and the recomputation of any amounts determined to be payable under

this chapter provided, however, that such audit shall take place within twelve months following the close of each of the Grantee's fiscal years. Any additional amount due the County as a result of the audit shall be paid within thirty days following written notice to the Grantee by the County which notice shall include a copy of the audit report. The cost of such audit shall be borne by the Grantee if it is properly determined that the Grantee's annual payment to the County for the preceding year is increased thereby by more than five percent.

(d) In the event that any franchise payment or recomputed amount is not made on or before the applicable dates heretofore specified, there shall be assessed a penalty of five percent of the amount due and interest shall be charged from such due date at the annual rate of eight percent.

(e) In the event the franchise is terminated prior to its expiration date, and the County invokes its right to purchase the Grantee's cable television system, the Grantee shall file with the County, within thirty days of the date that ownership and control passes to the County or its assignee, a financial statement clearly showing the gross subscriber revenues received by Grantee since the end of the previous fiscal quarter. The Grantee shall pay the franchise fee due at the time such statement is filed.

Sec. 15A-6. Insurance - Bonds - Indemnity.

(a) At all times during the term of the franchise, including the time for removal of facilities or management as a trustee, the Grantee shall obtain, pay all premiums for, and file with the County written evidence of payment of premiums and executed copies of the following:

(1) A general comprehensive public liability policy indemnifying, defending and saving harmless the County, its officers, boards, commissions, agents or employees from any and all claims by any person whatsoever on account of injury to or death of a person or persons occasioned by the operations of the Grantee under the franchise herein granted or alleged to have been so caused or occurred with a minimum liability of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars ($500,000) per personal injury or death of any one person and One Million Dollars ($1,000,000) for personal injury or death of any two or more persons in any one occurrence.

(2) Property damage insurance indemnifying, defending, and saving harmless the County, its officers,

boards, commissions, agents and employees from and against all claims by any person whatsoever for property damage occasioned by the operation of Grantee under the franchise herein granted or alleged to have been so caused or occurred with a minimum liability of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars ($250,000) for property damage to the property of any one person and Five Hundred Thousand Dollars ($500,000) for property damage to the property of two or more persons in any one occurrence.

(3) (Repealed).

(4) A performance bond running to the County with good and sufficient surety approved by the County in the sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars ($20,000.00) conditioned upon the faithful performance and discharge of the obligations imposed by this chapter and the franchise awarded hereunder from the date thereof. At such time as the Grantee completes a significant portion of its obligation to service a percentage of the occupied dwelling units in the County, the Grantee may petition the Board to reduce the amount of the performance bond; provided, however, that such bond shall not be reduced below $7,500.00.

(b) The bond and all insurance policies called for herein shall be in a form satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall require thirty days written notice of any cancellation to both the County and the Grantee. The Grantee shall, in the event of any such cnacellation notice, obtain, pay all premiums for, and file with the County, written evidence of payment of premiums, duplicate copies of any insurance so cancelled within thirty days following receipt by the County or the Grantee of any notice of cancellation.

(c) The Grantee shall, at its sole cost and expense, indemnify and hold harmless the Couty, its officials, boards, commissions, agents and employees against any and all claims, suits, causes of action, proceedings and judgments for damage arising out of the operation of the cable television system under the franchise. These damages shall include but not be limited to penalties arising out of copyright infringements and damages arising out of any failure by Grantee to secure consents from owners, authorized distributors or licensees of programs to be delivered by the Grantee's cable television system whether or not by any act or omissionj complained of is authorized, allowed, or prohibited by the franchise. Indemnified expenses shall include, but not be limited to, all out--of-pocket expenses, such as attorney's fees, and shall also include the reasonable value of any services rendered

by the County Attorney or his assistants or any employees of the County.

(d) No recovery by the County or any sum by reason of the bond required in this chapter shall be any limitation upon the liability of the Grantee to the County under the terms of this chapter except that any sums so received by the County shall be deducted from any recovery which the County shall establish against the Grantee under the terms of this chapter.

Sec. 15A-7. Acceptance.

This chapter and the franchise and their terms and conditions shall be accepted by the Grantee by written instrument filed with the County Administrator within thirty days after the granting of the franchise. In its acceptance, the Grantee shall declare that it has carefully read the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise and accepts all of the terms and conditions imposed by this chapter and the franchise and agrees to abide by same.

Sec. 15A-8. Books and Records.

All books and records of the Grantee concerning its operations within the County shall be made available for inspection and audit by the County within thirty days after such request has been made. The Grantee shall file with the director of utilities accurate maps or plats of all existing and proposed installations within the County. Such maps and plats shall conform to the requirements of the director of utilities and shall be kept continuously up to date.

Sec. 15A-9. Subscriber fees and rates.

(repealed).

Article III. Franchise Transfer or Revocation.

Sec. 15A-10. Franchise Transfer.

(a) Any franchise granted by the Board shall not be assigned, transferred, sold or disposed of, in whole or in part, by voluntary sale, merger, consolidation or otherwise or by forced or involuntary sale, without prior consent of the Board upon such conditions as may therein be prescribed.

(b) Any sale, transfer or assignment shall be made by a bill of sale or similar document, an executed copy

of which shall be filed with the County Administrator within thirty days after any such sale, transfer or assignment. The Board shall not withhold its consent unreasonably, provided, however, the proposed assignee agrees to comply with all the provisions of this chapter and the franchise and must be able to provide prrof of financial responsibility as determined by the Board.

(c) No such consent shall be required for a transfer in trust, mortgage, or other instrument of hypothecation, in whole or in part, to secure an indebtedness except that when such hypothecation shall exceed seventy-five percent of the fair market value (as defined in section 1, Article I) of the property used by the Grantee in the operation of its cable television system. Prior consent of the Board, expressed by resolution, shall be required for such transfer and said consent shall not be withheld unreasonably.

(d) Prior approval of the Board shall be required where ownership or control or more than 50% of the right of control of the Grantee is acquired by a person or group of persons acting in concert, none of whom already own or control 50% or more of such right of control, singularly or collectively. Such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed.

Sec. 15A-11. Franchise Revocation.

(a) In addition to all the rights and powers reserved to the County, the County reserves as an additional power the right to terminate the franchise and all right and privileges of a Grantee hereunder in any of the following events or for any of the following reasons:

(1) A Grantee shall by act or omission violate any material term or condition of this chapter, and, within thirty days following written demand by the County shall fail to effect compliance.

(2) A Grantee becomes insolvent, unable or unwilling to pay its just debts or is adjudged a bankrupt.

(3) A Grantee attempts to or does practice any fraud upon the County or subscribers.

(b) The Grantee shall not be declared at fault or be subject to revocation under any provision of this chapter in any case in which performance of any such provision is prevented for reasons beyond the Grantee's control. A fault shall not be deemed to be beyond the Grantee's control if committed by a corporation or other business

entity in which the Grantee holds a controlling interest, whether held directly or indirectly.

(c) If the Board determines that such non-compliance was without just cause, then the Board may adopt a resolution which terminates the franchise and instructs the Grantee to promptly remove from the public way all of its cable television facilities within ninety days from the date the Grantee receives a written copy of such resolution. The Board shall be empowered to seek legal and equitable relief in order to ensure compliance with this provision.

Sec. 15A-12. Termination for Cause.

(a) If, at any time during the term of this franchise, the Board determines that a Grantee has materially breached the terms and conditions imposed by this chapter and the franchise after the County has exhausted all of the remedial steps provided for herein, the County may either terminate the franchise or purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system at an equitable price.

(b) In the event the County exercises its option to purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system, it shall give the Grantee written notice of its intent to do so. The Grantee shall, within seven days of receipt of such notice, enter into bona fide negotiations with the County for the purpose of consummating the transaction at the earliest possible time.

(c) In the event the County elects to purchase the Grantee's cable television system, and the fair market value or an equitable price cannot be agreed upon, the final price shall be determined by the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie.

Sec. 15A-12A. Transfer of Ownership to County.

(a) Upon payment of the purchase price, the Grantee shall immediately transfer to the County possession and title to all facilities and property, real and personal, related to its cable television system free from any and all liens and encumbrances not agreed to be assumed by the County in lieu of some portion of the purchase price. The Grantee shall make it a condition of each contract entered into by it with reference to its operations under this chapter and franchise, that the contract shall be subject to the exercise of this option by the County and that the County shall have the right to succeed to all privileges and obligations thereof upon the exercise of

9

such option.

(b) The County shall have the right and power to assign its purchase rights to a successor Grantee selected by the County in a manner not inconsistent with the provisions of this chapter.

Sec. 15A-12B. Grantee's Obligation as Trustee.

Until such time as the Grantee transfers to the County or to a new Grantee possession and title to all assets, real and personal, related to its cable television system, the Grantee shall, as trustee for its successor in interest, continue to operate the cable television system under the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise and to provide the regular subscriber service and any and all of the services that may be provided at that time. During such interim period, the Grantee shall not sell any of the system assets nor shall the Grantee make any physical, material, administrative or operational change that would tend to (1) degrade the quality of service to the subscribers, (2) decrease income, or (3) materially increase expenses without the express permission, in writing, of the County or its assignee. The County shall be permitted to seek legal and equiable relief to enforce the provisions of this section.

Sec. 15A-12C. Management Fee.

For its management services during this interim period, the Grantee shall be entitled to receive as compensation, the net profit, as defined herein, generated during the period between the date the Grantee received written notice from the County of its intent to purchase the Grantee's cable television system or the expiration date of the franchise, whichever is earlier, and the payment of the purchase price. Such management services shall not be continued without Grantee's consent for more than six (6) months. However, if the Dinwiddie County Circuit Court determine that the Grantee is responsible for any delay in transfer of ownership and control, the Grantee shall continue to operate the cable television, as provided for in Section 15A-12b, without compensation for its services until the sales agreement is executed and ownership and control passes to the County or its assignee. In addition, the County shall also have the further right to (1) forthwith terminate Grantee's franchise and have the system removed or (2) to purchase the assets of the Grantee's cable television system at its depreciated value.

Sec. 15A-13. Initial Franchise Area.

(a) Attached to this franchise ordinance are a document entitled "Addendum I" and a document entitled "Addendum II." The area of land bounded by the description found in Addendum I and exemplified on Addendum II shall be known as the Initial Franchise Area (IFA). The Grantee shall offer services to any home or building within the IFA provided that such home or building is within two hundred (200) feet of Grantees's trunk and feeder lines; if such building or home is not within two hundred (200) feet, then Grantee shall offer service in accord with paragraph (b) immediately below.

(b) For homes or buildings in the general vicinity of the IFA, but not within two hundred feet (200) of the trunk and feeder lines established in the IFA, Grantee shall extend service to such home or building provided that the owner thereof agrees to pay the direct, total cost of that service extension in advance, or upon such terms as are agreeable to Grantee. Total cost shall not include the first two hundred (200) feet of the extension.

(c) In determining whether a home or building is within two hundred (200) feet of Grantee's trunk or feeder line, the distance shall be measured from the most technologically feasible point along the line.

(d) The Grantee may interconnect its cable television systems or other broadband communications facilities located in adjacent communities so long as such interconnection is for the benefit of subscribers within the County.

Sec. 15A-14. Extension of Service.

(a) Grantee shall extend its full service outside the Initial Franchise Area, in accordance with its fee schedule then in effect and without any additional charge for such extension, to any area in which the County or the residents of the area demonstrate (by petition, for example) that there are, at a minimum, thirty-five potential subscribers per mile of trunk and feeder cable to be extended.

(b) The density criterion in paragraph (a) above shall be determined by dividing the total number of miles of trunk and feeder line to be run by the total number of potential subscribers which might be served by the extension. In determining whether someone "might be served," they must be within two hundred (200) feet of the most practicable trunk and feeder route for the proposed extension (as further defined in section 15A-13(c)) to

qualify.

(c) A "potential subscriber" is a person or business who has indicated a willingness to subscribe to Grantee's service by depositing the sum of fifty dollars ($50.-00) earnest money with Grantee. The deposit shall be refunded if (i) Grantee does not make the extension or (ii) Grantee makes the extension and the individual subscribes for a period of one year; otherwise, the deposit is non-refundable.

(d) For homes or buildings in the general vicinity of an extended service area, but not within two hundred (200) feet of the extended trunk and feeder line, Grantee shall extend service to such home or building provided that the owner thereof agrees to pay the direct, total cost of that service extension in advance, or upon such terms as are agreeable to Grantee. Total cost shall not include the first two hundred (200) feet of the extension.

(e) Grantee shall make every reasonable effort to cooperate with cable television franchise holders in adjacent communities in order to provide cable service in areas within the County not qualifying under this or the previous section. The County shall make every reasonable effort to cooperate with the Grantee in order to provide cable television service in areas outside the County.

(f) The provisions of this section state when extensions must be made (mandatory) only, and shall not be construed so as to deny the Grantee the discretion to extend its service to a person or persons upon such terms as may be agreeable to the person or persons and to Grantee; provided however, that Grantee may not discriminate or extend or refuse to extend service arbitrarily.

Sec. 15A-15. System Description.

(a) The cable television system to be installed by Grantee shall comply in all respects with the capacity, capability, and technical performance requirements set forth in the FCC's Rules for Cable Television including applicable amendments thereto and including public, education, government and leased access channels.

(b) The Grantee's cable television system shall have the capability to operate with at least thirty-five channels. Programming on all channels will not be required.

(c) The system shall be designed with capabilities of being expanded to provide two-way service as appropr-

iate.

(d) Within twenty-four (24) months after the franchise is awarded the County may require that the Grantee's cable television system maintain at least one specially designated noncommercial public access channel available on a first-come, nondiscriminatory basis. If the County requires it the system shall maintain and have available for public use the minimal equipment and facilities necessary for the production of programming for such a channel. If the County requires it, one such channel will be made available without charge, except that production costs may be assessed for live studio presentations exceeding five minutes. Such production costs and any fees for use of other public access channels shall be consistent with the goal of affording the public a low-cost means of television access.

(e) The Grantee's cable television system shall within twenty-four (24) months after the franchise is awarded maintain a specially designated access channel for use by local educational authorities. This channel shall be installed and made available without charge from the time of commencement of cable television service in the County.

(f) The Grantee's cable television system shall maintain a specially designated access channel for local government use. This channel shall be made available without charge within twenty-four (24) months of the commencement of cable television service in the County until five years after completion of the system's basic trunk line.

(g) (Repealed)

(h) Whenever all of the channels described in paragraphs (d), (e) and (f) of this section are in use during eighty percent (80%) of the weekdays (Monday to Friday) for eighty percent (80%) of the time during any consecutive three-hour period for six consecutive weeks, the Grantee's cable television system shall, within the limits of its channel capacity specified in paragraph (b) of this section and if consistent with then applicable FCC rules and regulations, have six months in which to make a new access channel available for any or all of the purposes for which such channels are designated.

(i) Grantee shall provide, without charge within the Initial Franchise Area, one service outlet to each fire station, public school, police station and public library; provided, however, that if it is necessary to

extend Grantee's trunk or feeder lines more than two hundred feet solely to provide service to any such school or public building, the County shall have the option, either of paying Grantee's direct costs for such extension, in excess of two hundred feet, or of releasing Grantee from the obligation to provide service to such building. Furthermore, Grantee shall be permitted to recover, from any public building owner entitled to free service, the direct cost of installing, when requested to do so, more than one outlet, or concealed inside wiring, or a service outlet requiring more than two hundred feet of drop cable.

Sec. 15A-16. Construction Schedule.

(a) Upon accepting the franchise, Grantee shall, within sixty days, file the documents required to obtain all necessary Federal, State and local licenses, permits and authorizations required for the conduct of its business.

(b) Within three months after accepting the franchise, Grantee shall furnish the County a construction schedule and map setting forth target dates by areas for commencement of service to subscribers. The schedule and map shall be updated whenever substantial changes become necessary.

(c) Grantee shall complete construction of the system in the Initial Franchise Area and offer and deliver cable television service in full accordance with this chapter and the franchise granted hereunder to subscribers in not less than twenty-five (25%) of the occupied dwelling units within the Initial Franchise Area within one year after receiving all necessary permits, authorizations and licenses with additional servicing of twenty-five percent (25%) per year until one hundred percent is served within four years.

(d) Every three months after the start of construction, Grantee shall furnish the County a report on progress of construction until complete. The report shall include a map that clearly defines the area wherein regular subscriber service is available.

Sec. 15A-17. Operational Requirements.

(a) Grantee shall construct, operate and maintain the cable television system in full compliance with the rules and regulations, including applicable amendments, of the Federal Communications Commission and all other applicable Federal, State or County laws and regulations,

including the latest editions of the National Electrical Safety Code and the National Fire Protection Association National Electrical Code. The cable television system and all its parts shall be subject to inspection by the County.

(b) Grantee shall maintain an office which shall be open and accessible to the public with adequate telephone service during all business hours, including facilities for twenty-four hour recording of subscriber complaints.

(c) Grantee shall exercise its best effort to design, construct, operate and maintain the system at all times so that signals carried are delivered to subscribers without material degradation in quality (within the limitations imposed by the technical state-of-the-art).

(d) Copies of all correspondence, petitions, reports, applications and other documents sent or received by Grantee from Federal or State agencies having appropriate jurisdiction in matters affecting cable television operation shall be made available by Grantee to the County upon request for cause.

(e) In the case of any emergency or disaster, the Grantee shall, upon request of the County Administrator, make available, free of charge, its facilities to the County for emergency use during the emergency or disaster period.

Sec. 15A-18. Tests and Performance Monitoring.

(a) Not later than ninety days after any new or substantially rebuilt portions of the system is made available for service to subscribers, technical performance tests shall be conducted by the Grantee to demonstrate full compliance with the Technical Standards of the Federal Communications Commission and this chapter. Such tests shall be performed by, or under the supervision of, an engineer with proper training and experience approved by the County. A copy of the report shall be submitted to the County, describing test results, instrumentation, calibration, and test procedures, and the qualifications of the engineer responsible for the tests.

(b) System monitor test points shall be established at or near the output of the last amplifier in the longest feeder line, at or near trunk line extremities, at not fewer than eight widely scattered locations. Periodically, but not less frequently than once every six months, the following data will be obtained and made available

for County inspection:

(1) Visual and aural carrier level on each active channel.

(2) Carrier-to-noise ratio on at least four frequencies distributed across the pass band (to avoid interrupting service, these measurements may be approx- and will be used only to detect significant changes).

(3) Visual inspection of picture quality on all active channels to detect degradation in quality attributable to the system.

(c) At any time after commencement of service to subscribers, the County may require additional tests, full or partial repeat tests, different test procedures, or tests involving a specific subscriber's terminal. Requests for such additional tests will be made on the basis of complaints received or other evidence indicating an unresolved controversy or significant non-compliance and such tests will be limited to the particular matter in controversy. The County will endeavor to so arrange its requests for such special tests so as to minimize hardship or inconvenience to Grantee or to the subscriber.

(d) A copy of the annual performance tests report required by the Federal Communications Commission shall be simultaneously submitted to the County. If no such FCC reports are required the County may require tests and reports comparable to those now required by the FCC.

(e) The County shall have the right to employ qualified consultants if necessary or desirable to assist in the administration of this chapter.

Sec. 15A-19. Complaint Procedure.

(a) Except for circumstances beyond the Grantee's control, the Grantee shall establish a maintenance service capable of locating and correcting major system malfunctions promptly. Such maintenance service shall be availin addition to normal business hours, to correct such major system malfunctions affecting a number of subscribers which occur from the time the Grantee's main, local office closes until 12:30 a.m. Monday through Friday and from 8:00 a.m. until 12:30 a.m. on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays.

(b) A listed local telephone number shall be made available to subscribers for service calls at any time of the day or night. Investigative action shall be init-

iated in response to all service calls, and corrective action shall be completed as promptly as practicable. Appropriate records shall be made of service calls, showing when and what corrective action was completed. The Grantee shall furnish each subscriber at the time service is installed written instructions that clearly set forth procedure for placing a service call, or requesting an adjustment.

(c) The Grantee shall interrupt system service after 7:00 a.m. and before 1:00 a.m. only with good cause and for the shortest time possible and, except in emergency situations, only after providing notice of service interruption at least twenty-four hours in advance of the service interruption. Service may be interrupted between 1:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m. for routine testing, maintenance, and repair, without notification, any night except Friday, Saturday or Sunday, or the night preceding a holiday.

Sec. 15A-20. Conditions of street occupancy.

The County hereby grants to the Grantee the right to use all public ways owned by the County for the purpose of installing cable television structures, lines, equipment and facilities, so long as such use is consistent with the legal rights owned by the County and the requirements of this chapter. Prior to installing any such structures, lines, equipment and facilities the Grantee shall notify the County of its plans and the County may require such modifications as will protect the existing utilities within the public way.

(a) Grantee shall utilize existing poles, conduits and other facilities whenever possible, and all transmissions and distribution strucutres, lines and equipment erected by the Grantee within the COunty shall be so located as to cause minimum interference with property owners who adjoin such streets.

(b) Whenever the County shall require the relocation or reinstallation of any property of the Grantee in any of the public ways within the County, it shall be the obligation of the Grantee upon notice of such requirement to immediately remove and relocate or reinstall such property as may be reasonably necessary to meet the requirements of the County. Such relocation, removal or reinstallation by the Grantee shall be at the sole cost of the Grantee.

(c) Where the County or a public utility serving the County desires to make use of the poles or other wire-holding structures of the Grantee but agreement there-

fore with the Grantee cannot be reached, the Board may require the Grantee to permit such use for such consideration and upon such terms as the Board shall determine to be just and reasonable, if the Board determines that the use would enhance the public convenience and would not unduly interfere with the Grantee's operations.

(d) Wherever all electrical and telephone utility wiring is located underground, either at the time of initial construction or subsequently, the television cable shall also be located underground, at Grantee's own expense. If the facilities of either the electric or the telephone utility are aerial, the television facilities may be located underground at the request of a property owner, provided that the excess cost of the installation, labor and materials of underground over aerial location shall be paid by the property owner making the request to the Grantee.

(e) Grantee shall, at its own expense and in a manner approved by the County, restore to County standards and specifications any damage or disturbance caused to the public way as a result of its operations or construction on its behalf. Grantee shall guarantee and maintain such restoration for a period of one year against defective materials or workmanship. If the public way is not restored to the County's satisfaction, the County shall have the right to have such work performed and charge such cost to the Grantee.

(f) Whenever, in case of fire or other disaster, it becomes necessary in the judgment of the Chiefs of the Fire or Police Department to remove or damage any of the Grantee's facilities, no charge shall be made by the Grantee against the County for restoration and repair.

(g) Grantee shall have the authority to trim trees on public property at its own expense as may be necessary to protect its wires and facilities, subject to the supervision and direction of the County. The Grantee shall obtain the written consent of the County prior to trimming any trees within rights of way.

Article V. General Provisions.

Sec. 15A-21. Protection of Privacy.

(a) Grantee shall not permit the transmission of any signal, aural, visual or digital, including "polling" the channel selection, from any subscriber's premises without first obtaining written permission of the subscriber. This provision is not intended to prohibit the

use of transmission of signals useful only for the control of measurement of system performance.

(b) Grantee shall not permit the installation of any special terminal equipment in any subscriber's premises that will permit transmission from subscriber's premises of two-way services utilizing aural, visual or digital signals without first obtaining written permission of the subscriber.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person to attach or affix or to cause to be attached or affixed any equipment or device which allows access or use of the cable television service without payment to the Grantee for same. The affixing or attaching of any equipment or device capable of allowing access or use of the cable television service shall be deemed prima facie evidence of a violation of this section.

(d) Any person violating this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $1,000.00.

(e) Grantee shall comply with privacy requirements prescribed by Federal law in addition to the requirements imposed by this section.

Sec. 15A-22. Compliance with State and Federal Law.

(a) The Grantee shall, at all times, comply with all laws of the state and federal government and the rules and regulations of any federal administrative agency. If any state or federal law or rule or regulation of any federal administrative agency is in conflict with the terms and conditions of this chapter or the franchise, the Board shall, as soon as possible following knowledger thereof, amend this chapter and franchise in a manner to bring both into compliance with such law, rule or regulation.

(b) Any further amendments or modifications of the Federal Communication Commission's Rules for Cable Television affecting this chapter or the franchise including allowable franchise fee, may be incorporated at the option of the County into this chapter and the franchise within one year of the adoption of such modification or at the time of franchise renewal, whichever occurs first.

(c) Nothing herein shall prevent Grantee from pursuing good faith appeals from any laws or regulations of the state or federal government with compliance with previous law or regulations if permitted by the appro-

priate court or agency.

Sec. 15A-23. Special License.

The County reserves the right to issue a license, easement or other permit to anyone other than the Grantee to permit that person to traverse any portion of the Gran- franchise area within the County in order to provide service outside the County. Such license or easement, absent a grant or a franchise in accordance with this chapter, shall not authorize nor permit such person to provide a cable television service of any nature to any home or place of business within the County nor to render any service or connect any subscriber within the County to the Grantee's cable television system.

Sec. 15A-24. Franchise Validity.

The Grantee agrees, by the acceptance of the franchise, to accept the validity of the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise in their entirety and that it will not proceed against the County in any claim or proceeding challenging any term or provision of this chapter or the franchise as unreasonable, arbitrary or void or that the County did not have the authority to impose such term or condition, unless, however, the challenge is a good faith one made for the purpose of determining whether this chapter or the franchise complies with federal law.

Sec. 15A-25. Failure to Enforce and Time Essence.

(a) The Grantee shall not be excused from complying with any of the terms and conditions of this chapter or the franchise by any failure of the County, upon any one or more occasions, to insist upon the Grantee's performance or to seek Grantee's compliance with any one or more of such terms or conditions.

(b) Whenever this chapter or the franchise sets forth any time for any act to be performed by or on the behalf of the Grantee, such time shall be deemed of the essence and the Grantee's failure to perform within the time allotted shall, in all cases, be sufficient grounds for the County to invoke the remedies available under the terms and conditions of this chapter and the franchise.

(c) Nothing herein shall be construed to require the Grantee to comply with the terms of this chapter or the franchise if prevented from doing so by disaster, war, civil disobedience or other Act of God.

Sec. 15A-26. Rights Reserved to the County.

The County hereby expressly reserves the following rights:

(a) To adopt, in addition to the provisions contained herein and in the franchise and in any existing applicable ordinances, such additional regulations as it shall find necessary in the exercise of its police power provided, however, that such regulations, by ordinance or otherwise, shall be reasonable and not in conflict with the rights herein granted.

(b) To revoke, amend or modify the franchise granted pursuant to this chapter should the Federal Communications Commission, as a result of its certification or registration process, require that substantial sections of the chapter be altered or deleted.

(c) The right to adopt additional regulations at the end of the tenth year of the franchise to require that the system be upgraded to what is then considered a "state-of-the-art" system.

(d) (repealed).

Sec. 15A-27. Designation of County Administrator as Enforcing Official.

In all instances where this chapter refers to the "County," without referring to a specific official, the appropriate representative of the Board of Supervisors shall be the County Administrator or other designated County official.

IN RE: AWARD OF CABLETELEVISION FRANCHISE TO DINWIDDIE CABLE TV

The County Administrator stated the firm of Dinwiddie Cable TV has been reviewed by the County Attorney and the cable-television consultant, Fred Griffin, and it was their recommendation that the cabletelevision franchise be awarded to this firm for the requested franchise area.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the cabletelevision franchise for Dinwiddie County be awarded to Dinwiddie Cable TV; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator and County Attorney be authorized to prepare the franchise agreement for action by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-85-10--REZONING APPLICATION FOR BRENDA OLGERS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Tuesday, December 31, 1985 and Wednesday, January 8, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 19, Parcel 103C, by changing the district classification from Agricultural, General A-2 to Business, General B-2.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, presented the application and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission at its January 8, 1986 meeting, wherein they recommended downzoning the request to Business, Limited B-1.

Mrs. Olgers appeared in support of her request stating she was in agreement with the recommendation of Business, Limited B-1 to keep the business compatible with the area.

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Zoning Ordinance be amended by changing the district classification of section 19, parcel 103C from Agricultural, General, A-2 to Business, Limited, B-1. Said parcel contains approximately 0.60 acres and is generally defined as follows: starting at a point on U.S. Route 460 at its intersection with the lane going into the property N/F owned by Raymond and Nannie Heller, heading N 69° 07' W along U.S. Route 460 approximately 125' to a point; then S 15° 13' W a distance of 209.76' to a point; then S 69° 07' E approximately 125' to a point; then 15°13' E a distance of 209.76' to the point of beginning.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:58 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 8:18 P.M.

IN RE: CRIME SOLVERS--ENDORSEMENT TO JOIN PETERSBURG CRIME SOLVERS

Sheriff Bennie Heath appeared before the Board to request the Board's endorsement to join the Petersburg Crime Solvers program.

Mr. H. Clay stated that the Board has met with the Petersburg Crime Solvers representatives and they have extended an invitation to Dinwiddie to become a part of their team.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors endorses the Sheriff's request to join the Petersburg Crime Solvers organization.

IN RE: SHERIFF--AUTHORIZATION TO SOLICIT BIDS FOR FIVE NEW POLICE CARS

Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to request authorization to secure bids on five new police vehicles. He indicated that the state contract on police vehicles would soon be available. He presented a list of vehicles outlining the mileage of those vehicles that would be replaced.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to secure bids on five new police vehicles.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Mr. H. Clay submitted the name of Bryant Southall to represent the Rescue Squad on the Transportation Safety Commission.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following appointments are approved for the Transportation Safety Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1986:

Bryant Southall; G.S. Bennett, Jr., Tommy Gibbs, Barbara Wilson, Rebecca Tilson, Max Roberts and Kenny Tyree.

IN RE: CARES--APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR RENOVATION OF SHELTER

The Board received a request from the Crisis Assistance Response Emergency Shelter for an additional appropriation of $500 towards the renovation of the building being used as a shelter. The cost of the renovation exceeded the grant the Shelter received by $2500 and the "user" jurisdictions are being asked to contribute towards the cost overrun. Mr. A. Clay stated he had been contacted by Mrs. K.B. Talley, Director of Social Services, endorsing the need for the shelter.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request from CARES, Inc. for an additional appropriation of $500 was approved.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE A PUBLIC HEARING--RECODIFICATION OF COUNTY CODE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting

"aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise a public hearing to consider adoption of the recodification of the County Code for the February 19, 1986 meeting.

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE--WINDOW RENOVATION

The County Administrator requested guidance from the Board on the renovation of the existing windows and the construction of new windows in the Circuit Court Clerk's Office. He stated the windows in the existing portion have been closed with metal blinds, painted and then paneled over. The employees have expressed a desire to have some open windows in that section. His question, therefore, was whether the Board wanted to make the existing windows in the old part open and functional and then construct the new ones like the old ones.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to have the architect explore the cost of opening the windows in the old section and making them functional and designing the windows in the new section of the Clerk's Office accordingly.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA LEGAL SERVICES, INC.--REQUEST FOR OFFICE SPACE

Mr. Larry G. Elder, Commonwealth Attorney, presented a request from Southside Virginia Legal Services, Inc. to use an existing office space in the Courthouse once a week to provide legal services. Any long distance calls would be billed to SVLS by credit card. Mr. Elder suggested they could use the Magistrate's office in the Courthouse which is not occupied very often. A telephone is available and all copying can be done at the Clerk's Office. He stated there should be no expense to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request from Southside Virginia Legal Services, Inc. to use the Magistrate's Office in the Courthouse one day a week was approved.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--NAMOZINE VFD

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Namozine VFD has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1986; and

WHEREAS, the Namozine VFD has paid the $10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Namozine VFD be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1986.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:47 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:27 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:28 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 27TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1986 AT 7:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Va. Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:59 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: M.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	ASS'T. COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 15, 1986 regular meeting and the January 27, 1986 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks numbering 86-119 through 86-219 amounting to $130,149.15.

IN RE: SHERIFF--REQUEST TO PURCHASE (4) NEW CHAIRS

Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase four (4) new chairs for his department. He presented the following bids:

Paper Clip - $621.25
T.S. Beckwith - $627.52

He stated he had contacted Williams Office Supply but had not received a quote; however, if it was lower, he would consider it before making a purchase.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to purchase four (4) new chairs for his department.

IN RE: SHERIFF--FLOOR TREADS FOR STAIRS

The Sheriff presented the following proposals to replace the floor treads on the stairs in the Sheriff's Department leading to the jail:

The Floor Shop - $2,079.13
Ken's Floor Covering, Inc. - $1449.40
Palmore Decorating Center, Inc. - $1177.00

He stated he was satisfied with the low bid.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the low bid of Palmore Decorating Center, Inc. was accepted to replace the floor treads on the interior steps of the Sheriff's Department leading to the jail, cost $1,177.00.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of January, 1986.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of January, 1986.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--DONALD ANDREWS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Donald Andrews was awarded $315 for 9 goats (valued at $35 each).

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--JOHN E. SHANDS

The Ass't. Animal Warden presented a claim for 13 hogs for Mr. John E. Shands. He stated that he spent a considerable amount of time on this claim and could only find 8 carcasses.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. John E. Shands was awarded $280 for 8 hogs (valued at $35 each).

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Harold Dyson, Ass't. Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have. He requested that the Department be allowed to advertise a public hearing on the Six Year Plan to be held March 5, 1986. The Board was in agreement with that date.

Mr. Robertson asked about the closing of Rt. 1310. Mr. Dyson stated that the Highway Commission would be considering it at their February meeting.

Mr. Bennett reminded Mr. Dyson that Rt. 620 needs to be bladed and widened out.

Mr. A. Clay stated he had received a complaint about the blind hill at the intersection of Rt. 649 and Rt. 650, and asked that it be placed on the list for consideration for secondary improvements.

IN RE: RURAL ADDITION PRIORITIES

The County Administrator presented the priority list of rural additions established by the Board in 1983. He stated that the first priority, Oakhill Subdivision-Hazel Avenue and Bell Street-would be ready for construction in the Spring. He asked if the Board wanted to keep the remainder of the priority list as previously agreed upon or establish new ones at this time.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Board agreed to keep the rural addition priorities as established in 1983:

1. Hazel Avenue and Bell Street - Oakhill Subdivision
2. Pine, Oak, and Elm Street - Berrifield Subdivision
3. Roanoke Street Extension - West Petersburg

IN RE: PETERSBURG JAYCEES ANNUAL PIG-NIC--APPROVAL OF PERMIT FOR 1986

The County Administrator presented an application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held Wednesday, May 7, 1986 at the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport. There being no changes from the previous applications, he recommended approval of this request.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held May 7, 1986 was approved with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENTS--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. James Thrower for reappointment. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Emmett Seay for reappointment.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. James Thrower and Mr. Emmett Seay were reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, terms expiring February 5, 1990.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Loid Hodnett was reappointed to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, term expiring January 31, 1989.

IN RE: MANDATORY SEAT BELT LEGISLATION

Wendy Quesenberry, Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission, appeared before the Board to request their endorsement of a resolution passed by the Commission in support of mandatory seat belt legislation. She stated the resolution did not support a specific bill, only mandatory seat belts for front seat passengers.

Mr. A. Clay and Mr. Bennett stated they were opposed to mandatory seat belt legislation. Mr. Hargrave and H. Clay both stated they were in favor of the law.

Mr. H. Clay moved that the Board endorse the resolution presented by the Transportation Safety Commission in support of mandatory seat belts. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. H. Clay and Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett and Mr. A. Clay voting "nay", the motion was defeated.

IN RE: ALUMINUM SHEILDS FOR INSULATION--ADMINISTRATION BUILDING ROOF

The County Administrator presented the following three proposals for installing aluminum shields on the ductwork on the roof of the Administration Building:

Carter Heating and Air Conditioning - $682.64
W.D. Wall Sheet Metal Shop - $897.00
Ford Bros. Insulation - $1,200.00

He stated that the aluminum shield was recommended by the insulation contractors as well as the heating and air contioning maintenance contractor.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the low bid of Carter Heating and Air Conditioning was accepted for $682.64 to furnish and install aluminum shields on the ductwork on the roof of the Administration Building.

IN RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY

The Chairman read a letter from Mr. Larry G. Elder, County Attorney, giving his resignation, effective February 5, 1986 at 3:30 P.M. because he has been appointed Judge of the Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Va. Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:43 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Chairman declared a short break at 3:17 P.M. and the session reconvened at 3:46 P.M. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:06 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE RICHMOND HYATT HOUSE, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA ON THE 10TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1986 AT 6:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: LEGISLATIVE MEETING

Senator Richard Holland, and Delegates Beasley Jones and Jay DeBoer met with the Supervisors and the Superintendent of Schools, Richard Vaughn to discuss legislative matters and other county concerns.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:12 P.M.

A.S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
ABSENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the February 5, 1986 regular meeting and the February 10, 1986 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-220 through 86-360 amounting to $147,060.50; Water & Sewer Fund checks-numbering W&S-86-2 through 4 amounting to $79,059.13; Law Library Fund check #LF-86-1 in the amount of $301.07; County Construction Fund check #CCF-86-1 in the amount of $18,351.20; Radio Fund check #Radio-86-1 in the amount of $246.00.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-2--DINWIDDIE COUNTY CODE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 5, 1986 and Wednesday, February 12, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance adopting and enacting a new code for the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia; establishing the same; providing for the repeal of certain ordinances not included therein; providing a penalty for the violation thereof; providing for the manner of amending such code; and providing when such code and this ordinance shall become effective.

The County Administrator stated this recodification is the first since enaction of the Code in 1970. He indicated that under Section 14-17(a), "trailer and semi-trailer" would be taken out.

Mr. Bill Jones, Treasurer, stated there are two sections that have been brought into compliance with the State Code which directly affect the operation of his office.

Section 19-2 states if taxes are not paid on or before the due date prescribed in such subsection, "there shall be added thereto a penalty in the amount of ten (10%) percent of the taxes due or ten dollars whichever is greater." In addition to such penalty, interest at the rate of ten (10%) percent per annum "beginning on the first day after such due date, shall be added to the amount of taxes due..."

Mr. Jones pointed out the present ordinance authorizes a penalty in the amount of ten percent of taxes due and interest at the rate of 10% per annum, beginning on the 1st day of the month next following the month in which such taxes become due". He stated

he was concerned about the change in the penalty because people with taxes under $100 would owe $10 penalty no matter how small their tax bill was. He added changing the beginning date to start adding interest would cause some difficulty in the work required in his office.

Mr. Jones stated he had checked with surrounding localities and only one was using 10% or $10, whichever is greater for assessing a penalty with interest beginning the next day.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Jones if he had researched the State Code to determine if the County was authorized to continue assessing the penalty and adding interest as it has been doing. Mr. Jones stated the Code gives the method under which to operate unless an ordinance is enacted by the governing body otherwise.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Jones to enumerate his differences with the Code and check with the County Attorney to determine if the County is allowed by law to continue as required by the present ordinance.

Mr. Jones stated he felt the change in the ordinance would be tough on the citizens. Mr. Bennett stated that when you borrow money, interest begins right away. Maybe this will be an incentive for people to pay their taxes.

Mr. Jones stated the accountability of the tax bill will be difficult for his office, by having to assess the penalty the next day.

Mr. Bennett asked Mr. Jones if he knew the difference in the amount of money that would be collected by the change. Mr. Jones stated he didn't think it was a large amount. Mr. Bennett stated he would like to understand the change a little better before making a decision.

Mr. H. Clay asked if a decision had to be made at this time. The County Administrator stated a decision did not have to be made now, and unless a major change was made, it would not require another public hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", action on amendment A-86-2, recodification of the County Code, was tabled.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS--BASEBALL FIELD CONSTRUCTION

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Supt. of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to proceed with the construction of the new baseball field across from the high school. The next step includes obtaining bids for extending the roadway, preparing a parking area, fencing and lights. He also requested authorization to obtain bids for lighting the football field.

Dr. Vaughn stated when the bids are received, he will return to the Board for approval. He requested authorization to proceed with the extension of the road and the parking lot without having to return to the Board for approval. He did not feel these items would exceed the original estimate of $2,000 for the road and $5,500 for the parking area.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the land has been surveyed and if the coaches have seen and agreed upon the layout of the proposed fields. Dr. Vaughn stated the land has been re-surveyed. The Director of Planning stated the coaches have approved the layout of the fields.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with obtaining bids for extending the road, preparing a parking lot,

fencing and lighting for the baseball field across from the high school, including lighting for the football field; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to proceed with the extension of the road and the parking area without having to return to the Board unless the costs exceed the original estimate of $2,000 for the road and $5,500 for the parking lot.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--GRANT FOR IN-SERVICE PROJECT

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that the School Board has received a grant from the Department of Education in the amount of $15,181 for an in-service project with math and science teachers from Dinwiddie, Petersburg, Prince George and Sussex. He stated the funds will be expended by May 31, 1986 at which time 100% reimbursement will be requested from the State.

He requested authorization from the Board to administer the project and expend these funds.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the School Board was authorized to administer a project for in-service training for math and science teachers and expend grant funds in the amount of $15,181 to be 100% reimbursed by the Department of Education.

IN RE: SHERIFF--AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE (5) POLICE CARS

Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase (5) police vehicles off state contract as follows:

Contract #1316-WAB-Chevrolet Caprice - Full size, four door sedans with the following options:

Two-tone Sheriff's colors, power windows, power door locks, spotlight, roof wiring package.

Sheriff Heath stated he did not need the AM/FM radio.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to purchase five (5) police vehicles from the 1986 state contract as requested.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COUNTY RESCUE SQUAD SERVICES

Karen Wilkins appeared before the Board to discuss a recent car accident and her concern for the adequacy of rescue squad services in the County. The accident occurred on February 8, 1986 near the intersection of Rt. 650 and U.S. #1 in DeWitt. Six victims were involved. She stated that the call for the rescue squad was made at 10:15 A.M. and it was 11:32 A.M. before assistance arrived. She stated her grandfather was involved in the accident and was pronounced dead at 12:10 P.M. at the hospital. She stated she was very upset about the delay in response. She understood only one rescue vehicle was on duty at the time and was at Petersburg General Hospital on another call. She did not understand why another Rescue Squad, i.e. Southside or Ettrick-Matoaca, was not contacted sooner.

Mr. H. Clay related the facts as the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad had received the calls and responded. He stated the squad is severely handicapped by the shortage of personnel and welcomes anyone who would like to join.

The following typed recap of call times taken from the recording machine in the Dispatcher's Office was distributed by the Sheriff:

Dispatcher Jones' reactions in regards to motor vehicle accident at the Intersection of Rt. 650 and U.S. Rt. 1, February 8, 1986:

10:44 A.M. Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Department Dispatcher received call advising of traffic accident at Rt. 650 and U.S. Rt. 1 in front of Beville's Store. The calling party gave phone number, 469-3887.

10:45 A.M. State Police Unit 855 notified of accident. Rescue Squad Unit 2 called in reference to location. (Dispatcher aware of Rescue Unit 2 in process of handling another call.) Rescue Unit 2 stated they were at the Rescue Squad Building Northbound on U.S. Route 1.

10:46 A.M. A series of telephone calls to the Rescue Squad. Line busy each time. 10:46 A.M. - 10:48 A.M. All calls to rescue squad members.

10:48 A.M. State Unit 855 advised Dispatcher of two injured persons at accident on U.S. Route 1 and Rt. 650.

10:49 A.M. Fifth call to Rescue Squad member. Line busy. Sixth call to Rescue Squad member, David Thompson. Person who answered stated he was not at home.

10:50 A.M. Rescue Unit 2 advised of another call awaiting them.

10:51 A.M. David Thompson paged over the radio system. Seventh telephone call to Bob Rawlings. Person who answered stated he was out of town.

10:52 A.M. Eighth telephone call to Donnie. Person who answered stated he was at his mother's. George Perkins called over radio and advised Dispatcher that he would drive the ambulance if he could find another member on the Rescue Squad.

10:53 A.M. State Unit 855 advised Dispatcher there were three people injured in the accident. Two very serious. Dispatcher had conversation with Rescue Unit 2 in reference to previous call.

10:54 A.M. Ninth telephone call to J.C. Ayscue, member of the Rescue Squad. Talked with him for several minutes in an attempt to locate someone in Rescue Squad who could assist with call.

10:55 A.M. Another State Unit dispatched in reference to another call.

10:56 A.M. Telephone conversation with Maynard Williams in reference to wrecker call.

10:58 A.M. Called Mary Wallace in reference to rescue call. Called David Thompson in reference to rescue call.

10:59 A.M. Conversation with State Unit in reference to another call.

11:00 A.M. Called Gentry's in reference to rescue call. No answer.

11:02 A.M. Advised J.C. Ayscue that Dispatcher was calling Southside Emergency Crew.

11:04 A.M. Southside Emergency Crew called.

Mr. Hargrave stated the membership numbers of the Rescue Squad has been a concern of the Board for some time. The fire departments are faced with the same problems. He stated he felt everyone should give a few years to volunteer units. The best way to help is to encourage others to participate.

Mr. Wayne Gwaltney spoke as a past member of the Rescue Squad. He felt the problem is the strict training requirements established by the State.

Mr. A. Clay agreed too much training is required. He stated he hoped this incident was a one time occurrence and the Board appreciated the services of the Rescue Squad.

Mr. Kenny Milton spoke as a past member of the Rescue Squad. He stated training was definitely a problem but the Board of Supervisors could not solve it by themselves. He called upon those present to join together to start working towards a solution.

Mr. Punk Reese stated he served as a rescue squad member for several years. When his doctor called for a transport for him to Chippenham Hospital, he was told only one unit was available and it could not leave the County. Mr. H. Clay stated if the unit had responded, it would have left the County uncovered. Mr. Milton added that State law requires the Rescue Squad to transport to the nearest hospital. He then suggested that all those in attendance meet to discuss possible solutions and come back with recommendations for the Board.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 8:58 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 9:17 P.M.

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE--DISCUSSION OF WINDOWS

The County Administrator discussed with the Board the existing windows in the Clerk's Office. It was decided that the first approach would be to remove the storm windows from the outside to determine what conditions existed.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION

The County Administrator stated that the cabletelevision agreement with Dinwiddie Cable TV has been executed and the company has been asked to complete all requirements of the ordinance by March 21, 1986.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:23 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:14 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:15 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF MARCH, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	SAM SHANDS	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the February 19, 1986 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-361 through 86-454 amounting to $120,181.94.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1984-85 AUDIT

Mr. Spencer Elmore, representing Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, appeared before the Board to present the 1984-85 audit and answer any questions they might have.

He stated the County ended the year in a good financial position. He pointed out that the County spent more than was taken in so the Board is beginning to use its reserve funds. He also encouraged the Board to include recognition of all anticipated revenues and expenditures in the budgeting process.

IN RE: PETERSBURG CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Ms. Gina Dee, Membership Coordinator, appeared before the Board to review the activities of the Petersburg Chamber of Commerce and invite the County to join. Membership would be $150 annually.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of February, 1986.

Mr. H. Clay asked Mr. Jones if he had ever considered partial payments in advance of the December 5 deadline. Mr. Jones stated he accepts partial payments at any time. Mr. H. Clay then asked him if an early billing would be possible. Mr. Jones stated that personal property filing is not due until May 1. Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, added that there would have to be quite a few changes made to bill any earlier. Mr. Jones stated they could not bill any earlier since the Board does not set the tax rates until June.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that a statement be added to the tax bill suggesting a person can prepay taxes for the following year at 50% of his present bill. He felt the County should try to

find an in between way to accomplish early payments without having to go to double billing. Mr. Robertson suggested a separate note in the present tax billing stating if anyone wants to prepay taxes, call the Treasurer's office. Mr. Jones and Mr. Bolte agreed to that suggestion.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of February, 1986.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--BESSIE HARRISON

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Bessie Harrison was awarded $66.00 for 9 hens, 4 guineas, and 1 rooster.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of February, 1986.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--PUBLIC HEARING ON SIX YEAR PLAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 19, 1986 and Wednesday, February 26, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing with representatives of the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation for the purpose of discussing with the citizens of Dinwiddie County the entire Six Year Plan and to receive interested citizens comments.

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, reviewed the recommendations of the Highway Department and then opened the floor for public comment.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County receives additional funds from the proposed gasoline tax, will any of the projects be moved up. Mr. Neblett stated they would.

Mr. A. Clay stated the Seaboard Coastline R.R. was considering abandoning their line through the County. If so, the flashing lights at Rt. 605 could be removed. Mr. Neblett stated he would check with the railroad.

1. Mr. James Pegram stated that on Rt. 666, a portion of the road near his house and near Mrs. Tucker was still flooding.

2. Mr. William Brown submitted a petition with 88 names to have Rt. 680 hard surfaced.

3. Mr. Tucker and Mr. Sam Shands both stated that when the bridge was put in on Rt. 680, it has caused flooding in the fields surrounding it back to Rt. 666. Mr. Hargrave added that he felt the new power lines have made the water run off more quickly.

4. Mr. A. Clay stated that the citizens on Rt. 715 are still requesting that it be hard surfaced. It is receiving a considerable amount of traffic.

5. Mr. Hargrave stated that there is a bad curve on Rt. 605 between Rt. 605 and Rt. 660. The present landowner contacted him and stated he would let the highway department clean up the bank on his property to make any safety improvements they need to in that curve.

6. Mr. A. Clay stated he wanted to mention again the hill at the intersection of Rt. 650 and Rt. 649 which causes a site distance problem.

7. Mr. Sam Shands stated that the bridge on Rt. 609 near Edward's Store is difficult to cross, especially during snow. He stated that if a car slides at all, it will end up in the creek.

At this point, the public hearing was closed. No action was taken.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR SPEED LIMIT REDUCTION ON U.S. RT. 1 AT DEWITT

Mr. A. Clay presented a petition with 70 names on it requesting a speed limit reduction on U.S. #1 at DeWitt. The request is to reduce the speed limit from 55 MPH to 35 MPH or erect a caution sign stating "vehicles turning".

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, stated he would forward the request to his Traffic and Safety Engineer for a traffic study of that area.

IN RE: DEPT. OF HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT--$250,000 GRANT FOR WATER LINE

The County Administrator advised the Board that the County has received a grant in the amount of $250,000 from the Department of Housing and Community Development to construct a water line from the intersection of Routes 603 and 226 to the intersection of Rt. 460 West and Rt. 632 North. He requested the Chairman be authorized to sign this agreement.

The project will be completed and a one time reimbursement will be requested.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign an agreement with the Department of Housing and Community Development for the $250,000.

IN RE: CRIME PREVENTION DAY WITH MCGRUFF

Mr. H. Clay, President of the Dinwiddie Crime Prevention Council, announced that his organization along with the Dinwiddie Sheriff's Department and the Virginia State Police will sponsor a Crime Prevention Day with McGruff on May 17, at the Dinwiddie Senior High School.

The purpose of this occasion is to stimulate public awareness of the efforts being put forth to reduce crime in Dinwiddie County.

Tentative plans call for visits by elected state officials, who will participate with local officials in a round table discussion about anti-crime efforts both locally and state-wide; demonstrations and presentations about flim-flam, crimes against the young and elderly, self-defense for women; and similar items of interest.

Displays will include police vehicles, fire vehicles, rescue squad vehicles and home protection devices, along with literature describing available resources individuals can use in protecting life and property.

As further details become available, they will be made available through the news media and posters.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:20 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:41 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 7:42 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 19TH DAY OF MARCH, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	CLAIRBORNE FISHER	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 5, 1986 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-455 through 86-577 amounting to $82,749.59; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-5 in the amount of $13,906.46; Law Library Fund check number LF-86-2 in the amount of $15.28; Radio Fund check number RADIO-86-2 in the amount of $24,259.50.

IN RE: 70001 EDUCATIONAL EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM--ENDORSEMENT OF TARGETED JOBS TAX CREDIT

Ms. Jean Tolliver, Regional Manager of 70001, appeared before the Board to request their support of the Targeted Jobs Tax Credit program which will appear before Congress within the next few weeks.

She stated that the program provides a tax incentive to businesses for hiring low income individuals with very little training. She stated her agency is working with youth at the present time and the Tax Credit program has been very beneficial in finding employment for them.

Ms. Tolliver then presented a sample letter for the Board to consider sending to its legislative representatives supporting the Targeted Jobs Tax Credit program.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to send a letter in support of the Targeted Jobs Credit bill to the County's legislative representatives.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:40 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:55 P.M.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-86-1--REZONING APPLICATION--SAMUEL T. MARTIN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 5, 1986 and Wednesday, March 12, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning classification of land parcel 21-129 from residential, limited R-1 to business, general, B-2.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, presented the information and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission on February 12, 1986, wherein they recommended approval with conditions submitted by the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Hargrave questioned the condition of maintaining a "screen of evergreens, fencing or a combination thereof" as to whether the wording adequately provided for fencing that could not be seen through.

Mr. Scheid stated that was the intent of the Planning Commission, to require screening that could not be seen through. Mr. Martin stated that was his understanding of the word "screen". The following statement was then added to the conditions proffered by Mr. Martin and he initialed his acceptance: "the fencing is such that it may not be seen through".

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the district classification of land parcel 21-129, as designated by the County Tax maps, containing 3.67 acres, be changed from residential, limited, R-1 to business, general B-2.

As a condition of this rezoning application, the applicant, heirs, and assigns shall be bound by the following terms:

1. the entrance(s) to this property shall be from U.S. Route 1, not from Rt. 671;

2. a screen of evergreens, fencing or a combination thereof shall be erected and maintained along Route 671. The screening shall be a minimum of eight (8) feet in height; and shall not be seen through.

3. all commercial activities shall limit offensive activities (i.e., noise) to normal business hours; and

4. security lighting shall be placed such that minimum glare will be experienced by residents whose homes front on Route 671.

In all other respects, said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-3--VEHICLE SALVAGE YARD

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 5, 1986 and Wednesday, March 12, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Dinwiddie County Code by permitting a vehicle salvage yard with a conditional use permit in an Agricultural, General, A-3 district.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, presented the information and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission wherein they recommended disapproval at their March 12, 1986 meeting.

No one appeared in support of the amendment.

Mr. Robert Painter, representing other A-3 residents in attendance that live near Lake Chesdin, spoke in opposition. He stated he felt a vehicle salvage yard would be disadvantageous to the natural waterfall in that area.

Mr. H. Clay stated Agricultural A-3 property is prime area for residential development and the allowance of a vehicle salvage yard would disrupt residential potential and be a waste of prime land.

Mr. Robertson stated he had received alot of input from the citizens in the area and also felt it is not advisable to allow that use in an A-3 area.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", amendment A-86-3, to allow vehicle salvage yards in an agricultural A-3 zoned area, with conditional use permit, was denied.

IN RE: NEW COUNTY CODE--CHANGES TO ARTICLE I, SECTION 19-2 DEALING WITH TAX COLLECTION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the discussion of the recodification of the County code was removed from the table.

At the March 5, 1986 meeting, the Treasurer, W.E. Jones questioned certain sections that had been changed in the new code pertaining to the operation of his office.

Mr. Jones stated he checked with the County Attorney and as a result made the following recommendations dealing with Article I, Section 19-2, Subsection (b):

1. Delete the wording, "or ten dollars ($10.00), whichever is greater."

2. Delete the entire next sentence and remit the old ordinance statement which says, "In addition to the penalty provided herein, any such taxes that remain unpaid on the first day of the month next following the month in which such taxes become due shall be delinquent and interest thereon of ten percent per annum shall be added to the amount of taxes or levies due from such taxpayer, which when collected by the Treasurer, shall be accounted for in his settlement".

Mr. Bennett asked how many of the delinquent taxes were small. Mr. Jones stated alot were under $100. Also, alot of payments in error were made this year. As a result, their penalties might be $10.00 rather than 10%.

Mr. Bennett stated he was not trying to make anyone's job harder; however, he did not want to make any incentives for people not to pay their taxes.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the changes as requested by the Treasurer for Article I, Section 19-2, subsection (b) were approved.

IN RE: ENACTMENT OF NEW COUNTY CODE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, an ordinance adopting and enacting a new code for the County of Dinwiddie Virginia; establishing the same; providing for the repeal of certain ordinances not included therein; providing a penalty for the violation thereof; providing for the manner of amending such code; and providing when such code and this ordinance shall become effective is adopted as follows:

Section 1. The Code of Ordinances, consisting of Chapters 1 to 22, each inclusive, is hereby adopted and enacted as the "Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia," which Code shall supersede all general and permanent ordinances of the County passed on or before June 30, 1985, to the extent provided in section 2 hereof.

Section 2. All provisions of the Code shall be in full force and effect from and after March 19, 1986, and all ordinances of a general and permanent nature enacted on final passage on or before June 30, 1985, and not included in the Code or recognized and continued in force by reference therein, are hereby repealed from and after the effective date of the Code.

Section 3. The repeal provided for in section 2 hereof shall not be construed to revive any ordinance or part thereof that has been repealed by a subsequent ordinance which is repealed by this ordinance.

Section 4. Any person convicted of a violation of the Code shall be punished as prescribed in section 1-11 thereof, or as provided in any other applicable section of the Code.

Section 5. In case of the amendment of any section of the Code for which a penalty is not provided, the general penalty prescribed in section 1-11 of the Code shall apply to the section as amended, or in case the amendment contains provisions for which a penalty, other than the aforementioned general penalty, is provided in the other section shall be held to relate to the section so amended, unless the penalty is specifically repealed therein.

Section 6. All ordinances adopted after June 30, 1985, which amend or refer to ordinances which have been codified in the Code, shall be construed as if they amend or refer to like provisions of the Code.

Section 7. This ordinance and the Code adopted hereby shall become effective March 19, 1986.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1986; and

WHEREAS, St. John's Church has paid the $10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a Bingo and Raffle Permit for St. John's Catholic Church be granted for the calendar year 1986.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ASBESTOS LANDFILL

Mr. Deke Bolte stated that he and other citizens were concerned about the possibility of a landfill being located on Rt. 627 for the disposal of asbestos.

The Chairman thanked those in attendance for their concern and interest but stated the Board could not consider any action at this time since no application for a landfill has been filed.

Mr. Carlton Bolte asked that if a public hearing be held, that it be held at night. The Chairman stated any application received would have to be heard by the Planning Commission and the Board and that public hearings are usually scheduled for night meetings and notices would be in the paper.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:33 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 8:00 A.M., Thursday, March 20, 1986.

MARCH 20, 1986--8:00 A.M.--CONTINUATION OF MARCH 19, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: BUDGET WORKSHOP SESSION WITH CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS

The Board met in a workshop session to discuss the individual budgets of the Director of Sanitation, the Sheriff, the Commissioner of Revenue and the Treasurer.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 1:00 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF APRIL, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 19, 1986 regular meeting and the March 20, 1986 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-578 through 86-667 amounting to $118,592.79.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of March, 1986.

IN RE: SHERIFF--AUCTION OF OLD SHOTGUNS

Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to request authorization to offer at public auction 19 Winchester Model 12 and 16 guage shotguns. These guns were recently replaced by new guns and the Sheriff felt a public auction of the old ones would help recoup some of the cost. He stated two of the guns will be retained by the Animal Warden.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to offer at public auction 19 Winchester 12 and 16 guage shotguns which were recently replaced for his department.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Chairman read the Building Inspector's report for the month of March, 1986.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks presented his report for the month of March, 1986.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES--REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO MATCH STATE FUNDS

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, Social Services, appeared before the Board to request authorization to expend $13,475 from her 1985-86 local budget to match supplemental state allocations. Mrs. Talley had requested that her local appropriation not be reduced to allow her to draw upon these funds to match State allocations.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Director of Social Services was authorized to expend $13,475 in local funds to match supplemental State allocations to her 1985-86 budget.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Neblett reported that the last phase of Rt. 601 was scheduled for construction.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--ADOPTION OF SIX-YEAR PLAN

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, distributed copies of the Six Year Plan for Secondary Road Improvements for FY 1986-1992. He pointed out that the only revision made was the removal of the flashing lights and shortarm gates on Rt. 605 due to the plans of the Seaboard Coastline to abandon their track in the County within the next few years. The next crossing on the priority list is Rt. 607.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Sec. 33.1-70.01 of the Code of Virginia, 1950 as amended, requires the Board of Supervisors to conduct a joint public hearing with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for the purpose of discussing with the citizens of Dinwiddie County the entire Six Year Plan and to receive the interested citizens' comments; and

WHEREAS, this Six-Year Plan shall consist of improvements of the secondary roads in Dinwiddie County and is based on the best estimate of funds to be available to the County for expenditure on the Six-Year Plan period on the Secondary System; and

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held on the 5th day of March, 1986 at 2:00 P.M. in the Board Meeting Room of the Administration Building; and

WHEREAS, following the said public hearing, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, together with representatives of the Virginia Department of Highways & Transportation have reviewed comments received at the public hearing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby adopt the Six-Year Plan and the revised construction priority list for the Secondary System as set forth in the Plan presented by the Virginia Department of Highways & Transportation.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DEWITT, DINWIDDIE, ROCKY RUN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the DeWitt, Dinwiddie, Rocky Run Athletic Association has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1986; and

WHEREAS, the Association has paid the $10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a Bingo and Raffle Permit be granted for the DeWitt, Dinwiddie, Rocky Run Athletic Association for the calendar year 1986.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--MCKENNEY BAPTIST CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the McKenney Baptist Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1986; and

WHEREAS, the Church has paid the $10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a Bingo & Raffle permit be granted for the McKenney Baptist Church for the calendar year 1986.

IN RE: REVISION OF EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there exists many potential situations which could threaten the lives, property, and economic well-being of the people of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the safety and protection of the people of Dinwiddie County is of foremost concern to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors desires and the Commonwealth of Virginia statutes require the adoption of appropriate planned protective measures;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby adopts the Dinwiddie County Basic Emergency Operations Plan as the necessary basic plan for County emergency operations.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:17 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 2:54 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA POWER SERVICE AGREEMENT--EFFECTIVE MARCH 21, 1986

The County Administrator presented the new contract for electrical services with Virginia Power Company, effective March 21, 1986. He stated the new rates were negotiated by the Virginia Association of Counties and the Virginia Municipal League.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign the Agreement for the purchase of electricity and electrical services by Municipalities and Counties of the Commonwealth from the Virginia Power Company on behalf of Dinwiddie County, effective March 21, 1986.

IN RE: WILLIAM WALKER--DISCUSSION OF LANDFILL FOR ASBESTOS DISPOSAL

The Chairman opened the discussion by stating this is not a public hearing and no action will be taken.

Mr. William Walker appeared before the Board to discuss the possibility of establishing a landfill for asbestos disposal on his property on Rt. 627. He stated he was considering this alternative because of the recent problems he has encountered with obtaining insurance for his asbestos removal business. In the past, his company has used disposal sites in several states. Since purchasing the property in the County with the intention of raising cattle, he has investigated the possibility of using it for a landfill site. Mr. Walker stated he has applied to the Department of Health for a license and whether or not he would make application to the County will depend upon the action taken by the Health Department.

Mr. Robertson stated he would like to know what Mr. Walker's next step is. Mr. Walker stated it was hard to say without the Health Department license. Mr. Robertson stated it seems Mr. Walker has made an investment and hasn't asked anything of the Board. The County has to decide whether landfills are allowed and he personally was opposed to any more landfills in the County. Mr. Robertson stated that he would like to see Mr. Walker move along with his plans to relieve the pressure on the citizens who are very much concerned about the location of a landfill for asbestos disposal. He added that Mr. Walker had make a statement if there was opposition, he would not pursue the landfill. Mr. Robertson then asked Mr. Walker if he had seen enough. Mr. Walker stated not yet.

Mr. Bennett asked Mr. Walker to explain the benefits of a landfill for asbestos disposal to Dinwiddie County. Mr. Walker stated mainly it would mean the circulation of additional money for the County. He stated he was also willing to take asbestos from the County.

Mr. Hargrave said he would not take a position until something was presented to the Board for action. He stated that if the County finds a waste it already has, then they will have to work out a way to dispose of it. However, he rejected the idea of sacrificing the County because the rest of the world has a problem. He also indicated Mr. Walker should be aware of the opposition to his proposal of a landfill site for asbestos disposal. He advised Mr. Walker to think hard as he considered his pursuit.

Mr. Bennett stated the Board owes an individual the right to hear both sides. However, with the opposition at this time, he stated he would appreciate it if Mr. Walker would proceed with his request since the Board's process is not dependent on the State.

Mr. Walker stated he would like to see what the State will do first. Then several of informational meetings would be held to explain the dangers. He felt if they do not try to solve this problem of disposal, the government will solve it for us.

Mr. H. Clay stated he understood once a landfill is closed, the only permissible use is pasture land; that it kills the value of the land and takes it out of development. Mr. Walker stated that was correct.

Mr. Deke Bolte stated he had petitions with 2500 signatures in opposition to the location of a Landfill and if that was not enough he could get more.

Mr. Beasley Jones stated he had received several calls from concerned citizens afraid the Board of Supervisors would be bypassed by the State. He contacted the Attorney General's office and received confirmation, unofficially, that if the County has a local ordinance not including landfills, the State would not override it. He also talked with Robert Wickline of the State Health Department and he indicated the same thing. He added the State could not give emminent domain to an individual.

The Chairman stated at least two public hearings will be held should the application go forth and they would be at night to receive public input.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., Monday, April 7, 1986.

APRIL 7, 1986--7:00 P.M.--CONTINUATION OF APRIL 2, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: CHAIRMAN

Mr. Robertson opened the meeting and expressed the Board's concern and well wishes for the Chairman, Aubrey Clay, who has suffered a moderate heart attack and is in the hospital at this time.

IN RE: PURCHASE OF NEW LAWN MOWER

The County Administrator presented three bids for a new riding lawn mower for the county grounds as follows:

Dinwiddie Lawn Equipment - John Deere Model 420 lawn and garden tractor - $8226.50

H&S Equipment - John Deere Model 430 lawn and garden tractor - $8918.25

Dinwiddie Tractor & Equip. Co. - Model B8200 Kubota tractor - $6778.64

He recommended the Board accept the low bid of Dinwiddie Tractor & Equipment Company.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the low bid of Dinwiddie Tractor & Equip. Co. of $6778.64 for a Kubota lawn tractor was accepted.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1986-87 BUDGET

The County Administrator reviewed with the Board certain major expenditure items within the 1986-87 budget to receive their direction as he prepares the budget document. Among the items discussed were: recreation budget, capital outlay items, building maintenance, a computer system for the County, and a cash management system.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 6:30 P.M., Monday, April 14, 1986.

APRIL 14, 1986--6:30 P.M.--CONTINUATION OF APRIL 7, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1986-87 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

The Board met with the School Board to receive their proposed 1986-87 budget. Mr. Donald Haraway, Chairman of the School Board, responded to the question concerning budgeting all expected receipts and expenditures brought out by the auditors at a previous meeting. He stated he was satisfied with the way the 1985-86 budget was classified and that it did not have any effect on third party reimbursements.

Mr. Haraway said the 1986-87 proposed budget represented a 10.7% increase made up mainly of the 10% salary increase for teachers and capital outlay items. He stated the School Board was very concerned about the condition of the school buildings and was recommending a new position of Director of Maintenance. The budget also includes a position for Director of Purchasing to handle all school purchases. Mr. Haraway pointed out that while they had analyzed enrollment, the School Board intends to operate all 9 schools for the 1986-87 school year. He said if one was closed, the quality of education would suffer.

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent, highlighted the major items in the budget. Mr. Jim Andrews spoke in support of the School Board request, especially the capital outlay items. He recommended that the Board of Supervisors should give serious consideration to these items because if they are not corrected, he felt there would be major expenditures later on. Mr. Bill Saunders and Mrs. Lillian Stewart, spoke in the support of the budget and the teachers.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:28 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:03 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:04 P.M.

George E. Robertson

G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF APRIL, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY
	CLAIBORNE FISHER	DEPUTY SHERIFF
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the April 2, 1986 regular meeting and the April 7 and April 14, 1986 continued meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-672 through 86-810 amounting to $138,647.54; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-86-3 and 4 amounting to $129.31; County Construction Fund check number CCF-86-2 in the amount of $356.00; History Book Fund-check number HB-86-2 in the amount of $1.76; Radio Fund checks-numbering Radio-86-3 and 4 amounting to $14,072.88; Water & Sewer Fund check-numbering W&S-86-6 in the amount of $89,588.54.

IN RE: COMMISSION ON YOUTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES--REPORT ON 1985-86 ACTIVITIES & APPROVAL OF 1986-87 PROGRAM

Mr. Charles Hawkins, Chairman, Commission on Youth & Community Services, appeared before the Board to briefly review the Commission's activities for the year 1985-86. He then presented the proposed workplan for 1986-87. Mr. Robertson praised the Commission for the work they have done in the past.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the 1986-87 workplan for the Commission on Youth & Community Services was approved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-6--CHANGE IN POLLING PLACES--PRECINCTS 102 & 402

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 2, 1986 and Wednesday, April 9, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 8 of the Dinwiddie County Code to change Section 8-37 to reflect a change in the polling places for Election District 1, Precinct Number 102, White Oak and Election District Number 4, Precinct Number 402, McKenney.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth Attorney, presented the request for the Electoral Board, stating that the reason for the change is to provide accessibility for the handicapped. Ramps cannot be feasibly installed at White Oak Church and the McKenney Town Hall.

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March 19, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes:

CHAPTER 8 - ELECTIONS

Section 8-37. Precincts - Names, number and polling places

ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 1

Precinct	Polling Place
Number 1, White Oak	Diamond Hill Hunt Club

ELECTION DISTRICT NUMBER 4

Precinct	Polling Place
Number 402, McKenney	McKenney Fire House

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-86-2--FIRST COLONIAL SAVINGS & LOAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 26, 1986 and Wednesday, April 2, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend a portion of Section 7, Parcel 18, containing 17.8 acres, by changing the district classification from Agricultural, Conservation A-3 to Residential, Limited R-1.

The Director of Planning was not present for this hearing due to a conflict of interest.

The Planning Commission recommended approval at their March 12, 1986 meeting.

Mr. Charles Townes, Surveyor, represented Mr. James Stewart, President, First Colonial Savings & Loan. He stated that First Colonial is requesting the rezoning of 17.8 additional acres which will allow for the maximum of 100 lots. He stated this is the final section and is the number which the central water system was designed for.

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Zoning Ordinance be amended by changing the district classification of a portion of Section 7 Parcel 18, containing 17.8 acres from agricultural, conservation, A-3 to residential, limited, R-1. Said parcel is bounded as follows: to the east by Gray Lumber Company; to the north by River Road Farms Subdivision, to the west by a line parallel to and 200' from Shoreview Drive and Shoreview Drive extended as well as a portion of land extending to the 50' access easement serving an existing well lot; and to the south by a line located to the rear and side of homesites now or formerly owned by Leo Williams, Sr. and Leo E. Williams, Jr.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-86-1--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--
MARK NEWTON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 26, 1986 and Wednesday, April 2, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing

to consider for approval the request of Mark Newton for a conditional use permit to operate a vehicle salvage yard on his property on Rt. 613.

The Planning Commission recommended approval with conditions at their April 9, 1986 meeting.

Mrs. Vivian McHenry spoke for Mr. Newton. She stated Mr. Robert Newton, Mark Newton's father, sold his business and relocated it to his son's property on Rt. 613. She said he plans to move the vehicles in question out of site when the weather clears. Some of the cars will be stripped for parts and then removed from the property.

Mr. Charles E. Goolsby, Jr. spoke in opposition. He stated his father owns property adjacent to Mr. Newton and he hoped to be living there and wants to use the land for agricultural purposes. He stated he needs a suitable water supply and he has health and environmental concerns about a vehicle salvage yard next to his property. He indicated he had talked with an engineer who stated petrochemicals are very harmful, especially to a water supply. Mr. Goolsby requested the following:

1. Reassurance there would be no groundwater contamination.

2. Plan of how the chemicals would be disposed of.

3. What agencies would monitor the operation.

4. Effect on surrounding property values.

5. Have language inserted in the conditional use permit that there would be no environmental damage and how it would be monitored.

Mr. Robertson read the conditions recommended by the Planning Commission:

1. batteries, transmission fluid, oil, tires, etc. be properly stored according to the EPA standards; and

2. all cars be screened from public view.

He asked Mr. Goolsby if these conditions satisfied his concern. He added EPA would monitor their standards. Mr. Goolsby stated he would like to see a copy of the standards before a decision was made.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, stated he did not have a copy of the standards but he felt they would protect the area. He stated EPA would have to enforce the regulations and the County Planner would monitor the operation as he drives through the County. Mr. T.O. Rainey, Commonwealth Attorney, stated that EPA is imposing stricter regulations as of July 1 and he was sure they would answer Mr. Goolsby's concerns.

Mr. Hargrave asked if any additional cars will be brought onto the property. Mrs. McHenry stated there would be no additional cars brought onto the property. Mr. Hargrave suggested that statement be added to the conditions of the permit. Mr. H. Clay asked if the maximum number of cars were there now. Mrs. McHenry stated yes. Mr. Clay then asked if she agreed to adding the condition suggested by Mr. Hargrave. Mrs. McHenry said she did.

Mr. Goolsby stated he did not want to cause Mr. Newton any harm. He only wanted to protect his own interests. He understood examinations would be the responsibility of the Planning Department and possibly law enforcement on screening and storage areas. He then presented pictures of a vehicle storage area on Rt. 613 that he indicated had been there since 1970 and no action has been taken to correct it.

Mr. Robertson directed the County Administrator to investigate the area on Rt. 613 to see if there are any violations. Mr. Scheid stated he would write a letter concerning any violations, but it will need to be followed up. He pointed out that the County Planner's office is a one-man shop and it is very difficult to police the whole county.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the request by Mr. Mark Newton for a conditional use permit to operate a vehicle salvage yard on his property on Rt. 613 was approved with the following conditions:

1. batteries, transmission fluid, oil, tires, etc. be properly stored according to the EPA standards;

2. all cars be screened from public view.

3. vehicles allowed to be stored on the property are limited to the actual cars that are physically located on the property as of the date of approval of this permit.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD--1986-87 BUDGET REQUEST

Mr. Robert Mengel, Chief, Dinwiddie VFD, appeared before the Board to present his 1986-87 budget request. He stated the department needs a building addition to the fire house. At the present time, alot of equipment is stored in the various members' homes and they have had 3 minor accidents moving vehicles in and out of the firehouse. He said they have proposed a 36' x 50' addition on two levels. Another major item included in the budget is a radio system for the hazardous materials van. It would also be used as a mobile command post for the County. Mr. H. Clay questioned the need for all the frequencies requested. Mr. Mengel stated they have already been needed on the large forest fires they have been called to. Mr. Clay asked if this would duplicate the efforts of the County in equipping a hazardous materials van. Wendy Quesenberry, Deputy Coordinator, stated it would not because the County does not have a van at the present time, and there is a need for a mobile command post for all types of emergencies. Mr. Hargrave stated he felt the van should not be used on a daily basis for every fire call in the County.

The Board advised Mr. Mengel his request would be considered during their budget sessions.

IN RE: CARSON VFD--1986-87 BUDGET REQUEST

Mr. Joe Rogers, Chief, Carson VFD, appeared before the Board to present his 1986-87 budget request. He stated they borrowed money to complete their building addition which they are committed to repay through fund-raising activities next year. Therefore, they would be short of funds for buying needed equipment. Mr. Rogers requested $2,000 from the County for two sets of breathing gear. He added Prince George was being asked to fund two radios.

The Board advised Mr. Rogers his requests would be considered during their budget sessions.

IN RE: FRED SAHL--COMMENTS ON SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Mr. Fred Sahl appeared before the Board to provide information for them to review on ways to save money within the school system. He stated he realized certain areas were not under their control; however, he was addressing them as a funding source.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Board of Supervisors cannot control what the teacher workload is and the General Assembly is mandating a 10% increase for teachers this year. He added that the School Board has requested a study by the State of all the schools to see what areas could be improved and made more efficient. However, they felt it to be in the County's best interest to operate all schools this coming year.

Mr. Robertson advised Mr. Sahl that this information would be taken under advisement by the Board.

IN RE: EXTENSION OF SEWER LINES ALONG U.S. ROUTE 460

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie has an opportunity to seek funds from the Economic Development Administration to fund the installation of a sewer line running in a westerly direction along U.S. Route 460 beginning at Rohoic Elementary School and terminating at State Route 632 North; and

WHEREAS, the total amount of this grant is $350,000 with EDA providing 70% and the County 30%;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that there is hereby appropriated, as the County's 30% of the grant, $105,000 to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority for the implementation of the Industrial Facility Sewer Project.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION--LEASE FOR LOCATION OF HEAD-IN EQUIPMENT

The County Administrator presented a lease agreement between Dinwiddie Cable TV and the County to locate the head-in equipment for the cable tv system on the property occupied by the Namozine VFD. He stated the document has been reviewed by the County Attorney and approved with a few recommended changes. Mr. H. Clay asked if the equipment would interfere with the fire department in any way. The County Administrator stated that the Chief, Bill Queen, was in agreement with the location. He added that Dinwiddie Cable TV is offering the fire department free solicitation time.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to sign the following lease agreement with Dinwiddie Cable TV:

This document is a lease agreement between Dinwiddie Cable TV, a Virginia limited partnership ("Lessee") and the County of Dinwidddie, Virginia ("Lessor"). It was executed on this 16th day of April, 1986 and, in consideration of the payment of rent and other good and valuable consideration, including the promises contained below, provides as follows:

1. Property: Lessor agrees to lease to Lessee, and Lessee agrees to lease from Lessor, a certain parcel of land, which is a part of a parcel known as Lot #15, Block C, as shown on a certain map drawn by W. Chappell, C.L.S., dated August 4, 1958, and entitled "Map Showing a parcel of land, Situated Edge Hill Park Subdivision;" it being the same property formerly owned by Petersburg Optimists, Inc., a copy which is attached as Exhibit A. The specific parcel leased shall be approximately the triangle indicated on Exhibit A, together with a right of way to the parcel.

2. Term and Rental: The term of this lease shall be for the period beginning April 30, 1986 and ending April 29, 1996. Lessee agrees (1) to pay rent as follows:

4-30-86 - 4-30-89 $600.00 per year, payable 4-30-87, 4-30-88 & 4-30-89

4-30-89 - 4-30-92 $900.00 per year, payable 4-30-90, 4-30-91, 4-30-92

4-30-92 - 4-30-94 $1200.00 per year, payable 4-30-93 & 4-30-94

4-30-94 - 4-30-96 $1500.00 per year, payable 4-30-95 & 4-30-96 and to

(2) provide the Namozine Volunteer Fire Department with free cable television and free occasional solicitation for donations on the access channel for the term of the lease.

3. Restrictions: Lessee shall be allowed to construct or install (1) a 5 meter satellite dish, (2) a sixty to one hundred foot antenna tower (guyed or unguyed), (3) a small (ten by ten, for example) building to house equipment, and (4) any necessary appurtenances to such equipment on the parcel. Lesses shall not use the property for any purposes inconsistent with the foregoing, nor shall Lessee place any improvements on the property inconsistent with the foregoing.

4. Indemnity: Lessee agrees to indemnify and hold harmless Lessor from all damages, claims and demands arising out of the construction, installation, or operation of the improvements noted in paragraph 3.

5. Right to Sublet or Assign: Lessee shall not be able to sublet or assign this lease without approval of the Lessor. Any subletting or assignment of this lease shall be subject to Lessee's obligations in this lease.

6. Additional taxes: Lessee agrees to pay any additional taxes on the leased premises attributable to its improvements on the property, provided, however, that Lessee shall not be obligated to pay any such real estate or similar tax if Lessor is afforded tax-exempt status.

7. Quiet Enjoyment: Lessor warrants that it is the lawful owner of the parcel and that it is free and clear of any liens and encumbrances and that they will defend Lessee in its right to quiet possession. Lessor, being the body to enact zoning and similar use restrictions, warrants that it will not enact use restrictions frustrating the purpose for the lease unless such restrictions are clearly and convincingly necessary.

8. Early Termination: This lease may be terminated by Lessee upon 90 days notice to Lessor in the event that satellite signal reception on the parcel becomes unavailable or technically infeasible so long as the event causing the loss of reception is not under the control of Lessee. This lease may be terminated by Lessor upon 90 days notice to Lessee in the event Lessee's improvements prevent Lessor's use of the land for a fire station.

9. Binding Agreement: This lease shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the parties and their respective heirs, assigns, and successors in interest.

10. Renewal: This lease shall automatically renew for another ten year term at the end of the original term unless written notice is given by one party to the other at least 90 days in advance of the expiration of the term.

In witness whereof, the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia has caused this writing to be signed in its name and on its behalf by W.C. Knott, County Administrator, as duly authorized by the Board of Supervisors; and, Dinwiddie Cable TV has caused this writing to be signed in its name and on its behalf by Charles M. Wilson, President of Eastern Cable, Inc., general partner.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:38 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:06 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., April 22, 1986.

APRIL 22, 1986 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 16, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT: G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2

	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: 1986-87 BUDGET WORKSHOP SESSION

The Board met in a workshop session to review the 1986-87 proposed budget for the County.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., April 28, 1986.

APRIL 28, 1986 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 22, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: 1986-87 BUDGET WORKSHOP SESSION

The Board met in a workshop session to review the 1986-87 proposed budget for the County. The County Administrator was instructed to advertise the budget for a public hearing to be held May 13, 1986.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:23 P.M.

George E. Robertson

G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF MAY, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
ABSENT:	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the April 16, 1986 regular meeting and the April 22, and 28, 1986 special meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-811 through 86-906 amounting to $122,218.24.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of April, 1986.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Chairman read the Building Inspector's report for the month of April, 1986.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of April, 1986.

IN RE: ATWOOD HICKS--LIVESTOCK CLAIM

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Atwood Hicks was awarded $77.00 for one (1) hog.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER--PUBLIC HEARING DATE

The Board set the date of June 18, 1986 at 7:30 P.M. to hold a public hearing on the appointment/reappointment of a school board member for election district #1.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--ACCEPTANCE OF HAZEL AVENUE AND BELL STREET

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Virginia Department of Highways and

Transportation is hereby requested to add to the secondary system a road known as Hazel Avenue in Oakhill Subdivision beginning at a point on Rt. 672, 0.27 miles east of Route 603, running in a southerly direction for a total length of 0.25 miles to dead end with turn-around; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation is hereby requested to add to the secondary system a road known as Bell Street in Oakhill Subdivision, beginning at a point on Hazel Avenue 0.07 mile south of Route 672, running in an easterly direction thence in a northerly direction to Route 672 for a total length of 0.16 mile; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that these roads, if accepted, be added to the secondary system of Dinwiddie County pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, does hereby guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia an unrestricted right-of-way of 50 feet with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drains recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 8-A, dated March, 1946 and Deed Book 233, Page 388, dated March 21, 1985; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the original developer or successor developers no longer have any real, equitable or speculative interest in said subdivision.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer and Mr. Harold Dyson, Assistant, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Hargrave expressed his concern about the money being spent on improvements to the guard rail on I-85 when the citizens of Dinwiddie County are riding in mud on some of the secondary roads. He stated he would like to change the thinking of those who make the decisions on how the money is spent. Mr. Neblett said the work is being done with federal funding designated for safety improvements on the interstate system. He suggested the Board might want to consider contacting their congressional representatives about the designation of funds.

Mr. A. Clay read a letter the Board received from the Highway Department concerning a speed reduction request on U.S. Rt. 1 at Dewitt. The District Traffic & Safety Office completed the necessary study and based on the information obtained, a reduced speed limit is not warranted. The letter stated, however, they do plan to paint a No Parking Area in front of the store on the highway right-of-way at this intersection to help alleviate the site distance problems.

IN RE: STREETNAMING & NUMBERING SYSTEM--AWARD OF CONTRACT

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented the following three proposals to provide a streetnaming and numbering system for the County:

R. Stuart Royer & Associates - $104,994
HDH Associates - $90,350
Planning Management Associates - $54,440

The proposals are broken into 4 categories:

The establishment of a grid system; the street directory and map guide booklets; a county map; and erection of street signs. Mrs. Quesenberry stated the street signs could be deleted at this time because this is a construction job and professional firms could not submit a bid.

She stated the Request for Proposals method was used for bidding because the staff felt cost should not be the major deciding factor. This project is going to have a great impact on every citizen of the County, and without staff expertise and a county planner, the County must have a firm that has had experience in this kind of project and can proceed with little guidance.

Mrs. Quesenberry recommended that the Board authorize the County Administrator to negotiate a contract with the firm of R. Stuart Royer and Associates because there are certain items in the proposal that she felt could be negotiated down. She stated the recommendation was based on the firm's experience in this type of work and their familiarity with the County. Experience in this type of work was something the other two firms did not have. She added that the cost submitted by R. Stuart Royer was in line with staff estimates of what it would take to do the job.

She also asked that the firm be allowed to start their preliminary work, but that the major part of the project be postponed until a new County Planner was hired. Since the Planning office will pick up the responsibility of streetnaming and house numbering when the firm completes its job, she felt the new Planner should be involved in the project. She added that she did not think a 30 to 40 day delay would jeopardize the County's cutover date.

Mr. H. Clay asked if Mrs. Quesenberry was stating this would be a new venture for the other two firms and the County would basicly be the guinea pig. Mrs. Quesenberry stated that was correct. Mr. Hargrave added that the loss of a County Planner was also a factor in the need for a firm with experience.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to negotiate a contract with R. Stuart Royer and Associates to provide a streetnaming and numbering system for the County.

Mr. H. Clay asked about the ordinance that is required. Mrs. Quesenberry stated the ordinance must be in place before the system is put into effect and after consulting with the firm and the County Attorney, she would be back to the Board with a recommended ordinance.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--YOUTH SERVICES COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following appointments were made to the Youth Services Commission:

Patricia Mason, Anna Hargrave, Kathy Cook, Susie Bain, Mason Coleman, Tripp Marable, terms expiring 1987; Charles Hawkins, Kay Winn, Diane Harroway, Barbara Goodman and Carol Barnes, terms expiring 1989.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:33 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., May 13, 1986.

MAY 13, 1986 -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 7, 1986 MEETING -- 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.(arrived at 9:00 PM)	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
ABSENT:	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING ON 1986-87 BUDGET AND 1986 TAX RATES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Monday, May 5, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the 1986-87 budget and 1986 tax rates.

The County Administrator reviewed the 1986-87 proposed budget and tax rates, highlighting the major changes.

The following people made comments and/or asked questions concerning the budget and tax rates:

Mr. Edward Titmus; Mr. Ford Zehmer; Mr. Fred Sahl; Mr. Richard Earl; Mr. Jerry Cook; Mr. Channing Baskerville; Mr. T.T. Atkinson; Mr. Dan Upton; Mr. B.J. Zitta; Mr. Meade Harrison; Mrs. Geraldine Spicely.

There being no further input, the public hearing was closed at 8:54 P.M. Action on the budget and tax rates is scheduled for May 21, 1986 at 7:30 P.M.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:55 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:10 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:11 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF MAY, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 7, 1986 regular meeting and the May 13, 1986 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--WATER & SEWER FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $80,000 from the General Fund to the Water and Sewer Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-910 through 86-1054 amounting to $89,847.93; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-86-5 and 6 amounting to $171.14; Water and Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-7 in the amount of $49,704.95.

IN RE: LOWNDES CORPORATION--APPROVAL OF ISSUANCE OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Industrial Development Authority of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the Authority), has considered the application of Lowndes Corporation (the Company) for the issuance of the Authority's industrial development revenue bonds in an amount not to exceed $5,000,000 (the Bonds) to assist in the financing of the Company's construction and equipping of a manufacturing facility for the fabrication of pre-cast, pre-stressed concrete building components (the Project) for lease to Tindall Concrete Virginia, Inc., a South Carolina corporation, to be located at the northwest corner of the intersection of U.S. Highway 460 and State Route 632 in Dinwiddie County, Virginia, and has held a public hearing thereon on May 20, 1986; and

WHEREAS, the Authority has requested the Board of Supervisors (the Board) of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), to approve the issuance of the Bonds to comply with Section 103(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended (the Code) and to apply to the Allocation Administrator to request an allocation of $5,000,000 of the State Reserve to the Bonds, pursuant to Executive Order Number 54 (85) Governor of Virginia (the Executive Order), to comply with Section 103(n) of the Code; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the Authority's resolution approving the issuance of the Bonds, subject to terms to be agreed upon, a

record of the public hearing and a "fiscal impact statement" with respect to the Project have been filed with the Board.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, approves the issuance of the Bonds by the Industrial Development Authority of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, for the benefit of the Company, to the extent required by Section 103(k) of the Code, to permit the Authority to assist in the financing of the project.

2. The Board hereby irrevocably allocates $1,590,000 of the County's Local Allocation to the Bonds and agrees to apply to the Allocation Administrator to request an allocation of $3,410,000 of the State Reserve to the Bonds, pursuant to the Executive Order, and directs the County Administrator to prepare and file an application therefor as promptly as practicable.

3. Approval of the issuance of the Bonds, as required by Section 103(k) of the Code, does not constitute an endorsement of the bonds or the creditworthiness of the Company, but, as required by Section 15.1-1380 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, the Bonds shall provide that neither the County nor the Authority shall be obligated to pay the Bonds or the interest thereon or other costs incident thereto except from the revenues and moneys pledged therefor, and neither the faith or credit nor the taxing power of the Commonwealth, the County nor the Authority shall be pledged thereto.

4. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--REZONING APPLICATION P-86-3--HELEN HELLER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1986 and Wednesday, May 14, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of Section 19, Parcel 103A and a portion of Section 19, Parcel 103, containing 1.07 acres from Agricultural, General A-2 to Business, Limited B-1.

The County Administrator presented the application and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its April 9, 1986 meeting. Mrs. Heller was present in support of her rezoning request.

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the district classification of section 19, parcel 103A and a portion of section 19, parcel 103 containing 1.07 acres be amended from Agricultural, general, A-2 to business, limited, B-1. Said portion of Parcel 103 is described by a Map Showing Two Parcels of Land Situated in Namozine District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, Owned by Raymond L. Heller to be acquired by Helen K. Heller, said map being prepared by Irving H. Pritchett, III, dated March 11, 1986 and being made a part of this ordinance. Parcel 103A is shown on the above referenced map as Helen's Hearth, containing 0.40 acres and owned by Helen K. Heller as stated in D.B. 197, p. 193 of the Circuit Court Clerk's records in Dinwiddie County. The total area rezoned containing 1.47 acres.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--AMENDMENT A-86-4--APPLICATION FEE FOR VARIANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1986 and Wednesday, May 14, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider

for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 22-41, Grant of Variance.

The County Administrator presented the amendment and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its March 12, 1986 meeting. He stated that the reason for raising the application fee to $40 is to cover the expense of advertising. Mr. Robertson asked if the appropriate wording could be inserted to allow discretion by the zoning administrator to increase the fee as needed to cover the cost of advertising without having to amend the ordinance. The County Administrator said a statement could be added that "should the expense of the variance exceed $40.00, the applicant will be billed for the balance."

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Section 22-41, Grant of Variance, be amended by adding the following paragraph:

(f) An application for variance shall be obtained from the zoning administrator. Each application for variance shall be accompanied by a check or money order in the sum of forty dollars ($40.00) payable to the county treasurer. Said fee shall be used to pay the expenses of advertising and mailing notices. If actual expenses associated with the variance exceed forty dollars ($40.00), the applicant shall be billed the difference.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--AMENDMENT A-86-5 -- FEE REQUIRED FOR APPEAL TO BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1986 and Wednesday, May 14, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 22-40, Paragraph (c), fee required for appeal to Board of Zoning Appeals.

The County Administrator presented the amendment and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its March 12, 1986 meeting. The reason for raising the fee is to cover the cost of advertising.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Section 22-40, Paragraph (c), of the County Code be amended as follows:

Delete:

Appeals requiring an advertised public hearing shall be accompanied by a certified check for twenty dollars (20.00) payable to the county treasurer.

In its stead, add:

Appeals requiring an advertised public hearing shall be accompanied by a certified check for forty dollars ($40.00) payable to the county treasurer. If actual expenses associated with the appeal exceed forty dollars ($40.00), the applicant shall be billed the difference.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--AMENDMENT A-86-7 -- APPLICATION FEE FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1986 and Wednesday, May 14, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 22-23 (c), applications for rezoning and conditional use permits.

The County Administrator presented the amendment and reviewed the action of the Planning Commission which was approval at its May 14, 1986 meeting. The reason for raising the fee is to cover the cost of advertising.

No one appeared in support or opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Section 22-23 (c) of the County Zoning Ordinance be amended by deleting the following:

(c) Each application submitted requiring a public hearing for a conditional use permit shall be accompanied by a check or money order in the sum of twenty dollars ($20.00) to pay the cost of advertising.

And in its stead, insert the following:

(c) Each application submitted requiring a public hearing for a conditional use permit shall be accompanied by a check or money order in the sum of forty dollars ($40.00) to pay the cost of advertising. If actual expenses associated with the permit exceed forty dollars ($40.00), the applicant shall be billed the difference.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT C-86-2 -- VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 7, 1986 and Wednesday, May 14, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a request by Virginia Electric & Power for a conditional use permit to construct a 400' guyed tower on property owned by J.E. Ellington.

The County Administrator presented the application and reviewed the action of the Planning Commission which was approval at its May 14, 1986 meeting with conditions.

Representatives from Virginia Electric & Power and Mr. J.E. Ellington were present in support of the application. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a conditional use permit for Virginia Electric & Power was approved to construct a 400' guyed tower on property owned by J.E. Ellington with the following conditions:

1. Virginia Electric & Power will be responsible for correcting interference or other problems caused by the tower and equipment located on it.

2. This conditional use permit shall be reviewed at least every two years for compliance.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 22.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:54 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:09 P.M.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1986-87 BUDGET

Mr. A. Clay stated that due to a recent Attorney General's opinion concerning Conflict of Interest, he would abstain from voting on the budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. A. Clay abstaining, the following budget was adopted for 1986-87:

ANTICIPATED INCOME	1986-87
From Local Sources	6,712,700
From State Sources	2,344,000
From Revenue Sharing	97,000
From General Fund	-0-
TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME	9,153,700
ESTIMATED EXPENSES	
01 General Government Administration	
011 Legislative	21,550
012 General & Financial Admin.	352,250
013 Board of Elections	36,280
02 Judicial Administration	
021 Courts	24,990
022 Commonwealth's Attorney	63,360
03 Public Safety	
031 Law Enforcement & Traffic Control	850,560
032 Fire & Rescue Services	87,400
033 Correction & Detention	94,750
034 Inspections	24,830
035 Other Protection	41,600
04 Public Works	
041 Maintenance of Highways & Streets	34,250
042 Sanitation & Waste Removal	277,380
043 Maintenance of Bldgs. & Grounds	236,880
044 Water Service	80,000
05 Health & Welfare	
051 Health	116,710
052 Mental Health & Mental Retard.	35,190
053 Social Services	14,580
06 Education	
064 Community Colleges	1,260
07 Parks, Recreation & Cultural	
071 Parks & Recreation	4,500
073 Library	87,590
08 Community Development	
081 Planning & Community Development	149,090
082 Environmental Management	4,500
083 Cooperative Extension Program	32,900

09 Non-departmental	
091 Non-departmental	567,500
TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES	3,239,900
5100 TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS	
5101 Virginia Public Assistance Fund from General Fund	1,176,800
5102 School Fund from General Fund	4,640,000
5103 School Fund from Revenue Shar. Fund	97,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES AND TRANSFERS	9,153,700

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1986 TAX RATES

Mr. A. Clay stated that due to a recent Attorney General's opinion concerning Conflict of Interest, he would abstain from voting on the tax rates.

Mr. H. Clay moved that the real estate rate be dropped by one cent to 80¢ and the personal property rate be lowered by twenty cents to $5.00. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson stated that he did not agree with not reducing the personal property by thirty cents to $4.90 as advertised. He then offered a substitute motion to adopt the tax rates as advertised, 81¢ for real estate and $4.90 for personal property. There was no second. Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voted "nay". Mr. A. Clay abstained. The substitute motion was defeated.

Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. A. Clay abstaining, the following tax rates were adopted for tax year 1986:

Real Estate	.80
Mobile Homes	.80
Mineral Lands	.80
Public Services	
Equalized	.80
Unequalized	4.40
Personal Property	5.00
Machinery and Tools	3.30
Farm Machinery	1.50
Heavy Construction Machinery	3.30

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT -- FY 86-87

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Historic Resources, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of Grants for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that they endorse and support the program indicated in the attached Application Form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administration be authorized to plan, budget, and apply for a Grant which, if

approved, will be used to fund said program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors, requests the Department of Conservation and Historic Resources; Divison of Litter Control, to consider and approve said application and program, said program being in accord with the regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: 1987 HIGHWAY SAFETY FINAL APPLICATION

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission, presented a final application for 1987 Highway Safety funding for the School Board. The request is for $6,936 for films and cassettes for the Juvenile Offender program operated at the Senior High School by Ms. Barbara Goodman. The funds will be matched with in-kind services.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the final application for 1987 Highway Safety funding for the School Board was approved.

IN RE: SHOOTING RANGE PERMIT--FANNIE JACKSON

The County Administrator presented an application for a shooting range permit for Mrs. Fannie Jackson to hold a turkey shoot on May 24, 1986 on her property on Rt. 642. He stated there were certain conditions that must be met by the applicant that would be coordinated with the County Administrator's office. Based on the applicant meeting these conditions, he recommended approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a shooting range permit for Mrs. Fannie Jackson to hold a turkey shoot on her property on Rt. 642 was approved, contingent upon meeting the conditions required by the County.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF DISPOSITION OF EASTSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

The County Administrator stated that Eastside Elementary School has been unoccupied for some time and as a result has begun to deteriorate. He suggested that the Board put the building up for sale and allow the County Attorney and himself to prepare a recommendation on the most suitable method for their consideration at the June 18th meeting.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he would like to have every person contacted who expressed an interest to date in the property and get the best price possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to work with the County Attorney to determine the most appropriate method to dispose of Eastside Elementary School and prepare a proposal for the Board's consideration. Mr. H. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE--MORATORIUM ON REZONINGS, CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS, TRAILER AND MOBILE HOME PERMITS

Mr. Hargrave stated that due to the fact that the County is without a Director of Planning at the present time and to give the Board time to study the zoning and subdivision ordinances of surrounding localities, he moved that an emergency ordinance be adopted declaring a moratorium on all rezoning applications, conditional use permits, trailer and mobile home permits.

Mr. H. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further temporarily amended by placing a ban on all construction, installation, and/or use of of travel/mobile homes in the County of Dinwiddie for a period of 60 days. It is further ordained that all applications and permits for rezoning and conditional use permits shall be held in abeyance for a period of 60 days to provide adequate time to review by the Board of Supervisors. Reference is made to Chapter 8 and its subparts, of the Dinwiddie County Code for a more specific description of the changed section.

IN RE: MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT FOR FIRE SERVICES WITH FORT LEE

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented a mutual aid agreement for the Board's consideration with Fort Lee for fire protection services. She stated that the agreement had been proposed by Fort Lee to be between Fort Lee and the Namozine VFD only. After discussing it with the County Attorney and the County Administrator, she recommended that the agreement be with the County rather than just the fire department, and Fort Lee approved of the change.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the mutual aid agreement with Fort Lee for fire protection services.

IN RE: STREETNAMING AND NUMBERING SYSTEM--AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN CONTRACT WITH R. STUART ROYER & ASSOCIATES

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented a contract for the Board's consideration to be executed with R. Stuart Royer & Associates to provide a streetnaming and numbering system for the County. She stated that the mylars will be paid directly by the County and can be removed from the contract, making the cost $94,955. In addition, R. Stuart Royer will have to update the maps in the Commissioner of Revenue's office since 1977 before they can begin their work. This will be done on an hourly basis separate from the contract and will be very beneficial to the Commissioner of Revenue as well. Because of this delay, the contract period has been extended to nine months.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the contract with R. Stuart Royer & Associates to prepare a streetnaming and numbering system for the County at a cost of $94,955.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:35 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 6:00 P.M., Tuesday May 27, 1986.

MAY 27, 1986 -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 21, 1986 MEETING -- 6:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) the Board moved into Executive Session at 6:00 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:34 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 5:00 P.M., Wednesday, June 4, 1986.

JUNE 4, 1986 -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 27, 1986 MEETING -- 5:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:46 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., Monday, June 9, 1986.

JUNE 9, 1986 -- CONTINUATION OF JUNE 4, 1986 MEETING -- 7:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:06 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:07 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 21, 1986 regular meeting and the May 27, June 4, and June 9, 1986 special meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--COUNTY CONSTRUCTION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $100,000 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--RADIO FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $10,000 from the General Fund to the Radio Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-1055 through 86-1312 amounting to $80,188.84; Johnsongrass Control Fund-checks numbering JGC-86-1 through JGC-86-4 amounting to $3,684.33; Dinwiddie County Water Authority check #W&S-86-8 in the amount of $21,318.59; Library Fund check #LF-86-7 in the amount of $97.42; Vehicle Fund check #VF-86-1 in the amount of $56,212.85.

IN RE: LAND USE--REVALIDATION FEE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, advised the Board that every six years, the State Code provides for the collection of a revalidation fee for land use applications, not to exceed the original fee assessed, which was $10.00.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Board should request their legislators consider changing the limit on the fee. Mr. Bolte stated he did not feel the County was losing money when the roll-back taxes are added back in; however, the fee alone does not cover the expense of processing the application. He added that it takes alot of work to accomplish the collection because they are not all due at the same time.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

the Commissioner of Revenue was authorized to collect a revalidation fee of $10.00 for land use applications.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--TRANSFER OF FUNDS--1986-87 BUDGET

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, advised the Board that $500 has been appropriated in the 1986-87 land use budget for the assessment officers' seminar. This year, however, there is not very much on the program that deals with land use; therefore, he requested that the $500 be transferred to the Commissioner of Revenue's budget for travel.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the transfer of $500 in travel expenses from the Land Use budget to the Commissioner of Revenue budget for 1986-87 be approved.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of May, 1986.

IN RE: WARRANTS IN DEBT--ISSUANCE IN NAME OF COUNTY

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, in previous years, warrants issued for the non-payment of personal property taxes were instituted and conducted in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia, thereby making it difficult to pinpoint such judgments, by the debtor; and

WHEREAS, Title 58.1-3954 (recodified) of the Code of Virginia states, "Such proceedings shall be instituted and conducted in the name of the county, city, or town in which such taxes are assessed, at the direction of the governing body...."

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes that suits issued for the collection of local property taxes, pursuant to Title 58.1-3954, Code of Virginia, be instituted and conducted in the name of the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Chairman read the Building Inspector's report for the month of May, 1986.

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF NEW BUILDING OFFICIAL--DWAYNE ABERNATHY

The County Administrator announced that Mr. Dwayne Abernathy has been hired as Building Official for the County.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

The Animal Warden was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of May, 1986.

IN RE: FIRESTONE TRUST FUND--PRESENTATION OF AWARD

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented a plaque to Mr. Harry L. Clay to be delivered to the Firestone Trust Fund from the National Science Teacher's Association for Firestone's support in making funds available to purchase science films to be used by the various schools in the County.

IN RE: OFFICE ON YOUTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES--YOUTH FORUM REPORT

Miss Kathy Cook, representing the Youth Services Commission, appeared before the Board to present the Youth Forum Report. Their main recommendation was to appoint a recreation committee and a full-time recreation director for the County.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-86-4--WILLIAM JAMES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 4, 1986 and Wednesday, June 11, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of Section 21, Parcel 51, containing 16.75 acres from Residential R-1 to Agricultural, General A-2.

The County Administrator reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was disapproval at their May 14, 1986 meeting.

Mr. James appeared in support of his rezoning request. He stated he felt the property should have been zoned agricultural in the beginning. He has found no objections to the rezoning from his neighbors.

Mr. H. Clay asked if he had any immediate plans for his property. Mr. James said he had none now. He may rent a garden space or locate a mobile home near the pond in the back.

Mr. Robertson asked if the land was conducive to subdividing. Mr. James stated no one had approached him. Mr. Robertson asked if water and sewer was available. Mr. James said water was available but not sewer.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. James if he made an effort to change the zoning when it was initially established. Mr. James said he did not but felt he should have.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Robertson stated he did not see any benefit in leaving the property as R-1. It was actually detrimental to Mr. James. He could not economically use the property as R-1. If he did subdivide the property, an additional 32 homes would create a terrific burden in that area.

Mr. Robertson moved that rezoing request P-86-4 of Mr. William James be approved.

Mr. H. Clay asked why the Planning Commission recommended disapproval. Mr. Bennett stated the zoning of Parcels 49 and 50 would probably change in the future with industrial development in that area. Also, Mr. James could rent a garden space as it is presently zoned. He added the Comprehensive Land Use plan shows the property to be residential.

Mr. Hargrave stated if parcels 49 and 50 are to be industrial, to leave the James property residential would be too much of a contrast. He, therefore, seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett indicated he could not disagree, but since he represented the Planning Commission, he felt he would have to vote against the rezoning.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the district classification of section 21, parcel 51 of the Dinwiddie County Zoning Ordinance, containing 16.75 acres be amended from Residential R-1 to Agricultural, General A-2.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #1

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Sunday, June 8, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive citizen input on the appointment of a school board member for election district #1.

Mr. Fred Sahl appeared before the Board to present his qualifications for appointment.

Mrs. Julia Watkins stated she was not seeking reappointment. She offered the name of Mr. Ervin Ray Hanks for the Board's consideration.

There being no other input, the public hearing was closed.

IN RE: TRAILER/MOBILE HOMES--EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO REFLECT ISSUANCE OF PERMITS & SPECIAL CONDITIONS

On May 21, 1986, the Board adopted an emergency ordinance declaring a moratorium on all rezonings, conditional use permits and trailer and/or mobile home permits.

The County Attorney presented an emergency ordinance for the Board's consideration dealing with the issuance of permits and special conditions for trailer/mobile homes. Mr. Robertson asked the County Attorney what was meant by "every other relative". The County Attorney stated the Board could define "relative". Mr. Hargrave offered the following as a definition for landowner:

"legal owner/owners and immediate family. Immediate family includes children, parents and grandparents."

Mr. Hargrave moved that the emergency ordinance adopted as of May 21, 1986 imposing a moratorium on rezonings, conditional use permits and trailer and/or mobile home permits remain and this emergency ordinance replace the section dealing with trailer/mobile homes and further be advertised for a public hearing. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be temporarily amended for a period of 60 days as follows:

Section 22-71 Permitted Uses

(49) Mobile Home, "with conditional use permit."

Section 22-78 Special conditions for Trailer/Mobile Homes

(1) Land owner, trailer owner, and/or mobile home owner, trailer occupant and/or mobile home occupant shall be one and the same.

(2) Land owner shall be defined as including the lawful owner or owners of the real estate, their spouse, and immediate family; immediate family shall include children, parents, and grandparents of the land owner and their spouse.

(3) Any conditional use permit shall be null and void when land owner, trailer owner and/or mobile home owner cease to be one and the same person, or shall cease to occupy said trailer and/or mobile home.

Section 22-79 Issuance of Permits

All conditional use permits for trailer/mobile homes shall be issued by the zoning administrator.

IN RE: EASTSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL--AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLIC SALE BY SEALED BID

At the request of the Board, the County Attorney prepared a recommendation for disposal of Eastside Elementary School. The State Code gives the Board the Authority to sell at public or private sale, or exchange real property provided a public hearing concerning such disposal has been held.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator and County Attorney were authorized to proceed with the necessary steps to sell Eastside Elementary School at public sale by sealed bids.

IN RE: DONALD ANDREWS--TRAILER PERMIT

Mr. Donald Andrews appeared before the Board to discuss his application for a mobile home permit for his son. Mr. Andrews was advised that the ordinance adopted at this meeting would allow him to obtain a trailer permit for his son. However, if his son moves out, the trailer could not be occupied by other than immediate family unless the property was sold to the individual who would own and occupy it. Mr. Andrews wanted to know the reason for this action.

Mr. Hargrave stated the County was getting an offshoot of people from other localities which is causing a burden on other taxpayers.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt this was a matter that should be taken up with the Planning Commission and not the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Aubrey Pennington was reappointed to the Social Services Board, term expiring June 30, 1990.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & MPO

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was reappointed to the CPDC Executive Committee and MPO, term expiring June 30, 1987.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BOARD

The Board received a letter of resignation from Mr. Loid A. Hodnett from the District 19 Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Board, dated May 28, 1986.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Kenneth Wright was appointed to the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Loid Hodnett, ending December 31, 1986.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting

"aye", Mr. W.C. Knott was appointed to the Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, effective July 1, 1986, term expiring January 31, 1987.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--CUT BANK CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Cut Bank Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1986; and

WHEREAS, the Church meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.1 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Cut Bank Church is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1986.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--BOBBY WYATT

The Board received an application for a Special Entertainment Permit for Mr. Bobby Wyatt to hold a music festival on June 27, 1986 from 9:00 P.M. to 2:00 A.M. on Rt. 709 at the Wyatt Community Ball Park. Mr. Wyatt was not present. A permit was granted to Mr. Wyatt for the same location last year. Mr. A. Clay stated he had not received any complaints about the event.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bobby Wyatt was granted a special entertainment permit to hold a music festival on June 27, 1986 from 9:00 P.M. to 2:00 A.M. on Rt. 709 at the Wyatt Community Ball Park.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:08 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:01 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 5:15 P.M., July 1, 1986.

JULY 1, 1986--CONTINUATION OF JUNE 18, 1986 MEETING--5:15 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SCHOOL BOARD--ERVIN RAY HANKS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Ervin Ray Hanks was appointed to the School Board to represent Election District #1, effective July 1, 1986, term expiring June 30, 1990.

IN RE: LEASING PROGRAM FOR COUNTY

The County Administrator stated during budget discussions, the Board considered a leasing program to finance several items,

i.e. school buses, police vehicles, trash truck and body included in the 1986-87 budget which totals approximately $450,000 to $500,000. This request was submitted to various financial firms in the area and two proposals were submitted:

1. Sovran Leasing Corp. - 3 years - 6.24%
2. Union-Tidewater Financial Co. (representing Bank of Virginia) - 3 years - 7.06%

The County Administrator recommended that the proposal submitted by Sovran Leasing Corporation be accepted and that he be authorized to enter into a conditional sales contract.

Mr. Hargrave asked the County Administrator to review the economics of using the leasing method vs. outright purchase. The County Administrator reviewed the reasons and benefits of pursuing this financing program.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to enter into a conditional sales contract on behalf of the County with Sovran Leasing Corporation for a three year period.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--STANLEY LEE SIMMS

Wendy Quesenberry, Exec. Assistant, presented a request for a Special Entertainment Permit for Mr. Stanley Simms to hold an open air festival on July 5, 1986 from 9:00 A.M. to 12:00 Midnight on Rt. 622. The property is owned by Mr. Joseph M. Johnson. Expected attendance is 1500. She added that a permit has not been requested in this area before; therefore, they have no experience to refer to.

The County Administrator suggested if the Board desires to approve the permit it be done so subject to final review by the Sheriff and himself.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a Special Entertainment Permit was granted to Mr. Stanley Simms to hold an open-air festival on July 5, 1986 from 9:00 A.M. to 12:00 Midnight on Rt. 622, subject to final review by the County Administrator and the Sheriff.

IN RE: FIREWORKS DISPLAY--STONY SPRINGS SUBDIVISION

Wendy Quesenberry, Exec. Assistant, presented a request from Mr. James McKenzie, a resident of Stony Springs, to hold a fireworks display at his home after dark on July 4, 1986. The display will last approximately 15 to 20 minutes and Mr. McKenzie will be responsible for the safety of the area.

Mr. Hargrave asked what effect the new State law has on the use of fireworks. He stated he felt the applicant should be made aware that he must also meet the state requirements.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request by Mr. James McKenzie for a permit to hold a fireworks display at his home on July 4, 1986 was approved contingent upon final review and approval by the County Attorney and the County Administrator.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:37 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF JULY, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	ROY HODGES	DEPUTY SHERIFF
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the June 18, 1986 regular meeting and the July 1, 1986 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--LAW LIBRARY

The County Administrator stated the Law Library has been experiencing a shortfall in funds; therefore, $1,000 is needed to be transferred from the General Fund to cover expenses presented at this meeting.

Mr. Lanny Rainey, Commonwealth Attorney, stated the reason the fund is short is most of the warrants written are written as State warrants and, therefore, the County does not get benefit of the funds. The County receives only a certain percentage of county warrants and civil warrants and they are down at this time.

He also recommended that the U.S. Code be discontinued which would save the County $700. He suggested that the Petersburg Law Library might be interested in the set of books and be willing to pick up the cost of the supplements. There, the books would be available for the 11th Judicial Circuit as well.

Mr. Hargrave asked if we were missing an opportunity by so many things written on state rather than county warrants. Mr. Rainey stated he did not think there was really a high volume.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer $1,000 from the General Fund to the Law Library Fund; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Commonwealth Attorney be authorized to contact the Petersburg Law Library concerning the acceptance of the U.S. Code.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--E911 FUND

The County Administrator stated that the collection of funds into the E911 account has not built up enough at this time to cover expenses. He therefore requested the Board transfer $100,000 to the E911 account which will be repaid with interest when sufficient funds are collected.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $100,000 from the General Fund to the E911 Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-1313 through 86-1524 amounting to $274,166.78; E911 Fund Check #E9-86-1 in the amount of $362.00; History Book Fund Check #HB-86-3 in the amount of $7.04; County Construction Fund Check #CCF-86-3 in the amount of $1,087.20; Water & Sewer Fund Check #W&S-86-9 in the amount of $27,922.09; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-86-8 through LF-86-11 amounting to $603.84.

IN RE: DENNIS MARTIN--APPOINTMENT AS ZONING ADMINISTRATOR, SUBDIVISION AGENT AND SOIL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL ADMINISTRATOR

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Dennis Martin was appointed Zoning Administrator, Subdivision Agent and Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Administrator.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of June, 1986. He also presented 1984 and 1985 delinquent tax listing reports as of June 30, 1986 along with collection rates for 1984 and 1985. Mr. Jones asked the Board if it was their desire to publish the tax listing in the newspaper. He stated the list was published last year at a cost of $2500 and collections were approximately $14000 as a result. Mr. Hargrave stated that the collection rates are better this year and suggested the Treasurer skip this year and not publish the list. The other Board members agreed.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Building Inspector was not present. His report for the month of June, 1986 was read.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of June, 1986.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES--1986-87 BUDGET

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, advised the Board that her department finished the fiscal year with a surplus. She then requested approval of her 1986-87 budget. Mrs. Talley said the State reduced her budget by $100,000. The local share was reduced $46,000. She felt the State did not allow enough money for her to operate on. She asked that the local appropriation remain as originally requested which she would use to match state funds.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Social Services 1986-87 budget be approved as presented.

IN RE: GRAY CHAPPELL--DISCUSSION OF MOBILE HOME PERMIT

Mr. Gray Chappell advised the Board that he obtained zoning permits in October of 1985 to place two (2) trailers on

his property. He placed one there at Christmas and paid $3,030 to install a well and septic tank. The occupants lost their jobs and moved away and sold the trailer. In March, the second trailer was placed on the property. In April, he sold a trailer to an individual who was approximately 1.2 miles from his farm. The individual decided he wanted to move the trailer to the vacant spot owned by Mr. Chappell but it was after the moratorium on mobile home permits was adopted by the Board. When he called to get the regulations on anchoring the trailer, he was told he could not place the trailer on his property if it was owned and occupied by someone other than him or his immediate family.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Chappell was advised that the trailer ordinance is being considered by the Planning Commission and they will be making a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors at their August 13, 1986 meeting. The Board will then hold a public hearing, at which time public input will be received.

No action was taken.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--ROBERT M. BROWDER

The Board received an application for a special entertainment permit from Mr. Robert M. Browder to hold a music festival on July 19, 1986 from 9:00 P.M. to 3:00 A.M. at Wyatt's Community Park on Rt. 709. Mr. Browder was not present. A permit was granted to Mr. Browder last year for the same location, ending at 1:30 A.M. The Sheriff's Department reported they had not had any trouble with this event in the past.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt 3:00 A.M. was too late. He would approve 1:00 A.M. Mr. Hargrave agreed.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Robert M. Browder was granted a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a music festival on July 19, 1986 at Wyatt's Community Park from 9:00 P.M. to 1:00 A.M. with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--RICHARD L. BEASLEY

The Board received an application for a Special Entertainment Permit to hold music festivals on August 9, and August 30, 1986 from 8:00 P.M. to 2:00 A.M. at the Orioles Ball Park on Rt. 605. Mr. James E. Walker, co-applicant, was present. The Sheriff's Department reported they had been called to this location in the past because of noise.

The Chairman asked Mr. Walker if he felt the noise could be controlled and the event closed at 1:00 A.M. Mr. Walker stated yes.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Richard L. Beasley was granted a special entertainment permit to hold music festivals on August 9 and August 30, 1986 from 8:00 P.M. to 1:00 A.M. at the Orioles Ball Park on Rt. 605 with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: SHOOTING RANGE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE VFD

The Board received an application from the Dinwiddie VFD to operate a target range at W.W. Howard's Sawmill on State Route 605. The range will be used to hold turkey shoots to raise money for the fire department. Permits have been approved by the Board at this location in the past.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

the Dinwiddie VFD was granted a shooting range permit to hold turkey shoots at W.W. Howard's Sawmill on Rt. 605, which will expire July 15, 1987.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD--RICHARD AVERY

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Richard Avery was reappointed to the Social Services Board, term expiring June 30, 1990.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENTS

The appointments to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, the John Tyler Board of Directors and the Roadviewers were postponed. There is also a vacancy on the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation Board. Mr. Hargrave stated the Board was considering a change in the number as well as the makeup of the membership.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:28 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:39 P.M.

IN RE: MORATORIUM ON REZONINGS & CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS--MOTION TO RESCIND EMERGENCY ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the emergency ordinance adopted by the Board on May 21, 1986 placing a moratorium on rezonings and conditional use permits is hereby rescinded.

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND SUSPEND SECTION 22-71 (26) TO REFLECT A BAN ON ALL MOBILE HOME PARKS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March 19, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further temporarily amended by placing a ban on all construction, installation, and/or use of mobile home parks in the County of Dinwiddie for a period of 60 days. It is further ordained that all applications and permits for rezoning and conditional use permits as to mobile home parks shall be held in abeyance for a period of 60 days to provide adequate time for review by the Board of Supervisors. Reference is hereby made to Chapter 8 and it's subparts, of the Dinwiddie County Code for a more specific description of the changed section.

IN RE: TRAILER/MOBILE HOMES--MOTION TO RESCIND EMERGENCY ORDINANCE & ADOPTION OF EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO REFLECT ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March 19, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be temporarily amended to rescind Section 22-71 Permitted Uses, (49), Section 22-78, Special conditions for trailer/mobile homes and Section 22-79, Issuance of Permits and be further amended to adopt for a period of 60 days the following:

Section 22-71 Permitted Uses

(49) Mobile Home, "with conditional use permit".

Section 22-78 Special conditions for Trailer/Mobile Homes

(1) Land owner, trailer owner, and/or mobile home owner, trailer occupant and/or mobile home occupant shall be one and the same.

(2) Land owner shall be defined as including the lawful owner or owners of the real estate, their spouse, and immediate family; immediate family shall include children, parents, grandparents, brothers, sisters, nieces and nephews of the landowner and their spouse.

(3) Any conditional use permit shall be null and void when landowner, trailer owner and/or mobile home owner cease to be one and the same person, or shall cease to occupy said trailer and/or mobile home.

Section 22-79 Issuance of Permits

All conditional use permits for trailer/mobile homes shall be issued by the zoning administrator.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., July 30, 1986.

JULY 30, 1986 -- 7:30 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF JULY 16, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: DROUGHT DISASTER RELIEF FOR DINWIDDIE COUNTY'S FARMERS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the drought conditions in Dinwiddie County have severely affected the farmers; and

WHEREAS, total losses from drought conditions in Dinwiddie County are approaching the $6,200,000 level; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors to request the Governor to declare the County a drought disaster area;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby instructed to file with the Governor of Virginia a request that the County of Dinwiddie be designated a drought disaster area.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY--AMENDMENT TO SERVICE AGREEMENT CONCERNING REFUNDING OUTSTANDING BONDS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Appomattox River Water Authority ("Authority") has by resolution adopted on May

21, 1986 authorized the issuance of up to $18,000,000 of the Authority's Water Revenue Refunding Bonds ("Refunding Bonds") for the purpose of refinancing the Authority's outstanding bonded indebtedness; and

WHEREAS, as a condition to issuing the Refunding Bonds, it is necessary to further amend the Service Agreement between the County of Dinwiddie ("County") and the Authority, dated September 9, 1964, as amended by a First Amendment thereto, dated December 16, 1982, and by a Modification Agreement, dated as of September 1, 1983 (collectively, the "Service Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Authority, the County and the other four member jurisdictions of the Authority ("Participating Jurisdictions") desire to amend the Service Agreement by the execution of a Second Amendment thereto entitled "Second Amendment to 1964 Service Agreements between Appomattox River Water Authority and Participating Jurisdictions" ("Amendment");

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the County Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to execute the Amendment on behalf of the County and, if required, the County Administrator or Assistant County Administrator is authorized to affix the seal of the County to the Amendment and to attest such seal, such authorizations being conditioned upon approval by appropriate resolution of the governing body of each Participating Jurisdiction, and upon execution of the Amendment by the duly authorized representatives of the Authority and each Participating Jurisdiction.

2. The Amendment shall be in substantially the form submitted to this meeting with such minor changes, insertions or omissions which do not materially adversely affect the interests of the County as may be approved by the Chairman of the County Board of Supervisors, whose approval shall be evidenced conclusively by the execution and delivery of the Amendment.

3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY--EXTENSION OF TERM

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution on June 7, 1967, signifying its intention to create the Dinwiddie County Water Authority (the Authority) and the State Corporation Commission of Virginia issued a certificate of incorporation on March 21, 1969, creating the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Authority has under consideration the financing of additional water and sewer facilities through a loan from the Farmers Home Administration; and

WHEREAS, the 40 year term of such loan will extend beyond the present life of the Authority;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. In accordance with Section 15.1-1250(a) of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, the term of the Authority is hereby extended for a period of 50 years from the date of the adoption of this resolution.

2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to send a certified copy of this resolution to the State Corporation Commission of Virginia.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:40 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:46 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 8:47 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	O.M. RAINEY, JR.	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 16, 1986 regular meeting and the July 30, 1986 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-1525 through 86-1778 amounting to $340,750.56; E-911 Fund check #E9-86-2 in the amount of $12,343.50; Law Library Fund check #LF-86-12 in the amount of $45.67; Radio Fund check #Radio-86-5 in the amount of $12,285.90; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-10 in the amount of $17,225.97.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of July, 1986.

He also presented a list of Certificates of Deposit that make up the self-insurance program of $1,000,000.

Mr. Jones stated that due to the establishment of this fund, the County is experiencing a temporary cash flow shortage which will require a short term borrowing of funds until tax revenues are available.

Mr. Bennett asked Mr. Jones if he would put the certificates out on bid when they become due to gain the best rates. Mr. Jones stated the present certificates were already in effect when the fund was established. When they become due for renewal, he said he would probably seek bids. He pointed out that because the rates are down now, he was advised to seek short term investments.

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $1,400,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 20th day of August, 1986, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN
G.S. BENNETT, JR.
H.L. CLAY, JR.
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

MEMBER	VOTE
A.S. Clay	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
G.S. Bennett, Jr.	Aye
H.L. Clay, Jr.	Aye
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors finds it necessary to borrow up to $1,400,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1986;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The County Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to solicit from appropriate lending institutions proposals for loans to the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia in amounts up to $1,400,000 in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1986.

2. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to evaluate all proposals and select the proposal(s) deemed to be in the County's best interest, to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the selected proposal(s), and to deliver the notes to the selected lending institution(s) upon payment therefor.

3. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 20th day of August, 1986, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 21st day of August, 1986.

_____
County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of July, 1986.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr. was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of July, 1986.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY--PRESENTATION OF PUBLICATIONS

Mr. C.C. Morris, Jr., Regional Forester, appeared before the Board to present two publications entitled "Virginia's Forest It's Common Wealth, Economic Study" and "A Desireable Forestry Future for Virginia."

IN RE: HAZARDOUS & NON-HAZARDOUS MATERIALS--REPORT ON STUDY

The County Administrator reported on the County's evaluation of its needs in the area of hazardous and non-hazardous materials which was prompted by the recent contract with Central State Hospital for trash collection and the fact that the County has no control over what is placed in its landfill and dumpsters.

He stated that hazardous and non-hazardous materials requiring special handling have been, are, or will be a problem for businesses, industries and citizens of Dinwiddie County. Chemical spills along railroad tracks and highways are becoming more frequent. Because of Norfolk and Southern Railroad, CSX Railroad, I-85 and other major highways in the County, Dinwiddie has a great exposure in this area.

The Board of Supervisors, for this past six months, has been studying hazardous and non-hazardous materials. The study centered on two areas of concern: 1. The availability, or the lack of availability to businesses, industries and citizens, firms authorized to handle hazardous and non-hazardous materials. 2. Availability, or the lack of availability, expert assistance to respond to rail and truck accidents involving chemicals needing special handling.

Working with County officials to provide solutions to the above areas of concern is Resource Technology Services of Virginia located in Suffolk. This firm is licensed by the federal government to work with hazardous and non-hazardous materials. They will pick up these materials from any source, i.e. public school chemistry labs, service stations, industries and citizens. They have a team of experts that will respond to rail and truck accidents involving chemicals that need special handling.

After working with the Board of Supervisors on this study and surveying the Central Virginia area, Resource Technology Services of Virginia has expressed an interest in locating a facility in Dinwiddie County to temporarily store hazardous and non-hazardous materials until they can be shipped to the appropriate destination for recycling or disposal. The Board of Supervisors believes this an opportunity to resolve the two areas of concern outlined above; and based upon the track record of Resource Technology Services of Virginia, this firm will be a tremendous asset to the industrial community of the County.

In addition to the Board of Supervisor's approval of the proposal, to receive authorization to conduct this business, Resource Technology Services of Virginia must obtain a site certificate and a facility management permit from the Virginia Department of Waste Management. After the Department is formally notified of the proposed project, public information meetings will be held by the Department in the County to begin the evaluation process for the proposed facility.

The Board of Supervisors urges the citizens of the County to participate in this very important process to develop a program to handle hazardous and non-hazardous materials.

He then introduced the officials representing Resource Technology Services: Mr. William J. Minnick, President; Mr. Francis A. Quigley, Vice-President, Accounting & Finance; Mr. Charles Bradley, Jr., Manager Corporate Development; and Mr. James W. Clark, General Manager of the Suffolk plant.

The County Administrator then introduced the officials representing the Department of Waste Management: Cynthia Bailey, Executive Director; Harry E. Gregori, Jr., Director, Office of Policy and Planning; Wladimir Gulevich, Director, Bureau of Hazardous Waste Management; Cheryl Cashman, Public Information Officer.

Mr. Minnick briefly described the background of RTS and the activities it is involved in, which was elaborated on by Jim Clark.

The officials of the Department of Waste Management briefly discussed the department's role in the process should a facility of this type desire to locate in the area.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR FIREHOUSE

Mr. Charles Townes, Engineer, presented the bids received on a new firehouse for the Namozine VFD as follows:

Base Bids

Walthall Construction	307,224
Eastern Corporation	283,824
Brooks & Co.	272,000
Harlan Construction	299,989

He recommended that the cabinetry be deleted and award the bid to Brooks & Co. at a cost of $268,700.

He stated the cabinets could be deleted at a reduction of $3300 and the asphalt could be delayed at a cost of $13,000 to further reduce the bid to $255,700.

Mr. Robertson stated that the price looks good based on what has been done in other areas on firehouses. This bid is a little more than what was budgeted, $100,000 in 1985-86 and $100,000 in 1986-87. He pointed out that some of the items weren't included in the original plans. He came up with $51,000 of changes. He stated he felt this bid is still very reasonable but he understood the predicament of the Board. He added the fire department has indicated there are some items they can handle. He suggested there be some negotiation with the low bidder and the fire departments to get the house under construction.

Mr. Robertson moved that the low bid of Brooks Construction Company be accepted and that negotiations be conducted with the contractor and the fire department to reduce the cost closer to $200,000. Mr. H. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. Hargrave moved to amend the motion to limit the County's participation to the $100,000 budgeted for 1985-86 with 8% interest and the $100,000 budgeted for 1986-87, a total of $208,000.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Townes if this could be done without jeopardizing the bid. Mr. Townes stated it could be reduced to $255,000 but beyond that it is hard to tell.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Hargrave what would happen if the Board set the cost at $200,000 and it couldn't be worked out. Mr. Hargrave stated they could negotiate with the contractor or the fire department could make up the remaining cost.

Mr. Bill Queen, Chief, Namozine VFD, stated the fire department has some money they were going to use to remodel

the existing building for Bingo. Mr. Donald Porter, Treasurer, stated they were willing to do some things themselves, but they didn't have $55,000.

