

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF APRIL, 1991, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	CHARLES W. HARRISON, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	HARRISON A. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	MICHAEL H. TICKLE	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	A. S. CLAY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4

CLAUDE TOWNSEND
THOMAS KEARNEY

DEPUTY SHERIFF
COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: RESOLUTION HONORING SGT. DONALD W. MORGAN

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following resolution is adopted:

WHEREAS, America has once again been called upon to offer assistance in an international crisis, and

WHEREAS, the War in the Persian Gulf has been won at the dear price of all wars, the lives of young, brave men and women, and

WHEREAS, a son of Dinwiddie County, from Ford, Virginia, Staff Sergeant Donald W. Morgan was called upon to make the ultimate sacrifice on behalf of his Country and his loved ones, and

WHEREAS, Staff Sergeant Morgan's 12 years of decorated service in the United States Army demonstrated his commitment to dreams of a better life for he and his family, and a better, more peaceful world for his countrymen to enjoy, and

WHEREAS, the manner in which Sergeant Morgan lost his life in no way lessens the fact that he was a combat casualty, because combat efforts depend on a team of personnel, all of whom are critical to the success of the action, and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Morgan's death was all the more tragic, in that it occurred after the declaration of a cease-fire that he and our other troops had worked and fought so hard to achieve, and

WHEREAS, it is fitting that Staff Sergeant Donald W. Morgan's friends, neighbors, and countrymen recognize his contribution to Democracy and the American way of life, and collectively mourn the loss of his life in sacrifice for all the values we hold so dear,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Government and Citizens of Dinwiddie County, Virginia do hereby recognize Sergeant Donald W. Morgan as a hero of our nation and our community, and applaud the values for which he lived and fought;

We offer our deepest sympathies to his Mother, Mrs. Doris F. Morgan, his brothers and sisters, and especially his young daughter Kanisha S. Morgan, and offer on behalf of his family our solemn prayer that God may accept Sergeant Donald W. Morgan into that special place in heaven reserved for those brave souls who give up their lives so that others may enjoy the blessing of freedom.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the minutes of the March 20, 1991 Continuation Meeting, March 20, 1991 Regular Meeting, and the March 29, 1991 Continued Meeting are hereby approved in their entirety.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims are approved and funds appropriated for same using checks #13009 thru #13258 (void checks #12947 for \$113.00 and 13011): General Fund - \$250,253.13; E911 - \$2,822.38; Capital Projects - \$12,355.51; CDBG - \$93,200.00 for a total of \$358,631.02.

Mr. Moody asked if the warrant register for payroll would be included next month.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

1. Freeman Browning asked the Board of Supervisors why he has to make a compromise concerning his request for changing Halligan Park Road to South Halifax Road. He questioned having to meet with the Planning Office in regard to the road name change and he thought he had to submit his questions to the Planning Department at the meeting with the County Administrator and Chairman. He asked why the Board can't approve his request.

Mr. Harrison told Mr. Freeman that the Board has ordinances to follow and that was the reason.

Mr. Browning asked what direction he should take now.

Mr. Harrison informed Mr. Browning that he still needed to submit his questions to the Planning Department and then meet with the County Administrator and Chairman. He felt he had done everything he could to help.

2. Peter Jeffrey of West Petersburg Vicinity Awareness Inc., appeared before the Board to discuss future development in West Petersburg and the use of public funds (CDBG). He requested that the Board of Supervisors endorse one or both of the following resolutions:

RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors support the West Petersburg and Vicinity Awareness Inc. as the exclusive developers of the community of West Petersburg, and that we support their application to the Virginia Housing and Development Authority for the purpose of becoming a part of the Urban Homeownership Opportunities Program.

RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors strongly encourage any person, persons or entity who express an interest in developing property in the area known as West Petersburg to enter into consultations with the community group known as the West Petersburg and Vicinity Awareness Inc. to facilitate a plan of comprehensive development in that community.

Mr. Jeffrey stated that the tentative plans for community development and goal is to effect the comprehensive development of the West Petersburg and Piney Beach communities, utilizing both public and private funding sources.

Listed are the objectives and strategies planned:

Objective 1. To rehabilitate existing properties not scheduled for acquisition under the second objective. This rehabilitation includes but is not limited to unit improvement and property landscaping.

Strategy 1a. Canvas the community to determine structural and architectural needs for each property not owned by the developers and assess the costs for improvement to a given standard.

Implementing this strategy should result in:

Comprehensive rehabilitated housing and an increase in its value.

