

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 7TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1984 AT 2:00 P.M.

PRESENT: G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #1
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #3
H.L. CLAY, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #2
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #2
A.S. CLAY ELECTION DISTRICT #4

L.G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY
B.M. HEATH SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the minutes of the October 17, 1984 regular meeting, the October 22, 1984 special meeting and the November 5, 1984 special meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and the funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 84-2188 through 84-2273 amounting to \$98,262.66.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mr. W.E. Jones presented his report for the month of September, 1984.

IN RE: APPROVAL OF AGENTS TO SELL DOG TAGS

Mr. William E. Jones, Treasurer, appeared before the Board to request approval of the businesses and individuals that will act as agents for the Treasurer to sell dog tags.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following businesses and individuals are authorized to act as agents for the Treasurer to sell dog tags:

Bolster's Store - D.M. Barnes
Country Hardware - George Williams
Edgehill Supermarket - Louis Dowdy
Wallaces's Store - Robert Wallace
Baltimore Corner Store - John W. Bishop

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of September, 1984.

IN RE: BUILDING INSPECTOR--VEHICLE REPAIR

The County Administrator advised the Board that the Building Inspector's vehicle has 97,000 miles on it. In addition,

the engine is knocking, the shocks need replacing and some front end work is needed.

After discussions with the Building Inspector, the County Administrator stated that they recommend to the Board that the engine be replaced or rebuilt, the shocks be replaced, the front end work be done along with other things that are needed as the vehicle is checked out rather than buying a new vehicle. A new vehicle was budgeted for either the Building Inspector or the Animal Warden.

The County Administrator further stated there are funds in the budget for these repairs. The vehicle is still in good enough shape to drive at the present time.

Mr. Hargrave suggested that all the repairs needed be itemized and written down.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to solicit prices on the repairs needed to the Building Inspector's vehicle for consideration by the Board.

IN RE: POULTRY CLAIM--T.J. ROBINSON

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", Mr. T.J. Robinson was awarded \$48 for four (4) turkeys and \$80 for sixteen (16) chickens.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN--AUTHORIZATION TO SECURE BIDS FOR NEW VEHICLE

The County Administrator advised the Board that the mileage on the Animal Warden's vehicle is 95,000 miles and the truck has been expensive to maintain. A considerable amount has been spent during the last 12 months to prolong the life of the truck and it needs transmission work now.

He, therefore, recommended that the truck be replaced.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to secure bids for a new vehicle for the Animal Warden.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION

Mr. MacFarland Neblett, Resident Engineer, Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation, appeared before the Board to update them on projects within the County and to answer any questions they might have.

Mrs. Delores Parlow presented a petition requesting that Rt. 715 be hard-surfaced. She stated there are two sharp curves in the road that are very dangerous and when it rains, the school bus gets stuck. She stated if anything happened to her children while they are on the bus on that road she was holding the Highway Department and the County responsible for it.

Mr. Neblett stated the road is listed as number 5 on the traffic count, and if sufficient right-of-way can be obtained, they hoped to widen the road.

Mr. A. Clay asked what the chances are of widening the two bad curves now. Mr. Neblett said depending on getting sufficient right-of-way, the two curves possibly could be handled as an incidental with the idea it could be worked into the Six-Year Plan, since it is 5th on the list with a road count of 111 vehicles per day. The Six-Year Plan will be revised in the Fall of 1985 and Mr. Neblett stated he would work to

secure the necessary right-of-way for consideration of the road at that time.

Mr. A. Clay stated they could work on getting the right-of-way and improving the curves now until such time the road could be considered for hard-surfacing.

Mr. Neblett urged the residents to make their desires known at the public hearings when the Board considers revision of the Six-Year Plan.

Mr. Hargrave asked about the progress on Rt. 666. Mr. Neblett stated they were talking with the residents in that area to secure right-of-ways.

Mr. Robertson stated that no improvements have been made by the railroad on the Rt. 672 bridge and asked that the Resident Engineer contact them again.

Mr. Robertson asked about the progress on closing through truck traffic on Rt. 1310. Mr. Neblett stated they received the resolution and it has been sent to the Traffic and Safety District Engineer.

IN RE: MCKENNEY FIRE TRUCK REPAIRS

Since there was no representation from the Town of McKenney at this meeting, discussion was postponed.

IN RE: RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE DESIGNATION OF THE CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION AS AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, improved economic conditions continue to be a goal of the localities in the Crater Planning District; and

WHEREAS, localities in the Crater Planning District are eligible for funding through programs administered by the Economic Development Administration; and

WHEREAS, the Economic Development Administration makes its assistance available through designated economic development districts; and

WHEREAS, on March 28, 1984, the Crater Planning District Commission unanimously agreed to pursue designation of the Planning District as an economic development district; and

WHEREAS, on June 18, 1984, the Governor requested that the Economic Development Administration designate the Crater Planning District as an economic development district, to assist the member localities in obtaining funds to address their economic problems; and

WHEREAS, as a part of the designation process, local governments within the proposed economic development district must formally endorse the district designation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia hereby recognizes the benefits of economic development district designation for the Crater Planning District and wholeheartedly supports the Planning District Commission's economic development program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that this resolution be submitted

to the United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration in support of the Crater Planning District Commission's request for economic development district designation.

