

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 17TH DAY OF MARCH, 1982 AT 8:00 P.M.

PRESENT: G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2
STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2
G.S. BENNETT, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #1
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY ELECTION DISTRICT #4

L.G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY
T.E. GIBBS DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Eugene R. Hemphill, Pastor, Lebanon, Crawford and Mt. Olivet United Methodist Churches, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 8:03 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:25 P.M.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the March 3, 1982 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 82-428 through 82-552 amounting to \$65,786.05; Library Fund checks-numbering LF-82-3 through LF-82-5 amounting to \$86.75; Johnson-grass Control Fund checks-numbering JGC-82-3 and 4 amounting to \$160.00; and Lew Jones Account number LJ-82-2 in the amount of \$69.00.

IN RE: FOWL CLAIM--FRANK VALENTA

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Frank Valenta was awarded \$63.00 for 16 hens and 3 geese.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-1--PUBLIC AIRPORTS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 3 and Wednesday, March 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend Sec. 17-77 of the Dinwiddie County Code to allow "public airports with, if needed, one security dwelling unit."

The Director of Planning reviewed the amendment and action by the Planning Commission who recommended approval of the amendment at their February 10, 1982 meeting. Mr. Scheid stated that Sec. 17-77 of the Code provided for heavy industrial use; however, public airports were not listed. Since the County has an airport located in such an area, he felt the amendment was needed to provide for it and to allow a dwelling unit for protection against vandalism.

Mr. Louis Thibault, Airport Manager of the Petersburg Airport, appeared before the Board in support of the amendment. No one appeared in opposition.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber,

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Dinwiddie County Code be amended by adding the following use to Section 17-77.

(26) Public airports with, if needed, one security dwelling unit.

In all other respects, said ordinance of Dinwiddie County is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--P-82-1--SID MAYES

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 3, and Wednesday, March 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption an ordinance to amend the County Code of Dinwiddie by changing the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 31, from Industrial General M-2 to Business, General B-2.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action wherein they recommended approval at their February 10, 1982 meeting.

Mr. Sid Mayes appeared in support of his rezoning request. No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Mayes stated that the land was presently zoned heavy industrial and since the railroad line was abandoned and there was no water and sewer available to the area, he really didn't see where the land would be of any use to an industry. He further stated that he felt the Business, B-2 zoning would be more appropriate since the land was close to Petersburg and the Elks Club was interested in relocating there pending action on the rezoning. Mr. Mayes indicated that the Elks wanted to build a new club house which he felt would be a nice contribution to the area.

Mr. Bennett asked what was the objective of the Elk's Club. Mr. Mayes stated it was a benevolent organization that does charitable projects much like the Moose Lodge.

Mr. Weber asked how much land out of the 15 acre parcel was being considered for use by the Elks Club. Mr. Mayes said 7½ to 8 acres as determined by a survey.

Mr. Robertson asked if Mr. Mayes had discussed the best use of the land with anyone since water and sewer was not too far away and the railroad spur could be reactivated.

Mr. Mayes stated he had but he really didn't see any industrial use in the foreseeable future as there is already land available in the industrial park for an interested industry.

Mr. Hargrave said it saddened him to see such an appealing site go but it has been zoned industrial for many years and has not been used.

Mr. Weber stated Mr. Nobles, an adjoining landowner, had some objections at the Planning Commission meeting. Mr. Mayes said he had talked to Mr. Nobles and felt he had satisfied his concerns.

Mr. Weber stated Mr. Nobles was out of town and could not be present at this meeting but he had been favor of the Planning Commission action.

Mr. Bennett indicated that should the rezoning be approved and industry wanted to locate on the property, he felt the Elks would sell and the land has been zoned industrial all these years and no one has been interested before.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting

"aye",

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the County Zoning Map be amended by changing the district classification of Section 22, Parcel 31, containing 15.25 acres from Industrial, General, M-2 to Business, General, B-2.

In all other respects said zoning ordinance is hereby reordained.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-82-1--SANDRA TAYLOR

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, March 3, and Wednesday, March 10, 1982 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for adoption a conditional use permit, C-82-1, for Sandra Taylor to allow an amusement center for youth and weekend dancing for youth in the Old Stuckey's building on U.S. Route 1.

The Director of Planning reviewed the Planning Commission action. He stated the Planning Commission considered the amusement center separate from the dances. They did not consider allowing dances at this time, but approved the amusement center with the following conditions:

1. Hours of operation for weekday/weekends. Suggested hours: Monday thru Thursday, 3:00 P.M. - 10:00 P.M.
Friday and Saturday, 12:00 Noon - 11:00 P.M.
Sunday, 12:00 Noon - 10:00 P.M.
2. Periodic checks by the Zoning Administrator to insure a proper environment for our youth.

