

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD
IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 15TH DAY OF JULY, 1981 AT
8:00 P.M.

PRESENT: A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #4
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2
STEVE WEBER ELECTION DISTRICT #2
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #3

T.O. RAINEY ASS'T. COM. ATTORNEY

ABSENT: G.S. BENNETT, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #1
C.L. MITCHELL SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the June 24, 1981 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 81-1276 to 81-1389 amounting to \$90,854.78; Library Fund Check-number LF-81-6 in the amount of \$152.31; History Book check-number HB-81-3 in the amount of \$5.86.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the minutes of the July 13, 1981 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BORROWING FUNDS

As authorized by the Board at the June 16, 1981 special meeting, the County Administrator presented to the Board information needed to proceed with borrowing sufficient funds to meet the financial obligations of the County for the months of July through November, 1981.

He stated that the maximum allowed to be borrowed by the County is one-half of the anticipated taxes to be collected; however, he and the County Attorney recommended that \$750,000 be borrowed from the Bank of Southside Virginia at 8.5% and \$950,000 be borrowed from the Bank of Virginia at 9.5%. Another proposal was received from Central Fidelity at a rate of 10.57%.

Mr. Robertson asked if it would be possible to borrow the entire \$1.7 million from the Bank of Southside Virginia at the lower interest rate. The County Administrator stated that he considered his recommendation to be in the best interest of the County.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administrator contact the Bank of Southside Virginia to determine the maximum amount that could be borrowed at the 8.5% rate and call an emergency meeting of the Board if needed to take action to obtain this rate. After a brief discussion, Mr. Robertson withdrew his motion.

Mr. Hargrave stated that he was reluctant to postpone action with the possibility of losing the interest rates that have been offered. Mr. Hargrave moved that the County Administrator be authorized to proceed with borrowing as much as

possible of the \$1.7 million from the Bank of Southside Virginia at 8.5% and the balance with the Bank of Virginia as long as the action does not result in increasing the total cost as now proposed. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of June, 1981.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO SCHOOL FUND

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis, Treasurer, requested authorization to transfer \$41,918.64 from the General Fund to the School Fund retroactive to June 30, 1981, to balance that account. These funds will be transferred back to the General Fund upon receipt of sufficient State funds to do so.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Treasurer was authorized to transfer \$41,918.64 from the General fund to the School Fund retroactive to June 30, 1981.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of June, 1981.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr., presented his report for the month of June, 1981.

IN RE: FOWL & LIVESTOCK CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the following claims were approved:

Mrs. Adele Brockman was awarded \$60.05 for 52 chickens.

Mr. E.W. Stone was awarded \$71.55 for 27 hybrid pullets.

Mr. W.R. Bishop was awarded \$135 for one hog.

IN RE: REVIEW OF CENSUS INFORMATION FOR REDISTRICTING PURPOSES

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, appeared before the Board to review the 1980 Census figures and the resulting distribution of people per election district.

To be effective December 31, 1981, the County Administrator suggested that the Board meet and prepare a redistricting plan for public hearing and approval by the mid-September meeting to forward to the Justice Department as soon as possible thereafter. He stated that the Board, therefore, needs to decide who they desire to do the work and when they can meet.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the cost of an outside consultant be obtained in the meantime for the Board to consider.

Mr. Clay and Mr. Weber stated that they felt the Director of Planning could do the work and present the alternatives to the Board.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt the Board of Supervisors should prepare the redistricting plan with guidance from the County and he would not support outsiders doing the work.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administration prepare tentative plans for the Board to consider at their next meeting and the Board establish a time schedule thereafter to review them. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

Mr. Hargrave asked that each member of the Board offer any suggestions or thoughts they have to the Administration as they formulate the plans and that these suggestions in turn be passed along to the other members. He also emphasized two items that he wanted the Board members to think about during their deliberations. 1. That the redistricting be done in a manner to cause the lines to be moved as little as possible. 2. That the Board consider the flexibility of returning to five districts and a one-man per district concept.

After further discussion, the Board agreed to adjourn this meeting until Monday night, July 20, 1981 at 7:30 P.M. to begin work on the redistricting plan.

IN RE: DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. King B. Talley advised the Board that she had received her 1981-82 approved budget and cuts had been made which resulted in a \$7800 reduction in local funds.

She also advised the Board that the first payment of three has been received for the Central Services Cost Allocation Plan in the amount of \$9634.

IN RE: SLH APPLICATIONS--MEDICAL COLLEGE OF VIRGINIA--PETERSBURG GENERAL HOSPITAL--GREENSVILLE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Chairman be authorized to sign contracts for State and Local Hospitalization with the Medical College of Virginia at the rate of \$226.52 per day; Petersburg General Hospital at the rate of \$157.84 per day; and Greensville Memorial Hospital at the rate of \$134.79 per day.

