

VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 1985 AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT: M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #3
A.S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #4
G.S. BENNETT, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #1
H.L. CLAY, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #2
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. ELECTION DISTRICT #2

L.G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY
B.M. HEATH SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the minutes of the February 6, 1985 meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same:

General Fund checks-numbering 85-199 through 85-329 amounting to \$101,657.56; Library Fund check #LF-85-2 in the amount of \$90.50; County Construction Fund check #CCF-85-2 in the amount of \$1,924.00.

IN RE: ROOF REPAIR BIDS--AWARD OF CONTRACT

Mr. Michael Perry, Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, appeared before the Board to present the bids received on roof repair for the Circuit Court Clerk's Office, Social Services Building and East-side Elementary School as follows:

	Clerk's Office	Flat Roofs
1. Brown Bros. Roofing	\$13,000	No Bid
2. Hertless Bros, Inc.	No Bid	\$63,000
3. Roofing Concepts, Inc.	\$ 9,737	\$73,381
4. Valley Roofing & Maint., Inc.	\$10,300	\$74,300

Mr. Perry stated he investigated the background of the low bidders. He found the background of Hertless to be good and recommended approval of their bid for the flat roofs of \$63,000. He indicated Roofing Concepts, Inc. has been in business for one year and had excellent references. He, therefore, recommended their bid of \$9,737 for the Clerk's Office.

Mr. Hargrave asked how the bids compare to the original estimates. Gil Carpenter, architect with Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, stated the original estimate on the Clerk's Office was \$9,000 and the bids were within \$5,000 of the flat roof estimate.

Mr. Robertson asked if they knew the difference in the two roofs included in the flat roof bids. Mr. Perry stated no, because they were put out as one bid. They would have to be bid again to separate the two.

Mr. H. Clay moved that the low bid of Hertless Bros. Inc. for \$63,000 be accepted for the flat roofs and the low bid of Roofing Concepts for \$9,737 be accepted for the Clerk's Office. Mr. Bennett seconded the motion.

Mr. Robertson stated that he felt a need for clarification on a legal issue in Executive Session before voting. The County Attorney stated the Board could move into Executive Session while another motion was on the floor.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 7:43 P.M. to discuss legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 7:50 P.M.

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the contract for repair of the flat roofs, Social Services Building and Eastside Elementary School, was awarded to Hertless Bros. for \$63,000 and the contract for the Clerk's Office roof repair was awarded to Roofing Concepts, Inc. for \$9,737.00.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING--C-85-1--CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR
BRAD MATTHEWS

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, February 6, 1985 and Wednesday, February 13, 1985 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to consider for approval a conditional use permit submitted by R. Brad Matthews seeking to establish a vehicle salvage yard on approximately 20 acres designated by the tax maps as Section 30, Parcel 59. Said parcel is located on the north side of Route 613, east of I-85.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, reviewed the material with the Board. The Planning Commission recommended approval at their February 13, 1985 meeting with the following conditions:

1. A minimum buffer of fifty (50') feet shall be maintained around the entire property with the exception of the frontage along Route 613 which shall have a minimum buffer of 75 feet. Said buffer shall retain natural growth or be replaced by adequate plantings of evergreen trees.

2. The vehicles stored on the premises must not be visible from any public road. If necessary, a fence shall be erected which shall screen the vehicle storage from public view.

Mr. Scheid stated that the actual acreage is 26 acres. He added that the Planning Commission was very concerned about visibility of the site to the travelling public.

The applicants, R. Brad Matthews and Steve Lunsford, were present along with the real estate agent, Mr. George Robinson, who represented the landowner, M. Greenway Harrison.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there is an endorsement from the landowner. Mr. Scheid stated Mr. Robinson is the real estate agent and he felt the law allows the agent to act for the landowner on a conditional use permit. The County Attorney indicated this is allowable.

The applicants, R. Brad Matthews and Steve Lunsford, appeared in support of their rezoning request.

Mr. James F. Hubbard, representing Eastern Motel Brokers, appeared in opposition. Mr. Hubbard stated his firm owns property on the opposite side of Rt. 613 and were looking at it for the possible location of a warehouse for industrial purposes. He did not feel he would pursue it, however, if a salvage yard is allowed on the property opposite him. He felt if the Board allows the salvage yard, it will come back to haunt them.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Hubbard how the salvage yard would affect the use of his property with the establishment of a buffer zone.

Mr. Hubbard indicated that he felt a buffer zone is worthless. It usually becomes a collection area for junk.

