

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF APRIL, 1982 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT: G.E. ROBERTSON, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2
STEVE WEBER, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY ELECTION DISTRICT #4

L.G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY

ABSENT: G.S. BENNETT, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #1
C.L. MITCHELL SHERIFF

IN RE: INVOCATION

The Reverend Wiley P. Wallace, Pastor, Central Baptist Church gave the Invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the minutes of the March 17, 1982 regular meeting, the March 23, 1982 continued meeting and the March 31, 1982 continued meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved:

General Fund checks-numbering 82-553 through 82-642 amounting to \$97,254.80.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF FORMULA FOR FINANCING LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Mr. Charles Thomas, Administrator, Crater Health District, and Mr. Tom Williams, Administrative Director of the Division of Community Health Services, appeared before the Board to review and answer any questions they might have concerning the State's formula for funding local health departments.

Mr. Williams advised the Board that the formula was set in 1954 as a gentleman's agreement without legislation. He indicated the General Assembly had looked at the formula for possible legislative action for revision; however, it had been set aside for study. He further indicated that a task force had studied the formula and had come up with a different approach but no action has been taken.

Mr. Robertson asked if the 8.15 % increase in the local share was due to the reassessment since the formula is based on estimated true value. Mr. Williams stated it was the main reason for the increase.

Mr. Hargrave stated that there was a range of least valuable to the most valuable real estate used in the formula and the State has put a cap on the most valuable. Therefore, the county's values are steadily approaching the cap, thereby increasing the local share and lowering the state's percentage share. Mr. Williams and Mr. Thomas agreed with that analysis.

Mr. Weber stated that he was concerned with the programs mandated by the State for which no funds were provided.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of March, 1982.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR MORE ROAD CHECKS FOR COUNTY STICKERS

Mr. Weber stated that he would like to see the Sheriff's Department hold more road checks for county stickers. The County Administrator was instructed to pass this request on to the Sheriff.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of March, 1982.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

Mr. L.A. Brooks, Jr. presented his report for the month of March, 1982.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley advised the Board that her department was out of the fuel and cheese business and she felt both programs turned out well.

IN RE: VIRGINIA PROGRAM--AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the County of Dinwiddie is eligible to participate as a potential employer for college students desiring employment during the summer; and

WHEREAS, these students will be referred to the County through the Virginia Program from colleges throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, these students will be from Dinwiddie County at a cost of 33% of the student's gross wages with Workmen's Compensation provided by the Virginia Program; and

WHEREAS, the School Board has expressed a need for one (1) student at the Senior High;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that application be made to the Virginia Program to have the County designated as a potential employer for college students for the period June 1 - August 20, 1982.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO REPAIR ROOFS WITH BOND FUNDS

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to use the remaining bond funds to make needed roof repairs on the schools. He indicated there was approximately \$79,000 left to make these needed repairs.

Mr. Robertson asked if the \$79,000 would be enough to make the repairs needed. Dr. Vaughn stated Mr. Soloe was collecting estimates on the work to be done and then priorities would have to be set to use the funds as long as they lasted. Mr. Hargrave asked if these repairs were included in the items outlined for the bond issue. Dr. Vaughn stated these repairs were in addition to those outlined in the request for the bond issue.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the Superintendent of Schools was authorized to use funds left in the bond issue to make needed roof repairs on the schools.

IN RE: PROJECT TO ESTABLISH YOUTH AND COMMUNITY SERVICES OFFICE

Mrs. Diane Galbreath, Director, Community Education, appeared before the Board to present a project that would establish an office on Youth and Community Services. She stated that she had found a source of funding through a grant from the Department

of Corrections through the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act that would provide for the expansion of the community education program for youth. She estimated the total project cost to be \$40,000 of which \$10,000 would be a local match. Mrs. Galbreath stated that there would be enough cash and local services in the Community Education program to make the local match required.

Mrs. Galbreath stated there were three requirements if the Board approved the project application:

1. A resolution must be adopted by the Board.
2. A Youth Commission must be established and 20 members appointed.
3. The County must be the agency that applies for the funds.

Mr. Robertson asked if there was a deadline. Mrs. Galbreath stated the application must be in by April 30, 1982.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the grant would require the development of a statement of delinquency problems in the county since there would be competition with other localities for the money. Mrs. Galbreath advised him there would be competition but the award would not be to the locality who had the greatest number of delinquency problems. Dr. Vaughn indicated that a lot of the youth needs had already been developed by the Youth Services Committee that has been functioning in the County prior to this time.

Mr. Robertson asked if the program would need matching funds from the County. Mrs. Galbreath stated that there would be enough funds in the Community Education program.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the recreation funds be looked at for possible match for the program.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors is committed to having recognized, developed, and delivered to youth such services in Dinwiddie County that are designed to improve the quality of life for youth and prevent delinquency; and

WHEREAS, citizen participation is acknowledged as necessary and beneficial to the planning, development, and coordination of services for youth; and

WHEREAS, the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act passed by the State Legislature in 1979 endorses the establishment of a Youth Services Board;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia,

1. That there be established a Youth and Community Services which shall derive its authority from the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County. The Youth and Community Services Board shall function in an advisory capacity in the establishment of the Youth and Community Services in compliance with minimum standards for the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act of 1979 and local requirements of Dinwiddie County.

2. Appointment to the Youth and Community Services Board shall be by the governing body.

- a. Youth and Community Services Board members shall be comprised of at least one-half citizens representation, including two youth with the remaining representation from youth servicing agencies.

3. The responsibilities of the Youth and Community Services

Board shall include but not be limited to:

- a. Assisting in establishing goals and objectives.
- b. Encouraging any additions, deletions, or changes in laws, policies and procedures that will improve community conditions for youth development.
- c. Providing a public forum where concerns about youth can be expressed.
- d. Presenting an annual report to the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County regarding the progress and development of coordinated services to youth.

