

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 3RD DAY OF OCTOBER, 1979 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT: G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #1
T.J. LEFTWICH, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2
L.A. HODNETT ELECTION DISTRICT #2
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY ELECTION DISTRICT #4

L.G. ELDER COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY
ABSENT: C.L. MITCHELL SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the September 19, 1979 minutes were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 79-1860 thru 79-1953 amounting to \$77,168.32; Dog Fund checks-numbering D-79-119 thru D-79-127 amounting to \$1048.25; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-79-16 thru JGC-79-19 amounting to \$220.75; Fire Vehicle Fund check-number FVF-79-3 in the amount of \$53,500.00.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte presented a report of the Comparison of Taxes Assessed for the Years 1978 and 1979. He also stated that the 1979 tax tickets were ready and should be mailed out within the next two weeks.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. F.E. Jones presented his report for the month of September, 1979.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of September, 1979.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of September, 1979.

IN RE: LIVESTOCK CLAIM--C.E. BEACH AND JOHN BISHOP

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Mr. C.E. Beach and Mr. John Bishop be awarded \$60.00 for two pigs.

IN RE: A-79-3--AGRICULTURAL, RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT A-R

A public hearing on A-79-3, Agricultural, Rural Residential District A-R was held on September 19, 1979 at which time action was postponed until additional information could be accumulated. The Director of Planning reviewed this information and recommended that the Board adopt the amendment.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by adding the following Article to the Zoning Ordinance:

Article IVA. Agricultural, Rural Residential, District A-R.

Section 17-31.1 Intent.

The purpose of this district is to encourage continued agricultural and forest uses, protect environmentally and ecologically sensitive areas, and preserve the natural beauty of rural areas of the County where urban services (i.e. water and sewer mains, etc.) are not planned. At the same time, the district is intended to provide developmental flexibility by allowing for spacious residential development for those who choose to live in a rural environment. All subdivision proposals will be carefully reviewed prior to granting an A-R classification to insure that the proposal is compatible with the surrounding environment and existing land uses. All County ordinances will be in full effect in this district with the exception of Chapter 16A of the Dinwiddie County Code, entitled Water and Sewers. Should a central water/sewer system be constructed, it shall meet the minimum standards and requirements promulgated by the Dinwiddie County Water Authority and appropriate State regulatory agency.

Section 17-31.2 Permitted Uses.

In agricultural, rural residential, district A-R, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following:

- (a) single-family dwellings
- (b) parks, playgrounds and recreation areas
- (c) schools, private or public
- (d) churches
- (e) off-street parking, as required by this chapter
- (f) accessory buildings, as defined

Section 17-31.3 Minimum Lot Area.

The minimum lot area for permitted uses shall be two (2) acres.

Section 17-31.4 Setback.

All structures shall be located fifty-feet or more from any street right-of-way which is fifty feet or greater in width or seventy-five feet or more from the center line of any street right-of-way less than fifty feet in width.

Section 17-31.5 Frontage.

The minimum frontage for permitted uses shall be two hundred feet.

Section 17-31.6 Yards.

In agricultural, rural residential, district AR, the yard regulations shall be as follows:

- (a) Side Yards. The minimum side yard for each main structure shall be twenty feet and for each accessory building five feet.
- (b) Rear yards. Each main structure shall have a minimum rear yard of fifty feet and each accessory building five feet.

Section 17-31.7 Height of buildings.

Buildings and structures in agricultural district A-R may be erected up to thirty-five feet in height; except, that:

- (a) The height limit for dwellings may be increased up to forty-five feet provided, that the side yards for each permitted use is increased one foot for each additional foot of building height over thirty-five feet.

(b) No accessory building which is within twenty-feet of any party lot line shall be more than one story high. All accessory buildings shall be less than the main building in height.

Section 17-31.8 Special provisions applicable to corner lots.

(a) Of the two sides of a corner lot the front shall be deemed to be the shorter of the two sides fronting on streets.