Mr. Matthews stated they intended to plant evergreens in the buffer area. Mr. Lunsford stated they would start their work in the back because they realized it will take time for the evergreens to grow. He added the property is already zoned for a salvage yard and there is a need in the County.

Mr. A. Clay stated he had been concerned about it not being visible from I-85.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Scheid for his comments on the buffer zone not working.

Mr. Scheid stated he was not that familiar with those of a commercial nature.

Mr. Robertson then asked how the buffer zone would be enforced. Mr. Scheid stated the applicants would be notified of a violation and given time to comply. If not, the permit would be revoked and operations ceased.

Mr. Robertson asked Mr. Scheid if he would take literally the applicant's statement of "cannot be seen from the public road". Mr. Scheid indicated he would because of the way the terrain lies. It goes down, and the cars would be stored on the low ground. He added that screening is the key.

Mr. Hargrave asked if there was any discussion of a time limit on the permit for review of the conditions. Mr. Scheid stated there was no discussion. The Planning Commission felt if it was properly enforced, there would be no need for review.

Mr. Lunsford stated the permit only allows 500 cars. That can be done on two acres. The other part will be adequately screened. Mr. Hubbard asked if the Board could restrict the activity to two acres.

Mr. Lunsford stated they bring in the cars, strip them and crush them. They will only clear land as it is needed.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the request of Mr. R. Brad Matthews for a conditional use permit to establish a vehicle salvage yard was approved with the following conditions:

1. A minimum buffer of fifty (50') feet shall be maintained around the entire property with the exception of the frontage along Route 613 which shall have a minimum buffer of 75 feet. Said buffer shall retain natural growth or be replaced by adequate plantings of evergreen trees.

2. The vehicles stored on the premises must not be visible from any public road. If necessary, a fence shall be erected which shall screen the vehicle storage from public view.

IN RE: FIRST SERGEANT RALPH R. FIGGERS--VIRGINIA STATE POLICE

Sgt. Ralph Figgers, Va. State Police, representing the Counties of Dinwiddie, Amelia and Nottoway, appeared before the Board to introduce himself and to offer his assistance whenever needed.

IN RE: RADIO COMMUNICATIONS TOWER--AWARD OF CONTRACT

As requested at the last meeting, Wendy Quesenberry, Administrative Assistant, appeared before the Board to update the information on a new radio communications tower. She stated that the information on locating the tower at the Sheriff's Department had not changed--A Tower, \$26,955; B Tower, \$28,445. At that meeting, an alternative location at the School Bus Maintenance facility had been suggested. Mrs. Quesenberry outlined the following additional costs for that location:

1. Emergency generator - 10,000 kvw - Approx. \$7,000
15,000 kvw - Approx. \$8,000
2. Telephone circuits - 4 needed -
Installation - \$72.10 each
Monthly lease - \$20.50 each

Mrs. Quesenberry added that she recommended the telephone lines remote as a temporary measure only to allow the Board time to set aside funds to eventually switch to microwave. The cost of a microwave system, she stated could run anywhere from \$30,000 to \$50,000.

Ms. Carol Wade, Motorola representative, stated there would be no additional equipment cost from Motorola for the telephone remote.

She also stated that other types of remoting back to the Sheriff's office were investigated, i.e. the 72 Mhz link and the UHF link but she would not recommend either one. Mr. Hargrave asked about the repeater system. Ms. Wade stated that would not be economically feasible because the whole radio system would have to be changed out.

Mr. Charlie Hawkins, C&P representative, was present to comment on the reliability of the telephone lines in the ground. He stated that in the past 13 months, there have been no major cable troubles. He stated he also investigated the office. He indicated the telephone company is changing out all the air core cable, and the office equipment is going to be replaced within the next 12 months.

Mrs. Quesenberry asked about the lines being underground. Mr. Hawkins stated they were underground from Rt. 611 to the Sheriff's office but overhead from 611 to the School Bus Garage. Mrs. Quesenberry stated they would then be susceptible to weather conditions and to someone digging them up.

She then asked Mr. Warren Eib, Communications Officer with the Department of Emergency Services, to comment on the four possible sites and on the microwave alternative.

Mr. Eib briefly commented on the 72 Mhz link and the UHF link and why he would not recommend them to the Board. He stated that microwave was the best alternative, and he would recommend using leased telephone lines only to allow time to finance the microwave dish. He stated that federal and state agencies do not encourage environmentally insecure methods for public safety. He did not mean it as a reflection on the telephone lines. Another consideration is that the telephone company cannot reroute a radio transmit circuit like a regular telephone line and public safety cannot afford any down time.

