

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 2ND DAY OF JUNE, 1999, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: LEENORA V. EVERETT, CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #3
AUBREY S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #5
DONALD L. HARAWAY ELECTION DISTRICT #2
EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #4
HARRISON A. MOODY ELECTION DISTRICT #1

OTHER: BEN EMERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: INVOCATION – PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – AND CALL TO ORDER

Mrs. LeeNora V. Everett, Chairman, called the regular meeting to order at 7:30 P.M. followed by the Lord's Prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: CHAIRMAN OPENING COMMENTS

Mrs. Everett asked if there were any citizens present who wished to speak during the meeting to please sign the sheets located in the back of the room.

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA

Mrs. Everett asked if there were any amendments to the agenda.

Mr. R. Martin Long, County Administrator, stated he had one request. Under his comments he had one issue involving the Rohoic Branch Library that he would like to move up to follow the Citizen Comments portion of the meeting. Mr. Donald W. Faison, Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds, will present this issue. Mr. Faison has had a family emergency and needs to leave.

She asked if there was a consensus to move this item. The Board responded with "aye".

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Haraway, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the minutes of the May 19, 1999 Regular meeting and the May 21, 1999 Continuation meeting are hereby approved in their entirety.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Mr. Long asked if he could have one thing along with the approval of the claims. He continued the Board had a final invoice for payment, as part of the claims, from D. W. Lyle. This is the last payment on the Industrial Access Road. Along with that, as part of that final payment, there was one final change order that was for a piece of guardrail that was required by the Department of Transportation. It was \$1945.07. He asked for the Board's approval of that final change order along with the final payment request from D. W. Lyle that is included in the claims.

Mr. Bracey asked what the total was.

Mr. Long replied \$104,838.34.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims are approved and funds appropriated for same using checks numbered 1015345 through 1015558 (void check(s) numbered 1015346, 1014502, 1015442, 1015512, and 1015082); for

Accounts Payable:

(101) General Fund	\$ 289,040.71
(103) Jail Commission	\$ 31.25
(104) Marketing Fund	\$ -
(222) E911 Fund	\$ -
(223) Self Insurance Fund	\$ -
(225) Courthouse Maintenance	\$ -
(226) Law Library	\$ 34.98
(228) Fire Programs & EMS	\$ -
(229) Forfeited Asset Sharing	\$ 488.10
(304) CDBG Grant Fund	\$ -
(305) Capital Projects Fund	\$ 8,546.21
(401) County Debt Service	\$ -

TOTAL \$ **298,141.25**

PAYROLL (May 28, 1999)

General Fund	\$ 339,972.68
CDBG Grant Fund	\$ <u>2,832.94</u>

TOTAL \$ **342,085.62**

IN RE: LANDFILL CLOSURE -- CHANGE ORDER NUMBER 5

Mr. Denny King, Director of Waste Management, came forward to present Change Order Number 5. Mr. King explained this Change Order covers the quantity overrun on the landfill seeding (UP-6) and the deletion of Bid Item UP-5 Leachate Collection Trench. The overrun in the landfill seeding quantity (UP-6) was a result of the additional work areas that were repaired as a part of this contract plus the regrading of the old landfill (shooting range). During the construction of the leachate collection system in the old landfill, they did not install any additional footage of collection line above what was covered in Bid Item D - Leachate Seep Repair. Therefore, the entire additional footage, covered in Bid Item UP-6 Leachate Collection Trench, was removed as a pay item.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Change Order Number 5 totaling \$6,310.00 contained in Payment Application Number 4 be approved and funds appropriated from the CIP Fund.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mrs. Everett asked if there were any citizens who had signed up to speak. There being none Mrs. Everett moved forward.

