

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1980 AT 8:00 P.M.

PRESENT: M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
G.S. BENNETT, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
STEVE WEBER	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
L.G. ELDER	COUNTY ATTORNEY
C.L. MITCHELL	SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the August 19, 1980 meeting were approved as presented with the following amendment:

That a statement of explanation be added to the Advertisement of Cabletelevision Ordinance and the Nuclear Waste Ordinance In Re's explaining that Mr. Hargrave voted "nay" to the advertisement of these two ordinances due to the fact that the Board did not have copies of the proposed ordinances in hand to review before taking action.

IN RE: INTRODUCTION OF STUDENT GUESTS

Mr. Bennett introduced the following students who were attending the meeting from Mr. Walter Given's high school government class: Dale Andrews, Todd Morgan, Laurie Bennett, Sharon Geoff, and Wendy Hall.

IN RE: VIRGINIA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES ANNUAL MEETING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that Check #80-1795 not be approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that no one from the County attend the Va. Assoc. of Counties Annual Meeting at the Homestead at county expense.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks - numbering 80-1582 thru 80-1794 in the amount of \$135,176.02; Johnsongrass Control Fund checks - numbering JGC-13 thru JGC-16 amounting to \$334.76; Library Fund check #LF-80-9 in the amount of \$39.91; Solid Waste Fund check #SW-80-1 in the amount of \$4,060; Water & Sewer Fund check #W&S-80-4 in the amount of \$65,064.89.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE

Mr. W.E. Bolte advised the Board that the personal property book has been completed and the real estate book should be completed next week. He also stated that he had received 150 applications for Land Use.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of August, 1980.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO BORROW FUNDS FOR MONTH OF SEPTEMBER, 1980

The Chairman stated that it has become necessary for the County to borrow funds because of new construction projects, slow state reimbursements and tax revenue which has not yet begun to come in. Mrs. Lewis presented a report for the Board's review showing the expenditures and revenue expected for the next three months and the amount of money needed to be borrowed in order for the County to meet its obligations. She suggested, however, that the Board take action to borrow the needed funds on a month by month basis to have a more clear picture of the funds needed. She stated that \$360,000 would be needed to meet obligations for the month of September. Mr. Bennett asked what the cost to the County would be for borrowing the funds. The County Attorney stated that the Code limits the rate to 6%.

Mr. Bennett asked that the departments be requested to hold any bills that can be postponed during this interim period in which money has to be borrowed. Mr. Hargrave asked that those agencies that have been advanced money and owe reimbursement to the County be advised of the County's situation and be formally requested to forward these funds owed as soon as possible.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors anticipates a temporary deficit in the revenue of the County; and

WHEREAS, the County anticipates collecting taxes on real estate, personal property and machinery and tools in an amount of \$3,451,860 during the current calendar year;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that pursuant to Sections 2.1-326.1; 2.1-326.2; 2.1-326.3; 15.1-545 and 15.1-546, Code of Virginia, 1973, Repl. Vol., as amended, the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to execute the proper notes and documents for a loan in the amount of \$360,000 with an interest rate to be determined by competitive bids from area banks to be repaid out of anticipated current revenues no later than December 15, 1980.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of August, 1980.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L. A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of August, 1980.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT--ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS FROM
CDAAA

Mrs. King B. Talley advised the Board that she has received a letter from Mr. Richard Bull, Director of the Crater District Area Agency on Aging offering \$5100 to the Dinwiddie Social Services Department to be used for in-home care of the elderly. This service can be provided by the local Social Services Department through its Companion Care Program. These funds would be given with no strings attached.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave

voting "aye", Mrs. King Talley was authorized to accept \$5100 from the Crater District AAA to provide in-home care for clients over 60 years of age through the Companion Care program.