Mr. Robertson asked if microwave was not vulnerable. Mr. Eib stated all methods are vulnerable but microwave is the best we know now.

As to the possible sites, Mr. Eib stated the trees on Site 1, south of of the jail to the rear, concerned him because of wind and lightning. Site 2, up the hill on the South side, did not provide enough property. On site 3, the North side of the jail, there is a water line and also a sewer line from the old jail. Site 4, the School Bus maintenance facility, provides 50 more feet in elevation and is more central to the County. Also, it would provide for expansion with the School Bus Maintenance use.

Mr. Eib added that with the microwave dish, an antenna would still be needed at the jail, probably 50' or 60' above ground to allow direct interception with the proposed tower site at the school bus maintenance facility.

Mr. Robertson asked if another tower would have to be erected at the Sheriff's office for microwave. Mr. Eib stated you would only take down those sections of the existing tower not needed.

Mr. Hargrave asked about the problems with leaving the base transmitter unattended at the School Bus Maintenance facility.

Mr. Eib stated there were several in the state unattended.

Mr. A. Clay stated that this discussion had started out to be simple. He indicated he would rather see the tower located at the jail.

Mr. Eib stated he was not trying to sell the Board on anything. He just wanted to present the four alternatives. He stated he would recommend the B tower and he understood it would go on the Site 3 location to the North side of the jail. He recommended the B tower for future expansion.

Mr. Hargrave asked what additions there would be in the future to police, fire and rescue needs. Mr. Eib indicated general government services, i.e. dog warden, social services.

Mr. Robertson asked if those uses could be located in the future at a tower at the School Bus Garage. Mr. Eib stated that was a viable alternative.

Mr. Robertson asked if the A tower in the Site 1 location is adequate for the present use and could take one more antenna in the future. Mr. Eib stated that was correct.

Mr. Robertson moved that the proposal for a Zone A tower from Motorola, Inc. be accepted to be located in the Site 1 location which is to the rear of the jail on the south side. Mr. Bennett seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye". Mr. H. Clay abstained.

IN RE: SHERIFF--REQUEST FOR NEW TELEPHONE NUMBERS AND UPGRADING OF EQUIPMENT

Sheriff B.M. Heath appeared before the Board to request authorization to change the emergency telephone number and to upgrade his present telephone equipment. He stated that citizens usually call the Sheriff's office number, 469-7201, rather than the emergency number and will find it busy because there is no ring-down feature. He proposed a new system, the Merlin system from AT&T, with a new number, 469-3755 that has 4 ring-down numbers. This new number would be used for everything. The regular telephone number, 469-7201 and the emergency number, 469-3715 will be retained but not published in case someone dials the old numbers by memory. Also they can be used for calling out.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the difference in cost would be. The County Administrator stated approximately \$71.00 per month.

The Board asked what the Sheriff needed at this time. He indicated he needed authorization to have the number changed now to get it into the new telephone book printing. He added he would also like to move along with the upgrading of the equipment. It was suggested the Board might like to secure other prices on the equipment.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to proceed with the telephone number change to 469-3755 with 4 ring-down numbers.

IN RE: SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT--AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE IN NEW POSITION

A decision on participation in funding of an Urban Erosion Specialist employed by the Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District was postponed at the last meeting.

The Board asked Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, for his comments since he handles erosion and sedimentation control in the County now.

Mr. Scheid stated he felt a little awkward since no one was present from the Conservation district. He stated he did not

want to oppose them but did have some question on the benefits derived as opposed to the system being used now. He didn't think the system used now is terribly inadequate. He stated that he could not say it would not make an improvement to the system if one person did this strictly in four jurisdictions if the individual was also given the power to enforce. He wouldn't hire a person only to review and inspect. He should also have enforcement powers, to include all follow-ups and going to court. He felt the Board has the authority to remove that hat from him and give it to someone else, including the enforcement.

Mr. Bennett asked if the individual would accept it. Mr. Hargrave stated the action of the Board could be contingent upon that.

Mr. Hargrave stated he talked with Paul Myers, Chairman of the District, and he concluded two points from the conversation. One was that the opportunity is here now and may not be later, although the need might not be as great in Dinwiddie County now. The other point was that if the County accepts participation and is not satisfied, they can withdraw.