(b) The minimum side yard on the side facing the side street shall be the same as that required for the front yard.

In all other respects said Zoning Ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMITS--DESIGNATION OF APPLICATION FEE AND AUDIT FEE

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, under Section 18.2-340.2 of the Code of Virginia, an organization shall obtain a permit from the governing body to conduct bingo games and raffles in the County; and

WHEREAS, the governing body may charge a \$25.00 application fee or any lesser amount or waiver the fee as it chooses; and

WHEREAS, under Section 18.2-340.7 an audit shall be conducted after November 1 by the County's designated official of the financial report submitted by organizations conducting bingo games and raffles in October of each year; and

WHEREAS, the governing body shall establish a reasonable audit fee not to exceed one per centum of the gross receipts which an organization reports; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors does not want to place a financial burden upon an organization; however, it does feel the cost of processing applications and auditing records should be recovered;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the application fee for Bingo and Raffle Permits shall be \$10.00; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the audit fee shall be one per centum of the gross receipts which an organization reports.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF AUDIT OFFICIAL FOR BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMITS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18.2-340.7 of the Code of Virginia, an audit is required after November 1 of the financial reports of organizations conducting bingo games and raffles within the County; and

WHEREAS, these reports shall be filed for audit by such official as the governing body may designate;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Wendy S. Weber be designated as the local official to receive the financial reports and be responsible for their auditing.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club is hereby granted renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--MCKENNEY RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the McKenney Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the McKenney Ruritan Club is hereby granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1979.

IN RE: MCKENNEY STREET LIGHTS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Town Council of McKenney has requested that the Board of Supervisors take into the County street light system and assume payment for those street lights that are located in the Town of McKenney; and

WHEREAS, upon an on site inspection, it was determined that the Town of McKenney is being billed for a total of 76 street lights; and

WHEREAS, these lights were found to be comparable in location and quality to those located throughout the remainder of the County;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the street lights for the Town of McKenney be taken into the County's street light system; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia assumes the payment of the street light bill of the Town of McKenney.

IN RE: STREET LIGHT REVIEW--OAK STREET, WOODSTREAM DRIVE, WEST PETERSBURG

Mr. Leftwich requested that Oak Street be reviewed to determine the feasibility of placing a street light there. He also asked that Woodstream Drive be viewed along with three new locations in West Petersburg.

The County Administrator was instructed to contact a representative from VEPCO to view these locations and report their findings at the October 17, 1979 meeting.

IN RE: INDUSTRIAL ACCESS ROAD--ESE, INC.

The County Administrator reviewed with the Board the contract between the County and the state for Industrial Access

Project 0684-026-208,C501.

The agreement states that all costs above \$150,000 shall be borne by the County. He further stated that the City of Petersburg is to pay 50% of this additional cost; however, the contract itself is between the State and the County of Dinwiddie since the property is located within the County.

The County has fifteen days in which to accept the cost proposals for the project when submitted. To perform the work needed to secure the cost proposals, certain expenses will be incurred which shall be borne by the County whether it elects to accept the proposals and proceed with the work or not.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to contact the City of Petersburg to clarify their understanding as to how the extra costs incurred on the road will be shared. Action was postponed until this information is made available.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF COMPUTER EQUIPMENT

The County Administrator briefly reviewed the information accumulated to date on computer equipment for the County and those departments who have expressed a desire to participate. The equipment being considered is an IBM Systems 32.

Mr. Hodnett stated that he would like to sit down with all the departments and determine their computer needs and then develop an RFP to be bid upon for the County.

Mr. Hargrave supported Mr. Hodnett's approach but stated that he could not agree with hiring additional personnel. He felt the existing personnel could be reassigned to accommodate the equipment.

Mrs. K.B. Talley, Director of Social Services, stated that she felt her Food Stamp Program alone would warrant the use of a computer and monies could be appropriated to support their usage.

Dr. Vaughn stated that he had an immediate need for some type of equipment; however, he could wait as late as the first of the year.