Mr. Bennett asked the department members if they felt they could come up with the $55,000 in two years. Mr. Ronnie Erb, Assistant Chief, stated they could not if they had to continue to buy all their own equipment.

Mr. Bennett stated he still felt the building could be built for $150,000 but he would agree with $200,000 if the fire department wanted to work for the rest and build what they want.

Mr. Porter stated they had looked and had not been able to find a building for $150,00.

Mr. Bennett seconded the amendment offered by Mr. Hargrave.

The County Administrator stated he had talked with the County Attorney and the County could reject all bids and give the fire department a certain sum of money to construct a facility.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. T.O. Rainey, County Attorney, if that would be legal. Mr. Rainey stated he felt it could be done if the fire department acts as the in-house contractor but he would like to check it out further.

Mr. Erb stated that the fire department came before the Board months ago with a $200,000 building and they were told it couldn't be handled that way. The members have been working without protective equipment and training and facing the risk of being sued. Now the Board is telling them they have to put their money towards a building. He stated the men were working Bingo now and can only be pushed so far.

The Chairman called for a vote on the amendment offered by Mr. Hargrave. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. A. Clay voted "aye", Mr. Robertson, Mr. H. Clay voted "nay".

The amendment passed.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. A. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for a new firehouse for the Namozine VFD be awarded to Brooks Construction Company and that negotiations be conducted with the contractor and the fire department to reduce the cost closer to $200,000; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Board's participation be limited to the $100,000 plus 8% interest budgeted for 1985-86 and the $100,000 budgeted for 1986-87, a total of $208,000.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD--NAN BROWN

Mr. Bennett stated that Mrs. Susan Stone did not wish to be reappointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board. He then nominated Mrs. Nan Brown for appointment.

Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Nan Brown was appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring June 30, 1990.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION--M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett,

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstaining, Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. was appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring September 30, 1987.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION--JAMES M. THROWER

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. James M. Thrower was appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring September 30, 1987.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-388 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:48 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:48 P.M.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA FOOD DISTRIBUTION CENTER

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a significant number of families and individuals residing in Dinwiddie County occasionally encounter circumstances that prevent them from purchasing sufficient amounts of food they need to maintain consistently adequate levels of nutrition; and

WHEREAS, substantial amounts of food that, otherwise, would be discarded as surplus or waste are being reclaimed through donations for redistribution to needy families and individuals; and

WHEREAS, agencies and organizations serving needy families and individuals in Dinwiddie County are generally isolated from major sources from which bulk quantities of food products can be obtained on a consistent and timely basis for emergency and supplemental purposes; and

WHEREAS, the Southside Virginia Food Distribution Center, Inc. is being established to collect salvaged and surplus food products for redistribution to agencies and organizations serving needy families and individuals in counties of Brunswick, Dinwiddie, Greensville, Lunenberg, Mecklenburg, Nottoway, and Sussex;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, recognizing the utility of the Southside Virginia food Distribution Center, endorses its full development and operation as an added resource available to agencies and organizations serving the county's needy population.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following was included in the material distributed to the Board at this meeting:

1. Letter to Clerk, Circuit Court requesting remedial action to correct a public nuisance situation caused by certain neglected properties in West Petersburg.

2. Letter concerning the four proposed changes to the Constitution of Virginia.

3. Critical Highway Improvement Program Secondary System - Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation.

4. Final 1986-87 Construction Allocations and Six-Year Improvement Program - Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation

5. Professional History - Dr. Donald W. MacCorquodale, new Director of the Crater Health District.

6. Information on mobile home ordinances - other localities.

7. Information on the Va. Association of Counties issues and upcoming meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until Thursday, August 28, 1986 at 5:30 P.M.

AUGUST 28, 1986--CONTINUATION OF AUGUST 20, 1986 MEETING--5:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: CLERK'S OFFICE ADDITION--AWARD OF CONTRACT

Mr. Mike Perry, representing Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, presented the following bids on three construction projects for the County as follows:

Walthall Construction Company was the only bidder.

Base Bid #1: Dinwiddie High School Gymnasium addition excluding the repair of the sidewalk, curbing and roadway that are damaged as a result of the gym addition - $96,000 + $1,000

Base Bid #2: Dinwiddie High School Gymnasium addition including the repair of the sidewalk, etc. - $101,600 + $1,000

Base Bid #3: Circuit Court Clerk's Office addition - $125,165 + $4,000

Base Bid #4: Health Center Renovation - $140,276 + $1,200

Base Bid #5: Lump sum bid for items 1, 3 and 4 - $349,460 + $6,200

Mr. Perry stated that they first put the high school gym out on bids, and received only one bid. Therefore, they combined all three projects hoping to receive a better response. He advised the Board they have two alternatives: to rebid the projects later or negotiate with the contractor. He stated the bid on the Clerk's Office is reasonable.

Mr. Perry said the School Board has a public safety problem with the gymnasium addition because they have no area to store gym equipment. The County Administrator stated the School Board had planned to finance the addition through a $75,000 Literary Loan. Mr. Robertson asked if the School Board had made a recommendation. Mr. Perry said the Superintendent wants to move on the project but the School Board has not met to take any action.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office be awarded to Walthall Construction Company for $129,165; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that action on the gymnasium addition be left to the School Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the architect be authorized to negotiate with the contractor on the Health Department renovation and bring back a recommendation for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: NATURAL GAS LINE ALONG U.S. ROUTE 460--RENEWAL OF COUNTY COMMITMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, on September 3, 1985, the Board of Supervisors appropriated $300,000 for the construction of a natural gas line, beginning at the intersection of Rt. 226 and U.S. Rt. 1, running in a southerly direction along U.S. Rt. 1 to its intersection with U.S. Rt. 460, then in a westerly direction along U.S. Rt. 460 terminating on the west side of State Rt. 632 North; and

WHEREAS, this commitment expired June 30, 1986 and construction has not been completed;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County's appropriation of $300,000 be renewed for the construction of the natural gas line along Rt. 460.

IN RE: AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL PARK--AWARD OF BID TO CLEAR LAND

The County Administrator presented two bids for clearing approximately 55 acres at the Airport Industrial Park as follows:

H.A. Davis - $520/acre
William Bowen - $625/acre

He stated that other contractors were contacted but they were either too busy or didn't have the equipment.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. H.A. Davis was awarded the contract for clearing approximately 60 acres at the Airport Industrial Park for $520/acre.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD FIRE HOUSE--AGREEMENT TO REIMBURSE COUNTY

The County Administrator presented a letter from the Namozine VFD stating by unanimous vote at a meeting held August 25, 1986, all members present agreed to: reimburse the County the difference between the low bid for the new fire house and the funds allocated by the Board of Supervisors, minus the cost of kitchen cabinets, within a period of two years.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 6:35 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 6:54 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 6:55 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the August 20, 1986 regular meeting and the August 28, 1986 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-1779 through 86-2001 amounting to $247,060.39; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-86-13 and 14 amounting to $61.64; County Construction Fund check #CCF-86-4 in the amount of $900; Water & Sewer Fund-check #W&S-86-11 in the amount of $3013.42.

IN RE: DONATION OF HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS--JACQUELIN MONCURE SPIERS

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, presented several historical documents donated to the County by Mrs. Jacquelin Moncure Spiers. The Chairman accepted the documents on behalf of the County and asked that a letter of appreciation be forwarded to Mrs. Spiers.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of August, 1986.

IN RE: SET-OFF DEBT RENEWAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the 1983 General Assembly of Virginia amended and approved Sec. 58-19.8 of the Code of Virginia, relating to the Set-Off Debt Collection Act to include County, City and Town governments; and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of the Act, as amended, a Virginia individual income tax refund can be subject to County, City and Town government claims; and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer reports a collection of $2,920.07 for participation in the 1985-86 program and recommends that the program be renewed for 1986-87;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Department of Taxation be advised that the County of Dinwiddie wishes to participate in the Set-Off Debt Collection program for 1986-87; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia designates Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, as the Set-Off Debt Coordinator.

IN RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY--REVIEW OF ORDINANCES

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, presented two draft ordinances for the Board's consideration. He stated they were drafted with the Sheriff and his Captain to address two problem areas in the County--itinerant vendors and dance halls.

Mr. Hargrave asked that the Sheriff provide the Board with a list of problems he has encountered with itinerant vendors. Concerning the dance hall ordinance, Mr. Hargrave expressed his concern about the statement "not based on personal invitation". He felt this might be an easy way to circumvent the ordinance. Mr. Robertson asked if the ordinance would cover the permits the Board now issues. Mr. Rainey stated it would.

No action was taken.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of August, 1986.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of August, 1986.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--FRANK JONES

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Frank Jones was awarded $277.50 for four (4) hogs.

IN RE: POULTRY CLAIM--K.E. CROFT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. K.E. Croft was awarded $115.50 for 33 chickens.

IN RE: STATE & LOCAL HOSPITALIZATION CONTRACTS--1986-87

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign State and Local Hospitalization contracts with the following hospitals for 1986-87:

Greensville Memorial at the rate of $278.07 per day; $189.09 per day for outpatient services.

Southside Regional Medical Center at the rate of $338.63 per day; $230.27 per day for outpatient services.

Medical College of Virginia at the rate of $459.57 per day; $312.50 per day for outpatient services.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley presented the 1985-86 annual report on state and local hospitalization contracts. She pointed out that they now offer outpatient rates.

She also stated that the cheese and butter distribution is scheduled for October 8 at Eastside Elementary School and they are using a self-declared method of determining eligibility.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--REAPPROPRIATION OF 1985-86 FUNDS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent, appeared before the Board to request the reappropriation of $50,069.00 to the 1986-87 school budget from funds not expended in 1985-86.

These funds were held to pay for the Energy Management System budgeted last year but not installed until this school year.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby appropriates $50,069.00 to the 1986-87 School Board budget to pay for their Energy Management System.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--OFFICE ON YOUTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented an application from the Office on Youth and Community Services for a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a benefit performance at their Outdoor Stage on September 21, 1986 from 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M. The Stage is located beside the Dinwiddie County Senior High School football field on school property.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Office on Youth & Community Services was granted a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a music festival at the Outdoor Stage at the Dinwiddie Senior High School, September 21, 1986 from 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M. with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR 1986-87 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, advised the Board due to the increase in the gasoline tax, the County has received an additional appropriation of $161,000 in construction funds for the 1986-87 secondary roads improvement budget. He presented the Highway Department's recommendations for the use of these funds on projects under construction or due to be under construction by July 1, 1987.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby approve the additional priority construction list for the 1986-87 secondary roads improvement budget in the amount of $161,000 as presented by the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. Mr. Bennett asked how far along was the installation of flashing lights on the railroad crossing on Rt. 625. Mr. Neblett stated the railroad has not given a firm date but he anticipated the Spring of 1987. The project is fully funded.

2. Mr. Robertson asked about the repairs to the Rt. 672 bridge promised in 1983 by the railroad. Mr. Neblett stated the railroad had done some minor repair work in the Spring, but he would ask them to take a look at it again.

3. Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Neblett to check into cleaning the ditch on the North side of the Int. of Rt. 669 and Rt. 604 across from Jarratt's Store. He received a complaint from an adjoining landowner indicating his yard was being flooded.

4. Mr. Bennett pointed out that there is a bad bump at the Tobacco Warehouse on U.S. #1 where the shoulder doesn't meet the new pavement. Mr. Neblett stated they will be correcting the shoulders.

5. Mr. Neblett was asked to look at the placement of stop signs on Rt. 1310 and Rt. 1311. Trucks are still using Rt. 1310 as a shortcut. Mr. Neblett stated he would look at the stop signs but he felt it was an enforcement problem.

IN RE: FORD VFD--REQUEST FOR NEW FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Gene Jones, Chief, appeared before the Board to request a new fire truck be included in the budget for the Ford VFD. He stated they had encountered problems with the 1959 Pumper ever since it was refurbished. They have spent $3300 since February, 1985 and there is an additional $1300 work to be done. He said the Fire Chiefs' Association was in agreement with the purchase for Ford.

Mr. Bennett stated he met with the Chief to look at the truck and they wanted to make the Board aware of their needs so it could be placed in the upcoming budget.

Mr. Robertson asked if any thought had been given to using the older trucks in some departments to make way for special equipment, i.e. aerial trucks. Mr. Jones stated is was a possibility.

Mr. A. Clay stated a general set of specifications should be prepared so a new truck could be competitively bid.

Mr. Jones stated they had talked to three companies and the prices range from $95,000 to $120,000 for the same truck with a delivery date of 210 to 240 working days.

Mr. David (Butch) Henshaw stated they they were looking at a cab-over truck. Mr. Bennett asked if the companies contacted would accept trade-ins. Mr. Henshaw stated only one company indicated they would but really did not want to.

Mr. Bennett stated he would like this to be placed on the October 1, 1986 agenda for action because of the long delivery date.

Mr. Hargrave agreed that a standard set of specifications should be prepared for all departments except for special needs. He suggested the Fire Chiefs draw up the specifications for the Board to review. Mr. Henshaw stated each department has different needs so it will be hard to have one or two sets of standard specifications.

The Chairman stated he felt they should be able to prepare some general specifications for the Board to consider.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-86-5--REZONING APPLICATION--JON HILL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1986 and Wednesday,

September 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of a portion of Section 28, Parcel 43F containing 138.5 acres from Agricultural A-2 to Agricultural Rural Residential, AR.

Mr. Dennis R. Martin, Zoning Administrator, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at their August 13, 1986 meeting.

Mr. Hill appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Hill stated he wanted to divide the tract into 6 small farmettes. He stated he had a letter from a soil scientist stating the soils were suitable for this type of development.

Mr. Robertson stated that the land surrounding the property is zoned Agricultural A-2 and placing an AR classification in a remote area would not be in keeping with the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

Mr. H. Clay stated it appears to be far away from the highly developed areas.

Mr. Hargrave stated the Agricultural A-R zoning allows 2-acre lots with road frontage. It, therefore, could turn into a small subdivision in a rural setting. This exposure is not in keeping with developing in populated areas with public services.

Mr. Hill stated the inside of the property doesn't fit with the two-acre development. He would need to place deed restrictions to limit development. He stated the development he proposed would be in keeping with the neighborhood.

Mr. A. Clay stated he felt the development was out of place on a small rural road. It could develop into a sub-division.

Mr. Hill stated the only zoning the County had to fit this type of development was AR. He further stated it will cost $200/acre to reseed it. He would prefer to have brick homes like those across the road.

Mr. Hargrave asked what he had in mind when he bought it. Mr. Hill stated he had not really intended to buy the property. His bid was the only one made.

Mr. Bennett stated that the Planning Commission recommended approval but he voted against it. He sympathizes with Mr. Hill and found it hard to deny somebody a 45-acre lot to build a house on. Also the distance from the city doesn't bother him. But this is a parent tract with one building site. If the AR zoning is approved, then six others could come back and ask for AR zoning. He said maybe the Planning Commission needs to review the parent tract ordinance to see if it is still right for the County.

Mr. Bennett stated he was against the AR zoning and would still be unless the Board reopened all parent tracts to be re-subdivided.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", rezoning application P-86-5 submitted by Mr. Jon Hill was denied.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-86-6--FIRST COLONIAL FINANCIAL CORPORATION

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1986 and Wednesday, September 10, 1986, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of Section 10(2), Parcel C containing 0.8038 acres from Business B-3 to Business, General B-2.

Mr. Dennis R. Martin, Zoning Administrator, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at their August 13, 1986 meeting.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, representing First Colonial, appeared in support of the rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Scheid stated the reason for the request was to build a branch bank. The B-3 zoning requires 3 acres for development. Pierce Development Corp. owns the surrounding property and has no objection to the construction of a bank. Public water and sewer is available and the zoning is compatible with the rest of the area. He stated the benefits to the area are employment, broadening the tax base and offering a service to the citizens.

Mr. H. Clay asked if Rt. 226 were widened to four lanes how it would affect the bank. Mr. Scheid stated the bank would be 60' from the highway, which is no closer than any of the other businesses.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the district classification of Section 10(2), parcel C containing 0.8038 acres be amended from Business B-3 to Business, General B-2. Said parcel of land is designated by tax maps as situated on the south side of Cox Road, Route 226 in the Rohoic District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-8--TRAVEL/MOBILE HOMES ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1986 and Wednesday, September 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 22 of the Dinwiddie County Code to amend Section 22-71 and add Sections 22-78 and 22-79 to reflect the issuance of permits and special conditions for travel/mobile homes for use in Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, reviewed the ordinance and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at their August 13, 1986 meeting.

Mr. Robertson asked why #4 "imposing a period of five years" was added to the ordinance. Mr. Martin stated it was to partially protect existing permits.

Mr. Bennett stated the Planning Commission's concern was for people investing a certain sum of money which might be lost the next day.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt the ordinance should have a grandfather clause to continue on as long as a trailer doesn't change. It should allow tenants to change without limiting the use to five (5) years. He said his original intent was to limit growth, not hurt already established trailers.

Mr. Martin stated he agreed it was to restrict future growth only.

Mr. Hargrave stated he wondered if they really understood what the Planning Commission meant. He felt they meant not to change the tenant but to change trailer or suffer an interruption for five years. Anything existing could continue and use could be changed up to five years. Mr. Bennett stated he thought that was the intent; to allow recovery of any investment up to five years.

Mr. Bennett asked if someone is renting trailers now, would they always be grandfathered in. He was advised they would with the clarification or removal of #4 of the proposed ordinance.

Mr. H. Clay suggested all of #4 be eliminated after 1986.

The following people spoke against or asked for clarification of the ordinance: Mr. Donald Andrews; Mr. Richard Earl, Mr. Ronald Williams, Mr. Otis Henshaw, Mrs. Pearl Bland, Mr. George Robinson; Mr. Thomas J. Puryear, Mr. John Boswell and Mrs. Margaret Boyner.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March 19, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

SECTION 22-71 PERMITTED USES

(49) Mobile home, "with conditional use permit".

SECTION 22-78 SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR TRAVEL/MOBILE HOMES

(1) Land owner, trailer owner, and/or mobile home owner, trailer occupant and/or mobile home occupant shall be one and the same.

(2) Land owner shall be defined as including the lawful owner or owners of the real estate, their spouse, and immediate family; immediate family shall include children, parents, and grandparents of the land owner and their spouse.

(3) Any conditional use permit shall be null and void after one (1) year of disuse.

(4) The above section shall not apply to mobile home permits issued prior to May 21, 1986.

SECTION 22-79 ISSUANCE OF PERMITS

All permits for conditional use permits for travel/mobile homes shall be issued by the zoning administrator.

IN RE: RADIATION THERAPY SERVICE--SOUTHSIDE REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Southside Regional Medical Center, a modern 468 bed, short term, general, acute care, community hospital, the largest of two community hospitals currently serving the Tri-Cities area and Southside Virginia, has furnished the residents of this area with outstanding medical care and support since its opening in January 1953, and

WHEREAS, Southside Regional Medical Center currently has modern equipment and the capability to offer all the essential medical services for the highest quality health delivery systems for residents of Southside Virginia, and in support of its three schools for nursing and other technical services, and

WHEREAS, Southside Regional Medical Center wishes to establish a Radiation Therapy service in order to provide truly comprehensive cancer care to its community and service area, and to further enhance its excellent treatment capabilities to both its inpatients and outpatients, and

WHEREAS, the addition of this service to the services already available (i.e., medical oncology and surgical oncology) will enable the people of Southside Virginia to receive all three components of a comprehensive cancer program in one facility; and

WHEREAS, a patient requiring radiation therapy currently must travel at least 25 miles from the city to obtain such services,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia endorses and supports the Southside Regional Medical Center's proposal to establish a Radiation Therapy service, in addition to those services currently available there, which will enhance the treatment ability of the hospital staff, will reduce the number of unnecessary admissions because of its ease of use on an outpatient basis, and will reduce the cost of medical care for the patients in the Southside Virginia area.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--JOHN TYLER BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Thomas W. Newsom was appointed to the John Tyler Board of Directors to fill the unexpired term of Mr. H. Barner Batte, ending June 30, 1987.

MR. A. CLAY AND MR. BENNETT EXCUSED THEMSELVES FROM THE MEETING AT THIS POINT.

MR. ROBERTSON ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1986; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1986.

MR. A. CLAY AND MR. BENNETT RETURNED TO THE MEETING. MR. A. CLAY ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included the material presented to the Board at this meeting:

1. Summary of action taken by Equalization Board.

2. Announcement from the Dept. of Environmental Management concerning public hearings scheduled to receive public input on the utilization of private engineering firms for inspection of residential subdivision facilities.

3. Update from the Executive Director of the Va. Association of Counties

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:55 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 12:10 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 12:11 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W. C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	ALVIN BOOTH	CAPTAIN

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the September 17, 1986 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-2002 through 86-2102 amounting to $126,566.93.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR GYMNASIUM ADDITION AND AUTHORIZATION TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION FOR STATE LITERARY LOAN

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that the architect, Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, had negotiated with the bidder, Walthall Construction Corporation, to arrive at a cost of $82,600 for the addition to the gymnasium at the Senior High School. The original bid was $102,600, which represents a $20,000 reduction.

Dr. Vaughn stated the original amount of the Literary Loan was $75,000; therefore, an additional $7,600 is needed to complete the project. Dr. Vaughn stated he could apply to the State Literary Fund for an additional appropriation or try to absorb the $7,600 in some other way.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the School Board had made all the cuts possible to the specifications and still have a viable addition. Dr. Vaughn said yes and asked Mr. Mike Perry, Project Manager with Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, to review the changes.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board is authorized to proceed with the application to the State Literary Fund for a supplemental allocation in the amount of $7,600 and that the appropriate officials be authorized to sign said application.

IN RE: RESCUE SQUAD VEHICLE--TRANSFER TO SCHOOL BOARD

Mr. H. Clay advised the Superintendent of Schools that the Rescue Squad is disposing of its 1975 Ford Utility

Truck and it is available to the School Board if they want it. The Superintendent stated the Maintenance Department had expressed a desire for the truck and he would notify them to pick it up.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a Comparative Report of 1985 and 1986 assessments and a report on Exemptions for the elderly and totally and partially disabled.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--APPROPRIATION FOR ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the land maps were being brought up-to-date for use in the streetnaming and numbering system for the E911 emergency telephone system. All parcels of the revised maps must be checked against the originals which will require additional personnel in his office. Mr. Bolte, therefore, requested an additional appropriation of $600 to his 1986-87 budget to pay for this expense.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an additional appropriation of $600 was approved for the 1986-87 budget for the Commissioner of Revenue to hire additional personnel to check the revised land maps.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of September, 1986. He also distributed a copy of a flyer that will be mailed with all tax tickets indicating important tax dates and advising people about prepayment of local taxes as requested by the Board.

Mr. H. Clay asked the Treasurer if he put out on bid the renewal of the CD's for the County's insurance fund. Mr. Jones stated he contacted the Bank of Virginia and the Bank of McKenney and the Bank of McKenney offered the higher interest rate, 6.75%.

IN RE: RECIPROCAL AGREEMENT REGARDING THE CONTROL OR PROHIBITION SALE OF CONTROLLED DRUGS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Chairman be, and is hereby authorized and directed to execute a reciprocal agreement, dated October 1, 1986 among the City of Petersburg, the City of Colonial Heights, the County of Chesterfield, the County of Dinwiddie and the County of Prince George, Virginia, regarding the control or prohibition sale of controlled drugs, in accordance with the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Administrator be, and is hereby directed to affix to the reciprocal agreement the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, and to attest the same.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of September, 1986.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT--AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR RENOVATION

Mr. Mike Perry, Project Manager, Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, appeared before the Board to present the final cost on the renovation of the Health Department. He stated the original

bid was negotiated with the bidder from $141,476 to $121,145. A price break of $7,481 was given if the project is awarded with the Circuit Court Clerk's Office and the gymnasium addition at the Senior High School. Mr. Perry added that the original estimate was $150,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for the renovation of the Health Center be awarded to Walthall Construction Corporation at a cost of $121,145; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the contract when it is presented.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of September, 1986.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--T.O. CAIRNS & D.M. LOWERY

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. T.O. Cairns and Mrs. D.M. Lowery were awarded $80.00 for four (4) goats.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have. He stated that with the action taken by the General Assembly to increase taxes, there will be more funds available, however, he did not know exactly how it will affect Dinwiddie County. He did feel that the Six-Year Plan should be revised in 1987 rather than waiting until 1988.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 24, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive comments regarding the County's plan to submit an application under the Community Improvement Grant Program to the Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development. The application is for $700,000 to be used in the Airport Industrial Park for land development, site improvements and construction.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the County receives the funds and they are paid back, can the money be used for another project. The County Administrator advised him they could be used again.

No other comments were received and the public hearing was closed.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to submit an application to the Va. Dept. of Housing and Community Development under the Community Improvement Grant Program to assist in financing an economic development project in the Airport Industrial Park.

IN RE: FORD VFD--AUTHORIZATION TO SOLICIT BIDS FOR NEW FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Gene Jones, Chief, Ford VFD, presented a set of standard specifications approved by the Fire Chiefs' Association

for a new fire truck. He stated the specifications could be bid on by any company.

The County Attorney stated the Fire Department or the County could bid the truck as long as the proper procedures are followed.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the 1959 truck was out of service. Mr. Jones stated it was used but it vibrates so bad, no one wants to drive it. He added if it can't be used, the first run truck will be used all the time so they can continue to operate.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to bid the specifications provided by the fire department for a new fire truck.

IN RE: SHOOTING RANGE PERMIT--L.T. SLAUGHTER

The Board received an application from Mr. L.T. Slaughter for a shooting range permit to hold turkey shoots on his property, .7 mile from State Rt. 658. The backstop is woods and the nearest residence is 6000 feet away on Rt. 658. Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, has reviewed the site and found it appropriate for this use.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. L.T. Slaughter was granted a shooting range permit to hold turkey shoots on Rt. 658, which will expire September 30, 1987.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD FIREHOUSE--AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the contract with Brooks & Co. General Contractors, Inc. to build the Namozine VFD fire house at a cost of $268,700 of which $208,000 will be funded by the County. The balance, $60,700, will be reimbursed to the County by the Namozine VFD over a period of 2 years after completion.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REPAIRS TO THE COURTHOUSE

The County Administrator advised the Board that there is a considerable amount of repair work that needs to be done to the Courthouse. He asked authorization to acquire professional asisstance to evaluate what needs to be done and prepare the specifications for bidding. He estimated the total cost to be around $3,000. After discussion, the Board rejected the County Administrator's recommendation to seek professional assistance on this project.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 3.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:09 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:21 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 4:22 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR. (arrived 7:45 PM)	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR. (arrived 8:37 PM)	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	DONALD ADAMS	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 1, 1986 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-2103 through 86-2243 amounting to $139,112.46; Law Library Fund checks numbering LF-86-15 and LF-86-16 amounting to: $172.69; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-12 in the amount of $4,280.12; History Book Fund check #HB-86-4 in the amount of $4.69.

IN RE: R.B. PAMPLIN CORPORATION--EVELYN ABRAHAMS SCHOLARSHIP FUND

Mr. D. Carleton Mayes appeared before the Board to announce a unitrust set up by the R.B. Pamplin Corporation with the Virginia Tech Foundation in the amount of $936,166. Thirty (30%) percent will be distributed to the Dinwiddie Senior High School which will add approximately $280,000 to the funds now being held for scholarships.

IN RE: 1986 CHRISTMAS PARADE

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, representing the Office on Youth and Community Services, stated the Commission would like to sponsor another Christmas parade on December 13, 1986 using the same route as last year. She requested the Board's approval to proceed with this project. The theme of the parade will be "Proud of our Past--Looking Toward Our Future".

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request by the Office on Youth and Community to sponsor a Christmas Parade on December 13, 1986 using Rt. 627 as the parade route was approved.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:41 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:58 P.M.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-86-3--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--JAMES GLASS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, October 1, 1986 and Wednesday, October 8, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a request by Mr. James Glass for a conditional use permit to operate a vehicle salvage yard on a 28 acre portion of land designated as Parcel 62-12A owned by Mr. Merle McCann.

Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was disapproval at their August 13, 1986 meeting.

Mr. James Ritchie, Attorney, represented Mr. Glass in support of his rezoning request. Mr. Ritchie stated that Mr. Glass has an option on 28 acres owned by Mr. Merle McCann. Mr. Ritchie proceeded to describe the property indicating it is located on Rt. 605 which is a dirt road and very sparsely populated. Six acres of the property is cleared and a 1,000 foot road is cut through the woods from Rt. 605 to the site making a natural buffer. Mr. Ritchie presented photos of the site and an ASCS map pointing out that the site is a pretty remote area.

He stated Mr. Glass plans to store 75 cars and operate a repair shop. He then addressed the environmental concerns. The gas tanks will be removed and the gas and oil will be stored in large outdoor tanks until picked up by a disposal service. The batteries will also be removed and resold or picked up by a company that will take used batteries. In the meantime, they will be stored inside out of the weather. Tires will be shredded by Leete Tire and Battery and hauled to the Petersburg Landfill. He added Mr. Glass has obtained a copy of the EPA guidelines under which he must operate.

Mr. Ritchie pointed out there are no open streams on the property. He cited two recent permits approved by the Board for vehicle salvage yards and the conditions attached.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Ritchie if the number of vehicles would be 50 or 75. He was quoted as saying 50 in the Planning Commission minutes. Mr. Ritchie stated 50 was an error. The number would be 75.

Mr. Calvin Ellington spoke in opposition to the request. He stated he was a resident of Rt. 605 and represented the Reams Ruritan Club as well as residents in the area. He presented a color coded chart showing where the residents in the area live along with a petition of 37 names. Mr. Ellington stated they were concerned for their children and grandchildren. He stated hazardous wastes were also a concern. There are other salvage yards in the area, why not use them.

Mrs. Bertha Adams spoke in opposition. She stated she and her husband have a strawberry patch near the property and she was afraid snakes and rats would hurt her business. She added she did not think the battery acid would be disposed of as stated and further, the woods could be cut down any day.

Mr. Gene Adams stated he worked the strawberry patch for five years and had an investment of $57,094. He said he has an irrigation line directly under the power line and the water will drain right into his pond. He presented an SCS map of the 41 acres which show a watershed from Mr. McCants' property to Mr. Adams' pond.

Mr. Robert Phillips spoke in opposition. He stated he was an adjacent landowner and had bought the property to build a house, but he would not with a junk yard there.

Mr. Ritchie responded to the opposition.

1. Regarding Mr. Ellington's statement, he said Reams Ruritan Club is on the opposite side of Rt. 605.

2. He stated there are only four (4) houses on the mile and one-half portion of Rt. 605 and one trailer. There are only 3 houses at the intersection of Rt. 605 with Rt. 670 which is 1/2 mile North of the proposed site. Mr. Ritchie stated he could not understand where 37 names came from.

3. Regarding the concerns with the strawberry patch, he stated there is 500 feet of woods between the site and the strawberry field. The area is basicly flat. There are no open streams. He doubted any drainage would make it to the strawberry patch. He again stated they have plans to take care of the hazardous wastes.

4. Mr. Ritchie stated there is a shed with hay already on the property which would probably attract more rats and snakes than the salvage yard.

5. Mr. Ritchie stated there are 275 feet of woods to the South between the site and Mr. Phillips' property line.

6. Mr. Ritchie reviewed the existing salvage yards in the area:

a. Mr. Campbell in Prince George - behind scales on I-95. It is only a yard, no signs or sale or repair work.

b. Mr. Spain is 3.2 miles from the site in Prince George- no sign, no parts for sale. Appears to be just parking behind some nice homes.

c. Oak Hill site on Rt. 613 - 10.5 miles away in Dinwiddie County.

d. Russell salvage yard on Duncan Road is 12.5 miles away and has no conditional use permit.

Mr. Ritchie ended by stating he and his client feel it is a very appropriate site for a salvage yard and it can be compatible with the area.

Mr. Hargrave stated the salvage yard a couple of miles away on Rt. 618, South of Carson, was not mentioned. He said that with all the precautions you can take, it will be difficult to accomplish the safeguards needed. He then moved for denial of conditional use permit request C-86-3 for Mr. James Glass. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", conditional use request C-86-3 submitted by Mr. James Glass to operate a vehicle salvage yard was denied.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--REZONING APPLICATION P-86-7--ROBERT L. BOWMAN, IV

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, October 1 and Wednesday, October 8, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of a portion of sections 21-103, 21-103A, 21-105 and 21-106, containing 12 acres from Business, General B-2 to Residential, General R-2.

Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission at their September 10, 1986 meeting which was approval with the following conditions:

1. The main access be shifted to the eastern border of Parcel 21-105; provide two (2) exit lanes and one (1) entrance lane with a median strip between the entrance/exit lanes of 20 feet minimum. Also, a deceleration lane be provided;

2. Sewer shall be extended to the property by the applicant as approved by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority;

3. Additional right of way along U.S. Route 1, be dedicated to the County for future widening of Route 1, as approved by the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation.

Mr. Robert L. Bowman appeared in support of his request. He stated he planned to build townhouse-type apartments similar to the pictures he distributed of apartments in Chesterfield. They will probably rent for $450/month. He stated the only change he would make would be to change the A-roof to a flat roof in the front. Mr. Bowman stated he felt they would be an asset to the County because there is a need. He would have competition from Petersburg. He estimated it would be a $3,000,000 project.

He stated he had talked to Sam Martin and Mrs. Lilla Diehl and they had no objections. As to the noise concern, he stated he would be the closest resident and wanted to have something he would not mind living next to.

Mr. H. Clay asked if he had conducted a market survey. Mr. Bowman stated he had with people he knew. They would rather live in Dinwiddie than Petersburg. Mr. H. Clay asked if there was a shortage of this type of construction in the area. Mr. Bowman said yes, a 200 unit is being built in Petersburg now. Mr. H. Clay asked there would be a play area and if it would be dedicated. Mr. Bowman stated 4½ acres would be dedicated. Mr. H. Clay stated U.S. #1 was a busy place for kids to be playing near.

Mr. James Musgrove spoke in opposition and presented a petition. He stated he owned the property across the road from the proposed site. He stated he has held apartment property for 22 years and no feasibility study has shown the need for townhouses. The area is predominantly R-1, single family dwellings, and he felt it should stay that way.

Mr. Bowman stated he would like to look over the list of names in opposition. The property is now zoned for Business, not R-1. Mr. Musgrove's property is behind 2 other property owners quite a ways away. He stated the people he talked to weren't opposed. He stated Mr. Lowery was concerned about children coming over the fence. Mr. Marston is 1/4 mile away. Mr. Bowman said he felt the apartments would enhance the area.

Mr. Bennett stated he remembers the last apartment request and he voted for it. The things he is hearing now causes him to have some reservations about the apartments. He stated he was opposed to any more apartments until some are built to see where they are going. There is already R-2 land in the area if the demand was there.

Mr. Robertson stated he still felt the property should not be rezoned. Mr. H. Clay said he was concerned that the majority of the property along U.S. #1 was business. He was not sure this would be compatible for apartments.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", rezoning request P-86-7, submitted by Robert L. Bowman, IV was denied.

IN RE: SHOOTING RANGE PERMIT--RUSSELL AND LOUISE MOORE

Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, presented a request for a shooting range permit from Russell and Louise Moore

to hold a turkey shoot on November 1, 1986 on their property located on Rt. 642. Mr. Martin stated he visited the site and there are only 2 dwellings near the area. However, he was concerned about being so close to the road and the possibility of children in the area. He, therefore, recommended the permit be approved for one day only.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Russell and Louise Moore were granted a shooting range permit for one day only, November 1 or November 8, 1986, to hold a turkey shoot on their property located on Rt. 642 with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--ROADVIEWERS

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. Wayne Cook. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Charles R. Titmus, Jr.. Mr. A. Clay nominated Mr. Charles Rideout.

Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Wayne Cook, Mr. Charles R. Titmus, Jr. and Mr. Charles Rideout were appointed to the Roadviewers.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF FIRE PROGRAM FUND

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, advised the Board that the County received its first allocation under the Fire Program Fund in the amount of $9,899.88. She requested authorization for the Treasurer to establish a Fire Program Fund Account for the funds to be deposited. She stated she would like to bring back a recommendation on how the funds should be distributed.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to establish a Fire Program Fund Account for the deposit of $9,899.88 from the Department of Fire Programs.

IN RE: JOHN TYLER BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Mr. Hargrave announced that Mr. Tom Newsom declined his appointment to the John Tyler Board of Directors. The County Administrator stated it would be placed on the November 5, 1986 agenda for consideration of another appointment.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:12 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:12 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until October 21, 1986 at 7:30 P.M.

OCTOBER 21, 1986 -- 7:30 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF OCTOBER 15, 1986 MEETING

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:45 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:13 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 5TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 15, 1986 regular meeting and the October 21, 1986 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-2244 through 2348 amounting to $131,637.16; County Construction Fund check #CCF-86-5 in the amount of $13,410.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of October, 1986.

IN RE: DOG LICENSE AGENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following locations are authorized to act as agents for the sale of 1987 dog licenses:

Mike Barnes T/A Bolster's Store; Robert Wallace T/A Wallace's Supermarket; John Bishop T/A Baltimore Corner Grocery; George Williams T/A Country Hardware and Garden; Louis Dowdy T/A Edgehill Supermarket; Leroy Jarratt T/A Jarratt Food Center.

MR A. CLAY AND MR. BENNETT EXCUSED THEMSELVES FROM THE MEETING AT THIS POINT. MR. ROBERTSON ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE AGENTS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following locations are authorized to act as agents to sell 1987 motor vehicle licenses:

William Queen & Donald Porter - Namozine VFD
Fred Rogers - Flat Rock Grocery
Calvin Ellington - Reams Ruritan Club
Paul Walk - Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club

MR. A. CLAY AND MR. BENNETT RETURNED TO THE MEETING. MR. A. CLAY ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: SHERIFF--APPROVAL OF NEW DRAPERIES

Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to present the following bids for new draperies for his department. He stated they washed the draperies they have now and they shrank.

1. Palmore Decorating Center - $1600
2. Sears, Roebuck & Company - $1573
3. J.C. Penney - $1810

Mr. Robertson asked if this was a budgeted item. The Sheriff stated it was not. Mr. H. Clay asked the Sheriff if he could handle the installation. The Sheriff stated they tried it before and he would rather let the bidder handle the installation. Mr. Robertson asked if the materials were comparable. The Sheriff stated they were. Mr. Bennett asked if the rods were included in the low bid by Sears for $1573. The Sheriff stated they would use the rods that could be fixed and replace those that could not.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the low bid of Sears for $1573 was accepted to install new draperies for the Sheriff's Department, provided the rods are included.

IN RE: SHERIFF--DISCUSSION OF PARKING LOT--COURTHOUSE AREA

The Sheriff stated they were still having trouble with the parking lot at the Courthouse and Sheriff's Department. He said they need more parking area and the area between the Courthouse and the Sheriff's Department needs to be paved as soon as possible.

The County Administrator pointed out that the paving of that area is scheduled after the lines are put in for the Clerk's Office and the old jail is torn down. He added that there has been a tremendous increase in the traffic in that area on court days.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy presented his report for the month of October, 1986.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

The Animal Warden was not present. The County Administrator stated he would be a little late getting there. The Chairman read his report for the month of October, 1986.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--LEONARD HARRISON

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Leonard Harrison was awarded $75.00 for three (3) bush goats.

IN RE: POULTRY CLAIM--DALE MARKS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Dale Marks was awarded $202 for 29 chickens, 3 turkeys and 1 pheasant.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--TRANSFER OF FUNDS WITHIN 1985-86 BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following transfers were approved to reconcile the 1985-86 school board budget:

FROM		TO		
17B	Instruction	17C	Attendance	14,660.95
17D	Transp.-Oper.	17D	Transp.-Repl.	9,776.00
17B	Instruction	17H	Summer School	200.00
17B	Instruction	17J	Other Ed. Prog. Recr.	14,253.79
17B	Instruction	19	Capital Outlay	827.93

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have. He advised the Board that due to the tax increase approved by the General Assembly, Dinwiddie County was scheduled to receive an additional $298,247 for fiscal year 1986-87 and $1,100,000 for fiscal year 1987-88. He said he would be back at the December meeting to look at those projects that might be advanced due to this increase.

Mr. Neblett also advised the Board that the first section of Rt. 666 is currently under construction and is ready to be paved; however, it will be maintained during the winter and paved in the Spring along with the rest of Rt. 666 out to Rt. 670 if all the right-of-way can be obtained.

1. Mr. Bennett stated he had received complaints from the mail carriers that they can't get around in Berryfield subdivision. The County Administrator stated that the Highway Department was working with the utilities in that subdivision and they should be moving into Berryfield after Oakhill and Roanoke Avenue are complete.

2. Mr. Robertson asked about the repairs to the Rt. 672 bridge. Mr. Neblett stated the Highway Department inspected the bridge and sent the report on to the Norfolk & Western railroad requesting that it be placed in their maintenance schedule.

3. The County Administrator asked Mr. Neblett if with the increase in funds, could he look at those roads that are eligible to be moved from secondary to primary status. Mr. Neblett stated he could, but by moving the roads, they may get a lower priority.

4. Mr. Hargrave asked if anything had been done for the flooding problem on Rt. 604. Mr. Neblett stated Mr. Lester had been asked to review that location but he did not have his report.

5. Mr. Hargrave asked about the signs requested by the church on Rt. 605. Mr. Neblett stated Mr. Lester has been in touch with the traffic and safety engineer and also they were going to limb up some of the trees.

6. Mr. Hargrave asked about the road into Scott's Retreat. He stated it needs a little ditch work and something for the dust. Mr. Neblett stated they had been working on it.

IN RE: STREETNAMING & HOUSE NUMBERING SYSTEM--DISCUSSION OF BASE MAPS

The County Administrator stated that since the firm of R. Stuart Royer & Associates was hired to provide a streetnaming and house numbering system for the County's E911 emergency response system, they encountered problems with the base maps provided by the Commissioner of Revenue. They have had to initially bring all the maps up-to-date since 1977, making all the transfers and placing them on the land maps. During this process, they encountered other problems which they would like to discuss with the Board as

well as alternatives to solving these problems. Since Debbie Marston is responsible for the maps in the Commissioner of Revenue's office, she has been asked to elaborate on the reasons for the problems and what kinds of discrepancies are involved.

Mrs. Debbie Marston, Deputy Commissioner, explained that alot of the problems come from incorrect deeds and plats that are recorded or wills that have no plats or property descriptions to map. There are also problems with the base and the Dept. of Taxation has had to squeeze the property in as best they could.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, added that the maps are not drawn to geological base.

Mr. Roger Hart, Principal, R. Stuart Royer & Associates, explained that in setting up the grid system, they found that the maps would have to be updated from 1977 which they thought would be just a drafting job. In setting up the 50ft. grid system, every house must have a number. When you overlay the grid and the house is not in the right location, there is a problem.

Mr. Hart presented three alternatives:

1. Proceed with the maps as they are and make property lines fit by adjusting. Estimated cost $10,000 to $13,000 and will require approximately 2 months to complete.

2. Correct property lines that are known and that are found through the use of aerial photographs. The aerial photographs will locate existing structures and correct numbers will be assigned to those structures. Problems with properties that do not have structures on them or cannot be identified, otherwise, will have to be corrected in the future. Also, research will be needed and property owners may have to be contacted. Estimated cost is $25,000 to $35,000 and will take three to five months to complete.

3. Correct problems with the property lines throughout the County by researching each individual property and compiling new tax maps. Cost estimated to be $350,000 and take 15 to 18 months to complete.

Mr. Hart emphasized that the projected costs and time restraints are just estimates. He stated that alternatives #1 and #2 would require the County to renumber some houses in the future and correct the maps as the problems come up.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt Alternative #2 would be the best solution. Mr. Hart stated the scale of the maps is 98% correct. The problem is with the property on the maps. However, they can accurately plot what is existing now. Mr. Hart pointed out that with Alternative #2, the County should establish strict compliance for recording deeds and plats and have someone to do the field work in the future.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", alternative #2 submitted by R. Stuart Royer and Associates to correct the County's base maps through the use of aerial photos was accepted at an estimated cost of $25,000 to $35,000.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF FOOD BANK MONTH

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Food Bank Program in Dinwiddie County is to provide assistance for those in need on a limited basis--such as job loss, loss of home, sickness, or temporary unemployment which may result in relocation and other types of emergencies; and

WHEREAS, the Food Bank Program is supported by donations from county churches, individuals, organizations and other agencies; and

WHEREAS, monetary donations are the most valuable as they are used to purchase food at a discount farm, a local merchant and/or the Central Virginia Food Bank, Inc. at a very low cost;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia acknowledges the Food Bank Program as a vital support unit to the citizens of the County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia declares the month of November, 1986 as Food Bank Month and urges all citizens to support the Food Bank Program through their donations.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY--SOLID WASTE STUDY

The County Administrator presented a letter from the Appomattox River Water Authority requesting the County's participation in a regional Solid Waste Study. The first phase would be a preliminary report indicating approximate plant size, plant type, capital costs and tipping fees for a regional project. The cost would be $5,000 and each locality is asked to contribute $1,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County participate in the Appomattox River Water Authority regional solid waste study; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $1,000 be appropriated towards the cost of the study provided all member jurisdictions participate in a like manner.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--ROADVIEWERS--JOSEPH L. BROWN

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Joseph L. Brown was appointed to the Roadviewers.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--SAMUEL H. SHANDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Samuel H. Shands was appointed to the Roadviewers.

IN RE: SHOOTING RANGE PERMIT--REAMS RURITAN CLUB

Mr. Dennis Martin presented a request from Reams Ruritan Club for a shooting range permit to hold turkey shoots on their property located on Rt. 605. Mr. Martin stated he had reviewed the site and recommended approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Reams Ruritan Club was granted a shooting range permit to hold turkey shoots on their property located on Rt. 605 with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--PAYMENT FOR TELEPHONE USAGE

Mr. W.E. Bolte stated that he had been elected President of the Virginia Association of Commissioners of Revenue Association and as a result, he would be making telephone calls all over the State. When he asked who pays the telephone bill, he was told that it was usually paid the the host locality.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County will pay its share of the telephone calls made by the Commissioner of Revenue conducting business for his term as President of the Virginia Association of Commissioners of the Revenue.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN CONTRACTS--CLERKS'S OFFICE ADDITION, HEALTH CENTER RENOVATION AND HIGH SCHOOL GYM ADDITION

The County Administrator presented the contract with Walthall Construction Company for the Clerk's office addition, health center renovation, and high school gym addition for the Chairman to sign. He stated that after reviewing the figures, the total needed to be adjusted by $2800 making the final cost $335,710.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the contract with Walthall Construction Company for the stated work on three county buildings, total cost $335,710.00.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:23 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:09 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:10 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	T.O. RAINEY, III	CO. ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
ABSENT:	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 5, 1986 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $40,000 from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-2349 through 86-2571 amounting to: $194,181.43; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks - numbering JGC-86-5 through JGC-86-8 amounting to: $2087.62; E911 Fund check #E9-86-3 in the amount of $11,863.10; Law Library Fund checks - numbering LF-86-17 and 18 amounting to $212.43; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-13 in the amount of $35,290.91; History Book Fund check #HB-86-5 in the amount of $7.00.

IN RE: FORD VFD--DISCUSSION OF NEW FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Butch Henshaw, Lieutenant, Ford VFD appeared before the Board to discuss the bids received for a new fire truck. Three bids were received: American Fire Equipment; Jack L. Slagle and Pierce Manufacturing, Inc.

He explained that the original specifications called for a Ford chassis. When the bids were received, he found that Ford was discontinuing that particular cab and chassis so the bidders were asked to submit alternate bids on a custom cab and chassis. Mr. Henshaw stated that after reviewing the bids on the Ford Chassis, the difference between the high and low bid prices is that the high bid is for an all aluminum body. The all aluminum body is more expensive; however, the department feels it will last longer and be worth the difference in price. He also pointed out that the specifications called for a steel body.

Mr. Henshaw added that the high bidder can also deliver a truck in 30 days because they have the chassis in stock and work on a 24-hour basis. He recommended that the Board accept the high bid if paying for the truck in this fiscal year would not create a problem.

Mr. Robertson asked why they decided to stay with the Ford chasis if it was going to be discontinued. Mr. Henshaw stated they were satisfied with the Ford they have now, and Ford will probably be coming out with a replacement very soon.

Mr. Robertson asked about the equipment on the present truck and what was included other than basic equipment on the new truck. Mr. Henshaw stated they really didn't have that much equipment that could be used on the present truck. The Fire Chiefs added a deck gun monitor to the specifications that cost $3,000 and the other items were mainly plumbing.

Mr. Henshaw stated that the high bidder also offered to pay all expenses for two firemen to ride down and pick up the new truck.

Mr. Robertson asked about radios. Mr. Henshaw stated the department was planning to buy the radios and also the diesel fuel pump. He added that the high bidder offered alot of small items the other two did not.

Mr. Henshaw said they worked on the old pumper but it will not draft water. He added they were going into the house fire season now and were really hurting in the Western end of the County.

The Board advised Mr. Henshaw they would have to review their financial priorities; therefore, no decision was made.

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES TO BE ADVERTISED FOR A PUBLIC HEARING

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, presented the following ordinances for the Board's consideration for advertisement:

1. Off-Duty Sheriff & Deputy-Sheriffs - an ordinance to permit and regulate the employment of off-duty sheriff and/or deputy sheriffs. Mr. Rainey explained that this ordinance would allow some off-duty employment but not unlimited. The work would be exclusively for religious, charitable, community or educational purposes approved by the Sheriff while in uniform. It would not limit off-duty work performed by a deputy not in uniform or in an official capacity. The Sheriff has promulgated his own policy concerning that.

2. Dance Hall ordinance - to provide regulations for the conduct and operation of dance halls.

3. Business License -- Mr. Rainey stated this was not a revenue generating ordinance. It is designed to try to identify where businesses are for information and regulation.

4. Itinerant Vendors -- This ordinance could be superceded by the business license ordinance.

5. Vicious Dogs - Mr. Rainey stated the purpose of this ordinance is to update the present ordinance which provides only a misdemeanor penalty. This ordinance will provide for different classes of penalties according to the nature of the violation. He added that the Animal Warden has also suggested confining dogs during the month of April rather than May because it would be easier to catch people at home.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, asked to make a few comments on the business license. He stated this ordinance was capable of producing a considerable amount of revenue for the County. The way it is prsented makes it regulatory. Mr. Bolte stated he feared it would be hard to administer. He also stated his office is administering a contractor's license which would be eliminated with the business license ordinance. He stated he felt the ordinance would be costly and require alot of work.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the $25 fee would be enough to cover the cost to administer the ordinance. Mr. Rainey stated he had discussed it with Mr. Bolte and they felt it would be adequate.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the following ordinances for a public hearing to be held December 17, 1986:

1. Off-Duty Sheriff and/or Deputy Sheriffs 2. Dance Halls 3. Itinerant Vendors 4. Vicious Dogs

MR. A. CLAY AND MR. ROBERTSON EXCUSED THEMSELVES FROM THE MEETING AT THIS POINT.

IN RE: BUSINESS LICENSE ORDINANCE--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the business license ordinance for a public hearing to be held December 17, 1986.

MR. A. CLAY AND MR. ROBERTSON RETURNED TO THE MEETING. MR. A. CLAY ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY -- T.J. LEFTWICH

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. T.J. Leftwich was reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, term expiring December 31, 1990.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES CHAPTER 10 BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Kenneth Wright was reappointed to the District 19 Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Chapter 10 Board, term expiring December 31, 1989.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION--HARRISON MOODY

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Harrison Moody was reappointed to the Planning Commission, term expiring December 31, 1990.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--ADDITIONAL OFFICE SPACE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to discuss his need for additional office space. He stated he had talked with Mr. Jimmy Maitland, Extension Agent, whose offices join Mr. Bolte's and Mr. Maitland agreed to the use of one of his vacant offices. Mr. Bolte stated all that will be needed is to cut a door through to the office from the Commissioner of Revenue's map room.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to have a door cut from the Commissioner of Revenue's map room to the Extension Office to provide additional office space for the Commissioner of Revenue.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, presented a copy of a new vehicle registration card he will be using with pre-printed information for the sale of county vehicle licenses.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:40 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:50 P.M.

IN RE: WORLDWIDE MARKETING ASSOCIATES--AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is authorized to sign the Agreement between the Dinwiddie Airport & Industrial Authority, Worldwide Marketing Associates, Inc. and the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, indicating the County's consent to sell land outlined in the agreement.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:01 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 3RD DAY OF DECEMBER, 1986 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	CO. ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 19, 1986 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $5,000 from the General Fund to the Johnsongrass Control Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 86-2572 through 86-2664 amounting to $1,542,766.95.

IN RE: SELF INSURANCE PROGRAM REPORT

Mrs. Glenice Townsend, Secretary-Account Clerk, presented a brief overview of the self-insurance program for the past year. Because the County has enjoyed a good year with few claims, a considerable savings was realized by establishing the self insurance program.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, presented a request for an additional 20 days for the Land Use Clerk during the period of January 1 - June 30, 1987. At $50.10/day, the total cost would be $1,020. Mr. Bolte stated he underestimated his needs in the original request. He estimated collections for the annual revalidation fee to be $12,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an additional $1,020 was approved for the Land Use Clerk within the Land Use budget of the Commissioner of Revenue.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of November, 1986.

MR. A. CLAY AND MR. BENNETT STATED THEY WOULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSION OR ACTION ON THE VEHICLE LICENSE AGENTS.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF BOND FOR VEHICLE LICENSE AGENTS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the bonds required for agents to sell vehicle licenses for 1987 be set as follows:

William Queen & Donald Porter - Namozine VFD - $15,000
Fred Rogers - Flat Rock Grocery - $7,500
Calvin Ellington - Reams Ruritan Club - $7,500
Paul Walk - WHF Ruritan Club - $7,500; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Delores Rogers, Darvills General Store, be removed from the list of agents.

IN RE: DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE ESCROW ACCOUNT

Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, appeared before the Board to request authorization to establish a delinquent real estate tax escrow account to deposit partial payments on delinquent real estate taxes until a year is paid in full. At that time, a journal entry will be made and the monies transferred to the proper account. Mr. Jones pointed out that the State Auditor advised him all payments should be accepted and the approved forms provide only one line.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to establish a Delinquent Real Estate Tax Escrow Account.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of November, 1986.

IN RE: CLERK'S OFFICE ADDITION--REMOVAL OF TREE

The County Administrator advised the Board that the oak tree closest to the proposed addition at the Clerk's Office is breaking up the sidewalk and will be damaged to some extent with the installation of the sewer lines to the new addition. The County Administrator and the contractor, Walthall Construction, therefore, recommended if the Board is thinking of eventually taking the tree down, they should do so now while the equipment can be moved in. The main cost to the County will be the removal of the stump.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to remove the tree at the Courthouse Complex as discussed.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of November, 1986.

IN RE: DOG POUND--FENCING BIDS

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, presented the following bids for 338 lineal feet of fencing at the dog pound:

John T. Russell, Sr.

8' with barbed wire - $3,480.65 without barbed wire - $3,285.93
6' " " " - $2,793.31 " " " - $2,610.55

Wallace Fences, Inc.

8' with barbed wire - $4,475
6' " " " - $3,760

Russell Fence Co., Inc.

8' with barbed wire - $4,000 without barbed wire - $3,500
6' " " " - $2,822 " " " - $2,484

Mr. Brooks recommended the 6' fencing with barbed wire.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the low bid of J.T. Russell, Sr. for $2,793.31 for 6 ft. barbed wire fencing at the dog pound was accepted.

IN RE: POULTRY CLAIM--JIMMIE REITER

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Jimmie Reiter was awarded $65.00 for 26 laying hens.

IN RE: POULTRY CLAIM--SARAH CHANDLER

Mr. Robertson questioned why the claim submitted for Mr. Reiter for laying hens was $2.50 each and this claim is for laying hens at $3.20 each. Mr. Brooks stated that there is a difference in breeds.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Sarah Chandler was awarded $57.60 for 18 laying hens.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION -- FY 86-87

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to review the additional funds for 1986-87 secondary road improvements as a result of the tax increase approved by the General Assembly. He stated the County would receive an additional $298,000 for FY 1986-87 and could expect $1,000,000+ for 1987-88.

He stated the department is being requested to commit and spend these funds by the end of the fiscal year. To do this, he recommended that the $298,000 be allocated to Rt. 666 which should complete the entire project to Rt. 670. This would allow the acceleration of other projects such as Rt. 700, 605 and 680 which are in the six year plan but do not have funds allocated towards them.

Mr. Bennett asked what was the cost per mile to bring a dirt road up to state standards and pave it. Mr. Neblett estimated it to be $200,000 to $250,000 per mile.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there were any special funds that could be allocated to improve the dirt road back to The Home Place Restaurant. Mr. Neblett stated he did not know of any. The money would have to come from the secondary roads budget.

IN RE: SAFETY IMPROVEMENT--INTERSECTION OF RT. 650 and RT. 649

Mr. William Driskill, representing the Rocky Run Ruritan Club, presented a request to correct the safety hazard at the intersection of Rt. 649 and Rt. 650. He stated he had also received input from the Pupil Transportation Department concerning problems the school buses have encountered at this intersection.

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Highways & Transportation, received the request. He stated it would have to be worked into the budget and the Six Year Plan which the Board will be considering in the coming year.

The County Administrator asked about the Rt. 40 safety improvements. Mr. Neblett stated they have been delayed somewhat because federal funds are involved and awaiting approval by Congress.

Mr. H. Clay asked what the cost of the project at this intersection would be. Mr. Neblett estimated $40,000 to $50,000.

IN RE: FORD VFD--NEW FIRE TRUCK

Butch Henshaw, Lieutenant, Ford VFD, appeared before the Board to discuss additional information he received on the fire truck bids. At the last meeting, a decision was postponed pending gathering further information and reviewing the County's financial situation.

Mr. Henshaw stated that since there had been discussion of an aluminum body, he obtained the cost of an aluminum body from all bidders. The only remaining difference is that two of the bidders did not quote an aluminum tank. He also called the fire department in Spotsylvania who has had experience with the Emergency One trucks and the aluminum tanks and their experience has been good. He stated the fire department has had problems with steel tanks in the past.

He also presented a financial plan from American Fire Equipment, Inc., the dealer for Emergency One trucks, for the Board to consider.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the aluminum body made any real difference. Mr. Henshaw stated it was lighter and would not rust. He stated he talked to Bill Queen of the Namozine VFD who is a welder and he indicated the aluminum holds up better because of the way it is welded.

Mr. Henshaw stated the department requests that the County accept the bid of American Fire Equipment Company.

In response to the Board's inquiry about financial methods, the County Administrator stated he would recommend paying for the truck rather than financing over a period of time.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the old 1959 truck could be used. Mr. Henshaw stated they had tried to do some work on it but the members won't drive it.

Mr. Henshaw indicated that the main difference in the bids is the tank and some small features that are standard on the American Fire Equipment truck. Mr. H. Clay asked what these extra features would cost on the other trucks. Mr. Henshaw stated he felt it would bring the prices within $1,000 to $1500 of each other.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt the 30-day delivery itself is worth $5100.

Upon motion of Mr Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to discuss

other financing terms with American Fire Equipment, Inc. and report back at the December 17, 1986 meeting.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TRASH TRUCKS

Mr. John M. Loftis, Director of Sanitation, appeared before the Board to review the condition of the trash trucks within his department. He stated there were no major problems with the 1984 Mack. The 1980 Mack truck is going to need some major repair. The 1974 Kenworth is running but the cab is in bad shape and the body can go any time. Mr. Loftis stated it will cost alot of money to repair this truck if it goes down and he did not think it was worth it.

The 1973 truck is the oldest. Mr. Loftis stated he had spent $10,000 on the truck this year, but it is the better of the two oldest trucks. He added there has been a 15 to 20% increase in trash since March which doesn't include Central State.

Mr. Hargrave stated he understood from Mr. Loftis' report that he was going to have to spend some money but he could get by with the equipment he had for six to eight months. Mr. Loftis stated he didn't feel like any more money should be spent on the 1974.

After a brief discussion the Board agreed they were going to have to buy a new trash truck in the next six months but would like payment to be scheduled for the next fiscal year.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--JOHN TYLER BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. James Bryant Neville, Jr. was appointed to the John Tyler Board of Directors to fill the unexpired term of Mr. H. Barner Batte, ending June 30, 1987.

IN RE: COMMUNITY DIVERSION INCENTIVE ACT PROGRAM

The Chairman stated he received a letter from Judge Richard Lewis, General District Court, requesting the Board's concurrence with the establishment of a Community Diversion Incentive Act Program for his court. The County would be asked to participate by appointing representatives to a Community Corrections Resources Board. No funding from the County would be involved.

He stated he had received a letter from the Circuit Court Judge, Thomas Warren indicating that he did not want the program.

The Board agreed to have a representative from the Department of Corrections explain the program to them before taking any action.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:50 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:40 P.M.

IN RE: WORLDWIDE MARKETING ASSOCIATES--ADDENDUM TO AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign an addendum to the agreement between the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority, Worldwide Marketing Associates and the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia indicating the County's consent to convey land outlined in the agreement.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 4:42 P.M.

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1986 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	H.L. CLAY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	T.O. RAINEY, III	CO. ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 17, 1986 meeting were approved as presented with the following change: Delete "Mr. A. Clay and Mr. Bennett removed themselves from the meeting" and insert "Mr. A. Clay and Mr. Bennett did not participate in the discussion or action on Vehicle License Agents."

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund checks-numbering 86-2665 through 86-2899 amounting to $217,110.32; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-86-14 in the amount of $86.29; Johnsongrass Control Fund check-#JGC-86-9 and 10 amounting to $758.76; E911 Fund-check #E9-86-4 in the amount of $7069.41; County Construction Fund check CCF-86-6 in the amount of $53,370; Law Library Fund - check #LF-86-19 in the amount of $28.39.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-10--DANCE HALLS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 3 and Wednesday, December 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 3, Article V to add Sections 3-70, 3-71, 3-72, 3-73, 3-74, 3-75, 3-76 and 3-77 for dance halls.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, reviewed the ordinance. Mr. Robertson asked if this ordinance would preclude the issuance of a special entertainment permit. Mr. Rainey stated they are two separate permits and the Board would still have to issue the special entertainment permit for outside activities. Mr. Hargrave stated this ordinance would establish a good basis.

The Sheriff was present in support of the ordinance. Mr. Mac Echols spoke in opposition. He felt the ordinance discriminated against dance halls. He objected to having to close at 1:00 A.M. and felt all businesses should have to get a permit if dance hall operators were required to.

Mr. H. Clay asked the Sheriff to give some examples of the problems he had encountered. Sheriff Heath stated the dances sometime go on until 4:00 A.M. The trouble is complaints about the music. When his department responds to a call, they can't determine who is in charge. He added that surrounding localities close down at certain times and all the drunks leave and come to Dinwiddie.

Mr. Echols stated he felt 2:00 A.M. was a more reasonable time to close. The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board allows alcohol to be sold until 2:00 A.M. in surrounding localities.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Sheriff felt there would be a problem with 2:00 A.M. Sheriff Heath stated the neighbors might object because it will take until 3:00 A.M. to clear everyone out.

Mr. Bennett stated he felt the County should be equal with the surrounding areas. He asked if the dance hall operator would have to pay $100 for a permit and $25.00 for a business license if both ordinances were passed.

Mr. Rainey stated he would unless he was exempted by the Board.

Mr. A. Clay agreed the ordinance should be like the surrounding areas. Mr. H. Clay stated he thought action should be tabled until the following is determined: 1. What do surrounding areas charge for a permit? 2. What is the closing time in surrounding areas? 3. Dance halls should not be hit with a $100 application fee and also a $25 business license.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", amendment A-86-10, Dance Halls, was tabled until the next meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-11--VICIOUS DOGS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 3, 1986 and Wednesday, December 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 4, Article II of the Dinwiddie County Code to amend Section 4-17, to amend and add to Section 4-18 and to delete Section 4-20 to reflect changes in dogs running at large generally and vicious dogs running at large.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, introduced the ordinance and explained the changes. Mr. Hargrave questioned if "anything of value" meant shrubbery or sheets. Mr. Rainey stated it could. Mr. Richard Earl asked if a dog tearing your pants would be classified as Class 3 or Class 1 misdemeanor. Mr. Rainey stated it would depend upon whether you are personally injured.

Mr. Chuck Nobles stated he had guard dogs and had posted no trespassing and beware of dog signs. Mr. Rainey stated you are criminally liable only if someone "lawfully" comes on your property. However, he still may be civilly liable.

No one spoke in support or opposition to the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986 and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

SECTION 4-17 <u>Running at large generally</u>

(b) No person residing in the county on the east side of U.S. Interstate 85 shall cause or permit any dog owned or kept by him to run at large in the county during the period of April first through April fifteenth of each year and no person residing in the County on the West side of U.S. Interstate 85 shall cause or permit any dog owned or kept by him to run at large in the county during the period of April sixteenth through April thirtieth of each year.

SECTION 4-18 Vicious dogs running at large

(a) It shall be unlawful for the owner of a dangerous, destructive or vicious dog to permit or allow such dog to run at large, as defined in section 4-17(a), within the county. Any dog that has been known or should be reasonably known to the owner to attack or attempt to attack or injure any person, animal or fowl, or to damage or destroy anything of value, shall be termed a dangerous or vicious dog. Such dog shall be kept confined upon the premises of the owner, and if removed from the premises, shall be secured by a leash in the hands of a person able to control such dog, or shall be confined inside a vehicle or cage. Proof of a prior attack or attempt to attack shall be prima facia evidence that a dog is vicious.

(b) If after a conviction of the owner of a dog for a violation of this section, the same dog continued to run at large and to be destructive or dangerous, such dog may be killed by the order of the animal warden, if, after twenty-four hours' notice, such dog is not removed by the owner from the county, in lieu of impoundment under section 4-19. (Code 1986, Section 4-11).

(c) The owner of any dog, known to be destructive or vicious, which attacks, or attempts to attack or injure any animal or fowl or to damage or destroy anything of value shall be guilty of a Class 4 misdemeanor and liable for any damages.

(d) The owner of any dog, known to be destructive, dangerous, or vicious, which attacks or attempts to attack or injure any person lawfully on the property of the owner shall be guilty of a Class 3 misdemeanor and liable for any damages.

(e) The owner of any dog, known to be destructive, dangerous or vicious which attacks or attempts to attack or injures any person not on the property of the owner shall be guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor and liable for any damages.

SECTION 4-20 Compensation for livestock or poultry killed or injured by dog - Waiver of certain prerequisites

DELETE

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-12--OFF-DUTY DEPUTIES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 3, 1986 and Wednesday, December 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to permit and regulate the employment of off-duty sheriff and/or deputy sheriffs.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, introduced the ordinance. He stated there are businesses and organizations with security needs that request the Sheriff's help. This ordinance would give some control over the men who respond on their off-time. Compensation would be paid to the County.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the ordinance relates to authority of the officer when off-duty. Mr. Rainey stated liability is the main thing. They want to put restraints on where the liability is. Mr. Bennett asked if the requesting organization will pay overtime. The County Administrator stated yes and all fringe benefits. Mr. Bennett asked if the County would have to pay anything. The County Administrator stated the Schools and other county agencies would whenever a Deputy was requested under this ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked who would determine the charges. Mr. Rainey stated that would be done jointly between the County and the Sheriff.

Mrs. Gilbert Charboneau asked why the schools would have to pay. The Sheriff stated they request more deputies than he has on duty.

Mr. Mac Echols asked if the dance halls could hire off-duty deputies. The Sheriff stated they could if the County will pay for their insurance.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt the ordinance was vague in relating the deputy's off-duty work to the organizations defined. Mr. Rainey stated he would reference (c) which defines organization in (e) which defines off-duty employment.

The Sheriff was present in support of the ordinance. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended, as follows:

ORDINANCE NAME:

This ordinance shall be known and cited as the Dinwiddie Off-Duty Sheriff Ordinance (DODSO).

DEFINITIONS:

A. Sheriff shall mean the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

B. Deputy Sheriff shall mean employees of the Dinwiddie Sheriff's Department funded by the State Compensation Board.

C. Organizations shall mean one of the following:

(1) A voluntary fire department or rescue squad or auxiliary unit thereof which has been recognized by an ordinance or resolution of the political subdivision where the voluntary fire department or rescue squad is located as being a part of the safety program of such political subdivision.

(2) An organization operated exclusively for religious, charitable, community or educational purposes; an association of war veterans or auxiliary units thereof organized in the United States, or a fraternal association operating under the lodge system.

D. On-Duty Employment shall mean those periods of time when the Sheriff or Deputy Sheriff is carrying out an assigned duty or function of this office.

E. Off-Duty Employment shall mean those periods of time other than on-duty employment which require the application of law enforcement skills, powers or techniques to the organizations as defined in paragraph (C).

EMPLOYMENT PERMITTED:

The Sheriff or any Deputy Sheriff may engage in off-duty employment which may occasionally require the use of their police powers in the performance of such employment subject to the other provisions of this Ordinance.

PERMISSION OF SHERIFF REQUIRED:

Any Sheriff or Deputy Sheriff shall first obtain permission or consent from the Sheriff or the duly authorized Deputy to engage in the off-duty employment.

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

The Sheriff shall promulgate such reasonable rules and regulations to apply to such off-duty employment.

COMPENSATION PAID TO COUNTY:

Compensation to any Sheriff or Deputy performing off-duty activities shall be paid directly to the County of Dinwiddie by the sponsoring organization.

ORDINANCE AUTHORITY:

This Ordinance is adopted pursuant to Section 15.1-133.1 of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended.

APPROVED ORGANIZATION:

The Sheriff shall approve the sponsoring organization in accordance with the definitions herein and the rules and regulations adopted hereto.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

This Ordinance shall be effective upon its adoption.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--BUSINESS LICENSES--A-86-13

Mr. Robertson asked if he and Mr. A. Clay would be in conflict if they participated in the discussion and action on business licenses. The County Attorney stated it was his opinion that they would not be in conflict.

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 3, 1986 and Wednesday, December 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 13 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Sections 13-60, 13-61, 13-62, 13-63, 13-64, 13-65 and 13-66 for business licenses.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, introduced the ordinance. He pointed out that it is a revenue producing section of the code but the intent as he has written it is to be more administrative and to identify businesses in the County. It will be helpful to the County Planner and protect citizens from unscrupulous businesses. He pointed out that some contractors already pay a gross receipts tax.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated his office would be involved in the issuance of the license. He also stated that this ordinance is making a revenue producing section regulatory. Alot of cities rely upon it heavily for income.

He stated that builders and contractors already pay a gross receipts tax and he felt it would be unfair if all other businesses only pay $25. Mr. H. Clay pointed out that they are not paying anything now and this ordinance would be no more unfair than what is being done now. Mr. Bolte stated the contractors license brings in approximately $10,000. He predicted the business license would produce approximately $8,000 and will cost half of that to administer.

Mr. Robertson stated he was concerned that the ordinance would become revenue-producing. He was not in favor of it.

Mr. Bolte stated his office was getting stuck with the work and it will produce very little income. Mr. H. Clay asked Mr. Bolte if he had an alternative to accomplish what the Board wanted. Mr. Bolte stated he did not know of any other way.

Mr. Hargrave said he thought when it was first considered, the concern was for itinerant vendors. He said he could see where it might be nice to have a list of businesses but it will be another burden on them. He was concerned about some people who weren't in business but work on the side. Now they would be criminally at fault. He could not see the need at this point.

Mr. Bolte stated he might be able to find another way of getting a list of businesses.

No one appeared in support of the ordinance. Mr. Richard Earl spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", amendment A-86-13, Business Licenses, was not adopted.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-14--ITINERANT VENDORS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 3, 1986 and Wednesday, December 10, 1986 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 13 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Section 13-3 for Itinerant Vendors, Merchants and Mechanics.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, presented the ordinance stating it would give the Sheriff a means of identifying the individual. He recommended the Board could make an exception for anyone who was already licensed in the State.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt it should be $100 a day. Mr. Rainey stated they could be challenged for restraining trade. Mr. H. Clay asked if they could be required to post a bond. Mr. Rainey said they could be required to have a performance bond. He pointed out that the Board should think about the legitimate businessman when setting requirements. Mr. A. Clay stated he thought the performance bond was a good idea.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there was a definition of itinerant vendors. Mr. Rainey stated it was in the Virginia Code and he could reference it.

Mr. Hargrave stated this ordinance would be a good start. The performance bond could be added later.

Sheriff B.M. Heath spoke in favor of the ordinance. Mr. Richard Earl asked if Amway and Avon distributors would fall under the ordinance. He stated he felt it should be fine tuned before it was adopted.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the person who comes on their own could be separated from the one that is called.

Mr. Robertson asked if it could be left to the discretion of the Sheriff. The County Attorney stated it could. He felt this would be a starting point.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

SECTION 13-3 <u>Itinerant Vendors, Merchants and Mechanics</u>

(a) An annual County license tax of one hundred ($100.00) dollars is hereby levied upon and shall be collected from every person, corporation or partnership who, for compensation, shall carry from place to place any goods, wares or merchandise, and offer to sell or barter same or actually sells or barters same, or shall offer to repair, fix, improve or perform repairs or installation on property as a vendor, traveling salesman, merchant or mechanic.

(b) Individuals, corporations or partnerships covered by this code section, in addition to the duties required of them under

any state or federal statutes or regulation, shall maintain a true and accurate record of all transactions conducted within the County. Said record shall contain a complete and accurate description of any goods or services sold, the time and date of said sale, the price of the items sold or services rendered. This record shall be open to inspection by any federal, state or local law enforcement officer during business hours and shall be maintained for three (3) years after the transaction occurred.

(c) No such tax shall be prorated.

(d) Individuals, corporations, or partnerships covered by this code section shall register annually with the Commissioner of Revenue; providing the Commissioner with their full legal name, trade name, if any, date of birth, address, telephone number, social security number, prior criminal record, if any, and a recent photograph. Said registration form shall be required of every individual who performs acts covered under this code section. Information provided under this code section shall be given under oath, under the penalty of perjury.

(e) Any individual, partnership, corporation who shall fail to comply with the above code requirements shall be guilty of a Class 1 Misdemeanor. Each separate action shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

(f) An exemption from the license fee shall be granted for non-profit organizations and any private non-profit yard sale, provided said yard sale does not operate more than twice annually. Any organization or individual granted said exemption shall still be required to apply for license.

IN RE: ITINERANT VENDORS LICENSE--REVIEW OF EFFECTIVENESS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Itinerant Vendor's ordinance be placed on the agenda for review in October of 1987.

IN RE: FORD VFD--AWARD OF BID FOR NEW FIRE TRUCK

Mr. Gene Jones, Ford VFD, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase a 1987 Ford Pumper. The first bids received were for a 1987 Ford pumper with a steel body as follows:

Mack Truck - no bid
American Fire Equipment - $117,849 - Delivery 30 days.
Slagle's Fire Equipment - $110,434 - Delivery 180 days.
Pierce Manufacturing - $109,180 - Delivery 300 days

At the time of receipt of bids, the fire department learned that the Ford fire chassis was being discontinued. They, therefore, asked for prices from the three bidders on a custom cab and chassis and the bids were as follows:

American Fire Equipment - $133,221 - Delivery 45 days; alternate bid - $123, 902 - Delivery 45 days
Slagle's Fire Equipment - $126,700 - Delivery 180 days
Pierce Manufacturing - $114,698 - Delivery 360 days.

After reviewing the bids, the fire department felt the all aluminum body would be the best choice. Because the specifications had been written for a steel body, all bidders were asked to quote on an all aluminum body and an aluminum tank. The results were as follows:

American Fire Equipment - $117,849 (aluminum tank) Delivery 30 days
Slagle's Fire Equipment - $113,734 (steel tank) Delivery 180 days
Pierce Manufacturing - $112,680 (steel tank) Delivery 300 days.

A decision had been postponed pending investigation of the County's financial priorities. The fire department has requested that the Board approve the truck offered by American Fire Equipment. They stated they liked the all aluminum body and the aluminum tank. The truck can also be delivered in 30 days which is important because the 1959 pumper cannot be used and would be quite expensive to repair. The Board, therefore, agreed that the quick delivery date of the high bid would be worth the difference in price because they would be saving the cost of repairing the 1959 pumper.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", American Fire Equipment, Inc. was awarded the bid for a 1987 Ford fire truck for the Ford VFD, cost $117,849.

IN RE: LANDFILL--AUTHORIZATION TO BID NEW TRASH TRUCK

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to prepare specifications and solicit bids for a new trash truck for the Landfill Department.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Senior High School has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar year 1987; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Senior High School meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Senior High School is awarded a Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE YOUTH LEAGUE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Youth League has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar year 1987; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Youth League meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Youth League is awarded a Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County Junior High School has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar year 1987; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County Junior High School meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Junior High School is awarded a Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT--NAMOZINE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Namozine VFD has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar year 1987; and

WHEREAS, the Namozine VFD meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Namozine VFD is awarded a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION--GILBERT WOOD

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Gilbert Wood was reappointed to the Planning Commission, term expiring December 31, 1990.

IN RE: LEASH LAW--AUTHORIZATION TO PREPARE ORDINANCE

Mr. H. Clay stated that he felt there is sufficient interest for the Board to consider a leash law.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to prepare a leash law ordinance in registered subdivisions for the Board's consideration for public hearing.

Mr. Bennett asked what the Board's feelings were to request the General Assembly to put it on referendum for the citizens to vote on. Mr. Robertson stated that it may have to include property adjacent to subdivisions.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--ELECTRONIC CALCULATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with the Commissioner of Revenue's request to the Compensation Board for the purchase of an electronic calculator, cost $88.00.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:28 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:01 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:02 P.M.

A. S. Clay

A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRESIDING

IN RE: TERM OF CHAIRMAN & VICE-CHAIRMAN

The County Administrator asked if there was any desire by the Board to change the present term of office for the Chairman and Vice-Chairman which is one year. No action was taken; therefore, the terms remain at one year.

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN FOR 1987

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. H. L. Clay, Jr. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination.

Mr. Robertson nominated himself.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the nominations were closed.

The vote in reverse order was as follows:

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. - Mr. Robertson, Mr. A. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. H. L. Clay, Jr. - Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye", Mr. H. Clay abstained.

Mr. Bennett suggested that Mr. Clay and Mr. Robertson both serve six month terms. Mr. Robertson stated he felt that would be a disservice to the public and he would be opposed to it.

There being a tie vote, the County Administrator asked for a second vote. The vote was as follows:

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. - Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

Mr. H.L. Clay, Jr. - Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. A. Clay voted "aye". Mr. H. Clay abstained.

Mr. H.L. Clay, Jr. was elected Chairman for the year 1987 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 1987

Mr. H. Clay nominated Mr. Robertson. Mr. Robertson declined the nomination.

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. Mr. H. Clay seconded the nomination.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the nominations were closed.

The vote was as follows:

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. - Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voted "aye", Mr. Hargrave abstained.

Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. was elected Vice-Chairman for the year 1987 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

THE CHAIRMAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF SCHEDULE AND TIME FOR 1987 REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following schedule is established for the regular meetings of the Board of Supervisors for the calendar year 1987:

January 7; January 21; February 4; February 18; March 4; March 18; April 1; April 15; May 6; May 20; June 17; July 15; August 19; September 16; October 7; October 21; November 4; November 18; December 2; December 16, 1987; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the regular meetings scheduled for the first Wednesday in the month will be held at 2:00 P.M. and the regular meetings scheduled for the third Wednesday of each month will be held at 7:30 P.M.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 17, 1986 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-1 and 87-2 amounting to $24,948.05.

IN RE: VPI EXTENSION SERVICE--REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF POSITION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Extension Service is designated as a three agent staff: Agriculture, 4-H and Home Economics; and

WHEREAS, the 4-H position has been vacant for some time due to an unsuccessful attempt for an internal transfer; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Patricia Barnes, Extension Home Economics Agent, has asked to transfer to the 4-H position; and

WHEREAS, VPI & SU Extension Division has approved this transfer pending approval by the local Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, upon approval of this transfer, the position of Extention Agent Home Economics will be advertised immediately;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors concurs with the transfer of Mrs. Patricia Barnes to the 4-H position of the Dinwiddie County Extension Agent staff.

IN RE: TREASURER

The Treasurer presented his report for the month of December, 1986. He also presented a report on tax collections to date.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS--EXTRA HELP

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with the Treasurer's request to the Compensation Board to transfer $1,000 from Office Expenses to Extra Help within his 1986-87 budget.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Sheriff B.M. Heath presented an activity report for his department for the year 1986.

IN RE: SHERIFF--REQUEST FOR TEN NEW POLICE VEHICLES

Sheriff B.M. Heath requested that he be authorized to place an order now for ten (10) new police cars to be paid for within the 1987-88 budget. He stated the cars would have to be ordered before February 1, 1987 to receive them for the new fiscal year.

Sheriff Heath said the State contract has been awarded to Ford this year and he recommended that the Board approve purchase of ten (10) new police cars from the State contract.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the County Administrator to check with other car dealers to see what was available for police cars and report back at the January 21, 1987 meeting.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR--DISCUSSION OF INCREASE IN FEE SCHEDULE

Mr. D.H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of December, 1986.

He also presented a comparison report of Dinwiddie's building fee schedule with surrounding localities. He stated the fees have not been changed in the past twelve (12) years and they are not covering the cost of operation. He stated he was also working on changing the fees for commercial buildings.

The Board asked Mr. Abernathy to check with the other localities to see if they are considering changing their present fees. Mr. Abernathy stated he would submit all this information later for the Board to consider for a public hearing.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of December, 1986.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1985-86 AUDIT

Mr. Spencer Elmore, representing Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, appeared before the Board to review the 1985-86

audit. Mr. Elmore advised the Board they ended the year in a good financial position; however, due to the establishment of a self-insurance fund, the year end balance has been pulled down below the recommended operating level. He suggested that the Board work towards rebuilding this fund balance.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have. He reported that all the right-of-way on Rt. 666 from Rt. 667 to Rt. 670 has been obtained so work can be completed next Spring.

(1) Mr. H. Clay stated he had been contacted about potholes on Rt. 460 in the Eastbound lane from Rt. 627 to Rt. 611.

(2) Mr. Hargrave asked if Mr. Neblett had made a determination on the blind access to the church on Rt. 605. Mr. Neblett stated the department is going to put up additional signing but he has gone as far as he can with the existing right-of-way.

(3) Mr. Bennett asked when they will work on the Six-Year Plan again. Mr. Neblett said probably in the Fall.

(4) Mr. Hargrave questioned the slow progress on removing the toll booths on I-85. Mr. Neblett stated he would check with the Turnpike Authority.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF A-86-10--DANCE HALL ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", amendment A-86-10, dance halls, was removed from the table.

Action was tabled at the December 17, 1986 meeting to obtain additional information from surrounding localities. Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, stated that he was asked to check with surrounding localities on closing times. He found that Prince George closed at 1:00 A.M. and Petersburg has no closing time. Sheriff B.M. Heath added that the Board has established a 1:00 A.M. closing time in its entertainment permits and he would like to see 1:00 A.M. adopted.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

SECTION 3-70 Definition

For the purpose of this article, the term "Dance Hall" shall mean and include any business, profit or non-profit, with either live or recorded music or entertainment maintained for promiscuous and public dancing, the rules for admission to which are not based upon personal selection or invitation.

SECTION 3-71 Purpose of Article

This article is enacted pursuant to Section 15.1-510 of the Code of Virginia for the purpose of providing necessary regulations for the conduct and operation of dance halls in the interest of the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens and inhabitants of the County.

SECTION 3-72 Violation of Article

(a) Any person who violates any provision of this article

shall be guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor. The Board of Supervisors may bring suit in the Circuit Court of the County to restrain, enjoin, or otherwise prevent the violation of this article.

(b) Any person who violates any provision of this article may be required to cease operation when requested by an appropriate law enforcement officer of the county or state.

SECTION 3-73 Time Limit for Operation

No dance hall shall operate past 1:00 a.m. irregardless of when first performance began.

SECTION 3-74 Right of Entry

Any operator of a dance hall shall furnish to the Board of Supervisors written permission for the Board, its lawful agents and duly constituted law enforcement officers to go upon the property at any time and make an inspection for the purpose of determining compliance with the provisions of this article, or any appropriate county, state or federal ordinance, statute or regulation.

SECTION 3-75 Permit

(1) No person, partnership or corporation shall operate, maintain or conduct any dance hall unless he first files and obtains a permit from the County Administrator.

(2) Said application for a permit shall be in writing, on form provided for the purpose, and filed at least seven (7) days before date of dance hall operation.

(3) Application form shall include the following information:

a. Name, address, telephone, and social security number of the owner of the property on which the dance hall shall exist and/or the name, address, telephone number and social security number of the dance hall operator, if different.

b. A specific description of the dance hall location.

c. An approved plan for adequate sanitation facilities and garbage, trash and sewage disposal for persons at the dance hall. This plan shall meet the requirements of all state and local statutes, ordinances and regulations and will not be accepted unless approved by the health officer.

d. An approved plan for adequate parking facilities and traffic control in and around the dance hall, approved by the Sheriff's Department.

e. An approved plan for adequate fire protection. This plan shall meet the requirements of all state and local statutes, ordinances and regulations.

f. A statement that no music shall be played, either by mechanical device or live performance, in such manner that the sound emanating therefrom shall be unreasonably audible beyond the boundary lines of the property of which the dance hall is located.

g. The County Administrator shall initially receive and review all applications. If an application is denied, the refusal shall be in writing and the reasons for such denial stated therein. Any person denied a permit shall have thirty (30) days from the date of the denial by the County Administrator to appeal the decision to the Board of Supervisors.

h. The fee for such a permit will be One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars, due annually, non-prorated.

SECTION 3-76 Exemption

The fee for the above permit may be waived for non-profit organizations provided the dance hall is not operated more than twice (2) in any calendar year.

SECTION 3-77 Revocation

The County Administrator shall have the right to revoke any permit issued under this article upon noncompliance with any of the provisions and conditions of the permit or the provisions of this article.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Transportation Safety Commission be made up of representatives from the following agencies:

Board of Supervisors; Planning Commission; Pupil Transportation; Driver Education; Sheriff's Department; Railroads and Fire Departments; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following are appointed to the Transportation Safety Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1987:

Aubrey S. Clay, Max Roberts, Barbara Wilson, Becky Tilson, Tommy Gibbs, J.C. Goforth, and Robert Lewis, Jr.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF ZONING CHANGES--LOT SIZES, CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS, AND TRAILER PARKS

The County Administrator distributed information on zoning changes for the Board to consider. These changes dealt with minimum lot sizes, issuance of conditional use permits, and trailer parks. Mr. Hargrave asked that he tabulate the requirements from surrounding localities for the Board to review. No action was taken.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH GAS SERVICES--LINE EXTENSION AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the following agreement with Commonwealth Gas Services for a gas line extension to the Airport Industrial Park:

The following Agreement is made on this 7th day of January, 1987, by and between the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereafter called Applicant(s) and Commonwealth Gas Services, Inc., hereafter called Company:

(1) In order that gas service can be rendered to the premises of Applicant(s) on State Route 460, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, Company agrees to install approximately 28,000 feet of pipeline extension at a total cost of Two Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars ($280,000), or approximately Ten Dollars ($10.00) per foot and upon completion of this extension to be ready to serve any and all qualified applicants adjacent thereto. Company agrees to provide 10,000 feet (at a cost of $100,000) of the pipeline at no cost to Applicant(s).

(2) Applicant(s) agrees to pay the remaining cost of the installation by cash deposit of One Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars

($180,000) to Company $75,000 (seventy-five thousand) upon the acceptance of this Agreement and before said line extension is started, the remaining balance thirty (30) days thereafter.

(3) The Applicant(s) cash deposit of One Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars ($180,000) will not be refunded until sufficient loads have been added to eliminate the Company's investment of $100,000. Both the credit applied to the Company's investment and the refund of the Applicant's cash deposit will use the following formula:

a. Each residential customer added directly to this line extension shall result in an appropriate credit or refund of $100.

b. Each dekatherm (one million BTU's) of gas sold to commercial and industrial customers connected directly to this line extension will result in an appropriate credit or refund of 20¢/dekatherm.

Credits and/or refunds will be calculated on an annual basis, which will begin upon completion of the line extension. In no event shall the total of such refunds exceed the cost of the installation paid by the Applicant(s) pursuant to (2) above. The balance of applicant's deposit remaining at the end of a ten year period, if any, shall be refunded in total at that time. Pipeline extension cash deposits will not draw interest.

(4) It is mutually agreed:

a. Company has the right to make additional extensions or laterals to the pipeline covered by this Agreement at any time and Applicant(s) will not be credited for any new customer served by such additions.

b. Company will bear the costs of the customer service lines, where justified.

c. Applicant(s) warrants that extension sought by it is in a public street or alley or that if not, Applicant(s) is owner and will grant, without cost, right(s)-of-way to Company to operate, maintain, repair and renew said extension. Applicant(s) agrees to save Company harmless from adverse claims of ownership.

d. The pipeline extension installed pursuant to this Agreement shall be the property of Company and shall be maintained, repaired and renewed by it. The service line(s) on the premises of the Applicant(s) shall be the property of the Company and shall be maintained, repaired and renewed at the expense of the Company.

COMPANY: APPLICANT

_____ _____
Title

WITNESS OR ATTEST: WITNESS OR ATTEST:

IN RE: CSX TRANSPORTATION--ABANDONMENT OF LINE BETWEEN COLLIER YARD & MCKENNEY

Mr. Hargrave stated he read the letter concerning the application filed by CSX Transportation for the abandonment of 23.11 miles of its line between Collier Yd and McKenney. He suggested the Board contact the users and offer any support they might need in their objections.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated his office will continue to tax the roadbed. He added it will be a real loss to the County.

It was agreed that there would be enough time by the next meeting if the Board chooses to take a position.

IN RE: COUNTY-WIDE COMPUTER SYSTEM--APPROVAL OF STATE ASSISTANCE

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, advised the Board that she and the other department heads have been working towards the purchase and establishment of a county-wide computer system. The one stumbling block has been the lack of expertise in this area to help determine the needs of the County and draft an RFP to solicit proposals. She stated that the Auditor of Public Accounts has provided an individual from his staff to work with localities to help in the preparation of an RFP and to evaluate proposals. There is a cost associated with this assistance to cover expenses for the individual to visit the locality. This cost has been running approximately $1500.

She requested authorization to contract with the State to provide assistance in this area.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Quesenberry was authorized to contract with the State for assistance in the purchase of a county-wide computer system.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the material presented to the Board at this meeting:

1. Letter from Dinwiddie Correctional Unit #27 concerning activities of inmates.

2. Letter from VDH&T -- Traffic signal study at Rt. 1, Rt. 142, and Rt. 1320.

3. Letter from VDH&T concerning CSX Transportation filing abandonment of lines between Collier Yard and McKenney.

4. Letter from VDH&T -- Public hearing on Revised Tentative Allocation of Funds -- 1986-87.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:34 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:18 P.M. Mr. Robertson left the meeting prior to Executive Session.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:19 P.M.

HARRY L. CLAY, JR.

ATTEST: _____
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF JANUARY, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 7, 1987 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-3 through 87-135 amounting to $158,464.94; Law Library Fund checks-numbring LF-87-1 and 2 amounting to $448.24; E911 Fund checks-numbering E9-87-1 and 2 amounting to $6,436.90; Water & Sewer Fund check No. W&S-86-1 in the amount of $5,879.15; History Book Fund check #HB-87-1 in the amount of $4.73; County Construction checks-numbering CCF-87-1 and 2 amounting to $68,717.00.

IN RE: DOG LEASH LAW--TIME & PLACE TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a public hearing be held on February 18, 1987 at 7:30 P.M. at Rohoic Elementary School to consider for adoption a dog leash law for the most thickly populated area of the County, including subdivisions; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the regular meeting of the Board scheduled for February 18, 1987 at 7:30 P.M. be held at Rohoic Elementary School.

IN RE: RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES--RESOLUTION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that no further relations between the County and Resource Technology Services will take place until litigation involving their employees is resolved and the employees cleared of all charges.

IN RE: BLUE RIBBON COMMISSION--POSITION OF COUNTY ADMINISTATOR

Mr. Robertson moved that the Board of Supervisors establish a "Blue Ribbon" commission consisting of two (2) members of the Board of Supervisors, one (1) citizen from each district and the Commonwealth Attorney. This Commission shall conclude it's work and report it's findings and recommendations in open session of the Board of Supervisors within six (6) months of its's appointment. Said Commission shall be charged with the following tasks on the behalf of the citizens of Dinwiddie County:

1. Develop for consideration and action by the Board of Supervisors:

a. A revised position discription and position qualifications, and
b. A standard of performance review, and
c. A formal contract outlining the term, conditions and duration of contract for the position of County Administrator for Dinwiddie County.

2. Take all appropriate steps necessary to attract a number of qualified candidates for consideration by the Board of Supervisors for position of County Administrator.

3. Sit is an advisory capacity as the candidate selection committee for the Board of Supervisors in the selection process of choosing a county administrator whose term shall begin October 1, 1987 and not exceed one (1) year in duration for this the first "contracted" county administrator.

There was no second. Mr. Robertson voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voted "nay". Mr. Bennett abstained. The motion was disapproved.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--ACCEPTANCE OF BIDS FOR BASEBALL FIELD AND ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented the following bids accepted by the School Board pending availability of funds. He asked authorization from the Board of Supervisors to award these contracts:

1. New Baseball Field - a. Lighting - $40,826
b. Fencing - $12,500
c. Septic System - $9,585

2. Energy Conservation Project - a. Insulation - $29,291
b. Storm Windows - $37,307

Mr. A. Clay asked if the bids were close to the original estimates. Dr. Vaughn stated it depends on the press box. The cost is fairly close to $100,000. He added the funding was proposed to come from the sale of bonds which is scheduled for this Spring.

Mr. A. Clay asked what percentage the federal government will participate in the energy conservation projects. Dr. Vaughn stated approximately 65%.

Mr. Hargrave asked about the list of additional projects the School Board was going to draft to be funded under the bond issue. Dr. Vaughn stated the list had not been approved by the School Board yet. Mr. Hargrave mentioned the reduction in the fund balance of the County outlined by the auditor. He felt the Board was obligated on the ball field but he didn't think it was wise to proceed on the others. He asked what the payback was on the storm windows and insulation. Dr. Vaughn said less than 3½ years. He also stated there was $24,000 left in the Sunnyside School bond issue.

The County Administrator stated there was $24,000 unobligated in the previous bond issue and $60,000 that can be used if reimbursed in 60-90 days. He stated there are sufficient

funds to cover the five items requested pending reimbursement.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the School Board was authorized to award the contracts as requested for the new baseball field and energy conservation projects, totalling $129,509.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-86-5--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--GEORGE WILLIAMS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 7, 1987 and Wednesday, January 14, 1987, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a conditional use permit for George Williams to expand his present campground at Whippernock Marina and to amend Section 22-84, permitted uses, in Agricultural Conservative District A-3, by adding campgrounds, with a conditional use permit.

Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, presented the application and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval with conditions at their December 10, 1986 meeting. Mrs. & Mrs. Williams were present. No one spoke in support or opposition.

Mr. Hargrave stated the Marina was neat and well managed and was an asset to the County. Mr. H. Clay stated the marina was always a nice place to visit.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", conditional use permit C-86-5 was approved for Mr. George Williams with the following conditions:

1. a maximum of 30 sites be permitted;

2. ingress/egress will be from existing entrance on Rt. 623

3. due care be exercised to prevent erosion to Lake Chesdin.

IN RE: ADDITION OF CAMPGROUNDS TO AGRICULTURAL, CONSERVATIVE DISTRICT A-3

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code be amended by adding the following to Section 22-84, Permitted Uses, Agricultural, Conservative, District A-3.

(18) campgrounds, with a conditional use permit.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-86-9--FARM USE MOBILE HOMES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 7, and Wednesday, January 14, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 22 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Section 22-71(50) and Section 22-78 (5) to reflect the issuance of permits and special conditions for travel/mobile homes on farm operations in Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Dennis Martin, Zoning Administrator, presented the amendment and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at their December 10, 1986 meeting.

No one spoke in support or opposition.

Mr. A. Clay stated he had requested the ordinance be drafted because he had been approached by several farmers who needed housing for migrant labor. He stated he had thought it would be temporary. He also felt four trailers could be cut two. 2.

Mr. Bennett stated he had felt the same way but farmers can buy tobacco acreage now and build up their allotments. Mr. Hargrave pointed out that the trailer occupants must work on the farm and that will control the number.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

Section 22-71. Permitted Uses

(50) mobile home on farm operation "with conditional use permit" and special conditions contained herein.

Section 22-78. Special Conditions for Travel/Mobile Homes

(5) farm operation mobile homes not to exceed four mobile homes per farm according to the following table:

Number of Mobile Homes permitted	Minimum acreage in farm operation
1	25
2	200
3	300
4	400

The location, placement and use of such mobile homes shall be subject to the following conditions:

(a) Head of household. The head of the household who occupies each such mobile home shall be gainfully employed full time on the farm operation;

(b) General regulations. Each mobile home shall be considered a conventional dwelling within the terms of this chapter, and the general regulations of the district in which each mobile home is located shall be applied to each such mobile home;

(c) Reclassification. In the event the land upon which each such mobile home is located shall be reclassified to a residential, business or industrial district, the affected mobile home shall be removed from the land so reclassified within ninety (90) days following the effective date of the reclassification;

(d) Health. Each mobile home shall be connected to an approved septic tank and drainfield system and to a satisfactory water supply.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PICTURE LAKE CAMPGROUND--DISCUSSION OF RESIDENCY

Mr. C.W. Harrison stated he had not requested to be placed on the agenda and was not prepared to discuss the

matter tonight. The County Administrator stated Mr. Harrison was in his office a week ago Monday and stated he wished to discuss the matter with the Board so he had placed it on the agenda. No further discussion was held.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF DANCE HALL ORDINANCE

The County Administrator stated he had discussed the dance hall ordinance with the County Attorney and they needed to get from the Board an understanding of which dance halls they wished to regulate. Some operate on weekends, others on certain days of the week. He pointed out that Agricultural District A-2 does not allow dance halls. In Business B-2, a public hearing must be held. He asked if the Board wished to continue with the public hearing requirement or just issue the dance hall permit as adopted in the dance hall ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked why there was a need for getting into zoning. He thought the Board's intent was to only limit the hours of operation. If the zoning were changed, he felt it should first go back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Hargrave stated they adopted the ordinance to provide a tool for the Sheriff. If dance halls are only allowed in Busines B-2, but most of the dance halls exist in Agricultural A-2, we have a situation that is not in compliance with the zoning ordinance. He felt they should consider whether dance halls should be allowed in A-2 which would go back to the Planning Commission for their recommendation.

Mr. Bennett stated he understood where a use already existed, they are grandfathered in. He asked why did they need to get into zoning. If they were going to look at dance halls, they should look into other things not in compliance.

Mr. Robertson stated he would not want to close the dance halls which are already operating. Mr. Hargrave stated he didn't think the Planning Commission would recommend closing them, only decide whether new ones would be allowed in an A-2 area.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the consideration of dance halls in the zoning ordinance was referred to the Planning Commission for their recommendation.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS-POSTPONEMENT

The appointments to the Airport Authority and the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority were postponed.

MR. ROBERTSON STATED AS A MEMBER OF THE MOOSE LODGE, HE WOULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSION OF NOR ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING BINGO & RAFFLE PERMITS.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE #1993

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Lodge meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Moose Lodge #1993 be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WOMEN OF THE MOOSE

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Women of the Moose has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Women of the Moose meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Women of the Moose be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF RECREATION COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this resolution establishes a Dinwiddie Recreation Study Committee, a volunteer group, who will study the present use of County Recreation Funds and present the suggested ways that the funds could be more effectively spent to the Board of Supervisors; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Recreation Committee will consist of eleven members, six names in this resolution and one named by each member of the Board and not subject to a confirmation by the Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that this Committee shall elect a Chairman, shall meet as often as they wish and shall render a report on their findings to the Board no later than March 15, 1987; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Committee will end on June 1, 1987 unless extended by another resolution passed by the Board;

MEMBERS

Thomas Hooker; Donald Haraway; Wendy Quesenberry; Laxton Wilson; Charles Hawkins; Floyd Wiggins.

Remaining Members: One appointed by each Board member.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE--RETIREMENT

Mr. W.E. Bolte announced that he would not seek re-election in November and would retire December 31, 1987.

IN RE: RAYMOND MCCANTS--COUNTY GOVERNMENT BROCHURE

Mr. Raymond McCants presented a copy of a brochure on County officials produced by the Democratic Committee. He asked if another one could be prepared. Mr. McCants was advised to talk with the Chairman of the Democratic Committee, Mr. T.O. Rainey.

IN RE: JOHN ROYAL--HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY

Mr. John Royal asked if the Board was negotiating with any new hazardous waste firms. The Board told him they were not aware of any new ones.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board material for this meeting:

1. a letter from R.O. Mayes in opposition to a hazardous waste warehouse.

2. Letter from Commonwealth Attorney concerning conflict of interest for a School Board member to vote on School Board budget.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:56 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:39 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:40 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

OTHERS: SCHOOL BOARD

DONALD L. HARAWAY, CHAIRMAN
EDWARD B. TITMUS, VICE-CHAIRMAN
GERALDINE E. SPICELY
ERVIN RAY HANKS
CHARLES C. ASHBY

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Exective Session at 7:30 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:51 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:52 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the January 21, 1987 regular meeting and the February 2, 1987 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-136 through 87-232 amounting to $247,322.90.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer $200,000 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these funds are designated as $100,000 from Capital Outlay and $100,000 from Buildings and Grounds within the 1986-87 budget.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of January, 1987.

IN RE: SHERIFF--AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE TEN (10) NEW POLICE VEHICLES FROM STATE CONTRACT

Sheriff B.M. Heath requested ten (10) new police cars to be purchased from the State contract and budgeted within the 1987-88 fiscal year. The state contract for police cars for this year was awarded to World of Ford Sales, Inc. for 1987 Crown Victorias. The Sheriff requested 8 marked cars and 2 unmarked. Total cost would be $115,196.

The County Administrator stated after checking around locally he would recommend the cars be purchased from the State contract.

The Sheriff stated he had experienced very good service with the last Ford cars that were purchased.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to purchase ten (10) new police cars from the 1987 State contract as requested.

IN RE: DISPOSAL OF SURPLUS POLICE CARS

Mr. A. Clay requested that any police cars no longer in use be brought before the Board of Supervisors before they are disposed of.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of January, 1987.

IN RE: CHANGE IN BUILDING FEE SCHEDULE

Mr. D.H. Abernathy presented a proposed building fee schedule for the Board's consideration for public hearing. He stated the fee schedule has not been changed in eleven years and he felt the fees should support the operation of the office. As requested by the Board, he checked with surrounding localities and found that Colonial Heights and Sussex are also considering changing their fees. He stated that on commercial buildings, most localities are using a percentage basis. He recommended 1% up to $15,000 and 1/4% over $15,000 for electrical, plumbing and heating and air permits.

Mr. Robertson asked if there was a reason why Mr. Abernathy did not recommend a value based on square footage. Mr. Abernathy stated the fees were not really accurate that way. He felt taking the contractor's word on the value was a better method.

Mr. H. Clay stated the purpose of the building fees are to carry the building department without the County having to subsidize it.

Mr. Abernathy stated he discussed the change in the fees with the local contractors and they did not have any problem with the increase.

Mr. Hargrave stated that in Hopewell on a large industrial project, they have gone to requiring architect/engineer certification with a lower base fee. He suggested Mr. Abernathy might want to look into that.

Mr. Abernathy stated the architect/engineer certification was state-wide. Mr. Hargrave suggested he still might consider the lower base fee on projects of that type where his services would not be needed as much.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Building Inspector was authorized to advertise for public hearing the proposed building fee schedule as presented.

IN RE: ABANDONED VEHICLES--ACTIVITY ON ROUTE 142

Mr. Robertson stated that he counted 25 disabled vehicles in a subdivision he rode through earlier and felt it should be looked into.

He also received calls concerning activity on Route 142 on property that the Elks Club had considered using beside the new cement plant. The County Administrator stated he had spoken with the individual interested in that property. He has chosen

to use another site while he seeks rezoning of the property and will bring his plans in when he applies for the rezoning application. Mr. Robertson complimented the County Administrator for being on top of the situation.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of January, 1987.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

1. He stated that the snowstorm had caused them alot of problems. Out of their $258,319 allocation, they used $108,000 for snow removal.

2. Mr. H. Clay stated there appears to be one to two wrecks a year at the intersection of Rt. 460 and U.S. #1. He stated Mr. Hargrave suggested rumble strips might help alert drivers to the intersection they are approaching. Mr. Robertson stated he felt the problem was a four lane highway that dead ends so abruptly.

Mr. Neblett stated he would discuss the problem and the use of rumble strips with the new Traffic and Safety Engineer.

IN RE: EXTENSION OF ROUTE 460W

Mr. Robertson stated as the Board's representative to the Metropolitan Planning Organization, he has been requested to bring to the Board a proposal to extend Rt. 460W to join Rt. 460E in the New Bohemia area. It is the policy of the MPO not to endorse a proposal unless the localities involved endorse it. He stated that the Petersburg Economic Action Committee will be bringing the proposal before Petersburg City Council and Prince George is also considering the possibility of a feasibility study at this time.

Mr. Paul Walk, Jr., representing Virginia First Savings Bank and the Economic Committee, appeared before the Board to request their support of a feasibility study of extending Route 460W to Rt. 460E in the New Bohemia area of Prince George. He indicated they were not proposing a specific route at this time, just a study. He stated he felt it is a timely period to make this request and there was no conflict of interest on his part. He was speaking as an interested citizen of the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the members of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia believe an extremely hazardous situation to be present at the present termination of Route 460 and Route 1; and

WHEREAS, this same Board recognizes the economic advantage to a direct connection of Route 460 from the West side of Petersburg in Dinwiddie County to the East side of Petersburg in Prince George County; and

WHEREAS, although not suggesting a specific route;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby request the Metropolitan Planning Organization to initiate a thorough feasibility study for

the extension of Route 460 from its present termination at Route 1, passing through Dinwiddie and Prince George Counties South of the City of Petersburg, and intersecting Crater Road, or Route 301, concluding near the community of New Bohemia in Prince George at 460 East.

IN RE: NEW TRASH TRUCK--AWARD OF BID

Mr. John M. Loftis, Director of Sanitation, presented the following bids for a new trash truck with a front end loading compaction body for the Landfill:

TRUCK -

Mack Truck, Inc. - $59,650
Peterbilt of Eastern Va - No Bid.
Truck Enterprise of Richmond - No Bid.
Colonial Ford Truck Sales - No Bid.

BODY -

Sanco Corp. (Heil) - $38,994
Simpson Equip. Corporation (Pak-Mor) - $31,998
Cavalier Equip. Corp. (E-Z Pack) - $32,997.49

HIGH COMPACTION BODY

Cavalier Equip - $41,803.49
Simpson Equip - $38,370

Mr. Loftis stated that if a fly-wheel PTO was used on the truck, Simpson Equipment offered an additional $700 reduction off the bid price.

He stated the flywheel PTO is easier to service and was less likely to be damaged if there was an accident.

Mr. Loftis stated the County has never used a Pak-Mor body before. They have a service shop in Virginia Beach. He also stated that he did not find the high compaction body to be that beneficial. He stated he liked the E-Z Pack body but could not justify the additional $1,000.

Mr. Robertson asked if another truck will be taken out of service when this one is purchased. Mr. Loftis stated the oldest one will be taken out of service when it requres any major repair.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for a new truck cab chassis for the Landfill Department be awarded to Mack Truck, Inc. at a cost of $59,650; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virgina that the contract for a new front end loading compaction body for the Landfill Department be awarded to Simpson Equipment Corporation at a cost of $31,298.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TRASH CONTAINERS--USE, ABUSE, SCAVENGING

The County Administrator stated there has been some discussion by the Board concerning the abuse of trash containers and scavenging in the County.

Mr. H. Clay stated he brought it up because he was concerned about the liability to the County if someone was injured in one of the containers while scavenging.

Mr. Loftis stated he did not think there were any regulations about scavenging but it is printed on some of the signs.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, stated the way the ordinance is written, illegal dumping is prohibited by what is written on the containers. In alot of areas, the regulations are not written on the containers or they cannot be read.

Mr. Hargrave asked if they could put "scavenging is not permitted" on the containers without changing the ordinance. Mr. Rainey stated that was an alternative but the stencilling would have to be kept up.

Mr. H. Clay asked what the County's liability is if someone is injured in a container. Mr. Rainey stated the County could be sued but he did not think the liability is excessive.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that they add "scavenging is not permitted" to the container and keep the stencilling up.

Mr. Loftis stated he has done this before but it didn't do any good.

Mr. Robertson asked what the extra cost would be to stencil all the cans. Mr. Loftis stated it could be done for $500. He added they are going to be painting all the cans this Spring.

Mr. A. Clay stated they needed help from the Sheriff to enforce the ordinance. The Sheriff stated he needed a law before he could enforce it.

Mr. Bennett suggested a sign indicating "County not responsible" or "Enter at Your Own Risk". Mr. Rainey stated it would help the County's liability but he felt it is better to state "Do Not Scavenge" or "Do Not Enter". Mr. Robertson suggested "No Trespassing".

Mr. Rainey stated the Board has two alternatives: 1. Change the ordinance 2. Stencil all the cans and keep it up.

Mr. Loftis stated to keep the stencilling up, it will have to be done every 30 days. Mr. Hargrave stated they should at least show they made an effort.

Mr. Leroy Slaughter asked if it was against the law for Chesterfield to bring their trash into the County. Mr. Rainey stated the County had not adopted an ordinance against it. Mr. Hargrave stated the Board had discussed this before and at that time were advised they could not adopt such an ordinance. He asked the County Attorney to look into it.

The Board agreed to ask the County Attorney, the Director of Sanitation and the County Administrator to come back to them with a recommendation.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--DINWIDDIE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Mr. Bennett nominated Mr. W.D. Allen. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination. Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. J.P Derby. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination.

Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. W.D. Allen and Mr. J.P Derby were reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Industrial Development Authority, terms expiring February 5, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Knott, Mr. Neal Barnes and Mr. Fred Beck were reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority, terms expiring January 31, 1990.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--PETERSBURG JAYCEES ANNUAL PIG-NIC

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented an application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held Wednesday, May 6, 1987 at the Petersburg Airport. There were no changes from previous applications; therefore, she recommended approval of this request.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held May 6, 1987 at the Petersburg Airport was approved with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: GEORGE S. BENNETT, JR.--RESIGNATION

Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. advised the Board that due to personal reasons, he was resigning from the Board of Supervisors effective March 1, 1987.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:20 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:46 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 4:47 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CAFETORIUM OF THE ROHOIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	J. ROY HODGES	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the February 4, 1987 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-233 through 87-357 amounting to $162,916.19; E-911 Fund check #E9-87-3 in the amount of $8,072.79; Law Library Fund check LF-87-3 in the amount of $7.64.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY SERVICES SURVEY

Mr. H. Clay stated the Board had received complaints about services given by County employees. He distributed a copy of a county services survey form to be given out to people who come into the administrative offices. A collection box will be placed in the lobby and secured. Whether an individual wants to sign his or her name is optional.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the county services survey form be approved as presented. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson pointed out that in #7, it asks for the person's name. If it is optional, it should state so on the form. Mr. H. Clay agreed and stated that change would be made. Mr. Robertson asked who will handle the collection box. Mr. H. Clay stated one member of the Board of Supervisors will have a key to it.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Dinwiddie County services survey form was approved to be distributed in the lobby of the county administrative offices.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-1--DOG CONFINEMENT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 4, 1987 and Wednesday, February 11, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 4 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add to Article II, Section 22, restricting the running at large of dogs in residential areas.

The Chairman asked Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, to report on how surrounding localities handle the problem. Mr. Brooks reported that most of the surrounding localities have a confinement law. Colonial Heights has a leash law.

The following people spoke in support of the dog confinement ordinance:

Lewis C. Nichols, Warrenton Heights - in subdivisions.
Mary F. Duval, Rohoic Farms - in subdivisions.
Dave Hammel, Rohoic Farms - in densely populated areas.
Sarah Beville, Rt. 3, Box 464, Petersburg.
Barbara Foster, Rohoic Farms
Virginia Doyle, Rohoic Farms
Charles Crowder
Paul Walk, Poole Siding - He stated the Board should be concerned with: 1. rabies and imposing a strong fine for not having shots. 2. allowing neutered animals to have very little fee attached to licenses. The Board should be concerned with control of population rather than tying dogs.

The following people spoke in opposition to the dog confinement ordinance:

Raymond McCants - 311 Chesdin Road
Duty Hicks - Mt. Pleasant

Mr. Robertson stated he felt there should be some control over dogs, but it is very difficult to pick an area. He said he had received some suggested ordinances and he had outlined a proposed area on a county map. He stated he would not present it at this time but would briefly describe it. He also stated one man can't cover 500 sq. miles. It will require additional money in next year's budget. He proposed to make the ordinance effective July 1, 1987 and to fund the additional help needed. Mr. Robertson then read an excerpt from an ordinance from Hot Springs, Arkansas he felt would be appropriate for the County. He also described an area in the Northern end of the County he had outlined on a map. He said it included most of the subdivisions. He stated the County Attorney could draw up the boundaries he described, and the Board could take action at one of their next meetings.

Mr. A. Clay stated he could see the need for a confinement law and felt the Board could work out the boundaries.

Mr. Bennett stated he was in favor of what the citizens wanted in the residential area. He was not in favor of the ordinance in the country.

Mrs. Foster asked what the people could do legally in these areas to protect their property and themselves. Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, stated he would be glad to discuss it with her later.

Several questions were asked about weapons that could be used. Mr. Rainey stated he was concerned about citizens starting to arm themselves.

Mr. Robertson urged those present to try to bear with their individual problems until they can get an ordinance on the books.

Mr. H. Clay asked what those present thought of allowing each subdivision to petition for the law. Several people present opposed it stating too many people in the subdivisions own dogs.

The Chairman closed the public hearing at 8:55 P.M.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 8:55 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 9:10 P.M.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--C-87-2--
ANTHONY MOOGALIAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 4, 1987 and Wednesday, February 11, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a request from Mr. Anthony Moogalian for a conditional use permit for Mr. Sam Lail to operate a woodwork shop on land parcel 19(2)D on U.S. Rt. 460. He will be using a portion of the building known as Kimco Market.

The County Administrator presented the application and reviewed the action of the Planning Commission which was approval at their February 11, 1987 meeting with conditions. The property is zoned Business B-2 and the building is limited to 5,000 sq. ft.

Mr. Sam Lail was present in support of the request. No one appeared in opposition. One letter of opposition was presented at the Planning Commission meeting.

Mr. Bennett asked how many would he employ. Mr. Lail stated 3 to 5 year round with a couple extra in the peak season.

Mr. Robertson stated one of the conditions requires that there will only be 15 items on display. He said there has been some concern by the citizens because of what they see in Prince George in a large area.

Mr. Lail stated he left the company he used to work for which was a $1,000,000 business. What he is proposing is the craft end which will be small items, i.e. swings, gliders, picnic tables. He also stated he agreed with the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission.

Mr. H. Clay asked what the truck traffic will be. Mr. Lail stated one pick-up truck and a van.

Mr. H. Clay asked if he plans to improve the unsightly area in the back. Mr. Lail said he would like to buy the building from Mr. Moogalian and when he does he will spend all the money needed to improve the place. He said he was already cleaning up and had placed a dumpster on the property.

Mr. Lail stated his hours of operation are 8:30 - 4:00. He added there is also a lack of noise with the business. The biggest piece of equipment is a 3 HP air compressor. There will be no lumber finishing.

Mr. Robertson asked if the building is properly exhausted to avoid dust explosions. Mr. Lail said yes, it does not need a dust collection system.

Mr. H. Clay asked if he would do any sanding. Mr. Lail stated it would be outside with a belt sander. There will be no dust. The finishing will be done with a sealer which is non-toxic.

Mr. Hargrave asked if it would be acceptable to state a maximum of 15 items on display, no more than one of any item being produced. Mr. Lail stated they would be different items. He wanted to give the customers something to choose from. Mr. Lail then explained that he would retail 15 different items at the store. The building would be split, 50/50 with items made to sell there and made to sell elsewhere. The larger items are shipped before completed.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the conditional use permit for Mr. Anthony Moogalian for Mr. Sam Lail to operate a woodwork shop on parcel 19(2)D U.S. Rt. 460 was approved with the following conditions:

1. Permit shall be reviewed within 12 months for compliance. Zoning Administration shall terminate if not in compliance.

2. maximum of 15 items on display;

3. display limited to porch area;

4. fence to secure all outside storage.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--APPROVAL OF APPLICATION FOR $1,000,000 VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented an application for $1,250,000 in Virginia Public School Authority bonds to be sold in April with an attached list of proposed projects approved by the School Board. He stated $710,000 was items included in the 1986-87 budget. He stated the Board has an option of 15 or 20 years for repayment.

Mr. Hargrave stated in the past, the County could obtain money through a bond sale at an attractive interest and by investing it make a little money until it is used. With the new tax law, they may just be able to break even. He, therefore, felt they should not borrow any more than what is needed. He stated the money would be controlled better by only asking for $1,000,000 and set priorities on how it will be spent. Work down the list and use the money frugally. He felt if they have the money, it tends to be spent.

Dr. Vaughn stated the list could be cut back, but many things need to be done this year.

Mr. Hargrave questioned the additional tennis courts for $30,000. Dr. Vaughn stated there would be only one new court at Sunnyside. The rest is repairs. Mr. H. Clay asked if all the courts were open to the public after hours. Dr. Vaughn stated they were. There is a problem with lights at the Junior High.

Dr. Vaughn stated he could probably remove one item and decrease the money to $1,000,000 but he needs School Board approval and there is a deadline on the application.

Mr. Robertson asked if the request can be reduced at this point after receiving approval. Dr. Vaughn stated he thought so. Mr. Robertson asked if Dr. Vaughn had ascertained what would happen with 15 vs. 20 years. Dr. Vaughn stated he did not know the interest rate.

Mr. Bennett stated he didn't think the money had to be taken after it was approved. Dr. Vaughn said he thought they were bound to the final document.

Mr. Bennett said he agreed with Mr. Hargrave. He suggested if they request the full $1,250,000 that the Board of Supervisors and School Board meet to discuss how it will be spent.

Mr. H. Clay stated they should not ask for more money than can be spent in a reasonable amount of time.

Mr. A. Clay stated he agreed with Mr. Hargrave. He wondered if they could afford it. The payment would be 3¢ on the real estate tax. That way the Board can't cut taxes or hold them. He felt they should ask for less.

Dr. Vaughn stated the list needs to accompany the application. Mr. Donald Haraway, Chairman of the School Board, stated capital improvements have been lacking for a number of years. Alot of items have been cut already. He stated they can get by on $1,000,000 but more things will be needed.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the Superintendent of Schools be authorized to submit the application for Virginia Public School Authority bonds for $1,000,000; that the list of items be accomplished in priority order and if the money runs out, another request can be submitted at that time. Mr. A. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: REPAYMENT SCHEDULE--$1,000,000 VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BONDS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the repayment schedule for the sale of $1,000,000 Virginia Public School Authority bonds be set for 15 years.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to sign all necessary paperwork in connection with the issuance and sale of $1,000,000 Virginia Public School Authority Bonds.

IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE--EMPLOYMENT OF HUNTON & WILLIAMS AS BOND COUNSEL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Superintendent of Schools was authorized to employ the firm of Hunton & Williams to act asbond counsel in connection with the issuance and sale of $1,000,000 Virginia Public School Authority bonds.

IN RE: LITERARY LOAN APPLICATION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the School Board for the County of Dinwiddie, on the 18th day of February, 1987, presented to the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, an application addressed to the State Board of Education of Virginia for the purpose of borrowing from the Literary Fund $300,000 for the new school building (or for adding to or improving the present school building) at Dinwiddie Senior High, to be paid in 20 annual installments, and the interest thereon at three (3) per cent paid annually;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the application of the Dinwiddie School Board to the State Board of Education of Virginia for a

loan of $300,000 from the Literary Fund is hereby approved, and authority is hereby granted the Dinwiddie School Board to borrow the said amount for the purpose set out in said application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will each year during the life of this loan, at the time they fix the regular levies, fix a rate of levy for schools or make a cash appropriation sufficient for operation expenses and to pay this loan in annual installments and the interest thereon, as required by law regulating loans from the Literary Fund.

IN RE: REPLACEMENT OF BOARD MEMBER--ELECTION DISTRICT #1

The Chairman stated Mr. Bennett's resignation is effective March 1, 1987 and the Board has 30 days to name a replacement. He stated the Board could set a deadline and then conduct interviews. He opened the floor for other suggestions.

Mr. Hargrave stated he thought the process was suitable as outlined. Mr. Robertson stated he endorsed the process with the understanding that the interviews are done in Open Session. Mr. Hargrave stated he felt the interviews are a personnel matter and should be held in closed session. Mr. Robertson stated he felt the citizens need to know the questions and answers. Some portion could be closed, when dealing with personal matters, but general interviewing concerning his district should be done in open session.

Mr. H. Clay stated he had no problem with open session to determine basic qualifications, but he had a problem with personal matters.

Mr. A. Clay stated he had no problem with open session. Mr. Hargrave stated he could agree with a combination.

Mr. Robertson moved that the closing date for applications be set for March 2, 1987 at 3:00 P.M. and the interview date set for March 4, 1987 at 2:00 P.M. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett abstained.

IN RE: RECREATION COMMITTEE & USE OF RECREATION FUNDS

Mr. David Lucas asked when the Recreation Committee will meet and if all the members have been appointed. Mr. H. Clay stated all the appointments have not been made and the reporting time to the Board could therefore be pushed back to April. He stated they need the Committee's recommendation by budget time.

Mr. Lucas stated he had asked to see the receipts for the recreation fund and Dr. Vaughn had provided him with a list for the past three years. He stated it looked like the majority of the funds were spent on the adult softball league which the teams have to pay $260 per team in the summer and $180 in the Fall. He stated the problem seems to be the funds are not earmarked for any specific use. He stated the list didn't tell him anything. It appears the County is not getting any recreation with these funds.

Dr. Vaughn stated he would be glad to meet with Mr. Lucas and answer any questions he has. He stated the problem the Director has run into is trying to make sure all age groups were taken care of.

Mr. Hargrave stated the thrust of the effort has been to use the facilities we have in the County. They have tried to get a person to organize the use of the fields and use the facilities we have in a constructive effort. He added the present Director has asked for guidance as to what he should do.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:27 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:14 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:15 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the February 18, 1987 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

The County Administrator reviewed with the Board the project to extend water and sewer service to the Tindall Concrete industrial site at the intersection of Rt. 460W and Rt. 632 N. as follows:

Sewer Project - Going to bid.

$350,000 Total cost to install a sewer line from behind Rohoic Elementary School to the Tindall Concrete, Inc. industrial site at intersection of U.S. Rt. 460 and St. Rt. 632N.

$245,000 70% of project cost assumed by Economic Development Administration.

$105,000 30% of project cost assumed by County.

Water Project - Completed.

*$290,000 Total cost to install a water line from Sterling Road St. Rt. 603 to Tindall Concrete Products, Inc. industrial site at intersection of U.S. Rt. 460W and St.Rt. 632N.

$250,000 State - E.D.A. Funds - check received 2/17/87.

$ 34,000 County cost.

*County had advanced entire cost 12/18/85 - $250,000 and 11/19/86 - $40,000.

He, then stated there is a need to transfer from the General Fund to the Water and Sewer Fund $105,000 to cover the County's cost of this project.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $105,000 from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-358 through 87-449 amounting to: $125,560.33; County Construction Fund Check #CCF-87-3 in the amount of $42,930.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:09 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 2:19 P.M.

IN RE: DOROTHY M. WALKER--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL BUILDING PERMIT

Mrs. Dorothy M. Walker appeared before the Board to request approval to place a mobile home on her property for her son. She stated her husband died and she wants to have her son close by. She talked with the County Administrator and he advised her nothing could be done. She also talked to Mr. Hargrave and had checked into the land he told her about, but it was a good ways down the road. She also talked to Mrs. Rathien who sold her the property she owns now and she was told she couldn't place a trailer on Mrs. Rathien's property. She requested the Board change the ordinance to allow her to put a trailer on her property or on Mrs. Rathien's property.

The County Administrator explained the parent tract concept under which this property was subdivided. This parcel was one of seven and carries only one building permit with it.

Mrs. Walker stated Mrs. Rathien has only sold four parcels. Mr. Hargrave suggested the records be researched to see what has been placed. There could be an unoccupied site. He added the Board cannot violate the law.

The County Administrator stated four parcels have been sold. The remaining three must contain five acres. The Chairman asked the County Administrator to verify the building sites that have been used. Mr. Hargrave stated there is also the option of Mrs. Walker enlarging her residence for her son. Mrs. Walker stated she did not think this would be good for her son and his wife.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--EXTRA HELP FOR MAPPING

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request an additional appropriation of $3,477 for extra help to review the mapping being corrected for the E-911 emergency telephone system. Total additional time needed is 95 days at $36.60 per day.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an additional appropriation of $3,477 was approved for the Commissioner of Revenue to hire additional help to review the corrections of the existing tax maps used for the E-911 emergency telephone system.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of February, 1987.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy presented hisreport for the month of February, 1987.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of February, 1987.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer questions.

He stated he wanted to thank the Sheriff for his assistance with the truck accident on I-85. He added the department hopes to continue with its construction work as soon as the weather breaks.

Mr. H. Clay stated that there are some bad holes on the entrance ramp to I-85 off U.S. Rt. 1 North. Mr. Neblett stated he was aware of those.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE CABLE TV--STATUS REPORT

Mr. Chuck Stigberg, President, Dinwiddie Cable TV, appeared before the Boardto give a report on the progress of cabletelevision in Dinwiddie County. He reviewed the areas which have been connected in the first stage. He stated the performance of the system has been quite good. Most of the problems have been subscriber related. He added his CPA has been processing the first franchise payment.

Mr. H. Clay asked how many customers have been connected. Mr. Stigberg said 350. Mr. A. Clay asked how many had been planned in the first phase. Mr. Stigberg said 540, but he feels it will be achieved.

Mr. H. Clay asked what the next step would be. Mr. Stigberg stated to cross the railroad to Monwyn and Harrison Trailer Parks, but he needs additional financing.

IN RE: CARL BOGESE--CENTURY WOODS--REDUCTION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION

Mr. Jim Nixon of Charles Townes & Associates appeared before the Board to represent Mr. Carl Bogese and his development off U.S. #1, Century Woods. He stated that in the original plans, an 80 ft. right-of-way was dedicated to serve the commercial area in the front and the residential area in the back. Mr. Bogese wants to proceed with the residential development but hasn't been able to get the commercial development at this time.

He, therefore, requests approval to decrease the 80 ft. right-of-way requirement to 50 ft. to serve the single family development in the back. He seeks this relief until the commercial development occurs in the front at which time the 80 ft. road would be built. The Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation will accept a 50 ft. road.

Mr. Hargrave stated it seems unwise to put down the extra road width. It can be expanded when the need arises.

The County Administrator stated the request came before the Planning Commission and now it is before the Board for review. He recommended the request be accepted as presented. At such time that commercial development begins, the developer can construct the 80 ft. road. The Planning Commission did not oppose the request at their meeting.

Mr. Robertson asked if anything would be built on the 30 ft. right-of-way in the meantime. Mr. Nixon said no.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Carl Bogese is authorized to reduce the 80 ft. right-of-way serving the commercial and residential development in Century Woods off U.S. Rt. 1 to 50 ft. to construct a road to serve only the residential area at this time; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that at such time that the commercial area is developed, the additional 30 ft. will be used to construct an 80 ft. road.

IN RE: COMMUNITY DIVERSION INCENTIVE PROGRAM

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth Attorney, stated that the Community Diversion Incentive Program has been instituted with Clerks, Commonwealth Attorneys and Judges in other area to provide alternative sentencing for first offenders. Dinwiddie has such a program in the Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court for juveniles.

Mr. Rainey asked that this program be approved for the general district court for non-violent offenders. The judge does not have to use it but it will be available. The community services would be performed in Dinwiddie County only. The program will be administered by the local courts and is funded by the State. Mr. H. Clay asked if the work will be done by Dinwiddie citizens only. Mr. Rainey stated no, all those who are convicted in Dinwiddie court.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that:

1. The County resolves to participate.
2. The County agrees to appoint the required representatives to a Community Corrections Board which serves this area.
3. The representatives to that Community Board would be empowered in accordance with the Community Diversion Act of 1981. This program is financed 100 percent with state funds and will be operated at no cost or contribution from the County.
4. The participation is limited to offenses in Dinwiddie County and the services will be performed in Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD ADDITION--AUTHORIZATION TO HIRE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

The County Administrator stated that the Board has discussed an addition to the Dinwiddie VFD. To move forward on the project, the need for architectural services should be considered. An architect is required for any building over 5,000 sq. ft.. However, this addition may not be over 5,000 sq. ft.