Mr. A. Clay stated he felt Dinwiddie County would be guaranteed 25%. If the individual was not working in subdivisions, he could help the Soil Conservation Service.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt it was like a grant and the County could recover its \$2500. He added that he would like to see it contingent upon all four localities participating equally.

Mr. Bennett stated he was also concerned with getting locked into it. He questioned the need right now. He added that he did not want to see the four localities fund 100% if the State drops out.

The County Administrator asked if the County's 25% use would be for whatever they needed. Mr. Hargrave stated he felt it could as long as it was in the individual's line of work, i.e. soils.

Mr. Scheid stated the individual could be responsible for the entire Chapter 14A to include review, inspections and pursuing violations.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County agrees to participate in the funding of an Urban Erosion Specialist for the Appomattox River Soil & Water Conservation District contingent upon the following conditions:

1. That the County's funding participation run concurrent with the State's for a period of one year, and that consideration for renewal be based upon the County's understanding of the usefulness of the position and the need after one (1) year's experience.
2. That the other three localities agree to participate equally.
3. That the duties of the individual in relation to the County and the enforcement of the County's soil erosion and sedimentation control ordinance be detailed to the agreement of the County Administrator and Director of Planning, with approval by the Board of Supervisors.

IN RE: POSTPONEMENT OF AWARD OF TRUCK BID--ANIMAL WARDEN

The award of a bid for a new truck for the Animal Warden was postponed pending the gathering of additional information.

IN RE: DINWIDDIE RESCUE SQUAD--SUPPORT OF THIRD VARIANCE REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN TRAINING

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the State Health Department, through the Emergency Medical Services Agency, promulgated rules and regulations effective March 1, 1983 governing emergency medical services throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, Sec. 5.02 sets forth the minimum EMS vehicle personnel requirements which state that the attendant-in-charge shall be a certified Emergency Medical Technician or an equivalent approved by the Commissioner; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie County Ambulance and Rescue Squad was granted a one-year variance in January of 1983 to complete the Emergency Medical Technician training requirements by March 1, 1984 and was granted a second variance ending March 1, 1985; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad has worked towards compliance by training its own instructors, but has been unable to meet the percentage requirements for Emergency Medical Technician trained personnel for both day and night duty; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad is beginning a new course, taught by Dinwiddie Rescue personnel, on February 26, 1985, which will end December 1, 1985; and

WHEREAS, as a result of this course, approximately 15 additional people will be certified towards meeting the requirements of the Emergency Medical Technician training, bringing the total to 80%; and

WHEREAS, because this course is being taught by Dinwiddie Rescue Squad personnel and will not begin until February 26, the Rescue Squad will be unable to meet the March 1, 1985 deadline; and

WHEREAS, the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad is, therefore, requesting a third variance for meeting the EMT training requirements until the end of this course, December 1, 1985;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia supports the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad's request and urges the State Health Commissioner to grant a third variance for Emergency Medical Technician training, ending December 1, 1985.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--PETERSBURG--DINWIDDIE COUNTY AIRPORT & INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. W.C. Scheid was reappointed to the Petersburg-Dinwiddie County Airport & Industrial Authority, term expiring January 31, 1988.

IN RE: ROCHESTER BUTTON COMPANY--RENEWAL OF CONTRACT FOR WASTE DISPOSAL

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign the following agreement with Rochester Button Company for the disposal of waste in the Dinwiddie County Landfill for 1984:

THIS AGREEMENT, made in duplicate this 5th day of January, 1984, effective January 18, 1984, by and between the County of Dinwiddie, Virginia, acting by and through its Board of Supervisors, hereinafter referred to as the County; and Rochester Button Company, its successors or assigns, hereinafter referred to as the Company;

WITNESSETH:

That for and in consideration of the covenants and agreements hereinafter contained to be kept and performed by the respective parties hereto, it is agreed as follows:

1. The County agrees to allow the Company the right to dispose of non-hazardous wastes resulting from the manufacture of buttons at its plant in McKenney, Virginia, in the County Landfill. In addition to its ordinary meaning, "hazardous wastes" shall include any substance so labelled by the Virginia State Board of Health in their rules and regulations.

2. The location within the landfill, manner of disposal and schedule of disposal shall be at the discretion of the County's Director of Sanitation.

3. The Company agrees to cause randomly selected samples of said substance to be submitted to an independent laboratory for analysis and provide the results of such analysis to the County and State Health Departments every four (4) weeks of operation. The County reserves the right to make this provision less restrictive if circumstances warrant.

