

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY HELD AT THE AGRICULTURAL BUILDING OF SAID COUNTY ON THE 20TH DAY OF JUNE 1973 AT 8:00 P.M.

PRESENT: M. I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #4
S. E. WINN, VICE CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #1
G. A. CROWDER ELECTION DISTRICT #3
T. H. TUNSTALL ELECTION DISTRICT #5
B. M. HEATH DEPUTY SHERIFF

ABSENT: R. H. RUNDLE ELECTION DISTRICT #2
J. F. ANDREWS COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY

IN RE: REZONING APPLICATION P-73-2 CHARLES W. HARRISON

Mr. Hargrave informed the Board and the people in attendance, that he had received a letter from Mr. H. T. Williams, III, attorney for Mr. Charles W. Harrison, requesting that the hearing on his rezoning application be postponed and he had granted this request.

IN RE: HOG CLAIM - MR. A. R. WELLS, MC KENNEY, VIRGINIA

Mr. Wells appeared before the Board to request that he be paid for both claims that he had filed for dogs killing his hogs.

The Board of Supervisors had asked Mr. A. W. Chappell, Dog Warden, to investigate the first claim after it appeared that it was a possibility that some of Mr. Wells' dogs might have killed the hogs. While this claim was being investigated, some more of Mr. Wells' hogs were killed, and filed reimbursement for this loss.

When the second claim was presented to the Board, Mr. Chappell relayed from Mr. Wells that he would accept payment of one claim, since he was not sure whether his dogs had killed the hogs or not. The Board, feeling this was a fair way to handle the matter voted to pay Mr. Wells for his second claim which was 13 hogs at \$18.00 a piece totaling \$234.00. In writing the check, it was written incorrectly for \$216.00. This will be corrected. Mr. Wells stated that he now wished to be paid for his first claim as well as being paid for his second claim. He said that there was no difference in the circumstances between the first time his hogs were killed and the second time they were killed.

Mr. Winn moved, Mr. Tunstall seconded, Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", that Mr. Wells be paid for his first claim, depending upon clarification of the situation by the County Administrator with the Dog Warden.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Crowder, all members voting "aye", the minutes of the June 6th meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: REGIONAL LIBRARY

Mr. Tunstall, Chairman, Dinwiddie County Library committee, introduced to the Board Mr. Robert A. Whitesides, Librarian for the City of Hopewell, and Mr. Chamberlain of the State Library. They presented to the Board a plan whereby Dinwiddie County participates in a regional library with the City of Hopewell and the County of Prince George.

They asked that the county agree to participate for at least two years.

The operating cost for the first year would be \$37,569.00 and the capital outlay would be \$15,000.00 for Dinwiddie County. The second year the operating cost would be \$37,500.00 and the capital expenditure would be \$15,000.00 for Dinwiddie County. The \$15,000.00 capital outlay the first year would be for a van for a book mobile. The capital expenditure for the second year would be to purchase property on which to locate a substation in Dinwiddie County. Eventually, the ten year plan would call for Dinwiddie to have a branch of the Hopewell library built in the County and this library would be operated by the City of Hopewell.

Mr. Hargrave related the general feeling of the Board that they were quite interested in having library facilities within the County, but the first year cost of \$52,000.00 would be very difficult to come by since the budget had already been drawn up and it was a very tight budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Crowder, seconded by Mr. Tunstall, all members voting "aye", the Board acknowledged receipt of the presentation by Mr. Chamberlain, and Mr. Whitesides and advised them that the Board was very much interested in having library facilities in the county but they wished to study and discuss the proposal before rendering a final decision.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Crowder, Mr. Winn, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Tunstall and Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", it is ordered by the Board that the accounts against the following funds for the month of May 1973, be issued payable out of the respective accounts. General Fund- Checks numbering 73-719 through 73-808 amounting to \$14,493.87.

IN RE: RENOVATION OF SOUTHSIDE ELEMENTARY AND DINWIDDIE COUNTY JR. HIGH SCHOOL

Mr. T. W. Newsom, School Superintendent, and Mr. Gordon Galusha, architect from Petersburg, appeared before the Board to explain why their original estimate of renovation cost went from \$140,000.00 to \$211,200.00. Both Mr. Newsom and Mr. Galusha listed the following items and reasons for the increase in cost. 1 - New intercom system and clock system - \$20,000.00. The old intercom system and the old clock system in the two schools were found to be inadequate to serve the new facility. 2 - Ramps for the handicapped - \$12,000.00. 3 - Guidance Office - \$6,000.00. 4 - Dishwasher and related changes to accommodate dishwasher - \$8,500.00. 5 - Numerous other small items and the fact that construction cost has gone up since the \$140,000.00 estimate was made.

Included in the \$211,200.00 cost figure was a covered walkway between the two schools that cost \$29,227.00. If the covered walkway was eliminated and just a walkway was put between the two schools it would cost \$1,780.00.

The \$211,200.00 was the bid on the renovation cost. There was an additional \$60,000.00 cost for equipment to go into the school which made the total bid \$271,200.00. The school board had already made application for a literary loan of \$200,000.00 to finance the cost when it was originally thought this would be the total sum of the renovation.

Mr. Newsom stated that there were three ways that this additional \$70,000.00 in renovation cost could be financed. 1- They could apply for an additional \$70,000.00 literary loan. 2- They thought it was a very good possibility that there would be a savings of approximately \$50,000.00 to \$70,000.00 on the new school being built on U.S. Route 1. 3- If the above two failed to produce any revenue, then they would have to call upon the supervisors to appropriate the additional \$70,000.00 needed.

Upon motion of Mr. Crowder, seconded by Mr. Winn, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall voting "aye", Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", the Board instructed the School Board to do as follows: 1- To eliminate the covered walkway from the \$211,200.00 bid and add just the plain walkway at a cost of \$1,780.00. This would make the total cost of the renovation \$183,753.00. 2- To make every effort to finance the additional \$44,000.00 renovation cost, either from a literary fund loan or savings accumulated from the construction of the new school. 3- To hold up on the construction of a sidewalk until such time as Mr. Crowder could consult with his National Guard Unit about constructing this walk.

IN RE: REZONING APPLICATION P-73-5 JAMES H. RITCHIE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on June 6th and 13th for the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the request of Mr. James H. Ritchie, Route 1, Box 390, Dinwiddie, Virginia, to have land parcel 104 as shown on Section 45 of the zoning maps of Dinwiddie County, located in Rowanty District on U. S. Route 1, 4½ miles North of Dinwiddie Courthouse, rezoned from Agricultural A-2 to Residential R-1. Mr. Ritchie appeared in his behalf, no one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Ritchie stated that he was going to develop a subdivision on this property and the lots were going to be anywhere from 2½ to 5 acres in size. By it retaining an A-2 classification, property owners could carry on activities that are allowed in an A-2 area, but would be offensive in an R-1 area. Therefore, to protect the people that already live in this area, and also to insure that the property will not be used for any other activity except building residences, he requests that it be rezoned to Residential R-1.

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Crowder, Mr. Winn, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the zoning map of said County adopted as part of the zoning ordinance of the Dinwiddie County Code on April 1, 1970, be amended in that the classification of the tract composed of parcel 104 as shown on Section 45 of said zoning map, be and the same is hereby changed from Agricultural A-2 to Residential R-1.

IN RE: ASCS LEASE 1973-74

The County Administrator, at the instruction of the Board of Supervisors at its last meeting, went to the ASCS to request that the rent be raised from \$125.00 to \$140.00 per month. The Administrator presented a lease to the Board indicating that the amount had been raised to \$140.00 per month.

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Chairman of the Board was authorized to sign the lease for \$140.00 per month for the fiscal year 1973-74 for lease of quarters in the Dinwiddie Agricultural Building.

IN RE: LANDFILL REPORT AND PURCHASE OF EQUIPMENT & TOOLS

Mr. John M. Loftis, Director of Sanitation for the County of Dinwiddie, presented to the Board a list of items needed for operation of the landfill. 1- An air compressor 2 stage \$662.25. 2- An air pressure grease gun, \$339.35; 3- A steam cleaner and high pressure water system \$776.00. 4- Miscellaneous items totaling \$250.00.

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Crowder, Mr. Winn, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Loftis was authorized to purchase the above listed items.

Added to the State Secondary System
effective 6/28/73 J.A. CLK.

IN RE: RURAL ADDITION TO SECONDARY SYSTEM - CHESDIN ROAD

The Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County having reviewed the Board of Viewers report of May 8, 1973, of a certain road in Dinwiddie County known as Chesdin Road, beginning at a point on Route 623, 0.62 mile North of Route 708, running in an Easterly direction a total distance of 1.51 miles to dead end and cul-de-sac.

WHEREAS, motion was made by Mr. S. E. Winn and seconded by Mr. G. A. Crowder, voted on and approved unanimously by all members present requesting the Virginia Department of Highways to take into the State Secondary System of Roads this rural addition, since it appearing to the Board that a 50-foot right-of-way is duly recorded by deed, in Deed Book 163, Page 21 dated June 11, 1973 and recorded June 20, 1973.

IN RE: LEAA GRANTS - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Deputy Sheriff, L. B. Mayes, presented to the Board of Supervisors an LEAA Grant in the amount of \$18,500.00. The Federal share would be \$13,875.00, and the County's share \$4,625.00. This application was for the purchase of a radio system for the jail.

Upon motion of Mr. Crowder, seconded by Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Winn, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County approved this LEAA Grant and approved the County's portion of \$4,625.00.

Deputy Sheriff Mayes presented another LEAA Grant application in the amount of \$4,409.52. The Federal Share would be \$3,307.14 and the County's share would be \$1,102.38. This grant was for various equipment needed in the sheriff's office, such as polaroid cameras, a mugging camera, latent field kits, binoculars, tape recorder, files, a copy machine and a complete dark room set.

Upon motion of Mr. Tunstall, seconded by Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Winn, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", this LEAA Application was approved and the County's portion of \$1,102.38 was approved.

IN RE: MR. & MRS. JACKSON REQUEST BUILDING PERMIT

Mr. & Mrs. Jackson appeared before the Board to complain about the County Administrator and the Building Inspector not issuing her a building permit for the construction of a house on her lot.

Her house was located on a 50 foot right-of-way off of Route 632 and the County Ordinance requires that a lot front on a state maintained road for at least 150 feet. There is one lot located on this right-of-way which was approved in error by the County Administrator. The Jackson's could not understand how that lot was approved and theirs was disapproved. The Chairman explained to Mrs. Jackson that this was done in error and that to approve her's would not help the situation, but rather compound the problem of a road being used that was not state maintained.

She was advised to contact Mr. Samuel Brown, whom she purchased the property from to find out if he would bring this right-of-way up to State standards and then she could build on this road. Mr. Jackson appeared to understand the situation, but Mrs. Jackson left still unable to understand why she could not be issued a building permit.

IN RE: 1973-74 DINWIDDIE COUNTY BUDGET APPROVED

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on June 6, 13, and June 17th for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing on the 1973-74 Dinwiddie County Budget, and tax rate.

The Chairman asked if there was anyone that wished to speak regarding the budget.

Mr. B. M. Heath, Deputy Sheriff, came forth to speak regarding the deputy sheriff's salaries. He stated that he had discussed this matter with Sheriff Mitchell and he was speaking on his behalf. The Compensation Board had cut drastically the proposed salaries of three

or four of the deputy sheriff's, and Mr. Heath was asking that the County supplement these salaries so that they could receive the amount requested from the Compensation Board. The Board of Supervisors had recommended to the Compensation Board that the salaries requested by Sheriff Mitchell be approved.

Mr. Hargrave told Mr. Heath that he felt the first step would be for the Sheriff to file a complaint and ask for a hearing before the Compensation Board, and that the supervisors would be happy to go with him so a concerted effort would be made to have the cut in pay restored by the Compensation Board. Mr. Heath said he would take this back to the Sheriff and he would be in contact with the County Administrator to determine a course of action.

No one else spoke regarding the budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board adopted the 1973-74 budget as presented and recorded as follows:

ANTICIPATED INCOME

Local	\$1,857,216
State	33,415,090
Federal	606,450
TOTAL ANTICIPATED INCOME	<u>\$5,878,756</u>

ESTIMATED EXPENSE

1. County Administration	58,748
2. Assessment of Taxable Property	24,151
3. Collection & Disbursement of Taxes and Other Receipts	17,432
4. Recording Documents	6,000
5. Administration of Justice	23,986
6. Crime Prevention and Detection	85,171
7. Fire Prevention and Extinction	12,994
8. Public Welfare	404,430
9. Public Health	23,639
10. Public Works	73,530
11. Advancement of Agriculture & Home E	20,288
12. Protection of Livestock & Fowl	11,100
13. Elections	11,940
14. Maintenance of Building & Grounds	37,410
15. Highway Road and Street Lighting	5,000
16. Road Administration	100
17. Schools	4,752,300
18. Miscellaneous Operating Functions	39,450
19. Capital Outlay	271,087
TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSE	<u>\$5,878,756</u>

IN RE: TAX LEVY RATE FOR 1973

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1973, a tax of \$3.00 per \$100.00 of assessed valuation on all taxable real estate located in this County and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1973 a tax of \$3.00 per \$100.00 of assessed valuation on all taxable machinery and tools located in this County on January 1, 1973, and that there be and is hereby levied for the year 1973, a tax of \$3.00 per \$100.00 of assessed valuation of all taxable tangible personal property located in this County on January 1, 1973. These representative levies hereby ordered and being also applicable to the real estate and tangible personal property of public service corporation based upon the assessment therefor fixed by the State Corporation Commission and duly certified.

IN RE: TRANSFERS TO WATER AUTHORITY LOAN ACCOUNT

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Winn, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board transferred \$100,000.00 from the Building Fund Account and \$125,000.00 from the General Fund Account to a Water Authority Loan Account.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER - JULIAN STEWART

The County Administrator advised the Board of Supervisors that School Board Member Julian A. Stewart's term would end June 30, 1973.

It appearing to the Board of Supervisors that Julian Stewart had served the County well as a member of the School Board, and it appearing that he was agreeable to serve another four year term as a member of the School Board, therefore upon motion of Mr. Crowder, seconded by Mr. Winn, Mr. Winn, Mr. Crowder, Mr. Tunstall, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Julian A. Stewart was reappointed for a term ending June 30, 1977 on the Dinwiddie County School Board.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Winn, seconded by Mr. Crowder, all members voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 10:35 P.M.


MILTON I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:


W. C. KNOTT