He further stated the Planning Commission felt the dances might be considered later with another application.

Mr. Joe Lewis, Ms. Taylor's father, appeared in her absence and spoke in favor of the request. He stated Ms. Taylor wanted to run a small game room. She would live in the back of the building and be there at all times. He further indicated there would not be many dances held and they wanted to sell hotdogs and other refreshments.

No one appeared in opposition.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt people were always concerned about what would happen with a place like this and never really give children a chance to prove they can take care of themselves.

Mr. Hargrave moved approval of the Conditional Use Permit with the following conditions:

1. The permit be approved for a 6-month trial basis with written re-application to the Board to review their experience and add or delete conditions as needed.
2. The center open at 3:00 P.M. on school days and close at 11:00 P.M. on nights preceding school days.
The center open at 9:00 A.M. on non-school days and close at 12:00 midnight on nights preceding non-school days.
3. Dances be limited to the same hours.
4. Approval of the permit be contingent upon inspection for safety by the County building official.
5. An occupancy limit be determined by the building official and posted.

6. Opening the center be contingent upon the building official's approved inspection report being submitted to the County Administrator's office.

Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. Weber stated his main concern was for the safety and well-being of the children. He felt a youth center was needed with proper supervision. He indicated he would be willing to try it on a six month basis and he hoped it could be extended.

Mr. Clay asked Mr. Lewis if he had any objections to the conditions.

Mr. Lewis stated that he had difficulty with not being able to open until 3:00 P.M. on school days. He stated he felt it was the school's responsibility to keep the children on school grounds.

He further indicated their intent was to open at 8:00 A.M. and run until 10:00 or 11:00 P.M. on school nights.

Mr. Robertson stated there were no hours listed in the application; therefore, how could the center function while the children were in school.

Mr. Lewis indicated there may be adults interested in playing the games on rainy days.

Mr. Robertson indicated it was beginning to sound like a general business rather than a youth center.

Mr. Weber stated the application asks for a youth center and he knew that being near the school, the kids would tend to skip classes. He was not in favor of a regular business.

Mr. Lewis stated the operators of the center would call the Principal when they saw kids skipping classes.

Mr. Robertson again indicated he thought the application was for a youth center, not machines for the general public.

Mr. Scheid stated a general business was not considered by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Hargrave stated the fact that the center was near a school would draw kids and the children at the Junior High were at a difficult age to control.

Mr. Clay stated the 3:00 P.M. opening time wouldn't apply to the summer months.

Mr. Robertson asked what type of machines were going to be offered. The co-operator of the proposed center was present and indicated that he would be running the business with Ms. Taylor. He stated that the machines would mainly be the video type. He also indicated that a meeting had been held at the Junior High concerning the center and the teachers did not object to its operation. He further stated that he would like to see the younger group have use of the center; however, all ages liked to play the machines. He felt that limiting the time from 3:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. would cut down on the business and it would not be profitable enough to operate with those hours.

Mr. Robertson asked if there was an age limit on playing the games. The County Attorney stated there were no legal limitations that he knew of.

Mr. Hargrave stated there was only 2½ months of school left and with the summer, he felt a five month trial period would provide enough experience to reconsider the application in the Fall and make any adjustments needed.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was willing to go along with

the motion but after hearing that the center was not strictly youth-oriented, he would have to vote against it.

Mr. Hargrave commented there are similar machines in businesses all over the County, and he really didn't understand at what point a permit was required to operate the machines.

Mr. Weber asked whether the center could operate without a permit.

The County Attorney advised him that it could not without a conditional use permit.

Mr. Clay withdrew his second to the motion on the floor. Mr. Hargrave withdrew his motion and made the following motion:

1. The conditional use permit be granted for a five month trial period with written re-application to the Board to review their experience and add or delete conditions as needed.

2. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on school days and close at 11:00 P.M. on nights preceding school days.

3. The center open at 9:00 A.M. on non-school days and close at 12:00 midnight on nights preceding non-school days.

4. Dances be limited to the same hours.

5. Approval of the permit be contingent upon inspection for safety by the county building official.

6. An occupancy limit be determined by the building official and posted.

7. Opening the center be contingent upon the building official's inspection report being submitted to the County Administrator for final approval.

Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Reverend Gene Hemphill stated that he was concerned with alcohol and drug abuse in the County and he had three questions:

1. Has the Sheriff's Department had any input as to policing the area?

2. What are the requirements for lighting inside and outside the building?

3. What kind of supervision will be available in the building and parking lot?

In reply, Mr. Robertson advised Reverend Hemphill that the building would be inspected for safety but he didn't know if there were any requirements for lighting.

