



JUDGEMENT BOOK

1842-1846

Conserved and electronically formatted in 2015

J. Barrett Chappell, Jr.
Clerk

How to Search Book

1. There is no index for this book. In order to search you will need to view each page. Sorry!

1842 April the 6th

Costs \$6.63

Robert A. Brown assignee of S. Brown
against
Thomas Snowden

Pts. } In Debt
Defts. }

Sept. 9. ap^l 1842

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of September 1840 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.55

William A. Brantow assignee of Herbert Reese (who sues for the benefit of Stephen W. Pool)
against
Simon C. Jackson

Pts. } In Debt
Defts. }

Sept. 9. ap^l 1842

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five hundred and five dollars and fifty eight cents the debt in the declaration mentioned; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and fifty two dollars and seventy nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of October 1835 until paid, and the said Costs. and is to be credited for One hundred dollars paid the 8th day of August 1838, as per endorsement on bond.

Costs \$7.82

Robert W. Chappell & Thomas G. Chappell executors of
John Chappell deceased
against
Stanfield C. Wells & Silvanus Wells

Pts. } In Debt
Defts. }

Sept. 9. ap^l 1842

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Five hundred and eight dollars and forty cents the debt in the declaration mentioned: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and fifty four dollars & twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of January 1839 until paid, and the said Costs.

Costs \$6.55

Thomas W. Clark & Henry Coleman merchants and partners
trading under the name and style of Clark & Coleman
against
William G. Major

Pts. } In Debt
Defts. }

Sept. 9. ap^l 1842

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the deft. the sum of Four hundred and nineteen dollars and twenty one cents with

April 6th 1842

legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of September 1841 till paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.35 Thomas G. Chappell
against

Pt.

In debt.

Defa: 9. Apr. 1842 W. J. Vaughan, Alfred J. Vaughan & James H. Woispan Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of September 1840 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.55 Robert R. Collier
against

Pt.

In debt.

S. M. Oliver

Defa: 9. Apr. 1842

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty six dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of September 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the debt: &c.

Costs \$7.37 Alex^r. Blouman assignee of Edward P. Nash
against

Pt.

In debt.

Defa: 9th Apr. 1842 Charles Hamlin

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty seven dollars and five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of April 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$7.63 Branch S. Hunt, John H. Patterson & Peter B. Ullis Merchants
and partners trading under the firm and style of Hunt, Patte-

Pts.

In debt.

Defa: 9. Apr. 1842 son and Ullis

against
John H. Smith, E. Harvie Smith & John Ambler Smith Defts.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred and fourteen dollars and eighty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the first day of January 1842 until paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

Costs \$6.37 David F. Hoy

against

Sifa: q. ap. 1842. William G. Major

Vt.

In Debt

Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and eighty six dollars and thirty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 till paid; And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.53 Frances Heath

against

Sifa: q. ap. 1842. John Habanip

Vt.

In Debt

Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and five dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of November 1841 until paid; and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$7.19 William Hawthorn

against

Sifa: q. ap. 1842. Spencer Spain

Vt.

In Debt

Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eight hundred and forty eight dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22^d day of October 1839 until paid; And also his costs by him about his suits in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c. And is to be credited for \$52.87 cents paid the 2^d day of July 1840, for \$50 paid the 26th day of September 1840, for \$70 paid the 28th day of November 1840, for \$30 paid the 5th day of April 1841 and for \$25. paid the 21st day of April 1841, as per endorsements on specially.

Costs \$7.19 William Hawthorn & J. F. Hawthorn late Merchants &c. Vts.

against

Sifa: q. ap. 1842. Spencer Spain

In Debt

Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and fifty nine dollars and fifty one cents with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of July 1841, until paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c. And is to be credited for fifty six dollars and eleven cents, as per endorsement on specially.

April 6th 1842.

(2) Costs \$6.55 Hamlin Hargrave
against

Defa: q. Apr 1842. Frances P. Hitchcock

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seven dollars and seventy cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of March 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$8.01 John M. Masow adm^r of Joseph Masow & Francis C. Reeves
adm^r of H. P. Heath, who sue for the benefit of Joseph Ma-

Defa: q. Apr 1842. s^r adm^r

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

against
Joel Sedbetter
This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty three dollars and ninety eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of June 1828 until paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$5.97 Coulson J. Harper

against
Joseph Wilson

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty eight dollars & thirty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of September 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 Frederick M. Hardaway

against
Defa: q. Apr 1842 John Enroughty and Ann his wife, who before her inter-
marriage with the said John Enroughty was Ann Gram-
mer

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1839 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the depts. in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63 P. C. Osborne

against
Defa: q. Apr 1842. John M. Lamb

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

1842 April 6th

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of April 1841, till paid; And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63 P. L. Osborne assignee of John M. P. Pool

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Filed: 9. Apr. 1842. John M. Lamb

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred & sixty six dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1840 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for four hundred dollars paid the 29th December 1840, as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$6.37 A. S. Perry

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Filed: 9. Apr. 1842 Carter W. Hamblett

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court; that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty seven dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of December 1839 until paid; And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37 Stephen P. Pool adm^r with the will annexed of J. P. Pool dec^d who sees for the benefit of David S. Hoy

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Filed: 9. Apr. 1842. Smith Parham

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and seven dollars and forty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of March 1837 till paid; And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37 Isaac Hovey

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Filed: 9. Apr. 1842. Leincinnatus Stith (who signs his name, L. Stith) and John Gilliam (who signs his name W. Gilliam)

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant Gilliam saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the said deff. Gilliam the sum of Seven hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon

dollars and one cent with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22^d day of August 1837 until paid, and the said costs.

Costs \$6.55 Richard P. Stith assignee of Thomas Jones
against Benjamin P. Boisreau
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty eight dollars and seventy eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of June 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &

Costs \$6.55 The Same
against Joseph Wilson
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and ninety seven dollars and forty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of March 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the deft. in mercy &

Costs \$6.55 The Same
against Joel Sturdevant jr
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and twenty six dollars and fifty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of June 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &

Costs \$7.18 The Same
against John Crounchy and Ann his wife formerly Grammer
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty one dollars and eighty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of September 1840 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &

Costs \$7.82 John Nourse and Quin Morton late Merchants & partners trading
under the firm and style of Nourse & Morton ass^{ts} of Tho^s Green
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }
against Daniel B. Prosser, A. N. Prosser & Joseph Wilson
This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing

1842 April 6th

in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of September 1841 till paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.00 Joseph Wilson (who sues for the benefit of David J. Hoy) against Henry Loos and Mary K. Loos

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of December 1840 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for forty dollars paid the 21st day of August 1841 as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$7.18 William K. Mlynw executor of Mary S. Mlynw dec'd. against Robert M. Pegrain & Austin C. Munnally

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of December 1840 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 The Same against James P. Smith and George T. Jones

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy one dollars with legal interest on thirty eight dollars part thereof, to be computed from the 25th day of December 1838 and the like interest on thirty three dollars the residue thereof, from the 25th day of December 1840 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for twenty dollars paid the 26th day of December 1839, as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$7.18 The Same against Robert M. Pegrain and Alfred J. Vaughan

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants, the sum of One hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this

behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

Costs \$7.18 William S. Mlym executor of Mary S. Mlym dec'd.

Plt. } In Debt
Defts. }

Trifa: 9. Apr. 1842. Robert M. Peggam and Alfred J. Vaughan

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of December 1840 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

Costs \$6.55 The Same

Plt. } In Debt
Deft. }

Trifa: 9. Apr. 1842. Skipswith M. Oliver

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred & seventy dollars and forty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of June 1838 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for twenty five dollars paid the 15th day of January 1840, as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$6.55 Holly S. Williamson

Plt. } In Debt
Deft. }

Trifa: 9. Apr. 1842. John Hardaway

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one thousand one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of February 1841 until paid; and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.55 Holly Williamson formerly Holly Heath

Plt. } In Debt
Deft. }

Trifa: 9. Apr. 1842. The Same

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eight hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of November 1838 until paid; and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Isaac Roney

Plt. vs Thomas Cleatow & Green Hill Deft. In Debt

Peebles, Hall & Co.

Plt. vs Daniel Butts Deft. In Debt

John B. Chambers

Plt. vs Edward Johnson Deft. In Debt

Joseph Goodwyn's exor

Plt. vs Mary & Isaac Roney Deft. In Debt

By direction of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, these suits are dismissed.

John P. Brown ap^{pe} of William C. Mlaugh
 against
 John Hargrave & J. E. Hargrave
 By direction of the plaintiff in person, this suit is dismissed.

Plt. } In Debt
 Defts }

Edward Johnson
 against
 Stephen M. Mayes
 The plaintiff being dead, it is ordered that this suit abate.

Plt. } In Case
 Deft }

In the Clerk's Office, 1842 May the 11th
 Costs \$6.35 Annistead M. Prosser ap^{pe} of Robert H. Davis
 against
 Robert M. Pegrum and Austin C. Nimmally

Plt. } In Debt
 Defts }

The defendants being arrested and in custody of the Sheriff of this county, upon the writ of *habeas ad respondendum*, issued in this case, acknowledge the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants eighty nine dollars and five cents with legal interest thereon, to be computed from the 27th day of December 1840 until paid, the debt & interest aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c. And the defendants are not prayed in custody.

In the Clerk's Office May the 30th 1842.
 Costs \$5.72
 John M. Butterworth

Plt. } In Debt
 Deft }

against
 Matthew E. Rainey
 The defendant being arrested and in custody of the Sheriff of this county, upon the writ of *habeas ad respondendum*, issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Seventy dollars and seventy four cents with legal interest thereon, to be computed from the 12th day of February 1839 until payment, the debt and interest aforesaid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. And the defendant was not prayed in custody.

In the Clerk's Office April 28th 1842.

David F. Hoy
 against
 Joseph Wilson & Bennett Aldridge

Plt. } In Debt
 Defts }

The defendant Wilson being arrested, and in custody of the Sheriff of this county upon a writ of *habeas ad respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Wilson Three hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of May 1841 until paid, the debt &

interest aforesaid; And his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the said defendant in mercy &c., and the defendant is not prayed in custody. →

1842 September 23^d

Costs \$6.98 Fabian Ammstead
against
Fifa: 27^d Sep: Thomas Prosser
1842. P.P.T. } In Debt
defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety eight dollars and eighteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of January 1841 until paid; and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$8.07 Robert W. Bolling exor of John W. Strachan deceased
against
Fifa: 23^d Sep: Jones. P.P.T. } In Debt
1842. defts.

This day came the plaintiff by W. May esq. his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred and ninety one dollars and twenty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of June 1841 till paid; and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 Robert Coleman executor of Joel Beville dec'd.
against
Fifa: 23^d Sep: Mellicham M. Moody and Robert C. Pollard P.P.T. } In Debt
1842. defts.

This day came the plaintiff by A. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and seventeen dollars and sixty two and a half cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of December 1841, until paid; and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 The Same
against
Fifa: 23^d Sept: Robert C. Pollard and Mellicham M. Moody P.P.T. } In Debt
1842. defts.

This day came the plaintiff by A. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of fifty one dollars and eighty seven and a half cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of

1842 September 23.

December 1841 until paid: and also his costs by him his suits in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 John W. Witherworth
against

Filed: 27th Sep: Edmund Mlynus
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by A. S. Pegrum esq: his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty six dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of July 1837 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for thirty four dollars and fifty cents paid on the 10th day of February 1840, as per endorsement on specially.

Pet. } In debt.
Deft. }

Costs \$6.37 Richard B. Bristow
against

Filed: 27. Sep: John Mlainwright
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by Meade and Gaires his attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and forty two dollars and seventy seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of March 1842 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Pet. } In debt.
Deft. }

Costs \$6.55 John W. & J. M. Witherworth late Merchants & partners
against

Filed: 27. Sep: Richard Evans
1842.

This day came the plaintiffs by A. S. Pegrum esq: their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety six dollars and forty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of January 1841, until paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Pets } In debt.
Deft. }

Costs \$6.55 George W. Linnup and Mary G. his wife, formerly Mary G. Strachan, who sue for the benefit of Patterson, Jones & Co.

Filed: 27. Sep: Edward H. Allen & James Allen
1842.

This day came the plaintiffs by Meade and Gaires their attorneys, and the defendant Edward H. Allen saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant Edward H. Allen the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2^d day of January 1842, until paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the said defendant in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made return that

Pets } In debt.
Defts. }

the defendant James Allow is not an inhabitant of this County, the order of abatement entered at rules as to him, is confirmed.

Costs \$7.18 Thomas W. Darby
against

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

Tifa: 27. Sep. 1842. W. J. Naughan and Alfred J. Naughan
This day came the plaintiff by C. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defend- say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is consid- ered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of December 1840 until paid: And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendants in mercy &c.
This judgment is to be credited for Ninety six dollars paid the 8th December 1841 & for fourteen dollars paid the 3rd day of January 1842, as per endorse- ments or specially.

Costs \$6.55 George Goodwyn
against

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Tifa: 27. Sep. 1842. Alfred J. Naughan
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney A. C. Writts esq., and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety six dollars with legal interest thereon to be com- puted from the 11th day of January 1842 until paid; and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 Albert J. Goodwyn ap^{pe} of Daniel Writts, who was apiquee of
Daniel G. Prossie

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

Tifa: 27. Sep. 1842. Amstedeo N. Prossie and Samuel H. Prossie (who sign their names A. N. Prossie and S. H. Prossie)
This day came the plaintiff by A. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defend- ants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty seven dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of September 1841 until paid: And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 Branch J. Sturt, John H. Patterson & P. W. Mills late merchants
&c under the firm of Sturt, Patterson & Mills ap^{pe} of S. Miller.

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

Tifa: 27. Sep. 1842. Wiler Dobyus & Andrew J. McQuin
This day came the plaintiffs by Meade and Gaines their attorneys, and the defendant Dobyus, saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the said defendant Dobyus the sum of Six hundred and ninety eight dollars and sixty six cents the debt in the declaration mentioned: And also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the said defendant in mercy &c. - But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Three

1842 September 23rd

hundred and forty nine dollars and thirty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of November 1840 until paid, and the said costs. And is to be credited for two hundred and twenty five dollars paid the 9th day of December 1839, as per endorsement on specialty.

The Sheriff having made return that the defendant W. Price is not an inhabitant of this County, the order of abatement entered at rules as to him, is confirmed.

Costs \$6.37 Branch J. Hunt, John H. Patterson and P. W. Mills late Merchants and partners trading under the style & firm of Hunt, Patterson and Mills }
Fifa: 27. Sep. 1842. against Spencer Spain }
Plts. } In debt
Defts. }

This day came the plaintiffs by Thomas Whalley esq. their attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and ninety six dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 27th day of April 1839 until paid: And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money \$
This judgment is to be credited for fifty dollars paid the 11th March 1839, for one hundred dollars paid 13th September 1839, for forty one dollars paid 4th June 1840 for Sixty dollars paid 22nd Dec^r 1840 and for fifty dollars paid 25th March 1841, as per endorsements on specialty.