IN RE: RENOVATION/REPAIR COUNTY BUILDINGS--ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SERVICES

The Building Committee, consisting of Mr. Hargrave, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Knott, and Mrs. Quesenberry, met on several occasions with the firm of Hayes, Seay, Mattern and Mattern to discuss the repairs and renovation work needed on the various county buildings and what services they could provide the County.

Mr. Hargrave, reporting for the Committee, felt the Committee agreed on the following repairs in order of priority:

1. The three roofs should be replaced -- Clerk's Office, Social Services Building and Eastside School.
2. A study should be made to allow the Board to understand the heating, air conditioning, and renovation needs of the Health Building and Eastside School.
3. Additional space and bathroom for the Clerk's Office.

He added that someone is needed to oversee the quality of the work done according to specifications.

Mr. William G. Porter, Branch Manager, Hayes, Seay, Mattern and Mattern, briefly reviewed their proposal and what services would be provided at a total cost of \$46,900.

Mr. Hargrave stated he could recommend the total package if the rest of the Board was serious about the addition to the Clerk's Office.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt something ought to be done. He hated to spend \$46,000 in fees but the County does not have qualified people to design the building and the work has to be done. He then moved that they accept the recommendation of the Building Committee and take care of the work as soon as possible.

Mr. Hargrave again stated that he could recommend the whole package if the Board is serious about the Clerk's Office. He added that there was no positive feedback from the Clerk on the use of microfilm. He stated it was not negative but she sincerely did not feel it was workable.

Mrs. Annie L. Williams, Clerk, was present. She stated that the Clerk's Office has a reader-printer now but the lawyers will not use it. She added that there is not enough room and the machines stay broken down.

Mr. Bennett asked Mrs. Williams if she felt the proposed addition would provide enough space. She stated she hoped so.

Mr. H. Clay stated he also could recommend the total package if the Board is serious about the Clerk's addition.

Mr. Bennett stated he was aware of the space problem and the need for a bathroom. He also felt it is a lot of money to spend but the outside help is needed and he was in favor of the addition.

Mr. A. Clay stated he had no problem with the addition. He had thought before that the County could do the work. He agreed that the cost is awfully high.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave,

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to have a contract drawn with the firm of Hayes, Seay, Mattern and Mattern for the following services:

CLERK'S OFFICE - Roof & Addition
EASTSIDE SCHOOL - Roof
SOCIAL SERVICES - Roof

Total \$35,000

EASTSIDE - Structural & HVAC,
Renovation - Study \$ 3,900

HEALTH BLDG. - Structural & HVAC
Renovation - Study \$ 8,000

Total \$11,000

Total All Services \$46,900

IN RE: UNITED WAY OF SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA, INC.

Mr. Willie Edlow, Executive Director, United Way of Southside Virginia, appeared before the Board to bring them up-to-date on the services provided by the United Way organization and to request that they support their fund drive by encouraging employee contributions. He added that payroll deductions was a good method to organize donations. He stated that organized support is needed and he appreciated the efforts that have been made. He added that the Chairman, Mr. Bennett, has been asked to serve on a committee to decide on how the funds received will be handled.

Mr. Hargrave stated he was involved at work and felt it was a worthwhile program. Mr. H. Clay stated he was also involved where he worked. He questioned the balance of donations and services received by the county. Mr. Edlow stated he did not have those exact figures with him. Mr. H. Clay asked if payroll deductions were possible. The County Administrator stated the County is not set up to handle payroll deductions because everything is done manually. However, the School Board could.

Mr. Edlow stated they were set up to bill an individual if they would indicate so on their contribution form. But he wanted to keep the contributions on a voluntary basis.

IN RE: TRASH DUMPSTER LOCATION--NORTHERN END OF THE COUNTY

The County Administrator stated that at a previous meeting, he discussed a new trash location for the Northern end of the County to replace the one at St. John's. At that time, the Board questioned the amount of money involved to develop the site. He stated he and the Director of Sanitation have been working for the past 2 or 3 years to establish a location for the Northern end like the one on Rt. 460.

John Loftis has negotiated with Mrs. E.H. Barrow for a one acre location on her property on Rt. 613 near Rt. 672. She will require that should she request, the trash containers must be moved within a two-week period.

