

VIRGINIA: AT THE CONTINUATION MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE EXTENSION MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST, 1990, AT 5:00 P.M.

PRESENT: HARRISON A. MOODY, CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #1  
A. S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #4  
EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #3  
CHARLES W. HARRISON ELECTION DISTRICT #2  
MICHAEL H. TICKLE ELECTION DISTRICT #2

---

IN RE EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye", pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, Sec. 2.1-344(3), acquisition of real property for public use, the Board moved into Executive Session at 5:00 P.M. A vote having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 6:30 P.M.

IN RE: CERTIFICATION OF EXECUTIVE MEETING

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County convened an executive meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.1-344.1 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that such Executive Meeting was conducted in conformity with the Virginia Law;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the executive meeting to which this certification resolution applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the executive meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

---

IN RE: WORKSHOP ON STREETNAMING & HOUSE NUMBERING SYSTEM

Mr. John Clarke, Planning Technician, opened the workshop with a review of the last road name changes and their associated costs. He then described the recommended criteria for a road name change:

1. Historical Precedence
2. Errors - duplications, etc.
3. Subjective request

Mr. Clarke also suggested that the Board consider increasing the percentage necessary for a petition for name change from 50% to 75%. He then described the process required for a street name change and the problems he has encountered with hand deliveries.

Because of the large number of errors, Mr. Clarke stated the Board might want to consider setting up an account under the County's name which individuals could use to purchase 300 address labels or a stamp for address labels. He estimated the cost to be \$5 to \$6 per set of addresses. This would be available for those individuals who have had to change addresses due to County error.

Mr. Lowell Scott and Mr. Taylor Turner, representing R. Stuart Royer & Associates were present to describe the process they used for naming the roads in the County. They stated they used a list provided by the County as well as the list provided by the Crater Planning District



Commission as a clearinghouse. It was pointed out that the list provided by the County was to be used as a reference of which a majority were names listed by the VDOT. The consultant did not perform a field verification of the names provided.

The maps showing all road names were displayed in the Administration Building for a two week period before adoption and public notice was given concerning their availability. It was also pointed out that a minimal amount of public input was involved in the process because of the desire to move forward as quickly as possible with the E911 implementation.

Mr. John Hayes, Mr. Dick Farrington, Mr. Richard Earl, Mrs. Ann Scarborough and Mr. Gene Winfield asked questions or made comments concerning the process. Several representatives from the various post offices were present and offered their assistance.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator is instructed to contact the Sheriff and the postal carriers to solicit their assistance with the hand deliveries of new addresses within the next two weeks; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that after this two week period, if it is determined that there is a need to hire temporary personnel to assist with the hand deliveries, a recommendation be brought back to the Board outlining the criteria for hiring and a suggested hourly rate.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a 3rd class bulk mailing be made to all citizens describing the process for those individuals that desire to change the name of their road; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the date of November 30, 1990 be established as the final date for receipt of petitions for name change, contingent upon the bulk mailing and public notice being accomplished 60 days prior to the November 30, 1990 deadline.

---

IN RE:           EMERGENCY ORDINANCE - REQUIREMENT FOR PETITION FOR ROAD NAME CHANGE

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Section 23-7(3) of the Dinwiddie County Code which contains a requirement that not less than 50% of all those persons representing households or businesses directly affected by the proposed street name change sign a petition for a name change, be amended to change the percentage of such persons to not less than 75% and further to define "persons" as registered landowners; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this action be adopted as an emergency ordinance for 60 days to allow proper advertisement for public hearing for a permanent change in this section of the Dinwiddie Code.

---

IN RE:            APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT  
                     AUTHORITY AUDIT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that funds be appropriated for an audit of the Industrial Development Authority financial activities from January 1, 1982 through August 9, 1990, as based upon a selection of an auditing firm by the Authority.

---

IN RE:            ESTABLISHMENT OF ACCOUNT FOR ADDRESS LABELS

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the County Administrator is authorized to establish an account with an office supply firm to provide address labels for those citizens who are having to make additional changes in their street names following notification because of an error by the County.

---

IN RE:            ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 9:21 P.M.

ATTEST:

  
DEWEY P. CASHWELL, JR.

  
HARRISON A. MOODY, CHAIRMAN