Costs \$7.00 William T. Blinn executor of John J. Hall deceased, who was }
opposed of Rolfe Eldridge }
Fifa: 27. Sep. 1842. against Shipwith M. Oliver and Robert Graves }
Plts. } In debt
Defts. }

This day came the plaintiff by D. May esq. his attorney, and the defts. say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred and forty seven dollars and forty two cents the debt in the declaration mentioned. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendants in money \$
But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and seventy three dollars and seventy one ^{3/4} cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of September 1838 until paid, and the said costs.

Costs \$7.00 William T. Blinn executor of John J. Hall dec^d. }
against Shipwith M. Oliver }
Fifa: 27. Sep. 1842. }
Plts. } In debt
Defts. }

This day came the plaintiff by D. May esq. his attorney, and the defend^t saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defend^t the sum of One hundred and thirty eight dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of February 1839 until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the debt is in money \$
This judgment is to be credited for thirty six dollars paid 3rd April 1839 &

1842 September 23rd

Twenty four dollars twenty five cents paid 13th January 1840, as per endorsements on specially.

Costs \$7.00 David F. Hoy
against

Pet. } In Debt
Defts }

Fifa: 27. Sep: John Ambler Smith and E. Harvie Smith
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by D. May esq. his attorney, and the defts. say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of April 1841 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.26 Lewis F. Hicks
against

Pet. } In Debt
Defts }

Fifa: 27. Sep: Charles Richardson & John A. Wridgland
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by Alexander Loman esq. his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred and fifty one dollars & nineteen cts with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of July 1841, until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for Ninety dollars the 16th November 1841 & for thirty dollars the 15th February 1842 as per endorsements on specially.

Costs \$6.55 Richard Hill
against

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Fifa: 23rd Sep: Alfred J. Maughan
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by A. Loman esq. his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and fifty two dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of September 1841 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 Abel Head
against

Pet. } In Debt
Defts }

Fifa: 27th Sep: William Ford and Peter Madew
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by Golling and Banister his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and fifty one dollars & eighty eight cents the debt in the declaration mentioned; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred & twenty five dollars & twenty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of March

1841 until paid, and the said Costs. →

Costs \$7.18 Isham E. Hargrave adm^r of James Hargrave deceased
against
Pet. } In debt.

Defa: 23rd Sep: Amstead M. Prosser v Thomas Prosser
1842. This day came the plaintiff by E. L. Peggam esq^r his attorney, and the
defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against
the defendants the sum of Eighty two dollars and sixty cents with legal interest
thereon to be computed from the 10th day of November 1841 till paid: And
also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the
defendants in mercy &c. →

Costs \$8.26 William H. Harrison
against
Pet. } In debt.

Defa: 27. Sep: Thomas Prosser, Samuel H. Prosser v George J. Prosser
1842. This day came the plaintiff by R. R. Collier esq^r his attorney, and the
defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the
defendants the sum of One hundred and thirty dollars with legal interest
thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid: And also
his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants
in mercy &c. →

Costs \$6.55 Peter J. Gigg adm^r of Martha Jones deceased
against
Pet. } In debt.

Defa 27. Sep: John Redford and Thomas E. Scott (sometimes called T. E. Scott) debt
1842. This day came the plaintiff by W. R. Pblaud esq^r his attorney, and the
defendant Thomas E. Scott saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action:
Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against
the defendant Scott, the sum of One hundred and sixty dollars & sixty cents the
debt in the declaration mentioned: And also his Costs by him about his suit
in this behalf expended: and the said defendant in mercy &c. →
But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of eighty dollars
and thirty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of
October 1840 until paid, and the said Costs: The defendant Redford being returned
no inhabitant, the order of abatement entered at rules against him, is confirmed.

Costs \$6.55 John W. Oliver executor of Jos. W. Ingraw dec^d
against
Pet. } In debt.

Defa: 27. Sep: J. E. Scott and Sterling Cole
1842. This day came the plaintiff by W. R. Pblaud esq^r his attorney, and the defend^t
Scott saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore,
it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the said defend^t
Scott the sum of One hundred and two dollars with legal interest thereon to
be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid: And also his costs
by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the said debt. Scott in
mercy &c. → The debt. Cole being returned no inhabitant, the order of abatement
entered at rules against him, is confirmed. →

Costs \$6.55 William N. Garratt

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Filed: 27. Sep. 1842. John Wilkinson

This day came the plaintiff by A. L. Wells esq. his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of November 1839 till paid: And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.78 Francis P. Lewis

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

against B. J. Mansfield and James H. Boiceau

This day came the plaintiff by A. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of April 1841, until paid: And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendants in mercy &c.

This judgment is to be credited for fifty dollars paid the 20th September 1841, for ten dollars paid the 20th November 1841 & for twenty dollars paid the 17th day of January 1842, as per endorsements on specially.

Costs \$5.79 Thompson Lewis assignee of John T. Lydner and James H. Boiceau executors of Joseph Lewis dec'd.

Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

against Edward L. Mayes executor of Mary Lewis, (who in her lifetime was co-obligor with John Mayes & Edward L. Mayes) Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by E. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and one dollars and eighty seven and a half cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of February 1842, till paid, And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: To be believed of the goods and chattels of the testatrix in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath: And the defendant in mercy &c. To be credited for \$50 paid 28th April 1842 per endorsement on bond.

Costs \$7.18 The Same

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

Filed: 27. Sep. 1842. John Mayes and Edward L. Mayes (surviving obligors of themselves and Mary Lewis)

This day came the plaintiff by E. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and one dollars eighty seven and a half cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of February 1842 until paid: And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in mercy &c.

This judgment is to be credited for fifty dollars paid the 28th April 1842, as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$6.35 Daniel Malone
against

Pet. } In debt
deft. }

Sifa: 24th Sep: Thomas Cleaton
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by Robert Locke esq. his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty two dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 till paid: and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37 Lucy Morgan executrix of Samuel Morgan decd.
against

Pet. } In debt
deft. }

Sifa: 27. Sep: Philip Jones
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by E. S. Pogram esq. her attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventeen dollars and twenty four cents, the debt in the declaration mentioned: and also her Costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: and the debt in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of fifty eight dollars and sixty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of June 1830 until paid, and said Costs.

Costs \$6.37 Lewis Owen (for the benefit of Robt. M. Smith)
against

Pet. } In debt
deft. }

Sifa: 24. Sept: Benjamin H. Compland
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by Robert Locke esq. his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty two dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of September 1841 till paid: and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.34 P. C. Osborne & J. H. Osborne surviving partners of the late firm of P. C. Osborne & Co.
against

Pets } In debt
defts. }

Sifa: 27. Sep: A. J. Naughan and S. M. Oliver
1842.

This day came the plaintiffs by W. Robertson esq. their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Eight hundred and twenty three dollars and twenty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid: and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.82 Williamson Perkins and William M. Wynn Trustees
of William Wynn }
Fifa: 26. Sep. against } In Debt
1842. Benjamin H. Coupland and Daniel Butts }
Defts.

This day came the plaintiffs by McDougal esq. their attorney, and the defts say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty dollars and ninety eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of December 1840 till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 David Patterson, George H. Jones & N. J. Page late Merchants
& partners under the firm & style of Patterson, Jones & Co. }
Fifa: 23^d Sep. against } In Debt
1842. Benjamin H. Coupland }
Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by Meade & Gaines their attorneys, & the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and fifty six dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3^d day of April 1842, till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 The Same }
Fifa 23^d Sep. against } In Debt
1842. Alfred J. Macintosh }
Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by Meade & Gaines their attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and fifty six dollars & fifty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of November 1840 until paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 George M. Perkins }
Fifa: 27. Sep. against } In Debt
1842. Skiphwith M. Oliver (who signs his name S. M. Oliver) }
Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by E. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty nine dollars & ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2^d day of February 1842 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 A. N. Porsico }
Ca: 3a: 27th Sep. against } In Debt
1842. Henry M. Jones (who signs his name H. M. Jones) }
Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by E. S. Pegrum esq. his attorney, and the

defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of May 1842 until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy & -

Costs \$6.55 S. S. Parsons
 against

Tifa: 27. Sep: Charles Hamilton
 1842.

This day came the plaintiff by R. R. Collier esq: his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred and thirty six dollars & sixty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2^d day of January 1842 until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy & -

Plt. } In debt
 left. }

Costs \$6.37 Isaac Roney
 against

Tifa: 27. Sep: Benjamin H. Coupland
 1842.

This day came the plaintiff by A. Honnan esq: his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2^d day of February 1838 till paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy & -

Plt. } In debt
 left. }

Costs \$6.63 William Anderson Sheriff of Lincoln County and in that character adm^r of Thos. Quincelet deceased (who sues for the benefit of William B. Hamilton)
 against

Tifa: 27th Sep: 1842

Robert C. Pollard, George W. Pollard & Wm. H. Pollard (lefts.)
 This day came the plaintiff by W. May esq: his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: -
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Robert C. Pollard the sum of One thousand three hundred and thirty three dollars, thirty three and one third cents the debt in the declaration mentioned; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the said defendant in mercy & -
 But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Six hundred and sixty six dollars sixty six and two thirds cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1840 until paid and the said costs, -
 The defendants Geo. W. & Wm. H. Pollard being returned no inhabitants the order of abatement entered against them at rules, is confirmed. -

Plt. } In debt
 left. }

Costs \$6.37 Isaac Roney
 against

Tifa: 27. Sep: Benjamin H. Coupland
 1842.

This day came the plaintiff A. Honnan esq: his attorney, and the defendants

Plt. } In debt
 left. }

saitth nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and four dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of December 1841 till paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37 Isaac Roney
against

Plt.

Sept: 27. 1842. Mary M. Woispeau adm^r of William E. Woispeau dec^d
(who signed his name W. E. Woispeau) who was co: obligor
with W. J. Vaughan & Alfred J. Vaughan

In debt
lefts.

This day came the plaintiff by Robert Locke esq^r his attorney, and the def^t:
saitth nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is
considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant
the sum of Six hundred and forty four dollars with legal interest thereon to
be computed from the 16th day of May 1836 until paid: And also his costs
by him about his suit in this behalf expended: To be levied of the goods
and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered,
if so much thereof she hath - And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 The Same
against

Plt.

Sept: 27. 1842 Benjamin J. Vaughan who signs his name W. J.
Vaughan and Alfred J. Vaughan (survivors of themselves
and W. E. Woispeau dec^d)

In debt
lefts.

This day came the plaintiff by Robert Locke esq^r his attorney, and the
defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against
the defendants the sum of Six hundred and forty four dollars with legal
interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of May 1836 until paid:
And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and
the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 The Same
against

Plt.

Sept: 27. 1842. Alfred J. Vaughan

In debt
lefts.

This day came the plaintiff by A. Downan esq^r his attorney, and the
defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against
the defendant the sum of One hundred and two dollars and fifty cents with legal
interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of September 1841 until paid,
and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the
defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for fifteen dollars
paid the 30th day of October 1841, as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$6.37 Patrick Roney
against

Plt.

Sept: 27. 1842. James Bishop surviving obligor of himself & Jere: Bishop dec^d

In debt
lefts.

This day came the plaintiff by Robert Locke esq^r his attorney, and the

1842 September 23rd

defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred dollars, the debt in the declaration mentioned: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. - But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1832 until paid, and the said costs. -

Costs \$8.63 John G. Reeves

Filed: 23rd Sep. 1842

against Alfred J. Laughan, Benjamin H. Coupland and Benjamin J. Laughan

Plt. } In Debt
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by Meade and Gaines his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One thousand dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c

Costs \$6.55 R. G. Rivers

Filed: 27. Sep. 1842

against Isaac Williamson

Plt. } In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by their attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty four dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of November 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.55 George S. Rogers (who sues for the benefit of John G. Reeves) Plt.

Filed: 27. Sep. 1842

against John Ambler Smith

Plt. } In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of December 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$7.18 William Robinson

Filed: 27. Sep. 1842

against Thomas Cleator and Winfield Jackson

Plt. } In Debt
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in

this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 Robert Sturdivant assignee of William F. Thompson
against
Wm. G. Major
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Sept: 27th
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and forty one dollars and sixty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of January 1842 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the deft: in mercy &c.

Costs \$7:81 A.B. Spooner Commissioner &c.
against
James M. Butterworth, Francis Fenn, A. J. Vaughan and John Hargrave
Pet. } In Debt
Defts: }

Sept: 27th
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants Butterworth, Vaughan and Hargrave the sum of One thousand one hundred and forty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of May 1842 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the said defendants in mercy &c. This suit abates as to the defendant Fenn, he being returned no inhabitant.

Costs \$6.55 John Tucker
against
William G. Major
Pet. } In Debt
Deft. }

Sept: 27th
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty eight dollars and forty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of November 1839 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the deft: in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 Archibald H. Thweatt
against
Machin S. Stith, S. G. Stith & Richard P. Stith
Pet. } In Debt
Defts: }

Sept: 27th
1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' actions: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Richard P. Stith the sum of Two thousand six hundred and sixty six dollars and sixty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the first day of January 1842 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant R. P. Stith in mercy &c. The defendants Machin S. Stith and S. G. Stith being returned no inhabitants, this suit abates as to them.

24
Costs \$6.37
Sept. 27. 1842.

1842 September 23^d

William J. Thompson Guardian of R. R. Tucker
(who sues for the benefit of Robert R. Tucker) Pet.
against
John Mainwight

In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842, until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for Sixty four dollars & forty four cents paid the 1st day of January 1842, as per endorsement on specially

Costs \$6.55
Sept. 27. 1842.

Bartlett P. Todd & William J. Higginbotham late Merchants
and partners in trade under the style and firm of Todd &
Higginbotham
against
P. M. Harper

Pets. In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and eighty two dollars and fifty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1841 until paid: And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for Two hundred dollars paid the 17th July 1841 as per endorsement on specially

Costs \$6.37
Sept. 23^d 1842.

Alfred J. Naughton (who sues for the benefit of Hunt, Patterson
and Mills).
against
S. W. Oliver

Pet. In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and ninety three dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of September 1841 until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.37
Sept. 27. 1842.

Nathaniel E. Newble
against
Edward Dillow

Pet. In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of March 1825 until paid; And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.55 Mary Walker

Sept: 27. 1842. John A. Cobb and J. C. Scott

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Scott, the sum of Fifty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid: And also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: and the said defendant in money &c. The defendant Cobb being returned no inhabitant, this suit abates as to him.

Costs \$6.55 A. N. Wells assignee of Thomas M. Clark and Henry M. Coleman

Sept: 27. 1842. of Clark & Coleman

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

against Edmund M. Branch

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —

Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventeen dollars and fifty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of January 1841 until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.55 A. N. Wells assignee of Henry M. Coleman

Sept: 27. 1842. The same

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —

Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventy six dollars and forty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of January 1842, until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$7.12 Robert H. Branch adm^r of Peter Woodward dec^d (who sues for the benefit of Abel Head)

Sept: 27. 1842. against Robert C. Pollard and Stephen H. Hamlin

Pet. } In Debt
Defts. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —

Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Hamlin the sum of Sixty eight dollars and three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of April 1841, until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the said defendant Hamlin in money &c.

See page for judgment against defendant Pollard.

Costs \$6.65 Randolph White
 against
 Benjamin H. Coupland
 Sept. 27. 1842. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
 Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty seven dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of January 1841 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c.

Net. } In Debt
 Deft. }

Costs \$7.18 The Same
 against
 Benjamin H. Coupland and Alfred J. Vaughan
 Sept. 27. 1842. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
 Therefore, it is considered by the court; that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of June 1841 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the depts: in mercy &c.

Net. } In Debt
 Defts. }

Costs \$6.55 Thomas Mainwight (who sees for the benefit of George M. Nolling Commissioner &c.)
 against
 Joseph M. Harper
 Sept. 27. 1842. This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
 Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and ninety four dollars and twelve and a half cents, the debt in the declaration mentioned: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. —
 That this judgement may be discharged by the payment of Ninety seven dollars and six and a quarter cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of December 1836 until paid, and the said costs. —

Net. } In Debt
 Deft. }

Costs \$5.66 John T. Lydon adm^r of Obedience Wilkinson dec^d.
 against
 Robert Williams
 Sept. 27. 1842. the 3rd day of April 1840, in favor of Obedience Wilkinson against the defendant. —
 This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing, on the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for One hundred dollars, the damages, and \$14.26 cents the costs in the writ aforesaid specified: and also that the plaintiff recover against the said deft., his costs by him expended in serving forth & prosecuting this writ. —
 And the said deft. in mercy &c. —

Net. } In a writ of Scirefacias
 Deft. } to revive a judgment
 of this court rendered on

Costs \$7.18

William G. Ulymer executor of Mary S. Ulymer dec^d
against

Pet. } In Debt

Sept. 27th Sep. 1842.

John Hargrave and Benjamin J. Laughan

Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and fourteen dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of December 1840 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

Thomas Thweatt ap^{pe} of R. Hider Meade

Pet.

against
Robert M. Anderson and William Anderson

Defts.

Thomas Browder (who sues for the benefit of William Mathews and Lisant Querechett)

Pet.

against
Sittiberry Neal, Henry Naden & Peter Naden
Alexander Honnan ap^{pe} of Daniel G. Prosser

Defts.

Pet.

against
Leimow Shell and Abner Adams

Defts.

D. F. Hoy Court. &c (who sues for H. E. Warren)

Pet.

against
Abner Adams surviving obligor of himself & Ulymer: Robt dec^d

Deft.

William Ulymer trustee for Robt. Ulymer & one of the surviving execs of Robt. Ulymer dec^d, Edw^d Powell & Susann his wife ad^{mi} & ad^{mi} of J. Ulymer dec^d & others

Pets.

against
Tho^s P. Hall, Wm^m M. Watts, Robt. W. Smith & Stith P. Hall

Defts.

By direction of the attorney for the plaintiffs, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed.

In the Clerks' Office January the 16th 1843.

A. J. Bevell ap^{pe} of Jacob Weaver

Pet.

against
William Ford and Peter Naden

Defts.

The defendants being arrested and in custody of the Sheriff of this county upon a writ of *habeas ad respondendum*, issued in this case, acknowledge the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants Seventy dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841, until paid, the debt and interests aforesaid; and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c. And the defendants are not prayed in custody.

Costs \$3.25

In the Clerk's Office January the 18th 1843.
Costs \$1.72 David F. May assignee of Edward J. Rawlings
against
Seth M. Tucker

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

The defendant being arrested, and in custody of the Sheriff of this County, upon a writ of *habeas ad respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of July 1842 till payment, the debt and interest aforesaid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. - and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

In the Clerk's Office January the 28th 1843.
Costs \$5.69 Patrick Roney

Fifa: 28th Jan. 1843.

against
Mary Roney
The defendant being arrested, and in custody of the Sheriff of this County, upon a writ of *habeas ad respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action, for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Ninety five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of February 1837 until paid, the debt and interest aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. - and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

Costs \$5.69 The Same

Fifa: 28th Jan. 1843

against
The Same
The defendant being arrested, and in custody of the Sheriff of this County upon a writ of *habeas ad respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action, for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Sixty five dollars & thirty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of August 1834 until payment, the debt and interest aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. - and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

In the Clerk's Office January the 16th 1843.
Costs \$5.54 Thomas Jones

against
David Thrift

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as did the defendant in his proper person, who acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned, and the costs. Therefore (with the assent of the pltt.) it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and fourteen dollars and fifty two cents with legal interest thereon

To be computed from the 1st day of June 1841 until payment, the debt and interest aforesaid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

1843 March 1st

Costs \$4.51. W. J. Hunt, John H. Patterson and P. M. Wells late Merchants & partners under the firm and style of Hunt, Patterson & Wells Pts. against Peter Lewis

In Debt Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys, as did the defendant in his proper person, who acknowledgeth the plaintiffs' action for the debt and interest in the writ of *habeas ad respondendum* in this case, mentioned, and the costs: Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant three hundred and fifty dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2^d day of May 1842 till payment, the debt and interest aforesaid: And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in mercy &c.

On the Clerks Office March the 8th 1843.

Costs \$ John Dunn, Archibald G. McShaw and William Knowley Merchants & partners trading under the firm and style of Dunn McShaw & Knowley against Annistead H. Provis and Seth W. Tucker merchants & part.

Pts. In Debt Defts.

The defendant Tucker being surrendered by his special bail in this case, and in custody of the Sheriff of this County, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs' action for the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned, and the costs: Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant Tucker fifty four dollars and sixty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842, until paid, the debt & interest aforesaid: And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the said deft. Tucker in mercy &c. The attorney for the plaintiffs being present, and the said deft. Tucker is not prayed in custody.

Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant Tucker fifty four dollars and sixty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842, until paid, the debt & interest aforesaid: And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the said deft. Tucker in mercy &c. The attorney for the plaintiffs being present, and the said deft. Tucker is not prayed in custody.

Costs \$6.60 Thomas Blawie against Annistead H. Provis and Seth W. Tucker

Pts. In Debt Defts.

The defendant Tucker being surrendered by his special bail in this case, and in custody of the Sheriff of this County, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs' action, for the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned, & the costs: Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the said deft. Tucker two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of October 1842 till payment, the debt and interest aforesaid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the deft. in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for One hundred dollars paid the 28th day of September 1842, as per endorsement on specialty.

Therefore, it is considered, that the plaintiff recover against the said deft. Tucker two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of October 1842 till payment, the debt and interest aforesaid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the deft. in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for One hundred dollars paid the 28th day of September 1842, as per endorsement on specialty. The attorney for the plaintiff being present & prayed the said defendant Tucker in custody.

1843 April the 7th

Costs \$6.71. Daniel Allgood
 against
 Edmond M. Branch.
 This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant
 saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore
 it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the
 defendant the sum of Eighty five dollars and seventy five cents
 with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of
 January 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in
 this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Plt }
 In Debt
 Defd }

Costs \$7.18. William A. Brantow
 against
 John P. Hogwood and David R. Hogwood.
 This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants
 say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore
 it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the
 defendants the sum of one hundred and five dollars and sixty five
 cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day
 of December 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his
 suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Plt }
 In Debt
 Defds }

Costs \$7.18. Sally Brown
 against
 Isham Browder and Bushrod Carr.
 This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants
 say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore
 it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the
 defendants the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon
 to be computed from the 30th day of June 1838 until paid, and also
 her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; And the
 defendants in mercy &c.

Plt }
 In Debt
 Defds }

Costs \$6.63. John A. Bridgland
 against
 Amistead M. Crowder.
 This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant
 saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore
 it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the
 defendant the sum of Sixty nine dollars with legal interest thereon
 to be computed from the 19th day of October 1842 until paid, and also
 his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defen-
 -dant in mercy &c.

Plt }
 In Debt
 Defd }

Costs \$5.74. William R. Bland exor of Rebecca Bland decd.
 against
 Isham G. Sundry admr of Austin Watkins decd.
 This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant.

Plt }
 In Debt
 Defd }

said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of one hundred and eighty six dollars
 and forty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the
 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about
 his suit in this behalf expended; ~~the~~ he levied of the goods and chattels
 of the intestate in the hands of the defendant ~~to be administered~~,
 if so much thereof he hath; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 James Bray and George M. Smith late partners in trade
 under the style and firm of Bray & Smith assignees of }
 Sifa 10. Apr James B. Smith }
 1843. against }
 John Ambler Smith and E. Harvie Smith } Defts } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendants
 say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover
 against the defendants the sum of one hundred and ten dollars and
 forty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from
 the 2nd day of July 1838 until paid, and also their costs by them about
 their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.
 This judgment is to be credited for Fifty dollars paid the 4th day of
 December 1838 and for Fifteen dollars paid the 20th day of February
 1839, as per endorsements on specially.

Costs \$6.55 Joshua D. Browder. }
 Sifa 10. Apr Elijah A. Winfield, Daniel St. Borge & George Graves. Defts }
 1843. against } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants
 Winfield and Graves say nothing in bar or preclusion of the
 plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the
 plaintiff recover against the said defendants Winfield and Graves
 the sum of one hundred and sixty three dollars and twenty two
 cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of
 January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit
 in this behalf expended; And the said defendants in mercy &c.
 The Sheriff having made return that the defendant Borge was
 inhabitant, the order of abatement entered against him at Rules
 held in the Clerks Office, is confirmed.

Costs \$6.63 E. P. Bevil }
 Sifa 10. Apr Edmund M. Branch }
 1843. against } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his Attornies, and the defendant
 said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of two hundred and fifty two
 dollars and twenty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be
 computed from the 26th day of January 1842 until paid, and also

his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.19
 Feb 10. Apr 1843
 Ethiel Crowder
 against
 Susan Farley

Plt }
 Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy eight dollars and five cents with legal interest on Forty seven dollars and seventy six cents a part thereof to be computed from the 2nd day of September 1841, and the like interest on Thirty dollars and twenty nine cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of February 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53
 Feb 10. Apr 1843
 Jane Crowder, who sues for the benefit of Henry J. P. Crowder
 against
 James Blay

Plt }
 Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1841 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37
 Feb 10. Apr 1843
 Henry J. P. Crowder
 against
 William Reames

Plt }
 Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty five dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of November 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

This judgment is to be credited for Five dollars paid the 14th day of November 1842 as per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$7.18
 Feb 10. Apr 1843
 James Blay assignee of Freeman Jordan
 against
 Henry J. P. Crowder and Amistead M. Crowder

Plt }
 Defs } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also

his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37
Fija 10. apr
1843.

Charles Young Administrator of Charles H. Connelley dec'd.
who sues for the benefit of Michael Connelley.
against
Edward H. Allen and James Allen.

Plt }
Defts } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant Edward H. Allen saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Edward H. Allen the sum of one hundred and forty one dollars and seventy cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendant in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made return that the defendant James Allen was no inhabitant, the order of abatement entered against him in the Clerk's Office, is confirmed.

Costs \$7.16
Fija 10. apr
1843.

Robert M. Chappell.
against
William Mayor

Plt }
Defts } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of December 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.16
Fija 10. apr
1843.

Robert M. Chappell agent for Sueretia B. Moore
against
The same.

Plt }
Defts } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
Fija 10. apr
1843.

Henry Dugger and A. S. Jones late merchants and partners
in trade under the firm and style of Dugger & Jones, who
sue for the benefit of C. B. Hicks
against
Edmund M. Branch

Plts }
Defts } In Debt.

This day came, the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars and fifty five cents.

with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22^d day of March 1839 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.55
Tersa 10. Apr
1843.

Henry Dugger and A. S. Jones late merchants and partners
in trade under the firm and style of Dugger & Jones, whose
for the benefit of E. B. Hicks
against
Isel Mamboue

Plts }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover
against the defendant the sum of Five hundred and fifty five dollars
and nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the
16th day of March 1840 until paid, and also their costs by them about
their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c
This judgment is to be credited for one hundred and three dollars and
sixty two cents paid the 18th day of March 1840, for one hundred and
twenty four dollars and eighty eight cents paid the 10th day of March
1841, and for seventy six dollars and twenty two cents paid the 13th
day of March 1841, as per endorsements on specialty

Costs \$6.55
Tersa 10. Apr
1843.

The same for the same
against
Edmund M. Francis

Plts }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and forty two dollars
and twenty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed
from the 1st day of January 1841 until paid, and also their costs by
them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant
in mercy &c This judgment is to be credited for Two hundred dollars
paid the 16th day of October 1841 as per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$6.71
Tersa 10. Apr
1843.

H. J. Davidson aspx of P. S. Derby
against
The same

Plt }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars with legal
interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841
until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf
expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.53
Tersa 10. Apr
1843.

Frances Heath
against
Abraham Spain

Plt }
In Debt }
Deft }

Costs \$6.55
Tfca 10. Apr
1843

Frances Heath
against
James Sheward Jr.

Plt }
Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and twenty seven dollars and ninety eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of November 1839 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for fifty dollars paid the 16th day of April 1841, as per endorsement on specially ~

Costs \$6.55
Tfca 10. Apr
1843

Branch T. Hunt, John H. Patterson and Peter B. Willis late
merchants and partners trading under the firm & style
of Hunt Patterson & Willis
against
William W. Moody

Plts }
Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attornies, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fourteen dollars and one cent with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of July 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.97

The same
against
William Reames

Plts }
Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attornies & the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of seven hundred and forty four dollars and seventy four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of May 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for one hundred dollars paid the 29th day of June 1842 as per endorsement on specially ~

Costs \$6.55
Tfca 10. Apr
1843

William J. Dunn Executor of John J. Hall decd. who sues
for the benefit of Frederick Jackson
against
Daniel E. Allen

Plt }
Def } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty seven dollars and thirty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed

from the 18th day of June 1838 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55. Richard Hill
against
Shipwreck M. Oliver.

Plt }
In Debt }
Deft }

Term 10. Apr
1843.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of five hundred and sixty seven dollars and forty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of March 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.12. Coulson J. Harper
against
Gardner Sedbetter and Dally N. Evans.

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts }

Term 10. Apr
1843.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$5.79. Thomas Jones assignee of Albert Alfrens, who was assignee of
Mary S. E. Scott and Louisa M. Scott
against
Gardner Sedbetter.

Plt }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid; And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for thirty five dollars paid the 21st day of March 1842 as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$7.18. Frederick Jackson
against
S. M. Oliver and George Graves.

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts }

Term 10. Apr
1843.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and ninety dollars and ninety three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of February 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37
Fifa 10. Apr
1843

Edwin James, Fleming James and Joseph S. James for mer-
chants and partners under the firm and style of Edwin
James & Co.
against
Spencer Spain

Plts }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover
against the defendant the sum of Five hundred and eighty nine
dollars and ninety four cents with legal interest thereon to be com-
puted from the 6th day of December 1841 until paid, and also their
costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended, and the debt
in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for Fifty one dollars paid
the 27th day of May 1841 as per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$6.55
Fifa 10. Apr
1843

Lewis Sunford and Francis E. Hatcher late merchants and
partners in trade under the firm and style of Sunford and
Hatcher
against
John M. P. Pool

Plts }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover
against the defendant the sum of sixty eight dollars and eleven cents
with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of April
1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this
behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$4.91
Fifa 10. Apr
1843

Richard F. Bitchell exor of John Lathimore dec. Plt
against
Jordan Floyd and Edward J. Floyd

Upon a Writ of
Defts scirefacias

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants
having been duly warned, and not appearing, it is considered by the
Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants
for two thousand two hundred and forty dollars, the debt, and seven
dollars and eighteen cents, the costs in the writ aforesaid specified,
and also, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants his costs
by him expended in seeing forth and prosecuting this writ - and
the defendants in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged
by the payment of one thousand one hundred and twenty dollars
with legal interest on three hundred and eighty dollars a part thereof
to be computed from the 20th day of October 1836, the like interest on
three hundred dollars another part thereof from the 26th day of May
1835, the like interest on one hundred dollars another part thereof,
from the 9th day of February 1837, and the like interest on three hun-
dred and forty dollars the residue thereof from the 9th day of February
1837 until paid, and all the costs aforesaid - and is to be credited for
Eighteen dollars paid the 26th of May 1836 and for twenty three dollars
and thirty three cents paid the 26th of May 1837 as per endorsements on

bond No. 2. and for two hundred dollars paid the 25th of June 1839, for One hundred paid the 25th of November 1840 and for five hundred & seventy two dollars and eighty nine cents paid the 1st day of July 1841 as per em-
-dorsements on an execution, and for one hundred dollars paid the 31st day of January 1843 as per endorsement on scirefacias

Costs \$6.37

David May
against

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts

Filed 10. Apr 1837. John M. Gilliam

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action.

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred and thirty eight dollars and seventy six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1839 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37

The same
against

Plt }
In Debt }
Deft

Filed 10. Apr 1843. The same

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty nine dollars and thirty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of September 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.00

David May assignee of William F. Bowden
against

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts

Filed 10. Apr 1843. Edmund M. Branch and Henry C. Worsham

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one thousand dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for two hundred and sixty dollars paid the 20th day of October 1842 and for two hundred and eleven dollars and ninety nine cents paid the 9th day of November 1842 as per endorsements on specially

Costs \$6.37

John A. Moade
against

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts

Filed 10. Apr 1843. Bennett Aldridge and D. J. Naughan

This day came the plaintiff by his Attornies, and the defendants say nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover

against the defendants the sum of seventy four dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53
Ters 10. Apr
1843.

Grief Nunnally
against
Giles Davis and Stephen Davis

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy four dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1839 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63
Ters 10. Apr
1843.

David R. Newsom
against
John A. Bridgland

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty seven dollars and fourteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of October 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.54
Ters 10. Apr
1843.

P. C. Osborne aspx of J. W. P. Pool.
against
John H. Smith and John Ambler Smith

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant John Ambler Smith saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant John Ambler Smith the sum of Eighty eight dollars and twenty two and a half cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of September 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendant in mercy &c. The defendant John H. Smith is dead.

Costs \$7.63
Ters 10. Apr
1843.

Peteron J. Oliver
against
B. J. Vaughan, S. M. Oliver and A. J. Vaughan

Plt }
In Debt }
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and ninety dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7:34
 Feby 10. apc
 1843.

Thomas A. Oliver
 against
 S. M. Oliver and B. J. Naughton

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of two hundred and fifty seven dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of March 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:55
 Feby 10. apc
 1843.

N. J. Page
 against
 Joel Manlove

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty eight dollars and thirty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of November 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:55
 Feby 10. apc
 1843.

The same
 against
 William H. Manlove

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety seven dollars and twenty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of November 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7:81
 Feby 10. apc
 1843.

A. A. Parsons
 against
 John A. Smith, John Ambler Smith & E. Harvie Smith

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants John Ambler Smith and E. Harvie Smith say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants John Ambler Smith and E. Harvie Smith the sum of Three hundred and sixty six dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendants in mercy &c. The defendant John A. Smith is dead.

Costs \$6.55
Case 10. Apr
1843

David Patterson, George H. Jones and Norbome J. Page
late merchants and partners trading under the firm
and style of Patterson Jones & Co. }
Plts

against
John H. Ardaway }
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action,
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of seventy three dollars and three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.71
Case 10. Apr
1843

Thomas S. Peirce and A. M. Camp late merchants and
partners trading under the firm and style of Thomas S.
Peirce & Co. }
Plts

against
William Colquhoun }
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and forty two dollars and fifty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1841 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
Case 10. Apr
1843

John W. P. Pool
against
Lewis Hawkins }
Plts

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest on twenty one dollars a part thereof to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 and the like interest on twenty nine dollars the residue thereof to be computed from the 13th day of September 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18
Case 10. Apr
1843

John C. Hayraue Sheriff of Dinwiddie County and as
such administrator of Peter R. Pritchett dec'd (who sues for the
benefit of Daniel Butts guardian of Savinia Stell), Plt }
Plts

against
John M. Dumb and James Clay }
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety dollars with legal interest

thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37 R. G. Reeves.

against

Filed 10. Apr 1843. Thomas Murrel

Plt } In Debt.
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty two dollars and sixty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of July 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

The same

against

Argalus Tucker and James S. Foley

Plt } In Debt.
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of two hundred and seventy dollars, the debt in the declaration mentioned, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and thirty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid, and said costs.

Costs \$6.53 Robt. G. Reeves.

against

Filed 10. Apr 1843. Isaac Williamson

Plt } In Debt.
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty nine dollars and forty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of July 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 James Robertson

against

Filed 10. Apr 1843. Stephen H. Hamlin and Henry Whifrey

Plt } In Debt.
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty one dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1839 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

(44)
Costs \$6.55
Tersa 10th Apr
1843

Isaac Roney
against
Skipwith M. Oliver

Pct }
In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of Nine hundred and forty five dollars
and thirty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the
1st day of January 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his
suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
Tersa 10th Apr
1843

Dandridge Spotswood and F. N. Robertson merchants &
partners in trade under the firm and style of Spotswood
& Robertson
against
E. Harve Smith

Pct }
In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys & the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover
against the defendant the sum of one hundred and ninety seven dollars
and forty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from
16th day of October 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about
their suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
Tersa 10th Apr
1843

George C. Scott who sues for the benefit of Dandridge Spots-
wood and F. N. Robertson, merchants and partners tra-
ding under the firm of Spotswood & Robertson
against
J. C. Scott

Pct }
In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty dollars
with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of
June 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this
behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment
is to be credited for thirty eight dollars and six cents the 1st day of
June 1842. per endorsement on specially

Costs \$6.55
Tersa 10th Apr
1843

Robert W. Stow, who sues for the benefit of Frances
Heath
against
Robert N. Spain

Pct }
In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of Fifty nine dollars with legal
interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1841
until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf

expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.00 Thomas Shewell exor of Richard N. Shewell
against

Pet }
In Debt }
Deft }

Case 10. apc Bennett Aldridge and B. J. Naughton
1843

This day came the plaintiff by his Attornies, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of sixty seven dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37 Thomas Shewell apce of David T. Brantow
against

Pet }
In Debt }
Deft }

Fifa 10. apc John Wainwright
1843

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of six hundred dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.19 John S. Dunn and William E. Proctor Executors of Allen Temple dec^d.

Pets }
In Debt }
Deft }

Fifa 10. apc Alfred J. Naughton
1843

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars, the debt in the Declaration mentioned, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and twenty five dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1838 until paid, and said costs

Costs \$6.53 Thomas Warren apce of William Rose
against

Pet }
In Debt }
Deft }

Fifa 10. apc Skipwith M. Oliver
1843

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and thirty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of May 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for fifty dollars paid the 18th day of January 1842 as per

endorsement on specialty

Additional Robert H. Drunch adm^r of Peter Woodward dec^d who sues for
 Costs 81 cents the benefit of Abel Head
 against
 Robert O. Pollard

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

See pa. 25 for
 Jud^r against
 Stephen H.
 Hummel

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
 with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of sixty eight dollars and three cents
 with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of
 April 1821 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in
 this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c;

Benjamin F. Dabney
 against
 Isaac S. Chappell

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

See vs Dunsford and J. C. Hatcher late merchants & partners
 trading under the firm and style of Dunsford & Hatcher
 against
 Claudius P. Beville

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

D'Arcy Paul, James M. Skwane and Moses Paul partners
 and merchants trading under the style and firm of
 Paul M. Skwane & Co^s
 against
 J. W. Harper, Thomas W. Clark and Robt. S. Coleman part-
 ners and merchants trading under the style and firm
 of J. W. Clark

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

The plaintiffs attorneys having directed that these suits be
 dismissed; it is ordered that the orders of dismissal entered in these
 suits at Rules held in the Clerk's office be confirmed

Thomas Jones
 against
 Barney Hawkins

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

Abt B. Wyatt adm^r of Edward Wyall dec^d
 against
 William Wyman

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

The same
 against
 The same

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

The plaintiff having directed that these suits be dismissed
 it is ordered that the orders of dismissal entered in these suits
 at Rules held in the Clerk's office be confirmed

The Exchange Bank of Virginia
 against
 James H. Boissau

Plt }
 Deft } In Debt

The Sheriff having made return on the Capias issued in this

case, "countersigned by the plaintiffs attorney," it is ordered that the order of abatement entered at rules held in the Clerk's office be confirmed -

1843 April 11th

Costs \$5.14 David Lounan
against
Marston Abernathy

Plt. } In Debt.
Deft. }

The defendant being arrested and in custody of the Sheriff of this county upon a writ of capias ad respondendum issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs: Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant, One hundred and thirty seven ^{dollars} & forty seven cents with legal interest on eighty three dollars & twenty six cents a part thereof from the 6th day of April 1840, till paid, and on fifty four dollars & twenty one cents the residue thereof from the 24th day of May 1841 till paid, the debt and interest aforesaid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c. -
And the defendant is not prayed in custody. -

1843 June 19th

Costs \$4.51 David Lounan
against
Burwell Hitchcock & Joel Hitchcock

Plt. } In Debt.
Deft. }

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as did the defendant Burwell Hitchcock in his proper person, who acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action, for the debt and interest in the writ of capias ad respondendum issued in this case, mentioned, and the costs: Therefore (with the assent of the plaintiff) it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Burwell Hitchcock, One hundred and nine dollars and sixty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of January 1843 until paid, the debt & interest aforesaid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in mercy &c. -

1843 September the 23rd

Costs \$6.53 Robert H. Booth and Saml Plant late partners and
merchants in trade under the style and firm of
Booth & Plant
1843. against
George J. Jones

Plts } In Debt.
Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventy five Dollars and thirteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of January 1843 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c. -

Costs \$7.18
Tota 28. Sept.
1843.

James Bryan, Thomas Flint, Henry W. Cook, William
Cockran and Robert Gorsuch late merchants & partners
under the style and firm of James Bryan & Co. who are
assignees of James Minchree

Plts

against
Sorenz D. Harmon and John Handaway

Defts

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the
defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs
recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred dollars
with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of March
1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this
behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63
Tota 28. Sept.
1843.

Alexander Brander
against
William A. Brown

Plt

In Debt

Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of Four hundred and twenty eight
dollars and sixty four cents with legal interest thereon to be com-
puted from the 15th day of July 1842 until paid, and also his costs
by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in
mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53
Tota 28. Sept.
1843.

Peter Birchett jr
against
Geo. T. Jones

Plt

In Debt

Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of two hundred dollars, the debt
in the Declaration mentioned, and also his costs by him about his
suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c. But
this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred
dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th
day of December 1841 until paid, and said costs. And is to be credited
for Fifty dollars paid the 21st day of March 1842 as per endorsement
on specialty

Costs \$6.37
Tota 28. Sept.
1843.

Claudius P. Bevills, who sues for the benefit of West
Patterson & Mills
against
Robert Allgood.

Plt

In Debt

Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty six Dollars

and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53

Claudius S. Beville, who sues for the benefit of Hunt
Patterson & Wills

Pet

Sept. 28. 1843.

against

Wilkins J. Davis

Deft

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty nine dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53

The same for same

Pet

against

In Debt

Sept. 28. 1843.

Ransom Hudgins

Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty four dollars and eighty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53

The same for same

Pet

against

In Debt

Sept. 28. 1843.

Sirrow C. Jackson

Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seven hundred and six Dollars and twenty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18

Fally Brown
against

Pet

In Debt

Sept. 28. 1843.

Robert C. Pollard William W. Moody

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of September 1839 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for Forty two dollars and fifty cents paid the 20th day of

October 1841 as per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$7.79

Thomas M. Burfoot and Macow Trabue
against

Plts } In Debt

Feby 28. Sept. 1843.

William W. Moody, who signs his name Wm W. Moody
and Stephen P. Hamlin

Defts }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of two hundred and seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of March 1841 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for one hundred dollars paid the 11th day of October 1841. per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$7.18

Benjamin Johnson adm^r of Littleberry Butterworths ad. Sel
against

In Debt

Feby 28. Sept. 1843.

James W. Butterworth and Robert A. Butterworth

Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for Twenty dollars paid the 11th day of January 1843 per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$7.00

John William Booker.
against

Plt } In Debt

Feby 28. Sept. 1843.

M. J. Clay and James Clay.

Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of December 1841 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.45

Ethiel Crowder.
against

Plt } In Debt

Feby 28. Sept. 1843.

Benjamin Johnson

Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and ninety six cents with legal interest on one hundred and three dollars and twenty one cents part thereof to be computed

from the 21st day of April 1836, and the like interest on thirty dollars and seventy five cents the other part thereof from the 16th day of November 1837 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:37

Ethiel Crowder
against

Sept 28. Sept.
1843.

Martha Hawkins

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty eight dollars and twenty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of April 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:63

Robert W. Chappell assignee of Benjamin Johnson Trustee
of Jordan Floyd.

Sept 28. Sept.
1843.

against
Benjamin Johnson surviving obligor with William E.
Boisneau decd.

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and twenty eight dollars and seventy six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1839 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7:26

Robert W. Chappell assee of James Hargrave, who was assee
of Stephen P. Pool.

Sept 28. Sept.
1843.

against
John Hardaway and William H. Goodwyn.

Plt }
In Debt
Defds }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and forty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of October 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:54

Reuben Clements
against

Sept 28. Sept.
1843.

Benjamin Johnson admr of Littleberry Butterworth decd.

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of two hundred and thirty four dollars and thirty six cents, with legal interest on Seven dollars and fifty one

cents a part thereof to be computed from the 13th day of June 1833, on Forty six dollars and eighteen cents another part thereof from the 18th day of July 1833, on thirty four dollars and fifty three cents another part thereof from the 30th day of April 1835, on Ninety four dollars and sixty seven cents another part thereof from the 12th day of August 1836, and on fifty one dollar and forty seven cents the residue thereof from the 4th day of June 1838 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.37
 Sept 28. Sept.
 1843.

George Conway
 against
 Benjamin N. Coupland.

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action,
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty three dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37
 Sept 28. Sept.
 1843.

Alexander Donnan agent of Unah Wells, J. P. Balligan & William Steel partners &c. in trade under the firm & styled of Unah Wells & Co.
 against
 John W. Gilliam

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action,
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of April 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53
 Sept 28. Sept.
 1843.

Aorenzo Dickinson (who sues for the benefit of William Upson)
 against
 Daniel Butts (sometimes called Dan Butts)

Plt }
 In Debt }
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action,
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy one dollar and sixty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of June 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18. John Early and Robert C. Booth Commissioners
 against
 Wm. Ford and Peter Madew.
 Sept 28. Sept. 1843. This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of one thousand six hundred and sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of November 1840 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Plts }
 Defs } In Debt.

Costs \$7.18 The same
 against
 Sept 28. Sept. 1843. The same
 This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of one thousand six hundred and sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of November 1840 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Plts }
 Defs } In Debt.

Costs \$5.86. Daniel Malone adm^r of George W. Evans dec^d. Plt.
 against
 Sept 28. Sept. 1843. Thomas C. Cleaton. Defs.
 Upon a Writ of Scire Facias to revive a Judgment recovered by the plaintiff in his lifetime against the defendant and Joseph T. Williams for one hundred dollars Damages and \$13.19 cents Costs of said suit.
 This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for one hundred dollars, the damages and thirteen dollars and nineteen cents, the costs in the Writ aforesaid specified; and also, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant, his costs by him expended in seeing forth and prosecuting this Writ. And the defendant in mercy &c.

Plt }
 Defs } In Debt.

Costs \$9.45 James H. Poiseau
 against
 B. J. Vaughan, Alfred J. Vaughan, W. H. Goodwyn, S. M. Oliver
 John Mayne and John Hurdaway & Co. W. P. Pool. Plts.
 Defs }
 This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants B. J. Vaughan, Alfred J. Vaughan, W. H. Goodwyn and S. M. Oliver say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said B. J. Vaughan, Alfred J. Vaughan, W. H. Goodwyn and S. M. Oliver the sum of five thousand dollars, the debt in the Declaration mentioned, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendants in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of two thousand five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day

Plt }
 Defs } In Debt.

of May 1842 until paid, and said costs.

Costs \$6.53
Sept 28. Sept
1843.

Ann E. W. Eckles (who sues for the benefit of Hugh A. Garland and Edward Randolph late merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Garland & Randolph), Plt
against
Daniel Butts Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty five dollars and eighty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of September 1842 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &

Costs \$6.53
Sept 28. Sept
1843.

Edwin M. Friends aspx of Thomas M. Pierfoot Plt
against
Thomas S. Pleasants Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &

Costs \$6.53
Sept 28. Sept
1843.

Hugh A. Garland and Edward Randolph late merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Garland & Randolph Plts
against
Daniel Butts Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the deft saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty six dollars and seventy nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of August 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &

Costs \$7.37
Sept 28. Sept
1843.

The same Plt
against
Edward W. Branch Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action;
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and ninety one dollars and fifty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of January 1843 until

paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$8.26 William C. Gregory, who sues for the benefit of William
 A. Roberts jr } Plt
 against } In Debt
 Thomas Whitworth, Daniel E. Allen, John W. Gilliam &
 A. J. Goodwyn } Defs

Sept 28. Sept.
 1843.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of three thousand dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 James W. Gunn aspx of J. B. Powell } Plt
 against } In Debt
 Charles D. Shackelford and John J. Grammer } Defs

Sept 28. Sept.
 1843.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and twenty eight dollars and eighty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs in Evans Coultson J. Harper } Plt
 36 cents against } In Debt
 Gardner Sedbeten and Batty H. Evans } Defs

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant Evans saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Evans the sum of seventy dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the said defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55 John G. Hitchcock aspx of Norman Crawford } Plt
 against } In Debt
 John Standaway } Defs

Sept 28. Sept.
 1843.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty two dollars and twelve cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of December 1837 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for nineteen dollars and forty six cents paid the

20th day of February 1843 and for Fifty one dollars and thirty nine cents paid the 4th day of April 1843 - per endorsements on specialty -

Costs \$6.53

Frances Heath
against

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

Sept 28. Sept. 1843.

James Deabney

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and thirty eight dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of April 1843 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.53

Jeremiah Hitchcock (who sues for the benefit of Spots-wood & Robertson)

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

Sept 28. Sept. 1843.

against
John G. Hitchcock

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty two dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of January 1841 until paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$5.79

William S. Hopkins
against

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

Daniel E. Allen

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and twelve dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of May 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.37

O. F. Ware
against

Plt }
In Debt
Defd }

Sept 28. Sept. 1843.

Mary W. Boipeau administratrix of Wm. E. Boipeau decd.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of November 1835 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.53
 Sept 28. Sept
 1843.

James Hooper and John D. Graff late partners in trade
 by the style of Hooper & Graff
 against
 Thomas J. Pleasants

Plts }
 Defs } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant
 saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover
 against the defendant the sum of one hundred and nine dollars and
 forty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th
 day of July 1843 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit
 in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63
 Sept 28. Sept
 1843.

E. Hooper, James Hooper and J. D. Graff merchants and
 partners trading under the of E. Hooper & Co. endorsees of
 James Hooper and J. D. Graff merchants and partners tra-
 ding under the name and style of Hooper & Graff.
 against
 The same

Plts }
 Defs } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant
 saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of One hundred and eight dollars and
 thirty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th
 day of May 1843 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit
 in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.37
 Sept 28. Sept
 1843.

Richard Booth adm^r of William B. Hamlin dec^d.
 against
 Stephen H. Hamlin and Thomas B. Hamlin

Plt }
 Defs } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
 Stephen H. Hamlin saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs
 action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff
 recover against the said defendant Stephen H. Hamlin the sum of two
 hundred and fifty eight dollars and eighty two cents with legal interest
 thereon to be computed from the 16th day of November 1842 until paid
 and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and
 the said defendant in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged
 by the payment of one hundred and twelve dollars and ninety one
 cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day
 of November 1842 until paid, and said costs.

Costs \$6.98
 Sept 28. Sept
 1843.

George T. Johnson; who sues for the benefit of Wood T.
 Johnson
 against
 Mary M. Poispeau adm^r of William E. Poispeau dec^d.

Plt }
 Defs } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant
 saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of Nine hundred and five dollars and

sixty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of October 1834 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath; And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for one hundred and ten dollars paid the 24th day of March 1838 and for five hundred and eighty dollars and eighty five cents paid the 1st day of August 1842. per endorsements on specially

Costs \$6.98
 Term 28. Sept.
 1843.

Wood T. Johnson
 against
 Mary W. Boiceau administratrix of William E. Boiceau dec'd. Deft
 This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred and seventy six dollars and eighty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of March 1838 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath. And the defendant in mercy &c.

Plt }
 In Debt }

Costs \$7.18
 Term 28. Sept.
 1843.

Elizabeth R. Mumford executrix of James H. Mumford dec'd
 against
 Edmund W. Branch & James Gee. Defts
 This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy six dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Plt }
 In Debt }

Costs \$6.37
 Term 28. Sept.
 1843.

N. M. Martin, David Donnan jr and John Donnan jr merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of N. M. Martin & Donnans
 against
 Joseph C. Puryear (sometimes called Trustees) Deft
 This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and thirty nine dollars and thirty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of October 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Plts }
 In Debt }

Costs \$6.55. Robert W. Mann }
 against } In Debt
 Defs }
 Sept 28. Sept. Robert C. Pollard }
 1843. This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith
 nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars and ninety two cents with
 legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of December 1837
 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended;
 And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$8.00 J. Adolphus Muir, John A. Muir and William A. Muir }
 Executors of G. Muir dec'd. (who sue for the benefit of Jacob B. }
 Sept 28. Sept. Varnum, Robert C. Egerton and Joseph H. Palmer merchants }
 1843. and partners in trade under the firm of Varnum Egerton & Co. Plts }
 against } In Debt
 Defs }
 Edmund M. Branch and H.C. Worsham }
 This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendants
 say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendants Ninety nine dollars and sixty eight cents with
 legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of November 1842
 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf
 expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18 J. A. J. A. and William A. Muir sometimes called the }
 Executors of G. A. Muir } Plts }
 Sept 28. Sept. against } In Debt
 1843. William and J. E. Puryear and N. Newbill } Defs }
 This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendants
 J. E. Puryear and N. Newbill say nothing in bar or preclusion of the
 plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the
 plaintiff recover against the said defendants J. E. Puryear and N. Newbill
 the sum of Ninety eight dollars and seventy cents with legal interest
 thereon to be computed from the 12th day of November 1842 until paid,
 and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended;
 And the said defendants in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made
 return that the defendant William Puryear was no inhabitant, it is
 ordered that the order of abatement entered against him be confirmed.

Costs \$6.55 P. C. Osborne and J. D. Osborne surviving partners of }
 themselves and A. S. Jeffries late merchants & partners }
 Sept 28. Sept. Trading under the firm & style of P. C. Osborne & Co. Plts }
 1843. against } In Debt
 Joel Sturdivant } Defs }
 This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant
 saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover
 against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty nine dollars

and sixty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day of June 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$5.46
Tepa 28. Sept.
1843.

Pleasant C. Osborne and Robert S. Field late merchants and partners in trade under the style of Osborne & Field Pls }
against }
Joel Sturdivant jr exor of Joel Sturdivant Sen. dec. Deft }
this Court recovered by the plaintiffs against Joel Sturdivant Sr on the 2nd day of April 1841 - }
of Seire Facias }
to revive a }
judgment of }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing, It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for ninety three dollars and fourteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of March 1839 until paid and seven dollars and seventeen cents the damages interest and costs in the writ aforesaid specified; and also that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant their costs by them expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the Testator in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath; And the defendant in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for Eighty dollars paid the 2nd day of April 1841 as per endorsement on the writ of Seire Facias.

Costs \$6.53
Tepa 28. Sept.
1843.

S. S. Parsons who sues at the costs and for the benefit of }
Jonas Coubling & Co }
against }
William Beames }
Plt }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of two hundred and forty six dollars and eighty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$7.19
Tepa 28. Sept.
1843.

S. S. Parsons who sues for the benefit of R. R. Bullin }
Trustee }
against }
Richard P. Stith }
Plt }
In Debt }
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and ten dollars and eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of December 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6:53. Duke J. Palmer a^{pe} of Beuther Bott }
 against } In Debt
 Sept 28 Sept. John W. Williamson, Henry C. Worsham (who signs his name }
 1843. H.C. Worsham), and G.W. Tucker. } Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant Worsham saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants Worsham the sum of twelve hundred dollars, the debt in the Declaration mentioned, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the said defendant in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of six hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of July 1840 until paid, and said costs - The Sheriff having made return that the defendants Williamson and Tucker were no inhabitants of this County, it is ordered that the order of abatement entered against the said defendants at rules held in the Clerk's Office be confirmed -

Costs \$7:89. Mary B. Reeves adm^r of John G. Reeves dec^d. who was a^{pe} }
 of John Wainwright, who was a^{pe} of David T. Brantow } In Debt
 Sept 28 Sept. against }
 1843. William G. Major } Deft

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of six hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of December 1842 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7:18. Daniel Bulls Es^r of Tabitha Steel dec^d, who sues for the }
 benefit of Mary B. Reeves adm^r of John G. Reeves dec^d. } In Debt
 Sept 28 Sept. against }
 1843. James Dabney and P. H. Naden } Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty one dollars and ten cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of November 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:20. Robert W. Stow, who sues for the benefit of Frances }
 Heath } In Debt
 Sept 28 Sept. against }
 1843. Edward O. Spain, Robert H. Spain, Daniel M. Jolly and }
 William Spain } Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants Edward O. Spain Daniel M. Jolly and William Spain say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered

See page 40 for jury
against Robt. A. Sparr

1843 September the 28th

by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants Edward P. Sparr, Daniel M. Jolly and William Sparr the sum of Fifty nine dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of December 1821 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$5.97

Francis E Sparr

against

William A. Cousins and Edward Worsham

Plt

In Debt

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant Cousins with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Cousins the sum of Nine hundred and sixty four dollars and fifty four cents the debt in the Declaration mentioned, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the said defendant in mercy &c. - But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of four hundred and eighty two dollars and twenty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of April 1831 until paid, and said costs. And is to be credited for one hundred dollar paid the 15th day of February 1834. per endorsement on specialty - The Sheriff having made return that the defendant Worsham was no inhabitant of this County, it is ordered that the order of abatement entered against him at Holes held in the Clerks Office be confirmed

Costs \$6.60

The same

against

William A. Cousins and N. C. Worsham

Plt

In Debt

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of November 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$5.79

Henry Sparr

against

William A. Cousins and Herbert Rees

Plt

In Debt

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, & the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of September 1830 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c. - This judgment is to be credited for three dollars and sixty five cents paid the 17th day of November

1834 - per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$6:37
 Sept 28. 1843.
 Richard Booth ^{Exor} of John Stratton dec^d
 against
 Stephen H. Hamlin

Plt }
 Defd } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred and sixty seven dollars and fifty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, And the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6:37
 Sept 28. 1843.
 F. C. Stambach spec^r of Isaac Honey
 against
 Mary W. Boiceau adm^r of W. E. Boiceau dec^d

Plt }
 Defd } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty four dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of February 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, And the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$7:18
 Sept 28. 1843.
 Sterling H. Tucker, who sues for the benefit of John W. Butterworth
 against
 John W. State and William State

Plt }
 Defds } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of November 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendants in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for seventy four dollars and sixty cents paid the 18th day of December 1842, per endorsement on specialty

Costs \$7:58
 Sept 28. 1843.
 John W. Tucker
 against
 William J. Davis and James S. Jackson

Plt }
 Defds } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant Davis saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Davis the sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and eighty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of November 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made return that the defendant

Jackson was no inhabitant of this County, it is ordered that the order of abatement entered against the said defendant at Rules held in the Clerks Office be confirmed.

Costs \$6.37
Sept 28
1843

Peyton Wells.
against
Mary W. Boiceau administratrix of William C. Boiceau decd. Deft

Plt }
In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of April 1839 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath. And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for thirty dollars paid the 4th day of March 1843. per endorsement on specially

Costs \$7.63
Sept 28
1843

Robert A. Branch sometimes called administratrix of Dr. Peter Woodward, who sues at the costs and for the benefit of Abel Head

Plt }
In Debt

against
William A. Neal, Richard S. Moody & Robt. B. Pollard. Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy one dollar and fifty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of March 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
Sept 28
1843

Dunkin N. Webb.
against
Robert S. Butterworth

Plt }
In Debt
Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty five dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of February 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$1.08
Tucker

Thomas Whitworth and Allen S. Archer Millers & partners trading under the style & firm of Whitworth & Archer Plts
against
Amistead W. Provis, Seth W. Tucker and Thompson Stewart.

Plts }
In Debt
Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the

defendant Tucker saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant Tucker the sum of Seventy dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of November 1842 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. And the said debt in mercy &c

Mary B. Reives administratrix of Jno. G. Reives decd who was Plt
appt of B. J. Naughan
against

Alfred J. Naughan, Bennett Aldridge, Jno. W. P. Pool, John Hargrave and John Handaway. Defts

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, and the defendants Naughan and Aldridge say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants Naughan and Aldridge the sum of One thousand dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; And the said defendants in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made return that the defendant Pool was no inhabitant of this County, it is ordered that the order of abatement entered against him, be confirmed.

The same

against

Alfred J. Naughan, S. M. Oliver & John Hargrave surrs Defts
-ving obligors of themselves and John G. Dalmy

Plt

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, and the defendants Naughan and Oliver say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants Naughan and Oliver the sum of Four thousand dollars, the debt in the Declaration mentioned; and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendants in mercy &c. But the payment may be discharged by the payment of two thousand dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and said costs

Edwin Fraser

against

Edward A. Allen and Charles Young, who signs his name
Chas. Young

Plt

In Debt

J. C. Stambaugh appt of James W. Peggam

Defts

Plt

In Debt

Abner Adams

Defts

The same

Plt

against

The same

Defts

In Debt

The plaintiffs attorneys having directed that these suits be dismissed, it is ordered that the orders of dismissal entered in these suits at Rules held in the Clerk's Office be confirmed

1843 September the 2nd

Thomas G. Chappell (who sues for the benefit of Thomas
 Pinchebeck,) Plt }
 against In Debt
 Mary S. Ropf ex^{ty} of William Ropf dec^d Deft }

The plaintiff having directed that this suit be dismissed,
 it is ordered that the order of dismissal entered in this suit at
 rules held in the Clerk's Office, be dismissed.

Robert W. Chappell ap^{ee} of Joseph Wilson Plt }
 against In Debt
 Edward A. Mayes ex^{or} of Mary Lewis dec^d Deft }

The plaintiffs' attorney having directed that this suit be
 dismissed, it is ordered that the order of dismissal entered in this
 suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office, be confirmed.

Francis Fitzgerald Plt }
 against In Debt
 Hutchings Abernathy and Joseph W. Harpen Defts }

The Sheriff having made return of "countermanded by the
 plaintiffs' instructions" it is ordered that the order of abatement entered
 in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office, be confirmed.

John G. Reeves ap^{ee} of A. W. Pruse Plt }
 against In Debt
 Seth W. Tucker Deft }

The plaintiff being dead, the order of abatement entered at
 rules held in the Clerk's Office is confirmed.

Seth W. Tucker Plt }
 against Jaspas vic
 Sylvanus Johnson Deft amio }

The Sheriff having made return "Countermanded by Plaintiff
 Attorney" it is ordered that the order of abatement entered in this suit
 at rules held in the Clerk's Office be confirmed.

1843 November 4th

Costs pl. 96

A. Sedgwick and R. Sedgwick merchants & partners
 under the firm and style of A. & R. Sedgwick Plts }
 against In Debt
 Thomas S. Pleasants Deft }

The defendant being arrested and in custody of the Sheriff of this county,
 upon a writ of Capias ad respondendum issued in this case, acknowledgeth
 the plaintiffs' action, for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned
 and the costs: Therefore it is considered that the plaintiffs recover against
 the defendant Four hundred and thirty eight dollars & seventy six cents
 with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of February
 1843 till paid, the debt and interest aforesaid: And also their costs by them
 about their suit in this behalf expended: And the defunct in money &c.
 And the defendant is not prayed in custody

1843 December 1st

Costs \$5.72
Tifa: 17. apr
1844

Joseph Wilson who sues for the benefit of Conrad Christian ap^t. Mt.
against
Stephen M. Mayes
The defendant being arrested and in custody of the Sheriff of this county, upon a writ of *habeas corpus* ad *respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs' action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs: Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Eighty eight dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of April 1843 till paid, the debt & interest aforesaid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendant in money &c. - and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

In Debt
Deft.

1844 March 14th

Costs \$7.78

Benjamin Jones and William Johnson partners under
the name of William Johnson and Company
against
Thomas S. Pleasants
The defendant being in custody of the Sheriff of this county upon a writ of *habeas corpus* ad *respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs' action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs: Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant Two hundred and fifty dollars and two dollars & twenty five cents, with legal interest on Two hundred and fifty dollars part thereof to be computed from the 28th day of August 1843 till paid, the debt and interest aforesaid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. and the defendant in money &c. - and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

In Debt
Deft.

Office Judgments confirmed April the 5th 1844.

Costs \$9.00
Tifa: 10th apr
1844

James W^d. Anderson and Littelton S. Rives late partners
in trade by the style of Anderson & Rives
against
Goodwyn Chandler and Thomas Chandler
This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty one dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of October 1843 till paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

In Debt
Deft.

Costs \$6.73
Tifa: 10. apr 1844

E. H. Allen who sues for the benefit of E. W. Branch
Trusted of E. W. Branch
against
Mary G. Cox
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars and nine cents with legal interest thereon

In Debt
Deft.

1844 April 5th

to be computed from the 5th day of February 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.55
Tifa: 10. apr. 1844

Albert Bailey
against
Simon C. Jackson and Daniel J. Jackson

Pet. }
In Debt }
left: }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and this suit having abated as to the defendant Daniel J. Jackson, and the defendant Simon C. Jackson saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Simon C. Jackson the sum of Nine hundred and forty seven dollars and thirty four cents: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant Simon C. in money &c.

But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Four hundred and seventy three dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of October 1843 until paid, and the said costs.

Costs \$6.55
Tifa: 10. apr. 1844

The Same
against
The Same

Pet. }
In Debt }
left: }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and this suit having abated as to the defendant Daniel J. Jackson, and the defendant Simon C. Jackson saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Simon C. Jackson the sum of Four hundred & thirty seven dollars and thirty four cents, the debt in the declaration mentioned: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the said debt: Simon C. in money &c.

But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of four hundred and seventy three dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interests thereon to be computed from the 12th day of October 1842 until paid, and the said costs: and is to be credited for three hundred and two dollars and forty four cents paid on the 20th Oct. 1842 as per endorsement on bond.

Costs \$6.55
Tifa: 10. apr. 1844

John H. Bailey (who sues for the benefit of Herbert Viers)
against
Simon C. Jackson

Pet. }
In Debt }
left: }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and sixty eight dollars and eighty cents, the debt in the declaration mentioned; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the debt: in money &c.

But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and thirty four dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of January 1839 till paid and the said costs. And is to be credited for \$51.51 paid 20th Oct. 1841.

Costs \$6.75
Fifa: 10. April 1846

D. H. Branch Trustee of C. W. Branch assigned of
Charles Young who was assigned of Sally Brown, who
was assigned of C. H. Allen
against
William Reames

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety seven dollars and thirty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2^d day of February 1843 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the debt in money & — And is to be credited for \$6.65 cents per endorsement on specially. —

Costs \$6.55
Fifa: 10. April 1846

Norman Crawford
against
H. H. Lewis surviving obligor of John Hardaway deceased
and himself

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and ninety eight dollars and eighty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of February 1843 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money & — and is to be credited for One hundred and thirty six dollars and five cents paid the 5th day of October 1843 per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$7.55
Fifa: 10. April 1846

Asa Mann executor of Elizabeth Cousins dec^d (who sues for the benefit of James W.D. Anderson and Sittleton J. Rives late partners & merchants in trade under the firm & style of Anderson & Rives)
against
Guthrie Gott

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy seven dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of November 1843 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money & —

Costs \$6.73
Fifa: 10. April 1846

The same (for the same)
against
Jos. E. Cousins and Henry Whifrey

Plt. } In Debt
Dft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and this suit having abated as to the defendant Cousins, and the defendant Whifrey saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: — Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Whifrey

1844 April 5th

the sum of One hundred and twelve dollars and ninety cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of November 1843 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant likewise in money &c. And is to be credited for Twenty five dollars paid the 23^d of November 1842 as per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$7.16
Tifa: 10. Apr. 1844.

G. A. Lonalle
against
Edward G. Lundy

Plt. } In Debt.
Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars and eleven cts with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of April 1842 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.73
Tifa: 10. Apr. 1844.

Edward N. Hlabury and Richard Leauthon partners
trading under the firm and style of Hlabury &
Leauthon

Plts. } In Debt.

against
Thomas Whitworth co. obligor with W. W. Weston Dft.
This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$5.96

Daniel Malone adm^r of George M. Evans dec^d
against
James Maurel adm^r of Joseph T. Williams dec^d

Plt. } Upon a writ
of Scirefacias
Dft. } The record a judgment of this court recovered by the plaintiffs interested in his lifetime, against Thomas L. Cheator and Joseph T. Williams on the 5th day of April 1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned, and not appearing, Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for One hundred dollars, and also thirteen dollars and nineteen cents, the damages and costs in the writ aforesaid specified: and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ: To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said Joseph T. Williams dec^d in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath: and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$7:36
Fifa: 10. Apr. 1844

William Hawthorn surviving partner of the late firm
of Wm. and T. F. Hawthorn Merchants & Co.
against
Alexander Montgomery and Susannah J. Slaughter Defts.

Plt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say
nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is
considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants
the sum of Two hundred and fifty five dollars and ninety six cents with
legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of November
1841 till paid: And also his costs by him, his suit in this behalf
expended: And the defendants in money &c. —
And is to be credited for \$63:76 cents paid the 2nd day of November 1841,
for \$119:73 cents on the same day, for \$12:60 on the 30th November and
for \$9:38 cents on the 12th day of August: — as per endorsements in specially

Costs \$6:73
Fifa: 10. Apr. 1844

Edwin James, Fleming James & Joseph S. James Merchants
& partners in trade under the style & firm of Edwin James & Co. Plt.
against
Isaac G. Sundry Deft.

Plt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant
saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover
against the defendant the sum of fifty three dollars and eighteen cents
with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of Sept.
1843 till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in
this behalf expended: — and the defendant in money &c. —

Costs \$7:79
Fifa: 10. Apr. 1844

W. H. Lewis
against
Benjamin F. Poland and James Crowder

Plt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants
say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: —
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against
the defendant the sum of Ninety dollars with legal interest thereon
to be computed from the 10th day of December 1843 till paid: and
also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and
the defendants in money &c. —

Costs \$7:18
Fifa: 10. Apr. 1844

William H. E. Merritt
against
William H. Manlove and Mary A. H. Winfield

Plt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say
nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is
considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants
the sum of One hundred and five dollars with legal interest thereon to be
computed from the 25th day of December 1843 till paid; And also his costs
by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendants in
money &c. — And is to be credited for \$13:86 cents. —

Costs \$6.55 R. H. Meade

vs. 10. Apr. 1846 H. H. Lewis

Plt. } In Debt
Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seven dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of April 1842 till paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c

Costs \$6.55 Stephen P. Pool

vs. 10. Apr. 1846 Daniel H. Bunge & Elijah A. Blissfield

Plt. } In Debt
Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and their suit having abated as to the defendant Bunge, and the defendant Blissfield saying nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Blissfield the sum of One hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of October 1841 till paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the deft. Blissfield in money &c

Costs \$6.17 Edward P. Scott sometimes called exor of James Scott deceased

vs. 10. Apr. 1846 Asham E. Hargrave late Sheriff of Lincoln County and as such admin of Jas. H. Smith dec. Deft.

Plt. } Upon a writ of Habeas Corpus to review a judgement of this Court, recovered by the plaintiff against the

intestate of the defendant in his lifetime, on the 17th day of September 1842. -
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned, and not appearing: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for Eight hundred and seventy three dollars and sixteen cents, and also seven dollars and thirty two cents the debt and costs in the writ aforesaid specified; and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said John H. Smith dec. in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath, and the defendant in money &c - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Four hundred and thirty six dollars & fifty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of May 1842 until paid, and the said costs.

1844 April 5th

Costs \$6.77

Edward V. Scott sometimes called adm^r of James Scott deceased

Pt. } Upon a writ of Scire-facias to revise a judgment of this court, recovered by the plaintiff against

Feas: 10. Apr. 1844.

against Scham E. Hargrave late Sheriff of Blunswick County and as such adm^r of John H. Smith dec^d. the intestate of the defendant in his lifetime, on the 17th day of September 1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for Two thousand six hundred and thirty dollars and twenty two cents, and also seven dollars and thirty two cents the debt and costs in the writ aforesaid specified; and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in seeing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said John H. Smith dec^d in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath, and the defend^t in money &c.

But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One thousand three hundred and fifteen dollars and eleven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of May 1842 till paid, and the said costs

Costs \$6.27

Edward V. Scott against The same

Pt. } Upon a writ of Scire-facias to revise a judgment of this court

Feas: 10. Apr. 1844

recovered by the plaintiff against the intestate of the defendant in his lifetime, on the 17th day of Sept^r 1842.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defend^t for Three hundred and sixty two dollars and eighty cents, and also seven dollars and thirty two cents the debt and costs in the writ aforesaid specified; and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in seeing forth & prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said John H. Smith deceased in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath and the defendant in money &c.

But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and eighty one dollar and forty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of May 1842 till paid, and all the said costs.

Costs \$9.71

David F. Hoy sometimes called Commissioner of the

Pt. } In debt, Defts

Feas: 19th June 1844

against Amistead L. Prosser, Daniel G. Prosser and Stephen P. Pool

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant Pool saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defend^t Pool the sum of Three hundred and twenty dollars and fifty one cents

1844 April 5th

with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of December 1839 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the said defendant Pool in money &c. This judgment is to be credited for Sixty two dollars & ninety cents paid on the 19th of July 1841, as per endorsement in speciality.

Costs \$7.18
Sifa. 10. Apr. 1844.

Lewis P. Lanier assignee of Daniel J. Sturdivant dec'd Pet. }
against } In Debt
Joel Sturdivant and Thomas Thwaitt Defts.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of November 1842 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

Costs \$6.73
Sifa. 10. Apr. 1844.

Richard P. Smith (who sues for the benefit of Garland and Randolph) Pet. }
against } In Debt
David Thrift Defts.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendant the sum of Fifty four dollars and ten cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1843 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$7.36
Sifa. 10. Apr. 1844.

Stirling N. Tucker (who sues for the benefit of William Hawthorn) Pet. }
against } In Debt
John M. States and William States Defts.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendants the sum of Three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of November 1843 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in money &c.

Costs \$7.66
Sifa. 10. Apr. '44

Ho. E. Warren Pet. }
against } In Debt
J. P. Lanier and John Peggan Defts.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: -
Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendants the sum of Sixty one dollars and sixty three cents

1844 April 5th

with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of April 1842, till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in money &c. —

Thomas Pritchett executor of Anthony Pritchett dec^d } Pet.
against } In Debt
Daniel Atkins } Deft.
By direction of Henry Cook adm^r of Anthony Pritchett dec^d it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Ethiel Crowder } Pet.
against } In Lease
Holly T. Hawks executor of James Hawks dec^d } Deft.
The same } Pet.
against } In Debt
The same } Deft.
By direction of the plaintiff, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed

Benjamin Leardle } Pet.
against } In Lease
C. H. Young adm^r of Isaac P. Coleman dec^d } Deft.
The same } Pet.
against } In Debt
The same } Deft.
Frances Heath } Pet.
against } In Debt
Margaret Foard and Winfield Foard } Defts.
By direction of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed. —

1844 September the 21st

Costs \$6.55
Sept 27. Septem
1844.

Peter F. Balle, who sues for the benefit of William Edloe and others } Pet.
against } In Debt
Joseph T. Royall } Deft.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred and twenty eight dollars and twenty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of March 1844 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c.

Costs \$6.98
Sept 27. Sept
1844

Elizabeth Bridgeland ap^{pe} of John A. Bridgeland } Pet.
against } In Debt
Benjamin F. Poland } Deft.
This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, & the defendant

said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty dollars and seventy cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of October 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.91

Thomas G. Chappell
against

Plt

In Debt

Sept 27. Sep. 1844

H. H. Lewis surviving obligor of John Hardaway and himself.

Def

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of September 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63

John S. Cooper assignee of Lucy Gee adm^r of William D. Gee dec^d
against

Plt

In Debt

Sept 27. Sep. 1844

Benjamin Johnson adm^r of Littleberry Butterworth deceased.

Def

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney & the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and ten dollars the debt in the Declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate, in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath; and the defendant in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of fifty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1846 until paid, and said costs. And is to be credited for forty six dollars and eighty eight cents paid the 21st day of July 1843. per endorsement on specially.

Costs \$7.54

Edward Conway
against

Plt

In Debt

Sept 27. Sep. 1844

Josiah Farlow, James Perkins & Hartwell Vaughan

Def

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, & the defendants Farlow and Perkins say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants Farlow & Perkins the sum of two hundred and eighty eight dollars and

sums with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made return that the defendant Naughton was no inhabitant of this County, the order of abatement entered against him at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside.

Costs \$7.55

John H. Digger and Benjamin H. Williamson late partners in trade under the style of Digger & Williamson Pls

Sept 27. Sep. 1844.

against Joseph T. Royall

In Debt

Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty two dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of December 1843 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.81

Alexander Donnan aspx of Charles J. Cabanis, who was aspx of Robert C. Booth Pls

Sept 27. Sep. 1844.

against Shuman Browder jr

In Debt

Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of January 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for fifty sea dollars paid the 6th day of November 1841. per endorsement on specialty.

Costs \$7.36

Alexander Donnan sometimes called Court in suit Tucker &c vs Kidd &c aspx of William Lewis Pls

Sept 27. Sep. 1844.

against Peter Lewis and Thomas Lewis

In Debt

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of June 1844 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.73
Tota 27. Sep
1844

Sterling Ford assignee of M. J. Parkdale who was
assignee of Thomas G. Table. Plt
against Def
Shum G. Sundry In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of two thousand two hundred and twenty two dollars and twenty one and one third cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1844 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.15

Malachi Dupreist who dies for the benefit of Mary
D. Rives widow of Bro. G. Rives dec. Plt
against Def
Benjamin G. Walker In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of October 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$6.73
Tota 27. Sep
1844

Lewis C. Harvie and Egbert G. Leigh trustees &c Plts
against Def
J. E. Scott and John Harris In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant Scott said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the said defendant Scott the sum of Sixty four dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of April 1844 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the said defendant in mercy &c. The Sheriff having made return that the defendant Harris was no inhabitant of this County, the order of abatement entered against him at rules held in the Clerk's Office is confirmed.

Costs \$6.73
Tota 27. Sep
1844

Isaac C. Haygrave Plt
against Def
William A. Manlove In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum

of one hundred and thirty one dollars and sixty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of May 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18
Sept 27. Sept.
1844.

Green Hill ex^r of Richard Hill (who sues for the benefit of William Mitchell)
against
William Bishop and James Bishop sen^r.

\$11 } In Debt
Defts }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety nine dollars and ninety nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1839 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$5.79
Sept 27. Sept.
1844.

Branch T. Hunt, John H. Patterson and Peter D. Mills late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Hunt Patterson & Mills
against
William Roames.

\$11 } In a writ of Scire
Deft & Facias

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing, on the motion of the plaintiffs it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendant for seven hundred and forty four dollars and seventy four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of May 1842 till paid, the debt and interest, and five dollars and ninety seven cents, the costs in the writ aforesaid specified; and also, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant their costs by them expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for one hundred dollars paid the 29th of June 1842, per endorsement on Scire facias.

Costs \$6.55
Sept 27. Sept.
1844.

Branch T. Hunt.
against
William H. Hawkins adm^r of Parna Hawkins dec^d.

\$11 } In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant says nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars and eighty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath; And the debt in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.73

Sept 27. Sept. 1844

Benjamin B. Jones, who sues for the benefit of D. May, Paul James McSwaine and Moses Paul merchants, and partners in trade under the firm and style of Paul McSwaine & Co.

against J. E. Scott

Plt
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy six dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1844 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.73

Sept 27. Sept. 1844

Septa Kennedy, who sues for the benefit of Mary B. Reeves administratrix of John G. Reeves decd

against James Sturdivant

Plt
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and ninety dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of December 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.63

Sept 27. Sept. 1844

William Mason

against Susan A. Hardaway administratrix of Mrs. Hardaway decd

Plt
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action;

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1841 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath. And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.73

Sept 27. Sept. 1844

N. M. Martin, David Donnan jr and John Donnan jr Merchants and partners trading under the firm and style N. M. Martin & Donnan assignees of David Donnan, who was assignee of Green Hill assign of Richard Hill decd

against Joel Sturdivant jr surviving obligor of himself and Joel Sturdivant Senr. decd

Plt
Def

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant, the sum of seventy nine dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of March 1840 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &

Eliza Nalle executrix of William N. Nalle dec. Pet }
 against } In Debt.
 Robert G. Pollard and William M. Moody. Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy & This judgment is to be credited for Ten dollars paid the 1st day of January 1843. per endorsement on specialty.

Costs \$6.15 Elizabeth Rose Pet }
 against } In Debt.
 Benjamin J. Tucker, William B. Hawks, Richard G. Tucker jr and Benjamin J. Tucker surviving Heirs of themselves and James Hawks dec. Defts

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, & the defendant Benjamin J. Tucker saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant Benjamin J. Tucker the sum of three hundred and eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1838 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; And the said defendant in mercy & This judgment is to be credited for one hundred and twenty dollars paid the 19th March 1840. for eighty dollars paid the 9th day of October 1840. for Forty dollars paid the 16th day of January 1843 for sixty four dollars paid the 20th day of November 1843 and for Fifty dollars paid the 17th day of July 1843 per endorsement on specialty. The Sheriff having made return that the defts Benjamin J. Tucker, William B. Hawks and Richard G. Tucker jr were no inhabitants of this County, the order of abatement entered against them at rules held in the Clerk's Office is confirmed.

Costs \$5.97 The same Pet }
 against } In Debt.
 Holly T. Hawks executrix of James Hawks deceased Deft

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney, & the defendant

suit nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1838 until paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: To be levied of the goods and chattels of the testator in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath; And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for one hundred and twenty dollars paid the 19th day of March 1840, for Eighty dollars paid the 9th day of October 1840, for Forty dollars paid the 16th day of January 1843, for Sixty four dollars paid the 20th day of November 1843, and for Fifty dollars paid the 17th day of July 1843, per endorsements on specially

Costs \$6.73
 Sept 27 1844

Richard G. Sprague
 against
 Ro. S. Butterworth, who signs himself Robert B. Butterworth

Pet
 Deft

} In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of May 1843 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
 Sept 27 1844

Leincinnatus Stith, who sues for the benefit of William Sydnor.
 against
 Susan A. Hardaway adm^r of John Hardaway dec^d Deft

Pet
 Deft

} In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant with nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety three dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of December 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate, in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof she hath; And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for Ten dollars paid the 15th day of December 1842 per endorsement on specially

Costs \$7.55
 Sept 27 1844

P. C. Spencer, who sues for the benefit of William Ferris.
 against
 Joseph T. Royall

Pet
 Deft

} In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant

said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty three dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of January 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.73

Sept 27. Sep. 1844.

Richard Sturdivant, Josephus Hunt and Samuel J Hunt merchants and partners in trade by the style of Sturdivant Hunt & Co.

against
Isel Sturdivant

Plts

Deft

In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and thirty four dollar and thirty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of February 1844 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.36

Sept 27. Sep. 1844.

G. M. Tucker assignee of John A. Bridgland, who was assignee of Peter E. Sandifer, who was assignee of A. J. Bevell

against
James Clay and M. H. Lewis

Plt

Defts

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy three dollars and thirty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of February 1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.53

Sept 27. Sep. 1844.

William F. Thompson
against

Simon C. Jackson

Plt

Deft

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twelve dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1833, Seventy eight dollars with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1834, Twenty five dollar with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1835, Twenty five dollar with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1836, Fifteen dollar with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1837, Six dollar with like interest thereon

from the 1st day of January 1838. Twenty dollars and seventy five cents with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1839. Sixty dollars with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1840. Twelve dollars and seventy five cents with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1843 and Five dollars with like interest thereon from the 31st day of August 1843 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in mercy be

Courts pp: 18
Sept: 27. 1844.

Richard G. Tucker sometimes called administrator of
Penny J. Tucker dec'd

Plt

against

S. M. Oliver Sheriff and as such admin of E. L. Peggam
dec'd and N. A. Lewis admin of Bro. Handaway dec'd

Defts

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of fifty eight dollars and fifty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of July 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: To be levies of the goods and chattels of the intestates in the hands of the defendants to be administered if so much thereof they have. And the defendants in mercy be. This judgment is to be credited for five dollars paid the 25th day of December 1841 per endorsement on specialty

John B. Chambers

Plt

against

Daniel Butts

Deft

In Trespass vi et armis

The plaintiff having directed that this suit be dismissed the order of dismissal entered in this suit at rules held in the Clerks Office be confirmed

Richard A. Farham, who sees for the benefit of R. G. Blund

Plt

against

Grien Hill surviving obligor of himself and Richard Hill dec'd

Deft

In Debt

Edward Randolph, Thomas H. Peebles and George C. Scott merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Randolph Peebles & Co

Plts

against

John B. Chambers

Deft

In Case

The plaintiffs attorneys having directed that these suits be dismissed the orders of dismissal entered in these suits at rules held in the Clerks Office be confirmed

1845 April 1st

Costs \$6.73

James M^c. D. Anderson and Littleton J. Pieves late partners in trade by the style and under the firm of Anderson & Pieves. - Pts

Defa: 5th Apr 1845

against (In Debt) Deft
Hambledon Williamson

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of five hundred and forty two dollars and forty four cents with legal interest thereon from the 22nd March 1843 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. of the Court.

Costs \$6.73

James M^c. D. Anderson and Littleton J. Pieves late partners in trade by the style of Anderson and Pieves. Pts In Debt

Defa: 5th Apr 1845

against Deft
Thomas E. Whitlock

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the

plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy two dollars and fifty eight cents with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of June 1843 until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. - This judgment is to be credited for fifty ^{five} dollars paid the 20th day of March 1845 per endorsement on specialty. -

Costs \$5.82

James Bryan, Thomas Plant, Henry W. Cook, William Blackcrane and Robert Gorsuch late merchants and partners under the style and firm of James Bryan & Co who are assignees of James Minstree. - Pts

Defa 5th Apr 1845

against Deft
Hartwell H. Lewis administrator de bonis non of John Hardaway dec^d who was co-obligor with Lorenzo O. Harmon the intestate of the defendant in his lifetime, on the 23rd day of September 1843. -

Upon a Writ of Scirefacias to revive a judgment of this court recovered by the plaintiffs against

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned, and not appearing. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendant for one hundred dollars for debt with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of March 1842 until paid, and also seven dollars and eighteen cents for their costs by them about their suit in that behalf expended, and also that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the said John Hardaway dec^d in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he

hath- And the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$0.55

William A Cousins
against
John Wainwright

Plt
Deft } In Debt

Filed 5th April 1845

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. - Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and ten dollars with legal interest thereon from the 24th day of April 1842 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for sixty dollars paid the 1st day of January 1843. also for fifty dollars paid the 17th day of March 1845 per endorsements on specialty -

Costs \$0.73

Reuben Clements
against
A. S. Goodwyn

Plt
Deft } In Debt

Filed 5th April 1845

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. - Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of fifty six dollars and ninety five cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of March 1844 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$0.55

David F Hoy
against
James Sturdivant

Plt
Deft } In Debt

Filed 5th April 1845

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. - Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty one dollars and fifty two cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 27th day of April 1844 until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c

Costs \$8.00

Mark M. Hamvell assignee of B. J. Vaughan
Trustee of Alfred J. Vaughan
against
Isaac Roaney and Green Hill surviving obligors of themselves and John Hardaway dec'd

Plt
Defts } In Debt

Filed 5th April 1845

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the

plaintiffs' action. - Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of December 1842 until paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for Twenty dollars paid the 18th day of September 1844. per endorsement on specialty. -

Costs \$0.63

Abel Head
against
John Bolling

Plt. } In Debt
Deft. }

Fifa 5th Apr. 1845

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. - Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and sixty five dollars and fifty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of August 1841. until paid. - And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. - And the defendant in mercy &c. -

Costs \$7.36

John F. Macklin Administrator of Susan Jones dec.
against
Henry A. Moody and Frances P. Hitchcock

Plt. } In Debt
Defts }

Fifa 5th Apr. 1845

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. - Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty five dollars and fifty five cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1843. until paid. - And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. - And the defendants in mercy &c. -

Costs \$5.82

William Mason.
against
Hartwell H. Lorris administrator de bonis non of John Hardaway dec. who was co-obligor with Edward S. Pegrum dec.

Plt. } Upon a Writ of
Scirefacias to re-
cover a judgment
of this court.
Deft. } recovered by the

Fifa 5th Apr. 1845

plaintiff against Susan A. Hardaway administratrix of John Hardaway dec. on the 21st day of September 1844. - This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned and not appearing. - Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for One hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest on Seventy five dollars, a part thereof from the 25th day of December 1841. and the like interest on Seventy five dollars the residue thereof from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid. And also \$0.63 cents for his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended. - And also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing for the same and

prosecuting this Writ. - To be levied of the goods and chattels of ^{they say} John Hardaway dec^d in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath. - And the defendant in mercy &c -

Costs \$7.99

James M. P. Pool who sues for the benefit of
Jno. W. P. Pool -

Ptts

} In Debt

Sept 5th Apr. 1845

Benjamin F. Poland, James Clay and John
S. Pollan -

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. -

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty five dollars and twelve cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of December 1844 until paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. - And the defendant in mercy &c -

Costs \$7.38

George W. Rose

Ptts

} In Debt

Sept 5th Apr. 1845

John Hargrave and Isham C. Hargrave

Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Six hundred and eight dollars and ninety cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of February 1844 until paid. - And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. - And the defendant in mercy &c -

James M^d. Anderson and Littleton J. Rives late partners in trade by the style of Anderson and Rives. -

Ptts

} In Debt

against
Alexander Stow and Thomas B. Hamlin

Defts

John G. Hitchcock - assignee of Norman Crawford

Ptts

} In a Writ of
Fieri Facias

against
Hartwell H. Lewis administrator of John
Hardaway dec^d

Defts

Alexander Blount assignee of James Young
executor of J. M. Ford dec^d who was assignee of William
Truicy

Ptts

} In Debt

against
Abraham Spain

Defts

By direction of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed. -

1845 January 20th

Costs \$ 5.32. Frances Butler formerly Hitchcock and William J. Butler who sue for the benefit of Daniel Malone P^{ts}. } In Debt
 against }
 John W. Phillips Debt

The defendant being arrested and in the custody of the Sheriff of the County, upon a writ of *Capias ad respondendum* issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant, seventy seven dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1844 until paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. And the defendant is prayed in custody. —

1845 September the 20th

Costs \$ 7.55. James M. D. Anderson and Lotteton J. Gives late partners in trade by the style of Anderson & Gives P^{ts}. } In Debt
 Fifw 20th Sep. 1845 against }
 Cary. Jones.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and nine dollars and fifty nine cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of August 1842 until paid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 8.13. The same P^{ts} } In Debt
 Fifa 25th Sep. 1845 against }
 John W. Buttermorth Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of seventy three dollars and forty five cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of December 1842 until paid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 6.73 Fabian Armistead who sues for the benefit of his trustees &c P^{ts} } In Debt
 Fifa 25th Sep. 1845 against }
 J. E. Scott Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixteen dollars and fifty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of January 1844 until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$6.56
Sept 25th 1840

Reuben Clements
against
Marston Abernathy

Plt. } In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant, saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars and forty three cents, with legal interest on forty four dollars and eighty two cents a part thereof, from the 23rd day of April 1838 until paid, and the like interest on fifty five dollars and sixty one cents the residue thereof from the 26th day of May 1841 until paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in Mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55
Sept 25th 1845

Robert W. Chappell
against

Plt. } In Debt
Deft }

Alipmuth M. Oliver adm^r of Jas. W. Buttermorth dec. Deft.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty seven dollars and thirty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of January 1843 until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the intestate, in the hands of the defendant, to be administered of so much thereof as he hath. And the defendant in Mercy &c.

Costs \$7.18
Sept 25th 1845

John B. Chambers who sues for the benefit of S. H. Jones
against
Robert Coche and H. H. Lewis

Plt. } In Debt
Deft }

This day came the plaintiff, by his attorney, and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety two dollars and five cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of September 1844 until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$5.64
Sept 25th 1845

David Donnan
against
Marston Abernathy

Plt. } Upon a Writ
Deft } of Scirefacias

to revive a judgment confessed in the Clerk's Office by the defendant, on the 1st day of April 1843.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant having been duly warned, and not appearing. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for one hundred and thirty seven dollars and forty seven cents, with legal interest on eighty three dollars and twenty six cents, a part thereof from the 6th day of April 1840, until paid.

and the like interest on fifty four dollars and twenty one cents the residue thereof from the 24th day of May 1841 until paid, and also five dollars and fourteen cents for his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended. And also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. And the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 6.15
 Sept 25th Sep. 1845

Alexander Donnan assignee of A.B. Spooner
 against
 Isham G. Lundy

Plt. } In Debt
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and twenty two dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of March 1845 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended. and the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 6.73
 Sept 25th Sep. 1845

Branch S. Hurt, John H. Patterson and Peter B. Wills late merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Hurt Patterson and Wills.
 against
 J. W. Harper

Plts. } In Debt
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of seventy five dollars and eleven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of March 1842 until paid. And also their costs by them about this suit in this behalf expended. and the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 6.73
 Sept 25th Sep. 1845

R. E. Harrison assignee of E. Harvie Smith.
 against
 Ann G. Sturdivant.

Plt. } In Debt
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of ninety dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of March 1844 until paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 6.73
 Sept 25th Sep. 1845

Charles Still.
 against
 Ben Graves.

Plt. } In Debt
 Deft }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of sixty five dollars and sixty one cents, with legal

1845 September the 20th

interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of May 1845. until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$8.18.
Ses 25th Sep. 1845

Green Hill adm^r. with the Will annexed of Richard Hill sometimes called Ex^r of Robt. Greenway. — P^{lt}.
against
William H. Manlove and Perryman J. Tucker. — Deft^s

In Debt

This day came the plaintiff, by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1844. until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendants in mercy &c.

Costs \$6.55.
Ses 25th Sep. 1845

Thomas Jones. —
against
H. H. Lewis. —

P^{lt} }
Deft } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and thirty eight dollars and seventy nine cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of May 1841. until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendant in mercy &c. — This judgment is to be credited for fifty five dollars paid the 18th day of September 1843. as per endorsement on Specialty.

Costs \$6.55.
Casa 25th Sep. 1845

James W. P. Pool who sues for the benefit of Edwin A. Lewis. —
against
Marston Abernathy.

P^{lt} }
Deft } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant, the sum of sixty five dollars and seventy one cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of January 1843. until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$7.36.
Ses 25th Sep. 1845

John Pegram. —
against
Sham G. Lundy and William B. Green. —

P^{lt} }
Deft } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action;

Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and thirty two dollars, the debt in the declaration mentioned; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the defendants in mercy &c. - But, this judgment is to be discharged by the payment of sixty six dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1844 until paid. —

Costs \$ 6.73.
Sept 25th Sep. 1845

Richard Sturdivant, Josephus Hurst & S. J. Hurst partners in trade under the style of Sturdivant, Hurst & Co. P^{ts}.
against
John S. Sturdivant. — D^{ts}. } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and forty seven dollars and fifty four cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1845. until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 7.36.
Sept 25th Sep. 1845

The same. P^{ts}.
against
B. F. Poland and Jane Crowder. D^{ts}. } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of sixty seven dollars and forty one cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of February 1844. until paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended, and the defendants in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 7.18.
Sept 25th Sep. 1845

Thomas Shmeath who sues for the benefit of Thomas Jones. P^{ts}.
against
Peter Lorris and Joel Sturdivant. — D^{ts}. } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of fifty dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of July 1844 until paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendants in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 6.73.
Sept 25th Sep. 1845

Jefferson Wells. — P^{ts}.
against
H. H. Lorris. — D^{ts}. } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant

the sum of Eighty eight dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of March 1844 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended and the defendant in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 7.53
Sept 25. Sep. 1845

William G. Wynn Guardian of the Orphans of Thomas G. Wynn dec^d. — P^{lt}.
against
Thomas G. Lundy and Joseph P. Royall. — Defts. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and thirty six dollars and fifty cents, with legal interest on eighty three dollars and fifty cents a part thereof from the 25th day of December 1842 until paid, and like interest on fifty three dollars ^{the} remaining part thereof from the 25th day of December 1844 until paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendants in mercy &c. —

Costs \$ 7.81
Sept 25. Sep. 1845

Edward A. Myatt Guardian of the Children of Robert Greenway dec^d. assignee of Green Hill. — P^{lt}.
against
David Shrift, Jacob L. Shrift & Lucy M. Alfriend. Defts. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action; Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Twelve hundred and seven dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of September 1844 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the defendants in mercy &c. —

William N. Clarke P^{lt}.
against
Wilkins J. Davis Defts. } In Debt
Mary D. Harper P^{lt}.
against
The same Defts. } In Debt
John F. May and William J. Foyes P^{lt}.
against
William Chaudler surviving obligor of himself and David Williams. Defts. } In Debt
Littleton J. Dives P^{lt}.
against
Judith Pettway Defts. } In Debt

By direction of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed. —

Costs \$6.47

Fifa 25th Sep. 1845

George N. Peete (who sues for the benefit of Richmond F. Dillard his assignee) against J. Paul Beeks

Plt. } In Debt.
Deft.

The defendant being arrested and in the custody of the Sheriff of this County, upon a writ of Capias ad respondendum issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of May 1840 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant in mercy &c and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

Costs \$6.47

Fifa 25th Sep. 1845

R. F. Dillard against J. Paul Beeks

Plt. } In Debt.
Deft.

The defendant being arrested and in the custody of the Sheriff of this County, upon a Writ of Capias ad respondendum issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said Writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of April 1839 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for twenty six dollars and ninety six cents paid the 20th day of December 1839, and for seventy four dollars and sixty four cents paid the 4th day of August 1845, as per endorsements on Specialty. The defendant is not prayed in custody.

1846 June 10th

Costs \$6.18

Fifa: 20th Dec. 1844

John Pegram against John Wolling

Plt. } In Debt.
Deft.

The defendant being in custody of the Sheriff of this county upon a writ of Capias ad respondendum issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned, and the costs. Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant one hundred and thirty seven dollars and ninety one cents with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of May 1840 till paid, the debt and interest aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and the defendant is not prayed in custody.

1846 January 5th

Costs \$3.09

David J. Hoy assignee of Manasseh Andas against David Thacker and John T. Lydon jr

Plt. } In Debt.
Deft.

The defendants being in custody of the Sheriff of this county upon a writ of Capias ad respondendum issued in this case, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the said writ mentioned.

and the costs. Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recovers against the defendants three hundred and thirty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1845 till paid, the debt and interest aforesaid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants are not prayed in custody.

1846 April 1st

Costs \$7.16
Sifa: 7. ap. 1846

Fabian Amistead (who sues for the benefit of Thomas Wallace his Trustee)
against
Thompson Stewart

Vt. }
Debt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendant the sum of fifty five dollars and thirty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of June 1842 until paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in every way

Costs \$7.63
Sifa: 7. April 1846

Ann A. Wydown
against
Thomas E. Scott

Vt. }
Debt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recovers against the defendant One hundred and twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the first day of June 1845 until paid: and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in every way

Costs \$7.36
Sifa: 7. ap. 1846

Louis L. Bouldin and David May Comrs. v.
against
William Kenow and Epton S. Kenow

Vt. }
Debt. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of four hundred and one dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of September 1845 until paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in every way

Costs \$6:73 Ethel Crowder

Defa: 7th April 1846

against
H. W. Lewis

Plt. } In Debt
Def. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty nine dollars and twenty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of January 1844 until paid: And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in mercy &c.

Costs \$6:55 John Grammer and Thomas Withers jr executors of John Grammer deceased

Defa 7th April 1846.

against
Thomas Pinchbeck adm^r of Robert Pinchbeck dec^d

Plt. } In Debt
Def. }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred dollars, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: To be Revid of the goods and chattels of the said Robert Pinchbeck dec^d in the hands of the defendant to be administered, if so much thereof he hath: And the defendant in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1839, One hundred dollars with like interest from the 1st day of January 1840 and One hundred dollars with like interest from the 1st day of January 1841 till paid, and the said costs: And is to be credited by the interest up to the 1st day of January 1843, as per endorsements on bonds.

Costs \$5:97 The Same

against
Thomas Pinchbeck surviving obligor of himself and Robert Pinchbeck deceased

Plt. } In Debt
Def. }

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defend^t saith nothing in bar or proclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred dollars; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended, and the defend^t in mercy &c. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1839, One hundred dollars with like interest from the 1st day of January 1840, and One hundred dollars with like interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1841 till paid, and the said costs. And is to be credited by the interest up to the 1st day of January 1843, as per endorsements on bonds.

(98)
Costs \$7.36
Sifa: 7. apr. 1846.

1846 April 11th

Samuel H. Ling sometimes called guardian of
opiques of Peter M. King sometimes called adm^r
of Miles King dec^d. } Pts. In Debt.
against
J. N. Lanier and H. H. Lewis } Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the depts.
say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff
recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy dollars with
legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of Decem^r.
1843 until paid, and also his costs by him about his suit
in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c

Costs \$7.36
Sifa: 7. apr. 1846

The same
against
H. H. Lewis and William Bishop } Pts. In Debt.
} Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants
say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff
recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred dollars
with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day
of May 1844 till paid, and also his costs by him about his
suit in this behalf expended: and the defendants in mercy &c

Costs \$7.81
Sifa: 7. april
1846.

Williamson Perkins Guardian of Mary E. A.
Perkins assigned of James F. Sumner and Richard
Evans admors of William Sumner dec^d. } Pts. In Debt.
against
John P. Shields, J. S. Wetherworth and Robert
Wetherworth } Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants
say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff
recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy two dollars
and twenty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed
from the 1st day of September 1846 until paid; and also his
costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and
the defendants in mercy &c

Costs \$6.73
Sifa: 7. april
1846

A. G. McShawne, William Brownly and Thomas
Smyth late partners in trade by the style of
McShawne, Brownly & Co. } Pts. In Debt.
against
John M. Wetherworth } Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the
defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action.
Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs
recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars & seventy
eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from

1846 April 1st

the 11th day of July 1844 until paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: And the defendant in money &c. — And is to be credited for fifty dollars paid July 22^d as per endorsement on specialty. —

Costs \$6.73

Henry M. Stokes and John C. Stokes executors of William Stokes deceased

Plt.

Sifa: 7. Apr. 1846

against Thomas C. Scott

Def.

In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, & the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of January 1844, till paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; and the defendant in money &c. —

Costs \$6.55

Henry C. Morshaw

Plt.

Sifa: 7. Apr. 1846

against Philip Jones

Def.

In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1844 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: and the defendant in money &c. —

David B. Kennedy

Plt.

against George H. McCulloch

Def.

In Debt.

James W. P. Pool

Plt.

against E. A. Lewis and H. H. Lewis

Def.

In Debt.

By direction of the plaintiffs, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed. —