The cost of preparing this site is between \$3,000 to \$5,000. At present, the County has no contract with land-owners nor does it pay for any of its trash locations. The two-week notification period and the \$3,000 to \$5,000 investment for site improvement raised some question as to the wisdom of proceeding. However, a study of the situation reveals the cans will remain in place for many months.

This site will be developed by bulldozing the area and hauling away the debris, grading, putting down rock and fencing the area. In discussion with the landowner, she is very concerned about the type of fence to be constructed. A chain link fence and a wooden fence were discussed with no final determination on the one to be used.

A long term contract is out of the question and should one be requested, it would not be granted.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there was any way to reach an agreement to give the county a little longer advance notice if the owner decides to change the use of the land.

The County Administrator stated it is difficult to get added concessions when the County is not paying for the land.

Mr. Robertson stated he felt these points could still be mentioned to the landowner. It has been very inconvenient to the citizens in that area.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administrator and Director of Sanitation be authorized to develop the site presented for a new dumpster location in the Northern end of the County. Mr. H. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. A. Clay stated that this is a situation when the landowner could change quickly and he was opposed to spending \$3,000 to \$5,000 on a two-week notice. He did not think it was good business and would rather see the County purchase land.

Mr. Loftis, Director of Sanitation, stated he felt in 5 to 6 years, someone will want to use the property and he didn't think the County could buy 1/2 to 1 acre of land in that area for trash dumpsters.

Mr. Robertson stated all the trash locations are on a voluntary basis now and he did not feel the County should start purchasing property.

Mr. Hargrave asked if the better fence was needed. Mr. Loftis stated he felt it was and it could be moved if needed.

Mr. Bennett stated he felt the trash boxes are the best thing the County has implemented. Everybody wants them but no one wants the boxes to be located near them. He wished the people who use them would be more considerate.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voted "aye". Mr. A. Clay voted "nay".

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENTS--APPOMATTOX BASIN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following individuals were reappointed to the Appomattox Basin Industrial Development Corporation, terms expiring September 30, 1985:

John O. Crawley, Frank Freudig, Fred Sahl, Melvin Alsbrook, M.I. Hargrave, Jr., and James Thrower.

IN RE: CABLETELEVISION--RESOLUTION TO REVOKE FRANCHISE

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted November 2, 1983, by

the Dinwiddie County Board of Supervisors, Crater General Communications, Inc. was awarded a cabletelevision franchise for Dinwiddie County, Virginia upon the meeting of certain contingencies; and

WHEREAS, by letter dated October 17, 1984, from Paul C. Bland on behalf of Crater General Communications, Inc., said franchisee indicated its inability to comply with the terms of said franchise and requests the County to seek other companies;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that said cabletelevision franchise conditionally awarded to Crater General Communications, Inc. on November 2, 1983, be and hereby is revoked.

Mr. Robertson stated he was disappointed that this has happened. He questioned the use of the words "inability to comply with the terms of the franchise", because he felt it was a question of the financial capability of the franchisee. The County Attorney stated that the financial requirement was a part of the franchise. Mr. Robertson stated the County did everything it could to make cabletelevision available and did not want it to appear the County's requirements were to blame for the project not going forward.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF FENCE LAW

Mr. A. Clay asked the County Attorney if there was a fence law in Dinwiddie County that would deal with cattle trespassing on other people's property.

The County Attorney stated that there is no law now but the County can adopt an ordinance requiring animals to be kept fenced up. Now, there is no liability on the owner for any damages unless it can be proven he is negligent.

Mr. Hargrave asked if it was a common law among counties. The County Attorney stated probably not. The fence law puts a more absolute liability on the owner of the animal.

Mr. A. Clay asked about catching the animal and charging the owner for its keep. The County Attorney stated that could be done.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMIT--MIDWAY PTO

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

Whereas, the Midway PTO has made application to the Board of Supervisors for a Bingo & Raffle permit for the calendar year 1984; and

WHEREAS, the Midway PTO has paid the \$10.00 fee and meets the requirements of the State Code of Virginia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that a Bingo & Raffle Permit be granted for the Midway PTO for the calendar year 1984.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board material for this meeting:

1. Summary of New Hardsurfacing Funds - Dinwiddie Co.
2. Letter from Norman Sisisky concerning H.R. 6027

which will protect localities from paying damage awards in antitrust cases.

3. Letter from Virginians for Returnables, Inc. requesting the Board's support in the campaign against litter and waste disposal costs.

4. Letter from the District 19 Mental Health and Mental Retardation Services Board concerning their second annual legislative meeting.

5. Memorandum from Jill Pope, Va. Assoc. of Counties, concerning a study of JLARC recommendations on Highway Program Financing; Public Hearings.

6. A letter from the National Organization on Disability asking that an individual be appointed by the Board as a liaison to the Organization.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 4:30 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 4:52 P.M.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 4:53 P.M.



G.S. BENNETT, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: 

W.E. KNOTT