IN RE: VIRGINIA HILLS SUBDIVISION--ACCEPTANCE OF ROADS--SECTION II

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Tanglewood Drive, beginning at a point on Route 1120, 0.18 miles west of Route 1121 and running in a westerly direction 0.06 miles to Northwood Drive. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Northwood Drive, beginning at a point on Tanglewood Drive 0.24 miles west of Route 1121, and running in a southerly direction 0.08 miles to Circlewood Drive. This road has been constructed, drained and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation be and is hereby requested to add a section of road known as Circlewood Drive, beginning at a point on Northwood Drive 0.08 miles south of Tanglewood Drive, and running in a north westerly direction 0.46 miles to dead end with turn-around. This road has been constructed, drained, and surfaced in accordance with Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation Specifications and County ordinances; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that these roads in Virginia Hills Subdivision, Section Two, if accepted, be added to the Secondary System of Dinwiddie County, effective on the date of approval of the Highway Commission with a maintenance bond and fee, pursuant to Section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does guarantee the Commonwealth of Virginia a minimum unrestricted right of way of 60' with necessary easements for cuts, fills and drainage as recorded in Plat Book 10, Pages 129, 130, 131 dated November 30, 1977.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-80-6A-- CABLETELEVISION ORDINANCE

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1980 and Wednesday, September 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia to add Chapter 15A to provide for regulation of Community Antenna Television Systems.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he felt he needed more time to review the ordinance. Mr. Weber stated that his main purpose in bringing up cabletelevision was for the citizens to have an opportunity to subscribe to cable TV. if it were to become available in the County. He realized the members needed more time to review the ordinance and its effects and stated he would move to defer action until the October 1, 1980 meeting at which time he would make a motion to approve the ordinance.

Mr. Robertson stated that he concurred with Mr. Weber but he wanted the citizens to understand that just because the ordinance was approved, it did not mean that cabletelevision would become immediately available. He stated he had talked with Sammons, Inc. and they had not considered coming into Dinwiddie but would have to find out if it would be profitable. Mr. Bennett stated that he had been contacted by several people in his area that were interested; however, he did not think the communications Company would find it feasible to come out that far. Mr. Hargrave stated that nothing prevented cabletelevision from coming into the County now without the ordinance; however, he felt the ordinance was needed for the protection of the citizens.

Mr. Hargrave further stated that the Board was sympathetic to the ordinance; therefore, he would ask for additional questions or opposition from those present.

Mr. Richard Earl, Mrs. Stewart, and Mr. Emery Veazey asked questions concerning the ordinance. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", action on the cabletelevision ordinance was deferred until the October 1, 1980 meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--LIMITATION OF TERMS--A-80-7

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1980 and Wednesday, September 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 2 of the Code of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, to add Article III, Sec. 2-6, limiting consecutive terms on Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees.

Mr. Robertson read the original resolution which he introduced and stated that some terms were already limited by the State Code. Mr. Weber stated that the ordinance was not intended for any one group of people. He felt there were other people in the County who could do a good job and he was, therefore, in favor of the ordinance.

Dr. C.C. Ashby was present to speak on behalf of the School Board in opposition to the ordinance. He stated that he felt experience was very valuable in the appointed positions and more than eight years was needed for a School Board appointment.

Mr. Edward Titmus spoke against the ordinance. He questioned as to why the Board of Supervisors did not include themselves in the limitations. Mr. Robertson stated they could not legally do so. Mr. Titmus further stated that if someone was not doing their job as expected, the Board of Supervisors had the option of not reappointing them. He also felt experience was valuable.

Mr. Harry Clay stated that he was not speaking for the School Board; however, he felt experience was valuable and a complete turn-over would handicap an organization. He stated it was difficult to approach individuals on the State level unless you were well known and had been around for a while.

Mr. Richard Earl spoke in support of the ordinance.

The Chairman stated he had received a letter from the Superintendent of Schools stating the advantages of not limiting the terms of the appointments.

Mr. Clay stated that he agreed with Mr. Titmus on the value of experience; however, it was difficult finding a qualified person willing to serve.

Mr. Bennett stated that he felt each Board member has

the right to initiate a policy such as this for himself. He felt the citizens would let him know if appointees were not doing their job.

Mr. Hargrave stated he rejected having a written rule to follow which would force someone to resign that was doing a good job to be replaced by someone inexperienced. He felt that conscientious people would let you know when they felt they could no longer serve adequately.