4. For services provided the Company by the County, the Company agrees to pay the County a fee of \$50.00 in advance. No portion of this fee shall be refunded if this Agreement is terminated through no fault of the County.

5. The term of this Agreement is one year, beginning on the 18th day of January, 1984 and ending on the 18th day of January, 1985.

6. If either party violates any of the terms of this Agreement, the Agreement may be terminated immediately.

7. Company agrees to keep, save and hold County harmless from any and all actions, liabilities, damages, judgments, costs and expense that may be brought or in any wise accrue against County in consequence of this Agreement or for any act, negligence or omission of Company, its agents, subcontractors, employees or workmen, in the performance of this Agreement. Specifically, but not in way of limitation, if at any time it is determined that any substance disposed of by Company is hazardous, Company agrees to remove from County's Landfill and hold County harmless for any liability associated therewith.

8. This writing constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and any changes of any kind whatsoever to the terms of this Agreement shall be in writing approved by the County and Company. This Agreement is to be interpreted and enforced according to laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:

By: DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Chairman

ATTEST: William C. Knott
County Administrator

By: ROCHESTER BUTTON COMPANY

ATTEST: _____; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to sign this same agreement for 1985.

IN RE: OAKHILL SUBDIVISION--APPROVAL OF RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENT FOR INSTALLATION OF PUBLIC WATER & SEWER LINES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett Mr, Hargrave voting "aye", the Chairman was authorized to sign a right-of-way easement for the Dinwiddie County Water Authority to install water and sewer lines in Oakhill Subdivision-Bell Street & Hazel Avenue.

IN RE: MEETING WITH PLANNING COMMISSION

The Chairman indicated he received a letter from the Chairman of the Planning Commission stating they would like to meet with the Board to discuss several items, and had suggested March 6, 1985. The Chairman indicated he would be out of town that week and the Board members agreed they should set a date when all members would be present.

The County Administrator stated the Board also needs to meet to review the personnel system. It was suggested that the Board meet with the personnel consultant on March 14 at 4:00 P.M. and with the Planning Commission for dinner at 6:30 P.M. or 7:00 P.M. The details were left to be worked out by the County Administrator and the Director of Planning.

IN RE: COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE--SLEAC SUGGESTED VALUES FOR LAND USE -- 1981-1985

Mr. W.E. Bolte, Commissioner of Revenue, presented a report on the SLEAC suggested values for Land Use for the years 1981-1985 for the Board's information.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344 (1) and (6) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 10:25 P.M. to discuss personnel and legal matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 11:15 P.M.

IN RE: SHERIFF'S TELEPHONE SYSTEM--UPGRADING OF EQUIPMENT

As presented earlier in the meeting, the Board received information from the Sheriff on upgrading the telephone equipment in his office.

The new system, called the Merlin System provided by AT&T, would result in an increase in the monthly lease of approximately \$71.00. The County Administrator suggested continuing on a lease basis because of the County's plans to install an E911 system in the very near future.

Because the increase in the monthly lease is small and the change in equipment is closely related to the Sheriff's request for a change in the telephone number, the Board felt it to be in the best interest of the County to proceed with the approval of upgrading the telephone equipment at this time as outlined in the proposal presented.

Upon motion of Mr. H. Clay, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the Sheriff was authorized to upgrade the telephone equipment in his office with the proposal submitted by AT&T on a monthly lease basis for \$174.00 per month.

IN RE: AUTHORIZATION TO DRAFT & ADVERTISE ORDINANCE TO RESCIND THE LIMITATION OF TERMS ORDINANCE

Upon motion of Mr. A. Clay, seconded by Mr. H. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the County Administrator was instructed to have the County Attorney draft and to properly advertise for public hearing an ordinance to rescind the limitation of terms ordinance adopted September 17, 1980.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was provided to the Board at this meeting:

1. Designation of Agriculture Week, March 15-21 and Agriculture Day, March 20, 1985 by the Governor.

2. Pre-allocation hearing dates from the Virginia Dept. of Highways and Transportation.

3. Memo from the Director of Planning concerning discussions on acceptance of historic land.

4. Letter from C&P acknowledging the request from the County to implement E911 and what steps are required.

5. Letter from Coalition of Rural Virginia Governments concerning funding.

6. Letter from Campbell County regarding their suit involving HB 599.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. A. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 11:20 P.M.


M.I. HARGRAVE, JR., CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: 
W.E. KNOTT