The Board agreed to discuss the need for computer equipment with the various County departments to determine their needs and prepare a set of specifications for which bids may be accepted by the end of November. If this date cannot be met, they felt Dr. Vaughn should be authorized to secure the equipment he needs for his department.

IN RE: BOAT LANDING AGREEMENT--LAKE CHESDIN

The County Administrator reviewed the agreements proposed between the County of Dinwiddie and the Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries for operation and maintenance of the boat landing at Lake Chesdin.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to forward the proposal as presented to them on this date to the Virginia Commission of Game and Inland Fisheries for their consideration.

IN RE: INDUSTRIAL ACCESS--RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR ESE, INC.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Clay, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the City of Petersburg has contractually agreed to deed a right-of-way from 632 to the ESE construction site; and

WHEREAS, since this contract was executed, the City of Petersburg has deeded to the County of Dinwiddie one-half interest in said land; and

WHEREAS, it is required that Dinwiddie County participate in the deed conveying the right-of-way to ESE, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, this right-of-way conveyance will become null and void upon completion of the industrial access road from Route 460 to the ESE, Industrial site;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman of the Board is hereby authorized to sign the deed conveying the right-of-way to ESE, Inc.

IN RE: DAVID M. GRIFFITH & ASSOCIATES--RENEWAL OF AGREEMENT FOR FY 1979

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie entered into an agreement with David M. Griffith & Associates on December 6, 1978 to prepare a 1978 cost allocation plan; and

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie was eligible to recover administrative funds through the Department of Welfare as a result of this plan; and

WHEREAS, it would be in the best interest of the County to continue these services for 1979;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman is hereby authorized to sign a renewal agreement with the firm of David M. Griffith and Associates for fiscal year 1979.

IN RE: WEST PETERSBURG--DISCUSSION OF VACANT LOT ORDINANCE AND RODENT CONTROL

Mr. John Crawley, President, West Petersburg Community Council, Inc. appeared before the Board to discuss what steps have been taken to alleviate the problems in West Petersburg caused by vacant lots and abandoned buildings.

In reply to Mr. Crawley, the County Administrator stated the following:

1. Since the County does not have the equipment nor the manpower to raze abandoned buildings, outside assistance is needed. At this point, the assistance sought has not made a commitment as to what time the work might be accomplished.

2. In relation to the problem of rodent control, the Health Department has completed a study of the situation listing the various areas that need to be addressed in alleviating the rodent control problem. However, the Health Department has not stated what actions it will take.

3. The vacant lot ordinance has been researched by the County Attorney. Mr. Elder stated that the Board has authority to enforce an ordinance; however, he would recommend that the County determine what authority the Health Department has and what it intends to do before considering the adoption of a local ordinance.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to ask a representative of the Health Department to attend the October 17, 1979 meeting to discuss these items.

IN RE: JOHNSONGRASS CONTROL AGREEMENT--EXTENSION OF CONTRACT TIME

Mr. A.S. Clay, the Board's representative to the Johnson-grass Control Committee advised the Board that since the Johnson-grass Control program was late in starting this year, the Committee would like to extend the original contract period another year beyond June 30, 1981 to gain full benefit of the pilot program.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services is hereby requested to amend the original contract between the Department and the County of Dinwiddie to extend the agreement period for renewal of the Pilot Control Program of Johnsongrass in Dinwiddie County from June 30, 1981 to June 30, 1982.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:35 P.M. to discuss land acquisition. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:40 P.M.

IN RE: ADDITIONAL WORKING DAYS--APPRAISERS

The County Administrator explained the need for the Appraisers to work on Saturdays and holidays in order to complete the Reassessment by the end of the year. The Board was in total agreement with the County Administrator and directed him to retain the services of Hanson, DelCorso and Stacy for the days mandated.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hodnett, seconded by Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hodnett, Mr. Leftwich, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 5:45 P.M.

ATTEST:


W.C. KNOTT


G.S. BENNETT, Jr.; CHAIRMAN