Mr. Hargrave stated a reasonable estimate of cost is needed for budget sessions.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Purchasing Agent was authorized to solicit proposals for architectural services for an addition to the Dinwiddie VFD firehouse.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--ADDITIONAL LIGHTS AT FIREHOUSE

Mr. Robertson stated that the plans for the Namozine VFD building did not include sodium vapor street-security lights. The department is requesting 2 additional street-security lights. One existing light will be changed to sodium vapor.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", three street-security lights were approved for the Namozine VFD.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--SOUTHSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Southside Elementary School has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar year 1987; and

WHEREAS, the School meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Southside Elementary School be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar 1987.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT--LULA W. BRANCH

The Board received an application for a target range permit from Lula W. Branch to hold turkey shoots on her property (Dilsey Branch estate) on Rt. 642. The purpose of the turkey shoots is to raise money for Bloomfield Baptist Church. The first turkey shoot will be held March 7, 1987. The Building Inspector, Dwayne Abernathy, visited the site and found the arrangements to be adequate for a turkey shoot.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a target range permit was approved for Mrs. Lula W. Branch to hold turkey shoots on her property on Rt. 642 with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: SUPERVISOR FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #1--INTERVIEWS OF CANDIDATES

The following candidates appeared before the Board to seek appointment to fill the vacancy in Election District #1 left by the resignation of George S. Bennett, Jr.:

1. Harrison Moody - Mr. Ray Jones spoke in support.
2. Paul W. Walk, Jr. - Mr. Fred Sahl spoke in support.
3. Richard Avery
4. Joseph Fields - Mr. James McKenzie spoke in support.
5. David Lucas
6. George Harvanek

The Board agreed to meet at 7:30 A.M., Friday, March 6, 1987 to take action on this appointment.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:42 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:12 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Friday, March 6, 1987.

MARCH 6, 1987 -- 7:30 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MARCH 4, 1987 MEETING

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF SUPERVISOR FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #1
HARRISON A. MOODY

The Chairman opened the floor for nominations for Supervisor for Election District #1.

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. nominated Mr. Paul W. Walk, Jr. There was no second.

Mr. A. Clay nominated Mr. Richard Avery. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination.

The vote was as follows:

Richard Avery - Mr. Hargrave and Mr. A. Clay voted "aye".
Paul W. Walk, Jr. - Mr. Robertson and Mr. H. Clay voted "aye".

This resulted in a tie vote. The Chairman stated the Board has the following options:

1. Take no action and then let the judge make the decision.
2. Vote again for the candidates before us.
3. Ask for additional nominations.

Mr. Robertson stated he would stand by his nomination and his vote.

Mr. A. Clay nominated Mr. Harrison A. Moody. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination.

The vote was as follows:

Mr. Harrison A. Moody - Mr. A. Clay and Mr. Hargrave voted "aye".
Mr. Paul W. Walk, Jr. - Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

Mr. H. Clay abstained.

Mr. Harrison Moody was appointed Supervisor for Election District #1.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 7:44 A.M.

H.L. Clay Jr
H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: M.C. Knott
M.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 4, 1987 regular meeting and the March 6, 1987 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: RAYMOND MCCANTS

Mr. Raymond McCants requested that the reports from the Constitutional Officers be read to the audience when they are presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-450 through 87-570 amounting to: $101,901.71; Law Library Fund check #LF-87-4 in the amount of $105.53; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-87-2 in the amount of $5,109.97; Fire Programs Fund checks-numbering FIRE-87-1 and 2 amounting to $3,996.00; E911 Fund check #E9-87-4 in the amount of $8,094.55; County Construction fund checks-numbering CCF-87-4 through CCF-87-7 amounting to $63,520.13.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF SUPERVISOR FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #1--MOTION TO RESCIND

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, at the March 6, 1987 meeting, Mr. Harrison A. Moody was appointed Supervisor to replace Mr. G.S. Bennett, Jr. for Election District #1 by a 2-1 vote; and

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth Attorney, Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, determined the vote does not satisfy Va Code Sec. 24.1-76.1, and, therefore, ruled the election of Mr. Moody to be void;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that any action taken on March 6, 1987 in the appointment of Mr. Harrison A. Moody as Supervisor for Election District #1 is hereby rescinded.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF SUPERVISOR FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #1--HARRISON A MOODY

Mr. A. Clay nominated Mr. Harrison A. Moody. Mr. Hargrave seconded the nomination.

Mr. Robertson stated that Mr. Paul W. Walk has allowed him to not place his name in nomination.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the nominations be closed. Mr. A. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

The vote for Mr. Harrison A. Moody was as follows:

Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. H. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Robertson voted "nay".

Mr. Harrison A. Moody was appointed Supervisor for Election District #1.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF THE ADOPTION OF THE INDUCEMENT RESOLUTION OF THE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF UP TO $1,100,000 INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS--SELECTIVE COATINGS AND INKS, INC.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Industrial Development Authority of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the "Authority"), has considered the application of Selective Coatings and Inks, Inc., a New Jersey corporation (the "Applicant"), requesting the issuance of the Authority's qualified small issue revenue bonds in an amount not to exceed $1,100,000 (the "Bonds") to assist in financing the acquisition, renovation and equipping of an approximately 18,000 square foot manufacturing facility to be used by the Applicant in the manufacturing of gravure and flelographic inks and to be located on a five acre parcel of land lying at the southeast corner of the intersection of State Routes 632 and 684 (the "Project") in the Rohoic District of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the "County"), and has held a public hearing thereon on March 18, 1987; and

WHEREAS, Section 15.1-1378.1 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended (the "Commonwealth's Code"), requires the governing body of the municipality on behalf of which the bonds of the Authority are issued, within sixty (60) calendar days from the public hearing held by the Authority, to either approve or disapprove financing of any facility recommended by the Authority; and

WHEREAS, Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Tax Code") provides that the governmental unit having jurisdiction over the issuer of industrial development bonds and over the area in which any facility financed with the proceeds of industrial development bonds is located must approve the issuance of bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Authority issues its bonds on behalf of the County, the project is to be located in the County and the Board of Supervisors of the County (the "Board") constitutes the highest elected "governmental official" within the meaning of Section 147(f) of the Tax Code and the "governing body" of the County within the meaning of Section 15.1-1378.1 of the Commonwealth's Code; and

WHEREAS, promptly following said public hearing the Authority conveyed a reasonably detailed summary of the comments expressed at said hearing to the Board, together with the Authority's recommendation that the Board approve the financing of the Project and the issuance of the Bonds, which recommendation was accompanied by a completed statement required by Section 15.1-1378.2 of the Commonwealth's Code;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The Board approves the financing of the Project

and the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority for the benefit of the Applicant, as required by Section 15.1-1378.1 of the Commonwealth's Code and Section 147(f) of the Tax Code, respectively, to permit the Authority to assist in financing the project.

2. The approval of the financing of the Project and the issuance of the Bonds as required by Section 15.1-1378.1 of the Commonwealth's Code and Section 147(f) of the Tax Code, respectively, does not constitute an endorsement to a prospective purchaser of the Bonds, the financial viability of the Project nor the creditworthiness of the Project or the Applicant; and, as required by Section 15.1-1380 of the Commonwealth's Code, the Bonds shall provide that neither the County nor the Authority shall be obligated to pay the Bonds or the interest thereon or other costs incident thereto except from the revenues and monies pledged therefor, and neither the faith and credit nor the taxing power of the Commonwealth, the County or the Authority shall be pledged thereto.

3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

IN RE: $1,000,000 VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND RESOLUTION

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the 18th day of March, 1987, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

H.L. Clay, Jr., Chairman	Election District #2
M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman	Election District #3
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Election District #2
A.S. Clay	Election District #4

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

Member	Vote
H.L. Clay, Jr.	Aye
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF $1,000,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1987A OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

1. It is hereby determined to be necessary and expedient for Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), to finance capital projects for public schools by contracting a debt in the amount of One Million Dollars ($1,000,000), issuing its school bonds therefor and selling the same to the Virginia Public School Authority, a state agency prescribed by the General Assembly pursuant to Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution of Virginia (the Authority).

2. The Board of Supervisors recognizes that the County is one of several localities selling bonds to the Authority and that therfore the Authority is dependent upon the County for its commitment to participate in the Authority's sale for the success

of the sale as a whole.

3. It is hereby determined to be in the best interest of the County to accept the offer of the Authority to purchase the bonds at a rate of interest which will produce a differential of not more than 1/10 of 1% over the true interest cost to the Authority on the bonds which it will sell to provide the necessary funds to purchase the County's bonds, provided that the offer of the Authority shall not be finally accepted and the bonds shall not be awarded until the Board of Supervisors shall have approved the rate of interest, as finally determined, which the bonds are to bear.

4. The bonds shall be designated "School Bonds, Series of 1987A," shall be dated the date of their delivery to the Authority, shall be in fully registered form, in denominations of $5,000 and multiples thereof, and shall be numbered R-1 upward. The bonds shall mature in installments of $70,000 on December 15 in each year of the years 1987 to 2000, inclusive, and $20,000 in the year 20001. Each bond shall bear interest at such rate as shall be determined at the time of sale, payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15, beginning December 15, 1987, (a) from its date, if it is authenticated prior to December 15, 1987, or (b) otherwise from the June 15 or December 15 that is, or immediately precedes, the date on which it is authenticated (unless payment of interest thereon is in default, in which case such bond shall bear interest from the date to which interest has been paid). Principal and premium, if any, shall be payable to the registered owners upon surrender of the bonds as they become due at the principal corporate trust office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia (the Paying Agent). Interest shall be payable by check or draft mailed to the registered owners at their addresses as they appear on registration books kept by the Registrar, as hereinafter defined, on the first day of the month of the interest payment date. Principal, premium, if any, and interest shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America.

5. Bonds maturing on or before December 15, 1996, are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. Bonds maturing after December 15, 1997, are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the County on or after December 15, 1996, in whole at any time or in part in inverse order of maturity on any interest payment date, upon payment of the principal amount of the bonds to be redeemed plus interest accrued and unpaid to the redemption date and a redemption premium of one-half of one percent (1/2 of 1%) of such principal amount for each six-month period, or part thereof, between the redemption date and the stated maturity dates of the bonds to be redeemed, provided that the redemption premium shall not exceed 2% of such principal amount.

If less than all of the bonds of any maturity are called for redemption, the bonds to be redeemed within such maturity shall be selected by the Registrar by lot, each portion of $5,000 principal amount being counted as one bond for such purpose. The County shall cause notice of the call for redemption identifying the bonds or portions thereof to be redeemed to be sent, by registered or certified mail, not less than 30 nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption date, to the registered owner of each bond to be redeemed at his address as it appears on the registration books kept by the Registrar. If a portion of a bond is called for redemption, a new bond in principal amount equal to the unredeemed portion thereof shall be issued to the registered owner upon the surrender thereof.

6. The Paying Agent is hereby appointed Registrar and shall maintain registration books for the registration of bonds. Upon surrender of any bonds at the principal corporate trust office of the Registrar, together with an assignment duly executed by the registered owner or his duly authorized attorney or legal representative in such form as shall be satisfactory to the Registrar, the County shall execute and the Registrar shall authenticate and deliver in exchange a new bond or bonds having an equal

aggregate principal amount, in authorized denominations, of the same form and maturity, bearing interest at the same rate, and registered in names as requested by the then registered owner or his duly authorized attorney or legal representative. Any such exchange shall be at the expense of the County, except that the Registrar may charge the person requesting such exchange the amount of any tax or other governmental charge required to be paid with respect thereto.

The Registrar shall treat the registered owner as the person exclusively entitled to payment or principal, premium, if any, and interest and the exercise of all other rights and powers of the owner, except that all interest payments shall be made to the person shown as owner on the registration books on the first day of the month of each interest payment date.

7. The bonds shall be signed by the manual or facsimile signature of the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, shall be countersigned by the manual or facsimile signature of its Clerk and its seal shall be affixed thereto or a facsimile thereof printed thereon; provided, however, that if both of such signatures are facsimiles, no bond shall be valid until it has been authenticated by the manual signature of an authorized officer or employee of the Registrar and the date of authentication noted thereon.

8. The bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

REGISTERED (Form of Printed Bond) REGISTERED

No. R-___ $_____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

DINWIDDIE COUNTY

School Bond, Series of 1987A

INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	DATED DATE	CUSIP
_____%	_____	_____ ___, 1987	_____

REGISTERED OWNER:

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT: DOLLARS

Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), for value received, hereby promises to pay, upon surrender hereof at the principal corporate trust office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia (the Registrar), to the registered owner hereof, or registered assigns or legal representative, the principal sum stated above on the maturity date stated above, subject to prior redemption as hereinafter provided, and to pay interest hereon semi-annually on each June 15 and December 15, beginning December 15, 1987, at the annual rate stated above. Interest is payable (a) from _____ ___, 1987, if this bond is authenticated prior to December 15, 1987, or (b) otherwise from the June 15 or December 15 that is, or immediately precedes, the date on which this bond is authenticated (unless payment of interest hereon is in default, in which case this bond shall bear interest from the date to which interest has been paid). Interest is payable by check or draft mailed to the person shown as owner hereof at his address as it appears on the registration books kept by the Registrar on the first day of the month of the interest payment date. Principal, premium, if any, and interest are payable in lawful money of the United States of America.

THE PROVISIONS OF THIS BOND ARE CONTINUED ON THE REVERSE HEREOF, AND SUCH CONTINUED PROVISIONS SHALL FOR ALL PURPOSES HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS IF SET FORTH ON THE FACE THEREOF.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have happened, exist and have been performed, and the issue of bonds of which this bond is one, together with all other indebtedness of the County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

This bond shall not be valid until the Registrar shall have executed the Certificate of Authentication appearing hereon and inserted the date of authentication hereon.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this bond to be signed by the facsimile signature of its Chairman, to be countersigned by the facsimile signature of its Clerk, a facsimile of its seal to be printed hereon, and this bond to be dated _____ ___, 1987.

COUNTERSIGNED:

_____(SEAL)_____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

Date Authenticated:_____

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHENTICATION

This bond is one of the bonds described in the within-mentioned resolution.

Bank of Virginia Trust Company,
Registrar

By: _____
Authorized Signature

(Reverse of Bond)

ADDITIONAL BOND PROVISIONS

This bond is one of an issue of $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1987A, of like date and tenor, except as to number, denomination, privilege of redemption and maturity, authorized and issued pursuant to a resolution adopted by the County's Board of Supervisors on March 18, 1987, and the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution and the Public Finance Act, to provide funds for financing capital projects for public schools.

Bonds maturing on or before December 15, 1996, are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. Bonds maturing after December 15, 1997, are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the County on or after December 15, 1996, in whole at any time or in part in inverse order of maturity on any interest payment date, upon payment of the principal amount of the bonds to be redeemed plus interest accured and unpaid to the redemption date and a redemption premium of one-half of one percent (1/2 of 1%) of such principal amount for each six-month period, or part thereof, between the redemption date and the stated maturity dates of the bonds to be redeemed, provided that the redemption premium shall not exceed 2% of such principal amount.

If less than all of the bonds of any maturity are called for redemption, the bonds to be redeemed within such maturity shall be selected by the Registrar by lot, each portion of $5,000 principal amount being counted as one bond for such purpose. The County shall cause notice of the call for redemption identifying the bonds or portions thereof to be redeemed to be sent, by re-

gistered or certified mail, not less than 30 nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption date, to the registered owner of each bond to be redeemed at this address as it appears on the registration books kept by the Registrar. If a portion of this bond shall be called for redemption, a new bond in principal amount equal to the unredeemed portion hereof will be issued to the registered owner upon the surrender hereof.

The full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this bond.

The bonds are issuable as fully registered bonds in denominations of $5,000 and multiples thereof. Upon surrender of this bond at the principal corporate trust office of the Registrar, together with an assignment duly executed by the then registered owner or his duly authorized attorney or legal representative in such form as shall be satisfactory to the Registrar, the County shall execute, and the Registrar shall authenticate and deliver in exchange, a new bond or bonds having an equal aggregate principal amount, in authorized denominations, of the same form and maturity, bearing interest at the same rate, and registered in names as requested by the then registered owner hereof or his duly authorized attorney or legal representative; all subject to the limitations and conditions provided in the resolution authorizing the issuance of the bonds. Any such exchange shall be at the expense of the County, except that the Registrar may charge the person requesting such exchange the amount of any tax or other governmental charge required to be paid with respect thereto.

The Registrar shall treat the registered owner as the person exclusively entitled to payment of principal, premium, if any, and interest and the exercise of all other rights and powers of the owner, except that interest payments shall be made to the person shown as owner on the registration books on the first day of the month of each interest payment date.

ASSIGNMENT

FOR VALUE RECEIVED the undersigned hereby sell(s), assign(s) and transfer(s) unto_____

_____

(Please print or type name and address, including zip code, of Transferee)

PLEASE INSERT SOCIAL SECURITY OR OTHER IDENTIFYING NUMBER OF TRANSFEREE:

_____

_____

the within bond and all rights thereunder, hereby irrevocably constituting and appointing_____

_____, Attorney, to transfer said bond on the books kept for registration thereof, with full power of substitution in the premises.

Dated:_____

Signature Guaranteed

_____

NOTICE: Signature(s) must be guaranteed by a member firm of the New York Stock Exchange

_____

Signature of Registered Owner

NOTICE: The signature above

or a commercial bank or trust company.

must correspond with the name of the registered owner as it appears on the front of this bond in every particular, without alteration or enlargement or any change whatsoever.

9. At the request of the Authority, the bonds shall be issued initially in typewritten form, with one bond being issued for each maturity. While the bonds are held by the Authority in typewritten form, the County Treasurer shall act as Registrar, the provisions of paragraph 6 to the contrary notwithstanding. Upon request of the Authority, the County shall arrange to have prepared, executed, authenticated and delivered in exchange as soon as practicable bonds in printed form having an equal aggregate principal amount, in authorized denominations, of the same form and maturity, bearing interest at the same rate, and registered in such names as requested by the Authority or its duly authorized attorney or legal representative. All typewritten bonds surrendered in any such exchange shall be canceled.

10. Typewritten bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

(Form of Typewritten Bond)

No. R-_____ $_____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

DINWIDDIE COUNTY

School Bond, Series of 1987A

Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), for value received, hereby promises to pay, upon surrender hereof at the principal corporate trust office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, to

VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY

or registered assigns or legal representative, the principal sum of

_____DOLLARS ($_____)

on December 15, 19__, subject to prior redemption as hereinafter provided, and to pay interest hereon from the date hereof until payment at the rate of_____per cent (_____%) per year, payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15, beginning December 15, 1987, by check or draft mailed to the Virginia Public School Authority. Principal, premium, if any, and interest are payable in lawful money of the United States of America.

This bond is one of an issue of $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1987A, of like date and tenor, except as to number, denomination, privilege of redemption and maturity, authorized and issued pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Article VII, Section 10(b) of the Constitution and the Public Finance Act, to provide funds for financing capital projects for public schools.

Bonds maturing on or before December 15, 1996, are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. Bonds maturing after December 15, 1997, are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the County on or after December 15, 1996, in whole at any time or in part in inverse order of maturity on any interest payment date, upon payment of the principal amount of the bonds to be redeemed plus interest accrued and unpaid to the redemption date and a redemption premium of one-half of one percent (1/2 of 1%) of such principal amount for each six-month pe-

riod, or part thereof, between the redemption date and the stated maturity dates of the bonds to be redeemed, provided that the redemption premium shall not exceed 2% of such principal amount.

If less than the full amount of this bond is called for redemption, the amount of this bond to be redeemed shall be selected by the County Treasurer, as Registrar, by lot, each portion of $5,000 principal amount being counted as one bond for such purpose. The County shall cause notice of the call for redemption identifying the bonds or portions thereof to be redeemed to be sent, by registered or certified mail, not less than 30 nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption date, to the registered owner of each bond to be redeemed at his address as it appears on the registration books kept by the Registrar. If a portion of this bond is called for redemption, a new bond in principal amount equal to the unredeemed portion hereof will be issued to the registered owner upon the surrender hereof.

The full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this bond.

The bonds may be exchanged without cost at the principal corporate trust office of Bank of Virginia Trust Company, Richmond, Virginia, for an equal aggregate principal amount of bonds in printed form.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have happened, exist and have been performed, and the issue of bonds of which this bond is one, together with all other indebtedness of the County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this bond to be signed by its Chairman, to be countersigned by its Clerk, its seal to be affixed hereto, and this bond to be dated _____, 1987.

COUNTERSIGNED:

_____(SEAL)_____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

11. The full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on the bonds. Unless other funds are lawfully available and appropriated for timely payment of the bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall levy and collect an annual ad valorem tax over and above all other taxes authorized or limited by law sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the bonds, as the same become due and payable.

12. The County Administrator and the County Treasurer, or either of them, are hereby authorized and directed to execute an agreement (the Escrow Agreement) between the County, the Authority and a bank or trust company to be hereafter selected by the Authority, as escrow agent (the Escrow Agent), providing for the deposit of the proceeds of the bonds and the custody, investment and disbursement of such proceeds; provided, however, that such proceeds shall be invested in such manner that none of the bonds will be "arbitrage bonds" within the meaning of Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto (the Code). The Escrow Agreement shall be in such form as shall be approved by the County Attorney and bond counsel for the County.

13. The County Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to provide for the deposit of the proceeds of the bonds in the escrow fund established by the Escrow Agreement.

14. The County hereby covenants that it shall not take or omit to take any action the taking or omission of which will cause the bonds to be "arbitrage bonds" within the meaning of Section 148 of the Code, or otherwise cause interest on the bonds to be includable in the gross income of the registered owners thereof under existing statutes. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the County shall comply with any provision of law that may require the County at any time to rebate to the United States any part of the earnings derived from the investment of the gross proceeds of the bonds.

15. Such officers of the County as may be requested are hereby authorized and directed to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the bonds in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Section 148 of the Code. Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by bond counsel for the County.

16. The County hereby covenants that it shall not permit the gross proceeds of the bonds to be used in any manner that would result in (a) 10% or more of such proceeds being considered as having been used directly or indirectly in any trade or business carried on by any person other than a governmental unit as provided in Section 141(b) of the Code, or (b) 5% or more of such proceeds being considered as having been used directly or indirectly to make or finance loans to any person other than a governmental unit as provided in Section 141(c) of the Code; provided, however, that if the County receives an opinion of its bond counsel with respect to the bonds, and bond counsel to the Authority with respect to the Authority's School Financing Bonds, Series 1987A, that any such restriction is not required to prevent the interest on such bonds from being includable in the gross income of the registered owners thereof under existing statutes, the County need not comply with such restriction.

17. After the bonds have been awarded, the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the bonds prepared and executed in accordance with their terms and to deliver the bonds to the Authority upon payment therefor.

18. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this resolution to be delivered forthwith to the Clerk of the County School Board. The bonds hereby authorized shall not be issued until the County School Board shall have adopted an appropriate resolution consenting to the issuance of the bonds.

19. All other action of officers of the County in conformity with the purposes and intent of this resolution and in furtherance of the issuance and sale of the bonds are hereby approved and confirmed. The officers of the County are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver all certificates and instruments and to take all such further action as may be considered necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance, sale and delivery of the bonds.

20. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in collaboration with the County Attorney, is hereby authorized and directed to see to the immediate filing of a certified copy of this resolution with the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County and within ten days thereafter to cause to be published once in a newspaper having general circulation in the County a notice setting forth (a) in brief and general terms the purpose for which the bonds are to be issued and (b) the amount of such bonds.

21. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

22. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held the 18th day of March, 1987, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this 18th day of March, 1987.

_____

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of
Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION-BOND ISSUE ACCOUNT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to establish a School Construction-Bond Issue Account for the receipt and disposition of funds for the $1,000,000 Va Public School Authority Bond Issue.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-87-1--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--WALTER HARRIS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Saturday, March 7, 1987 and Wednesday, March 11, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to consider a request from Mr. Walter Harris for a conditional use permit to allow Robert Parrish to operate a hog farm on his property on Rt. 622 in Ford.

The County Administrator reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission at its February 11, 1987 meeting which was approval with the following condition:

1. permit shall be reviewed in 12 months for compliance.

Mr. Harris was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

The County Administrator stated the land was zoned Agricultural A-2. The Animal Warden has reviewed the operation, and there have been no real objections from surrounding property owners.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the conditional use permit requested by Mr. Walter Harris for his son-in-law, Robert Parrish, to operate a hog farm on his property on Rt. 622 was approved with the following condition:

1. permit shall be reviewed in 12 months for compliance.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-2--BUILDING FEE SCHEDULE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 4, 1987 and Wednesday, March 11, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 6, Article

II of the Dinwiddie County Code to amend Sec. 6-18, 6-22, and 6-23 to reflect changes in the inspection fees, penalty charge and permit fees.

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Official, stated the fee schedule has not been changed in 12 years. Mr. Hargrave asked if the fees are in line with surrounding localities and slightly less. Mr. Abernathy said yes.

Mr. David Lucas stated he felt all electricians and plumbers should have Master's licenses.

Mr. Raymond Foster stated he had no problem with raising the fees. He would like to see separate permits for all trades purchased by those performing the work.

Mr. John Royal stated he could see the point on the building fee schedule, but the state and federal governments are raising taxes and soon they will be taxed out of a job.

No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

SECTION 6-18 Permit Fees - Generally

(a) Generally. No permit required by the building code to begin work for new construction or any other building operation shall be issued until the fees prescribed by this section have been paid, nor shall an amendment to a permit be approved until the additional fee, if any, due to an increase in the square footage of the construction or other building operation, increase in the cost or amount of work involved or any reason, has been paid. For the purpose of this section, the area of a structure shall be determined by its exterior dimensions.

(b) Building permits. Building permit fees shall be as follows:

(1) Single-story dwellings, including additions, four cents ($0.04) per sq. ft.

(2) Basements and second and higher stories, three cents ($0.03) per sq. ft.

(3) Industrial building, detached garages, carports, storage building, churches and schools, four cents ($0.04) per sq. ft.

(4) Remodeling existing buildings, three cents ($0.03) per sq. ft.

(5) Installation of set-up of mobile home:

a. Single-wide, twenty dollars ($20.00).
b. Double-wide, thirty dollars ($30.00).

(6) Addition of brick or other siding to a building, thirty dollars ($30.00).

(7) Swimming pools, twenty dollars ($20.00).
Fence around pool, ten dollars ($10.00).

(8) Signs:

a. Up to ten (10) square feet in surface area, (twenty (20) square feet for a double faced sign), the top of the sign being ten (10) feet or less from the ground, twenty dollars ($20.00).

b. More than ten (10) square feet in surface area (more than twenty (20) square feet for a double-faced sign) or a sign the top of which is more than ten (10) feet from the ground, twenty-five dollars ($25.00).

(9) Removal of a building or structure from one lot to another or to a new location within the same lot, thirty-five dollars ($35.00).

(10) Demolition of a building or structure, twenty-five dollars ($25.00).

(11) The fee for the erection or installation of a structure other than a building shall be calculated at a rate of one-half (1/2) percent of the actual cost of the work.

(12) Chimney, fifteen dollars ($15.00).

(13) Roof shingle, tin, cedar shakes, fifteen dollars ($15.00).

(14) Fences, one percent (1%) of the contract price, minimum fee, ten dollars ($10.00).

(c) Electrical permits, Residential. Residential charges will be made when electricity is connected to one or two family dwelling meter. The fees for a permit to install, alter or remove any building services equipment, electrical equipment or electrical service equipment shall be as follows:

(1) Base fee, ten dollars. $10.00

(2) For light outlets:

From 1 to 5 outlets, inclusive	$ 2.00
6 to 15 outlets, inclusive	$ 4.00
16 to 50 outlets, inclusive	$ 6.00
51 outlets and over	$12.00

(3) For receptacle outlets:

From 1 to 5 outlets, inclusive	2.00
6 to 15 outlets, inclusive	4.00
16 to 50 outlets, inclusive	6.00
51 outlets and over	12.00

(4) Electric range. 3.00

(5) Nonduct electric heat, per room. 3.00

(6) Water heater. 3.00

(7) Connecting neon signs. 5.00

(8) New furnace hookup (nonreplacement). 3.00

(9) Room air conditioner wiring and receptacle. . 3.00

(10) Water pump. 3.00

(11) Electric dryer. 3.00

(12) Meter base. 10.00

(13) Exhaust fan. 2.00

(14) Electric meter and disconnect box for mobile home. 10.00

(15) Electric motors, each:

a. 1/2 to 1 horsepower. 5.00
b. More than 1 and up to 5 horsepower. . . . 10.00
c. More than 5 horsepower. 15.00

(16) Temporary electric service. 10.00

(d) Electrical permits, commercial. Commercial charges will be made when electricity is connected to meter separate from one and two family dwelling. Fee shall be as follows:

(1) One percent (1%) of contract price up to fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00); over fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00) one fourth percent (1/4%) for remainder of contract price.

(e) Plumbing permits, residential. The fee for each plumbing permit requiring an inspection shall be as follows:

(1) Base fee. $10.00

(2) Each fixture, drain or other connection requiring a trap. 3.00

(f) Plumbing permits, commercial. Fee shall be as follows:

(1) One percent (1%) of contract price up to fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00); over fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00), one-fourth (1/4%) percent for remainder of contract price.

(g) Heat and air conditioning, with ducts, residential.

Fee shall be as follows:

(1) Base fee. $10.00

(2) Each room with four (4) ducts or less, three dollars ($3.00)

(3) Each room with more than four (4) ducts, three dollars ($3.00) plus one dollar ($1.00) for each duct over four (4).

(4) New or replacement boiler or furnace, twenty dollars ($20.00).

(h) Heat and air conditioning, commercial.

(1) One percent (1%) of contract price up to fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00), over fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00), one-fourth (1/4%) percent for remainder of contract price.

SECTION 6-22 Charge for inspection visit when building not open or job not ready for inspection.

When building inspection is requested pursuant to the code, if the building is not open for inspection at the time designated for inspection or if the job is not ready for inspection at such time, a charge of ten dollars ($10.00) will be levied for the building inspector's visit to the site on such occasion. Such charge shall be in addition to other fees prescribed in this article.

(Code 1970. Sec. 6-3)

SECTION 6-23 Charge for use or occupancy of building without required certificate.

Any person who uses or occupies a building or structure prior to the issuance of a certificate of use and occupancy required by the building code shall pay a penalty charge of fifty dollars ($50.00). Payment of such charge shall be made to the building official before the certificate of use and occupancy is issued. Such payment shall not relieve such person from prosecution for such use and occupancy without the required certificate.

(Code 1970, Sec. 6-3).

IN RE: OPPOSITION TO CLOSING NORTHSIDE & MCKENNEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

Mrs. Barbara Foster appeared to express her opposition to the closing of Northside and McKenney Elementary Schools. She also presented 16 letters from children at Northside Elementary School.

The following people also spoke against the closing of the schools:

1. Pearl Bland, Monique Bland, Robert McFarland; David Lucas, James McKenzie, and Joe Fields.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--OFFICE ON YOUTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following people were appointed to the Commission on Youth and Community Services:

YOUTH - Laura Meloy, Maria Flowers, Randy Lucy, Deirdre Bland, John Scott Crissman, and Lottie Ampy, terms expiring May, 1988.

AGENCY - Frank Freudig - term expires 1990
Tom Hooker - term expires 1990
Jim Correll - term expires 1989 (to fill unexpired term of S.L. Sweet)

CITIZEN - Mary Jane Gibbs - term expires 1990.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:04 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:10 P.M.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF EXTENSION HOME ECONOMICS AGENT--VERNETTA WILLIAMS

Mr. James Maitland, Unit Chairman, VPI-SU Extension Service, presented Mrs. Vernetta Williams for the Board's consideration for appointment to the position of Extension Home Economics Agent for Dinwiddie County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the appointment of Mrs. Vernetta Williams to the position of Extension Home Economics Agent for Dinwiddie County is hereby approved.

IN RE: OATH OF OFFICE--HARRISON A. MOODY

The Chairman administered and Mr. Harrison A. Moody accepted the following oath of office for the position of Supervisor for Election District #1:

"Do you solemnly swear and affirm to faithfully uphold the Constitution of the United States, laws of Virginia and the Dinwiddie County Code; do you swear and affirm to faithfully carry out your duties as Supervisors for Election District #1?"

IN RE: CLAIM--SETTLEMENT OF PARHAM VS. RIVERS, CHANDRA HARRIS, AND DINWIDDIE COUNTY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", General Fund check #87-570 in the amount of $11,000.00 was approved in the settlement of Mary C. Parham vs. Rivers, Chandra Harris, and Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 10:00 A.M., Wednesday, April 1, 1987.

APRIL 1, 1987 -- 10:00 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MARCH 18, 1987 MEETING

PRESENT:	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
ABSENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF MAPPING - E911 EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SYSTEM

Mr. Roger Hart and Mr. Lowell Scott, representing R. Stuart Royer & Associates, appeared before the Board to discuss the status of the correction of the tax maps for the development of the grid system for the E911 emergency telephone system. In November of 1986, the Board approved an additional appropriation of $35,000 to correct the property line problems that are known and that are found through the use of aerial photographs. At that time, Mr. Hart pointed out that the cost and completion times were estimates since the extent of the problems were not known.

Mr. Hart stated he used 3 problems per sheet for a rough estimate of cost because he felt the scale was fairly accurate. Work completed is updating tax map sections and inserts, correction of existing drafting errors on tax map sections 1-102 and answering initial questions from the Commissioner of Revenue involving extensive research on tax map sections 1-102.

Mr. Hart then outlined the work remaining to be done for which he estimated a cost of $37,000:

1. Research of existing subdivision inserts.
2. 1986 transfers on tax maps 1 - 102.
3. New subdivision inserts.
4. Address additional questions on tax maps 1-102.

He again stressed this cost is an estimate.

Work not included would be:

1. Additional acreage research.
2. Correction of road alignment problem.
3. Additional questions brought out during review by County.

4. Problems associated with overlaying of aerial photographs on section maps.

Mr. Hargrave stated he needed to understand the relation of this effort to the E911 process. His concern is that they are getting too deep into the correction of the lines on the maps when they should be able to pinpoint houses without worrying about the lines. He stated they should strive to separate the two.

Mr. Hart stated they initially addressed just doing a set of maps with no lines, just roads and houses.

Mr. Hargrave asked if they could stop work on the County's maps and go to a different set of maps. Mr. Hart stated they could but suggested a different scale.

Mr. Robertson stated he agreed the cost of the efforts to the citizens should be split if not serving E911. He asked what the advantages and disadvantages were of not using the Commissioner of Revenue maps. He stated he felt they may have to expend the effort on the tax maps if it will not put the E911 process back anymore.

Mr. Hargrave stated in the interest of money and time, he felt they should drop the tax map part and proceed with the E911 matter.

Mr. Robertson stated he didn't want to hamper the effort but he didn't want to miss the estimate again.

Mrs. Debbie Marston, Deputy Commissioner of Revenue, stated all map changes up to 1978 were put on mylars by the Department of Taxation. That is where R. Stuart Royer & Associates had to pick up on them. She added that the changes now can be held in abeyance until the Dept. of Taxation gets around to correcting them. That may be some time; however, because there are still counties without any maps at all.

Mr. Hargrave asked if it is alright to hold those changes for the State. Mrs. Marston stated that's what she wants to do, but would like to get the existing maps operational.

Mr. Robertson asked if correcting the tax maps will bring in any more money. Mrs. Marston stated the new parcels found were minimal.

Mr. Hart stated although his firm has done other streetnaming and house numbering projects, they had not tried to tie the parcel numbers to the houses before. Their projected costs are purely an estimate. He added that if they use the existing maps, you will not be able to scale accurately. Mr. Scott stated in some cases, a parcel may be on a completely different tax map and he was not sure that would result in a fair assessment.

Mr. Hart stated it would be cost prohibitive to try to correct the road alignment. The Board members agreed. Mr. Hart emphasized that the $37,000 figure is an estimate also. It does not include using the aerial photos to correct problem areas.

Mr. A. Clay stated he feels they need to go on with the numbering system. He felt they were creating too big a job.

Mr. Hart stated there are two options:

1. Take the maps as they are, overlay the aerial photos and try to adjust them to locate the buildings. With this option, you won't be able to scale accurately on the maps.

2. Produce a new set of maps for the house numbering system.

The Board instructed Mr. Hart to take those two options and assign cost estimates for their consideration at the next meeting.

Mrs. Marston asked what would happen with the Commissioner of Revenue's maps. Mr. Hart stated Mrs. Marston must define what she needs on her maps and he could assign a cost figure to that separate from the E911 process.

Mr. Robertson asked if the maps are brought up to a certain point, what will happen in the future. Mrs. Marston stated she would try to keep them up with the Department of Taxation.

IN RE: RESOLUTION AMENDING A RESOLUTION ENTITLED "RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF $1,000,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1987A, OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF"

At an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 1st day of April, 1987, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman	Election District #3
H.A. Moody	Election District #1
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Election District #2
A.S. Clay	Election District #4

ABSENT:

H. A. Clay, Jr., Chairman	Election District #2

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

Member	Vote
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
H.A. Moody	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), adopted a resolution entitled "Resolution Authorizing the Issuance and Sale of $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1987A, of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, and Providing for the Form, Details and Payment Thereof" (the Prior Resolution), on March 18, 1987, authorizing the issuance and sale of $1,000,000 school bonds of the County to provide funds, together with other available funds, to finance capital projects for public schools; and

WHEREAS, it is desireable to amend the Prior Resolution to qualify the County for the "small issuer" exception to the Federal "arbitrage rebate requirement;"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The Prior Resolution is hereby amended by adding a new paragraph 16.1 to read as follows:

16.1. The County hereby represents and covenants as follows:

(a) The County and all its subordinate entities (within the meaning of Section 148(f)(4)(iii) of the Code) have not issued more than $5,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1987 (not including "private activity bonds," as defined in Section

141 of the Code);

(b) Barring circumstances unforeseen as of the date of delivery of the Bonds, the County will not issue tax-exempt obligations itself, including the Bonds, or approve the issuance of tax-exempt obligations of any of such subordinate entities if the issuance of such tax-exempt obligations would, when aggregated with all other tax-exempt obligations theretofore issued in by the County and such subordinate entities in 1987, result in the County and such subordinate entities having issued a total of more than $5,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1987 (not including private activity bonds), including the Bonds;

(c) The County has no reason to believe that the County and such subordinate entities will issue tax-exempt obligations in 1987 in an aggregate amount that will exceed such $5,000,000 limit; and

(d) At least 95% of the proceeds of the Bonds shall be used for "local government activities" of the County within the meaning of Section 148 (f) (4) (ii) of the Code; provided, however, that if the County receives an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel that compliance with any restriction set forth in (b) or (d) above will not prevent the County from having to rebate to the United States any part of the earnings derived from the investment of the gross proceeds of the Bonds, the County need not comply with such restriction.

2. Except as amended hereby, the Prior Resolution is hereby ratified and confirmed.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors held the 1st day of April, 1987, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this 1st day of April, 1987.

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO HIRE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TO PREPARE SPECIFICATIONS AND BID ROOF WORK ON DINWIDDIE SENIOR HIGH & ROOF AND INSULATION WORK AT SOUTHSIDE ELEMENTARY

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Superintendent of Schools was authorized to hire professional services to prepare specifications and bidding documents for the roof work at the Dinwiddie Senior High School and the roof and insulation work at Sunnyside Elementary School pending receipt of funds from the $1,000,000 Virginia Public School Authority bond sale.

IN RE: INTEREST RATE--$1,000,000 VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE

At an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 1st day of April, 1987, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman	Election District #3
H.A. Moody	Election District #1
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Election District #2
A.S. Clay	Election District #4

ABSENT:

H.L. Clay, Jr., Chairman	Election District #2

It was reported to the meeting that the best bid received by the Virginia Public School Authority for the purchase of its School Financing Bonds, Series 1987A, called for a true interest cost of 6.19% and that the Authority has offered to purchase at a price of par plus accrued interest, if any, the $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1987A, of Dinwiddie County at an interest rate of 6.11% per year.

Thereupon the following resolution was adopted by the following roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as follows:

Member	Vote
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
H.A. Moody	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1987A, of Dinwiddie County, heretofore authorized by a resolution adopted by this Board on March 18, 1987, be and the same are hereby sold to the Virginia Public School Authority at a price of par plus accured interest, if any, from the date of the bonds to the date of delivery, and shall bear interest at the rate of 6.11% per year.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the 1st day of April, 1987 and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this 1st day of April, 1987.

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION AMENDING A RESOLUTION ENTITLED "RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF $1,000,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1987A, OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF"

At an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 1st day of April, 1987, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman	Election District #3

H.A. Moody — Election District #1
G.E. Robertson, Jr. — Election District #2
A.S. Clay — Election District #4

ABSENT:

H.L. Clay, Jr., Chairman — Election District #2

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

Member	Vote
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
H.A. Moody	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), adopted a resolution entitled "Resolution Authorizing the Issuance and Sale of $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series 1987A, of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, and Providing for the Form, Details and Payment Thereof" (the Prior Resolution), on March 18, 1987, authorizing the issuance and sale of $1,000,000 school bonds of the County to provide funds, together with other available funds, to finance capital projects for public schools; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable to amend the Prior Resolution to qualify the County for the "small issuer" exception to the Federal "arbitrage rebate requirement;"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The Prior Resolution is hereby amended by adding a new paragraph 16.1 to read as follows:

16.1. The County hereby represents and covenants as follows:

(a) The County and all its subordinate entities (within the meaning of Section 148(f)(4)(iii) of the Code) have not issued more than $5,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1987 (not including "private activity bonds," as defined in Section 141 of the Code);

(b) Barring circumstances unforeseen as of the date of delivery of the Bonds, the County will not issue tax-exempt obligations itself, including the Bonds, or approve the issuance of tax-exempt obligations of any of such subordinate entities if the issuance of such tax-exempt obligations would, when aggregated with all other tax-exempt obligations theretofore issued in by the County and such subordinate entities in 1987, result in the County and such subordinate entities having issued a total of more than $5,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1987 (not including private activity bonds), including the Bonds;

(c) The County has no reason to believe that the County and such subordinate entities will issue tax-exempt obligations in 1987 in an aggregate amount that will exceed such $5,000,000 limit; and

(d) At least 95% of the proceeds of the Bonds shall be used for "local government activities" of the County within the meaning of Section 148(f)(4)(ii) of the Code; provided, however, that if the

County receives an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel that compliance with any restriction set forth in (b) or (d) above will not prevent the County from having to rebate to the United States any part of the earnings derived from the investment of the gross proceeds of the Bonds, the County need not comply with such restriction.

2. Paragraph 5 of the Prior Resolution is hereby amended to read as follows:

5. Bonds maturing on or before December 15, 1995 are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. Bonds maturing on or after December 15, 1996 are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the County, on or after December 15, 1995, in whole at any time or in part in inverse order of maturity on any interest payment date, upon payment of the principal amount of the bonds to be redeemed plus interest accrued and unpaid to the redemption date and a redemption premium of 2% of such principal amount if redeemed on or prior to June 15, 1997, 1.5% if redeemed thereafter and on or prior to December 15, 1997, 1% if redeemed thereafter and on or prior to June 15, 1998, 1/2 of 1% if redeemed thereafter and on or prior to December 15, 1998 and without premium if redeemed thereafter.

3. Except as amended hereby, the Prior Resolution is hereby ratified and confirmed.

4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors held the 1st day of April, 1987, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this 1st day of April, 1987.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of
Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye" the meeting was adjourned at 12:20 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 18, 1987 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-571 through 87-659 amounting to $123,123.19.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of March, 1987.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of March, 1987.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of March, 1987.

IN RE: POULTRY CLAIM--SARA CHANDLER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request by Sara Chandler for $139.00 for 43 chickens and 4 guineas was denied.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--DOUG DOYLE

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Doug Doyle was awarded $150 for six (6) calves.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN--DOG BOX FOR TRUCK

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, presented the following bids for a dog box for the County truck:

	Metal	Aluminum
Gale Welding & Machine Co., Inc.	$583.20	1166.40
Doug Davis	700.00	1100.00

Mr. Brooks stated the steel cage is hard on the truck. The aluminum cage would have less wear and tear.

Mr. Robertson asked if the cage is transferable. Mr. Brooks said yes.

After a brief discussion, a decision was postponed to allow the Board an opportunity to examine the damage caused by the steel cage.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Hargrave stated he received a complaint on a dog at the intersection of Rt. 619 and Rt. 626. Mr. Brooks stated he had received complaints also and was working on that problem.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Brooks what he does when his truck breaks down. Mr. Brooks said he tries to use his own truck to take care of calls.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--REQUEST FOR OXFORD DRIVE, OXFORD POINT SUBDIVISON, TO BE TAKEN INTO THE SECONDARY ROAD SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia this 1st day of April, 1987, that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Oxford Drive beginning at a point on Route 750, 1.15 miles northeast of Route 623 and running in a northerly direction 0.33 miles to a dead end with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this road in Oxford Point Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the secondary system of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right-of-way of 50' with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 11, Pages 129 and 130, dated July 16, 1981.

IN RE: ROUTE 460W EXTENSION STUDY APPROVED

Mr. Robertson reported that the Metropolitan Planning Organization was notified tht Prince George and Petersburg have also requested a study of the extension of Rt. 460 and the Virginia Dept. of Highways and Transportation has agreed that a feasibility study will be done.

IN RE: DOG CONFINEMENT--MONTH OF APRIL

Mr. Robertson stated he wanted to remind the citizens that dogs are to be confined during the month of April as follows:

1. Those on the east side of U.S. Interstate 85 are to be prohibited during the period of April 1 - April 15 of each year.

2. Those on the west side of Interstate 85 are to be prohibited during the period of April 16 - April 31 of each year.

IN RE: DIVISION OF FORESTRY

Mr. Crockett Morris, Regional Forester, Division of Forestry, appeared before the Board to present a yearly report of the Division's work for 1986.

IN RE: CARES, INC.--REQUEST FOR FUNDING

The Board received a request from the Executive Director and Chairman of the Board of CARES, Inc. for $1,000 for operational funds for the year 1987.

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, Dept. of Social Services, stated her agency does use the facility and she knows it has been full because they have had to turn some clients away. She added that it is also used for transients picked up by the Sheriff's Department.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a $1,000 appropriation was approved for CARES, Inc. for operational funds for 1987.

IN RE: CONSOLIDATED OMNIBUS BUDGET RECONCILIATION ACT--GUIDELINES TO ADMINISTER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, because of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) enacted by the Federal Government July 1, 1986, the County is required to offer temporary extension of health coverage (called "continuation coverage") at group rates in certain instances where coverage under the plan would otherwise end; and

WHEREAS, under this act an employee of Dinwiddie County has the right to choose this continuation coverage for 18 months if he or she looses coverage because of a reduction in hours of employment making them part time or the termination of employment (for reasons other than gross misconduct on their part); and

WHEREAS, in the case of a spouse or a family member covered by health care, they have the right to choose continuation coverage for 36 months for any of the following reasons:

1. Employee dies while still working;
2. They are children who reach dependent age limit of coverage under parents' program;
3. They are spouses or children who are no longer eligible for coverage because of separation or divorce;
4. Employee chooses medicare as primary carrier; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for the Board to set guidelines for this program to be administered;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following guidelines are hereby established for the administration of the COBRA for Dinwiddie County employees:

1. The full amount of the premium made payable to the health care carrier is due <u>in a timely fashion</u> as set forth by the group administrator;
2. The group administrator will not be required to remind participants of monthly payments;
3. At the end of the designated temporary coverage period, there will be a 2% administrative charge collected by the County.

IN RE: RETIREMENT AT AGE 55--30 YEARS OF SERVICE

Mrs. Glenice Townsend, Account Clerk, advised the Board that the federal government has passed legislation to allow retirement at age 55 with 30 years of service. The local governing body has the authority to choose whether or not to participate. She stated she would bring this back to the Board when she had more information.

IN RE: RAYMOND MCCANTS--LANDFILL

Mr. Raymond McCants stated he made a trip to the Landfill and did not have any where to turn his trailer around. He asked that something be done about it. He also saw two vehicles scavenging and one was a state truck. The County Administrator stated he would check into it.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--DEWITT, DINWIDDIE, ROCKY RUN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the DeWitt, Dinwiddie, Rocky Run Athletic Association has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Association meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the DeWitt, Dinwiddie, Rocky Run Athletic Association be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar year 1987.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:00 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:58 P.M.

IN RE: INFORMATION

A letter to Mrs. Dorothy M. Walker concerning her trailer request was included in the information sent to the Board for this meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 3:59 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 13TH DAY OF APRIL, 1987 AT 7:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN (arrived 7:50 P.M.)	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:06 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF APRIL, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the March 18, 1987 continued meeting, the April 1, 1987 regular meeting and the April 13, 1987 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-660 through 87-793 amounting to: $120,224.89; Fire Programs Fund checks-numbering FIRE-87-3 and 4 amounting to: $4,399.96; E-911 Fund check-number E9-87-5 in the amount of $4,926.02; History Book Fund check - number HB-87-2 in the amount of $3.31; Water & Sewer Fund check-number W&S-87-3 in the amount of $1,551.44; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-87-5 and 6 amounting to $93.14.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF MAPPING--E911 EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SYSTEM

Mr. Roger Hart and Mr. Lowell Scott, representing R. Stuart Royer and Associates, appeared before the Board to present the options available to complete the mapping needed for the E-911 emergency telephone system. At the last meeting, Mr. Hart described the problems he and his staff have encountered in trying to tie the land parcels on the existing tax maps to the E911 grid system.

Mr. Hart presented the following options:

I. Finalize the work already begun on Tax Section Maps.

A. Outline of proposed work.

1. Hatch inserts on tax section maps.
2. Put revision dates on tax section maps and inserts.
3. Address any responses by Commissioner of Revenue's office to our recommendations and/or questions.
4. Make drafting changes noted in latest review.
5. Work outlined above in Items 1-4 would not include any additional research.

B. Cost for finalizing work - $8,500.

II. Produce new inserts (10) to be used for the E-911 house numbering and by Commissioner of Revenues office. Cost - $4,500.

III. Two options for proceeding with E-911 house numbering system.

A. New Base Maps

1. Maps generated from U.S.C., and G.S. quad maps and rectified area photographs.
2. Scale of proposed maps 1" = 600'.
3. Map shall include the following:

    a. Single line roads
    b. Streams, Creeks and rivers
    c. Corporations limits
    d. Road names and route numbers
    e. Subdivision outlines with reference to insert numbers.

4. Fee for providing this service - $67,500.

B. Utilize existing tax section maps.

1. No additional research.
2. Road alignment and scale are inaccurate.
3. Property lines would have no meaning on this map resulting in clutter.
4. There will be future problems with assignment of house numbers due to inconsistency of road location.
5. Building owner and parcel owner may not agree and buildings may fall on parcel lines due to inaccuracy of maps.
6. Congestion of maps will be increased since house numbers will have to be placed adjacent to building. Original method was to reference parcels to house numbers in borders.

IV. Summary of cost for proceeding with E-911 house numbering system:

A. New Base Maps	$67,500
New Inserts	4,500
Total	$72,000
B. Utilize Existing Maps:	
New Inserts	$ 4,500

Mr. Robertson asked if the $8500 in Option I will enhance the E911 system or will it enhance the Commissioner of Revenue's tax maps.

Mr. Hart stated the work described in Option I was not needed for the E911 system.

Mrs. Debbie Marston, Deputy Commissioner of Revenue, stated the $8500 was needed to clean up the existing tax maps and answer questions that have been brought up.

Mr. Robertson asked if the $4500 will get the E911 system in operation and get usage of the fee that citizens are paying. Mrs. Marston stated that the inserts are needed for the subdivision.

Mr. Hargrave asked of the money already spent on existing maps, what part was E911 and what was Dept. of Taxation; was all that work necessary? Mr. Hart stated when they originally started, they tried to attach the parcel numbers to the house numbers. Mr. Hargrave stated, so all that effort was for E911. Mr. Hart stated yes.

Mr. Hargrave added if we carry through, it will not all have served E911. If we choose to use the tax maps, have listed all the inaccuracies, those problems will exist. We could finish E911 with $4500 if use existing tax maps. Mr. Hart said yes.

Mr. Hargrave stated the other option looks better, but it is twice as expensive.

Mr. Hart stated Option IIIA was dismissed when it was discussed before because the County wanted to tie the house to the parcel number. It was a good idea at the time. If the new base maps are chosen, the County could transfer the land parcels later and have a good set of maps. For the long run, using the existing tax maps, Mr. Hart stated he didn't know how good that would be.

Mr. A. Clay stated they hoped the Department of Taxation would clean the tax maps up in the future. Mrs. Marston stated she hoped so. But the $8500 is just to get the tax maps useable again. They sell copies of the maps too and she would be afraid to sell them as they are now.

Mr. Hargrave asked since alot of the groundwork is done and it would be less costly for the State, could the Commissioner of Revenue apply to the State for extra money to complete the maps since it is clearly a state function?

Mr. A. Clay asked if the State did the necessary work, will the maps be accurate. Mr. Hart said no, the base will always be off and houses will be off. It's a $8500 job now. In the future, if you want to make it accurate, you'll have to change all the house numbers.

Mr. Scott stated the County will have to correct the maps and the house numbering system and then it will be based on inaccurate maps.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Hart if he felt comfortable that the prices he was presenting were firm prices.

Mr. Hart stated yes. They have contacted the printers and the base maps are done. With the estimates presented before, he did not know what was wrong on the maps.

Mr. Moody stated he felt the maps should be correct for the E911 emergency telephone system.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accepts Option IIIA for new base maps and Option II for new inserts to proceed with the emergency 911 house numbering system as presented by R. Stuart Royer and Associates at a total cost of $72,000; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Commissioner of Revenue appeal to the State for an appropriation to complete the work needed on the existing tax maps.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-87-1--ELMO, INC.--RONALD ELDER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 1 and Wednesday, April 8, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 31, containing 7.47 acres and parcels 31A and 31B containing 7.78 acres from Business, General, B-2 to Industrial, General, M-2.

The County Administrator reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its April 8, 1987 meeting. He pointed out that this property was originally zoned M-2 and the previous owner had it rezoned to Business B-2.

Mr. Elder was present in support of his rezoning application. Mr. Elder stated he plans to build a concrete plant on the site and also to move his construction business there from Petersburg. He stated he would employ 40 people.

Mr. H. Clay asked if he would employ any new people. Mr. Elder stated he would try to.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 31 containing 7.47 acres and parcels 31A and 31B containing 7.78 acres be amended from Business, General, B-2 to Industrial, General, M-2. Said parcels of land are designated by tax maps as bound on the north by State Route 142, and on the east by the lands of Raymond Mayes, on the south by the abandoned RR line of Seaboard Coastline and on the west by the lands of C.Y. Nobles, et ux., located in the Rohoic District Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-87-2--DAVID ROANE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 1 and Wednesday, April 8, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of Sec. 20, Parcel 34 containing 10.0 acres from Business, General B-2 to Industrial Limited M-1.

The County Administrator reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its April 8, 1987 meeting.

Mr. David Roane appeared in support of his application. No one spoke in opposition.

Mr. Roane stated he wanted to move his counter top shop to a new building which would exceed the 5,000 sq. ft. building size allowed under the present zoning.

Mr. H. Clay asked if he would be adding any new employees. Mr. Roane stated if the business grows, he would add 3 or 4.

Mr. Robertson stated he understood Mr. Roane chose the West side to add the building to allow the use of the existing parking lot. Mr. Roane stated that was correct. He also has a forklift there and the crossover on the road is convenient to both buildings on that side.

Mr. Moody stated that the M-1 district is more restrictive and does not restrict residential activity in the area. The B-2 zoning is more open. He, therefore, felt the request should be approved.

Mr. Hargrave advised Mr. Roane that if the request was approved, he would be rezoning all of the property to the East as well which might limit him in the future. Mr. Roane stated he wanted to stay with his request as it is.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie, Virginia that the district classification of section 20, parcel

34 containing 10.0 acres be amended from Business, General, B-2 to Industrial, Limited, M-1. Said parcel of land is designated by tax maps as bound on the west by State Route 632, on the south by U.S. Route 460, on the east by the land of Gilbert Marek and on the north by the right of way of Norfolk and Western Railroad, located in the Namozine District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Church meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that St. John's Catholic Church be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE PUBLIC HEARING--SCHOOL BOARD APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the School Board appointments were authorized to be advertised for a public hearing to be held on Wednesday, May 20, 1987.

IN RE: JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT--APPOINTMENT TO PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL

The Board received a letter from Mrs. Hattie M. Walker, asking that she not be reappointed to the South Central Private Industry Council when her term expires June 30, 1987. She recommended Mr. N.C. Olgers, Vocational Director, be appointed since he has attended some of the Council meetings and in view of new legislation and the at-risk youth, she feels it is imperative that someone from the School system serve on this Council.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia endorses the appointment of Mr. Norman C. Olgers to the South Central Private Industry Council to replace Mrs. Hattie M. Walker, whose term expires June 30, 1987.

IN RE: ASSISTANCE ON COMPLETING TAX MAPS

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated he had furnished his maps to be used for the E911 system and he didn't want them to be not finished. He stated he didn't mind asking for the money but wanted to know whether the Board wanted him to contact the Dept. of Taxation or the Compensation Board. The Board advised him to try either source.

Mr. Hargrave stated the County has relieved the Dept. of Taxation of some money they would have had to spend.

Mr. Bolte stated if the Board doesn't get the assistance, don't forget the Comissioner of Revenue's maps.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was distributed to the Board at this meeting:

1. Letter from District 19 Mtl. Health & Mtl. Retardation Services Board regarding their motion on imported referrals with violent criminal activities.

2. Final application for FY 88 highway safety funding.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:41 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:42 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 1987 AT 4:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:16 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:17 P.M.

H.L. Clay, Jr.
H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.G. Knott
W.G. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 29TH DAY OF APRIL, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- 1987-88 BUDGET AND 1988 TAX RATES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 22, 1987 to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the 1987-88 budget and 1988 tax rates.

The County Administrator highlighted the major changes in the budget. He pointed out that the only changes in the tax rates were a reduction in the personal property rate from $5.00 to $4.90 and the farm machinery rate from $1.50 to $1.40.

Some of the major increases in the budget are for a county-wide computer system, an addition to the Dinwiddie VFD, improvements to the jail, and the hiring of a full-time County Attorney.

The following people spoke on the budget:

1. David Lucas asked if there were any provisions for the recreation budget. The Chairman advised him the Board would be discussing the recreation budget.

2. Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, requested that the Board not reduce the School Board's original budget request.

3. Mrs. Carol Mitchell spoke in support of the education system and not reducing the school budget.

4. Mr. Paul Walk spoke in support of the county creating career-oriented jobs, long range planning, protection of the environment, and an economic development initiative.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:09 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:24 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:25 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 6TH DAY OF MAY, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
OTHERS:	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the April 15, 1987 regular meeting, the April 21, 1987 special meeting and the April 29, 1987 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-794 through 87-889 amounting to $139,004.80; County Construction Fund checks-numbering CCF-87-8 and 9 amounting to $60,477.50.

IN RE: ITINERANT VENDORS LICENSE--EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO EXEMPT YARD SALES

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated that the itinerant vendor's license ordinance creates a hardship on the citizens by including yard sales. Under the ordinance, anyone interested in holding a yard sale must complete the application, attach a photograph and keep record of the sales for three years. He said the Sheriff and Commonwealth Attorney concur with his request to amend the ordinance to delete yard sales. He also read a letter he received from the Chief of the Dinwiddie VFD concerning how the ordinance affects his department because they hold various fund-raising activities during the year, including yard sales.

Mr. H. Clay asked if his request was to remove paragraph F. Mr. Bolte stated yes.

Mr. A. Clay stated it was not the intent of the Board to regulate yard sales when the ordinance was adopted.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Commonwealth Attorney agreed that removing yard sales would make the job easier. Mr. Bolte stated the Commonwealth Attorney concurs with the request.

Mr. H. Clay stated they could exempt those exempted in Paragraph F from the requirements in Paragraph B.

It was suggested an emergency ordinance could be adopted for a 60 day period exempting yard sales and have the Commonwealth Attorney draft an appropriate ordinance for public hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie Code, as adopted March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further temporarily amended for a period of sixty (60) days as follows:

SECTION 13-3

(f) Deleted.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of April, 1987.

IN RE: TREASURER--REQUEST FOR BANKRUPTCY MANUAL

Mr. W.E. Jones requested authorization to purchase a manual entitled "Bankruptcy Manual for County Treasurers" at a cost of $87.00 to be paid for out of the Law Library Fund.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with the Treasurer's request to purchase the "Bankruptcy Manual for County Treasurers" at a cost of $87.00 to be paid out of the Law Library Fund.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of April, 1987.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

The Animal Warden was not present. The Board received copies of his report for the month of April, 1987.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

He stated he would like to meet with the Board in a workshop session to discuss the 1987-88 secondary road improvements budget. He indicated he would like to hold a public hearing at the June 17, 1987 meeting of the Board.

Mr. H. Clay asked about the status of the rumble strips on Rt. 460W at the intersection of U.S. Route 1. Mr. Neblett stated he was waiting on a report from the District Traffic & Safety Engineer.

IN RE: DOG CONFINEMENT ORDINANCE--AMENDMENT A-87-1

A public hearing was held February 18, 1987 to receive public input on a leash law/dog confinement ordinance for Dinwiddie County. As a result of that public hearing, an ordinance was drafted for a particular area of the County which includes many of the subdivisions that have expressed a desire for the ordinance.

Mr. H. Clay stated that he felt the area should be extended from Rt. 632 to Rt. 601 to Rt. 708 to Rt. 623 to Whippernock Marina on the Lake.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further

amended by the following addition:

CHAPTER 4 - Animals and Fowl

Section 22 - Running at Large - Prohibited in Certain Residential Areas

a. It shall be unlawful to permit any dog to run at large at any time during the year within any portion of the following areas of the County:

All that certain lot, parcel or piece of land with the improvements thereon located in Rohoic District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, and bounded generally as follows: on the north by Lake Chesdin and the Appomattox River; on the East by the City of Petersburg and U.S. Highway #1; on the South by U.S. Highway #1, State Route 460 and State Route 601 and 708; and on the West State Route 623 and Whippernock Creek.

b. All dogs shall be kept under restraint in the above described areas. A dog under restraint shall mean any dog secured by a leash or lead or penned up or under the control of a responsible person and obedient to that person's commands, or within the real property limits of its owner, provided, that restraint of hunting dogs shall be as provided in the Code of Virginia, as amended.

c. It shall be the duty of the Animal Warden to cause all dogs found running at large in violation of this Section to be caught and penned up at the owner's expense in the County Dog Pound.

d. This ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1987.

IN RE: RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTIONS AUTHORIZING $1,000,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1987A, OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 6th day of May, 1987, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

H.L. Clay, Jr., Chairman	Election District #2
M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman	Election District #3
H.A. Moody	Election District #1
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Election District #2
A.S. Clay	Election District #4

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

Member	Vote
H.L. Clay, Jr.	Aye
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
H.A. Moody	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTIONS AUTHORIZING $1,000,000 SCHOOL BONDS, SERIES OF 1987A, OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on March 18, 1987, as amended April 1, 1987 (together, the Prior Resolution), the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), authorized the issuance of the County's $1,000,000 School Bonds, Series of 1987A (the Bonds);

WHEREAS, it is necessary to amend the Prior Resolution to correct the provisions for early redemption of the Bonds;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia;

1. The first paragraph of section 5 of the Prior Resolution is hereby amended to read as follows:

> 5. Bonds maturing on or before December 15, 1996, are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. Bonds maturing on or after December 15, 1997, are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the County on or after December 15, 1996, in whole at any time or in part in inverse order of maturity on any interest payment date, upon payment of the principal amount of the bonds to be redeemed plus interest accrued and unpaid to the redemption date and a redemption premium of 2% of such principal amount if redeemed on or prior to June 15, 1997, 1 1/2% if redeemed thereafter and on or prior to December 15, 1997, 1% if redeemed thereafter and on or prior to June 30, 1998, 1/2 of 1% if redeemed thereafter and on or prior to December 15, 1998, and without premium if redeemed thereafter.

2. Except as amended hereby, the Prior Resolution is hereby ratified and confirmed.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately. The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held the 6th day of May, 1987 and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this 6th day of May, 1987.

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD & JAIL IMPROVEMENTS--SELECTION OF ARCHITECT

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, stated the County received three proposals for architect/engineering services for the Dinwiddie VFD and Jail improvements. They were: Chenault & Associates, TDFB, and DePasquale & Associates. Discussions were held with Chenault & Associates and TDFB. Chenault & Associates was selected to negotiate with and a fee of 10% of the project cost was agreed upon.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated she felt this was a reasonable fee because the work is considered renovation and the State guidelines are 13% to 15%.

Mr. Hargrave asked if she felt comfortable with the ability of this firm. Mrs. Quesenberry stated she did because she had checked references and they were very favorable.

Mr. A. Clay asked if the entrance to the Airport Park would be included. He was advised the architect had agreed to include that in the project.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to execute a contract with the architectural firm of Chenault & Associates to provide architect/engineering services for the Dinwiddie VFD and jail improvements projects, and the design for an entrance to the Dinwiddie Airpark.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--CUT BANK CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Cut Bank Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Church meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Cut Bank Church be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1987.

IN RE: SUPPORT OF LOCATION OF A NURSING HOME IN DINWIDDIE COUNTY

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. W. Brandol West of T&J Partnership has expressed to the Board of Supervisors and County officials his desire to construct a nursing home in the County of Dinwiddie just North of the Town of McKenney on U.S. Route 1; and

WHEREAS, citizens have expressed many times a need for a nursing home in the County of Dinwiddie where one does not exist; and

WHEREAS, favorable comments have been received regarding Mr. West's proposal;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia urges the State Health Department to give favorable consideration to the location of a nursing home in the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: EASTSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL -- REJECTION OF SEALED BIDS & DECISION TO SEEK ALTERNATE METHODS OF DISPOSAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia rejects all sealed bids received on March 3, 1987 for the purchase of Eastside Elementary School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will seek other alternatives to dispose of Eastside Elementary School, one of which may be sale at public auction.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL--REJECTION OF SEALED BIDS--AGREEMENT TO SELL AT PUBLIC AUCTION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia rejects all sealed bids received on March 3, 1987 for the purchase of Eastside Elementary School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will seek other alternatives to dispose of Eastside Elementary School, one of which may be sale at public auction.

IN RE: RAYMOND MCCANTS--TRASH DUMPSTERS AT ROHOIC

Mr. Raymond McCants distributed pictures he took of the trash dumpster location at Rohoic School. He stated he felt the Sheriff could catch these people who are illegally dumping if he tried.

The Chairman advised Mr. McCants the Board has discussed the problem and is making a move to help alleviate this situation in the future.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--JOHN TYLER BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Vance Mitchell was appointed to the John Tyler Board of Directors to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Bryant Neville, ending June 30, 1987.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the CPDC Executive Committee, term expiring December 31, 1987.

IN RE: DAVID LUCAS--RECREATION BUDGET

Mr. David Lucas asked the Board if the Recreation Budget was being considered. Mr. H. Clay stated, speaking for himself, he was in favor and hoped the Board would take some action before finalizing the budget.

Mr. Hargrave stated the recommendation is totally staff. The Commission didn't outline any programs the staff proposes doing. He would like to see the Commission suggest some broad principles, i.e. age groups and activities.

Mr. Lucas stated they had to start somewhere. Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to see some level of activity. Mr. Lucas said the Commission felt the Director would have the expertise, which the members did not feel that they had.

Mr. H. Clay stated he would like to vote for some sort of program that would be well received and citizens want to participate in. He would like to see the Commission meet again and set down these activities.

Mr. Robertson stated he had talked with representatives of the Committee and felt they have some ideas but they are difficult to put in a budget request. He suggested the Board meet with the Recreation Committee to exchange views.

The Board advised Mr. Lucas they would contact him when a meeting was set.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the material distributed to the Board at this meeting:

1. Letter to Compensation Board from Commissioner of Revenue requesting assistance on additional work needed on tax maps.

2. 1987-88 Construction Allocations on Secondary Road System.

3. Appomattox Regional Library Report.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:00 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:00 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P.M., Thursday, May 7, 1987.

MAY 7, 1987 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 6, 1987 MEETING

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
ABSENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1987-88 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

At the request of the School Board, the Board of Supervisors met with them to discuss the reduction proposed for the local allocation to the 1987-88 School budget.

Mr. Donald Haraway, Chairman, expressed concern for the $273,000 reduction, stating he did not feel the School Board could live with that cut.

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated looking at an estimated surplus of $100,000 and other areas of income that could increase, the School Board would still need an additional $70,000 to $100,000 in local funds.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF 1987-88 COUNTY BUDGET & TAX RATES

After reviewing the proposed 1987-88 budget, the County Administrator was instructed to make the following changes:

1. Transfer $36,000 from the county-wide computer system to the Recreation budget.

2. Subtract $38,000 income and eliminate the farm machinery tax.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the

meeting was adjourned at 10:35 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF MAY, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 6, 1987 regular meeting and the May 7, 1987 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-890 through 87-1023 amounting to: $75,947.69; County Construction Fund checks-numbering CCF-87-10 and 11 amounting to: $12,560.00; Fire Programs Fund checks-numbering FIRE-87-5 in the amount of $564.30; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-87-4 in the amount of $1,080.21; E911 Fund check #E9-87-6 in the amount of $3007.55; Law Library Fund check #LF-87-7 in the amount of $87.00.

IN RE: MCKENNEY TOWN COUNCIL--REQUEST FOR 1987-88 BUDGET APPROPRIATION

Mr. Charles T. Mansfield, Mayor, Town of McKenney requested that the Board consider funding within the 1987-88 budget for the following items for the Town of McKenney:

1. Fund 80% of a repeater for the Town Sargeant's police car - cost $2700.

2. Contribute $20,000 towards the purchase of a brush truck for the Mckenney VFD - total cost $25,000.

3. Pick up the insurance on the fire department vehicles which presently costs the Town $4500/year.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the present radio in the Town Sargeant's car will be suitable with the repeater. Mr. Mansfield stated it would.

Mr. A. Clay stated there is already money in the budget for the repeater for the Town Sargeant. He said the County is self insured and has some deductibles the Town may not have. If the County insures the fire trucks, they would have to be titled in the name of the County. Mr. Clay continued, stating he hoped there would be some money left in the Vehicle Fund to help with the brush truck.

The County Administrator stated he would meet with the insurance agent to discuss insuring the McKenney fire trucks, but he felt they should be titled in the County's name.

Mr. Mansfield stated that would present a problem of putting the maintenance on the County.

The County Administrator stated they would have to talk with the insurance company to see what could be worked out. Mr. A. Clay asked if it couldn't be worked out, could the County provide the money to help with the cost of the insurance. The County Administrator stated the Board could consider it.

IN RE: RECREATION BUDGET--ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION TO PROPOSED 1987-88 BUDGET

Mr. Robertson moved to increase the allocation for Recreation in the 1987-88 proposed budget from $50,000 to $60,000 as requested by the Recreation Committee.

Mr. Hargrave asked if they needed to find $10,000 elsewhere then to balance the budget. Mr. Robertson asked if there was a surplus account to draw upon.

The County Administrator stated $10,000 could be drawn from the reserve if that was the desire of the Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an additional $10,000 was approved for the Recreation Budget in the 1987-88 proposed budget.

IN RE: AUBREY S. CLAY--DECLARATION OF NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Mr. A.S. Clay stated that his wife works for the School Board and that has no effect on the way he votes on the budget.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1987-88 BUDGET

Mr. Robertson stated in talking with School Board members they find it difficult to operate with the proposed budget appropriation. It will curtail operations. He moved to amend the budget to add $125,000 to the School Board budget if it is available in surplus. If not, postpone the adoption of the budget until it can be found. There was no second.

Mr. Hargrave outlined $165,000 in other revenues, consisting of $100,000 projected school budget surplus, $35,000 in bond interest, and $30,000 saved by leasing the computer system, that would take care of the additional $125,000. Mr. Donald Haraway, Chairman of the School Board, stated they could not live with the $273,000 cut but either amendment proposed by the Board will help.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the 1987-88 budget was adopted as follows:

ANTICIPATED INCOME	1987-88
From Local Sources	$7,126,600
From State Sources	$2,548,255
TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME	$9,674,855
ESTIMATED EXPENSES	
01 General Government Administration	
011 Legislative	$ 22,050
012 General & Financial Admin.	440,755
013 Board of Elections	41,771
02 Judicial Administration	
021 Courts	24,225
022 Commonwealth's Atty	64,468
03 Public Safety	
031 Law Enf. & Traffic Control	930,765

032	Fire & Rescue Services	79,200
033	Correction & Detention	105,820
034	Inspections	24,345
035	Other Protection	44,850
04	PUblic Works	
041	Maint. of High. & Streets	37,250
042	Sanit. & Waste Removal	276,713
043	Maint. of Bldgs. & Grounds	143,131
044	Water Service	80,000
05	Health & Welfare	
051	Health	121,970
052	Mnt. Hlth. & Mntl. Retard.	36,370
053	Social Services	16,202
06	Education	
064	Community Colleges	1,235
07	Parks, Recreation & Cultural	
071	Parks & Recreation	46,500
073	Library	97,168
08	Community Development	
081	Plan. & Com. Develop.	142,501
082	Environ. Mgt.	5,000
083	Coop. Ext. Program	38,133
09	Non-Departmental	
091	Non-departmental	531,800
TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES		3,353,222
5100	TRANSFERS TO OTHER FUNDS	
5101	Va. Public Ass't. Fund from Gen. Fund	1,275,633
5102	School Fund from Gen. Fund	5,046,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES AND TRANSFERS		9,674,855

IN RE: ADOPTION OF TAX RATES FOR TAX YEAR 1987

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following tax rates were adopted for the tax year 1987:

Real Estate	.80
Mobile Homes	.80
Mineral Lands	.80
Public Services	.80
Personal Property	4.90
Machinery & Tools	3.30
Farm Machinery	-0-
Construction Machinery	3.30

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--SCHOOL BOARD APPOINTMENTS FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #2

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on May 6, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing to receive public input on appointments to the School Board for Election District #2.

Mr. Edward B. Titmus does not wish to be considered for reappointment.

Mr. H. Clay stated 3 people have expressed an interest to him for this appointment. He then asked each one of them to come forward and speak.

Mrs. Frances Duval, Mr. James F. Andrews and Dr. Richard A. Grenoble appeared before the Board to seek appointment to the School Board.

Mr. Laxton Wilson spoke in support of Mr. James Andrews.

Mr. H. Clay stated he would hold this appointment and encourage the citizens of Election District #2 to call him and express their opinion. Then he would make a decision.

Mr. Robertson stated that four years ago, he looked for someone with expertise in financing since the School budget is 75% of the total budget. Mr. Donald Haraway is presently serving as Chairman and Mr. Robertson said he has seen his expertise. He then called upon Mr. Haraway to speak on his behalf.

Mr. Haraway made a few comments concerning his past work on the School Board and that he supported an improved maintenance program and the middle school concept.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--DONALD HARAWAY--ELECTION DISTRICT #2

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Donald Haraway was reappointed to the School Board, term expiring June 30, 1991.

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION--EDWARD B. TITMUS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mr. Edward B. Titmus has served the County of Dinwiddie as a member of the School Board from February 11, 1969 to June 30, 1987, with integrity and dedication; and

WHEREAS, the current Board of Supervisors on the 20th day of May, 1987, is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express full awareness of, and appreciation for, his unselfish and honorable work in behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby commends Mr. Edward B. Titmus for his many contributions and devoted service to the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Mr. Edward B. Titmus and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--WALTER BRANCH

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Walter Branch was awarded $60.00 for 2 goats.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--VIRGINIA FITTS

Upon motion of Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Virginia Fitts was awarded $60.00 for 2 goats.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN--AWARD OF BID FOR DOG BOX

Action on awarding the bid on a new dog box for the Animal Warden truck was postponed to allow the Board an opportunity to look at the damage the steel dog box has done on the present truck.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Animal Warden felt the aluminum would recover the cost. The Animal Warden, Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr.,

stated he did and there would also be less maintenance.

Mr. A. Clay stated he did not think the value of the truck would be hurt with the steel box because the truck will not be sold anyway.

Mr. Hargrave stated the movement and placement of the aluminum box would be easier with very little maintenance. Mr. Robertson asked if the aluminum box would be easier to move. Mr. Brooks stated one person could move the aluminum box.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Doug Davis was awarded the bid for an all aluminum dog box for the Animal Warden, cost $1100.00.

IN RE: RESCUE SQUAD--AUTHORIZATION TO SELL CARDIAC CAR

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad was authorized to sell the 1975 Gran Fury Plymouth used as a Cardiac Car by sealed bid.

IN RE: REPORT ON RESCUE SQUAD ACTIVITIES

Mr. H. Clay, President, Dinwiddie Rescue Squad, gave a brief report on the Squad's activities for the past year. He stated they still need new members.

IN RE: ITINERANT VENDOR'S LICENSE--DELETION OF SECTION 13(3)f

At the last meeting, the Board took action, by emergency ordinance, to remove yard sales from the Itinerant Vendor's License requirements. When the proposed amendment was written there was still a requirement for non-profit organizations to obtain a license.

Mr. W.E. Bolte stated he felt this would be unenforceable and a burden to the citizens. It was, therefore, presented to the Board for clarification. Mr. Bolte and Sheriff B.M. Heath recommended to the Board the elimination of (f) under Section 13-3 of the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the deletion of (f) from Section 13-3 of the Itinerant Vendor's License ordinance for a public hearing.

IN RE: ROUTE 460/632 SEWER PROJECT -- TINDALL CONCRETE SITE

The County Administrator presented two options to extend sewer lines from behind Rohoic Elementary School to the Rt. 460/632 intersection and Tindall Concrete Products, Inc., and under Rt. 460 to Selective Coatings and Inks, Inc. in the Airport Industrial Park.

He explained that by accepting Phase I, which extends the sewer line to Tindall Concrete Products, Inc., it would not result in an increase in cost to the County, but would reduce funds to be received from the Economic Development Administration. By accepting Phase 2, boring under Rt. 460 W and Phase 3, extending the line to Selective Coatings and Inks, Inc. in the Airport Industrial Park, the County would gain two connection fees and full participation by EDA. He added that Phase 2 and Phase 3 must go together.

Mr. H. Clay stated by accepting all three phases, at a cost to the County of $37,659, the sewer line would serve the industry in the industrial park and gain an additional $50,000 in EDA funds, which is almost a 50/50 match. The County Administrator stated that was correct.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes the extension of sewer lines to Tindall Concrete Products, Inc. and under Rt. 460 W to Selective Coatings and Inks, Inc. in the Airport Industrial Park referred to as Phases 1, 2 and 3; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $37,659 be appropriated for this project.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO BORROW $2.6 MILLION IN ANTICIPATION OF THE COLLECTION OF TAXES AND OTHER REVENUES FOR FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 1987

At the meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 20th day of May, 1987 at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

H.L. Clay, Jr, Chairman
M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman
H.A. Moody
G.E. Robertson, Jr.
A.S. Clay

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

MEMBER	VOTE
H.L. Clay, Jr.	Aye
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
H.A. Moody	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors funds it necessary to borrow up to $2,600,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1987;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The County Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to solicit from appropriate lending institutions proposals for loans to the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia in amounts up to $2,600,000 in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1987.

2. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to evaluate all proposals and select the proposal(s) deemed to be in the County's best interest, to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the selected proposal(s), and to deliver the notes to the selected lending institution(s) upon payment therefor.

3. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such

certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 20th day of May, 1987, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 20th day of May, 1987.

County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--WORKSHOP SESSION ON 1987-88 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to hold a workshop session on the 1987-88 secondary roads improvement budget. Mr. Neblett reviewed the recommendations of the Highway Department.

Mr. Hargrave mentioned that cross arm gates are needed ar the railroad crossing at Carson and also the poor condition of Rt. 619 from Rt. 626 to Rt. 40. He asked that these two locations be noted in the 1987-88 improvement budget. Mr. Neblett stated they would be mentioned at the public hearing for consideration when the Board revises the Six-Year Plan.

IN RE: BERRYFIELD SUBDIVISION--STATUS OF MOVING TELEPHONE POLES

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, reviewed the status of the work to be done on Berryfield Subdivision. He stated the Dept. could not do anything on the center road section until the telephone poles are moved. They can proceed with the two sections on either side. He added there may be some additional land needed at the cul-de-sac. The Board members agreed that the Highway Department should move ahead on the outside sections of road and leave the center section until the telephone poles can be moved.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--MCKENNEY VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPT.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the McKenney VFD has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Department meets the requirements of the Code of Virginia and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the McKenney VFD be granted a Bingo & Raffle permit for calendar 1987.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay

voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:43 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 12:01 A.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 12:02 A.M.

H.L. Clay, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF JUNE, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the May 20, 1987 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-1024 through 87-1337 amounting to $345,308.10; County Construction Fund checks-numbering CCF-87-12 through 15 amounting to $77,934.00; Law Library Fund check #LF-87-8 in the amount of $79.78; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-87-1 through JGC-87-3 amounting to $3,311.04; Water and SEwer Fund check-number W&S-87-5 in the amount of $2,982.37.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to make the following transfers:

1. Transfer $200,000 from General Fund to County Construction Fund;

2. Transfer $188,022 from General Fund to Vehicle Fund.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--MAPPING UPDATE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, stated that R. Stuart Royer and Associates estimated the cost of updating the Commissioner of Revenue's tax maps to be $8500. At a previous meeting, the Board asked that the Commissioner of Revenue request participation in the cost by the Dept. of Taxation and Compensation Board. Mr. Bolte stated the Compensation Board agreed to participate in the $8500 cost provided the work was completed by May 30, 1987. The work has been completed at a total cost of $5,197.68. He further stated that the Dept. of Taxation has agreed to run the mylar copies which he has purchased from Bruning at a cost of $354.03. In addition, the Compensation Board is participating in the Clerk's expenses for reviewing the maps.

IN RE: TREASURER

The Treasurer was not present. The Board received copies of his monthly report prior to the meeting.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Building Inspector was not present. The Chairman read his monthly report.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Robert Rainey, Deputy Animal Warden, was present. The Board received copies of his report prior to the meeting.

IN RE: R. JOSEPH EMERSON, JR.--APPOINTMENT AS ZONING ADMINISTRATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr. was appointed Zoning Administrator.

IN RE: R. JOSEPH EMERSON, JR.--APPOINTMENT AS SUBDIVISION AGENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr. was appointed Subdivision Agent.

IN RE: A.S. CLAY--DECLARATION OF NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Mr. A.S. Clay stated his wife works for the Dinwiddie County School Board and does not affect his vote on the appointment of a School Board member.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER FOR ELECTION DISTRICT #2--JAMES F. ANDREWS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. James F. Andrews was appointed to the Dinwiddie County School Board, term expiring June 30, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION--JAMES L. MARTIN

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. James L. Martin was appointed to the Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Harrison Moody, expiring December 31, 1990.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--JOHN TYLER BOARD OF DIRECTORS -- VANCE MITCHELL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Vance Mitchell was reappointed to the John Tyler Board of Directors, term expiring June 30, 1991.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--JAMES E. WALKER

The Board received an application for a Special Entertainment Permit from Mr. James E. Walker to hold music festivals on June 27, 1987 and July 18, 1987 from 8:00 P.M. until 1:00 A.M. at the Orioles Ball Park on Rt. 605. Mr. Walker was present in support of the request. Deputy Claude Townsend stated he did not know of any complaints received in the past at this location.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. James E. Walker was granted a Special Entertainment Permit to hold music festivals on June 27, 1987 and July 18, 1987 from 8:00 P.M. until 1:00 A.M. at the Orioles Ball Park on Rt. 605 with all the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: YOUTH SERVICES COMMISSION--REPORT ON YOUTH FORUM & ANNUAL REPORT

Mr. Mason Coleman, representing the Youth Services Commission, gave a brief report on the Youth Forum and distributed copies of the annual work plan for the upcoming year.

Mr. H. Clay stated he was happy to have taken part in the Youth Forum and thanked the Commission for a job well done.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-3--WHOLESALE BUSINESS & STORAGE WAREHOUSE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 3, 1987 and Wednesday, June 10, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Dinwiddie County Code by adding wholesale business and storage warehouse to a business, general B-2 district.

The County Administrator reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval "with a conditional use permit" at their May 13, 1987 meeting. The amendment was requested by Mr. E.J. Blackwell who was present. His property is zoned Business, B-2 which does not allow a wholesale business and storage warehouse. The County Administrator stated he did not feel this particular use demands a conditional use permit attached to it.

Mr. E.J. Blackwell stated he plans to locate a storage warehouse on his property and rent out the space. It will not be used for personal storage.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Planning Commission approved the amendment but added "with a conditional use permit". However, the proposed ordinance does not contain that language. The County Administrator stated the ordinance was drafted at the request of Mr. Blackwell. The addition of a conditional use permit was recommended by the Planning Commission and must be acted on by the Board.

Mr. Hargrave stated that auto storage and open storage were already allowed in Business B-2 and is more unsightly. He therefore felt it would just add work to the Board to require a conditional use permit.

Mr. Moody stated the only problem without a conditional use permit is that Business B-2 sometimes borders on residential areas and they would need to keep an eye on the storage.

Mr. A. Clay stated he saw no need for a conditional use permit. It would cause an extra burden with paperwork.

Mr. H. Clay stated the Planning Commission did not recommend any certain conditions. It may be desireable to have more conditions later down the road.

The County Administrator stated the conditions would apply to everyone. If the Board adds a conditional use permit, Mr. Blackwell will have to go through the public hearing for a conditional use permit.

Mr. Robertson stated if they added the conditional use permit, it would give better protection. He could see no reason not to endorse it.

Mr. Blackwell stated he had been waiting three months.

No one spoke in support or opposition.

Mr. H. Clay said with the possibility of Business B-2 abutting a subdivision, it may be better to be able to control appearance. They could have someone who wants to construct something undesireable.

Mr. Robertson asked the County Attorney for a ruling on having to go through another public hearing for the conditional use permit.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, stated the applicant only asked for an amendment to the ordinance, not a conditional use permit. Therefore, he would have to reapply under the new ordinance.

Mr. Robertson moved the disapproval of amendment A-87-3, to add to Business B-2 District, Wholesale Business and Storage Warehouse. Mr. Moody seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. Hargrave asked why couldn't the Board consider the ordinance with a conditional use permit. He was advised the Board could consider it. Mr. Robertson stated it would give the Board an opportunity to look at each situation.

Mr. Robertson moved to reconsider amendment A-87-3. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Zoning Ordinance be amended by adding the following to Section 22-185:

(36) Wholesale Business and Storage Warehouse, with conditional use permit.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--PUBLIC HEARING ON 1987-88 SECONDARY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on May 23, 24, 30, and 31, 1987 and June 6, 7, 13, and 14, 1987 and in the Monitor on June 3 and 10, 1987 to hold a joint public hearing to receive public input on the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation 1987-88 Secondary System Improvement Budget for Dinwiddie County.

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, VDH&T, presented the recommendations of the Highway Department as a result of the joint public hearing held with the Board of Supervisors on May 20, 1987.

The following people spoke:

1. Mrs. George R. Scott requested that Rt. 615 be paved. The Board discussed connecting Rt. 615 with Duncan Road if it is paved to provide more service to the public. This road could be considered when the Six-Year Plan is revised.

2. Mr. Calvin Ellington, representing the Reams Ruritan Club, requested that Rt. 605 be paved. Mr. Neblett stated Rt. 605 is currently in the Six-Year Plan.

3. Mr. Levy, Mrs. Emma Pate, and Mrs. Mary Sue Smith requested that Rt. 715 be paved.

4. Mrs. Pearl Bland spoke concerning Rt. 644. Mr. Neblett stated a preliminary field investigation had been held that day.

Mr. H. Clay asked when the Six-Year Plan would be reviewed. Mr. Neblett stated it would probably be September.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--ADOPTION OF 1987-88 SECONDARY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors met with representatives of the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation in a workshop session on May 20, 1987 to discuss the construction priorities for the 1987-88 Secondary System Improvement budget; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held jointly with the Highway Department on June 17, 1987 to receive public input on the 1987-88 Secondary System Improvement Budget; and

WHEREAS, the comments received were directed to the Six-Year Plan and would not change the 1987-88 Improvement Budget as presented; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments at the public hearing and the recommendations from the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation representatives, the Board of Supervisors concurs with the priorities listed in the 1987-88 Secondary System Improvement budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the priority list of construction projects for Dinwiddie County's 1987-88 Secondary Improvement Budget be adopted as presented by the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY--ROUTE 672 BRIDGE PROJECT--RELOCATION OF WATER & SEWER LINES

Mr. A.J. Eubank, Director, Dinwiddie County Water Authority, advised the Board that the construction of a new bridge on Rt. 672 over the N&W railroad is going to require the relocation of 800 feet of water line and 130' of sewer line. If the work is included with the bid solicited by the Highway Department, the cost is estimated to be $24,000. If the work can be done before the State contract is let, the estimated cost is $15,000 to $16,000. Mr. Eubank stated the Water Authority, however, did not have the funds for this work.

Mr. Robertson stated the bridge replacement has been discussed for some time. He asked how they got into the situation of having to move the lines. Mr. Eubank stated the Highway Department did not have any plans drawn at the time the water lines were installed. Mr. Robertson stated he felt the cost should be shared with the Highway Department and the railroad. The railroad has not increased their participation of 10%.

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va, Dept. of Highways and Transportation, suggested the Board may desire to pass a resolution requesting the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation to consider participating in the cost of relocating the water and sewer lines.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation is preparing to bid the construction of a new bridge on Route 672 over the N&W railroad; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority has been notified by the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation that it must move approximately 800 feet of water and 130 feet of sewer line due to the bridge project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated cost of the relocation of these lines is $24,000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation is hereby requested to include the relocation of the water and sewer lines in the cost of the bridge construction project on Route 672; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these relocation costs would be funded from the Dinwiddie County Secondary Improvement funds allocated to this project.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:29 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:03 P.M.

IN RE: TRASH CONTAINERS--AWARD OF BID

Two bids were received for trash dumpsters for the Dinwiddie County Landfill as follows:

1. 10 containers w/ double top lid
   Lewis Steel - $395.00 each Cavalier Equip. - $490.00 each

2. 40 containers w/ no top lid
   Lewis Steel - $370.00 each Cavalier Equip. - $440.00 each

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the low bid of Lewis Steel, Inc. was accepted for 50 trash containers for the Landfill Department, total cost $18,750.

IN RE: RECONSIDERATION OF POULTRY CLAIM--SARAH CHANDLER

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Sarah Chandler was awarded $139.00 for 43 chickens and 4 guineas.

IN RE: TOWN OF MCKENNEY--ADDITION OF FIRE VEHICLES TO COUNTY INSURANCE POLICY

The County Administrator stated that at the last meeting, the McKenney Town Council requested funding from the Board for the following items:

1. Insurance coverage for the McKenney VFD fire vehicles.

2. A repeater system for the Town Sargeant.

3. Participation in the purchase of a brush truck for the McKenney VFD.

The County Administrator stated that funds for the repeater system were included in the budget and if funds work out, there will be some money in the Vehicle Fund to put towards the purchase of a brush truck.

Concerning the insurance coverage, he stated there are two alternatives: 1. Title the vehicles to the County or 2. Add the McKenney VFD to the County's policy. The cost would be $1500 and there is a $1,000 deductible, which is the responsibility of the insured.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt the County should participate with the McKenney VFD as it does with the others. However, he felt there is a need for more information on the department's need for a brush truck.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr.

Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the addition of the McKenney VFD fire vehicles to the County's insurance policy with Chesterfield Insurers was approved effective July 1, 1987.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board's material for this meeting:

1. Letter from Washington County urging adoption of a resolution to permit recognized volunteers who provide community service to receive a deduction or tax incentive on their Virginia income tax based upon a certain specified number of hours devoted to community work.

2. Letter from South Central Private Industry Council recognizing the appointment of N.C. Olgers to the Private Industry Council.

3. Information from the Appomattox River Water Authority on the final Solid Waste Study and regional solid waste disposal concept.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:20 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:43 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:44 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

County of Dinwiddie

P.O. DRAWER 70
Dinwiddie, Virginia 23841

TELEPHONE (804) 469-3717

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
E.A. BRACEY, JR.
C.W. HARRISON
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.

A.S. CLAY
CHAIRMAN

H.A. MOODY
VICE-CHAIRMAN

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 5TH DAY OF APRIL, 1989, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	A. S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO MINUTES -- JUNE 17, 1987

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, advised that recently ordinances to be included in the next supplement of the County Code were accumulated. In going through these changes, she found one amendment had been omitted in the June 17, 1987 minutes. This amendment deals with the Itinerant Vendors ordinance. During the discussion and adoption of that ordinance, the section that dealt with Yard Sales was brought up. At that time Mr. William Bolte, Commissioner of the Revenue, came before the Board and there was a lengthy discussion on Yard Sales and agreement they should not be included in the Itinerant Vendor licenses. The ordinance was prepared and was duly adopted on June 17, 1987; however, it was not included in the minutes. Mrs. Quesenberry requested the June 17, 1987 minutes be amended to show the exemption for Yard Sales under the Itinerant Vendor ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the June 17, 1987 minutes are amended as follows:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be amended as follows:

SECTION 13-3. Itinerant Vendors, Merchants and Mechanics

(f) DELETE.

In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

A copy, TESTE:

Wendy W. Quesenberry

Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 23RD DAY OF JUNE, 1987 AT 5:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW $2,600,000 IN ANTICIPATION OF THE COLLECTION OF TAXES AND OTHER REVENUES

At a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 23rd day of June, 1987, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT:

H.L. Clay, Jr., Chairman
M.I. Hargrave, Jr., Vice-Chairman
H.A. Moody
G.E. Robertson, Jr.
A.S. Clay

ABSENT:

None

the following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as shown below:

MEMBER	VOTE
H.L. Clay, Jr.	Aye
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
H.A. Moody	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors by resolution adopted on May 20, 1987, has authorized the borrowing by Dinwiddie County of up to $2,600,000 in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of Dinwiddie County and has authorized the solicitation of proposals therefor from lending institutions; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been advised that Signet Bank of Virginia has submitted the better of the two proposals received by the County;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. The proposal of Signet Bank of Virginia to purchase revenue anticipation notes of Dinwiddie County in an amount not to exceed $2,600,000, bearing interest at the rate of 4 1/2% per year payable at maturity, with additional interest at the rate of .46% per year payable at closing, and maturing on December 15, 1987, without option of prior redemption, is hereby accepted. Officers of the County shall execute appropriate notes to reflect the proposal and such closing certificates as may be appropriate.

2. The borrowing of such money shall be pursuant to Sections 15.1-545 and 15.1-546 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, and shall be in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1987.

3. Such notes shall be general obligations of the County

and shall be payable from the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1987. In addition, the full faith and credit of the County is hereby pledged to the payment of the notes.

4. The County hereby designates the notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for the purpose of Section 265(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The County hereby represents and covenants as follows:

(a) The County will in no event designate more than $10,000,000 of obligations as qualified tax-exempt obligations in 1987, including the notes, for the purpose of such Section 265(b)(3);

(b) The County and all its "subordinate entities," within the meaning of such Section 265(b)(3), have not issued more than $10,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1987 (not including "private activity bonds," as defined in Section 141 of the Code, other than "qualified 501(c)(3) bonds," as defined in Section 145 of the Code), including the notes;

(c) Barring circumstances unforeseen as of the date of delivery of the notes, the County will not issue tax-exempt obligations itself or approve the issuance of tax-exempt obligations of any of such subordinate entities if the issuance of such tax-exempt obligations would, when aggregated with all other tax-exempt obligations theretofore issued by the County and such subordinate entities in 1987, result in the County and such subordinate entities having issued a total of more than $10,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in such year (not including private activity bonds other than qualified 501(c)(3) bonds), including the notes; and

(d) The County has no reason to believe that the County and such subordinate entities will issue tax-exempt obligations in 1987 in aggregate amounts that will exceed such $10,000,000 limit.

5. The County hereby covenants that it shall not permit the gross proceeds of the Notes to be used in any manner that would result in either (a) 10% or more of such proceeds being considered as having been used directly or indirectly in any trade or business carried on by any person other than a governmental unit as provided in Sec. 141(b) of the Code, or (b) 5% or more of such proceeds being considered as having been used directly or indirectly to make or finance loans to any persons other than a governmental unit as provided in Sec. 141(c) of the Code.

6. The County hereby represents and covenants as follows:

(a) The County and all its "subordinate entities", within the meaning of Sec. 148(f) (4) (iii) of the Code, have not issued more than $5,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1987 (not including "private activity bonds," as defined in Sec. 141 of the Code), including the Notes;

(b) Barring circumstances unforeseen as of the date of delivery of the Notes, the County will not issue tax-exempt obligations itself or approve the issuance of tax-exempt obligations of any such subordinate entities if the issuance of such tax-exempt obligations would, when aggregated with all other tax-exempt obligations theretofore issued in 1987 by the County and such subordinate entities, result in the County and such subordinate entities having issued a total of more than $5,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in such years (not including private activity bonds), including the Notes;

(c) The County has no reason to believe that the County and such subordinate entities will issue tax-exempt obligations in 1987 in aggregate amounts that will exceed such $5,000,000 limit; and

(d) At least 95% of the proceeds of the Notes shall be used for "local government activities" of the County within the meaning of Sec. 148(f)(4)(ii) of the Code.

7. Except as modified hereby, the resolution adopted on May 20, 1987, is hereby approved and confirmed.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the 23rd day of June, 1987, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this 25th day of June, 1987.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County,
Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claim be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund check #87-1338 in the amount of $5,213.33.

IN RE: SALE OF EASTSIDE SCHOOL--AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE PUBLIC HEARING

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise a public hearing for the July 15, 1987 meeting to receive public input on the sale of Eastside Elementary School.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:54 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:
W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 30TH DAY OF JUNE, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF DUMPSTER ABUSE

Mr. H. Clay stated that the citizens are complaining about the misuse of and scavenging at the dumpster locations in the County. The main problem areas he had seen were Rohoic, Gerow, Olger's Store, and the Barrow property.

Several suggestions were discussed:

1. Designating certain days and areas to pick up large items that cannot be placed in the dumpsters.

2. Passing an ordinance to ban outside use of the dumpsters.

3. Designating a special section at the locations for large items.

4. Contracting with a private firm to dispose of large items.

5. Hiring someone in the neighborhood to police and take care of the dumpster location.

6. Posting appeal signs at the locations.

7. Increasing the pickup at the problem locations.

No action was taken.

IN RE: INCREASE IN SALARIES--BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors salaries were last increased in 1983; and

WHEREAS, it is the feeling that the salary should be commensurate with the burden and the expenses of the office; and

WHEREAS, this resolution must be adopted prior to July 1, 1987 to be effective on January 1, 1988 for a period of four years ending December 31, 1991;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the salaries of the members of the Board of Supervisors will be as follows beginning January 1, 1988:

1. January 1, 1988 through December 31, 1988 - $3,000

2. January 1, 1989 through December 31, 1989 - $3,300

3. January 1, 1990 through December 31, 1990 - $3,600

4. January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991 - $3,600

IN RE: INCREASE IN SALARY--CHAIRMAN & VICE-CHAIRMAN OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of the Board shall receive an additional $50.00 per month in salary, effective January 1, 1988; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Vice-Chairman of the Board shall receive an additional $25.00 per month in salary effective January 1, 1988.

IN RE: PAINTING/REFURBISHMENT OF COURTHOUSE--AWARD OF CONTRACT

Two bids were received for painting/refurbishment of the Courthouse:

1. A & G Painting Contractors - $17,150.00

2. M.P. Barden & Sons - $24,610

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the low bid submitted by A & G Painting Contractors for painting/refurbishment of the courthouse was accepted at a cost of $17,150.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--MIKE ALLEN

The Board received an application for a Special Entertainment Permit from Mr. Mile Allen to provide musical entertainment for the campers at Allen's Marina on Saturday, July 4, 1987 from 9:00 P.M. to 12:00 midnight. No admission will be charged and will be limited to campers only. Attendance is estimated to be 70 to 100.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Mike Allen was granted a Special Entertainment Permit to provide musical entertainment for the campers at Allen's Marina on Saturday, July 4, 1987 from 9:00 P.M. to 12:00 Midnight with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:25 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:04 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:05 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 8TH DAY OF JULY, 1987 AT 4:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 7:06 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 15TH DAY OF JULY, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	CO. ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the June 17, 1987 regular meeting and the June 23, June 30, and July 8, 1987 special meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to transfer $400 from the General Fund to the Law Library Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds be appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-1339 through 87-1607 amounting to $272,734.93; History Book Fund check #HB-87-3 in the amount of $12.57; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-87-6 in the amount of $2,799.33; County Construction Fund checks numbering CCF-87-16 and 17 amounting to $28,075.00; Fire Programs Fund checks-numbering FIRE-87-6 and 7 amounting to $653.84 Radio Fund check #RADIO-87-1 in the amount of $92.00; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-87-9 through 13 amounting to $859.43.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of June, 1987.

Mr. Jones also presented the following reports:

1. 1985 delinquent tax listing as of June 30, 1987.
2. 1986 delinquent tax listing as of June 30, 1987.
3. Comparable tax collection rate - June 30, 1987.
4. Statement of Investments - July 14, 1987.

IN RE: DELINQUENT TAX LIST -- ADVERTISEMENT

Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, stated that Sec. 58.1-3924 of the Code of Virginia provides for the delinquent tax listing to be published in the newspaper if it is the desire of the local governing body. Mr. Jones stated he would recommend not to publish the list, one reason being that land sales for delinquent taxes would be coming up.

No action was taken.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Building Inspector was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of June, 1987.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Robert K. Rainey, Deputy Animal Warden, presented his report for the month of June, 1987. Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. Animal Warden, has been out with knee surgery. He was also present.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--LEONARD HARRISON

After reading the information submitted on the livestock claim of Mr. Leonard Harrison, Mr. Hargrave moved that five (5) goats be approved for payment and that further investigation be made on the remaining four for review at the next meeting. Mr. A. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICSS--1987-88 FINAL BUDGET

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, stated that the 1987-88 Social Services budget was returned with a 9% overall reduction and an 11% reduction in local funding. Mrs. Talley requested that the local appropriation of $249,161 remain to use as local match should additional federal or state monies become available.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the 1987-88 Social Services budget be approved as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the local appropriation remain at $249,161.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION -- CHESTNUT GARDENS SUBDIVISION, SECTION SEVEN -- AUTUMN DRIVE AND SPARROW COURT -- ADDITION TO SECONDARY ROAD SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors this 15th day of July, 1987, that the Virginia Department of Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Autumn Drive, beginning at a point on Route 601, 0.24 miles west of Route 1352 and running in a northwesterly direction 0.18 miles to the intersection of Sparrow Court. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Sparrow Court, beginning at a point on Autumn Drive 0.18 miles northwest of Route 601 and running in a southeasterly direction 0.06 miles to a dead end with a turn-around and beginning at a point on Autumn Drive and running in a northwesterly direction 0.09 miles to a dead end with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these roads in Chestnut Gardens Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the

Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right of way of 50' with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 12, Pages 63 and 64, dated October 5, 1983.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--RURAL ADDITION POLICY ON ACQUISITION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY

Mr. Harold Dyson, Assistant Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, stated that he had received a request from Central Office to canvass the local governing bodies to receive comments on the present policy of acquiring right-of-way for rural additions.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the present policy is the right-of-way be contributed by the landowners to improve dirt roads. He thought it was the policy of the highway department and that it is a good one.

Mr. H. Clay asked if they ran into an unusual case, i.e. moving a house, could it be handled differently. Mr. Dyson said he didn't see that as a problem. It should never involve relocating a house.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation continue the present policy of acquiring right-of-way under the rural addition process.

IN RE: VIRGINIA HILLS SUBDIVISON--REQUEST TO EXTEND DOG CONFINEMENT LAW

Mr. Robert Powell, Mr. David Maywalt, Mr. Bill Maywalt, and Mrs. Velma Canaday of Virginia Hills Subdivision, appeared before the Board to request the extension of the dog confinement ordinance into Virginia Hills Subdivision.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the majority of the residents support this request. Mr. Powell stated yes, they plan to stay there.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a public hearing was authorized to receive public input on extending the dog confinement ordinance into Virginia Hills Subdivision.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-87-3--E.J. BLACKWELL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, July 1, 1987 and Wednesday, July 15, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider approval of a request by Mr. E.J. Blackwell for a conditional use permit to operate a storage warehouse on his property which is zoned Business, B-2.

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval with one condition at their July 8, 1987 meeting.

Mr. E. J. Blackwell was present in support of his request. No one spoke in opposition.

Mr. Blackwell stated he planned to use a metal building designed for storage--not mini-storage.

Mr. Hargrave apologized to Mr. Blackwell for the steps he had to go through, explaining that the change in zoning might abut to residential property and require the addition of conditions.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request by Mr. E.J. Blackwell for a conditional use permit to operate a storage warehouse on his property on U.S. Route 1 was approved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-87-3--E.L. CLAY

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, July 1, 1987 and Wednesday, July 8, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of a portion of Section 19, Parcel 1, containing 113 acres from Agricultural, Conservative A-3 to Agricultural, General A-2.

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, reviewed the application and action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at their July 8, 1987 meeting. There is a garage operating on the property now and the owner, Mr. Gary Groux, wants to expand the building, which is not allowed in an A-3 area.

Mr. Emerson made the following comments: 1. The garage has existed in harmony in the area. 2. There is A-2 property across from this property. 3. The garage provides a necessary service. 4. The request is for A-2 rather than B-2. 5. A garage would exist in B-2. 6. It is a non-conforming use. 7. Ground water pollution is a concern with the disposal of petroleum products. It could restrict residential development in the future. 8. The property is in the watershed of Lake Chesdin. 9. There is an aesthetic concern--collecting tires, car parts. He would have to erect a fence.

Mr. Gary Groux, owner of the garage, stated all car washing would be done by Safety-Clean. He had never had any spills. All washing would be on pavement, not on the ground.

Mr. H. Clay and Mr. Moody asked about the location of the garage as to Lake Chesdin. Mr. Emerson stated his real concern was not the Lake. It was polluting the ground water.

Mr. H. Clay asked what the volume of car washing would be. Mr. Groux stated he didn't have to wash cars. It is a convenience to the public only. It will not be a commercial car wash. He also wants to winterize boats. He stated he was concerned about pollution too.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the district classification of a portion of section 19, parcel 1, containing 113 acres be amended from Agricultural, Conservative, A-3 to Agricultural, General, A-2.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--SALE OF EASTSIDE SCHOOL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, July 1, 1987 and Wednesday, July 8, 1987 to receive public input on the sale of Eastside School.

The Board may consider sale by public auction. Sealed bids have been tried but bids were rejected.

Mr. H. Clay stated the School Board sees no need for the structure in the future. The County continues to pay

debt service on the school and all maintenance.

No public comments were received.

IN RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF RECREATION ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Chairman explained there are three alternatives to establishing a body to work with the Recreation Director and program in the County. They are an Advisory Council, a Recreation Commission and a Recreation Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, recreation opportunities for the citizens of Dinwiddie County are limited due to lack of organized programs and facilities; and

WHEREAS, it is felt that a coordinated approach to recreation is needed; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has provided funding for a recreation program and authorized the hiring of a full-time Coordinator;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that there shall hereby be created a Recreation Advisory Council to advise and recommend to the Board of Supervisors, County Administrator and the public on planning and developing a program that will best fill the park and recreation needs of the community; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the members of the Council shall be appointed as follows: one (1) member appointed by each Board member representing each Election District and one (1) ex officio member recommended by the Youth Services Commission; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that members shall be appointed for staggered terms as follows: two (2) members for a term of two years and three (3) members for a term of three years all terms expiring on June 30th of each year. Upon the expiration of their original terms of office, each succeeding term shall be for three (3) years; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that operational policies and procedures for this body shall be established in a set of by-laws as developed by the Council and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--RECREATION ADVISORY COUNCIL

Mr. Hargrave nominated Dr. R.R. Butterworth. Mr. A. Clay nominated Mr. Larry House. Mr. Moody nominated Mr. W.H. Maitland. Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. Laxton Wilson for Mr. Robertson. Mr. Hargrave nominated Mr. Robert Bowden for Mr. H. Clay.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following appointments were made to the Recreation Advisory Council:

Dr. R.R. Butterworth for a term of two years, expiring June 30, 1989;

Mr. Larry House for a term of two years, expiring June 30, 1989;

Mr. W.H. Maitland for a term of three years, expiring June 30, 1990;

Mr. Laxton Wilson for a term of three years, expiring June 30, 1990;

Mr. Robert Bowden for a term of three years, expiring June 30, 1990.

IN RE: PARKS & RECREATION COORDINATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the position of Parks and Recreation Coordinator be created; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this position be included in the personnel system for the County.

IN RE: DUMPSTERS--DISCUSSION OF PROBLEM AREAS

The County Administrator stated he was investigating a second truck on weekends to pick up morning and afternoon at the problem locations. This is the only alternative short of putting on a clean-up crew on weekends. He stated an ordinance on limiting the use of dumpsters or putting someone on to oversee the locations would be welcome.

Mr. H. Clay asked about the larger items. The County Administrator stated that the cost of special equipment would be too heavy and would not resolve the situation.

Mr. Hargrave asked if he thought the people just don't know or don't care.

The County Administrator stated it's several problems, a tremendous increase in the amount of trash being at the top of the list.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt tickets should be written and invited the Sheriff's Department to help.

Mr. T.O. Rainey, County Attorney, presented an ordinance adopted by the City of Petersburg to restrict the use of their Landfill to Petersburg residents only.

It was pointed out that it would take manpower to enforce it. It may cause people to start tossing the trash on the road.

No action was taken.

IN RE: MCKENNEY VFD--REQUEST FOR MULTI-PURPOSE BRUSH TRUCK

Mr. David Runion, Chief, McKenney VFD, appeared before the Board to request funding for a multi-purpose brush truck. He stated it would be used as a water supplement on structure fires and forest fires and as a personnel carrier. He stated the department has had a 100% increase in members. The 1973 van is used to transport personnel but he feels it is unsafe.

He then presented bids received on 4-wheel drive trucks ranging from $14,700 to $15,500. The water tank and pumps and supply line are $6,000; emergency lights, bar, siren and speakers are $1,000; 2 sets of breathing apparatus $3200 making a total of $25,000.

Mr. Runion stated they were running 98% of their calls in the County. Brush fires are 1/2 of the calls. Less than 25%

are auto accidents.

Mr. Hargrave stated he saw the need to transport people and protect people. But in his experience in woods fires, you can't get into the woods with a truck. They have to wait on state equipment. He hasn't found the brush truck to be a real useful thing. He asked if it will carry enough water for a wreck.

Mr. Runion stated it will put out a structure fire if applied properly. If there is an access road, it provides 250 gallons to refuel backpacks.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to have the need reinforced by the other Fire Chiefs. It is half of the price of a small fire truck.

Mr. A. Clay asked if the companies gave delivery dates. Mr. Runion said no.

Mr. A. Clay moved that a contribution of $20,000 be approved towards the purchase of a brush truck for the McKenney VFD. Mr. Moody seconded the motion. Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voted "aye". Mr. Hargrave voted "nay", stating he did not oppose the equipment for the department, he would still like to have reinforcement of the need by the other fire chiefs.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT--STUDY OF ROOF

The County Administrator presented a proposal from the architect, Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, to provide an infra-red roof scan of the Health Center for $4,330. He stated they did not know what caused the leak in the kitchen, whether it was flashing or a clogged drain. Mr. H. Clay stated they should find out if it is a clogged drain first.

The County Administrator presented pictures the architect took stating they felt it was a problem with the roof.

Mr. Hargrave stated a good roofer should be able to provide this information for less than $1700. The County Administrator stated the roof was built in 1951.

No action was taken.

IN RE: CLERK'S OFFICE--WATER COOLER; HEATH DEPARTMENT--PIPE CHASES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", change order #2 was approved to provide a water cooler for the Clerk's Office and change order #3 was approved to provide pipe chases for the Heath Center.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:38 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:26 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:27 P.M.

ATTEST: _____
W.E. KNOTT

_____
H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 23RD DAY OF JULY, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	T.O. RAINEY, III	CO. ATTORNEY

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF COUNTY ATTORNEY -- JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. James E. Cornwell, Jr. is hereby appointed County Attorney for the County of Dinwiddie, effective September 1, 1987.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD FIREHOUSE--DISCUSSION OF ADDITION

Mr. John Chenault, Chenault & Associates, met with the Board to discuss the preliminary plans and cost estimates for an addition to the Dinwiddie VFD firehouse.

Mr. Hargrave made a few comments about the lower level. He stated it could be used by the County for storage of equipment and such things as emergency services items. The original request was for a meeting room. He felt these plans could be changed to a simple entrance and only one office. He also asked if they needed all the windows.

Mr. Chenault then listed approximately $20,000 worth of deductions in items. He emphasized that it is important to have the fire department represent the courthouse complex.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the plans for the addition to the Dinwiddie VFD firehouse be approved with the following changes: 1. remove the stairs 2. simplify the entrance and 3. rearrange the interior space with approval by the Fire Chief; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the lower level be reserved for use by the County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the project be bid next year a a time that will be most advantageous to the County, with funding provided in two fiscal years if needed.

IN RE: JAIL FACILITY--PLANS FOR FIRE ESCAPE & RECREATION AREA

The Architect, John W. Chenault, Chenault & Associates, presented the plans for a fire escape and recreation area for the jail. The County Administrator stated assistance from the Department of Corrections would be requested which would have to be provided by the General Assembly. Estimated cost is $72,500 to $80,500.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the plans for the fire escape and recreation area at the

jail facility were approved as presented.

IN RE: AIRPARK ENTRANCE--NEW ENTRANCE SIGNS

The Architect, John W. Chenault, Chenault & Associates, presented two alternatives for an entrance sign to the Dinwiddie Airpark. Estimated cost is $30,000 to $40,000. One proposal is brick and the other is using concrete, the concrete being a more modern design.

Mr. Robertson stated he was in favor of the concrete design as presented by the architect. Mr. A. Clay stated he felt the cost was too high. There was also a concern expressed about putting signs on both sides of the entrance since the County only owns property on one side.

The Board instructed the Architect to work up a more economical design, possibly using a triangle shape, to be located on the side that the County owns.

IN RE: PUBLIC NUISANCE ORDINANCE

Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, County Attorney, presented an ordinance for the Board's review to control neglected areas that affect public health, safety and welfare. This ordinance was drafted in response to the abandoned lots in West Petersburg where the residents have petitioned the court to have the lots cleaned up.

After a lengthy discussion, the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the ordinance for a public hearing at the August 19, 1987 meeting.

IN RE: CHOWAN PLANNING AREA WATER SUPPLY PLAN

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Virginia State Water Control Board is developing water supply plans for each major river basin in the State; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia State Water Control Board has developed a draft of the Chowan Planning Area Water Supply Plan;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia feels based on the review and recommendation of the Appomattox River Water Authority, as outlined in a March 25, 1987 letter from Richard D. Hartman, General Manager, that the plan should be used as a guide for any water supply implementation program undertaken within the Chowan Planning Area.

IN RE: LOCAL BICENTENNIAL COMMISSION

The County Administrator presented a letter from the Chairman of the Virginia Commission on the Bicentennial of the U.S. Constitution urging the Board of Supervisors to establish a bicentennial commission to organize and conduct a local bicentennial celebration. He stated he had discussed this with Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue and he had agreed to come up with a list of possible appointments for the Board's review.

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE--TELEPHONE SYSTEM

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented a proposal for a new telephone system for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office. She stated that the Clerk has one line coming into three phones with no hold button and no ability to transfer calls.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed Ms.

Quesenberry to look at alternative systems. No action was taken.

IN RE: SCHOOL TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT--USE OF SURPLUS JEEP

The Board received a request from George L. Soloe, Supt. of Maint. & Transportation, to use a surplus jeep turned in by the Ford VFD. The jeep would not be licensed and would be used on the School Bus Garage property only.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. George L. Soloe was authorized to use the 1954 Willys jeep turned in by the Ford VFD with the understanding it will not be licensed and will be used on the School Bus Garage property only.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE--PROPOSAL TO REPLACE SIDEWALKS AND REMOVE TREES

The County Administrator presented a proposal from Walthall Construction Company to replace the sidewalks at the Courthouse, cost $13,066; replace all missing stones in the gutters, cost $1200; and remove two maple trees, cost $1850.

After a brief discussion, the Board decided to meet at 7:00 P.M., prior to the August 19, 1987 to look at the work to be done.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--REQUEST TO PURCHASE AERIAL TRUCK FROM CITY OF PETERSBURG

Mr. Robertson presented to the Board, without recommendation, a letter from the Namozine VFD requesting funding to purchase a used aerial truck from the City of Petersburg, at a cost of $55,000.00. Approximately $10,000 would be needed for painting and repair.

No action was taken.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT--FY 87-88

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Historic Resources, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of Grants for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations, and the Application covering administration and use of said Funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that they endorse and support the program indicated in the attached Application Form LC-G-1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administration be authorized to plan, budget, and apply for a Grant which, if approved, will be used to fund said Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board requests the Department of Conservation and Historic Resources, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve said application and program, said program being in accord with the regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:39 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
ABSENT:	T.O. RAINEY	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 15, 1987 regular meeting and the July 23, 1987 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-1608 through 87-1872 amounting to $559,212.55; FICA Recovery Fund checks-numbering FICA-87-1 through FICA-87-11 amounting to $281.23; E911 Fund check-number E9-87-7 in the amount of $14,206.99; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-87-4 through JGC-87-7 amounting to $2,097.63; County Construction Fund checks-numbering CCF-87-18 through CCF-87-21 amounting to $84,867.53; Water & Sewer Fund Check #W&S-87-7 in the amount of $1123.61.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of July, 1987.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy was not present. The Chairman read his report for the month of July, 1987.

Mr. Robertson stated that he had been receiving alot of good comments on the Building Inspector.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Robert Rainey, Deputy Animal Warden, presented his report for the month of August, 1987.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--LEONARD HARRISON

At the July 15, 1987 meeting, a livestock claim for Mr. Leonard Harrison was presented wherein he requested payment for nine (9) goats. The Animal Warden viewed five (5). The Board approved payment for five (5) goats and instructed the Animal Warden to investigate the remaining four to be considered at the next meeting.

Mr. Robert Rainey, Deputy Animal Warden, stated they had found no further evidence after making periodic checks and no evidence of damage by the same dogs.

No further action was taken.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-5--MAINTENANCE OF A PUBLIC NUISANCE

This being the time and date as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 5, 1987 and Wednesday, August 12, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 15 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Section 15-5, to abate public nuisances.

Mr. John Crawley stated they have been working on this for nine years and he was very glad to see this ordinance presented. He also stated there were a number of people present to speak for the ordinance.

Mr. Emery Veazey and Mr. John Gibbs asked questions concerning unlicensed vehicles.

Mrs. Gloria Jones spoke in support of the amendment.

No one spoke in opposition.

Mr. Hargrave moved that amendment A-87-5, maintenance of a public nuisance, be approved. Mr. Moody seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson stated he was concerned about the time under paragraph b. He stated if they allowed 60 days, the summer would be gone before anything was done.

Mr. Robertson moved to amend the motion by changing sixty (60) days in paragraph b to thirty (30) days. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

SECTION 15-5 - Maintenance of a Public Nuisance

a. It shall be unlawful and a Class 4 Misdemeanor for any person to keep, maintain or permit the existence of a public or common nuisance, including, but not limited to refuse, litter, trash, garbage, excessive weeds or grass and other substances which might endanger the health or safety of the residents on any property zoned for residential, business, commercial or industrial use.

b. As used in this section, the term "excessive weeds or grass" shall mean "any weeds or grass which are not regularly mowed or which, for a period of thirty (30) days or longer, has been left unattended by the owner."

c. The owner of the property shall within thirty (30) days after receiving written notice from the county, remove therefrom or cease the operation and remove any public or common nuisance that is in violation of this section.

d. Written notice shall be deemed given if it is mailed certified or registered mail to the last known address of the landowner or personally served. The last known address shall be based upon the records of the Commissioner of Revenue.

e. The County Administrator or his agent shall be responsible for the administration of this ordinance. The County Administrator or his agent are hereby granted authority to enter upon property for the purpose of inspection to protect health and safety in accordance with this ordinance.

f. In the event the owner of the property fails to comply with a notice given pursuant to Subsection (c) above, the county, through it's own agents or employees, may remove the public or common nuisance.

g. Any landowner who disagrees with the written notice of the County Administrator or his agent shall have thirty (30) days after written notice is mailed, either certified or registered mail, in which to note an appeal in writing to the Board of Supervisors. Said appeal shall briefly set forth the reasons for disagreeing with the notice. Failure to note such appeal shall be deemed a waiver of all right of appeal and the decision and notice shall be deemed final.

h. The cost of any removal and disposal by the County pursuant to this section shall be chargeable to the owner of this property and may be collected by the county as taxes and levies are collected. Every such cost with which the owner of the property shall have been assessed shall constitute a lien against the property from which the nuisance was removed; the lien to continue until actual payment of such cost is made in full to the county.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-6--DOG CONFINEMENT--VIRGINIA HILLS SUBDIVISION

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, August 5, and Wednesday, August 12, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 4 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add to Article II, Section 22, restricting the running at large of dogs in residential areas.

Mr. Robertson asked if it was necessary to hold a public hearing each time a request is made to add an area. He was advised it was because the ordinance is being amended. Mr. H. Clay asked if the Board could pass an amendment to add areas as requested without holding a public hearing.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would not be in favor of that. He felt the subdivisions should be added by request of the majority of the citizens within that subdivision on an individual request basis.

Mr. Robertson stated he only wanted to shorten the process.

Mr. Charles Crowder stated the leash law was in effect on one side of Rt. 601 only. He stated the Northern end should not be penalized.

Mr. Clarence Algood and his son spoke against the leash law, stating he was afraid it would become countywide.

Mr. Hargrave stated he was totally opposed to a countywide leash law. He indicated he would like to see the people in the subdivision say what they want and would vote for a request when a petition is presented with over 50% of the residents in support of it.

Mr. Emery Veazey stated he supported the people requesting animal control in their areas.

Mr. H. Clay pointed out that the urban area animal control needs are different from the other end of the County.

Mr. David Maywalt and Mr. Robert Powell spoke in support of the ordinance. Mr. Charles Harrison stated he supported the ordinance in subdivisions.

Mr. Laxton Wilson asked if dogs that cross into the leash law area come under the ordinance. Mr. Robert Rainey, Deputy Animal Warden, stated he would have to check with the County Attorney.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave,

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, March 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

CHAPTER 4 - Animals and Fowl

Section 22 - Running at Large - Prohibited in Certain Residential Areas

a. It shall be unlawful to permit any dog to run at large at any time during the year within any portion of the following areas of the County:

1) All that certain lot, parcel or piece of land with the improvements thereon located in Rohoic District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, and bounded generally as follows: on the north by Lake Chesdin and the Appomattox River; on the East by the City of Petersburg and U.S. Highway #1; on the South by U.S. Highway #1, State Route 460 and State Route 601 and 708; on the West by Route 623 and Whippernock Creek.

2) All that certain lot, parcel or piece of land with the improvements thereon located in Rohoic District, Dinwiddie County, Virginia, commonly known as Virginia Hills Subdivision as shown in Plat Book 9, page 75, 94, 95 and Plat Book 10, page 129, 130 and 131 of the Dinwiddie County Circuit Clerk's Office, located on the northwestern side of Squirrel Level Road, State Route 613.

b. All dogs shall be kept under restraint in the above described areas. A dog under restraint shall mean any dog secured by a leash or lead or penned up or under the control of a responsible person and obedient to that person's commands, or within the real property limits of its owner, provided, that restraint of hunting dogs shall be as provided in the Code of Virginia, as amended.

c. It shall be the duty of the Animal Warden to cause all dogs found running at large in violation of this Section to be caught and penned up at the owner's expense in the County Dog Pound.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT--DINWIDDIE VFD

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an application for a target range permit from the Dinwiddie VFD to hold turkey shoots for ten weeks on property owned by Mr. W.W. Howard on State route 605. Permits for this location have been approved in the past and Mr. Emerson recommended approval of this request.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a target range permit was approved for the Dinwiddie VFD to hold turkey shoots for a period of ten weeks, beginning in September, on property owned by Mr. W.W. Howard on Route 605.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--WHIPPERNOCK HUNT CLUB

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an application from Mr. & Mrs. George E. Williams, representing Whippernock Hunt Club, for a special entertainment permit to hold an outdoor concert on Saturday, October 3, 1987 from 5:00 P.M. to 11:00 P.M. at Whippernock Marina with an estimated attendance of 150 to 200 people. The Sheriff submitted a letter approving the parking facilities. Mr. & Mrs. Williams were presented in support of their request.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", a special entertainment permit was approved for Mr. & Mrs. George E. Williams to hold an outdoor concert on Saturday, October 3, 1987 from 5:00 P.M. to 11:00 P.M. at Whippernock Marina, with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--RICHARD A BEASLEY

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an application from Mr. Richard A. Beasley for a special entertainment permit to hold a music festival on September 6, 1987 from 7:00 P.M. to 1:00 A.M. at the Orioles Ball Park on Route 605.

The Sheriff was not aware of any complaints at that location in the past.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Richard Beasley was awarded a special entertainment permit to hold a music festival on September 6, 1987 from 7:00 P.M. to 1:00 A.M. at the Orioles Ball Park on Rt. 605 with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE IMPROVEMENTS--REPLACEMENT OF SIDEWALKS & STONES IN GUTTERS

The County Administrator presented two bids on replacing the sidewalks and the stones in the gutters at the Courthouse.

Walthall Construction	
$13,066 - sidewalks	$1,200 - stones in gutters
Virginia Concrete Construction Co.	
$22,554 - sidewalks	Cost-plus basis - stones in gutters.

He recommended that the low bid of Walthall Construction Company be accepted.

Mr. A. Clay stated he felt the bid was high and asked if it could be done on an hourly basis like the job at the Administration Building. The County Administrator stated that job cost around $16,000. Mr. Hargrave stated the sidewalks were in bad shape. He was concerned about someone turning an ankle.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. A. Clay voting "nay", the bid from Walthall Construction Company to replace the sidewalks and the stones in the gutters at the Courthouse was accepted.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE--REMOVAL OF TREES

The County Administrator stated the Board had a chance to look at the trees at the Courthouse that are damaged and need to be taken down. There are two oaks and two maples. He has obtained prices on cutting the two maples. He added that if the Board desires to remove the trees, now is the time to do it while the wall is open.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to obtain prices on removing the two oaks at the Courthouse and the maple at the corner of the Clerk's Office; have a tree expert look at the other maple to see if it can be saved; and relocate the flag pole; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized upon receipt of the bids to select a contractor and proceed with

the work.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBARARY BOARD--HATTIE M. WALKER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Hattie M. Walker was appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring June 30, 1990.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY HISTORY BOOK--REQUEST TO QUOTE PAGES

The Board received a request from Miss Betsy M. Fleet to quote pp. 13-16 of the Dinwiddie County History Book in a manuscript she is preparing on Henry Fleete.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Miss Betsy Fleete was authorized to quote pp. 13-16 from the Dinwiddie County History Book to be used in a manuscript on Henry Fleete.

IN RE: CONCERNED CITIZENS

Mr. Charles Harrison, Mr. Emery Veazey, and Mr. Fred Sahl, representing the Concerned Citizens, expressed their concern about an article that appeared in the paper written by Mr. Harrison Moody.

IN RE: DROUGHT DISASTER RELIEF

Mr. H. Clay asked the County Administrator to speak with the Extension Agent concerning a request for drought disaster relief assistance for the County.

Mr. A. Clay stated he had spoken with the Extension Agent and he is working on getting together the information for the request.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE & JAIL PARKING LOT

Sheriff B.M. Heath asked when the parking lot was going to be paved. He was advised that the Courthouse and Clerk's Office had to be finished and the old jail torn down.

Mr. A. Clay asked if the Food Bank had been contacted about moving out. The County Administrator stated they were aware of it.

IN RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SALARY INCREASE

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Board can change its salary only once every four years for the upcoming Board. He stated he made the motion to increase salaries. It will not affect him. He felt they should have something for their time and expenses. It probably ought to be higher.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:42 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 11:10 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until Wednesday, September 2, 1987 at 7:30 P.M.

SEPTEMBER 2, 1987 -- 7:30 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF AUGUST 19, 1987 MEETING

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE FURNITURE

The County Administrator presented the following two bids to the Board of Supervisors:

1. Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern - $3800

2. Chenault & Associates Architects - $2850

These two firms would provide selection assistance and services required for complete fixture bid document for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office.

After discussion, the Board decided not to retain the services of a consultant to assist with the acquisition of the Circuit Court Clerk's Office furniture.