IN RE: ROHOIC BRANCH LIBRARY – REQUEST FOR FUNDS FOR MODULAR CLASSROOM UNIT MOVING AND SETUP COSTS

Mr. Faison came forward quoting the following costs for moving and setup of a modular classroom unit based on a 1998 price quote:

ELECTRICAL	200 AMP SERVICE	\$ 2,000.00
PLUMBING	WATER LINE TO MODULAR	3,000.00
COST TO MOVE	TEAR DOWN, MOVE TO SITE, DIG FOOTINGS, LEVEL, TIE DOWN, INSTALL SKIRTING, BUILD DECKS AND RAMPS	6,000.00
	MOVING BOOKS	300.00
	TOTAL	\$11,300.00

PLUMBING	SEWER 220 GALLON STORAGE TANK RENTAL AND PUMPING – ESTIMATED YEARLY COST	\$ 2,000.00
----------	--	-------------

COST NOT TO EXCEED \$15,000.00

There was discussion regarding when the Eastside project will be completed and if this was a waste of money. Mr. Faison stated the electrical service could be used in the future and also the water line would have to be run when the project was continued and this portion that he was requesting would be a portion of what would have to be done anyway.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Haraway, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that authorization is granted for the Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds and County Administration to move forward in the moving and setup of a modular classroom unit, being donated by the School Board, to Eastside Elementary School for the Rohoic Branch of the Appomattox Regional Library at a cost not to exceed \$15,000.00.

Mr. Charles Koutnik, Appomattox Regional Library, came forward thanking the Board for their support in this matter.

IN RE: STATEMENT PRIOR TO PUBLIC HEARINGS

Mr. William C. Scheid, Planning Director, came forward to make the following statement prior to the Public Hearings.

"As previously requested by the Board of Supervisors, Draft copies of the Planning Commission Meeting minutes have been made available to the public prior to this meeting as well as copies on the table at the rear of this meeting room. The purpose of doing so is to expedite the hearing process without compromising the public's access to pertinent information. It is noted that the Board has been given various information on all of the hearing(s) to include, the application, zoning map, adjacent property owner list, locational map(s), proffers (if applicable), soils data, comprehensive land use maps and references, etc. With this information noted, I will proceed with the cases."

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING – A-99-4 – AMENDMENTS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE – GUEST HOUSE AND IN-LAW SUITE

This being the time and place advertised in the Dinwiddie Monitor on May 19, 1999, and May 26, 1999, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a Public Hearing on the proposed amendments to section 22-71, Permitted uses in the Agricultural, General district, A-2 to allow a guest house and in-law suite and amendment to section 22-84, Permitted uses in the Residential, Conservation district RR to allow a Guest House and an In-Law Suite.

Mr. Scheid stated he would like to bring to the Board's attention that A-99-4 and A-99-5 run hand in hand and if they are going to consider one for adoption then they needed to consider both for adoption. Like wise if you consider not adopting one then you should also consider not adopting the other. The reason why they are running separate is because the Planning Commission had worked with the staff to add a couple of uses to your Agricultural A-2 and RR districts and it is a guest house and in-law suite. In going through the process the Planning Commission made a determination that it did not make too much sense to add a couple of uses to some categories and not have definitions for them. Therefore, they ran then a separate amendment number and that is why the two of them are running hand in hand. He will deal with them separately.

Mr. Scheid gave a brief synopsis of A-99-4 stating it was pretty much self-explanatory.

Mrs. Everett opened the Public Hearing on A-99-4. There being no citizens present who had signed up or wished to speak at this time she closed the Public Hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that Section 22-71 Section 22-84 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia as amended, be further amended as follows:

Sec. 22-71. Permitted uses.

In agricultural district A-2, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following uses:

(63) Guest house.

(64) In-law suite.

Sec. 22-84. Permitted uses.

In residential, conservative, R-R district, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following uses.

(18) Guest House.

(19) In-law suite.

This ordinance shall become effective immediately. In all other respects said zoning ordinance shall remain unchanged and be in full force and effect.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING – A-99-5 – DEFINITION OF GUEST HOUSE AND IN-LAW SUITE

This being the time and place advertised in the Dinwiddie Monitor on May 19, 1999, and May 26, 1999, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a Public Hearing on the proposed amendments to Article I, Section 22-1, Definitions, of the County Zoning Ordinance, by adding the following definitions: Guest House and In-Law Suite.

Mr. Scheid continued with A-99-5 stating this sets forth the definition for Guest House and In-Law Suite. He stated unless directed to do so by the Chair he would dispense with the reading and make reference to what was mailed to the Board and as well as what was made available at the back of the meeting to be incorporated as the definition for Guest House and In-Law Suite.

Mrs. Everett opened the Public Hearing on A-99-4. There being no citizens present who had signed up or wished to speak at this time she closed the Public Hearing.