IN RE: ADULT BASIC EDUCATION PROGRAM--1981-82

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to submit a grant application for the Adult Basic Education Program for 1981-82 in the amount of \$6800. The local cost would be 10% or \$680, which he stated was available in the School Board budget.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the Superintendent was authorized to proceed with the grant application to the Virginia Adult Education Service for the 1981-82 Adult Basic Education Program.

IN RE: COMMUNITY/SCHOOL DIALOGUE PROJECT

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to proceed with the project application for School Community Involvement grant funds in the amount of \$2,550.

This grant would be used to offset expenses of holding meetings and gathering information on various community activities needed and desired in the County. The local match would be in-kind services of those individuals involved.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye",

the Superintendent of Schools was authorized to proceed with the project application for \$2,550 in School Community Involvement Grant Funds for the Community/School Dialogue Project.

IN RE: ROUTE 645--AUTHORIZATION TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARING

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise for public hearing on August 19, 1981, consideration of conveying by deed the necessary right-of-way to the Highway Department for widening Rt. 645 by the Dinwiddie County Landfill. The public hearing is required by the State Code.

IN RE: MEETING TO DISCUSS ROAD PRIORITIES

Mr. Hargrave stated that the Board did not receive a definite answer to a couple of questions asked during their meeting with the Highway Department to view the roads to be taken into the secondary system. These questions dealt with the allowance of a 30' road width and the spreading of expenditures of funds on several roads rather than all on one road to be taken into the system.

The County Administrator was instructed to pursue these questions with the Highway Department for discussion by the Board at their next meeting.

IN RE: RECREATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. gave a brief report on the activities to date of the Recreation Committee and their tentative schedule of activities planned in the future. He stated that the existing recreational activities were in good hands and the Committee wanted to concentrate on developing other areas in the County. He indicated they had met with the Community Involvement Group to coordinate activities and suggested that Ms. Diane Galbreath, a member of that group and a resident of the County, be appointed to the Recreation Committee.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Ms. Diane Galbreath was appointed to the Recreation Committee.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF APPOINTMENT--JUSTICE & CRIME PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL

The appointment to the CPDC Justice & Crime Prevention Advisory Council was postponed until the next meeting.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX REGIONAL LIBRARY BOARD

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mrs. Ellen Perdue was appointed to the Appomattox Regional Library Board, term expiring June 30, 1985.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--CPDC METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. G.E. Robertson, Jr. was appointed to the CPDC Metropolitan Planning Organization, term expiring June 30, 1982.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARINGS--CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION AND PROCUREMENT LAWS

Mr. Hargrave advised the Board that public hearings were being held to consider requiring certificates of competency in certain crafts within each jurisdiction. He indicated that he was opposed to this certification and would like for the County to be represented as such at the hearing. He felt

the Building Inspector's review of all work done was adequate at this time without all the additional overhead of testing.

The County Administrator stated that there has been discussion among the licensing officials that local testing was needed and the local building officials had discussed having the testing done on a regional basis which would be less costly to the individual jurisdictions.

Mr. Robertson and Mr. Clay also expressed opposition to testing as it would be an additional cost to the County.

Mr. Hargrave further stated that a public hearing was also scheduled to study the procurement laws of the Commonwealth and possible application to local governments. He was opposed to the State dictating procurement laws for the County and wished to be represented at this public hearing also.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to attend or have the Director of Planning attend these public hearings to express the County's position on these two issues.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:50 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 10:22 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M. Monday, July 20, 1981.

JULY 20, 1981--CONTINUATION OF JULY 15, 1981 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

L.G. ELDER

COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW \$750,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 20th day of July, 1981, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
Aubrey S. Clay	Aye
George E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
Steve Weber	Aye
George S. Bennett, Jr.	Aye
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.	Aye

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on July 20, 1981, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to \$750,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1981; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from The Bank of Southside Virginia to purchase its \$750,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the Bank of Southside Virginia, and to deliver the notes to The Bank of Southside Virginia upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 20th day of July, 1981, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 22nd day of July, 1981.

County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

IN RE: RESOLUTION TO BORROW \$950,000 TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, held on the 20th day of July, 1981, at which the following members were present and absent:

PRESENT: Aubrey S. Clay
George E. Robertson, Jr.
Steve Weber
George S. Bennett, Jr.
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.

ABSENT: None

the following resolution was adopted by an affirmative roll call vote of a majority of all members of the Board of Supervisors, the ayes and nays being recorded in the minutes of the meeting, as shown below:

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
Aubrey S. Clay	Aye
George E. Robertson, Jr.	Aye
Steve Weber	Aye

George S. Bennett, Jr.
Milton I. Hargrave, Jr.