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE TELEPHONE SYSTEM

The County Administrator presented the following bids to the Board of Supervisors:

1. Commonwealth Telecommunications (Panasonic) $1,379

2. AT&T (Merlin 206) $2,219.40

3. Jarvis (E-Z1) $2100.00

Annie Lee Williams, Clerk of the Circuit Court, recommended to the Board the purchase of the Panasonic Telephone System.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Panasonic Telephone system is approved for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office.

IN RE: HEALTH BUILDING ROOF REPLACEMENT

The County Administrator presented to the Board the following two quotations for complete A&E services on the replacement of the Health Building roof:

1. CRS - bid document $1500; inspection $1450 per week

2. Chenault & Associates Architects - $3500 plus usual reimburseables

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Chenault & Associates Architects' bid of $3500 be accepted; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of

Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator verify the credentials of the roofing inspector for the Chenault firm.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Mr. Robertson requested the County Administrator advise the Superintendent of Schools of the deteriorating condition of the Southside Elementary School roof.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD BUILDING ADDITION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, all parties involved in the Dinwiddie VFD addition have agreed on the plans and specifications;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the firm of Chenault & Associates Architects is hereby authorized to proceed with the plans and specifications for the Dinwiddie VFD house addition.

IN RE: TREES AT COURTHOUSE

The County Administrator presented the following bids for removal of trees at the Courthouse:

1. Walthall Construction Company - 4 trees - $3350
2. A.E. Crabtree - 4 trees - $2200

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. A.E. Crabtree is hereby authorized to remove four (4) trees at the Courthouse, cost of $2200.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE

Mr. Robertson asked the County Administrator to check on painting, gutter, and foundation problems at the Courthouse.

IN RE: ALLEN'S MARINA--SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Special Entertainment permit for Allen's Marina on September 5, 1987 is hereby approved with the conditions stated therein..

IN RE: REQUEST FOR DROUGHT DISASTER RELIEF DESIGNATION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the drought conditions in Dinwiddie County have severely affected the farmers; and

WHEREAS, total losses from drought conditions in Dinwiddie County are approaching the $3,700,000 level; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors to request the Governor to declare the County a drought disaster area;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is hereby instructed to file with the Governor of Virginia a request that the County of Dinwiddie be designated a drought disaster area.

IN RE: EASTSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

The County Administrator recommended a public auction be held to dispose of Eastside Elementary School. He indicated additional interest has been shown in the last couple of months.

The question was raised if the high bid was not acceptable, could the County negotiate with the high bidder. The County Attorney responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Robertson suggested other possible uses.

King B. Talley, Director, Dept. of Social Services, opposed disposing of the School and stated a building of this type was needed in the County for the rendering of human services.

Mr. Hargrave responded outlining the high cost of maintaining the building.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney is hereby instructed to prepare for the Board's review the necessary paperwork to dispose of Eastside Elementary School by public auction.

IN RE: FORTHCOMING DELINQUENT TAX SALE OF PROPERTY

Mr. H. Clay requested a clarification from the County's tax attorney, Richard Jones, regarding acceptance of payments or partial payments on property listed for sale.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to discuss the policy with Richard Jones regarding the acceptance of payment or partial payment on property advertised for sale because of delinquent taxes.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO WATER & SEWER ACCOUNT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisor of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $100,000 is transferred from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Account.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County that the following claim be approved and funds appropriated for same:

Water & Sewer Fund check W&S-87-8 in the amount of $150,706.07.

IN RE: BERRYFIELD SUBDIVISION

The County Administrator updated the Board on the status of Berryfield Subdivision. The Highway Department has determined that two drainage easements need to be obtained and hopefully this will be accomplished within the next two weeks. The telephone lines in the middle of the road should be removed the latter part

of this year; however, this will prevent the Highway Department from doing work on that particular road. The two entrance roads, barring unforeseen complications, should be improved this Fall with final work and paving next Spring.

IN RE: SEEDING, FERTILIZING AND LIMING AT AIRPORT

The County Administrator reported to the Board that most of the clearing and disking at the Airport has been accomplished. The seeding, fertilizing and lime would commence within the next seven to ten days.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:15 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:14 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:15 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	DONALD ADAMS	DEPUTY SHERIFF
ABSENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the August 19, 1987 regular meeting and the September 2, 1987 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $100 from the General Fund to the Law Library Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-1873 through 87-2098, with the exception of 87-2071 and 87-2088, amounting to $312,791.35; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-87-14 and 15 amounting to $267.97; E911 check-number E9-87-8 in the amount of $8,524.54; and County Construction check #CCF-87-22 in the amount of $1,902.08.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of August, 1987.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy was not present. The Vice-Chairman read his report for the month of August, 1987.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of August, 1987.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--LEONARD HARRISON

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Leonard Harrison was awarded $95.01 for five (5) goats.

IN RE: STATE & LOCAL HOSPITALIZATION CONTRACTS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the

following contracts for state and local hospitalization for 1987-88 were approved:

Southside Regional Medical Center - $388.55/day

Greensville Memorial Hospital - $262.09/day

Medical College of Virginia - $498.35

IN RE: A.S. CLAY--DECLARATION OF NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Mr. A. S. Clay stated that his wife is a school employee and it has no bearing on the way he will vote on the school board items discussed.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD --ADJUSTMENT TO 1986-87 BUDGET

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request that the 1986-87 budget be increased by $11,247.15, total $13,310,447.15. He stated that additional revenue was received and $107,179.89 was transferred back to the General Fund.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the request from the School Board to increase their 1986-87 budget by $11,247.15 was approved.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--CATEGORICAL TRANSFERS TO RECONCILE 1986-87 BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following transfers within the 1986-87 school budget were approved:

From		To		
17B	Instruction	17A	Administration	$1,103.60
	"	17C	Health Services	4,910.43
	"	17E	Food Service	3,247.32
	"	17G	Fixed Charges	10,717.28
17D1	Transportation	17G	Fixed Charges	13,589.53
	"	17D2	Transp. - REplacement	8,022.00
	"	19	Capital Outlay	69,608.76
	"	17H	Summer School	1,300.00
	"	17J	Other Ed. Programs	947.18
	"	20	Debt Service	319.63
17F	Operation & Maint.	"	"	5,312.47
17I	Adult Education	"	"	994.25

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD --AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE SIX BUSES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the School Board was authorized to purchase six new school buses during the 1987-88 school year, using the year-end balance of $157,248.89 and other anticipated school income.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-87-4--RITCHIE PROPERTY

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 2, 1987 and Wednesday, September 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval an ordinance to change the district classification of a 10 acre portion of Section 21, Parcel 50 from Agricultural, General A-2 to Business, General B-2.

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, presented the application and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at their August 12, 1987 meeting.

Mr. Jerry Cook appeared before the Board to represent the Ritchie family. He stated he had talked with neighbors and they had no objections.

Mr. Hargrave stated that all adjacent property owners had been notified.

No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the District Classification of a 10 acre portion of Section 21, Parcel 50 be amended from Agricultural, General, A-2 to Business, General, B-2. Said property is located on U.S. Route 1 in the Rohoic District.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: FOOD BANK--REQUEST FOR NEW LOCATION

Reverend A.L. Toney, Sr., President of the United Churches of Dinwiddie County, appeared before the Board to request their assistance in locating a new facility in which to house the Food Bank. The Food Bank has been asked to vacate the old jail by October 1, 1987. Because of planned improvements in that area, the building will have to be torn down. Rev. Toney asked that the Board consider making Eastside School a community building.

The County Administrator stated that after talking with the contractors, he felt the October 1, 1987 deadline can be extended 2 or 3 months to accommodate the needs of the Food Bank so they can work to locate a suitable facility.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Board will sit together and try to explore locating a facility. As to the use of Eastside, some of the things mentioned can be held in heated, lighted school facilities.

Rev. John Davis, Administrator of the Food Bank, was also present. He thanked the Board for their support and stated he would elect a committee to work with them if needed.

IN RE: TOWN OF MCKENNEY--ZONING ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. A. Clay presented a request from the Town of McKenney asking that the Board give permission for Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr. to serve as Zoning Administrator for the Town.

Mr. Emerson stated he would agree to serve. He suggested, however, that the Planning Commission be abolished for the Town and let the County's Planning Commission handle all zoning requests.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr. was authorized to serve as Zoning Administrator for the Town of McKenney.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT--REAMS RURITAN CLUB

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, presented an application from Reams Ruritan Club for a target range permit to hold turkey shoots on Route 605, east of the SCL railroad, on property they own.

Mr. Emerson stated he had visited the site and recommended approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Reams Ruritan Club was granted a target range permit to hold turkey shoots on their property on Route 605 with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--OFFICE ON YOUTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES

Mrs. Debbie Marston, representing the Youth Commission, presented a request for the appointment of two members: Mona Ritchie, a citizen representative and Rita Fitzgerald, a youth representative. These appointments are due because of two resignations.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mrs. Mona Ritchie and Ms. Rita Fitzgerald were appointed to the Youth Commission.

IN RE: CHRISTMAS PARADE

Mrs. Debbie Marston, representing the Youth Commission, requested the Board's approval to hold the Christmas Parade on Saturday, December 12, 1987 at 1:00 P.M. The theme this year is "A Dinwiddie Christmas Is".

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the request to hold the Christmas Parade on December 12, 1987 at 1:00 P.M. was approved.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. James M. Thrower and Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. were appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation Board of Directors, terms expiring September 30, 1988.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:45 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:40 P.M.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks numbering 87-2071 and 87-2088 amounting to $519.40.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the September 16, 1987 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-2099 through 87-2204 amounting to $177,750.15; County Construction Fund checks numbers CCF-87-23 and 24 amounting to $26,951.00.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of September, 1987. He also included a report on investments.

IN RE: TREASURER--USE OF SURPLUS FEES

Mr. W.E. Jones presented a request concerning the use of surplus funds from fees collected for the sale of land for payment of delinquent taxes as of September 25, 1987. The amount of surplus fees is $2,088.55. Mr. Jones requested that he be allowed to use the surplus to purchase a typewriter and to increase the allowance budgeted for the use of temporary help. He also requested he be allowed to use any future surplus funds from fees collected to supplement his office.

Mr. Robertson stated he would abstain from voting because of a possible conflict of interest.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. W.E. Jones, Treasurer, be allowed to use $2,088.55 in surplus funds from fees collected for the sale of land for delinquent taxes as requested; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that further requests for use of any surplus funds be brought back to the Board for approval.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of September, 1987.

Mr. Hargrave mentioned that he asked the Extension Service to consult with the Building Inspector on mounting a satellite dish on the roof of the Administration Building. The County Administrator stated the plans and drawings have been sent to the architect to review.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of September, 1987.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--DOUG L. BRYANT

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, presented a livestock claim made by Mr. Doug L. Bryant for one horse, value $450.00. Mr. Brooks stated that he could not determine if the damage was caused by dogs. Also, the veterinarian who examined the horse, could not determine what kind of animal bit the horse.

Mr. H. Clay stated he would like to talk with Mr. Bryant and with the veterinarian before making a decision.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", action on the livestock claim for Mr. Doug Bryant was postponed until the October 21, 1987 meeting.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--CLYDE WESTMORELAND

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Clyde Westmoreland was awarded $52.00 for one (1) calf.

IN RE: U.S. ROUTE 460--PROPOSED RESIGNING BY VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, with the removal of the toll from the portion of I-85 between the Route 1 interchange and the I-95 interchange, the Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation Traffic Engineer has recommended the resigning of Route 460 through the Petersburg area; and

WHEREAS, the recommendation is to relocate a section of U.S. Route 460 over sections of present I-85 and I-95, between U.S. Route 1 West of Petersburg and points of intersection with existing U.S. Rt. 460, adjacent to I-95 on the East side of Petersburg and further recommend that the section of I-85 be signed as U.S. Rt. 460, also between its interchange with U.S. Route 460 W of Petersburg, and its interchange with U.S. Route 1, West of Petersburg; and

WHEREAS, existing U.S. Route 460 from its interchange with I-85, West of Petersburg, through the Business District of the City of Petersburg, along the Washington Street/Wythe Street/South Crater Road corridor, to its intersection with I-95, on the East side of Petersburg, would be re-designated U.S. Rt. 460 Business; and

WHEREAS, such a change would improve the route through Petersburg for motorists travelling U.S. Rt. 460;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia concurs with the proposed route modification of Rt. 460 as presented by the Va. Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: BERRYFIELD SUBDIVISION--STATUS OF ROADS

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to discuss the status of the roads in Berryfield Subdivision. He stated that the paperwork on the drainage easement should be ready by the October 21, 1987 meeting. He, therefore, suggested that the Board postpone action to request the roads be taken into the secondary system until all the paperwork is completed.

Mr. Nebeltt also stated that the telephone line has been installed and the underground line has been transferred. He will continue to work with the utility company to have the poles removed.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", action on the roads in Berryfield Subdivision was postponed until the October 21, 1987 meeting.

IN RE: VA. DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--ABANDONED RAILROAD CROSSINGS

Mr. H. Clay asked whose responsibility it was to make the abandoned railroad crossings safe.

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, stated he has met with railroad officials and reviewed all 13 sites in the County. It is the responsibility of the railroad to maintain the crossings until the repairs are made. He stated the humps in the crossings will be graded down but not completely removed because there is an optical cable buried there. Until that time, the Highway Department will place warning signs at the locations.

Mr. A. Clay stated that Rt. 619 was in such bad shape, it was causing the peanut farmers to lose business. Mr. Neblett stated he was aware of the problem and it should be addressed in the Six Year Plan revision.

IN RE: COURTS AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM

Mrs. Annie L. Williams, Circuit Court Clerk, and Mrs. Donna Brockwell, Clerk of the General District and Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court, appeared before the Board to discuss the Courts Automated Information System which will be installed in their respective offices during the period of January through March, 1988.

Mrs. Williams stated she was at a standstill at the moment until a study was completed on the needs of her office since she presently did not have a counter or cash register.

Mrs. Brockwell stated her office was ready except for the electrical requirements, which she stated would cost approximately $200. She did not have a cost figure on the counter modifications. She stated the improvements would have to be completed by December 1, 1987 because the equipment would be delivered in December. Mrs. Williams stated that the cabling was estimated to cost $500.

Mr. Robertson asked if the decision could be postponed until the next meeting. This will give the State analyst time to look at the floor plans and make a recommendation on the modifications needed.

Mrs. Brockwell explained that the first installation will be the financial package. The case management package will be installed nine months later. The system will provide a direct link with Richmond and eliminate some of the paperwork in her office.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the courts automated information system be approved for installation in the offices of the Circuit Court Clerk and the General District and Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court Clerk; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator and Building Inspector be authorized to work out the counter modifications and electrical requirements to accommodate the installations.

IN RE: GENERAL DISTRICT COURT & JUVENILE AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT--SHELVING FOR CLERK'S OFFICE

Mrs. Donna Brockwell, Clerk, General District and Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court, appeared before the Board to request additional open shelving in her office. She stated she was running out of filing space. She obtained a quote from the company that sold her the last shelving for one single face unit and one double face unit.

Mr. Robertson asked if microfiche had been investigated. Mrs. Brockwell stated she had not. The files have to be kept in her office. Mr. Hargrave stated she would need to see if the State would allow it.

Mrs. Brockwell stated she could make it another month she thought without the additional space.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Clerk of the General District & Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court investigate the use of microfiche for the files in her office; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that if the microfiche is not allowed, the Clerk be authorized to purchase the open shelves as requested.

IN RE: GENERAL DISTRICT & JUVENILE AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT--WAITING AREA

Mrs. Donna Brockwell, Clerk, General District & Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court, stated that there are only two benches in the waiting area at the Courthouse and people are crowded into the halls waiting for their court appearance. She, therefore, requested additional furniture, either benches or chairs for that area.

The County Administrator asked if the people could be directed to sit upstairs because the area is so confined, even with additional seating. He then stated he would meet with Mrs. Brockwell to determine the best solution.

IN RE: FORD VFD--ASSISTANCE WITH BRUSH TRUCK

Mr. Butch Henshaw, Lieutenant, Ford VFD, appeared before the Board to request the Board's assistance in purchasing a skid unit for a brush truck they purchased. He stated the department purchased a 1984 Ford 4-wheel drive truck for $8500 and were having the radio installed and the truck painted.

The skid unit consists of a 250 gallon tank, 18 horse-power pump and hose reels. The main use will be brush fires but it will be very useful in the snow and can handle working house fires.

The quotes received were:

Slagle Fire Equipment - $6,891
American Fire Equipment - $7,824

Mr. Robertson asked if the truck can carry foam. It would be useful in helping Namozine VFD at the Airport. Mr. Henshaw stated it could be easily accommodated.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an additional appropriation for the Ford VFD in the amount of $6,891.00 was approved.

IN RE: DOG CONFINEMENT AREA & VICIOUS DOGS--PROPOSED ORDINANCE CHANGES

Mr. Hargrave proposed the following ordinance changes to the code sections dealing with dog confinement and vicious dogs:

1. A petition signed by 50% or more of the homeowners within a subdivision contiguous to an existing dog confinement area wishing to be included must be presented to be considered by the Board for a dog confinement area. The County Attorney suggested that this be only one of the ways to be considered.

2. Any dog bite wherein the dog and owner can be identified be credited to that dog unless the dog is protecting the owner or his property. After the second bite, the dog will be destroyed.

Mr. Robertson stated he was concerned about the petition requirement because in some subdivisions, the dog owners outnumber the other citizens. He added he had no problem with the County Attorney drawing up proposed ordinances but he would like the Board to look at his concerns.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft the proposed ordinance changes to the code sections dealing with dog confinement and vicious dogs.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

Mr. A. Clay stated because of a possible conflict of interest, he would abstain from voting on this permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle permit; and

WHEREAS, the Ruritan Club meets the requirements of the State Code and has paid the $10.00 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club be granted a Bingo and Raffle permit for calendar year 1987.

IN RE: NAMOZINE VFD--REQUEST FOR FIRE HYDRANT

The Board received a request from the Namozine VFD to install a fire hydrant close to the Edgehill Shopping Center. Alot of development has occurred in that area since fire hydrants were first installed. The cost to the County would be $1200.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the installation of a fire hydrant close to the Edgehill Shopping Center was approved.

IN RE: CONTRACT TO DISPOSE OF INOPERABLE VEHICLES

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented a contract for the Board's approval to dispose of inoperable vehicles in the County. He stated this was necessary to enforce the two ordinances dealing with inoperable vehicles.

Mr. Cornwell explained that DMV has a program wherein the locality will be reimbursed $50/vehicle that is picked up and demolished. He stated that Ramsey's Scrap Iron & Metal Company contacted the County to perform this service. They are one of the few licensed demolishers in the area and are willing to pick up the vehicles and demolish them for $25 of the $50 received from the State. The Company will pick up the vehicle when notified by the County, keep it the required time and only demolish it upon instruction by the Director of Planning. The Company is also responsible for filing the proper paperwork with the Division of Motor Vehicles.

Mr. Cornwell stated this is not an exclusive contract because of the procurement act. Other approved demolishers on the DMV list will be given the same opportunity.

He added that the Company can go out and locate vehicles and bring them to the County's attention. The Company has agreed to provide the service throughout the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is authorized to sign a contract with Ramsey Scrap Iron & Metal Company for disposal of inoperable vehicles in the County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the same contract with other licensed demolishers that meet the same criteria.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:45 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:30 P.M.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE GROUNDS--APPROVAL OF LOCATION FOR FLAG POLE AND BATTLE MONUMENT

The County Administrator presented a proposed design for location of the flag pole and battle monument on the Courthouse grounds. The Board members agreed with the plan as presented.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL--METHOD OF DISPOSAL

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented four alternatives for the Board to consider for the method of disposal of Eastside Elementary School, as follows:

1. Request for proposals.
2. Public auction with a stated minimum bid and right to reject.

3. Public auction without a stated minimum bid and with a right to reject.
4. Public auction without a stated minimum bid and without a right to reject.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Request for Proposals method was selected, returnable December 1, 1987.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY--AUTHORIZATION TO EXECUTE DEED

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is authorized to sign the deed conveying 12 acres of land to Worldwide Marketing Associates by the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:35 P.M.

H.L. Clay Jr

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. Knott

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 21ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	SAM SHANDS	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the October 7, 1987 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--WATER & SEWER FUND

The County Administrator stated there is a need to transfer $50,000 from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Fund. Reimbursement from the Economic Development Administration is being held up until an easement is signed. Therefore, this transfer is needed for payments due at this time on the project.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer is hereby authorized to transfer $50,000 from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Fund.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS--LAW LIBRARY FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasuer is authorized to transfer $125.00 from the General Fund to the Law Library Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-2205 through 87-2361 amounting to $147,312.56; County Construction Checks-numbering CCF-87-25 through CCF-87-27 amounting to $26,392.61; E911 Fund check #E9-87-9 amounting to $3,803.16; History Book Fund check #HB-87-4 amounting to $10.13; Law Library Fund check #LF-87-16 and 17 amounting to $275.78; and Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-87-9 in the amount of $106,207.91.

IN RE: CHARLES CROWDER--DISCUSSION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE FACILITY

Mr. Charles Crowder appeared before the Board to express his concern over a newspaper article concerning Resource Technology Services of Virginia and a meeting with County officials. This firm proposed to locate a hazardous waste storage facility in the Northern end of the County last year but withdrew their application. Mr. Crowder stated he had a petition with 3,000 names opposing the location of RTS in Dinwiddie County. He asked that the Board take a vote of confidence to assure the people that they do not want RTS in Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Crowder read an article concerning Sussex County

which stated the County was considering an ordinance to ban any dump besides those operated by the County. He also stated the Supervisors visited the Pennsylvania facility of RTS and stated it was clean as a pen. He could not understand that.

Mr. H. Clay stated Dinwiddie's zoning ordinance prohibits landfills in the County. He also stated he did find the facility in Pennsylvania to be clean and containers properly labeled. He pointed out, however, he had stated if the majority of the people in his section of the County were opposed, he would not vote for it. Mr. Clay pointed out this Board has taken no action to support it.

Mr. Robertson stated several months ago, he made a motion concerning RTS and he thought that would put the issue to rest. He said he knew nothing of the newspaper article nor of any meeting scheduled with County officials. He read the same statement he had presented at the earlier meeting and asked that the Board go on record again opposing RTS in the Rohoic District and any other district where the citizens oppose it.

Mr. John Royal stated he had been in contact with the Department of Interior and the EPA concerning the location of the hazardous waste storage facility and they were quite concerned and will be monitoring the situation.

Mr. Hargrave stated the Board took action before and he could not imagine them taking any other action nor where the source of the newspaper article came from.

The County Administrator stated he had been contacted by phone by RTS officials stating they would advise him when the court case was over but no meetings were scheduled at this time.

Mr. Hargrave moved to reaffirm the action taken by the Board at the January 23, 1987 meeting and further to adopt the resolution as read by Mr. Robertson at this meeting as follows:

"While the RTS hazardous waste storage site may be something that is needed in some areas, the citizens of Dinwiddie have said "NO!" and we as their elected representatives should let them know that we are with them 100%. While we do not have the opportunity to officially vote on this matter at this time, I believe that we should take an unofficial vote at this time to let the citizens, RTS and the State know that we do not want this operation in Dinwiddie County. I move that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie go on record opposing the location of RTS in Rohoic District and any other district that the citizens voice strong opposition."

Mr. A. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: BERRIFIELD SUBDIVISION--REQUEST TO ACCEPT ROADS INTO SECONDARY ROADS SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Dept. of Transp. be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Oak Street, 50' in width, beginning at a point on Route 751, 0.43 miles east of Route 627 and running in a south westerly direction 0.16 miles to a dead end with a turn-around; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Virginia Department of Transporation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Pine Street, 60' in width, beginning at a point on Oak Street 0.09 miles south of Route 751, and running in an easterly direction 0.13 miles to Elm Street; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Virginia Department of Trans-

portation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Elm Street, beginning at a point on Route 751, 0.08 miles east of Oak Street, 50' in width, and running in a southerly direction 0.10 miles to the intersection of Pine Street; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that these roads, if accepted, be added to the secondary system of Dinwiddie County pursuant to Section 33.1-72.1, C1 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, does hereby guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia, a free, unencumbered, and unrestricted right-of-way of 50' and 60' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 664 dated November 8, 1955; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the original developer or successor developers, no longer have any real, equitable or speculative interest in said subdivision.

IN RE LEW JONES SUBDIVISION--WATER SYSTEM

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there exists within the County of Dinwiddie a residential subdivision known as Lew Jones Subdivision in which approximately twenty-four (24) families reside; and

WHEREAS, said subdivision until recently has been provided water service by Community Utilities Company, Inc., a private water company; and

WHEREAS, said Community Utilities Company, Inc. has given notice of termination of all service and abandonment of its system, which has the effect of causing the residents of the Lew Jones Subdivision to be without any source of water since no other water system or source is available within said area; and

WHEREAS, said Community Utilities Company, Inc. has offered to transfer to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority its assets located at said subdivision at no cost so that service may be continued to the 24 residents connected thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Water Authority will need assistance in improving, repairing, maintaining and operating said system; and

WHEREAS, in order to promote the health, safety and welfare of the residents of Dinwiddie County and insure a safe, potable water supply for drinking and sanitation to the residents of Lew Jones Subdivision, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, finds it necessary to direct the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to assume the assets of Community Utilities Company, Inc., in Dinwiddie County and to improve, maintain and operate the same;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Water Authority is hereby directed and authorized to assume, improve, repair and operate the water system owned by Community Utilities Company, Inc., and serve the residents of Dinwiddie County presently living in or near that residential subdivision known as Lew Jones Subdivision; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia will assist the Dinwiddie County Water Authority in payment of all necessary costs of improvement and

repair of said system and subsidize operation of this system for a period of three years from date hereof, provided, however, that said Water Authority is authorized to increase water fees to the residents in such manner as necessary to recover the Board's costs and expenses, the Water Authority's costs and expenses and the necessary operating costs during such period.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--DOUG BRYANT

Action on the livestock claim made by Mr. Doug Bryant was postponed at the last meeting to allow the Chairman to talk with Mr. Bryant and the veterinarian involved.

Mr. H. Clay stated after visiting the property and talking with both Mr. Bryant and the veterinarian, Dr. Richard Grenoble, he would recommend the Board consider payment of the claim.

Mr. Doug Bryant was present. He stated after talking with the Va. Game Commission, he ruled out the possibility of a bobcat as there had been no record in the State of a bobcat killing a horse.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Bryant if he thought the marks on the horse looked like those of a dog. Mr. Bryant stated dogs usually chase the horse into a panic and drag it to the ground. There were marks in the rear area of the horse.

Mr. Robertson pointed out that the maximum dog claim by law was $400.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Doug Bryant was awarded $400 for one horse.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT--LOUISE B MOORE

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Louise B. Moore was granted a target range permit to hold a turkey shoot on her property located on Route 642 for one day only, either October 24, 1987 or October 31, 1987, with all the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, presented the following reports:

1. Comparative Report for 1986 & 1987, Public Service Corporations, Real Estate, Personal Property, Machinery & Tools, Farm Machinery, Heavy Construction Machinery and Mobile Homes.

2. Comparative Report of Applications Received-Exemptions for Elderly and Permanently Disabled Persons

3. Recapitulation of Taxes Assessed.

IN RE: BUILDING PEMITS--1984 - 1987

Mr. H. Clay gave a recap of the building permits issued in 1984 through 9 months of 1987 in response to a statement made at the last meeting concerning growth in Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

Mr. H. Clay stated that the County has made reasonable attempts to attract industry to the County. In talking with other localities, he found they felt hiring an Economic Development Director was very helpful to them. He, therefore, proposed the Board give some thought to this between now and the end of the year.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:46 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:10 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:11 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Mr. Robertson stated he would like to see the minutes changed to include the resolution he read concerning RTS at the last meeting. The County Administrator pointed out that the action taken was to reaffirm the resolution adopted at the January 23, 1987 meeting. Mr. Robertson stated he would still like the minutes to reflect the statement he read.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would change his motion to "reaffirm the action taken at the January 23, 1987 meeting and adopt the resolution as reread by Mr. Robertson at this meeting."

Mr. Moody moved to adopt the minutes of the October 21, 1987 meeting as corrected. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-2362 through 87-2461 amounting to $141,269.90.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of October, 1987.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

The Building Inspector was not present. The Board received copies of his report for the month of October, 1987.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of October, 1987.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES BUDGET--1987-88 LOCAL APPROPRIATION

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, Dept. of Social Services, requested that the Board reaffirm the local allocation for the 1987-88 social services budget by authorizing the County Administrator to sign a copy of the original budget to be resubmitted to the State. This would allow the draw down of additional state funds should they become available.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting

"aye", the County Administrator was authorized to sign the resubmission of the 1987-88 Social Services budget.

IN RE: STATE & LOCAL HOSPITALIZATION CONTRACT--JOHN RANDOLPH HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the contract for state and local hospitalization with John Randolph Hospital was approved at a rate of $419.97/day.

IN RE: INSURANCE CLAIMS

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented the following insurance claims for the Board's review and action at the November 18, 1987 meeting:

1. Todd Evans - medical expense assistance.
2. Wendal Givens - medical expense assistance.
3. Destry Brown - medical expense assistance plus pain and suffering.

Mr. and Mrs. Weldon Givens, parents of Wendal Givens, were present to speak on behalf of their claim.

Mr. Givens stated they were requesting medical payments in the amount of $615.00. He stated his son fell when helping two other children carry a balance beam inside the school. His wrist was broken in two places. Mr. Givens stated he felt the balance beam was too heavy to lift. The boy told his father he was asked to help lift the beam. He was in the middle and the beam was dropped and he was caught. The school only put ice on the wrist. They did not know it was broken and waited until later on to call his wife. He, therefore, felt it was negligence.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the bill was covered by any other insurance. Mr. Givens stated his personal insurance paid all but approximately $160.00. He also has a $50.00 bill he had not turned in.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the child had completely recovered. Mr. Givens stated it was not bothering him.

Mr. Robertson asked if it had gone to the School Board for review. Mr. Cornwell stated he thought it had but was passed on to the County Administrator.

Mr. Cornwell stated there was one contradictory statement. The teacher stated the boy was asked to open the door, not move the beam.

Dr. R.L. Vaughn, Superintendent, stated the claim had not been presented to the School Board.

Mr. Cornwell stated these claims have been pending for some time. He felt they need to work out a precedence for handling claims in the future. He, therefore, recommended the Board hear the claims today and take action on the 18th. Mr. Givens would not have to be present.

IN RE: REPAIR OF ABANDONED RAILROAD CROSSINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the CSX track between South Collier and McKenney through Central Dinwiddie County has been abandoned; and

WHEREAS, the tracks have been removed leaving an uneven and hazardous situation at the crossings located in the County; and

WHEREAS, the repairs made by the railroad have not adequately corrected these problem areas;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia requests that CSX Transportation, Inc. work with the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation to make the proper improvements at the railroad crossings in the County to provide safe, level crossings for the travelling public.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, was present to answer questions.

1. Mr. Robertson stated there were two accidents on Route 613 near Dabney Estates subdivision last week. It seems to be a hazardous location especially during school opening and closing. He asked if there was anything the Highway Department could recommend for that area.

Mr. Hargrave stated the road is narrow and the ditches are so deep cars gravitate toward the middle of the road.

Mr. Neblett stated to really correct the problem, a construction budget should be set up.

2. Mr. Robertson stated Route 1310 still has alot of truck traffic and he was concerned about the children at the Rock School. He asked if the Highway Department could re-emphasize the law and pass it on to the trucking companies using the road and their employees. Mr. Neblett stated he would work with the Sheriff's Dept. on this problem.

3. Mr. Hargrave stated he was concerned about gravel trucks not being properly loaded and entering the flow of traffic. He said a rock can put out someone's windshield.

Mr. Neblett stated he would work with the State and local police to bring this to the attention of quarry owners and their drivers.

Mr. Hargrave suggested a handout for the drivers.

IN RE: COUNTY-WIDE COMPUTER SYSTEM--AWARD OF CONTRACT

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, and the Selection Committee, comprised of representatives of the Treasurer and Commissioner of Revenue's offices, Social Services and School Board, presented their recommendation for the purchase of a county-wide computer system.

The process was started with the development of an RFP with the assistance of the State Auditor of Public Accounts in May and proposals were due in June. At that time, they received 8 proposals ranging in price from $112,000 to $191,000. Evaluation factors consisted of price, experience, references, demonstrations, availability of maintenance and continuing education. Of these 8, three vendors were selected for further consideration: Bright and Associates, NCR and DLH/INE.

After final review which included site visits to other localities in the State, demonstrations by vendors, calling references and asking for best and final pricing, the Selection Committee is recommending to the Board that the contract for a county-wide computer system be awarded to Bright and Associates, from Greenville, Tennessee at a cost of $114,226.64. The hardware will be IBM. Mrs. Quesenberry pointed out the only other costs involved will be a yearly maintenance contract and the cost of running electrical service and clabing. She met with an electrician to discuss alternatives but did not have a cost estimate at this time.

Mr. Robertson questioned whether communication between departments was possible. Mrs. Quesenberry stated they would all be networked by direct cable. He then asked if it would be more advantageous to lease the system rather than purchase it to be able to trade equipment when it becomes obsolete.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated she felt comfortable that the CPU being proposed would fit the needs of the County for some time with the addition of memory when required.

Mr. Hargrave asked about personnel requirements.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated no additional personnel would be required in the individual departments to put information into the system. However, money has been included in the budget for an individual to maintain the system and coordinate the needs of the different departments. Mr. Robertson suggested the departments look into hiring additional help to key the information into the system in the beginning.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the contract for a county-wide computer system be awarded to Bright and Associates; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the system be purchased using financing available from indirect cost recovery and the other departments involved.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCES

1. Special Conditions for Travel/Mobile Homes - addition of grandchildren, brothers and sisters.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", amendment to Article IV, Sec. 22-78, special conditions for travel/mobile homes was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing to be held December 2, 1987.

2. Vehicle License amendment -

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to remove "trailer and semi-trailer" from all related sections and further to amend the ordinance to reflect vehicles "operated" on roads in the County rather than "registered".

3. Vicious Dogs Running at Large -

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the amendment to Section 4-18 of Article II of Chapter 4, of the Dinwiddie County Code, vicious dogs running at large was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing to be held December 2, 1987.

4. Running at Large of Dogs in Residential Areas

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the amendment to Section 22, Article II of Chapter 4 of the Dinwiddie County Code, restricting the running at large of dogs in residential areas was authorized to be advertised for a public hearing to be held December 2, 1987 with the omission of "more" in the sentence reading "more than a majority".

IN RE: COMMENTS ON THE ELECTION--H.L. CLAY, JR.

Mr. H. Clay congratulated the losers as well as the winners on the election held Tuesday.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:50 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:55 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 4:56 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 18TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	CLAIBORNE FISHER	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 4, 1987 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to transfer $63,884.38 from the General Fund to the Water and Sewer Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-2462 through 87-2671 amounting to $133,380.74; E911 Fund check #E9-87-10 in the amount of $7,213.66; Law Library Fund - check #LF-87-18 in the amount of $47.64; County Construction Fund - check #CCF-87-28 in the amount of $15,323.51; Lew Jones Subdivision - check #LEW-87-1 in the amount of $1,154.52; Water & Sewer Fund - check #W&S-87-10 in the amount of $85,616.90.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT--C-87-4--CONTEL CELLULAR OF RICHMOND

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, and Wednesday, November 11, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a request for a conditional use permit submitted by Contel Cellular of Richmond, Virginia to erect a communications tower for cellular car telephone communications. The property is located on the south side of state route 650, approximately one mile East of interstate 85 and state route 650, owned by Mrs. Mary K. Glass.

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, reviewed the application and action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its October 14, 1987 meeting. Mr. Emerson stated there have been no objections from residents in the area.

Mr. Steve Korwan, a representative from Contel and Mr. Taylor Cralle, attorney for Contel appeared in support of the request and to answer questions.

Mr. H. Clay asked where the signal will be sent. Mr. Korwan stated it will be sent to a tower located on Johnson Road. He stated there will be no airwave interference since it is regulated by the FCC.

Mr. Robertson asked about testing of the structure for wind load. Mr. Korwan stated it has been tested and certified by a Virginia engineer.

Mr. David Lucas asked if it will emit microwaves. Mr. Korwan said no.

No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the request by Contel Cellular of Richmond for a conditional use permit to erect a communications tower on Route 650 was approved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-87-6--RANDALL WEGMAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 4, and Wednesday, November 11, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change the district classification of Section 32(2) Parcel 2 from Agricultural, General, District A-2 to Agricultural, Rural Residential District A-R.

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was disapproval at its October 14, 1987 meeting. Mr. Emerson stated the original plat was recorded without approval and never has been in compliance. Other reasons for disapproval were: 1. A-R is a residential zoning classification and carries restrictions of a residential area; 2. Mr. Wegman only had 6 building rights and has used those plus 2 more. 3. Water & sewer will not be available to the property for some time.

Mr. H. Clay stated as he understood it, there is no way the rezoning can be legally allowed without a change in the zoning ordinance. Mr. Emerson stated that was correct. The only inquiry on the rezoning was from Mr. Ragsdale and he brought in a copy of an agreement he had made with Mr. Wegman on the sale of the property. This agreement cannot be enforced by the County.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Planning Commission was recommending any action besides denial. Mr. Emerson stated the Planning Commission felt the Board may want to examine the parent tract ordinance because there are other people in the same position as Mr. Wegman.

Mr. Randall Wegman appeared in support of his rezoning request. He stated he had been unable to find any restrictions in the County ordinance on A-R zoning, but if they do exist, he would like to withdraw his request. He has farm animals and he does not want restrictions on what he can do. He stated he wanted a piece of property for his children to build on but could not use 119 acres, so he sold part of it. He said the previous zoning administrator, Mr. W.C. Scheid, assured him the property carried 6 building rights and he could sell 5 and build on the 6th himself. Also, he could take care of his children under the law. He then sold 4 lots, built on one himself and sold one to his daughter. Mr. Wegman then stated Mr. Dennis Martin, the zoning administrator prior to Mr. Emerson, told him he had 2 parent tracts and 2 more building rights, which he used. Later, he came to Mr. Emerson for a zoning permit and Mr. Emerson has a different translation.

Mr. Wegman then asked for an interpretation of Sec. 18-3, paragraph 7 of the County Code.

Mr. J.E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, stated the issue before the Board was a rezoning request, not the division of land.

A general discussion was held on division of the property. Also, questions were asked by two individuals present, who had

purchased property from Mr. Wegman, concerning their building rights. They were told to bring their plats to the zoning administrator and they would be reviewed.

Mr. Moody asked Mr. Wegman what would prevent his children from selling to someone else. Mr. Wegman stated the Board could put stipulations on the rezoning.

Mr. Wegman stated he did not want the AR zoning if it had restrictions. He would withdraw his request.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accepts Mr. Randall Wegman's withdrawal of rezoning application P-87-6; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Planning Commission is instructed to review the parent tract ordinance and make recommendations to the Board.

IN RE: VEHICLE LICENSE ORDINANCE CHANGES

Mr. J.E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, presented three (3) amendments to the vehicle license ordinance, dealing with Sections 14-17a, 14-19 and 14-22. Mr. Cornwell presented two alternatives to amend Section 14-17a. Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth Attorney, selected the alternative he felt comfortable with prosecuting.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was authorized to advertise for public hearing at the December 16, 1987 meeting, the amendments to Sections 14-17a, 14-19 and 14-22 as presented.

IN RE: DENIAL OF INSURANCE CLAIMS--TODD EVANS, WENDAL GIVENS & DESTRY BROWN

Mr. J.E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, stated three insurance claims were presented at the last meeting: Todd Evans, Wendal Givens and Destry Brown. Action was postponed until this meeting. Mr. Givens had been asked to submit additional information concerning his claim. To date, Mr. Cornwell stated he had not received the information nor had any contact from the other two claimants.

Mr. Cornwell recommended that the three claims be denied.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the insurance claims made by Todd Evans, Wendal Givens and Destry Brown be denied.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION AGREEMENT--MODIFICATION TO LEASE

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to sign the modification to the cabletelevision agreement with Dinwiddie Cable TV to allow additional equipment to be installed at their receiving station located at the Namozine VFD.

IN RE: METHODIST CHURCH SUBDIVISION--REQUEST TO VACATE PLAT

Mr. J.E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, presented a request from Mr. D. Carleton Mayes to vacate the subdivision plat of the Methodist Church Subdivision. The subdivision has seven

lots, two owned by the Methodist Church and the remaining owned by Mr. Mayes.

Mr. Cornwell explained there are two ways to vacate the plat, one by filing with the Circuit Court and one by Board action. To prevent an additional burden on the church having to file with the Circuit Court, he recommended the Board vacate the plat by ordinance.

Mr. Cornwell stated he was not aware of any opposition by the church.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Attorney was authorized to draft an ordinance for public hearing on December 16, 1987 to vacate the Methodist Church Subdivision.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AGENTS TO SELL 1988 COUNTY VEHICLE LICENSES AND DOG LICENSES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following were approved to act as agents for 1988 to sell county vehicle licenses and dog licenses, bond amounts to remain the same:

County Vehicle Licenses

Namozine Fire House	William Queen
WHF Ruritan Building	Paul Walk
Flat Rock Grocery	Fred Rogers
B&J's Market (Formerly Jarrett's Food Center)	Calvin Ellington

Dog Licenses

Wallace's Supermarket	Robert Wallace
Baltimore Corner Grocery	Diane Bishop
Edgehill Supermarket	Louis Dowdy
Country Hardware	George Williams
B&J's Market (Formerly Jarrett's Food Center)	Calvin Ellington
Bolster's Store	Mike Barnes

IN RE: RESCUE SQUAD -- AUTHORIZATION TO SELL 1983 CHEVROLET AMBULANCE

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad be authorized to sell by sealed bid a 1983 Chevrolet ambulance, now located at the School Vehicle Maintenance Facility.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:39 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:50 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:51 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE EXTENSION MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER, 1987 AT 1:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 1:00 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 1:50 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 1:51 P.M.

H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.C. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER, 1987 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	B.M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the November 18, 1987 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 87-2672 through 87-2772 amounting to: $2,591,083.33; Lew Jones Subdivision Fund - check number LEW-87-2 in the amount of $1,000.

IN RE: TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERLY AND DISABLED PERSONS

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, presented a proposed revision to increase the exemptions and values for the ordinance on tax relief for the elderly and disabled. He stated that the last time the ordinance was changed was 1982.

Mr. Bolte proposed increasing the 100% exemption from $5,000 to $6,000 and the rest of the scale be changed accordingly with the maximum exemption from $13,000 to $14,000. He also proposed increasing the net financial worth from $26,000 to $27,000. Mr. Hargrave stated to keep up with inflation, he might want to go even higher on both values.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Commissioner of Revenue was authorized to advertise for public hearing an amendment to Chapter 19, Section 43 dealing with real estate exemptions for the elderly and disabled, making the following changes:

1. The maximum net combined financial worth be increased to $30,000.

2. The maximum income from all sources be increased to $16,000 with the rest of the scale changed accordingly.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of November, 1987.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D.H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of November, 1987.

IN RE: DARE PROGRAM--DEPUTY ROY HODGES

Mr. Hargrave read a letter from Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent, commending Deputy Roy Hodges for his work in the DARE program in the schools.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of November, 1987.

IN RE: CHRISTMAS SHARING FOUNDATION

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director, Dept. of Social Services, gave a brief report on the activities of the Christmas Sharing Foundation.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT--TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO OFFICE ON YOUTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, Office on Youth & Community Services, presented a proposal for the use of the 1987-88 Litter Control Grant. The program would be coordinated by the OYCS who would administer the funds to provide educational programs for students in grades K-12. The funds will also be used to create community awareness of litter control through community clean-up days, a Clean-Community Christmas parade float, new trash receptacles and signs regarding a clean community.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the transfer of the litter control grant funds for 1987-88 in the amount of $3,734 to the Office on Youth & Community Services was approved.

IN RE: CHRISTMAS PARADE--LIABILITY INSURANCE

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, Office on Youth & Community Services, requested the Board's support in providing liability insurance coverage for the 1987 Christmas Parade. Mrs. Galbreath stated that the cost in previous years had been $200 which OYCS had been able to pay. This year, the cost will be $500 for two hours. She added the members of the Commission are covered by the Department of Corrections.

Mr. Robertson asked if this could be covered by the County's self insurance program. Mr. J.E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, stated the insurance covers spectators who may be injured by participants in the parade. He recommended an insurance policy.

Mrs. Galbreath stated she would like to check with other localities to see how they handle insurance coverage.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", an allocation in the amount of $250 was approved towards the cost of a policy for liability insurance coverage for the 1987 Christmas parade.

IN RE: INSURANCE CLAIM--WENDEL GIVENS

Mr. J.E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, advised the Board that Mr. Weldon Givens presented additional information on the insurance claim for Wendel Givens stating he incurred $169 in actual expenses. His claim, however, is still for the total amount of $615.

The claim was denied at the November 18, 1987 meeting. Mr. Givens had advised Mr. Cornwell that he wanted to address the Board at this meeting. Mr. Givens was not present.

IN RE: SELF INSURANCE FUND--TRANSFER FOR 1986-87 CLAIMS

Mrs. Glenice Townsend, Secretary/Account Clerk, presented an itemized account of the claims paid under the County's self insurance program for 1986-87. She requested $19,414.05 be transferred from the Self Insurance Fund to reimburse the General Fund for claims paid.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the transfer of $19,414.05 from the Self Insurance Fund to the General Fund was approved.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer any questions. He briefly reported on the following:

1. He was continuing to work with Lone Star and the State Police concerning the gravel trucks at the Quarry.

2. Concerning Berrifield Subdivision, the department has run into a problem with the telephone company on an easement, which will take some time to work out.

3. He will continue to work with the railroad on improving the crossings.

Mr. Hargrave stated the work done on the first section of Rt. 666 looks very good.

Mr. Robertson thanked Mr. Neblett for the cooperation his department has given on problems within his district, especially the problem with the traffic light at Thanksgiving.

Mr. H. Clay asked about the status of the speed limit study on Rt. 670. Mr. Neblett stated it was still in the schedule to be done.

Mr. Emery Veazey requested a study be conducted to eliminate the heavy truck traffic using the heavily populated section of Rt. 226 from Sterling Road to Rt. 600. He stated there have been alot of complaints about the wreckless manner in which they are handled. He also stated the 45 MPH speed limit is a little high in that area. He then related an incident he was very upset about where a large truck was following him too close. He stated there have also been other accidents involving gravel trucks in front of and near his home.

Mr. Neblett stated Rt. 226 is a primary highway and it may not be as easy to have posted. Enforcement and education is still needed.

Mr. H. Clay asked if it would help to lower the speed limit. Mr. Neblett stated he would look into it.

Sheriff B.M. Heath asked if it would help to lower the weight limit allowed. Mr. Neblett stated he didn't know if they have noticed any real destruction to the road.

Mr. Robertson suggested a strong message should go to the truck operators to say either they observe the speed limit or action will be taken to cause them to have to use another route.

IN RE: STREETNAMING AND HOUSE NUMBERING SYSTEM--REVIEW BY PUBLIC

Mr. Roger Hart & Mr. Lowell Scott, R. Stuart Royer

& Associates, were present to request approval of the final list of street names for the E911 Emergency Telephone System. Mr. Hart pointed out that approval of the list is needed for any further work to be done.

The County Administrator stated the names have been reviewed and he would recommend they be approved.

Mr. A. Clay stated the citizens should have a chance to review the list. Mr. Hargrave stated he agreed but there should be some guidelines for change.

The County Administrator was instructed to post the list with the maps in the hallway of the Pamplin Building. for review and receipt of public comment for a period of time before the next Board meeting.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VFD ADDITION & JAIL IMPROVEMENTS

Mr. John Chenault of Chenault & Associates, appeared before the Board to present the final design on the addition to the Dinwiddie VFD and a status report on the plans for the jail improvements. He stated the firehouse addition design is 95% complete. He felt they have taken away some of the frills but still met the basic needs of the department. The revised cost estimate is $185,000 to $195,000.

Mr. A. Clay stated he thought they were going to cut out $25,000.

Mr. Bob Mengel, Chief, Dinwiddie VFD, stated the biggest problem with the membership was a cement floor and cinder block walls without any covering. Mr. Robertson asked wasn't it important to have happy firemen.

Mr. Chenault stated he thought they could panel and cover the floors in the meeting rooms.

Mr. Chenault stated the jail plans are 85% to 95% complete. They are reviewing the plans now with the State Fire Marshal and Department of Corrections. The revised cost estimate is $145,000 to $155,000 which includes plumbing fixtures and replacing the boiler. The County Administrator stated if funds are allocated by the General Assembly, the first phase of the jail can be done this year and the second phase, plumbing and boiler, can be budgeted next year.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that complete notes be kept on the meetings with the Fire Marshal and Corrections Department. He also suggested the wall and floor covering for the firehouse be bid as an option.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-7--PLAT APPROVAL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18, and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 6 of Article I and Sec. 117 of Article III of Chapter 18 of the Dinwiddie County Code--compliance with chapter and compliance with rules of State Health Department and Chapter 21 of this Code.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of the amendment at their October 14, 1987 meeting.

No one spoke for or against this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously

adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 6 of Article I and Section 117 of Article III of Chapter 18 and in all other respects be reordained:

That section 18-6 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 18-6. Compliance with chapter.

The regulations set forth in this chapter are hereby adopted for the subdivision of land within the county, and from and after the effective date of the ordinance from which this chapter derives, every owner or proprietor of any tract of land to which these regulations apply who subdivides such tract as provided in these regulations shall conform to the provisions of this chapter and cause a plat of such subdivision developed and prepared in accordance with these regulations, with reference to known or permanent monuments, to be made and recorded in the office of the clerk of the circuit court wherein deeds conveying such land are required by law to be recorded. Any determination concerning the applicability of this ordinance to a division of a parcel of real property shall be made by the agent and no clerk of any court shall file or record a plat of any division of a parcel of real property until such plat has been reviewed and/or approved as required by this chapter; provided that, nothing herein contained shall be construed as preventing the recordation of the instrument by which such land is transferred or the passage of title as between the parties to the instrument.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-8--COMPLIANCE WITH STATE HEALTH DEPARTMENT REGULATIONS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18, and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 6 of Article I and Section 117 of Article III of Chapter 18 of the Dinwiddie County Code--compliance with chapter and compliance with rules of State Health Department and Chapter 21 of this code.

The Planning Commission recommended approval at its October 14, 1987 meeting.

No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 6 of Article I and Section 117 of Article III of Chapter 18 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 18-117 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 18-117. Compliance with rules of state health department and Chapter 21 of this code.

Residential lots to be served by private or individual septic tanks or sewage disposal facilities shall comply with the rules of the state health department and chapter 21 of this code.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-9--GENERAL STANDARDS, SEPTIC SYSTEMS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18 and Wednesday, November

25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 98 of Article IV of Chapter 21 of the Dinwiddie County Code--General Standards.

The Planning Commission recommended approval at their November 10, 1987 meeting deleting the words "adjacent and contiguous". This would require the reserve area to be on the same parcel.

Mr. Steve Owen and Mr. M.W. Burnette of the Crater Health District were present and spoke in support of the amendment.

Mr. George Robinson stated he was in favor of the amendment but he felt it should be the choice of the landowner.

Mr. Jerry Cook stated he was in favor of protecting the environment. His concern was interpretation of the 100% reserve. He asked about lots next to public water and sewer that may have 75% reserve, whether development should be held up. Mr. Emerson stated anybody next to public water & sewer has the option of extending it.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 98 of Article IV of Chapter 21 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 21-98 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 21-98. General standards.

(a) The type, capacities, location and layout of a privately owned sewage works system shall comply with all recommendations of the authority, the local and state health departments and the state water control board. No permit shall be issued for any individual sewage disposal system employing subsurface soil absorption facilities or other approved methods of sewage disposal where the area of the lot is less than that provided for in Chapter 22 of this Code. No septic tank or cesspool shall be permitted to discharge to any natural outlet.

(b) In addition, to any other provision of this Code all septic systems or other individual sewage disposal systems employing subsurface soil absorption facilities shall have available thereto sufficient unused reserved area to construct a secondary system in equal size to the primary system for use in the event the primary system fails. All septic systems or other individual sewage disposal systems employing subsurface soil absorption facilities with the reserve area herein required shall be located upon the same lot or parcel of land as the structure to be served by said system.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-10--ZONING DISTRICT A-R

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18, and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 95 of Article IV of Chapter 22 of the Dinwiddie County Code--Purpose; applicability of other ordinances, etc.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of this amendment at its October 14, 1987 meeting.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated it had been brought to their attention that there may be a problem with Sections 78,79 and 96 of Chapter 21 and the effect on the A-R

district. A-R requires 2 acre lot sizes.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, recommended that the Board may want to wait until an issue arises.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 95 of Article IV of Chapter 22 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 22-95 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Sec. 22-95. Purpose; applicability of other ordinances, etc.

The purpose of the agricultural, rural residential, A-R district is to encourage continued agricultural and forest uses, protect environmentally and ecologically sensitive areas and preserve the natural beauty of rural areas of the county where urban services (i.e. water and sewer mains, etc.) are not planned. At the same time, the district is intended to provide development flexibility by allowing for spacious residential development for those who choose to live in a rural environment. All subdivision proposals will be carefully reviewed prior to granting an A-R classification to ensure that the proposal is compatible with the surrounding environment and existing land uses. All county ordinances will be in full effect in this district. Should a central water/sewer system be constructed, it shall meet the minimum standards and requirements promulgated by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and appropriate state regulatory agencies.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-11--MOBILE HOME PARKS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18 and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 238 of Article V of Chapter 22 of the Dinwiddie County Code--Requirements for Mobile Home Parks.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, reviewed the amendment and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was approval at its November 10, 1987 meeting. He then reviewed the ordinance and the basic reasons for the new guidelines: 1. the ordinance has not been updated since it was adopted in the 1960's. 2. The localities surrounding Dinwiddie County have recently adopted stricter guidelines toward mobile homes, causing an influx of mobile homes into Dinwiddie County. The County under its present guidelines, is open to substandard mobile home park development. 3. To insure that when a mobile home park is constructed, it is designed with accessibility for fire and rescue vehicles, with proper spacing and utilities for the occupants of the park and is a development that will complement the community.

Mr. Robertson asked about permits already issued. If they haven't begun construction, they must comply with the new ordinance. He also asked if those individuals who hold permits and haven't used them will be notified. Mr. Emerson stated they would be told when they apply for a building permit.

Mr. Ernest Harrison, representing Monwyn Trailer Park, raised the following concerns:

1. He stated he had spoken with the Attorney General's office on Item 10 concerning collecting information on each

individual mobile home. He stated he did not want to be in violation of privacy. He asked that action be postponed until he receives an opinion.

2. He stated Mr. Emerson spoke in generalities about what would be enforced on expansion of a park.

3. He felt 7500 sq. ft. was adequate for lot sizes.

4. He did not see the need for a minimum of 15 acres for a park.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that an individual has the right to appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals and the Board of Supervisors. The Zoning Administrator was left discretion on Item #15 to be able to provide some relief for individuals.

After a lengthy discussion, the following changes were agreed upon:

1. Item # 6 - add "secondary road standards";
2. Item #15 - change languate to require addition only to conform.
3. Minimum lot size shall be 8500 sq. feet with no lot line less than 85 feet in length;
4. Item #18 - All lot numbers shall be in conformance with E911 Emergency System.
5. Minimum between mobile homes shall be 25 feet.

Mr. Robertson asked about action on Item #10. The County Attorney felt the ordinance could be adopted first and amended later if needed. Mr. H. Clay pointed out it was a common practice among apartment complexes. Mr. Emerson stated it was already required by the Commissioner of Revenue.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended be further amended by the following changes to Section 238 of Article V of Chapter 22 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 22-238 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 22-238. Requirements for Mobile Home Parks.

This section is intended to encourage site development for mobile home parks in accordance with sound planning principals, and to prevent detrimental effects to the use of development of adjacent properties. A mobile home park shall require, in addition to a zoning permit and certificate of occupancy, a conditional use permit issued by the Board of Supervisors. Each conditional use permit may require additional requirements not herein set forth due to the characteristics of the property and/or adjacent property.

Operators of such parks shall comply with the following development standards for all mobile home parks:

1. All mobile home parks shall have a landscaped, or wooded setback line of fifty (50) feet from any highway or public road right-of-way or seventy-five (75) feet set back from the center line of any highway or public road, which ever distance is greater.

2. Each mobile home park shall have at least twenty-five (25) feet of open space abutting all adjoining property owners and shall be contained within a board fence, evergreen hedge or screen which shall be a minimum of eight (8) feet in height or

of sufficient density to screen the site from adjacent properties. All borders shall be maintained properly in compliance with the intent of this requirement. No fence, hedge or screen need be constructed abutting any highway or public road provided paragraph one of this section is complied with.

3. All ingress and egress shall be to the required standards of the Virginia Department of Transportation.

4. The minimum size of any mobile home parks shall be fifteen (15) acres.

5. No mobile home site shall be offered for sale or sold.

6. Streets within the mobile home park must be constructed to the specifications of the Virginia Department of Transportation (i.e. secondary road standards). Proper storm water management design will be applied, with drop inlets (storm drains) for drainage where necessary. Area for streets will be independent of mobile home space area.

7. All utility lines shall be underground, including electrical wiring and telephone lines.

8. A minimum of ten (10) percent of the gross land area of the mobile home park shall be reserved for recreational and open space uses. This area must be for recreational purposes.

9. All fuel tanks will be concealed except for necessary ventilation.

10. The mobile home park operator shall maintain a register for each mobile home lot for at least the previous two (2) years; such register shall be available at all times for inspection by law enforcement officers, public health officials, and other state and local officials and representatives whose duties necessitate acquisition of the information contained in such register and shall contain:

a. The names of each mobile home owner and occupants.
b. The dates of arrival and departure of each mobile home on each mobile home lot;
c. The name, make and serial number of the mobile home;
d. The license number of each mobile home and motor vehicle;

An updated copy of such register shall be filed each year in March with the Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Department, the Dinwiddie County Department of Planning and Community Development, and the Dinwiddie County Commissioner of Revenue.

11. Mobile home park owners/operators must create a set of rules and regulations for his/her park. Such rules and regulations shall be enforced by the owner/operator. A copy of these rules and regulations shall be filed in the office of the Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Department and the Dinwiddie Co. Department of Planning and Community Development.

12. Refuse shall be the responsibility of the owner of the property to collect or contract for collection on a frequency of not less than twice weekly of all garbage, refuse and rubbish. Collection may be on a lot by lot basis or by a centralized box system. The storage and disposal of refuse must be handled in such a manner as to create no health hazards, rodent harborage, insect breeding areas, accident or fire hazards or air pollution.

13. Before an application for a permit for the construction of a mobile home park shall be approved by the Board of Supervisors,

the applicant shall, in lieu of construction, furnish cash escrow or a performance bond in an amount calculated by the Board of Supervisors to secure the required improvements in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with established or approved specifications and construction schedules, which bond shall be payable to and held by the County. The mobile home park's plans, construction schedule, and amount of bond shall be re-evaluated by the Board of Supervisors at least once every year to insure compliance with the requirements of this ordinance.

In lieu of bond, development may be presented for inspection in completed form. At this time, if complete to all applicable standards, a certificate of occupancy shall be issued by the Dinwiddie County Building Inspector.

14. Mobile home park site plan development shall follow procedure and approval as set forth for subdivisions in Section 18 of the Code of Dinwiddie County.

15. No existing mobile home park shall be enlarged or extended unless the addition to the park is made to conform to all requirements for a new mobile home park.

16. No mobile home permits will be issued for a mobile home park until development standards are met, either by completion of plan or by bond. No mobile home permits will be issued until a certificate of occupancy is issued to the park by the Dinwiddie County Building Inspector.

17. Minimum lot size for mobile home lots shall be eighty-five hundred (8,500) square feet, with no lot line less than eight-five (85) feet in length.

18. Every mobile home space shall have all corners clearly marked and shall be designated a number, which shall be clearly visible from the roadway. These numbers shall be assigned in accordance with the 911 Emergency System.

19. Each mobile home space shall be directly accessible from an approved internal park street. No direct access to mobile home spaces from public streets shall be permitted.

20. Parking shall be provided at the minimum rates of two (2) paved spaces for each mobile home space. Such parking shall be conveniently located to mobile home spaces. Each parking space shall be ten (10) feet by twenty (20) feet.

21. Each mobile home lot shall have a patio, the minimum size not less than two-hundred (200) square feet, convenient to the entrance of the mobile home.

22. Each mobile home lot shall be provided with individual water and sewer connections. All water and sewer lines shall be constructed with the approval of the Dinwiddie County Water Authority. Mobile home parks will only be allowed in areas served by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority. All water lines shall be at least twenty-four (24) inches below the surface of the ground to prevent freezing and shall have a cut off valve below frost depth; such valves shall be other than a stop and waste cock valve. All mobile home park water and sewer lines shall be approved by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority in the same manner as subdivision systems. (By an authorized signature on a plat of the mobile home park.)

23. All mobile homes will be parked on a bed of gravel or crushed stone two (2) inches in depth.

24. Each mobile home shall front on, but be set back twenty (20) feet from an internal mobile home park street, road, or right-of-way.

25. Individual mobile homes shall be situated so that there is a minimum of twenty (20) feet between mobile homes.

26. Each mobile home shall be provided with

individual electrical service pedestals (meter and post).

27. The open space beneath each mobile home shall be skirted with approved material in accordance with the requirements of the administrator.

28. All hitches will be concealed.

29. Mobile homes permitted in mobile home parks shall be over forty (40) feet in length, shall meet the requirements set forth in the A.N.S.I. A119.1 "Standard for Mobile Homes" or its revisions, and shall be intended for permanent single-family residential use (one story only, not to exceed 35 feet in height, one per lot and not to be constructed to include any other residential structure or allow more than one family in one mobile home). The mobile homes shall conform to the building official's code administrator's (B.O.C.A.) Code and other codes adopted by the County.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-12-- MOBILE HOME ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18, and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 22 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add to Article IV, Section 22-78, Special Conditions for travel/mobile homes.

No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted January 1, 1987, be amended, by the following change to Section 22-78 of Article IV, and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 22-78(2) of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

(2) (For purposes of this section) land owner shall be defined as including the lawful owner or owners of real estate, their spouse, and immediate family; immediate family shall include children, parents, grandparents, grandchildren, brothers and sisters of the land owner and their spouse.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-13--VICIOUS DOGS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18, and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 4-18 of Article II of Chapter 4 of the Dinwiddie County Code, vicious dogs running at large.

No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following change to Section 4-18 of Article II and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 4-18 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Sect. 4-18. Vicious dogs running at large.

(a) It shall be unlawful for the owner of a dangerous, destructive or vicious dog to permit or allow such dog to run at large, as defined in section 4-17(a), within the county. Any dog that has been known or should be reasonably known to the owner to attack or attempt to attack or injure any person, animal or fowl, or to damage or destroy anything of value, when such attack and/or injury or damage is not upon the property of and/or in defense of the owner's person or property, shall be termed a dangerous or vicious dog. Such dog shall be kept confined upon the premises of the owner, and if removed from the premises, shall be secured by a leash in the hands of a person able to control such dog, or shall be confined inside a vehicle or cage. The animal warden shall keep a list of all attacks or damage done by any dog discovered or reported to him and shall inform the owner of such dog of such report. Proof of a prior attack, or attempt to attack, not in defense of the owner's person or property shall be prima faciae evidence that a dog is vicious.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-14--DOG CONFINEMENT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, November 18, and Wednesday, November 25, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 4 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add to Article II, Section 22, restricting the running at large of dogs in residential areas.

No one spoke for or against this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March, 1986, be amended, by the following change to Section 22 of Article II and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 22d. of the Code be added as follows:

d. The areas within Dinwiddie County in which this ordinance applies may be enlarged, reduced or other areas added thereto upon amendment hereto properly adopted by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County. Any property owners residing in a definable area adjacent to the areas of the County in which this ordinance applies, may request the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County to extend the restrictions of this ordinance to their area by a petition signed by a majority of the property owners within said area. Upon consideration of said extension, after notice and public hearing as required by the Code of Virginia said extension shall be approved unless the Board of Supervisors determines that good cause exists not to extend the restriction of this ordinance to such area.

IN RE: CABLING & ELECTRICAL WORK FOR COMPUTER SYSTEM--AWARD OF BID

Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, presented one bid for the cabling and electrical work to be done for the county-wide computer system. She stated three bids were solicited and only one was received which was Nelson A. Morton for $1820. Bids were also solicited from David Lucas and Carlton Nunnally.

Mr. Hargrave advised her to make sure the conduit is large enough to accommodate other wiring in the future. Mrs. Quesenberry stated this individual will also be asked to do the cabling needed for the Clerks's Offices.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay,

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the bid for $1,820 from Nelson A. Morton was accepted to install the cabling and electrical wiring needed for the county-wide computer system.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. be appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation Board as the County's business representative, term expiring September 30, 1988; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Harrison A. Moody be appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation Board as the Board of Supervisors' representative, term expiring September 30, 1988.

IN RE: MCKENNEY VFD--AUTHORIZATION TO SELL 1973 CHEVROLET VAN

Mr. A. Clay presented a letter from Mr. Charles Mansfield, Mayor, Town of McKenney, expressing the Town's appreciation for funds for the new brush truck for the McKenney VFD. Since the County contributed the major portion of the cost of the new truck, Town Council passed a resolution to give the old 1973 Chevrolet van to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia appreciates the offer of a 1973 Chevrolet van from the Town of McKenney, but declines the offer; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia suggests to the Town that they sell the van by public auction or sealed bid and use the proceeds for the McKenney VFD.

IN RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY UPDATE

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised the Board that the Economic Development Administration grant for water and sewer line installation should soon be forthcoming.

He also stated that Dinwiddie Cable TV has submitted a $5400 check for their franchise fee.

IN RE: REMOVAL OF REMAINING CEDAR TREES AT COURTHOUSE

Mr. Hargrave stated he had received alot of good comments on the appearance of the Courthouse area since the trees were removed, and to complete the work, he felt the two remaining cedar trees should be removed.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to remove the remaining two cedar trees on the Courthouse lawn.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay

voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 6:12 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:56 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 9:00 A.M., Tuesday, December 8, 1987.

DECEMBER 8, 1987 -- 9:00 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF DECEMBER 2, 1987 MEETING

PRESENT:	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN (arrived at 2:30 P.M.)	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN FOR MEETING

Due to the absence of the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman the County Administrator called for nominations for Chairman for this meeting. Mr. A. Clay nominated Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. Mr. Bracey seconded the nomination. Mr. Moody moved the nominations be closed. Mr. A. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. G.E. Robertson was elected Chairman until the duly elected Chairman or Vice-Chairman arrives.

IN RE: OATH TAKEN BY MEMBERS TO TAKE OFFICE JANUARY 1, 1988

Mrs. Annie L. Williams, Clerk of the Circuit Court, delivered the Oath of Office to the Board members who will take office January 1, 1988.

IN RE: ORIENTATION PRESENTATIONS BY AGENCY & DEPARTMENT HEADS

The County Administrator welcomed the Board members and briefly discussed the duties of the County Administrator and his staff. He was followed by the following departments: County Attorney, Treasurer, Commissioner of Revenue, Extension Service, Va. Dept. of Highways & Transportation, Social Services, Water Authority, Crater Planning District Commission, Director of Planning, Building Inspector, Animal Warden, and Director of Sanitation. The members also received printed information on appointments, Va. Association of Counties, personnel management system, and the emergency operations plan for the County.

IN RE: RECESS FOR LUNCH AND TOUR OF COUNTY BUILDINGS

The Chairman declared a recess at 12:30 P.M. for lunch with the Sheriff and a tour of the County facilities. The meeting reconvened at 2:35 P.M.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:35 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:35 P.M.

MR. M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: SARA TITLE III, HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RESPONSE PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SERVICES--REVIEW OF NEW LAWS

Mr. Wayne Halbleib, Administrator for SARA Title III, Mr. Greg Rhoads, Hazardous Materials Officer for the Department

of Emergency Services and Mrs. Marge Atkins, Regional Coordinator for the Department of Emergency Services were present to review the requirements that the County must meet to be in compliance with the new federal Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, Title III Law, OSHA regulations and House Bill 1172 passed by the last General Assembly.

Mr. Halbleib impressed upon the Board that the SARA regulations are federally mandated and the urgency with which they should act to come into compliance. These regulations include the appointment of a Local Emergency Planning Committee as well as an Information Coordinator. An emergency plan to deal with emergencies of this type must be developed by October 1988.

Mr. Rhoads reviewed the State's Hazardous Materials Response Program and the requirement to appoint a Hazardous Materials Officer to work with the State regional response teams.

Mrs. Atkins emphasized the growth in programs that the local Coordinator of Emergency Services is required to carry out, and while funding is available from the Federal Emergency Management Agency for a full-time position, it has been greatly reduced.

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Executive Assistant, has been serving as Deputy Coordinator of Emergency Services on a part-time basis. She requested that a full-time position be established, Director of Public Safety, to coordinate the requirements imposed by the new federal and state mandates, as well as serve as full-time emergency services coordinator. She stated her concern was for the volunteers and their exposure to hazardous materials incidents without expert guidance.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:11 P.M.

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: W.E. KNOTT

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 16TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1987 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the December 2, 1987 special meeting, the December 2, 1987 regular meeting and the December 8, 1987 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $1800 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund and $5900 from the General Fund to the Water & Sewer Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund checks-numbering 87-2773 through 87-2931 amounting to: $188,985.48; Law Library Fund checks-numbering LF-87-19 and 20 amounting to $155.44; History Book Fund check #HB-87-5 in the amount of $28.50; County Construction Fund check #CCF-87-29 in the amount of $3,062.47; Fire Program Fund check #FIRE-87-8 in the amount of $4,165.00; E911 Fund check #E9-87-11 in the amount of $2,866.71; Water and Sewer Fund - check #W&S-87-11 in the amount of $5,880.62; Lew Jones Subdivision Fund - check #LEW-87-3 in the amount of $7,527.27.

IN RE: ROUTE 672 BRIDGE--PARTICIPATION IN COST TO RELOCATE WATER LINE

Mr. A.J. Eubank, Director, Water Authority, presented a breakdown of the costs associated with relocating the water and sewer lines on Rt. 672 and Rt. 603 required for the Rt. 672 bridge project, 0672-026-185, C501.

Mr. Eubank requested the County participate in the items which will be put out for bids, moving of the water mains and valves in the amount of $17,340. Total cost is $21,500 of which the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation will fund $4,160. Mr. Eubank stated these figures are cost estimates and he hoped the bids when received would be less.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County's participation in the relocation of the water lines on Rt. 603 and Rt. 672 for the Rt. 672 bridge project be approved up to $17,340.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF RECREATION DIRECTOR--FRANCES M. HART

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Frances M. Hart was appointed Recreation Director, effective January 15, 1987.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-87-7--MARGARET BLACKWELL

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 2, 1987 and Wednesday, December 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 22-113 of the Dinwiddie County Code by changing the district classification of Parcel 21G(4)Bk A2 from Residential, Limited District R-1 to Residential General District R-2.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, reviewed the application and the action taken by the Planning Commission which was disapproval at its November 10, 1987 meeting.

Mr. Emerson stated the reason for the request is Mrs. Blackwell desires to operate a day care service as a home occupation which is not allowed in R-1. He pointed out the following things to consider:

1. State Route 714 (Lewis Road) is a developed residential area.
2. Chapter 11, Title 15.1-486 of the code of Virginia states that spot zoning is illegal when the zoning ordinance is designed solely to serve the private interests of one or more landowners.
3. The children currently being cared for are from the City of Petersburg.
4. Mrs. Blackwell is an approved day care provider.
5. Mrs. Blackwell provides a needed service to the community.
6. The service may cause more traffic than usual on Lewis Road.

No one spoke in support. Mr. Tucker Ramsey and Mrs. Judy Talmage spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", rezoning application P-87-7 submitted by Mrs. Margaret Blackwell was disapproved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-15--PERMITTED USES IN INDUSTRIAL M-2

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 2, 1987 and Wednesday, December 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 223 of Article IV of Chapter 22 of the Dinwiddie County Code, Industrial, General M-2 Permitted Uses - Enumerated to add the following:

1. Compounding, manufacturing and assembly of printing inks and related products.
2. Manufacturer's outlet stores in association with on-site manufacturing.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, reviewed the application and action taken by the Planning Commission which

was approval at its December 9, 1987 meeting.

The purpose for the two amendments is to accommodate Selective Coatings and Inks, Inc. and Kinder Manufacturing Corporation, two industries located in the County.

No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 233 of Article IV of Chapter 22 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 22-223 be amended by adding the following permitted uses:

29) Compounding, manufacturing and assembly of printing inks and related products.
30) Manufacturer's outlet stores in association with on-site manufacturing.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-16--VEHICLE LICENSE--REQUIRED: EXCEPTIONS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 2 and Wednesday, December 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 17 of Article II of Chapter 14 of the Dinwiddie County Code--Vehicle License.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, reviewed the amendment, drafted by request from the Sheriff's Department and Commonwealth Attorney. Mr. T.O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth Attorney, spoke in favor of the amendment. He stated there was a loophole in the present ordinance which allowed some vehicle operators to circumvent purchasing a vehicle license, by changing their registration. No one spoke in favor or against this amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 17 of Article II of Chapter 14 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 14-17(a) of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 14-17. Required; exceptions.

(a) Every motor vehicle registered or normally garaged, stored or parked in the county and operated on public roads, streets, highways and right-of-ways in Dinwiddie County, Virginia shall be subject to annual licensing by the County and it shall be unlawful of the owner of any such vehicle to fail to obtain such license.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-17--TAX IMPOSED

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 2 and Wednesday,

December 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 19 of Article II of Chapter 14 of the Dinwiddie County Code--Vehicle License.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated this amendment was drafted to remove the words "trailer and semi-trailers" from the ordinance because they are not taxed.

No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 19 of Article II of Chapter 14 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 14-19 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 14-19. Tax imposed.

On each and every motor vehicle required to be licensed under this article, there shall be an annual license tax of fifteen dollars ($15.00), except that the annual license tax for a motorcycle shall be five dollars ($5.00).

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-18--PAYMENT OF PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 2, 1987 and Wednesday, December 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 22 of Article II of Chapter 14 of the Dinwiddie County Code--Vehicle Licenses.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated this amendment was drafted to remove the words "trailer and semi-trailers" from the ordinance because they are not taxed.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 22 of Article II of Chapter 14 and all other respects be reordained.

That Section 14-22 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Section 14-22. Payment of vehicle personal property taxes prerequisite to licensing.

No motor vehicle shall be licensed by the county, unless the applicant for such license produces satisfactory evidence that all personal property taxes upon the motor vehicle to be licensed, and satisfactory evidence that any delinquent motor vehicle personal property taxes owing, which have been properly assessed or are assessable against the applicant by the County, have been paid.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-87-19--METHODIST CHURCH SUBDIVISION

This being the time and place as advertised in the

Progress-Index on Wednesday, December 2, and Wednesday, December 9, 1987 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to vacate lots 3,4,5, 6 and 7 of the Methodist Church Subdivision.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, reviewed the amendment. It was drafted to accommodate the request by Mr. D. Carleton Mayes to vacate the plat on Lots 3 through 7 that he owns in the Methodist Church Subdivision. Lots 1 and 2 are owned by the Methodist Church. No objection has been expressed by the church.

No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that part of the Methodist Church Subdivision recorded in the Circuit Court Clerk's Office of Dinwiddie County, Dinwiddie, Virginia, in Plat Book 1 at Page 381, as such plat shows thereupon Lots 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of said Subdivision be, and it hereby is, vacated and dissolved.

IN RE: WILLIAM A. PATTON--DISPOSAL OF TIRES

The Board received a request from Mr. William A. Patton asking that he be allowed to dispose of tires found on his property in the Dinwiddie County Landfill.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated Mr. Patton has been contacted by the County to remove the tires because they violate the zoning ordinance and by the State Health Department because of possible health violations.

Mr. John G. Dicks, III, attorney for Mr. Patton, stated the tires were dumped on Mr. Patton's property without his knowledge which constitutes a trespass. He, therefore, could take the case to court, but Mr. Patton would like to dispose of the tires in the Landfill. Mr. Dicks stated they have met with State and County officials and he feels Mr. Patton should be allowed to dispose of the tires in the Landfill unsplit for the following reasons:

1. Upon visiting the Landfill, they found tires to be covered already in the trench.
2. Under the present permit, the County can take tires in the Landfill.
3. There is a substantial cost to transport the tires to the Landfill.
4. Tires were dumped on Mr. Patton's property without his permission.

Mr. Dicks stated the County received complaints on the tires in the 1970's and in 1984 and the issues were resolved.

Mr. H. Clay asked if the tires were on the property when Mr. Patton purchased it. Mr. Dicks stated they were not.

Mr. Hargrave pointed out that State regulations require the tires to be split. Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, pointed out these were draft regulations under consideration for adoption by the State Health Department. He stressed that if the tires are accepted, they should be split or shredded. If not, they will rise through the ground. Also if there is an underground fire, the tires will smolder a long time. Mr. Emerson pointed out the County does not have the capability of splitting or shredding tires now. It would also require additional personnel. It is the County's policy not to accept tires at the Landfill.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, expressed the following concerns:

1. It has been the County's policy not to accept tires.
2. Mr. McAlexander, an adjoining property owner, is taking his tires to Providence Forge.
3. Dinwiddie County is a rural County surrounded by such places as Richmond and Petersburg. How will the County take the tires and at what cost?
4. The landfill has limited space.

Mr. Hargrave asked Mr. Patton why he could not take his tires to Providence Forge.

Mr. Dicks stated the cost is not realistic. Tires were put on Mr. Patton's property without his permission. He is an innocent taxpayer. Mr. Patton will be forced to go to litigation. He felt an orderly plan could be worked out to dispose of them in the Landfill. This is the intent of the new law.

Mr. Robertson stated this seems to be a perennial problem. We all have autos and tires to get rid of. If we don't change our policy, we may be causing them to be thrown in the woods. He felt the Board should address this case as an emergency situation or ongoing policy, to dispose of the tires safely and by the least expensive method for Mr. Patton and the County.

Mr. Hargrave stated he could agree to a one time disposal if the tires were shredded but not as an ongoing policy.

Mr. A. Clay stated it would not be fair to let Mr. Patton put tires in the Landfill and not let others dispose of theirs in the same manner.

After a lengthy discussion, Mr. Robertson made the following motion:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that tires disposed of in the landfill shall be split, cut, or shredded before disposal and should be dispersed in the workface with other solid wastes. Alternate burial not incorporating cutting or splitting at a specific facility may be approved if the method will assure that tires will not emerge from the burial facility; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that requests for tire disposal be considered on a case by case basis by the Board until a policy can be adopted.

Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye". Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voted "nay".

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY--RICHARD TAYLOR

Mr. Hargrave stated that Mr. Fred Beck has submitted his resignation from the Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, effective December 16, 1987.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, regretfully accepts the resignation of Mr. Fred Beck from the Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Richard Taylor be appointed to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Fred Beck on the Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, term expiring January 31, 1990.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY--J.J. DEBOER

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. J.J. DeBoer was reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, term expiring December 31, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY--N.C. OLGERS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. N.C. Olgers was reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, term expiring December 31, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION--EMERY VEAZEY AND HARRY L. CLAY, JR.

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Emery Veazey for reappointment to the Crater Planning District Commission. Mr. Moody nominated Mr. Harry L. Clay, Jr. Mr. A. Clay moved the nominations be closed. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. H. Clay abstaining, Mr. Emery Veazey and Mr. Harry L. Clay, Jr. were appointed to the Crater Planning District Commission, terms expiring December 31, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--PLANNING COMMISSION--HARRISON A. MOODY

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Moody abstaining, Mr. Harrison A. Moody was reappointed to the Planning Commission, term expiring December 31, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CRATER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACADEMY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. John Talmage was reappointed to the Crater Criminal Justice Academy, term expiring December 31, 1991.

IN RE: COORDINATION OF BENEFITS--WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION AND SICK LEAVE POLICIES

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, upon resolution of the Board passed in its regular meeting on February 7, 1979, this Board resolved that when an employee is injured in the line of duty, his salary shall cease and he shall receive workmen's compensation only; and

WHEREAS, many employees have accrued sick leave benefits pursuant to the Board's personnel policy; and

WHEREAS, the County is now self-insured and a policy of coordination of the Board's policies on sick leave and workmen's compensation needs to be established to insure equitable treatment among County employees;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that effective immediately when an employee is injured in the line of duty, his salary shall cease and he shall receive Workmen's Compensation Benefits; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an employee may use his accumulated sick leave to supplement his Workmen's Compensation Benefits to the extent of that sick leave but that in no event may any employee earn or receive more salary or income per day than his/her per diem regular annual salary entitlement as of the date of injury.

IN RE: STREETNAMING & HOUSE NUMBERING SYSTEM--APPROVAL OF STREET NAMES

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, stated the proposed street names have been displayed for public comment through Noon today. The comments received have been distributed to the Board and to the consultants, R. Stuart Royer and Associates. He added that one particular road, Old Stage Road, that seems to have drawn a considerable amount of comments, can be changed to accommodate those individuals; however, all names must be run through the Crater Planning District Commission clearinghouse before finalizing.

Mr. Roger Hart, representing R. Stuart Royer & Associates, stated his firm will make an effort to accommodate all requests as much as possible. He then explained how the names were assigned and the reasons for the name changes.

Mr. Robertson asked that Rt. 671 be changed to Brownwall Road.

Mr. Hargrave asked that a list of all changes that cannot be accommodated be sent back for the Board's review and information.

Mr. Emerson pointed out that property owners can petition the Board for a name change at any time. and the Board will hold a public hearing to consider the change.

Mr. Hart stated he would meet in the hall outside the meeting room with any citizens present who wish to discuss their road name.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the list of streetnames as presented be approved pending consideration and resolution of the 25 changes requested by the citizens of the County.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL--ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL

The County Administrator stated two proposals were received for the disposal of Eastside Elementary School. The balance due on the school is $99,000. The March interest payment is $2,970. He recommended the proposal from Swift Creek Press be accepted for $210,000. The firm would like to close as soon as possible.

Mr. Robertson stated he thought the Board had post-poned action to determine the best method of disposal. Mr. Hargrave stated at sealed bid, the best offer was $134,000. This offer from Swift Creek Press may not wait.

Mr. Robertson stated he had a problem with selling the school when he has seen the citizens could be better served if the school were used for other purposes, i.e. Food Bank, Christmas Foundation, Social Services programs. He stated there may be grants available to help offset the cost. He asked that they keep the school and look into letting these programs use the building. If it turns out to be too costly, then look at getting rid of it.

Mr. Hargrave stated he agreed with the uses. The Food Bank uses a small area and the use by other agencies is periodic. The entire heating system is going to have to be replaced. Mr. H. Clay stated it costs $40,000 to $50,000 a year to maintain the building without renovation.

Mr. Charles Harrison and Mr. Louis Blazek spoke in favor of keeping the school.

Mr. H. Clay stated the Board was told by an architect it will take $200,000 to get the school in shape. Mr. A. Clay stated he was first opposed to selling the school because he felt it would be needed. But it does cost alot to maintain it.

Mr. Paul Walk stated he felt the decision should be postponed for the new Board.

Mrs. King Talley stated she has been amazed at the response of the citizens in the programs that have been conducted at the building. Mr. H. Clay asked if these citizens would be willing to pay the extra cost in taxes. Mrs. Talley said some programs will be revenue generating. They just need some space.

Mr. Hargrave moved to accept the proposal submitted by Swift Creek Press for the disposal of Eastside Elementary School. Mr. Moody seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. H. Clay voted "aye", Mr. Robertson, Mr. A. Clay voted "nay".

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMITS--DINWIDDIE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL, DINWIDDIE YOUTH FOOTBALL LEAGUE, DINWIDDIE JUNIOR HIGH, DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE, NAMOZINE VFD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Senior High School, Dinwiddie Youth Football League, Dinwiddie Junior High, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge and Namozine VFD have made application to the Board of Supervisors for bingo and raffle permits for 1988; and

WHEREAS, these organizations have paid their $10.00 application fee and meet the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Bingo & Raffle permits be granted to the Dinwiddie Senior High, Dinwiddie Youth Football League, Dinwiddie Junior High, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge and the Namozine VFD for calendar year 1988.

IN RE: PRESENTATIONS TO OUTGOING CHAIRMAN--HARRY L. CLAY, JR.

Mr. Hargrave presented a gavel to Mr. Harry L. Clay, Jr. for his service as Chairman for 1987. Mr. A. Clay presented Mr. Harry L. Clay, Jr. a seal of the County for his service to the County for the past four years.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF COUNTY SEAL--MILTON I. HARGRAVE, JR.

Mr. A. Clay presented a county seal to Mr. M.I. Hargrave, Jr. for his years of service to the County as a member of the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: C&P PRESENTATION TO COUNTY

Mr. H. Clay presented a picture he received on behalf of the County from the C&P Community Relations Team. The Chairman directed the County Administrator to send a letter of appreciation to C&P.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:04 P.M. The meeting reconvened into Open session at 11:24 P.M.

IN RE: CONTRACT FOR PROSECUTION OF WELFARE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CHILD SUPPORT

Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, appeared before the Board and presented a proposed contract for employment of an Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney for prosecution of welfare fraud and criminal child support. Mr. Cornwell explained that funding for this position required the County to advance payment at the level of $375 per month to a maximumum of $3,000 and that the contract was only valid for a period of six months. The County would be reimbursed for this advancement by the State of Virginia pursuant to this contract. Mr. Cornwell recommended that the County enter into this contract and the Chairman be authorized to execute the same on behalf of the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to execute a contract with the Department of Social Services, and the Attorney General's Office for the employment of an Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney for prosecution of welfare fraud and criminal child support for a period of six months.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF RESIGNATION BY COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. A. Clay read the following letter from Mr. William C. Knott, County Administrator:

Dear Mr. Clay:

You are representing the Board of Supervisors and in response to your request that I leave the employ of the County of Dinwiddie, I submit to you the following: I will submit my resignation effective immediately. In return, the Board of Supervisors will compensate me fully for annual leave and sick leave accumulated at my present rate of pay.

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, voting "aye", Mr. H. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia accepts the resignation of Mr. William C. Knott, County Administrator; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Mr. Knott be compensated for accumulated annual leave and sick leave at his current rate of pay, calculated as follows: 443 days at $162.00/day.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:30 P.M.

George E. Robertson Jr.
George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL CALLED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 30TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1987 AT 7:00 P.M.

This Special Called Meeting was requested by Harry L. Clay, Jr. and Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. by written request made on December 22, 1987 to consider the following items: 1. For consideration of a resolution of appreciation for W.E. Bolte; 2. For consideration of a resolution of appreciation for W.C. Knott; 3. For consideration of disposition of the sewer system at Eastside School; written notice of this meeting was served upon each Board member on December 23, 1987 and the County Attorney in compliance with Section 15.1-538 of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

PRESENT:	H.L. CLAY, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	H.A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	A.S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION--WILLIAM E. BOLTE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, William E. Bolte has served the County of Dinwiddie as Commissioner of Revenue from January 1, 1976 through December 31, 1987 with integrity and dedication; and

WHEREAS, the current Board of Supervisors on the 30th day of December, 1987, is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express full awareness of, and appreciation for, his unselfish and honorable work in behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby commends Mr. William E. Bolte for his many contributions and devoted service to the County of Dinwiddie; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Mr. William E. Bolte and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION--WILLIAM C. KNOTT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, William C. Knott has served the County of Dinwiddie, as County Administrator with integrity and dedication for more than seventeen years, from March 1, 1970 to December 31, 1987; and

WHEREAS, William C. Knott has played an important role in promoting and maintaining a stable yet prosperous government for Dinwiddie County and its citizens by establishing a strong economic development program and providing sound management and fiscal guidance to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the current Board of Supervisors on this 30th day of December 1987, is desirous of acknowledging these qualities and further to express its awareness and appreciation for his unselfish and honorable work on behalf of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby commends Mr. William C. Knott for his many contributions and devoted service; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be delivered to Mr. William C. Knott and a copy spread upon the minutes of this meeting.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL--DISCUSSION OF SEWER SYSTEM

Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised the Board that he had prepared the contract for the sale of Eastside School. While talking with Richard Haynes, President, Swift Creek Press, Mr. Haynes stated that he thought the lagoon was an operable system. However, the permit on the lagoon has expired and certain things will have to be done to bring it up to standards for acceptance by the State Water Control Board. Mr. Cornwell stated that he informed Mr. Haynes that he made a proposal on the building, "as is". Mr. Haynes stated he wanted an operable sewer system.

Mr. Cornwell stated there are three alternatives:

1. Extend public sewer -- would cost $475,000 and pick up 100 connections.

2. Bring the lagoon up to standards--minimum of $10,000--could go up to $30,000 to $50,000.

3. Larry Madison, Soil Scientist, suggested a "Wisconsin Mound" system -- cost $10,000 to $15,000--could not guarantee it would work without an accurate percolation test which might not be possible until April.

Mr. Cornwell stated the last conversation he had with Mr. Haynes, he stated he would only accept the property with a working septic system.

Mr. Jack Eubank, Director, Dinwiddie County Water Authority, was present to answer any questions about the sewer system.

Mr. Cornwell stated whether the building is sold or not, it must have a workable sewer system if its going to be used.

Mr. Moody asked what use would be made of the system. Mr. Cornwell stated the use would be basicly sanitary for 60 employees. Mr. Hargrave stated there are drainfields in the area. A lagoon would have to be in constant use.

Mr. Moody asked if we make the improvements, will the printing company locate in the building. Mr. Cornwell said they would sign the contract.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would agree to an offer to spend up to $10,000 on the lagoon.

Mr. H. Clay stated he saw these alternatives: 1. The firm presented a proposal "as is" which the Board has accepted. 2. The Board could offer the $10,000 towards the lagoon system. 3. Take no action and leave negotiations up to the new Board.

Mr. Charles Harrison stated he didn't think the Board should spend $10,000. They accepted a proposal from the Company on the building "as is".

Mr. Cornwell stated the firm made an inspection of the building. It was shown by Mr. George Soloe, Superintendent of Transportation and Maintenance.

Mr. A. Clay stated he agreed that the firm made a

proposal on the building "as is". He asked how legal was the contract.

Mr. Cornwell stated it had not been signed. However, Mr. Haynes made a proposal which the Board accepted. He, therefore, feels it is a contract subject to financing and rezoning as stated in the proposal.

No further action was taken by the Board.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 7:25 P.M.

George E. Robertson Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
WENDY W. QUESENBERRY
INTERIM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 6TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1988 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT PRESIDING

IN RE: TERM OF CHAIRMAN & VICE-CHAIRMAN

Wendy W. Quesenberry, Executive Assistant asked if there was any desire by the Board to change the present term of office for the Chairman and Vice-Chairman which is one year.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Superviors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the term of Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall remain at one year.

IN RE: ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN FOR 1988

Mr. Clay nominated Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr., Mr. Bracey seconded the nomination.

Mr. Moody moved the nominations be closed. Mr. Harrison seconded the motion.

Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. was elected Chairman for the year 1988 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

MR. ROBERTSON ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

IN RE: ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 1988

Mr. Moody nominated Mr. Aubrey S. Clay for Vice-Chairman. Mr. Bracey seconded the motion.

Mr. Harrison moved the nominations be closed. Mr. Moody seconded the motion.

Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, Mr. Aubrey S. Clay was elected Vice-Chairman for the year 1988 or until his duly elected successor assumes office.

IN RE: SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Mr. Robertson stated that it has been the policy of the Board in the past to cancel the day meetings for the months of June through September. He also stated he would like to see one meeting added, the second Wednesday in May, which would be May 11, 1988 to have a Student Government Day, whereby students at the High School would hold an election and participate with Board members in a mock Board meeting. Mr. Clay stated that he would like to see the day meetings remain in the months of June through September as scheduled so that the night meetings would not be so long.

Mr. Clay moved that the regular schedule for Board meetings for the year remain the first Wednesday at 2:00 P.M. and the third Wednesday at 7:30 P.M. and that a day meeting be added on May 11, 1988 at 2:00 P.M.

Mr. Moody moved that the motion be amended to change the time of the day meetings in June, July, August, and September to 7:30 P.M. Mr. Harrison seconded the amendment.

Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the schedule of Board meetings for 1988 will be as follows:

January 6, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
January 20, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
February 3, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
February 17, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
March 2, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
March 16, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
April 6, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
April 20, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
May 4, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
May 11, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
May 18, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
June 1, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
June 15, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
July 6, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
July 20, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
August 3, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
August 17, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
September 7, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
September 21, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
October 5, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
October 19, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
November 2, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
November 16, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.
December 7, 1988 - 2:00 P.M.
December 21, 1988 - 7:30 P.M.

IN RE: FORMAT OF BOARD MEETINGS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, meeting in its organizational and first meeting after election, desires to modify the format of its meetings so as to streamline future meetings of the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County further wishes to establish rules of conduct for members of the public who wish to appear before the Board so as to allow for greater citizen input while reducing the time a member of the public must wait before being able to address the Board;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby adopt the following agenda format for all future meetings henceforth until modified by Board action:

1) INVOCATION

2) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

4) CLAIMS PRESENTED

5) CITIZEN COMMENT

6) CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA FOR MEETING

7) REPORTS OF CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS (First meeting in month only)

8) PUBLIC HEARINGS

9) MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED AS PLACED ON AGENDA BY MEMBER OF THE BOARD, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR, OR BY WRITTEN REQUEST FILED WITH THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR BEFORE 12 NOON ON THE THURSDAY PRIOR TO THE MEETING, MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC

10) EXECUTIVE SESSIONS AS REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBER, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR, OR COUNTY ATTORNEY

11) ACTION NECESSITATED (if any) BY MATTERS DISCUSSED IN EXECUTIVE SESSION; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that during the CITIZEN COMMENT and PUBLIC HEARING portions of the meeting the following rules will apply:

1) All citizens desiring to speak before the Board will be required to sign a sign-up sheet posted at the rear of the meeting room giving the citizen's name, address and topic to be addressed.

2) The sheets will be collected by the Clerk to the Board at the beginning of the Citizen Comment portion and again at the beginning of the Public Hearing portion.

3) No citizen who has not signed up to speak shall address the Board unless recognized by the Chair.

4) Upon being called, each citizen who addresses the Board must speak from the rostrum only and begin their remarks by stating their name and address.

5) All remarks from the person addressing the Board must be directed to the Chairman and not to any Board member unless in direct response to a Member's question.

6) No person shall address the Board for more than five (5) minutes unless allowed by ruling from the Chairman.

7) Persons wishing an opportunity to rebut remarks by speaking to the Board for a second time on the same topic may request permission from the Chairman and be recognized; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that during the meetings of the Board, the Clerk of the Board will, using her microphone, give a brief description of the item on the agenda about to be discussed; that all future votes taken by the Board shall be by roll call with the Members voting in the alphabetical order of their last names, except for the Chairman who shall vote last; and, that in all executive sessions the County Administrator or County Attorney will give a short statement itemizing the reason for the sessions and that all executive sessions concerning legal matters will require the County Attorney to be present, unless excused by the Chairman, and all executive sessions concerning personnel matters will require a staff person to be present, unless excused by the Chairman.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF ASSISTANT COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR--WENDY W. QUESENBERRY

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the position of Executive Assistant be regraded to Assistant County Administrator; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry be appointed to the position of Assistant County Administrator at Grade 24, Step 5, at a salary of $29,702.40.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR--WENDY W. QUESENBERRY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, be appointed as Interim County Administrator until a permanent selection is made for the position of County Administrator.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the December 16, 1987 regular meeting and December 30, 1987 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: WILLIAM C. KNOTT - SEVERANCE PAY

The chairman read the following breakdown of the severance pay awarded Mr. William C. Knott, County Administrator, upon his resignation December 31, 1987 to be a part of the minutes:

As per instruction from the Board of Supervisors December 16, 1987, severance pay was figured as follows:

263 day accumlated sick leave
180 days accumulated annual leave

443 days (documentation on file in County Administrator's office)

$42000 annual salary

162.00 per day 443 days =	$71,766.00	
	128.73	FICA
	16,227.00	Federal Withholding
	4,484.88	State Withholding
	$51,467.27	Check #87-2931 Dated Dec. 16, 1987

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye", Mr. George Robertson and Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry were authorized to sign the minutes of the December 16, 1987 regular meeting and December 30, 1987 special meeting.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $22,000 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund checks-numbering 87-2932 through 87-3030 amounting to $142,670.31 and 88-1 through 88-14 amounting to $60,007.50; County Construction Fund check number CCF-88-1 amounting to $21,990.00; History Book Fund check number HB-88-1 amounting to $4.64.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

Mr. Paul Walk appeared before the Board to congratulate them and to wish them well in the next four (4) years as new Board members. He also touched on several areas that he would hope that the Board would address during their term of office, the areas being County Administration, Economic Development, Taxes and Public Services.

Mr. Emory Veazy appeared before the Board to advise them that the problem he had with the gravel trucks on Route 226 had been resolved thanks to Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison and Mr. Robertson. He also wished them good luck for the upcoming year.

Mr. Bryant Coley who lives on Franklin Street off of US Route 1 appeared before the Board to express his concern over the dumping taking place on the property of Neil Pierce behind the Safeway Store. He said he had been in touch with the Hazardous Waste Management Department of the State concerning this dumping and he urged the Board to monitor the situation in the future. He also stated he was in favor of seeing development on the property if it was controlled and in keeping with the surrounding neighborhood.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE -- INTRODUCTION OF NEW DEPUTIES

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to introduce her two new deputies, Mrs. Dorothy Alston and Mrs. Betty Gill.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE -- PART TIME HELP

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization to employ Mr. William E. Bolte on a part-time basis at the rate of $6.00 per hour, for a total of $2,100.00, pending participation by the Compensation Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Commission of Revenue was authorized to employ Mr. William E. Bolte on a part-time basis up to 350 hours at the rate of $6.00 per hour, pending the Compensation Board's approval and participation.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE -- ORIENTATION WORKSHOP

Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request participation in funding for her to attend a Commissioner of Revenue's Orientation workshop to be held January 21st and 22nd. The cost of the workshop including registration and hotel fees will be $130. Mrs. Marston stated that the Compensation Board would not participate in this cost and it would be fully funded by the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", funding for Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, was approved for her to attend the Commissioner of Revenue's Orientation Workshop in the amount of $130.

IN RE: LAND USE TAXATION--ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR REVALIDATION FEE UPON SIXTH YEAR

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, presented an amendment to the Land Use Ordinance, Section 19-17, that would provide a revalidation fee equal to the current original application fee on the sixth (6th) year following the orginal application for Land Use.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that the Commissioner of Revenue was already collecting that fee as a result of a resolution passed by the Board. In reviewing this policy, he felt an amendment to the ordinance was necessary.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Commissioner of Revenue was authorized to advertise an amendment to Section 19-17 of the Land Use Ordinance to provide for a revalidation fee on the sixth (6th) year following the original application for Land Use.

IN RE: TREASURER

W. E. Jones presented his report for the month of December.

He also presented an investment schedule as well as a breakdown of the General Fund Balances for the last three years. Mr. Jones pointed out that the General Fund Balance has continued to go down since 1984 and the County would probably have to look at borrowing money in March of this year.

IN RE: TREASURER -- TAX AUDIT REQUIRED FOR RECONCILIATION OF RECORDS

Mr. W. E. Jones informed the Board that there was an overage in his 1987 records in the amount of $1800. His staff had been able to find $500 but there was a remaining balance of $1300.00 which must be reconciled before the 1987 audit can be released. Mr. Jones stated that a tax audit is necessary which would take approximately two days and would be performed by the auditors Robinson, Farmer and Cox. The Board informed Mr. Jones that they could not approve the audit without an estimated cost figure. Mr. Jones returned later on in the meeting and stated that the auditors, Robinson, Farmer and Cox, had given a figure not to exceed $3000 for the tax audit for his office.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the firm of Robinson, Farmer and Cox be authorized to perform a tax audit to reconcile the Treasurer's records at a cost not to exceed $2000.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy presented his report for the month of December 1987.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM -- DAN M. UPTON

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Dan M. Upton was awarded $400 for one Augus Cow.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM -- GEORGE REITER

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. George Reiter was awarded $156.75 for one Sow Hog.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM -- JAMES R. WILLIAMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. James R. Williams was awarded $400 for one Angus Heifer.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM -- HUBERT MORGAN

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Hubert Morgan was awarded $54.00 for three pigs.

IN RE: REPAIRS TO ANIMAL WARDEN'S TRUCK

Mr. Lawrence A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, appeared before the Board to discuss the repairs needed for the 1980 Chevrolet Animal Warden truck. He stated there were several alternatives available for rebuilding the motor. After a brief discussion, Mr. Brooks was directed to obtain cost estimates to present to the Board for their consideration on repairing the truck.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Clarence Roberts, representing the Virginia Department of Highways and Transporation, appeared before the Board to answer questions:

1) Mr. Roberts reported that the traffic signal timing at the intersection of US 1 and Rt. 226 had been checked and the maximum green time has been extended.

2) Mr. Roberts reported that speed zone studies on Rt. 622 and Rt. 627 had been completed. The recommendation on Rt. 622 is to reduce the speed limit to 45 Miles Per Hour. The recommendation on Rt. 627 was to leave the speed limit as it is.

3) Mrs. Quesenberry requested that the Highway Dept. continue their policy of removing snow in front of the fire departments and the rescue squad building. She stated a letter would be forwarded concerning this request. Mr. Roberts stated that it was the highway department's policy to remove the snow at those locations.

IN RE: CARSON VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT -- PARTICIPATION IN REPAIR OF PUMPER

The Board received a letter from Prince George County requesting their participation in the repair and restoration of the Carson Volunteer Fire Department's 1979 First Run Pumper. The truck was recently damaged by fire. The insurance company is absorbing the cost to repair the fire apparatus and is allowing $14,000.00 toward the repair of the cab and chassis. The estimate of total cost of repair to be borne by Prince George County is $28,773.53. Mrs. Quesenberry stated that the Board participated in 1/3 the cost of the original purchase of this truck. One-third of the cost of the repair and restoration cost would be $9,592.51. She added that the truck has 8,000 miles on it and a new truck would cost approximately $65,000 to $75,000.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County agreed to participate in one-third of the cost of repairing the 1979 First Run Pumper for Carson Volunteer Fire Department in the amount of $9,592.51.

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK -- SPECIALIZED FILING EQUIPMENT

Bids on filing equipment for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office were received on December 22, 1987. Only one bid was received. That was from C. . Warthen Company in the amount of $16,782.00. This firm has supplied and installed filing equipment in Clerks' offices throughout the State and will work on the weekends so as not to disrupt the operation of the Clerk's office.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the bid from C. W. Warthen and Company for filing equipment for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office was accepted in the amount of $16,782.00.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:30 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 4:25 P. M.

IN RE: CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE OF PROPERTY

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby authorizes the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority to enter into a contract for the purchase of property; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that $100 is approved as a down payment.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE THE POSITION OF COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", authorization was given to advertise the position of County Administrator.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE THE POSITION OF PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", authorization was given to advertise the position of Public Safety Officer at Grade 21 with a salary range of $20,321 to $28,828.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE THE POSITION OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS COORDINATOR POSITION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", authorization was given to advertise the position of Computer Systems Coordinator at Grade 14 with a salary range of $14,435 to $20,508.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT--GLENICE N. TOWNSEND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mrs. Glenice N. Townsend, Secretary/Account Clerk, be appointed Interim Executive Assistant; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that her salary be set on Grade 19, Step 5, at $23,254.40.

IN RE: EXTRA HELP -- EXTENSION OFFICE AND RECREATION DIRECTOR

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", authorization was given to hire part time secretarial help to serve the needs of the Extension Office and the Recreation Director.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:40 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened in Open Session at 6:15 P.M.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REPAIRS TO JAIL ROOF AND DRAINFIELDS

Mr. Harrison questioned what was being done concerning the problems at the jail, specifically a leak in the middle section of the jail roof and problems with the sewage system. Mrs. Quesenberry stated that she was working on a problem with the failing drainfield at the jail. Stamie Lyttle Company is monitoring the situation and recommends that the septic tank be pumped once a week until a permanent solution can be found for the failing drainfield. She stated that all the sewage is being directed into one drainfield for now and unless the tank is pumped once a week, this working drainfield could also be damaged.

Mr. Clay asked if any prices had been obtained from a local company. Mrs. Quesenberry pointed out that she did not think local companies could handle the size of the tank. She also stated that Stamie Lyttle is monitoring the drainfields and provided this service in addition to pumping the tanks.

Mr. Harrison also asked about the status of paving the parking lot and tearing down the old jail. Mrs. Quesenberry pointed out that there were several things that needed to be done before the parking lot could be paved concerning drainage from the Courthouse area and also connecting a water line from the jail to the well serving the courthouse.

Concerning tearing down the old jail, Mrs. Quesenberry stated that she did not think the Food Bank had removed everything that they owned out of the old jail.

Concerning the leak in the jail roof, Mrs. Quesenberry stated that not long ago, work had been done on the front section of the roof; however, she would have someone check out the leak and decide what had to be done. She added that the architect who was working on the design of the other improvements at the jail would be able to help in this area.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 6:35 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr. Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 20TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1988 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	DONALD ADAMS	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the January 6, 1988 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund checks numbering 88-15 through 88-169 amounting to $213,696.36; County Vehicle Fund check number VF-88-1 in the amount of $9,592.51; Fire Program Fund check number FIRE-88-1 in the amount of $2,842.18; Library Fund check number LF-88-1 in the amount of $5.38; E911 Fund check numbers E9-88-1 and E9-88-2 amounting to $5,471.10; Water and Sewer Fund check number W&S-88-1 in the amount of $1,749.93.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mr. Raymond McCants appeared before the Board to request that citizens be allowed to reserve the right to question Department Heads on matters during Board Meetings. The Chairman assured Mr. McCants that citizens would be allowed the opportunity to question Department Heads.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (5) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:41 P.M. to discuss legal matters concerning the investment of funds. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:30 P.M.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1986-87 AUDIT

Mr. Spencer Elmore representing Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates appeared before the Board to present the 1986-87 Audit. Mr. Elmore pointed out that expenditures for the year had exceeded revenues by $500,000. Expenditures that had a major impact on the County's financial status were: $400,000 in construction projects, an additional $102,000 transfer to schools, the establishment of a $1,000,000 self-insurance fund and the advance of $100,000 as up-front money for the E-911 fund.

Mr. Elmore stated that it was his recommendation that a locality keep a minimum of five percent (5%) of the annual operating budget as undesignated funds and ten percent (10%) for a good financial position. In Dinwiddie County's case, this amount would be $1.8 million dollars. Dinwiddie County ended the fiscal year of 1986-87 with approximately $15,000 in undesignated funds.

Mr. Elmore also pointed out that because of other obligations made by the County out of budget to-date, the County may end the year without a fund balance or in a possible deficit situation. He, therefore, urged the Board to work towards restoring the fund balance to a positive position.

In discussions with staff, Mr. Elmore stated that he found two (2) possible sources of operating income for the County. These funds are located in a school health insurance fund and in the water and sewer account. Another possible source of operating income would be to refinance advances to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority through the Virginia Resources Authority. The fourth alternative for additional operating funds would be to transfer back the money that is held in the self-insurance fund.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN TRUCK MOTOR REPAIR

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr., Animal Warden, presented the following bids for repair to the motor of the 1980 Chevrolet Animal Warden Truck:

1. Lacy Auto Parts - 1982, 305 motor, unknown mileage total price $1150.00 includes installment and the price of motor. Only internal parts are guaranteed.

2. Reese's Auto - Rebuild motor, plus new carburator is $1325.00.

3. Interstate Motors - Block without heads is $465.00. $200 for installation, $225.00 new carburator- Total price $890.00

   Interstate Motors - Block with heads is $615.00, $200 for installation, $225.00 new carburator- Total price $1040.00.

Mr. Brooks stated that after reviewing the bids with Mr. George Soloe, Director of Transportation and Maintenance, it was his recommendation that the bid by Interstate Motors for a Block with heads at a total cost of $1040.00 be accepted.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Brooks would have to go to Danville to pick up the block. Mr. Brooks stated that he would have to travel to Danville but it would only take one person and one truck. Also the work is guaranteed for ninety (90) days.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the bid submitted by Interstate Motors for a block with heads to repair the 1980 Chevrolet Animal Warden Truck be accepted at total cost of $1,040.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Check Number 88-170 in the amount of $615.00, which represents the cost of the block with head, be approved and funds appropriated for same.

IN RE: JAIL IMPROVEMENTS -- PARTICIPATION BY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, plans and specifications are being prepared for renovation of the Dinwiddie County Jail Facility; and

WHEREAS, these plans include upgrading the plumbing and heating system, construction/renovation of jail facilities to meet life, health and fire safety standards and expansion of recreational

activities as recommended by the State Fire Marshal and the Department of Corrections; and

WHEREAS, the cost for these improvements is estimated to be $200,000, and it is the desire of the Board of Supervisors to proceed with this work within the 1988-89 fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, Section 53.1-80 of the Code of Virginia provides for reimbursement to the locality by the Commonwealth through the Department of Corrections;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia requests that the Department of Corrections participate in the funding of the renovation work needed at the Dinwiddie County Jail Facility, as provided by the Code of Virginia; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia requests this funding be included in the 1988-1990 biennial budget.

IN RE: JAIL DRAINFIELD -- RECOMMENDATIONS

Mr. Roger Hart, representing R. Stuart Royer & Associates, appeared before the Board to discuss the drainfield situation at the jail. He stated that the reason the drainfield was failing was because the usage is exceeding the designed load. Mr. Hart stated he received a recommendation from Stamie E. Lyttle Co., Inc. the sanitation company who has been monitoring the drainfield situation. Their recommendation was to lower the drainfield at a cost of $22,000. Another opinion on the soils information that was submitted was obtained and the soils were found to be adequate in that area. Mr. Hart stated that he felt the Board could remedy the problem with finding another location for a drainfield. He added that the new field should be designed with long range planning in mind.

Mr. Moody asked if both fields could be used at the same time. Mr. Hart stated that they could not with the way the system is structured now. If the whole area was used, the soil would not take it.

Mr. Harrison asked how much drainfield was being recommended. Mr. Hart stated that at least one and one-half times bigger than the present system which would probably require one to one and one-half acres of land. He felt that the fields would handle the flow if the new drainfield was made larger.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to continue to have the septic tank pumped as needed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is authorized to investigate the possibility of acquiring additional suitable land in the area for a new drainfield.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-5 -- OPEN WELLS

James E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, presented a proposed ordinance prohibiting any open, uncovered, or unsafely covered wells in the County. Mr. Cornwell stated that the ordinance was drafted at the request of the Commonwealth's Attorney and the Sheriff because of the number of wells of this type they had found in the County.

Mr. Clay pointed out the cap requirement should be changed to reflect the standard cap that can be purchased from any firm installing wells.

Mr. Charles Crowder pointed out that standard caps now have steel reinforced rods running through them and have a three (3) inch overhang.

Mr. John Boswell stated that some of the older terracotta casings require metal covers made to form.

Mr. Cornwell pointed out that the statement that "each well shall be covered in a manner as not to be dangerous to human beings, animals or fowls" would cover what was required on the well. He stated that he would find out what the standard cover was before finalizing the notice for advertisement.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney was authorized to advertise Amendment A-88-5 concerning Open Wells for a public hearing to be held February 17, 1988.

IN RE: PETERSBURG JAYCEES ANNUAL PIG-NIC -- APPROVAL OF PERMIT FOR 1988

The County Administrator presented an application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held Wednesday, May 4, 1988 at the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport. There being no changes from the previous applications, she recommended approval of this request.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the application for a Special Entertainment Permit for the Petersburg Jaycees Annual Pig-Nic to be held May 4, 1988 was approved with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL -- APPOINTMENT OF CITIZEN COMMITTEE

Mr. Robertson submitted the following letter for consideration by the other Board members:

TO: All Board Members

FROM: George E. Robertson, Jr.

RE: Eastside School

Gentlemen: A contract has been signed for the sale of Eastside School and the buyer has 120 days to find financing. The Buyer may not complete the transaction as there is a problem with the water and sewer system.

In the event that this happens, I recommend to the Board that we appoint a committee of interested citizens to explore all possible uses of the school for the betterment of Dinwiddie County.

I have listed on the next page names of citizens that might help with this committee. I urge each Board member to add names to this list. I would like for each member to appoint 2 persons to this committee at our February 3rd meeting.

If you concur, I would appreciate a motion of approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, there being no second, Mr. Bracey voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", Mr. Moody voting "nay", Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the request from Mr. Robertson for the appointment of a citizen committee to explore the uses of Eastside School was approved as presented.

IN RE: MCKENNEY VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT -- WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEE

Mr. Clay presented a request from the McKenney Volunteer Fire Department that the building permit fee for an addition to the McKenney Volunteer Fire Department be waived. He stated the work was being done by the members themselves and he, therefore, felt there should not be a fee.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Building Permit Fee for an addition to the McKenney Volunteer Fire Department be waived.

IN RE: BORROWING FUNDS -- AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT WITH AUDITING FIRM AND BONDING ATTORNEY

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, advised the Board that after reviewing the 1986-87 Audit and discussing the present financial situation with the Treasurer, there is a need for the County to borrow money no later than the month of March of this year. In order to do so, certain paperwork must be prepared by the auditing firm and reviewed by a bonding attorney. Mrs. Quesenberry recommended the Auditing Firm of Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates and the Bonding Attorney of Hunton and Williams be contracted to prepare the necessary paper work for borrowing money this year.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to contract with the firms of Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates and Hunton and Williams to prepare the necessary paper work to allow the County to borrow the money needed to operate for the remainder of the year until taxes are collected.

IN RE: DATE TO TOUR SCHOOLS

The dates of February 2nd and February 22nd were agreed upon as possible dates to meet with the School Board to tour the schools.

IN RE: SOUTHSIDE EMERGENCY CREW -- REPAIR OF RADIO

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, stated that the Southside Emergency squad, last year, placed a radio in the Sheriff's Department to allow communication with them when their services were needed in the County. This radio has recently incurred repair costs in the amount of $200 of which the Southside Rescue Squad is asking the County to pay. Mrs. Quesenberry stated that this radio provides a much needed service and recommended that the funds can be taken from the Radio Fund Account.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the payment of $200 for repair of the Southside Emergency Squad radio located in the Sheriff's Department was approved.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT -- REPAIR OF BOILER

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, advised the Board that when the new heating and cooling system was placed in the Health Department, the boiler was not replaced. It was felt at the time that the boiler was in good condition and did not need to be replaced. In the past few weeks, however, the boiler has required several maintenance calls creating a dangerous situation. The boiler continues to become stopped up because the chimney does not create enough draft to pull the residue off the boiler. The maintenance contractor has recommended installing a draft fan at an approximate cost of $300 to $400. Mrs. Quesenberry recommended that this be done as soon as possible since a dangerous situation is created when the boiler stops up.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to have a draft fan placed on the boiler at the Health Department.

IN RE: FINAL STREET NAMES

After reviewing the twenty-five (25) requests by citizens for changes to the proposed street names, the Consultant Firm of R. Stuart Royer & Associates, Inc. was able to comply with ninety-nine percent (99%) of the requests. The County Administrator stated that the firm needs to proceed with placing these names on the maps to meet the filing deadline with C&P. No further action was needed from the Board since approval was given at an earlier meeting.

The Board members stated they were very pleased with the effort by R. Stuart Royer to make the changes requested by the citizens.

IN RE: DINNER WITH LEGISLATORS

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, asked the Board to give her some dates to work with to arrange a meeting with the Legislators during this session of the General Assembly.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (2) and (4) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:20 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:40 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:41 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING. DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1988 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the January 20, 1988 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund checks numbering 88-171 through 88-278 amounting to $157,942.47; County Vehicle Fund check number VF-88-2 in the amount of $115,196.00.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mr. Raymond McCants appeared before the Board to discuss delinquent County Tag purchases. He suggested that the delinquent list be given to the Sheriff who could issue warrants which would provide income from the fees collected as well as the County tags purchased.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Sheriff has held check points in the past and he was sure that he would continue the same enforcement program this year.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were added to the February 2nd Agenda: (1) Sale of Rescue Vehicle; (2) Request for Assistance in Budget Preparation; (3) Boat Landing Agreement.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W. E. Jones presented his report for the month of January 1988.

In response to the comment by Mr. McCants, Mr. Jones stated that 13,000 tags are usually issued in January. The remainder are bought throughout the year whenever vehicles are put on the road. The usual yearly total is 15,500.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Sheriff, B. M. Heath, presented an activity list for his department for the year 1987.

The County Administrator stated that a list of the Sheriff's vehicles that will be turned in showing the year of the vehicle, the number of miles as well as the condition will be presented to the Board for disposition.

IN RE: CLARENCE COLEMAN CLAIM

Sheriff B. M. Heath appeared before the Board to present a claim from Mr. Clarence Coleman for damages to his house caused when a Deputy ran into the corner of his garage while responding to a call there. The Sheriff presented three (3) estimates for damages and recommended that the Board approve the requested repairs.

Mr. Robertson stated that the County Attorney was in the process of obtaining a third estimate. The Building Inspector has already looked at the damage and when the third estimate is received the Board could consider it. Mr. Robertson stated that in his opinion, the County should restore Mr. Coleman's house.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that after reviewing the damages, the Building Inspector felt that the estimates turned in were too high. Mr. Cornwell stated he also wanted to see if the report had been turned over to Mr. Coleman's insurance company. He stated that he had a problem with the County accepting legal liability. He would like to meet with Mr. Coleman on the scene and prepare a report for the Board at their first meeting in March.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy presented his report for the month of January 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of January 1988.

IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND--TRANSFER TO HOSPITALIZATION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the transfer of $6,000 from the County share of the Virginia Public Assistance Fund to the Hospitalization Fund in order to continue to provide limited hospitalization to eligible medical indigent patients is hereby approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this $6,000 be taken from the County's share in the current 1987-88 Virginia Public Assistance Budget.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR FLASHING LIGHT--ROHOIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that he had received a request from a concerned parent to place flashing school zone lights on Route 460 at Rohoic Elementary School. He stated a similar request had been investigated a few years ago by the Highway Safety Commission, however, the cost to run electrical service underground across U.S. Route 460 was prohibitive and the application was dropped. He indicated he had discussed the project with Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation as well as Wendy Quesenberry who serves as secretary to the Transportation Safety Commission. He felt the cost may not be as high since there is electrical service already on the south side of U.S Route 460.

Mr. Robertson asked if overhead electrical service had been explored. Mr. Neblett advised him that it would be more prudent to go underground with the electrical service. He stated that he had also gotten some calls on the location and that the school zone signs had been repainted.

IN RE: BUSING ROUTES TO MIDDLE SCHOOL

Mr. Robertson asked Dr. Vaughn if he had received a petition from concerned parents concerning the new busing plan to the middle school. Dr. Vaughn stated that he was aware of the concerns and a decision had not been made. He stated that the parents prefer not to have grades 6-12 riding on the same bus; therefore, the School Board agreed to look at three (3) different routes. The advantange to having three different routes would be if schools had to be closed early.

IN RE: ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT--REQUEST TO POSTPONE FILLING VACANCY

Mr. Clay stated that he had received several calls on the advertisement for the position of assistant superintendent. He stated that the County has been cautioned by the Auditor about its financial position, and he felt the School Board should also control its expenses. He requested that filling the position of assistant superintendent be held off until the new budget year.

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that it was imperative that the position be filled because of all the new programs being implemented. He added that the position is advertised for the next budget year.

Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey and Mr. Moody stated that they had all received calls concerning the position also.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be requested to not fill the position of Assistant Superintendent.

IN RE: REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF COUNTY VEHICLES

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that at the present time, repair and maintenance work needed on the cars belonging to the Sheriff and the Department of Social Services is being performed at various locations throughout the County. He stated that he been asked to bid out this service so that it could be done at one location. Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services Department, stated that most of the drivers of her department's cars are female and are not knowledgeable in the repairs that are needed on the various vehicles. She said it would be to their benefit if the work could be done at one location. Mr. Clay stated that since he operated a repair business that he would abstain from voting on the matter. Mr. Bracey stated that the bids should require the garage to be certified and insured. Mr. Harrison stated that he would like to see the work awarded to a local business. Mr. Robertson asked if the Sheriff and Mrs. Talley would require their employees to go to the garage that is selected. Both the Sheriff and Mrs. Talley stated that they would.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, the County Attorney was authorized to bid out the repair and maintenance of the vehicles belonging to the Sheriff, the Department of Social Services, and the County Administration staff.

IN RE: A. S. CLAY--AUTHORIZATION TO BID ON REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF COUNTY VEHICLES

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that Section 2.1-639.7(B).3 of the Code of Virginia provides for a member of a local governing body to be able to bid on County services provided (1) the governing body has established a need for the same or substantially similar goods through purchases prior to the election or appointment of the member to serve on the governing body, (2) the member should have no involvement in the preparation of the specifications for such contract, and (3) the remaining members of the governing body, by written resolution shall state that is is in the public interest for the member to bid on such contract.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Aubrey S. Clay be authorized to bid on repairs and maintenance of County vehicles pursuant to Section 2.1-639.7(B).3 of the Code of Virginia, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following conditions exist: (1) The need for this service was established through purchases prior to the election or appointment of Mr. Clay to the Board of Supervisors. (2) Mr. Clay shall not have involvement in the preparation of the specifications for this contract. (3) The Board of Supervisors feels that it is in the public interest for Mr. Clay to bid on this contract.

IN RE: RECREATION DIRECTOR

Ms. Fran Hart reported that she has been busy gathering information on the County and the needs of the citizens concerning recreation. Mr. Bracey stated that a group of citizens in the 3rd District would soon be contacting her concerning their recreational needs.

Ms. Hart said she was working on holding a public meeting in McKenney. Mr. Clay suggested that the meeting be held at the School.

IN RE: DONALD ANDREWS--DISCUSSION OF ROAD TO RECREATIONAL COMPLEX

Mr. Donald Andrews appeared before the Board to discuss the proposal to move the dugouts on the new baseball field and the condition of the road going to the recreational complex.

He stated that he felt $5,000 was being wasted to move the dugouts so that people sitting in lawn chairs could see. He suggested building higher bleachers.

Mr. Andrews stated that the road to the recreational field which runs along his right-of-way is not being maintained. He stated that often people block the road and throw out their trash. He asked who was responsible for the road and what was going to be done.

The Chairman stated that this came as a surprise to him since he thought the School Board was taking care of the problems on the road.

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, stated that the road has been widened but the School Board does not have the funds to pave it. He stated that they had tried to maintain it and obtained help from the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to alleviate the dust problem.

The Chairman directed the Superintendent and County Administrator to look into the problem and report back at the March 2nd meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-88-2-REAL ESTATE TAX EXEMPTION SECTION 19-39 (3) and (4)

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 20, 1988 and January 27, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 19 of Article III of Chapter 19 of the Dinwiddie County Code by changing certain sections dealing with the Real Estate Tax Exemption.

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, presented this amendment. No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 19 of Article III of Chapter 19 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 19-29(3) and 19-39(4) of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

(3) The total combined income during the immediately preceding taxable year, from all sources of the owner of the dwelling living therein and the owner's relatives living in the dwelling, does not exceed sixteen thousand dollars ($16,000); provided, however, that the first four thousand dollars ($4,000) of income of each relative, other than spouse of the owner, who is living in the dwelling shall not be included in such total.

(4) The net combined financial worth, including equitable interests as of December 31 of the immediately preceding taxable year of the owner and of the spouse of the owner, excluding the value of the dwelling and the land, not exceeding one (1) acre, upon which it is situated does not exceed thirty thousand dollars ($30,000).

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-88-3--REAL ESTATE TAX EXEMPTIONS SECTION 19-42

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, January 20, 1988 and Wednesday, January 27, 1988 for the Board to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 19 of Article III of Chapter 19 of the Dinwiddie County Code by changing certain sections dealing with Real Estate Tax Exemptions.

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, presented the amendment. No one spoke for or against the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody,Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie Code, as previously adopted and amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 19 of Article III of Chapter 19 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 19-43 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

Where the person claiming exemption conforms to the standards of this article and does not exceed the limitations contained in this article, the tax exemption shall be as shown in the following schedule:

TOTAL INCOME ALL SOURCES	TAX EXEMPTION
$0 to $8,000	100%
$8,001 to $9,000	90%
$9,001 to $10,000	80%
$10,001 to $11,000	70%
$11,001 to $12,000	60%
$12,001 to $13,000	50%
$13,001 to $14,000	40%
$14,001 to $15,000	30%
$15,001 to $16,000	20%

IN RE: ROUTE 725--RETURN TO ORIGINAL PROPERTY OWNER

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to discuss a request received from Mrs. Gladys Brown to deed back that portion of Route 725 which leads into her property and was never taken into the State System. Mr. Neblett pointed out that the original deed took in the entire .41 of a mile; however, only .17 Mile was taken into the public road system. He further stated that the remaining section is not maintained by the State and the State does not have any need for the right-of-way at this time.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia authorizes the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to convey to Mrs. Gladys Brown the .24 mile section of right-of-way that was never taken into the State Secondary System, as recorded in Deed Book 115, Page 414 on November 15, 1962; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that since the need for a road has not been established over the past 26 years, the Board concurs in the conveyance of this right-of-way to Mrs. Gladys M. Brown.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer questions. He stated that he would like to arrange a workshop session with the Board on the Six-Year Plan prior to the February 17th meeting.

1. Mr. Clay stated that the sight distance traveling east on Route 40 at its intersection with Rt. 619 is very poor, and as a result, several wrecks have occurred there. He asked Mr. Neblett to look into this hazardous intersection to see what could be done to correct it.

2. The Board asked the Resident Engineer to investigate placing solid lines on U.S. Rt. 1 at its intersection with Rt. 613 at the Georgian-Rathskeller Restaurant. This would prevent vehicles from passing when an oncoming car was trying to make a left turn into Route 613.

3. Mr. Moody asked if the railroad crossing on Rt. 639 was included in the six year plan. Mr. Neblett informed him that that crossing was completely funded.

IN RE: ROUTE 684--AIRPORT ROAD--AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN DEED

In reviewing the records on the Airport property, Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, found that the road into the Airport was never deeded from the City of Petersburg to the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign the deed with the City of Petersburg transferring ownership of Route 684 to the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Development Authority.

IN RE: SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE--REVIEW BY PLANNING COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the revised subdivison ordinance be referred to the Planning Commission for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Mr. Clay nominated Mr. Wayne Barnes for reappointment to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Wayne Barnes was reappointed to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, term expiring February 5, 1992.

IN RE: RECREATION COMMITTEE

The Chairman stated that a committee consisting of representives from the Board of Supervisors, the School Board as well as Recreational Advisory Council was needed to work with the new recreation director to recommend to the Board policies and procedures for the recreation program for Dinwiddie County.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following appointments be made to the recreation committee: Mr. Donald Harraway, Mrs. Glenice Townsend, Dr. Richard Butterworth, Mr. Charles Harrison, and Mr. Harrison Moody; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Charles Harrison be appointed temporary Chairman until the Chairman can be appointed by this committee.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL COMMITTEE

By resolution adopted at the January 20, 1988 meeting, the Eastside School Committee has been placed upon the agenda for appointment at this meeting. The Chairman stated that he would like to see the appointments made at this time.

Mr. Clay stated he had reservations about appointing a committee now when the Board was in the process of selling the school. He felt the Board should wait until a committee was needed. Mr. Robertson stated that he agreed, but he felt the sale of the school may not become a reality and he would like to see a functioning committee already in place. Mr. Moody stated this was why he had voted against the committee at the last meeting. He felt the appointments should be made when the time comes. Mr. Robertson stated that he would still like to see the committee appointed. He added that the recommendation from the committee may be that the school needs to be sold.

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Paul Walk and Mr. Tucker Ramsey. Mr. Bracey nominated Mr. Charles Friedl and Mr. J. W.

Harvell. Mr. Harrison nominated Mr. David Lucas and Mr. Laxton Wilson. Mr. Moody nominated Mr. Joe Emerson and Mr. George Soloe. Mr. Clay was asked to phone in his appointments to the County Administrator.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, the following people were appointed to the Eastside School Committee to study the use and/or sale of the Eastside Elementary School; Mr. Paul Walk, Mr. Tucker Ramsey, Mr. Charles Friedl, Mr. J. W. Harvell, Mr. David Lucas, Mr. Laxton Wilson, Mr. Joe Emerson, and Mr. George Soloe; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. Paul Walk be appointed as temporary chairman until a permanent chairman can be appointed from the group.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. George Robertson be appointed to the Crater Planning District Commission, term expiring December 31, 1991; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. George Robertson be appointed to the Crater Planning District Commission Executive Committee, term expiring June 30, 1988.

IN RE: SALE OF RESCUE SQUAD VAN

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Rescue Squad is hereby authorized to sell by sealed bid, a 1977 Chevrolet Van.