There was discussion regarding the monitoring of the guest house/in-law suites; renting of the guest house/in-law suite; the compliance officer's role in monitoring; and rewording of the amendment. After discussion it was decided to accept the definition as stated and received from the Planning Commission.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that Section 22-1 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, as amended, be amended and reenacted to include the following definitions:

Guest house. Living quarters within a detached accessory structure located on the same premises with the main building subject to the following restrictions:

- (a) only a temporary guest(s) of the principal residence shall use the guest house;
- (b) a temporary guest shall stay no longer than three (3) months within any twelve (12) month period;
- (c) guest house may not be rented, operated for gain, or otherwise used as a separate building;
- (d) the floor area of any guest house shall not exceed fifty percent (50%) of the finished, heated floor area of the principal residence or one thousand (1,000) square feet of floor space, whichever is less; and
- (e) the guest house must be of frame construction, built to the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and built upon a cinder block/brick perimeter foundation.

In-law suite. Living quarters within the main dwelling functioning as a separate living unit and containing all rooms necessary to be self contained subject to the following restrictions:

- (a) only as in-law(s) of the dwelling owner and the in-law's family may occupy the suite;
- (b) the owner and or spouse must occupy the main dwelling during the entire time frame in which the in-law is residing in the suite;

- (c) the in-law suite may not be rented or operated for gain or otherwise used for commercial purpose;
- (d) the floor area of the in-law suite shall not exceed fifty percent (50%) of the finished, heated floor area of the principal residence or one thousand (1,000) square feet of floor space, whichever is less; and
- (e) the addition of the in-law suite shall be such that the exterior will be compatible in architectural style, material and color with the main dwelling and, as such, will give the appearance of a single family dwelling unit.

This ordinance shall become effective immediately. In all other respects said zoning ordinance shall remain unchanged and be in full force and effect.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING – C-99-2 – BED AND BREAKFAST – KEVIN G. & BONNIE E. KIRBY

This being the time and place advertised in the Dinwiddie Monitor on May 19, 1999, and May 26, 1999, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a Public Hearing on an application submitted by Kevin Kirby and Maureen Leister seeking a conditional use permit for a bed and breakfast establishment in the existing Whippernock Manor building located at 3533 Whippernock Farm Road in Sutherland. Said property is located north of Namozine Road (Rt. 708) approximately 1 mile west of Sutherland Road (rt. 623) and is designated as section 6 parcel 28C by the County tax maps. The comprehensive plan designates this area as rural conservation with 1 unit per 5 acres or more. The existing structure is located on 26.95 acres and no additional structures are planned. The current zoning district, RR, requires 1 unit per 5 acres or more.

Mr. Scheid gave a brief synopsis of this case. He read the following conditions, which were agreed to by the applicants:

1. The owner or management shall provide full-time management of the establishment at all times when the facility is occupied by guests.
2. There shall only be one (1) sign on the premises upon which the structure is located; which shall not exceed 20 square feet in area, and shall be setback not less than five (5) feet from the state highway right-of-way.
3. Guest rooms shall be occupied on a transient basis.

Kevin and Bonnie Kirby came forward and gave an enlightening presentation on their plans for the above described property. They stated they saw the need to save this historic property and find an appropriate re-use. They felt establishment of an inn supports Dinwiddie County's goals for development of the hospitality industry and builds the tourism industry for Lee's Retreat and Pamplin Park. She felt that fine dining provides a needed void in the area for locals. With reference to location and attributes they felt with this property being located off Lee's Retreat on Route 708, just off of Route 460 in Northern Dinwiddie County, rich in Civil War History and being conveniently located near I-85 and I-95, Route 460, 25 miles south of Richmond, 12 miles outside Historic Petersburg, a few short miles to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie Airport and a short distance to Pamplin Park Civil War Site, just off Whippernock Creek and Lake Chesdin and accessible to the James River Plantations, New Millennium Studios, Ft. Lee and Petersburg National Battlefield and Five Forks would make this inn such an asset for the County. They planned to have elegant guest rooms with turn down service, hoping to hold weddings, balls, parties, receptions, teas, luncheons, and picnics on the grounds. They would have small meetings/conferences and retreats. The property could be used for special events, festivals, and shows. The area is great for swimming, hiking, and country carriage rides. They plan to have a gift and garden shop along with a restaurant and catering service. As with any first class facility they plan to have spa services, a petting zoo and be family and pet friendly. The benefits for the