He further stated that the supervision would have to be from management inside and outside the facility.

The co-operator indicated there would be three adults available at all times. As to lighting, he stated there were two floodlights and four or five spotlights to cover the entire building outside and it would be well lighted inside. He added that alcohol would not be allowed on the premises.

The Reverend Hemphill stated he had been talking with the youth in the County and they would like to have some recreational facilities so they would not have to travel to Petersburg. Therefore, with his concerns put to rest, he would like to see the youth center approved.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt the success of the center was completely in the hands of management. He felt the youth needed a place to go and this center could be used positively.

Mr. Clay felt the Board should act in a positive way. He added tht Mr. Lewis operates a successful business.

Mr. Bennett stated he was not opposed to a five month trial period.

Mr. Weber indicated he had mixed feelings because he did not like opening at 9:00 A.M. and he was concerned the youth center would be turned into a business. He indicated he was willing to try it for five months.

Mr. Bennett stated he thought the Secretary in the schools usually called the parents when the kids aren't there and maybe the Principal could be asked to spot check attendance.

Mr. Robertson stated he was still deeply concerned that the center would not be for youth only. He felt there was not enough information to act on and, therefore, he could not approve it.

Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett voted "aye", Mr. Robertson voted "nay".

IN RE: REQUEST FOR STREETLIGHTS--ROUTE 601

Mr. John Drinkwater appeared before the Board to request streetlights for Route 601 near Ashley Lane. Mr. Drinkwater indicated he thought three lights would be enough and might help alleviate the vandalism that was occurring in that area.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to investigate the area with a VEPCO representative to determine where street lights could be placed and report this information to the Board for their consideration.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF TAX EXEMPT LANDS

Mr. Sid Mayes advised the Board he had found there was an ordinance that allowed civic organizations to own five acres of land tax-free and up to ten acres if approved by the local governing body. He indicated the portion of his property being sold to the Elks Club would be approximately 7½ to 8 acres because of its odd shape in the back. He distributed a list of other organizations who own more than five acres and are not taxed on it; therefore, it did not appear that the ordinance had been adhered to in the past.

Mr. Robertson asked how much land is tax exempt now.

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, indicated they had just found out about the ordinance and were checking into it. He added alot depends upon what use is made of the land. He stated some holdings over five acres have been on the books for years.

Mr. Mayes stated he was bringing this to the Board because whether they decided to go back and tax all these organizations over five acres or continue to exempt them, he would like for the Elks Club to be treated the same.

Mr. Robertson felt if no action was taken, treatment of these organizations would remain status quo.

Mr. Hargrave indicated a need to take action on those organizations who hold acreage between five and ten acres to meet the requirements of the ordinance.

Mr. Robertson asked if no action were taken would the Elks be treated differently.

The County Attorney stated the question was whether the organization could own land over five acres. He indicated the law states that the trustees cannot hold title to land over five acres without an ordinance allowing it.

Mr. Hargrave said the issue should be cleared up.

Mr. Bennett asked if the Code requires these organizations be tax exempt. The County Attorney advised him they have to be tax-exempt; however, they cannot own more than five acres without action by the Board.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the County Attorney draft an ordinance for the Board's consideration for advertisement at the next meeting. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voted "aye".

IN RE: TRASH COLLECTION SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator asked the Board to review the specifications he distributed for trash collection and let him know of any changes needed. He indicated there was one item to be added and he hoped to have the package ready for consideration for bidding at the April 7, 1982 meeting.

IN RE: APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION--RESIGNATION OF DONALD WILLIAMS

Mr. Weber advised the Board he had talked to Mr. Donald Williams concerning his appointment to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation and since he found he was unable to attend the meetings, he felt he should resign from the organization.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Board accepted the resignation of Mr. Donald Williams from the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Mr. Weber nominated Mr. Frank Freudig to replace Mr. Donald Williams on the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation. Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", Mr. Frank Freudig was appointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, term expiring September 30, 1982.

IN RE: LOCAL GOVERNMENT INTERNSHIP

Mr. Robertson advised the Board he had talked with Mr. John Saunders concerning the Local Government internship for high school students and Mr. Saunders felt they should wait and submit a project next year. Mr. Robertson stated he would keep the Board posted on their progress.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--ST. JOHN'S CATHOLIC CHURCH

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, St. John's Catholic Church has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle Permit for calendar year 1982; and

WHEREAS, the Church meets the requirements as set forth in Section 18.1-340 of the Code of Virginia and has filed the required \$10.00 fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the St. John's Catholic Church is hereby granted a Bingo & Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1982.

IN RE EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6), the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:45 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:29 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., Tuesday, March 23, 1982.

MARCH 23, 1982--CONTINUATION OF MARCH 17, 1982 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:32 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 10:10 P.M.

IN RE: HISTORICAL DISPLAY COMMITTEE

Ms. Wendy Quesenberry advised the Board that the Historical Display Committee met on Thursday afternoon and felt they needed guidance from the Board as to what their mission was. She explained that the Committee needed to know whether the Board wanted them to only consider displaying the items the County had already been given or come up with some guidelines for accepting items in the future.

Mr. Hargrave stated it had been his intent for the Committee to design a display case to house the items the County already had, which will be compatible with the building. He felt the items displayed should be limited to those that have historical significance to the County.

After a brief discussion, the Board instructed Ms. Quesenberry to ask the Committee to come up with some recommendations on displaying these items for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 7:30 P.M., March 31, 1982.

MARCH 31, 1982--CONTINUATION OF MARCH 23, 1982 MEETING--7:30 P.M.

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| PRESENT: | G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN | ELECTION DISTRICT #2 |
| | G.S. BENNETT, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #1 |
| | M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. | ELECTION DISTRICT #3 |
| | A.S. CLAY | ELECTION DISTRICT #4 |

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:35 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 8:00 P.M.

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend William F. Mahon, Pastor, Ocran United Me-

thodist Church, gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF 1982-83 SCHOOL BOARD BUDGET

Mr. Robertson opened the discussion of the 1982-83 School Board budget with the following statement:

"I would like to take this opportunity to welcome you to our meeting. Your presence shows that democracy is at work. We would like to see you more often.

After last Tuesday's meeting (3/23/82) I felt good. I felt that we accomplished the following:

1. We relayed to the citizens of Dinwiddie County that with high unemployment, fixed incomes, reduced federal and state appropriations and uncertain economy, the Board of Supervisors, would not, I repeat, would not increase taxes for 1982-83 and hopefully 1983-84, thus showing compassion for the times that we are living in.
2. We informed the department heads that the citizens of Dinwiddie are living with reduced incomes and they feel that government should not go first class when they are not able to go first class. Therefore, department heads were told that the Board of Supervisors would not fund increase above the 1981-82 spending level.
3. The School Board was informed that local dollars to them would remain the same as shown in the 1981-82 school budget. We expect that the School Board will receive approximately \$400,000 additional money from the State during 1982-83. This would give the School Board an opportunity to increase the salaries of teachers and or support personnel by between 6 and 8%. \$400,000 divided by 300 teachers would mean about \$1,300 per teacher, including fringe benefits of approximately 18%. If the average salary of teachers is \$14,400, that would mean about a 9% salary increase (including fringe benefits). If you divide \$400,000 by 500 teachers and support personnel, that would mean an increase of approximately 6% (including fringe benefits. If, the inflation rate of 3.7% (as stated in the media) is correct, then the 9% increase would mean that teachers are 5.3% ahead of last year when they were given an increase of 9% with double digit inflation. They were going backwards by 2 or 3% depending upon the inflation rate. A 2.3% difference if the increase was 6%.

The Board of Supervisors does not have control over how the School Board appropriates the money. We can only state how much the citizens of Dinwiddie County will appropriate. The Board of Supervisors urges the School Board to maintain the same level of spending in 1982-83-84 as they had in 1981-82 and to use additional monies received from the state to increase salaries of teachers and/or support personnel.

Should the economy improve, I feel certain that the Board of Supervisors will take appropriate action, as needed, to correct any deficiencies.

Thank you."

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, presented the 1982-83 school board budget, highlighting the major changes. Dr. Vaughn indicated that he had received additional information since his discussion with Mr. Robertson and he was glad he had an opportunity to present these facts to the Board at this time.

Keeping all other increases to a minimum, Dr. Vaughn stated that the School Board would need an additional local appropriation of \$369,580 to be able to give the school personnel a 10% increase in salary as suggested by the General Assembly in the Appropriations Act.

The following people spoke in support of the School Board budget: Mrs. Lillian Stewart, Dinwiddie Junior High; Mr. Sylvester Stanley, Sunnyside Elementary; Julia Summey, Dinwiddie Education Association, and Reverend William F. Mahon of Sutherland.

IN RE: AUDIT SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator presented specifications for the Board of Supervisors to review for bidding the County's annual audit.

The Chairman instructed him to place the specifications on the April 7, 1982 agenda.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:15 P.M.


G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:


W.C. KNOTT