IN RE: ASSISTANCE WITH BUDGET PREPARATION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been advised by the County's Auditors that sound budget practices are needed to place the County in a more stable fiscal position; and

WHEREAS, the Auditing Firm of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates has offered to provide this budget guidance on an hourly basis not to exceed $5,000; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors feels that this budget assistance will benefit the staff as well as the new members of the Board.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the firm of Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates be contracted to provide budget assistance on an hourly basis not to exceed $4,000.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING AGREEMENT

The County Administrator advised the Board that a decision is needed as to whether they will attempt to operate the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing this year. In the past, several methods were used to provide maintanence as well as security at the Lake Chesdin Boat

Landing. Previously, the County placed individuals on the payroll to collect a parking fee and provide whatever maintenance was needed at the boat landing; however, the income received did not equal the expenses to the County. Last year the County signed an agreement with an individual to collect the parking fee as well as provide the needed maintenance and keep the money collected for the services rendered. This seemed to be a beneficial approach both for the County as well as the individual. She stated there were two ways to go about this same kind of operation this year. One way would be a Lease Agreement,however, since the property belongs to the State, approval of the Lease Agreement would have to go through several channels of State government and would probably take a considerable amount of time. She, therefore, recommended that she be authorized to prepare a request for proposals for individuals who would be interested in operating the Boat Landing again, keeping the receipts as compensation. She pointed out that another decision that is needed from the Board is the cost of a season pass this year. Last year it was placed at $10.00 and most of the passes were purchased by people outside the County. The State has authorized the season pass to be as high as $20.00

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to prepare a request for proposals to seek an interested party to contract with to operate the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1), (4) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:05 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 6:58 P. M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Brcey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 6:59 P. M.

George E. Robertson

GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry

WENDY W. QUESENBERRY
INTERIM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 17TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1988 AT 6:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	DONALD T. ADAMS	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORATION - SIX YEAR PLAN WORKSHOP SESSION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer and Mr. Harold Dyson, Assistant Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation were present to hold a workshop session with the Board of Supervisors on the Secondary Road Six Year Plan 1988-1994.

Mr. Neblett reviewed his recommendation for those projects to be included in the six year plan.

Mr. Clay stated that he didn't think Route 644 was in bad shape. There are a lot of dirt roads in the County that needed to be paved. Mr. Neblett stated that the road had already been surveyed and funds had been spent on the project. Mr. Bracey stated that he didn't think cross-arm gates were needed at the railroad crossing on Route 703 going into Prince George County. Mr. Neblett stated that he had received comments from a Supervisor in Prince George County for the need of cross-arm gates at this railroad crossing.

There being no further comments, the workshop session was closed at 7:15 P.M.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 7:16 P.M. until 7:30 P.M. at which time the regular scheduled meeting would begin.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:35 P.M. for personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:45 P.M.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Minutes of the February 3, 1988 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $11,273.81 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund checks numbering 88-279 thru 88-433 amounting to $106,645.18; County Construction Fund checks numbering CCF-88-2 thru CCF-88-6 amounting to $11,326.40; E911 Fund check number E9-88-3 in the amount of $15,127.05; Fire Programs Fund checks numbering FIRE-88-2 thru FIRE-88-4 amounting to $2,739.41 Library Fund check number LF-88-2 in the amount of $317.49; Water and Sewer Fund check number W&S-88-2 in the amount of $373.03.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

(1) Mr. Raymond McCants stated that he would like to have a traffic count on Route 226. He stated that a Bill had been presented in the General Assembly to require covering of gravel trucks, but it had been defeated. The gravel trucks on Rt. 226 throw rocks onto the road when they make a turn onto Route 460. The Chairman advised Mr. McCants that the Highway Dept. was the proper agency to request a traffic count. He also added that at a Legislative Dinner with the local Legislators, the Board asked for support of the Bill requiring gravel trucks to be covered.

(2) Mr. Harry Clay, representing the Dinwiddie County Rescue Squad, appeared before the Board to present an activity report for the past year. He stated that the squad was going to have to replace the 1973 ambulance and would like the Board to consider funding assistance in their budget deliberations for next year. He estimated the cost of the new ambulance to be $50,000 to $55,000. He stated that the squad was going to apply for matching funds which would reduce the amount to be funded locally, but that award would not be made until July.

Mr. Clay stated that anyone wishing to make a left hand turn into the squad building on U.S. 1 traveling South had a difficult time because of the sight distance problem. He asked that a turning lane be put in front of the building for South bound traffic. Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Deptartment of Highways and Transportation, stated he would pass the request onto the Department's Traffic and Safety Engineer for review.

(3) Mr. David Lucas appeared before the Board to request something be done about the water that collects on his business property at the Airport. He stated that when the County graded the area, a swale was left that allows water to collect at his building.

The Chairman asked the County Administrator to look into this for Mr. Lucas.

(4) Mr. Jerry Richards, Executive Director, CARES, Inc. appeared before the Board to ask that funding in the amount of $2,000 be included in the Board's 1988-89 Budget for CARES. This would be a $500 increase over the present year appropriation of $1500.

(5) Mr. Robert McFarland from Sutherland asked for the Board's assistance in obtaining information from the School Board. This information concerns separate busing routes, how many students would be in the classrooms and what schools would be closed.

The Chairman stated that the bus routes had been discussed with the School Board at the last meeting. He asked Mr. McFarland to write his questions down and submit them to the County Adminstrator so they could be discussed with the School Board when the Board of Supervisors meets with them on February 22.

IN RE: MILITARY EXERCISE -- 82ND AIRBORNE DIVISION

Major Mike Mason and Major Bill Dexter of the United States Army 82nd Airborne Division from Fort Bragg, North Carolina appeared before the Board to discuss a divisional field training exercise that will be held at the Petersburg Municipal Airport the night of April 30th into the morning of May 1st. Six Hundred (600) paratroopers

will be dropped from a C130, then they will be flown out by helicopters. Major Mason stated that they had earlier met with press representatives and presented them with information and were very willing to answer questions from any citizens.

Major Bill Dexter briefly described the exercise and stated that they wanted to discourage spectators. The Army Corp of Engineers is in the process of notifying the adjacent property owners. Mr. Robertson stated that the Board did want the citizens to know about the exercise so they wouldn't be alarmed. He emphasized the fact that the Army discourages citizens from getting near the scene. Mr. Bracey asked if the Army would be responsible for any damages. Major Dexter stated that the Army Corps of Engineers will repair anything that is damaged as a result of the exercise. Mr. Robertson asked that the County Administrator and Sheriff's Department be advised when the date is finalized. Major Mason stated that they would be coordinating the exercise with the Sheriff's Department as well as the local hospital.

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", a claim submitted by Mr. Clarence Coleman was added to the agenda.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORATION - PUBLIC HEARING ON SIX YEAR PLAN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 3, 1988 and Wednesday, Febrary 10, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to hold a joint public hearing with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation to receive citizen input on the Secondary Roads Six Year Plan.

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Department of Highways and Transportation, reviewed the proposed plan and then opened the hearing for citizen input.

(1) Mr. John R. Fraser stated that he was concerned about Route 619. He felt the Highway Department was starting the work on that section of the road where it was needed the least. Mr. Neblett stated that traffic count played a large part in where priorities were established. Mr. Fraser stated that he thought the worst section of Rt. 619 was from where Mr. Dan Upton lives to Hawkins Church.

(2) Bill Jones asked if the bad S curve would be taken out of Route 619. Mr. Neblett stated that he hoped to take some of it out.

(3) Mr. Robert Colvin asked that Route 725 be hard surfaced.

(4) Mrs. Emma Pate, representing the citizens on Route 715, asked what they could do to have Route 715 moved up on the priority list. Mr. Neblett stated that he would be coming to the residents in the next year seeking a donated right-of-way. He felt construction would start in 1990. He added that he would put some gravel on the road to improve its condition in the meantime.

(5) Mr. Calvin Ellington asked what year work would begin on Route 605. Mr. Neblett said that he estimated it would be in the summer of 1989.

(6) Mrs. Mary Smith, a resident on Route 715, stated that the citizens had already signed a petition donating the right-of-way, She stated that the road was so bad that she and her husband couldn't bring their tractor-trailer truck down the road to their house. Mr Neblett stated that the citizens still have to sign the right-of-way documents.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", another workshop session was requested on the six year plan before final approval.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-4 - LATE REVALIDATION FEE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 3, 1988 and Wednesday, February 10, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 19 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add to Article II, Section 19-17, Application for Classification, Assessment, Etc., Generally.

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to present this amendment to the ordinance.

No one spoke in support or opposition to the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted January 1, 1987, be amended, by the following change to Section 19-17 of Article II, and in all other respects be reordained:

Section 19-17. Application for classification, assessment, etc., generally.

(a) The owner of any real estate meeting the criteria set forth in sections 58.1-3230 and 58.1-3233 of the Code of Virginia may, at least sixty (60) days preceding the tax year for which such taxation is sought, apply to the commissioner of revenue for the classification, assessment and taxation of such property for the next succeeding tax year on the basis of its use, under the procedures set forth in Section 58.1-3236 of the Code of Virginia. In any year in which a general reassessment is being made, such application may be submitted until thirty (30) days have elapsed after the notice of increase in assessment is mailed in accordance with section 58.1-3330 of the Code of Virginia, or sixty (60) days preceding the tax year, whichever is later.

(b) Application under this section shall be on forms provided by the State Department of Taxation and supplied by the Commissioner of Revenue and shall include such additional schedules, photographs and drawings as may be required by the Commissioner of Revenue. An individual who is the owner of an undivided interest in a parcel may apply on behalf of himself and the others of such parcel, upon submitting an affidavit that such other owners are minors or cannot be located.

(c) An application shall be submitted under this section whenever the use of acreage of land previously approved changes, except when a change in acreage occurs solely as a result of a conveyance necessitated by governmental action or condemnation of a portion of any land previously approved for taxation on the basis of use assessment.

(d) An application fee of ten dollars ($10.00) shall accompany each application filed pursuant to this section.

(e) A property owner must revalidate annually, on or before December 4, with the Commissioner of Revenue any application previously approved under this article; provided, however, that annual revalidation forms prescribed by the Commissioner of Revenue may be filed during the period of December 6 through January 1 upon the payment of a late filing fee for ten dollars ($10.00).

(f) On the sixth year following the original application, the annual revalidation must be accompanied by a revalidation fee equal to the then current original application fee.

(g) A separate application and revalidation shall be filed under this section for each parcel on the land book.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-5 - OPEN WELLS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 3, 1988 and Wednesday, February 10, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 15 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add to section 15, Open Wells, Requirement to Cover, Etc.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented the amendment. He stated it was requested by the Sheriff and the Commonwealth's Attorney.

Mr. Clay stated that he was concerned about the requirement of a sign. Children and animals cannot read and he did not see any need for having to maintain a sign.

Mr. Cornwell stated the purpose of the sign was to make the location easier seen by the public and the Sheriff's Deparment.

Mr. Bill Coleman spoke in favor of the amendment. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March, 1966, be amended, by the following change to Section 15 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 15-6 of the Code be added as follows:

It shall be unlawful for any person owning or occupying any land to allow to exist on said land any open, uncovered, or unsafely covered well, which well has a diameter greater than six inches and which is more than ten feet deep.

Each such well shall be covered in such a manner as not to be dangerous to human beings, animals or fowls. Any such cover shall, at a minimum, be constructed of reinforced concrete of at least three inches in thickness and shall be at least six inches in diameter larger than the well entrance over which the cover is to be placed.

The owner or occupier of any land upon discovering or being notified of the existence of any abandoned or unused well thereon shall immediately secure the area around the well so as to prevent children or animals from falling therein. Within ten days after discovery the owner or occupier shall cover and mark any unused well as herein required or, if said well is abandoned, fill the same in compliance with Section 18.2-316 of the Code of Virginia.

Each land owner upon whose land any well exists shall inspect each well every six months to verify that the cover is in place as necessary to comply with this ordinance.

Any person convicted of violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a Class I misdemeanor and, in addition, the keeping of an uncovered or improperly covered well shall constitute a nuisance pursuant to Section 15-5 of this Code and subject the owner or occupier of the land to enforcement provisions thereunder.

IN RE: REGIONAL RESOURCE RECOVERY PROGRAM - RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT

Mr. Denny K. Morris, Executive Director of the Crater Planning District Commission, appeared before the Board to discuss the County's continued participation in the study and implementation of a Regional Resource Recovery program. An area solid waste task force was established from the Richmond Regional Planning District

and Crater Planning District Commissions to investigate the feasibility of this type of program because of the increasing solid waste disposal regulations all localities face.

He stated that at this time the cities of Hopewell, Colonial Heights and Petersburg as well as Prince George County have endorsed the next phase of the project.

The County Administrator recommended adoption of the resolution adding that before the County agreed to incur any additional cost it would be brought back to the Board for their consideration and action.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and the Crater Planning District Commission established the Richmond/ Tri-Cities Area Solid Waste Task Force to investigate the feasibility of a comprehensive regional resource recovery program and to address waste management issues of regional concern; and

WHEREAS, the Richmond/Tri-Cities Area Solid Waste Task Force retained an engineering consultant with financial support from the 13 participating local governments to prepare a preliminary study of the economic feasibility of a regional resource recovery facility; and

WHEREAS the engineering consultant has completed the study, which provides general cost estimates for the construction and management of several regional resource recovery alternatives; and

WHEREAS, the report concludes that the "development of a regional solid waste management system involving resource recovery appears to be viable for the Richmond/Tri-Cities Area"; and

WHEREAS, the report further concludes that "implementation of the project will require a commitment by participating cities and counties" and makes specific recommendations on completion of needed detailed studies and actions to effectively implement a regional resource recovery facility including recycling programs; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie recognizes the need to participate in long-range regional planning efforts to address future waste disposal needs of the County; and

WHEREAS, further studies and actions to address financial, organization and management, siting, environmental, recycling and energy markets, and ownership issues will require a significant commitment from local governments in terms of both monetary and human resources; and

WHEREAS, the Richmond/Tri-Cities Area Task Force and the Crater and Richmond Regional Planning District Commissions have recommended that it is the appropriate time for each of the 13 participating local governments to formally indicate their support or lack of support for continued local participation in a regional resource recovery implementation study prior to further actions and development of an implementation plan by the Solid Waste Task Force;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County of Dinwiddie supports further studies for the implementation of a regional resource recovery program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that by this resolution of support for participation in the regional resource recovery implementation program, the County of Dinwiddie expresses its commitment to:

a. the County's continued participation in implementation studies for the establishment of a regional resource recovery program;

b. work cooperatively with other jurisdictions on the Area Solid Waste Task Force to develop an implemen-

tation program with a time and cost schedule for the next phase of the regional resource recovery program by no later than June 30, 1988; and

c. an understanding that the appropriation for providing the local share of the costs for the next phase will be contingent upon further consideration of a detailed implementation work plan and budget to be developed by the Area Task Force.

IN RE: AMENDMENT CONCERNING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT -- REFERRAL TO PLANNING COMMISSION

At the request of the Planning Commission, the County Attorney presented an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance which would declare a conditional use permit null and void after twelve (12) months of continuous non-use. He recommended it be submitted to the Planning Commission for public hearing and review.

Mr. Harrison stated he thought twelve (12) months was too short. It did not allow a businessman enough time to sell his business. Mr. Emerson stated that the current zoning ordinance only allows one year on non-conforming use. He stated that the County could re-grant a conditional use permit at the end of twelve (12) months. The individual would have to come back to the Board and apply for a new permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the amendment to the Zoning Ordinance concerning Conditional Use Permits was referred to the Planning Commission for consideration with the following change:

Twenty-four (24) months would be substituted for twelve (12) months of continuous non-use.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- DISTRICT 19 MENTAL HEALTH AND MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICE CHAPTER 10 BOARD

Mr. Bracey nominated Mrs. Lillian Stewart for appointment to the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Chapter 10 Board. Mr. Clay seconded the nomination. Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mrs. Lillian Stewart was appointed to the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Service Chapter 10 Board, term expiring December 31, 1990.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay abstaining, the following appointments were made to the Transportation Safety Commission: Mrs. Barbara Wilson, Pupil Transportation; Mr. Thomas E. Gibbs, Jr., Sheriff's Department; Mrs. Becky Tilson, Driver Education; Mr. Max Roberts, Planning Commission; and Mr. Aubrey S. Clay, Board Representative, terms expiring December 31, 1988.

IN RE: ZONING MAP UPDATE

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, stated that he had received two (2) bids and was waiting on the third one for updating the zoning maps. He was authorized at the last meeting to expend up to $3,000 on an intern from Virginia State University. He stated that he could not come to an agreement with the intern on the hourly rate, therefore, he went forward to seek proposals.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Director of Planning was authorized to award a contract for updating the zoning maps to the low bidder, cost not to exceed $1,700.

IN RE: CLARENCE COLEMAN CLAIM

Mr. Clarence Coleman was present to submit a claim for damages to his house caused by a Deputy Sheriff responding to a call at Mr. Coleman's house. Mr. Coleman had been asked to obtain a third estimate which he presented at this time. The estimates received were $4200, $4800 and $5500.

Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that the Building Inspector had viewed the damages and submitted an informal estimate of $2500. Mr. Cornwell stated that he would have to recommend denial of the claim based on the Law of Virginia. Under the law, a police officer in the course of carrying out his duty incurs no liability for damages.

Mr. T. O. Rainey, Commonwealth's Attorney, stated that the suspect that the deputy was chasing was apprehended. Since that time he has left but Mr. Rainey felt sure he would be brought back and possibly be a source of recovering the money.

Mr. Robertson stated that the process could go on for some time. He realized that the County Attorney was looking at the case from a legal stand point, however, he was looking at it from a humanistic view point. The Sheriff has indicated that the Deputy was responsible and Mr. Robertson stated he felt the man should be paid. He was disturbed by the estimates compared to the appearance of the police car. He recommended that the claim be paid and that the County seek recovery for the damages.

Mr. Harrison moved that the claim be approved and that the lowest bid for the damages be accepted. There was no second. Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the claim submitted by Mr. Clarence Coleman be approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the lowest bid of $4200 submitted by Watkins Construction Company, Inc. be accepted to repair damages done to Mr. Clarence Coleman's house; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a release statement be signed by Mr. Clarence Coleman to include verification that no other restitution has been received.

IN RE: VICTIM WITNESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Mr. T. O. Rainey, III., Commonwealth's Attorney, presented a brochure that has been developed for the Dinwiddie County Victim Witness Assistance Program. He stated that the program had been developed without a request for funds from the State or the local governing body. He asked the Board to pass this information on to people who may need these services. Mr. Bracey suggested that Mr. Rainey introduce the information to the government class at the high school.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

UPon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1), (4) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:00 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 12:06 A.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 9:00 A.M. Monday, February 22, 1988.

FEBRUARY 22, 1988 - 9:00 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 17, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO SECTION 21-9 -- WATER AND SEWER ORDINANCE ADOPTION AS EMERGENCY ORDINANCE

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented an amendment to Section 21-9 of the Dinwiddie County Code dealing with disposal of storm water, unpolluted drainage, etc. This amendment would require curbs, gutters and storm sewers in all new subdivisions to prevent erosion of land, sediment of water courses and to eliminate the possibility of infiltration of storm and drainage water from new subdivision's into the authority's water and sewer system.

He added there has already been a problem with erosion and sediment control in certain subdivisions. This ordinance would be in effect until the revised subdivision ordinance is considered.

Mr. Robertson stated he had spoken with Jack Eubank, Director, Dinwiddie County Water Authority, who indicated the County has already using 400,000 gallons of its 500,000 gallon capacity with the Petersburg Sewage Treatment facility. Chesterfield has adopted a similar requirement.

Mr. Robertson recommended adoption of the ordinance on an emergency basis.

Mr. Cornwell stated that subdivision plats can still be presented for recordation. The ordinance, however, would require curbs, gutters and a storm water management system. The price may go up somewhat, but the size of the lot would not have to be increased.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, stated that the Virginia Community Certification Program requires curbs and gutters under the site and building requirements.

Mr. Harrison stated some of the subdivisions were in very bad shape and he was all for this.

Mr. Emerson stated this requirement would be included in the revised subdivision ordinance. He stated it would not effect the plans that have been recorded or submitted with all fees paid.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following changes to Section 21-9 of Article I and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 21-9 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

SECTION 21-9. Disposal of storm water, unpolluted drainage, etc.

In order to prevent erosion of land, sedimentation of water courses and to prevent infiltration of storm water and other drainage into public water and sewer facilities, all subdivisions hereafter constructed shall contain a system of storm water sewers which discharge to a natural outlet. All such systems shall provide for curbs, gutters and storm drains along

streets within said subdivision for the collection of storm waters and the channeling of such to the storm sewer for disposal. Prior to installation of any storm water system, plans and specifications therefor shall be submitted to the authority for review and approval. The developer shall construct such system in accordance with the advice, consent and approval of the authority which shall not approve extension of public water and sewer to the subdivision without an approved storm water system as herein required. All storm water and all other unpolluted drainage within such subdivision shall only discharge to a storm sewer approved by the authority and constructed pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Wherefore, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that an emergency exists due to current problems of infiltration of storm water and drainage into the sewer system of the authority and erosion of land and sediment of water courses in Dinwiddie County, combined with the capacity limitations under the authority's sewer contract with the City of Petersburg and the possibility of additional erosion, sediment and infiltration from new subdivisions, and that therefore, this ordinance shall be effective immediately, pursuant to the emergency provisions of Section 15.1-504 of the Code of Virginia and enforced for a period of 60 days hereafter unless sooner revised, revoked or continued after proper advertisement.

IN RE: TOUR OF SCHOOLS

The Board joined the School Board members on a tour of the schools, recreational complex and garage and maintenance facilities.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 P. M. Wednesday, February 24, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

FEBRUARY 24, 1988 - 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF FEBRUARY 17, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: INTRODUCTION AND APPOINTMENT OF PART TIME SECRETARY -- RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mrs. Patricia Heiser be appointed part time secretary for the Recreation Department at a salary of $5.00 per hour.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:05 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:30 P.M.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION - WORKSHOP SESSION ON SIX YEAR PLAN

Action on the adoption of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Secondary Roads Six Year Plan was postponed at the last meeting pending another workshop session. Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Mr. Harold Dyson and Mr. Clarence Roberts representing the Virginia Department of Highways and Transporation were present. Mr. Neblett opened the workshop session for comments from the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Clay stated that he was concerned about Route 619. He felt that the road should be improved some place besides the Dinwiddie village area. He said that there are sections of Rt. 619 that cause the peanut farmers to not use the road. He also stated that he felt Route 644 was a hard surfaced road and should be moved down on the priority list because there are still a lot of dirt roads that need attention. He stated that he agreed with Mr. Bracey that the cross arms on the railroad crossing on Route 703 at Carson could be postponed at this time.

Mr. Neblett stated that the section of Route 619 near the Dinwiddie village area was included because of the high traffic count. The section near Hawkins Church does not have as high a traffic count. He stated that they were starting on the area near the Dinwiddie village because of engineering as well as economic reasons.

As to Route 644, Mr. Neblett pointed out that the project would also include improving the Route 650 and Route 644 intersection.

Mr. Bracey said that he would like to see Route 636 off of Route 460 at Sutherland included. He felt the money dedicated to the cross arm gates on the railroad crossing on Route 703 could be applied to Route 636.

Mr. Neblett pointed out that part of the 1.2 million dollars for improvements include improvements at railroad crossings. He added that the railroad traffic has increased in that area and that he would like to see the cross arm gates remain.

Mr. Neblett stated that if funds were allocated to Route 636 it would bypass many other dirt roads with higher traffic counts. He stated that the section of Route 619 from Route 679 to Route 660 was already in the six year plan.

Mr. Bracey said he was more concerned with that section of Route 619 from Route 650 to Hawkins Church. Mr. Neblett said he could transfer the money from the section of Route 619 from U.S. Route 1 to the bridge at the Post Office to that section of Route 619 from Route 626 to Route 734. He pointed out, however, that the longer they delay the section near the Dinwiddie village area the more costly it would become to improve it.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Secondary Roads Six Year Plan as presented by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for 1988-1994 be adopted with the following change: The funds allocated to that portion of Route 619 from U.S. Route 1 to .35 mile east of U. S. Route 1 to that section of Route 619 from Route 626 to Route 734.

IN RE: JAIL DRAINFIELD -- STATUS REPORT

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, stated she wanted to bring the Board up-to-date on what steps had been taken to alleviate the problems with the Dinwiddie Jail facility drainfield. After reviewing the reguirements of the application for the Virginia Revolving Loan Fund, it was determined that the County would not be ready to meet the March 1st application deadline. This is due to not having obtained the proper NPDES Permits nor prepared the PER Engineering Studies required. She stated, however, that a statement of need would be sent to the Revolving Loan Fund Authority indicating the needs of the County and the proposed projects should another application period become available.

She stated that she and the Director of Planning had met with Mr. Steve Owen and Mr. Randy Robertson of the Health Department and Mr. Jerry Quesenberry, Soil Scientist, to discuss a temporary solution until a sewage treatment facility can be constructed. The Health Department has indicated that they are willing to work with the County to try to repair the failed drainfield on a temporary basis so that with pumping the tank as needed, the County can continue to operate until the treatment plant can be constructed. Three requirements are as follows: (1) a letter shall be sent to the Health Department stating the plans of the County for a permanent solution and the length of time until the permanent treatment plant is constructed; (2) the County Attorney shall arrange for a pump and haul contract through the Attorney General's office; (3) the County will install Twelve (12) new lines in the center of the existing lines that have failed.

She assured the Board that she would investigate the cost of installing new lines versus a pump and haul contract before a course of action was recommended.

IN RE: USE OF MEETING ROOM -- SMYRNA BAPTIST CHURCH

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, presented a request from Mr. Frank Boyd of the Smyrna Baptist Church requesting the use of the Extension Meeting Room on Sunday mornings for a Satellite Bible School. She stated that Mr. Boyd wanted to use the room in case the group became too large for the Church. She indicated this would not be consistent with the present policy of authorizing use of the room on a single meeting date. The use as a Satellite Bible School would require opening and closing of the building every Sunday morning plus heating or cooling air required on a regular basis. She, therefore, could not recommend the Board approving the request.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that after extensive research on the use of a public facility by a religious group, he could not recommend that the Board authorize the request. He stated that federal law prohibited the use for religious meetings.

The Board agreed with the recommendation of the County Attorney and the County Administrator and instructed the County Attorney to write Mr. Boyd a letter indicating the response of the Board to his request.

IN RE: INVITATION TO RACE RELATIONS DAY

The Board received an invitation from Mount Level Baptist Church to attend their Church on February 28th for the purpose of a race relations day.

The Interim County Administrator stated if any of the Board members planned to attend to please let her know, and she would be glad to advise the officials of the Church.

IN RE: PART TIME HELP THROUGH PROBATION OFFICE

The Interim County Administrator advised the Board that the Probation Officer had arranged for two (2) juveniles on probation to work part time with the County's Buildings and Grounds Supervisor. The hours would be generally from 3:30 to 7:00 after school every day. They would be involved in work for the County at no cost. Mr. Bracey advised the County Administrator to be sure that the individuals were well supervised.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:30 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:05 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:06 P.M.

George E. Robertson

George E. Robertson, Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry

Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH, 1988 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the February 17, 1988 Regular Meeting and the February 22, 1988 and February 24, 1988 Continuation Meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same; General Fund checks numbering 88-434 thru 88-535 amounting to $148,513.12.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W. E. Jones presented his report for the month of February 1988.

IN RE: SHERIFF - AUTHORIZATION TO PURCHASE SEVEN (7) POLICE CARS

Sheriff B. M. Heath, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase seven (7) new police cars off the State Contract to be delivered after July 1, 1988. The total cost for the cars would be $86,158.01. Sheriff Heath stated that he has ten (10) new cars now in service and eight (8) cars have been turned in for dispersement by the Board. He requested that he be allowed to keep Deputy Spain's car as a spare.

The Interim County Administrator recommended that the Board view the list of used cars at budget time.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Sheriff be authorized to submit an order to the State Department of Purchases and Supply for seven (7) new police cars, total cost of $86,158.01 to be delivered after July 1, 1988 to be included in the Sheriff's 1988-89 budget request.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy submitted his monthly report for February 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr. submitted his report for the month of February 1988. Mr. Harrison requested that Mr. Brooks include a comparison with the same month from the previous year.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM -- MARY JOHNSON

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mrs. Mary Johnson be awarded $60.00 for 30 chickens.

IN RE: LIVE STOCK CLAIM -- V. D. ANDREWS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mr. V. D. Andrews be awarded $80.00 for three goats.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM -- BARBARA BRADLEY

The Animal Warden submitted a report with this claim, indicating that no determination could be made that the chickens were killed by dogs.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the claim submitted by Mrs. Barbara Bradley for 18 chickens be denied.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM -- RALPH LAMBERT

Mr. Moody moved that the claim by Mr. Ralph Lambert of $48.00 for 16 hens be approved. There was no second. Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the claim by Mr. Lambert for 16 hens was denied.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS -- APPROVAL OF MINI-GRANT

The Interim County Administrator reported that the School Board had received approval of a mini-grant in the amount of $1,500 from the Department of Transportation & Safety, to purchase films and supplies for the Juvenile Offender Program operated by the Driver Education Department at the high school.

IN RE: REPORT ON RECREATION ROAD

In response to a request at the February 3, 1988 meeting concerning the status of the road leading into the Recreation Complex and the existing right-of-way, the Interim County Administrator met with the County Attorney and Superintendent of Schools to review the situation. She stated that she also briefly discussed alternatives with representatives from the Highway Department. The Board of Supervisors and School Board Members also viewed the road during its tour of the schools and the Recreation Complex.

She stated that the conclusion was that the only responsibility that the School Board has is to take whatever action is necessary to keep the right-of-way passable. Therefore, the School Board will continue to put rock on the road as needed, as they have done in the past. She stated they were also looking into the area of Recreational Access Funding to see if the road would qualify for this program.

The Board instructed the Interim County Administrator to send Mr. Donald Andrews a copy of these minutes.

IN RE: WATER AND SEWER EMERGENCY ORDINANCE - CURB AND GUTTER REQUIREMENT - REFERRAL TO PLANNING COMMISSION

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised the Board that the Emergency Ordinance passed to require curb and gutter and storm water management systems in subdivisions should be referred to the Planning Commission for their review and recommendation. The earliest public hearing dates would be in April.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the requirement of curb and gutter and storm water management systems to be included in the subdivision and water and sewer sections of the code was referred to the Planning Commission for review and public hearing in April.

IN RE: RECREATION DIRECTOR

Ms. Fran Hart presented her report for the month of February, 1988.

IN RE: RECREATION DEPARTMENT - AUTHORITY TO HIRE PERSONNEL

Ms. Fran Hart, Recreation Director, presented a request to the Board to authorize her to proceed with the hiring of personnel to carry out her Spring and Summer programs. She indicated that the majority of the positions would be for self-generating programs and would be paid by the fees collected. She added that there are funds within her budget to provide for these personnel.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Recreation Director be authorized to proceed with advertising and interviewing personnel for Spring and Summer Programs; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the recommendations be brought to the Board for approval before hiring.

IN RE: RECREATION DEPARTMENT - APPROVAL OF SPRING AND SUMMER PROGRAMS

Ms. Fran Hart, Recreation Director, requested authorization to start publicizing and distributing brochures on the projected Spring and Summer Recreation Programs. She stated that a number of the programs require pre-registration, which should be done as soon as possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Recreation Director be authorized to publicize the Spring and Summer Programs for the Recreation Department as presented.

IN RE: RECREATION DEPARTMENT REQUEST FOR USE - C & P VAN

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Recreation Director be authorized to request a used C & P Van, when one becomes available, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, will title and insure this van upon receipt.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation distributed copies of the approved six-year plan for 1988 through 1994, and stated that he was available to answer any questions the Board might have.

(1) Mr. Harrison asked what the status was on information for flashing lights at Rohoic Elementary School. Mr. Neblett stated that he was working on securing this information and would bring it back to the Board when it was available.

(2) Mr. Clay asked if any further study had been done on the dangerous intersection at Route 619 and Route 40. He suggested that lights or signs might be helpful on the crest of the hill travelling east.

Mr. Neblett stated that he had brought the intersection to the attention of the Traffic Engineer, but he had not been able to actually come out and look at the intersection.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL GIFTED AND TALENTED COLLOQUIUM STUDENTS - PRESENTATION ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR DINWIDDIE COUNTY

Mrs. Betty Mayton, Mrs. Susan Nunemake, and Mrs. Susan Bishop, and the Gifted and Talented Colloquium Students of the Dinwiddie County Junior High School, appeared before the Board to present a plan on Economic Development for Dinwiddie County. Some of their suggestions included the following:

(1) That the County become certified under the Virginia Certification Program.

(2) That a Building Code be adopted for construction of buildings in the Industrial Airport Park.

(3) That a Recreation Center be provided for the citizens.

The students ended the program by presenting a prototype brochure showing various businesses and services available in the County.

The Chairman thanked the students and teachers for the presentation and stated how proud he was of the youth of the County.

IN RE: STATUS REPORT - E911 EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SYSTEM

The Interim County Administrator advised the Board that the cutover date for the E911 Emergency Telephone System would have to be postponed from February 1990 to August 1990. The reason for the postponement is that the County will not be able to meet the requirements of C & P Telephone Company by the March 1 deadline. The deadline will be extended until December of 1988.

In order to meet the December 1988 deadline, the following things must be accomplished:

(1) All streets must be named and house numbers assigned.

(2) All street signs must be erected and house numbers displayed.

(3) All citizens must be using their new addresses.

The Interim County Administrator pointed out that the County had originally intended to submit the new names and addresses to C & P and have the following 18-month period to accomplish the preceding three items. However, in recent conversation with C & P representatives, she was informed that these three items must be completed before C & P would take the new names and addresses into their database.

Ms. Jan Dungan, Account Representative with C & P Telephone Company, was present to explain the importance of all citizens using their new addresses. She pointed out that the citizen's telephone bill is the source document for building the automatic location identification database. It must show house number and street name that meets the parameters defined by the County. Otherwise, it will not be included in the database and must be manually corrected. If the citizen is not required to use the new street name and number, he/she can convert it back to the old address on any subsequent order activity with the Business Office. The result: the accuracy of the Enhanced 911 Database will deteriorate. Most important, the ability to respond to an emergency situation is based on the accuracy of the database. That is why it is imperative that 95% of the County is using street names and numbers prior to building the database.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344((6)) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:11 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:47 P.M.

IN RE: DIVISION OF FORESTRY ANNUAL REPORT

Mr. Crockett Morris, Regional Forester, Department of Forestry, appeared before the Board to present his yearly report for 1987.

IN RE: HEALTH CENTER ROOF -- AUTHORIZATION TO REBID

Mr. John Chenault, Chenault & Associates, appeared before the Board to present the results of the bids received on replacing the Health Center Roof. He stated that the first bids were received February 4, 1988. After consideration of an alternate method of securing the roof, he was authorized by the County Administrator to seek bids on a ballasted system.

After an examination of the roof, Mr. Chenault stated that it should be replaced. He stated it could last a few more months; however, further damage could be done to the recent interior renovation.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the roof on the Health Center be rebid, using the loose-laid ballasted system, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the contractors located within the County be notified of the solicitation.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT ADDITION - REJECTION OF BIDS

Mr. John Chenault, Chenault & Associates, appeared before the Board to present the result of the bids on the addition to the Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department. He stated that bids were received February 4, 1988, the low bid was approximately $183,000. He said that by removing six or seven alternates, the Board could possibly reduce the cost to $168,000. He stated he felt it was a basic building, after taking off all of the frills and that $45.00 to $47.00 per square foot was a good price. He added that it was the renovation on a small building, and because it was a compact job, this would cause the cost to rise.

Mr. Bob Mengel, Chief, Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department, stated that his Department needed the extension on the Fire House; however, it was hard for him to justify the cost. He stated that if there was a way to do it any cheaper, then he would be in favor of it.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Board was looking down the road and felt that the addition may not be sufficient to handle future growth. The addition would only be adding training space and there would be no land available if another expansion had to be made. He stated it was the Board's suggestion that they look for property elsewhere and build a new building to fit the future needs of the Fire Department. Mr. Mengel stated that he would be agreeable to that. Mr. Robertson also stated that the Board would like to meet with Mr. Mengel and discuss the needs of the Fire Department for a building as well as equipment. He advised Mr. Mengel to contact the County Administrator to arrange such a meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the bids on the addition to the Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire House be rejected, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that other alternatives be investigated to provide building space for the Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Mr. Bracey nominated Mr. Charles W. Harrison as the County's representative on the Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority. He also recommended that Mr. Robert Lee be reappointed as Petersburg's representative. Mr. Moody suggested that the appointment from the County be designated as a Board member, rather than an individual name.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison abstaining,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mr. Robert Lee be reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Industrial Development Authority, term expiring January 31, 1991, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the vacancy that exists for the Dinwiddie representative be designated as a member of the Board of Supervisors; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Mr. Charles W. Harrison be appointed as the Board representative, with term expiring January 31, 1991.

IN RE: PROCLAMATION OF DAFFODIL DAYS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following proclamation be adopted:

WHEREAS there is a great need for additional funds for cancer research; and

WHEREAS the American Cancer Society has sponsored innumberable vital cancer research projects, public education and patient service programs; and

WHEREAS through the work of the American Cancer Society, the people of Dinwiddie look forward to the day when a cure for cancer is found,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, does hereby proclaim March 18-20, 1988, as DAFFODIL DAYS and urges all residents to join with all volunteers of the Dinwiddie Unit of the American Cancer Society, to support this special occasion by purchasing and wearing a daffodil - the flower of hope.

IN RE: PRINCE GEORGE/DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOUNDARY LINE - AWARD OF CONTRACT

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented the results of the request for proposals on establishing the boundary line between Dinwiddie and Prince George Counties. He stated it was a joint project between the two counties. The boundary line has been in question for many years, but surfaced recently because of the growth and development on the northern tip.

He stated five proposals were received and the selection committee has completed their review. The recommendation of the committee is to award the contract to Rouse-Sirine, at a cost of $44,534. Orthophoto Mapping was also included at a cost of $8,900; however, it is not recommended that the mapping be done at this time.

Mr. Cornwell stated that a GPS Survey of five control monuments will be provided approximately three miles apart along the boundary. There will also be 25 permanent monuments erected.

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, stated that assessments will be effected. She stated the way it has been handled in the past is if the majority of the land fell in one county rather than the other, it was assessed in that County. Presently, they are working with two or three county lines which has caused some problems.

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, stated that he has had problems with issuing building permits and making inspections.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, stated that the benefit of the established boundary line would be that the citizens would know who to respond to for certain questions. He felt that the 1901 survey would stay in place, but up to this point, the surveyors have had no accurate point to draw from.

Mr. Cornwell stated that after the boundary line is completed and the two counties agree, the Circuit Court would be petitioned to adopt it as the new boundary line. Mrs. Marston pointed out that she would work with the Commissioner of Revenue in Prince George County to determine how assessments would be handled after the boundary line is completed.

Mr. Cornwell pointed out that the $44,534 figure is a maximum figure. The cost will be divided equally with Prince George County.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the proposal submitted by Rouse-Sirine Associates, be accepted in the amount of $44,534 to re-establish the boundary line between the counties of Dinwiddie and Prince George; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that this action be contingent upon the action of approval by the Prince George County Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:39 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:37 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:38 P.M.

George E. Robertson Jr.

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry

Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF MARCH, 1988,AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the March 2, 1988 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to transfer $11,655.28 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same; General Fund Checks numbering 88-536 thru 88-765 amounting to $78,351.47; Water and Sewer check number W&S 88-3 in the amount of $2,761.91; Law Library Fund check number LF-88-3 in the amount of $52.14; Fire Programs Fund checks numbering FIRE-88-5 and FIRE-88-6 amounting to $6,651.88; County Construction Fund check numbers CCF-88-7 and CCF-88-8 amounting to $11,655.28; E911 Fund Check number E9-88-4, amounting to $6,576.34.

IN RE: NORTHSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL -- COMMENTS ON PLAN 6

The following people spoke on the School Board's Plan #6 for the use of Northside Elementary School and requested assistance from the Board of Supervisors in obtaining information from the School Board:

Cheryl Martinez, Carla Brandon, Barbara Foster, David Lucas, Linwood Henshaw, Beverly Mathias, Bernard McKenzie, William Coleman, and Pamela Kistner.

Mr. Robertson stated that the Board was also concerned about good education in the County and would be meeting with the School Board to address these issues. Mr. Bracey stated that he would do the best he could to help them. Mr. Clay stated that he understood the concerns expressed and would help if he could. Mr. Moody stated that he would like to set up a date at this meeting to meet with the School Board. Mr. Harrison stated that he sympathized with the people and he was 100% behind them.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following items were added to the agenda.

1. Health Department Roof
2. Cabinet for Circuit Court Clerk
3. Adoption of Six Year Plan
4. Advertisement of Ordinance on Section 21-9
5. Discussion of Re-Seeding the Airport Property
6. Setting of a Date to Meet with the School Board
7. Discussion of the County Flag

IN RE: FOOD BANK COMMITTEE

Rev. A. L. Toney of the United Churches of Dinwiddie County appeared before the Board to thank the members for helping them to secure a new location. The Food Bank is now operating out of the Dinwiddie Ruritan Building.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a brief recess at 8:20 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 8:30 p.m.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- REZONING APPLICATION A-88-1 - WILLIAM WALKER

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 2, 1988, and Wednesday, March 9, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to change Section 31, Parcel 7 and Section 31, Parcel 10 from Agricultural, General, A-2 to Agricultural, Rural Residential A-R. This request was submitted by Mr. William Walker. Mr. Walker was present in support of his request. Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application. He stated the purpose of the request is to allow the development of the property in an orderly manner. This type of development is allowed by the proposed subdivision ordinance.

The Planning Commission recommended approval at its March 9, 1988 meeting. Mr. Ronald Gordon and Mr. Billy Seay spoke in support of the rezoning. No one spoke in opposition.

Mr. Moody stated that he had heard some concern from adjacent property owners about the division. However, he could see no other way to divide the property and he felt the proposed division would be the best and highest use. Under the new zoning ordinance, this type of division would be approved.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the district classification of a portion of Section 31, Parcel 7, containing 297.76 acres and Section 31, Parcel 10, containing 60.5 acres be amended from Agricultural, General, District A-2 to Agricultural, Rural Residential, District AR. Said property is situated on the east side of State Route 627 and 0.6 miles south of Five Forks.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-6 - CAMPGROUNDS WITH CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 2, 1988 and Wednesday, March 9, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an amendment to Section 71 of Article IV of Chapter 22, to add with a Conditional Use Permit, Campgrounds.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the Amendment. He stated that it would be a housekeeping measure. The Planning Commission recommended approval at its March 9, 1988, meeting. Mr. Charles Harrison stated that he would abstain from voting on this amendment.

Mr. Glen Blazek, representing the applicant, Annie Mae Blazek, appeared in support of the amendment. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstaining",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by adding the following permitted use to Section 71 of Article IV of Chapter 22:

(51) Campgrounds, with a conditional use permit.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - C-88-1 C. W. ALLEN

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 2, 1988, and Wednesday, March 9, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to Conduct a Public Hearing to consider for approval a Conditional Use Permit submitted by Mr. C. W. Allen, Jr., to operate a campground. Said property is known as "Allen's Campground" and is located on Route 623.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application. He stated that it would be a housekeeping measure since Allen's Marina has been operating under the State Code. The Planning Commission recommended approval at its March 9, 1988 meeting. No one spoke in support or opposition to this Conditional Use Permit.

Mr. Moody stated that the proposed conditions take care of everything except Item 6, where the Health Department can inspect records. He stated that he would like to see local law enforcement officials added to that section.

Mr. Glen Blazek stated that under State Law, the Health Department is the sole legal regulator. He stated that he felt it would be inappropriate to expand that law since to make new requirements does not fill the intention of strictly a housekeeping measure. He stated to open the door when it was not required by State Law could possibly be seen as a liability. He stated that the campground operator would cooperate with the Sheriff's Department when involved in a chase; however, the issue of privacy must be considered. He added that this is not a new permit being sought. Everyone just wants affirmation of existing conditions. He stated that the State Health Department is being phased out as the regulatory agency and the local Health Department would be enforcing the procedures. He recommended that the Board keep conditions as they are recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Robertson asked if in the event a local official needs information from the campground register and the Health

Department has it, could they go to the Health Department and ask for that information. Mr. T. O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth's Attorney, stated they could ask but they probably could not get it without a court order. Mrs. Annie Mae Blazek asked if it would be a violation of the Privacy Act. Mr. Rainey stated yes, however, under the County's ordinance the campground operator might be compelled by a Court order. Mrs. Blazek stated she did not want to take on the responsibility for law enforcement work.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County would be in violation of the Privacy Act by adding law enforcement officials to the requirement. Mr. Rainey stated that he did not think so but he would like to research it. Mr. Robertson asked what would be the purpose of local government officials being able to look at the register. He was advised that the amendment only called for law enforcement officials. Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, stated that if the request was not proper, the campground operator could refuse. Mr. Robertson asked wouldn't a deputy need more than just a verbal request for this information. Mr. Cornwell stated that a campground is considered a quasi-public facility. The Sheriff's Department might need to know this information for certain cases. Mr. Harrison stated that he had known law enforcement officers to come in and ask for information for personal use.

Mr. Rainey suggested that they amend the motion to include that the request for information be at the request of the Sheriff, or his Captain or Sergeant of the State Police by written request. He did not want to make it too cumbersome for the Sheriff to get this information. Mrs. Blazek said that amendment was good, that it be made by the request of the Sheriff and in writing.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstaining",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Conditional Use Permit C-88-1 requested by Mr. C. W. Allen, Jr. to operate Allen's Campground on Route 623, be approved with the following conditions:

(1) Subject to all provisions, rules and regulations of the Board of Health of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including provisions of construction and maintenance.

(2) Subject to all provisions of State Law.

(3) To be occupied only by persons in camping units as defined by State Law or Board of Health regulations and only by such persons when they are involved in recreation, vacation, leisure time or travel.

(4) All permanent buildings and structures in campgrounds shall be constructed under the provisions of the Uniform Statewide Building Code and the regulations of the Board of Health. Any reconstruction, alteration, conversion, or repairs required by the application of the Virginia Code and the regulations of the Board of Health shall be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Statewide Building Code. Construction and alterations shall remain in conformity with the law or code under which they were constructed.

(5) All water sources and sewer or septic facilities shall comply with the regulations of the Board of Health, the State Water Control Board, and, if connected to public utilities, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

(6) The campground operator shall maintain a register for each campground lot for at least the previous year which register shall be available to local law enforcement officers at the written request of the Sheriff or Captain of the Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Department, or Sergeant of the State Police; and the Department of Health Commissioner, upon request, during his inspection of the campground. The register shall contain:

a) The names and addresses of each camper, owner and occupant;

b) The date of the campsite occupancy;

c) The number of campsites occupied;

d) The license number of each camper who has on the campground a vehicle requiring a license.

(7) This conditional use permit shall expire and shall be deemed to be abandoned after a period of twenty-four (24) months continuous nonuse and such abandonment of the use shall render this conditional use permit null and void.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - C-88-2 - H AND B OF VIRGINIA, INC.

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on March 2, 1988 and March 9, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for approval a request for a Conditional Use Permit as submitted by H and B of Virginia, Inc., to operate a campground. Said property is known as "Picture Lake Campground" and is located on U.S. Route 1. Mr. Harrison stated that he would abstain from voting on this issue.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the request and stated that it was basically a housekeeping measure as Picture Lake Campground has been operating under State Code. Mrs. Annie Mae Blazek was present in support of the request. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstaining",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Conditional Use Permit C-88-2 submitted by H and B of Virginia, Inc., to operate a campground known as "Picture Lake Campground" on U.S. Route 1, be approved with the following conditions:

(1) Subject to all provisions, rules and regulations of the Board of Health of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including provisions of construction and maintenance.

(2) Subject to all provisions of State Law.

(3) To be occupied only by persons in camping units as defined by State Law or Board of Health regulations and only by such persons when they are involved in recreation, vacation, leisure time or ravel.

(4) All permanent buildings and structures in campgrounds shall be constructed under the provisions of the Uniform Statewide Building Code and the regulations of the Board of Health. Any reconstruction, alteration, conversion, or repairs required by the application of the Virginia Code and the regulations of the Board of Health shall be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Statewide Building code. Construction and alterations shall remain in conformity with the law or code under which they were constructed.

(5) All water sources and sewer or septic facilities shall comply with the regulations of the Board of Health, the State Water control Board, and, if connected to public utilities, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

(6) The campground operator shall maintain a register for each campground lot for at least the previous year which register shall be available to local law enforcement officers at the written request of the Sheriff or Captain of the Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Department or Sergeant of the State Police, and the Department of Health Commissioner, upon request, during his inspection of the campground. The register shall contained:

a) The names and addresses of each camper, owner and occupant;

b) The date of the campsite occupancy;

c) The number of composites occupied;

d) The license number of each camper who has on the campground a vehicle requiring a license.

(7) This conditional use permit shall expire and shall be deemed to be abandoned after a period of twenty-four (24) months continuous nonuse and such abandonment of the use shall render this conditional use permit null and void.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

The Board Members received a letter from the County Attorney concerning action taken at the last meeting to appoint Mr. Charles Harrison to the Airport Authority. In researching the law concerning the appointment of Board members to various committees and commissions, it was determined that a member of the Board of Supervisors cannot serve on the Airport Authority. Therefore, a motion to rescind the appointment of Mr. Charles Harrison to the Airport Authority is needed before a new appointment can be made.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstaining", the motion adopted at the March 2, 1988 meeting appointing Mr. Charles Harrison to the Airport Authority is hereby rescinded.

Mr. Clay nominated Mr. Harry Clay to the Airport Authority. Mr. Moody seconded the nomination.

Mr. Harrison stated that he would like to postpone the appointment.

Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the motion died.

Mr. Bracey nominated Mr. Thomas Hooker to the Airport Authority. Mr. Harrison stated that he would like to see the appointment postponed. Mr. Clay and Mr. Moody also stated they would like to see the appointment postponed until the April 6, 1988 meeting. No further action was taken.

IN RE: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY COMMISSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the following appointments be made to the Transportation Safety Commission, with the terms expiring December 31, 1988.

Volunteer Fire Departments	Terry Jones
Petersburg Airport	Louie Thibault

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING -- AWARD OF CONTRACT

The Interim County Administrator stated that one proposal was received for operation of the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing. The individual would be authorized to collect the $2.00 parking fee and the season pass fee in return for keeping the grass cut and maintaining the facility at the Boat Landing. The season pass was $10.00 last year and the Game Commission has allowed the fee to be up to $20.00.

The Interim County Administrator stated that the individual, Mr. Frank Blaha, has agreed to repair the shed and bathroom facilities for $210.00. She recommended that Mr. Blaha be authorized to make these repairs and the County will pay for the materials. She also stated that the holding tank should be pumped before it is turned over to Mr. Blaha.

The insurance required for operation of the Boat Landing will cost the Boat Landing Operator approximately $870.00. The Interim County Administrator stated that this premium was excessive because the insurance is carried by the access/surplus line, which does not like to insure small businessmen. After discussing this with the County Attorney, she recommended that the insurance be waived and a hold-harmless agreement be signed by the Boat Landing Operator, in lieu of the insurance requirement. The Interim County Administrator pointed out that she discussed the insurance with the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries and they stated that they did not have an insurance requirement for their concessioners.

The Interim County Administrator recommended that the contract to operate the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing for 1988 be awarded to Mr. Frank Blaha.

Mr. Bracey stated that he was concerned about the insurance. The County Attorney stated that he recommended the insurance requirement because he was concerned about liability.

Mrs. Crystal Elder, who worked there previously, stated that it would take three weekends of collections to pay for the insurance premium. Mr. Bracey suggested that the premium be split between the County and Mr. Blaha. Mr. Blaha stated that if the County paid one-half of the premium, he felt that he could get by. Mrs. Elder stated that it would be rough.

Mr. Robertson suggested that Mr. Blaha keep a record of his expenses on how the insurance premium affects his collections.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the contract to operate the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing for 1988 be award to Frank Blaha, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County pay one-half of the insurance premium, FOUR-HUNDRED THIRTY-FIVE DOLLARS ($434.12), and the cost of putting the facilities back into shape - estimated cost FOUR-HUNDRED THIRTY-FOUR DOLLARS ($434.12), and have the holding tank pumped out.

IN RE: LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING -- SEASON PASS FEE

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the season pass for parking at the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing be set at TWENTY DOLLARS ($20.00) to be collected at the site beginning April 1, 1988.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE ADDRESS DESIGNATION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, numerous residents in the Northeast portion of the County have expressed a desire to have their mailing address reflect they live in the County of Dinwiddie; and

WHEREAS, when a resident of the County with a Petersburg address purchases a vehicle or mobile home, the tax accruing from such transaction, on many occasions is credited to the City of Petersburg rather than the County of Dinwiddie; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors believes the Dinwiddie mailing address should encompass the Northeast section of the County to enhance the industrial, commercial and residential development of the area; and

WHEREAS, the citizens residing in the Northeast section of the County believe a change in mailing address to Dinwiddie would promote understanding and closer relationships among all citizens of the County; and

WHEREAS, the designation of the Dinwiddie address would enhance the effectiveness of the County's E911 emergency telephone system;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the United States Postal Service is requested to explore the possibility of designating a special zip code for these residents and assigning a Dinwiddie, Virginia address without changing the location of the postal delivery boundary; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the County's representatives in Congress and the General Assembly.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT ROOF

The Interim County Administrator presented a letter from the architect, Chenault & Associates, concerning the re-roofing of the Dinwiddie County Health Center. At the March 2, 1988 meeting, the Board authorized rebidding of this work.

The Interim County Administrator said that she wanted to make the Board aware of two facts to be sure they wanted to rebid the roof at this time.

(1) She was concerned that because of the type roof that was being proposed, local bidders may not be able to bid on the project.

(2) The cost to rebid the project would be FOUR-HUNDRED EIGHTY DOLLARS ($480.00). The roofers that have already bid on the project expressed concern as to whether Dinwiddie County would again reject the bids.

Having heard the Interim County Administrator's concerns, no action was taken.

IN RE: CIRCUIT COURT CLERK -- CABINET FOR COMPUTER SYSTEM

The Interim County Administrator presented a bid from Mr. Charles W. Mullis in the amount of FIVE-HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS ($525.00) to build a cabinet for the Circuit Court Clerk to house the computer and cash register system.

The Interim County Administrator stated that after checking with a local vendor, she did not feel that there was computer furniture available that would suit the Circuit Court Clerk's needs. She therefore recommended authorization for the Clerk to proceed with having this cabinet made.

Mr. Clay asked if this would be the end of the equipment needed by the Clerk's office for the computer system. The Interim County Administrator stated that she thought this would finish the equipment needed for the computer service as of this date; however, she understood additional computer equipment may be authorized by the State, which would require additional furniture to accommodate it. However, as of this date, she had not received a request from the Circuit Court Clerk.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Circuit Court Clerk was authorized to proceed with having a cabinet made by Mr. Charles W. Mullis as presented at a cost of FIVE-HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS ($525.00).

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -- ADOPTION OF SIX-YEAR PLAN

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the resolution adopted at the February 24, 1988 meeting on the Six-Year Plan be amended as follows:

WHEREAS, Section 33.1-70-01 of the Code of Virginia, 1950 as amended, requires the Board of Supervisors to conduct a joint public hearing with representatives of the Virginia Department of Transportation for the purpose of discussing with the citizens of Dinwiddie County the entire Six Year Plan and to receive the interested citizens' comments; and

WHEREAS, this Six-Year Plan shall consist of improvements of the secondary roads in Dinwiddie County and is based on the best estimate of funds to be available to the County for expenditure on the Six-Year Plan period on the Secondary System; and

WHEREAS, a workshop session was held with representatives of the Virginia Department of Transportation on the 17th day of February 1988, at 6:30 P.M.; and

WHEREAS, the public hearing was held on the 17th day of February 1988, at 7:30 P.M. in the Board Meeting room of the Administration Building; and

WHEREAS, following the said public hearing, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, together with the representatives of the Virginia Department of Transportation held another workshop session on February 24, 1988 at 7:00 P.M. to review comments received at the public hearing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby adopt the Six-Year Plan and the revised construction priority list for the Secondary System as set forth in the plan presented by the Virginia Department of Transportation for FY 88-89 through FY 93-94.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT TO SECTION 21-9

The County Attorney requested authorization to advertise the Amendment to Section 21-9 of the County Code dealing with the requirement of Curb and Gutter. Curb and Gutter has been adopted as an emergency ordinance, which will expire in April.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney was authorized to advertise Amendment to Section 21-9 of the County Code for the April 20, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: RE-SEEDING -- AIRPORT PROPERTY

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented a quote from Mr. Leonard Hudson in the amount of FIFTEEN-THOUSAND DOLLARS ($15,000) to finish the re-seeding and grading needed at the airport property. He stated this would finish the project that was started last year. A portion of the property has been fertilized and limed and the seed must be sewn now for proper application.

Mr. Clay stated that in light of the financial status of the County and a warning by the County's auditor, he did not feel that it was appropriate at this time to spend $15,000 to re-seed the property at the airport.

The Interim County Administrator stated that she and Mr. Emerson wanted the Board to be aware of what was needed at the airport before a decision was made.

No action was taken.

IN RE: MEETING WITH SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Board will meet with the School Board for dinner on Tuesday, March 29, 1988, at 6:00 p.m. for discussion of the proposed school budget and Northside Elementary School.

IN RE: COUNTY FLAG

The Interim County Administrator stated that a contract to reproduce the County flag had been awarded in 1985; however, no further action has been taken.

She then displayed the original County flag that was made in 1966. She asked the Board that if this design was suitable, she would like to proceed with having the flag reproduced. An individual has donated EIGHT-HUNDRED DOLLARS ($800.00) for this purpose.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to contact Timberlake Flag Center to have the County flag reproduced, using the design of the original flag produced in 1966.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1), (5), (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board of Supervisors moved into Executive Session at 10:02 p.m. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened in Open Session at 12:20 a.m.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS - RECREATION ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following individuals were appointed to the Recreation Advisory Council:

Mr. Charlie Hawkins
Mrs. Jenny Chappell
Mrs. Bernice Bracey
Mr. Adam Hanks

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 p.m., March 17, 1988.

GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., Chairman

ATTEST:
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

MARCH 17, 1988 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MARCH 16, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: WORKSHOP SESSION -- PROPOSED ZONING AND SUBDIVISION ORDINANCES

The Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County met jointly with the Planning Commission in a Workshop Session to review the proposed amendments to the Zoning and Subdivision Sections of the Dinwiddie County Code.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, and Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, reviewed the proposed amendments which will be heard at a public hearing by the Planning Commission at its April 13, 1988 meeting and by the Board of Supervisors at its April 20, 1988 meeting.

After a thorough discussion of all proposed changes, the following amendments were agreed upon to be considered at the public hearings as advertised:

1. In Agricultural A-1 and A-2: Three (3) acres on the road with three-hundred (300) feet of road frontage and seventy-five (75) feet setback; five (5) divisions off the road, minimum three (3) acres each.

2. In Agricultural A-3: Five (5) acres with three-hundred (300) feet road frontage.

3. Private Road: Fifty (50) feet right-of-way graded to thirty (30) feet with some gravel - maximum of five (5) divisions with sign.

4. Residential R-1:

   On-site Septic and Water - one and one-half acres.
   All other lots - 20,000 sq. ft.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board of Supervisors moved into Executive Session at 9:00 p.m. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:15 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, March 29, 1988.

MARCH 29, 1988 - 8:30 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MARCH 16, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO MOVE WALL -- OFFICE SPACE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER

The Interim County Administrator stated that preparations were being made to provide office space for the position of Public Safety Officer. To do so, a wall will have to be removed between the room which houses the Xerox machine and an adjacent storage room. This work can be done at a minimal cost.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to remove the wall between the room which houses the Xerox machine and an adjacent storage room to provide office space for the Public Safety Officer.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SEEK QUOTES -- BORROWING MONEY

The Interim County Administrator advised the Board of Supervisors that in order to borrow money, a public hearing is required. At the present time, the Board will need to borrow money in the month of April. The limit on the funds that can be borrowed at this time is $1.76 million dollars. A public hearing will be advertised for the regular Board of Supervisors meeting to be held April 20, 1988. At this meeting, a resolution will be adopted authorizing the borrowing of the funds, as well as which lending institution will be selected.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye" by a roll call vote, the Interim County Administrator was authorized to seek quotes from financial institutions on rates for borrowing funds in the amount of $1.76 million dollars.

IN RE: FINANCE COMMITTEE

Mr. George Robertson and Mr. Harrison Moody agreed to serve on the Finance Committee, which will be made up of two Board of Supervisors members and two School Board members. This committee will work with the County's financial advisor on the upcoming school budget.

IN RE: DATE FOR REMAINING BUDGET HEARINGS

The Board of Supervisors will sit in session on April 11, beginning at 9:00 a.m., to receive the remaining budget requests for FY 1988-89.

IN RE: FY 1988-89 BUDGET HEARINGS -- CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

The Board of Supervisors met to receive budget requests from Constitutional Officers and Department Heads. The following budgets were presented:

Building Inspector
Director of Sanitation
Animal Warden
Director of Planning
County Attorney
Commissioner of Revenue
Treasurer
Electoral Board
Probation Officer
Sheriff
Commonwealth's Attorney
General District Court
Juvenile & Domestic Relations Court Clerk
Circuit Court Clerk
Extension Service

IN RE: RECESS

The meeting recessed at 5:00 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 6:00 p.m. at the Home Place Restaurant.

IN RE: MEETING WITH SCHOOL BOARD

The Board of Supervisors held a dinner meeting with School Board members to discuss certain issues concerning the upcoming School Budget Request and the closing of two schools in the County.

As a result of this meeting, two School Board members were selected to serve with two Board of Supervisors members on a Finance Committee to meet with the County's financial advisor and discuss in further detail the upcoming School Budget Request. These School Board members will be Ray Hanks and Donald Haraway.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 6TH DAY OF APRIL 1988, AT 8:30 A.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1), (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:30 a.m. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 1:50 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 1:51 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 6TH DAY OF APRIL, 1988, AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	ALVIN BOOTH	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the March 16, 1988 Regular Meeting, March 17 and March 29, 1988 Continuation Meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same; General Fund checks numbering 88-766 and 88-767 amounting to $146.68, and General Fund checks numbering 101 thru 247 amounting to $192,207.68.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

Mr. Fred Sahl appeared before the Board to discuss the position of County Administrator and what he thought the Board should consider when they hire someone to fill the position.

He pointed out that out of the total budget, there is very little that the County Administrator can control.

IN RE: ADDITION TO EVELYN ABRAHAMS SCHOLARSHIP FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, the Evelyn Abrahams Scholarship Fund was established by Robert B. Pamplin through the Pamplin Foundation on June 1, 1977 in honor of Miss Evelyn Abrahams to provide scholarships for deserving graduates of Dinwiddie County High School; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pamplin through his generosity and dedication to Dinwiddie County has on occasion increased the total funds held in this scholarship; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Robert Pamplin, again desires to increase the scholarships by bringing the total funds up to One Million Dollars; and

WHEREAS, this can be accomplished by the sale of certain stock and purchase of bonds that will provide an annual income to the scholarship fund;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, with gratitude to Mr. Robert Pamplin, accepts the 4,800 shares of Consolidated Paper common stock as Trustee to the Evelyn Abrahams Scholarship Fund; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Treasurer is hereby authorized to sell said stock at the best price available, and use the proceeds to pay the costs of the sale, including commission, to buy the following bonds from Robert B. Pamplin:

130M Philadelphia Electric Co. 11% bonds due 4-1-11
200M Florida Power & Light Co. 9 3/4% bonds due 4-1-17

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of March, 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Robert Rainey presented his report for the month of March, 1988.

IN RE: LIVE STOCK CLAIM -- JESSE HARRIS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Jesse Harris was awarded $87.50 for two 35-pound shoats.

IN RE: LIVE STOCK CLAIM -- WADE TUCKER

The Animal Warden presented a claim from Mr. Wade Tucker for 10, 6-8 weeks old pigs. Attached to the claim was a report from the Animal Warden stating that he did not feel that there was sufficient evidence without witnesses or undisturbed carcasses, or with the lack of carcasses, that dogs were involved in the death of the pigs.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the claim submitted by Mr. Wade Tucker for 10, 6-8 weeks old pigs was denied.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT -- LOUISE MOORE

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an Application for a Target Range Permit from Mrs. Louise B. Moore, to hold a Turkey Shoot on May 7, 1988 on her property located on Route 642.

Mr. Emerson stated that a Target Range Permit was approved for Mrs. Moore last year, with no apparent problems. He recommended that the request for a permit to hold a Target Shoot on May 7th be approved for one day only. Mr. Robertson suggested that another day be approved as an alternate rain date.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mrs. Louise Moore was granted a Target Range Permit to hold a Turkey Shoot on May 7, 1988, or May 14, 1988 (rain date) on her property located on Route 642, with all the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Ms. Fran Hart presented her report for the month of March, 1988.

IN RE: COUNTY FLAG -- AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED

Ms. Fran Hart, Recreation Director, presented a breakdown of costs involved in reproducing the County Flag.

To reproduce the entire flag, which would require a considerable amount of embroidery, it would cost $515.00. To remove the seal from the old flag and place it on a new background would cost $50.00. Reproduction of silk screen flags from the original flag would be:

3 ft. x 5 ft.	$109.39
5 ft. x 8 ft.	$139.39

The installation of flag poles would be:

25 ft.	$350.00
30 ft.	$390.00

The cost of flag poles would be:

Executive	25 ft.	$517.00	
	30 ft.	$559.00	
Century	25 ft.	$675.00	(With locking ropes)
	30 ft.	$747.00	
Estate	25 ft.	$314.00	
	30 ft.	$432.00	
9 quartz lights at $40.00 each		$360.00	

Installation charges are unknown at this time.

The Interim County Administrator stated that she had talked with Mr. Tom Newsom, who has donated $800 towards the production of a County flag. She stated that he would be quite satisfied with the purchase of a flag pole for the County flag if the Board chose to remove the County seal from the old flag at a cost of $50.00; or, apply the $800 towards the reproduction of the entire flag for $515.00, the balance of the money to be applied to a flag pole. She recommended that the 25 ft. Century pole be selected because of the feature that allows it to be locked.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Board authorized Timberlake Flag Center to reproduce the County flag by removing the seal from the old flag and putting it on a new background at a cost of $50.00, and to erect a 25 ft. Century flag pole on the Courthouse lawn at a cost of $675.00, plus $350.00 for installation.

IN RE: INSTALLATION OF FLAG POLES AND LIGHTS

The Interim County Administrator stated that the other two flag poles, one for the United States flag and one for the State flag, need to be erected on the Courthouse lawn, along with nine quartz lights to light the flags, the monument and the statue. She asked for authorization to have this work done at the same time the County flag pole is erected.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody voting "aye", (Mr. Robertson had stepped out of the room), the Interim County

Administrator was authorized to proceed with erecting two additional Century 25 ft. poles for the United States and Virginia flags, along with nine quartz lights for the flag poles, statue, and monument on the Courthouse lawn, per the price quotes submitted by Timberlake Flag Center.

IN RE: RECREATION COUNSEL BYLAWS

Ms. Fran Hart, Recreation Director, asked if the Board was in a position to approve the bylaws for the Recreation Council.

Mr. Bracey stated that he still had a problem with using the word "shall" throughout the bylaws because he did not want the Advisory Council to have power over the Recreation Department. It was suggested that Ms. Hart, work with the County Attorney and Mr. Bracey, to change the wording of "shall" throughout the document to the satisfaction of Mr. Bracey.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye" (Mr. Harrison stepped out of the room),

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the bylaws for the Recreation Council as presented be approved upon review by Mr. Bracey and the County Attorney.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W. E. Jones presented his report for March, 1988.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Harold Dyson, Assistant Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer questions.

He reported that a study had been done on the speed limit on Route 1, north of the 35 mph speed limit zone outside the village of Dinwiddie. The study concluded that there was no need to reduce the speed limit in this area.

Mr. Dyson also reported that a study had been made of the intersection of Routes 40 and 619 and it was determined that no additional improvements are necessary; however, the Highway Department will continue to monitor the area.

Mr. Bracey asked if a sign could be placed on Route 1 just north of the Dinwiddie village, indicating that trucks enter the road from businesses along this area. Mr. Dyson stated that usually such a sign is placed to indicate a specific location.

Mr. Clay stated that the Rocky Run Ruritan Club was concerned that if the project on Routes 650 and 649 was not started before July 1, that the money would be lost for this project. Mr. Dyson stated that they had been having right-of-way problems on that project, that the money was secondary construction money and would not be placed towards another project.

IN RE: VIRGINIA COMMUNITY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Mr. David Dickson, Director of Business and Community Services for the Department of Economic Development, appeared before the Board to give a brief presentation on the Virginia Community Certification Program.

The Board instructed the Interim County Administrator to determine what steps the County has completed in meeting the requirements of the Virginia Certification Program.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE CABLE TV -- REQUEST OF WAIVER OF SECONDARY PENALTY AND YEARLY AUDIT

Mr. Brian Lytle and Mr. Chuck Stigberg, of Dinwiddie Cable TV, appeared before the Board to request waiver of the secondary penalty and the yearly audit required under the County's Cable Television Franchise Agreement. The secondary penalty results in a cost of $14,000, over and above the 8% late fee penalty.

Mr. Lytle stated that the company was trying to establish itself and the payment of $14,000 would be a tremendous burden. He admitted that Dinwiddie Cable TV had failed to follow the franchise agreement as it pertains to the reporting of gross receipts and the penalty upon failure to do so. He stated that it was an honest mistake and his Company was trying to give quality service to the customers. He therefore asked that the Board consider a waiver of penalty at this time.

He also asked that the Board waiver the yearly audit, which would cost anywhere from $3,000 to $6,000. He suggested that the Board consider an audit when they felt it was necessary within a reasonable time, rather than on an annual basis. He assured the Board that the books of the Dinwiddie Cable TV Company are always open for inspection.

The County Attorney stated that to do away with the audit requirement would cause the Code to have to be amended. He saw the problem with waiving the audit was that the audit is the only thing that the County has to verify the revenues of the Cable TV Company. Mr. Harrison suggested that the Ordinance be amended to state that an audit be presented when requested by the County. Mr. Lytle suggested that the ordinance be changed to state that the County has the authority to waive the annual audit. He stated his company would be glad to submit a letter each year to see if an audit is required by the County. Mr. Robert Moore, CPA for Dinwiddie Cable TV Company stated that the Board would not entirely be just accepting the word of the Cable Television Company. He stated he was an independent CPA firm and reviewed the records of the Cable TV Company on a monthly basis. He said they also provided a monthly audited financial statement. This statement could be sent in quarterly with the payment of the franchise fee. Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the secondary penalty of $14,000 be waived for Dinwiddie Cable TV for the year 1987 only; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Attorney draft an amendment to the Cable Television Ordinance on the requirement of an annual audit for the Board of Supervisors to consider for public hearing.

IN RE: KTI, ENERGY, INC. -- RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the preliminary studies have determined that a regional resource recovery system appears feasible in the Richmond/Tri-Cities Area; and

WHEREAS, experience both within the Commonwealth and nationwide indicates that resource recovery is becoming the preferred ecological means of solid waste management; and

WHEREAS, each locality within the Region within the next five to fifteen years will need to initiate further action to dispose of its solid waste;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS endorses the concept of a regional resource recovery system and encourages each of its adjoining localities to join with it in developing the necessary accord to permit the establishment of a regional agreement for the establishment of a regional resource recovery plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, THAT THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS supports KTI, Energy, Inc. in its efforts to negotiate a contract with Virginia Power, and furthermore, agrees to undertake discussions with KTI Energy, Inc. in regard to alleviating sold waste management issues that exist in the Richmond/Tri-Cities Area.

IN RE: PERSONNEL POLICIES -- REVIEW OF FEDERAL AND STATE REQUIREMENTS

The Interim County Administrator presented a proposal from the County's Personnel consultant, Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, to review the County's personnel policies and procedures to be sure that they comply with all federal and state laws. This is necessary because the County exceeds 15 employees and there are certain additional requirements that would apply.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates is authorized to review the personnel policies of the County to see that they comply with all federal and state regulations, total cost not to exceed $500.00.

IN RE: POSITION CLASSIFICATION REVIEW

The Interim County Administrator presented a proposal from Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates to review the County's existing positions and provide a market analysis for determining whether positions should be graded. Total cost is not to exceed $2,500.00.

She stated that with the hiring of a new County Administrator and possible reorganization, all positions under the Board of Supervisors should be reviewed at this time. She suggested that it be placed in the 1988-89 budget for consideration by the Board. The Board agreed to discuss the proposal at budget time. No further action was taken.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Mr. Bracey nominated Mr. Thomas Hooker, Mr. Harrison seconded the nomination. Mr. Moody nominated Joe Emerson, Mr. Clay seconded the nomination. The Chairman stated that he did not think Mr. Emerson could be appointed to the Airport Authority because he was not a resident of Dinwiddie County. The County Attorney pointed out that the law only stated that no more than four positions could be from Dinwiddie. The Chairman stated that he thought when the Authority was reorganized, that it was the intent that at least four members be from Dinwiddie County for a majority vote. Mr. Moody stated that although Mr. Emerson lived in Petersburg, he was still an employee of the County.

Thomas Hooker - Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voted "nay".

Mr. Thomas Hooker was appointed to the Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority, term ending January 31, 1991.

IN RE: HEALTH CENTER -- REPLACEMENT OF DOORS TO BOILER ROOM

The Interim County Administrator presented a proposal to replace the two doors on the boiler room at the Health Center at a cost of $422.00. She also presented a proposal to replace three other metal doors at the Health Center - total cost $900.00.

She recommended that the Board only consider replacing the boiler room doors. She would bring back further recommendations on the doors to the front of the Health Center.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to proceed with replacing two hollow metal doors at the boiler room at the Health Center for a cost of $422.00.

IN RE: OFFICE MACHINE CONTRACT

Mr. Harrison asked that the County Attorney prepare the necessary paperwork to allow P&R Business Machines to quote on the maintenance contract for office machines for the County. The County Attorney stated he would have the paperwork ready for the next day meeting.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 3.1-244(1) and (6), the Board of Supervisors moved into Executive Session at 4:00 p.m. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:25 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 9:00 a.m., April 9, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

APRIL 9, 1988 -- 9:00 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 6, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) of the Freedom of Information Act, the Board of Supervisors moved into Executive Session at 9:00 a.m. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:55 a.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 8:30 a.m., April 11, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
WENDY W. QUESENBERRY
Interim County Administrator

APRIL 11, 1988 -- 8:30 A.M. -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 6, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN (Mr. Clay joined the meeting at 6:00 p.m.)	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: FY 1988-89 BUDGET HEARINGS - AGENCY AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

The Board of Supervisors met to receive budget requests from Agency and Department Heads. The following budgets were presented:

Recreation; Social Services; Health Department; Appomattox Regional Library; District 19 MH & MR Services; ABIDCO; Southside Virginia Legal Service; Crater Juvenile Detention Home; Appomattox Region Soil & Water Conservation District; Namozine VFD; Dinwiddie VFD; Old Hickory VFD; McKenney VFD, Carson VFD.

The Board moved at 6:00 p.m. to The Home Place Restaurant for a dinner meeting with the Dinwiddie County Water Authority; and the Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 20TH DAY OF APRIL, 1988, AT 6:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 6:30 p.m. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:21 p.m.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a recess at 7:22 p.m., until the beginning of the regular meeting at 7:30 p.m.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the April 6th Special Meeting, the April 6th Regular Meeting, and the April 11th Continued Meeting, were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $5,921.04 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same; combined checks numbering 248 thru 469, General Fund amounting to $130,164.88; Water and Sewer Fund checks amounting to $44,841.51; E911 Fund checks amounting to $5,809.37; History Book Fund checks amounting to $11.48; and County Construction Fund checks amounting to $5,921.04.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

1. Mr. Fred Sahl appeared before the Board to enter a letter for the record concerning Mr. Beasley Jones' attendance record at Dinwiddie County High School while serving as a member of the General Assembly.

2. Mr. Paul Walk gave a presentation on the County's educational system in relation to student test scores.

3. Mr. George Goff also spoke on the educational system and related test scores.

4. Mrs. Cheryl Martinez spoke on the increasing development in the Northern end of the County in relation to the School Board's proposal to close or leave partially open, Northside Elementary School.

5. Mrs. Beverly Mathias spoke on the County's educational system and whether students are prepared after receiving an education from the Dinwiddie School System.

6. Mrs. Barbara Foster stated that she was there to represent a group of citizens who were not the PTO from Northside Elementary, but were there to represent their children who could not speak for themselves on the closing of Northside Elementary School.

Mr. Robertson stated that the citizens are being heard and he felt a possible solution has been suggested concerning Northside School.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following items were added to the agenda:

1. Appointments to the Office on Youth and Community Services.

2. Bingo and Raffle Permit for St. John's Church.

3. Health Department Lease.

4. Courthouse Lawn Spraying.

5. Student Government Day.

6. Jail Drain Field Update.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD

Mrs. Geraldine Spicely, Chairperson of the Dinwiddie County School Board, appeared before the Board to ask for guidance from the Board of Supervisors in the preparation of the School Board Budget. She also stated that the Finance Committee Members were anxious to meet with the Board Members as soon as possible.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- AMENDMENT A-88-1

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 6 and Wednesday, April 13, for the Board of Supervisors to consider for adoption an Amendment to Section 22-70 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie entitled "Agricultural General, District A-2", Permitted Uses to add the following:

1. Automotive body and fender work, painting and upholstering with a conditional use permit.

2. Automobile sales and service, screened from view and two hundred feet (200') from state road right-of-way, with a conditional use permit.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the amendment. He stated the reason for the amendments are:

1. Mr. Coleman wishes to operate these two types of businesses and they are currently not allowed in Agricultural A-2 Districts.

2. To Rezone this property would constitute spot zoning.

He added that if the amendments were approved, Mr. Coleman must still apply for conditional use permits.

At the March meeting of the Planning Commission, the commission voted unanimously to recommend the first use and deny the second use. Mr. Emerson stated that the Planning Commission realized there are several automotive body and fender work shops already operating within the County and only one is legally grandfathered. They did not wish to put any of the existing shops out of business.

The Planning Commission was concerned that if the second amendment to allow automobile sales and service was approved, that people would begin selling vehicles out of their yards. They therefore recommended denial of the request for auto sales and service.

Mr. Bernard Coleman spoke in support of his request. He stated that in his spare time he was able to purchase salvage cars, fix them up and sell them at auctions. However, he must have a dealer's license to do so from DMV. DMV requires that the dealer be authorized to sell automobiles before a license will be granted.

Mr. Moody stated that the Planning Commission suggested he lease a piece of property in a business zone to get his dealer's license. Mr. Coleman stated that he would have to have an office and posted hours on that piece of property, which he could not afford.

Mrs. Pearl Bland, Mr. Joe Fields, and Mr. James McKensie also spoke in support of the Amendment.

Mr. Clay stated that he did not have any problem with one or two cars; however, if the amendment is allowed we may have more dealers in A-2 than in business zoning. He felt that the 200 feet off the road requirement and fencing would help. The County Attorney, Jim Cornwell, suggested that the amendment be changed to state "Auto Sales and Services Not On Premises." Mr. Horace Henshaw stated that he had had experience in this area and this wording would not be acceptable to DMV.

Mr. Bracey moved that amendment A-88-1 be approved to allow both uses. Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Moody stated that he wanted everyone to realize that by his vote he was not trying to hurt Mr. Coleman, he was merely trying to uphold the zoning law.

Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Moody voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Section 22-70 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie entitled "Agricultural, General, District A-2, be amended to allow the following uses:

1. Automotive body and fender work, painting and upholstering, with a conditional use permit.

2. Automobile sales and services, screened from view and two-hundred feet (200') from state road right-of-way, with a conditional use permit.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- AMENDMENTS A-88-7 AND A-88-8

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, April 6 and Wednesday, April 13, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption Amendment A-88-7, Amendment to Section 18 of the Code of Dinwiddie County entitled "Subdivisions" which amendment would involve the re-definition of the word "subdivision" and also allowance of "private roads"; and A-88-8, Amendment to Section 22 of the Code of Dinwiddie entitled "Zoning", which amendment would

involve the setback requirements and lot size requirements of several zones.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, briefly reviewed the amendments and actions taken by the Planning Commission at its April 13, 1988 meeting, which was approval of both amendments. The final form of these amendments were as a result of a workshop session held between the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission.

Mr. Ronald Gordon spoke in favor of the amendments and asked that if they were approved, the Board would give some time to allow projects that were already under way to be completed. Mr. Jerry Cook spoke in support of the amendments. No one spoke in opposition.

Mr. Emerson stated that anything that has been bought and recorded to date would fall under the existing ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted March, 1986, and as heretofore amended, be further amended as follows:

SECTION 18-3. Definition.

Parent Tract: Delete

Subdivision: Any division of a parcel of land into three or more lots or parcels any one of which contains an area of less than five acres for the purpose of transfer of ownership or building development, or, if a new street is involved in such division, any division of a parcel of land, except that the following divisions of land shall be exempted from this definition:

(1) The division or partitioning of land in an estate by court order or among heirs of the original owner unless or until development of the land is proposed.

(2) A bonafide division of a tract of land made so that one or more of the resulting parcels may be used as part of a public utility right-of-way; provided, that if a parcel resulting from such a division is ever to be used as a building site for other than such right-of-way, before a building permit may be issued for such other use, the owner shall comply with the minimum requirements of this Chapter and Chapter 22 of this Code.

(3) The sale or exchange of parcels of land between owners of adjoining properties for the purpose of small adjustments in boundaries; provided, that none of the original lots, portions of which are sold or exchanged, shall be reduced below the minimum sizes required by this Chapter or Chapter 22 of this Code.

(4) A single division of land into parcels where such division is for the sale or gift to a member of the immediate family of the property owner. Only one (1) such division shall be allowed per family member and shall not be for the purpose of circumventing this Chapter. A member of the immediate family shall be defined as any person who is a natural or legally defined offspring, spouse or parent of the owner. All lots resulting from such division of less than five (5) acres shall have a reasonable right-of-way of not less than ten (10) feet providing ingress and egress to a dedicated recorded public street or thoroughfare.

The term subdivision shall include resubdivision and, when appropriate to the context, shall relate to the process of subdividing or to the land subdivided and solely for the purpose of recordation of any single division of land into two lots or parcels, a plat of such division shall be submitted for approval in accordance with Section 18-24 of this Code.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 18-3. Definitions.

Street, private: A street which serves only the lots within a subdivision, which is constructed pursuant to Section 18-101 of this Code and serves no more than five (5) lots.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 18-98. Construction requirements.

In cases where the State Department of Highways and Transportation specifications are lacking or are less restrictive than the requirements of this section, the requirements of this section shall prevail. Except as provided in Section 18-101 of this Code, all streets or roads constructed in any subdivision in the county shall conform to the following requirements:

(1) The right-of-way for the roadway shall be fifty (50) feet in width and shall be graded to thirty (30) feet, exclusive of side ditches.

(2) The base for pavement shall be at least twenty-four (24) feet in width and six (6) inches in depth of stone or gravel, size 21-A or 22, approved by the State Department of Highways and Transportation.

(3) Pavement widths shall be a minimum of twenty-two (22) feet constructed of material passing the State Department of Highways and Transportation specifications. The pavement shall consist of a bituminous oil primer treatment and final sealed treatment with rates of application to be in accordance with the State Department of Highways and Transportation specifications, or Bituminous asphalt pavement in accordance with State Department of Highways and Transportation.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 18-101. Private Streets.

Private streets are discouraged. No private street may be constructed to serve any lot or parcel of less than three (3) acres in area. No private street may originate from any other private street, but must originate from a public street. No private street may connect two public streets or serve as a thoroughfare between public or private streets. No private street may be constructed to serve more than five (5) lots, none of which may be resubdivided so as to allow more than five (5) residences to be served by any private street.

All private streets constructed or designed shall conform to the following requirements:

(1) The right-of-way for the roadway shall be fifty (50) feet in width and shall be graded to at least thirty (30) feet, exclusive of side ditches. Part of the graded portion of the right-of-way shall be graveled.

(2) At the entrance to the private road a sign shall be erected of materials conforming to standards approved by the State Department of Highways and Transportation for highway signs and of a size not less than eighteen inches by twenty-four inches (18"x24") upon which the following language shall be placed in letters no less than two inches in size: "PRIVATE STREET - NOT MAINTAINED BY THE STATE OF VIRGINIA OR THE COUNTY OF DINWIDDIE." This sign shall be maintained by the developer for a period of one (1) year after all lots are sold.

No lot in any subdivision served by a private street may be sold until the private street is constructed pursuant to these requirements.

No County funds shall be used to maintain, repair or improve any private road. The following statement must be placed upon the final plat and included as a covenant in each deed. "The private street(s) in this subdivision will not be paved or maintained with funds of the county of funds administered by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation. In the event that owners of lots in the subdivision subsequently desire the addition of such private street(s) to the secondary system of state highways for maintenance, the cost to upgrade it to the prescribed standards must be provided from funds other than those administered by the VDH&T or county. The private street(s) in this subdivision are not dedicated and are owned by (trust, corporation, association, individual, etc.).

This Amendment shall be effective on of after July 1, 1988.

DIVISION 2. AGRICULTURAL, LIMITED, DISTRICT A-1

SECTION 22-58. Composition; purpose.

Agricultural, limited, District A-1 covers the unincorporated portions of the County which are occupied by various open uses, such as forests, parks, farms, lakes or mountains. This district is established for the specific purpose of facilitating existing and future farming operations, conservation of water and other natural resources, reducing soil erosion, protecting watersheds and reducing hazards from flood and fire. Uses not consistent with the existing character of this district are not permitted.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-59. Permitted uses.

In agricultural, limited District A-1, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following uses:

(1) Single-family dwellings.

(2) General farming.

(3) Agriculture.

(4) Dairying and forestry.

(5) Hog farms.

(6) Schools.

(7) Churches.

(8) Parks and playgrounds.

(9) Preserves and conservation areas.

(10) Lodges.

(11) Hunting clubs.

(12) Yacht clubs.

(13) Sawmills.

(14) Small boat docks (with repair).

(15) Cemeteries.

(16) Home occupations as defined.

(17) Public utility generating, booster or relay stations, transformer substations, transmission lines and towers, pipes, meters and other facilities for the provision and maintenance of public

utilities, including railroads and facilities, and water and sewerage installations.

(18) Off-street parking as required by this Chapter.

(19) Accessory uses as defined, no accessory use may be closer than fifteen (15) feet to any property line.

(20) Business signs, only to advertise the sale or rental of the premises upon which erected.

(21) Church bulletin boards and identification signs.

(22) Direction signs.

(23) Home occupation signs.

(24) Governmental offices, with a conditional use permit.

(25) Veterinary hospital, with a conditional use permit.

(26) Communication tower with station, with a conditional use permit.

(27) Mobile home, with a conditional use permit.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-60. Minimum lot area.

The minimum lot area for permitted uses in agricultural, limited district A-1 shall be three (3) acres or more.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-61. Setback.

Structures in agricultural, limited, district A-1 shall be located seventy-five (75) feet or more from any street right-of-way which is fifty (50) feet or greater in width, or one-hundred fifty (150) feet or more from the center line of any street right-of-way less than fifty (50) feet in width, except that signs advertising the sale or rental of premises may be erected up to the property line. This shall be known as the "setback line."

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-62. Frontage.

The minimum frontage of permitted uses in agricultural, limited, district A-1 shall be three-hundred (300) feet, at the building setback line.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-63. Yards.

In agricultural, limited, district A-1, the yard regulations shall be as follows:

(1) Side yards. The minimum side yard for each main structure shall be thirty-five (35) feet and the total width of the two required side yards shall be seventy (70) feet or more.

(2) Rear Yards. Each main structure shall have a rear yard of seventy-five (75) feet or more.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-64. Special provisions applicable to corner lots.

In agricultural, limited, district A-1, the following provisions shall apply to corner lots:

(1) Of the two sides of a corner lot, the front shall be deemed to be the shorter of the two sides fronting on streets.

(2) The minimum side yard on the side facing the side street shall be seventy-five (75) feet or more for both main and accessory buildings.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

DIVISION 3. AGRICULTURAL, GENERAL, DISTRICT A-2

SECTION 22-70. Composition; purposes.

Generally, agricultural, general, district A-2 covers the portion of the county into which urban-type development could logically expand as the need occurs. As a general rule it surrounds residential sections. This district is established for the specific purposes of:

(1) Providing for the orderly expansion of urban development into territory surrounding incorporated areas within or adjacent to the county;

(2) Confining such development to such locations as can feasibly be applied urban-type facilities; and

(3) Discouraging the random scattering of residential, commercial and industrial uses into the area.

This Amendment shall be effective on after after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-71. Permitted uses.

In agricultural district A-2, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following uses:

(1) Single-family dwellings.

(2) Agriculture.

(3) Dairying and forestry.

(4) General farming.

(5) Schools.

(6) Parks and playgrounds.

(7) Churches.

(8) Professional offices (within occupant's dwelling).

(9) Gift shops.

(10) Antique shops.

(11) General stores as defined.

(12) Beauty shops.

(13) Barbershops.

(14) Motels, with a conditional use permit.

(15) Sawmills.

(16) Planning mills.

(17) Airports, with a conditional use permit.

(18) Hog farms, with a conditional use permit.

(19) Small boat docks (with repair).

(20) Preserves and conservation areas.

(21) Lodges.

(22) Hunting clubs.

(23) Yacht clubs.

(24) Cemeteries.

(25) Home occupations, as defined, conducted by the occupant.

(26) Mobile home park in accordance with a conditional use permit and provisions contained herein.

(27) Public utility generating, booster or relay stations, transformer substations, transmission lines and towers, pipes, meters and other facilities for the provision and maintenance of public utilities, including railroads and facilities, and water and sewerage installations.

(28) Off-street parking as required by this Chapter.

(29) Accessory uses as defined, however, garages or other accessory structures, such as carports, porches and stoops, attached to the main building shall be considered part of the main building. No accessory building may be closer than fifteen (15) feet to any property line.

(30) Business signs.

(31) Church bulletin boards and identification signs.

(32) Directional signs.

(33) Home occupation signs.

(34) Location signs.

(35) Service stations or garages with major repair under cover.

(36) Kennels, with a conditional use permit.

(37) Nursing homes and homes for the aged, with a conditional use permit.

(38) Sand, gravel and crushed stone operations, with a conditional use permit.

(39) Asphalt mixing plant, when located at a stone quarry site, with a conditional use permit.

(40) Concrete/cement mixing plant, when located at a stone quarry site, with a conditional use permit.

(41) Storage of seasonal equipment (storage under cover) with a conditional use permit.

(42) Motor sports complex, with a conditional use permit.

(43) Governmental offices, with a conditional use permit.

(44) Veterinary hospital, with a conditional use permit.

(45) Communication tower with station, with a conditional use permit.

(46) Vehicle salvage yard, screened, with a conditional use permit.

(47) Agriculturally oriented ethanol plant, with a conditional use permit.

(48) Mobile home, with a conditional use permit and submit to Section 22-78 and Section 22-79 of this Code.

(49) Open pit mining, with a conditional use permit.

(50) Campgrounds, with a conditional use permit.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-72. Minimum lot area.

The minimum lot area for permitted uses in agricultural district A-2 shall be three (3) acres or more.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-73. Setback.

In agricultural district A-2, structures shall be seventy-five (75) feet or more from any street right-of-way which is fifty (50) feet or greater in width, or one-hundred fifty (150) feet or more from the center line of any street right-of-way less than fifty (50) feet in width, except that signs advertising the sale or rental of premises may be erected up to the property line. This shall be known as "setback line".

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-74. Frontage.

The minimum frontage for permitted uses in agricultural, general, district A-2 shall be three hundred (300) feet, at the building setback line.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-75. Yards.

In agricultural district A-2, the yard regulations shall be as follows:

(1) Side yards. The minimum side yard for each main structure shall be thirty-five (35) feet and the total width of the two required side yards shall be seventy (70) feet or more.

(2) Rear Yards. Each main structure shall have a rear yard of seventy-five (75) feet or more.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-76. Height of buildings.

Buildings in agricultural district A-2 may be erected up to thirty-five (35) feet in height, except that:

(1) The height limit for dwellings may be increased up to forty-five (45) feet and up to three (3) stories; provided, that there are two (2) side yards for each permitted use, each of which is thirty-five (35) feet or more plus two feet or more of side yard for each additional foot of building height over thirty-five (35) feet.

(2) A public or semipublic building, such as a school, church, library or general hospital, may be erected to a height of sixty (60) feet from grade; provided that required front, side and rear yards shall be increased two feet for each foot in height over thirty-five (35) feet.

(3) Communication towers, church spires, belfries, cupolas, monuments, water towers, chimneys, flues, flagpoles, television antennae and radio aerials are exempt. Parapet walls may be up to four (4) feet above the height of the building on which the walls rest.

(4) No accessory building which is within twenty (20) feet of any party lot line shall be more than one story high. All accessory buildings shall be less than the main building or height.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-77. Special provisions applicable to corner lots.

In agricultural district A-2, the following provisions shall apply to corner lots;

(1) Of the two sides of a corner lot, the front shall be deemed to be the shorter of the two sides fronting the streets.

(2) The minimum side yard on the side facing the side street shall be seventy-five (75) feet or more for both the main and the accessory building.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-78. Special conditions for mobile homes.

(1) Landowner, and/or mobile home owner, and/or mobile home occupant shall be one and the same.

(2) Landowner shall be defined as including the lawful owner or owners of the real estate, their spouse, and immediate family; immediate family shall include children, parents, grandparents, grandchildren, brothers and sisters of the land owner and their spouse.

(3) Any conditional use permit shall be null and void after one (1) year of disuse.

(4) The above section shall not apply to mobile home permits issued prior to May 21, 1986.

(5) Farm operation mobile homes not to exceed four mobile homes per farm according to the following table:

NUMBER OF MOBILE HOMES PERMITTED	MINIMUM ACREAGE IN FARM OPERATION
1	25
2	200
3	300
4	400

The location, placement and use of such mobile homes shall be submit to the following conditions:

(a) Head of household. The head of the household who occupies each such mobile home shall be gainfully employed full time on the farm operation;

(b) General regulations. Each mobile home shall be considered a conventional dwelling within the terms of this chapter, and the general regulations of the district in which each mobile home is located shall be applied to each such mobile home;

(c) Reclassification. In the event the land upon which each such mobile home is located shall be reclassified as a residential, business or industrial district, the affected mobile home shall be removed from the land so reclassified within ninety (90) days following the effective date of the reclassification;

(d) Health. Each mobile home shall be connected to an approved septic tank and drain field system and to a satisfactory water supply.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-79. Issuance of Permits.

All permits for conditional use permits for mobile homes shall be issued by the Zoning Administrator.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

DIVISION 4. AGRICULTURAL, CONSERVATIVE, DISTRICT A-3

SECTION 22-83. Composition; purposes.

Generally, agricultural, conservative, district A-3 covers the territory immediately adjacent to public bodies of water which may be used for recreational purposes. This district is established for the purpose of:

(1) Providing for the orderly development of this area for recreational and inhabited purposes;

(2) Providing for the orderly development of public and semipublic recreational areas adjacent to the shoreline; and

(3) Discouraging the use of business establishments, except those directly concerning water recreation.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-84. Permitted uses.

In agricultural district A-3, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following uses:

(1) Single-family dwellings.

(2) Public and semipublic uses are limited to churches, playgrounds, schools, picnic grounds and parks with a conditional use permit.

(3) Professional offices, such as medical, dental, legal, engineering and architectural offices conduct within the dwelling by the occupant.

(4) Home occupations conducted by the occupant.

(5) Agricultural, including accessory, buildings and uses incidental thereto.

(6) Dairying, including the raising of milk cows and wholesale sale of milk.

(7) Beef cattle and horse raising.

(8) Forestry operations and temporary sawmills if operating five hundred (500) feet from the nearest road. (Includes sawdust piles, lumber storage, log storage, milling machinery, etc).

(9) Public utility generating, booster or relay stations, transformer stations, transmission lines and pipes, meters and other

facilities for the provision and maintenance of public utilities including water and sewerage installations.

(10) Off street parking as required by this chapter.

(11) Accessory uses as defined, however, garages or other accessory structures, such as carports, porches and stoops, attached to the main building shall be considered part of the main building.

(12) No accessory building may be closer than fifteen (15) feet to any property line.

(13) Public boat ramps and related sale of food, boating equipment, etc., with a conditional use permit.

(14) Governmental offices, with a conditional use permit.

(15) Veterinary hospital, with a conditional use permit.

(16) Communication tower with station, with a conditional use permit.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-85. Minimum lot area.

The minimum lot area for permitted uses in agricultural district A-3 shall be five (5) acres or more.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-86. Setback.

Structures in agricultural district A-3 shall be seventy-five (75) feet or more from any street right-of-way which is fifty (50) feet or greater in width or one-hundred fifty (150) feet or more from the center line of any street right-of-way less than fifty (50) feet in width, except that signs advertising land or sale of the premises may be erected up to the property line.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-87. Frontage.

The minimum frontage for permitted uses in agricultural, conservative, district A-3 shall be three-hundred (300) feet.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-88. Yards.

In agricultural district A-3, the yard regulations shall be as follows:

(1) Side yards. The minimum side yard for each main structure shall be thirty-five (35) feet and the total width of the two required side yards shall be seventy (70) feet or more.

(2) Rear yards. Each main structure shall have a rear yard of seventy-five (75) feet or more.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-89. Special provisions applicable to corner lots.

In agricultural district A-3, the following provisions shall apply to corner lots:

(1) The front shall be deemed to be the shorter of the two sides fronting on streets.

(2) The minimum side yard on the side facing the side street shall be seventy-five (75) feet or more for both main and accessory buildings.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

R-1 - RESIDENTIAL

SECTION 22-115. Minimum lot area.

The minimum lot area for permitted uses in residential district R-1 shall be as follows:

1. For lots with on-site septic and water facilities, one and one-half (1-1/2) acres or more.

2. All other lots, twenty-thousand (20,000) square feet or more.

Provided that all lots within this district shall comply with Chapter 21 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

SECTION 22-117. Minimum lot width.

The minimum lot width for permitted uses in residential district R-1 for lots shall be one-hundred (100) lineal feet, as measured from one side lot line to the other line along the building setback line.

This Amendment shall be effective on or after July 1, 1988.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a short recess at 9:30 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 9:40 p.m.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- AMENDMENT A-88-11 AND A-88-12

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on April 6, 1988 and April 13, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption A-88-11, an Amendment to Section 18 of the Code of Dinwiddie County entitled "subdivisions", which amendment would require curbs, gutters, and a storm water management system in subdivisions; and A-88-12, an Amendment to Section 21 of the Code of Dinwiddie County entitled "Disposal of Storm Water, Unpolluted Drainage, etc." which requires all subdivisions hereafter constructed or designed to be served by public water and/or public sewer to contain a system of storm water, sewage, curbs, gutters and system drains along all streets within said subdivision. The plans for such storm water system shall be approved by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and constructed in accordance with the Water Authority's advice, consent and approval. All storm water and other unpolluted drainage shall discharge only to this storm water system.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, reviewed the amendments and the recommendations of the Planning Commission, which was approved at their April 13, 1988 meeting. He stated that the reason for the amendments were as follows:

(1) Reduce infiltration into sewer system;

(2) Provide effective storm water management for subdivisions;

(3) Increase value and aesthetic quality of subdivisions in the County;

(4) Lack of curb and gutter is a determining factor in annexation.

Mr. Emerson stated that Amendment A-88-12 is directly related to the Emergency Ordinance adopted by the Board, which will expire April 21, 1988.

No one spoke in favor of the Amendment.

The following people spoke in opposition:

(1) Mr. Don Vance
(2) Mr. Herman Cooper
(3) Mr. Peter Trible

Mr. Trible, representing the Henshaw Brothers, stated that they have plans that have been submitted for developing 200 acres. He asked if the Board could not deny the two amendments that they consider grandfathering in those plans that have already been submitted.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was receptive to grandfathering Brickwood, Sysonby and Mansfield Subdivisions. He then asked the County Attorney to read an Amendment he had drafted which would allow these subdivisions to be grandfathered. Mr. Cornwell read the following:

"Add to Section 18-79. Paragraph C of this ordinance shall be effective from the date of its adoption, provided, however, that any subdivision which has been submitted in preliminary plat form as required by Section 18-42 of this Code prior to the date of the amendment of this ordinance, April 20, 1988, shall not be required to be constructed with curbs and gutters, unless said subdivision plat is not reduced to a final plat form on or before July 20, 1989 and recorded in the clerk's office of the circuit court of the county on or before March 20, 1990. All other provisions of this ordinance shall be applicable to such subdivision."

Mr. Robertson stated that the Board has been informed by the Water Authority that the County does need to control its water flow. He did not wish to jeopardize any project in existence.

Mr. Trible stated that he realized they had to have a deadline. This Amendment, however, put the Henshaws in a position of developing and building 300 homes in three years. This would cause more development quicker. He then suggested that the 1989 date be changed to 1991, and the 1991 date be changed to 1992. He said it was difficult to get a $1 Million Dollar bond all at once.

Mr. Cornwell pointed out that the ordinance already has an expiration date of twelve (12) months for a preliminary plat, at which time there can be an extension. He added that they only have to reduce the development to the final plat. They do not have to do any construction.

Mr. Trible stated that if they secure the bonds, then they would have to proceed with work.

Mrs. Walker, who owns property on Route 226, asked what happens to the deals that are being made right now, and requested that the Board extend the time for adoption of the ordinance. Mr. Robertson stated that there is an immediate problem with the capacity at the Sewage Treatment Plant.

Mr. Billy Seay also spoke in opposition to the amendment.

Mr. Robertson then read the restrictive covenants that have been offered by the Henshaws in developing Brickwood Subdivision. He stated this is an example of the good will shown by the Henshaws in developing that area.

Mr. Clay stated that he did not want to put restrictions on R-1 to eliminate growth. He asked if Prince George had the curb and gutter requirement. He was told that Prince George did have it. Mr. Herman Cooper stated yes they did, but they have not had any more subdivision development. Mr. Emerson pointed out that the development in Prince George now is on large lots. Mr. Moody stated that he felt the County needed the ordinance, but they needed to be fair.

Mr. Cornwell offered the following revised amendment:

"Add to Section 18-79. Paragraph C of this ordinance shall be effective from the date of its adoption, provided, however, that any subdivision which has been submitted in preliminary plat form as required by Section 18-42 of this Code prior to the date of the amendment of this ordinance, April 20, 1988, provided an extension of said preliminary plat is granted pursuant to Section 18-49 of the Code, shall not be required to be constructed with curbs and gutters, unless said subdivision plat is not reduced to final plat form on or before July 20, 1990 and recorded in the clerk's office of the circuit court of the county on or before March 20, 1991. All other provisions of this ordinance shall be applicable to such subdivisions."

Mr. Moody moved that the two amendments be adopted, effective July 1, 1988. There was no second. Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, voted "nay", Mr. Harrison abstained, Mr. Moody voted "aye". The motion was defeated.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Amendments A-88-11 and A-88-12 were tabled.

IN RE: DATA PROCESSING COORDINATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mrs. Brenda Spain was appointed Data Processing Coordinator, effective April 21, 1988, at a salary of $15,766.40, which is Step 2A on Grade 14 of the County's pay scale.

IN RE: PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. James Rice was appointed Public Safety Officer, effective May 15, 1988, at a salary of $27,206.40, which is Step 6 on Grade 21 of the County's pay scale.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. Richard Barton be appointed County Administrator, effective June 1, 1988, at an annual salary of $42,000; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Attorney is authorized to prepare a contract of employment for submission to the Board.

IN RE: SUMMER DAY CAMP COUNSELORS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay", the following people were approved for the Summer Recreation Programs:

Head Counselor - Makala Vest, $6.50 per hour

Counselors (4) - Sheila Nicholson, $3.75 per hour
- Eleanora Ampy, $3.75 per hour
- Stacy Townsend, $3.75 per hour
- Tammy Outlaw, $3.75 per hour

Substitute Counselors - work as needed
- Dana Armstrong, $3.50 per hour
- Deseria Creighton, $3.50 per hour
- Lisa Stewart, $3.50 per hour
- Tomas Sanchez, $3.50 per hour

Specialists -
Movement and Dance - Tammy Noblin, $5.50 per hour
Arts and Crafts - Nancy Oliver, $6.00 per hour
Tennis - Yvonne Harrison, $7.00 per hour

Youth Assistant Counselor - Beverly Howerton - Volunteer

IN RE: OFFICE ON YOUTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following appointments were made to the Office on Youth and Community Services Board:

Six youth to serve a one year term - Expiring 1989

Orlando White
Nathan Brown
Dan Rapp
Andrea Simmons
Carie Carper
Lane Ring

Two adults to fill unexpired terms - Expiring 1990

Gary Burton - State Trooper (replacing Frank Freudig)
Gary Martinez - Citizen-Southside Regional
(replacing Mary Jane Gibbs)

Three adults to be reappointed - Expiring 1991

Debbie Marston - Commissioner of Revenue
Richard Butterworth - Citizen - Dentist
Judy Andrews - Social Services

The remaining members will continue to fulfill their three year appointment:

Carol Barnes - Health Department (1989)
Jim Correll - Mental Health (1989)
Charlie Hawkins - C & P Telephone (1989)
Tom Hooker - School Administrator (1990)
Mona Ritchie - Citizen (1989)
Becky Tilson - High School Teacher (1989)
Kay Winn - Elementary Teacher (1989)

Office on Youth Staff:

Diane Galbreath - Director
Margaret Sisson - Part-time Secretary
Joanne Slaughter - Part-time (Litter Control Grant Management)

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, also presented a yearly activity report and advised the Board that she had a $7,600 shortage in her budget next year because of activities that will now be taken over by the Recreation Department. These activities were revenue producing for her in the past.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:15 p.m. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:25 p.m.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- ISSUANCE OF $1.76 MILLION IN TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progess-Index on Wednesday, April 6, 1988 and Wednesday, April 13, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the issuance of $1.76 million in tax anticipation notes.

The Chairman asked for public input on the issuance of $1.76 Million of tax anticipation notes. No one spoke for or against the issuance of these notes.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, IN THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF $1.76 MILLION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

1. It is hereby determined to be necessary and expedient for Dinwiddie County, Virginia, to borrow money in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County and issue its general obligation notes therefor.

2. Pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Sections 15.1-545 and 15.1-546 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, there are hereby authorized to be issued revenue anticipation notes of the County in the maximum amount of $1,760,000 in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1988.

3. The notes shall bear such date or dates, mature at such time or times not later than December 15, 1988, bear interest at such rate or rates not to exceed the maximum rate authorized by law at the time the notes are sold, be in such denominations and form, be executed in such manner and be sold at such time or times and in such manner as the Board of Supervisors may hereafter provide by appropriate resolution or resolutions.

4. The notes shall be general obligations of the County for the payment of principal of and interest on which its full faith and credit shall be irrevocably pledged.

5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect from its passage.

IN RE: PROVIDING FOR BORROWING $1,760,000 IN ANTICIPATION OF THE COLLECTION OF TAXES AND OTHER REVENUES FOR CALENDAR YEAR BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 1988

At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 20th day of April, 1988, at which the following members were present:

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman
Aubrey S. Clay, Vice-Chairman

Harrison A. Moody
Charles W. Harrison
Edward A. Bracey, Jr.

The following resolution was adopted by a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors by a roll-call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes and the meeting as shown below:

MEMBER	VOTE
George E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
Aubrey S. Clay	Aye
Harrison A. Moody	Aye
Charles W. Harrison	Aye
Edward A. Bracey, Jr.	Aye

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE, SALE AND AWARD OF $1,760,000 REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES OF 1988, OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DETAILS AND PAYMENT THEREOF

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on April 20, 1988, the Board of Supervisors (the Board) of Dinwiddie County (the County), Virginia, authorized the issuance of revenue anticipation notes of the County in the maximum amount of $1,760,000 in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been advised that FinCorp Capital Markets (the Purchaser) has submitted the best of the five proposals received by the County for the purchase of such notes, a coy of which proposal is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

1. There are hereby authorized to be issued and sold $1,760,000 revenue anticipation notes (the Notes) of the County.

2. After consideration of the methods of sale of such notes and the current state of the municipal bond market, it is hereby determined to be in the best interest of the County to accept the Purchaser's offer to purchase the Notes, and the Notes are hereby awarded to the Purchaser.

3. The Notes shall be designated "Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series of 1988," shall be in fully registered form, shall be numbered R-1 upward, shall be in denominations of a minimum of $100,000 and increments of $5,000 above such minimum, and shall be registered in such names as specified by the Purchaser prior to their delivery. The Notes shall be dated the date of their delivery to the Purchaser, shall mature on December 15, 1988, without option of prior redemption, and shall bear interest from their date until payment of the entire principal sum at the rate of 5.22% per year payable at maturity. Principal and interest on the Notes shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America upon presentation and surrender of the Notes at the office of Signet Trust Company.

4. The Notes shall be signed by the Chairman of the Board and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board, and the Board's seal shall be affixed thereto.

5. The Notes shall be in substantially the following form:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DINWIDDIE COUNTY
Revenue Anticipation Note, Series of 1988

Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), for value received, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to _____, the principal sum of $_____DOLLARS ($_____) on December 15, 1988, together with interest on the unpaid principal from the date hereof

until payment of the entire principal sum at the rate of 5.22% per year payable at maturity. Principal and interest on this Note are payable in lawful money of the United States of America upon presentation and surrender thereof at the office of the Signet Trust Company.

This Note is one of an issue of $1,760,000 Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series of 1988 (the Notes), of like date and tenor, except as to number and denomination, and is issued pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Sections 15.1-545 and 15.1-546 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, and the Public Finance Act. The Notes have been authorized by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on April 20, 1988, in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1988.

The County has designated the Notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for the purpose of Section 265(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

The full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on this Note.

All acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia to happen, exist or be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this Note have happened, exist and have been performed, and this Note, together with all other indebtedness of the County, is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, has caused this Note to be signed by its Chairman, to be countersigned by its Clerk, and its seal to be affixed hereto and this Note to be dated April _____, 1988.

COUNTERSIGNED:

_____	(SEAL)	_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia		Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

6. The Chairman and the Clerk of the Board are hereby authorized to take all proper steps to have the Notes prepared and executed in accordance with their terms and to deliver the Notes to the Purchaser upon payment therefor.

7. The borrowing of such money shall be pursuant to Sections 15.1-545 and 15.1-546 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, and shall be in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1988.

8. Such notes shall be general obligations of the County and shall be payable from the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1988. In addition, the full faith and credit of the County is hereby pledged to the payment of the notes. Unless other funds are lawfully available and appropriated for timely payment of the Notes, the Board shall levy and collect an annual ad valorem tax over and above all other taxes authorized or limited by law on all locally taxable property in the County sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes, as the same become due.

9. The County shall not take or omit to take any action the taking or omission of which will cause the Notes to be "arbitrage bonds" within the meaning of Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, including regulations applied pursuant thereto (the Code), or otherwise cause interest on the Notes to be includable in the gross income of the registered owners thereof under existing law. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the County shall comply with any provision of law that may require the County at

any time to rebate to the United States any part of the earnings derived from the investment of the gross proceeds of the Notes.

10. Such officers of the County as may be requested are hereby authorized and directed to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the Notes in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Section 148 of the Code. Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by bond counsel for the County.

11. The County hereby covenants that it will not permit the gross proceeds of the Notes to be used in any manner that would result in either (a) 10% or more of such proceeds being considered as having been used directly or indirectly in any trade or business carried on by any person other than a governmental unit as provided in Section 141(b) of the code, or (b) 5% or more of such proceeds being considered as having been used directly or indirectly to make or finance loans to any person other than a governmental unit as provided in Section 141(c) of the Code; provided, however, that if the County receives an opinion of nationally-recognized bond counsel that any such restriction is not required to prevent the interest on the Notes from being includable in the gross income of the registered owners thereof under existing law, the County need not comply with such restriction.

12. The County hereby designates the Notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for the purpose of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code. The County hereby represents and covenants as follows:

(a) The County will in no event designate more than $10,000,000 of obligations as qualified tax-exempt obligations in 1988, including the Notes, for the purpose of such Section 265(b)(3);

(b) The County and all its "subordinate entities," within the meaning of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code, have not issued more than $10,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1988 (not including "private activity bonds," as defined in Section 141 of the Code, other than "qualified 501(c)(3) bonds," as defined in Section 145 of the Code), including the Notes;

(c) Barring circumstances unforeseen as of the date of delivery of the Notes, the County will not issue tax-exempt obligations itself or approve the issuance of tax-exempt obligations of any of such subordinate entities if the issuance of such tax-exempt obligations would, when aggregated with all other tax-exempt obligations theretofore issued in 1988 by the County and such subordinate entities, result in the County and such subordinate entities having issued a total of more than $10,000,000 of tax-exempt obligations in 1988 (not including private activity bonds other than qualified 501(c)(3) bonds), including the Notes; and

(d) The County has no reason to believe that the County and such subordinate entities will issue tax-exempt obligations in 1988 in an aggregate amount that will exceed such $10,000,000 limit;

provided, however, that if the County receives an opinion of nationally-recognized bond counsel that compliance with any restriction set forth in (a) or (c) above is not required for the Notes to be qualified tax-exempt obligations, the County need not comply with such restriction.

13. All other actions of officers of the County in conformity with the purposes and intent of this resolution and in furtherance of the issuance and sale of the Notes are hereby approved and confirmed. The officers of the County are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver all certificates and instruments and to take all such further action as may be considered necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance, sale and delivery of the Notes.

14. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

15. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a regular meeting of the Board held on the 20th days of April 1988, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my signature and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, this _____ day of April, 1988.

(SEAL)

_____
Clerk, Board of Supervisors
of Dinwiddie County, Virginia

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT -- ST. JOHN'S CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA THAT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION BE ADOPTED:

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that St. John's Catholic Church be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT LEASE

The Interim County Administrator stated that a new lease has been negotiated with the Health Department as a result of the recent renovations to the Health Center Building.

The new annual rental fee will be $14,170.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to execute the new lease with the Health Department on rental of the Health Center at a yearly rental fee of $14,170.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE LAWN SPRAYING

The Interim County Administrator stated that she has received a quote of Fifty Dollars ($50.00) an hour to spray the Courthouse lawn with chemicals. It now takes the Buildings and Grounds Personnel a couple of weeks to do the spraying by hand. No action was taken by the Board.

IN RE: STUDENT GOVERNMENT DAY

The Chairman announced that Student Government Day will be held May 11, 1988. Students from the High School will be contacting the various Governmental officials to interview them and spend some time with them to learn their jobs in preparation for the mock Board meeting to be that day at 2:00 p.m.

IN RE: JAIL DRAIN FIELD

The Interim County Administrator advised the Board that the plans to renovate the lower drain field at the jail needed to be

expedited. She is presently working with the Health Department to agree on plans for the renovation and when completed, she will obtain bids for the work to be done. She stated she was also working with a local contractor to secure another price for a pump and haul contract. The Health Department will require a contract for this until the drain field can be renovated. She asked for authorization to move ahead on these two projects before the next Board meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to work with the Health Department and local contractors to obtain bids for renovating the drain field at the jail and to execute a pump and haul contract.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) and (6), of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:40 p.m. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 12:07 a.m.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSFER FUNDS FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Interim County Administrator be authorized to transfer sufficient funds, not to exceed $25,000, to the Dinwiddie County Airport Industrial Authority to secure a parcel of industrial property.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR SECRETARY TO COUNTY ATTORNEY AND COUNTY PLANNER

The Interim County Administrator stated that since the appointment of Mrs. Brenda Spain to the position of Data Processing Coordinator, it leaves a vacancy of Secretary to the County Attorney. She asked authorization to advertise this vacancy as secretary to the County Attorney and County Planner. Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator is authorized to advertise and the County Attorney and County Planner are authorized to interview applicants for the position of Secretary to the County Attorney and County Planner at the present salary scale of a Secretary II.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until April 25, 1988 at 7:00 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator

APRIL 25, 1988 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 20, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: VIRGINIA FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAM -- AUTHORIZATION TO APPLY FOR GRANT

Mrs. Patsy Barnes, Extension Agent, and Mr. James Maitland, Extension Unit Chairman, appeared before the Board to request authorization to apply for a grant under the Virginia Family Violence Prevention Program for a period of one year, beginning July 1, 1988.

Mrs. Barnes explained the purpose for the grant is to fund a position to work with child abuse and neglect prevention. Primary prevention activities are directed at the general population. Secondary prevention efforts are programs or activities directed at identifiable populations at high risk or abusing or neglecting their children unless specialized services are provided. She stated the person would work through the school system with children in the fourth grade.

The total amount of the grant being requested is $21,772, with a local match of $5,925. The minimum match requirement is $2,800. Mrs. Barnes stated the local match would be in-kind services, with no cost to the County. The County would advance the funds and be reimbursed on a monthly basis.

The Interim County Administrator advised the Board that the employee would be provided Workmen's Compensation and liability insurance coverage which, at this point, could not be budgeted for.

Mr. Maitland assured the Board that if they did not receive the federal grant funds, they would not request the position from the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Extension Service is hereby authorized to apply to the Department of Social Services for a grant in the amount of $21,772 to institute a child abuse and neglect prevention program in the County.

IN RE: 1988-89 BUDGET WORKSHOP

Mr. Spencer Elmore, of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, met with the Board to review the 1988-89 budget. He stated that the increase in income projected for the new year is $173,000. The increase in new budget requests totals $3.1 Million. He suggested that the Board work towards increasing its fund balance, which without raising taxes would require a $3.5 Million budget cut.

The Interim County Administrator was instructed to go back to the departmental budgets and find these budget reductions. At the same time, the Board will consider other revenue sources.

IN RE: JAIL DRAIN FIELD -- AWARD OF PUMP AND HAUL CONTRACT

The Interim County Administrator presented two bids for the pump and haul contract for the jail facility/sewage system:

Stamie Lyttle - 8 cents per gallon
Edmunds Waste Removal, Inc. - - 2.5 cents per gallon

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to enter into the pump and haul contract with Edmunds Waste Removal, Inc., to monitor and pump the jail facility septic system as needed, at a cost of 2.5 cents per gallon, term of the contract to be determined by the Health Department requirements.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 p.m., Monday, May 2, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
WENDY W. QUESENBERRY
Interim County Administrator

MAY 2, 1988 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF APRIL 20, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: OFFICE ON YOUTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES -- 1989 BUDGET REQUEST

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, and Mr. Charles Hawkins, Chairman, Office on Youth and Community Services, appeared before the Board to discuss their 1988-89 budget request.

Under the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act, through the Department of Corrections, the Office on Youth and Community Services obtains funding for its programs. The program requires a 25% local match. Since its inception, the Commission has relied on the fees from recreation programs, such as karate, gymnastics, aerobics, etc., to meet the 25% local match requirement.

Since the County has hired a full-time Recreation Director, those recreation activities have been taken over by that department. Mrs. Galbreath requests, therefore, that the County provide the $7,600 local match.

Mr. Robertson asked if the Office on Youth and Community Services in other localities is a part of the School Boards budget. Mrs. Galbreath stated Dinwiddie is the only one she knew of and that was because she requested it be included within the school system since the programs are for the youth in the County.

The Board advised Mrs. Galbreath they would take her request into consideration during their budget deliberations.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF LOCAL LICENSE TAX

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, presented information from other localities on a local license tax for the County. In 1987, the County had over $84,000,000 in gross receipts from businesses located in the County. With an average tax of 19 cents to 25 cents, the Commissioner estimated it would generate from $160,000 to $210,000 in income.

The estimated expense of administering the tax is $10,000 to $15,000. The Commissioner explained this would include a part-time employee to police the program.

After a brief discussion, the Board asked the Commissioner of Revenue to work with the County Attorney to prepare a draft ordinance for their consideration and suggested tax rates for each classification of business as provided by law.

IN RE: BFI WASTE SYSTEMS -- REQUEST TO USE COUNTY LANDFILL FOR FLY ASH DISPOSAL

Wendy W. Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, stated that she had received a request from BFI Waste Systems to dispose of fly ash from Central State Hospital. In the past, they had used the Landfill in Prince George.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated she had asked the Department of Waste Management to analyze the fly ash to determine if it could be placed in the County's Landfill. The Department of Waste Management has determined the fly ash is non-hazardous and would be appropriate for disposal in the Landfill.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated she had quoted BFI a price of $125/load on a 90 day trial basis.

The Board members agreed to the use of the Landfill as long as the charge was comparable to the surrounding areas.

IN RE: BFI WASTE SYSTEMS -- REQUEST TO USE LANDFILL FOR TRASH COLLECTION IN NORTHERN END

Wendy Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, stated the Director of Sanitation has received a request from BFI Waste System to dispose of trash in the County Landfill System that they collect in the Northern end of the County.

The projected load would be a twenty yard truck, approximately once a week. At present, there is no charge for County residents to use the Landfill.

The Board agreed to the disposal of trash from Dinwiddie County, as long as the fees collected are comparable to the surrounding area.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE BUS GARAGE -- REQUEST FOR SURPLUS CARS

The Board received a request from Mr. George Soloe, Superintendent of Maintenance and Transportation, to use five surplus vehicles turned in by the Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Department. In return, he listed five School Board vehicles that will be taken out of service. The vehicles requested will be used to transport Work Study and Special Education Students.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following cars be transferred to the School Board as requested:

1FABP43G0EZ180352
2FABP43GXEX185547
2FABP43G5EX185620
2FABP43G6EX185545
1FABP43G0EZ180349

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the titles to the following School Board vehicles be turned in to the County Administrator for disposal:

1980 Ply-4 Dr Ht	136140*
1980 Ply-4 Dr Ht	126747*
1974 Ford Maverick	129476*
1980 Ply-4 Dr Ht	109539*
1980 Ply-4 Dr Ht	164914*

*Speedometer tripped

IN RE: 1988-89 BUDGET WORK SESSION

The Board met in a Workshop Session to review the 1988-89 proposed budget.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATION -- TEMPORARY HELP

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to hire temporary help until the Secretary to the County Attorney and County Planner can be replaced.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:30 p.m.

George E. Robertson Jr.
George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 4TH DAY OF MAY, 1988, AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Action on the minutes of the April 20, 1988 Regular Meeting, and the April 25 and May 2, 1988 Continuation Meetings, was postponed.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same; General Fund checks numbering 470 thru 576 amounting to $143,586.43

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

There were no Citizen Comments at this time.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following items were added to the agenda:

1. Take curb and gutter off table.
2. Bingo and Raffle Permits - Rocky Run; Cut Bank Church
3. Namozine VFD Parade
4. Title - Release Crater AAA Agency
5. Rocky Run Trash Containers

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W. E. Jones presented his report for the month of April, 1988.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D. H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of April, 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of April, 1988.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM -- MARVIN L. KNOWLES

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Marvin L. Knowles was awarded the following:

1 Mallard Hen	$ 12.00	
3 Muscovis	$ 15.00	($5.00 each)
2 Peacocks	$ 50.00	pair
1 Chinese Goose	$ 7.50	
TOTAL	$ 84.50	

IN RE: ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT -- HAROLD BEASLEY

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an application for a special entertainment permit submitted by Mr. Harold Beasley to be held on Route 605, on property owned by Mr. Richard Beasley. The two dates requested are May 14 and June 4, 1988, from 8:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. Mr. Emerson stated that permits have been granted in the past for this event. He then asked Sheriff B. M. Heath if he had had any complaints in this area.

Sheriff Heath stated that his Department had received numerous complaints on Paradise Hall, which is a Dance Hall operated by Mr. Beasley. He, therefore, had to close down the Dance Hall and he could not recommend approving any other permits for Mr. Beasley at this time.

Mr. Beasley stated that he felt he had taken the proper action by issuing warrants for arrest of people causing the trouble at the Dance Hall, and this should not go against the owner.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that an Entertainment Permit be granted to Mr. Harold Beasley to hold a music festival on May 14 and June 4, 1988, from 8:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. on property owned by Mr. Richard Beasley on Route 605; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the permit allowing a music festival to be held on June 4th be contingent upon approval of the Sheriff after his appraisal of the event held on May 14th.

IN RE: POLICY FOR SUBMITTING SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT REQUESTS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that applications for Special Entertainment Permits be submitted 30 days prior to the Board of Supervisors meeting, preceding the date of the event.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES -- 1987-88 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to make total expenditures for the 1987-88 school budget in the amount of $14,312,850. This increases the previous authorization by $132,850, which will be covered by anticipated receipts, thereby not increasing the local appropriation.

The original budget of the School Board for 1987-88 was $13,988,800. Additional authorized expenditures for School Buses in the same budget year amounted to $191,200, of which the School Board indicated that $157,000 would be available from the previous budget year.

The items covered by the additional $132,850 request are as follows:

Completion of Energy Grant	$ 47,000.00**
Equipment - CSH	14,900.00**
Career Education Project	2,596.00**
Furniture Order delivered after July 1	18,868.46
Special Education-Residential Placement	21,000.00
Sp. Ed.-Occupational & Physical Therapy	11,000.00
Bus Drivers' Compensation	17,485.54
TOTAL	$132,850.00

** 100% Reimbursed

Mr. Robertson asked if the buses mentioned in the expenditures were those that will be funded by money from the school insurance fund. Dr. Vaughn stated that the School Board was self-insured and the insurance funds are set aside in case of a catastrophe; therefore, if used for the school buses, he might have to ask for help from the board should a large claim arise.

The Chairman asked the Interim County Administrator if she had any comments on this request. The Interim County Administrator stated that without audited figures, the Board would have to rely on the Superintendent's projection that the needed receipts would be there without any additional expenditure required from the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the School Board was authorized to make an additional expenditure in the amount of $132,850 within the 1987-88 School Board Budget year, contingent upon additional receipts being available.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT - 1988-89

IN RE: RECREATION DIRECTOR

Ms. Fran Hart submitted her report for the month of April, 1988.

She stated that the flag poles should be set some time next week and the flag manufacturer was waiting to see how many flags the County wished to order at this time.

She also suggested that a flag ceremony be held when the flag is finished and suggested the date of June 14 or July 4; June 14 being Flag Day.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Clarence Roberts, representing the Virginia Department of Transportation, was present to answer any questions.

1. Mr. Harrison stated he had asked the Department to look into prohibiting any passing on Route 1 at the Georgian Rathskeller. He stated there have been several accidents involving people wanting to make a left-hand turn.

2. Mr. Clay stated that the bushes need cutting at the Intersection of Route 624 and Route 613.

3. Mr. Clay requested that the Department look at Route 715 to see if it needed any grading or additional gravel.

4. Mr. Clay asked how close to the road a church sign could be erected. Mr. Roberts stated that it had to be off the state right-of-way. A permit could be obtained through the Colonial Heights office.

IN RE: CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION -- ENDORSEMENT OF APPLICATION FOR EDA FUNDING

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Chairman is authorized to sign a letter to the Crater Planning District Commission endorsing their request for $50,000 in Economic Development Administration planning grant funds to be matched by $16,667 in Commission funds.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT ROOF -- AWARD OF BID

The following bids were received for replacement of the Health Department Roof.

Shen Valley Roofing, Inc.	$15,850
McKee Roofing, Inc.	20,170
E. M. Martin, Inc.	21,385

The Interim County Administrator stated that by rebidding the roof, the County was able to save approximately $5,000. She stated that the architect had reviewed the low bid submitted by Shen Valley and recommended that the low bid of $15,850 be accepted.

The Interim County Administrator stated that cracks were still developing in the Health Department walls as a result of moisture from the roof and she hoped by replacement these problems could be alleviated.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the low bid submitted by Shen Valley Roofing, Inc. in the amount of $15,850 was accepted for replacement of the Health Department Roof.

* RESCINDED 9-21-88

IN RE: OFFICE EQUIPMENT PURCHASE AND MAINTENANCE -- AUTHORIZATION FOR PARTICIPATION BY GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.

Mr. Robertson stated that he would abstain from any discussion or vote on this item.