Aye
Aye

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted on July 20, 1981, the Board of Supervisors authorized the borrowing of up to \$950,000 in anticipation of the collection of the taxes and other revenues for the calendar year beginning January 1, 1981; and

WHEREAS, the County has accepted a proposal from The Bank of Virginia to purchase its \$950,000 Tax Anticipation Notes pursuant to the terms of the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A;

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia:

1. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the County Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to take all proper steps to have the notes prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of the proposal of the Bank of Virginia and to deliver the notes to The Bank of Virginia upon payment therefor.

2. Such officers of the County of Dinwiddie as may be requested are hereby authorized to execute an appropriate certificate setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the notes issued pursuant hereto in order to show that such expected use and investment will not violate the provisions of Sec. 103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued pursuant thereto, applicable to "arbitrage bonds." Such certificate shall be in such form as may be requested by counsel for the County.

3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The undersigned County Administrator of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Dinwiddie held on the 20th day of July, 1981, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, this 22nd day of July, 1981.

County Administrator
County of Dinwiddie, Virginia

(SEAL)

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF SECONDARY ROAD PRIORITIES

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had contacted the Resident Engineer for the Department of Highways concerning the question of spreading of expenditures over several roads rather than totally on one or two to be taken into the system.

The Resident Engineer had discussed the matter with the Secondary Roads Director who advised him that the Highway Department policy would not allow the spreading of funds on rural additions in the manner desired by the Board for, basically, two reasons: 1. There may not be any funds available to put into the roads next year. 2. Money cannot be spent on a road unless it has been taken into the Secondary Roads system.

With these comments in mind, the Board agreed to work on establishing priorities on the roads being considered at the

August 19, 1981 meeting.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT--REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL VEHICLES

The County Administrator advised the Board that he had been contacted by the Sheriff concerning the purchase of a new police car for the new Deputy Sheriff he has hired.

The County Administrator made the following suggestions for the Board to consider before making a decision. He stated that three cars would be needed; a replacement and two new cars for the two new deputies.

He indicated that the State usually bought their cars through Pocquoson Motors and they had several additional police vehicles on hand if the Board wanted to purchase from them.

He further stated that he had information on mileage of all the cars being used now and would gather together some price comparison figures for their consideration in the next few days.

Mr. Hargrave asked that the Sheriff meet with the Board to discuss the cars he needs for his Department prior to the Board taking action.

IN RE: KENNEL LICENSES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS

Mr. Robertson stated that he had received several calls concerning dogs barking in kennels in subdivisions and bothering the surrounding neighbors. He indicated that he was disturbed by the fact that anyone could obtain a kennel license without any consideration of the surrounding landowners. Mr. Weber stated that he did not feel that kennels should be allowed in subdivisions.

The Board instructed the County Administrator, with the Zoning Administrator and the County Attorney, to investigate what alternatives were available in helping to counteract the problem and report their findings.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF REDISTRICTING ALTERNATIVES

The County Administrator presented to the Board a table of population figures by Election District as a result of the Census count showing the increases and decreases in each one. The population figure that will be used is 20,282, which does not include the mental institutions located in the County. Mr. Bennett questioned where these population figures were counted. He was advised that the population figures for the mental institutions were included in Dinwiddie County in every case except representation due to a decision by the Courts.

The Director of Planning then presented working maps for the Board's use in making the needed changes. The County Administrator suggested that since E.D. #4 totals were comparable to its previous totals, that it be left in tact with as little change as possible.

Mr. Hargrave stated that if the same racial balance could be maintained, basically, the same shapes of the districts should meet the requirements of the Justice Department. He indicated that he felt making two separate election districts out of Election District #2 would be beneficial. He further stated that he felt the change could be made by having the Director of Planning go into Election District #1 and #3 to obtain the needed numbers for Election District #2 and then return to the Board with the resulting lines for their review and comments.

Mr. Weber stated that he was opposed to splitting Election District #2 into separate districts.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed the Director of Planning to make the changes indicated and return to the Board for further comments.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Wednesday, July 29, 1981.