Mr. Andie Perdue spoke in support of the ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay", amendment A-80-7 to limit the terms of office for appointees was not adopted as presented.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "nay",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 2 - Administration

Article III. Members of Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees

Sec. 2-6. Limitations of Consecutive Terms on Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees.

Periods of service of appointees appointed by the Board of Supervisors to Boards, Commissions, Authorities, and Committees, in addition to any applicable state statute limiting said appointments, are hereby limited as follows:

1. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a one (1) year term are hereby limited to three (3) reappointments for a total of no more than four (4) consecutive terms.
2. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a two (2) year term are hereby limited to three (3) reappointments for a total of no more than four (4) consecutive terms.
3. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a three (3) year term are hereby limited to one (1) reappointment for a total of no more than two (2) consecutive terms.
4. Citizens appointed to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee for a four (4) year term are hereby limited to one (1) reappointment for a total of no more than two (2) consecutive terms.
5. Citizens serving on any Board, Commission, Authority or Committee as of the date of this ordinance that their terms of office exceed the limits, may be reappointed for one additional term.

Any citizen appointed to fill the vacancy of an unexpired term who serves for a period that amounts to a majority of a full term shall have that term counted as a term of service.

All citizens become eligible for reappointment to any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee after the passage of one (1) full term.

This ordinance shall in no way preclude an appointee from completing a term of office he or she is currently serving, but the number of terms served in the past on any such Board, Commission, Authority or Committee shall be controlling in making reappointments.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING -- A-80-8 -- HEALTH PERMIT FEES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, September 3, 1980 and Wednesday, September 10, 1980 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Chapter 6 of the Dinwiddie County Code to add Sec. 6-59, Septic Tank Permit and Fee.

No one appeared in support or opposition to this amendment. Mr. Bennett stated that the only comments he received were from builders who were opposed to additional costs.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 6 - Building Regulations

Section 6-59. Septic Tank Permit and Fee*

It shall be unlawful for any person to install or repair, have, allow or contract to install or repair a septic tank system in the county individually or for another without first obtaining a septic tank permit. The septic tank system shall be designed and approved by the county health department. Permits for new systems and for repairs to existing systems shall be issued by the county health department.

Upon application for a septic tank system permit, and before the permit is issued, the applicant shall pay to the county zoning administrator's office a permit fee in the amount of twenty-five dollars. All permits become null and void twelve months after date of issue and cannot be used for installation of individual sewage disposal systems until renewed in writing by the health department with no additional fee required. No fee shall be required for a permit to repair an existing system.

*See Code of Virginia Section 15.1-520 for authority.

IN RE: MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL RETARDATION SERVICES BUDGET APPROPRIATION

Mr. Kenneth Wright, Dinwiddie's representative to the District 19, MH&MR Services Board appeared before the Board to discuss their appropriation for 1980-81. Mr. Wright stated that the MH&MR Services Board had not received an increase and he needed to know which programs the County was going to fund.

Mr. Robertson stated that during the budget sessions, the elimination of the Southside Sheltered Workshop was discussed, and he had proposed sending \$2800 to the Workshop out of the \$19,950 allocation.

Mr. Wright stated that he had interpreted the letter from the Board concerning their budget allocation as meaning the full \$19,950 would go the MH&MR Services Board. He further advised the Board that the reason the Workshop was not being funded was because the State did not approve the program and was not funding it. He stated that the individuals receiving the Sheltered Workshop services could be absorbed in the other programs.

Mr. Robertson stated that the United Way and other civic groups do fund the workshop and just because the State does not approve it, it does not mean the program is not worthwhile.

Mr. Wright advised the Board that if funds were given to the workshop out of the \$19,950 allocation, then other important programs would be cut or have to be eliminated.

Mrs. Talley said her department had greatly benefited from the MH&MR Services in the past year and she hated to see funds put into something not funded by the State.

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Chapter 10 Services would not cease. The services provided by the Workshop could be absorbed elsewhere. Mr. Robertson stated that the services were not comparable to those offered by the Workshop.