The County Attorney presented, under Section 2.1-639.7(B).3 of the Code of Virginia, a resolution that would enable Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. to bid on Office Equipment Purchase and Maintenance for the County and School Board office. He stated that he had discussed the section of the Code with the Commonwealth Attorney, Mr. T. O. Rainey, III, and Mr. Rainey agreed that the Code Section would include services, as well as the purchase of goods.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody voting "aye"; Mr. Robertson abstaining, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie and Dinwiddie County School Board have for a long time in the past and will in the future purchase office equipment on sealed bid contracts, and

WHEREAS, Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. is a principal in a corporation which sells and maintains office equipment in Dinwiddie County and the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County is desirous of allowing Mr. Robertson to bid on the purchase and maintenance of County and School Board office equipment under sealed bid procedures established by the Public Procurement Act;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. be authorized to bid on the purchase and maintenance of County and School Board office equipment pursuant to Section 2.1-639.7(b).3 of the Code of Virginia; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following conditions exist: (1)

The need for this service was established through purchases prior to the election or appointment of Mr. Robertson to the Board of Supervisors; (2) Mr. Robertson shall not have involvement in the preparation of the specifications for any such contract; (3) The Board of Supervisors feels that it is in the public interest for Mr. Robertson to bid on any such contract.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS REQUIRING CURBS, GUTTERS AND A STORM WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- MOTION TO REMOVE FROM TABLE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Amendments A-88-11 and A-88-12 dealing with the requirement of curbs, gutters and a storm water management system be removed from the table and placed upon the May 18, 1988 agenda for action.

IN RE: WAIVER OF DEMOLITION FEE -- VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS

Mr. Harrison stated that the fire departments now have to pay a $25.00 demolition fee whenever they burn a building for training. He asked if this fee could be waived. The County Attorney stated that he would review the code section dealing with the fee and report back to the Board at the May 18, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: FIRE AND RESCUE CONVENTION

The Interim County Administrator stated that she had received a letter from the Dinwiddie Fire and Rescue Committee stating that they would like to hold a convention at the Airport on June 25, 1988. The anticipated participation is 100 units and would include a parade down Route 226 from Namozine at 10:00 a.m., followed by entertainment and competitive events for the Fire and Rescue units at the Airport.

The Interim County Administrator stated that a special entertainment permit would be required from the Fire Department, which would be presented to the Board for action at a later date.

The letter indicated that the Fire Department had contacted the State Highway Department to get approval to use one lane of Route 226 for the parade. At that time, approval was denied. Mr. Clarence Roberts, representing the Virginia Department of Highways, was present and stated he was not aware of the request for the use of Route 226. The Interim County Administrator asked Mr. Roberts if he would check into it and get back with her to see what could be worked out for the Fire Department for that day.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT -- CUT BANK CHURCH

EXTRACT 7-8-88

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Cut Bank Baptist Church has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, Cut Bank Baptist Church meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Cut Bank Baptist Church be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

EXTRACT 7-8-88

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT -- DEWITT-DINWIDDIE-ROCKY RUN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, the DeWitt-Dinwiddie-Rocky Run Athletic Association has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, the DeWitt-Dinwiddie-Rocky Run Athletic Association meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the DeWitt-Dinwiddie-Rocky Run Athletic Association be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

IN RE: CRATER DISTRICT AREA AGENCY ON AGING VANS -- AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSFER TITLES

Mrs. Glenice Townsend, Executive Assistant, stated that she had been contacted by the Crater District Area Agency on Aging requesting that the County transfer titles to their vans back to the Agency to be traded in for new vans. Previously, the vans had been titled in the County's name to enable the Agency to use public vehicle licenses. The new vans would not have to be titled to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Interim County Administrator be authorized to sign the following titles over to the Crater District Area Agency on Aging.

VIN 836KTAK124980	80 DODGE ST WGN
VIN 2B5WB31TXBK256915	81 DODGE VAN
VIN 836KTAK124984	80 DODGE ST WGN

IN RE: RELOCATION OF TRASH DUMPSTERS -- ROCKY RUN AREA

Mr. Clay stated that he had been contacted by Mrs. Marguerite Renaud concerning the relocation of trash dumpsters on Route 647 at Rocky Run. She had stated that the dumpsters were too close to her house and she would like for them to be moved.

The Interim County Administrator stated that the request to move the dumpsters from their original location at the Rocky Run ball diamond had come from the DeWitt-Dinwiddie-Rocky Run Athletic Association because they took up parking space, as well as being somewhat of a nuisance when the field was being used. The Association was instructed to contact the Ruritan Club in the area, as well as the community at large to be sure that it was the general feeling of the entire community that the dumpsters be moved to a new location.

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, had informed the Interim County Administrator that the contacts had been made and it was the desire of the community that the dumpsters be moved. A new location was found on Route 647 and the site was prepared.

The Interim County Administrator stated that it was her understanding that the dumpsters were .4 mile away from Mrs. Renaud and were closer to Mr. Howard Harrell, who has not registered any

complaint about the location of the dumpsters. It is a nice location and is very accessible to the trash trucks, as well as the community who uses the dumpsters.

No action was taken.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 3.1-244(1)(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:10 p.m. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:30 p.m.

IN RE: CONTRACT FOR COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Attorney be authorized to send the contract of employment for the County Administrator to Mr. Richard Barton for review; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that upon Mr. Barton's approval and signature that the Chairman and Vice Chairman be authorized to execute the contract of employment.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:00 p.m., May 9, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

MAY 9, 1988 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 4, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE LICENSE TAXES AND FEE INCREASES

Mr. James E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, presented the following license taxes and fees for the Board's consideration, as sources of additional income.

Consumer Utility Tax: Raise to 20% of first $15.00 for residential.
20% of first $1,000 for commercial
(now 16% of first $10.00)

Vehicle License Tax: Raise to $20.00/$10.00-Motorcycle
(Now $15.00/$5.00)

Create License Taxes on Gross Revenues:

1. Contracting - $0.16 per $100 (already have)
2. Retail Sales - $.20 per $100
3. Financial, real estate and professional - $0.58
4. Repair, personal & business services & all other $0.36
5. Wholesales - $0.05
6. Public services - 1/2 of 1% (already have)
7. Carnivals - Tax + $1,000
8. Fortune tellers - Less than $1,000
9. Massage Parlors - ($5,000)
10. Itinerant merchants & peddlers - $500 (already have)
11. Coliseums - $1,000
12. Savings & Loans - $50
13. Photographers - $30
14. Direct sellers - $0.20 retail/$0.05 wholesales

Alcohol licenses allowed by the State of Virginia

Admission tax - $0.10 (advertise at 6¢)

Transient occupancy - 2%

Raise all fees or create fees - Building permits, landfill fees, subdivision fees

Mrs. D. M. Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, was present to discuss the business license tax. She stated that she would need action by the Board on setting the rates no later than June 15th to allow her enough time to prepare the forms to put the tax in place by July 1.

Mr. W. E. Jones, Treasurer, was present to support the increase in the vehicle license tax on automobiles and motorcycles.

The Interim County Administrator stated that she had used the projected income from the Consumer Utility Tax, the Business License Tax, and the Vehicle License Tax to balance the budget. The increase in the other fees has not been figured into the income.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the advertising of the License Taxes and fees, as outlined by the County Attorney, was authorized for public hearing to be held June 1, 1988.

IN RE: USE OF RECREATION DEPARTMENT FEES

As requested by the Recreation Advisory Council and the Recreation Director, Ms. Fran Hart, the Board discussed the use of fees collected by the Recreation Department. Ms. Hart, along with the Recreation Advisory Council, has requested that the Board allow the Recreation Department to use the fees collected to fund new programs or the expansion of existing programs rather than having them returned to the General Fund.

The Interim County Administrator advised the Board that there were two alternatives:

1. That the Recreation Department be allowed to use the fees collected for new programs which would in effect, increase the Recreation Department budget by the amount of the fees collected; or,

2. Have the fees returned to the General Fund to off set the expenses of that department.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the fees collected by the Recreation Department be added to the Recreation Department Budget to fund new or expanded programs within that Department on a trial basis; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that monthly documentation of the fees collected and their use, be provided to the Board of Supervisors for review.

IN RE: WORKSHOP SESSION -- 1988-89 BUDGET

The Board of Supervisors met in a Workshop Session to review the 1988-89 proposed budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Interim County Administrator was authorized to advertise the proposed 1988-89 budget for a public hearing to be held June 1, 1988.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:00 p.m. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:34 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 2:00 p.m., May 11, 1988.

George E. Robertson

GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
WENDY W. QUESENBERRY
Interim County Administrator

MAY 11, 1988 -- 2:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF MAY 9, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ABSENT:	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

IN RE: STUDENT GOVERNMENT DAY MEETING

The Dinwiddie County Senior High Government class of Mrs. Debbie Bain met to participate in a mock Board meeting. The following students represented the Board members and the County Administration.

ERNIE WOHNIG, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
SHALONDA MOON, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
AL WILLIAMSON	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
GREG WILSON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
TONI CURTIS	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR	Martha Freeman
COUNTY ATTORNEY	Carolyn Hinkle

Reports were given by the following constitutional officers and department head representatives:

COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE	David Bunch
TREASURER	Mark States
COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY	Mason Coleman
SHERIFF	Ray Soloe
BUILDING INSPECTOR	Scott Simmons
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING	Tabitha Tucker
DIRECTOR, SOCIAL SERVICES	Tina Barr
COUNTY ATTORNEY	Carolyn Hinkle
DIRECTOR OF RECREATION	Lottie Ampy
REGISTRAR	Alicia Fisher
DIRECTOR OF SANITATION	Kathleen Prentice
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	J. T. Millard
DIRECTOR OF OYCS	Julie Clark
PRINCIPAL, HIGH SCHOOL	Tripp Marable
PRINCIPAL, ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Sonji Craig
DIRECTOR, WATER AUTHORITY	Rick Vaughn
VPI EXTENSION SERVICE	Karen Brown
JUVENILE AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT JUDGE	Shena Crittendon
PROBATION DEPARTMENT	Amy Smith
CIRCUIT COURT CLERK	Katrina Walker

The Board members, Constitutional officers and Department Heads praised the students and Mrs. Bain for their hard work and fine presentation and suggested in the future the meeting be held at night so more citizens could attend.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 18TH DAY OF MAY, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the April 20th Regular Meeting, April 25th Continuation Meeting, May 2nd Continuation Meeting, May 4th Regular Meeting, and the May 11, 1988 Continuation Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer $122.93 from the General Fund to the County Construction Fund.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: combined checks numbering 577 thru 700; General Fund - $110,862.72; E-911 - $13,726.34; Water and Sewer - $41,494.05; and County Construction - $122.93.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Mr. George Hobbs, Route 1, Box 76-B, Carson, Virginia, appeared before the Board to discuss the reestablishment of the County boundary line between Dinwiddie and Prince George. He presented maps from 1901 and stated that some of the markers have been removed since the original line was established. The proposed line now goes behind his house, which would make him a resident of Prince George County. He stated he was not really concerned about where the boundary line will be set; he is only concerned that it be established where the original line was.

The Board advised Mr. Hobbs to present his information to the Interim County Administrator for further review, and suggested that Mr. Hobbs be present when the proposed line is reviewed by Dinwiddie and Prince George Counties.

2. Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to present the 1988-89 revised School Board Budget, which shows a reduction in the local fund request in the amount of $452,545. The Transportation Category includes operation of a three (3) bus route system at an additional cost of $50,000 and includes the purchase of seven (7) new buses at $31,500 each.

Dr. Vaughn stated that any further substantial reduction in the instructional category would result in programs such as elementary school education, music and extension teachers being

severely cut or eliminated. He urged the Board to reinstate the School Board Budget Request as originally presented.

3. Mrs. Gloria S. Bain, Route 2, Box 191, Dinwiddie, appeared before the Board to support the School Board Budget Request.

4. Mr. T. H. VanPelt, Route 1, Box 15, Dinwiddie, appeared before the Board to urge the Board to replace the school buses as designated under the state regulations.

5. Mr. Fred Sahl, Church Road, Virginia, pointed out that Mr. Beasley Jones lists the Dinwiddie High School Phone Number as the number he can be reached for business concerning his position as a Delegate with the General Assembly. Mr. Sahl stated that he did not feel the High School was appropriate for this use.

6. Mr. James Spencer, P.O. Box 327, Dinwiddie, appeared before the Board to support the School Board Budget Request.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were approved to be added to the Agenda:

1. Bingo & Raffle Permit - Southern Sounds Band Fan Club

2. Department of Game and Inland Fisheries, RE: Dick Gray, Leonard's Marina

3. Introduction - Public Safety Officer

4. Presentation of County Administrator Employment Contract

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-9 -- Day Care Centers

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 4 and Wednesday, May 11, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Agricultural, General, A-2 District to add as a permitted use, Day Care Centers.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the amendment and reviewed the action of the Planning Commission, which was approval at its April 13, 1988 meeting.

Mr. Gilbert Marek has submitted a request to add as a permitted use to Agricultural, General, A-2 District, Day Care Centers. The reason for the request is to allow Mr. Marek the opportunity to operate a Day Care Center and if the business fails, to still have the right to use the building as a single family home. If this property were rezoned Business, in the event of a failure of the business, the use of the structure as a single family home would not be permitted.

Agricultural, General, A-2 District allows the operation of many businesses, some of a similar nature such as nursing homes and homes for the aged, with conditional use permits as home-occupations, which could be Day Care. Day Care operations are closely monitored and licensed by the State of Virginia.

Mrs. Cheryl Martinez spoke in support of the amendment. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Agricultural, General, A-2 District be amended to allow as a permitted use "Day Care Centers".

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-10 -- HOME FOR THE AGED

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 4, and Wednesday, May 11, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, to conduct a Public Hearing to consider an ordinance to amend Section 22-13 of the Dinwiddie County Code to allow Rest Homes in Residential, R-1 Districts.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, stated that the action taken by the Planning Commission was disapproval at its April 13, 1988 meeting.

The Board of Supervisors received a letter from the applicant, which was the Rock Church of Petersburg, requesting that Amendment A-88-10 be withdrawn. The Rock Church will file an application for an Amendment to the zoning ordinance in order to rezone the church property from R-1 to R-2 with proffers, which will be filed in the near future.

IN RE: AMENDMENT -- A-88-11 -- CURB AND GUTTERS, SUBDIVISIONS

Action was taken by the Board of Supervisors at its May 4, 1988 meeting to remove Amendments A-88-11 and A-88-12 from the table and place them upon the May 18, 1988 agenda.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an excerpt from the minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of the Dinwiddie County Water Authority, held on Monday, May 9, 1988, which stated:

"Upon motion by Mr. Joseph R. Patterson, seconded by Mr. J. J. DeBoer, all members voting "aye," the Dinwiddie County Water Authority endorsed the Sub-division Ordinance and the Storm Water Management Ordinance with curb and gutter requirements."

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following changes to Section 79 of Article III of Chapter 18 and in all other respects be reordained.

That a new paragraph be added to Section 18-79 of the code entitled Paragraph (c) as follows:

(c) All subdivisions hereafter constructed or designed shall contain a storm water management system which shall discharge to a natural outlet. All subdivisions located in R-1, R-1A, R-2, B-1, B-2 and B-3 zoning districts, shall provide for curbs, gutters, and storm drains along all public and/or paved streets within such subdivision for the collection of storm waters and the channeling of such to the storm sewers for disposal. Prior to the installation of any storm water system, plans and specifications therefore shall be submitted to the Authority and to the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation for review and approval. The developer shall construct such system in accordance with the advice, consent and approval of the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation and the Authority, and the Authority shall not approve extension of public water or sewer to the subdivision without an approved storm water system as herein required. All storm water and all other unpolluted drainage within such subdivisions shall only discharge to a storm sewer approved and constructed pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Paragraph C of this ordinance shall be effective from the date of its adoption, provided, however, that any subdivision which has been submitted in preliminary plat form as required by Section 18-42 of this Code prior to the date of the amendment of this ordinance, May 18, 1988, shall not be required to be constructed with curbs and gutters, unless said subdivision plat is not reduced to final plat form on or before August 18, 1989 and recorded in the clerk's office of the circuit court of the county on or before January 18, 1990, provided, however, that such periods may be extended by one year if an extension is granted pursuant to Section 18-49 of this Code. All other provisions of this ordinance shall be applicable to such subdivisions.

IN RE: AMENDMENT -- A-88-12 -- CURB, GUTTER AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT -- SUBDIVISIONS SERVED BY THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following changes to Section 9 of Article I of Chapter 21, and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 21-9 of the Code be deleted and replaced as follows:

All subdivisions hereafter constructed or designed to be served by public water and/or public sewer shall contain a system of storm water sewers which discharge to a natural outlet. All such systems shall provide for curbs, gutters, and storm drains along all streets within said subdivision for the collection of storm waters and the channeling of such to the storm sewers for disposal. Prior to the installation of any storm water system, plans and specifications therefore shall be submitted to the Authority for review and approval. The developer shall construct such system in accordance with the advice, consent and approval of the Authority, and the Authority shall not approve extension of public water or sewer to the subdivision without an approved storm water system as herein required. All storm water and all other unpolluted drainage within such subdivisions shall only discharge to a storm sewer approved and constructed pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

This ordinance shall be effective from the date of its adoption, provided, however, that any subdivision which has been submitted in preliminary plat form as required by Section 18-42 of this Code prior to the date of the adoption of this ordinance, May 18, 1988, shall not be required to be constructed with curbs and gutters, unless said subdivision plat is not reduced to final plat form on or before August 18, 1989 and recorded in the clerk's office of the circuit court of the county on or before January 18, 1990, provided, however, that such period may be extended by one year if an extension is granted pursuant to Section 18-49 of this code. All other provisions of this ordinance shall be applicable to such subdivisions.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT -- FANNIE JACKSON

EXTRACT

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented a Target Range Application from Mrs. Fannie Jackson to hold a Turkey Shoot on July 2, 1988, on her property located on Route 642. The proceeds from the activity are to benefit the Everready Group Singers.

Mr. Emerson stated that he has visited the site and recommended approval of the permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mrs. Fannie Jackson was granted a Target Range Permit to hold

a Turkey Shoot on her property on Route 642, on July 2, 1988, with all the conditions stated herein.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT -- DINWIDDIE FIRE AND RESCUE CONVENTION COMMITTEE

EXTRACT

The Interim County Administrator presented a request for a Special Entertainment Permit from the Dinwiddie Fire and Rescue Convention Committee. The committee is planning a Fire and Rescue Convention to be held on June 25, 1988, at the Petersburg Airport. Because live entertainment will be provided outside, a Special Entertainment Permit is required.

The convention will be held between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. and 500 people are expected, with 100 units participating.

The Interim County Administrator stated that she thought the parade route has been worked out with the Highway Department.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", a Special Entertainment Permit was approved for the Dinwiddie Fire and Rescue Convention Committee to hold a Fire and Rescue Convention on June 25, 1988, at the Petersburg Airport, with all the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: DEMOLITION PERMIT -- WAIVER OF FEE FOR VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney was instructed to draft an ordinance waiving the fee for a demolition permit for volunteer fire departments for the burning of buildings used for training purposes.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF BIDS FOR OFFICE FURNITURE

The Interim County Administrator presented the following bids for office furniture for the County departments.

Brunswick Office Systems	$21,147.00
Data Office Supply	$20,616.00
Paper Clip	$19,251.75
Virginia Office Equipment Co.	$18,636.29
T. S. Beckwith & Company	$18,259.39
William's Office Supply	$16,600.72
Chasen's Business Interiors	Incomplete

The Interim County Administrator stated that the furniture requested was not replacement furniture, except for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office. The items of furniture requested are needed for new positions, as well as to accommodate the new computer system.

After a thorough discussion, the Interim County Administrator requested that if the Board did not approve the low bid, that she be allowed to work with a committee from the various departments to look for less expensive furniture.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney and Public Safety Officer were instructed to look into surplus property and other furniture outlets for the furniture requested.

IN RE: CONSTITUTIONAL OAK -- DONATION BY DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY

The State Forestry Department presents an oak to each of the State Legislators during the assembly session to be planted at a location for the public to enjoy. Mr. Beasley Jones has asked that

his be planted on the Courthouse grounds. The High School's Future Farmer's of America are handling the planting of the tree.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, with appreciation, accepts the tree donated by the Division of Forestry, and Mr. Beasley Jones, to be planted on the Courthouse grounds.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT -- SOUTHERN SOUNDS BAND FAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, the Southern Sounds Band Fan Club has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, the Southern Sounds Band Fan Club meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Southern Sounds Band Fan Club be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

IN RE: NO WAKE BUOYS -- LEONARD'S MARINA CAMPGROUND

Mr. Ricky C. Rawls, Game Warden, appeared before the Board to present a request on behalf of Dick Gray, Manager of Leonard's Campground and Marina, for the placement of two "No Wake Buoys" at the entrance of the cove to Leonard's Marina. These buoys would be placed approximately 30 feet off at two points that are part of Leonard's Campground.

He stated that there is a need for these buoys because of the many boats that dock in this cove. There is also a swimming area which is roped off, but the boats operate directly beside it and sometimes the swimmers stray out of the designated area and into the path of the boats. A boat must travel very slowly to avoid making a wake. At this slow speed, the boat would have a better chance of avoiding a swimmer.

He stated that this is a highly congested part of Lake Chesdin and he felt that the placement of the buoys would reduce the number of damaged boats and more importantly, prevent personal injury.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the request for two "No Wake" buoys to be placed at the entrance of the cove to Leonard's Marina was approved.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS OFFICER AND COORDINATOR OF EMERGENCY SERVICES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, by action of the 1987 General Assembly, the Virginia Hazardous Material Emergency Response Program was adopted as contained in Chapter 3.5 of Title 44 of the Code of Virginia, which provided for statewide hazardous materials response capability; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to this Program, a hazardous materials officer is to be appointed by each locality to work with regional hazardous materials response teams; and,

WHEREAS, an Emergency Services Coordinator is required to be appointed for each locality within the State under Public Law 44-146.38; and,

WHEREAS, by appointing a full-time Emergency Services Coordinator, the County is eligible to receive 50% participation by the State through the Federal Emergency Management Act and provide a more efficient emergency services program for the volunteer departments and the citizens of Dinwiddie County.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that effective May 16, 1988, Mr. James C. Rice, Public Safety Officer, be appointed as Hazardous Materials Officer and Coordinator of Emergency Services for the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: CONTRACT FOR COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. James E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, stated that the contract with the new County Administrator has been executed and is available for public inspection.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD APPOINTMENT -- NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Interim County Administrator stated that a public hearing will be held on the appointment of a school board member for Election District #4 on June 15, 1988.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board of Supervisors moved into Executive Session at 9:17 p.m. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:30 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:31 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR -- RICHARD BARTON

The Chairman introduced the new County Administrator, Mr. Richard Barton, and welcomed him to the County.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the May 18, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund - Checks 702 thru 805, amounting to $142,990.64.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mr. George Hobbs, Route 1, Box 76-B, Carson, Virginia, appeared before the Board to discuss the re-establishment of the County boundary line between Dinwiddie and Prince George. He stated that the boundary line, as proposed, is going through the middle of his house and he would like to have a County line marker placed at his house. He also asked permission from the Board to survey the County line from the Sussex County line to Carson Station.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, stated that he did not think placing a monument at Mr. Hobbs' house would be a problem, but it would have to be at his cost. The reason for this is that certain monument locations were already approved in the contract between the County and Prince George.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. George Hobbs be authorized to contact the firm of Rouse-Sirine to have a County boundary marker placed at his house at his own cost; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Mr. George Hobbs be authorized to survey the County boundary line between the Sussex County line and Carson Station, at his own cost.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were added to the agenda:

1. Action on Secretary to the County Planner and County Attorney.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mrs. D. M. Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization to purchase a typewriter for her office under Capital Outlay within her 1987-88 Budget, cost not to exceed $500.00. She stated that with the proposed new licenses, she would need an additional typewriter for the paperwork involved.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Commissioner of Revenue be authorized to purchase a typewriter from funds within the Capital Outlay category of her 1987-88 budget, cost not to exceed $500.00.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W. E. Jones presented his report for the month of May, 1988.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D. H. Abernathy presented his report for the month of May, 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of May, 1988.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM -- DONALD ANDREWS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. Donald Andrews be awarded Three-Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars ($352.00) for ten goats.

IN RE: RECREATION DIRECTOR

Ms. Fran Hart presented her report for the month of May, 1988.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation, appeared before the Board to answer questions:

1. He stated that site distance at the intersection of Route 613 and Route 624 has been improved by cutting the bushes and undergrowth in that area.

2. He stated that he had reviewed the markings on U.S. Route 1, with its intersection with Route 613, at the Georgian Rathskeller as requested by Mr. Harrison at the last meeting and found that the markings were in accordance with state standards. He said the accidents that have occurred there have involved alcohol and/or speeding. Mr. Harrison asked Mr. Neblett to look into the situation further, especially the accidents that have occurred with traffic heading north.

3. Route 672 - Mr. Neblett stated that the Railroad communication lines are being relocated and that the project should begin right after the relocation of these lines. He added that the bridge has had to be restricted to three tons because of recent damage to the bridge.

4. Mrs. Virginia Winbush asked about the pavement of Route 613 as to why one-half of the road was done differently from the other. Mr. Neblett stated that the other section of the road was not as heavily travelled.

5. The Assistant County Administrator stated that the Board should consider appointing Roadviewers in July to look at roads that may be eligible to come into the state secondary system as rural additions. She stated that the Highway Department was investigating one road, Route 624, to see whether it would be eligible for viewing.

IN RE: DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS BY BOARD MEMBERS

The following disclosure statements were made by Board Members before participating in the Public Hearing on business licenses.

1. **AUBREY S. CLAY:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of a business in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest.

"I also would like to disclose that my wife is an employee of the Dinwiddie School Board and will be affected by the passage of the school board budget now under consideration by the Board of supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

2. **EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR:** "In accordance with Section 2.1-639.14, paragraph (3) of the Code of Virginia, I wish to disclose that my wife, Bernice Bracey, is employed by the Dinwiddie County School System. I am employed by the Rowanty Vocational Tech Center, which is partially funded by the Dinwiddie County Public Schools. Employment of my wife and I occurred several years prior to my election to the Board of Supervisors and the results of any decision by this Board will affect my wife and I to no greater or less extent that other teachers with similar credentials and experiences. Therefore, I feel that I am able to participate in the actions of the Board concerning the Dinwiddie County School Board's 1988-89 Budget affectively, fairly and in the public interest."

3. **CHARLES W. HARRISON:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of a business in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest.

"I hereby disclose that I am an officer and part owner of a campground in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the transient occupancy tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in

consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

4. **HARRISON MOODY:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of a business in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

5. **GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of three (3) businesses in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-16 -- BUSINESS LICENSE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, May 18 and Wednesday, May 25, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 13 of the Dinwiddie County Code, imposing Annual Licenses on business, professions and enterprises, providing enforcement provisions for the collection of those licenses, defining those business, professions and enterprises subject to shc license and charging an Annual License Tax upon the Gross Receipts of the same as follows:

Contractors, Builders and Developers: $0.16 per $100 of gross receipts

Retail Sales: $0.20 per $00 of gross receipts

Financial, Real Estate and Professional Services:
$0.58 per $100 of gross receipts

Repair, Personal and Business Services, and all other business and occupations not otherwise listed or excepted:
$0.36 per $00 of gross receipts

Wholesalers: $0.05 per $100 of gross receipts

Intinerant Vendors, Merchants and Mechanics:
$500 annual license

Peddlers, Retail: $500 Annual License

Peddlers, Wholesale: $0.05 per $100 gross receipts

Sale of Alcoholic Beverages:
Distillers, over 5,000 gallons per year: $300.00
Wineries: $150.00
Breweries: $300.00
Bottlers: $300.00
Retailers: $ 20.00

Sale of Mixed Alcoholic Beverages:
Restaurants - Seating capacity at tables of:
50-100: $200.00
100-150: $350.00
150+ : $500.00

Massage Parlors: $5,000 Annual license fee, plus $0.36 per $100 gross receipts

Mrs. D. M. Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, reviewed the amendments as advertised.

Mr. Robertson stated that the rates advertised are the maximum amounts and do not necessarily mean those that will be adopted by the Board.

No one appeared in favor of the amendment.

The following people spoke in opposition:

1. Gilbert Charboneau
2. Louie Thibault
3. Jimmy Dice
4. Kevin Townsend

5. Ann Scarborough
6. George Robinson - The Assistant County Administrator read a statement in opposition submitted by Mr. Robinson, who could not be present.

Because this is a tax, no action can be taken by the Board at this meeting. Action will be taken by the Board at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: AMENDMENT 88-17 -- TAX ON PURCHASERS OF UTILITY SERVICES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on May 18 and May 25, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 19 of the Dinwiddie County Code, Tax on Purchasers of Utility Services, increasing the tax on purchasers of utility services to 20% and no more than $15.00 of the charge for residential users, and 20% on no more than $1,000 of the charge for commercial or industrial users and retaining the same exemptions and exceptions as presently allowed.

The Assistant County Administrator presented the amendment.

No one spoke in favor of the amendment.

The following people spoke in opposition:

1. Ann Scarborough
2. Margie Cleaton

Because this is a change to a tax, no action could be taken by the Board at this meeting. Action is proposed to be taken at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-18 -- MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on May 18 and May 25, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Section 19 of Article II of Chapter 14 of the Dinwiddie County Code, Vehicle License Tax, increasing the annual motor vehicle license tax to $20.00 on each motor vehicle, trailer or semi-trailer licensed pursuant to the provisions of the Code, and $10.00 for each motorcycle.

Mr. W. E. Jones, Treasurer, presented the Amendment.

No one spoke in favor of the amendment.

Mr. Robert McFarland spoke in opposition.

Because this is an increase in the tax, no action could be taken by the Board of Supervisors. Action is proposed to be taken at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-19 -- ADMISSION TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on May 18 and May 25, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 19 of the Dinwiddie County Code, creating an Admission Tax within the County of six percent on the amount of admissions charged for attendance at any event except those events the gross proceeds of wihch go wholly to charitbale purpose or purposes; providing an permit necessary for the conduct of any event for which admission is charged and the posting of bond for the issuance of such permit; provided that the oeprator of any such event collect such Admission Tax, keep records pertaining to the event and pay the tax to the County within five days after the event.

Mrs. D. M. Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, presented this amendment.

No one spoke in favor of the amendment.

No one spoke in opposition of the amendment.

Because this is a tax, no action could be taken by the Board of Supervisors. Action is proposed to be taken at the June 15, 1988, meeting.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-20 -- TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 18 and May 25, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 19 of the Dinwiddie County Code to create Article IX, Transient Occupancy Tax on hotels, motels, boarding houses and travel campgrounds in Dinwiddie County and setting the rate thereon at 2% of the amount of charge for the occupancy of any room or space occupied except as to rooms or spaces rented for continuous occupancy b the same individual or group for thirty or more days.

Mrs. D. M. Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, presented the amendment.

No one spoke in favor of the amendment.

No one spoke in opposition to the amendment.

Because this is a tax, no action could be taken at this time. Action is proposed to be taken at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-21, A-88-22, A-88-23, A-88-24 -- REFERRAL TO PLANNING COMMISSION

The Assistant County Administrator stated that Amendments A-88-21, A-88-22, A-88-23 and A-88-24, which deal with permit fees for zoning and rezoning must be referred to the Planning Commission before being considered by the Board.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-25 -- BUILDING PERMIT FEES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, May 18 and May 25, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider an ordinance to amend Chapter 6 of the Dinwiddie County Code -- Building Code, increasing the amount of the building permit fees as follows:

Single-story dwellings, including additions, $.05 per square foot.

Basements and second and higher stores, $0.04 per square foot.

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, presented this amendment.

No one spoke in favor of the amendment.

Mr. David Lucas and Mr. J. S. Major spoke in opposition.

Mr. Robertson stated that there is one Building Inspector to cover the entire County and with the increase in construction, the cost for that department in turn increases.

Because this is a tax, no action can be taken by the Board of Supervisors. Action is proposed to be taken by the Board at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING 1988-89 COUNTY BUDGET AND SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Sunday, May 29, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public comment on the 1988-89 County Budget and School Board Budget, and 1988-89 Tax Rate.

Mr. Spencer Elmore, representing Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, who has served as financial advisor to the County during their budget preparation opened the public hearing with comments about the County's fiscal position. He stated that when he presented last year's audit, he advised the Board at that time that the working capital was being depleted. At the beginning of the budget preparation this year, with the commitments that have been made, he felt that all the reserves are committed; therefore, the County may not end the year in a break-even posture. In fact, they will probably start the next year with a zero balance.

When the budget was first presented, the County was faced with a $3.1 Million deficit, the main increases being in local government, the school budget, and capital projects. He stated that taxes would have to increase by sixty percent (60%) in order to meet the budget requests.

He also stated that he had advised the Board of Supervisors they should keep at least $1 Million in reserve funds and would like to, if possible, have as much as ten percent (10%). Without this reserve, the County will be faced with having to borrow every year as it is doing in the past.

His recommendations were as follows:

1. To practice prudent supervision of present expenditures;

2. Cutting expenses in the 1988-89 proposed budget by $3.5 Million;

3. Budget only for essential capital projects;

4. Freeze local contributions as much as possible; for example, externally funded agencies;

5. Pursue other cuts and/or additional revenues;

6. To appoint a finance committee of School Board members and Board of Supervisor members to bring the School Board Budget in line with the County's ability to pay.

7. To advise the Board that he did not feel they could raise property taxes as they were among one of the highest among Southside Virginia localities.

He said what the Board of Supervisors has accomplished is presenting a balanced budget only. They have not added the $400,000 as he has requested to the fund balance; therefore, the County will have to continue to borrow. He added that the School Board still needs to make reductions in their budget to meet the advertised funding levels.

Mr. Elmore then reviewed the State published information from the JLARC studies which looked at each individual school division. He pointed out inequities in number of teachers, clerical staff, and plant maintenance, as examples.

In closing, Mr. Elmore stated that the Board would have to continue to practice keen, prudent, fiscal management and approve no supplemental request without grants or other sources of funding being available.

The Chairman read the following statement:

"Ladies & Gentlemen:

"You have heard our auditor state that he informed us early in the year that we may face a deficit situation prior to the end of this fiscal year and that we must take appropriate action to adjust the 1988-89 Budget in order to begin improving our financial position.

"We took the following actions:

1. Notified the School Board that we faced the most difficult financial situation in recent years and requested that they submit a budget that would help us to prepare our 1988-89 Budget without placing an additional tax burden on our citizens.

2. We notified all Department Heads that they should submit realistic but conservative budgets in view of our present financial situation, emphasizing that additional appropriations during the budget year could not be considered.

3. When we received all budget requests, we were shocked and disappointed that the requests exceeded our income by $3.1 Million Dollars. This included an increased request from the School Board of $1.4 Million Dollars over the 1987-88 Budget. $940,000 of this increase was to come from local funds and the balance to come from State and Federal funding. At the same time, our auditor urged us to work towards building our reserve fund back-up to an acceptable level.

4. We sent a mandate to all Department Heads, through the Interim County Administrator, that all requests were to be reduced by 15%, or where possible held to present level funding.

5. We formed a committee (2 Supervisors, 2 School Board Members, the School Superintendent, the Interim County Administrator, and the County Auditor) to examine the School Board budget and work out a possible compromise that could be returned to the Boards for consideration.

6. After the first meeting of the committee, the Chairman was informed of a possible compromise by his representative on the School Board. This compromise could have reduced the School Board request by approximately $400,000. He was also informed that the Chairperson of the School Board would appear at the next board meeting with a statement. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors requested that the School Board Chairperson be requested to issue a positive statement of cooperation. The Chairperson made the statement to the Board of Supervisors that the School Board could only cut around $200,000 from the budget without cutting some programs and that the Board of Supervisors would be responsible for cutting these programs if they did not fund all of the School Board requests.

7. All County Department Heads submitted revised budgets as requested.

8. The Board of Supervisors cut $1.1 Million Dollars from the Capital Improvements Budget.

9. The Board of Supervisors requested figures on taxes, licenses, increases in fees, etc., to put funds into the County Treasury without putting more burden on real estate, personal property, and other taxes that relate to the household.

10. The Board has advertised new license taxes and increased fees for services rendered that will balance the 1988-89 budget, if all departments cooperate and maintain expenditures within the levels as advertised.

"The Board of Supervisors is pro-education and at the same time we must be pro-citizen services and pro-fiscal responsibility. Dinwiddie County has a good educational system that we believe needs some adjustment as to number of Administrative Personnel and

adjustment of the teacher to pupil ratio. We feel that this can be done within the budget that the Board of Supervisors recommends.

"The Board of Supervisors, if necessary, is prepared to adopt categorical appropriations for the School Board, which we believe will provide adequate educational funding considering the standards of quality mandates, while at the same time recognizing the County's ability to finance.

"However, the Board of Supervisors would prefer and hereby challenges the School Board to submit a revised budget that would provide for the 7.3% state mandated teacher salary increase and the purchase of the seven (7) new school buses, and require only $6.4 Million Dollars in local funding as advertised.

"If the School Board will not meet this challenge, the Board of Supervisors obviously will have to pursue categorical appropriations.

"The Board of Supervisors has prepared a schedule of appropriations for the School Board, should we be faced with the task of appropriating by categories which we believe will provide adequate funding for maintaining the music and physical education programs for the school system, and at the same time meet the state mandated programs for teacher's salaries and school bus replacement. The County Administrator can review these figures with the School Board if they desire.

"However, the Board of Supervisors is confident the School Board will take up this challenge and bring their budget request in line with the advertised local funding level without categorical appropriations.

"The Board of Supervisors regrets that this budget difference exists. Our only two choices are to INCREASE TAXES or to take the actions that we are considering and I have described to you.

"We request that the citizens and the School Board support your Board of Supervisors during these trying times.

"Thank you."

The Assistant County Administrator then presented the 1988-89 Budget and reviewed the major increases in income and expenditures. She pointed out that the budget had been prepared in a different format this year to provide a clearer picture of all funds involved. She also pointed out that another change which seemed to show a tremendous increase in individual budgets is the allocation of fringe benefits. In the past, they were shown in one category. She also pointed out that in working with the department heads, they tried to present realistic budgets. In the past, budgets were reduced or only reimbursable items were listed which did not provide a true picture of expenditures. The majority of the time, expenditures had to be made above budget allocations to keep the departments going.

Mrs. Geraldine Spicely, Chairperson of the School Board, and Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent, made brief comments on the School Board Budget.

Mrs. Spicely welcomed any suggestions on how the School Board Budget could be reduced, but urged the Board not to short change the children.

Dr. Vaughn reiterated what Mrs. Spicely had to say and added that he would continue to look at the budget for revisions but felt it was difficult to reduce the School Board Budget any more.

The Chairman then opened the floor for public comment:

1. Mr. Harry Clay asked that the ambulance for the Rescue Squad be reinstated in the Budget.

2. Mr. Paul Walk spoke in support of the budget as proposed.

3. Ms. Coralene Walker expressed her concern for reductions in elementary level programs. She questioned whether money could be used from the self-insurance program. The Assistant County Administrator advised that the Board had considered that but felt that it was a good program and at this point, was leaving it at its proposed level of funding.

4. Mrs. Sherry Avery spoke in support of education and the three school bus routes. If cuts were made, she urged the Board not to cut the children's programs or the three bus systems.

5. Mrs. Gloria Bain appeared in support of the School Board Budget. She stated that the School Board had put forth a lot of effort by calling on the citizens and parents for their suggestions. She stated there are other increases in the local budget that should be cut besides the School Board.

6. Mr. J. S. Major asked several questions on the budget and stated that there were further cuts that could be made.

7. Mrs. Margie Cleaton spoke as a parent and urged the Board not to reduce educational programs in the School Board Budget.

8. Mrs. Barbara Pittman spoke in support of the School Board Budget stating that their needs have increased just as the county needs have, but they are being asked to live with the same budget as last year.

9. Mrs. Cheryl Martinez spoke in support of the School Board Budget.

10. Mrs. Frances Hardy asked that the $6,000 requested by the Office on Youth and Community Services be reinstated in the Budget. The Assistant County Administrator advised her that the Board had taken action to allow the Director to keep those programs which are revenue producing so that she would have the funds available to meet the local match for her grant application.

11. Mr. Bob Mengel spoke in favor of the Budget as presented, and urged those in attendance to think about the contributions by the volunteers.

12. The Chairman stated that he would like to make one thing clear and that was that the School Board Budget was not being cut; they would receive $400,000 in additional state and federal funds.

13. Mrs. Ann Scarborough urged the Board to tighten the proposed budget.

14. Mr. David Lucas stated that he believed in a balanced budget and that the children are valuable and should be educated in a proper manner.

15. Mr. Billy Bain asked what portion of the budget the School Board Budget was in other localities. Mr. Spencer Elmore stated that he did not have the figures with him, but in the majority of the localities the School Board Budget is 70% to 75% of the local budget.

16. Mrs. Carla Brandon commended the Board on preparation of their budget and asked the School Board to meet the funding levels requested by the Board of supervisors.

Mr. Robertson stated that in answer to the comments about personnel hired by the Board of Supervisors, he felt that all positions were justified. The present staff has worked very hard over the past few months and the additional positions hired were very much needed.

There being no further comments, the Public Hearing on the Budget was closed. Action will be taken at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- 1988 TAX RATES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Sunday, May 28, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to receive public comments on the 1988 tax rates.

The Assistant County Administrator presented the proposed tax rates as follows:

Real Estate	$ .80
Mobile Homes	$ .80
Mineral Lands	$ .80
Public Services	$ .80
Personal Property	$4.90
Machinery & Tools	$3.30
Farm Machinery	-0-
Construction Machinery	$3.30

No one spoke in favor or opposition to the proposed tax rates. The public hearing was closed. Action will be taken at the June 15, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: OFFICE FURNITURE

At the May 16, 1988 meeting, bids were presented on office furniture for several county offices. The low bid at the time was $16,600.72. The Board, at that time, felt that the bid was too high and instructed the staff to look for furniture at the surplus property warehouse or other sources.

The Assistant County Administrator stated that she had worked with the Department Heads on two days to visit the warehouse and other outlet suppliers to obtain the furniture that was needed and has been able to reduce the price to approximately $2,800. She then asked for approval of the furniture as recommended, and also, that the Board adopt a resolution rejecting the bids received and presented at the May 18, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, Virginia, that the bids received for office furniture for county offices be rejected; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following furniture be approved for purchase for county offices.

1. COUNTY ATTORNEY

(1)	Desk w/return	$100.00
(1)	Credenza	75.00
(1)	Bookcase - 6 ft.	75.00
(1)	Bookcase - 4 ft.	15.00
(1)	Side Arm	25.00
		$290.00

2. PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER

(1)	Desk	$100.00
(1)	Wall	50.00
(1)	Side Arm Chair	25.00
		$175.00

3. ASSISTANT COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

(1)	Desk	$125.00
(1)	CRT Table	55.30

		$180.30
3A.	RECEPTION AREA	
	(1) Typing Table	$ 39.00
4.	CIRCUIT COURT CLERK	
	(1) Sofa	$150.00
	(3) Side Arm Chairs ($55 ea)	165.00
	(2) Secretary Chairs ($75 ea)	150.00
	(2) Secretarial Desks ($125 ea)	250.00
	(1) Desk w/return	125.00
	(1) End Table	15.00
	(1) Xerox Table	7.50
	(1) CRT Table (36"x24")	55.30
		$917.80
5.	PROBATION OFFICER	
	(1) Chair	$ 50.00
6.	GENERAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK	
	(1) Drafting Stool	$ 25.00
7.	SECRETARY TO COUNTY ATTORNEY AND PLANNER	
	(1) Secretary Chair	$ 25.00
	(1) CRT Table (36"x24")	55.30
		$ 80.00
8.	SECRETARY TO BUILDING INSPECTOR & PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER	
	(1) CRT Table	$ 55.30
9.	COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE	
	(1) Chair	$ 75.00
	(4) Secretary Chairs	95.00
	(1) Computer Table (for CRT)	25.00
	(1) Table (printer)(24"x24")	41.30
	(2) Table 48"x24" ($62.30 ea)	124.60
	(1) Table 30"x24"	48.30
	(1) Table 24"x36"	55.30
		$464.50
10.	TREASURER	
	(1) Printer Table (24"x24")	$ 41.30
	(2) CRT Tables (48"x24")($62.30 ea)	124.60
	(1) Chair	75.00
		$240.90
11.	COURTHOUSE RECEPTION AREA	
	(3) Three-seat sofas ($75 ea)	$228.00
12.	RECREATION DIRECTOR	
	(1) Table	$ 25.00
13.	BUILDING INSPECTOR	
	(1) Table	$ 25.00
	TOTAL	$2,796.10

IN RE: APPROVAL OF SECRETARY TO COUNTY ATTORNEY AND COUNTY PLANNER -- MRS. TAMMY B. ABERNATHY

The Assistant County Administrator presented a recommendation on the Secretary to the County Attorney and County Planner.

She stated that she, the County Attorney and County Planner had interviewed five applicants and recommended that Mrs. Tammy B. Abernathy of Route 4, Box 228, Blackstone, Virginia, be hired on Salary Scale of Step 3, Grade 8, which is $12,105.60.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bracey abstaining, Mrs. Tammy B. Abernathy was appointed as secretary to the County Attorney and Director of Planning at a salary of $12,105.60, which is Step 3, of Grade 8, of the county's pay scale, term of employment effective June 20, 1988.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:35 p.m. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into open session at 12:19 a.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 p.m., June 13, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.
Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
WENDY W. QUESENBERRY
Assistant County Administrator

JUNE 13, 1988 - 7:30 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF JUNE 1, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the discussion of repairs to the 1973 Kenworth trash truck at the Landfill was added to the Agenda.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REPAIR OF TRASH TRUCK

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, appeared before the Board to discuss needed repairs to the 1973 Kenworth trash truck. The repairs would consist mainly of a cylinder kit at a cost of $2,250. This truck is used as a backup unit.

The County Administrator recommended that the repairs be approved because of the shortage of funds. A new truck would cost approximately $90,000.

After a brief discussion, the Director of Sanitation was instructed to secure more definitive prices on the repairs needed and submit them to the Board for consideration.

IN RE: WORKSHOP SESSION -- BUSINESS LICENSE RATES AND OTHER TAXES

The Board met in a Workshop session to discuss the Business License Rates, Consumer Utility License Tax, and other licenses and fees proposed for adoption for the new fiscal year.

The Chairman opened the floor for public comment. The following people spoke concerning the business license rates:

David Lucas
Tom VanPelt
Robert Ragsdale
Earnest Harrison
Louie Thibault
Anne Blazek
Jimmy Dice
J. S. Major
Kevin Townsend

The Assistant County Administrator advised the Board that she used 20% per $100 on retail sales to balance the budget on Business License Rates. Because of inconsistencies that may exist in the state sales tax figures, she recommended that the rate stay as advertised. On the Consumer Utility Tax, she used 20% on $15.00 for individual households, and 20% on $150.00 for commercial use. She urged the Board not to go below $150 and to consider that some reserve is also needed.

The Commissioner of Revenue distributed information on similar rates in other localities. After a brief discussion, the following rates were tentatively agreed on for the various licenses and fees.

1. Consumer Utility Tax - Twenty percent (20%) with a maximum of $15.00 for individual use. Twenty percent (20%) with a maximum of $150.00 for commercial use.

2. Retail Sales - $0.16 per $100

3. Professional Services - $0.45 per $100

4. Contractors - $0.14 per $100

5. Repair - Personal Service - $0.30 per $100

6. Motor Vehicle License - $20.00 on cars and trucks; $10.00 on motorcycles

7. Admission Tax - Four Percent (4%)

8. Transient Occupancy - Two Percent (2%)

9. Alcoholic Beverages - As advertised

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:45 to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:45 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:46 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton, County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	DONALD ADAMS	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the June 1, 1988 Regular Meeting, and the June 13, 1988 Continuation Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same; combined checks numbering 806 thru 1009, General Fund amounting to $125,603.99; County Construction Fund amounting to $1,210.83; and E911 Fund amounting to $6,018.84.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following transfers were approved:

1. Radio Fund to General Fund - $2,517.32

2. Water & Sewer Fund to General Fund - $129,298.95

3. Vehicle Fund to General Fund - $20,069.14

4. Fire Programs Fund to General Fund, designated for fire program expenditures - $7,459.90

5. Vehicle Fund to General Fund, designated reserve for SOVRAN loan payment - $190,000.00

6. Vehicle Fund to General Fund, designated for Health Center Roof - $20,000.00

7. Johnson Grass Control Fund to General Fund, designated for Johnson Grass Control Program expenditures - $2,786.24

8. Health Insurance Fund to General Fund, designated for payment for six school buses - $151,754.41.

9. General Fund to Margaret W. Lewis Deferred Credit Account - $26.31

10. General Fund to County Construction Fund - $1,210.82

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO MAKE PAYMENTS OUT OF SELF-INSURANCE FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS the Dinwiddie County Self-Insurance Fund was established beginning July 1, 1986, and

WHEREAS the fund was established in the amount of $1 Million with all investment and/or interest income credited to this fund, and

WHEREAS it is the desire of the Board of Supervisors to pay insurance claims out of the interest accrued to this fund,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that authorization is hereby given for insurance claims to be paid out of the interest accrued to the Dinwiddie County Self Insurance Fund.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

Mrs. Gloria Bain spoke concerning the school board budget and the action taken by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were added to the agenda:

1. Resolution for Potential Industry
2. Resolution of Appreciation
3. Upcoming Meetings
4. Bingo and Raffle Permit - McKenney VFD
5. Executive Session

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Paul Walk presented the Eastside School Committee's Report on the use of the Eastside School building.

He outlined three alternatives that the Committee felt were available to the Board of Supervisors. The alternatives were (1) sell the property; (2) convert the property for public use other than as a school; or (3) mothball the building for future use by the county, or future sale of the property.

The Committee's recommendation was as follows:

"After many hours of in-depth study and discussion, I would like to offer the following motion to the Adhoc Committee: that we, the Committee, recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the County of Dinwiddie retain the Eastside School building as suggested in Alternative #2. We further recommend that county water be connected, that the lagoon system be corrected to State specifications, and that all alternate uses be considered; further that the building be put into use as soon as feasible and sections be remodeled in stages with HVAC being provided in individual areas. The motion seconded by George Sole and others, passed with all members present voting in the affirmative on May 12, 1988."

The Board thanked Mr. Walk and the other members of the committee Mr. Charles Friedl, Mr. David Lucas, Mr. Scott Ragsdale, Mr. Paul Myers, Mr. Tucker Ramsey, Mr. Laxton Wilson, Mr. J. Willie Harvell, Mr. George Soloe and Mr. Joe Emerson.

No action was taken at this time.

IN RE: DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS BY BOARD MEMBERS

The following disclosure statements were made by Board Members before participating in the Public Hearing on business licenses.

1. **AUBREY S. CLAY:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of a business in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest.

"I also would like to disclose that my wife is an employee of the Dinwiddie School Board and will be affected by the passage of the school board budget now under consideration by the Board of supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

2. **EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR:** "In accordance with Section 2.1-639.14, paragraph (3) of the Code of Virginia, I wish to disclose that my wife, Bernice Bracey, is employed by the Dinwiddie County School System. I am employed by the Rowanty Vocational Tech Center, which is partially funded by the Dinwiddie County Public Schools. Employment of my wife and I occurred several years prior to my election to the Board of Supervisors and the results of any decision by this Board will affect my wife and I to no greater or less extent that other teachers with similar credentials and experiences. Therefore, I feel that I am able to participate in the actions of the Board concerning the Dinwiddie County School Board's 1988-89 Budget affectively, fairly and in the public interest."

3. **CHARLES W. HARRISON:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of a business in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest.

"I hereby disclose that I am an officer and part owner of a campground in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the transient occupancy tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

4. **HARRISON MOODY:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of a business in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

5. **GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.:** "I hereby disclose that I am the owner of three (3) businesses in Dinwiddie County and will be affected by the passage of the business license tax now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. I further declare that I am able to participate in consideration of this matter fairly, objectively, and in the public interest."

EXTRACT

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF RECOGNITION - WENDY W. QUESENBERRY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Wendy W. Quesenberry has served the County of Dinwiddie with distinction for over 13 years; and

WHEREAS, Wendy W. Quesenberry accepted the position of Interim County Administrator in January of 1988 and has served the County of Dinwiddie in that position until May 31, 1988; and

WHEREAS, Wendy W. Quesenberry fulfilled the position of Interim County Administrator with skill, ability and professionalism; and

WHEREAS, Wendy W. Quesenberry has now assumed the position of Assistant County Administrator for the County of Dinwiddie where she will continue to serve the County of Dinwiddie;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County expresses its gratitude to Mrs. Quesenberry for her service to the County as Interim County Administrator and further recognizes her for a job well done.

EXTRACT

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT - OLD DOMINION ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE POWER PLANT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following resolution be adopted, and that the County Administrator be authorized to send copies of this resolution for consideration by other localities and agencies:

WHEREAS, Old Dominion Electric Cooperative has announced that it is considering the construction of a coal fired power plant in Dinwiddie County, Virginia at a site on Lake Chesdin near Sutherland, Virginia; and,

WHEREAS, it appears that such a plant will be designed, constructed and operated cleanly and with strict environmental controls for the protection of the air, land and water; and,

WHEREAS, such plant is proposed to employ 40 to 50 employees immediately for site development, 200 employees during maintenance periods, and up to 125 employees during operation, which employment will greatly benefit the economy of Dinwiddie County and the surrounding area, and greatly contribute to the local tax base;

NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County doth hereby RESOLVE that Old Dominion Electric Cooperative be, and it hereby is, invited and requested to locate its proposed power plant in Dinwiddie County, Virginia; and,

FURTHER, that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County pledges its support and assistance to Old Dominion Electric Cooperative in its effort to construct and operate this new plat in Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

RESOLVED, this the 15th day of June, 1988, by the Board of Supervisors in regular session upon unanimous vote with all members present.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - C-88-3 - CHARLES & GLORIA JOHNSON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, June 1, 1988 and Wednesday, June 8, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a Conditional Use Permit to allow expansion of an

existing mobile home park known as Somerset Mobile Home Park located on Route 226.

Mr. R. J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, introduced the Conditional Use Permit application and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission at its May 11, 1988 meeting, which was approval with the following condition:

"The Conditional Use Permit to expire if not acted upon in one year."

No one spoke in support or opposition to the Conditional Use Permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Conditional Use Permit C-88-3 submitted by Charles and Gloria Johnson to allow expansion of Somerset Mobile Home Park was approved as presented with the conditions as stated therein and recommended by the Planning Commission.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - C-88-4 - RUDOLPH D. JONES

Mr. R. J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, read a letter from Mr. T. O. Rainey, III, representing Mr. Rudolph Jones, requesting that the Conditional Use Permit, C-88-4, be continued from its present scheduled date of June 15, 1988, until the July 20, 1988 meeting of the Dinwiddie Board of Supervisors.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", C-88-4, Conditional Use Permit for Mr. Rudolph Jones is hereby continued until the July 20, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-13 - CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, GENERALLY

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on June 1, 1988 and June 15, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an amendment to the Dinwiddie County Code to amend Chapter 22, Article II, Conditional Use Permits, Generally.

Mr. James E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, introduced this amendment which will cause a Conditional Use Permit to become null and void after twenty-four (24) months of continuous non-use.

No one spoke in support or opposition to the Amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Chapter 22, Article II, and in all other respect be reordained.

Section 22-22 Conditional Use Permits Generally

a) Where permitted by this chapter, the location of hotels, motels, mobile home parks, campgrounds, commercial amusement parks, hospitals, airports, borrow pits, hog farms, sanitary fill method garbage and refuse sites and other permitted uses of like nature shall require, in addition to the zoning permit and certificate of occupancy, a conditional use permit. Other permitted uses as provided by this chapter shall also require, in addition to the zoning permit and certificate of occupancy, a conditional use permit. Any provisions of this chapter which allow a use with a conditional use permit shall not prohibit the Board of Supervisors from denying an application for a particular permit upon a finding, after public hearing, that the issuance of such permit would not be in compliance

with the intent, purpose and design of this Chapter and/or Section 22-2 of this Code.

b) All conditional use permits shall contain conditions of physical development and/or physical operation as the Board of Supervisors deems necessary to carry out the intent of this chapter.

c) Any conditional use permit, irregardless of its date of issue, shall be deemed to have been abandoned after a period of twenty-four (24) months continuous non-use and such abandonment of the use shall render the conditional use permit null and void. Failure to exercise the use within twenty-four (24) months after issuance of a conditional use permit shall also render the conditional use permit null and void.

d) Failure of the property owner to comply with the conditions imposed by the conditional use permit shall subject him to enforcement proceedings under this chapter, including revocation of the conditional use permit by the Board of Supervisors after notice and hearing.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING AMENDMENT A-88-15 - CLASSIC AND COLLECTIBLE CAR SALES AND RESTORATION FACILITY IN BUSINESS, GENERAL, DISTRICT, B-2

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress Index on June 1, 1988 and June 15, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption Amendment A-88-15 to amend the Zoning classification, Business, General, District B-2 to add as a permitted use, Classic and Collectible Car Sales and Restoration Facility.

Classic and Collectible Car Sales and Restoration Facility will be defined as follows: "A business actively involved in restoration and sales of classic and collectible specialty vehicles. It would have facilities to complete such work in a screened area for the storage of disabled vehicles. This business could also be involved in the sale of new/n.o.s., and used parts, but would not allow the general public access to vehicles for the purpose of removing parts."

Mr. Robertson questioned the definition of the word "screened". Mr. Emerson stated that it could be defined as evergreen vegetation, or a board fence. Mr. Kevin Murray was present in support of the amendment. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Business, General, District, B-2 be amended to allow as a permitted use classic and collectable car sales and restoration facility, with inoperable vehicles screened from view and restoration activities under cover, in accordance with the following definition:

"A business actively involved in restoration and sales of classic and collectible specialty vehicles. Facilities must be screened for restoration work and storage of disabled vehicles. This business could also be involved in the sale of new/n.o.s., and used parts, but would not allow the general public access to vehicles for the purpose of removing parts."

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING A-88-21, ZONING PERMIT FEES;
PUBLIC HEARING A-88-22, REZONING PERMIT FEES;
PUBLIC HEARING A-88-23, BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FEES
PUBLIC HEARING A-88-24, SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT FEES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress Index on June 1 and June 15, 1988, for the Board of

Supervisors to conduct Public Hearings to consider for adoption amendments to Chapter 22, of the Dinwiddie County Code concerning zoning permit fees, rezoning permit fees, board of zoning appeals fees, and subdivision and land development fees.

Mr. R. J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, introduced the amendments and briefly explained the fees involved.

1. The Zoning Permit Fee would increase from $25.00 to $30.00.

2. The Rezoning Permit Fee would increase from $100.00 to $150.00.

3. The Board of Zoning Appeals Fees would increase from $40.00 to $100.00.

4. Subdivision and Land Development Fees:

a. Preliminary review subdivision plats would increase from $10.00 to $30.00.

b. Land development - preliminary plan - would increase from $20.00 to $40.00.

c. Final review of subdivision plats would increase from $15.00 to $35.00.

d. Land development - final review - would increase from $25.00 to $45.00.

No one spoke in support of the amendment. Mr. J. S. Major, Ms. Anne Blazek, and Mr. Kevin Murray had questions.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition to Section 20, Article II of Chapter 22 and in all other respects be reordained:

That a new paragraph (d) be added to Section 22-20 to impose a zoning permit application fee as follows:

Section 22-20. Zoning Permits.

(d) Each application for a zoning permit shall be accompanied by an application fee of thirty dollars ($30.00) made payable to the County of Dinwiddie for consideration of the issuance of the zoning permit.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 23, Article II of Chapter 22 and in all other respects be reordained:

That paragraphs (b) and (c) of Section 23, Article II of Chapter 22 be amended effective July 1, 1988 to increase the application fees as follows:

Section 22-23. Applications for rezoning and conditional use permits.

(b) Each application for rezoning shall be accompanied by a check or money order in the sum of One-Hundred Fifty Dollars ($150.00), One-Hundred Dollars ($100.00) of which shall be used to pay the expense of advertising and mailing notices. If actual expenses associates with the rezoning exceed One-Hundred Dollars ($100.00), the applicant shall be billed for the difference. Fifty

Dollars ($50.00) shall be retained by the County as fee for processing the application for rezoning.

(c) Each application submitted for a conditional use permit shall be accompanied by a check or money order in the sum of One-Hundred Dollars ($100.00). Of this amount, Sixty Dollars ($60.00) shall be retained by the County as the fee for the conditional use permit, and Forty Dollars ($40.00) shall be used for the expenses of advertising. If the actual expenses associates with the permit exceed Forty Dollars ($40.00) the applicant shall be billed the difference.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 40, Article III of Chapter 22 and in all other respects be reordained:

That paragraph (c) of Section 40, Article III of Chapter 22 be amended effective July 1, 1988 to increase the fee for appeals to the Board of Zoning appeals as follows:

Section 22-40. Appeals to board generally.

(c) Appeals shall be mailed to the Board of Zoning Appeals in care of the Zoning Administrator, and a copy of the appeal shall be mailed to the secretary of the Planning Commission. A third copy should be mailed to the individual, official, department or agency concerned, if any. Appeals shall be accompanied by a certified check for One-Hundred Dollars ($100.00) payable to the County Treasurer. If actual expenses associated with the appeal exceed Forty Dollars ($40.00), the applicant shall be billed the difference.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie county Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 13, Article I of Chapter 18 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 13, Article I of Chapter 18 be amended effective July 1, 1988 to increase the processing fees assessed as follows:

Section 18-13. Processing fees.

The fees for processing subdivisions or land developments by the county shall be payable upon submission of the plats to the County for preliminary or final review and shall be equal to the following:

(1) Preliminary review:

(a) Subdivision plats, Thirty Dollars ($30.00), plus One Dollar ($1.00) per lot.

(b) Land Development, Forty-Five Dollars ($45.00), plus One Dollar ($1.00) per acre.

(2) Final review:

(a) Subdivision plats, Thirty-Five Dollars ($35.00), plus One Dollar ($1.00) per lot.

(b) Land Development, Forty-Five Dollars ($45.00), plus One Dollar ($1.00) per acre.

A separate charge will be made for the review of public water and/or sewage plant. Such charge will be due upon submission of the plans for review and the amount shall be determined by the authority as established by ordinance and/or set forth by its rules and regulations.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING A-88-26, AMENDMENT TO A-2 "BORROW AREAS"

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress Index on Wednesday, June 1, 1988 and Wednesday, June 15, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an amendment to the zoning ordinance to add as a permitted use "Borrow Areas" with conditional use permit in Agricultural, General, District A-2.

Mr. R. J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, introduced the amendment and reviewed the action taken by the Planning Commission at its June 8, 1988 meeting, which was approval.

Mr. Russell Garrison, representing B. P. Short, spoke in support of the amendment. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA to add as a permitted use "Borrow Area" with a conditional use permit in Agricultural, General, A-2 Districts.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING C-88-5 - D. W. LYLE

This being the time and place as advertised the Progress Index on Wednesday, June 1, 1988 and Wednesday, June 15, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a conditional use permit submitted by D. W. Lyle Corporation to operate a "Borrow Area" on a portion of property owned by Ronald J. and Grace B. Dunford, located on State Route 672 in the Rohoic District of Dinwiddie County.

Mr. R. J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, introduced the conditional use permit. The "Borrow Area" is needed for the Route 672 bridge construction. A "Borrow Area", as applied for, is already regulated by the State of Virginia under erosion and sediment control laws and the Virginia Department of Transportation rules during road construction. Mr. Emerson stated that he had talked with the Soil Conservation Service and they would be reviewing the area under the erosion and sediment control ordinance.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of this permit at its June 8th meeting, contingent upon the review and approval of the Soil Conservation Service, and the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation and with the condition that the permit expire when the "Borrow Area" is closed and approved by the proper agencies or after one (1) year, whichever comes first.

No one spoke in support or opposition to the conditional use permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the conditional use permit requested by Mr. D. W. Lyle Corporation to operate a "Borrow Area" on a portion of property owned by Ronald J. and Grace B. Dunford, located on State Route 672 in the Rohoic District of Dinwiddie County, is hereby approved with the conditions as stated therein, and as recommended by the Planning Commission.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING, SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER -- ELECTION DISTRICT 4

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress Index on Wednesday, June 1, 1988 and Wednesday, June 8, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public input from interested citizens on the

appointment/reappointment of a School Board member for Election District #4.

Mrs. Geraldine Spicely stated that she would like to be reappointed to the position. There were no other nominations.

The public hearing was closed. Action will be taken at the July 6, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: A-88-16 -- ADOPTION OF BUSINESS, PROFESSIONAL AND MERCHANTS LICENSE

A Public Hearing on this amendment was held at the June 1, 1988 meeting.

Mr. James E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, presented the amendment with the proposed rates as discussed by the Board at their work session held June 13, 1988.

The Chairman read the following memorandum submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Assistant County Administrator concerning the 1988-89 Budget and the Business License Fees.

"As a result of our workshop session held Monday night and the new information brought to us by the Commissioner of Revenue concerning the sales tax projections on which I based the projected income for business licenses, and your decision to include an ambulance for the Rescue Squad, we are approximately $120,000 short of a balanced budget.

I, therefore, recommend the following:

1. Restore the retail sales rate to $.20.

2. Lease/purchase the ambulance and seven police cars - would reduce the budget by $90,000 and add $28,000 lease payment.

3. Leave other fees/license rates as discussed on Monday evening.

4. Raise the maximum on consumer utility rate on commercial use at $300 ($60 per month) to build your reserve. I strongly urge you to consider this since $150 ($30 per month) was used to balance the budget.

While I do feel the Commissioner of Revenue was very conservative in her projects on real estate and personal property values, and the other fees and licenses will bring additional income, WE HAVE NO GUARANTEES."

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the rate for retail sales was set at $0.16 per $100.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the rate for Professional Services was set at $0.45 per $100.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the rate for contractors/developers was set at $0.14 per $100.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the rate for Personal Services was set at $0.30 per $100.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE, COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Chapter 13 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Chapter 13 be repealed effective July 1, 1988 and replaced with the following.

Article I. In General.

Sec. 13-1. Imposition and purpose of taxes generally.

There shall be levied and collected for each license tax year or for such other period of time as may be specifically provided herein the license taxes as set forth in this chapter. The taxes imposed by the provisions of this chapter are in all cases imposed upon the privilege of doing business in the county, including all phases and activities of the business, trade or occupation conducted in the county.

Sec. 13-1.1. Limitation

Notwithstanding any provision contained herein, no license tax shall be imposed or levied pursuant to this Chapter on any business or profession exempted from such taxation by the provisions of Chapter 37 of the Code of Virginia, and all license taxes hereby imposed by this Chapter shall be subject to any express limitation of amount as set forth in Chapter 37 of the Code of Virginia irregardless of the rate of taxation herein set forth.

Sec. 13-1.2. Limitations with respect to license tax on direct sellers.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, no license tax shall be imposed upon a direct seller, as defined herein, unless the total sales of such seller exceed four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) per year. The rate of tax levied on a direct seller whose total sales exceed four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) per year shall not be greater than twenty cents ($0.20) per one hundred dollars ($100.00) of retail sales or five cents ($0.05) per one hundred dollars ($100.00) of wholesale sales, whichever is applicable. No license tax shall be imposed upon such direct seller unless such person maintains his place of abode in the county.

(b) As used in this section the term "direct seller" means any person who:

(1) Engages in the trade or business of selling or soliciting the sale of consumer products primarily in private residences and maintains no public location for the conduct of such business; and

(2) Receives remuneration for such activities, with substantially all of such remuneration being directly related to sales or other sales-oriented services, rather than to the number of hours worked; and

(3) Performs such activities pursuant to a written contract between such person and the person for whom the activities are performed and such contract provides that such person will not be treated as an employee with respect to such activities for federal tax purposes. (9-24-86, 1)

Sec. 13-2. Definitions.

The following words and phrases, when used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning, or there is an express provision to the contrary:

Gross receipts of the business. The gross sales of merchandise and the gross receipts of the business, occupation or profession from all earnings, fees, commissions, brokerage charges and rentals and from all income whatsoever arising from or growing out of the conduct of the business, occupation or profession licensed in this chapter, during the license tax year immediately preceding the license tax year for which the tax is being computed, without any deduction whatsoever, unless otherwise expressly provided.

Person. Individuals, firms, partnerships, associations, corporations and combinations of individuals of whatever form or character, including any trustee, receiver, assignee or personal representative thereof carrying on or continuing a business, profession, trade or occupation.

Sec. 13-3. Certain permits required in addition to the permits hereunder.

Every person desiring a license under the provisions of this chapter for activities which require an additional permit or license from State or Federal authorities, or which require an additional permit pursuant to any other provision of this Code, shall first acquire such additional permit and a copy thereof shall be made part of the application for the required permit or license required hereunder. The commissioner of the revenue or the treasurer as the case may be shall require proof of any such other permit or license prior to the issuance of the license or permit required by this Chapter.

Sec. 13-4. Duty of license applicant to ascertain of location properly zoned and use permit issued.

It shall be the duty of every person applying for a business license to ascertain if the location for the conducting of such business, trade or occupation is properly zoned and has the necessary use permit before making application for such business license as may be required. The commissioner of the revenue or treasurer in any case where he knows that the location is not properly zoned for the type of business, trade or occupation applying for a business license shall refuse to issue such business license until a certificate is issued by the director of planning stating that the location is properly zoned or the business is being operated in a proper zone. Should either the commissioner of revenue, treasurer or director of planning discover that the business, trade or profession is being operated at a location that is not properly zoned for such operation, either official may revoke any license granted hereunder without refund of license tax and cause such operation to cease, or require such operation to move to a properly zoned area and cause the license to be amended as allowed under section 13-18.

Sec. 13-5. License tax year.

The first license year shall commence July 1, 1988 and end on December 31, 1988. For the first license year any license due hereunder shall be charged and calculated on the gross revenue of the business for the previous six months. The next and all following license years shall commence on January 1 or when the license first becomes assessable and shall expire on the following December 31 and the license due shall be charged and calculated on the gross revenue of the business for the preceding year unless estimated as herein required.

Sec. 13-6. Persons beginning business--License tax based on estimate of gross receipts--Generally.

Every person beginning a business, occupation or profession that is subject to a tax equal to a per centum of the gross receipts of the business shall estimate the amount of the gross

receipts of the business that he will receive between the date of beginning business and the end of the then current license tax year, and the license tax on every such person beginning business shall be a sum equal to the per centum of that estimate prescribed by the particular provision of this chapter applicable to such business.

Sec. 13-7. Same--Same--When business not in existence for full year.

Every person whose business, occupation or profession is subject to a tax equal to a per centum of the gross receipts of the business and who was licensed for only a part of the next preceding license tax year shall estimate the amount of the gross receipts of the business that he will receive during the then current license tax year, and the license tax on every such taxpayer shall be a sum equal to the per centum of that estimate prescribed by the particular provision of this chapter applicable to such business, occupation or profession.

Sec. 13-8. Same--Computation when tax base other than gross receipts--Generally.

Every person beginning a business, occupation or profession that is subject to a tax equal to a per centum of a basis other than gross receipts of the business shall estimate the amount of the applicable tax base for the period between the date of beginning of business and the end of the then current license tax year, and the tax for that year shall be an amount equal to the per centum of that estimate at the rate prescribed by the applicable provisions of this chapter with respect to the business.

Sec. 13-9. Same--Same--When business not in existence for a full year.

Every person whose business, occupation or profession is subject to a tax equal to a per centum of a basis other that the gross receipts of the business and who was assessable for only a part of the then next preceding license tax year shall estimate the amount of the applicable tax base for the then current license tax year, and the license tax on every such taxpayer shall be a sum equal to the per centum of that estimate prescribed by the particular provision of this chapter applicable to such business, occupation or profession.

Sec. 13-10. Same--Correction of estimate at close of tax year.

Every estimate made in accordance with the provisions of the preceding four sections shall be subject to correction by the commissioner of the revenue at the close of the license tax year so that the final correct tax shall be computed upon the basis of the actual amount of the applicable tax base at the end of the license tax year.

Sec. 13-11. Expiration date of license.

No license shall be issued under this chapter for a period beyond the end of the current license tax year, and unless otherwise expressly provided every license issued under this chapter shall expire at the end of the license tax year.

Sec. 13-12. Designation of business location.

Every license to engage in any business, occupation or profession, unless expressly authorized elsewhere or otherwise by law, shall designate the place of such business, occupation or profession at some specified house or other definite place

within the county. Engaging in any such business, occupation or profession elsewhere than at such house or definite place, unless expressly authorized elsewhere or otherwise by law, shall constitute a violation of the provisions of this chapter. A license which does not specify such house or definite place shall be void; provided, however, that where the license required is such as to clearly show that the licensee does not have a special house or definite place of business in the county, the license shall designate the residence or place of business of the licensee wherever it may be.

Sec. 13-13. Persons engaged in more than one business, occupation or profession.

Every person engaged in more than one business, occupation or profession in the county for which license taxes are prescribed by this chapter at more than one rate or at different entry fees shall be assessed with and shall pay the license tax prescribed for the respective businesses. Where a person engages in two or more of such businesses, occupations or professions at a single place of business, each of which business is taxable at the same rate on the gross receipts thereof, the gross receipts of such businesses, occupations or professions shall be consolidated, computed and reported as one item, and only one license tax shall be computed thereon; but the license receipt shall show the respective businesses, occupations or professions that are covered by the consolidated license tax.

Sec. 13-14. Assignment and transfer--Generally.

No license tax shall be assessed against a taxpayer as a beginner who succeeds in and to the business of a taxpayer that was duly licensed at the time of such succession if such license was assignable and transferable and if such successor contracted with the predecessor for an assignment and transfer of predecessor's license to the business, even though the license be not completely transferred as hereinafter required.

Sec. 13-15. Same--Change in existing partnerships.

Where there is a change in a partnership by the death or withdrawal of one or more existing partners or by the addition of one or more partners or a combination of either the death or withdrawal and the addition of one or more partners, it shall be considered that there is the creation of a new partnership for the purposes hereof and a beginner's license shall be required of such new partnership, unless there is a contract between the parties for the assignment and transfer of a license which may be lawfully assigned and transferred.

Sec. 13-16. Same--Method of assigning; responsibility and privileges of assignee; fee.

Except where otherwise provided in this chapter, upon presentation to the commissioner of the revenue of any license issued under the provisions of this chapter, except a license the tax upon which is based upon an estimate, for the then current license year, the tax upon which has been fully paid for

the entire license tax year, with a written assignment, the license may be assigned and transferred for the unexpired term of such license to the assignee thereof. The assignee shall comply with all the terms and conditions upon which the original license was issued and shall be entitled to all the privileges afforded the original licensee for the unexpired term of such license. The commissioner of the revenue shall make a charge of two dollars for each license assigned and transferred.

Sec. 13-17. Same--Penalty for conducting business prior to completion of assignment and payment of fee.

Upon the presentation of the assigned license, the commissioner of the revenue shall certify the assignment and transfer upon the original license. Every person conducting any business for which a license is required, without completing the assignment and transfer of such license during the current year of such license and without the payment of the charge for such assignment and transfer, shall be assessed with and shall pay a penalty of twenty dollars. Such assessment shall be made by the commissioner of the revenue in the same manner as license taxes are assessed, and the penalty may be recovered in any manner that license taxes may be recovered.

Sec. 13-18. Same--Change in business location.

When a person has obtained a license to carry on any business, occupation or profession at any definite place in the county and desires to remove to any other place in the county and wishes his license altered accordingly, the commissioner of the revenue shall make such alteration unless there be an express provision elsewhere forbidding removal or alteration in the license. The commissioner shall charge a fee of two dollars for such alteration.

Sec. 13-19. Same--Form; speculation in licenses; validity generally.

Every assignment and transfer of a license shall be in such form as is prescribed by the commissioner of the revenue. Nothing in sections 13-14 to 13-20 shall permit anyone to speculate or trade in licenses. No transfer or assignment shall be valid unless it be pursuant to the bona fide intent of transferee or assignee to engage in the business and at the location covered by the license.

Sec. 13-20. Same--Certificates, oaths or bonds required of assignee; payment of additional tax by assignee when required.

If the license was obtained or had its validity by reason of a certificate of any court or of any oath or bond, the assignment shall not be valid without a like certificate in favor of the assignee and a like oath or bond by the assignee as was required for the original grant; and when assigned shall be a personal privilege to the assignee and shall not be exercised by any person other than the assignee, unless otherwise authorized by law. If the license tax already paid by the assignor is less than the license tax which would be assessable against the assignee but for the assignment, an additional license tax shall be paid by the assignee equal to the difference between the tax paid on the assigned license and the license tax which would be otherwise assessable against the assignee.

Sec. 13-21. Books and records of taxpayer.

Every person who is assessable with any graduated license tax shall keep sufficient records to enable the commissioner of the revenue to certify the correctness of the tax paid for each

of the three (3) years last past and for the current tax year and to enable those officers to ascertain what is the correct amount of tax that was assessable for each of those years. The commissioner of the revenue and the Treasurer shall have the power and right to examine the books and records of any taxpayer liable for taxes assessable under this chapter with respect to the possible liability of any person using the facilities of such taxpayer as well as with respect to the liability of the taxpayer whose books and records are so examined. Such records shall be open to inspection at all reasonable hours.

Sec. 13-22. Collection and payment of tax-Date of assessability; when due and payable.

Except as otherwise provided, every license tax assessable under this chapter shall be assessable on the first day of the license tax year and shall be due and payable on the first day of the license tax year.

Sec. 13-23. Same-Penalty-Interest for nonpayment by other than beginner

If any license tax other than that of a beginner be not paid within one (1) calendar month after the beginning of the license tax year, there shall be added a penalty of ten per cent (10%) of the tax and interest on the tax and penalty at ten per cent (10%) per annum from the end of the first calendar month of the license tax year until the date of assessment thereof; and if the amount so due be not paid within thirty (30) days from the date of assessment, the assessment shall bear additional interest on the full amount of the tax, penalty and interest at the rate of ten per cent (10%) per annum from the date of the assessment until paid.

Sec. 13-24. Same-Same-Interest for nonpayment by beginner.

Every person beginning business shall pay his license tax at once, and if such license tax be not paid within ten (10) days from the beginning of business, the license tax shall be subject to a penalty of ten per cent (10%) and interest on the tax and penalty at ten per cent (10%) per annum from the tenth day after the date of beginning business until the date of assessment; the assessment shall bear additional interest on the full amount of the tax, penalty and interest at the rate of ten per cent (10%) per annum from the date of assessment until paid.

Sec. 13-25. Reserved.

Sec. 13-26. Certification of erroneous assessments; refunds.

The Commissioner of the Revenue is empowered to certify to the Treasurer any instances of erroneous assessments. Upon receipt of such certificate the Treasurer is directed to make a refund based upon the certification of the Commissioner of the Revenue.

Licenses issued under the provisions of this Chapter, except those measured by other than gross receipts or gross expenditures, shall be subject to refund where the licensee goes out of business before the end of the current license year subject to all of the following qualifications:

(1) License for the current license year must be based on gross receipts or gross expenditures obtained throughout the preceding calendar year.

(2) The reason for going out of business is not connected in any manner with the violation of any State law or local ordinance or of violation of any rules or regulations made pursuant thereto.

(3) The amount of refund shall be determined in the following manner: if the licensee goes out of business after January first and before April first, the refund shall be seventy-five percent (75%) of the tax paid; if the licensee goes out of business on or after April first and before July first, the refund shall be fifty percent (50%) of the tax paid; and if the licensee goes out of business on or after July first and before October first, the refund shall be twenty-five percent (25%) of the tax paid; but in no case shall the refund reduce the tax below twenty-five dollars ($25.00).

(4) If any person seeking refund is indebted to the County or any department or office thereof, or is indebted to any State constitutional office of the County for a local levy, the refund, or so much thereof as is necessary, shall first be applied to such indebtedness.

Sec. 13-27. Same-Payment of interest not construed as extending time for payment of tax.

Nothing contained herein as to liability for additional interest on assessments shall be construed as extending the time for payment of such assessments or prevent prosecutions for nonpayment thereof.

Sec. 13-28. Powers and duties of county officers in regard to incorrect returns generally.

In any case, except where otherwise provided in this chapter, in which the Commissioner of the Revenue has reason to believe that the return or statement filed is incorrect, he shall cause an investigation of the taxpayer's books and records to be made and shall ascertain whether such person has made a true and correct return or statement. To that end such officer is expressly authorized and empowered when necessary to summon such person before him and require the production of all his books and papers which he has reasonable cause to believe will throw any light upon the matter under investigation and shall also be authorized and empowered to make such other and further investigation and examination as he may deem proper in order to accurately determine the proper return or statement to be made by such person.

Sec. 13-29. Duty of commissioner of the revenue upon failure or refusal of taxpayer to file return.

Whenever any person required under the provisions of this chapter to file a return or statement shall fail or refuse to file such return or statement, the commissioner of the revenue shall make an estimate of the amount of taxes on the basis of his best information.

Sec. 13-30. Assessment of additional tax.

If the Commissioner of the Revenue ascertains that any person has been regularly assessed with a license tax levied in this chapter for any license tax year of the three (3) license tax years last past or for the then-current license tax year, but that upon a correct audit and computation of the license tax the assessment thereof, should have been in an increased amount, and the assessment of the license tax in the lesser amount was not due to the fraudulent intent or intent to evade taxes on the part of the person, then the commissioner of the revenue shall access the taxpayer with the additional license tax or taxes found to be due, without any penalty or interest. If the assessment of the additional tax be not paid into the county treasury within thirty (30) days after the date of assessment, interest at the rate of ten (10) per centum per annum shall accrue thereon from the date of such assessment until payment, and the treasurer shall collect such interest along with the tax and in the same manner as the tax may be collected.

Sec. 13-31. Assessment of omitted tax.

If the commissioner of the revenue ascertains that any person has not been assessed with a license tax levied in this chapter for any license tax year of the three (3) license tax years last past or for the then-current license tax year, it shall be the duty of the commissioner of the revenue or other assessing officer to assess such person with the proper license tax for the year or years so omitted, adding thereto the penalty of ten (10) per centum of the license tax and interest on the tax and penalty at ten (10) per centum per annum from the first day of the license tax year for which assessment is made to the date of assessment. If the amount so due be not paid within thirty (30) days from the date of assessment, the assessment shall bear additional interest on the full amount of tax, penalty and interest at the rate of ten (10) per centum per annum from the date of assessment until paid.

Sec. 13-32. Assessment by commissioner of the revenue generally; relief from erroneous assessment.

Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the commissioner of the revenue shall assess all license taxes prescribed in this chapter. Any person aggrieved by the action of the commissioner of the revenue may apply to the circuit court for relief from the alleged erroneous assessment within the time and in the manner provided by general law.

Sec. 13-33. Display.

All licenses issued by the Commissioner of Revenue pursuant to this Chapter shall be openly and publicly displayed by the licensee at the business location, residence or place of business as designated on such license. Additionally, any such license shall be made available for inspection by any official of Dinwiddie County upon request.

Sec. 13-34. Tins and tags provided; display of tins and tags.

The commissioner of the revenue shall provide annually tins or tags of such color and design as he may prescribe, to be used as evidence of payment of the license tax prescribed for peddlers, junk dealers, canvassers and dealers in secondhand paper.

Sec. 13-35. Stamps and stickers provided.

The commissioner of the revenue shall provide annually a stamp or sticker of such color and design as he may prescribe to evidence the payment of license taxes on slot machines which shall be issued upon the payment of the license tax so required.

Sec. 13-36. Fee for renewal of lost or mutilated tin, tag, sticker, etc.

For each renewal tin, tag, button, stamp or sticker where the original has been lost or mutilated, the applicant shall pay one dollar.

Sec. 13-37. Transferability of tins, tags, stickers, etc.; sticker to be fastened to slot machine.

No license tin, tag, button, sticker or stamp shall be transferred from one person to another, and any sticker issued for a slot machine shall be securely fastened to the machine.

Article II. Reserved.

Sec. 13-38. Reserved.

Article III. Personal Services.

Sec. 13-39. Personal service occupations.

Every person conducting or engaging in any of the following personal service occupations, businesses or trades shall pay for the privilege an annual license tax of $0.30 for each $100.00 of gross receipts, as hereinabove defined, from the occupation during the preceding calendar year; the minimum annual license tax shall be $25.00:

(a) The business of operating:

Airport
Amusement Park
Athletic field or park
Barbershop
Beauty parlor
Billiard or pool parlor
Boarding houses and lodging houses
Boat landing or boat basin
Bowling alley
Carnivals
Cemetery (except nonprofit) excluding the sale of burial sites
Chartered club
Circuses
Coliseum
Convalescent homes (except nonprofit corporations)
Dance band
Dance halls
Dry cleaning and laundry establishments
Flea markets
Furnishing live music or entertainment
Golf course or driving range
Hair dressing establishment
Hotels, motels and campgrounds
Information bureaus, booths
Motion picture theatre
Nursing homes (except nonprofit corporations)
Old age homes (except nonprofit corporations)
Physical fitness establishment
Private hospitals (except nonprofit corporations)
Renting of mobile homes in mobile home parks
Swimming pool (other than nonprofit or cooperative)
Theatre
Tourist homes and tourist cabins
Turkish, Roman or other like bath or bath parlor

(b) The business thereof:

Addressing letters or envelopes, advertising agents and agencies, protective agents or agencies and installers of burglar alarms, agents finding tenants for and renting single rooms, ticket, transportation, travel and tour agents or brokers, renting airplanes, furnishing ambulance service, operating an analytical laboratory, artist's representative, booking agent or concern manager, erecting awnings, installing awnings, storing awnings, taking down awnings, preparing bodies for burial, operating a bottle exchange, a boiler shop and machine shop, chicken hatchery, cleaning the outside of buildings, furnishing business research service, a caterer, cleaning chimneys, a correspondent establishment or bureau, fortune/telling, Furnishing detective service, furnishing clean diapers, an electrologist, an embalmer, operating an engineering laboratory, leasing films to others for compensation, operating

a frozen food locker plant, conducting funerals, cleaning furnaces, exhibiting a trained and educated horse, boarding or keeping horses or mules, renting horses or mules to others, furnishing house cleaning service, furnishing clean infants' underwear, furnishing janitorial service, operating a kennel or small animal hospital, supplying clean linen, coats, aprons, lock repairing, locksmith, manicurist, a massage practitioner, a masseur, furnishing messenger service, except telephone or telegraph messenger service, mimeographing, cleaning motor vehicles, greasing motor vehicles, polishing motor vehicles, washing motor vehicles, motor vehicle repair, multigraphing, nurses' registry, packing, crating, shipping, cutting, hauling, or moving goods or chattels for others, a parking lot for the storage of or parking of motor vehicles, a photographer, physician's registry, picture framing or gliding, plating metals or any other materials, operating a reducing salon or health club, renting any kind of tangible personal property, operating a scalp treating establishment, furnishing statistical service, stevedoring.

(c) Repairing, renovating or servicing the following:

Bicycles, radios and television apparatus, electric refrigerators, pianos, pipe organs or other musical instruments, fire extinguishers, road construction machinery, road repair machinery, farm machinery, industrial or commercial machinery, business office machinery or appliances, household appliances, shoes, watches, jewelry, umbrellas, harnesses, leather goods or shoes, guns, window shades, dolls, cameras, toys, fountain pens, pencils, kodaks, lawn mowers, mattresses or pillows, mirrors, electric motors, scales, saws or tools, rewinding electric apparatus, repairing or upholstering furniture, repairing or reweaving clothing or hosiery, repairing any other article not mentioned.

(d) Repairing or servicing septic tanks or septic systems.

(e) Warehouse for storage of merchandise, tobacco, furniture, other goods, wares or materials, cold storage warehouse, warehouse for icing or precooling goods, wares or merchandise, telephone answering service, furnishing telephone sanitizing service, supplying clean towels, supplying clean work clothes, an undertaker, renting or furnishing automatic washing machines, cleaning windows, letter writing.

(f) Canvassers, other than those working on a salary or wage basis as employees of persons duly licensed under this section; detectives; publishers of county or city directories, operators of passenger motor bus terminals; renting or furnishing horses or ponies for riding within a limited area, field, park or other enclosure; pawnbrokers and pawnshops.

(g) Ambulance service, book binding, day-care center, duplication service, nursing home, homes for the elderly, sanitarium, hospital, supplier of cable TV or sound track music, public motor rink (go-cart or motorcycle), refuse service (hauling).

(h) Any person engaged in any personal service not otherwise licensed under this chapter, where the service is rendered for compensation either upon or for persons, animals or personal effects.

(i) Provided, however, that every individual who alone and not in combination or association with any other entity, tutors another individual on a one-to-one basis in any academic subject or music, dance or art shall be exempt from the license tax levied by this section.

(j) Any person engaged in any business service not otherwise licensed under this chapter where the service is rendered for compensation either upon or for any business, trade, occupation or governmental agency.

(k) Any minor engaged in a personal service, such as babysitting, lawn mowing, etc. on a part-time basis shall be exempt from the license tax levied by this section.

Sec. 13-39.1 Reserved.

Sec. 13-40. Reserved.

Sec. 13-41. Nonprofit cemeteries exempt; computation of gross receipts of other cemeteries.

Cemeteries operating as a nonprofit corporation or a stock corporation, the stock of which is, by the provision of the charter of such corporation, nondividend paying, shall be exempt from the provisions of this article. The gross receipts of a cemetery shall exclude the amounts received from the sale of burial lots.

Sec. 13-42. Exclusions from gross receipts-Advertising agency.

Advertising agents and agencies shall exclude the amounts paid by them for any customer for advertising space, radio time, electrical transcription, pressings, art work, engraving, plate, mats, printing, printing stock and postage from the amount of gross receipts of the business of the advertising agent or agency in computing the basis for their license tax.

Sec. 13-43. Reserved.

Sec. 13-44. Same-Undertaker, etc.

Every person engaged in the business of preparing bodies for burial, an embalmer, conducting funerals, or an undertaker, shall be allowed to deduct from the gross receipts of the business all payments made on account of his customers or clients for burial lots, for doctors, hospitals or nurses' services, for newspaper notices, transportation expenses or other like expenses; provided, that no such item shall be deducted with respect to which the taxpayer has received or become entitled to receive any commission, fee discount or profit whatsoever.

Sec. 13-45. Same-Impounding lot operator.

The amounts received by the operator of an impounding lot for feeding animals shall be excluded from the gross receipts of the business for the purpose of computing the license tax on such operator.

Secs. 13-46--13-48 Reserved.

Article IV. Professional Services.

Sec. 13-49. Enumerated; amount of license tax.

Every person engaged in one or more of the following businesses or professions and having an office or place of business in the county shall pay for the privilege an license tax of $0.45 for each $100.00 of gross receipts, as hereinabove defined, from the profession conducted by him during the preceding calendar year; the minimum annual license shall be $25.00.

The business or profession of:

(a) An accountant, certified public accountant, an appraiser or evaluator of real estate for others for compensation, an architect, an assayer, an attorney-at-law, an auditing company or firm, an auctioneer, a blueprinter, a public bookkeeper, a buyer of installment receivables, a ceramic engineer, a chattel mortgage financier, a chemical engineer, a chemist, a chiropodist, a chiropractor, a civil engineer, a claims adjuster, a coal mining engineer, a collection agent or agency, a common crier, a computer adjuster, a computer programmer, a consulting engineer, a consumer financier, a contracting engineer, a credit card service, a credit union, a dentist, a doctor of medicine, a factorer, a financier of of accounts receivable, a furnisher of domestic or clerical help, labor or employment, a furnisher of plans or specifications for the erection or improvement of buildings or a person employed in a consulting capacity in connection with an architect, a credit bureau, a furnisher of data processing services, an electrolysis, an electrical engineer, a financial planner, financial services, a geologist, a heating and ventilating engineer, a highway engineer, a homeopathist, an industrial engineer, an industrial loan company, an installment financier, an inventory financier, an interior decorator, an investment broker, a labor consultant, a landscape architect, gardener, arboriculturist or a pruner of trees or shrubs, a loan or mortgage broker, a loan or mortgage company, a lumber measurer, a manufacturer's agent, a mechanical engineer, a mercantile agency or agent, a merchandise broker, a metallurgist, a mining engineer, a naturopath, an optometrist, an osteopath, a patent attorney or patent agent, a photostater, a physician, a physiotherapist, a professional engineer, a public relations counselor, a furnisher of publicity service, a radio engineer, a railway engineer, brokers (including the gross receipts from real estate agents who are employees) and managers, real estate agencies, real estate agents, a recorder of proceedings in any court, commission or other organization, a refrigerating engineer, a safety deposit box company, a sales agent or agency, a sanitary engineer, a security and commodity broker, a commercial sign painter, a steam power engineer, a stockbroker, a structural engineer, a surgeon, a surveyor, a tattoo artist, a tax consultant, a taxidermist, a preparer of tax returns, a veterinarian, a working capital financier, an appraiser or evaluator of personal property or damage to the same, commercial art and sign service and any person rendering a service for compensation in the form of a credit agency, an investment company, a broker or dealer in securities and commodities or a security or commodity exchange.

(b) Any person engaged in a professional service not otherwise licensed under this chapter, so long as the person is engaged in any occupation or vocation in which a professed knowledge of some department of science or learning, gained by a prolonged course of specialized instruction and study, is used by its practical application to the affairs of others, whether advising, guiding, or teaching them, and in serving their interests or welfare in the practice of an art of science founded on it.

Sec. 13-50. Same-Real estate agent.

The real estate agent shall exclude from his gross receipts the commissions on insurance premiums and receipts from conducting business with respect to real estate belonging to such person and excluding interest, brokerage, and other receipts from the business of lending money belonging to such person.

Secs. 13-51--13-52 Reserved.

Sec. 13-53. Applicability to court officers.

Nothing contained in this article shall be construed to prohibit the sale of any goods or to require the payment of a license tax by an assignee, trustee, executor, fiduciary, officer in bankruptcy or other officer appointed by any court of this state or of the United States.

Sec. 13-54. Certificates of examination and registration prerequisite to issuance of certain professional licenses.

No license shall be issued to one engaged in the practice of medicine, homeopathy, chiropractic, naturopathy, chiropody, dentistry, law, chemical engineering, civil engineering, highway engineering, sanitary engineering or any other profession which requires a certificate of registration and examination under the provisions of the Code of Virginia unless such person furnishes evidence to the commissioner of the revenue that he has properly registered and has in effect a current registration as required by state law.

Sec. 13-55. Reserved.

Article V. Alcoholic Beverages.

For state law as to alcoholic beverages generally, see Code of Va., 4-1 to 4-98. As to local licenses and taxes, see Code of Va., 4-38.

Division 1. Generally.

Sec. 13-56. Definitions.

The following terms whenever used or referred to in this article shall have the following meanings:

Alcoholic beverages. The term "alcoholic beverages" shall include the definition thereon contained in the Virginia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and shall include beer, lager beer, ale, porter, wine, similar fermented malt or vinous liquor and fruit juices containing one-half of one per centum or more of alcohol by volume and not more than three and two-tenths per centum of alcohol by weight.

Alcohol, spirits. The terms "alcohol" and "spirits" shall include the definitions thereof contained in the Virginia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

Beer. The term "beer" shall include the definition thereof contained in the Virginia Alcoholic Control Act and shall include beer, lager beer, ale, porter and similar fermented malt liquor containing one-half of one per centum or more of alcohol by volume and not more than three and two-tenths per centum of alcohol by weight.

Wine. The term "wine" shall include the definition thereof contained in the Virginia Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and shall include wine and similar fermented vinous liquor and fruit juice containing one-half of one per centum or more of alcohol by volume and not more than three and two-tenths per centum of alcohol by weight.

Each person who shall engage in the business of manufacturing, bottling, wholesaling or retailing alcoholic beverages shall obtain the license hereinafter more specifically prescribed and shall pay therefor the license taxes hereinafter assessed.

Sec. 13-57. Manufacturers.

(a) Distillers' licenses shall authorize the licensees to manufacture alcoholic beverages other than wine and beer and to sell and deliver or ship the same in barrels, bottles or other closed containers; provided, however, that no license shall be required of any distiller manufacturing not more than five

thousand gallons of any such alcoholic beverages during any license year. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of three hundred dollars.

(b) Winery licenses shall authorize the licensees to manufacture wine and to sell and deliver or ship the same in barrels, bottles or other closed containers. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of one hundred and fifty dollars.

(c) Brewery licenses shall authorize the licensees to manufacture beer and to sell and deliver or ship the same in barrels, bottles or other closed containers. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of three hundred dollars.

Sec. 13-58. Bottlers.

Licenses for bottlers of beer shall authorize the licensees to acquire and receive deliveries and shipments of beer in barrels or other closed containers and to bottle, sell and deliver or ship the same. Each licensee under this section shall pay an annual license tax of three hundred dollars.

Sec. 13-59. Reserved.

Sec. 13-60. Retailers--Classification; amount of license tax.

(a) Hotels. The licenses issued under this subsection shall authorize the licensees to sell wine and beer at retail only and not for resale. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of twenty dollars.

(b) Restaurants. The licenses issued under this subsection shall authorize the licensees to sell wine and beer at retail only and not for resale. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of twenty dollars.

(c) Clubs. The licenses issued under this subsection shall authorize the licensees to sell wine and beer at retail only and not for resale. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of twenty dollars.

(d) Druggists. Druggists' licenses shall authorize druggists to sell alcoholic beverages only for medicinal purposes and only upon written prescriptions of physicians; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall prevent any druggist from selling alcoholic beverages in accordance with the terms of any other license which may be issued to him under the provisions of this section. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of ten dollars.

(e) Licenses for retailers not herein otherwise classified. The licenses issued under this subsection shall authorize the licensees to sell wine and beer at retail only and not for resale. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of twenty dollars.

(f) Licenses for retailers within the enclosure of baseball parks, stadia and fair grounds or other similar places. The licenses issued under this subsection shall authorize the licensees to sell wine and beer at retail only and not for resale at more than one place within the enclosure of baseball parks, stadia and fair grounds or other similar places or enclosures. Each licensee under this subsection shall pay an annual license tax of twenty dollars.

Sec. 13-61. Same--Sales included in base for computation of retail merchants' license taxes which shall be in addition to that issued under preceding section.

In imposing retail merchants' license taxes measured by sales and restaurant license taxes measured by sales under any other section of this chapter there shall be included alcoholic beverages in the base for measuring such license taxes the same as if the alcoholic beverages were nonalcoholic; and no alcoholic beverage license levied under this section shall be construed as exempting any licensee from any retail merchants' or restaurant license tax, and such retail merchants' and restaurant license taxes shall be in addition to the alcoholic beverage license taxes levied hereunder.

Sec. 13-62. Same--Persons issued permits for single event sales.

Every person issued a permit by the Virginia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the purpose of selling alcoholic beverages at a banquet, picnic, outing or other social gathering shall pay a license tax of five dollars per event.

Sec. 13-63. State license prerequisite to issuance.

No license shall be issued to any person pursuant to the terms of this article unless such person shall have secured or shall simultaneously therewith the proper state license provided by law.

Sec. 13-64. Assignability; transfer.

No license issued under this article shall be assigned or transferred but may be amended to show a change in the place of business.

Sec. 13-65. Designation of business location; separate license for each location.

Each license issued shall designate the place where the business of the licensee will be conducted, and a separate license shall be required for each separate place of business.

Division 2. Mixed Alcoholic Beverages.

Sec. 13-66. Definitions.

The terms defined in section 13-56 of this Code shall have the same meaning when used in this article and the term "mixed alcoholic beverages" shall mean a drink composed in whole or in part of alcoholic beverages having an alcoholic content of more than fourteen per centum by volume and served to an individual in a quantity less than the quantity contained in a closed package for consumption on premises licensed under this chapter.

Sec. 13-67. Restaurants--Amount of license tax.

(a) Every person engaged in the business of operating restaurants, including restaurants located on the premises and operated by hotels or motels, and serving mixed alcoholic beverages and licensed under Chapter 98.18 of Title 4 of the Code of Virginia, shall pay an annual license tax as follows:

(1) Two hundred dollars ($200.00) for each restaurant with a seating capacity at tables for fifty to one hundred persons, and

(2) Three hundred fifty dollars ($350.00)for each restaurant with a seating capacity for more than one hundred but not more than one hundred fifty persons, and

(3) Five hundred dollars ($500.00) for each restaurant with a seating capacity at tables for more than one hundred fifty (150) persons.

(b) A private, nonprofit club operating a restaurant located on the premises of such club and serving mixed alcoholic beverages shall pay an annual license tax of three hundred fifty dollars per annum.

Sec. 13-68. Same--Sales included in base for computation of retail merchant's license taxes which shall be in addition to that issued under the preceding section.

In imposing retail merchant's license taxes measured by sales and restaurant license taxes measured by sales under any other section of this chapter, there shall be included mixed alcoholic beverages in the base for measuring such license taxes the same as if the mixed alcoholic beverages were nonalcoholic; and no alcoholic beverage license levied under this section shall be construed as exempting any license from any retail merchant's or restaurant license tax and such retail merchant's and restaurant license taxes shall be in addition to the mixed alcoholic beverage license taxes levied hereunder, nor shall the license referred to in this article be construed as exempting any license tax required under section 13-60 of this Code.

Sec. 13-69. Same--Proration of tax; transfer; separate license.

(a) The tax on each such license specified in section 13-67 shall be subject to proration to the following extent: If the license is issued in the second quarter of any year, the tax shall be decreased by one-fourth; if issued in the third quarter of any year, the tax shall be decreased by one-half; and if issued in the fourth quarter of any year, the tax shall be decreased by three-fourths.

(b) Each license issued under this article shall designate the place where the business of the licenses will be carried on. A separate license shall be required for each separate place of business. No such license shall be transferable from one person to another but may be amended to show a change in the place of business from one point in the county to another point in the county where the privileges of such license may be lawfully exercised.

Article VI. Amusements.

For permits required under the Code of Dinwiddie see Chapter 3.

Secs. 13-70--13-83 Reserved.

Article VII. Automobile Graveyards.

For state law as to ordinances licensing and regulating automobile graveyards, see Code of Va., 15.1-28.

For license requirements see Chapter 5 of this Code.

Sec. 13-84. Reserved.

Article VIII. Bondsmen.

For state law authorizing county to license bondsmen, see Code of Va., 58.1-3724.

Sec. 13-85. Amount of tax.

Every person other than a guaranty, fidelity, and security company doing business in the state under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 38.2-100 to 38.1-4917, as amended, that engages in the business of entering or offering to enter into bonds for others for compensation, whether as a principal or surety, shall pay a license tax equal to one hundred dollars ($100.00).

Sec. 13-86. Certificate prerequisite to issuance.

No license shall be issued to any person pursuant to the preceding section until the applicant shall have first obtained a certificate from the judge of the circuit court of the county that such person is of good moral character and entitled to be so licensed pursuant to Code of Virginia, section 58.1-3724. (Code 1960, 5-81; 5-22-85, 2)

Article IX. Contractors, Builders and Developers, Electrical Contractors, Plumbers, Steamfitters

For state law as to licensing contractors, etc., generally, see Code of Va., 58.1-3714, 58.1-3715.

Sec. 13-87. Contractors-Defined.

Every person accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts for doing any work on or in any building or structure, requiring the use of paint, stone, brick, mortar, cement, wood, fabricated or manufactured floor or wall coverings, structural iron or steel, sheet iron, galvanized iron, metallic piping, tin, lead or other metal or any building material; or accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts to do any paving or curbing on sidewalks or streets, public or private property, requiring the use of asphalt, brick, stone, cement, wood or any composition; or accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts to excavate earth, rock or material for foundations or any other purpose; or accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts to dredge any material for any purpose; or accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts to construct any sewer of stone, brick, concrete, terra cotta, or other material; or accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts to care for plots in cemeteries; or accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts for building, remodeling, repairing, wrecking, razing or demolishing any structure; or for moving any building, or for drilling, boring or digging a well; or for the installation, maintenance, or repair of neon signs, or air conditioning apparatus or equipment; or for fumigation or disinfecting to prevent the spread of disease; or for the eradication or extermination of rats, mice, termites, vermin, or insects or bugs of any kind; shall be deemed to be a contractor, whether such work is done or offered to be done by day labor, general contract or subcontract.

Sec. 13-88. Builders and developers.

Every person conducting or engaging in any of the activities enumerated below by force account on land or property owned, leased or otherwise controlled by such person, for purpose of eventual sale, shall be deemed to be a builder or developer; work on or in any building or structure requiring the use of paint, stone, brick, mortar, cement, wood, wallpaper, structural iron or steel, sheet iron, galvanized iron, metallic piping, tin, lead or other metal or any other metal or any other building material; any electrical work on or in any building or

structure; paving or curbing on sidewalks or streets, public or private property, requiring the use of asphalt, brick, stone, cement, wood or any composition; excavating earth, rock or material for foundations or any other purpose; surveying and/or subdividing tracts of land; constructing any sewer of stone, brick, concrete, terra cotta or other material; building, wrecking, repairing, remodeling, razing or demolishing any structure; moving any building; drilling, boring or digging a well.

Sec. 13-89. Electrical contractor-Defined; contractor's license required.

Every person engaging in the business of accepting or offering to accept orders or contracts for doing any work on or in any building or premises involving erecting, installing, altering, repairing, servicing or maintaining electric wiring, devices or appliances permanently connected to such wiring; or the erecting, repairing, or maintaining of lines for the transmission distribution of electric light and power shall not be deemed to be a contractor as defined in the preceding section, but shall be deemed to be an electrical contractor and shall pay for the privilege of conducting the business of an electrical contractor the same license tax as that of a contractor, which shall be computed in the same manner, on the same basis and at the same schedule of rates as the license tax imposed by this section upon contractors generally.

Sec. 13-90. Same-Amount of license tax.

Every contractor, for the privilege of transacting business in the county, including the performance in the county of a contract accepted outside the county, shall pay for the privilege an annual license tax of $0.14 for each $100.00 of gross receipts, as hereinabove defined, from such occupation during the preceding calendar year; the minimum annual license tax shall be $25.00.

Every builder and developer engaging in any of the activities aforesaid in the County by force account on land or property owned, leased or otherwise controlled by said person shall pay for the privilege an annual license tax of $0.14 for each $100.00 of gross expenditures on the business during the preceding calendar year; the minimum annual license tax shall be $25.00.

Sec. 13-91. Reserved.

Sec. 13-92. Contractor's license required for plumbers and steamfitters.

It is especially provided, however, that no person who engages in the business of a plumber or steamfitter shall be deemed to be a contractor as defined by this article, by reason of or with respect to the conduct of the business of plumbing or steamfitting; but every such person shall pay for the privilege of conducting the business of a plumber or steamfitter a license tax, computed in the same manner, on the same basis and at the same schedule of rates as the license tax imposed by this article upon contractors generally.

Sec. 13-93. Designation of place of business.

Every license of a contractor, builder and developer, electrical contractor, plumbing or steamfitting contractor, shall designate the regular office or place of business in the county, if there be one, as the specified house or definite place at which the business is to be conducted; if there be no such regular office or place of business in the county, but such

person is transacting business in the county, then such license shall designate the residence or place of business of the taxpayer wherever it may be and also the first place in the county at which work is to be performed as the specified house or definite place at which the business is to be conducted.

Sec. 13-94. Scope of license.

Every license issued under this article shall be good throughout the county.

Sec. 13-95. Exhibition of license prerequisite to obtaining permit; county contract and subcontract.

Every contractor, builder and developer, electrical contractor, plumber and steamfitter, who proposes to do work in the county for which a permit must be obtained from or contract let by a department, bureau or officer of the county shall, upon making application for such permit or upon the award of such contract, exhibit to the proper county official the county license authorizing him to engage in the business for the year in which the permit is applied for or in which such contract is awarded and shall furnish to that official and to the license inspector a list of his subcontractors. If any or all of such subcontracts have not been closed or awarded at the time of applying for such permit or award of such contract, he shall furnish such list in writing immediately upon awarding the subcontract or contracts, and he shall not allow the work under any subcontract to proceed until the subcontractor shall have exhibited to him his county license to do such business in the county for the current year.

Sec. 13-96. Building wrecker selling materials; merchants' and contractors' licenses required.

Every person engaged in the business of wrecking, razing or demolishing buildings or structures and selling the materials obtained from the buildings and structures shall, in addition to the contractor's license tax, pay for such additional privilege of selling the material a merchant's tax as provided in section 13-118.

Sec. 13-97. Exemption generally when city, town or county license purchased elsewhere.

When a contractor, builder and developer, electrical contractor, plumbing or steamfitting contractor shall have paid a local license tax to any city, town or county in which his principal office or branch office may be located, he shall be exempt from the payment of additional license tax to the county for conducting any such business within the confines of this county except where the amount of business done by any such person in this county exceeds the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars in any year, in which event the person shall be liable for the license tax as provided in section 13-90 as well as all other provisions of this article. The commissioner of the revenue shall have the power to require such periodic reports as he may deem necessary of all persons claiming exemption under this section. The exemption mentioned in this section shall not affect in any other way the requirements of this article.

Sec. 13-98. Deduction of receipts taxed by another city, town or county.

In computing the license tax of a contractor, electrical contractor, plumbing or steamfitting contractor or building wrecker whose principal office or branch office is located in the county, there shall be exempt from the basis of taxation the amount of business done in any other city, town or county upon which a local license tax has been assessed as provided in the Code of Virginia, section 58.1-3715.

Sec. 13-99. Proration of tax.

The contractor's license tax imposed by this article shall be prorated on all orders and contracts covering more than one calendar year so as to insure that the gross amount of each such order or contract is used only once as a basis for determining the amount of tax due the county.

Secs. 13-100--13-109 Reserved.

Article XII. Reserved.

Secs. 13-110--13-114 Reserved.

Article XIII. Merchants.

Division 1. Generally.

Sec. 13-115. Combination wholesale and retail merchant.

Any person who is both a retail merchant and a wholesale merchant is hereby required to obtain both classes of license; provided, however, that any retail merchant who desires to do a wholesale business also may elect to do such wholesale business under his retailer's license by paying license taxes under the provisions of this article as a retailer on both his retail and wholesale business; but provision shall not apply to any retail merchant the greater part of whose business at the licensed place during the next preceding year was wholesale, nor to a beginner the greater part of whose business it is estimated will be wholesale for the period covered by the license.

Sec. 13-116. Reserved.

Division 2. Retail.

Sec. 13-117. Defined; license required.

Every person engaged in the business of a retail merchant shall obtain a license for the privilege of doing business in the county and shall pay a license tax therefor. The term "retail merchant" as used in this division, shall include every person who sells goods, wares and merchandise for any purpose other than resale, but not including sales at wholesale to institutional, commercial and industrial users.

Sec. 13-118. Amount of license tax.

For every license issued to a person engaged in the business of a retailer merchant, the amount of license tax to be paid therefor shall be $0.16 for each $100.00 of gross receipts, as hereinabove defined, from the business during the preceding calendar year; the minimum annual license tax shall be $25.00.

Sec. 13-119. Applicability of division to manufacturers.

All goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by a retail merchant and sold as merchandise shall be considered as sales; provided, that this division shall not be construed as applying to manufacturers who sell or offer for sale at the place of manufacture goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by them. A manufacturer may, without a retail merchant's license, sell at the place of manufacture the goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him. If a manufacturer desires to sell at a definite place or store other than the place of manufacture at retail only and not for resale the goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him, then such manufacturer must take out a retail merchant's license. When a manufacturer establishes a place or store for the sale of his goods, wares and merchandise, other than at his place of manufacture, at retail only and not for resale, the gross receipts of the business shall include not only the amount of sales made by such manufacturer of goods, wares and merchandise purchased from others, but also the gross receipts from the sale of the goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him and sent from the place of manufacture to his store for sale and sold; and he is required to report as hereinafter provided, not only the amount of sales of goods, wares and merchandise purchased by him from others and sold, but also the amount of sales of goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him either within or without the county and offered for sale by him and sold at his store or definite place in this county other than the place of manufacture.

Secs. 13-120--13-123 Reserved.

Sec. 13-124. Applicability to cooperatives.

Every cooperative association, society, company or exchange created or operating under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 13.1-301 to 13.1-311, every nonprofit, cooperative association, with or without capital stock, created or operating under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 13.1-312 to 13.1-344, and every cooperative marketing or purchasing association or corporation incorporated or organized under the general corporation laws of this state and brought under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 13.1-301 to 13.1-344, whether such association, society, company, exchange or corporation be organized or brought under the provisions of those sections of the Code of Virginia prior or subsequent to the effective date hereof, and whether chartered under the laws of this state or otherwise chartered and doing business in this state, and conducting a mercantile, merchandise or brokerage business on the cooperative plan, shall be taxable as a merchant by the county. Every such association, society, company, exchange or corporation which sells to others at retail only and not for resale shall be a retail merchant and taxable as such under this division.

Sec. 13-125. Reserved.

Sec. 13-126. Applicability to operator of coin-operated machines.

Every person who sells merchandise by means of a coin-operated machine or device shall pay the merchant's license tax prescribed by section 13-118. All such machines shall be plainly marked so as to show the name and address of the owner thereof.

Division 3. Wholesale.

Sec. 13-127. Defined; license required; tax basis.

Every person engaged in the business of a wholesale merchant shall obtain a license for the privilege of doing business in the county and shall pay a license tax therefor to be measured by the amount of purchases made by him during the next preceding license period. The term "wholesale merchant" as used in this division, shall include every merchant who sells to other persons for the purpose of resale only, and not for consumption.

Sec. 13-128. Amount of tax.

For every license issued to a person engaged in business of a wholesale merchant the amount of license tax to be paid therefor shall be equal to $0.05 per every one hundred dollars of the amount of purchases throughout the then next preceding calendar year. The minimum annual license tax shall be $25.00.

Sec. 13-129. "Purchases" defined.

The word "purchases" as used in this division shall be construed to include all goods, wares and merchandise received for sale at each definite place of business of every wholesale merchant and shall not be construed to exclude any goods, wares and merchandise otherwise coming within the meaning of the word. All goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by a wholesale merchant and sold or offered for sale as merchandise shall be considered as purchases within the meaning of this section; provided, that this section shall not be construed to apply to manufacturers who offer for sale at the place of manufacture goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by them, but such manufacturer may, without a wholesale merchant's license, sell at the place of manufacture the goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him. If a manufacturer desires to sell at a definite place or store, other than the place of manufacture, to others for resale or to institutional, commercial or industrial users the goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him, then such manufacturer must take out a wholesale merchant's license. When a manufacturer establishes a place or store for the sale of his goods, wares or merchandise, other than at his place of manufacture, to others for resale, the amount of the license tax is to be measured not only by the amount of purchases made by such manufacturer from others, if any, but also by the goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him and sent from the place of manufacture to his store for sale, if any; and he is required to report, as hereinafter provided, not only the amount of goods, wares and merchandise purchased by him from others and offered for resale, but also the amount of goods, wares and merchandise manufactured by him either within or without the county and offered for sale by him at his store or definite place in this county, other than the place of manufacture. The word "purchases" as used in this division in relation to the purchase price of goods, wares and merchandise sold by a manufacturer at a place of business other than the place of manufacture shall be the cost of manufacturing such goods, wares and merchandise together with the factory mark-up and overhead.

Sec. 13-130. Reserved.

Sec. 13-131. Applicability to cooperatives.

Every cooperative association, society, company or exchange created or operating under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 13.1-301 to 13.1-311, every nonprofit, cooperative association, with or without capital stock, created

or operating under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 13.1-312 to 13.1-344 and every cooperative marketing or purchasing association or corporation incorporated or organized under the general corporation laws of this state and brought under the provisions of the Code of Virginia, sections 13.1-301 to 13.1-344, whether such association, society, company, exchange or corporation be organized or brought under the provisions of those sections of the Code of Virginia prior or subsequent to the effective date hereof and whether chartered under the laws of this state or otherwise chartered and doing business in this state, and conducting a mercantile, merchandise or brokerage business on the cooperative plan shall be taxable as a merchant by the county. Every such association, society, company, exchange or corporation which sells to others for resale only or which sells to institutional, commercial or industrial users shall be a wholesale merchant and taxable as such under this division.

Secs. 13-132--13-136 Reserved.

Division 4. Itinerant and Transient Merchants.

For state law as to itinerant vendors and auctioneers generally, see Code of VA., 54-809 to 54-824.

Sec. 13-137. Itinerant merchants-Defined.

Any person who engages in, does or transacts any temporary or transient business in the county or traveling from place to place in the sale of goods, wares and merchandise and who for the purpose of carrying on such business, hires, leases, uses or occupies any building or structure, motor vehicle, tent, car, boat or public room or any part thereof, including rooms in hotels, lodging houses or houses of private entertainment, or in any public road in the county, for a period of less than one (1) year, for the exhibition of or sale of such goods, wares or merchandise, except foods, wares and merchandise received from bankruptcy sales, trustee sales, railroad wrecks, fire sales, slaughter sales or sales of like character or designation, and stock received from expositions and fairs, whether such person associates temporarily with another merchant or engages in such temporary or transient business in connection with or as a part of the business or in the name of another merchant or not, shall be deemed an itinerant merchant. No person shall be deemed an itinerant merchant solely because such person exhibits or otherwise displays goods or services or information concerning goods or services if such person does not contract to sell, or offer to contract to sell, such goods or services at said temporary location.

Sec. 13-138. Same-License required; amount of tax.

Every itinerant merchant who is not expressly exempt from tax under the provisions of this Division 4 shall obtain a license for the privilege of doing business in the county and shall pay a license tax of the applicable amount set forth below:

(a) Unless otherwise provided in some other section of this Code, the license tax for each itinerant merchant, other than those described in subparagraphs (b), shall be five hundred dollars ($500.00) for each location used during the tax year.

(b) No license tax shall be imposed on any itinerant merchant who sells or offers for sale in person or by his employees only the following items or any of them if such items were grown or produced by him or by his employees and were not purchased by them for sale; ice, wood, charcoal, meats, milk, butter, eggs, poultry, game, vegetable, fruits or other family supplies of a perishable nature or farm, domestic or nursery products.

Sec. 13-139. Same-Report prerequisite to issuance.

Every itinerant merchant when applying for a license to do business in the county shall report to the commissioner of the revenue the street and house number of the place where he proposes to conduct business, and no license shall be issued unless such place is adequately illuminated in the daytime without the aid of artificial light. He shall further report in detail the goods, wares and merchandise to be sold at such place and what statements or representations are to be made or advertised concerning them; and if previously engaged in a like or similar business, he shall designate all the places where the same has been conducted within the preceding twelve (12) months.

Secs. 13-140--13-142 Reserved.

Sec. 13-143. Not applicable to sales by court officers.

Nothing in this division shall be construed to require the payment of a license tax for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise by an assignee, trustee, executor, fiduciary, officer in bankruptcy or other officer appointed by any officer appointed by any court of the state or of the United States.

Article XIV. Peddlers.

For state law as to peddlers, see Code of Va., 58.1-3717.

Sec. 13-144. "Peddler" defined.

Any person who carries from place to place any goods, wares or merchandise and offers to sell or barter the same, or actually sells or barters the same, and at the time of such sale or exposure for sale delivers, or offers to deliver, the goods, wares or merchandise to the buyer is a "peddler". Any delivery on the day of sale shall be construed as a delivery at the time of sale. For the purposes of this article XIV, bartering or offering to barter goods, wares or merchandise shall be deemed to be a form of selling or offering to sell goods, wares or merchandise.

Sec. 13-145. Amount of tax for peddlers generally; exceptions.

Every peddler who is not expressly exempt from tax under the provisions of this article XIV shall obtain a license for the privilege of doing business in the county and shall pay a license tax of the applicable amount set forth below:

(a) Unless otherwise provided in some other section of this Code, the license tax for each peddler other than those described in subparagraphs (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) shall be five hundred dollars ($500.00) for the tax year.

(b) Any person who sells or offers to sell goods, wares or merchandise to licensed dealers, other than at a definite place of business operated by the seller, and at the time of such sale or exposure for sale delivers, or offers to deliver, the goods, wares or merchandise to the buyer is a "peddler at wholesale". Any delivery on the day of sale shall be construed as a delivery at the time of sale. Each peddler at wholesale shall pay a license tax for the tax year; the license tax rate for each peddler at wholesale shall be the same as the license tax rate applicable to a wholesale merchant selling similar goods, wares or merchandise at one (1) definite place of business.

(c) No license tax shall be imposed on any peddler who sells or offers for sale in person or by his employees only the following items or any of them if such items were grown or produced by him or by his employees and were not purchased by them for sale: ice, wood, charcoal, meats, milk, butter, eggs, poultry, game, vegetable, fruits or other family supplies of a perishable nature or farm, domestic or nursery products.

(d) A license tax of twenty-five dollars ($25.00) for the tax year shall be imposed on any peddler who sells or offers for sale in person or by his employees the following items or any of them if such items were not grown or produced by him or by his employees: meats, milk, butter, eggs, poultry, fish, oysters, seafood, game, vegetables, fruit or other edible family supplies of a perishable nature or fire wood for home consumption.

(e) A license tax of twenty-five dollars ($25.00) for the tax year shall be imposed on any peddler who sells or offers for sale only Christmas trees or Christmas greens not grown or produced by him.

(f) A peddler whose activities are conducted solely for charitable purposes and who is not paid for his services shall not be required to pay any license tax under this article XIV.

Secs. 13-146--13-148 Reserved.

Sec. 13-149. Tags.

Every person who is licensed as a peddler and has paid the tax required under this article XIV shall be provided a license tin or tag which shall be affixed to the person of the peddler or, to the vehicle used by the peddler.

Sec. 13-150. Transferability; unlawful parking.

(a) A peddler's license shall not be transferable and shall not be subject to proration. The full amount of the license tax shall be paid when assessed.

(b) It shall be unlawful for a peddler to park, stand, stop or allow a vehicle to remain in any place for the purpose of peddling any longer than is necessary to conclude a sale of any goods, wares or merchandise or a continuous uninterrupted series of sales thereof, and in any event it shall be unlawful for a peddler to park, stand, stop or allow a vehicle to remain in any place for the purpose of peddling more than thirty (30) minutes in any day.

Sec. 13-150.1 Applicability of tax to each person conducting activities of a peddler.

The license tax imposed under this article XIV shall be imposed upon each person and each agent of a corporation, association, or other entity who conducts the activities of a peddler; except that peddlers who peddle from and in close proximity to any vehicle and who all peddle the same type of goods, wares or merchandise may obtain a peddler license, which shall list the names of said peddlers, for each vehicle rather than for each peddler peddling from and in close proximity to such vehicle and the license tax for such license shall be the amount of tax that would otherwise have been charged to each of said peddlers.

Article XV. Reserved.

Secs. 13-151--13-159 Reserved.

Article XVI. Utility and Service Companies.

DIVISION 1. GENERALLY

Sec. 13-160. Violations of article.

A violation of any provision of this article shall constitute a Class 1 misdemeanor. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)
Cross reference--Penalty for Class 1 misdemeanor, 1-11.

Sec. 13-161. Enforcement of article.

(a) In the enforcement of the provisions of this article, the commissioner of revenue, in addition to the powers herein specifically granted, shall have all and the same enforcement authority with respect to county licenses that state law confers upon commissioners of revenue generally with respect to state licenses. As one (1) of the means of ascertaining the amount of any license tax due under the provisions of this article, or of ascertaining any other pertinent information, the commissioner of revenue may propound interrogatories to each applicant and may use such other evidence as he may procure. Such interrogatories shall be answered under oath and it shall be unlawful for any applicant for a license under this article to refuse to answer any such interrogatories.

(b) The commissioner of revenue shall have such duties, authority and powers with respect to the enforcement of the provisions of this article as may be conferred by the board of supervisors.

(c) The commissioner of revenue shall have the power to summon any person, by registered letter or otherwise, to appear before him at his office at a time to be specified in such summons and to answer, under oath, questions touching such person's license tax liability under this article. Failure of such person to answer such summons without good cause, or failing or refusing to answer under oath questions touching his tax liability, shall be unlawful.

(d) The commissioner of revenue, after the powers of enforcement set out in this section have been exhausted, shall have the added power to proceed by warrant to enforce compliance with the provisions of this article. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-162. Definitions.

For the purposes of this article, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings ascribed to them by this section:

Gross receipts: The gross receipts of the business from all earnings, fees, commissions, brokerage charges, rentals and other income arising from or growing out of the conduct of the business during the calendar year immediately preceding the license tax year for which the tax is being computed, without any deduction whatsoever, unless otherwise expressly provided.

Person: Any individual, firm, co-partnership, corporation, company, association or joint stock association. Such term shall include any trustee, receiver, assignee or personal representative thereof carrying on or continuing a business. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-11)
Cross reference--Definitions and rules of construction generally, 1-2.

Sec. 13-163. Required.

It shall be unlawful for any person to conduct a business upon which a license tax is imposed by this article, unless he has a current license so to do issued under this article. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Secs. 13-164--13-168.1. Reserved.

Sec. 13-169. Reserved.

Sec. 13-170. Issuance.

Upon receipt of a proper application for a license under this article, the commissioner of revenue shall compute the amount of the license tax due under this article and, after obtaining a copy of the treasurer's receipt indicating payment of such tax, shall issue a license to the applicant. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-171. Contents.

Every license issued under this article shall state the amount of license tax assessed and paid, the privilege to be exercised under the license and the period of time for which the license is valid. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-172. Term.

A license issued under this article shall be effective on a fiscal year basis of July first through June thirtieth. (Ord. of 6-2-62, 10-12)

Sec. 13-173. Licensee's records.

Every person liable for a license tax under this article shall keep all records and accounts necessary to compute and to verify his gross receipts, and the report of such gross receipts required by section 13-37(b) shall be taken from such records. All such records and general books of account shall be open to inspection and examination by any authorized representative of the county and shall be maintained for a period of three (3) years. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-174. Imposed.

(a) There is hereby imposed upon every person engaged in the business of providing telephone and telegraph communications in the county an annual license tax equal to one-half of one percent of the gross receipts during the next preceding calendar year accruing to such person from business in the county; provided, however, charges for long distance telephone calls shall not be considered receipts from business in the county.

(b) There is hereby imposed upon every person furnishing heat, light, power and gas for domestic, commercial or industrial consumption in the county an annual license tax equal to one-half of one percent of the gross receipts of such business derived from within the county during the next preceding calendar year, excluding receipts from service furnished to other electric utilities for resale. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-13, 10-14)

State law reference--Authority for above tax, Code of Virginia, 58.1-3731.

Sec. 13-175. Basis for computation.

The annual license taxes imposed by this division shall be based on the gross receipts of the person for the calendar year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which the license is to be issued. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-176. When due and payable.

All license taxes imposed by thisdivision shall become due and payable on or before July fifteenth of each year. In all cases where the person shall begin the business upon which a license tax is imposed under this division, after July first of any hear, such license tax shall become due immediately and payment shall be made within thirty (30) days of the time such person commences business. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-177. Penalty and interest on delinquencies.

There shall be a penalty of ten (10) percent of the sum of the tax added to all license taxes imposed under the provisions of this division that are unpaid on the date prescribed in section 13-176 for payment. In addition, interest will accrue on the sum of the tax and penalty at the rate of ten (10) percent per annum. Interest will be computed from the first day following the day on which the tax was payable. Taxes and penalty herein provided shall be assessed and collected in the manner provided by law for the enforcement of the collection of other taxes. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-178. Assessment when necessary information not filed with commissioner of revenue.

In the event of a failure or refusal of any person to file with the commissioner of revenue the information necessary to enable the commissioner to assess the license tax due under this division, the commissioner shall assess the tax upon the best information he can obtain, adding thereto any penalty due thereon. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Sec. 13-179. Duty of treasurer to collect.

It shall be the duty of the county treasurer to collect the license taxes imposed by this division. (Ord. of 6-2-82, 10-12)

Secs. 13-180--13-181 Reserved.

Article XVII. Massage Parlors.

Sec. 13-182. Massage parlors.

Every person operating a massage parlor shall pay an annual license tax of $5,000.00; such license
shall neither be transferable to another person nor subject to proration for part of a license year.

Secs. 13-183--13-184 Reserved.

Article XVIII. Validity and Enforcement.

Sec. 13-185. Validity.

Should any article, section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Chapter be declared invalid by a Court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the Chapter or its entirety or any part thereof other than that so declared to be invalid. The governing body hereby declares that it would have adopted this Chapter and each article, section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more such articles, sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases, be declared invalid.

Sec. 13-186. Enforcement.

In addition to any interest or penalty imposed by this ordinance, operation of a business or profession without a county license shall constitute a misdemeanor and subject the operator to twelve months in jail, a fine of up to $1,000.00 or both.

IN RE: A-88-17 -- ADOPTION OF AMENDMENT TO TAX ON PURCHASERS OF UTILITY SERVICES

A Public Hearing was held on this amendment at the June 1, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the rate for residential use was set at Twenty Percent (20%), with a maximum of $15.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the rate for commercial use was set at Twenty Percent (20%), with a maximum of $150.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 95, Article VII, Chapter 19 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 95, Article VII, Chapter 19 be amended and reanacted effective July 1, 1988 to increase the tax imposed as follows:

Sec. 19-95. Imposed; amount; exclusions.

(a) There is hereby imposed and levied by the county, upon every purchaser of a utility service, a tax in the amount of twenty percent (20%) of the charge, exclusive of any federal tax thereon, made by the seller against the purchaser with respect to each utility service.