JULY 29, 1981--CONTINUATION OF JULY 20, 1981 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: ALL MEMBERS

LARRY G. ELDER

COUNTY ATTORNEY

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDMENT RELATING TO RECREATIONAL OR OTHER USE OF LAKE CHESDIN BY PUBLIC

The County Administrator presented an amendment prepared to add Section 12-7 to Chapter 12 of the County Code concerning public use of Lake Chesdin. This amendment has been adopted by the Appomattox River Water Authority and Chesterfield County.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise the amendment for a public hearing on August 19, 1981.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF BOAT LANDING

The County Administrator suggested to the Board an alternative to determine what use is really being made of the boat landing. He asked that the Board consider allowing him to hire individuals for a period of 30 to 45 days to be stationed at the boat landing to collect a \$1.00 parking fee. The individual would work from 6:00 A.M. to 9:00 or 10:00 P.M., after which a cable would be drawn across the entrance and locked. He offered this suggestion to the Board as a means of determining what the public demand actually is for keeping the boat landing open. Mr. Robertson asked if there would be any obligation to repair and keep open the restroom facilities. The County Administrator stated he would have to restore them to the point of being safe. Discussion was delayed until later on in the meeting.

IN RE: ACCEPTANCE OF REDISTRICTING PROPOSAL AND AUTHORIZATION FOR ADVERTISEMENT

As instructed at the last meeting, the County Administrator and Director of Planning presented a redistricting proposal for the Board's review. The County Administrator distributed some basic information on voting precincts.

The Director of Planning outlined the redistricting proposal displayed by maps. In the proposal, the new lines drawn would reflect 277 taken from E.D. #1, and 923 from E.D. #3 to be added to E.D. #2. The resulting lines follow natural boundaries very smoothly keeping the racial balance close to what was approved before.

Mr. Robertson asked the Director of Planning to present the alternative plan that he himself had proposed. In this plan, 272 would be taken from E.D. #1; 159 white and 113 black. From E.D. #3, 1,142 would be taken; 715 white and 426 black. Mr. Scheid stated that he encountered some difficulty in drawing the lines because the proposal split census blocks and were a little more irregular.

Mr. Hargrave asked if any consideration had been given to splitting E.D. #2. The Director of Planning stated that he

had not dealt with that issue.

Mr. Weber stated that he could live with either plan, keeping the disturbance of people as small as possible.

Mr. Robertson stated that in submitting his proposal, he did not have the figures showing the black/white ratio. After looking at the figures presented, Mr. Robertson felt his proposal would be out of line and, therefore, the first proposal would be more equitable.

Mr. Bennett asked Mrs. Jeter, the Registrar, if she had seen the plans. She stated that from the viewpoint of her office, she could work with either plan.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that three voting precincts would be effected by removing people and two precincts would have additions. He stated that he liked the first proposal offered.

The County Administrator made the following comments:

1. That in preparing the plan, the lines lent themselves to a smooth flow along boundary lines, thereby keeping a normal shape.

2. The census blocks did not have to be split.

3. The district boundary lines were outstanding in that they so readily followed natural boundaries, i.e., road, stream, road, and would be easy to identify.

4. The plan transfers the appropriate amount of people.

5. The plan maintains the appropriate black-white ratio in E.D. #1 and #3 with a small change in #2.

He, therefore, would recommend acceptance of the first proposal.

Mr. Clay stated that he also liked the first proposal presented.

Mr. Robertson moved that the first redistricting proposal be accepted for advertisement for public hearing at an appropriate date. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion.

The County Administrator suggested the August 19, 1981 meeting. The County Attorney stated that an ordinance would have to be drafted and two weeks be allowed for advertisement. He also indicated that everything should be finalized and prepared to forward to the Justice Department by September 30, 1981.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the target date be September 16 and if any changes were needed, there would be a two week period in which to do so.

Mr. Robertson amended his motion to indicate a public hearing to be held on September 16, 1981. Mr. Hargrave accepted the amendment and seconded the motion.

Mr. Weber thanked Mr. Knott and Mr. Scheid for their work and asked that the Registrar be provided with a copy of the map.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay voted "aye".

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1), of the Virginia Free-

dom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:18 P.M. to discuss employment. The Board reconvened into Open Session at 8:25 P.M.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO HIRE INDIVIDUAL TO COLLECT PARKING FEE--LAKE CHESDIN BOAT LANDING

From earlier discussions, the County Administrator reviewed the suggestion that individuals be hired to collect a \$1.00 parking fee at the Lake Chesdin Boat Landing from 6:00 a.m. to 9 or 10:00 P.M. Mr. Hargrave suggested that a \$2.00 fee be charged. The County Administrator advised that the present agreement stated \$1.00; however, he would investigate the possibility of changing it.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County's insurance adequately covered what was planned and he was assured it did.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that something be done to make the individual look official, i.e., a uniform and identification card.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to hire individuals to collect a \$1.00 parking fee at the boat landing for a period of 45 days and to take whatever steps are needed to accomplish the project.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 8:30 P.M.

ATTEST:


W.C. KNOTT


A.S. CLAY, CHAIRMAN