community would be they were providing much needed services for hospitality and dining to the community and provide a tax-based component to the county. They felt it would have an increased draw for tourism and economic development and that it supported the County's growth plans. They were ambitiously targeting the Bed & Breakfast piece by September 1, 1999 to have 4-5 guest rooms ready for occupation. The restaurant and catering may follow within 6 months or more until they establish the requirements for health and safety. Special events and other activities can be catered by outside vendors initially. Their marketing will begin as soon as they close on the property, most likely in the next week or so. The applicants' expertise brings over 16-20 years of marketing, sales, advertising, and conference planning and administration to the table. Ms. Kirby further stated detailed architectural plans and reports will be provided by Art & Architecture to provide a code review on all future operations to the County for inspection and the operation is to be fully licensed and insured. Property identification and signage of property will comply with any County regulations.

Ms. Kirby concluded with the use of Whippernock Manor as a Bed & Breakfast/Country Inn is an ideal adaptive re-use of an historic property. They will follow conditions set forth by the County that is suitable for use of an historic building (all to be determined by their architectural firm). They are in understanding of the reality of this undertaking and are prepared to work towards the goal of establishing this property as a Bed & Breakfast/Country Inn.

Mrs. Everett opened the Public Hearing on C-99-2. There being no citizens present who had signed up or wished to speak at this time she closed the Public Hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Haraway, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that C-99-2, conditional use permit is hereby approved with the following conditions added to the conditional use permit:

1. The owner or management shall provide full-time management of the establishment at all times when the facility is occupied by guests.
2. There shall only be one (1) sign on the premises upon which the structure is located; which shall not exceed 20 square feet in area, and shall be setback not less than five (5) feet from the state highway right-of-way.
3. Guest rooms shall be occupied on a transient basis.

**IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING – A-99-7 – AMENDMENT TO ZONING
ORDINANCE – REVIEW PERIOD BY PLANNING
COMMISSION**

This being the time and place advertised in the Dinwiddie Monitor on May 19, 1999, and May 26, 1999, for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a Public Hearing on the proposed amendment to Article I, Section 22-5 (C), of the County Zoning Ordinance changing the time of review and report to the Board of Supervisors by the Planning Commission from 30 days to 100 days.

Mr. Scheid came forward reading the following proposed change:

Sec. 22-5 (3). Amendments to chapter.

- (3) Changes shall be made by the Board of Supervisors in the provisions of this chapter or the zoning map only after such changes have been referred to the planning commission for a report. Action shall be taken

by the board only after a report has been received from the planning commission, unless a period of ~~thirty (30)~~ one hundred (100) days has elapsed after date of referral to the commission, after which time it may be assumed that the commission has approved the change or amendment.

Mr. Scheid stated the State Code had been amended and amended in such a way that the thirty (30) day window, which has created problems in the past, can be expanded up to a maximum of 100 days. Staff with that in mind had prepared an amendment to the ordinance in which they took that existing paragraph (3) and proposed to change only the thirty (30) and change it to one hundred (100). In talking with legal counsel, legal counsel stated that they had a little additional change which is minor in verbiage but as they will be able to explain something that the Board maybe would want to consider to bring it 100% in compliance with the verbiage that is used in the State Code.

Mr. Ben Emerson, County Administrator, stated he thought what staff did was simply substitute the numbers 100 for 30 and the way the ordinance had read was a little bit different from the way statute reads. The difference has to do with when that time period starts to run. The ordinance has it running from the date of referral to the Planning Commission but the way the statute reads it should really run from the, in order to be consistent, it should really run from the date of the first meeting of the Planning Commission following the referral to the Commission. Mr. Emerson stated it can be a shorter period of time if the Board so chose. The statute simply says at 100 days it must be, it must go to the Board, it must go with a recommendation of approval if the Planning Commission does not act on it before 100 days from the date of the first meeting of the Planning Commission after the matter is before them.

There was discussion regarding whether this would delay action for citizens.

After discussion it was decided to change the wording to comply with the State Code. The amendment will now read:

Sec. 22-5 (3). Amendments to chapter.

(3) Changes shall be made by the Board of Supervisors in the provisions of this chapter or the zoning map only after such changes have been referred to the planning commission for a report. Action shall be taken by the board only after a report has been received from the planning commission, unless a period of one hundred (100) days has elapsed after the first meeting of the commission after the proposed amendment has been referred to the commission, after which time it shall be assumed that the commission has approved the change or amendment.