(b) In case any monthly bill submitted by any seller for residential utility service shall exceed fifteen dollars ($15.00) for a residential user, there shall be no tax computed on so much of such bill as shall exceed fifteen dollars ($15.00). There shall be no tax computed on bills submitted for electric service for heating water and space heating where a separate meter is used solely for water heating and space heating service or on bills submitted for unmetered electric service.

(c) In the case of any apartment house or other multiple-family dwelling using gas service through one (1) or more master meters, the sum of fifteen dollars ($15.00) shall be multiplied by the number of dwelling units served and, for electric service, the sum of fifteen dollars ($15.00) shall be multiplied by the number of dwelling units served.

(d) In case any monthly bill submitted by any seller for commercial or industrial utility service shall exceed one thousand dollars ($1,000), there shall be no tax computed on so much of such bill as shall exceed one thousand dollars ($1,000).

(e) There shall be no tax computed on bills submitted on sales of utility service for resale.

(f) For the purposes of this section, bills shall be considered monthly bills, if submitted twelve (12) times per year for periods of approximately one (1) month each.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 96, Article VII of Chapter 19 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 96, Article VII of Chapter 19 be amended effective July 1, 1988 to increase the tax imposed as follows:

Sec. 19-96. Computation when service charges collected on other than monthly basis.

In all cases where the seller collects the price for utility services other than on a monthly basis, the tax imposed and levied by this article may be computed on the aggregate amount of purchases during the period billed for; provided, that the amount of tax to be collected shall be the nearest whole cent to the amount computed and such tax shall not exceed the sum of three dollars ($3.00) for residential users of electricity, gas or telephone service, or thirty ($30.00) for commercial or industrial users, multiplied by the number of months, or portion thereof, covered by the bill.
(Code 1970, 8-6; Ord. of 5-21-80)

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-18 -- ADOPTION OF INCREASE IN VEHICLE LICENSE TAX

A Public Hearing was held on this amendment at the June 1, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following changes to Section 19 of Article II of Chapter 14 and in all other respects be reordained.

That Section 14-19 be repealed effective January 1, 1989 and replaced with the following:

Sec. 14-19. Tax imposed.

On each and every motor required to be licensed under this article, there shall be an annual license tax of twenty dollars ($20.00), except that the annual license tax for a motorcycle shall be ten dollars ($10.00).

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-19 -- ADOPTION OF ADMISSION TAX

A Public Hearing was held on this amendment at the June 1, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following additions to Chapter 19 and in all other respects be reordained.

That a new Article be added to Chapter 19 to create a new tax as follows:

ARTICLE X. ADMISSION TAX

Sec. 19-120. Imposed; Amount

Pursuant to the authority granted to the County of Dinwiddie by Section 58.1-3818 of the Code of Virginia, there is hereby imposed a tax on admissions charged for attendance at an event in the amount of four percent (04%) of the amount of charge for admission to any event occurring within Dinwiddie County, except that no tax shall be charged on admissions charged for attendance at any event the gross receipts of which go wholly to charitable purpose or purposes. Charitable purposes shall be those purposes pursued by organizations operated exclusively for religious, charitable, community or educational purposes and shall include voluntary fire departments or rescue squads or auxiliaries thereof recognized by an ordinance or resolution of the political subdivision where such is located as being part of the safety program of such political subdivision.

Sec. 19-121. Issuance of permits; application

In addition to any other permit required by the County of Dinwiddie, any person or organization which plans to conduct any event and charge an admission thereto, including events conducted for charitable purposes and which are exempt from the admissions tax herein imposed, shall, at least ten (10) days prior to the event, file with the Commissioner of Revenue an application for a permit to conduct an event and charge admission thereto. Such application shall be in the form as determined by the Commissioner and shall state the name and address of the person or organization conducting the event, the date and location of the event, the amount of admission to be charged, if the event is being conducted for a charitable purpose, and if so, the charity involved, and the number of persons expected to attend. There shall be attached to such application, a copy of any other permit or license mandated by State, Federal or County regulation for such event and a bond made payable to the County of Dinwiddie executed by a person owning real estate within Dinwiddie County in an amount equal to twice the amount of tax due according to the information supplied on said permit.

Any person or organization which intends to conduct more than one event and charge admission thereto shall file the application required hereunder prior to the first such event it intends to conduct each year and post the required bond which shall be set by the Commissioner based upon the number of events and the other information contained within the application. Such application shall contain the dates and location of the proposed events and shall otherwise comply with the provisions of this ordinance.

Upon receipt of such application and attachments, the Commissioner shall issue a permit to conduct such event or events, provided however, the Commissioner may require such additional bond or other assurances as he may deem appropriate to insure the collection of the admission tax. Any permit for more than one event may be later amended by the Commissioner for a change in date or location provided all other required permits are so modified. The Commissioner may charge a fee of two dollars ($2.00) for such amendment.

Sec. 19-122. Collection and remittance; records

Each event operator liable for the payment of the admissions tax imposed hereunder shall collect said tax on behalf of the County and pay the same to the Commissioner of Revenue by cash, or certified check made payable to the Treasurer of Dinwiddie County, within five days after each

event. Each operator shall keep complete records showing the number of tickets sold to such event and the number of persons attending. Such records shall be available for inspection by the Commissioner of Revenue or the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County at all times before, during or within ninety days (90) following the event.

Sec. 19-123. Enforcement

Anyone conducting an event in Dinwiddie County without the permit required under this Chapter, or anyone who fails to collect and pay the admissions tax imposed hereunder or violates any other provision of this article shall be guilty of a class one misdemeanor and punished by a fine not to exceed One-Thousand Dollars ($1,000) or twelve (12) months in jail. Each failure, refusal, neglect or violation, and each day's continuance thereof, shall constitute a separate offense. Failure to collect or pay the admission tax herein imposed shall cause the event operator to be personally liable for the same and the bond posted hereunder to be forfeited to the County for collection. There shall also be imposed upon the event operator a penalty of ten percent (10%) for failure to pay the admission tax when due, and in addition such amount shall accrue interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum until paid. The Commissioner shall have the right to waive interest and penalty upon a determination that the failure to pay this tax was due to excusable neglect.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-20 -- ADOPTION OF TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX

A Public Hearing was held on this amendment at the June 1, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE, COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

ARTICLE IX. TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX

Sec. 19-116. Imposed, amount, exclusions.

Pursuant to the authority granted under 58.1-3819 of the Code of Virginia, there is hereby imposed upon every hotel, motel, boarding house, and travel campground within the County, a transient occupancy tax of two percent (2%) of the amount of charge for the occupancy of any room or space occupied, except that, such tax shall not apply to rooms or spaces rented for continuous occupancy by the same individual or group for thirty or more days.

Sec. 19-117. Collection and Remittance.

It shall be the duty of each hotel, motel, boarding house, and travel campground to collect from the occupant the tax hereby imposed and levied at the time of renting the room or space to be occupied, and the taxes imposed, levied and collected during each calendar month shall be reported and paid by each such business to the treasurer on or before the fifteenth day of the second calendar month thereafter. The required report shall be in a form prescribed by the Commissioner of Revenue who shall be responsible for enforcement of this Article.

Sec. 19-118. Records

Every business subject to collect the tax hereby imposed shall keep complete and accurate records which shall show the number of rooms or spaces occupied, the date thereof, the amount of charge for the occupancy of each such room or space and the amount of tax imposed. Such records shall be available for inspection by the Commissioner of Revenue in the same manner as provided for by Section 13-21 of this Code for businesses required to be licensed under Chapter 13.

Sec. 19-119. Violation, Penalty and Interest

A violation of any provision of this article shall constitute a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than one thousand dollars ($1,000), twelve (12) months in jail, or both. In addition to such penalty, the Commissioner shall assess the amount of the tax owed hereunder personally against the violator, including interest from when such tax was due, owing and payable at the rate of ten percent (10%) and with a ten percent (10%) penalty on such tax for nonpayment and collect the same by any method allowed by the Code of Virginia.

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-25 -- AMENDMENT TO BUILDING PERMIT FEES

A Public Hearing was held on this amendment at the June 1, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Section 18, Article II, Chapter 6 and in all other respects be reordained:

That Section 18, Article II, Chapter 6 be amended and reanacted effective July 1, 1988 to increase the tax imposed as follows:

Sec. 6-18. Permit Fees

(b) Building permits. Building permit fees shall be as follows:

(1) Single-story dwellings, including additions, five cents ($0.05) per square foot.

(2) Basements and second and higher stories, four cents ($0.04) per square foot.

(3) Industrial buildings, detached garages, carports, storage buildings, churches and schools, four cents ($0.04) per square foot.

(4) Remodeling existing buildings, three cents ($0.03) per square foot.

(5) Installation or set-up of mobile homes:

a. Single-wide, twenty dollars ($20.00)

b. Double-wide, thirty dollars ($30.00)

(6) Addition of brick or other siding to a building, thirty dollars ($30.00).

(7) Swimming pools, twenty dollars ($20.00)

Fence around pool, ten dollars ($10.00)

(8) Signs:

a. Up to ten (10) square feet in surface area, (twenty (20) square feet for a doublefaced sign), the top of the sign being ten (10) feet or less from the ground, twenty dollars ($20.00).

b. More than ten (10) square feet in surface area (more than twenty (20) square feet for a double-faced sign) or a sign the top of which is more than ten (10) feet from the ground, twenty-five dollars ($25.00).

(9) Removal of a building or structure from one lot to another or to a new location within the same lot, thirty-five dollars ($35.00).

(10) Demolition of a building or structure, twenty-five dollars ($25.00).

(11) The fee for the erection or installation of a structure other than a building shall be calculated at a rate of one-half (1/2) percent of the actual cost of the work.

(12) Chimney, fifteen dollars ($15.00).

(13) Roof shingle, tin, cedar shakes, fifteen dollars ($15.00).

(14) Fences, one percent (1%) of the contract price; minimum fee, ten dollars ($10.00).

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1988-89 BUDGET

EXTRACT

The Assistant County Administrator stated that she appreciated the Board's consideration of her recommendation, but she understood the position that they took. She stated with the uncertainty of the new business licenses and new taxes that she felt that she should recommend that the rates stay as high as possible. The decrease in the rate for retail sales would reduce the income by approximately $24,000, which she felt could be made up by the increase in fees and licenses in the other categories.

She asked that the 1988-89 budget be approved with the reappropriation of the transfers as described earlier, and authorization to lease-purchase the ambulance and police cars.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the 1988-89 budget was adopted as follows:

INCOME ESTIMATES	Fiscal Year Commencing July 1, 1988
GENERAL FUND:	
Revenue from Local Sources:	
General Property Taxes	$ 5,439,500
Other Local Taxes	1,606,400
Permits, Privilege & Regulatory Licenses	69,700
Fines and Forfeitures	2,000
Revenue from Use of Money & Property	156,000
Charges for Services	138,930
Miscellaneous Revenue	163,000
TOTAL	7,575,530
Revenue from the Commonwealth	2,575,211
Revenue from the Federal Government	125,982
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	$10,276,723

LAW LIBRARY FUND	2,500
SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND	88,715
SCHOOL FUND:	
Revenue from Local Sources	35,200
Revenue from the Commonwealth	9,027,000
Revenue from the Federal Government	951,400
Transfers from Other Funds	4,945,500
TOTAL SCHOOL FUND	14,959,100
E911 FUND	45,000
SELF-INSURANCE FUND	70,000
GENERAL CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	247,000
GRAND TOTAL -- ALL FUNDS	$25,689,038
LESS INTERFUND TRANSFERS	5,104,800
TOTAL INCOME	$20,584,238
FUND BALANCES, JULY 1	2,574,564
CASH RESOURCES	$23,158,802

CONTEMPLATED EXPENDITURES

GENERAL FUND:	
Board of Supervisors	$ 45,315
County Administrator	132,139
County Attorney	58,806
Independent Auditor	25,000
Commissioner of the Revenue	118,979
Land Use	10,536
Treasurer	127,855
Data Processing	50,601
Electoral Board and Officials	43,312
Circuit Court	6,700
County Court	5,690
Special Magistrates	550
Clerk of the Circuit Court	38,176
Commonwealth's Attorney	86,845
Sheriff-Law Enforcement	1,145,126
Volunteer Fire Departments	113,760
Ambulance & Rescue Service	22,900
Forestry Service	11,540
Sheriff-Correction & Detention	115,450
Probation Office	4,250
Other Correction & Detention	23,100
Building Inspection	57,679
Animal Control	64,907
Medical Examiner	900
Public Safety/Civil Defense	52,803
Road Administration	250
Street Lights	40,000
Refuse Disposal	297,368
Public Utilities	106,200
Maintenance of Buildings & Grounds	158,511
Water Service	120,000
Health	134,307
Mental Health	36,370
Welfare Administration	901,336
Public Assistance	451,660
Other Social Services	11,322
Community College	1,240
Recreation	85,030
Lake Chesdin	500
Regional Library	97,168
Planning	65,565

Other Planning & Community Development	59,137
Regional Planning Commission	11,550
Soil and Water Conservation	5,500
Johnson Grass Control	7,553
Advancement of Agric & Home Economics	56,833
Capital Projects	0
Fringe Benefits (Suspense Account)	0
County Insurance	0
Internal Services	69,500
Capital Outlay	0
Debt Service	293,000
Subtotal	5,372,819
Transfers to Other Funds	5,104,800
TOTAL - GENERAL FUND	$10,477,619
LAW LIBRARY FUND	2,500
SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND	54,115
SCHOOL FUND:	
Administration	245,650
Instruction	8,441,937
Summer School	10,500
Adult Education	21,900
Other Educational Programs	-
Attendance & Health Services	85,300
Pupil Transportation Services	1,148,061
School Food Services	317,400
Operation & Maintenance of School Plant	1,392,940
Fixed Charges	1,991,112
Capital Outlays	100,000
Debt Service	638,500
Federal Programs	565,800
TOTAL - SCHOOL FUND	$ 14,959,100
E911 FUND	58,000
SELF INSURANCE FUND	50,000
GENERAL CAPITAL PROJECTS	247,000
SCHOOL CAPITAL PROJECTS	950,000
GRAND TOTALS - ALL FUNDS	$ 26,798,334
LESS INTERFUND TRANSFERS	5,104,800
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	$ 21,693,534
FUND BALANCES - JUNE 30	1,465,268
TOTAL REQUIREMENTS	$ 23,158,802

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO SOLICIT BIDS -- LEASE/PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to enter a lease-purchase agreement for the purchase of an ambulance for the Rescue Squad and seven new police cars for the Sheriff's Department.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF THE 1988-89 TAX RATES

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following tax rates were adopted for the 1988-89 Tax Year:

Real Estate	$0.80
Mobile Homes	$0.80
Mineral Lands	$0.80
Public Services	$0.80
Personal Property	$4.90
Machinery & Tools	$3.30
Construction Machinery	$3.30
Farm Machinery	-0-

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD

This position has been a Board Member in the past. Mr. Harrison nominated Mr. Ed Bracey.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Ed Bracey was appointed to the Social Services Board, term expiring June 30, 1992.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Mr. Clay nominated Ms. Susan Tucker.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Ms. Susan Tucker was appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring June 30, 1991.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION -- EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the Crater Planning District Commission, Executive Committee, term expiring June 30, 1989.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- CRATER COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH PLANNING COUNCIL

This appointment was postponed until the next meeting.

IN RE: ACQUISITION OF REAL ESTATE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority conveyed 12 acres of real estate to Worldwide Marketing Associates, Inc. by deed dated October 8, 1987, and,

WHEREAS Worldwide Marketing Associates, Inc. was allowed to borrow $22,500 on said property for the purchase of marketing same and accepted back a note and Deed of Interest in the amount of $480,000, and,

WHEREAS Worldwide Marketing Associates, Inc. have been unsuccessful in their marketing plans and have offered to convey said property to Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority in exchange for the release of said mortgage and payment for the indebtedness due to Sovran Bank, and,

WHEREAS the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority has requested the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County to advance the funds necessary to purchase said property;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County is Dinwiddie is hereby authorized to transfer funds to the Dinwiddie Airport and Industrial Authority to pay the debt owed by Worldwide Marketing Associates to Sovran Bank and further execute any such necessary release to obtain the transfer of this property.

IN RE: VIRGINIA BICENTENNIAL RATIFICATION BANQUET

The County Administrator requested permission to attend the Virginia Bicentennial Ratification Banquet on June 24, at a cost of $20.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to attend the Virginia Bicentennial Ratification Banquet on June 24, 1988, at a cost of $20.00.

IN RE: LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS CONFERENCE

The County Administrator requested permission to attend the Local Government Officials Conference at the University of Virginia to be held August 21-23, 1988. He also asked what Board members would like to attend.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye"; Mr. Clay voting "nay", the County Administrator was authorized to attend the Local Government Officials Conference, August 21-23, 1988.

IN RE: GOVERNOR'S CONFERENCE ON EDUCATION

The County Administrator stated that he had received an invitation from the Superintendent of Schools to attend the Governor's Conference on Education to be held July 22, at a cost of $40.00. The invitation is extended to the County Administrator and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye"; Mr. Clay voting "nay", the County Administrator was authorized to attend the Governor's Conference on Education to be held July 22, 1988, at a cost of $40.00.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT -- McKENNEY VFD

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, McKenney Volunteer Fire Department has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, McKenney Volunteer Fire Department meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that McKenney Volunteer Fire Department be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1)(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:40 p.m.

The meeting reconvened into open session at 10:02 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:03 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 6TH DAY OF JULY, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the June 15, 1988, Regular Meeting were approved.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: General Fund - Checks 1010 thru 1213, amounting to $181,768.27.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mr. George Hobbs, Route 1, Box 76-B, Carson, Virginia, appeared before the Board to again express his concerns about the re-establishment of the County boundary line between Dinwiddie and Prince George. He presented maps dating back to 1903, 1913 and 1917, showing the county line on the maps.

Mr. George Robertson advised Mr. Hobbs to make an appointment to meet with the County Administrator and County Attorney to discuss his concerns and have them report back to the Board. Mr. Hobbs was in agreement with this.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendment was added to the agenda:

1. Supplement to the Litter Control Grant - 1987-88

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER - ELECTION DISTRICT #4

The following disclosure statement was made by Mr. Clay before the reappointment:

"I declare that my wife is an employee of the Dinwiddie County School System and this appointment will have nothing to do with her, as far as I am concerned, but I feel like I can act in a reasonable manner, not concerning her."

A public hearing was held at the June 15, 1988 meeting where Mrs. Geraldine Spicely stated that she would like to be reappointed to the position. There were no other nominations.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting

"aye", Mrs. Geraldine Spicely was reappointed to the School Board, term expiring June 30, 1992.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - C-88-6 -- INTERNATIONAL MOTOR SPORTS, INC.

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on June 22 and June 29, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a Conditional Use Permit submitted by Mr. C. B. Mattox, Attorney for International Motor Sports, Inc., to operate a "motor sports complex" on land parcels 20(3) A,B,C, 20-59 and 20-59A. The property is located on the west side of U.S. Route 1 in the Rohoic District. They plan to construct and operate a 3/8 mile oval race tract that will seat approximately 7,000 to 8,000 people. They also plan to construct a 1/4 mile drag strip approximately 4,000 feet long and 65 feet wide. The facility will seat from 10,000 to 30,000 people. There will be eight to ten permanent, year round employees, with approximately 46 to 150 part-time employees, depending on the type of event. There will also be food service, maintenance and administrative personnel, VIP boxes, toilets and grandstands constructed. Major access to the property will be from U.S. Route 1, with an alternate access planned for State Route 632 which will be developed when necessary to accommodate traffic.

The following list of proposed conditions were recommended by the Planning Commission at its June 20, 1988 meeting and agreed to by the applicants:

1. No racing after 12:00 a.m.

2. No racing before 12:30 p.m. on Sunday's.

3. No racing before 8:00 a.m.

4. 100-ft. wooded buffer from residential area.

5. Plans must adhere to the Virginia Department of Transportation regulations.

6. Major parking areas tar, gravel or paved.

7. Entrance paved.

8. County water must be used.

9. Sewer must be public or adequately designed septic tank and drainfield to protect surrounding property owners.

10. Racing facilities must be fenced.

11. Security to be provided before, during and after races.

12. The Conditional Use permit will cease if construction has not commenced within 6 months or after the use stops being active for one year.

13. Sufficient people for traffic control will be provided before, during and after races.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application. The Planning Commission recommended approval at its June 20, 1988 meeting with the above conditions. No one spoke in support of the Conditional Use Permit.

The following people spoke in opposition of the Conditional Use Permit:

1. Mr. Ingo Koppe, spoke on behalf of Ms. Evelyn Gupton who lives on Route 632. He stated that he wasn't against progress, but was concerned with the noise that would be generated by the race track and the impact it would have on the wildlife.

2. Mrs. Clyde Westmoreland, spoke concerning the widening of Route 632 if it is used as an alternate entrance/exit.

3. Mr. Dan Schorre, an adjacent property owner on Route 685 voiced his concern on the traffic control, his property value, and protection.

Mr. Mattox assured the citizens that everything possible was being done about noise control. Their consultants would be working with them on this problem. He also stated that he was aware of the conditions of Route 632 and if this road was used as an alternate entrance/exit, then the road would be upgraded to accommodate the traffic flow. In response to Mr. Shore's concerns, he advised that there were six lanes going into the race track and 450 cars would be on the race track property at peak times. He also advised that the property will be fenced to protect the facilities. As to the property value question, Mr. Mattox advised that he had no statistics but that the value was not prone to diminish. Also, Mr. Schorres' residence and subdivision was located 3/4 of a mile from the race track.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstain",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Conditional Use Permit C-88-6 requested by International Motor Sports, Inc. to operate a motor sports complex be approved with the conditions as recommended and approved by the Planning Commission at its June 20, 1988 meeting and as stated above.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a brief recess at 8:20. The meeting reconvened at 8:35.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mrs. D. M. Marston, Commissioner of the Revenue, appeared before the Board to request authorization for herself and Mrs. Ruth Abernathy to attend the Land Use Section of the VAAO Property Assessment Seminar held at the University of Virginia, July 13-15, 1988. She stated this was included in her budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD F SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Commissioner of the Revenue and Mrs. Ruth Abernathy be authorized to attend the Land Use Section of the VAAO Property Assessment Seminar held at the University of Virginia, July 13-15, 1988, and that the cost for the two will not exceed the amount in the budget of $600.00.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. D. H. Abernathy was not present. His monthly report was unavailable; however, he will have the report at the July 20th meeting.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Robert Rainey presented the report for the month of June, 1988, on behalf of L. A. Brooks, Jr.

IN RE: RECREATION DIRECTOR

Ms. Fran Hart presented her report for the month of June, 1988 and for the fiscal year.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--1988-89 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on June 25-26 and July 1-2, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the 1988-89 proposed Secondary Roads Improvement Budget. Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Transportation presented the Department's recommendations.

The following citizens voiced their concerns:

1. Mr. Tom Daniel, Route 2, Box 30, Dinwiddie, Virginia, spoke on behalf of The Home Place Restaurant, which is located on Route 1401. He inquired about pavement of their road and the bad dust problem they were having at this time. Mr. Neblett advised they were twelfth on the priority list and pavement was not scheduled until July 1990. However, chemicals would be applied for dust control as soon as possible.

2. Mrs. Josephine Dillon, Route 674 inquired about the pavement of her road. Mr. Neblett advised that in the Spring 1987 Traffic Count, the daily traffic count was 66 per day. Mr. Harrison asked Mr. Neblett if they would conduct another traffic count.

3. Mrs. Pearline Robinson, Route 1, Carson inquired about the pavement of her road. Between Routes 666 and 682, there is 1.8 miles of dirt road. Mr. Neblett advised the Traffic Count (taken the Spring 1987) was 77 per day. Mr. Bracey advised he had driven the road and asked if chemicals could be put down for dust control. Mr. Neblett stated they would put the chemicals down in front of the residences along the road.

4. Mr. Bracey inquired about the deep ditch work on Route 613 and the widening of this road. Mr. Neblett advised the ditches were pulled for drainage purposes and there were no plans at this time for improving Route 613.

5. Mr. Donald Andrews inquired about connection of Dinwiddie County with the extension of Route 288. Mr. Robertson stated this has been discussed in the MPO 2000, 2005 and 2010 Plan. Mr. Andrews also stated the road to the Recreation Complex has a count of more than 100 vehicles per day.

6. Mr. Clay advised Route 715 needed the bushes trimmed and chemicals put down for dust control.

The Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

IN RE: VDT -- ADOPTION OF 1988-89 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held jointly with the Virginia Department of Transportation on July 6, 1988 to receive public input on the 1988-89 Secondary Roads Improvement Budget; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments at the public hearing and the recommendations from the Virginia Department of Transportation representatives, the Board of Supervisors concurs with the priorities listed in the 1988-89 Secondary Roads Improvement Budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the priority list of construction projects for Dinwiddie County's 1988-89 proposed Secondary Roads Improvement Budget be adopted as presented by the Virginia Department of Transportation.

IN RE: AWARD OF CONTRACT -- MAINTENANCE OF COUNTY VEHICLES

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, stated the Selection Committee had received four proposals and held interviews with the top two rated proposals submitted for maintenance of county vehicles. The Committee recommended that the contract be awarded to DeWitt Country Store, renewable on an annual basis up to three years.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay "abstaining",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the contract for maintenance of County vehicles be awarded to DeWitt Country Store, renewable on an annual basis up to three years, for the maintenance of Dinwiddie County vehicles, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator be authorized to execute said contract.

IN RE: RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A MASTER EQUIPMENT LEASE/PURCHASE AGREEMENT, AND RELATED INSTRUMENTS, AND DETERMINING OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH -- 1988 POLICE CARS AND AMBULANCE

EXTRACT

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry advised four bids had been received on the lease/purchase agreement for seven new police cars and an ambulance. The lowest bid was Signet Leasing and Financial Corporation at a fixed five year rate of 7.23% on the police cars, and 7.20% on the ambulance. This would be a monthly payment of $1,715.40 for the police cars. The rate on the ambulance will be fixed when it is purchased.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the governing body of Dinwiddie County ("Lessee") has determined that a true and very real need exists for the equipment (the "Equipment") described in the Master Equipment Lease Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") presented at this meeting; and

WHEREAS, Lessee has taken the necessary steps, including those relating to any applicable legal bidding requirements, to arrange for the acquisition of the Equipment; and

WHEREAS, lessee proposes to enter into the Agreement substantially in the form presented to this meeting; and

WHEREAS, Lessee reasonably anticipates that it and its subordinate entities will not issue tax-exempt obligations in the face amount of more than $10,000,000 during the current calendar year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. It is hereby found and determined that the terms of the Agreement in the form presented to this meeting and incorporated in this resolution are in the best interests of Lessee for the acquisition of the Equipment.

Section 2. The Agreement is hereby approved. The County Administrator of Lessee and any other officer of Lessee who shall have power to execute contracts on behalf of Lessee be, and each of them hereby is, authorized to execute, acknowledge and deliver the Agreement with any changes, insertions and omissions therein as may be approved by the officers who execute the Agreement, such approval to be conclusively evidenced by such executive and delivery of the Agreement. The Assistant County Administrator of the Lessee and any other officer of Lessee who shall have power to do so be, and each of them hereby is, authorized to affix the official seal of Lessee to the Agreement and attest the same.

Section 3. The proper officers of Lessee be, and each of them hereby is, authorized and directed to executive and deliver any and all papers, instruments, opinions, certificates, affidavits and other documents and to do or cause to be done any and all other acts and things necessary or proper for carrying out this resolution and the Agreement.

Section 4. Lessee hereby designates the Agreement as a "qualified tax-exempt obligation" within the meaning of Section 265(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended."

The undersigned further certifies that the above resolution has not been repealed or amended and remains in full force and effect and further certifies that the within Master Equipment Lease/Purchase Agreement is the same as presented at said meeting of the governing body of Lessee.

Date: July 13, 1988
(SEAL)

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF FIRE MARSHAL

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented a letter from the County Administrator recommending the Board appoint James C. Rice, currently the Public Safety Director, as Fire Marshal for Dinwiddie County and grant him the authority to exercise the powers authorized by the Fire Prevention Code.

Effective July 1, 1988, Dinwiddie County, as well as other localities, will no longer be able to depend on the State Fire Marshal's Office for assistance in conducting required fire safety inspections. By appointing a local fire marshal, the Statewide Fire Prevention Code can be enforced at the local level. Reasons for this request are outlined below:

1. On March 1, 1988, the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code was adopted by the Board of Housing and Community Development.

2. During the 1988 General Assembly the above mentioned code was named to replace the Public Building Safety Regulation Code in certain buildings.

3. Conditional Use Permits being issued by the county mandate code compliance inspections by the local fire marshal.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that James C. Rice be appointed as Fire Marshal for Dinwiddie County and is authorized to exercise the powers authorized by the Fire Prevention Code.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS - LEPC (LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE)

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted, as presented by James C. Rice, Public Safety Director:

WHEREAS, in order to comply with Section 301C of the Superfunds Amendment Reauthorization Act (SARA), a Local Emergency Planning Committee is required to be appointed by the Virginia Emergency Response Council (VERC); and

WHEREAS, the following individuals have agreed to serve on the Dinwiddie County Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC):

I. Local Officials:

George E. Robertson, Jr. - Board of Supervisors
Charles W. Harrison - Board of Supervisors

II. Law Enforcement, etc.:

Bennie Heath - Sheriff
Alvin Booth - Captain, Sheriff's Office
Charles Rideout - Chief, Old Hickory VFD
William Queen - Chief, Namozine VFD
Paul Anderson - Chief, Carson VFD
Gene Jones - Chief, Ford VFD
Bob Mengel - Chief, Dinwiddie VFD
L. Wayne Dockery, Jr. - Rescue Squad
Jim Maitland - County Extension Agent
Richard Tutwiler, Dinwiddie Health Department

V. Facilities:

Granville Maitland

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, recommends to the VERC that the above listed individuals be appointed to the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) for Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: RESOLUTION FOR COUNTY CREDIT CARD

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bracey voting "nay", the following resolution for a County Credit Card was presented by Mr. Jim Cornwell, with a maximum limit of $1,000:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie employs persons who, from time to time, must expend funds on the County's behalf and in furtherance of County business; and,

WHEREAS, previously said employees have used their own personal funds and sought reimbursement from the County at a later date; and,

WHEREAS, such system has resulted in said employees not being fully reimbursed, being reimbursed much later than the expenditure and the County not fully paying the necessary expenditures of its employees incurred in the performance of their duties; and,

WHEREAS, the County does its banking with Signet Bank pursuant to previous resolution and Signet Bank has available a MasterCard program for charging services; and,

WHEREAS, after careful consideration the Board of Supervisors has determined that it is appropriate and necessary and does hereby authorize the County Administrator to obtain a County Credit Card through Signet Bank and pay the yearly fee therefore, for use by authorized employees of the County under the following conditions:

1. Only those employees authorized by the County Administrator shall have access to or use this credit card and only to obtain goods and services purchased in furtherance of the business of the County.

2. As much as possible, all purchases and use of the card should be preapproved by the County Administrator.

3. All purchases and credit card use should be itemized by the person using the same and the copies of receipts shall be filed with the County as soon as possible after the purchase.

4. All purchases shall be itemized to this Board as soon after the purchase as possible and thereafter approved or rejected by the Board as appropriate.

5. Such credit card shall have a maximum limit of $1,000.

IN RE: DUMPSTER RENTAL FEES

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the raising of dumpster fees was tabled until more information could be provided the Board.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DELINQUENCY PREVENTION & YOUTH DEVELOPMENT ACT GRANT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bracey voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Director of the Department of Corrections has awarded to Dinwiddie County, Virginia from the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act appropriation the amount of $44,270 subject to some special conditions listed in said award No. 88-III-5, including the condition that the County of Dinwiddie provide the amount of $14,790 as the local share to said grant; and

WHEREAS, the $9,350 in-kind match will be provided through the Dinwiddie County School Board; and,

WHEREAS, the cash match of $5,440 will be provided through fees collected from recreational programs conducted by the Office on Youth and Community Services;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator of Dinwiddie County is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County the notice to grant award and acceptance of the special conditions pertaining thereto provided that a copy of said grant award and special conditions shall be kept on file in the office of the County Administrator.

IN RE: AMUSEMENT DEVICE INSPECTIONS

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, presented a Memorandum from the Department of Housing and Community Development concerning inspection of amusement devices. In view of the liability exposure this causes the County and the fact that the Building Inspector is not a certified amusement device inspector, he requested authorization to contract with a certified amusement inspector to inspect amusement devices within the county. The cost will be passed on to the operator of the amusement device, plus a 15% administrative fee for the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator be authorized to contract with a certified amusement inspector to inspect amusement devices within the county at a cost that will be passed on to the operator of the amusement device, plus a 15% administrative fee for the County.

IN RE: JUNK CAR TAX

Richard Barton, County Administrator, requested authorization to draft and advertise an ordinance on a Junk Car Tax. He stated junk cars are a reoccurring problem that cannot be easily

resolved. Zoning enforcement is extremely difficult and a failure in most communities since rigid inspection efforts, litigation costs and the attitude of the courts are discouraging from the start. He recommended the Board consider adopting an annual license tax of $50.00 for the owners of all vehicles that do not display a current state license plate. State law allows counties to charge the tax up to $100.00 and it is seen as a means of encouraging owners of old cars to get rid of them rather than to abandon cars on the property. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, read the State Statute on this type of tax.

As there was no recommendation from the Board, the motion died.

IN RE: SUPPLEMENT TO LITTER CONTROL GRANT

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie was awarded $3,734 from the Division of Litter Control and Recycling for Fiscal Year 1987-88; and

WHEREAS, the Office on Youth & Community Services was authorized to use these funds to promote litter control activities within the County; and

WHEREAS, during Fiscal Year 1987-88, only $3,359.50 was spent, leaving a balance of $374.50; and

WHEREAS, the Division of Litter Control and Recycling will allow these funds to be carried over to the 1988-89 Fiscal Year by filing a supplemental request;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator be authorized to sign the Supplemental Request form to the Division of Litter Control requesting funds in the amount of $374.50 be transferred for expenditure by the Office on Youth & Community Services in the 1988-89 Fiscal Year.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:03 to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:19.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 20TH DAY OF JULY, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	O. M. RAINEY, JR.	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the July 6, 1988, Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks 1214 thru 1369: General Fund - $346,957.81; Self-Insurance Fund - $6,654.80; E911 Fund - $6,977.32; and Capital Projects Fund - $1,577.84.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

There were no citizen comments.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendment was added to the agenda:

1. Recommendation - Courthouse Wiring

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING P-88-2 -- WILLIAM H. JONES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday July 6 and Wednesday, July 13, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider a request by Mr. William H. Jones to rezone land parcels 7A2(4)A containing 7.94 acres and 7A2(4)D containing 9.52 acres from Agricultural, Conservative, District A-3 to Agricultural, Rural Residential, District AR, located on State Route 601 in the Namozine District.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application from William H. Jones requesting the rezoning to enable him to develop the two tracts of land into a large lot subdivision. The trend in this area (River Road Farms) seems to be toward single family residential with adjoining properties zoned Residential, Limited, District R-1.

In view of this, the request to rezone the property in question to Agricultural, Rural Residential, District A-R appears to be in line. Mr. Jones is aware that if the rezoning is approved he must meet all requirements of the subdivision and zoning ordinances of Dinwiddie County. At their June 8, 1988 meeting, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of this request.

No one spoke in support. Mr. Emerson received a letter from First Colonial Bank in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Section 7A2(4) Parcel A containing 7.94 acres and Section 7A2(4) Parcel D containing 9.52 acres be amended by changing the district classification from Agricultural, Conservative, District A-3 to Agricultural, Rural Residential, District A-R. Said property is located on State Route 601 in the Namozine District.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING P-88-3 -- BEVERLY MCELROY AND JOHN CARR
PUBLIC HEARING P-88-4 -- W. W. HOWARD

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, July 6 and Wednesday, July 13, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on P-88-3 to consider a request by Ms. Beverly McElroy and Mr. John E. Carr to rezone land parcel 45-57 from Business, General, District B-2 to Residential, General, District R-2 located in the Dinwiddie Courthouse area, on U.S. Route 1; and P-88-4 submitted by Mr. W. W. Howard to rezone land parcels 45-58 and 45-59 which is currently zoned Business, General, District B-2 to Residential, General, District R-2 also located on U.S. Route 1.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, requested that P-88-3 and P-88-4 be considered together as both were the same type of rezoning request and were adjoining properties.

Ms. McElroy and Mr. Carr's property is bordered on both sides by Business, General, District B-2. Across Route 1 there exists Business, General District B-2 and Residential, General, District R-2. This request is being submitted because Ms. McElroy wishes to expand her home, which is now a nonconforming use. Because of its nonconforming use status, a permit cannot be issued to allow expansion of her home.

Mr. W. W. Howard has requested the rezoning in case his property is over 60% destroyed or if he wishes to expand his existing home. Because of its nonconforming use status, a permit cannot be issued to allow expansion or restoration of his home.

Residential, General, District R-2 is a mixed use zone, primarily highly concentrated residential, with some commercial. But, as stated in the definition, "it is basically residential in character and, as such, should not be spotted with commercial and industrial uses." While Residential, General, District R-2 seems to be the answer to Ms. McElroy's and Mr. Howard's problem, Mr. Emerson reminded the Board that this may be perceived to be a spot zoning under Section 15.1-486 of the Code of Virginia.

At their June 8, 1988 meeting, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of both of these requests..

Mr. John Carr and Mr. W.W. Howard were present.

No one spoke in support or opposition to these rezoning requests.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Section 45, Parcels 57, 58, and 59 containing

a total of 13.24 acres be amended by changing the district classification from Business, General B-2 to Residential, General District R-2. Said property is located in the area of the Dinwiddie Courthouse, on Route 1 South.

In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - C-88-4 - RUDOLPH D. JONES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday July 6 and July 13, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider granting a Conditional Use Permit to Mr. Rudolph D. Jones, Sr. to operate a home for the aged on his property located on Route 651 and listed on the County Tax Maps as Section 56, Parcel 51. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the permit with the conditions as suggested by Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the Conditional Use Permit and conditions as suggested by the Planning Commission.

Mrs. King Talley, Director of Department of Social Services, told the Board of her concerns and suggestions and the problems her department has had in the past. She also advised the Board that Dinwiddie already has four Homes for the Aged.

Mr. T. O. Rainey, III, Counsel for Mr. Jones, addressed the Board on his concerns with the conditions as stipulated by the Planning Commission. The only item changed by the Board of Supervisors was 7a, "one staff member for each ten (10) residents." This was a compromise between the recommendation by the Planning Commission of six (6) and the State regulations requiring twenty (20). This was in agreement with Mr. Rainey and Mr. Jones.

Ms. Pearl Bland spoke in favor of the request. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr.Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the request for a Conditional Use Permit submitted by Mr. Rudolph D. Jones, Sr. to operate a home for the aged on his property located on Route 651 and listed on the County Tax Maps as Section 56, Parcel 51, be approved based on the following conditions:

1. All residents' bedrooms must be on the first floor of the facility.

2. There will be monthly inspections by either the fire marshal, public safety officer, or building inspector, to ensure exits, halls, windows, steps, etc., are free of obstacles and safe.

3. Transportation to community activities and medical services will be provided by the facility.

4. An intercommunication system must be available between all bedrooms and the supervisor's desk.

5. All residents must have a separate bed and chest of drawers for storage of clothing and personal items. Married couples may share a double bed.

6. There must be a wash basin and a toilet for every four (4) residents. A shower or tub must be available for every six (6) residents.

7. Residents/Staff Ratio:

a. 7:00 a.m. - 11:00 p.m. -- One staff member for each ten (10) residents.

b. 11:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m. -- One staff member on the premises at all times.

8. All medication will be dispensed by a registered nurse, licensed practical nurse or a staff member authorized by the administration to dispense medication.

9. Monthly progress reports for each resident will be submitted to the Dinwiddie Department of Social Services on an approved form. Also, monthly reports will be submitted to responsible relative; placing agency, or agency responsible for auxiliary grant payments.

10. Staff members must participate in training offered by the Department of Social Services or other agencies as appropriate.

11. Bedtime: 11:00 p.m.

12. Conditional Use Permit will cease if not exercised within twelve months.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE CABLE FRANCHISE

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised the Board that Dinwiddie Cable TV is making application for a Franchise Agreement with the Town of McKenney. This will be a separate system, not connected with the system in the Northern part of the County, for town residents and possibly 50 people living outside of the Town of McKenney who wish to be connected into the McKenney system.

Mr. Cornwell advised in reviewing the Code, Section 7-71(f), it appears that this extension by the Cable TV Company is a nonmandatory extension since the area to be served is not contiguous with the initial franchise area or its present franchise area and the extension need not be made with the same quantity of services as provided to the other franchise areas in the County.

Mr. Chuck Stigberg, President, Dinwiddie Cable TV spoke and confirmed what Mr. Cornwell had advised the Board.

No action was needed.

IN RE: BIDS FOR TRASH TRUCK REPAIR

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, presented three bids for repair to the 1974 KW trash truck. The bids were from Western Branch Diesel, Colonial Ford and M. A. Williams Truck Repair for partially rebuilding the engine.

Mr. Harrison asked Mr. Loftis to look into getting a guarantee for the work to be done.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the low bid from M. A. Williams Truck Repair be accepted to repair the 1974 KW trash truck, not to exceed $3,000.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE HEALTH CENTER

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, advised the mortar on the roof of the Dinwiddie Health Center needs pointing and sealing on the section that rises above the roof line. Because this has pulled away at different points, the new paint that was applied two to three months ago is popping off due to the moisture.

This was not in the original reroofing contract. He had received two bids for this job; however, the two were so unequal he asked to postpone presenting the bids on the work until the next meeting.

Mr. Bracey asked if fiberglass had been considered. Mr. Abernathy advised this had been used once before, but it could be considered again.

Mr. Robertson requested Mr. Abernathy and Mr. Bracey get together and bring a report back to the Board at the next meeting.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTION REPORT

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy presented his report for the month of June, 1988. He also had a yearly oral report he wished to present, however, Mr. Robertson asked that he have the report typed and made a part of the Board package for the next meeting.

IN RE: ADOPTION OF 1988-89 REVISED BUDGET

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry presented the revised budget for 1988-89 which reflected the changes made by the Compensation Board.

EXTRACT

Upon motion by Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors adopted the 1988-89 fiscal year budget for Dinwiddie County on June 15, 1988; and

WHEREAS, the final approval of the Constitutional Officer's budgets by the Compensation Board had not been received at that time; and

WHEREAS, these approvals have been received and the changes have been incorporated into the 1988-89 budget to reflect these department approvals by the State;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the revisions for the 1988-89 fiscal year budget be adopted as presented and the revised 1988-89 budget be as set forth below:

INCOME ESTIMATES	Fiscal Year Commencing July 1, 1988
GENERAL FUND:	
Revenue from Local Sources:	
General Property Taxes	$ 5,439,500
Other Local Taxes	1,606,400
Permits, Privilege & Regulatory Licenses	69,700
Fines and Forfeitures	2,000
Revenue from Use of Money & Property	156,000
Charges for Services	138,930
Miscellaneous Revenue	163,000
TOTAL	7,575,530
Revenue from the Commonwealth	2,603,211
Revenue from the Federal Government	125,982
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	$10,304,723
LAW LIBRARY FUND	2,500
SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND	88,715
SCHOOL FUND:	
Revenue from Local Sources	35,200
Revenue from the Commonwealth	9,027,000
Revenue from the Federal Government	951,400

Transfers from Other Funds	4,945,500
TOTAL SCHOOL FUND	14,959,100
E911 FUND	45,000
SELF-INSURANCE FUND	70,000
GENERAL CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	247,000
GRAND TOTAL -- ALL FUNDS	$25,717,038
LESS INTERFUND TRANSFERS	5,104,800
TOTAL INCOME	$20,612,238
FUND BALANCES, JULY 1	2,574,564
CASH RESOURCES	$23,186,802

CONTEMPLATED EXPENDITURES

GENERAL FUND:	
Board of Supervisors	$ 45,315
County Administrator	132,139
County Attorney	58,806
Independent Auditor	25,000
Commissioner of the Revenue	117,328
Land Use	12,187
Treasurer	127,507
Data Processing	50,601
Electoral Board and Officials	43,312
Circuit Court	6,700
County Court	5,690
Special Magistrates	550
Clerk of the Circuit Court	36,771
Commonwealth's Attorney	87,095
Sheriff-Law Enforcement	1,146,171
Volunteer Fire Departments	113,760
Ambulance & Rescue Service	22,900
Forestry Service	11,540
Sheriff-Correction & Detention	115,450
Probation Office	4,250
Other Correction & Detention	23,100
Building Inspection	57,679
Animal Control	64,907
Medical Examiner	900
Public Safety/Civil Defense	52,803
Road Administration	250
Street Lights	40,000
Refuse Disposal	297,368
Public Utilities	106,200
Maintenance of Buildings & Grounds	158,511
Water Service	120,000
Health	134,307
Mental Health	36,370
Welfare Administration	901,336
Public Assistance	451,660
Other Social Services	11,322
Community College	1,240
Recreation	85,030
Lake Chesdin	500
Regional Library	97,168
Planning	65,565
Other Planning & Community Development	59,137
Regional Planning Commission	11,550
Soil and Water Conservation	5,500
Johnson Grass Control	7,553
Advancement of Agric & Home Economics	56,833
Capital Projects	0
Fringe Benefits (Suspense Account)	0
County Insurance	0
Internal Services	69,500
Capital Outlay	0
Debt Service	293,000

Subtotal	5,372,361
Transfers to Other Funds	5,104,800
TOTAL - GENERAL FUND	$10,477,161
LAW LIBRARY FUND	2,500
SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND	54,115
SCHOOL FUND:	
Administration	245,650
Instruction	8,441,937
Summer School	10,500
Adult Education	21,900
Other Educational Programs	-
Attendance & Health Services	85,300
Pupil Transportation Services	1,148,061
SchoolFood Services	317,400
Operation & Maintenance of School Plant	1,392,940
Fixed Charges	1,991,112
Capital Outlays	100,000
Debt Service	638,500
Federal Programs	565,800
TOTAL - SCHOOL FUND	$ 14,959,100
E911 FUND	58,000
SELF INSURANCE FUND	50,000
GENERAL CAPITAL PROJECTS	247,000
SCHOOL CAPITAL PROJECTS	950,000
GRAND TOTALS - ALL FUNDS	$ 26,797,876
LESS INTERFUND TRANSFERS	5,104,800
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	$ 21,693,076
FUND BALANCES - JUNE 30	1,493,726
TOTAL REQUIREMENTS	$ 23,186,802

IN RE: FREEZE ON PURCHASING AND HIRING

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, advised the County will again have to borrow money to meet expenses through the end of the year. Having borrowed $1.76 million in April, the balance will probably be between $400,000 and $500,000. At this time, the Treasurer is working with our auditor to determine if this will be sufficient to carry us through until October and November when tax collections start to come in. He advised they feel that if the tax bills can be sent out early enough and subsequently if collections come in as projected, we may be able to squeeze by with the amount we will be able to borrow.

With this in mind, Mr. Barton recommended to the Board that they impose an immediate freeze on all hiring and purchasing until tax collections and/or other revenues are received and the total fiscal position of the County can again be analyzed.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that a freeze on all hiring and purchasing go into effect immediately until tax collections and/or other revenues are received and the County's fiscal position is analyzed.

EXTRACT

IN RE: APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following Appropriation Resolution was adopted for the 1988-89 Budget:

WHEREAS, the final 1988-89 budget has been adopted by the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, in order for the various departments and agencies to make expenditures within this budget, an appropriation of funds must be authorized by the Board of Supervisors;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the total General Fund budget, E911, Self Insurance, and Law Library fund budgets, totalling $10,675,361, be appropriated beginning July 1, 1988 with the exception of the local transfer to the School Board from the General Fund in the amount of $4,945,500; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that expenditures by Department Heads be limited to one-fourth of their total budget on a quarterly basis without special exception; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that of the local transfer of $4,954,500 to the School Board, the sum of $1,238,625.00 is hereby appropriated, said sum being one-fourth of the budgeted local fund transfer; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that of the $11,008,715, the remaining school board budget consisting of School Textbook Fund, School Fund and School Capital Projects Fund, the sum of $2,752,178.75 is hereby appropriated, said sum being one-fourth of the remaining school board budget; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Dinwiddie County School Board is directed to evaluate its financial needs and request from the Board of Supervisors on the 1st day of each new quarter approval for an appropriation for that quarter of the local fund transfer and remaining school board budget up to the above stated amounts or for special exception if said amounts are insufficient; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer this quarterly appropriation from the General Fund for the local transfer to the School Board in the amounts as needed on a monthly basis to meet expenditures up to the appropriation approved by the Board.

IN RE: VIRGINIA COMMUNITY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the intent of the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, the governing body of Dinwiddie County is interested in the economic well-being of its citizenry and the community at large; and,

WHEREAS, the governing body is prepared to support appropriate efforts within the community to become totally prepared to promote economic development; and,

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Economic Development is offering a program which is specifically designed to help Virginia communities to become prepared for industrial and related economic development; and,

WHEREAS, this program is entitled the Virginia Community Certification Program.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, wishes to participate in the Virginia Community Certification Program, and that the leadership of this community fully realizes this program requires dedicated effort; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that by making entry into this program, we are pledging our honest efforts to become designated as a "Certified" community; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the program requires the existence or formation of a Local Economic Development Organization, and this governing body designates the _____ as representing our community for the purpose of participating in this program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that recommendations to fill in the blanks in the above resolution be brought to the August 3, 1988 meeting so the Resolution can be completed and adopted.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMITS

EXTRACT

Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, presented a request for a Special Entertainment Permit from Richard Bland College to hold a Beach Music Festival for the benefit of the United Way on Sunday, August 7, 1988 from 1:00 P.M. to 5:00P.M. Although the event will actually be taking place in Prince George County, parking will be in Dinwiddie County and a permit is needed.

Also, the Office on Youth and Community Services had submitted a Special Entertainment Permit for several events to be held in the Outdoor Theatre for August 5, August 18, August 25, September 20, and several scheduled programs which dates had not been confirmed. A request from Smyrna Baptist Church was added for use of the Outdoor Theatre on August 7, 1988 and was included even though it is not sponsored by the Office on Youth and Community Services.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Special Entertainment Permits for Richard Bland College, Office on Youth and Community Services, and Smyrna Baptist Church be granted with the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: COURTHOUSE ELECTRICAL WIRING

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, presented three bids to upgrade the wiring at the Courthouse. This is due to the recent addition of computers and other electrical appliances at the Courthouse. The three submitted were from Nelson E. Morton, Fleming Plumbing & Electric, Inc. and Tipton's Electrical Service. Mr. Barton recommended the low bid of $1,600 from Tipton's Electrical Services be accepted.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Tipton's Electrical Service be awarded the bid

to upgrade the electrical wiring at the Courthouse at a cost of $1,600.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:24 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT 4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT 1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT 2
ABSENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT 2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT 3
	ROY HODGES	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 20, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks 1370 thru 1498: General Fund - $163.705.56 (Payroll - 7-29-88); General Fund - $403.62; E911 Fund - $239.75.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following amendments were made to the agenda:

1. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, had received a letter from the Virginia Department of Transportation, requesting the Board of Supervisors adopt a Resolution to accept the roads in Mansfield Subdivision. He stated the letter was dated August 1 and he had just received it. No one from the office has been out to visually see the roads and he recommended action on the Resolution be postponed until the next meeting.

2. Richard Barton, County Administrator, requested that Item 10 - Dinwiddie Health Center, Mortar Work & Sealing, be deferred until the next meeting (August 17, 1988).

3. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, requested to add to the agenda, permission to advertise changes to two ordinances.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Deborah M. Marston advised the board that of the 600 Business License letters that have been sent out, she has had 200 responses, and 201 contractor's license have already been issued. There are still 200 businesses that have not gotten their business license as of yet, and others that have not been notified. The deadline for the Business License has been extended until September 15.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W. E. Jones presented his report for the month of June, 1988. Pursuant to Section 58.1-3924 of the Code of Virginia, he provided the 1986 and 1987 Delinquent Tax Listing as of June 30, 1988. In accordance with Section 58.1-3924 he

requested the Board's pleasure on how to publish the Delinquent Listings. He recommended if the Board desired to advertise that they run the listing of Real Estate Delinquents only, as warrants on delinquent Personal Property were being issued from his office.

Richard Barton, County Administrator, suggested that an ordinance be written charging the advertising cost to the people that owe the delinquent taxes.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, is authorized to prepare an ordinance charging the advertising costs of advertising the delinquent tax list to the people who owe delinquent taxes and advertise it for a public hearing to be held in September 1988; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that advertising the list will be considered at that meeting.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy presented his report for the month of July, 1988, along with a Yearly Report from July 1, 1987 to June 30, 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

There was no report due to Mr. Brooks being out on sick leave with Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES

Mr. Dewey Harrison asked Mrs. King Talley about the Food Stamp ID Cards. He understood that a Food Stamp ID Card must be presented when using Food Stamps. Mrs. Talley said she would put a flyer in the mail, reminding recipients of this.

IN RE: RECREATION

Ms. Fran Hart presented her report for the month of July, 1988.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE -- ISSUANCE OF $700,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, advised the County had received a letter from Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates advising the County needed to borrow up to $700,000 in September and needed permission to advertise for a public hearing. Mr. Barton also presented his recommendations for budget reductions. The Board wished to defer his recommendations on budget reductions until all members were present.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator be authorized to advertise for a Public Hearing to be held August 17, 1988, a proposed resolution entitled "Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Revenue Anticipation Notes of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, in the Maximum Amount of $700,000."

IN RE: AMENDMENT A-88-29 - FIRE PREVENTION CODE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, July 20 and July 27, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance amending Chapter 10 of the Dinwiddie County Code by adopting Sections 10-19 thru 10-22 designating the Public Safety Department of Dinwiddie County as the enforcing agency for the enforcement of the Statewide Fire Prevention Code and the Dinwiddie County Fire Marshal as the enforcing official and further establishing the Crater Planning District Regional Building Code Appeals Board as the appeals board for the Statewide Fire Prevention Code in Dinwiddie County.

No one spoke in favor of or against the ordinance.

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, requested the Board postpone action until all members were present.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the public hearing on amendment A-88-29 was continued to the August 17, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: A-88-30 - ADMISSIONS TAX

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday July 20 and July 27, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an amendment to Chapter 10 of the Dinwiddie County Code by amending the Admissions Tax to specify events and occasions to which the Admission Tax applies.

No one spoke in favor of or opposition to the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following changes to Chapter 19, Article X, and in all other respects be reordained.

That a new section be added to Chapter 19 as follows:

Sec. 19-120.1. Application.

For the purpose of this Chapter, an event shall be defined as an occurrence, affair or other occasion, whether occurring sporadically or on a regular basis. To be subject to the tax hereby imposed, admission must be charged for attendance at the event. Examples of events included in this definition are dances, athletic competitions, automobile races, horse races, music festivals, and/or other happenings or gatherings at which admission is charged for attendance and shall also include events, whether sporadic or regularly occurring, at establishments and places of business which charge a cover charge or admissions charge for the right to attend, such as dance halls, bars, theater performances, whether live or by tape or film, carnivals or circuses, recreation facilities and amusement parks.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE HEALTH CENTER

Upon the request of Richard Barton, this action was postponed until the next meeting.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE -- LANDFILL ORDINANCE

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented a proposed ordinance dealing with public and private landfills and

collection systems, along with a copy of a proposed contract for the rental of bulk trash containers for commercial use and a set of regulations for the use of these containers.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Jim Cornwell be authorized to advertise for a public hearing on September 7, 1988, a proposed ordinance dealing with public and private landfills and collection systems; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that after the public hearing, contractual agreements on dumpster rental, landfill regulations and operating hours will be considered.

IN RE: PERMISSION TO ADVERTISE -- DEFINITION OF TARGET RANGE

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised that the Sheriff's Office had requested he change the ordinance and redefine target ranges. He stated he would be working with the Sheriff's office on this and needed permission to advertise for a public hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. Jim Cornwell be authorized to prepare and advertise for a Public Hearing, an amendment to the ordinance on the definition of a Target Range to be held in September, 1988.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE -- DEFINITION OF HOG FARM

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, requested permission to redefine hog farm as a commercial type operation, being a primary operation, not secondary to agricultural. He advised he had to refer this to the Planning Commission first as this would change the Zoning Ordinance. He asked permission to proceed and advertise for a public hearing as soon as possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Jim Cornwell be authorized to prepare an amendment to the ordinance redefining hog farms and advertise for a public hearing to be held September 7, 1988.

IN RE: HARDSHIP CASES - MOBILE HOMES

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the Planning Commission be requested to study the placement of a temporary mobile home in Residential areas for hardship cases, on a case by case basis, and bring their recommendation back to the Board.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Richard Barton, County Administrator, asked on behalf of T. O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth's Attorney, permission to attend the semi-annual Commonwealth's Attorneys Convention to be held in Virginia Beach, VA from August 4-6, 1988.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that T. O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth's Attorney be authorized to attend the semi-annual Commonwealth's Attorneys Convention, with reimbursement of convention fee and mileage only, not to exceed $200.00.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT A CALLED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AUGUST 9, 1988, 6:20 P.M., DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA

PRESENT:	G. E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	H. A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	E.A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	J.E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
	RICHARD BARTON	COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
	R.J. EMERSON, JR.	DIRECTOR OF PLANNING
ABSENT:	A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	C.W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: REVIEW OF PROPOSED LANDFILL SITE

The group met at the intersection of Rt. 40 and U.S. Rt. 1 at 6:20 P.M., where Mr. Moody met them to make a quorum.

The Chairman called the meeting to order. The group proceeded to inspect the proposed landfill site at the intersection of Rt. 609 and Rt. 40. The Board members asked various questions of Mr. Melvin Davis, Jr. and the engineers from Dewberry & Davis.

After driving through the proposed site, the Board proceeded to the Nottoway Restaurant where maps were displayed and discussed. The engineers pointed out the state requirements.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:40 P.M.

George E. Robertson

GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR.
CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: Richard Barton
RICHARD BARTON
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 17th DAY OF AUGUST, 1988, at 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT 2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT 4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT 1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT 2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT 3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay "abstaining", the minutes of the August 3, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay "abstaining", the minutes of the August 9, 1988 Called Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay "abstaining",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks 1499 thru 1623 and totaling $91,303.89: General Fund - $68,803.74; E911 Fund - $5,080.88; Self Insurance Fund - $2,085.27; Capital Projects - $15,334.00.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

The following citizens addressed the Board:

1. Mrs. Kay Winn spoke regarding the called meeting of August 9, 1988 and advised of her concerns as to how the meeting was advertised and the public notified.

2. Mr. William J. Phipps voiced his concern about borrowing money and wanted to know the plans for doing so.

3. Mr. Ronald Abernathy addressed the Board on the proposed Landfill in the southern part of the County. He advised that a group of citizens had organized a group called "Citizens Opposed to Regional Dump" and were having a meeting on Monday evening, August 22, 1988. He advised Melvin Davis was also going to have a meeting on August 23 to answer questions about the Landfill, and Mr. Abernathy asked the Board to a present at this meeting so they could hear the public's questions and Mr. Davis' answers regarding the Landfill. Aubrey Clay advised he would be there; the remaining Board and the County Administrator would be in Charlottesville at a conference.

4. David Lucas inquired as to where the $2 million had been spent and wanted to know who was responsible for letting departments overspend. He also suggested collecting taxes quarterly if this would help the cash flow problem.

Mr. Robertson read the following estimated 1987-88 budget overexpenditures which are now under audit:

	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
Clerk of the Court	$ 24,225	$ 51,156
County Attorney	$ 41,227	$ 53,031
Sheriff	$792,997	$975,749
Jail	$ 76,300	$129,337
Fire & Rescue	$ 99,200	$139,279
Building Inspector	$ 26,384	$ 32,265
Animal Control	$ 44,429	$ 66,989
Street Lighting	$ 37,250	$ 40,866
Landfill	$201,635	$333,747
General Properties	$143,588	$157,585
Planning & Zoning	$ 51,598	$ 63,920
Airport	$ 70,000	$114,617

Mr. Robertson advised that with the County's new computer, it may be easier to collect taxes more than once a year and they are looking into this.

4. Jenny Perkins asked the Board if they postponed the Landfill meeting, could all members be present. Mr. Robertson advised that whenever three or more Board members met, it was considered a called meeting and the press had to be notified.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were made to the agenda:

1. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, requested to add to the agenda, permission to advertise an ordinance for reimbursement of expenses involving hazardous wastes.

2. King Talley, Director of Social Services, requested to add to the agenda, authorization to sign the State and Local Hospitalization Contracts.

3. Jim Cornwell requested an Executive Session to discuss legal and personnel matters.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - P-88-7 - C. W. HARRISON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, August 3 and August 10, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider an Application for Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, submitted by C. W. Harrison, to rezone land Parcel 21-74E from Residential, Limited, R-1 to Business, General, B-2. The property is located on U.S. Route 1, South of the Airport, in the Rohoic District.

Mr. Harrison requested this change because Residential, Limited, District R-1 does not allow restaurants as a permitted use. The property currently is being used as a restaurant and has been used for this purpose since 1968. This request is basically a "housekeeping" measure. The trend along the Route 1 corridor is towards business use.

The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of this request at its July 13, 1988 meeting.

Mr. Harrison stated he would abstain from the discussion or action on this request due to a possible conflict of interest.

Mr. Gilbert Charboneau spoke in favor of the rezoning. No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstaining",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Section 21, Parcel 74E, of the Dinwiddie County Zoning Maps, containing 1.51 acres, be amended by changing the district classification from Residential, Limited R-1 to Business, General, B-2. Said property is located on U.S. Route 1, Rohoic District. In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-29 - FIRE PREVENTION CODE

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, presented an ordinance amending Chapter 10 of the Dinwiddie County Code by adopting Sections 10-19 thru 10-22 designating the Public Safety Department of Dinwiddie County as the enforcing agency for the enforcement of the Statewide Fire Prevention Code and the Dinwiddie County Fire Marshal as the enforcing official and further establishing the Crater Planning District Regional Building Code Appeals Board as the appeals board for the Statewide Fire Prevention Code in Dinwiddie County.

The public hearing for this amendment was continued from the August 3, 1988 meeting so all members could be present. At that time, no one spoke in favor of or against the ordinance. Since this was continued, it was not necessary to readvertise. Again, no one spoke in favor of or against the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that pursuant to Chapter 9 of Title 27, Code of Virginia, the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition to Chapter 10, and in all other respects be reordained.

That a new Article be added to Chapter 10 as follows:

ARTICLE III FIRE PREVENTION CODE

Sec. 10-19 - Official Action: The Public Safety Department of Dinwiddie County is hereby designated to act as the enforcing agency for the enforcement of "the Statewide Fire Prevention Code" duly adopted by the Virginia Board of Housing and community Development under authority of Section 27-97, Code of Virginia.

Sec. 10-20 - Enforcement: The enforcement procedures of "The Statewide Fire Prevention Code" shall be instituted by the fire marshal when an unsafe condition is discovered by the fire marshal and administered in accordance with the provisions set forth in the "Statewide Fire Prevention Code" and Section 10-22 of this Code.

Sec. 10-21 - Appeals: The Crater Planning District Regional Building Code Appeals Board is hereby designated as the Appeals Board to hear appeals arising from the application of the provisions of "the Statewide Fire Prevention Code".

Sec. 10-22 - Administrative Practices: The Dinwiddie County Fire Marshal shall establish such procedures or requirements as may be necessary for administration and enforcement of this ordinance.

IN RE: 1988-89 BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS

Richard Barton, County Administrator, presented his 1988-89 Budget Recommendations which would cut the budget $343,897. George Robertson recommended a brief Executive Session

to go over these cuts with some of the department heads and agencies that would be affected.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) and (6), of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:33 p.m. to discuss legal and personnel matters.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting reconvened into open session at 9:05 p.m.

IN RE: CONTINUATION OF 1988-89 BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following amendments be authorized to the 1988-89 Budget totalling $343,897.

BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS

ADDITIONAL REVENUES:

DCWA (Real Estate)	$ 7,951	
Airport (Real Estate)	23,753	
E911: Admin Overhead	18,000	
Non-interest loan payment	25,000	
		$74,704

BUDGET REDUCTIONS:

Self Insurance		$25,000
Part-Time Help		
Administration	$ 2,500	
Commissioner of Revenue	4,000	
Treasurer	2,500	
Building Inspections	4,000	
Animal Warden	3,000	
Sanitation	5,000	
Sheriff	2,000	
General Properties	3,000	
Board of Elections	1,000	
		$27,000
Dues & Subscriptions		
Revenue Sharing Handbook	16	
National Assoc. of Counties	431	
Personnel Manual: Personnel	400	
Handicapped Handbook	113	
Legislative Subscription	450	
State & Local Directories	71	
Petersburg Chamber of Commerce	150	
		$ 1,631
Public Works Professional Services		$35,000
DARE Program		
Salaries	$18,000	
Car Rental	3,720	
		$21,720
Cleaning Contract		$10,000

Travel			
	County Administration	$ 1,000	
	Planning	1,000	
	Commissioner of Revenue	1,000	
	Treasurer	300	
			$ 3,300
Social Services Programs			
	Foster Grandparents	$ 5,900	
	Southside Va. Legal Svc	3,122	
			$ 9,022
Industrial Development			
	ABIDCO	$ 6,520	
	Expenses	3,000	
	Airport	20,000	
			$29,520
Capital Projects			
	Jail Facility	$100,000	
	Dog Pound	7,000	
			$107,000
TOTAL BUDGET REDUCTIONS			$269,193
ADDITIONAL REVENUES			74,704
			$343,897

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- $700,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on Wednesday, August 3, 1988 and Wednesday, August 10, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the issuance of $700,000 tax anticipation notes.

No one appeared in support.

The following people spoke in opposition and/or asked questions: Mr. W. J. Phipps, Mrs. Kay Winn, Mr. Jack Mayes.

There being no other input, the public hearing was closed.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO BORROW $490,000 INTERNALLY

The County Administrator stated that the Board of Supervisors issued $1.76 million in tax anticipation notes in April of this year and now they are being requested to authorize the issuance of an additional $490,000 to cover expenses until taxes are collected. He then read the following memorandum which states his recommendation:

"NOBODY BELIEVES WE'RE BROKE!

I have a serious reservation about borrowing any additional funds, but Bill Jones's projections indicate that he cannot pay the payroll without borrowing money, from the estimates he gave the Board in the last agenda showing a six-month anticipated need.

The stock market is now very erratic and the prime rate, as you know, just increased. Finance people tell me that if we are going to borrow money, it should be done as soon as possible. Since their predictions are that interest rates will continue to escalate until after the first of the year, leveling off at between 10% and 11%.

I would like for the Board to think about borrowing internally, mainly to save the interest cost. We have, as of

July 1, in the self-insurance fund, $1,071,528.46 that can be used if we find it necessary. The largest demand on this account was approximately $19,000 year before last, and this past year it was approximately $30,000. This could save $34,440 if we can pay the loan back in 6 months and that's a lot of money!"

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer funds as needed from the Self-Insurance Fund to cover authorized expenses up to a maximum of $490,000.

IN RE: RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, IN THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF $490,000

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), issued its $1,760,000 Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series of 1988A, on April 27, 1988; and

WHEREAS, it appears necessary for the County to borrow additional funds in anticipation of the collection of revenues of the County for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1988;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. It is hereby determined to be necessary and expedient for Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the County), to borrow additional money in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues of the County and issue its general obligation notes therefor.

2. Pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including Sections 15.1-546 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, there are hereby authorized to be issued revenue anticipation notes of the County in the maximum amount of $490,000 in anticipation of the collection of taxes and other revenues of the County for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1988.

3. The notes shall bear such date or dates, mature at such time or times not later than December 15, 1988, bear interest at such rate or rates not to exceed the maximum rate authorized by law at the time the notes are sold, be in such denominations and form, be executed in such manner and be sold at such time or times and in such manner as the Board of Supervisors may hereafter provide by appropriate resolution or resolutions.

4. The notes shall be general obligations of the County for the payment of principal and interest on which its full faith and credit shall be irrevocably pledged.

5. The sole purpose of borrowing the additional funds and issuing the notes in the amount of $490,000 will be to repay any funds borrowed internally from the self-insurance fund.

6. This resolution shall be in full force and effect from its passage.

IN RE: REJECTION OF QUOTES--$700,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr.

Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the following quotes were received on the issuance of $700,000 tax anticipation notes:

FinCorp - 6.99%; Bank of Southside VA - 7.95%;
Crestar - 9.25%; and

WHEREAS, by action of the Board of Supervisors as of this date, the funds will be borrowed internally from the Self-Insurance Fund; and

WHEREAS, authorization has been given to borrow $490,000 in tax anticipation notes if it becomes necessary for the purpose of repaying the Self Insurance Fund; and

WHEREAS, if this issuance of tax anticipation notes becomes necessary, quotes from financial institutions will be solicited at that time;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA rejects the quotes received on August 12, 1988 for $490,000 tax anticipation notes.

IN RE: VDH&T -- ACCEPTANCE OF ROADS, MANSFIELD SUBDIVISION

EXTRACT

Mr. Harold Dyson of the Virginia Department of Transportation advised Bancroft Drive, Gaydell Drive and Mansfield Drive in Mansfield Subdivision was ready to be classified as a secondary system.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of Transportation, be and is hereby, requested to add a section of road known as Mansfield Drive, beginning at a point on Route 601, 0.05 miles east of Route 1353 and running in a southerly direction 0.08 miles to the intersection of Gaydell Drive. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of Transportation, be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Bancroft Drive, beginning at a point on Route 601, 0.07 miles east of Route 1355 and running in a southerly direction 0.08 miles to the intersection of Gaydell Drive. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of Transportation, be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Gaydell Drive, beginning at a point on Bancroft Drive, 0.08 miles south of Route 601 and running in an easterly direction 0.38 miles to a dead end with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that these roads in Mansfield Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia, a minimum unrestricted right of way of 50' with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage, as recorded in Plat Book 13, Pages 25 & 26 dated April 23, 1986 for Section Two and Plat Book 13, Page 76, dated September 15, 1987 for Section Three.

IN RE: GRADING/CLEARING AIRPORT PROPERTY

Mr. Horace Davis presented an invoice for $12,110 which represented the balance due on grading and clearing land at the Airport. The County previously paid Mr. Davis $31,200 for that property which is located to the South of the Terminal Building. The invoice reflected the work he did on the property between the Water Authority building and the Ink Company. Mr. Davis requested payment of the invoice, as well as a survey of the property south of the Terminal Building. He said this is necessary to properly determine if he has billed the County correctly for the work done on that property.

When questioned about the invoice, Mr. Davis advised that the previous County Administrator had instructed him to do the work.

The Chairman instructed the Assistant County Administrator and the County Attorney to investigate the matter further and bring their recommendation back to the Board.

IN RE: 977 TRAXCAVATOR

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, advised that the undercarriage to the bulldozer needed to be repaired. He presented seven bids for the repair work and advised the money is allocated in his budget for this work.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bracey voting "nay",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Amelia Equipment Repair is authorized to repair the 977 Traxcavator at a cost of $10,579.60, plus $200 leeway if needed, but not to exceed $10,779.60. This includes a warranty on parts and labor.

IN RE: COMMUNITY CERTIFICATION COMMITTEE

Action on the resolution to appoint a Community Certification Committee was deferred at the July 20, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that each member of the Board of Supervisors is authorized to appoint two people from their district to serve on the Community Certification Committee, with the recommendations to be brought back to the Board at the September 7, 1988 meeting.

IN RE: BAN OF CERTAIN PLASTIC PRODUCTS

Richard Barton, County Administrator, presented a resolution recommending a ban on certain plastic products.

Mr. Moody said the idea was good but the economics were not there and he could not support the resolution.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the resolution to ban certain plastic products was deferred.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:45 to discuss legal and personnel matters.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting reconvened into open session at 10:48.

IN RE: RACEWAY ENGINEERING AND WATER AND SEWER EXTENSIONS

Richard Barton, County Administrator advised he had met with Roger Hart, Engineer with R. Stuart Royer & Associates, to discuss water and sewer extensions for the Dinwiddie Raceways. Dinwiddie County Water Authority is not in a position to borrow money for the extensions. Therefore, the County needs to take action or it may lose the Raceway. The developer has agreed to pay one-half of the $2.6 million if the County will proceed with the engineering to expedite the project.

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstaining",

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator be authorized to take the necessary steps to issue revenue bonds totalling $2.6 Million for the construction of a water tower and extension of sewer facilities to the Dinwiddie County Raceway property, so long as there is no financial loss to the County.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AN ORDINANCE FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INVOLVING HAZARDOUS WASTES

Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised that Jim Rice, the Public Safety Director, had requested he write an ordinance for reimbursement of expenses involving the cleanup of hazardous wastes and requested permission to advertise for a public hearing to be held during September.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. Jim Cornwell be authorized to prepare and advertise for a Public Hearing, an ordinance for reimbursement of expenses involving hazardous wastes incidents to be held in September, 1988.

IN RE: STATE AND LOCAL HOSPITALIZATION CONTRACTS

Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services, presented contracts to be signed for the area hospitals servicing the area. She was still waiting for the contract from John Randolph Hospital. The following hospital rates per day were presented:

	In-Patient	Out-Patient
Southside Regional Medical Ctr	$357.68	$243.22
Greensville Memorial	$308.74	$209.94
Medical College of Virginia	$539.44	$366.82

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the Chairman be authorized to sign the State and Local Hospitalization Contracts for 1988-89 as presented.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:05 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the August 17, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, Checks 1624 - 1731: Payroll - $165,192.78; Checks 1732 - 1786: General Fund - $20,450.95.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mr. Richard Taylor, Chairman of the Dinwiddie Airport & Industrial Authority addressed the Board for two reasons. The first being to invite the Board and the public to attend the Airport Authority meetings that are held the fourth Tuesday each month at 7:30 p.m. at the Airport Terminal Building and to participate in the meetings. The second reason he addressed the Board was to clarify newspaper articles that appeared in local papers after the August 17, 1988 Board Meeting addressing information on expenditures in and around the Airport since the Airport Authority was created. Reference was made to over-expenditures by the Airport Authority and Mr. Taylor pointed out that a large percentage of the expenditures were funded by the Federal Aviation Administration and the Virginia Department of Aviation. He praised the Airport members and the Board of Supervisors for their foresight in making these improvements and emphasized that these improvements were made at the Airport to promote industry which benefits the County as a whole.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

There were no amendments to the agenda.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mrs. Deborah M. Marston reported that to date, $31,932.89 had been collected from the Transient Occupancy Tax, Admissions Tax and Business License Tax. The deadline for the Business License is September 15 and there are about 100 businesses that still have not applied for a permit. After September 15, there will be a penalty for any business that has not purchased a license.

IN RE: TREASURER - FILING FEE FOR DELINQUENT LAND SALES

Mr. W. E. Jones advised he had a bill from Richard Jones who is the Attorney handling the Delinquent Land Sales. He requested authorization to issue a check for $235.00 for filing fees for the

delinquent land sales prepared by Attorney Richard Jones. The listings have to be filed by September 13 in order for them to meet the deadline. The filing fee charges will be recollected at the time of sales.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that W. E. Jones, Treasurer, is authorized to issue a check in the amount of $235.00 for filing fees with the Clerk of the Court for delinquent land sales.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. Jones presented his report for the month of August, 1988.

Mr. Jones stated that since the last Board meeting he wondered if his reports were understood. He also advised that hopefully by October 1 the Treasurer's report will be computerized. He then proceeded to review and explain each of his reports: Trial Balance Report; Statement of Receipts and Disbursements of the County General Fund; Statement of Receipts and Disbursements of the School Funds; Statement of Investments; and finally a new report " Cash In Banks".

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, presented his report for the month of August, 1988. New dwellings totalled 31, which is the largest ever for the County for one month.

Mr. Abernathy advised he had received a lot of public input regarding his over-expenditure as reported at the last Board meeting. He wanted to emphasize that his budget was $23,000, he expended $33,000; however, he did take in $48,000.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, reported that school opened September 6 and in general things were very smooth. He reported opening day students totalled $3,499 and to date there were 3,566. He had projected 3560 students.

IN RE: SANITATION

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, reported that one side of the undercarriage of the 977 Traxcavator has been installed. Also, his Heavy Equipment Operator was hurt last Friday and will be out until next month.

Mr. Loftis advised since his Heavy Equipment Operator was out and he needed to hire someone to come in and help apply one foot of soil to approximately 5-1/2 to 6 acres and to have approximately 14 acres seeded. The State Department of Solid Waste requires this to be done by October 1. He has been trying to do the work in-house. Mr. Loftis had two bids on the work to be done.

Mr. Robertson advised him to review the figures with Mr. Barton and make a recommendation to the Board at the next meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation reported on the progress over the summer. He stated the following projects had been completed and all work done by State employees before school went back into session: Replacement of Reedy Creek Bridge on Rt. 650, replaced deck flooring on Rt. 647 over Stony Creek, hard surfacing of Rt. 743 at Sutherland, and both sections of Route 666. The bridge replacement on Rt. 672 is under construction and

he anticipates the completion date of December 1, 1988. This is being built by a contractor.

Mr. Neblett was asked about the truck entrance and truck traffic entering Boydon Plank Road at the Concrete Plants. He advised he would check into the problem with the trucks entering the highway.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COUNTY FINANCIAL POSITION - SPENCER ELMORE

Mr. Spencer Elmore, of the firm Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, appeared before the Board to address his concerns about the publicity the County has received recently on borrowing additional revenues and he would like to set the record straight. He advised that the deficit of $2.4 Million as reported in the paper was totally erroneous. This is not a statement of financial position. He stated what the County had was a projected cash flow shortage of an estimated amount approximating $2.4 Million.

The County borrowed $1.76 million in April of this year.

He said that in July it was thought the County may have to borrow an additional $700,000 in October and this is what prompted the public hearing, to facilitate borrowing up to that amount. However, since that time, reimbursements for school construction projects through the Literary Fund loan and the Bond issue have been received and it looks now as if the borrowing will not be necessary until early next year.

Mr. Elmore explained to the Board the need for the County to have a $2,000,000 reserve, or 20% of its operating budget to cover the County's expenses when income is not coming in..

Preliminary audit results of last year's budget show the County has a General Fund deficit of $66,302. He advised the Board that if the present year budget works out exactly as adopted, the County could be faced with a $238,000 deficit at June 30, 1989. He urged the County to continue the belt tightening of expenditures and devote new revenues to building its reserve.

Mr. Elmore added that tax collections are over 99%, the highest in the past ten years.

The County Administrator asked about borrowing next year. Mr. Elmore stated the County was probably looking at February or March of next year. However, if the County does not build up its reserve, it will be faced with having to borrow each year.

IN RE: RESOLUTION REQUIRING MONTHLY EXPENDITURE REPORTS

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following Resolution requiring monthly expenditure reports was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie finds itself in financial difficulties; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County appropriated the operating budget for the County for the fiscal year of 1988-89; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors desires to insure that no agency, board, department or constitutional officer receiving funds from Dinwiddie County expend any additional funds over or above the amount appropriated within their budgets;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Fiscal Officer for the County of Dinwiddie is henceforth directed to prepare and submit monthly reports to each agency head, board chairman, department head and constitutional officer detailing total expenditures to date, expenditures for the

previous month and total remaining budgeted funds and report the same to the Board of Supervisors on its first meeting of each month; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that no agency head, board chairman, department head or constitutional officer shall approve, expend or agree to expend any sum or amount over or above the the amount appropriated by the Board without formal consent of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County.

A copy of this Resolution shall be delivered to each agency head, board chairman, department head or constitutional officer receiving funds from the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-31 - HOG FARM DEFINITION

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, August 24 and August 31, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an amendment to Section 22-1 of Article I of Chapter 22, replacing the definition of "Hog Farm".

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the amendment. The Planning Commission unanimously approved this amendment at its August 24, 1988 meeting.

No one spoke in favor of the amendment.

The following spoke in opposition to the amendment - R. C. Clarke, Granville Maitland, Alvin Blaha. Mr. Richard Earl, Sr. questioned the process. The County Attorney stated he discussed the definition with the County Extension Agent at great length. Mr. Robertson asked if the Dinwiddie Farm Bureau would make a recommendation. The public hearing was closed.

Mr. Harrison made a motion that the amendment be adopted as proposed but that the staff be further requested to review the definition and return to the Board later with clarifying language. Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bracey voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following change to Chapter 22, Article I, and in all other respects be reordained:

That the definition of "Hog Farm" be deleted and is replaced with the following new definition:

"Hog Farm" - A farm or establishment upon which hogs are placed, kept, produced, raised or bred for sale.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-32 - SOLID WASTE ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, August 24 and August 31, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance amending Chapter 17 of the Dinwiddie County Code by adopting Section 17, Solid Waste.

Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, summarized the ordinance.

No one spoke in favor of the ordinance.

The following spoke in opposition to the ordinance: William Phipps, Ronald Abernathy, Kay Winn, Richard Earl, Jack Mayes, Douglas Reese, Linda Clarke, Gloria Bain, Billy Bain, Dick Farrington, Gilbert Charboneau, Mary Dunn Conover, and Allen Alexander by telephone call.

A short recess was declared at 10:05 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 10:15 p.m.

The County Attorney summarized the reasons he was asked to draft the ordinance, mainly to respond to existing problems.

Mr. Ronnie Abernathy, representing CORD, stated his group would agree to adoption of the ordinance if Section 17-12 were deleted, along with all reference to private landfills.

The County Attorney emphasized that the ordinance creates another permit requirement for a landfill operation. A request for a landfill can move forward with or without the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the proposed ordinance was adopted with Section 17.12 deleted and all other reference to private landfills deleted.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, THAT THE Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to Section 17 of Chapter 17, and in all other respects be reordained:

SOLID WASTE.

For state law as to authority of county to provide for trash removal, see Code of Va. Sect. 15.1-11. As to authority of county to regulate disposal services, see Code of Va. Sec. 15.1-28.1

Sec. 17-1. Definitions.
Sec. 17-2. Use of streets; vacant property for disposal of refuse.
Sec. 17-3. Transporting rubbish in open vehicle.
Sec. 17-4. Incineration of refuse.
Sec. 17-5. Burning of leaves.
Sec. 17-6. Proper disposal of refuse--By county residents.
Sec. 17-7. Same--By business firms.
Sec. 17-8. Injury to public health or safety.
Sec. 17-9. Permits for licensed haulers of refuse.
Sec. 17-10. Sanitary landfills.
Sec. 17-11. Disposition of bulk trash containers.
Sec. 17-12. Reserved.
Sec. 17-13. Violations; penalties.

Sec. 17-1. Definitions.

For the purpose of this chapter, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them by this section.

Agricultural waste. Solid waste resulting from the production of farm or agricultural products before processing.

Commercial waste. All solid waste emanating from establishments engaged in business. This category includes, but is not limited to, solid waste resulting from the operation of stores, mobile home parks consisting of ten or more mobile homes, markets, office buildings, restaurants, shopping centers, and theatres.

Garbage. All offal and refuse; animal and vegetable matter.

Garbage and trash collectors and refuse removers. All persons engaged in the business of
picking up garbage and trash of any description by truck or other vehicle for the delivery to a sanitary landfill area as may be permitted by law.

Hazardous waste. A solid waste or combination of solid waste which, because of its quantity, concentration or physical, chemical or infectious characteristics, may:

1. Cause or significantly contribute to an increase in mortality or an increase in serious irreversible or incapacitating illness; or

2. Pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health or the environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of, or otherwise managed.

Incineration. The destruction of solid waste by burning in a furnace designed for the purpose wherein solid waste is essentially reduced to ash, carbon dioxide, and water vapor.

Industrial waste. All solid waste resulting from manufacturing and industrial processes such as, but not limited to, those carried on in factories, processing plants, refineries, slaughterhouses, and steel mills.

Institutional waste. All solid waste emanating from institutions such as, but not limited to, hospitals, nursing homes, orphanages, schools, and universities, public or private.

Open dump. An unregulated disposal site that is operated without required daily compaction and cover.

Prohibited waste. Those materials which, because of their inherent nature or quantities, require special handling during disposal to avoid creating environmental damage or hazards to public health or safety. Prohibited waste includes, but is not limited to, such items as petroleum waste; paints; explosives; acids; caustics; toxic substances; poisons; drugs; radio-active materials; asbestos fibers; imported wool fibers; pathologic wastes from hospitals, sanatoriums, nursing homes, clinics, and veterinary hospitals; waste from slaughterhouses, poultry processing plants; automobile tires which have not been shredded or split; and the like. Residential solid waste normally contains some prohibited materials, but because such materials are usually present in very small quantities, their safe disposal either in a sanitary landfill or incinerator presents no special problem. Therefore, residential waste is not considered to be "prohibited" within the meaning of "prohibited waste" as used in this chapter.

Refuse. All solid and semiliquid waste of a community, including garbage, ashes, rubbish, street cleanings and solid market and industrial wastes, but not including human or animal body wastes.

Residential wastes. Solid wastes resulting from household operations, including tree trimmings and lawn trimmings.

Sanitary landfill. A land site on which engineering principles are utilized to bury deposits of solid waste without creating nuisances or hazards to public health or safety.

Solid waste. Garbage, refuse, and other discarded solid materials, including solid-waste materials resulting from industrial, commercial, and agricultural operations, and from community activities, but does not include solid or dissolved material in domestic sewage or other significant pollutants in water resources, such as silt, dissolved or suspended solids in industrial waste water effluents, dissolved material in irrigation return flows, or other common water pollutants.

Solid waste disposal system. The method of disposal of solid wastes.

Trash. Any and all rubbish, cans, bottles, containers, refuse, paper, cardboard or any other like waste or discarded materials of any inorganic nature.

Sec. 17-2. Use of streets; vacant property for disposal of refuse.

No person shall dump or dispose of, or leave or cause to be thrown any rubbish, tin cans, trash, tires, construction material, land clearing debris, garbage, prohibited waste, hazardous waste or other waste substance, or materials in or upon and along any street, road, highway, park, or alley in the county, nor shall any person dispose of, dump, or throw any rubbish, tin cans, trash, tires, construction material, land cleaning debris, garbage, prohibited waste, hazardous waste, or any other waste material or substance upon any vacant lots or any other property in the county except that which shall be so designated by the board of supervisors.

Sec. 17-3. Transporting rubbish in open vehicles.

No person shall transport any rubbish, tin cans, trash, garbage, or any other waste or refuse substance, in an open or uncovered vehicle, along the streets, roads, or highways in the county, unless the load is completely contained within bags, boxes or other containers or covered by a tarpaulin or other suitable cover, securely fastened to the body of the vehicle and of such size and shape as may be necessary to contain the entire load.

Sec. 17-4. Incineration of refuse.

Burning or incineration of refuse in the county shall be conducted in such a manner as to conform to Title 10.1, Chapter 13 of the Code of Virginia as regulated and administered by the state air pollution control board.

Sec. 17-5. Burning of leaves.

Leaves originating on the premises of private residences in residential districts may be burned on those premises; providing, that:

(a) Such burning is done between 9:00 A.M. and 9:00 P.M. and all embers are totally extinguished at the end of this period.

(b) No material will be added to the fire between 8:00 P.M. and 9:00 A. M.

(c) The location of burning is not less than one hundred feet from any occupied building unless the occupant has given prior written permission.

(d) To minimize the possibility of contaminant emission from inadvertent fires, at no time shall the fire be unattended.

(e) All burning of leaves permitted under this section shall not be commenced and shall be immediately terminated upon declaration of an alert warning or emergency stage of the air pollution episode when proclaimed by either the executive director of the state air pollution control board or his designated representative.

(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, it shall be unlawful for any person to burn leaves during the period beginning March 1 and ending May 15 of each year except as provided in section 10.1-1142 of the Code of Virginia.

Sec. 17-6. Proper disposal of refuse--By county residents.

A public sanitary landfill shall be available to county residents for the disposal of garbage and trash generated within Dinwiddie County during such hours and upon such conditions as the board of supervisors may direct.

Sec. 17-7. Same -- By business firms.

It shall be unlawful for any person engaged in the business of collecting, transporting or disposing of refuse, to dispose of the same at any location in the county other than at a public sanitary landfill

which has been established and is operated under the provisions of this chapter.

Sec. 17-8. Injury to public health or safety.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any occupant of a dwelling house, business house, or other building to store, accumulate or dump any refuse, trash, rubbish or any other waste material or substance on such premises in such quantities or in such a manner or for such a period of time as to constitute a nuisance or as to be injurious to the health or safety of the public, or potentially injurious to the health or safety of the public.

(b) All garbage shall be placed in tight containers and be kept covered until transported to a public sanitary landfill or until taken from the premises by trash or garbage collectors as defined by this chapter or otherwise disposed of as provided by law.

Sec. 17-9. Permits for licensed haulers of refuse.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person other than local governing bodies (unless he possesses a valid permit from the board of supervisors), to collect and transport refuse, garbage or trash for others for consideration. The board of supervisors may issue permits or franchises for such applicants; provided, that such permits shall be limited to persons having proper equipment and personnel to collect and transport refuse in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.

(b) Every person desiring to engage in the collection of refuse in the county shall make written application to the board of supervisors, setting forth the name, address and phone number of such person, a description of the equipment to be used in the collection of such refuse, and shall state generally the areas within the county that he proposes to serve, the frequency of collections, proposed rates, the number of vehicles to be used and the place and manner of disposal. Upon approval of such an application the board of supervisors may issue a permit to the applicant.

(c) A permit or franchise issued under this chapter may extend for a period, not in excess of one year if the holder conforms to standards established by the responsible county agencies. Should the holder fail to correct conditions and practices not in accordance with regulations set by the responsible county agency, when warned of infraction thereof, his permit is subject to revocation after written notice is made to the county administrator, the board of supervisors, and the license holder.

(d) The board of supervisors shall issue a permit upon receipt of a valid application and upon satisfactory finding that the applicant has complied with all applicable sections of this chapter. Prior to the issuance of any permit, all vehicles shall be inspected by the county health department. Such permit shall assign each vehicle covered by such permit with a number.

(e) All permits shall expire on December 31 following the date of issue and shall be renewed between January 1 and 31 of each year. The board of supervisors reserves the right not to renew such permits for any reason when such action is deemed to be in the best interests of the county.

(f) At the time of the issuance of the permit, the applicant shall pay the license fees and furnish the required bond as set forth in (g) and (h) below.

(g) It shall be unlawful for any person to engage in the business of being a refuse remover in the county without first having obtained an annual vehicle license. This license shall be issued by the commissioner of revenue for each vehicle to be used for removal or transporting upon notification from the approving authority that the vehicle is satisfactory for refuse removal or transporting and the payment of a license fee of twenty five dollars per vehicle payable to the general county fund. The license shall be renewed annually between January 1 and 31 of each year and shall not be proratable. No license

shall be issued or renewed without a permit having been issued as set forth in (d) to (f).

(h) All persons engaging in the removal or transporting of refuse from residential, commercial and industrial establishments in the county must furnish a bond payable to the county in an amount equal to not less than five hundred dollars ($500.00) for each vehicle licensed, but not to to exceed three thousand dollars ($3,000.00), with surety approved by the board and conditioned to indemnify and save harmless the county as well as any person from all expense or damage that may be incurred by such, caused by any failure to comply with the provisions of this section or neglect in the handling of refuse.

(i) Handling of refuse shall be deemed neglected when the permit holder fails to meet the frequency of collection as stated in the application for permit. If the permit holder fails to correct any such neglect or noncompliance with this section within forty-eight hours after receipt of written notice from the approving authority, the bond shall be forfeited and the principal and surety on such bond shall be required to reimburse the county or any customer or any permit holder for any expense or damage incurred as a result of such neglect or failure. Such bond shall be deposited with the treasurer of the county. The original bond shall be for a period of not less than twelve months and shall be renewed annually.

(j) The applicant shall have all vehicles used or to be used for refuse removal or transport inspected once in every six months by the county health department at a reasonable time and place within the county. In the event of an emergency requiring the immediate replacement of a vehicle, the applicant shall notify the county health department of such replacement and have the replacement vehicle inspected by the county health department within five days after its acquisition.

(k) Vehicles used for the removal or transportation of refuse shall be made available for inspection, in addition to the inspections required in (j) upon request of the responsible county agency. A reasonable time shall be provided for such inspections.

(l) Upon the issuance of a permit, the board of supervisors shall assign to all approved vehicles a permit number, which shall be permanently affixed by the applicant with the name, address, and telephone number of the applicant to both sides of the vehicle on the door of the cab or at the farthest point forward on the truck body in letters and numbers not less than four inches high, excepting that all permit numbers will be four inches high, not less nor more. The above identification shall be painted in conspicuous color contrasting with that of the vehicle.

(m) Vehicles for transporting or removing refuse must provide against refuse leaking, spilling, being blown or hurled from or deposited upon any street or public way during loading or while in transit. Two types of vehicles will be permissible:

(1) A vehicle with a watertight body, completely enclosed and covered, by construction.

(2) A vehicle with a non-watertight body, with built-in cover, or with tarpaulin or equally effective cover. Such a vehicle must have secured and covered watertight containers for all liquid or semisolid material.

(n) All vehicles must be provided with an affixed approved fire extinguisher with an ABC rating.

(o) Refuse shall be removed only during daylight hours and in such manner that it does not create a nuisance or adversely affect public health.

(p) Refuse shall be removed in such a manner and transported so that it does not spill or fall into a street or public way, nor shall it be dumped, spilled, or thrown into any street, court, lane, alley, sewer inlet, or public or private lands.

(q) No hazardous waste, prohibited waste or harmful material, including materials which are explosive , toxic, radioactive or highly combustible by nature or burning, shall be removed for disposal by the refuse remover. In the event that hazardous waste or prohibited waste is disposed of within a licensed landfill, state and local officials shall be immediately notified and every action must be taken to contain and remove said materials immediately. The "refuse remover" shall be responsible for all costs for containment and removal of any and all hazardous waste or prohibited waste under the guidance and control of state and county officials. This subsection, however, is not applicable to materials loaded and carried at the direction of public officials or public servants executing their duties in emergencies.

(r) Each vehicle used for the transportation of refuse in or through the county which is not subject to the licensing and bonding provisions of this section shall transport the refuse in such a manner as not to create a nuisance or adversely affect public health. The refuse shall not be spilled, dumped, or thrown into any street, court, lane, alley, sewer inlet, or vacant lot. Liquid and semiliquid refuse when hauled in non-watertight bodies shall be carried in watertight containers.

(s) The refuse remover shall notify in writing the board of supervisors and each customer at least thirty (30) days prior to date of fact of the refuse remover's termination and discontinuance of his business. On the date of the refuse remover's termination or discontinuance of business, the applicant will surrender his permit to the county administrator.

(t) No permit or license issued hereunder may be transferred, sold, or assigned without the express permission of the board of supervisors of Dinwiddie County granted after public hearing and any permission so granted may impose such conditions on any transfer, sale or assignment as necessary in the opinion of the board to protect the public health and safety. Should the permit or license holder be a corporation or other association, sale or transfer of a controlling interest therein shall constitute an assignment for the purpose of this provision.

Sec. 17-10. Sanitary landfills.

(a) Pursuant to Chapter XXVIII of the Regulations of the Virginia Department of Health governing disposal of solid waste, the board of supervisor's may create and establish a sanitary landfill for the express purpose of receiving and depositing solid waste materials generated within Dinwiddie County, to be operated in a manner as not to create a hazard to public health or safety.

(b) If created, the Dinwiddie County sanitary landfill will be open six (6) days each week, Monday through Saturday. The sanitary landfill shall be closed all day Sunday, except in an emergency situation. The general hours of operation of the county landfill shall be established by the Director of Sanitation, with the approval of the county administrator.

(c) The county landfill shall be established and designed to receive refuse, garbage, trash and solid waste generated within Dinwiddie County. There shall be no hauling of garbage, trash, refuse, rubbish or solid waste from outside of Dinwiddie County to the county landfill unless a fee is paid as hereinafter described. This provision shall also include those individuals or corporations residing in the county which transport garbage, trash or refuse from outlying areas not a part of the county, which garbage, trash or refuse has been generated from outside of Dinwiddie County.

(d) The operation of the county landfill shall conform to the guidelines established by the Virginia Department of Solid Waste governing the disposal of solid waste. No hazardous waste, prohibited waste or harmful material, including materials which are explosive , toxic, radioactive or highly combustible by nature or burning, shall be deposited within the county landfill. In the event that hazardous waste or prohibited waste is disposed of within the landfill, state and local officials shall be immediately notified and every action must be taken to contain and remove said materials immediately. Upon investigation,

any party who is found to have deposited such material within the landfill shall be responsible for all costs for containment and removal of any and all hazardous waste or prohibited waste under the guidance and control of state and county officials. This subsection, however, is not applicable to materials loaded and carried at the direction of public officials or public servants executing their duties in emergencies.

(e) Dead animals of any type shall not be accepted into such landfill, except that the county animal control department may be given a designated portion of the landfill property in which to bury animals euthanized in accordance with law and in that area other dead animals may be buried as allowed by state law or regulation.

(f) Residents of Dinwiddie County may deposit trash and garbage generated from their individual households and agricultural waste, not including land clearing debris, into the county sanitary landfill without charge. All commercial enterprises, businesses, industries, manufacturing concerns, private haulers of refuse operating in the county and nonresidents of the county shall be charged a fee for the use of the county sanitary landfill. The fee or charge for use of the county landfill is to be established and regulated by the Director of Sanitation, with the approval of the county administrator. The charge or fee may be based upon size of the load, number of loads brought into the landfill, by tonnage, or by cubic yards. Commercial enterprises required to pay the fee under this section shall include motels, mobile home parks, trailer parks, apartments and other entities which provide trash pickup and disposal service for their customers or tenants, as well as commercial haulers of residential waste and/or commercial waste.

(g) It is unlawful for any person, company, corporation or other entity to dump trash, refuse, solid waste or garbage anywhere in the county, whether on public or private property, except in an authorized sanitary landfill or in bulk trash containers placed by the county in accordance with the provisions of section 12-11 of this Code. Violation of this section is punishable pursuant to the penalties prescribed in Section 17-13 of this Code.

Sec. 17-11. Disposition of bulk trash containers.

(a) A solid waste disposal system consisting, in part, of bulk trash containers in sufficient quantities to serve the needs of residents of the county shall be provided where space for such containers on or near well-travelled roads in the county shall benefit the greatest number of residents.

(b) Bulk trash containers may also be made available to county business firms desiring such service as the containers may be available to the sanitation department. Bulk trash containers shall be available to local businesses on either a rental or purchase agreement, prices of which shall be established and regulated by the sanitation department. The Director of Sanitation with the consent of the County Administrator shall establish rules and regulations controlling maintenance and use of such containers.

(c) Only residents of the county, and those persons visiting or touring in the county shall be invited and encouraged to use such bulk containers for normal household and residential wastes. However, no commercial, industrial, or institutional wastes shall be placed in bulk containers for public use.

(d) Dead animals of any kind shall also be prohibited from such containers.

(e) Hazardous wastes of any nature and all prohibited wastes shall be prohibited from the above containers. Such other items as determined by the Director of Sanitation with the consent of the County Administrator may be prohibited from being placed within the bulk trash containers, provided that a list of such prohibited materials shall be posted at the site of the container.

(f) Mutilation, defacement, or damage of any nature to bulk refuse containers (including burning or setting fire to the contents of said containers) shall be a misdemeanor and shall also subject the offender to recovery for all costs of the county incurred because of such action.

(g) It shall be unlawful for any person, except an actual bona fide resident of the county, or a bona fide tourist, to deposit or place waste in or use such bulk trash containers. The words "bona fide tourist," as used herein, shall not be construed to mean or include any person who resides within fifty (50) miles of the county courthouse at Dinwiddie, Virginia.

(h) It shall be unlawful for anyone to scavenge from or within any bulk trash container or to remove any item placed therein for disposal.

Sec. 17-12. Reserved.

Sec. 17-13. Violations; penalties.

(a) Violation of any of the provisions of this chapter, except where otherwise provided, by any person shall constitute a misdemeanor and shall be punishable for the first offense by a fine of not more than three hundred dollars ($300.00) but not less than fifty dollars ($50.00) or confinement in jail for not more than thirty (30) days but not less than five (5) days, or both.

(b) Second offenses shall be punishable by a fine of not more than three hundred ($300.00) but not less than one hundred ($100.00) dollars or confinement in jail for not more than thirty (30) days but not less than ten (10) days, or both.

(c) Third offenses and all subsequent offenses shall result in a fine of three hundred dollars ($300.00) or confinement in jail for thirty (30) days, or both.

(d) Failure of any person to comply with the substantive provisions of this chapter shall constitute a separate offense for each day's noncompliance.

(e) It shall hereby be the duly of the county Director of Sanitation or his authorized representative to secure a summons against persons who are in violation of this chapter. Further enforcement shall rest with the county sheriff's department and the courts of the county.

(f) The board of supervisors requests the judge of the general district court to cause to be published in any newspaper of general circulation in the county the names of any violators of this chapter together with the nature of the violation and penalty prescribed for such violation.

IN RE: CONSIDERATION OF DUMPSTER CONTRACTS

Per the request of Mr. Bracey at an earlier board meeting, the following rules and regulations covering rented bulk trash containers were established.

RULES AND REGULATIONS COVERING RENTED BULK TRASH CONTAINERS

These rules and regulations cover bulk trash containers leased by the Sanitation Department of Dinwiddie County to commercial, industrial and business enterprises located in Dinwiddie County and the service provided by the Department in emptying bulk trash containers and removing the trash to a public or private landfill.

1. No hazardous waste shall be placed within any bulk trash container. Hazardous waste shall be defined as those materials which, because of their inherent nature or quantities, require special handling during disposal to avoid creating environmental damage or hazards to public health or safety. Hazardous waste includes, but is not limited

to, such items as petroleum waste; paints; plastics; explosives; acids; caustics; chemicals; poisons; drugs; radio-active materials; asbestos fibers; imported wool fibers; pathologic wastes from hospitals, sanatoriums, nursing homes, clinics, and veterinary hospitals; waste from slaughterhouses, poultry processing plants; and the like..

2. The lessee of the bulk trash container shall maintain a suitable location for the container on a level, hard surface near but no closer than three feet from the building to be served. Such location shall be approved by the Director of Sanitation and shall have sufficient level, hard service access for the collection truck to maneuver to empty the container without difficulty. No parking shall be allowed around the container and access for the collection truck shall not be blocked or restricted.

3. In addition to hazardous materials, the following items may not be placed within any bulk trash container: no item over four feet long; no dead animals; no building materials or supplies; no appliances, automobile parts or furniture; no tires, no tree limbs or stumps. The lessee of the bulk trash container shall be responsible to insure that no prohibited item is deposited within the container leased to him and shall prohibit the public and others from using the container, such container being supplied only for the use of the establishment to which supplied.

4. The lessee of the bulk trash container shall be responsible for the area surrounding the container to insure that no material escapes from the container, the top on the container, if supplied, is kept closed, no litter is present, no debris is hanging on outside of the container and that generally the area is kept in a clean condition with grass cut to prevent insect or rodent infestation.

5. The lessee of the bulk trash container shall be responsible for the condition of the container, shall keep it sanitized and in good, clean condition. The user shall compensate the Department and the County of Dinwiddie for any damage to the container during the period of his lease.

6. Before any bulk trash container may be supplied to any establishment a lease and service agreement must be entered into by the establishment and the Department and these rules and regulations shall become part of that agreement.

7) The Director of the Sanitation Department shall, from time to time set the rates and charges for the lease of bulk trash containers with the consent of the County Administrator of Dinwiddie County. The present rate is _____. Any change in rental rates shall be effective upon thirty days notification to the lessee who made terminate his lease upon the same notice.

Department of Sanitation
County of Dinwiddie

Received and Agreed to:

_____
Lessee

IN RE: DUMPSTER RENTAL FEES

Action on dumpster rental fees was deferred from an earlier meeting.

The Chairman asked each Board member to contact the County Administrator and give their views on the dumpster rates. Then the County Administrator and County Attorney are to establish rental fees for rented bulk trash containers and bring their recommendations back to the Board at the next meeting.

IN RE: LANDFILL HOURS

The following rules and regulations for dumpster use were presented:

The following items are not to be put in dumpsters or on the ground at the dumpster site:

1. Tree limbs
2. Dead animals
3. Building materials
4. Furniture
5. Appliances
6. Automobile parts

The following Landfill Hours for Public use were presented:

7:30 a.m. thru 3:30 p.m. - Monday thru Friday
7:30 a.m. thru 12:00 noon - Saturday

Tommy Chowder will be on duty Saturday. In the event he has to be off, Irvin Davis will be on duty.

Mr. Bracey said he would like to stagger the Landfill hours. The Board requested the County Administrator and the Director of Sanitation get together and set Landfill hours and bring their recommendation back to the Board at the next meeting. They also requested Mr. Loftis to be at the meeting in order to answer questions.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- C-88-8 - CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT -- SMITHFIELD-CARROLLS FARMS

Smithfield-Carrolls Farms has withdrawn its Conditional Use Permit application. The Planning Commission at its August 24, 1988 meeting, unanimously denied this request.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the withdrawal of the Conditional Use Permit, C-88-8 submitted by Smithfield Carrolls Farms, is accepted.

IN RE: CORD PROPOSALS

Mrs. Dorothy Roney, representing the Citizens Opposed to Regional Dumps (CORD), requested the Board of Supervisors set up a work session between CORD, the press, and the public, in order for the group to express their facts and concerns, which they feel they would be unable to do during a public hearing.

The Board advised they would take the request under advisement.

EXTRACT

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF RECOGNITION -- JAMES MAITLAND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution of recognition was adopted:

WHEREAS, James C. Maitland has served as Agricultural Extension Agent for Dinwiddie County since 1980; and,

WHEREAS, during that time Mr. Maitland has provided leadership, new and innovative programs and a high level of service to the residents of the County; and,

WHEREAS, Mr. Maitland has been honored by the National Agricultural County Agents Association by the award of its 1988 Achievement Award as the best extension agent in the State of Virginia; and,

WHEREAS, the citizens and the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County are proud of Mr. Maitland and wish to congratulate him on his receipt of this award as well as to commend him in his job performance

and the high level of services he supplies to the citizens of Dinwiddie County;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that James C. Maitland be hereby recognized for his services to the citizens of Dinwiddie County, commended for his leadership, new and innovative programs and high level of job performance and congratulated on his 1988 Achievement Award from the National Agricultural County Agents Association; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that a copy of this resolution shall be made a part of the minutes of this meeting, presented to Mr. Maitland and sent to the Virginia Cooperative Extension Service for inclusion in his personnel file.

EXTRACT

IN RE: RESOLUTION OF RECOGNITION -- LEENORA EVERETT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following Resolution of Recognition was adopted:

WHEREAS, Mrs. LeeNora Everett has reported the news and happenings in Dinwiddie County for the Richmond Times Dispatch newspaper for over 20 years; and,

WHEREAS, Mrs. Everett has done an outstanding job in keeping the citizens of Dinwiddie County informed about the events and issues concerning the County; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County has been informed that Mrs. Everett is retiring from her position as reporter with the Richmond Times Dispatch;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mrs. LeeNora Everett be recognized for her outstanding service to the citizens of Dinwiddie County, congratulated on her years of service as a news reporter, and honored for her ability and service;
and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, expresses its gratitude to Mrs. Everett for her service to the citizens of Dinwiddie County and wishes her the best in her retirement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that a copy of this resolution shall be made a part of the minutes of this meeting, presented to Mrs. Everett and sent to the Richmond Times Dispatch newspaper.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF COMMUNITY CERTIFICATION MEMBERS

The appointment of members to the Community Certification Committee was postponed at the last meeting. Each Board member was to appoint two people from their district to serve on the Committee. The following members presented their recommendations; however, action was deferred until the next Board Meeting to complete the list.

Edward Bracey (District 3)	Raymond Ellis Harold M. Walker
Aubrey Clay (District 4)	William Tucker William E. Bolte
C. W. Harrison (District #2)	Charles Crowder Ann Blazek
Harrison Moody (District #1)	Mrs. R. W. Bridgeman, Sr. Joe Fields

George Robertson (Incomplete)
(District #2)

IN RE: CLEANING SERVICE CONTRACT

Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, reported that only one bid was received for cleaning the county buildings in the amount of $23,000. The County is looking into some different alternatives for cleaning and recommended rejecting all bids.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody , Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the bids received for the cleaning Service Contract be rejected.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT -- DINWIDDIE VFD

EXTRACT

Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, presented a Target Range Application from Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department to operate a Turkey Shoot behind the Village Mart, one mile north of Dinwiddie Courthouse on U.S. Route 1. The proceeds from the activity are to benefit the Dinwiddie VFD. Mr. Rice has visited the site and recommended approval of the permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department was granted a Target Range Permit to hold Turkey Shoots behind the Village Mart, zoned B-2, one mile north of Dinwiddie Courthouse on U.S. Route 1, with all the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT -- REAMS RURITAN CLUB

EXTRACT

Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, presented a Target Range Application from Reams Ruritan Club to operate a Turkey Shoot on their property off Route 605. Mr. Rice has visited the site and recommended approval of the permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Reams Ruritan Club was granted a Target Range Permit to hold Turkey Shoots on their property located on Route 605, with all conditions stated therein.

IN RE: SPECIAL ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT

EXTRACT

Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an Application for Special Entertainment Permit submitted by George E. Williams, sponsored by the Whippernock Hunt Club, to hold a Barbecue Dinner with live music at Whippernock Marina, on September 24, 1988, from 5:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. Mr. Emerson recommended approval of the permit.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Whippernock Hunt Club is granted an Entertainment Permit to hold a BBQ Dinner on September 24, 1988 from 5:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m., with all conditions stated therein.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay "abstaining",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

IN RE: APPROVAL FOR COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL ICMA CONFERENCE

Richard Barton, County Administrator, advised that as stipulated in his contract, the International City Management Association (ICMA) conference is scheduled for October 23-27, 1988, in Charlotte, North Carolina and requested permission to attend.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Barton is authorized to attend the International City Management Association (ICMA) Conference in Charlotte, North Carolina, October 23-27, 1988.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 11:10 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 21ST DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the September 7, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks 1787 thru 1906, and totaling $103,805.78: General Fund - $97,160.17; E911 - $5,914.65; Self-Insurance - $588.31; Law Library - $142.65.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

The following citizens addressed the Board:

1. Mr. Ronnie Abernathy spoke regarding a package he had received in the mail that was disturbing to him as a citizen regarding the County Administrator. He stated that to enable the citizens of the County to reestablish their trust in County Government, he urged the Board of Supervisors to (1) make a responsible decision regarding the problem, and if they have to, to get new people in the County Administration Office to do so; and (2) to take a stand on the Landfill issue.

2. Mrs. Kay Winn also spoke about trust that the citizens no longer have for County Government due to the misleading information regarding the county deficit, the issue of the County Landfill being out of compliance, a public statement from County Officials that no contract exists with Mr. Melvin Davis, and the Solid Waste Management rules stating that if you do not make complaint within 30 days of a notice of intent filed with the County Government, that it is considered a waiver of complaint on the basis of your local ordinances. She asked the Board to go on record tonight as not being prepared to consider any type of private landfills in the County. She asked the Board to forward a copy of this to the Director, Department of Waste Management.

3. Mrs. Margaret Lewis spoke about the misleading publicity she had read regarding the deficit, the hiring freeze, travel cuts and the number of people who actually went to the LGOC in Charlottesville, and the Airport Expenses. She stated that she felt this was the time citizens needed leadership that they could rely on.

4. Mr. Jack Mayes appeared to make a public apology to Jim Cornwell and Joe Emerson regarding a statement he had made at the Planning Commission meeting on September 14, 1988, in which he stated during the public meeting that he had been purposely lied to by them in that Mel Davis was not going to appear at that meeting, when in fact he did appear and did speak. He stated that neither Mr. Cornwell nor Mr. Emerson spoke to him directly when he came into the office at 4:45 that day to inquire if anything was going to be brought before the Planning

Commission by Mr. Melvin Davis, and was advised no. However, he had talked with Mel Davis who advised him that he had informed the County Planner's Office at 4:00 that same day and spoke with him concerning making a proposal to the Planning Commission. Mr. Mayes made his public apology before the public, the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Cornwell and Mr. Emerson and said he hoped he would and the fellow citizens would receive an apology from those County Officials involved concerning the way that meeting took place.

Mr. George Robertson commended Mr. Mayes.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, admitted that the matter should have been handled better. He acknowledged that Mr. Mayes had not spoken directly to Mr. Emerson or Mr. Cornwell and that there was some misinformation given out, as there was a communications difficulty between staff. He was not involved in the fact Mr. Mayes was told that Mr. Davis was not going to appear and the Secretary was also told that he was not going to appear so it was not her fault either. He appreciated his comments and considered the matter closed with a handshake.

Mr. Emerson concurred Mr. Cornwell's comments and agreed there was some misinformation, but no one was knowingly mislead.

Mr. Robertson hoped that as a result of this greater efforts will be made to make sure that information is properly given out.

Mr. Mayes stated that he was told today that when someone goes to the Administration Building requesting an answer to a question like the one he posed, that the Secretaries will no longer answer those questions; instead they will direct the person to the Department Head.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, the following amendments were made to the agenda:

1. Health Insurance Consultant - Requested by Wendy Quesenberry.

2. Jail's Septic System - Requested by Richard Barton

3. Resolution to Rescind Action - Requested by George Robertson

4. Executive Session - Requested by George Robertson

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- P-88-10 -- ROBERT E. MAREK

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, September 7 and Wednesday, September 14, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider an Application for Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, submitted by Robert E. Marek, to rezone land parcel 20-78 from Agricultural, General, District A-2 to Business, General, District B-2. Said property is located at the intersection of Routes 226 and 460 in the Rohoic District.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning presented Mr. Robert E. Marek's request to rezone his property based on a potential sale for business use. The property is located at Rt. 460 and Rt. 226 across from the LoneStar Tarmac operation. Currently, there are large traffic volumes in the area and it appears that a business operation would not create a problem. The existing property is bounded in rear by a business piece of property and bounded on the other two sides by Routes 226 and 460. It would appear that this property does lend itself to a business, therefore there appears to be no reason to deny this request. The Highway Department will review the plan to determine whether or not a deceleration lane is needed on the property.

The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of this request at its August 3rd meeting.

No one spoke in favor of or opposition to this Amendment.

Upon motion Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Land Parcel 20-78 of the Dinwiddie County Zoning Maps, be amended by changing the district classification from Agricultural, General, District A-2 to Business, General, District B-2. Said property is located at the intersections of Route 226 and Route 460, in the Rohoic District. In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-28 -- SUBDIVISIONS - 50% BUILDING PERMIT REQUIREMENT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, September 7 and Wednesday, September 14, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider an amendment to Section 18-104, Division II, Article III of Chapter 18 of the Dinwiddie County Code.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented an amendment to the subdivision ordinance prohibiting the issuance of more than one-half (50%) of the building permits on any new street unless and until the new street has been fully constructed in compliance with all provisions of the ordinances of Dinwiddie County and requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation.

The Planning Commission, at its August 3, 1988 meeting, recommended the following ordinance:

In order to ensure the prompt construction of streets within a subdivision, building permits for no more than fifty percent (50%) of buildings to be served by any new street, public or private, shall be issued by the Building Inspector of Dinwiddie County unless and until such new street has been constructed by completion of all base materials in place in said street and further that building permits for no more than eighty percent (80%) of buildings to be served by such new street shall be issued unless and until such new street has been fully and completely constructed in compliance with all provisions of the Dinwiddie County Code and, if a public street, constructed in compliance with the requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation and officially accepted into the State system.

Mr. Emerson presented a letter from the Department of Transportation, Mr. H. J. Dyson, Assistant Resident Engineer, who supported the amendment.

The following spoke in opposition to the Amendment: Mr. Gilbert Henshaw, Mr. H. L. Henshaw, Mr. Donnie Henshaw and Mrs. Sue Henshaw.

Mr. Clay stated he had been on the Board for quite a number of years and had only seen one problem with this and felt that by holding the Bonds as in the past, things were running smoothly.

Mr. Bracey made the motion to deny the amendment, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", amendment A-88-28 to Section 18-104 of Division II, Article III of Chapter 18, was disapproved.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- C-88-7 -- CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT -- H. RANDALL WILLIAMS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, September 7, 1988 and Wednesday, September 14, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a Public Hearing to consider a Conditional Use Permit request submitted by Mr. H. Randall Williams to operate a campground on Land Parcel 33-38. The property is located in the Rowanty District off of State Route 613, and is 19.1 acres in size. The

property is zoned A-2, in which zone campgrounds with a conditional use permit is a permitted use.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the Application for Conditional Use Permit submitted by H. Randall Williams to operate a campground on his property located off Route 613, Land Parcel 33-38. Mr. Williams feels his property's proximity to the proposed location of the motor sports complex makes his proposed campground a feasible business venture.

The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of his request at their August 10, 1988 public meeting with the following conditions:

1. Subject to all provisions, rules and regulations of the Board of Health of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including provisions of construction and maintenance.

2. Subject to all provision of State Law.

3. To be occupied only by persons in camping units as defined by State Law or Board of Health regulations and only by such persons when they are involved in recreation, vacation, leisure time or travel.

4. All permanent buildings and structures in campgrounds shall be constructed under the provisions of the Uniform Statewide Building Code and the regulations of the Board of Health. Any reconstruction, alteration, conversion, or repairs required by the application of the Virginia Code and the regulations of the Board of Health shall be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Statewide Building code. Construction and alterations shall remain in conformity with the law or code under which they are constructed.

5. All water sources and sewer or septic facilities shall comply with the regulations of the Board of Health, the State Water Control Board, and, if connected to public utilities, the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

6. The campground operator shall maintain a register for each campground lot for at least the previous year which register shall be available to local law enforcement officers at the written request of the Sheriff or Captain of the State Police, and the Department of Health Commissioner, upon request, during his inspection of the campground. The register shall contain:

a. The names and addresses of each camper, owner and occupant;

b. The date of the campsite occupied;

c. The number of each camper who has on the campground a vehicle requiring a license.

7. This conditional use permit shall expire and shall be deemed to be abandoned after a period of twenty-four (24) months continuous non-use and such abandonment of the use shall render this conditional use permit null and void.

No one spoke in favor of the permit.

Continental Land Sales, Inc. submitted a letter in opposition to the permit as they intend to conduct a mining operation on the land.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that H. Randall Williams be granted a Conditional Use Permit to operate a campground on his property located off Route 613, Land Parcel 33-38 in the Rowanty District with the conditions stated therein and recommended by the Planning Commission.

IN RE: CRATER HEALTH DISTRICT - ACTIVITY REPORT

Dr. D. W. MacCorquodale, Director of the Crater Health District, presented an activity report for the Health Department of Dinwiddie County and what it does. His main issue was their concern for the County's Infant Mortality rate. He reported the Health Department offers quality maternity care which includes an immunization program, maternity care, child health program, as well as family planning. He commended the staff for their outstanding Department and introduced them to the Board.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - RT. 613

Mr. John Stewart read a letter he had submitted to the County Administrator about his concern for the unsafe road conditions of Route 613 (White Oak Road) between Route 1 and Route 661, which was enhanced by the Department of Transportation when they pulled the ditches early this summer. He advised the ditches are approximately 18" to 24" deep and there is no shoulder on the road. He also knew of six serious accidents that have occurred since the ditches were pulled along this strip of roadway and he was particularly concerned with the school bus traffic. He understood this roadway was due for upgrading in the six year plan in 1994, but he feels with the seriousness of the safety problem, it should be reconsidered.

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation, advised this road was comparable to other roads in the County that handle the same amount of traffic, including school bus traffic. He advised that when this particular road was surfaced, they widened the road by two feet, making it 18 feet wide, which eliminated the shoulders of the road. The Highway Department is looking at setting the ditches back which will require cooperation from landowners. As to the six year plan, it would still take two to three years to update the roadway, even if the project became first priority due to bidding the project out. He reemphasized that there are many other County roads just like this one.

Mr. Bracey requested Mr. Stewart work with Mr. Neblett and see if a solution could be reached.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

XTRACT

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director of Social Services, reported that her Department has received seven (7) grants since the 1988-89 budget was approved at a total of $47,730, which the County will only have to match with $3,000. She advised that the local match has already been approved within the 1988-89 Budget. She stated that some grants were 100% Federal funded and some State funded for training. Mrs. Talley requested that the Social Services Department be allowed to use the Funds within the 1988-89 budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that $47,730 in Federal and State Grants is received and appropriated for expenditure within the 1988-89 Department of Social Services Budget.

IN RE: ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

XTRACT

Mrs. King B. Talley, Director of Social Services, advised that the Department of Social Services administers the Energy Assistance Program (formerly the Fuel Assistance Program) in which they hire emergency and temporary help. She requested permission to fill these positions and advised that this will be 100% State reimbursed in the amount of $14,882.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the Department of Social Services is authorized to fill the Energy Assistance Program positions as requested and fully reimbursed by the State.

IN RE: SET-OFF DEBT RENEWAL

XTRACT

Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services requested a resolution and letter of intent for the Department of Taxation to be allowed to participate in the Set-Off Debt Collection Act. She advised they have approximately 35 clients who owe them money, and this is one way to get reimbursed if these people receive a tax refund.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye" the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the 1983 General Assembly of Virginia amended and approved Section 58-19.8 of the Code of Virginia, relating to the Set-Off Debt Collection Act to include County, City and Town governments; and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of the Act, as amended, a Virginia individual incoming tax refund can be subject to County, City and Town government claims; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Social Services has been authorized to participate in the Set-Off Debt Collection program to collect delinquent debts owing to it as an agency;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Department of Taxation be advised that the Department of Social Services wishes to participate in the Set-Off Debt Collection program; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, designates Mrs. Judy H. Andrews, Fraud Investigator, Department of Social Services, as Set-Off Debt Coordinator.

IN RE: LANDFILL - APPLICATION OF SOIL AND SEEDING WORK

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, presented an approved estimate to grade, seal, lime, fertilize and seed 14 acres at the Dinwiddie County Landfill in the amount of $4,663.00 which was approved as recommended on August 31, 1988. A bid received on the project from a contractor was $10,000.

Mr. Loftis advised that another operator will be needed to perform the loading and grading at an additional cost of $750.00, due to his tractor operator's injury. He advised the work will have to be done on weekends.

He advised that in-house equipment will be used; however, they will need to obtain bids to rent dump trucks. Mr. Cornwell advised telephone bids will be secured.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Sanitation Department is authorized to grade, seal, lime, fertilize and seed 14 acres at the Dinwiddie County Landfill at a cost not to exceed $5,413.00.

IN RE: LANDFILL CLARIFICATION

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, wanted to clear up a misunderstanding about the compliance of the Landfill Mrs. Kay Winn brought up and advised that it was his understanding that the Landfill had been open around the clock for approximately five years. He

inquired of Mr. Loftis how scavenging was prevented with it being open all the time. Mr. Loftis advised he has no one at the Landfill to prevent scavenging.

Mr. Barton read the following State Law, adopted April 1, 1971, Article II, Requirements for Sanitary Landfill Operations, Section C: "Solid waste shall be covered at the end of each day of operation with six inches of earth or approved material." Section G: "Scavenging, which is the uncontrolled removal of material from a Solid Waste Disposal site shall be prohibited." That is what he based his statement on.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, requested an Executive Session under the Freedom of Information Act Requirement that allows the discussion of matters of pending or potential litigation.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:06 p.m. to discuss legal matters. A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into open Session at 9:24 p.m.

IN RE: LANDFILL

Mrs. Kay Winn asked permission to address the Board regarding Mr. Barton's comments directed to her. She advised that she had read on the reports made by the State Inspector where it asks "Is Salvage Controlled?", the response is Yes. She wanted to point out what the report actually says.

Mr. Barton pointed out that unless the inspector is told otherwise, there is a sign at the entrance to the Landfill that says it is closed everyday at 3:30. Mrs. Winn responded that it was her understanding that the Landfill is left open because of action by the Board.

IN RE: CLEARING OF AIRPORT PROPERTY

Mr. Jim Cornwell advised Mr. Horace A. Davis has submitted three bills regarding clearing of Airport Property. One bill is for work done in December 1986 for clearing and piling at end of runways at Dinwiddie Airport for 17 hours, at $65.00 per hour for a total of $1,105.00. The second bill is for burning debris of Selective Coatings and clearing additional land at Dinwiddie Airport beside Selective Coatings site during the period of June 16-22, 1987, for 42 hours, at $70.00 per hour, for a total of $2,940.00. The third bill is for clearing and burning land between the Water Authority Building and Selective Coatings during the period of July 8, 1987 to November 7, 1987 for 114 hours, at $70.00 per hour, for a total of $7,980.00. Along with the bills, Mr. Davis has submitted an Affidavit as required by the State Statute, stating that the work was done and that the bills are correct.

Mr. T. O. Rainey, III, the Commonwealth's Attorney, and Mr. Cornwell have some concerns with the bills, and it is their recommendation that this matter be tabled so they can discuss with Mr. Davis their concerns with the bill and possibly another bill that may be owed to him for some other work at the airport.

Mr. Robertson stated the Board is not trying to elude any payment to him; they are trying to make sure it is done properly.

Mrs. Margaret Lewis was concerned about Mr. Davis being paid for the work he had done. She felt that the previous County Administrator had the backing of the Board of Supervisors when he authorized the clearing of the property. She hoped the present Board felt they were morally obligated to pay Mr. Davis.

The Board requested the Commonwealth's Attorney and the County Attorney to work on a solution and bring a recommendation back to them at the next meeting.

IN RE: STREETNAMING AND HOUSE NUMBERING ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, presented a draft copy of an ordinance on the streetnaming and house numbering system which would require the proper display of house numbers by the citizens of the County. She advised the staff was working on this ordinance to ensure the system complies with the regulations and also urged input from the Board. She requested authorization to advertise the ordinance, if finalized, for a public hearing for the October 19, 1988 meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry is authorized to prepare and advertise for a Public Hearing an ordinance to establish the streetnaming and house numbering system to be held, if ready, October 19, 1988, and if not on November 16, 1988.

IN RE: GAS & FUEL OIL BIDS - AWARD OF CONTRACT

Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, presented bids for the Gas and Fuel Oil Contract for the County and the School Board needs. She stated 11 vendors responded. The bids were evaluated on a grand total basis with the following preferences:

1. Bidder who can supply all gasoline requirements.

2. Bidder who can supply all diesel and fuel oil requirements.

Out of the 11 vendors, there was a low bid in each category. From those low bids, the following alternatives were presented:

1.	Take Mansfield Oil (gas & diesel) and Primary Oil (#2 & #4) - deal with two difference companies	$264,865.55
2.	Take Primary Oil - all services	$268,754.55
3.	Take Cargo Fuels - all services	$269,095.86

The figures given are based on quotes per gallon, multiplied by estimated usage for the year in the various categories.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated that Primary Oil had one exception to the bid -- #4 fuel oil would be "on call" rather than "automatic". The Middle School uses #4 fuel oil and the School Board indicated that the "on call" exception was not acceptable to them.

Mrs. Quesenberry recommended awarding one contract to a single vendor. Her recommendation to the Board was Cargo Fuels for the following reasons:

1. The County and School School Board's first preference was to award the contract to one bidder who can supply all requirements.

2. Our past three years experience has been very good with Cargo Fuels.

3. There is little difference between the bid of Cargo Fuels and Primary Oil.

4. Primary Oil has one exception to the bid which the School Board considered unacceptable.

The County Administrator mentioned the combination of Mansfield for gasoline and #2 fuel oil, and Woodfin for #4 fuel oil. However, this would have been contradictory to the bid evaluation criteria and only a cost difference of $1,700. He and Mrs. Quesenberry both agreed the past experience with Cargo Fuels was worth the difference.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the contract for gas and fuel oil requirements for 1988-89 with a renewal option for two additional years for the County of Dinwiddie and School Board be awarded to Cargo Fuels.

IN RE: COUNTY AUCTION - SURPLUS PROPERTY FOR COUNTY AND SCHOOL BOARD

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, advised the Board there was a need for the County to have an auction of surplus property for the County and School Board. He presented a list and requested the Board to declare all of the items as surplus. The auction is planned for Saturday, October 8, 1988 at 10:00 a.m. to be held at the School Bus Garage on Route 627.

Ms. Fran Hart, Recreation Director, requested one surplus bus be given to the Recreation Department to be used by that Department for Community and Recreation Transportation. Mr. Clay opposed this request due to insurance costs and the gas and maintenance involved. Mr. Tom VanPelt also spoke in opposition as he felt if the bus wasn't safe enough for children, it wasn't safe enough for recreation. There was no motion from the floor.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that all items listed and presented by the Public Safety Director are declared surplus property of the county and are approved for the County Auction to be held Saturday, October 8, 1988 at 10:00 a.m. at the School Bus Garage on Route 627.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, requested an Executive Session under the Freedom of Information Act Requirement for consideration of acquisition of real estate, with discussion effecting the purchase and price thereof.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(2) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:55 p.m. to discuss legal matters. A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:03 p.m.

IN RE: DEWITT SCHOOL PROPERTY

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised the Board of a controversy that exists as to the ownership of the old DeWitt School Property. There has been a claim made by a third party as far as the ownership. Three previous County Attorney's have failed to establish a Deed to the County for that property and Mr. Cornwell's purpose in coming to the Board is to ask the Board if they were interested in pursuing ownership of the property, and to give him authorization to see if he can resolve the problem and bring his findings back to them.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Attorney is authorized to work out some

arrangement on acquiring the DeWitt School Property and bring his recommendations back to the Board.

IN RE: NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised that according to the Federal Emergency Management Agency which oversees the National Flood Insurance Program, the County's ordinance is out-of-date. They have requested that we proceed to bring our ordinance up-to-date. Mr. Cornwell requested authorization to amend the County's flood plan ordinance and advertise the amendment for a Public Hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Attorney is authorized to amend the County's ordinance for the National Flood Insurance Program and advertise it for a Public Hearing.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (ABIDCO)

In a letter from Dennis K. Morris, Executive Director of ABIDCO, next year's appointment to the Board of Directors needs to be done prior to October 1. The current members to the Board of Directors are Harrison A. Moody and Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. Mr. Bracey advised he was not ready to make an appointment and requested to postpone the appointment until the next meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY

EXTRACT

Mr. George Robertson advised that Mr. Darrell Rice is presently Chairman of the Appomattox River Water Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA that Mr. Darrell Rice be reappointed to the Appomattox River Water Authority as Dinwiddie's representative on their Board for a four year term, expiring November 30, 1992.

IN RE: TINDALL CONCRETE

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, advised the Board had received a letter from the State Air Pollution Control Board stating they are in receipt of an application from Tindall Concrete to operate a concrete batching plant on Route 632 just north of Route 460. He recommended that a public hearing not be called due to the fact that all of the equipment Tindall Concrete is installing has to comply with Federal and State Regulations as far as air pollution is concerned. This notification is required by law; however, a public hearing is up to the Board of Supervisors. There is no action needed by the Board.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN/RESOLUTION TO AMEND

XTRACT

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, advised that in January of 1986, the Board adopted the Dinwiddie County Emergency Operation Plan by resolution. FEMA has reviewed the plan and requested the word "basic" in the last paragraph be deleted.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the Dinwiddie County Emergency Operation Plan Resolution be amended as follows:

WHEREAS, there exist many potential situations which could threaten the lives, property, and economic well-being of the people of Dinwiddie County; and,

WHEREAS, the safety and protection of the people of Dinwiddie County is of foremost concern to the Board of Supervisors; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors desires and the Commonwealth of Virginia statutes require the adoption of appropriate planned protective measures;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, hereby adopts the Dinwiddie County Emergency Operations Plan.

IN RE: HEALTH INSURANCE CONSULTANT

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, stated that Blue Cross/Blue Shield advised last week that the health insurance rates for the County were going up 62%. The County can renew with the present company and accept the new rates, or look at some new deductibles and try to decrease the County's cost; or bid it out to see if there is anything better on the market. The evaluation of the bids requires special expertise needed from an insurance consultant. We have had an insurance consultant on contract in the past, but bidding is an additional cost. Mrs. Quesenberry obtained three telephone quotes for someone to review the insurance bid before it goes out, help to analyze the bids, and make a recommendation to the Board. We will reduce that cost by sending the bid package out ourself. The following quotes were received:

Industrial Insurance Management - $1,000
Alexander & Alexander - $1,500 to $5,000
Commercial Risk - $2,500

Industrial Insurance Management did our last bidding, and has all of the County's records. They already know the County's programs and what needs to be done. She asked authorization to contract with Industrial Insurance Management for $1,000 to help with the health insurance bidding. The Consultant fee is a budgeted item.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye,"

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that authorization be given to bid the County's health insurance program; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Industrial Insurance Management be contracted as the County's consultant on the health insurance bidding at a cost of $1,000.

IN RE: SEPTIC SYSTEM - JAIL

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, advised that for several years there has been a problem with the jail's septic system. In the spring, a firm was hired to come and pump out the septic tank on a weekly basis at a cost of $200 per week in an effort to clear the septic tank and open up the field lines. When Mr. Barton came here, he stopped this until the problem became obvious again. For the past three months, the tank has not been pumped out. Now the problem exists again. He advised the Board had authorized R. Stuart Royer to make a study of that area to see what could be done. He advised there was a pending bill of $2,475 for engineering, of which Mr. Barton has not seen his analysis, his drawings or recommendations. In talking with Mr. Royer, to correct the situation will cost between $15,000 and $25,000. Mr. Barton requested authorization to get Edmunds Waste Removal to again pump the septic tank on a regular basis to try and clear up the ground. He advised that $100,000 of the budgeted jail money will have to be used towards a permanent correction of the problem.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, pointed out that in order to do the temporary pump and haul work, the County did have to enter into a contract with the Health Department stating we would pump and haul as needed. Mr. Cornwell advised we have been pushing back the "as needed" to the point where the service is needed again.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is authorized to continue with the pump and haul contract with Edmunds Waste Removal, if necessary, on an emergency basis and review the alternatives and present the recommendations to the Board.

Mr. Clay pointed out that the budgeted jail money was to install a fire escape onto the jail and to provide a recreation area. There is only one entrance into the jail. If there is a fire and something happens, Dinwiddie will have a greater problem than a septic system. He reminded the Board has been working on this problem for five years and nothing has been done.

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO RESCIND ACTION

Mr. George Robertson advised that at the May 4, 1988 meeting a resolution was passed to allow his company, P & R Business Machines, to do business with the county. As it is legal in all categories, it does seem to give the appearance of impropriety, and he requested that the resolution be rescinded as he would refrain from doing business with the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye":

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the resolution adopted May 4, 1988 allowing P&R Business Machines to bid on the purchase and maintenance of County and School Board office equipment be rescinded.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. George Robertson said there is a need for the Board to discuss personnel items and industrial development in the county; however, he recommended a recess until an agreed upon meeting date.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that this meeting be recessed until 7:00 p.m., Monday, September 26, 1988, to be held in the Pamplin Administration Building, at which time the Board will reconvene and go into Executive Session. No action will be taken upon returning to open session that night.

George E. Robertson

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Wendy W. Quesenberry
Assistant County Administrator

SEPTEMBER 26, 1988 -- 7:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF SEPTEMBER 21, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(A1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:00 p.m. to discuss personnel matters.

A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:15 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:16 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1988, AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	BENNIE M. HEATH	SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the September 21, 1988 Regular Meeting and the September 26, 1988 Continuation Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: Payroll - $160,653.09 (checks 1998-2107); Accounts Payable - $13,325.65 (checks 2108-2139).

IN RE: PRESENTATIONS - RESOLUTIONS/COUNTY FLAG

Mrs. LeeNora Everett was presented a framed Resolution of Recognition upon her retirement from the Richmond Times Dispatch Newspaper. This resolution was adopted September 7, 1988.

Mr. James C. Maitland was presented a framed Resolution of Recognition for being chosen Outstanding Extension Agent in the State of Virginia by the National Agricultural County Agents Association. This resolution was adopted September 7, 1988.

Mr. William E. Bolte was presented a framed Resolution of Recognition upon his retirement as the Commissioner of the Revenue for Dinwiddie County from January 1, 1976 to December 31, 1987. This resolution was adopted December 30, 1987.

Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry was presented a framed Resolution of Recognition for being Interim County Administrator from January 1, 1988 to May 31, 1988. This resolution was adopted June 15, 1988.

Mr. Thomas Newsom was presented a reproduction of the County flag. Mr. Newsom donated the money towards reproduction of the County flag and erection of the flag poles in front of the Clerk's Office. He has agreed to place the original flag in the display cabinet in the Pamplin Administration Building, with the understanding it would be at his disposal. Mr. Newsom thanked the Board for approving the construction of the flag and stated he was very proud to have been a part of the project.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Ms. Lottie Williams addressed the Board regarding the new land allotment for new dwellings that requires three acres. She has a relative that wants two acres for a dwelling. She requested an explanation on the new ordinance. The Chairman requested Mrs. Williams to meet with the Mr. Joe Emerson, Director

of Planning, and the County Administrator so they could explain the new ordinance to her and answer her questions.

Ms. Williams also wanted to know when Rt. 636 was going to be hard surfaced. Rocky Branch Church, Sutherland, Virginia, has asked for a hard surface road for over 20 years. Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Department of Transportation, advised Rt. 636 is in the six year plan that was adopted in February 1988. Rt. 636 is currently scheduled during FY 1993-94 for funds to be allocated to this project. The traffic count on this road is 87 vehicles per day.

2. Mr. Tom VanPelt inquired as to where the $2 million surplus has gone over the years. He was advised the County had not received the audit yet. Mr. VanPelt understood that some of the money had been loaned to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and wanted to confirm this. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, advised that non-interest bearing loans were given for projects for Industrial Development. These monies were to be repaid whenever the Water Authority was in a financial position to do so. Some money has been collected from connection fees from industry.

Mr. VanPelt also wanted to know who could speak during the Citizen Comments section on the Agenda. Mr. Robertson advised the Citizen Comments section was primarily for County residents but it is not restricted to them.

3. Mr. Ronnie Abernathy thanked the Board for trying to resolve some of the mistrust and misrepresentation that the Landfill issue and other issues have brought to the County. He asked to Amend the Agenda in reference to the Referral of the Landfill Issue to the Planning Commission, as the State Statute requires, and to set any conditions that they want to in their referral so that everything can be done by the book.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised he was not prepared to address this issue today. He understood CORD had legal counsel and they needed to get together. Mr. Robertson advised that the two attorneys should get together and determine if a meeting is necessary and whether it should be in open session or executive session. Once they meet, they are to advise the County Administrator whether to be placed on the Agenda.

4. Mrs. Kay Winn requested that the Board have their day meetings changed to night meetings. Mr. Robertson advised there was a resolution addressing this issue to be added to the agenda.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were made to the agenda:

1. Resolution changing the time of the first meeting of each month - requested by George Robertson.

2. Resolution to add the County Administrator to the signature cards for signing checks.

3. Executive Session

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mrs. Deborah M. Marston requested to be put on the October 19th Agenda to give a progress report. Her office is finalizing the Real Estate and Personal Property Books and advised they are running behind; however, they are to be printed next week.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. Bill Jones advised that State law requires bills are to be mailed out 14 days prior to the due date. He presented the Board with the new computer report and explained the Trial Balance, Fund Balance and Cash In Bank Reports. He advised the possibility of not borrowing at the end of October looks good right now. He has still not received the Literary Fund Loan which would help the General Fund because that money will be transferred back into the General Fund.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, presented his report for the month of September 1988. Total new dwellings for the month was 22.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. Allie Brooks, Animal Warden, presented his reports for August and September, 1988.

IN RE: OFFICE ON YOUTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Extract

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, Office on Youth and Community Services, advised the Christmas Parade was tentatively scheduled for December 10, 1988 and the theme this year is "Christmas from the Heart". Mrs. Galbreath asked assistance from the County to help pay the Liability Insurance, up to $250. She advised the Department of Corrections has an insurance policy for the volunteers of the OYCS.

Mr. George Robertson stated it was his understanding that the $250 would come out of the Recreation Department's budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Office on Youth and Community Services is authorized to hold the annual Christmas Parade on December 10, 1988; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that up to $250.00 be expended from the Recreation Department's Budget to help pay the Liability Insurance for the Office on Youth and Community Services; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County of Dinwiddie be named as an additional insured.

IN RE: RECREATION

Ms. Fran Hart presented her progress report for the month of September which included: another Hunter Safety Course had been concluded with 68 students enrolled; the first Arts and Crafts Fair will be held October 29, 1988 at the Dinwiddie County High School; she attended the Virginia Parks and Recreation Society Annual Professional Conference in Fairfax; she has been asked to serve as co-chairman of the 1989 Life Be In It/Statewide Slogan Promotion Committee by VRPS President Larry Zehnder; she has been selected to receive a sponsorship by the United States Tennis Association to attend the Recreational Tennis Leadership Workshop in Texas in November, and her office finalized the publishing of the upcoming fall and winter schedule of programs.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, requested an Executive Session under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act Requirement for consideration of acquisition of property.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(2) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 2:55 p.m. to discuss legal matters. A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 3:07 p.m.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - WALLACE SUBDIVISION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, advised Shadyside Drive in Wallace Subdivision had been recently completed and qualifies for acceptance into the State Secondary System.

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, this fifth day of October 1988, that the Virginia Department of Transportation, be and is hereby, requested to add a section of road known as Shadyside Drive, beginning at a point on Route 1335, 0.11 miles south of Route 1334 and running in an easterly direction 0.20 miles to a dead end with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that this road in Wallace Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with the maintenance bond and fee pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia, a minimum unrestricted right-of-way of 50 feet with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage, as recorded in Plat Book 12, Page 93, dated March 15, 1984 for Section One and Plat Book 13, Page 29, dated April 28, 1986, for Section Two.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Ms. Rosalyn Carr, who lives on Route 670, asked Mr. Mac Neblett if this road was in the six year plan for hardsurfacing. Mr. Neblett advised that it was not at this time. He advised that Rt. 670 will continue to be maintained, kept graded, and chemicals applied for dust control.

Mr. Clay advised Mr. Neblett that Route 622 needed grading.

Mrs. Polly Thrower inquired about the concrete company problem she had asked about previously on Route 142. Mr. Neblett advised that one of the concrete companies is going to move their location.

IN RE: JAIL DRAINFIELD

Mr. Roger Hart, of R. Stuart Royer & Associates, reported on the drainfield problem at the jail. He presented three alternatives for a new sewage disposal system, with costs ranging from $260,000 to $975,000.

He stated the very first step is to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit (NPDES) from the State Water Control Board. He pointed out that this permit will provide the discharge limits and if the present limits are reduced, the price would go up. The County Administrator asked what affect the endangered species designation on Stony Creek would have on the permit. Mr. Hart stated it may require the County to go to zero discharge. He estimated the cost of obtaining the NPDES permit to be between $2,500 and $3,500. He reemphasized how important it was to proceed with obtaining this permit as it may take as long as six months.

Mr. Clay recommended that the County apply for the NPDES permit and could look for available land in the meantime for another drainfield.

Wendy W. Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, pointed out that the County is on record with the State Health Department to construct a sewage treatment plan within the next 18 to 24 months. The Health Department has granted a temporary pump and haul contract for the County until a system can be constructed.

Sheriff Heath advised that he had been meeting with the Regional Jail Committee and they are getting cost figures together for review.

No action was taken and further discussion was deferred until the October 19 meeting when the architect, Chenault & Associates, will be present to discuss the Jail Renovation.

IN RE: CLEARING OF AIRPORT PROPERTY CLAIM

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised he had received a letter wherein Mr. Horace Davis had obtained counsel. Mr. Cornwell has written and called William D. Allen trying to set up a conference to discuss settlement of Mr. Davis' claim. He requested the Board defer this action until he and Mr. Rainey have met with Mr. Allen and bring their recommendation back to the Board.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION (ABIDCO)

Mr. Robertson advised this appointment had been postponed from the last meeting. The current members to the Board of Directors are Harrison A. Moody and Milton I. Hargrave, Jr., whose terms expired September 30, 1988. The floor was open for nominations. Mr. Harrison nominated Harrison Moody; Mr. Moody nominated Milton Hargrave, Jr.; Mr. Bracey nominated Charles W. Harrison.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the nominations were closed.

Mr. Moody advised that to serve on the Board of Directors, one individual has to be a member of the Board of Supervisors and the other individual a businessman.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "nay", the appointment was tabled until the next Board meeting.

Mr. Robertson asked for a ruling to see if two Board members can serve.

IN RE: VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, presented a letter from the Virginia Association of Counties stating they were negotiating new electrical rates for the present fiscal year. In the past, this has been a three year contract; however, Virginia Power is proposing a contract for only one year. The money saved from the rate decrease offsets the amount of levy they want to place on the County. He recommended the Board pay the $283.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that $283.00 be appropriated to the Virginia Association of Counties for negotiating new electrical rates with Virginia Power for the present fiscal year.

IN RE: COUNTY AUCTION

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Officer, advised the County Auction was scheduled for October 8, 1988 at 10:00 at the County Bus Garage located on Route 627, and had been properly advertised. He requested that the County Administrator be authorized to sign the titles for the vehicles to be sold that day before the auction.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is authorized to sign the titles for the vehicles to be sold at the County Auction on October 8, 1988.

Mr. Rice requested a check in the amount of $50.00 be approved to be used to make change for the auction. This $50.00 will be redeposited out of the sales that day.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVE#D BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that a check in the amount of $50.00 be issued to be used to make change for the auction and the $50.00 redeposited out of the sales that day.

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, wanted to point out to the Board that the terms of the auction are to sell the items to the highest bidder without consideration of value and without bringing the matter back to them for approval.

IN RE: HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, advised that at the last meeting, the Board authorized putting our health insurance program out on bid. The bids are due back October 31, 1988. Because we must deduct premiums a month in advance, coverage for the month of November will be deducted from the October payroll, prior to receiving the bids. To continue coverage for employees, she requested authorization to renew with Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Virginia for one month until the bids are analyzed and a decision is made. The results of the survey to the employees indicated the majority wished to keep the same coverage and deductibles and have the additional funds withheld from their pay.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated she had been asked by the County employees to request that the County pay the additional premium cost on the individual. She stated the additional cost for nine months would be $12,000. No action was taken on the request.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that health insurance coverage for County employees be renewed for one month with Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Virginia.

IN RE: RESOLUTION CHANGING THE TIME OF THE FIRST MEETING OF EACH MONTH

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County in its annual meeting in January of 1988 established a meeting schedule for its regular meetings during the year; and,

WHEREAS, such schedule provided that the first monthly regular meeting of the Board would be at 2:00 p.m. except for the first meeting of each month during the months of June through September which meeting time would be at 7:30 p.m.; and,

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that in order to promote more citizen participation in County government that all regular meetings shall occur at 7:30 p.m.;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the regular meeting schedule of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County be changed for the first meeting of each month to be a new time of 7:30 p.m., commencing with the meeting on November 2, 1988, and that the second meeting time be continued as scheduled at 7:30 p.m. on the third Wednesday of each month; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that a copy of this resolution shall be posted at the front door of the Dinwiddie County Courthouse and advertised in the Progress Index, The Monitor, and The Blackstone-Courier newspapers having general circulation in Dinwiddie County, once a week for two weeks prior to the first November meeting of the Board as provided by Section 15.1-5436 of the Code of Virginia.

IN RE: RESOLUTION ADDING COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO BANK SIGNATURE CARD

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Bracey voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted January 6, 1988, Wendy W. Quesenberry was appointed Interim County Administrator, and Glenice N. Townsend was appointed Interim Executive Assistant; and,

WHEREAS, by appointment to these positions, Wendy W. Quesenberry and Glenice N. Townsend were authorized to sign checks; and

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted May 4, 1988, Richard Barton was employed as County Administrator, effective June 1, 1988;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator; and Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, are hereby authorized to sign checks for the County of Dinwiddie.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(2) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:19 p.m. to discuss legal matters. A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 5:03 p.m.

IN RE: RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING COUNTY ATTORNEY TO DEFEND

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, is authorized to defend all defendants in the pending suit on redistricting brought by the American Civil Liberties Union.

IN RE: RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING OUTSIDE COUNCIL

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, is authorized to hire Mr. Harvey Chappell, with the firm of Christian and Barton, to assist in litigation of the pending suit on redistricting brought by the American Civil Liberties Union.

IN RE: LITIGATION FUND

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that $50,000 be placed in a Litigation Fund to be transferred from the $492,000 loan from the Self-Insurance Fund to pay for computer software, reference material, outside legal counsel and other costs and expenses for defending the pending suit involving the County and the American Civil Liberties Union; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that a monthly statement be presented to the Board of Supervisors by the County Attorney to document these expenditures.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 5:21 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 19TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the October 5, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: checks numbering 2140 thru 2247, excluding check no. 2150 in the amount of $223.16,. General Fund - $131,716.67; E911 - $22,354.05; Self Insurance - $649.00; Capital Projects - $2,475.00; Law Library - $123.75, for a total of $157,318.47. (Check No. 2150 was to be discussed in Executive Session. These totals include Check No. 2150 in the amount of $223.16, which was later approved.)

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Mrs. Kay Winn approached the Board about someone contacting her employer, questioning how she was able to attend the 2:00 Board of Supervisors Meeting on October 5, 1988, when she was supposed to be at her job. She explained how she took the time off from her personal leave as stipulated in her contract with the School Board. She has verification that an inquiry did take place. She felt her professional and personal character had been damaged by any question being asked to her employer. She felt it was unpardonable that the ones responsible would question the ethics of a professional person and she felt she was due a public apology and requested a public apology from the people involved.

2. J. S. Major advised he was not speaking to run down Dinwiddie County or the Board. He had concerns with the Executive Sessions and what went on in them. He also said there was mistrust when the administration conducted business behind closed doors instead of in the eyes of the public. He said the Board tabled the setting of dumpster rates during the July 6, 1988 board meeting and no time was stated as to when it would be brought back up. During the week he had received a letter from the landfill with the new rates on dumpster rentals. He wondered how this could happen when the issue had been tabled and not brought back into session. He asked how this matter was handled, when the Board passed it and set the new rates on dumpster rentals.

The Chairman told Mr. Major that by County ordinance, the County Administrator is authorized to set the rates for the dumpster rentals. Mr. Barton provided the Board members with a figure that the Board members agreed to, at a rate of 20%. Mr. Robertson advised that during the preparation of the budget, the

budget was prepared allowing for an increase in rental of the dumpsters.

Mr. Major asked should a matter that had been tabled at a meeting be recalled and resolved in the presence of the citizens of Dinwiddie County.

The Chairman read a letter from the County Administrator to the Board members dated September 14, 1988, regarding Dumpster Rates and Landfill Hours.

Mr. Bracey questioned the legality if the matter was tabled during a meeting and never brought back to a meeting but came to him in Memorandum form for approval. Jim Cornwell, the County Attorney, advised he would review the situation and make a response at a later time. Mr. Bracey inquired about tabling the matter again until an opinion could be received.

Mr. Cornwell advised that by resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted in 1975, the County Administrator was given the power to set the rates for the Landfill and the bulk trash container rates. By the Solid Waste Ordinance adopted September 7, 1988, the Director of Sanitation and the County Administrator again, were given power to set the rates. Mr. Cornwell advised that the County Administrator, by ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors, has the power to set the rates and hours of operation of the Landfill.

Mr. Barton stated he had discussed the matter with John Loftis, Director of Sanitation. He stated Mr. Loftis advised this was discussed in January during preparation of the budget at a rate of 15%, but the Board wanted the increase to be 20%. Mr. Barton advised he had received a draft copy of the 1988 audit which showed the revenue received by the landfill for the last fiscal year at $99,968. He advised it showed the Department had expended $332,831. He stated that in this year's budget preparation, the budget that was adopted has $132,000 in it for revenue. He was puzzled that if the revenue collected for the last fiscal year was $99,968, where is an additional $32,000 supposed to come from. He stated container rentals bring in about $24,000 a year. He understands a contract with Central State brings in around $74,000 per year. His point was that to raise the additional $32,000 that is projected for this year, if the rate was doubled for Central State, and you add the 20% rental fees, the County will still not have as much money as budgeted for projected revenue for 1988-89.

The Chairman made a brief statement at this point, cautioning the citizens about making comments concerning personnel and the pending suit with the ACLU and any future questions in reference to personnel will be submitted in writing. He stated that the Board welcomed the input from the citizens, but the citizens must let the Board members do the job they were elected to do and get on with the business of the County.

Mr. Bracey made the motion to table the action on the dumpster rates until the Board sees fit to raise the rates once all the information has come in.

Mr. Cornwell stated Mr. Bracey could make whatever motion he wished; however, the problem he had with the motion was that the Board adopted an ordinance after due advertisement and publication, giving the County Administrator and Director of Sanitation the power to set these rates. He advised an ordinance takes precedence over a motion or a resolution. He stated that if Mr. Bracey wished to address a motion to the chair and the Board wants to adopt a motion as far as instructing their employee to do something, perhaps that would be appropriate. Mr. Cornwell emphasized that this was his opinion, without due research.

Mr. Bracey stated if they knew all of this before they tabled it, why didn't the issue come up that night. He made the motion in good faith and he expected it to be carried out that way.

The Chairman suggested, and the board agreed, that Mr. Cornwell look into the matter and give a written opinion to the Board prior to the November 2nd Meeting, and in the meantime the County Administrator is instructed to hold the November rate increase invoices until after the November 2nd meeting.

3. Mr. Fred Sahl addressed the board stating he approached the Landfill issue with an open mind and wanted to be objective. He had attended the Concerned Citizens meeting and had heard Mr. Ronald Abernathy of Cord speak, as well as Mr. Melvin Davis of Dinwiddie Landfill, Inc. He said he left the meeting even more confused. He had two recommendations for the board. His first suggestion was to call upon a consultant firm for an unbiased look into the Landfill situation and make a recommendation on the county's needs. His second recommendation was for the Board to request a report on the County's financial position and how we got there and publicize it through the media to stop the unnecessary rumors.

4. Mr. Jack Mayes questioned the board regarding the 59 applications received for the County Administrator position and who was responsible for screening the applications and the narrowing down of the top ten applications. The Chairman advised the County Attorney screened the applications at the direction of the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Mayes read the County Attorney's job description and wanted to know where the County Attorney was authorized to be a personnel director. Mr. Robertson stated the legal matters assigned by the Board of Supervisors as to hiring or legal involvements therein. Mr. Mayes' concern was that out of the 59 applications, the Board only saw ten of them and being elected by the people of Dinwiddie County and lifetime or longtime residence of the County, the Board should have had the privilege of screening all of the applications.

5. Mr. Ronnie Abernathy advised at the last meeting he addressed the Board concerning the referral status of the zoning request about the Landfill. He advised their legal counsel had sent a letter the next day to the County Attorney addressing the question. As of this morning, their attorney had not received reply from his letter. Their attorney called the County Attorney, at which time he was told a letter of reply had been mailed today. Therefore, he was not able to get this item back onto the agenda. His concern was the disagreement of interpretation of a statute in the State of Virginia. He told the Board that the Planning Commission of Brunswick County let the 90 days expire on the consideration of the Virginia Beach Pipeline and thus since no action had been within the 90 day period, it is considered approved. Mr. Abernathy's concern was the state statute that says the Board of Supervisors shall refer to the Planning Commission any zoning request. At that time the Board of Supervisors can stipulate a time period, shorter than the 90 days, and they can stipulate anything they want to have particular to this issue. Mr. Abernathy wanted the Landfill issue to be done by the book and the particulars done the right way. He wanted to know the date that the Planning Commission had the matter referred to them. There are many questions that may come up later and he would like to get a referral from the Board to the Planning Commission within the timeframe, to do things by the book, and establish that there is a referral from the Board to legalize the issue.

Mr. Cornwell stated he had written Mr. Slayton, CORD's counsel, a letter that went out this date, and he read part of the letter to Mr. Slayton over the phone today, wherein he stated he looked forward to working with him, and explained to him how he interpreted the law, and why he felt the procedure followed was proper which is the procedure that has been followed in the past in the County, and he did not want to make this application a special case. Mr. Cornwell asked Mr. Slayton to review his letter and to get back to him so they could discuss it. Mr. Cornwell advised the letter had been reviewed by Mr. Barton and Mr. Emerson and he hoped to be hearing from Mr. Slayton upon receipt of the letter.

Mr. Abernathy inquired about the timeframe. Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Cornwell if there was any timeframe that was going to cause the County to be in a situation Mr. Abernathy referred to in Brunswick. Mr. Cornwell said no.

Mr. Abernathy asked what was the date of referral that the County was using, in case something came up. Mr. Cornwell said he did not have that information at hand. Mr. Cornwell advised Mr. Abernathy have Mr. Slayton call him now that they have obtained counsel and he will be directing all of his comments to him.

Mr. Abernathy advised he was doing what he was asked to do and was trying to get something off ground zero. He said what they were talking about is a term called Substantial Compliance, which is what the County policy has been in the past. What he wants is to have literal compliance so that the County does not have a problem. He is not trying to make a problem, but is trying to avoid a problem.

Mr. Robertson advised that the Solid Waste Management Dept. changed some rules with reference to our landfill that will require a liner in any future expansion, which may have to be a vinyl liner or could be a clay liner.

Mr. Abernathy requested the issue be placed back on the November 2nd agenda.

Mr. Clay requested the County Attorney to go ahead and get this issue satisfied and answered. He said the citizens have been coming to the Board every meeting and all the Board does is put them off.

IN RE: JAIL RENOVATION

Mr. John Chenault, of Chenault & Associates appeared before the board to give a synopsis of the current renovation work planned for the jail. He was hired by the previous administration to verify existing conditions at the jail facility, which involves code compliance with the Department of Health, Department of Corrections and the State Fire Marshal's Office. Mr. Chenault developed a set of working drawings and specifications that have been accepted by both the State Fire Marshal's Office and the Department of Corrections. The budget was set at $200,000. Since then, Chenault & Associates has been asked to investigate the water situation at the jail, particularly the piping and treatment of the system. Mr. Russ Harrison, of Bohannon, Staley & Associates, Engineers in Richmond, was with Mr. Chenault to explain the reasons the pipes need to be replaced at the jail. Mr. Chenault handed the board a cost update reflecting Sitework - $12,000; General Building Costs $87,500; Reroofing two areas - $22,000; Plumbing replacement of existing cell fixtures - $62,000; New Boiler/heating system - $26,500; Replace water piping - $42,000; Water treatment System - $3,800; Heating & Electrical old jail facility for water treatment system - $7,500; with a contingency of 5% at $13,165, for a project Grand Total of $276,465. The pipes have been eaten up by the acid in the water and need replacing. They will be replaced with copper piping and a water treatment system to avoid this problem in the future.

Mr. Harrison Moody asked Mr. Chenault if the Code would allow for plastic piping. He replied that copper was the better use in piping. Mr. Russ Harrison advised the plastic piping is new on the market and hasn't been tested for longevity. It has been used in residences, but when it is used in larger piping, more is required. Mr. Harrison recommended replacing copper with copper, and installing a treatment system.

Mr. Harrison Moody asked about the latest on the Regional Jail. Mr. Robertson advised the Sheriff said there was going to be a meeting in November that he wanted the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator to attend.

Mr. Barton said the County was faced with a jail renovation at the present location with a minimum cost of $450,000 to $500,000. We still have not resolved the sewage problem at the jail. A new facility could cost $2.5 million.

Mr. Robertson instructed the staff to ask for an increase in the grant from the Department of Corrections, and then report back to the Board with their findings. Mr. Moody asked the staff to look into the Regional Jail concept also.

IN RE: E-911 SYSTEM

Mr. Taylor Turner and Lowell Scott, of R. Stuart Royer & Associates, appeared before the Board to discuss the E-911 System, and the Housenumbering system, and to give a brief synopsis of the status, the need for the system, and what will be required in the future to maintain the system.

They started about a year ago on this project as a result of the Board of Supervisor's decision to implement an E-911 Emergency Telephone System. The most important part of developing this type of system is to have an adequate methodology to give addresses to new residences and the new buildings within the County. The project involved developing this system with an adequate mapping system so that new and existing residences and buildings could be numbered throughout the County. This is the only way you can adequately develop an E911 so that somebody can locate the place of an emergency not only today, but in the future as well. There were four major tasks that had to be done to accomplish the project:

1. Develop mapping and a system by which numbers could be assigned. This has been completed. The maps are completed, with all existing occupied dwellings, businesses and buildings have been identified. Numbers and addresses have been assigned to those units.

2. Develop a system to notify the citizens of the County of any address change. This required the developing a data base. This has been done and the information is ready to be delivered to the County. What is needed is approval of the letters of notification that will be sent to the County residents.

3. Develop a wall map so copies can be distributed to people that will show the County with all of the street names that have been assigned by the Board.

4. Develop a book that would have all of the roads and street names with indexes so that it would be a handy document for the planner, real estate agents, etc.

The wall map and book map have been completed and are ready to be delivered. All they are waiting on is a notification as to how many copies are needed and if none are required, they will deliver the original document.

Mr. Robertson asked about a certain percentage of citizens having to notify the telephone company or post office of a change in address before a certain phase can be reached.

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, answered that C&P's data base is built on service addresses of the citizens and the people that use the telephone numbers. They build their data base by requests from the individual telephone owner. Their instruction to us is that before they can start building the data base, using the new addresses developed by R. Stuart Royer & Associates, that 95% of the people have to notify the telephone company of their new address. Mr. Robertson voiced his concern with the 95% citizen input before the data base would be built.

Mr. Turner offered his assistance in writing the letters to get the project moving forward. He felt it was a vital issue to all the citizens in Dinwiddie County.

The roads are current, with updates, up through July. Any houses that have been built or roads put in since July need to be added. Mr. Turner recommended a work session with the maps to go through the details of updating the maps if the Board desires.

Mr. Barton advised the Board the next step is to get permission to advertise for the streetnaming and housenumbering ordinance. Ms. Quesenberry asked the Board to advise her of any changes they desired before the ordinance is advertised for public hearing.

Mr. Harrison asked if there would be any cost to maintain the maps. Ms. Quesenberry asked Mr. Turner to briefly explain what had to be done to keep the maps up to date.

Mr. Turner explained how streets and numbering could be added to the existing map. He advised this had to be done on a monthly basis and by someone who understands ruler distances, and can scale from an intersection and draw a square for a house. We know the roads are in the right place. As far as getting the distances, subdivisions are easy, its out in the countryside that it becomes more difficult. The person that maintains these maps has to understand scales, has to be able to read a map, and needs to be able to make transfers to that map.

Ms. Quesenberry asked Mr. Turner if he was stating that no special drafting expertise was needed to maintain maps. Mr. Taylor said it was not necessary. Ms. Quesenberry stated in that case, the answer to Mr. Moody's question is there should be no additional cost besides the County's staff time.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Wendy W. Quesenberry is authorized to advertise a public hearing for the streetnaming and housenumbering ordinance.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-88-27 - DAY CARE CENTERS IN R-2

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, October 5, and Wednesday, October 12, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to allow as a permitted use Day Care Centers in Residential, General, District R-2.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented this amendment. He advised this is basically a housekeeping measure.

Division 8: Residential General District R-2.

Section 22-139: Composition; purposes.

Residential, general, District R-2 is composed of certain medium to high concentration of residential uses, ordinarily located between residential and commercial areas, plus certain open areas where similar development appears likely to occur. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district, to promote and encourage, insofar as compatible with the intensity of land use, a suitable environment for family life composed of an adult population with some children, and to permit certain commercial uses of a character unlikely to develop general concentration of traffic, crowds of customers and general outdoor advertising. To these ends, retail activity is sharply limited and this district is protected against encroachment of general commercial or

industrial uses. All residential types of structures for both permanent and transient occupancy and including institutions are permitted, plus structures for commercial uses conforming to the pattern of the district. This residential district is not completely residential as it includes public and semipublic, institutional and other related uses. However, it is basically residential in character and, as such, should not be spotted with commercial and industrial uses.

Some similar permitted uses now allowed in the R-2 zone are:

6) Schools
8) Rest Homes
9) General Hospitals, with conditional use permit
10) Clubs and lodges
11) Parks and playgrounds
12) Professional Offices
13) Home occupations

Since R-2 is basically a mixed use zone, it appears that the request to allow "Day Care Centers" as a permitted use in the conformance with other permitted uses. Mr. Emerson suggested that if the Board approved the amendment to R-2, to approve it worded as "Day Care Centers, with a conditional use permit". Mr. Emerson felt that a conditional use permit is necessary because in some R-2 situations, special conditions may be necessary.

At their September meeting, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of this amendment.

No one spoke in favor or opposition to the Amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson, voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Section 22-139 of the Dinwiddie County Zoning ordinance be amended to include "Day Care Centers" as a permitted use with a Conditional Use Permit. In all other respects, said ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING A-88-33 -- HAZARDOUS MATERIALS INCIDENT CLEANUP

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, October 5 and Wednesday, October 12, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to amend Section 23 of Article II of Chapter 2 of the code of Dinwiddie entitled, Office of Emergency Services, which amendment requires any person causing or contributing to an emergency or disaster shall be responsible for all expenses incurred by Dinwiddie County.

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Officer presented the amendment to allow the County to charge for services rendered during certain incidents. He stated that County, Volunteer Fire Departments, and Rescue Squads could incur tremendous expenses during an incident which the County would need to be reimbursed for. He pointed out that in most cases, this reimbursement would be covered by insurance.

Mr. Gilbert Charboneau spoke in favor of the amendment; no one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as previously adopted, be amended by the following changes to Section 23 of Article II of Chapter 2 and all other respects be reordained.

Any person causing or contributing to an emergency or disaster shall be responsible for all expenses incurred by Dinwiddie County in responding to, controlling, and handling such emergency or disaster. Such expense would include but not be limited to equipment cost, material, hazardous material, emergency response operations, immediate accident or incident site clean-up costs, all expenses incurred in preventing or alleviating damage, loss, hardship, or suffering caused by accidents or incidents involving hazardous materials, and any other incidents beyond hostile fires or medical emergencies. The local coordinator of Emergency Services is hereby authorized to seek such reimbursement by any legal means including civil process in the appropriate courts in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- P-88-8 - ARTHUR BURTON

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, October 5 and Wednesday, October 12, 1988 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance to change the district classification of Land Parcels 9-67A, 9-67B and 9-66A from Residential, Limited, District R-1 to Residential, General, District R-2.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the request from Arthur M. Burton. The property is located on State Route 226 in the Rohoic District and is currently operated as a Day Care Center.

Residential, Limited, District R-1 is composed of certain quiet, low-density residential areas, plus certain open areas where similar residential development appears likely to occur. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district, to promote and encourage a suitable environment for family life where there are children and to prohibit all activities of a commercial nature. To these ends, development is limited to relatively low concentration and permitted uses are limited basically to single-unit dwellings providing homes for the residents plus certain additional uses, such as schools, parks, churches and certain public facilities that serve the residents of the district. No home occupations (including room renting) are permitted.

Residential, General, District R-2 is composed of certain medium to high concentration of residential uses, ordinarily located between residential and commercial areas, plus certain open areas where similar development appears likely to occur. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district, to promote and encourage, insofar as compatible with the intensity of land use, a suitable environment for family life composed of an adult population with some children, and to permit certain commercial uses of a character unlikely to develop general concentration of traffic, crowds of customers and general outdoor advertising. To these ends, retail activity is sharply limited and this district is protected against encroachment of general commercial or industrial uses. All residential types of structures for both permanent and transient occupancy and including institutions are permitted, plus structures for both permanent and transient occupancy and including the district. The residential district is not completely residential as it includes public and semipublic institutional and other related uses. However, it is basically residential in character and, as such, should not be spotted with commercial and industrial uses.

On the property in question, the Day Care Center has been in operation since 1965. Apparently, this was allowed to happen in staff error. Due to the business license, this error came to Mr. Emerson's attention. Mr. Emerson suggested that in order for Mr. Burton to remain in business that he do a conditional rezoning to R-2, the zone that allows for commercial operations. After the rezoning is approved, R-2 must be amended to allow Day Care

Centers as a permitted use. Under the conditional rezoning, Mr. Burton will proffer back all uses in Residential, District R-2, except for those uses as listed in Residential R-1 which are as follows:

Section 22-114.

1. Single family dwelling
2. Schools
3. Churches
4. Parks and playgrounds
5. Off-street parking as required by this chapter
6. Accessory buildings
7. Public utilities
8. Business signs only to advertise the sale or rent of the premises upon which erected.
9. Church bulletin boards and identification signs
10. Directional signs
11. Security mobile homes, in an area used for commercial operation (nonconforming use), for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home with a conditional use permit.

If granted this conditional rezoning, Mr. Burton would be allowed one additional use which would be Day Care Centers, therefore he would not be able to practice other uses allowed in R-2 other than the Day Care Center and R-1 is what the surrounding properties are zoned at this time. Since this is a housekeeping measure and the Day Care Center has existed there for years without any apparent problems, it would be staff recommendation that this rezoning be granted.

The Planning Commission, at their September meeting, unanimously recommended approval of this conditional rezoning to the Board of Supervisors.

No one spoke in favor of or in opposition to this Amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Land Parcels 9-67A, 9-67B and 9-66A as shown on the Dinwiddie County Zoning Maps, be amended by changing the district classification from Residential, Limited, District R-1 to Residential, General, District R-2. Said property is located on State Route 226 in the Rohoic District and is currently operated as a Day Care Center. In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- P-88-9 - DINWIDDIE TRACTOR & EQUIPMENT

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, October 5 and Wednesday, October 12, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the district classification of Land Parcel 21-38 from Residential, Limited, District R-1 to Business, General, District B-2.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the rezoning application submitted by Dinwiddie Tractor and Equipment, Inc. The property is located on the corner of Rt. 1 and Rt. 641 in the Rohoic District and contains 4.57 acres.

Residential, Limited, District R-1 is composed of certain quiet, low-density residential areas plus certain open areas where similar residential development appears likely to occur. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district, to promote and encourage a suitable environment for family life where there are children and to prohibit all activities of a commercial

nature. To these ends, development is limited to relatively low concentration and permitted uses are limited basically to single-unit dwellings providing homes for residents plus certain additional uses, such as schools, parks, churches and certain public facilities that serve the residents of the district. No home occupations (including room renting) are permitted.

Business, General, District B-2 covers that portion of the community intended for the conduct of general business to which the public requires direct and frequent access, but which is not characterized either by constant heavy trucking, other than stocking and delivery of light retail goods, or by any nuisance factors, other than occasioned by incidental light and noise of congregation of people and passenger vehicles. This includes such uses as retail stores, banks, theaters, business offices, newspaper offices, printing presses, restaurants, taverns and garages and service stations.

The property in question is located at the entrance of the Airport. It apparently has been operated as a service station and as a tractor and implement company in the past. Unfortunately, this is another one of the rezonings that should have taken place years ago. At this location it seems that this property should never have been zoned residential to begin with. It appears that its best use is a business piece of property and when the Comprehensive Plan is redone and the land use is examined, the uses around the Airport will be changing. However, at this point in time, it is necessary to rezone this property so a building permit can be issued to remodel the existing structure. Since businesses have existed there for years without any apparent problems, there appears to be no reason to deny this request.

This request was unanimously recommended to the Board of Supervisors for approval by the Planning Commission at its September meeting.

No one spoke in favor of or in opposition to this change.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Harrison "abstain",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Land parcel 21-38, containing 4.57 acres, as shown on the Dinwiddie County Zoning Map be amended by changing the district classification from Residential, Limited, District R-1 to Business, General, District B-2. In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING P-88-11 -- DINWIDDIE COUNTY FARM BUREAU

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, October 5 and Wednesday, October 12, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the zoning ordinance by changing the district classification of Land Parcel 45D(1)-12A from Residential, Limited, District R-1 to Business, General, District B-2.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the rezoning application submitted by Dinwiddie County Farm Bureau. The property is located in the area of Dinwiddie Courthouse on U.S. Route 1 in the Rowanty District.

Residential, Limited, District R-1 is composed of certain quiet, low-density residential areas plus certain open areas where similar residential development appears likely to occur. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district, to promote and encourage a suitable environment for family life where there are children and to prohibit all activities of a commercial

nature. To these ends, development is limited to relatively low concentration and permitted uses are limited basically to single-unit dwellings providing homes for the residents plus certain additional uses, such as schools, parks, churches and certain public facilities that serve the residents of the district. No home occupations (including room renting) are permitted.

Business, General, District B-2 covers that portion of the community intended for the conduct of general business to which the public requires direct and frequent access, but which is not characterized either by constant heavy trucking, other than stocking and delivery of light retain goods, or by any nuisance factors, other than occasioned incidental light and noise of congregation of people and passenger vehicles. This includes such uses as retail stores, banks, theaters, business offices, newspaper offices, printing presses, restaurants, taverns, garages and service stations.

The Dinwiddie County Farm Bureau property is currently zoned for Residential, District R-1. It has been in existence since some time in the mid 1960's, apparently around 1966 to 1968. At the time when the building permit was issued, the property should have been rezoned to a business use. Unfortunately, it was either staff error or merely overlooked and this did not take place. Therefore, this is basically a housekeeping measure and there seems to be no reason to deny this request.

This request was unanimously recommended to the Board of Supervisors for approval by the Planning Commission at its September meeting.

No one spoke in favor of or opposition to this Amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Moody "abstaining", Mr. Bracey had left the room,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Land Parcel 45D(21)-12A containing .55 acres, as shown on the zoning maps be amended by changing the district classification from Residential, Limited, District R-1 to Business, General, District B-2. In all other respects, said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- C-88-9 - DANIEL BOND & ALAN JACOBS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, October 5 and Wednesday, October 12, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for approval a conditional use permit to operate an auto salvage yard.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application for a conditional use permit submitted by Daniel Bond and Alan Jacobs to operate an auto salvage yard on a 32 acre portion of land parcel 68-28. The property is currently zoned Agricultural A-2 which does allow for vehicle salvage yards screened with a conditional use permit.

The definition of vehicle salvage yard is any lot or place encompassing a minimum of five (5) acres which is exposed to the weather, upon which more than fifty (50) but less than five-hundred (500) motor vehicles of any kind, incapable of being operated, may be temporarily stored while awaiting dismantling.

At their September meeting, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended to the Board of Supervisors approval of this conditional use permit with the following conditions:

1. A 200 ft. wooded buffer from road right-of-way.

2. A 50 ft. wooded buffer from adjacent property lines.
3. Require that all fluids be drained from engine, rear end, transmission and be disposed of property, as per direction of the County Public Safety Officer.
4. All batteries must be removed from vehicles, stored on a concrete pad and covered adequately as per direction of the County Public Safety Officer.
5. All vehicles must be of a temporary nature and shall not remain on the property for longer than one year. These vehicles shall be taken to or crushed by a demolisher licensed by the Division of Motor Vehicles.
6. All work done in any garage within visibility of the road must be done undercover and kept out of sight from the public.
7. All current cars and debris on the previous site be cleaned up.

No one spoke in favor of the permit.

Mr. Douglas Reese questioned how will compliance with the proper disposal of the vehicle fluids be supervised and under what schedule.

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Officer, answered that if the transmission fluids, the rearend fluids, the antifreeze, etc., are mixed, they are then considered a hazardous waste and a hazardous waste permit would have to be obtained and the cradle to grave requirement would be in effect. When the owners of the property, once they get the hazardous waste storage permit, accumulate sufficient amounts, it has to be stored in a secured area. When they accumulate sufficient amounts, it would have to be disposed of and the property documentation would have to be forwarded to the County in order to comply with the cradle to grave ordinance. Mr. Rice advised he would make them aware of the State requirements. Inspections will be made semi-annual by the Public Safety Officer in compliance with his duties, or as he sees fit.

Mr. Joe Emerson read a letter of opposition he received from Joseph and Mildred Zahralban, 111 East Drive, North Massapequa, NY 11758, who is an adjacent property owner.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the application submitted by Daniel Bond and Alan Jacobs for a conditional use permit to operate an auto salvage yard on a 32 acre portion of Land Parcel 68-28, located on U.S. Route 1, in the Sapony District, is hereby approved with the conditions as recommended by the Planning Commission and stated herein.

Extract

IN RE: PARTICIPATION IN THE GOVERNOR'S EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE - SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Clay voting "nay", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors recognizes the need to expand learning experiences now available through technology to students in the Dinwiddie County School Division; and,

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board is eligible for approximately $43,500 in subsidized technological equipment through the Governor's Educational Technology Initiative Procurement and Financing Program; and,

WHEREAS, the Governor's Educational Technology Initiative Procurement and Financing Program provides a vehicle for the purchase and financing of microcomputers, satellite and associated

equipment at a substantial savings to participating localities; and,

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County School Board has requested the approval of the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to participate in this program;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that it does hereby authorize the County Administrator to sign the Memorandum of Agreement between the County and the Department of Education authorizing participation in the Governor's Educational Technology Initiative Procurement and Financing Program for the purchase and financing of approximately $43,500 of subsidy eligible equipment, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to transmit the Letter of Agreement to the Department of Education on behalf of this Board.

Extract

IN RE: GYPSY MOTH SUPPRESSION PROGRAM

Mr. James C. Maitland, Extension Agent for Dinwiddie County, appeared to answer any questions the Board may have as a result of his letter on the Cooperative Gypsy Moth Suppression Program provided to them in their Board Package. The spots that have been reported in the County will be treated by the Virginia Department of Agriculture and and Consumer Services at no charge. The cost is for the County's cooperation in setting up traps in other areas of the County to see what the extent and spread of the Gypsy Moth is. The trap costs .76 each and he is asking for 100 traps at $76.00; he has asked for 300 burlap bands at a cost of .065 each, at a cost of $19.50, for a total of $95.50. The Piedmont Agriculture will cost share one-half of that, so once we purchase the initial cost, we will get one-half of the money back.

The County Administrator recommended this and have the costs charged to account 8204-5611.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that funds be allocated from account 8204-5611 in the amount of $95.50 to purchase materials for the Gypsy Moth Suppression Program which will be matched by the State; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, Piedmont Agriculture will reimburse the County for one-half of the $95.50 once the initial purchase has been made.

IN RE: LETTER TO VDACS -- GYPSY MOTH PROGRAM

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is authorized to sign a letter to the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services stating the Board of Supervisors will cooperate in the Gypsy Moth Suppression Program and agrees to purchase the traps and burlap bands.

Extract

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- GYPSY MOTH COORDINATOR

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. James C. Maitland, Sr., Dinwiddie County Extension Agent, be appointed as Gypsy Moth Coordinator for Dinwiddie County.

Extract

IN RE: RESCUE SQUAD AMBULANCE - TITLE ACCEPTANCE

Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry, Interim County Administrator, advised the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad bought a used 1985 GMC ambulance from the Ettrick-Matoaca Rescue Squad for $5,126. The Rescue Squad is asking the county to accept the title and appropriate money for the insurance program with Chesterfield Insurers.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the title to the 1985 GMC Ettrick-Matoaca Rescue Squad is accepted and placed under the County's insurance program with Chesterfield Insurers from account 3202-5312.

Extract

IN RE: APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY MEMBERS

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, stated Mr. Richard Hartman, Director of the Appomattox River Water Authority, has requested the Board's opinion on the expansion of the Authority, with the intent of building Lake Genito as a water supply reservoir. This would be adding Amelia, Cumberland, and Powhatan Counties as members.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

To encourage the development of Lake Genito and insure an abundant water supply for this region in the future, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County recommends the County participate in expanding the ARWA, if the following conditions were included in the contract:

1. Retain all of the conditions relating to Dinwiddie County contained in the present Lake Chesdin agreements.

2. Expedite construction of the project to contain the cost. it will be more expensive the longer it is delayed.

3. Commencing now, assure Dinwiddie County that no viable industrial prospect that meets EPA, the Commonwealth, and ARWA environmental requirements be denied water from Lake Chesdin or the proposed Lake Genito.

Extract

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - ALTERNATE TO APPOMATTOX RIVER WATER AUTHORITY

Mr. George Robertson advised that Dr. Darrell Rice, who the Board appointed as Dinwiddie's representative for the Appomattox River Water Authority and who is currently chairman of that committee, had indicated to him that each locality can have an alternate to the ARWA in the event of illness, etc.

Extract

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Board appoint an alternate to the Appomattox River Water Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, Mr. George Robertson be appointed as an alternate to the Appomattox River Water Authority for the term of November 30, 1988 to November 30, 1992.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT - APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (ABIDCO)

The terms of Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. and Harrison Moody expired September 30, 1988 on the Board of Directors of the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Cooperation (ABIDCO). Action was deferred at the last meeting and the question was asked if two Board members could serve. A copy of the By-Laws were provided. It has been determined that two Board of Supervisor members can serve at one time.

Mr. Bracey nominated Mr. Harrison and Mr. Moody. Mr. Moody nominated Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the nominations were closed.

Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Moody voting "nay", Mr. Charles W. Harrison was elected to serve on the Appomattox Bason Industrial Development Corporation (ABIDCO), term expiring September 30, 1989.

Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Moody "abstaining", Mr. Harrison Moody was elected to serve on the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation (ABIDCO), term expiring September 30, 1989.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT -- HEALTH SYSTEM AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

A vacancy exists with the Central Virginia Health Systems Agency, Inc. Mr. Clay requested the appointment be postponed and reminded the Board to give careful consideration to the nominees.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(A1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:05 p.m. to discuss personnel matters.

A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:37 p.m.

IN RE: RESIGNATION -- JOHN LOFTIS, DIRECTOR OF SANITATION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the retirement resignation of Mr. John Loftis, Director of

Sanitation, was accepted with regrets, effective December 31, 1988. Mr. Loftis will be on leave beginning in November, 1988.

IN RE: RESIGNATION -- TAMMY ABERNATHY, SECRETARY II

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the resignation of Ms. Tammy Abernathy, Secretary II, was accepted with regrets, effective October 21, 1988.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE -- SECRETARY II

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator is authorized to advertise the vacancy for the position of Secretary II, Secretary to the County Attorney and County Planner.

IN RE: E-911 SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION - IN-HOUSE

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, requested that his September 29, 1988 Letter of Recommendation on the Implementation of E911 System be made a part of the record. It is the recommendation of the County Administrator that a Planning Technician not be hired and that the work for the E911 System be assigned to the existing personnel. The letter is as follows:

"In view of the attached letter with many points well taken, and in view of our financial condition, rather than employ a Planning Technician at this time, I recommend the implementation procedure, specifically house numbering and road naming as outlined in the proposed ordinance, be conducted jointly by the Assistant County Administrator and the Public Safety Officer as agents of the Planning Director.

Wendy is familiar with all the roads, road names, and many of the residents in the County. Jim Rice will be involved in the E911 process after it is in operation, and therefore, should be thoroughly involved in its implementation. Administratively, this would successfully get the program started with an overview by the Planner.

Your concurrence will be appreciated."

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the E911 Implementation System be implemented by in-house personnel and a Planning Technician not be hired.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT FOR OVERTIME WEEKEND HELP - LANDFILL

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, presented a Memorandum dated September 29, 1988, from John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, requesting overtime payment for weekend help.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that authorization is granted for overtime payment for weekend help at the Landfill.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE - DIRECTOR OF SANITATION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is authorized to advertise the vacancy for the position of Director of Sanitation.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO HIRE TEMPORARY SECRETARY

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Attorney and County Planner have authorization to hire temporary secretarial help beginning October 24, 1988, until a Secretary II position can be filled.

IN RE: CLAIM PAYMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that check no. 2150 in the amount of $223.16 be paid and funds appropriated for same.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(A1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:50 p.m. to discuss personnel matters.

A motion having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 2:05 a.m., October 20, 1988.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 2:06 a.m., October 20, 1988.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1988 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the October 19, 1988 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same: checks numbering 2248 thru 2403 amounting to $163,063.02, General Fund, consisting of Payroll and $16,273.11, Accounts Payable, total $179,336.13.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1987-88 AUDIT

Mr. Spencer Elmore, Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates appeared before the Board to present the 1987-88 audit. He commented on the following areas:

Mr. Elmore again reviewed the Fund Equity of the County as of June, 1988, which shows a $66,302 deficit. Although the audit shows a fund equity of $188,698, he pointed out that a $255,000 outstanding loan to the Water Authority is still carried on the books. He then briefly reviewed the change in funds for the year which again shows the deficit position of the County.

In reference to school operations, Mr. Elmore stated that the School Board broke even with General Fund expenditures with the appropriation of approximately $158,000 in additional funds for the purchase of school buses during the year.

Total revenues showed a growth of 9.3%; however, expenditures showed a growth of 11.9%. Total property assessments were only up 1.1% which Mr. Elmore stated was not enough to keep up with continuing growing expenditures. He added that tax collections were the highest they have been for the last ten years.

Mr. Elmore again urged the Board to use maximum control over expenditures and recommended that no additional appropriations be given to any department during the budget year.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE STATEMENT OF TREASURER'S ACCOUNTABILITY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", authorization was given to advertise the Statement of Treasurer's Accountability as required by state law.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Mr. Jack Mayes appeared before the Board to question the Board's authority to limit public comments and

challenged the Board to provide any Court rulings that allow them to limit public comments according to the criteria they set.

2. Mr. Richard Earl questioned the tape recording of meetings without public notice. He stated that all public figures are subject to questioning.

3. Mrs. Gloria Bain stated her concern for having faith in County government with what has gone behind the citizens' back, i.e. admission tax before they learned of the racetrack, hiring a Safety Director before the Landfill proposal, and buying the previous County Administrator's contract. She stated she did not understand why they were still holding workshops and discussing the Landfill. She quoted Mr. Mel Davis as stating there was no alternative to the Landfill but raising taxes or removing Land Use.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendment was approved for addition to the agenda:

1. Boat Landing Contract

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mrs. Deborah Marston presented a 1988 Tax Comparison report. She also expressed her appreciation to Mrs. Brenda Spain, Data Processing Coordinator, for the time and effort she spent in working with her office and the Treasurer on the Land Books, Personal Property Books and tax tickets.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones stated he would have his monthly report ready for the November 16, 1988 meeting. He reported that the General Fund balance was $832,000 in October; therefore, the County will not have to borrow money at this time.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AGENTS TO SELL DOG LICENSES AND COUNTY VEHICLE LICENSES

Extract

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following individuals are hereby authorized to act as agents to sell Dog Licenses and County Vehicle Licenses for 1989 with a bond of $5,000:

DOG LICENSES

Wallace's Supermarket - Robert Wallace
Baltimore Corner Grocery - Diane Bishop
Edgehill Supermarket - Louis Dowdy
Country Hardware - George Williams
B&J's Market - Calvin Ellington
Bolster's Store - Mike Barnes

AUTOMOBILE TAGS

Namozine VFD - William Queen
WHF Ruritan Building - Paul Walk
Flat Rock Grocery - Fred Rogers
B&J's Grocery - Calvin Ellington

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy presented his report for the month of October, 1988.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of October, 1988.

THE CHAIRMAN PASSED THE GAVEL TO THE VICE-CHAIRMAN AND LEFT THE ROOM BRIEFLY.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

Mrs. Kay Winn questioned why the workshops on the Landfill held by the Planning Commission were not advertised. Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, stated it was not required by law. He added if the Board wishes to advertise the workshops, he would.

Mrs. Winn then asked if it was standard procedure to have a Deputy present at a workshop session. Mr. Emerson stated he understood Deputies should be present at all public meetings.

IN RE: DUMPSTER RENTAL FEES--OPINION FROM COUNTY ATTORNEY

As requested at the last meeting, Mr. James E. Cornwell, Jr., County Attorney, presented an opinion on the increase in dumpster rental fees. He stated the Director of Sanitation, by ordinance, has the power to set the rates and the Board of Supervisors has relieved itself of that authority. He pointed out, however, that the County Administrator and Director of Sanitation can be directed in the performance of their duties if the Board of Supervisors desires.

Mr. Bracey stated that he understood Mr. Cornwell's opinion, but he still holds the position not to grant an increase in the rental fees. Mr. Bracey moved that the increase in dumpster rental fees not be granted. There was no second.

Mr. Harrison stated that an increase was budgeted and he did not feel they could do without it. We are asking less than one-half of what others charge.

Mr. Moody stated they did discuss an increase during budget time. He did not agree with it being passed after being tabled. He stated he did not like the way it was handled.

Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", Mr. Harrison "abstaining", the motion died. As a result, the increase in dumpster rental fees will be effective November 1, 1988 as outlined in the County Administrator's memo to the Board on September 14, 1988 as authorized by ordinance adopted September 7, 1988.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF RECREATION

Ms. Fran Hart presented her report for the month of October, 1988. The Chairman commended her on an outstanding job.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

Ms. Gloria Bain questioned the Public Safety Officer about the No Parking signs at the Dinwiddie Senior High. Mr. Jim Rice, Director of Public Safety, stated the No Parking signs were for accessibility for fire protection.

Mrs. Bain stated they need a 10 minute parking area to allow parents to pick up students in the afternoon. Mr. Rice stated this would not provide for an 18-ft. fire lane.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SANITATION--TRUCK REPAIR

Mr. John M. Loftis, Director of Sanitation, appeared before the Board to discuss needed repairs to the 1972 and 1973 trash trucks.

He stated the 1973 truck ran hot the last day before it was delivered to Mr. Williams, M.A. Williams Truck Repair, for repairs authorized by the Board. Their assumption at the time was that a piston was the problem; however, they did not find that to be so and proceeded with the overhaul. Before they made the first load, the truck overheated and cracked the head. The problem was the radiator had stopped up. The company, therefore, will not replace the head and Mr. Loftis stated he did not feel like it was Mr. Williams' responsibility. The County Administrator stated he agreed with Mr. Loftis' recommendation.

Mr. Donald Andrews stated they should have checked the radiator to begin with. Mr. Loftis stated Mr. Williams considered pulling the radiator but that would have been an additional $400 and they were trying to save money.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Loftis was authorized to have Mr. Williams, Williams Truck Repair, replace the cracked head on the 1973 trash truck, at a cost or $600 as recommended by the Director of Sanitation.

Mr. Loftis reported that the thermostat on the 1972 trash truck malfunctioned on Monday morning and caused the truck to overheat which cracked the head. The estimated cost to repair the truck is $1300 to $1500, which includes reconditioned head, radiator, and thermostat.

Mr. Bracey asked what they could do to keep the same thing from happening again. Mr. Loftis stated he recommended putting shut off systems on all the equipment at the Landfill. He did not know the cost but the same type of system costs $150 on farm equipment.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Loftis was directed to investigate the cost of putting the shutoff system on the vehicles at the Landfill and report back to the Board at the next meeting.

IN RE: SHORT ARM GATES--CARSON RAILROAD CROSSING

Extract

The County Administrator stated the Board received a letter from the Prince George Board of Supervisors with an accompanying resolution, requesting CSX and the Va. Dept. of Transportation to install short arm gates at the railroad crossing of State Routes 623 and 703. He stated he supported the adoption of a similar resolution.

Mr. Moody asked if the resolution was adopted, would it affect the County's present priorities in the Six-Year Plan. Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Transportation, was present. He stated the short arm gates at this location were included in the Six-Year Plan with funding scheduled for 1990-91. To move this project up, would affect some of the earlier projects by delaying them three to four months for financing. The Board would have to reopen the Six-Year Plan. Since the crossing is in Dinwiddie County, it would only affect Dinwiddie County funding.

The County Administrator asked if Prince George could share in one-half of the funding. Mr. Neblett stated they could, but the Board would still have to amend the plan.

Mr. Moody stated he had similar crossings in his area and did not want their priorities to be affected.

Mr. Neblett stated the Highway Department provides the funding and CSX installs the gates. The estimated cost is $60,000.

Several people spoke in support of the short arm gates and related experiences they had at this location.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, an extremely dangerous railroad crossing exists in the Carson Community on State Route 623 (Prince George) and State Route 703 (Dinwiddie); and

WHEREAS, there have been numerous accidents and near-accidents that have threatened life and property; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie County and Prince George County citizens, as well as County fire and rescue personnel, use this crossing on a daily basis; and

WHEREAS, a life was lost in September, 1988, due to a train and truck collision; and

WHEREAS, only warning lights presently exist to warn motorists of approaching trains;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia does hereby request that the Virginia Department of Transportation and CSX Transportation Corporation give immediate priority to the installation of a crossing gate at the railroad crossing of Virginia Routes 623 and 703; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this project be constructed as soon as funding is secured so that this safety hazard can be eliminated; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, requests the Va. Dept. of Transportation consider participation by the County of Prince George in one-half of the cost of the project.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Mac Neblett, Resident Engineer, was present to answer questions.

1. Mr. Neblett reported that in reference to Rt. 613, from U.S. #1 to Rt. 661, discussed at a previous meeting, warning signs and advisory speed limit signs have been installed. The present speed limit is 45 MPH. A recent speed study shows that 85% of the vehicles are travelling 59 MPH.

2. Mr. Leo Harrington stated he felt the stop signs placed at the intersection of Rt. 1310 and 1311 are on the wrong corners and have made a bad situation worse. He stated they now have a speeding problem and the road is still being used by independent trucks.

Mr. Neblett stated he would look at the signs again. The County Administrator stated he would advise the Sheriff of the speeding problem.

3. Mrs. Polly Thrower asked about the concrete plants on Route 142. Mr. Neblett stated permits have been issued to construct an entrance.

4. Mr. Bracey asked Mr. Neblett to look into getting signs that show Dinwiddie Courthouse.

5. Mr. Billy Bain asked about the State's procedure for determining where passing lanes are allowed. He questioned the removal of the passing lanes on U.S. #1. Mr. Neblett stated there is always a problem with the design of a 3-lane road.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--HEALTH SYSTEMS AGENCY

Extract

Mr. Bracey nominated Ms. Doletha Bonner for appointment to the Health Systems Agency.

Mr. Clay moved that the nominations be closed. Mr. Harrison seconded the motion. Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the nominations were closed.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Ms. Doletha Bonner was appointed to the Health Systems Agency Board, term expiring June 30, 1991.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT AUDIT -- SELECTION OF AUDITING FIRM

Wendy Quesenberry, Ass't. County Administrator, appeared before the Board to present the bids received for auditing the financial statements of the organizations that hold raffles and/or conduct bingo games.

Two bids were received: Andrews & Company - $600 for one day. Mr. Andrews could not submit a "not to exceed" figure. Mitchell, Wiggins & Company - "not to exceed" $875. Because Mr. Andrews could not give a "not to exceed" figure, Mrs. Quesenberry recommended that the contract be awarded to Mitchell, Wiggins & Company. She added that this company had performed the audit in the past, and had worked real well with the County. She stated that a third firm, Erny & Mason, had been contacted but they declined to bid.

The County bills the organizations for the fee, so there is no cost to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the contract for auditing the financial statements of those organizations possessing Bingo & Raffle permits for the calendar year 1988 was awarded to Mitchell, Wiggins & Co., at a cost "not to exceed" $875.00.

IN RE: TARGET RANGE PERMIT--LOUISE MOORE

Extract

Mr. R.J. Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, presented an application for a Target Range Permit, submitted by Mrs. Louise Moore to hold a turkey shoot on her property located on State Route 642 on November 12, 1988, with a rain date of November 19, 1988. Mr. Emerson stated he had visited the site with the Public Safety Director, and had received no complaints on the turkey shoot Mrs. Moore held last year. He, therefore, recommended approval of the request.

Mr. Bracey questioned the purpose of the turkey shoot. Mr. Emerson stated it was to raise money for a church. Mr. Bracey requested that the purpose of the event be placed on future applications.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", a Target Range Permit was approved for Mrs. Louise Moore to hold a turkey shoot on her property located on State Route 642, on November 12, 1988 with a rain date of November 19, 1988, with all the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: ELECTION DAY -- CHANGE IN HOLIDAY TO NOVEMBER 25, 1988

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", approval was given for County Administration

personnel to work November 8, 1988, Election Day and take November 25, 1988, the day after Thanksgiving as a holiday.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENT

1. Mrs. Kay Winn asked if she was going to get the apology she requested at the last meeting concerning an inquiry into her absence from School. Mr. Robertson stated the County Administrator has a contract with the Board and he is doing his duty when he receives a request from a citizen or a Supervisor. Mr. Robertson stated it was his right and duty to see where the County's money is spent. Therefore, there will be no apology.

Mrs. Winn again questioned deputies being present at the Planning Commission meeting. Mr. Emerson stated that had been present before on an issue when the crowd was emotional or out of control.

2. Mrs. Francis Hardy stated that a School employee's leave record was part of the individual's personnel file and, therefore, not public information. The County Attorney stated he would have to check into it.

The County Administrator stated he had received numerous calls on employees and where they are at certain times. He agreed personnel records are private, but he requested a policy from the Board if they did not want him to investigate these questions when they arise.

Mrs. Ann Robertson stated she did not understand if Board meetings are public and the County employees are citizens, why they would be questioned about attending meetings.

3. Mr. Donald Andrews questioned the hiring freeze and the advertisement for a Secretary. He was advised the Secretary II position was a replacement. He also questioned the status of replacing the dugouts on the baseball field. The Chairman advised him to check with the School Board. Mr. Andrews asked since the tax bills were being mailed out late, would the citizens be given an extension. The Treasurer stated by law, he is required to mail them out 2 weeks ahead of the due date. Mr. Andrews stated he had heard there might be a tax increase and felt the Land Use Tax is a burden. He also mentioned the lights being on all night at all the County buildings had to be costly. Mr. Andrews stated his concern about underground tanks. Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, stated he was working on having some of those tanks removed now.

As a followup on the earlier statement concerning a School employee's leave record, Mr. Bracey asked Dr. Vaughn to clarify the School's leave policy. Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, then described the sick and personal leave policy for school employees.

Mrs. Linda Clarke, a teacher in the school system, explained the process she followed to take a day of personal leave.

IN RE: BOAT LANDING OPERATION -- TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

Wendy Quesenberry, Ass't. County Administrator, presented a report on the Boat Landing operation through October 31, 1988. She pointed out that attendance has really fallen off and the Operator has requested that the contract be terminated. The original termination date was December 31, 1988.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney was authorized to prepare a termination agreement for the Boat Landing Operator and the County Administrator to sign on behalf of the County, terminating the Boat Landing Operation and collection of fees as of October 31, 1988.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:00 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. A vote having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:50 P.M.

IN RE: EXCEPTION TO COMPENSATORY LEAVE POLICY

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the employees under the direct supervision of the Board of Supervisors and covered by the County Personnel Policy lose any compensatory leave they have earned if not used by the end of the calendar year; and

WHEREAS, due to the nature of the work, employees in departments, i.e. the Landfill, have accumulated a considerable amount of compensatory time and annual leave which makes it quite difficult for them to use up before the end of the year;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an exception be made to the compensatory leave policy for calendar year 1988, allowing those employees covered by the County personnel policy to carry over their compensatory leave until 1989.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:56 P.M.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
GEORGE .E. ROBERTSON, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

Richard Barton
RICHARD BARTON
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CLAUDE TOWNSEND	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: DINWIDDIE MIDDLE SCHOOL ART STUDENTS

The Art Students at the Dinwiddie Middle School presented a mural to the Board they had painted as to what Dinwiddie meant to them. The painting will be on permanent display at the Dinwiddie Middle School. The Chairman expressed his appreciation and commended the students for an outstanding job.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Mural will be on display in the Pamplin Administration Building before being sent back to the Dinwiddie Middle School.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (7) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:40 p.m. for a briefing by counsel as pertains to the pending suit by the ACLU. A vote having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:20 p.m.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were approved for addition to the agenda:

1. Richard Barton - Statement

2. George Robertson - C&P Telephone Co. Presentation

IN RE: RICHARD BARTON - RESIGNATION

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, read the following letter and requested it be made a part of the minutes:

November 16, 1988

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman
& Board of Supervisors
Dinwiddie County
Dinwiddie, Virginia 23841

Gentlemen:

Less than six months ago, you unanimously selected me for your County Administrator stating that I was the best qualified applicant for the position. You insisted on a two-year contract with many fringe benefits such as an automobile to use on county business, compensatory time, professional membership dues and attendance at state and national conferences. I accepted the position with the feeling that you offered stability and

security. Now, with only nine months needed to qualify for retirement under the Virginia system I find that is not the case.

I find that you have far more problems than apparently even you were aware of when I was employed, and too little will or determination to resolve those problems.

In my initial interview you stated that the goal of this administration was to broaden the tax base with industrial development. I now doubt that, since in the last four months proposals from four firms willing to invest over twelve million dollars in this county and provide over one hundred and sixty new jobs have been rejected or deferred.

I have much compassion for the good people of this County, a vast silent majority, that will in future budget years suffer and endure significant tax increases unless there is compromise and leadership on vital issues. A majority of the Board appear to favor a small vocal minority and special interest groups who, unless deterred, will ultimately determine the future of this County. They offer no meaningful solutions to solve County problems other than raising taxes. To me, that is not an acceptable solution and I am confident that a majority of the taxpayers would share my concern.

I have not had sufficient time here to prove my worth as your Administrator and if you expected otherwise you should have employed a magician. At the best, it has been difficult and I will not be blamed for problems not of my doing, but the gossips, the rumor mill, and the gutless character assassins that hide in anonymity would have it otherwise. I pray for them in their misery, and for the good of this wonderful County remind them of Proverbs 26: Verses 20 and 27. "Where no wood is, there the fire goeth out: so where there is no talebearer the strife ceaseth"; and "Whoso diggeth a pit shall fall therein and he that rolleth a stone, it will return upon him." If I am guilty of anything, it is for doing my job.

I am the same man that I was six months ago, with the same expertise and the same integrity, but I find this an untenable situation and therefore submit my resignation effective January 31, 1989. This should provide time for an orderly transition in view of the coming holiday season, taking my accrued leave time, and continuation of my work on the raceway proposals and one other major industrial development.

With my leaving your problems remain, and I wish you God's speed in solving all of them. In Christian love, I remain,

Sincerely,

Richard Barton

Mr. George Robertson, Chairman, passed the gavel to Vice Chairman Aubrey Clay and responded to Mr. Barton's resignation, which was also requested to be made a part of the minutes:

Gentlemen:

In January of this year we determined a need for a County Administrator. We advertised and received over 50 applications. After careful screening we created a list of eleven (11) to be interviewed. One of these applicants was "Dick" Barton. He requested an interview plus an Executive Session for purposes of relating to the Board some unusual happenings in his past. He was very candid about his past and presented information in a very detailed manner. About two (2) weeks after the interviews, each Board member was asked to write on a slip of paper, his choice for our new County Administrator. All, and I repeat all Board members chose "Dick" Barton. After our announcement, the news media released information, that we knew about, to the public. After this release I received a phone call from the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors in Giles County. They

spent a combined time of over one (1) hour expounding upon the qualifications of "Dick" and said "they were disappointed that their closing date for applications precluded them from hiring "Dick" as their administrator. (He was their Interim County Administrator). I also received a few calls (less than ten (10)) from citizens showing concerns for our hiring of "Dick".

"Dick" became our Administrator on June 1, 1988. From that day till now, he has been continually harassed, insulted, and accused by a very small group of citizens. There are 22,000 citizens in the County and I believe that the overwhelming majority are saying "Why don't they leave the man alone and let him do the job that he was hired to do and that is to solve some of the problems of Dinwiddie?" I agree!!

When Dinwiddie Landfill came to the Administrator and requested a permit to operate a private landfill, the Administrator had no choice but to present it to the Board. The Board had no choice but to consider the result. Dinwiddie Landfill has rights and the Board and citizens cannot legally deprive them of these rights without risking the possibility of a law suit. "Dick" Barton cannot be blamed for the Landfill issue!!

We were notified by our auditor that we had a financial problem. This problem began long before June 1st of this year. "Dick" was not here. "Dick" Barton cannot be blamed for our financial problems!!

A male citizen walked into the Post Office in Staunton, VA. at approximately 1 p.m. on September 12, 1988, with approximately 15 manila envelopes, containing copies of newspaper clippings of "Dick's" past and paid $1.69 each to mail them to prominent citizens in Dinwiddie. Each was addressed to that citizen from that citizen (that in my opinion is illegal!) and were unsigned. (This was cowardly and shows the character of the person(s) that we are dealing with.) The number of newspaper clippings and the covered time period would indicate that collaboration with a citizen in Washington County was an absolute necessity in order to put together this package. This would indicate to me a vendetta by a foe and and unwillingness of the citizen mailing the packets to forgive and forget! All of the information in the packet was explained to the Board by "Dick"! "Dick" Barton cannot be blamed for the unforgiving nature of a few citizens and his enemies!!

"Dick" Barton should only be judged on the merit of his performance since June 1, 1988 and not his past. "Dick" has legally been cleared of all charges and was acquitted. "Jesus" said "You should forgive, seventy times seven!" Aren't we willing to forgive once?

Gentlemen, I believe that with the support of this Board and the forgiveness and support of the citizens, "Dick" Barton can lead Dinwiddie from darkness into the light!

Mr. Vice Chairman, I yield the floor for consideration of the following motion and request that floor be returned to me upon completion of the motion.

I move that we reject Richard Barton's resignation and offer him the support of this Board and to request the citizens to join in our support. Mr. Vice Chairman, I move the adoption.

Mr. Harrison seconded the motion. Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "no", Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the motion was defeated.

Mr. Robertson continued reading from this prepared statement, with the gavel remaining with the Vice Chairman Aubrey Clay:

Dinwiddie is faced with preparing a balanced budget, and constructing a industrial tax base that will relieve the citizens

of a heavy tax burden. Mr. Barton has expertise in both of these areas.

I suggest that we would have a difficult time in obtaining sufficient qualified applicants for County Administrator under the present conditions. I would recommend that a present member of our staff be appointed Interim County Administrator with a temporary salary adjustment to compensate for extra responsibilities. I suggest $5,000 per annum. To allow this person and our County Planner more time to devote to their respective responsibilities I make the following motion that in my estimation could save the County over $20,000 per annum and should allow Mr. Barton the opportunity to continue working on Industrial projects that he and I have started that will provide a strong Industrial tax base in the very near future that will go a long ways towards reducing the tax burden and provide funds to seek immunity from annexation. With this type of arrangement we can take our time in interviewing and hiring our next County Administrator.

Mr. Vice Chairman, I move that we hire Richard Barton as a consultant for the purpose of 1. preparing a budget for Board consideration and 2. working with and exploring potential industrial projects. His salary is to be $30,000, plus expenses (approved by the Board) and fringe benefits as per personnel policy (am at present involved in trying to obtain funds to cover these expenses). He should be provided with office space separate from the Administrator's Office and should answer directly to the Board. I move the adoption.

Mr. Harrison seconded the motion. Mr. Clay expressed his concern of the other Board members not being consulted first about the proposal. Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Clay voting "no"; Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the motion was defeated.

Mr. Robertson was handed back the gavel from the Vice Chairman.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the November 2, 1988 regular meeting were approved with the following changes as noted to page 7 under the Citizen Comment heading.

2. Mrs. Frances Hardy stated that the School employee's leave records.....

As a follow-up on the earlier statement concerning a School employee's leave record, Mr. Bracey asked Dr. Vaughn to clarify the School's leave policy. Dr. Richard Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, then described the sick and personal leave policy for school employees.

Mrs. Linda Clarke, a teacher in the school system, explained the process she followed to take a day of personal leave.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks numbering 2404 thru 2528, General Fund - $137,720.41; Self Insurance Fund - $1,439.17; Capital Projects $1,774.08; Law Library - $196.06, for a Total of $141,129.72.

IN RE: CITIZENS COMMENTS

1. Mr. T. H. VanPelt stated he had looked over the budget and audit reports and now was the time to start reducing the budget process and protect family farms. He commented on three areas: (1) Pay the Board of Supervisors $1.00 a month; (2) the Recreation Department was a luxury; and (3) the $255,000 loan made to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority in 1971 and 1973 with the understanding the Board would determine the interest rate at a future date and would be paid back as monies were made available -- he felt 17-19 years was long enough to be paid back and this should be looked into.

2. Mrs. Gloria Bain wished to applaud the Dinwiddie Middle School for their mural that was presented. She asked why the Board has the power to ask about County employees and citizens do not. She stated there were two sets of rules -- one for the Board and one for the citizens. She emphasized that farmers do pay taxes. Also, the Board was elected to represent the citizens of Dinwiddie County and not dictate what is best for her. She stated that contrary to their belief, the majority of the citizens -- and not a small vocal minority as stated by Mr. Barton -- were concerned about the future of Dinwiddie County.

3. Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services, invited the Board to meet with the Social Services Board and our General Assembly representatives to address the concerns of the County before the General Assembly goes into session. The Board agreed and instructed Mrs. Talley to meet with Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, to setup a date that was agreeable to all. It was also agreed that the School Board be included.

4. Mr. Richard Earl read a quote from The Richmond News Leader, dated November 11, 1988, regarding a comment Mr. Robertson had made regarding the deficit, "We did not have anybody to put the flags up." Mr. Earl emphasized that the Board had access to the finances and encouraged them to look at the finances before approving them for payment.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE/PRINCE GEORGE BOUNDARY LINE - PRESENTATION OF SURVEY

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, explained that the resurvey of the boundary between Prince George and Dinwiddie was complete. He stated that Prince George had accepted the findings. He advised that if Dinwiddie County accepted the survey results, the next step was to advertise a public hearing. He introduced Terry LaFountain of Rouse-Sirine Associates, to explain the survey to the Board.

Mr. LaFountain stated that this was not a resurvey but a retracement of the 1901 survey. He stated they found the plat recorded in both the Prince George and Dinwiddie Courthouse records. They were also able to get a copy of the transcript and field notes recorded in 1901. The 1901 survey showed nine monumented points. They were able to recover seven of the nine granite stone 6" x 8" squares.

The biggest discrepancy was in the Northern end where Lieutenant Run was not recovered. He stated the monuments were probably destroyed when the sewer lines were constructed. He said on Route 607 there was no monument; however, farmers recalled where it was located.

Mr. LaFountain said they began the retracement with a satellite. The established six monuments by global positioning and two geodetic survey monuments.

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, asked was there any significant realignments? Mr. LaFountain said there were a couple of places where road signs were different; Route 606 had a 600 ft. adjustment; and Route 605 was within one foot of the County line, with Dinwiddie County netting the gain.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Hobb's questions had been resolved. Mr. LaFountain said they had attempted several times, along with the Assistant County Administrator, to meet with Mr. Hobbs. He stated that the original monuments were found and he felt with this finding, the answers were resolved. He said Mr. Hobbs had never brought forth the documents he claimed he had to prove otherwise.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is authorized to advertise for a public hearing the ordinance to accept the survey as the County line.

IN RE: HEALTH INSURANCE PROPOSALS

Ms. Kelly Nussman, of Industrial Insurance Management, presented the results of the health insurance proposals. She said proposals were received from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Virginia, National Claims Administrative Services, Inc., (NCAS), National Administrative Services, Inc. (NAS), and Southern Health Services. As a result, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Virginia (BC/BS) was fully responsive and Industrial Insurance Management recommended acceptance of their proposal.

Ms. Nussman pointed out there was no benefit to the County to combine the School Board and County. In reviewing the results for the County, Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Virginia and NCAS were compared. NCAS is a third party administrator. When the reserves or expected run-out costs were added to the annual premiums, there is not a substantial difference in the total cost. The main disadvantage of NCAS is the additional paperwork for the employer, as well as the County in filing claims. The County also would have no way of knowing how much it would have to pay in any way.

Ms. Nussman said the County had enjoyed much lower than average rates from BC/BS over the past few years and the dramatic increase brought the County more in line with what the industry offers. She said the rates were competitive since no other insurance company felt that they could offer a similar program at rates which would be competitive with BC/BS.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the County Administrator is authorized to sign the Health Insurance contract with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Virginia for 1988-89.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- C-88-11 - BEAR ISLAND TIMBERLANDS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, November 2 and Wednesday, November 9, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for approval a conditional use permit to erect a 140-ft. radio tower.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application for a conditional use permit submitted by Bear Island Timberlands Company, L.P. to erect a 140-ft. radio tower. This property is located directly across from the Southside Elementary School and across from the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad Building on land parcel 12-21, in the Rowanty District. The property upon which this request is based is zoned A-2 under which as a permitted use, communication towers with station is allowed with a conditional use permit. The Rescue Squad Building currently has a radio

aerial. Mr. Emerson stated there appeared there was no need to put any special restrictions on the tower as it is not very high and does not require special attention.

The Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of this request at its October meeting with the following condition:

1. No other antenna will be added without a conditional use permit.

Mr. Darryl Miller of Bear Island Timberlands spoke in favor of the permit. Mr. Moody asked if Bear Island was going to rent out space on the tower. Mr. Miller stated there were no plans for that.

No one spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the application submitted by Bear Island Timberlands Company, L.P. for a conditional use permit to erect a 140-ft. radio tower on Land Parcel 32-21 in the Rowanty District, is hereby approved with the conditions as recommended by the Planning Commission and stated herein.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING C-88-12 -- ROBERT & LESSIE PETERS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index Newspaper on Wednesday, November 2 and Wednesday, November 9, 1988, for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a Public Hearing to consider for approval a conditional use permit to operate a dog kennel.

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, presented the application for a conditional use permit submitted by Robert A. & Lessie J. Peters to operate a dog kennel on Land Parcel 17-65, Namozine District. The property is located on the corner of Routes 460 and 627.

The above mentioned property is zoned Agricultural, General, District A-2, which allows kennels, with conditional use permits. The zoning ordinance defines kennels as follows: Kennels - A place prepared to house, board, breed, handle, or otherwise keep or care for dogs for sale in return for compensation.

The Planning Commission, at its October meeting, recommended approval of this request with the following conditions:

1. All State Regulations be adhered to.
2. All Health Department Regulations be adhered to.
3. Adequate food, water, shelter and space be provided.
4. All regulations are subject to review at any time by the Animal Control Officer of the County of Dinwiddie.

Mr. and Mrs. Peters appeared in favor of the request and answered questions. They informed the Board they had a Kennel License, and their purpose was to operate a breeding kennel and sell the puppies. They advised the maximum dogs allowed was 50 and the nearest residence was approximately one-half mile away. Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Cornwell to see if they needed to have a business license only.

Mr. Emerson advised he had received a call from Mr. S. T. Maitland in opposition to the permit. There were no others who spoke in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the application submitted by Robert A. and Lessie J. Peters for a conditional use permit to operate a dog kennel on Land Parcel 17-65, Namozine District, located on the corner of Routes 460-627, is hereby approved with the conditions as recommended by the Planning Commission and stated herein.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR ANNUAL RETAINER -- LEGAL SERVICES FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Mr. Herbert T. Williams, III, had submitted his bill for payment as his annual retainer to provide legal services to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority. Mr. Bracey requested this item be tabled in order to meet with the Industrial Development Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the request for annual retainer of legal services for Industrial Development Authority is tabled until December 7, 1988.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE CABLE TELEVISION REORGANIZATION

Mr. Chuck Stigberg, Dinwiddie Cable TV, advised Dinwiddie Cable TV is transferring ownership and becoming Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P. He explained this was due to his expanding the Cable Service an additional 30 miles, the double taxation, and next year he wanted to expand further. To do so, he needed more capital. He advised he and Charles Wilson will still remain a part of Dinwiddie Cable Partners. He introduced Mr. John Long who explained this is Phase II of a Three Phase investment program. Phase I had been completed, which was financed with the bank. Phase II was the Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P., which is present; and Phase III would be mapping and design of additional extension of Cable TV. They requested the resolution of Transfer be granted.

Mr. Robertson, Chairman, stated this was an asset to have additional funding and hopefully would be spread throughout the County. Mr. Bracey expressed his desire for the Courthouse area to be surveyed.

Upon Motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following Resolution of Transfer, pursuant to Section 7-44 of the Dinwiddie County Code, is adopted:

WHEREAS by action of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Dinwiddie Cable TV has been granted a franchise to operate a Cable TV system in Dinwiddie County and use the public rights of way therein pursuant to Chapter 7 of the Dinwiddie County Code; and,

WHEREAS Dinwiddie Cable TV desires to sell and convey more than fifty percent of its ownership interests in and to said franchise to Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P. and requests a consent to such conveyance pursuant to Section 7-44 of the Dinwiddie County Code; and,

WHEREAS the Board of Supervisors has considered such request and is agreeable to such transfer upon certain conditions.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that pursuant to Section 7-44 of the Dinwiddie County Code, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County does hereby consent to transfer of franchise rights by

Dinwiddie Cable TV to Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P. upon the following terms and conditions:

1. That Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P. shall execute and acknowledge an acceptance to the terms and conditions of Chapter 7 of the Code of Dinwiddie County and the franchise as required by Section 7-24 of the Dinwiddie County Code.

2. That all franchise fees due and payable as of the date of transfer shall be paid to the County Treasurer and delivered to the Office of the County Administrator with penalty and interest, if any.

3. That an executed copy of the bill of sale or similar document conveying the franchise rights shall be filed with the County Administrator as required by Section 7-44 of the Dinwiddie County Code.

4. That Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P. shall comply with all requirements of Section 7-69 of the Dinwiddie County Code by obtaining all policies and bonds therein required and filing the same with the County Administrator and executing all other documents thereunder.

5. That Dinwiddie Cable Partners, L.P. shall comply with all other provisions of Chapter 7 of the Dinwiddie County Code and the franchise agreement as previously executed by Dinwiddie Cable TV and now in force and effect.

IN RE: TRASH TRUCK REPAIR

Mr. John Loftis, Director of Sanitation, reported there were only three automatic shut down systems needed, as the three Mack trucks already have the built in system. Mr. Williams, Williams Truck Repair, had quoted him a price not to exceed $300 each on the two Kenworths, and $350 on the Tractor, for a total not to exceed $950.

At the November 2, 1988 meeting, Mr. Loftis reported the 1972 trash truck had overheated which caused the head to crack. The estimated cost to repair the truck was $1,300 to $1,500, which included a reconditioned head, radiator, and thermostat.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the lowest bid or not to exceed $2,450 be accepted to repair the cracked head on the 1972 trash truck, and also install three automatic shut down systems on the Mack trucks and tractor.

IN RE: REA HEARINGS ON OLD DOMINION 400 MW GENERATING STATION ON LAKE CHESDIN

Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, reported the Rural Electrification Administration (REA) was preparing to conduct interagency and public scoping meetings relating to the construction and operation of a 400 MW coal-fired generating station and associated facilities for Old dominion Electric Cooperative (ODEC) of Glen Allen, Virginia. One of the three proposed locations is the Sutherland Site located near Lake Chesdin in Dinwiddie County. The interagency meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 14, 1988, at 7:30 p.m. at the Dinwiddie High School at which time the public can voice their opinion. Mr. Barton felt that the County should be represented.

Mr. Robertson, Chairman, stated we were now one of three and there will a good possibility that the Sutherland Site would be chosen. He encouraged the citizens to attend this meeting.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR COURTHOUSE SQUARE

Mr. James Maitland, Agricultural Extension Agent, presented a proposed landscape plan for the Courthouse area. Mr. Bracey emphasized that he would like for this to be a Community Project, with no cost to the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the landscape plan was approved for the Courthouse area and will be a community and citizen project, with no cost to the County.

IN RE: C&P TELEPHONE PICTURE PRESENTATION

Mr. George Robertson, Chairman, advised the Community Relations Team of C&P Telephone had asked him to present to the County the framed picture of the new cover on the telephone book. This year's cover was an illustration of the Governor's Mansion in Richmond. Mr. Robertson asked that the picture be put on display, and that a letter of appreciation be forwarded to C&P Telephone.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:02 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Richard Barton
Richard Barton
County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 7TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	SAM SHANDS	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY
ABSENT:	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the November 16, 1988 Regular Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks numbering 2529 thru 2771: Payroll - $160,112.58; Clerk's Supplement - $972.12; Accounts Payable - $1,843,732.49; Self-Insurance - $640.91.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Mrs. Faye Veazey, of Chestnut Gardens Subdivision, presented a petition from Chestnut Gardens residents, as well as Mansfield South residents, requesting street lights and highway speed limit signs for these two subdivisions.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator is authorized to contact Virginia Power to have them review the subdivisions and determine the best locations for the street lights, and the Virginia Department of Transportation to review the posting of speed limit signs in Chestnut Gardens and Mansfield South subdivisions.

2. Ms. Lucille Phares expressed her concern over the racetrack and how the County needed it. She also said farm equipment and land use should be taxed. She complimented the Board for doing a good job and was proud of them.

3. Mr. Richard Earl questioned the December 6, 1988 newspaper article written by the Progress-Index in which a refuse firm was seeking finances in Prince George. He said the article indicated Prince George had a Letter of Intent made by the Board to build this refuse burning plant. The article further indicated that all localities had sent a Letter of Intent. He questioned if the Board was aware of a Letter of Intent to KTI. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, stated a Resolution of Support to allow them to submit an application to Virginia Power was adopted; however, a Letter of Intent was never presented. She was requested to see what the status of KTI is and submit a copy of the resolution that was adopted to the Progress-Index Newspaper.

4. Ms. Kay Winn stated she had also read the article and had read the resolution which was adopted by the Board from the Minutes on file in the County Administration Office. She had also contacted Mr. Owens, of the Prince George Industrial

Development Authority, who stated he had not filed a Letter of Intent. She stated the County should submit a correction to the paper to clarify this error.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were approved for addition to the agenda:

1. Typewriter and Dictation Equipment Maintenance Contract - Wendy Quesenberry

2. Authorization to Issue December Checks Early (December 23rd) - Wendy Quesenberry

3. Upcoming Appointments/Letter of Resignation - Wendy Quesenberry

4. Addition to Executive Session - Pending Legal Matters and Industrial Prospect

IN RE: VIRGINIA POWER - DINWIDDIE COUNTY PROFILE

Mr. Milton Woodlief, Economic Development Administrator for Virginia Power, presented a Community Profile of Dinwiddie County prepared by Virginia Power at no cost to the County. He also stated the Profile pamphlet will be updated when necessary at no cost. He complimented the Board of Supervisors and the Administration staff for working with Virginia Power. He stated the Industrial Park is the "bright star" of the County. He advised Virginia Power had 1,000 copies printed and will have them reprinted at no cost, when necessary.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Mr. T. O. Rainey put the Board on Notice and advised them of the new requirements that are due to a change in the conflict of interest law in filing their Disclosure Statements.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Mr. T. O. Rainey, Commonwealth Attorney, presented a Mutual Aid Agreement between the County of Dinwiddie and the Cities of Petersburg, Colonial Heights, Hopewell, and the Counties of Prince George and Chesterfield to provide mutual aid through the furnishing of its police and other employees or agents, together with all necessary equipment for the enforcement of laws designed to control or prohibit the use or sale of controlled drugs as defined in Section 54-524.2 of the Code of Virginia and asked authorization for the Chairman to sign said agreement, as provided for by resolution adopted October 1, 1986.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Mr. George Robertson, Jr., Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, is authorized to sign the Mutual Aid Agreement with the surrounding localities as described above pursuant to the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors on the 1st day of October, 1986.

IN RE: JAIL - LEAKING WATER PIPES

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, advised the jail had an emergency situation with recurring leaks in a ten foot section of pipes. She advised they had been patched as much as possible and now need to be replaced.

The estimated cost is $250, but other repairs may be needed when the section of pipe is removed, so there could be additional costs involved.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the ten-foot section of water pipe in the Jail is authorized to be repaired, on an emergency basis, estimated costs not to exceed $250.00.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, presented his November report. Mr. Robertson asked him to prepare an average cost on new dwellings to be included in his next report.

Mr. Abernathy advised Keller Aluminum Manufacturing had added another 100 employees due to expansion and the addition of another line of chairs.

IN RE: HEALTH DEPARTMENT - MORTAR WORK

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, advised the cheapest method on replacing the mortar work on the Health Department roof was stucco. He advised he had received two estimates: one charging $600 labor, with the County supplying the materials; the other charging by the hour, with the County supplying the materials. He estimated the materials to cost $100. He advised it was difficult to find someone to take on the job; however, something had to be done as soon as possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that, on an emergency basis, Mr. Dwayne Abernathy is authorized to have the mortar work replaced on the Health Department roof, cost not to exceed $1,000.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Animal Warden, was absent due to a severe cat bite he had received earlier in the day. Mr. Robertson, Chairman, presented his report for the month of November.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

Mr. Joe Emerson, Director of Planning, reported the Civilian Military Council Workshop was held at the Home Place Restaurant and was hosted by DEAL. Mr. Joe Baldaucci of Dinwiddie International Raceway spoke and advised that they will break ground in late December. He advised the raceway would generate over $1,000,000 in admissions tax and $60,000 in property taxes. He advised plans were in the beginning stages to construct a 110 room hotel.

Mr. Emerson also reported the Community Certification Committee had held their first meeting and progress was being made towards the County's Certification.

Mr. Emerson advised the Old Dominion public hearing/information meeting is scheduled on December 14, 1988 at 7:00 p.m. at the Dinwiddie County High School. He advised this was the time for the public to attend to learn about the project. The Planning Commission has cancelled their meeting in order to attend this public hearing. Mr. Richard Barton, County Administrator, advised he had attended a meeting with the Federal

representatives and that Dinwiddie was one of the three localities being considered. He advised that Halifax County had some opposition, which greatly increased our chances. He suggested a strong turn out by the public would be in the County's favor indicating to Old Dominion that the County was supporting this operation. Mr. Robertson also urged the public to attend and show their support and also learn about the project and make their comments known.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Assistant County Administrator is authorized to run a quarter page ad in the Sunday, December 11th issue of the Progress-Index Newspaper and the Wednesday, December 14th issue of the Monitor Newspaper, urging the public to attend the Old Dominion public hearing December 14, 1988, at 7:00 p.m. at the Dinwiddie County High School, at the request of the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services, advised her department is sponsoring the Christmas Sharing Foundation. She urged the public to contribute money in the canisters, food and clothing. They are working with the Salvation Army and retail stores in the area.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Richard Vaughn, School Superintendent, was unable to attend; however, Mr. Richard Barton spoke in his behalf requesting consensus from the Board as to the disposition of funds from the sale of the .2084 acres of land at Dinwiddie Elementary School next to Smyrna Baptist Church. He requested the Board of Supervisors to consider that any funds realized from the sale of said property be allocated to the School Board to construct a new storage shed. It was the consensus of the Board of Supervisors to allow the School Board to keep the money for the sale of the property.

IN RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, reported the Board had previously denied two School Board claims and both parties had filed suits against teachers. The first case was dismissed and thrown out of court; and the lawyer involved with the second case will not pursue the suit as he is looking for recovery in another direction.

Mr. Cornwell advised a workman's compensation claim involving a school board employee was being questioned due to the additional compensation for medical expenses that were unauthorized. This case was being appealed.

Mr. Cornwell advised Ms. Stella Seaborne had been chosen as Secretary to the County Attorney and County Planner and requested the Board's approval.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",
Ms. Stella Seaborne was appointed to Secretary II, Grade 8, Step 1A, as Secretary to the County Attorney and Secretary to the County Planner.

IN RE: RECREATION DIRECTOR

Ms. Fran Hart, Recreation Director, presented her report for the month of November. She reported on her sponsorship by the

United States Tennis Association (USTA) to the National USTA Conference in Dallas Texas and the benefits the county has received. For an investment of $70.00, the County can expect to receive $3,509 worth of goods and services from the USTA.

IN RE: PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR

Extract

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, requested the Board adopt a resolution requesting the General Assembly to remove the "sunset" clause from House Bill 1177, Fire Programs Fund. He advised the County receives approximately $25,000 per year through this fund which is distributed to the Fire companies. This funding has been used to purchase needed equipment and supplies.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution requesting the General Assembly to remove the "sunset" clause from House Bill 1177, Fire Programs Fund is adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie has greatly benefitted from the Fire Programs Fund which has provided as much as $25,000 a year to augment the County's outstanding fire protection service; and

WHEREAS, the Fire Programs Funding is scheduled to expire by operation of a sunset clause in the legislation; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Services Board of the Department of Fire Programs and numerous Fire Safety Officials and Municipalities throughout the State have called on the General Assembly to remove the sunset clause to enable the continuation of the Fire Programs Fund;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the General Assembly is hereby requested to remove the sunset clause from the Fire Programs Fund and provide for the regular continuation of funding under this program by the Commonwealth of Virginia to various municipal and volunteer fire departments of the Commonwealth; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Virginia Fire Services Board, Department of Fire Programs and to the representatives of this County in the honorable State and House of Delegates of the General Assembly of Virginia.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - ROUTE 738

Extract

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Transportation, presented a resolution requesting approval of changes in the secondary system due to relocation and construction on Route 738.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Secondary Route 738, from Intersection Route 647 to 1.139 Miles North Intersection Route 647, a distance of 1.139 miles, has been constructed and approved by the State Transportation Board, which new road serves the same citizens as the road so altered; and,

WHEREAS, certain section of this new road follow new locations, these being shown on the attached sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on

Route 738, Project 0738-025-197, M501 dated at Richmond, Virginia October 19, 1988."

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the portions of Secondary Route 738, ie., Section 2, shown in red on the sketch titled, "Changes in Secondary System Due to Relocation and Construction on Route 738, Project 0738-026-197, M501 dated at Richmond, Virginia October 19, 1988, a total distance of 0.19 miles be, and hereby is, added to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended; and,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the section of old location, ie., Section 1 shown in blue on the aforementioned sketch, a total distance of 0.16 miles, be, and the same hereby is, abandoned as a public road, pursuant to Section 33.1-155 of the Code of Virginia of 1950 as amended.

IN RE: VA. DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, was present to answer questions.

1. Mr. Bracey reminded Mr. Neblett of the Dinwiddie County Courthouse sign that he requested be erected.

2. Mr. Bracey requested warning signs of trucks entering highway be erected on U.S. #1, near Village Mart, at Boze Lumber Company.

3. Mr. Bracey requested a study on the dangerous intersection at Route 670 and 703, where numerous serious accidents have occurred in recent months.

4. Ms. Lucille Phares stated that the "keep left" sign going southbound on U.S. #1 at the Produce Center was misleading and asked Mr. Neblett to look into this.

5. Mr. Richard Earl again complained of the dump truck/heavy truck traffic on Route 1310 and 1311. Mr. Neblett advised signs were posted and that was all he could do. Mr. Robertson said he would again ask the Sheriff's Department to patrol. Mr. Earl suggested reversing the stop signs and placing them on Cedar Heart Lane.

6. Mr. Bob Mengel, of the Dinwiddie VFD, advised the 5 mph speed bumps on the abandoned Seaboard crossings hampered the fire equipment when crossing and requested something be done. Mr. Clay agreed that the crossings were rough.

IN RE: MAINTENANCE CONTRACT - TYPEWRITER & DICTATION EQUIPMENT

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, presented the results of the bids that had been received on the maintenance contract for County typewriter and dictation equipment. She advised five bids were received and recommended the following:

1. Award all IBM's to Advanced Systems, Inc., 348 S. Crater Road, Petersburg, exception Selectric II's and IBM-60.

2. Award Selection II's to Petersburg Business Machines, 111 N. Market Street, Petersburg.

3. Award IBM-60 to IBM - $280.67

4. Award Silver Reeds to Dominion Business Machines, Richmond, (EX-66 - $250; EX-77 - $325) This was the only bid received on these machines.

5. Award Swintec 8016S (still under warranty) to Dominion Business Machines - $295

6. Award IBM Wheelwriter 6 to Advanced Systems - $156

7. Award dictation equipment to Dictaphone - $90 to $103

Mr. Robertson requested the report be totaled and presented at the next meeting.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ISSUE EARLY DECEMBER CHECKS

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, requested County Payroll checks be issued December 23, the Friday before Christmas, as has been done in the past.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, authorizes the issuance of December Payroll checks on December 23, 1988.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, advised she had received a letter of resignation from Ms. Hattie Walker from the Appomattox Regional Library Board.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Ms. Hattie Walker's resignation, effective January 1, 1989, from the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term ending June 30, 1990, was accepted with regrets.

Mrs. Quesenberry presented a listing to the Board members of the appointments that needed to be considered at the next Board meeting.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - CONTRACT

Mr. Charles Thomas, of the Virginia Department of Health, presented the 1988-89 Health Department Contract with the County for execution. Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, endorsed the new contract stating it was an improvement to the past contract as to liability accepted by the County for actions taken by Health Department employees.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County Administrator is authorized the sign the 1988-89 contract with the State Health Department.

IN RE: FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND RESCUE SQUAD

Mr. Bob Mengel, of the Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department, expressed the concern of all the Fire Departments and Rescue Squad over personnel shortages, particularly the shortage of help and volunteers during the day. He advised the shortage of personnel has lead to Fire Company personnel responding to Rescue Squad calls, as well as Rescue Squad calls being answered from outside Dinwiddie County. He also advised that during the day more than one company has to be called out just to get enough people to respond. He requested the Board's assistance.

He advised in 1968, 70% of the Dinwiddie VFD firemen lived within one mile of the Courthouse, and 87% lived within two miles of the Courthouse.

In 1988, 0% live within one mile, 35% live within two miles, and 80% live within six miles.

He requested a County-wide membership program -- with possible tax breaks; recognition for services rendered; school programs to develop interest with the youth; convince employers to allow employees time off from work to respond to calls; some type of program to keep the volunteers interested and prevent burnout. Mr. Mengel stated the County could not afford to go to paid Departments.

The Board instructed Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, to investigate what resources were available for assistance in the area of recruiting and retaining volunteers.

Mr. Rice advised he had been working with the Fire Departments and Rescue Squad and advised it was a National problem and not just a County problem. He stated the legislators now have before them a proposal for volunteers with five or more years of service be granted a State pro-rated retirement system.

J. S. Major requested they talk to the State about reducing the mandatory training required for Rescue Squad personnel. He felt this would ease the manpower shortage.

Mr. Richard Earl suggested a Blue Ribbon Commission be formed to address the problem.

Jim Rice advised there are existing Committees and Commissions established now investigating the problem and he would get information from them.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR ANNUAL RETAINER - LEGAL SERVICES TO DINWIDDIE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Mr. Herbert T. Williams, III, had submitted his bill for payment as his annual retainer to provide legal services to the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority, in the amount of $500. During the November 16, 1988 meeting, this request was tabled.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the Dinwiddie Industrial Authority - Legal Services was brought off the table from the November 16, 1988 meeting for discussion.

Mr. George Robertson read the July 21, 1988 Memorandum from the County Administrator which was addressed to all Departments, Agencies, Authorities, the Airport Authority, DCWA and the Industrial Development Authority, wherein they were reminded that the County hired James E. Cornwell, Jr. to serve as County Attorney for all departments, agencies and authorities of the County.

Mr. Harrison made the motion to deny the claim. There was no second.

Mr. Bracey made a substitute motion that $250, or one-half, of the bill be paid with the understanding that Mr. Williams' services be terminated at this point. Mr. Clay seconded the motion, stating he did not know if Mr. Williams was informed or not. With Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay voting "aye"; Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "no", the motion was defeated.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- DINWIDDIE VFD

Extract

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988; and,

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Dinwiddie Volunteer Fire Department be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1988.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- DINWIDDIE EDUCATION ASSOC.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

Extract

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Education Association has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1989; and,

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Education Association meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Dinwiddie Education Association be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1989.

IN RE: DISTRIBUTION OF LOTTERY FUNDS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

It has been suggested that the localities adopt a Resolution requesting the Legislature to adopt legislation for the distribution of funds to localities from the State Lottery Fund to assist local governments in meeting its increasing financial burden due to state and federal mandated programs. The Board agreed to wait until the next meeting to take action as they will be meeting with their legislators December 15, 1988.

IN RE: CITIZEN INQUIRIES

1. Mrs. Polly Thrower asked the status of the DeWitt School Property. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, replied they were waiting on the Commission of Revenue's Office to supply some information.

2. Mrs. Kay Winn asked about using the Self-Insurance Fund for litigation expenses in the ACLU Suit. She stated that according to the November 6, 1985 minutes wherein the Self-Insurance Fund was established, this would not be a designated use. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised her that according to State Statutes, the County could use this money to defend its employees and that type of litigation.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:37 p.m. to discuss legal, personnel and industrial prospect matters. A vote having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:32.

EXTRACT

IN RE: ACTING DIRECTOR OF SANITATION

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Travis "Spike" Wells was appointed Acting Director of Sanitation at a salary Grade 21, Step 3A, effective December 1, 1988.

IN RE: PART-TIME LANDFILL HELP

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administration is authorized to advertise and hire, on an emergency basis, a part-time position for the Landfill to operate the tractor and drive the trash truck.

IN RE: FULL TIME CUSTODIAN

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administration is authorized to establish a full-time position of Custodian from the present part-time position and to advertise the position at Salary Grade 1.

IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION -- TRUCK REPAIR

Mr. Spike Wells, Acting Director of Sanitation, reported the 1973 trash truck's rear end came out. He said they had changed the transmission a few years ago but did not replace the rear end. He suggested junking the 1973 trash truck and use it for parts and putting the cylinder head of the 1973 trash truck onto the 1972.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

Mr. Travis Wells is authorized to put the 1973 trash truck out of service and use it for spare parts and further to use the cylinder head to repair the 1972 trash truck at a cost not to exceed $400.

Mr. Wells also advised Robert Hugh Williams' Mack truck needed rubber bushings. This would be an emergency repair. Mr. Clay requested Mr. Wells obtain three telephone bids and use the lowest bid, but not to exceed $1,500.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

Mr. Travis Wells is authorized to obtain three telephone bids and accept the lower of the three, but not to exceed $1,500 in order to fix the rubber bushings on the Mack truck.

Mr. Wells advised that there was also a problem with the tractor, but was unable to give a full report on this. He will bring this report back to the Board at a later date.

IN RE: RECESS

Mr. George Robertson, Chairman, advised the meeting would recess until December 14, 1988 at 5:00, at which time they will go into Executive Session. He advised there may be possible action when they return to open session. This meeting will be continued until December 15, 1988 at 6:30 p.m., when the Board will meet for a Legislative Dinner.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting recessed at 10:45 p.m. and was continued until 5:00 P.M., Wednesday, December 14, 1988 at 5:00 P.M.

DECEMBER 14, 1988 -- 5:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF DECEMBER 7, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1) and (4) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:00 p.m. to discuss personnel and prospective industrial matters. A motion being made and passed, the Board reconvened into Open Session at 6:30 p.m.

IN RE: LETTER OF INTENT -- ISSUANCE OF INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, an industry is proposing to locate in Dinwiddie County which industry would have a substantial impact on the economic future of the County, and

WHEREAS, said industry intends to request the Dinwiddie County Industrial Development Authority to issue revenue bonds to construct a building to house said industry and lease the same from the Authority generating sufficient income to redeem said bond issue, and

WHEREAS, the industry has requested that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia assist the issuance of said bonds by granting its obligation to repayment of said bonds, subject to future appropriation of future boards as the same may see fit;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the County of Dinwiddie, in order to support the location of industry in the County, agrees to obligate its credit, subject to future appropriations of the Board, to the industrial revenue bonds to be issued by the Industrial Development Authority of Dinwiddie County, subject to the following conditions:

(1) That the financial status of the proposed industry is acceptable and that it appears the Company is able to support the proposed lease, proceeds of which will be solely used to pay the bonds to be issued; and

(2) That the Industrial Development Authority of Dinwiddie County approves the inducement resolution for issuance of the bonds; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that to further the location of the industry in the County, the Chairman and Vice Chairman of this Board are hereby authorized to sign a Letter of Intent on behalf of this Board containing the language of this resolution.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT UNDERWRITING SERVICES -- FINANCIAL CORPORATION OF VIRGINIA

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, appearing to the Board that financial expertise is needed to assist it in making certain that the industry indicated above has the financial ability to pay the lease to redeem the bonds to be issued by the Dinwiddie County Industrial Authority; and

WHEREAS, Financial Corporation of Virginia will be underwriting the issuance of any bonds used for this project, and desires to assure itself as to the credit of the industry;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Financial Corporation of Virginia be requested to assist the County as financial advisor to the Board in making the determinations required in the Board's previous resolution.

The Chairman stated that he and the Vice-Chairman would be flying out West on December 22, 1988, to meet with the prospective industry and after satisfactory review of all documentation, sign a Letter of Intent as authorized.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(4) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 6:35 p.m. to discuss prospective industrial matters. A motion being made and passed, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:22 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 6:30 p.m., Thursday, December 15, 1988.

George E. Robertson

GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., Chairman

Wendy W. Quesenberry

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator

DECEMBER 15, 1988 -- 5:00 P.M. -- CONTINUATION OF DECEMBER 14, 1988 MEETING

PRESENT:	GEORGE E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: LEGISLATIVE DINNER

The Board of Supervisors, School Board and Social Services Board met with Senator Richard Holland and Delegates Jay DeBoer and R. Beasley Jones to discuss legislative issues.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:50 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr., Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenbery
Interim County Administrator

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 21ST DAY OF DECEMBER, 1988, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	GEORGE ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	ROY HODGES	DEPUTY SHERIFF
	JAMES E. CORNWELL, JR.	COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Moody "abstaining", the minutes of the December 7, 1988 Regular Meeting, the December 14, 1988 Continuation Meeting, and the December 15, 1988 Continuation Meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same, using checks numbering 2772-2882: General Fund - $216,025.65; E-911 - $10,808.57; Self-Insurance - $417.19; Capital Projects - $5,305.21; Law Library - $136.55 -- checks numbering 2883-2984 for payroll - $160,711.09.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF AWARDS

The following former Board of Supervisor members were presented awards in recognition of past service.

1. Milton I. Hargrave, Jr. - 20 yrs. service
   January 1, 1968 to December 31, 1987
   Chairman: 1972, 1973, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1980, 1985

2. George S. "Jack" Bennett, Jr. - 12 yrs. service
   November 1974 to March 1987
   Chairman: 1979, 1984

3. Harry L. Clay, Jr. - 4 yrs. service
   January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1987
   Chairman: 1987

IN RE: PROPERTY CLAIMS

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, presented the following claims for payment.

1. Ms. Donna Greenwood's vehicle was damaged when a trash truck driven by Robert Hugh Williams backed into it in West Petersburg. Mr. Spike Wells, Acting Director of Sanitation, presented pictures and explained that the streets were narrow and that the dumpsters were located in an area between two houses requiring the trash truck to back out in the street. Mr. Cornwell advised two estimates had been received for the car damages, with the lowest being $466.27. He recommended payment and indicated a full release would be obtained.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Ms. Donna Greenwood be paid $466.27 in exchange for a Release of All Claims, for damages sustained to her vehicle by a trash truck.

2. Avery Insurance Agency submitted a claim wherein a trash truck had run over their septic tank. The 1250 gallon tank had to be replaced, the old tank pumped and removed, the area graded and gravel replaced in the driveway for a total of $1,400. Mr. Wells explained the regular driver was aware of where the tank was located; however, this was a substitute driver.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Avery Insurance Company be paid the $1,400 for damages to the septic tank and an agreement wherein the septic system will be marked and the county will not be responsible for future damages.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Mr. Edward Arthur expressed his concern with the street lights in subdivisions. He felt some other arrangements should be made and that the subdivisions should have to pay for the streetlights. He said the County was not in a position to bear these expenses and should not be considered. He stated other people outside of subdivisions were responsible for their own lighting. Mr. Arthur also commented on the Chairman's remarks concerning inquiry into County employee leave. He suggested to relieve any liability on the Board, a form of release should be drawn up for employees to sign when they take leave.

2. Mrs. Kay Winn stated during the past year she has spoken to the Board and had tried to be respectful and courteous at all times when speaking. She wanted to share a compliment she had received after the Planning Commission Meeting on Monday night. She said visitors from other localities were amazed at the process of democracy functioning in Dinwiddie County in today's society. She felt that democracy was not dead, but very much alive in Dinwiddie County.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following amendments were approved for addition to the agenda:

1. Executive Session - Two industries will be discussed and the Chairman invited Herbert Williams and Pete Thrower of the Industrial Development Authority, and Mr. Sean Vargyai of ABIDCO to attend.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE COUNTY FOOD BANK

Rev. A. L Toney, Sr., representing Dinwiddie County Food Bank, advised the Board their group was currently located in the Dinwiddie Ruritan Club; however, they have to relocate by December 31, 1988. Mr. Toney requested assistance from the Board to move into the Eastside Elementary School. He stated he knew the County wanted to sell the building and they would help take care of the inside and outside of the facility. He advised they had been moving from place to place and needed a permanent

site. He advised they would require three rooms; however, would like one wing. He stated they needed to know something as they had to be out of the Ruritan Building by next week. Dr. Vaughn advised the School Board still owns the building and the request could be brought before them at the January 10th meeting. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, suggested an agreement be worked out wherein they ask the School Board to let the Dinwiddie Food Bank use the Eastside School at will.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, requests the School Board allow the Dinwiddie County Food Bank to use the Eastside Elementary School facilities at will, and an agreement be drawn up accordingly.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE INSTITUTE

Mr. George Robertson read the petition from the Trustees of Dinwiddie Institute of the A.M.E. Zion Church located on Route 679. The Dinwiddie Institute presented a petition requesting permission to place a sign at the intersection of Rt. 619 and Rt. 1 indicating the location of their facility. The signs that were located on this corner were removed when the renovation of the Clerk's Office and Courthouse grounds was done. The signs were not replaced because of the Historical Landmark designation of the Courthouse and, more importantly, when you allow one, you are opening the door for other requests of this type. Their alternative is to request permission from the State Highway Department to place the sign on the State right-of-way. Mr. Neblett advised the State Highway Department does not allow this. Another alternative is to contact the property owner on the opposite side of the intersection.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, concurs with the action of the Administration to deny the request and further instructs the County Administrator to write a letter to the Dinwiddie Institute indicating the action taken by the Baord and the reasons why the request was not granted.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -- Addition to Secondary System -- Mansfield North - Jackie Drive, Mark Drive, James Court and Donnie Court

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Transportation, presented a resolution requesting Jackie Drive, Mark Drive, James Court and Donnie Court be added into the secondary system.

Extract

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of transportation, be and is hereby, requested to add a section of road known as Jackie Drive, beginning at a point on Route 601, 0.12 miles east of Route 1353 and running in a northerly direction 0.33 miles to a deadend with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of

Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as James Court, beginning at a point on Jackie Drive, 0.09 miles north of Route 601 and running in a westerly direction 0.06 miles to a deadend with a turn around. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Mark Drive, beginning at a point on Route 1353, 0.19 miles north of Route 601 and running in an easterly direction 0.19 miles to a deadend with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with the Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the Virginia Department of Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Donnie Court, beginning at a point on Jackie Drive, 0.08 miles north of Mark Drive and running in a westerly direction 0.06 miles to a deadend with a turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with the Virginia Department of Transportation Specifications and County Ordinances; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA,that these roads in Mansfield North Subdivision, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia, a minimum unrestricted right-of-way of 50 feet with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage, as recorded in Plat Book 13, Page 28, dated April 25, 1986, for Section Four and Plat Book 13, Page 67 dated June 2, 1987 for Section Five.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD -- SALE OF PROPERTY

Mr. Jim Cornwell, County Attorney, advised the School Board has passed the resolution declaring the .20 acres of land at Dinwiddie Elementary surplus property adjacent to Smyrna Baptist Church. The next step is to record the resolution passing title to the County. The Church has offered to pay for having the land surveyed and has offered a bid on the property.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Attorney is authorized to advertise for a public hearing for January 18, 1989 the sale of .20 acres of property located at Dinwiddie Elementary School adjacent to Smyrna Baptist Church.

IN RE: FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND RESCUE SQUAD

Mr. Jim Rice, Public Safety Director, at the request of the Board at the December 7, 1988 meeting, had researched various volunteer programs throughout the state and reported on his findings as follows:

1. After discussion with the County Attorney and State elected officials, he found that personal property tax breaks were not allowed. However, it should be noted that such programs are being considered by the General Assembly.

2. The possibility of offering a partial retirement program after the member has attained 20 years of service and age

60 is also being considered by the General Assembly. This could prove to be a significant factor in the retention of volunteers.

3. Mr. Rice had been in contact with the State Department of Volunteerism and they have agreed to come into the County to provide training to the volunteers. This training would offer guidance, new ideas and programs that should prove to be beneficial in the recruitment and retention of additional members. He added that there was no cost to the County for this service and the first meeting was scheduled for January 9, 1989, with subsequent meetings to follow.

4. He advised there was only one program he was aware of at this time that would be economically feasible for the County and also recognize the need for additional support of the volunteers. This program consists of each member receiving a $3.00 donation for each call responded to. In return for this consideration, each participating member must, within one year, attain Firefighter I and Hazardous Materials I Level Certification or be enrolled in such classes, and must maintain same, to remain eligible. This program should have a minimal financial impact on the County, if managed property. He advised this item could be budgeted at an average of $30,000 per year, which would include both the Rescue Squad and Fire Departments. He added he would be responsible to make sure the program worked and would be closely monitored.

In order to manage these programs there would be a need to organize the volunteers into a more cohesive unit. This organizational concept could result in substantial savings to the County in the future.

Mr. Rice also reported that he had been informed by the Virginia Department of Emergency Services that his salary was being 100% supported by the State at a rate of $12,263. The EMA program supplements 50% of personnel and administrative costs for emergency services.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

1. Planning Commission - 4 year terms

EXTRACT

Mr. Harrison nominated Mr. Walter Kelly for reappointment, Mr. Clay nominated Ms. Inell Moody for reappointment. Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that Mr. Walter Kelly and Ms. Inell Moody be reappointed to the Planning Commission, for a four year term, ending December 31, 1992.

2. Dinwiddie County Water Authority - 4 year terms

EXTRACT

Mr. Bracey nominated Mr. John Clements for reappointment and Mr. Clay nominated Mr. Joseph Patterson for reappointment to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. John Clements and Mr. Joseph Patterson be reappointed to the Dinwiddie County Water Authority for a four year term, ending December 31, 1992.

3. Appomattox Regional Library Board - 4 year term

At the December 7, 1988 Board Meeting, Mrs. Hattie M. Walker's resignation was accepted, effective December 31, 1988.

EXTRACT

Mr. Bracey nominated Ms. Gloria Harvell, Route 1, Box 34M-1, Carson, Virginia to fill this position.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Ms. Gloria Harvell be appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board to fill the vacancy, ending June 30, 1990.

4. Transportation Safety Commission - 1 yr. term

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, requested all seven members be reappointed.

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mr. A. S. Clay, Mr. T. E. Gibbs, Mr. Rebecca Tilson, Mr. Terry Jones, Ms. Barbara Wilson, Mr. Max Roberts, and Mr. Louis Thibault be reappointed to the Transportation Safety Commission for a one year term, ending December 31, 1989.

IN RE: OFFICE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

Mrs. Wendy Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, presented the following recommendations on awarding the Office Equipment Maintenance Contracts.

Advanced Systems - 8 typewriters @ $42 - $336
Memory Typewriter - 490

Petersburg Business Machines - 9 typewriters @ $42 - $368

IBM - 2 typewriters @ $280.67 - $561.34

Dominion Business Machines - Silver Reed EX66 - $250
Silver Reed EX77 - $325

Dictaphone - 3730 x 2 = $160
3720 x 2 = $186
2720 x 1 = $ 90

Wheelwriter and Swintec = New equipment - ON CALL BASIS

These contracts are effective January 1, 1989, for a Grand Total of $2,776.34.

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA that the Maintenance Agreement on the Office Equipment be granted as presented to the above firms effective January 1, 1989, totalling $2,776.34.

EXTRACT

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- DINWIDDIE YOUTH LEAGUE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Youth League has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1989; and

EXTRACT

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Youth League meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Dinwiddie Youth League be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1989.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- DINWIDDIE MOOSE LODGE

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

EXTRACT

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1989; and

WHEREAS, Dinwiddie Moose Lodge meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Dinwiddie Moose Lodge be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1989, contingent upon a successful inspection of fire safety and occupancy load by the Public Safety Officer.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT -- NAMOZINE VFD

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

EXTRACT

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Namozine VFD has submitted an application for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for Calendar Year 1989; and

WHEREAS, Namozine VFD meets the requirements as set out in Section 18.2-340.10 of the Code of Virginia and has paid the Ten Dollar ($10.00) application fee.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Namozine VFD be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the Calendar Year 1989, contingent upon the Commonwealth Attorney's signature upon the form.

IN RE: LAND USE

Mr. Robertson, Chairman, read the following:

"December 21, 1988

Board of Supervisors

Gentlemen:

1989 will bring us budget requirements that must be met by innovative ideas or tax increases! Tax increases should be as a last resort and should be small. Innovative ideas are preferable!

We have requested the County Attorney's opinion on the following:

1. Rescinding the Land Use Tax on all but agricultural land!
2. Restoring the Farm Machinery Tax!

His opinion is attached.

As a result we will request the Board at our January 4th, 1989 meeting to approve advertising for a public hearing to be held in February for the purpose of citizen input on rescinding the Land Use Tax on all but agricultural land. A resolution to this effect will be prepared and placed in your January 4th packet. We will also have a proposed ordinance available.

In a timely fashion, we will also have paper work prepared for returning Farm Machinery to the tax rolls.

Gentlemen, we respectfully request a favorable vote in order that our citizens have the opportunity for input.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
and
Charles W. (Dewey) Harrison"

Mr. Moody stated he did not see anything innovative with this proposal in trying to reinstate an old tax and moved that the issue be tabled.

Mr. Robertson advised this will be brought up and presented at the January 4, 1989 meeting, and therefore, there was no issue at this time to be tabled. He wanted the citizens to call their representative and express their opinion.

IN RE: CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS

Mr. Robertson presented a synopsis for 1988 and requested the following be made a part of the minutes.

"Fellow Members of the Board, Fellow Citizens:

1988 has been an interesting year for Dinwiddie. To point to just a few happenings during the year:

1. We started the year without an Administrator and our Interim Administrator and her staff did an outstanding job. We commend them for their loyalty and dedication!

2. Our Planning Department has spent many hours working with prospective industries. With the assistance and cooperation of Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corp. (Sean Vargyai and staff), Crater Planning District Commission (Denny Morris & Staff), County Administration and many agencies, the following accomplishments were possible:

1. Tindall Corp. (Approx. 100 employees)
2. Dinwiddie International Raceway (Approx. 75 temporary employees). {Mr. Robertson commented he had spoken with the raceway attorney who assured him the money was available and they were 2 to 3 weeks ahead of schedule. He advised the first was was scheduled for the third week in May and they are expected to break ground January 10, 1989.}
3. Old Dominion Power Plant (ongoing negotiations, approx. 600 temporary employees and 200 permanent employees).

{Mr. Robertson commended the citizens for the good showing at the public hearing on December 14, 1988 at the Dinwiddie County High School and they were impressed with the support.}

4. _____ industry to announce in next few days (200-250 employees). {Mr. Robertson commented he was 95% sure the operation would be here by the first of Spring.}

5. _____ industry to announce in next few days (50 employees to start). {Mr. Robertson commented this industry would be coming and construction would begin soon.}

6. Several other industries are being worked with and we feel real good about a couple of these.

7. We employed an Administrator in July and the budget, landfill discussions, jail improvement project plus many other problems were put in his care. He and his staff have given considerable attention to these concerns. Some have been solved. Some are being studied. And solutions are pending on others. Problems did not occur over night, therefore solutions will not be forthcoming over night. Our administrator, staff and your supervisors are continuing to provide solutions that will not burden the taxpayers. Our appreciation and best wishes to them for their efforts.

8. Our Department Heads were requested to reduce their budgets in order to improve our financial position. They all cooperated to the fullest. To them we say "thanks"!

9. While we may have said in the past that our citizens did not attend and participate in Government, we certainly cannot say that in 1988. We have had considerable participation. I strongly support and encourage this type of involvement when it is not a personal nature.

We are now ready to enter 1989. I believe that the future will be better because of the happenings of 1988. I have put together 12 of my wishes and prayers for Dinwiddie citizens in the future. They are as follows:

My Wishes and Prayers for Dinwiddie are:

George E. Robertson, Jr.

Chairman, Board of Supervisors

1. An industrial tax base that provides funds to educate and prepare all citizens for the high-tech future!

2. An appreciation of the outstanding service that the educators and employees of our school system perform!

3. Good jobs and fair taxes for all citizens striving to provide a good life for their families!

4. Lower taxes to enable our senior citizens to live without fear of poverty and to live with the dignity that they have earned!

5. Agencies and citizens to assist those less fortunate to return to the life that they once enjoyed!

6. Citizens that look at an issue from all sides and support their elected officials or offer alternative solutions!

7. Citizens that offer themselves to run for office or volunteer to serve on authorities and/or commission!

8. Easing of requirements placed on volunteer fire and rescue personnel in order that citizens will again be able to volunteer for service with our volunteer fire departments and rescue squads (if this is not done soon or volunteer fire and rescue squads may have to become a paid service and will have a devastating effect on budgets!)

9. Complete support and appreciation for our hard working and dedicated volunteer fire and rescue personnel.

10. An appreciation by our citizens of the dedicated employees that go above and beyond the call of duty to make Dinwiddie a better place.

11. A total commitment towards working with our neighbors. Regional efforts provide regional results.

12. A happy and prosperous New Year to all!

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:45 p.m. to discuss personnel, legal and industrial prospect matters. A vote having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:55 p.m.

IN RE: RESIGNATION -- ROBERT HUGH WILLIAMS

Mr. Robert Hugh Williams, an employee with the Landfill, submitted his letter of retirement effective February 28, 1989. Mr. Robertson requested a Letter of Appreciation be presented to Mr. Williams.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Robert Hugh Williams' resignation is accepted and the County Administrator is authorized to advertise and hire his replacement for the position of Heavy Equipment/Compactor Operator II.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR -- WENDY W. QUESENBERRY

Mr. Robertson advised the County Administrator, Richard Barton, would be leaving and wished to thank him for his efforts.

EXTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that Mrs. Wendy W. Quesenberry, Assistant County Administrator, be appointed as Interim County Administrator until a permanent selection is made for the position of County Administrator.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Moody, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 10:00 p.m.

George E. Robertson, Jr.
George E. Robertson, Jr.
Chairman

Wendy W. Quesenberry
ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry
Interim County Administrator