Mr. Wright stated that if the Workshop would use another name for its services, the State would probably fund it. Mrs. Talley stated that if the Board of Supervisors let the Chapter 10 Board be circumvented, they would be setting a bad precedent.

Mr. Robertson moved that the Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services Board be given \$17,150 for 1980-81. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Clay stated that Mr. Wright had been appointed to represent Dinwiddie County on the Chapter 10 Board and his recommendation to allocate the full \$19,950 to Mental Health & Mental Retardation Services should be accepted. Mr. Wright stated that if \$2800 were removed from the allocation, they would lose \$9,334 in state funds. Mr. Robertson and Mr. Weber voted "aye". Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett and Mr. Hargrave voted "nay".

Mr. Bennett moved that \$19,950 be allocated to the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board to be distributed as listed below:

Administration	\$2,125
Mental Health Services	12,392
Developmental Center	5,433

Mr. Clay seconded the motion. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye". Mr. Weber voted "nay".

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE TO ALLOW SECURITY MOBILE HOMES
AT COMMERCIAL AND BUSINESS LOCATIONS

Mr. Ted Baxter appeared before the Board to discuss the vandalism that has occurred at the Brickwood Golf Course and request permission to place a mobile home there for security purposes. As the property is presently zoned residential, mobile homes are not allowed.

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed that this type of vandalism was a frequent problem in most commercial and business locations.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Dinwiddie County Code, as adopted April

1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended by the following addition:

Chapter 17.

Sec. 17-49. Permitted Uses.

- (22) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation (nonconforming use) for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home.

Sec. 17-63. Permitted Uses.

- (32) A security mobile home, in an area used for a business or commercial operation for security purposes subject to the normal requirements for installation of a mobile home.

Effective Date.

An emergency exists and this ordinance is effective immediately on and after its adoption.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO DRAFT A PERMANENT ORDINANCE TO ALLOW SECURITY MOBILE HOMES IN COMMERCIAL & BUSINESS AREAS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Attorney and Director of Planning prepare an ordinance for consideration by the Planning Commission and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to allow security mobile homes in commercial and business locations for security purposes.

IN RE: NIGHT LIGHT AT DUMPSTER SITE ON U.S. RTE. 460

Several discussions have been held concerning placing a security night light at the dumpster site on Rte. 460. The County Administrator presented to the Board correspondence from the Federal Aviation Administration and the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport and Industrial Authority stating security lights would be allowed in the area if proper shielding is used.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, there was no second, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "nay", the installation of a security light at the dumpster site on U.S. Rte. 460 was approved.

IN RE: EMERGENCY ORDINANCE REGULATING DEALERS IN PRECIOUS METALS AND GEMS

As requested by the Board, an ordinance regulating dealers in precious metals and gems was presented for their consideration for advertisement. Because of its importance and need at this time, the Board felt it should be immediately adopted as an emergency ordinance.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie Code as adopted April 1, 1970, and as heretofore amended, be further amended to provide for the addition of Article IV to Chapter 10 as follows:

Chapter 10

LICENSES GENERALLY

Article IV. Dealers In Precious Metals and Gems

Sec. 10-11. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, the following words shall have the meanings ascribed to them by this section:

(a) "Dealer" shall mean any person, firm, partnership or corporation engaged at any location in the county in the business of purchasing precious metals or gems or making loans for which precious metals or gems are received and held as security provided, however, that retail merchants personally located within the county shall be exempt insofar as they make purchases directly from manufacturers or wholesalers of precious metals or gems for their inventories. "Dealer" shall include merchants whose business is itinerant in the county. As used herein "Dealer" includes employers and principals on whose behalf the purchase or loan was made and all employees and agents who personally make such purchases and loans. When any act is required of a corporation, it shall be performed by its president.

(b) "Precious Metals" shall mean any item containing as part of its composition in any degree gold, silver, platinum or pewter.

(c) "Gems" shall mean any item containing or having any precious or semi-precious stones customarily used in jewelry or ornamentation.

Sec. 10-12. Permit required.

Beginning on September 17, 1980, no dealer shall purchase precious metals or gems or make loans for which precious metals or gems are received and held as security without first obtaining a permit from the County Administrator of the county as provided herein and without complying with all other provisions of this chapter. Possession of a permit issued in another locality shall not relieve a dealer of the obligation to obtain a permit from the County Administrator.