Mrs. Everett opened the Public Hearing on C-99-2. There being no citizens present who had signed up or wished to speak at this time she closed the Public Hearing.

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that Section 22-5 (3) of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, as amended, be amended and reenacted as follows:

Sec. 22-5 (3). Amendments to chapter.

(3) Changes shall be made by the Board of Supervisors in the provisions of this chapter or the zoning map only after such changes have been referred to the planning commission for a report. Action shall be taken by the board only after a report has been received from the planning

commission, unless a period of one hundred (100) days has elapsed after the first meeting of the commission after the proposed amendment has been referred to the commission, after which time it shall be assumed that the commission has approved the change or amendment.

This ordinance shall become effective upon the date of its adoption by the Board of Supervisors. In all other respects said zoning ordinance shall remain unchanged and be in full force and effect.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS – BUSINESS APPRECIATION DINNER – CONTESTS

Mr. Long stated he had a couple of items that were not in his notes that had just recently come to his attention. The first of those being in conjunction with the Business Appreciation Dinner to be held at 6:00 P.M. on June 10th. Ms. Cheryl Stewart, Executive Secretary for Planning and Zoning, has been working on putting this dinner together; he thought he had previously mentioned that they had asked primarily the 7th graders, they had an essay competition and art work competition, on the theme of "Thanks to you Dinwiddie Works". We have received those and he wanted to ask the Board if at least one hopefully two or more of them would participate in judging the essays and art work. There will be three prizes for these categories. There were 26 entries in each category.

Mr. Long also stated a speaker is needed for the business/small business side.

Mrs. Everett stated she felt Mr. Jimmy Olgers' humor would be an asset to the evening.

IN RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS – GROWTH STUDY – VIRGINIA TECH SPEAKER – JUNE 23, 1999

Mr. Long continued that they have scheduled Mr. Mike Chandler from Virginia Tech, to come to speak to us on June 23rd. We are expecting approximately 40/50 to attend. Mr. Long asked for permission to find an alternate location to handle all the folks who wished to be involved. Right now they were looking at the West End Baptist Church.

Upon motion of Mr. Haraway, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that County Administration and the Zoning Administrator are granted permission to move forward with making necessary plans and arrangements for the June 23, 1999 meeting with Mr. Mike Chandler from Virginia Tech.

IN RE: BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Mr. Clay : He stated he had no comments but liked the short meetings.

Mr. Bracey: He stated he also liked the short meetings but he did have a couple of items to discuss.
1) He would like to begin by stating to Mr. Long that he made a mistake, or maybe he should say that he forgot it, the 85 and the railroad bridge on Courthouse Road from the Dinwiddie side here needs cleaning. He requested that Mr. Long contact Mr.

Ron Reekes, Resident Engineer regarding this matter.

2) Also on Wednesday night he had asked for a punch list and an organizational chart, however the organizational chart he had received does not tell him anything. He wanted the names of who filled each position and what their job description was.

Mr. Haraway: He stated he had no comments.

Mr. Moody: He stated he had no comments.

Mrs. Everett: She stated Prince George would be celebrating their 300th anniversary in 2003; Chesterfield is celebrating now; Petersburg celebrated their's last year and we need to be thinking about what we will be doing to celebrate our 250th which will be in 2002.

She continued by reminding the Board of the appreciation dinner on June 10th at 6:00 P.M., stating she would be at a Highway meeting in Richmond at 2:00 P.M. but will be back in time for the dinner.

IN RE: CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mrs. Everett asked if there were any citizens present who wished to speak before the meeting was closed.

Mr. Robert Belcher, 27516 Flank Road, came forward to discuss the following items:

1. The Virginia Senior Games were being held at the University of Virginia this weekend and there were approximately 20 Tri-City seniors competing.
2. He asked if any one knew when Chaparral would be starting up.

Mr. Long stated as of this time there has been no official date set, he did know that they were trying to get operations started up.

3. He also brought up about the hidden STOP sign on Church Road. The sign has now been moved and he was thankful for that.

RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Clay, Mrs. Everett voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:50 P.M.


Lee Nora V. Everett
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: 
R. Martin Long
County Administrator

/pam