Conformity to a given set of community standards.

Improved architectural appeal, landscaping, and drainage conditions.

Objective 2. To create new housing opportunities for low to moderate income families.

Strategy 2a. Purchase lots 318-350, 354-359, 866-879, 374-379, 502-497, for the cost of \$150,000. Develop the site which includes the demolition of existing properties, water and sewer hookups, extension of Rt. 1307 (Brunswick Ave.) , and transport debris to landfills for the amount of \$55,000.

2b. Submit application to the Community Development Block Grant program, the Urban Homeownership Opportunity Program, and to various local banks to create a viable funding source to facilitate all previously stated and all other stated objectives.

2c. Purchase homes of varying architectural design from the Princeton Homes Corporation for prices ranging from \$32,253 to \$39,123 delivered to the site. Foundation preparation and assembly could add an additional \$5,000 to \$10,000 to each house,

2d. Homes will be purchased with CDBG money and replaced with UHOP funds when eligible buyers are selected. Lots may be sold for as little as \$1 per 100X100 ft. parcels.

2e. Workshops identifying potential homeowners will be held. Assistance will be given to each applicant on how to complete the necessary forms. Mandatory workshops will be held for each eligible applicant to receive training or to demonstrate competence in home maintenance.

Implementing these strategies should result in:

The creation of new low cost housing for eligible individuals.

Minimal displacement of current residents in compliance with CDBG requirements.

A revolving and ongoing effort to provide affordable housing to low to moderate income individuals while transforming an area of the community from a dysfunctional, drug proliferating haven, into an area of tax-paying homeowners.

Objective 3. Establish an ongoing recreation/community system of education assistance, resident involvement, resident assistance e.g. day care (for the children and elderly), after school care which would include tutoring and recreation, job search assistance, property acquisition (reapportionment of community), and Adopt-A-Highway coordination.

Strategy 3a. Authorize a system of committees and individuals to execute each area of concern in accordance with his/her/their expertise in that area.

3b. Apply to the Office of Community Service for the Discretionary Grant which will become available after April 15, 1991 as well as other funding sources which will address the particular concern for which the respective committee will apply.

3c. Target certain buildings for the purpose of renovations that may be utilized as a permanent headquarters for the WPVA Inc. and its operations.

Implementing these strategies should result in:

A well functioning community with a central core of support.

The fulfillment of the objectives of the community as presented in the "Visions" presented by an authorized committee on Thursday August 16, 1990 which would result in improvements in, 1) health, 2) safety, and 3) beauty, in the communities of West Petersburg and Piney Beach.

Mr. Jeffrey stated that the WPVA Board has worked to receive full incorporation under the SCC requirements. He presented to the Board the Articles of Incorporation of WPVA. He stated that the purpose of the West Petersburg group is an ongoing effort to address the needs of the community in terms of job support, adopt a highway effort, and also development of these areas. Mr. Jeffrey thanked the Board for their support in cleaning up the West Petersburg area. The blighted areas are now clean free of debris, and houses that were unsafe are now clean and safe for the citizens of the community. They have not received any meaningful plans for development of the land in West Petersburg or Piney Beach. There appears to be no competition for West Petersburg going forth as a designated developer by this group. WPVA Inc., asked the Board to support the resolutions for this reason, that if WPVA has to go to the bargaining table with a competing firm that wants to develop in West Petersburg, then they would know that the Board supports the right of the people of West Petersburg and Piney Beach to determine their own destiny.

Mr. Tickle asked if the homes would be purchased with CDBG funds and then be replaced by UHOP funds.

Mr. Jeffrey stated that there was an eligibility problem, but an aide in the Governor's office told him to go ahead and make application.

Mr. Kearney expressed his concern about the legality of the use of the word "exclusive" in the first resolution. Mr. Kearney stated that one of the problems is that covenants are imposed by the developers and not by the County. Supporting the group can be done but not by these resolutions.

Mr. Jeffrey said the group does not want to impede private enterprise; however, there is an effort to develop the community using public money irregardless of feelings of the citizens of the community. The citizens of the community feel if someone is going to be using public money, then certainly they should be able to influence the use of the funds. Mr. Jeffrey said he was not wedded to the word "exclusive"; WPVA just wants support as recipient of the funds.

Mr. Kearney injected that the Board has already given their support in the application for the funds, and it was up to them as to whether they wanted to get involved further.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, with no second to the motion, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", the following resolutions are adopted with these amendments (the exclusive developers, to "a developer" - strongly encourage, to "suggest that").

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia supports the West Petersburg and Vicinity Awareness Inc. as a developer of the community of West Petersburg, and that we support their application to the Virginia Housing and Development Authority for the purpose of becoming a part of the Urban Homeownership Opportunities Program, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia suggests that any person, persons or entities who express an interest in developing property in the area known as West Petersburg to enter into consultations with the community group known as the West Petersburg and Vicinity Awareness Inc. to facilitate a plan of comprehensive development in that community.

IN RE: REPORT -- VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mrs. Penny Forrest, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation, updated the Board on the following projects:

1. The final riding surface on Route 646 will be done by the end of this month.
2. In the next 45 to 60 days the shoulders on I-85 will be sealed and repaired.
3. The project for the turn lanes on 460 West at Wal-Mart has been awarded and as soon as the preconstruction meeting is held the project will be started.

4. The go ahead has been given to the Railroad for installation of the cross arm gates for the railroad crossing at Carson, and now it is up to the railroad to schedule the project.

5. A pre-allocation hearing is scheduled for April 10, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. at the Colonial Heights office to receive input for the six-year plans.

6. She will ask the Board to schedule the annual budget public hearing for next month. FY 90-91 had a reduction in the secondary road budget, but VDOT did not take it out this year. There will be a 25% to 30% decrease in FY 91-92 annual budget to make up this deficit.

Mr. Tickle stated that at the Wal-Mart site on Rt. 460, the pavement has deteriorated. He asked if the County was responsible for upgrading it.

Mrs. Forrest said that Wal-Mart is responsible for the repairs.

Mr. Tickle also asked about the drainage problems at Rt. 226 and Rt. 633 areas. He said his constituents had informed him that the area did drain before the underground pipes had been installed.

Mrs. Forrest reported that the pipe is the same elevation as before, and the property is lower than the pipe. The property would not drain even if the road were not there. The solution is to back-fill in the low areas.

There was an article in the paper recently, concerning Route 615, Blue Tartan Road, which stated that Supervisors Harrison and Tickle could do something to get the road paved. Mr. Tickle said that they had discussed it several times with the people, and that he was sure that they had called VDOT also. He asked what Mrs. Forrest's feelings were and what was expressed to the people about this situation.

Mrs. Forrest stated that the same requirement must be met on all secondary roads. The road must carry fifty vehicles a day. At that point it could be brought before the Board of Supervisors for a priority to be placed on the six-year plan.

Mr. Tickle asked if we could save money by re-bidding the jobs.

The estimates are based on current bids, Mrs. Forrest stated, and there has been a slight reduction in cost, but nothing significant.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of the Revenue, reported to the Board that MacDonald's is charging 7.5% sales tax and that she had tried to contact the manager unsuccessfully but will continue to try.

IN RE: TREASURER -- REPORT

Mr. William E. Jones submitted his report for the month to the Board. With his present office staff, Mr. Jones told the Board he would not be able to collect some \$231,000 in outstanding personal property taxes. He asked the Board to appropriate \$3,100 in order for him to work toward collecting around \$90,000 of delinquent taxes. \$2,100 of this amount would pay a temporary person to work three days a week until June 30, 1991 on these collections. The remainder, \$1,000, is for postage needed to send out additional notices. Mr. Jones informed the Board that without the extra person he would be able to collect only \$60,000 and they are already exceeding last year's collections.

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an additional appropriation of \$3,100 for the Treasurer is approved to be used to hire temporary help, in the amount of \$2,100, and buy postage for \$1,000.

Mr. Jones informed the Board that the County is only required to collect back taxes for 5 years. The County now has the years 1983-85 in the computer which he can no longer collect. Mr. Jones requested the

Board authorize him to purge his computer in order to remove back taxes for these years:

1983	\$15,599.58
1984	26,689.34
1985	41,494.70

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", the Board authorized Mr. Jones, Treasurer, to remove from the County Tax Books the uncollected taxes for the years 1983-1985, which can no longer be collected.

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Mr. T. O. Rainey, III, Commonwealth Attorney, was not present at this meeting.

IN RE: SHERIFF

Mr. Claude Townsend, Deputy Sheriff, stated he had no report for the Sheriff's office.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR -- REPORT

Mr. Dwayne Abernathy, Building Inspector, submitted his report for the month of March, 1991.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN -- REPORT

Mr. John Mellick, Animal Warden, submitted his report for the month of March, 1991. Food Lion has donated 7,290 lbs. of dog food to the animal shelter and Mr. Mellick thanked Mr. Denny King for his cooperation in helping store and pick up the food. Mr. Mellick also informed the Board and citizens that his department is now in the process of checking licenses, and issuing tickets for dogs that are not confined on the owners property. Due to the fact that this process takes extra time, Mr. Mellick stated that the Friends of Animals are helping with the pound. He told the Board that he is still looking for a surplus vehicle.

IN RE: IMPOUNDED DOG RECOVER FEE -- ANIMAL SHELTER

Mr. Mellick, Animal Warden, brought to the Boards attention, Section 4-19 of Article II of the Dinwiddie County Code which states:

An Owner may claim his dog and secure its release by proving ownership and paying an amount equal to the actual expenses incurred in keeping the dog impounded.

Section 4-22 of Article II of the Dinwiddie County Code on Animals and Fowl state that all dogs found running at large in the confinement area will be caught and penned up at the owner's expense.

Mr. Mellick asked the Board to approve a recovery fee of \$10 for the first 24 hours and an additional fee of \$2 a day, thereafter, for the confinement of the animals.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", the Board approved the impounded dog fee at the rate of \$10 for the first 24 hours and an additional fee of \$2 a day, thereafter, for the confinement of the animals held in the pound.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIMS

The following claims were presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval:

Maxwell Watkins -- (1-Ewe, 5-Baby Lamb)	\$195.00
James Brown ----- (3 Shoats)	90.00
Carroll Howerton-- (1-Purebred Ewe,	360.00
3-Ewes, 1-Lamb)	

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", the Board approved payment of the Livestock claims of Maxwell Watkins, for \$195.00, James Brown, for \$90.00, and Carroll Howerton, for \$360.00.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING -- REPORT

Mr. Len Ponder, Director of Planning, was not present for the April meeting and no report was submitted.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES -- REPORT

Mrs. King Talley, Director of Social Services, informed the Board that she had nothing to report, but would be glad to answer any questions.

IN RE: SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, was not present at the April meeting due to a death in the family.

IN RE: AUTHORITY TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENTS -- COUNTY ATTORNEY

Mr. Tom Kearney, County Attorney, appeared before the Board to request permission to advertise the following ordinance amendments:

1. Motor Traffic Code -- Mr. T. O. Rainey, III has requested this change to update the current code regarding motorists driving on a suspended or revoked list. He wants this change so that he will be able to prosecute the violators under the County code.

2. Enrolling Statute which adopts any code revisions that the publisher may make preparing supplements which reflect recent changes to the State code.

3. Sale of Delinquent Real Estate Tax Parcels -- This will allow Mr. Kearney to proceed with the sale of parcels currently delinquent on real estate taxes in the County.

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, voting "aye", the County Attorney was authorized to draft and advertise the amendments to the County code as requested and outlined above.

IN RE: REPORT -- INTERIM RECREATION DIRECTOR

Mrs. Patty Heiser submitted her progress report for the month of March, 1991. Mrs. Heiser reported to the Board that the Essay Contest, "What I Can Do For Dinwiddie County", entries were judged by the Dinwiddie Olympians and were very well done.

Mr. Tickle thanked Mr. Tom Paige for his monetary support of the Essay Contest.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY -- REPORT

Mr. James C. Rice, Director of Public Safety, submitted his reports for the month to the Board.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF WASTE MANAGEMENT -- REPORT

Mr. Denny King, Director of Waste Management, submitted his March report to the Board. He stated that the extended spring working hours were working out very well.

The County Administrator commented to the Board that Mr. King has gone far beyond the scope of the typical landfill operator. Mr. King has made various presentations; he is attending meetings to explore other types of solid waste disposal opportunities to help reduce the County's expenditures, and he is doing a great job.

IN RE: REGISTRAR -- REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS

Mrs. Elizabeth Jeter, Registrar, appeared before the Board to request additional funds in the amount of \$3,000 for her 1990-91 budget.

Mrs. Jeter explained to the Board that the funds are needed because of the E-911 system being implemented in the County. The funds will be used for extra help, \$2,200, postage for \$600, and office supplies for \$200.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that an additional appropriation of \$3,000 for the Registrar is approved to be used to hire temporary help, in the amount of \$2,200, to buy postage for \$600, and for office supplies for \$200.

IN RE: TWO FOR LIFE FUNDS

The County Administrator said the County had received a check for \$4,018 for the 89-90 FY Two for Life funds. The last check received for 1988-89 was allocated to the Dinwiddie Fire and Rescue Department. He recommended to the Board that this check be disbursed to the Dinwiddie County Rescue Squad.

Mr. Harry Clay said the Rescue Squad appreciated the gesture but he felt the Squad would like to share the amount with the Namozine Rescue Squad. He recommended that \$3,018 be given to Dinwiddie Rescue Squad and the remaining amount of \$1,000 be given to Namozine.

Upon motion of Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Two For Life funds in the amount of \$4,018 be disbursed to the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad in the amount of \$3,018 and \$1,000 to the Namozine Volunteer Squad.

IN RE: LANDFILL CONSULTANT

The Assistant County Administrator stated that the Board had discussed the possibility of rebidding the second year contract with the County's landfill consultant. The work consists of completing the work on closing out the present landfill and doing what is necessary to expand or build a new one next to it. In September of 1990, the Board took action to renew the contract with Draper Aden Associates, and if it is the desire of the Board to rebid, then the Board will need to go back and rescind that motion. Draper Aden has proceeded with certain things that had to be done under the contract because of the timing. In light of that, \$620 will be due them for the work that has been done to date, if the Board chooses to stop the contract negotiation at this point. Another item to consider is the aerial photography for the new landfill site. If we do not do this at this time the County will miss the window of opportunity, because the leaves will come on the trees and the next time would be in the fall. Draper Aden has already secured bids and the charge is \$2,709. Draper Aden's cost for supervising and making sure that it is done properly is \$2,500. These services have to be done for the Part A and Part B applications to be submitted. Considering the timing, even with the extension, it would effect the application process and the review time by the Department of Waste Management, who are now looking at twelve months to review these applications. It may push you back where the County would not be able to meet the January 1994 deadline. The Assistant County Administrator stated that she knew for a fact, the Department of Waste Management will not be giving any extensions beyond that. The Assistant County Administrator urged the Board to take a look at these concerns and possibly authorize the Administration to go ahead with the aerial photography at this time. The closure plan that the County submitted on the present landfill site is still under review. Comments have been received back on it and work is being done to satisfy these comments. To take advantage of the new guidelines and the extension, the plan would need to be revised. With these concerns in mind, she asked the Board to postpone their action to rescind the contract to allow time for the staff to research all their alternatives

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that Draper Aden is authorized to proceed with the aerial photography on the proposed landfill site.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS

1. The United Way Services has asked Dinwiddie County to proclaim April 21-27, 1991 as National Volunteer Week. This proclamation will serve as a recognition by Dinwiddie County of volunteers and their efforts in solving community problems.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, our nation was founded upon a spirit of volunteerism, and the talents and energies of American volunteers continue to be one of our greatest resources; and

WHEREAS, to build a society that is fair and just for all, we must work together in a spirit of love, respect and cooperation; and

WHEREAS, America cannot look to government alone to solve all of its societal problems; and

WHEREAS, the active involvement of citizens is needed today more than ever to combat growing human and social problems, to renew our belief that these problems can be solved, and to strengthen our sense of community; and

WHEREAS, anyone can be a volunteer and reap the rich rewards that come from doing for others, as well as from improving one's own skills and widening one's horizons; and

WHEREAS, volunteering offers all citizens - young and old - the opportunity to participate in the life of their community and to link their talents and resources to address some of the major issues facing our cities, such as drug and alcohol abuse, education, hunger and homelessness, and assisting our elderly citizens; and

WHEREAS, it is fitting for all citizens to join in this celebration of our rich volunteer heritage and to give special recognition to the dedicated volunteers and volunteer programs which contribute immeasurably to communities throughout our city/state;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim

April 21-27, 1991

as
VOLUNTEER WEEK

in Dinwiddie County, Virginia, and urge its fellow citizens to observe this week in the appropriate manner by seeking out an area in the community in which they can, by devoting a few hours of their time each week, give aid and support to some deserving individual, group or cause.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS

1. The County Administrator informed the Board that the video for the Economic Development, "Focus on Dinwiddie", will be aired on Channel 8, Sunday April 17, 1991 at 8:00 a.m.

2. The Board announced that the second interviews for the position of Recreation Director were completed and that the director would be announced at the next meeting.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Harrison voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:47 p.m. in honor of Sgt. Donald Morgan, to be continued until 3:00 p.m. Wednesday, April 17, 1991.

Charles W. Harrison
Charles W. Harrison
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

Dewey P. Cashwell, Jr.
Dewey P. Cashwell, Jr.
County Administrator