Sec. 10-13. Method of obtaining permit.

The permit required herein shall be issued by the County Administrator or his designee upon payment of a \$25 application fee and satisfaction of the requirements herein. The application fee shall not be imposed on subsequent applications so long as the business has been operated continuously without interruption since the issuance of the previous permit. The applicant shall be issued a permit if he satisfies the County Administrator of his good character and he has not been convicted within the past seven (7) years of a felony or a crime of moral turpitude. Information required on the application shall include the applicant's full name, aliases, address, age, sex and fingerprints, and the name, address and telephone number of the applicant's employer, if any, and the location of the place of business of the dealer. No license shall be valid for more than six (6) months from the date of issuance but may be renewed in the same manner as the initial license is obtained. If the dealer does not operate continuously from the date of obtaining his permit, then he shall notify the County Administrator of any ceasing or renewing of business or change in location. Failure to operate on weekends or holidays shall not be construed as a ceasing or disruption.

Sec. 10-14. License non transferable and to be displayed.

The license issued hereunder shall be a personal privilege and shall not be transferable; nor shall there be any abatement of the fee for such license by reason of the fact that the dealer shall have exercised the privilege for any period of time less than for which it was granted. The license shall at all times be displayed prominently by the dealer on his business premises.

Sec. 10-15. False statements.

Any false statement made on the application form voids the license ab initio.

Sec. 10-16. Information from sellers.

Dealers shall ascertain the name, address and age of sellers of precious metals or gems and shall require the seller to verify same by some form of identification issued by a governmental agency, which identification must show as a part of it the picture of the person so identified.

Sec. 10-17. Records, copies of bills of sale required.

Every dealer shall maintain adequate records to reflect the following information which shall appear on bills of sale, the form of which shall be provided by the County Administrator, one copy of which is to be retained by the dealer, one copy to be delivered during regular county work hours to the Sheriff at his office within twenty-four (24) hours of the sale, and one copy to be delivered to the seller of such precious metals or gems. If the purchase or loan occurs during a weekend then the delivery to the Sheriff shall be made no later than 10:00 A.M. of the next regular county work day. The required information is as follows:

- (1) The name of the dealer and his employer or principal if any.
- (2) A complete description of each item purchased including weight of the precious metals or gems purchased by the dealer, such description to include all names, initials, serial numbers or other identifying marks or monograms appearing on the item in question.
- (3) The name, address and age of the seller.

Sec. 10-18. Prohibited purchases.

No dealer shall purchase or make a loan of precious metals or gems from any seller who is under the age of eighteen (18). No dealer shall purchase or make a loan of precious metals or gems from anyone whom the dealer believes or has reason to believe is not the owner of such precious metals or gems.

Sec. 10-19. Dealer to retain purchases.

The dealer shall retain all precious metals or gems purchased for a minimum of five (5) calendar days from the time of filing the bill of sale of their purchase with the Sheriff. During such period of time no change shall be made to any item containing precious metals or gems.

Sec. 10-20. Dealer's bond.

Prior to receiving his application, every dealer shall enter a bond or provide surety to be payable to the county in the penal sum of five thousand dollars and conditioned upon due observance of the terms of this chapter.

Sec. 10-21. Availability of bond proceeds.

Any person aggrieved by the dealer's violation of the provisions of this ordinance who shall recover a final judgment against him therefor may maintain an action in his own name upon the bond or surety.

Sec. 10-22. Penalty.

Violation of any provisions of this chapter shall be a misdemeanor and, upon conviction therefor, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$1,000, or a jail term of not more than twelve (12) months or both.

Sec. 10-23. Severability.

If any section of this ordinance or portion thereof is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court, it shall be regarded as severed and the remaining sections and portions shall

continue in full force and effect.

Sec. 10-24. Effective date.

An emergency exists and this ordinance is effective immediately on and after its adoption.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE TO REGULATE DEALERS IN PRECIOUS METALS & GEMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be authorized to advertise for a public hearing at the October 15, 1980 meeting, the ordinance regulating dealers in precious metals and gems.