4. Youth and Community Services Board shall elect its own officers and establish its own by-laws in compliance with all minimum standards for the Delinquency Prevention and Youth Development Act of 1979 and local requirements of Dinwiddie County.

5. Youth and Community Services Board members shall serve for a term of no less than three years with youth serving a term no more than one year. Initial appointment shall be staggered to insure that not all terms expire at the same time.

6. The Dinwiddie County Administrator shall delegate responsibility for the administration of Youth and Community Services to the Dinwiddie County School Board. Youth and Community Services staff shall be responsible for carrying out the objectives set down by the Youth and Community Services Board with the approval of the School Board.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. B.C. Medlock, Assistant Resident Engineer, VDH&T, appeared before the Board to answer any questions they might have.

Mr. Weber asked about the work done on the bridge over the N&W railroad on U.S. #1. Mr. Medlock stated that the work done was a temporary measure and the weight restriction would be kept on the bridge until it could be rebuilt. He added they hoped the reconstruction could be done the latter part of the year.

IN RE: SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL--INTENT TO NEGOTIATE

The County Administrator presented a resolution for the Board's consideration to indicate which firm they would negotiate with for disposal of the county's solid waste. He stated that both companies had made presentations and they now needed some type of indication from the Board as to if the County was willing to contract out its solid waste disposal and, if so, with what firm so they could complete their financial studies. He clearly stated that adoption of the resolution would in no way obligate the Board to either firm.

Mr. Hargrave stated that his concern was that the tipping fee not exceed the true cost of disposal.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there have been described to the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia (the "County"), plans of Tri-City Recycling, Inc., a Virginia corporation ("Tri-City"), to construct and equip a facility for the processing of municipal solid wastes; and

WHEREAS, Tri-City in its appearance before the County has described the benefits to the County of a contract for processing of municipal solid wastes generated and collected within the County;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia:

1. That it is hereby found and determined that the construction and equipping of a facility for the processing of municipal solid wastes would provide a valuable service to the provision of municipal services by the County of Dinwiddie.

2. That the County Administrator is hereby authorized to negotiate a proposed contract between the County and Tri-City which would obligate Tri-City to receive and process municipal solid wastes generated and collected by the County; such contract to contain such other terms and conditions as may be required by the County and Tri-City and to become effective upon receipt of written notice from Tri-City to the County that the facilities have been completed and are ready to accept municipal solid wastes for processing. The County Administrator shall submit the final contract to the Board of Supervisors for its approval, and thereupon the Chairman of the Board shall have authority to sign the contract on behalf of the County.

3. That nothing contained in this resolution shall be taken to preclude the County Administrator from exercising his general authority to negotiate with any other party for the provision of similar services; to preclude presentation of such similar contracts to the Board for consideration; or to preclude the Board from directing such negotiations..

IN RE: TRASH COLLECTION SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator presented the specifications for trash collection in their final form for the Board's consideration to request bids. He suggested an addition to the specifications should the Board decide to contract with one of the firms that the contractor be required to purchase the equipment no longer needed by the County for trash collection.

Mr. Robertson stated that he was concerned the specifications were too restrictive.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that the specifications needed to be tight in order to be assured of a quality service. He further suggested the Board might want to consider selling the buckets also. The County Administrator said the buckets had been considered; however the cost would be \$120,000 and if the contracting firm did not receive the contract again, he would be left holding a considerable investment. The contractor would also have to amortize the cost of the buckets which would unduly influence the bid.

Mr. Robertson asked if the County would be responsible for repairing or replacing the buckets. The County Administrator stated the County would put the buckets at the site and the contractor would be responsible for maintaining the site and for repair of the buckets.

Mr. Hargrave asked about including a provision for buckets for special events. The County Administrator indicated a paragraph could be added to take care of special events. Mr. Clay suggested the individual holding the special event could negotiate directly with the contractor.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that the decision to bid the trash collection be postponed until a decision was made on contracting with a firm for solid waste disposal.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator add a paragraph to the specifications for trash collection to cover providing for trash collection at special events; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of.

Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the decision to request bids for trash collection be postponed.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AUDIT SPECIFICATIONS

The County Administrator presented specifications for the Board's consideration to request bids on the County's annual audit.

Mr. Hargrave stated that by bidding the audit he did not want to imply that the County was obligated to take the lowest bid.

The County Administrator advised him that the specifications state that the County reserves the right to reject any and all bids.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the specifications for the annual audit be approved as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the County Administrator be instructed to request bids on the annual audit for the Board's consideration.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE DEALING WITH LAND HOLDINGS OF BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATIONS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to advertise amendment A-82-4, dealing with land holdings of benevolent associations for a public hearing to be held May 5, 1982.

IN RE: RECOGNITION OF CLASS STUDYING DINWIDDIE COUNTY

The Chairman recognized Mrs. Kathy Young and Mrs. Freda Byrd and their class of students in grades 4-7 selected to participate in a class in "Learning About Dinwiddie County". He then took the time to explain what action had been taken on the items listed on the agenda.

IN RE: FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION--REQUEST FOR FUNDING FOR SUMMER EMPLOYMENT

The Chairman read a letter from Mrs. Gladys Holland, County Supervisor for the FHA Petersburg office, requesting funding for a student worker for employment in the FHA office for the summer months. Mr. Weber stated that he felt the agency should fund its own personnel and the Board agreed that they should not become involved in the funding of personnel in a federal agency.

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the request to fund summer employment for the Farmers Home Administration Office was denied.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) and (6), the Board moved into Executive Session at 3:38 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:35 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Weber, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson voting "aye", the meeting adjourned until 7:30 P.M., April 13, 1982.