IN RE: TRASH TRUCK REPAIR

Several discussions have been held concerning repair of the 1974 Kenworth trash truck and/or purchase of a new one. The 1974 Kenworth has been idle due to a blown motor. Mr. J. M. Loftis and the County Administrator recommended rebuilding the blown motor in the 1974 Kenworth and installing a new manual transmission. This would provide two trucks in good running condition and the 1973 as a back up truck. The Board would set aside \$30,000 for the next two years towards the purchase of a new truck. Mr. Weber asked if the purchase of a new truck would be better for the Landfill operation at this time. Mr. Loftis said he did not feel it would.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Weber voting "nay", the Director of Sanitation was authorized to have the motor rebuilt and a new manual transmission installed in the 1974 Kenworth at an approximate cost of \$14,113.60.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--RENEWAL FOR WILSON-HEBRON-FORD RURITAN CLUB

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club has made application to the Board of Supervisors for renewal of its Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1980; and

WHEREAS, the Club meets the requirements as set forth in Sec. 18.1-340.1-340.12 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Wilson-Hebron-Ford Ruritan Club is hereby granted renewal of its Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1980.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Board moved into Executive Session to discuss legal matters at 11:10 P.M. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 11:40 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 12:00 Noon, Thursday, September 18, 1980.

THE CHAIRMAN RECONVENED THE MEETING AT 12:00 NOON, SEPTEMBER 18, 1980. THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS WERE PRESENT:

PRESENT: M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #4
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #2

ABSENT: G.S. BENNETT, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #1
STEVE WEBER ELECTION DISTRICT #2

IN RE: VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY BOND SALE--ACCEPTANCE
OF INTEREST RATE

At a continuation of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, held on the 18th day of September, 1980,

PRESENT:

M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #4
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #2

ABSENT:

G.S. BENNETT, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #1
STEVE WEBER ELECTION DISTRICT #2

It was reported to the meeting that the best bid received by the Virginia Public School Authority for the purchase of its School Financing Bonds, Series 1980, called for a net interest cost of 8.3318% and that the Authority has offered to purchase at a price of par and accrued interest the \$1,300,000 School Bonds, Series of 1980, of Dinwiddie County at an interest rate of 8.4% per year, payable on each June 15 and December 15.

Thereupon the following resolution was adopted by the following roll call vote, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting as follows:

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
M.I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
A.S. Clay	Aye
G.E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, that the \$1,300,000 School Bonds, Series of 1980, of Dinwiddie County, heretofore authorized by resolution adopted by this Board on September 2, 1980, be and the same are hereby sold to the Virginia Public School Authority at a price of par and accrued interest from the date of the bonds to the date of delivery and shall bear interest at the rate of 8.4% per year.

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a continuation of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on the 18th day of September, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Board of Supervisors this nineteenth day of September, 1980.

Clerk, Board of Supervisors of
Dinwiddie County, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 5:30 P.M., Thursday, September 25, 1980.

THE CHAIRMAN RECONVENED THE MEETING AT 5:30 P.M., SEPTEMBER 25, 1980.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW \$360,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 25th day of September, 1980, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye
Steve Weber	Aye
George S. Bennett, Jr.	Aye
Aubrey S. Clay	Aye
George E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on September 25, 1980, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to \$360,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1980; and authorized and directed the County Administrator to solicit proposals to purchase notes evidencing such borrowing; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from First & Merchants National Bank to purchase its \$360,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the First & Merchants National Bank, and to deliver the notes to First & Merchants National Bank upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 25th day of September, 1980, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 30th day of September, 1980.

County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: ADDITION OF NEW BLOCK TO TRASH TRUCK REPAIR

The County Administrator informed the Board that a new block was omitted from the cost proposal approved at the last meeting for repair of the 1974 Kenworth truck. The cost for a new block will be approximately \$3300, and is needed to complete the repair work.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a new block be authorized to be included in the repairs for the 1974 Kenworth trash truck.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 7:00 P.M.

ATTEST:



W.C. KNOTT



M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN