# Order Book 1-A

1855-1879

Conserved and electronically formatted in 2015

J. Barrett Chappell, Jr.
Clerk

## How to Search Book

1. Go to the next page for the Index of names.
2. Search the Index for the name and find the Page Number associated.
3. Click here to enter the Page Number or enter it at the top of page.

---

# Helpful Tip

1. In addition to manually searching the index you are able to search the name index by using find feature by clicking here or press 'clt+f' in Adobe.
*Note: All words may not be found using this feature and may not be a 100% accurate.

2. Pages 246-247 & 293-294 were missing in the original book.

# Plaintiffs' Index

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Butterworth & Kirkland | vs | Pinchbeck | 28. 19. 9. |
| Branch Thomas 221. | vs | Pritchett & Adams 202. 186. 159. 140. 122. 67. | 39. 18. 9. |
| Bland Richard G.'s exor. | vs | Bland Mary H. 203. 186. 159. 140. | 67. 39. 14. |
| Same | vs | Same | 120. 67. 39. 14. |
| Bland Mary H. | vs | Dance R. H. | 15. |
| Bagby & Co | vs | Blick John S. | 17. |
| Betts & Hair | vs | Lewis Thomas 201. 186. 160. 138. | 73. 38. 18. |
| Branton David T. | vs | Scott Peter H. | 19. |
| Boisseau James' claim certified | | | 78. 46. 20. |
| Burke Abner H. | vs | Scott Peter H. | 22. |
| same | vs | Scott & Adams | 22. |
| Bevill A. J.'s exor. | vs | Zehmer, Wells & Wells | 23. |
| Branton David T. | vs | Sandifer & Boisseau | 24. |
| Bank of Virginia | vs | Read J. B. | 25. |
| Branton David T. | vs | Scott & Keeler | 27. |
| Bridges | vs | Pleasants | 32. 32. |
| Blanks for Watkins | vs | Harrison | 40. |
| Blunt & McCandlish S. p. &c. | vs | Roney | 45. |
| Same | vs | Hamlin | 50. |
| Same | vs | Evans & Steudwant | 50. |
| Blick Nathaniel | vs | Wells Balaam | 54. |
| Branton Wm | vs | Smith & Hill | 57. |
| Browder's Curr. | vs | Crowder's exor. | 57. |
| Boisseau James | vs | Scott & Boisseau 145. 125. | 124. 76. 75. |
| Boisseau James H. | vs | Scott P. H. | 145. 77. 75. |
| Same | vs | Same | 145. 76. 75. |
| Boisseau James P. | vs | Same | 145. 76. 75. |
| Brown for Williams | vs | Wells S. M. & E. R. | 82. |
| Bland's executor | vs | Harmons & King | 88. |
| Bott Berthier | vs | Bevill C. P. 207. 210. 186. 160. 158. 166. 186. | 103. 91. |
| Bott Mary | vs | Boisseau Ro. G. | 162. 91. |
| Blankenship's admx | vs | Robertson & Moody | 92. |
| Butterworth's Heirs | vs | Aldridge | 99. |
| Bott Berthier | vs | Lucy Richd B. | 104. |
| Blunt & McCandlish S. p. &c. | vs | Evans & Steudwant | 110. 105. |
| Bland R. G.'s executor | vs | Goodwyn A. M., Co. ob. &c. | 106. |
| Browder's ~~Adm~~ for Ogburn | vs | Harmon & Barnes | 107. |
| Blunt & McCandlish S. p. &c. | vs | Evans exor. | 108. |
| Booth Robert H.'s Estate administered on | | | 119. |
| Bishop Williams' claim certified | | | 186. 184. 167. 166. 145. 127. |
| Boisseau Ro. G.'s Claim certified | | | 234. 225. 224. 211. 189. 186. 167. 147. 127. |
| Bland exor. 239. | vs | Harmon & King | 136. 130. |
| Same | vs | Slate C. v. &c. | 136. 130. |

B carried forward after Letter 3.

B

Plaintiffs Index


Blick John S. Bagby & Co
Batte T. P. qual as attorney 243
Branch & Reives " Brooks 249. 265
Baily Wm " Paty H Clay 253, 255
Baldwin James J for &c vs Thweatt J G & Wm 254
Butts & Hair " Lewis 255
Branch & Reives " Orgain J. T. 255
Browder Amy " Gunn James W. 256
Boissean R. G " Lee Wm E 263
Branch Thomas, & Sons " Harmon Jno 263
Barrow Wm L's Exor " Sturdivant A. G. adm. 265
Same " Keeler & Hobbs surry oblig 265
Boissean A H for &c " Harmon & Boissean 265. 272
Barretts adms " Young & Thacker 265
Boissean Jas H, & als vs. Brooks & Adams 266
Branch & Reives " Orgain & Keeler 271
Barrow's Exor " Smith E H Trus 273
Chiedsey Wm " DeVlaming Jno 273
Browder Amy G " Gunn James W. 273
Butts R. E. Qual as atty 275
Butler Cath. L " Boissean Extr 281
Same " Same 281
Bain & Wyatt "
Blunt for Epes " Wyatt 360
" " " " Bain 361
Boissean J P " Crafton D A 363
Blunt Archer Indt " 364
Brown Archer J presented 364
Brooks Ann &c " Barnes W T 367
Brownly Wm Adm " Wyatt E A 373
Bently wife " Wells 380
Branch Reives & Co " Foster 387
Boissean Jas Gunn &c " Seay & Bishop 482
Boissean G A. Account allowed 509


Defendants Index


Blick John J ads Bagby & Co 17
Bain & Wyatt " Epes S W for &c 359
Same " Tucker J C "
Bain " Epes "
" " Blunt for Epes 361
Barnes W T " Brooks Ann &c 367


Carried after 3

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| The Commonwealth | vs | Mary Andrews 49.29.9.8.6.4.1.56 |
| The Same | vs | Ann Hardy 29.10.8.6.5.1.56.43. |
| The Same | vs | Bristow Ethelbert 30.1. |
| The Same | vs | Peebles Wm H. 61.30.1. |
| The Same | vs | Hawkins James 95 62 30.1. |
| The Same | vs | David S. Wells 126.116.96 93.70.39.7. |
| The Same | vs | Harmon D. A. 119.93.57.30.11. |
| The Same | vs | Puryear Jos E. 56.29.11. |
| The Same | vs | Hudson Wm 94.62.29.11. |
| The Same | vs | Hudson John B. 94 62.29.11. |
| The Same | vs | Pettus Allen 61.29.11. |
| The Same | vs | Pully Jas H. 12. |
| The Same | vs | Hankins Brazilla 12. |
| The Same | vs | Lanier John T. 61.30.12. |
| The Same | vs | Hargrave Jas 94.62.27. |
| The Same | vs | Chappell Oliver 95.62 27. |
| The Same | vs | Wynn Jno A. 115.96.62 27. |
| The Same | vs | Wynn William G. 96.62.27. |
| The Same | vs | Whitlock 44.36.35.34. |
| The Same | vs | Cabaniss 95.93.62.34. |
| The Same | vs | Parsons 187 157 137.118.96.62.34. |
| The Same | vs | Plank Road 55. |
| The Same | vs | Wm Powell 96.79.55. |
| The Same | vs | Smith E H. 116.115.97.55. |
| The Same | vs | Field James 101.92.90. |
| The Same | vs | Mayle Ed 128.114.113.111.110. |
| The Same | vs | Mayle Benj 128.115.113.110. |
| The Same | vs | Anderson 229.216.200.188.158.134. |
| The Same | vs | Turner 229.217.201.157.137. |
| The Same | vs | Ledbetter 187.157.144. |
| The Same | vs | Bosseau 185.156 |
| The Same 189 189.184.181.179.177.176. | vs | Devlins 175.168.167.166.165.156 |
| The Same | vs | Harmon 159. |
| The Same | vs | Dance A. A. 216.202.173. |
| The Same | vs | Wood 229.220.202.173 |
| The Same | vs | Hamilton 199.188.176. |
| The Same | vs | Alley 230.216.202.177 |
| The Same | 272 vs | Alley 244.230.220.202.177. |
| The Same | vs | Batte 199.183 |
| The Same | vs | Wells 203.200.187 |
| The Same | vs | Harmon 189. |
| The Same (10 Cases) | vs | Gibson 230.217.215.204. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The Commonwealth | vs | Poyner | 231 214 |
| The Same | vs | Wells 244 272 | 231 214 |
| The Same | vs | Green | 216 |
| The Same | vs | Blankenship | 216 |
| The Same | vs | Wells W. S. | 234 229 228 |
| The Same | vs | Franklin Lewis (Winch.) | 245 228 |
| " " | vs | Turner Jas T. | 242 |
| " " | vs | Anderson W. H. | 242 |
| " " | vs | Gibson Geo B. | 243 |
| " " | " | Poyner M S | 243 |
| " " | " | Jones F. J. E. | 285 |
| " " | " | Jones P. G. | 285 |
| " " | vs | Organ H. E | 273 |

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Castleman C.W. | vs | Pinchbeck & Barner | 2. |
| Clements Reuben | " | McCulloch | 32.11.3. |
| Crow Upton S. Rule & discharged | | | 4. |
| Chambers for Miles | vs | Derby Smith & Zehmer | 63. 35.13. |
| Clark John G. | vs | Scoggin | 141.102.74.37.36.13. |
| Church & Kenney | vs | Read James B. | 17. |
| Crowder Theodrick | vs | Pool Stephen P. | 39.18. |
| Clements Reuben | vs | Niblett Wm G. | 24. |
| Coleman Richard | vs | Castleton | 40. |
| Coleman & Williams S. p & c | vs | Browder | 51. |
| Coleman Richard | vs | Castleton | 54. |
| Childrey B. F. | vs | Pinchbeck W. J. | 82. |
| Crowder's exor. for Keeler | vs | Wilson & Vaden | 88.83. |
| Same | vs | Harmon & Roney | 83. |
| Crowder Levi B. | vs | Scott & Turner | 109. |
| Crowder John V. | vs | Wells admor. | 163. |
| Clarke Roberts claim certified | | | 167. |
| Collier James E. qualified as an atty at Law | | | 214. |
| Coleman Richard's adr. | vs | Payner | 240. |
| Commonwealth | vs | Turner | 242. |
| Childrey Wm | vs | De Vlaming 273. | 257. |
| Connelly John Presented | | | 268. |
| Cralle Jno Est administered on | | 275 | |
| " " acct current | | 283 | |
| Clerks office Order to examine | | 286 | |
| Chappell J. G. ass. | vs | Comp. G. W. rel. | 362. |
| Crafton | " | Bussian | 362. |
| Chandler Goodwyn | vs | Royale Wm G. | 363. |
| Commonwealth | vs | Merritt | 368. |
| Crawford J. J. | vs | Baw & Wyatt | 373. |
| Crew Wm Exor | vs | Hall Sing ob. | 375. |
| Crowder | vs | Reams | 376. |
| Coleman | vs | Bayler | 381. |
| Crawford | " | Heartwell | 383. |
| Clements J. C. | " | Warren Co Dist | 494. |
| Crawford Jno J. | " | Heartwell & Shelle | 498. |
| Crawley | " | Griggs Exa | 502. |
| Carr | " | Hart | 504. |
| Cousins Curator of Parker | " | Roney | 504. |
| Cousins Henry Shff &c order | | Irving Tucker & Brad. | 505. |
| Cousins Henry " " " | | Butterworth Jno W. rel. | 507. |
| Cases Continued until next term | | | 507. |
| Cousins Henry, allowed for Public services | | | 509. |

# Defendants Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Clark & Connelly | ads | James Rice & James | 2 |
| Cheely & Johnson | " | Winn assee Grigg | 24. |
| Cox Ann R | " | Hargrave C A | |
| Crittenton Inst | " | Shafer Her | 372 |
| Crowder J V | " | " | 373 |
| Cousins Henry Co Defe | " | Jackins Exr | 493 |
| Crawley Co Defe | " | Betts Co H | 495 |
| Cousins H sig ob | " | Pettiw surfr | 496 |
| Comwlth & Jones &c | " | Jones vals | 498 |
| Crawley | " | Williamson | 501 |
| " R H | " | Williamson E F | 508 |
| Cousins H E & Acct allowed | | | 509 |
| Chappell Ann M. Order Cmty Estate to Sheriff | | | 509 |
| Cousins Henry Keeper of Co Ho act allowed | | | 510 |
| Crowder Enoch | ads | Crowder J J admr | 524 |
| Chandler vs | " | Ettenbrough assee &c | 534 |
| Craig F H | " | Lewis Joseph | 546 |
| Coleman E W vals | " | Dance A A | 565 |
| Coleman T N | " | Coleman S E | 559 |
| Coleman T N & E W | " | Coleman Junius A | 559 |
| Same | " | Dance | 573 |
| Coleman T N | " | Wersham T B | 587 |
| Coleman T N & E W | " | Ettenbrough Wm | 623 |
| Cousins H | " | Morgan & McIlwaine | 639 |

# Pleff Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Crowder J J. admr | m | Crowder Enoch | 524 |
| Cousins Shff & admr Davis | m | Davis & Davis | 529 |
| Coleman L E | " | Coleman T N | 559 |
| Coleman Jennies A | " | Coleman T N & E H | 559 |
| Crowder Wm H | " | Hamlin Thomas B | 576 |
| Commwlth | m | Diggs | 570 |
| Connelly W D | m | Riney J N Co Dift | 585 |
| Commwlth | m | Wallace T R | 583 |
| Crawford J J | m | Tucker C E H | 593 |
| Collier & Budd atty & &c | 6 | Mitchell J J | 594 |
| Crowder T | " | Bensden T C | 595 |
| Same | " | Tucker C E H | 596 |
| Crowder Joseph | m | Pool I W P | 598 |
| Connally & c | 6 | Strand Jno B | 599 |
| Crowder T | m | Goodwyn A M & Co | 603 |
| Clark Adams & Co | m | McCall J G | 607 |
| Carr D G | m | Abernathy & Field Sergt | 626 |
| Collier C F | m | Barner Wm F | 626 |
| Crowder Thos | 6 | Same | 634 |
| Same | m | Same | 634 |
| Crowder Thos Sergt | 6 | Young Mgt Curat | 634 |
| Cohen Max | " | Sydner T T | 637 |
| Cooper & Spotswood | 6 | Malone R G | 640 |
| Crowder Thos | m | Brown Aug C | 640 |

# Plaintiffs' Index

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dorman ~~Daniel~~ Alex | vs | Lewis Thomas | 58.49. |
| Davis John R Ad. | " | King Wiley G. | 53. |
| Dyson John B Adm | " | Ritts 203.186.161.140. | 97.71. |
| Duffy, Smith & Zehmer | " | Mills Isham B. | 99.97. |
| Drummond Samuel & C | " | White J.B. & Co 224 240. 189.164.124.123. | |
| Dallas | " | Shelby 189.162.143.123. | |
| Drapers admr | " | Harmon & King 152.150. | |
| Dorman | " | Hitchcocks 197.196.169. | |
| Dormans exors. | " | Hitchcock & C 197.195.169. | |
| Dorman | " | Scott 183. | |
| Duane & Reid Cons | " | Harmon King & C 197.196.192. | |
| Davis Geo. H | " | Hall Green 254. | |
| Dyson Thos W Exr | " | Bacs Thos A 261 | |
| Dorman Alexander | " | Scott & Hearlwell 263 | |
| Dormans & Johnston | " | Hearlwell A J 364 | |
| Dorman Alex | " | Bressan Brown 372 | |
| Same | " | Bishop W.B. 372 | |
| Execut for Crawford | " | King M & 375 | |
| Dormans & Johnson | " | Bass & C 376 | |
| Dodson for & C | " | Hamer & Duncan 383. | |
| " | " | " | " |
| Davis Abraham & Lyon | " | Hearlwell 387 | |
| Davis E A agent & | " | Bressan J P 496 | |
| Dewey Bartley | " | Pirtle E P 496 | |
| Dorse A A Mergers admr | " | Williamson 489 | |
| ~~Dodson for & as Bonfords~~ | " | Wenger & 445 | |
| Davis Wife | " | Williamson 499 | |
| Davis E A assee of Isaac Roney | " | Roney M.G. admr of Roney | 505 |
| Davis E A for Bors J P | " | Roney M.G. " " " | 506 |
| Davis E A & Wife | " | Williamson Edward | 507 |
| Dormans & Johnston | " | Gregg R D | 511 |
| Doyle Wm F | " | Johnson & Lanier | 533. |
| Dorse A A | " | Dorse wife | 567 |
| Same | " | Goodwyn wife | 567 |
| Same | " | Hill B E wife | 567 |
| Same | " | Coleman E M wife | 565 |
| Same | " | Coleman T R & | 573 |
| Dickerson H. D. Trustee | " | Harper J H | 582 |
| Dew Thos R. | " | Birkett J A | 580 |
| Dorse A A | " | Shell R C wife | 580. |
| Davis S D | " | Brown Chas. | 597 |
| Dorman Alex | " | Goodwyn Alex by obt. | 603 |
| Same | " | Mitchell J J. | 604. |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dance R.H. | ads | Bland C. Mary H. | 15 |
| Diggs & Tinny | " | Hudgins | 386 |
| Doyle & Brown | " | May Butts Comr for &c | 493 |
| Dinwiddie County | " | Williams E.F. for Hartherell | 506 |
| Davis & Davis | " | Cousins Shff & ass Davis | 529 |
| Davis Wm P | " | Talbott & Bros | 532 |
| Duane J. C. Mrs | " | Dance A A | 567 |
| Dinwiddie County | " | Stuart Buchanan & Co | 574 |
| Diggs Jas | " | Commonwealth | 570 |
| Doyle & Kives | " | Jolly's ass | 590 |
| Dinwiddie County | " | Dance A A | 637 |


D

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Drewry W H Co fin & | " | Street W W | 613 |
| Davis B J | " | Stell & Stell | 629 |
| Same | " | Same | 630 |
| Davis J M | " | Satchfield Wrap | 635 |
| Dana A A | " | Driwiddie Co | 637 |
| Dorman & Hamilton | " | Abernathy C E | 639 |
| Same | " | Same | 640 |
| Dorman & Mann | " | Reese W L | 640 |

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Epes Branch J. qualified as atty at Law | | | 66. |
| Edmunds N. S. | " | Scott P. H. | 122 |
| Emmons Rhodes M. W. | " | Pace | 133. |
| Evans adv Ledbetter for Mabry | " | Foster F. A. &c | 212 |
| Elder Thomas C. qualified as an atty at Law | | | 233. |
| Evans B H adm. | " | Foster T A & H H | 245 |
| Evans B H | " | Same | 248 |
| Edmunds Thos Exon | " | Mason's adm. | 266 |
| Same | " | Same | 267 |
| Same | " | Same | 267 |
| Epes S. W. for &c | " | Bain & Wyatt | 359 |
| Epes | " | Wyatt | " |
| Epes | " | Bain | 359 |
| Ettenborough Wm | " | Lewis P. R. | 524 |
| Same | " | Robinson Needham | 535 |
| Same | " | Bristow Nel | 534 |
| Same | " | Bristow Same | 558 |
| Same | " | Keys L. S. | 558 |
| Same | " | Same | 558 |
| Same | " | Brandon T. C. | 576 |
| Same | " | Winston A. C. | 581 |
| Same | " | T. E. Gill | 588 |
| Elder R. C. | " | Elder T. B. | 594 |
| Ettenborough Wm | " | Strand Jno B. | 596 |
| Same | " | Mumford D. W. | 604 |
| Same | " | Tucker J. P. | 604 |
| Same | " | Brandon A. P. Exr | 631 |
| Same | " | Tucker R. H. | 633 |
| Same | " | Coleman T. N. & Elo | 633 |

Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Evans Batty & | ads | Thwcatt for Goodwyn | 25, |
| Ettenborough | " | Miller & Co | 226. |
| Edmunds & Powell | " | Dubuisson | 386 |
| Elder | " | Heighley assee for Co | 508 |
| Elder T. B. | " | Elder R. C. | 594. |


E

# Plaintiffs Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Falconer & Tucker | vs | Abernathy | 117. 101. 63. 37. 13. |
| Franklin William | vs | Foster | 73. 38. 18. |
| Frind ass^ce &c | vs | Pleasants | 32. 31. |
| Featherston & Co | vs | Scott & Wrom | 146. 108. 64. |
| Flinn John | vs | Cralle | 232. 224. |
| Fraher's adv. | vs | Richardson | 252. 250. 238. 235. |
| Fitzgerald E. C | vs | Pinchbeck W. J & Mot | 264 |
| Field Lucy C | vs | Elder & Rainey | 273 |
| Fenns Ass | " | Bain & Wyatt | 373. |
| Farrile | " | Hubbard | 489 |
| Fraser | " | Thorsate ... | 504 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Foster F. A. &c | Ads | Leibetter's adv for Malvy | 212 |
| Fitzgerald E O | " | Wynn R E | 358 |
| Ford | " | Alexander | 369 |
| Finn's adv | " | | |
| Fitzgerald &c | " | Thrcatt for &c | 378 |
| Foster | " | Branch Revis Rec | 387 |
| Ferguson S W & Rich | " | Budd & Jones Comr | 567 |
| Field Wm M rel | " | Carr D G | 626 |

F

Grand Jurys - 1. 27, 34. 55, 87. 100, 110. 134. 152 156. 173. 177.
227. 214. 208. 204. 199.

# Plaintiffs' Index.


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Goodwyn Wm H's adr. | vs | Lewis & Keeler | 2. |
| Gittman Wm W. & wife | vs | Polan & Browder | 74. 38. 18. |
| Gilliam Mary E. C. | vs | Audas M. | 68. 40. 18. |
| Graves for Dorman | vs | Rogers & Scott | 23. |
| Gennett | vs | Cousins | 26. |
| Gholson & Booth for Mann | vs | Scott P. H. & J. L. | 51. 46. 41. |
| Gaines & Riches for &c | vs | Nicholas John | 70. 45. |
| Goodwyn Mary A. H. | vs | Burgess Wm | 71. 45. |
| Goodrich for Mallory | vs | King & Vaughan | 61. 48. |
| Gent Peter W.'s Claim certified | | | 129. 81. |
| Greenway's gnd for Dorman | vs | Davies & Pool | 84. |
| Goodwyn Charles F. qualified as Com. atty | | | ~~145~~ 90. |
| Goodwyn Charles F.'s Claim certified | | | 224. 208. 184. 233. 166. 145. 127. 100. |
| Gibson A. B. | vs | Scarhols John | 144. 129. |
| Gilliam Mary E. C. | vs | Pettus & Scott | 136. 130. |
| Goodwyn Chs F. | vs | Orgain & Prince | 154. 150. |
| Goode assee | vs | Orgain & Keeler | 151. |
| Gilliam's escr. | vs | Poynor | 207. 186. 162. |
| Goodrich | vs | Lewis &c | 174. 169. |
| Gholson & Jones | vs | Lewis | 209. 186. |
| Gladding's Trustee | vs | ~~Adams~~ Fitzgerald | 197. 195. 191. |
| Gholson Thomas S. qualification as Judge recorded | | | 213. |
| Grigg Dinwiddie | vs | Orgain | 227. 222. |
| Goodwyn C. F. Qual. as Comlt atty | | | 241. |
| Goodwyn Jno H. for &c | vs | Kirkland W. H. | 254 |
| Gholson & Jones | vs | Lewis & Gilliam | 272. |
| Gilliam | vs | Pettus & Elder | 361. |
| Goodwyn for Dorman | " | Goodwyn J. P. | 374 |
| Gresham | " | Parker | 383. |
| Gill curator &c | " | Young | 465 |
| Goodwyn Alice A. | " | Lewis B. R. | 495 |
| Gilliam I. S. | " | Granderson Mrs. | 511 |
| Gilliam M. E. C. for &c | vs | Spain G. O. | 570 |
| Graham & Co. | vs | McCall J. G. | 584 |
| Gilliam M. E. C. for &c | vs | Spain A. E. Exr &c | 582 |
| Grigg Jos. Est. Comld to Sheriff | | | 577 |
| Gilliam R. D. qualified to Practice Law | | | 629 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Green William B. | ads | Worsham Henry C | 16. |
| Gilliam | " | Perkins ar | 360 |
| " | " | " | " |
| Goodwyn & Goodwyn | " | Tucker Paschal | 367 |
| Goodwyn J P. | " | White McKenney & Co | 371 |
| " | " | Perkins for Webb | 372 |
| Gilliam J P | " | Shafer & Co | 374 |
| Goodwyn J P | " | Goodwyn for Dennan | 374 |
| Goodwyn J P & al | " | Tuckers ar for &c | 375 |
| Goodwyn John P. | " | Roach for &c | 377 |
| Gilliam | " | Tappy & Smisden | 382 |
| " | " | " | 386 |
| Gilliam & Gilliam | " | Moody for &c | 386 |
| Gibbs Jno B. | " | Mitchells ar | 490 |
| Griggs Ezra | " | Crawley | 502 |
| Gholson Richd | " | Rives Jno | 570 |
| Grigg R D. | " | Dennans & Johnston | 571 |
| Granderson wl | " | Gilliam J S | 511 |
| Goodwyn A M wls | " | Daniel A A | 567 |
| Goodwyn A M | " | Hearturte for & | 568 |
| Gill P E | " | Ettenborough Wm | 588 |
| Grisham & Spiers | " | Pittway P A | 591 |
| Gill W H. | " | Rasin R W L & Co | 598 |
| Goodwyn Alice Sry obre | " | Dennan Alex | 603 |
| Goodwyn A M. ar | " | Crowder Theo | 603 |
| Gilliam S Y | " | Branch Herbert & Co for &c | 604 |

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hawkins Armistead Rule | vs | discharged | 5. |
| Halsey B. F. & Co | vs | Wilson | 117. 67. 39. 14. 8. |
| Holyoake Lowries & Co | vs | Tucker B. J. | 16. |
| Halsey B. F. & Co | vs | Scott Thomas E. | 17. |
| Hoy David F.'s claim certified | | | 101. 100. 81. 78. 46. 20. |
| Hargrave Ch. A.'s claim certified | | | 208. 184. 166. 145. 127. 233. 224. 100. 78. 46. 20. |
| Hawks A. H. | vs | Montgomery A. | 25. |
| Hargrave Hamlin exor. | vs | Chappell | 184. 159. 138. 121. 68. 40. |
| Hoy D. F. for &c | vs | Wainwright | 41. |
| Hudgings' adr. | vs | Fowlkes | 138. 72. 41. |
| Hawks gudn Williams for Worsham | vs | Coleman | 48. |
| Hoy D. F. | vs | Zehmer, Hill & Goodwyn | 59. 48. |
| Hoy D. F. for Lyon & Rives | vs | Lewis & Lewis | 60. 49 |
| Hargrave C. A. | vs | Purchbeck & Vaughan | 60. 53. |
| Hoy David F. | vs | Scott & Boisseau | 146. 77. 75. |
| Hatch for Waterman's adr. | vs | Gladding B. | 81. |
| Hawks Robert | vs | Same | 188. 158. 138. 119. 83. |
| Handley A. W. | vs | Gibson G. Br. | 86. |
| Hamletts David's Heirs | vs | Hamlett David's Heirs | 147. 144. 99. 98. |
| Harrison R. W. | vs | Scott P. H. & T. W. | 146. 111. 107. |
| Hargrave James | vs | Same | 146. 111. 107. |
| Hancock William qualified as atty | | | 113. |
| Hargrave's J. E. exor. | vs | Smith & Hill | 135. 132. |
| Hoy David F. | vs | Scotts | 149. |
| Harr John A. | vs | Bott & Worsham | 149. |
| Hargrave assee Prentice | vs | Christian & Coleman | 149. |
| Hill Green | vs | Moody | 150. |
| Hobbs | 218. vs | Mayes | 206. 186. 160. 158. 155. |
| Harmon | vs | Harmon | 160. |
| Hoy David F. | vs | Studevant | 174. 170. |
| Hite & Dixon | vs | Gee & Jones | 192 |
| Hurt | vs | Boisseau | 192 |
| Hargrave J. E.'s exor. | vs | Keeler & Adams | 208. 195. 194. |
| Hurletts exor. | vs | Longworth & Ruffin | 206. |
| Hamlin William B. qualified as an atty at Law | | | 214. |
| Hall & Dunn | vs | Shackleford | 241. 233. |
| Same | vs | Bridgman | 233 |
| Harmon | vs | Scott Sheriff | 234 |
| Harmon Jno. W. | vs | Slate Jno. W. | 248 |
| Hobbs Cath. Est qualified | vs | | 252 |
| Hunt Brand T. | vs | Ford R. H. | 253 |
| Hall & Dunn | vs | Slaughter R. A. | 253 |
| Hawkes J. S. | vs | Thweatt | 252 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hankins Brazilla | ads | The Corn | 12. |
| Hawkins Armistead | " | Scott Thomas F. | 24. |
| Hobbs N R | " | Hargrave C A | 363 |
| Heartwell H J | " | Dennems & Johnston | 364 |
| Hargrave & Hargrave | " | Pettew wife | 371 |
| Hargrave C A | " | Slaughter Jno J | 371 |
| Hannon &c | " | Perkins B G | 373 |
| Hale Surg Ob | " | Crews aor | 375 |
| Hannon | " | Hannon | 380 |
| Hannon & Dennem | " | Dodson for &c | 383 |
| " | " | " | " |
| Heartwell | " | Crawford | " |
| " | " | Davis Abrahams & Syon | 387 |
| Harmon D A mls | " | Mitchell assn | 495 |
| Hawks Webster | " | Murdock Shff &c | 495 |
| Hubbard | " | Farrell | 489 |
| Hargrave C A | " | Shafer A S & Co | 488 |
| Hargrave T E | " | Todd Pugh & Co for &c | 487 |

Plaintiffs Index –


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hurt Branch T. | " | Rash James S | 261 |
| Hargrave C A | " | Harmon & Epes | 263 |
| Hall John S & George presented | | | 268 |
| Hargrave C A | " | Young W D | 358 |
| Same | " | Tucker C E St | 361 |
| Same | " | Royall & Williamson | 362 |
| Same | " | Cox Ann R | " |
| Same | " | Hobbs N R | 363 |
| Hargrave Jas | " | Bussean J P | 367 |
| Hurts admtr | " | Northington S S | 370 |
| Same | " | Neavis H A | " |
| Same | " | Vaden Page H | " |
| Hills adm | " | Jones P G | 376 |
| Hurt Geo | " | Riney | 377 |
| Harmon | " | Harmon | 380 |
| Hills adm | " | Beauty wife | 381 |
| Same | " | Blandford | 381 |
| Same | " | Tucker | 381 |
| Same | " | Spain | 381 |
| Harris adm for | " | Young | 382 |
| Hudgins | " | Diggs & Young | 386 |
| Hobbs | " | Vaden | 388 |
| Hall F M wife | " | Westmoreland | 490 |
| Hughes & co | " | Crawley | 497 |
| Hargrave George | " | J G Wills Sons & Cougar | 436 |
| Highby for &c | " | Hurts | 501 |
| Same | " | Elder | 502 |
| Hall S P | " | Winfield Jno | 550 |
| Hearturle H J for &c | " | Goodwyn A M | 568 |
| Howe Machine Company | " | Morris W E | 586 |
| Harper J W | " | Wells F H | 589 |
| Hurt Same J | " | Pully Jas A | 604 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hale | ads | Kent | 498 |
| Heartwell & Shell | " | Crawford Mrs J | 498 |
| Harmon D A val. | " | Jones F J E. admts | 498 |
| Hill & Meredith | " | Tucker for Howle | 499 |
| Horts | " | Highly for &c | 501 |
| Hart | " | Carr | 504 |
| Hawks | " | Moody W A Sgt &c | 505 |
| Heartwell J H | " | Branch M B & Herbert J H | 507 |
| Same | | Shafer A S & Co | 511 |
| Hargrave | " | Kuhl & Sons | 529 |
| Hardway C M | " | Whitehead A | 534 |
| Hamlin T B | " | Crowder Wm H | 576 |
| Heartwell | " | Weddell & Co for &c | 492 |
| Hamlin T B | " | Rasen R W S & Co | 585 |
| Harper J H | " | Dickerson H D Mister &c | 586 |
| Harmon D A val | " | Spencer R M | 605 |
| Harper J H | " | Townsend J J | 608 |

Jurors Grand - Claim allowed 166. 145. 126. 100. 78. 46. 20.
22 224. 208.

Jurors Petit - claim allowed 185. 166. 126. 102. 100. 79. 78. 46. 20.
238. 234. 225. 211. 189. 145. 127.

Jail - Order in relation to 47. 46. 21.

Jurors Petit Claim allowed. 533 389

# Plaintiffs Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| James, Rice & James | vs | Clark & Connelly | 2. |
| Johnson Wm R. & Co | vs | Thurcatt | 26. |
| John F. J. E. for Lyon & Rives | vs | Bott Berthier | 60.50. |
| Jones Wm T. | vs | Hamlin S. H. | 52. |
| Jordan John | vs | Hardaway B. M. | 104. |
| James W. C. & E. G. & Co | vs | Moore | 131. |
| Jones Robert H Jr qualified as atty at Law | | | 137 |
| Jones | vs | Jones | 159.142 |
| Jones for Dorman | vs | Harmon & Roney | 152.150 |
| Jones for Dorman | vs | Scott | 151 |
| Jeter John A for &c | vs | Mitchell N. Y. &c | 273 256 |
| Jail Committee appointed | | | 276 |
| " " reported | | | 277 |
| Jones F. J. E | vs | Harmon | 281 |
| Jones presented | 284 | | |
| Jones P. G " | 284 | 286 | |
| " T. C " | 364 | | |
| Jones W T | vs | Brooks J N Sen ob. | 371 |
| Jackson Geo | " | Conners Co Defr | 493 |
| Jones Eth | " | Jones W C & S G. | 482 |
| Jones F J E v D A Harmon | 281 | | |
| Jones F J E admts | " | Harmon D A vol. | 498. |
| Jones | vs | Jolly | 503 |
| James E G & als | " | Mitchell G. B. & als | 506 |
| Jurors Petit at Apsie | | Term of Court | 509 |
| Jones W B for Epes | vs | Brough & Brough | 581 |
| Jolly W T. aor | vs | Doyle & Rives | 590. |
| Jones R H Jr Comm &c | vs | Scott & Mitchell | 604 |
| Same | vs | Same | 612 |
| Same | vs | Mitchell J J. | 612. |
| James E G & Co for &c | vs | Baum Ind W | 612 |
| Jones R H Jr | vs | Abernathy's | 612 |

Defendants Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Jones Wm C. | ads. | Keeler Isaac S. | 25 |
| Jones P.G. | " | Hill adr | 376 |
| Jackson Eur | " | Cons | |
| Jones | " | Walker | 483 |
| Jones W C & S G | " | Jones E W | 482 |
| Jones P G rel | " | Comwell | 498 |
| Jones | " | Jolley | 503 |
| Johnson & Lanier | " | Doyle Wm F | 533 |
| Jackson Edmonia adr | " | Perkins E W | 607 |


J

Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kirkland H. P. | vs | Pinchbeck | 28. 19. 9. |
| Keeler Isaac S. | " | Jones Wm. C. | 25. |
| Kidd James | vs | Dance A. A. | 54. 45. |
| King | | | |
| Kelly Andrew L. | vs | Moore Wm. B. | 78. |
| same | vs | Carrington W. H. | 88. 82 |
| King's guardian | vs | Turner & Biggs | 91. |
| Keeler assignee Wells & Gunn | vs | Lewis | 197. 195. 194. |
| Kirkland John J | vs | Chappell & A | 266. |
| Kirby G. J. | vs | Tucker Paschal | 363. |
| Keeler | vs | Bussean W. E. | 494 |
| Kennedy J. M. | vs | Bass & Bussean | 494 |
| King | " | Rice | 502. |
| King Janet H. | " | Perkins W. T. | 5[illegible]6 |
| Kell Dons | vs | Hargrave | 529 |
| King B. P. | " | Bishop Bishop | 558 |
| Kennedy Don | " | Williamson T. M. & R. R. | 639 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Keeler & Jones | aa | Reads aa | 375 |
| King M. L. | " | Duval Jr &c | 375 |
| Kings Exors | " | Wyatt E. A. | 376 |
| Keeler | " | Tappy & Simmons | 388 |
| Kent | " | Hale | 498 |
| Keeler J. S. | ads | Nicholas G. B. | 528 |
| Keys L. S. | " | Ettenborough | 558 |
| Same | " | Same | 558 |


K

# Plaintiffs' Index

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lewis George H. Rule vs discharged | | | 4. |
| Lewis Joseph's admor. | vs | Green 201. 186. 218. 160. 138. 64. 39. 18. 8. | |
| Ledbetter W. E. H. | vs | Vaden Page H. | 63. 38. 14. |
| Leslie Robert | vs | Scott Thomas F. 201. 186. 160. 138. 119. 63. 44. 18. | |
| Lunsford for Sandifer | vs | Anderson Wm D. 69. 66. 38. 18. | |
| Lewis Thomas | vs | Lewis E. A's adr. | 41. |
| Loomis Charles | vs | Craig Jas. R. | 52. |
| Lucy & Kelly | vs | Carrington Wm H. | 89. 82. |
| Leach Philip F. Leach | vs | Evans C. O. &c | 87. 85. |
| Lunsford E. L. & Son | vs | Smith | 102. |
| Lawrence, Myers & Co | vs | Wren & Scott | 108. 64. |
| Lee William's claim certified | | | 186. 129. |
| Lee Rial C | vs | Longworth & Ruffin | 206 |
| Lamer Wm Exor | " | Keeler & Young 270. 271. 262 | |
| Same | " | Keeler Adams & Royall | 262. 27 |
| Same | " | Keeler Adams & Hargrave | 262 |
| Lee Henderson L | " | Burnett W. W. | 274 |
| Lucy J K assee | " | Williamson H. exor 278. 275 | |
| Lucy J K assee | " | Boissieau & Keeler 278. 275 | |
| Ledbetter Wm N for &c | " | Bishop & als | 279 |
| Longworth J N presented | | | 286 |
| Lewis for Denman | " | Williams & Williams | 359 |
| Levi M. H. | " | Zhemer C G & als | 366 |
| Ledbetter's adr | " | Edmonds & Purdie | 386 |
| " | " | Royale &c | 387 |
| Lemoine W H | " | Shell R C | 495 |
| " | " | " & als | 500 |
| Lewis Joseph | " | Craig F H | 526 |
| Leslie C H | " | Mason Jr & Emma | 629 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lewis Thomas J. & others | adv | Goodwyn's adv | 2 |
| Lucy George H. | " | Turnbull Morrison & Stone | 23. |
| Lewis W. M. | " | Adams adm'r | 361 |
| Lewis J. W. | " | Shafer & Co. | 374 |
| " | " | " | 374 |
| " | " | Shafer J. C. & Co. | 375 |
| Lewis Benj R | " | Goodwyn Alex A | 495 |
| Lewis & Goodwyn | " | Meares adv | 482 |
| Lewis & Hobbs | " | Tuckinsons adv | 511 |
| Lewis B. K. | " | Ettenborough Wm | 524 |
| Lewis Joseph | " | Brown Wm H | 524 |
| Lewis G. W. | " | Wynn V O | 567 |

L

| Plaintiffs | Index | |
|---|---|---|
| Malone Mary's adr. | vs. Malones | 3 |
| Moore & Griffin | vs. Robertson | 100. 89. 32. 13. 3 |
| Mason F. W. admr. | vs. White Jacob | 13, |
| Moore Thomas | vs. Murdock J. N. | 57. 16, |
| Mail Hix | vs. Michaels Ro. | 138. 63. 39. 18, |
| Malone Nathaniel | vs. Royalls & Goodwyn | 27. 22 |
| Major Francis | vs. Wells L. M. | 22, |
| Morris John E. | vs. Cousins | 26, |
| May David | vs. Jackson & Sydnor | 26 |
| Same | vs. Smith E. H. S. & c. | 26, |
| Moore Thompson | vs. Dabney Mary A. P. | 218. 205. 184. 161. 140. 121. 68. 40, |
| Myers Laurence & Co | vs. Scott & Wren | 146. 64. |
| Moore Thompson | vs. Meeler J. T. | 218. 184. 161. 205. 141. 121. 74. |
| Martin Wm. N. | vs. Newbell N. P. | 141. 97. 89. |
| Marks Samuel H. | vs. Scott & c. | 122 |
| Malone | vs. Perkins | 128. |
| Mallory | vs. Moore | 135. 130. |
| Mallory & Son | vs. Same | 134 131. |
| May David Cons | vs. Brooks | 132. |
| Marks | vs. Scott | 146 |
| Malone | vs. Perkins | 218. 207. 186. 160 |
| Meade Mary M's Will proved | & esr. quietfier | 180. |
| May David | vs. Northington S. S. | 193 |
| Same | vs. Same | 193 |
| Miller George & c. | vs. Etherborough | 226 |
| May & Hy as & c. | vs. Bapseau & Bapseau | 240. |
| Mayers Orphans | vs. Scott & others | 261 |
| Mhir Jno A for & c. | vs. Branch & c. | 250 |
| Same | vs. Rogersen | 250 |
| May David | vs. Meler | 254 |
| Martin N M & Bro | vs. Fitzgerald | 282 |
| May David | vs. Meler & Organ | 269 |
| Mahins adr | vs. Tucker John M. | 361. |
| Same | vs. Remy Patricks adr | 362 |
| Moody for | vs. Gillian & Gillian | 386 |
| May Butts Cons for & c | vs. Doyle & Brown | 498 |
| Mitchell a per | vs. Harrison ad | 495 |
| Mursock Stff & c | vs. Hawks Wells | 495 |
| Mitchell adr | vs. Gibb Jno B | 490 |
| Moore adr | vs. Lewis & Goodwyn | 482 |
| Moody W A Sgt & c | vs. Hawks Cadeft | 505 |
| May James | vs. Booth J B | 505 |
| " " | " " | 507 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Malones | ads | Malones adv | 3. |
| Murdock J. N. | " | Moore Thomas | 16. |
| Montgomery A. | " | Haw Ks A. H. | 25. |
| Meredith | " | Conwell | 368 |
| Mitchell G. B. & als | " | Jones E. G. | 506 |
| McCall J. G. | " | Graham & Co | 584 |
| Same | " | Wyche & Parker | 585 |
| Morris W. E. | " | Howe Machine Co | 586 |
| Same | " | Rasin R. W. S. & Co | 587 |
| Mitchell J. J. | " | Rasin R. W. S. & Co | 592 |
| Minetree Robt | " | Same | 592 |
| Mitchell J. J. | " | Bersian R. H. armors | 594 |
| Same | " | Collier & Budd Attys &c | 594 |
| Same | " | Petersburg Car Works | 595 |
| Morris I. T. | " | Shell & Hartwell | 596 |
| Mitchell A. G. | " | White Corting & Co | 598 |
| Morris W. E. | " | Allison & Addison | 603 |
| Mitchell J. J. | " | Dorman A. Cor &c | 604 |
| McCall J. G. | " | Clark Adams & Co | 607 |
| Mitchell J. J. | " | Jones R. H. Jr Cor &c | 612 |
| Mumford D. W. | " | Ettenborough Wm | 614 |
| Mason Joseph & Emma | " | Leslie Chas H. | 629 |
| Mitchell W. H. | " | Mitchell J. J. Exr | 630 |
| Malone R. G. | " | Cooper & Spotswood | 640 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mitchell J J Exr | " | Rose Geo A | 626 |
| Mabry Lettie | " | Bain Ind W | 630 |
| Mitchell J J Exr | " | Mitchell W H | 630 |
| Morgan & McIlwaine for &c | " | Abernathy C E | 633 |
| Morgan & McIlwaine | " | Cunnis H | 639 |
| Same | " | Bunn J T | 639 |

M

Plaintiffs' Index


Nichols & Smith #2 Frayer 57.49.
Norrlan Thomas #10 Allen 57

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Niblett Wm G. | ads | Clements Reuben | 24. |
| Northington S S | " | Hents admtr | 370 |
| Neaves H A | " | Same | " |
| Northington S S | " | Perkinsons aer | 380. |

Nicholas GB vs. Kuler & S. 528

N

# Plaintiffs Index


Old William J. vs Goodwin Mary A. H. 105.
Same vs Burnett Wm. W. 105.
Organ Albert M. qualified as Deputy Clerk 214
Organ N. E. presented 241

# Defendants' Index


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Organ & Steeler | ads Branch, Rives & Co | 227. 226. |
| Same | " Gregg | 227. |
| Organ A M Geel as Deputy Clk | | 498. |
| " " " Clk | " Walker Thos & Ttw | 601 |

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Perkins Balaam G. | vs Wyatt & Cole | 21. 1. |
| Peebles, White, & Davis | vs Peebles Ro. E. | 32.11. |
| Puryear Joseph E. | vs Hudson Wm | 39.14. |
| Polan Martha P. | vs Brooder & Sydnor | 73.38.18. |
| Puryear Joseph E. | vs Hudson Wm & " | 65.39.26. |
| Price Wm B. Winch for Cap in Whitlock excused | | 31. |
| Peebles for Peebles White & Davis | vs Chandler | 32. |
| Pryor Samuel | vs Bolling | 69.34.32. |
| Same | vs Baird | 69.34.32. |
| Prosise for Blick | vs Jones & Jones | 54. |
| Pol D.P.'s exex | vs Disoziways | 84. |
| Patterson Ann | vs Smith & Audas | 85. |
| Pol L.P. | vs Prosise Dan'l G. | 161.88. |
| Pace D. Acy S.p.v.c | vs Thweatt | 132 |
| Pol. L.P. | vs Bolling | 132. |
| Pearman & Co | vs Pace & c | 133. |
| Peterson L. E. qualified as Deputy Clerk | | 174 |
| Peebles Robert E.'s estate committed to Sheriff | | 181 |
| Pearman & Co | vs Burnett | 182 |
| Same | vs Goodwyn | 182 |
| Paschal Daniel A. | vs Griffin | 184 |
| Prest by Keeler | vs Scott & Waverton | 237.234. |
| Pinchback | vs Wheeler & Lebetter | 270.238.235. |
| Pinchback Mary A | vs Sedbetter Geo | 251 |
| Plummer S A | vs Slaughter R A | 253 |
| Potter Jas H. | vs Davis S. & Harris | 263 |
| Pollard's Gud | vs Jones N. & Leo obli | 280 |
| Potter J. H. | vs Potter J. M. | 358 |
| Pulkins. ass f | vs Gillem | 360. |
| Same | vs Same | " |
| Potter J. H. | vs Potter & Mitchell | 370 |
| Pettway wife | vs Hargrave & Hargrave | 371. |
| Perkins for Webb | vs Goodwyn Johnt | 372. |
| Perkins B.G. | vs Hanson & c | 373. |
| Pettway wife | vs Cousins Sog & c | 496 |
| Patterson Sgt & c for & c | vs Young Co & c | 489 |
| Pennamon & Smith | vs Boisseau E.G. | 508 |
| Petit Jurors Order allowing | pay | 509 |
| Perkinsons ass | vs Lewis & Hobbs | 511 |
| Page F. H. | vs Shell R. C. | 562 |
| Pettway P. A. | vs Gresham & Spears | 595 |
| Petersburg Car Works | vs Mitchell J. J. | 595 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pinchbeck & Barner | ads | Castleman | 2. |
| Pinchbeck Wm J. | " | Butterworth & Kirkland | 19. |
| Same | " | Kirkland S & P. | 19. |
| Pitten J M | " | Pitten J H. | 358 |
| Pinchbeck W J. | " | Yenny J E. | " |
| Pitten J H. | " | Bridgforth T K | " |
| Pitten & Elder | " | Gilliam | 361. |
| Pitten & Mitchell | " | Pitten Jas H. | 370 |
| Powell E T. | " | Shafer Geo | 372 |
| Perkinsons Ass | " | Northington S S. | 386 |
| Parker | " | Grisham | 383 |
| Perkins & Perkins | " | Baugh V K | 493 |
| Powell E T. | " | Drewry & Barkley | 496 |
| Parker & Parker | " | Vaughan & Wray | 485 |
| Perkins W T. | " | King Jas H | 506 |
| Parham M D. | " | Branch Herbert & Co for | 584 |
| Parham & Spencer | " | Bussian B G. | 586 |
| Pegram E O. | " | Rasco R W. S & Co | 592 |
| Perkins R H. | " | Branch Herbert & Co for | 595 |
| Pool J W P. | " | Crowder Joseph | 598 |
| Pully Jas A | " | Hunt Jas I. | 600 |
| Pool J W P. | " | Young W D. Exr | 633 |


P

Plaintiffs Index

Perkins Ett vs Jackson Edmonia an 607

Defendants Index

Quackenbush Jno J ads Brown Warren 518

Q

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ragland John D. | vs | Browder Isham Jr 218 202 186 159 | 140. 66. 36. 14. |
| Reames A. H. | vs | Kennedy Wm P. | 63. 37. 18. |
| Rogers William C. | vs | Scott P. H. | 22. |
| Rives L. T's adv. | " | Read | 49. |
| Rainey & others | " | Jones' exor. | 218. 186. 72. |
| Royall Wm H. | " | Ledbetter's adv. | 73. |
| Rose for May | " | Hargrave's adv. | 80. |
| Rogers Wm C. | vs | Jackson & Sturdwant | 87. 85. |
| Roney H. P. | vs | Gladding Benj. 188 | 158. 138. 118. 104. |
| Rogers George L. | vs | Lucy George H. | 106. |
| Roney Isaac 218 | " | Mayes & Hobbs | 206. 186. 160. 139. 118. |
| Robertson | " | Scott | 146. 142 |
| Rainey Daniel E. | " | Feild | 235. 220. 209. 186. 164. |
| Ragland John D. assce &c | " | Pool | 220. 209. 183. |
| Richardson | " | Keeler | 221. 210. 186. |
| Rose & Hargrave assce for May | " | Keeler & Lewis | 215. 193 |
| Roney Isaac | " | Wells' adv. | 236. 223. 210. |
| Royston Thomas W. | " | Harmon | 222. |
| Royston T. W. | vs | Pettus W. H. | 249 |
| Ragland Jno D. assee | vs | Pool S. P. & others | 274. 252 |
| Reade Robt F. | vs | Scott E. P. | 274. 254 |
| Same | vs | Keeler & Jones | 280 |
| Reeds adv. | " | Keeler & Jones | 375 |
| Roach for &c | " | Goodwyn J. P. | 377 |
| Rives John | " | Gholson Richd | 510 |
| Roney Isaac's admts | " | Bonpeau Jas P. | 510 |
| Same | " | Same | 50 |
| Rowlett Jno & Co | " | Wilson Joseph | 550 |
| Richardson | " | Anderson | 548 |
| Rasen R. H. & Co | " | Hamlin T. B. | 585 |
| Same | " | Morris W. E. | 587 |
| Same | " | Mitchell J. J. | 592 |
| Same | " | Satchfield Wm | 592 |
| Same | " | Minetree Robert | 592 |
| Same | " | Pegram E. O. | 592 |
| Same | " | Spain Wm | 593 |
| Same | " | Ritchie W. B. | 593 |
| Same | " | Bishop C. C. | 593 |
| Same | " | Tucker Jas P. | 593 |
| Same | " | Gill W. H. | 598 |
| Rash Ro. admr | " | Rash R. A. | 634 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Read James B. | ads | Church & Kenney | 17. |
| Royalls & Goodwyn | " | Malone Nath^l " | 22. |
| Rogers & Scott | " | Graves for Dorman | 23. |
| Royall & Williamson | " | Hargrave C A | 362 |
| Roney's adv | " | Masons adv | 362 |
| Royale Wm | " | Chandler Goodwyn | 363 |
| Rainey D E admts | " | Tucker Jas C | 371. |
| Rogerson W H & als | " | Branch Rives & Co | 374 |
| Reams | " | Crowder | 376 |
| Roney | " | Hunt & Co | 377 |
| Royale & c | " | Sidbetters adv | 387 |
| Reams Stephen | " | Tally W A | 493 |
| Roney | " | Cousins Curates Parker | 504 |
| Rice | " | King | 504 |
| Roney Wm G admts | " | Davis E A assee & c | 505 |
| Roney M G " | " | " " " for J P Bass | 526 |
| Robinson Needham | " | Ettenborough Wm | 535 |
| Rose V J | " | White J A sig pt & c | 563 |
| Roney J N Co Dept | " | Connelly W D | 585 |
| Reese W L | " | Barnes A G | 586 |
| Ritchie W B | " | Rasco R W L & Co | 593 |
| Rose Geo A | " | Allison & Addison | 613 |
| Same | " | Mitchell J J's Exr | 626 |
| Rash R A | " | Rash R. admr | 634 |
| Reese W L | " | Dorman & Mann | 640 |

Rosenstock A & Co. 6

Smith G O 640

R

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Scott ass^ce of Jones | vs | Harmon & Goodwyn | 67. 39. 14. 3. |
| Sturdivant's Curator | vs | Ritchie Ro. Jr. | 43. 18. 8. |
| Sydnor Robert H. Rule | vs | discharged | 12. |
| Stone William B. Rule | vs | discharged | 12. |
| Spain Richard's exors. | vs | Wells E. R. & S. W. | 24. |
| Scott Thomas F. | vs | Hawkins Armistead | 24. |
| Shafer A. S. & Co | vs | Thweatt | 26. |
| Scott, Keen & Co | vs | Pinchbeck | 58. 42. |
| Shafer A. S. & Co | vs | Harmon | 42. |
| Sturdivant L. B. | vs | Harmon & Goodwyn | 57. 50. |
| Seay for Donnan | vs | Burgess & Tillson | 52. |
| Smith Edwin | vs | Burgess Wm | 54. |
| Scott, Harrison & Co | vs | Neaves S. L. A. | 54. |
| Sydnor Joseph A. | vs | Crowder's exor. | 57. |
| Same | vs | Smith S. O. H^e | 57. |
| Southall Thomas J. | vs | Scott & Wren | 146 72. 64 |
| Same | vs | Same | 146 64. |
| Scott John L. | vs | Scott Peter H. | 145 77. 75. |
| Same | vs | Same | 145 77. 75. |
| Sutherland Wm C | vs | Same | 75. |
| Smith John's Exor. | vs | Harmon & Lewis | 83. |
| Seashols John | vs | Gibson G. B. | 86. |
| Short guardian of King | vs | Turner & Biggs | 189. 142. 91. |
| Smith E. H.'s Claim certified | | | 101. |
| Shafer A. S. & Co ass^ce Thweatt | vs | Clarke John D. & Peter J. | 106. |
| Steward William E. | vs | White & Scott | 108. |
| Smith E. H. | vs | Goodwyn & Lewis | 118. |
| Smith E. H. for Green Hill | vs | Moore Thompson | 160. 148. 125. |
| Smith E. H. | vs | Roney Isaac | 135. 125. |
| Seashols | vs | Gibsons & Jones | 144. 137. |
| Scott E. P. | vs | Organ | 141. |
| Smith & Mallory | vs | Johnson | 153. 144. |
| Steward Wm E. | vs | Scott | 146. |
| Sturdivant for friend | vs | Perkins | 148. |
| Spencer | vs | Alfriends | 160. |
| Sturdivant Hurt & Co | vs | Harmon & King | 173. 163. |
| Slaughter John J.'s claim certified | | | 167. |
| Shafer & Co | vs | White | 231. 219. 208. 186. |
| Sheriff for Jones | vs | Organ & Keeler | 211. 186. |
| Sheriff Thomas W. Scott's claim certified | | | 239. 233. 224. 211. 208. |
| Smith for Hill | vs | Wells adv | 223. 210. |
| Sydnor Trustee Harmon | vs | Scott Shff. | 235. |
| Scott Sheriff | vs | Richardson | 235 |

Defendants' Index

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Scott Thomas E. | adv | Halsey & Co. | 17. |
| Scott Peter H. | " | Branton David J. | 19. |
| Same | " | Burke Abner H. | 22 |
| Same & Adams | " | Same | 22. |
| Scott Peter H. | " | Rogers Wm C. | 22. |
| Sandifer & Bispham | " | Branton David J. | 24. |
| Same | " | Hills. ass. | 381. |

Plaintiffs Index continued


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shafer & Co | vs | White J B. | 248. 250, 273 |
| Sutherland Geo W | vs | Reade Jas B. | 249 |
| Steward Wm E & adm | vs | Field Lucy C. | 249 |
| Specely W H | vs | Berryman & Hill | 264 |
| Specely W H | vs | Hill, Hill & Adams | 269 |
| Smith Dr E H acct certified | | | 277. 278. 279 |
| Sandefer | 6 | Young | 359 |
| Specely M H | 6 | Thrift J S & al | 362 |
| Slaughter J J | 6 | Hargrave C A | 371 |
| Shafer Hes | 6 | Crillenton Jno T | 372 |
| Same | 6 | Powell E T | " |
| Same | " | Crowder J V | 373 |
| Same | " | Thorvate A | 373 |
| Same | " | Lewis J W | 374 |
| Same | " | Same | 374 |
| Same | " | Gilliam J P | 374 |
| Same Shafer J C | " | Lewis J W | 375 |
| Strands Trustee | " | Brown | 388 |
| Shafer A S & Co | " | Hargrave C A | 488 |
| Scott G V | " | Anderson | 498 |
| Scott G V | " | Whitehead J S | 510 |
| Shafer A S & Co | " | Hearturle H J | 511 |
| Spencers Exr | 6 | Wenfield | 512 |
| Same | 6 | Same Sig ob | 512 |
| Stuart Buchanan & Co | 6 | Dimiddie County | 574 |
| State Jno W & ar | 6 | State Jno W | 595 |
| Shell Hearturle | 6 | Morris J T | 596 |
| Spencer R M | 6 | Harmon D A & al | 605 |
| Sutherland F M | 6 | Sutherland B E | 614 |
| Shell Hearturle for &c | 6 | Bonsdon Exr & | 631 |

# Defts Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Scott Peter vals | ad | Wynn W G | 493 |
| Stone vals | " | Same | 494 |
| Scott vals | " | Same | 494 |
| Shell R C | " | Lemoine W H | 495 |
| Seay Bishop | " | Bissau Mr Gen & | 482 |
| Shell vals | " | Lemoine W H | 500 |
| Shile R C | " | Page F H | 562 |
| Spain G O | " | Gilliam M E Co for &c | 570 |
| Spain A E Exr &c | " | Same | 582 |
| Shell R C vals | " | Dance A A | 580 |
| Satchfield Wm | " | Rasin R W S & Co | 592 |
| Spain Wm | " | Same | 593 |
| State Mo W | " | State Mo W, ass | 595 |
| Strand Mo B | " | Ettenborough Wm | 596 |
| Same | " | Connelly &c | 599 |
| Scott & Mitchell | " | Jones R S & Jr Com &c | 604 |
| Smith & Smith | " | Thrift J B | 605 |
| Spencer R M | " | Allison & Addison | 612 |
| Scott & Mitchell | " | Jones R S & Jr Com | 612 |
| Street W H | " | Drewry W H & Co for &c | 613 |
| Sutherland B E | " | Sutherland F M | 624 |
| Stile & Stile | " | Davis B J | 629 |
| Same | " | Same | 630 |
| Satchfield Wm | " | Davis J M | 635 |
| Sydnor T T | " | Cohen Marx | 639 |


S

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Turnbull, Morrison & Stone | vs | Lucy Geo: H. | 23. |
| Thweatt for Goodwyn | vs | Evans B. H. | 28. 25. |
| Temple John | vs | Branton Wm 101. 81. 71. 68. 40. | |
| Taylor's admor. | vs | Spain & Young | 48. |
| Trotter Isham | vs | Goodwyn | 57. 51. |
| Trotter Isham & Co | vs | Burnett | 52 |
| Thompson Wm F. | vs | Richardson & Co | 54. |
| Thompson R. S. & Co | vs | Fords | 131. |
| Tappey & Lumsden | vs | Burgess | 141. |
| Tinsley | vs | Longworth 223. 208. 186. 160. | |
| Tucker George B. | vs | Risdon | 169. |
| Thweatt & Lewis | vs | Parchbecks | 192 |
| Taylor for Fraher's adv. | vs | Richardson | 237. 235. |
| Tappey & Lumsden | vs | Smith | 237. |
| Tucker J C | " | Bain Wyatt | 359 |
| Turner Jas. F. for B. S. Turner | " | Winston & Wynn | 363. |
| Tilson | " | Wynn & Wynn | " |
| Tucker Paschal | " | Goodwyn & Goodwyn | 367 |
| Tucker Jas. C | " | Rainey | 371. |
| Tuckers adv. for Jc | " | Goodwyn J P sals | 375 |
| Thrift | " | Adams | 376. |
| Thweatt for Jc | " | Fitzgerald Jc | 378. |
| Tappy & Lumsden | " | Gilliam | 382 |
| Same | " | Same | 386. |
| Same | " | Keeler | 388. |
| Tucker | " | Tucker | 464 |
| Tally W A | " | Reams Stephen | 493 |
| Thompson W J. | " | Brad & Boisseau | 487 |
| Todd Pugh & Co. for Jc | " | Hargrave T E. | 487 |
| Tucker for Howle | " | White & Bishop | 499 |
| Same | " | Hill & Meredith | 499 |
| Talbott & Sons | " | Davis Wm P. | 532 |
| Thrift J B. | " | Smith & Smith | 605 |
| Townsend J J. | vs | Harper D W | 608 |
| Tucker C E H | " | Williamson F M | 634 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Tucker B. J. | ads | Holyoake, Lownes & Co | 16, |
| Tucker J. M. | " | Masons arr | 361 |
| Tucker C. E. St | " | Sturgeon C. A. | 361 |
| Thrift J. S. & Co | " | Spurly M. St | 362 |
| Tucker Parchal | " | Kirby Lucy J. | 365 |
| Threatt A. | " | Shafer Rev | 373 |
| Tucker | " | Hills arr | 381 |
| Threatt sal | " | Fraser | 502 |
| Tucker Jas. P. | " | Rasin R. W. S. & Co | 593 |
| Tucker C. E. St | " | Crawford Jno J. | 593 |
| Tucker R. J. | " | White Corling & Co | 594 |
| Tucker C. E. St | " | Crowder Thos | 596 |
| Tucker Jas. P. | " | Ettenborough Wm | 604 |
| Tucker R. H. | " | Same | 633 |

T

# Plaintiffs' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vaughan B. J. | vs | King Wiley G. | 53. |
| Va & N.C. Planing Co. for &c | vs | Brooks | 54, |
| Vaden | vs | Lippincott | 20, 186. 160. 143. |
| Vaughan James | vs | Burgess | 149 |
| Vaughan Peter. E's claim certificate | | | 239. |
| Venable James M | " | Moody & Adams | 261 |
| Venable Abr. | " | Ford | 369 |
| Vaughan Wray | " | Parker, Parker | 485. |

Defendants Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vaden Pay H | ads | Herits admtr | 370 |
| Same | " | Hobbs | 388 |


V

# Plaintiffs' Index


Worsham Archer Rule vs discharged 7.
Wilkinson Elizabeth vs Tally Rich^d A. 10.
Worsham Elisha Rule vs discharged 12.
Wells Dixon B. vs Royall Geo. B. & W. H. 125. 120. 70. 38. 13.
Worsham John A. vs Scott Peter H. 201. 186. 160. 138. 63. 38. 13.
Wilkinson's admor. vs Burns 64. 38. 13.
Worsham Henry C. vs Green Wm B. 16.
Wells Giles' Heirs vs Wells Giles' Heirs 70. 45. 18.
Winn ass^ee Grigg vs Cheely & Johnson 24.
Wells Uriah vs Thweatt 26.
Wells D. B. vs Richardson 206. 186. 160. 140. 124. 68. 40.
Watkins, Son & Co vs Green 41.
Wells & Gunn vs Wells S. M. 51.
Wells for &c vs Lewis' & Goodwyn 54.
Wyatt William vs Wynn William 65
same vs same 65.
Wilson James vs Anderson 73.
Wynn William G. vs Tillson Loring 81.
Wills, Lea & Brownley vs Butterworth 84.
Williams Francis vs Goodwyn & Wyatt 86.
Williams Thomas D's Claim certified 101.
Wells Berryman T.'s Claim certified 101.
Wright Silas M. vs B. Gladding 218. 104.
Wills Lea & Brownley vs Harmon L. D. 105.
Wygan John H. vs Brooks Jos N. 106.
Wright ass^ee Wright vs Gladding 109.
Warren R. B. W. vs Niblett Wm G. 109.
Wells Berryman T.'s Claim certified 127.
White Davis & Hardee vs Jones 142
Wright vs Gladding 207. 186. 161. 143.
Williams vs Scott 153. 151.
Wells Reuben vs Wells' extx 219. 186. 163.
White & McKinney vs Gee 181.
Williams Richard R's claim certified 199.
Wells Wm G. vs Prentiss 232. 221. 210
Wilson & Alfriend vs Slaughter 222.
Williams L. A.'s claim certified 239.
Williams Thomas D's claim certified 239.
Williams Thomas' Claim certified 239.
Walsh John vs Richardson Wm H & Thos 262
White J. D. & Son vs Sturdivants admr 264
Same vs Keeler & Adams 264
Same vs Keeler J. S. 264

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Wyatt & Cole | Ed. | Perkins | 21.1 |
| White Jacob | " | Mason's adr. | 15. |
| Wells S. M. | " | Major Francis | 22. |
| Wells E. R. & S. M. | " | Spain Richards' exors: | 24. |
| Wells Winfield N. | " | Corr | 229. 228 |
| Winston & Wynn | " | Terrie Jas 7 fr B S | 363 |
| Wynn & Wynn | " | Tilson | " |
| Wells | " | Brently wife | 380 |
| Williamson | " | Dance | 489 |

Plaintiffs Index Continued

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Whitmore George | " | Petters | 266 |
| Warren R B W presented | | | 268 |
| White J D & Son | " | Keeler & Orgain | 269 |
| Same | " | Keeler Adams & Bros | 269 |
| Whitmore George | " | Petters Bristow & Rives | 271 |
| Worsham P H qual as atty | | | 275 |
| Warren T adm | " | Wells S C | 276 |
| Wynn E Fitzgerald | " | | 358 |
| Williams A Indeksmen | | | 364 |
| Williamson Joh T presented | | | " |
| Wynn R E presented | | | " |
| White McKenny & Co | " | Goodwyn J P | 371 |
| Worshams adv | " | Barrow &c | 387 |
| Wynn W G | " | Scott Peter sals | 493 |
| Same | " | Stone sals | 494 |
| Same | " | Scott sals | 494 |
| Walker | " | Jones | 483 |
| Williamson | " | Crawley | 501 |
| Williams E F for Heartwell | " | Dunwoodie Co | 506 |
| " " " | " | Crawley R H | 508 |
| Winston A C allowed for public services | | | 509 |
| Wynn W G | " | Roberts Nancy Ex Hor | 509 |
| Whitehead A | " | Hardaway C M | 534 |
| Wynn V O | " | Lewis G H | 567 |
| White J A sig pt &c | " | Rose J | 563 |
| Weddell & Co for &c | " | Hearlwell | 494 |
| Wheeler M L | " | Williamson & Miles | 584 |
| Wynn R L for &c | " | Wilson Rich sals | 585 |
| Wyche & Parker | " | McCale J G | 585 |
| Wheeler M L | " | Baird Nash | 586 |
| Worsham F B | " | Coleman P H | 587 |
| White Corling & Co | " | Tucker R J | 594 |
| Wright T M | " | Brough Jas A | 596 |
| White Corling & Co | " | Mitchell A L | 598 |
| Walker Theo & Thos | " | Orgain A M clk | 601 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| White & Bishop | ads | Tucker for Howle | 499 |
| Williamson | " | Davis wife | 499 |
| Williamson Edward | " | Davis & Wife | 507 |
| Whitehead I S | " | Scott G V | 510 |
| Wilson Joseph | " | Rowlett Mo & Co | 550 |
| Winfield Mo | " | Hall S P | 550 |
| Wells B E vals | " | Dance A A | 567 |
| Williamson & Miles | " | Wheeler M L | 584 |
| Wilson Richd vals | " | Wynn R S for [illegible] | 585 |
| Winston A C | " | Ettenborough Wm | 581 |
| Wallace T R | " | Ainsworth | 683 |
| " Wells F H | " | Harper J W | 587 |
| Wells & Barnes | " | Burson B W | 603 |
| Williamson F M | " | Tucker C E H | 634 |
| " P R & F M | " | Kennedy & Son | 659 |

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] & Cole | | [illegible] | 1. |
| Williams & Williams | ads | Guns for Denman | 359 |
| Wyatt | " | Epes | " |
| " | " | Blunt for | 360 |
| Wyatt E A | " | Brownley's adv | 373 |
| " | " | Kings Exors | 376 |
| Warren C Defr | " | Clements J C | 494 |
| Westmoreland E B | " | Hall norfe | 490 |
| Williamson norfe | " | Branch Rivers & C | 490 |

X

Plaintiffs Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Young & Burnett | vs | Richardson Thos | 260 277 |
| Young J E | " | Puichbeck W J | 358 |
| Young J N D | | | |
| Young Jno E | vs | Brown Warren | 571 |
| Young W D Ex | vs | Pool J W P | 633 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Young W D | ads | Hargrave C A | 358 |
| " | " | Sandefer | 395 |
| " | " | Hymes aor for &c | 384 |
| Young Mrs St Co Dept | " | Patterson Gt &c for &c | 389 |
| Young O Gray | " | The Commonwealth for &c | 549 |
| Young Extr | " | Same | 549 |
| Young M H Curator | " | Crowder Theo Esq Lt &c | 634 |

Y

Atlantic & Va Fertilizing Co 6. Branch & Cousins 633

Plaintiffs' Index

# Defendants' Index


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Zehmer & Wells | ads | Bevill's exor. | 23. |
| Zhemer C G | " | Love M S | 366 |
| Zhemer & Bensden | " | Budd J S. Comm &c | 385 |
| Boisseau Jas P | ads | Hargrave Jas | 367 |
| Brooks J N Surg ob | " | Joynes W T | 371 |
| Boisseau & Brown | " | Dennem Alex | 372 |
| Bishop W B | " | same | 372 |
| Bain & Wyatt | " | Crawford J J | 373 |
| Bain & Wyatt | " | Ferris adv | 373 |
| Bain &c | " | Dennem & Johnston | 376 |
| Beulty wife | " | Hills adv | 381 |
| Blandford | " | same | 381 |
| Bayler | " | Coleman | 381 |
| Barrow & als | " | Workhams adv | 387 |
| Brown W H | " | Strouds Trustee | 388 |
| Boisseau W E | " | Keeler J S | 494 |
| Bass & Boisseau | " | Kennedy S M | 494 |
| Boisseau J P | " | Davis E A assn | 496 |
| Bradt & Boisseau | " | Thompson W J | 487 |
| Budd M. adv D B N of Hill order | | recg Mdgt & Tucker | 502 |
| Booth T B | " | May James | 505 |
| Branch W B & Herbert | " | Heartwell J H | 507 |
| Butterworth Jno W & als | " | Cousins Henry adm &c | 507 |
| Booth T B | | May James | 507 |
| Boisseau E L | " | Pennon & Smith | 508 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bischell Wm G. | v. | Smith & Hill | 132. |
| Barrs & Sleely | v. | Frazer | 142 |
| Brotton Todd & Harrison | v. | Craig 236, 231, 220 | 151 |
| Barron | v. | Smith & Insley 210. 186. 163. | |
| Burnetts exr | v. | Rhames | 170. |
| Barham Richard & Ors claim | certified | | 186 |
| Barham James & others | v. | Scott Peter H | 190. |
| Bells A.C. | v. | See & Scott | 191. |
| Bustle for May | v. | Lewis & Scott | 198. 195. 193 |
| Same | v. | Same | 198. 196. 193 |
| Brown R.B. | v. | Harper Ptr. 235. 233. 223. 207. | |
| Bernard George S. qualified as an atty at Law | | | 214. |
| Branch, Rives & C | v. | Organ & Wheeler | 227. 226. |
| Burnam J N qualified as Deputy Clerk | | | 358 |
| Bigfoot J K & | | Pettis | " |
| Branch Rives & C | v. | Rogerson &c | 374 |
| Brantley | v. | Adams admr | 382 |
| Baugh T N | v. | Perkins & Perkins | 493 |
| Betts J H | v. | Crawley Ex'r | 495 |
| Branch Rives & C | " | Williamson ux | 496 |
| Brown Warren | " | Quackenbush | 508 |
| Burnam Ld. P | " | Doney admr | 570 |
| Same | " | Same | 570 |
| Brown Wm H | v. | Lewis Joseph | 524 |
| Budd & Jones Comrs &c | " | Ferguson & Richards | 527 |
| Branch Herbert & C for &c | v. | Parham M.D. | 584 |
| Budd J S Comr &c | v. | Zelmer & Bowden | 585 |
| Burnam B.G. | " | Parham & Spencer | 586 |
| Barnes A.G. | v. | Rives H S | 586 |
| Branch Herbert & C for &c | v. | Bass Jno W | 588 |
| Burnam R.H. admrs | v. | Mitchell J.J. | 594 |
| Branch Herbert & C for &c | v. | Parham R.H. | 595 |
| Same | v. | Bass & Fraser | 597 |
| Branch M.B. | v. | Burnam J.H. | 666 |
| Bowen B.W. | " | Wells & Bowen | 603 |
| Branch Herbert & C for &c | v. | Gilliam Ex'r | 604 |
| Booth & Sommers for &c | v. | Bass Jno W | 613 |
| Barnes Wm T Exr of Young Mary H. | | | 601 |
| Branch Winfield | v. | Brown John | 637 |

Defts Index

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bristow Saml | ads | Ettenborough Wm | 558 |
| Bishop Bishop | " | King C P | 558 |
| Brown Warren | " | Young Jno E | 571 |
| Bourdon T C | " | Ettenborough Wm | 576 |
| Baird Nacht | " | Wheeler M L | 586 |
| Brough & Brough | " | Jones W B for Exes | 581 |
| Bishop J A | " | Dew Thos R | 580 |
| Bain Jno W | " | Branch Herbert & Co for &c | 588 |
| Bishop C C | " | Rasin R W S & Co | 593 |
| Bourdon T C | " | Crowder Theo | 595 |
| Brough Jas A | " | Wright F M | 596 |
| Brown Chas | " | Davies S D | 597 |
| Bain & Fraser | " | Branch Herbert & Co | 597 |
| Bisseau J H | " | Branch M B | 608 |
| Bain Jno W | " | James E G & Co for &c | 612 |
| Same | " | Booth & Sommers for &c | 613 |
| Barner Wm F | " | Collier C A | 626 |
| Bain Jno W | " | Malry Lettie | 630 |
| Bourdon T C | " | Shell & Heartwell for &c | 631 |
| Bourdon A B Exr | " | Ettenborough Wm Assn &c | 631 |
| Branch & Consors | " | Atlantic & Va Fertilizing Co | 633 |
| Barner Wm F | " | Crowder Theo | 634 |
| Same | " | Same | 634 |
| Brown John | " | Branch Winfield | 637 |
| Brown J D | " | Morgan & McIlwaine | 639 |
| Brown A C | " | Crowder Theo | 640 |

The Commonwealth for R H Jones Jr | vs Young & Gray 549
Same | vs Young Ex, &c 549

1855 April the 20th

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County, at the Courthouse thereof, on friday the 20th day of April A.D. 1855, and in the 79th year of the Commonwealth.

Present. The Hon. John W. Nash. Judge.

Thomas B. Hamlin, Foreman — with John C. Hardy, Joseph M. Lewis, William P. Spain, A. J. Hartwell, C. P. Beville, Wm. H. Hawkins, Benjamin W. Shelly, James Smith, John S. Bliss, Robert W. Hall, William D. Young, Joseph E. Purzear, Henry Cousins, Benjamin R. Lewis, John E. Harris, William A. Adams, and Herbert Williams, were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, received their charge, withdrew, and after some time returned into Court with an Indictment against Mary Andrews for Felony, "A true Bill", and an Indictment against Ann Hardy for Felony, "a true Bill."

And also the following presentments, which were read and ordered to be recorded: and follow in the words and figures, to wit:

We of the Grand Jury, present Ethelbert Bristow for an assault and battery on the person of William Thrift in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months, upon the evidence of Charles Bristow sworn in open court to give evidence, and sent up to the grand jury.

We present William H. Peebles for an assault and battery on the person of James Hawkins in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months upon the evidence of William A. Adams, one of our own body, sworn in open court to give evidence, and sent up to the grand jury.

We present James Hawkins for an assault and battery on the person of William H. Peebles in the county of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months upon the evidence of William A. Adams, one of our own body, sworn in open court to give evidence, and sent up to the Grand Jury.

Whereupon, on motion of the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process be issued against the several persons so as aforesaid presented as the law directs returnable here on the first day of October Term next. And the Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged.

---

Balaam G. Perkins Plt. } In a motion for a-
against } ward of execution
William Wyatt and John Cole Defts. } on a forfeited forth-
coming bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff ~~may have execution~~ against the defendants for Eight hundred and fifty one dollars and fourteen cents the penalty of said bond. and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be

1855 April the 20th.

discharged by the payment of Four hundred and twenty five dollars and fifty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of November 1854. till paid- and said Costs.

---

Flemming James, John W. Rice and Edwin James late partners under the firm and style of James, Rice and James Plts.
against
John G. Clark and Uriah Connelly Defts.
} In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for Two hundred and eleven dollars and thirty four cents, the penalty of said bond. and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and five dollars and sixty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of November 1854, till paid- and said costs.

---

C. W. Castleman Plt.
against
William J. Pinchback & Wm. F. Barnes Defts.
} In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and ~~it being proved to the satisfaction~~ of the court, that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for Two hundred and seventy nine dollars and eighty six cents the penalty of said bond. and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and thirty nine dollars and ninety three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of November 1854, till paid- and said costs.

---

John Dodson admor. of Wm. H. Goodwyn decd. Plt.
against
Thomas J. Lewis, Thomas Lewis and Isaac S. Keeler Defts.
} In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for One thousand one hundred and eighty dollars and fifty six cents, the penalty of said bond- and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended- But the said ~~execution may~~ be discharged by the payment of Five

The following scale of depreciation of Confederate money at the several dates mentioned was adopted by the ~~County~~ Circuit Court to determine the value of debts contracted during that period

In the year 1862: January $1.20 in Confederate notes for one dollar in Specie February $1.30 March $1.80 April $1.15 - May June July and August $1.90; September $2.50 October $2.45 - November $3.15; December $3.25

For 1863 January $3.10 February $3.20 March $4.50 April $6. May $6.50 June $8 July $8.50 August $9. September $10 October $13; November $15.50 December $21.50

For 1864 January $23 February $27. March $26 April $28.50 May $23 June July and August $23 September and October $24.50 November $34.50 December $41

For 1865 - January $55 - February $55 March and April $60

hundred and ninety dollars and twenty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of November 1854. till paid - and said costs.

---

James Boiseau admr. of Mary Malone dec.d Plt. } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.
against
Daniel Malone and Wm. J. Malone Defts

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for twelve hundred dollars and thirty six cents the penalty of said bond. and also his costs by him about his motion on this behalf expended - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of six hundred dollars and eighteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of June 1854. till paid and said costs.

---

Peter A. Scott a assignee of F. J. E. Jones Plt. } In Debt.
against
L. D. Harmon and A. M. Goodwyn Defts

The defendants by their attorney this day, pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally; and the said defendant filed at the same time an account, stating the nature of the payments and setoffs, which he desires to prove. Whereupon, it is ordered, that the judgment obtained in the Office against the said defendants, be set aside.

---

Reuben Clements Plaintiff
against
George A. McCulloch Defendant

Upon a summons suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of the South Side Rail Road Company.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and William Pannill against whom the summons aforesaid is returned executed, being called, did not appear: On motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, the case is continued until next monday.

---

D. W. Moore and R. B. Griffin late merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Moore & Griffin - Plts.
against
B. M. Robertson Deft.

Upon a summons suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of the South Side Rail Road Co.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and William Pannill against whom the summons aforesaid is returned executed, being called, did not appear: On motion of the plaintiffs by their attorney, the case is continued until next monday.

---

1855 April the 20th

On the motion of Upton S. Crow and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rule made against him at October term last, for failing to attend as a witness on behalf of Elizabeth Wilkinson plaintiff against Richard A. Tally defendant, is discharged.

---

On the motion of George H. Lewis and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rule made against him at October term last, for failing to attend as a witness on behalf of Richd. A. Tally defendant at the suit of Elizabeth Wilkinson is discharged

---

Mary Andrews late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony was led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, thereof arraigned, and pleaded not guilty to the indictment. And the Venire summoned in this case having been called over and the Court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon fifteen additional jurors free holders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Mary Andrews be guilty of the Felony of which she stands indicted. And the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the above order, being called over, and the Court still failing to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon eight additional jurors free holders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects as the law directs, to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Mary Andrews be guilty of the Felony of which she stands indicted. And the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the above order being called over, and the Court still failing to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon five additional freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects as the law directs, to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Mary Andrews be guilty of the Felony of which she stands indicted: thereupon, from the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order, a panel of twenty five qualified jurors, free from exception was completed. Whereupon, the prisoner having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel. To wit: Lorenzo D. Harmon, Thomas M. Williams, John S. Polan, William J. Pinchbeck, William H. Ledbetter, Upton S. Crow, Richard J. Tucker, Thomas A. Farley, Peterson Allgood, William H. Bunyelo, William Bishop and Oliver Chappell, who being elected Tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to

1855 April the 20th

speak, and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who is directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at ten O' Clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to John W. Harmon Deputy Sheriff of this County, in the following words- "you shall well and truly to the best of your ability, keep this jury, and neither speak to them yourself, nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow." Whereupon, Thomas Andrews and Stephen S. Hamlin of the County of Dinwiddie, for the said Mary Andrews who is an infant, here in Court severally acknowledge themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said Thomas Andrews in the sum of twenty five dollars, and the said Stephen S. Hamlin in the sum of twenty five dollars, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered; yet upon this condition, that if the said Mary Andrews shall personally appear here tomorrow morning at ten O' Clock, before the Judge of this Court, to answer the Commonwealth of and concerning the Felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence, without the leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

---

Ann Hardy late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted of Felony ~~appeared in Court according to the condition of the recognizance~~ entered into before the County Court of Dinwiddie County, was set to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, thereof arraigned, and pleaded not guilty to the indictment. Whereupon, ~~the~~ David Andrews and John Nathaniel Andrews of the County of Dinwiddie, for the said Ann Hardy who is an infant here in Court severally acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said David Andrews in the sum of twenty five dollars, and the said John Nathaniel Andrews in the sum of twenty five dollars, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition if the said Ann Hardy shall personally appear here at ten O' Clock tomorrow morning, before the Judge of this Court, to answer the Commonwealth of and concerning the Felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence, without the leave of the said Court, then this recognizance to be void.

---

Armistead Hawkins who was summoned to appear here on this day as a venire-man for the trial of Mary Andrews for Felony, was solemnly called, but came not. Whereupon, it is ordered that he be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next Term, to shew cause, if any he can, why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

1855 April the 21st

Saturday April the 21st 1855.

Present - The same Judge as yesterday.

Mary Andrews, who stands indicted of Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and the jury sworn on yesterday for her trial, were brought into Court in custody of the Sheriff of this County; and upon the application of Oliver Chappell one of the jurors sworn to try this case on yesterday, who having been first sworn for that purpose, made known to the Court, that since he was sworn upon the jury for the trial of this case, that he had received information, that last night his dwelling house was burnt down and its contents destroyed, and that one of his slaves had been seriously burnt by the fire, and such was his present anguish of mind that he felt himself wholly unable to sit as a juror in this cause - and the Court having examined sundry witnesses touching the said fire, is of the opinion that it is right and proper to discharge the said Oliver Chappell, and doth order that the Sheriff of this County, summon three additional jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects as the law directs, to appear here forthwith, from whom to select another juror to be sworn in the place of the said Oliver Chappell. Thereupon, from the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County, in obedience to the above order, William Sandifer was selected tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and the jury having partly heard the evidence were adjourned until monday morning ten O' Clock. and an oath was administered to David F. Hoy Sheriff of this County and John W. Harmon Deputy Sheriff of this County, in the words following - "You and each of you shall well and truly, to the best of your ability, keep this jury, and neither speak to them yourselves, nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into court on next monday at ten O' Clock. Whereupon, Thomas Andrews, for Mary Andrews who is an infant, here in open court, acknowledged himself to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of fifty dollars, of his lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition, if the said Mary Andrews shall personally appear here, at Ten O' Clock on monday morning next, before the judge of this Court, to answer the commonwealth of and concerning the Felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence, without the leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance to be void.

David Andrews who was summoned to appear here on yesterday as a witness for Mary Andrews at the suit of the Commonwealth, was this day solemnly called but came not: Therefore, on the motion of the said Mary Andrews by her attorney, it is ordered that the said David Andrews be summoned to appear here forthwith, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined (an

1855 April the 21st

attached for his said contempt.

Ann Hardy who stands indicted of Felony appeared in court according to the condition of her recognizance entered into before this Court on yesterday: Whereupon Thomas Andrews and William D. Crowder, for the said Ann Hardy who is an infant, here in open court, severally acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said Thomas Andrews in the sum of twenty five dollars and the said William D. Crowder in the sum of twenty five dollars, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition, if the said Ann Hardy shall personally appear here on monday morning next, at ten O: Clock, before the judge of this Court, to answer the Commonwealth of and concerning the Felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Court, then this recognizance to be void.

Upon the petition of James Barbeau attorney for the Commonwealth, for a writ of Error to a judgment rendered against the Commonwealth by the County Court of Dinwiddie County on the 19th day of March 1855, in case of a presentment against David S. Wells, whereby it was considered that the said presentment be quashed, it is ordered that a writ of Error be awarded to the Commonwealth returnable hereon the first day of October Term next.

On the motion of Archer Worsham and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rule made against him at October Term last, for failing to attend as a witness on behalf of Richard A. Tally at the suit of Elizabeth Wilkinson, is discharged.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until monday morning next ten O: Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

1855 April the 23rd

Monday the 23rd April A.D. 1855.
Present the same Judge
as Saturday.

Thomas H. Daniel sometimes called Curator of Richard Sturdivant Plt. } In Debt
against
Robert Ritchie Jr. Deft

The defendant by his attorney this day, pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally: and the defendant by his attorney, at the same time filed an account, stating the nature of the payments which he desires to prove. Whereupon, it is ordered, that the judgment obtained in the Office against the said defendant, be set aside.

---

B. F. Haley, Jeptha C. Grashing and William Tupman merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of B. F. Haley & Co Plts. } In Case
against
James Wilson Deft

This day came the parties by their attornies, and on the motion of the defendant who pleaded non assumpsit to which the plaintiffs replied generally, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded against the defendant at the rules held in the Clerk's Office, be set aside.

---

Alexander Dorman administrator of Josephus Lewis deceased. Plt. } In case
against
William B. Green Deft

The defendant by his attorney, this day, pleaded non assumpsit to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally: and the defendant by his attorney filed at the same time an account stating the nature of the payments which he desires to prove: Whereupon, it is ordered, that the writ of enquiry awarded against the defendant at rules held in the Clerk's Office, be set aside.

---

Mary Andrews who stands indicted of Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the jailor of this county, and the jury sworn on Saturday for her trial was brought into court in custody of the Sheriff of this county, and having fully heard the evidence, retired to their room and after some time spent therein, returned into court, and rendered their verdict in the following words. "We the jury find the prisoner guilty and ascertain the term of her confinement in the penitentiary for the term of three years." And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail.

---

Ann Hardy who stands indicted of Felony, appeared in court according to the condition of her recognizance entered into before this court on Saturday last, and not asking to enter into another recognizance for her appearance here tomorrow, she was remanded to jail.

Ordered that court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

W. W. Nash

1855 April the 24th

Tuesday the 24th April A.D. 1855.
Present the same Judge as yesterday.

Butterworth & Kirkland — Plts. } In Debt.
against
William J. Pinchbeck — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant who pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff replied generally, it is ordered that the judgment obtained in the Office against the defendant, be set aside.

---

H. P. Kirkland — Plt. } In Debt
against
The Same — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant who pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff replied generally, it is ordered that the judgment obtained in the office against the defendant, be set aside.

---

Thomas Branch — Plt. } In Debt
against
Richard F. Pritchett and Abner Adams — Defts.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendants who pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff replied generally, it is ordered that the judgment obtained in the Office against the defendants, be set aside.

---

Mary Andrews who was on yesterday convicted of Felony, was this day, again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County. And on the motion of the said Mary Andrews and for reasons appearing to the court, it is ordered that verdict of the jury rendered against her yesterday, be set aside. Because in the opinion of the Court the same is contrary to the testimony in the cause and that a new trial be had upon the indictment aforesaid. Whereupon, Thomas Andrews, for the said Mary Andrews who is an infant, here in open court acknowledged himself to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of One hundred dollars, of his lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition, if the said Mary Andrews shall personally appear here, before the Judge of this Court, on the first day of October Term next, to undergo a trial for the Felony of which she stands indicted, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance to be void.

James H. Borsman, James P. Borsman, Henry Thacker, Levy A. Williams, William Dabney, John E. Borsman, Peter H. Scott, Thomas Andrews, John P. Dabney, Robert P. Dabney and Thomas W. Scott come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the said Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition, that if the said James H. Borsman, James P. Borsman, Henry Thacker, Levy A. Williams, Wm. Dabney, John E. Borsman, Peter H. Scott, Thomas Andrews,

1855 April the 24th

John P. Dabney, Robert P. Dabney and Thomas W. Scott, shall severally make their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the first day of October Term next, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against Mary Andrews and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance to be void.

Benjamin Crowder, Nathaniel Andrews and David Andrews come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition, that if the said Benjamin Crowder, Nathaniel Andrews and David Andrews shall severally make their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the first day of October Term next, to give evidence on behalf of Mary Andrews at the suit of the Commonwealth, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge then this recognizance is to be void.

---

Ann Hardy who stands indicted of Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this Court, and it is ordered that the trial of this case be continued until the first day of October Term next. Whereupon, Isaac T. Keeler and James Borjeau for the said Ann Hardy, who is an infant, have in open court, severally acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of Twenty five dollars each of their respective lands and tenements goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition that if the said Ann Hardy shall make her personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the first day of October Term next, to undergo a trial for the Felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

James H. Borjeau, James P. Borjeau, Henry Thacker, Levy H. Williams, William Dabney, John E. Borjeau, Peter H. Scott, Thomas Andrews, John P. Dabney, Robert P. Dabney and Thomas W. Scott come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of One hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition, that if the said James H. Borjeau, James P. Borjeau, Henry Thacker, Levy H. Williams, William Dabney, John E. Borjeau, Peter H. Scott, Thomas Andrews, John P. Dabney, Robert P. Dabney and Thomas W. Scott, shall severally make their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the first day of October Term next, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against Ann Hardy and shall not depart thence

without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance to be void.

Benjamin Crowder, Nathaniel Andrews, and David Andrews came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered; yet upon this condition, that if the said Benjamin Crowder, Nathaniel Andrews and David Andrews, shall severally make their personal appearance before the Judge of this court on the first day of October Term next, to give evidence on behalf of Ann Hardy at the suit of the Commonwealth, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge then this recognizance to be void.

---

Elizabeth Willerson — Plt.
against
Richard A. Tally — Deft } In Slander

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

---

Reuben Clements — Plt.
against
George H. McCulloch — Deft } Upon a summons issued against the South Side Rail Road Company suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in their hands.

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term.

---

Peebles, White & Davis — Plts.
against
Robert E. Peebles — Deft } Upon a summons suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of Francis Mayo

On motion of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that this case be continued until the next term.

---

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against
David A. Harman — Deft } Upon an information filed on a presentment

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against
Joseph E. Purycear — Deft } Indictment

The Same — Plt.
against
William Hudson — Deft } Indictment

The Same — Plt.
against
John B. Hudson — Deft } Indictment

The Same — Plt.
against
Allen Pettus — Deft } Indictment

It is ordered that these cases be continued until the first day of the next term.

1855 April the 24th

The Commonwealth Pltf. } Upon a Presentment.
against
James H. Polley Deft

The Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, by consent of the court, saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth this case.

---

The Same Pltf. } Upon an Information filed on a presentment
against
Brazilla Hankins Deft } of the Grand Jury made against the defendant at April Term 1854, for an assault and battery on the person of a certain Born.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, & thereupon, came a jury, to wit: James Perkins, Peter E. Rose, Richard J. Trickler, Thomas A. Farley, Richard W. Greenwold, William Crowder, William C. Powell, Thomas D. Reamer, Newman Williams, A. W. Cousins, Charles W. Coles and Sylvester T. Chandler, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words: "We the jury find the defendant guilty and affix the fine fifty dollars". Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth (for the use of the Literary Fund) the fine affixed by the jury against him as aforesaid - and also the costs of this prosecution.

---

The Commonwealth Pltf. } Upon a rule to shew cause why an Information should
against
John T. Lanier Deft } not be filed on a presentment of the Grand Jury made against the deft.

This day came the attorney prosecuting on behalf of the Commonwealth, and by leave of the Court filed an information against the defendant, to which the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty, and thereof put himself upon the Country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth, likewise, and the trial of the issue is continued until the first day of the next term.

---

On the motion of Robert H. Sydnor and William B. Stone and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered that the rules made against them at October term last, for failing to attend as witnesses on behalf of Elizabeth Wilkinson against Richard A. Talley, be discharged

---

On the motion of Elisha Worsham and for reasons appearing to the Court, the rule made against him at October term last, for failing to attend as a witness on behalf of Richard A. Talley at the suit of Elizabeth Wilkinson be discharged.

---

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Ten O'Clock.

Wm. W. Nash.

1855 April the 25th

Wednesday April the 25th 1855.
Present the same Judge as on Yesterday.

Moore & Griffin against B. M. Robertson — Plts / Deft — Upon a summons suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of the South Side Rail Road Company.

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next Term. —

---

Falconer & Tucker against Freeman Abernathy — Plts / Deft — In Case

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next Term.

---

Chambers for Miles against Derby, Smith & Zehmer — Plt / Defts — In Debt.

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiffs. William H. Peebles who was summoned to appear here on this day, as a witness for the plaintiff, was solemnly called, but came not. therefore, on the motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered, that the Peebles be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any he can, why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

---

Dixon B. Wells against George B. & William H. Royall — Plt / Defts — In T. A. B.

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendants.

William H. Hawkins and Edward H. Nunnally who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the defendants, were solemnedly called, but came not. Therefore on the motion of the defendants, it is ordered, that they be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

---

John A. Worsham against Peter H. Scott — Plt / Deft — In Debt.

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term.

---

John G. Clark against James L. Scoggin — Plt / Deft — In Trespass

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term.

---

John Wilkinson adm. &c. Morgan Burns — In Debt. It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term for deft.

1855 April the 25th

Joseph E. Purzear — Plf.
against
William Hudson — Deft } In T. A. B.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

---

B. F. Haley & C. — Plts.
against
James Wilson — Deft } In Case

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

---

John D. Ragland — Plf.
against
Isham Brander Jr. — Deft } In Covenant.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next Term.

---

W. E. H. Ledbetter — Plf.
against
Page H. Vaden — Deft. } In Case

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

---

Scott assignee of Jones — Plf.
against
Harriman & Goodwyn — Defts } In Debt.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendants.

---

Richardson H. Dance executor of R. Y. Bland Jr. — Plf.
against
Mary H. Bland — Deft } In Case

This day came the parties by their attornies, and on the motion of the defendant by her attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded against the defendant in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. And thereupon the said defendant by her attorney, pleaded non assumpsit and the Statute of limitations, to which two pleas the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally, and the trial of the issues is continued until the next term.

---

The Same — Plf.
against
The Same — Deft } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attornies, and on the motion of the defendant by her attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office against the defendant in this case, be set aside. And thereupon the defendant by her attorney pleaded payment to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally. The defendant by her attorney filed at the same time an account stating the nature of the payments and set-offs she desires to prove. Leave is granted the defendant by her attorney to plead additional pleas in this case at any time, so that such pleas be filed at least

1855 April the 25th

thirty days before the next term - and the case is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

---

Robert W. Vaughan administrator of F. W. Mason dec.d Plt. } In case
against
Jacob White Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury to wit: Newman Williams, James H. King, William D. Crowder, Alexander W. Cousins, Edward H. Young, Peter E. Rose, Sylvester T. Chandler, William C. Powell, James Perkins, Charles W. Coker, Richard J. Tucker and James M. Williams, who being selected by lot, and sworn diligently to enquire of damages in this suit, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, to wit: "We of the jury assess the plaintiffs damages to One hundred and twenty five dollars and ninety two cents, with ~~interest from the 1st day of January 1853, till paid~~." Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his damages by the jury aforesaid assessed, with legal interest thereon as aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Mary H. Bland Plt. } In Detinue
against
Richardson H. Dance Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant by his attorney, who pleaded non detinet, to which the plaintiff replied generally, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded at the rules in the Clerk's Office, be set aside: And thereupon came a jury, to wit: James H. King, Benjamin H. Bristean, William B. Stone, David P. Wheelhouse, Edward H. Young, Newman Williams, James Perkins, Richard W. Griswold, Sylvester T. Chandler, Peter E. Rose, William D. Crowder and James M. Williams, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find that the defendant unlawfully detains the slave Fayette from the plaintiff, and find the value of the slave to be Four hundred dollars, and assess the plaintiffs damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the negro boy slave Fayette aforesaid, or the price of four hundred dollars, if he may be had, if not, then the price aforesaid of him, together with her damages assessed as aforesaid, and her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

---

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

W. W. Nash.

1855 April the 26th

Thursday April the 26th 1855.
Present the same Judge as yesterday

Henry C. Worsham Plt.
against } In Case
William B. Green Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be One hundred and ten dollars, with legal interest thereon from the 13th day of July 1853, till paid - Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and ten dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of July 1853. till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Thomas Moore Plt.
against } In Case
J. N. Murdock Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be One hundred and sixty four dollars, with legal interest thereon from the 3d day of October 1854, till paid. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and sixty four dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3d day of October 1854. till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Thomas Holyoake, J. H. A. Lawrence and William Orgill partners in trade under the firm and style of Holyoake, Lawrence & Co. Plts.
against } In case.
B. J. Tucker and H. A. Worsham late partners in trade under the firm and style of Tucker & Worsham Defts.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Three hundred and fourteen dollars and ninety seven cents, with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1852, till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant B. J. Tucker, the sum of Three hundred and fourteen dollars and ninety seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1852 till paid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. This suit abated as to H. A. Worsham at rules held in the Clerk's Office, he having been returned by the Sheriff no inhabitant.

1855 April the 26th

William B. Church and Robert Henry late partners in trade under the firm and style of Church & Henry Plts. } In Case.
against
James B. Read Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be forty dollars and forty six cents, with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of March 1854. till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Forty dollars and forty six cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of March 1854. till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

B. F. Halsey, Jeptha C. Goshorn and William Tupman merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of B. F. Halsey & Co. Plts. } In case
against
Thomas E. Scott Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be sixty dollars and seventy five cents, with legal interest on sixty dollars from the 1st day of January 1854. till paid - Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of sixty dollars and seventy five cents, with legal interest on sixty dollars to be computed from the 1st day of January 1854 till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Mefrs. Bagby & Co Appellants } Upon an appeal from an order of
against
John S. Blick Appellee } the County Court of Dinwiddie made on the 16th day of April 1855 refusing the appellants leave to change the old Halifax Road near the City of Petersburg.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and a transcript of the record of the County Court being seen and inspected and sundry witnesses examined and the arguments of Counsel being heard, the Court is of opinion that there was no Error in the County Court in permitting the Appellee Jno. S. Blick a party interested in the controversy to enter himself a defendant in the County Court, and although it was irregular and illegal in the County Court to hear and receive as evidence the petition of sundry citizens of the County as set forth in the bill of exceptions, yet this Court rejecting the said petition as evidence, and upon the testimony now adduced before it is of the opinion that the danger and inconvenience to the public will be too great if the County road should be changed so as to run it immediately on the margin of the Rail Road and parallel with it, to justify the proposed change, doth affirm the judgment of the County Court refusing to make the alteration in the Halifax road as prayed for by the petitioners and

1855 April the 26th

doth order that the appellants pay to the appellee their costs expended in this appeal.

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Robert Leslie | Plt. | In Case |
| against | | |
| Thomas F. Scott | Deft. | |
| A. H. Reaves | Plt. | In case |
| against | | |
| William P. Kennedy | Deft. | |
| Butts & Hair | Plts. | In case |
| against | | |
| Thomas Lewis | Deft. | |
| Lumford for Sandifer | Plt. | In case |
| against | | |
| William Anderson | Deft. | |
| Hise Mail | Plt. | In case |
| against | | |
| Robert Michaels | Deft. | |
| Martha P. Polan | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| Browder & Sydnor Comr's &c | Defts. | |
| Wm. W. Gillian & wife | Plts. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| The Same | Defts. | |
| Giles Wells's Heirs | Plts. | Ejme in Chy |
| against | | |
| Giles Wells & P. H. Wells | Defts. | |
| Joseph Lewis' adr. | Plt. | In case |
| against | | |
| William B. Green | Deft. | |
| Theodric M. Crowder | Plt. | In case |
| against | | |
| Stephen P. Pool | Deft. | |
| Thomas Branch | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| Pritchett & Adams | Defts. | |

It is ordered that these suits be continued generally until the next Term.

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| William Franklin | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| Thomas Foster | Deft. | |
| Mary E. C. Gilliam | Plt. | In Trespass |
| against | | |
| Mariah Audas | Deft. | |

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendants.

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Richard Sturdivants' Curator | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| Robert Ritchie Jr. | Deft. | |

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term - and leave is granted the plaintiff to amend his Declaration in this case. q

1855 April the 26th

David T. Brainton Plt. } In Covenant
against
Peter H. Scott Deft

This day came the parties, by their attorneys, and thereupon the defendant by his attorney, pleaded non Damnificatus and Covenants performed, and tendered a third plea in writing which was received - the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally to the two first pleas, and filed in writing a special replication to the third plea - to which replication to the third plea, the defendant by his attorney demurred - and then the said defendant by his attorney withdrew his said demurrer, and joined issue on the replication to the said third plea - Whereupon the writ of enquiry awarded against the defendant in this suit at the rules held in the Clerk's Office is set aside - and then came a jury, to wit: James Perkins, David P. Whitehouse, Richard J. Fickler, Charles W. Coker, James H. King, Peter E. Rose, Newman Williams, Richard W. Griswold, William D. Crowder, Edmund Hadden, William B. Stone and Edward H. Young, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at one hundred and eighty five dollars." Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of April 1855, till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Memorandum. On the trial of this cause, the plaintiff by his attorney excepted to two opinions of the court given upon the said trial, and tendered his two bills of exceptions, No. 1 & 2, which were received, signed and sealed by the court, and ordered to be made part of the record in the said cause.

---

Benjamin J. Butterworth and H. P. Kirkland, Late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Butterworth & Kirkland. Plts: } In Debt.
against
William J. Pinchbeck Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendant relinquishing his former plea, saith that he can not gainsay the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy seven dollars and thirty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855. till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

H. P. Kirkland Plt. } In Debt.
against
The Same Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendant relinquishing his former plea, saith that he cannot gainsay the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is consider-

1855 April the 26th

ed by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and eighty three dollars and seventy seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of January 1855. All paid. and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

An account of David F. Hoy Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to ninety nine dollars, was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

---

An account of David F. Hoy Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth of Virginia, amounting to twenty four dollars was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

---

James Boisseau attorney for the Commonwealth in this court, is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, David F. Hoy Sheriff, is allowed the sum of ten dollars, David F. Hoy Jailor, is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, and Charles A. Wargrave Clerk of this court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective services since the last term. which several allowances are ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

---

Thomas B. Hamlin, John C. Hardy, Joseph M. Lewis, William P. Spain, N. J. Hartwell, C. P. Bevill, Wm. H. Hawkins, Benjamin W. Shelly, James Smith, John T. Blick, Robert W. Hall, William D. Young, Joseph E. Pungean, Henry Comins, Benjamin R. Lewis, John E. Harris, William A. Adams and Herbert Williams, are allowed each one dollar for services rendered as grand jurors at this term. To be paid out of the county Treasury.

---

James Perkins, Peter E. Rose, Richard J. Tucker, Thomas A. Farley, Richard W. Griswold, William D. Crowder, William C. Powell, Thomas D. Reams, Newman Williams, Alexander W. Comins, Charles W. Coker and Silvester T. Chandler, are allowed each fifty cents for ~~xx~~ services at this term as petit jurors in a misdemeanor case, to be paid out of the state Treasury.

---

James Perkins, Wm. D. Crowder, David P. Whulhome, John W. Spiers, Wm. A. Adams, James M. Williams, Archibald Whulhome, Wm. B. Stone, Benjamin H. Boisseau, Newman Williams, Oliver Chappell, Peter E. Rose, Edmund Haddon, William Turnbull, and Silvester T. Chandler are allowed three dollars each, Edward H. Young and James H. King, three dollars and fifty cents each, Alexander W. Comins, Thomas D. Reams, Charles W. Coker, Richard J. Tucker & Richard W. Griswold two dollars and fifty cents each, Thomas A. Farley, Wm. C. Powell, & Benjamin J. Walker, Two dollars each and Marcus A. Wells

1855 April the 26th & 27th

and John Hardin fifty cents each, for their respective ser=
vices as petit jurors at this term, to be paid out of the
County Treasury.

The court this day appointed Dr. John L. Scott, William A. Adams,
and Robert G. Barbeau, a committee to inspect the jail of
this county, whereupon they took the oath prescribed by law.
and after making an examination of said jail made
their report, which was read and ordered to be filed of
record, a copy thereof certified to the County Court of said
County.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow
morning ten O'Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Friday the 27th April 1855.
Present the same Judge as
yesterday.

Balaam G. Perkins Plt. } In a motion for award
against } of execution on a forfeited
William Wyatt and John Cole Defts } forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and released
so much of the judgment in this case which was entered on
Friday last, as exceeds the sum of Three hundred and
seventy eight dollars and forty three cents with legal in=
terest thereon from the 25th day of November 1854, till
paid and the costs of said motion.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of October
Term next.

Jno. W. Nash.

1855 April the 26th

Office Judgments Confirmed the 26th day of April 1855.

Costs $8.44 Nathaniel Malone — Plt.
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Thompson T. Royall, William H. Royall and E. A. Goodwyn — Defts. — In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of December 1853 till paid — and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70, Abner H. Burks — Plt.
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Peter H. Scott — Deft. — In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of September 1853 till paid: also his costs by him about this suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30 The Same — Plt.
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Peter H. Scott and William A. Adams — Defts. — In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty five dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of November 1853, till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70. Francis Major — Plt.
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Sterling M. Wells — Deft. — In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars and thirty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 27th day of August 1854. till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70. William C. Rogers — Plt.
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Peter H. Scott — Deft. — In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plain=

tiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of July 1854. till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But this judgment is to be credited for twenty dollars paid the 19th day of December 1854 as per endorsement on bond.

---

Costs $7.84. Thomas B. Graves (who sues for the benefit of Alexander Dorman) Plt.
Fifa 5th May 1855.
against
George A. Rogers and Peter A. Scott Defts. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty one dollars and fifty cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23d day of November 1854. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 William Turnbull, William E. Morrison and Robert T. Stone late merchants and partners under the style and firm of Turnbull, Morrison & Stone Plts.
Fifa 5 May 1855.
against
George A. Lucy Deft. } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty seven dollars and eighty six cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of March 1854. till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.84. George Whitmore executor of the last Will and testament of Archer L. Berrill decd Plt.
Fifa 5th May 1855
against
Charles G. Zehmer, William B. Wells and James A. Wells Defts. } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants Zehmer and James A. Wells say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants Zehmer and James A. Wells the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1852. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.
This ~~suit~~ abated as rules held in the Clerk's office as to the defendant William B. Wells, he being returned dead by the Sheriff.

---

1855 April the 26th

Costs $7.30 James H. Borseau and James P. Borseau, executors of Richard Spain decd. who was a Surety of Daniel Batts Plt. } In Debt
Fifa 5th May 1855. against
Edward R. Wells and Sterling W. Wells Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or precluision the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and thirty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of October 1852. Till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Reuben Clements Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. William G. Niblett Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or precluision of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and forty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of March 1853. Till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30. David T. Branton Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. George T. Sandifer & Robert G. Borseau Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or precluision of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty seven dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854. Till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30, B. F. Winn assignee of Theodric H. Gregg Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5th may 1855. John H. Cheely and Thomas Johnson Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or precluision of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and twenty one dollars and four cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22d day of April 1852 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Thomas F. Scott Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Armistead Hawkins Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or precluision of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore, it is considered by the

1855 April the 26th

Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and nine dollars and twenty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of December 1852, till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for thirty dollars paid the 9th May 1854, as per endorsement on bond.

---

Costs $6.70 Isaac S. Keeler Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. William C. Jones (Co-obligor with E. G. Booth) Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty eight dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of October 1854. till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70, Archibald Thweatt who sues for the benefit of A. W. Goodwyn Plt. } In Debt
Fifa 5th May 1855. against
Batty J. Evans Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and fifty seven dollars and nine cents, with legal interest on one hundred and eleven dollars and Sixty eight cents, a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1853 till paid, and on one hundred and fifty five dollars and forty one cents, the residue thereof, from the 1st day of January 1854 till paid - And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 A. J. Starks Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5th May 1855. Alexander Montgomery Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1853, till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

The President, Directors and Company of The Bank of Virginia Plt. } In Case
against
James B. Read Deft

The Process in this case having been returned executed and three months having elapsed since the same was so returned, without the declaration being filed, this suit is dismissed.

1855 April the 26th

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Joseph E. Pierzeau | Plt. | In T.A.B. |
| against | | |
| William Hudson & others | Defts | |
| L. Gennett | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| John W. Cousins | Defts | |
| John E. Morris | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| The same | Defts | |
| W. R. Johnson & Co | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| John G. Thweatt | Defts | |
| Uriah Wells | Plt. | In Case |
| against | | |
| The same | Defts | |
| A. S. Shafer & Co | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| The same | Defts | |
| David May | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| Jackson & Sydnor | Defts | |
| The same | Plt. | In Debt |
| against | | |
| E. H. Smith Surviving Obligor &c | Defts | |

By Directions of the plaintiffs' attorneys, these suits were dismissed & entered on the Clerk's Office.

1855 October the 22nd

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County on Monday, at the Courthouse thereof, the 22d day of October A.D. 1855, and in the 80th year of the Commonwealth.

Present the Hon John W Nash Judge:

Lewis P Lanier Foreman, With William F Doyle, John F Young, Elisha Hardy, Robert W Vaughan, Benjamin R Lewis, Silvester T Chandler, Charles W Coker, William D Young, Joseph N Brooks, Hadrian A Neaves, Jacob W Davis, John W Spiers William H Hawkins, John V Crowder and William P Spain were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, received their charge, withdrew, and after sometime returned into Court, with an Indictment against John A Wynn "a true bill", an Indictment against Oliver Chappell "a true Bill" an Indictment against William G Wynn, "a true Bill", an Indictment against James Stewart "a true bill" and another Indictment against Walham G Wynn "not a true Bill. and thereupon the Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged until tomorrow morning ten o'clock.

Whereupon, on motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process issue against the several persons so as aforesaid Indicted as the law directs returnable here on the first day of April Term next. ~~And the Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged~~.

---

David T Branton Plt
against
Peter H Scott & Isaac S Kulu Dfts

} In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for Four hundred and twelve dollars and Two cent the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended: But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and sixty dollars and one cent, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of May 1855, till paid and said Costs.

---

Nathaniel Malone Plt.
against
Thompson T Royall, William H Royall, E. A. Goodwyn and T. S. Keeler Dfts

} In a motion for award of exn. on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for One hundred and fifty six dollars and twenty six cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his Costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may

1855 October the 22d

be discharged by the payment of Seventy eight dollars and thirteen cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of June 1855. till paid - and said costs -

---

H. P. Kirkland
against
William J. Penchbeck & Wm F. Barner

Pltf
Defts

In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for four hundred and nine dollars and ninety six cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and four dollars and ninety eight cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of May 1855. till paid, and the said costs. -

---

Archibald Thurcell who sues for the benefit of
A. M. Goodwyn
against
Batty H. Eeram & William F. Barner

Pltf
Defts

In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for Six hundred and fourteen dollars and thirty four cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Three hundred and seven dollars and seventeen cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of June 1855. till paid, and said costs. -

---

Benjamin J. Butterworth and H. P. Kirkland merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Butterworth & Kirkland
against
William J. Penchbeck & Wm F. Barner.

Pltfs
Defts

In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming delivery Bond.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for one hundred and forty two dollars and twenty cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged

by the payment of Ninety one Dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of June 1855 till paid - and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended.

---

Mary Andrews an infant who stands bound by recognizance, entered into before this Court at its last April term, by Thomas Andrews for her, to appear here this day to answer the Commonwealth of a certain Felony of which she is accused, was solemnly called, but came not. Whereupon, the said recognizance was declared forfeited by the Court, and ordered to be entered of record.

---

Ann Stardy an infant who stands accused of a Felony, appeared in Court in discharge of her recognizance entered into before this Court, at the last term, by James Burfoot and Isaac T. Shelor for her - And on motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, the trial of the said Ann Stardy is continued until thursday next. Whereupon, Isaac T. Shelor for the said Ann Stardy, who is an infant, here in open court, acknowledged himself to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of Fifty dollars of his lands and tenements goods and chattels to be levied for the use of the Commonwealth rendered. But upon this condition that if the said Ann Stardy shall make her personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on thursday next at ten O'Clock, to undergo a trial for the Felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

---

The Commonwealth
against
Joseph C. Purzear

Pltf. } Upon an Indictment in
Deft. } the defendant for an assault and battery

This day the defendant, by Isaac T. Shelor his attorney, saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the Country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise, and the trial of the issue is deferred till the first day of the next term at the costs of the defendant - Archer P. Wynn who was summoned to appear here on this day as a witness for the defendant, was solemnly called, but Came not. Therefore, on the motion of the said defendant it is ordered, that the said Archer P. Wynn be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause, if any he can, why he should not be fined for his said Contempt.

---

The Same
against
William Hudson

Pltf. } Upon an Indictment for
Deft. } an assault and battery

This day the defendant, by Edwin G. Booth his attorney, saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the Country, and the attorney for the

1855 October the 22d.

Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is deferred until the first day of the next term, at the costs of the defendant.

George Lewis, Archer P. Wynn & Richard C. Shell who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the defendant, were solemnly called, but came not: Therefore, on the motion of the defendant, it is ordered, that the said Archer P. Wynn Geo Lewis & Rich^d C. Shell be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

---

The Commonwealth against John B. Hudson — Pltf. } Upon an Indictment for an assault and Deft. } battery.

The defendant this day by Edwin G. Booth his attorney saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is deferred till the first day of the next term, at the costs of the defendant.

George Lewis, Archer P. Wynn, ~~Alexander N. Carter~~ and Richard C. Shell who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the defendant, were solemnly called, but came not: Therefore, on the motion of the defendant, it is ordered, that the said Lewis Wynn, ~~Carter~~ and Richard C. Shell be summoned to appear here on the first day of next term, to shew cause, if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

---

The Same against Allen Potter — Pltf. } Upon an Indictment for an assault and Deft. } battery.

The defendant this day by Edwin G. Booth his attorney, said that he is not guilty in manner and form as in Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is deferred until the first day of the next term at the defendants costs.

George Lewis, Archer P. Wynn and Richard C. Shell who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the defendant, were solemnly called, but came not: Therefore, on the motion of the defendant, it is ordered that the said George Lewis, Archer P. Wynn and Richard C. Shell be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

1855 October the 22nd

The Commonwealth — Plt. } Upon an Information
against — } filed on a presentment
John T. Lanier — Deft } of the Grand Jury

It is ordered this suit be continued until the first day of the next term. Robert J. Starks and William A. Lewis who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for the Commonwealth, were solemnly called, but came not: Therefore on the motion of the Attorney for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that the said Starks and Lewis be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

---

The Same — Plt. } Upon rules to shew
against — } cause why Information
William A. Peebles — Deft } should not be filed on
The Same — Plt. } Presentments of the Grand
against — } Jury made against the
James Starkins — Deft } defendants.

This day came the attorney prosecuting on behalf of the Commonwealth, and by leave of the court filed an Information against each of the defendants, to which the defendants by their attorney pleaded not guilty, & thereof put themselves upon the Country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise - and the trial of the issues is continued until the first day of the next term.

---

The Same — Plt. } Upon a rule to shew cause
against — } why an Information should
Ethelbert Briston — Deft } not be filed on a present-
ment of the Grand Jury made in him.

The attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, by consent of the court, saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth this case.

---

The Same — Plt. } Upon an Information
against — }
David Starman — Deft }

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the first day of the next term.

---

William B. Price who stands bound by recognizance entered into before the County Court of Dinwiddie County in the penalty of $100, to appear here this day to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against Edward Whitlock appeared in discharge of said recognizance, and the said William B. Price with the consent of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth is excused from attending the Court further as a witness in this case.

---

Friend & Spec. v. Pleasants - Sum. in Petersburg Library association as garnishees -

Dismissed by order of the plaintiffs attorney.

1855 October the 22d

James R. Bridges against Thomas S. Pleasants — Plt. Upon a summons issued to the Petersburg Library Association as Garnishees — Deft.

The Same against The Same — Plt. Upon a summons issued to the Union Agricultural Society as Garnishees — Deft.

Friend ads. &c. against The Same — Plt. Upon a summons issued to the Union Agricultural Society as Garnishees — Deft.

Reuben Clements against George N. McCulloch — Plt. Upon a summons issued to the South Side Rail Road Co. as Garnishee — Deft.

Moore & Griffin against B. M. Robertson — Plts. Upon a summons issued to the South Side Rail Road Co. as Garnishees — Deft.

Peebles for Peebles, White & Davis against B. B. Chandler — Plts. Upon a summons issued to J. S. Heller as Garnishee — Deft.

The Same against The Same — Plt. Upon a summons issued to D. & Graham as Garnishee — Deft.

Peebles, White & Davis against Robert E. Peebles — Plts. Upon a summons issued to Frd. Major as Garnishee — Deft.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorneys, these cases are dismissed.

---

Samuel Pryor against Richard P. Bolling — Plt. Upon a summons issued against H. R. Baird — Deft. suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of the said H. R. Baird.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the summons in this case which was issued by the Clerk of this Court against H. R. Baird, being returned executed, the said Baird was solemnly called but came not. Whereupon on the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, it is ordered that the said Baird be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any he can, why he should not be attached for his said contempt.

---

Lewis Meredith who has been summoned as a petit juror on his motion, is excused from serving, it appearing to the Court that he is over sixty years of age.

---

The Rule awarded against Armistead Hawkins at the last term for failing to attend as a veniireman in the case of the Commonwealth against Mary Andrews, is discharged

---

On the motion of William W. Hawkins and Edward H. Nunnally and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rules made against them at the last term for failing to attend as witnesses on behalf of William H. Royall & George B.

1855 October the 22nd

Royall defendants at the suit of Dixon B. Wells plaintiff are discharged

On the motion of William H. Peebles and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rule made against him at the last term for failing to attend as a witness for Chambers for Miles plaintiff against Derby Smith & Zehmer defendants, is discharged.

Robert P. Dabney a petit juror is excused from service until Thursday morning next.

Benjamin F. Roe a petit juror, for reasons appearing to the Court, is excused from service the balance of the term.

William W. Anderson Plt. } In Debt.
against
Thomas W. Scott Deft.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office against the defendant be set aside. Whereupon, the defendant by his attorney filed a plea in writing, to which the plaintiff replied generally.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

# 1855 October The 23d

Tuesday the 23 Octo. AD 1855
Present the same Judge as on yesterday -

Hezekiah D. P. Pool, a petit juror, for reason appearing to the Court is discharged from service until tomorrow morning.

---

Samuel Pryor Plt. } Upon a summons issued
against } against A. R. Baird by
Richard P. Bolling Deft } the plaintiff suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of the said A. R. Baird.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the said A. R. Baird, who being sworn, upon his oath saith, that he has no money or property in his hands or possession belonging to the defendant or in which the said defendant has any interest. And upon the motion of the plaintiff by his counsel, who suggests that the said Baird has not fully disclosed the debts due by him to or the effects in his hands belonging to the defendant Richard P. Bolling, it is ordered that a jury be empanneled, without any formal pleading, to enquire as to such debts and effects of the said defendant Richard P. Bolling in the hands of the said A. R. Baird - and the case is continued until the next term -

---

The Grand Jury sworn on yesterday appeared in Court according to their adjournment, and were sent out of Court, and after some time returned and presented "an Indictment" against Edward Whitlock for Felony "a true Bill."

They also made the following presentments - We of the Grand Jury present James Cabaniss for an assault and battery on the person of Cornelius Parsons in the County of Dinwiddie, within the last twelve months upon the evidence of John O. Lasley sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and sent up to give evidence to the grand jury.

We of the Grand jury present Cornelius Parsons for an assault and battery on the person of James Stanillion in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months upon the evidence of John O. Lasley sent for by the grand jury sworn in open Court and sent up to give evidence to the grand jury. —

Whereupon on motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process be awarded against the said James Cabaniss and Cornelius Parsons presented as aforesaid, as the law directs, returnable here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any they can why information should not be filed against them upon the presentments aforesaid. And the grand jury having nothing further to present were discharged

1855 October the 23d

Edward Whitlock late of Dinwiddie County, who stands indicted for Felony was led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, thereof arraigned, and pleaded not guilty to the indictment: and the Venire summons in this case having been called over and the Court being unable to make up the Panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon twenty additional jurors free holders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Edward Whitlock be guilty of the Felony of which he stands indicted. And the jurors summoned in obedience to the above order, being called over, the Court still failing to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon seven additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs, to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Edward Whitlock be guilty of the Felony of which he stands indicted. And the jurors summoned in obedience to the above and last order made in this case, being called over, and the Court still failing to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon eight additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs, to appear here tomorrow morning at ten O'Clock, to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Edward Whitlock be guilty of the Felony of which he stands indicted. And the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Jarvis B. White who was summoned to appear here on this day as a witness for the trial of Edward Whitlock for Felony, was solemnly called but came not. Whereupon it is ordered that the said Jarvis B. White be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

---

Chamberlayne for Miles — Plt. } In Debt.
against
Derby, Smith and Johnson — Defts.

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

---

The Rules made yesterday against Richard C. Shell a witness for the defendants in the following cases, The Commonwealth vs William Studson — The same vs John B. Studson and the same vs Allen Pettus, for failing to appear here on yesterday in obedience to subpoenas executed on him, for reasons appearing to the Court are discharged.

1855 October the 23d & 24th

John D. Ragland Pltf. } In Covenant
against
Isham Browder Jr. Deft.

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, that the defendant is dead, it is ordered that the same be certified.

---

John G. Clarke Pltf. } In Case
against
Boyd & Scoggin Defts.

On the motion of the defendants by their attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this case at the rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. And thereupon, the defendants, by their attorney, filed a general demurrer to the plaintiff's declaration, and pleaded not guilty. and the plaintiff joins in the said demurrer, and replies generally to the said plea of not guilty.

---

Jacob W. Davis a petit juror, for reasons appearing to the Court, is excused from service the balance of this term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

Wm. W. Nash.

---

Wednesday October 24th 1855.
Present The Same Judge as yesterday.

Edward Whitlock, late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted of Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and from the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order made in this case on yesterday, a panel of twenty four qualified jurors, free from exception was completed. Whereupon, the prisoner having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: Leroy A. Williams, William H. Tucker, Ludwick Tally, Elijah Smith, Richard J. Tucker, William H. Royall, James Kidd, John E. Watson, Richard D. Jones Morgan Burns, Henry W. Rainey and John Moody, who being elected tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence, retired to their room and after a short time spent therein, returned into court and being unable to agree in their verdict, and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who was directed to keep them together without communication with any person and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at ten O' Clock. Thereupon on oath, was administered to John W. Starmer Deputy Sheriff of this County, in the following words. "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability, keep this jury and neither speak to them yourself, nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow."
And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail.

1855 October the 24th & 25th

John G. Clarke — Plt.
against — In Case
Boyd & Scoggin — Defts.

On motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, leave is granted him to withdraw his joinder to the Demurrer of the defendants, and leave is granted him to amend his declaration - and the Case is remanded to rules.

---

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against — Upon a Writ of Error to a Judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie pronounced at the March Term 1855 of said Court.
David L. Wells — Deft.

This day came as well the attorney for the Commonwealth, as the defendant by his Counsel, and the transcript of the record aforesaid being seen and inspected and the argument of Counsel being heard, it seems to the Court here that the judgment of the County Court pronounced on the 19th day of March 1855, quashing the presentment against the defendant is erroneous - It is therefore considered by the Court that the said judgment be reversed and annulled, and that the Commonwealth recover against the defendant her costs by her expended in the prosecution of the Writ of Error aforesaid - and the Court retaining the Case here to be further proceeded in. Upon the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth, leave is given him to file an information upon the said presentment.

---

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

---

Thursday October 25th 1855.
Present - the same Judge as on yesterday

Falconer & Tucker — Plts.
against — In Case
Freeman Abernathy — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next Term.

---

A. H. Reames — Plt.
against — In Case
Wm. P. Kennedy & wife — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next Term.

---

Chambers for Miles — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Derby, Smith and Zehmer — Dft.

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney it is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term, at his costs.

---

1855 October the 25th

William Franklin Plt.
against } In Debt.
Thomas Foster Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

Dixon B Wells Plt.
against } In T.A.B.
Geo. B & W. H. Royalls Defts

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at the costs of the defendants

---

John A Worsham Plt.
against } In Debt.
Peter H. Scott Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at the cost of the defendant

---

Butts and Hair Plt.
against } In Case
Thomas Lewis Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

Lumford for Sandifer Plt.
against } In Case
William Anderson Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

M. E. H. Ledbetter Plt.
against } In Case
Page H. Vaden Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at the cost of the defendant

---

John Wilkerson's adr Plt.
against } In Case
Morgan Burns Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at the costs of the plaintiff

---

Martha P Polan Plt.
against } In Debt.
Browder and Sydnor Comrs Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

William W. Gilman and wife Plt.
against } In Debt.
Same Defts

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

1855 October the 26th

Hix Mail — Plt.
against — In Case.
Robert Michaels — Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff

---

Joseph Lewis' adr — Plt.
against — In case
William B. Green — Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

Joseph E. Puyear — Plt.
against — In T.A.B.
William Hudson — Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term

---

B. F. Halsey & Co — Plt.
against — In Case.
James Wilson — Dft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at the cost of the defendant

---

Theodrick Crowder — Plt.
against — In Case.
Stephen P. Pool — Deft.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that this suit be dismissed

---

Richard G. Bland's exor — Plt.
against — In Case.
Mary H. Bland — Dft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

Same — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Same — Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

Thomas Branch — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Adams and Pritchett — Dfts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

Scott afce Jones — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Harmon and Goodwyn — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

1855 October the 25th

Hamlin Hargraves ex'or — Plt.
against — In Case
Oliver Chappell — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term

---

William H. Blanks for &c — Plt.
against — In Case
B. M. Harrison — Deft.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that this suit be dismissed

---

Thompson Moore — Plt.
against — In case
Mary A. P. Dabney — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term

---

Richard Coleman — Plt.
against — In Case
Thomas Castleton — Deft

By order of plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that this suit be dismissed

---

Mary E. C. Gilliam — Plt
against — In Trespass
Manajeh Audas — Deft.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant pleaded not guilty, to which the plaintiff replied generally. and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

---

John Temple — Plt.
against — In Slander
William Branton — Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant pleaded not guilty, to which the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

---

Dixon B. Wells — Plt.
against — In Case
Thomas Richardson — Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term

---

1855 October the 25th

D. F. Hoy for pl.
against
John Wainwright
Pltf. } In Debt
Deft.

Gholson & Booth for pl.
against
Peter H. & John L. Scott
Pltf. } In Debt
Defts.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorneys, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed.

---

Carter Hudgings' admr.
against
William S. Fowlks
Pltf. } In Debt
Deft.

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained against the defendant in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside: Whereupon, the defendant pleaded the gaming act and payment, to which pleas the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the issue are continued until the next term.

---

Thomas Lewis
against
David F. Hoy Sheriff of Dinwiddie County & as such admr. of C. A. Lewis dec.d
Pltf. } In case
Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Robert P. Dabney, Wm. P. Spain, David T. Branton, William P. Moore, William Prince, John W. Frazier, James H. Chappell, John G. Hitchcock, William S. King, Richard C. Shell, Robert Perkins (and John Dodson, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, diligently to enquire of damages in this suit, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at Ninety dollars and thirty four cents, with legal interest from the 28th day of November 1854, till paid."

Therefore it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his damages by the jury aforesaid assessed, with legal interest thereon as aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - to be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant, if so much thereof he hath to be administered.

---

S. V. Watkins, Charles S. Watkins and John Booker merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Watkins, Son & Co.
against
William B. Green
Pltfs. } In case
Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs, by their attorneys, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: N. D. P. Pool, Robert Perkins, F. D. Clarke, William S. Reade, Richard C. Shell, William S. King, William P. Moore, William P. Spain, Samuel W. Moody, James H. Chappell, F. M. Sutherland & Wm. Prince, who being selected by lot, tried (and sworn, diligently to enquire of damages

1855 October the 25th

in this suit, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury assess the plaintiffs damages to Sixty four dollars and thirty five cents, with interest from the 1st day of July 1854. till paid." Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant, their damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid, with legal interest thereon as aforesaid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Spencer Scott, A. L. Scott, George A. Steen and P. A. Porter late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of S. & A. L. Scott & Co. Plts. } In Case

against

William J. Pinchbeck Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and thereupon came a jury to wit. A. D. P. Pool, Ro. Perkins, F. D. Clarke, Wm. S. Reade, Richard C. Shell, William S. King, William P. Moore, William P. Spain, Samuel M. Moody, James H. Chappell, F. M. Sutherland, & William Prosise, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, diligently to enquire of damages in this suit, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. We of the jury assess the plaintiffs damages to forty two dollars and twenty five cents, with interest from the 1st day of January 1855, till paid. Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant, their damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid, with legal interest thereon as aforesaid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

A. S. Shafer, George A. Halsey, Samuel Halsey and R. W. Hunter, merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of A. S. Shafer & Co. Plts. } In Case

against

D. A. Harman Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: A. D. P. Pool, Ro. Perkins, F. D. Clarke, William S. Reade, Richard C. Shell, William S. King, William P. Moore, William P. Spain, Samuel M. Moody, James H. Chappell, F. M. Sutherland and William Prosise, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, diligently to enquire of damages in this suit, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury assess the plaintiffs damages to Fifty two dollars and fifty cents with interest from the 1st day of January 1855. till paid, subject to a credit for fifty dollars paid September 17th 1855." Therefore, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant, their damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid, with interest thereon as aforesaid, subject however to the credit aforesaid, and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

1855 October the 25th

Thomas H. Daniel sometimes called curator of Richard Sturdivant dec.d Pl. } In Debt.
against
Robert Ritchie Jr. Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and by consent of the parties, it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant, the sum of Thirty one dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854. till paid, subject however, to a credit of two dollars and seventy five cents, paid the 25th day of December 1857 - and that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Ann Hardy an infant who stands indicted of Felony, appeared in court in discharge of her recognizance entered into before this court on monday last by Isaac T. Keeler for her - And on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth, the trial of the said Ann Hardy is continued until the first day of April term next - Whereupon, Isaac T. Keeler for the said Ann Hardy, who is an infant, here in open court, acknowledged himself to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of Fifty dollars of his lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition that if the said Ann Hardy shall make her personal appearance before the judge of this court on the first day of April term next, to undergo a trial for the felony aforesaid, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

Robert P. Dabney, John P. Dabney, John E. Boisseau, Leroy A. Williams and Thomas W. Scott here in open court acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of One hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition, that if the said Robert P. Dabney, John P. Dabney, John E. Boisseau, Leroy A. Williams and Thomas W. Scott, shall severally make their personal appearance before the judge of this court on the first day of April term next, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against the said Ann Hardy and Mary Andrews, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said court, then this recognizance to be void.

Thomas Andrews and David Andrews here in open court acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia in the sum of One hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition that if the said Thomas Andrews and David Andrews, shall severally make their personal appearance before the judge of this court on the first day of April term next to give evidence on behalf of Ann Hardy & Mary Andrews and shall not depart thence without leave of the said judge then this recognizance to be void.

1855 October the 25 & 26

Edward Whitlock late of Dinwiddie County, who stands indicted of Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the jailor of this County, and the jury sworn on yesterday for his trial, were brought into Court in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and again retired to their room to consult of their verdict, and after some time spent therein, returned into Court, and rendered their verdict in the following words, to wit: "We of the jury find the Prisoner guilty of unlawful shooting as charged in the second Count of the indictment, and ascertained the term of his confinement in the penitentiary for twelve months". And thereupon the Prisoner was remanded to jail.

For reasons appearing to the Court, Joseph M. Lewis and Elisha Hardy, petit jurors, are excused from service the balance of the term.

A Rule is awarded against Moses Watts who was summoned to appear here this term as a petit juror, returnable here on the first day of April term next, to shew cause of any he can why he should not be fined for his contempt in failing to attend here as a juror aforesaid.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

Wm. W. Nash

Friday October 26th 1855.
Present the same Judge as yesterday.

Edward Whitlock who was on yesterday convicted of Felony, was this day, again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and it being demanded of him, if any thing for himself he had or knew to say, why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment, it is considered by the Court that the said Edward Whitlock be imprisoned in the penitentiary, for the term of twelve months, the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained. And it is ordered that the sheriff of this county do, as soon as possible after the adjournment of this court, remove and safely convey the said Edward Whitlock from the jail of this court, to the said Penitentiary, therein to be kept imprisoned, and treated in the manner directed by law. And thereupon the said Edward Whitlock was remanded to jail.

Robert Leslie
against
Thomas F. Scott

Pltf
Deft } In case

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

1855 October the 26th

Giles Wells' Heirs — Plt. } Issue in Chy
against
Giles Wells' Heirs — Defts

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendants.

---

Gaines & Riches for &c — Plt. } In case
against
John Nicholas — Defd

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

---

William W. Anderson — Plt. } In Debt
against
Thomas W. Scott — Defd

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

---

Mary A. H. Goodwyn — Plt. } In Debt
against
William Burgess — Defd

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained against the defendant in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon, the defendant filed two pleas in writing, and time is given the plaintiff to reply and the case is continued until the next term.

---

Samuel Blunt and William T. McCandlish survivors of themselves and A. T. Jones deceased, late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Jones Blunt & Co. — Plts. } In Case
against
Patrick Roney — Defd

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be seventy two dollars and ninety five cents, with legal interest on seventy one dollars and ninety six cents, a part thereof, from the 1st day of January 1853, till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of seventy two dollars and ninety five cents, with legal interest on seventy one dollars and ninety six cents, a part thereof, from the 1st day of January 1853, till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

James Kidd — Plt. } In Debt
against
Alexander A. Dance — Defd

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney, it is ordered this suit be dismissed.

---

1855 October the 26th

Gholson & Booth for R. H. Mann Plt.
against } In Debt.
Peter H. Scott & John L. Scott Defts

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, it is ordered that the order made yesterday dismissing this suit be set aside.

---

James Barbeau attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court, is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars. David F. Hay Sheriff, is allowed the sum of ten dollars, David F. Hay jailor of this County is allowed the sum of Fifteen dollars, and Charles A Hargrave Clerk of this Court, is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective services since the last term; which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

---

An account of David F. Hay Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to Thirty nine dollars was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

---

Lewis P. Lanier, Joseph A. Brooks, William F. Doyle, John F. Young, Elisha Hardy, Robert W. Vaughan, Benjamin R. Lewis, Silvester T. Chandler, Charles W. Coles, William D. Young, Hadrian A. Neaves, Jacob W. Davis, John W. Spiers, William H. Hankins, John T. Crowder and William P. Spain, are each allowed ~~two~~ one dollar for their respective services as grand jurors, to be paid out of the County treasury.

---

Fayette D. Clarke, William P. Spain, Robert Perkins, H. D. P. Pool, William Prosise, William S. King, James H. Chappel, Robert F. Reade, F. M. Sutherland, Samuel M. Moody, Wm P. Spain, are each allowed three dollars and twenty five cents, John Dodson, John E. Watson, John W. Fraser, Robert Elder, James Hudd, Joseph M. Lewis, Green Hill, John G. Hitchcock, are each allowed two dollars and fifty cents, Richard C. Shell is allowed two dollars and seventy five cents, David T. Branton is allowed two dollars, Robert P. Dabney and Elisha Hardy are allowed each one dollar and fifty cents, Jacob W. Davis is allowed one dollar, and Benjamin F. Rose is allowed fifty cents, for their respective services as petit jurors, to be paid out of the County treasury.

---

An account of John Driver against the Commonwealth amounting to eight dollars was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the County Court of Dinwiddie for payment. This Court being satisfied that the services for which this account was rendered, was in consequence of the insecurity of the jail of this County.

---

An account of Edward Carter against the Commonwealth amounting to forty six dollars, was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the County Court

1855 October the 26 & 27th

of Dinwiddie for payment. This court being satisfied that the services for which this account was rendered was in consequence of the insecurity of the jail of this county.

---

An account of Dennis H. Fraher against the Commonwealth amounting to twenty six dollars, was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the County Court of Dinwiddie for payment - This Court being satisfied that the services for which this account was rendered was in consequence of the insecurity of the jail of this County -

---

The court this day appointed Dr. John E. Harris, John W. Fraser and Robert F. Read, a committee to inspect the jail of this county, whereupon they took the oath prescribed by law and after making an examination of said jail made their report, which was read and ordered to be filed - & a copy thereof certified to the county court of said county -

---

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning seven O. Clock

Wm. W. Nash

---

Saturday October the 27th 1855.
Present the same Judge as yesterday

The Commonwealth Pltf.
against } Upon an Indictment For Felony
Mary Andrews Deft.

The defendant Mary Andrews having failed to appear in pursuance of the Recognizance entered into for her at the last term of this Court and the Court being satisfied from the examination of sundry witnesses that she was unable to appear at this term of the Court in Consequence of her extreme sickness doth order that the said Recognizance be spared. and that a Capias issue against the said Mary Andrews directed to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to have the body of the said Mary Andrews before the Judge of this court on the first day of the next term to stand her trial for the offence with which she stands indicted. But the Sheriff is ordered not to execute the said Capias until it can be done without serious prejudice to the health of the said Mary Andrews - And the Court being of the opinion that the said Mary Andrews is entitled to bail, doth order that upon being arrested she be admitted to bail upon one or more responsible persons, for her entering into a recognizance before some conservator of the peace authorized to act in the penalty of One hundred dollars.

---

An account of Peter H. Gent against the Commonwealth amounting to forty seven dollars, was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the County Court of Dinwiddie for payment - This Court being satisfied

1855 October the 27th

that the services for which this account was rendered was in consequence of the insecurity of the jail of this county.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jno. M. Nash.

Office Judgments confirmed the 27th October 1855.

Costs $7.36 Leonidas A. Hawks, sometimes called guardian of Thomas H. Williams, who sues for the benefit of
Fifa 30 Octo. 1855. Archer Worsham Plt. } In Debt.
against
Alfred G. A. Coleman and Thomas N. Coleman Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and twenty four dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.36 John H. Taylor admr. of Martha Taylor decd. Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 30. Octo. 1855. Henry W. Spain and John T. Young Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and thirty one dollars and thirty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1853 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.90 David F. Hay Plt. }
against
Fifa 5. Nov. 1855 C. G. Zehner, Green Hill and E. A. Goodwyn surviving obligors of themselves and F. W. Mason deceased Defts. } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of March 1850 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.30 George G. Goodrich assignee of Wm. G. Mallory Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855. Charles King and Robert W. Vaughan Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs

action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and sixty dollars and forty two cents with legal interest ~~under~~ thereon to be computed from the 15th day of December 1851, till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6:70 James N. Nichols and Isaac H. Smith merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of
Fifa 5 Nov. 55. Nichols and Smith. Plts. } In Debt
against
R. B. Frazer Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty five dollars and fifty three cents with legal interest on Thirty six dollars and fifty three cents part thereof from the 1st day of January 1854. and on forty nine dollars, the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1855. till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6:70 James N. Nichols sometimes called admr. of L. T. Rives deceased. Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 5 Nov. 55. against
J. B. Read Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty six dollars and seventy six cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1854 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6:70 Alexander Inman Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855. Thomas Lewis Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty four dollars and six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of October 1854. till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7:30 David F. Hoy, who sues for the benefit of Daniel Lyon Jr. and Nathaniel F. Rives partners under the
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855, firm and style of Lyon & Rives. Plts. } In Debt
against
Thomas J. Lewis and Thomas Lewis Defts.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court

# 1855 October the 27th

that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and two dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of March 1854 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Francis J. & Jonas who sues for the benefit of Daniel Lyon Jr. and Nathaniel F. Rives partners under the
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855 firm and style of Lyon & Rives Plts } In Debt
against
Berthier Bott Defdt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854 till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.50 L. B. Sturdivant Plt } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855, L. D. Sturman and A. W. Goodwyn Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy dollars and ten cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854, till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Samuel Blunt and William T. McCandlish survivors of themselves and A. S. Jones deceased
Fifa 5 Nov. 55, late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Jones Blunt & Co Plts } In Debt
against
S. A. Hamlin Defdt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty two dollars and fifty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.40 The Same Plts } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855, Batty A. Evans, Richard Evans and Robt. Sturdivant Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants Batty A. Evans and Robert Sturdivant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants ~~Buchan~~ Batty A. Evans and Robert Sturdivant the sum of One hundred and sixty seven

1855 October the 27th

dollars and seventy one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of July 1854 till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in their behalf expended. This suit abated at rules held in the Clerk's Office as to the defendant Richard Evans he being returned by the Sheriff dead.

---

Costs $6.12 - Richelieu Coleman and W. L. Williams surviving partners of themselves and John G. Atkins late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Atkins Coleman & Co. Pltfs. } In Debt
against
Thomas Browder Deft

This day came the plaintiffs and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and thirty eight dollars and three cents with legal interest on Ninety one dollars and seven cents a part thereof from the 14th day of March 1854 till paid and on Forty six dollars and ninety six cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1855, till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30, Thomas S. Gholson and Edwin G. Booth (who sue for the benefit of R. H. Mann Pltfs. } In Debt
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855, against
Peter A. Scott and J. L. Scott Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and sixty dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of October 1854 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for One hundred and fifty dollars paid the 15th day of January 1855, as per endorsement on bond.

---

Costs $6.70 E. P. Wells and Gunn merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Wells & Gunn Pltfs. } In Debt
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855 against
Sterling M. Wells Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and eighty three dollars and eighty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Isham Fritter Pltf. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 55, Mary A. H. Goodwyn Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and

1855 October the 27th

the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred and ninety four dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of May 1854 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for Three hundred dollars paid the 22d March 1853, and for Two hundred dollars paid the 15th day of February 1854, as per endorsements on bond.

---

Costs $6.70 Charles Loomis Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855. James R. Craig Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and forty two dollars and ninety three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3d day of May 1846 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Isham Trotter and Isham H. Trotter late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Plts. } In Debt
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855. Isham Trotter & Co.
against
William W. Burnett Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Forty six dollars and twenty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of November 1853 till paid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 William T. Joyce Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855 S. H. Hamlin Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 27th day of July 1853 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.72. John B. Seay who sues for the benefit of Alexander Dorman Plt. } In Debt.
against
John Burgess and George B. Tillson Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the

defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of June 1855, till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 William A. Adams who sues for the benefit of Alexander Dorman — Plt. } In Debt.
against
William W. Burnett — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty one dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of May 1855 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 John R. H. Davis — Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 1855. Wiley G. King — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty nine dollars and eighty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of April 1855, till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70. B. J. Vaughan — Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 55. The Same — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854, till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30. Charles A Hargrave — Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 5 Nov. 55, William J. Pinchbeck and Robert W. Vaughan — Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of July 1852, till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

# 1855 October the 27th

Costs $6.70 — Nathaniel Blick — Plt. — In Debt
against
Fifa 5 Nov 1855. — Balaam Wells surviving obligor of himself and William B. Wells deceased — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30¼ — Daniel G. Prosise who sues for the benefit of Nathaniel Blick — Plt. — In Debt
Fifa 5 Nov 1855. — against — Paschal H. Jones and Martin B. Jones — Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of August 1855 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Edwin Smith — Plt. — In Case
against
William Burgess — Defr

William B. Wells for &c — Plts. — In Debt
against
Lewis S. Goodwyn — Defts

James Kidd — Plt. — In Debt
against
A. A. Dance — Defr

William F. Thompson — Plt. — In Debt
against
Richardson, Fraher and Keeler — Defts

Va. & North Carolina Lumber & Planing Co. for &c — Plt. — In Debt
against
Joseph N. Brooks — Defr

Richard Coleman — Plt. — In Case
against
Thomas Castleton — Defr

Scott Harrison & Co — Plts. — In Case
against
H. A. Neaves — Defr.

By Directions of the plaintiffs attorneys, these suits were dismissed at Rules held in the Clerks' Office.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Saturday the 22d day of March A.D. 1856 and in the 80th year of the Commonwealth:

Present the Hon. John W. Nash Judge.

John E. Harris Foreman with John F. Young, Thomas C. Barrett, F. J. E. Jones, Joseph G. Boisseau, William A. Adams, Edward P. Scott, James M. Williams, Robert J. Hawks, Thomas B. Hamlin, Daniel E. Rainey, Samuel G. Warmouth, William P. Spain, Robert W. Pegram, C. P. Bevill and William H. Lewis were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County received their charge withdrew and after sometime returned into Court with the following Presentments "We of the Grandjury present that William Powell Toll Gatherer within the last twelve months, towit, on the day of 1856, in the County of Dinwiddie did unlawfully and injuriously put and place and caused to be put and placed in and upon the common highway leading from Dinwiddie Courthouse to Birchett's Bridge, divers large pieces of timbers and other material towit a certain large gate and did unlawfully suffer the same there to be and remain from the said day of 1856, to the present time, and do now unlawfully suffer the same there to be and remain, to the great damage and common nuisance of the citizens of this Commonwealth to wit the citizens of this County, passing and repassing in along and upon the said common highway, we make this presentment upon the testimony of Capt. F. J. E. Jones a member of our body, sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury."

We present E. H. Smith for selling wine and ardent spirits and a mixture thereof to a negro slave named Martin Vanhorn the property of Miss Nancy Sundivant without the written consent of his mistress, within the last six months at his tavern house in the said County, upon the evidence of S. S. Hobbs and C. A. Hargrave sworn in open court and sent up to the grand jury to give evidence.

We present E. H. Smith for selling wine and ardent spirits and a mixture thereof to a negro slave named Davy Wyatt, the property of John F. Young without the written consent of his master within the last six months at his ordinary in the County of Dinwiddie, upon the evidence of William A. Adams one of our body, sworn in open court and sent up to the grand jury to give evidence.

Whereupon on motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process be awarded against the said William Powell, Toll gatherer, and E. H. Smith, presented as aforesaid, as the law directs, returnable hereon the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can why information should not be filed against them upon the presentments aforesaid.

And the grand jury having nothing further to present were discharged.

Mary Andrews who stands indicted of Felony, appeared in court according to the condition of her recognizance entered into before Edward P. Scott a justice of the peace for Dinwiddie County on the 4th day of March 1856.- And the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, by consent of the Court, saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth against the said Mary Andrews who stands indicted for Felony. Whereupon by order of the Court the said Mary Andrews and Thomas Andrews her security, here in court, severally acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said Mary Andrews in the sum of Fifty dollars, and the said Thomas Andrews in the sum of Fifty dollars, of their respective goods and chattels, lands and tenements to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet, upon this condition, that if the said Mary Andrews shall keep the peace and be of good behaviour towards all the citizens of this Commonwealth, and especially towards one James H. Brisean, for the term of twelve months, then this recognizance is to be void. Whereupon she was discharged from custody.

Ann Hardy who stands indicted of Felony, appeared in Court according to the condition of her recognizance entered into before this court at the last October term thereof, by Isaac T. Heller for her. Whereupon the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, by consent of the Court, saith he will not prosecute further on behalf of the commonwealth against the said Ann Hardy who stands indicted of Felony. Therefore it is ordered that she be discharged from custody.

The Commonwealth against Joseph E. Purcear — Pet. Upon an Indictment for an assault and Deft. Battery.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury selected by lot, to wit: Robert W. Hall, Francis Williams, Joseph M. Lewis, Edward Seymore, Greenhill, William P. Sandifer, James Stargrave, Thomas E. Stargrave, Benjamin Cauble, Allen J. Heath, Thomas B. Elder, Leroy A. Williams, who being tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find the defendant not guilty". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

For reasons appearing to the court, William E. Ford and William P. Sandifer, petit jurors, summoned to this court are excused from service the balance of the term.

For reasons appearing to the court, the rules entered at the last term against William H. Lewis and Robert J. Stanks, witnesses for the Commonwealth in John T. Lanier defendant, are discharged.

For reasons appearing to the court, the rules entered at the last term against George Lewis a witness for the defendants in the following suits, The Commonwealth in Wm. Hudson, the same in John B. Hudson and the same in Allen Patten are discharged.

Henry Thacker and John T. S. Young petit jurors summoned to this term of the court being solemnly called and not appearing, it is ordered that they be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Nichols & Smith against R. B. Frazier | Plts. Defts. | Summons to E. W. Henry as Garnishee suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of said Henry. |
| Thomas Moore against J. N. Mundell | Plt. Deft. | Summons to T. W. Royston as Garnishee suggesting money or effects &c of the deft. in the hands of said Royston. |

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorneys, it is ordered that these cases be dismissed.

The Com. vs David Alterman. Continued until the first day of the next term for the Commonwealth.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Thomas Newlan against Edward H. Allen | Plt. Deft | In Debt. |
| William Brunton against E. H. Smith & Grandstill | Plt. Defts. | In Debt |
| Joseph Ashner against E. H. Smith & Nelson Crowder | Plt. Defts. | In Debt |
| The Same against E. H. Smith & Otiger &c | Plt. Defts. | In Debt |
| James H. & Jas. Buchanan Com. &c against E. H. Smith & Nelson Crowder | Plts. Defts. | In Debt |

By Direction of the plaintiffs attorneys, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until Monday morning ten O'Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Monday 24th March 1856.
Present same Judge as on Saturday

Alexander Dorman Plt. } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited
against } forthcoming or delivery bond.
Thomas Lewis and Jas. P. Barscan Defts

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and ~~thirty~~ six dollars and sixteen cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of sixty eight dollars and eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1855 till paid, and said costs.

William A. Adams for Alex Dorman Plt. } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery Bond
against
William M Burnett & Mary A. H. Goodwyn. Defts

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and twenty six dollars and twelve cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of sixty three dollars and six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of December 1855 till paid and said costs.

Spencer Scott, A. L. Scott, Geo. A. Keen, and P. H. Porter, late merchants and partners in trade under the style and firm of S & A. L. Scott & Co. Plts. } An a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming Bond or Delivery bond
against
William J. Pinchbeck & Jno. W. Butterworth. Defts

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and thirteen dollars and twenty eight cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of fifty six dollars and sixty four cents with legal interest thereon from the first day of January 1856, till paid and said costs.

L. B. Sturdivant Plt.
against
Lorenzo D. Harmon, Arthur M. Goodwyn and D. A. Harmon Dfts

In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or Delivery Bond

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and seventy two dollars and fifty six cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of eighty six dollars and twenty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1855, till paid and said costs.

David F. Hoy Plt.
against
C. G. Zehmer, Green Hill, E. A. Goodwyn and E. H. Smith Dfts

In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery Bond

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of eight hundred and fifty one dollars and thirty cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of four hundred and twenty five dollars and sixty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1855, till paid and said costs.

Isham Trotter Plt.
against
Mary A. H. Goodwyn & Wm. W. Burnett Dfts

In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery bond

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of seven hundred and four dollars and four cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of three hundred and fifty two dollars and two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2d day of January 1856, till paid and said costs.

David F. Hoy who sues for the benefit of Daniel Lyon Jr. and Nathaniel F. Rives partners under the firm and style of Lyon & Rives. Plts
against
Thomas J. Lewis, Thomas Lewis and James D. Borjeau Defts } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery Bond—

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of five hundred and fifty nine dollars and fifty cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of two hundred and seventy nine dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1855, till paid and said costs.

Francis J. E. Jones who sues for the benefit of Daniel Lyon Jr. and Nathaniel F. Rives partners under the firm and style of Lyon & Rives. Plts.
against
Berthier Bott and James J. Baldwin Defts } In a motion for an award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery Bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of eleven hundred and twelve dollars and seventy six cents the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of five hundred and fifty six dollars and thirty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of December 1855, till paid and said costs.

Charles A. Hargrave Plt.
against
William J. Pinckbeck, Robert W. Vaughan and John H. Butterworth Defts } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming or delivery bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of six hundred and forty six dollars and eight cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of three hundred and twenty three dollars and four cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1855 till paid and said costs.

George G. Goodrich a Joignee of Wm. G. Mallory Plt. } In a motion for award
against } of execution on a forfeited
Charles B. King, Robert W. Vaughan & A. J. Hartwell Defts } forthcoming or delivery bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants Robert W. Vaughan and A. J. Hartwell have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the said Defendants Vaughan and Hartwell for the sum of Six hundred and ninety two dollars and eighty cents the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his ~~said~~ motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Three hundred and forty six dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of December 1855. till paid - and said costs.

The Commonwealth } Plt. } Upon an indictment made
against } against the defendant at
Allen Pettus } Deft } October term 1854. for an assault and battery on the person of Joseph E. Parrycar on the 26th day of September 1854.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Thomas E. Stargrave, Samuel G. Wainwright, Thomas B. Elder, John D. Stone, Francis Williams, Joseph M. Lewis, James W. Gunn, Robert Elder, F. B. J. Emmins, Edwin W. Lewis, David T. Branton & Jas. Stargrave, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words - "We the jury find the defendant not guilty". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Same } Plt. } Upon an Information filed
against } on a presentment of the
John T. Lanier } Deft } Grand Jury made against the defendant at October term 1854, for an assault and battery on the person of Sampson Stagroot.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury to wit: Leroy A. Williams, Robert Elder, James W. Gunn, William P. Emmins, Thomas E. Stargrave, Allen J. Heath, Samuel G. Wainwright, Green Still, Joseph M. Lewis, Thomas B. Elder, John D. Stone and Edward Seymore, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine to Ten dollars and sixteen cents." Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth (for the use of the Literary fund) the fine assessed by the jury against him as aforesaid - and also the costs of this prosecution.

The Same } Plt. } Upon an Information
against } filed on a presentment
William S. Peebles } Deft } of the Grand Jury

This prosecution abates by the death of the defendant.

The Commonwealth vs. William Hudson – upon an Indictment
The Commonwealth vs. John B. Hudson – Upon an Indictment
These suits are continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the defendants.

The same vs. James Hawkins – Upon an Information –
This case is continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the Commonwealth.

The Same vs. James Cabaniss } Upon Rules to shew cause
The Same vs. Cornelius Parsons } why informations should not be filed on presentments of the Grand Jury made against the defendants at Oct. Term 1855.
This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, and the defendants were solemnly called, but came not: Therefore, on motion, it is ordered that leave be granted to file Informations against the defendants respectively upon the presentments aforesaid – which informations are accordingly filed – Whereupon it is ordered that the said defendants respectively be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said informations.

The Same } Plt. } Upon an Indictment for an
against } } assault and battery on the
James Hargrave } Deft } person of Oliver Chappell.
The defendant by his attorney, saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is continued till the next Court.

The Same } Plt. } Upon an Indictment for an
against } } assault and battery on the
Oliver Chappell } Deft } person of Jas. Hargrave.
The defendant by his attorney, saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is deferred till the next Court.

The Same } Plt. } Upon an Indictment for an
against } } assault and battery on the
John A. Wynn } Deft } person of Wm. G. Wynn
The defendant by his attorney, saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is deferred till the next Court, at the costs of the defendant.

The Same } Plt. } Upon an Indictment for permitting three slaves, Jones, Miles
against } } & Peter, to go at large and hire
William G. Wynn } Deft } themselves out, for the benefit of the defendant.
The defendant by David May his attorney, saith that he is not

guilty in manner and form as in the Indictment against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and the trial of the issue is deferred until the next Court at the costs of the defendant.

Falconer & Tucker — Plts.
against — In Case
Freeman Abernathy — Defdt

On the motion of the plaintiffs by their attorney, a rule is awarded against the defendant to be directed to the sheriff of Amelia County returnable here on the first day of the next term, to show cause if any he can why he should not be attached for his contempt so as offered, in failing to answer the interrogatories of the plaintiffs filed in this cause after having been served with a copy of the same — and the case is continued until the next term —

Robert Leslie — Plt.
against — In Case
Thomas F. Scott — Defdt

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant —

A. H. Reames — Plt.
against — In Case
William P. Kennedy & wife — Defts

The parties agreeing it is ordered that this suit be dismissed —

Chamberlin Miles — Plt.
against — In Debt
Derby Smith & Zehmer — Defts

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney it is ordered that this suit be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendants their costs by them expended in their defence of this suit — the defendants by their counsel waiving their right to the five dollars damages to which they are entitled according to law.

John A. Worsham — Plt.
against — In Debt —
Peter H. Scott — Defdt

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant —

M. E. H. Ledbetter — Plt.
against — In Case
Page H. Vaden — Defdt

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendant his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended — The defendant in court waiving his right to the five dollars damages to which he is entitled according to law.

Hiss Mail — Plt.
against — In Debt
Robert Michaels — Defdt

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

William J. Webb adr. of John Wilkinson decd. Plt. } In Debt
against
Morgan Burns Deft.
The parties agreeing it is ordered that this suit be dismissed

Joseph Lewis adr. Plt. } In case
against
William B. Green Deft.
This suit is continued until the next term.

The Rule entered on saturday last against Henry Thacker a petit juror is discharged, and for reasons appearing to the court he is excused from service the balance of the term

The Rule entered on saturday last against John T. S. Young a petit juror is discharged, and for reasons appearing to the court he is excused from service the balance of the term

For reasons appearing to the court Samuel G. Wainwright a petit juror, is excused from service after to day for the balance of the term -

It appearing to the court, that William P. Terrini a petit juror, is afflicted with the Rheumatism, it is ordered that he be excused from service the balance of the term.

T. J. Southall Plt. } In Debt.
against
Scott & Wrenn Deft.
The Same Plt. } In Case
against
The Same Scott Defts.
This day came the defendant by his attorney, and filed a plea in writing ~~on executing~~ to the attachments in these cases respectively, returnable to this court, to which plea in writing the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the issues are deferred until thursday next -

E. W. Featherston & Co Plts. } In Debt
against
The Same Defts.
This day came the defendant Scott by his attorney, and filed a plea in writing to the attachment in this case returnable to this court, to which plea in writing the plaintiffs by their attorney replied generally, and the trial of the issue is deferred until thursday next.

Lawrence Myers & Co Plts. } In Debt
against
The Same Defts.
This day came the defendant Scott by his attorney and filed a plea in writing to the attachment in this case returnable to this court, to which plea in writing the plaintiffs by their attorney replied generally, and the trial of the issue is deferred until thursday next -

William Wyatt — Plt.
against
William Wynn — Deft. } Upon a summons suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of James Borseau adm. of Mary Malone dec.d

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and thereupon James Borseau administrator of Mary Malone dec.d against whom the summons aforesaid has been returned executed appeared in Court and acknowledged on oath that he is indebted to the defendant William Wynn in the sum of Sixty two & $\frac{1}{100}$ dollars.
Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the said James Borseau administrator of Mary Malone dec.d pay to the plaintiff the sum acknowledged as aforesaid to be in his hands, in part satisfaction of the execution which issued upon the judgment in this case. But before the said plaintiff shall have the benefit of this judgment he shall, or some one for him, execute and deliver to the said James Borseau administrator of Mary Malone dec.d aforesaid a bond in the penalty of Sixty two & $\frac{1}{100}$ dollars with sufficient security, conditioned to refund a due proportion of any debts or demands which may afterwards appear against the decedent, and of the costs attending their recovery. —

Borseau — David Mary atty for Wm Wyatt.

The Same — Plt.
against
William Wynn — Deft. } Upon a summons suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of James Borseau and John M. Wynn administrators de bonis non with the Will annexed of Robert Wynn dec.d

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and thereupon James Borseau one of the administrators de bonis non with the Will annexed of said Robert Wynn dec.d, against whom the summons aforesaid has been returned executed appeared in Court and acknowledged on oath that he is indebted to the defendant William Wynn in the sum of Seventy and $\frac{19}{100}$ dollars. Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the said James Borseau adr. &c. of Robert Wynn dec.d pay to the plaintiff the sum acknowledged as aforesaid to be in his hands, in part satisfaction of the execution which issued upon the judgment in this case. But before the said plaintiff shall have the benefit of this judgment he shall, or some one for him, execute and deliver to the said James Borseau adr. de bonis non with the Will annexed of Robert Wynn dec.d a bond in the penalty of Seventy and $\frac{19}{100}$ dollars with sufficient security, conditioned to refund a due proportion of any debts or demands which may afterwards appear against the decedent, and of the costs attending their recovery. —

Borseau judgments have been satisfied by Ch. B.

Joseph E. Perryear — Plt.
against
William Hudson — Deft. } Ind. A. B.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant. And on the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that a Rule be awarded against Alexander W. Cousins and George Pettiner returnable to the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their contempt in failing to attend here to-day as witnesses for the defendant in obedience to a subpoena duly executed upon them. —

John D Ragland
against
Shadrach Browder adm.

Pltf
Defdt } In Covenant

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term. And on the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, it is ordered that a Rule be awarded against Richard O. Wells, Silvanus Johnson, Henry Davis and Reuben Ragland returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their contempt for failing to attend here to-day as witnesses for the plaintiff in obedience to a subpoena duly executed upon them. And on motion of the defendant by their attorney, it is ordered that a rule be awarded against Richard O. Wells returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his contempt in failing to attend here to-day as a witness for the defendant in obedience to a subpoena duly executed upon him.

Martha Lunsford who sues for the benefit of George T. Sandifer
against
William Anderson

Pltf
Deft } In Case

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Robert H. Hall, James W. Gunn, Thomas E. Stargrave, William P. Spain, Thomas W. Scott, Green Hill, James Stargrave, H. D. P. Port, Robert Elder, Allen J. Heath, Thomas B. Elder and William Prosise, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words: "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages to fifty nine dollars with interest from the 25th day of December 1849, till paid, subject to a credit of Seventeen dollars paid the 1st January 1850." Whereupon the defendant by his attorney moved the court to set aside the said verdict and grant him a new trial, which motion the court takes time until tomorrow morning to decide on.

Branch J. Esper, Gentleman, who hath been duly licensed to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion, has leave to practice in this Court. Whereupon he took the oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth and the oath of Office.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O. Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Tuesday March the 25th 1856.
Present the same Judge as yesterday

B. F. Halsey & Co — Plts
against — In Case
James Wilson — Deft

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

R. H. Dance Exr. of R. G. Bland decd — Plt.
against — In Case
Mary H. Bland — Deft

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendant her costs by her about her defence in this behalf expended. The defendant by counsel in court waiving her right to the five dollars damages to which she is entitled according to law.

Thomas Branch — Plt.
against — In Debt
Adams & Pritchett — Defts

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

R. H. Dance Exr. of R. G. Bland decd — Plt.
against — In Debt
Mary H. Bland — Deft

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

Peter H. Scott a signee of T. J. E. Jones — Plt.
against — In Debt
L. D. Harmon and A. M. Goodwyn — Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also, a jury, to wit. Edward Seymore, David T. Branton, John D. Stone, Leroy A. Williams, Robert W. Hall, Joseph M. Lewis, Thomas E. Hargrave, Edwin W. Lewis, Green Hill, James W. Gunn, Benjamin Candle, William Pierce, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find for the plaintiff the debt and interest in the declaration demanded, subject to a credit of forty dollars paid the 22d November 1854. - Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22d day of November 1854, till paid, the sum and interest found by the jury aforesaid, subject to the credit aforesaid, of forty dollars paid the 22d day of November 1854 - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John Temple — Plt.
against — In Slander
William Branton — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Francis Williams, Allen J. Heath, Francis B. T. Emmons, Thomas W. Scott, H. D. P. Pool, Robert Elder, James Stargrave, Oliver Chappell, W. W. Thompson, Berrien Bell, Manasseh Andus, and James Wilson, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, after plaintiff was solemnly called but came not, neither is his suit further prosecuted: Therefore, it is ordered, that he be non-suited, and pay to the defendant, five dollars damages according to law, and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

James Stargrave exr. of Stanlin Stargrave dec.d — Plt.
against — In Case
Oliver Chappell — Deft.

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

Dixon B. Wells — Plt.
against — In Case
Thomas Richardson — Deft.

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff

Thompson Moore — Plt.
against — In Case
Mary A. P. Dabney — Deft.

This suit is continued until the next term.

Mary E. C. Gilliam — Plt.
against — In Trespass
Manasseh Andus — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Francis Williams, Benjamin Candle, Robert W. Hall, Joseph W. Lewis, James Stargrave, Robert Elder, David S. Branton, H. D. P. Pool, Edward Seymore, Allen J. Heath, Francis B. T. Emmons, and James W. Gunn who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words "We the jury find the defendant not guilty". Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff take nothing by her bill, but for her false clamor be in mercy &c and that the defendant go thereof without day, and recover against the plaintiff his costs by him about his ~~[illegible]~~ defence in this behalf expended.

It appearing to the court that a sufficient number of jurors duly drawn and summoned are not in attendance for the convenient dispatch of business, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon forthwith six additional persons qualified according to law to serve as jurors to-day.

| YEAR ~~FIRST ENTRY~~ | NAME Defendant | ABCDEFGH | IJKLMNO | PQRSTUVWXYZ | ~~WILLS~~ B | ~~WILLS~~ P | INVENTORY & APPRAISMENTS B | INVENTORY & APPRAISMENTS P | ACCOUNTS B | ACCOUNTS P | FINAL ~~ACCOUNTS~~ B | FINAL ~~ACCOUNTS~~ P | LIST OF ~~HEIRS~~ Bound File B | LIST OF ~~HEIRS~~ Bound File P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1855 | Goodwyn, A. M., et al | | | | 1-A | 3 | Adm | Peter H. Scott, assignee, etc. | | | | | | |
| 1855 | | | | | 1-A | 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 1855 | | | | | 1-A | 39 | | | | | | | | |
| 1856 | | | | | 1-A | 67 | | | | | | | | |

| YEAR ~~FIRST ENTRY~~ | NAME Defendant | A B C D E F G H | I J K L M N O | P Q R S T U V W X Y Z | ~~WILLS~~ B | ~~WILLS~~ P | INVENTORY & ~~APPRAISEMENTS~~ B | INVENTORY & ~~APPRAISEMENTS~~ P | ~~ACCOUNTS~~ B | ~~ACCOUNTS~~ P | FINAL ~~ACCOUNTS~~ B | FINAL ~~ACCOUNTS~~ P | LIST OF ~~HEIRS~~ Engaged File B | LIST OF ~~HEIRS~~ Engaged File P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1855 | Harmon, L. W., et al | | | | 1-A | 3 | adds | Peter H. Scott, | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1-A | 14 | = | assignee, etc. | | | | | | |
| 1855 | | | | | 1-A | 39 | | | | | | | | |
| 1855 | | | | | 1-A | 67 | | | | | | | | |
| 1856 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| YEAR ~~FIRST ENTRY~~ | NAME Plaintiff | ABCDEFGH | IJKLMNO | PQRSTUVWXYZ | ~~WILLS~~ B | ~~WILLS~~ P | ~~INVENTORY & APPRAISMENTS~~ B | ~~INVENTORY & APPRAISMENTS~~ P | ~~ACCOUNTS~~ B | ~~ACCOUNTS~~ P | ~~FINAL ACCOUNTS~~ B | ~~FINAL ACCOUNTS~~ P | ~~LIST OF HEIRS~~ Ended File B | ~~LIST OF HEIRS~~ Ended File P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1855 | Scott, Peter W., assignee, etc. | | | | 1-A | 3 | vs | L.D. Harmon | | | | | | |
| 1855 | | | | | 1-A | 14 | | A.M. Goodwyn | | | | | | |
| 1855 | | | | | 1-A | 39 | | | | | | | | |
| 1856 | | | | | 1-A | 67 | | | | | | | | |

For reasons appearing to the Court John D. Stone and James W. Gunn petit jurors are excused from service the balance of the term -

Samuel Pryor Plt.
against
Richard P. Bolling Deft } Upon a summons against A. R. Baird suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of said Baird -

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that this summons be dismissed. -

Martha Lunsford who sues for the benefit of George T. Sandifer Plt.
against
William Anderson Deft } In case

The Court having maturely considered the motion to set aside the verdict and grant a new trial in this cause made on yesterday by the defendant, is of opinion that the same should be granted unless the plaintiff will release seventeen dollars and twenty cents of the damages assessed by the jury. Whereupon the plaintiff by counsel in open court released seventeen dollars and twenty cents of the damages so assessed by the jury and the Court thereupon overruled the defendants motion aforesaid - Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant forty one dollars and eighty cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1849, till paid, the residue of the damages assessed as aforesaid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - Subject however to the credit of Seventeen dollars paid the 1st day of January 1850, as by the jury in their verdict found -

W. W. Anderson Plt.
against } In Debt.
Thomas W. Scott Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: A. D. Pool, Robert Elder, Thomas B. Elder, James Hargrave, Green Hill, Edwin W. Lewis, William P. Spain, Edward Seymore, F. B. J. Emmons, Francis Williams, Allen J. Heath & Joseph M. Levy, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, were adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock -

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock. -

Mo. W. Nash.

Wednesday March 26th 1856
Present the same Judge as yesterday

The Commonwealth — Plt. } Upon a writ of Error to a judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie pronounced at
against
David T. Wells — Deft. } the March term 1855 of said Court.

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, and in pursuance of leave given by an order entered in this case at the last October term, and filed an information against the defendant. Whereupon it is ordered that the said defendant be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said information.

Dixon B. Wells — Plt. } In T. A. B.
against
George B. & William H. Rogall — Defts. }

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff. And on motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, a rule is awarded against Joseph G. Boisseau returnable to the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his contempt to us in failing to attend here to-day as a witness for the plaintiff in obedience to a subpoena duly executed upon him. And on motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that rules be awarded against Edward H. Young, Edward H. Numally and William H. Rawlins returnable here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their contempt to us offered for failing to attend here to-day as witnesses for the defendant in obedience to a subpoena duly executed upon them.

offered

E. P. Garnes and Richard Riches late merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Garnes & Riches who sue for the benefit of W. L. Watkins their trustee — Plt. } In case
against
John Nicholas — Deft. }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the court ascertained the damages in this suit to be forty dollars and twenty seven cents, with legal interest on eleven dollars and ninety one cents a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1853 till paid, and on twenty eight dollars and thirty six cents the residue thereof from the 4th day of January 1854 till paid.

Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of forty dollars and twenty seven cents, with legal interest on eleven dollars and ninety one cents a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1853 till paid, and on twenty eight dollars and thirty six cents the residue thereof from the 4th day of January 1854 till paid — and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Giles Wells' Exrs — Plts
against — } Issue in Chy
Giles and Patrick H Wells — Defts

This suit is continued until the next term at the cost of the defendants.

Mary A H Goodwyn — Plt.
against — } In Debt.
William Burgess — Deft

This day came as well the plaintiff by her attorney as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon the plaintiff by her attorney replied generally to the two pleas of the defendant in writing filed at the last term, whereupon came a jury to wit: Thomas E Hargrave, Leroy A Williams, Thomas W. Scott, David T. Branton, William Prosise, Robt. W. Hall, Benjamin Candle, R. M. Barnes, William A. Adams, Paschall H. Jones, Martin B. Jones and Oliver Chappell, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, the plaintiff was solemnly called, but came not, neither is her suit further prosecuted. Therefore it is considered by the court, and accordingly ordered that she be non suited, and pay to the defendant, five dollars damages according to law, and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

John B. Dyson & others — Plts
against — } In Ejectment
Meredith W. Skiles — Defts

This day came the defendants by their attorney, and on their motion the writ of Enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's office is set aside: Whereupon the said defendants by their attorney pleaded not guilty, to which the plaintiffs by their attorney replied generally, and the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

John Temple — Plt.
against — } In Slander
William Branton — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and on his motion, the non suit entered in this case on yesterday is set aside and the the case is reinstated on the docket and leave is granted the plaintiff to amend his declaration, and the cause is remanded to rules for that purpose.

W. W. Anderson — Plt.
against — } In Debt
Thomas W. Scott — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon the jury sworn in this case on yesterday appeared in court according to their adjournment, and having fully heard the evidence and arguments of counsel, retired to their room and after some time spent therein and being unable to agree in their verdict returned into court, and were adjourned until to-morrow morning ten O'Clock.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

Mo. W. Nash.

Thursday March 27th 1856.
Present the same Judge as yesterday

W. W. Anderson Plt. } In Debt
against
Thomas W. Scott Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the jury sworn to try the issue in this cause, appeared in Court according to their adjournment on yesterday, and were sent to their room to consult of their verdict, and after some time spent therein, returned into court, and declared that they could not agree in a verdict; Whereupon, by consent of the parties, and with the assent of the Court, H. D. P. Pool one of the jurors in this cause, was withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict, discharged - and the cause is continued till the next Term, for a new trial to be had therein

Carter Stargings' adr. Plt. } In Debt
against
William L. Fowlks Deft.

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant

Thomas H. Ramsey and others Plts. } Ejectment
against
Mary Ann Jones' exr. &c Deft.

This suit is continued until the next Term -

T. J. Southall Plt } In Case
against
Peter H. Scott and Peter Wren merchants Defts
and partners trading under the firm and style
of Scott & Wren

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this suit, the Court ascertained the damages in this case to be One hundred and sixty three dollars and eighty two cents, with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Peter H. Scott the sum of One hundred and sixty three dollars and eighty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1856, till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - This suit abated as to the defendant Wren by the return of the Sheriff - The trial of the issue joined upon the attachment which issued in this case is continued until the next Term, at the costs of the plaintiff. -

William A. Royall — Plt. } In Case.
against
Batty A. Evans admr. of Wm. A. Ledbetter dec.d — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Robert W. Hall, Green Hill, Edward Seymore, Benjamin Candle, William P. Spain, Levy A. Williams, Allen J. Heath, Thomas B. Hargrave, David T. Branton, H. D. P. Pool, Joseph M. Lewis, Robert Elder, who being selected by lot, and sworn diligently to enquire of damages in this suit, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages to Ninety four dollars, with interest from 1st January 1856." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety four dollars with legall interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1856, till paid, the damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath.

James Wilson — Plt. } In Case
against
W. W. & R. M. Anderson — Defts.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendants by their attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside, whereupon the said defendants by their attorney pleaded payment and non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Francis Williams, H. D. P. Pool, Robert Elder, Edward Seymore, Allen J. Heath, Thomas B. Elder, Joseph M. Lewis, F. B. Lemmins, James Hargrave, Levy A. Williams, David T. Branton and Edwin W. Lewis, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We the jury find for the defendants." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false clamor be in mercy &c. and that the defendants go thereof without day, and recover against the plaintiff their costs by them about their ~~suit~~ defence in this behalf expended.

Butts & Stair — Plts. } In Case
against
Thomas Lewis — Deft.

This suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

Martha P. Polan — Plt. } In Debt
against
Brooker and Snyder Comr. &c — Defts.

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendants their costs by them about their ~~suit~~ defence in this behalf expended. The defendants by their Counsel waving

their right to the five dollar damages to which they are entitled according to law.

William W. Gittman & wife — Plts
against — In Debt
Brooder & Sydnors Comr. &c — Defts

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed, and that the plaintiffs pay to the defendants their costs by them about their defence in this behalf expended. The defendants by counsel waiving their right to the five dollar damages to which they are entitled according to law.

William Franklin — Plt.
against — In Debt
Thomas Foster — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea, and offering that he can not gainsay the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and Sixty seven dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of August 1839. till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for forty five dollars paid the 19th day of November 1841. and for Nine dollars and twenty cents paid the day of January 1857. as per endorsements on bond.

Thompson Moore — Plt
against — In Case
Isaac S. Keeler — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerks office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit and two special pleas in writing, to which several pleas the plaintiff replied generally. and the trial of the issues are deferred until the next term at the costs of the defendant. And on the motion of the defendant by his attorney, a rule is awarded against Thomas Wade & wife returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their contempt to us offered in failing to attend here to-day as witnesses for the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant after having been duly summoned for the purpose.

J. Emly Clark — Plt.
against — In Case
Boyd & Scoggin — Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant Scoggin by his attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in

this suit at rules held in the Clerk's office be stricken. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty, to which the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the same is deferred until the next term.

James Barfean — Plt.
against — In Debt
Peter A. Scott and James A. Barfean — Defts.

John L. Scott — Plt.
against — In Debt
Peter A. Scott — Defts.

James A. Barfean — Plt.
against — In Debt
Peter A. Scott — Defts.

The Same — Plt.
against — In Debt
The Same — Defts.

James P. Barfean — Plt.
against — In Debt
The Same — Defts.

David F. Hay — Plt.
against — In Debt
Peter A. Scott, Thomas W. Scott, John L. Scott and James A. Barfean — Defts.

By Consent of parties, by their attorneys, it is ordered that these suits be docketed. Whereupon, the defendant Peter A. Scott by his attorney, pleaded payment to these suits respectively, and filed a plea in writing to the attachments which issued in these cases respectively, and the plaintiffs in these suits respectively, by their attorneys replied generally to the pleas of payment, and also, to the pleas in writing to the attachments in these suits respectively.

John L. Scott — Plt.
against — In case
Peter A. Scott — Defts.

By consent of parties, by their attorneys, it is ordered that this suit be docketed. Whereupon the attorney for the defendant, pleaded non assumpsit to the suit and filed a plea in writing to the attachment which issued in this case. and the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally to the plea of non assumpsit and also to the plea in writing to the attachment in this suit.

William C. Sutherland — Plt.
against — In case.
Peter A. Scott and Peter R. Wren partners under the firm and style of Scott & Wren — Defts.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as the defendant Peter A. Scott in his own proper person, who confessed a judgment for Three hundred and fifty nine dollars and seventy five cents, with interest on Two hundred and twenty five dollars and ninety five cents part thereof from the 1st day of February 1856. till paid and on one hundred and thirty three dollars and eighty cents, the residue thereof from

from the 18th day of February 1856 till paid, and the costs of this suit. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Scott the sum of Three hundred and fifty nine dollars and seventy five cents, with legal interest on Two hundred and twenty five dollars and ninety five cents, a part thereof from the 1st day of February 1856 till paid, and on One hundred and thirty three dollars and eighty cents the residue thereof from the 18th day of February 1856, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

James Bofrean Plt.
against } In Debt
Peter H. Scott and James H. Bofrean Defts.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys and the defendants by their attorney withdrawing their plea of payment say that they cannot gainsay the plaintiff's action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and twenty five dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of August 1855 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the trial of the issue upon the attachment in this suit is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff. —

James P. Bofrean Plt.
against } In Debt
Peter H. Scott Deft.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendant by his attorney withdrawing his former plea saith that he cannot gainsay the plaintiff's action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of February 1855, till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. and the trial of the issue upon the attachment in this suit is continued until the next term, at the costs of the plaintiff.

James H. Bofrean Plt.
against } In Debt
Peter H. Scott and Peter Wren, partners trading
acting business under the style and firm of
Scott & Wren Defts.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant Scott by his attorney withdrawing his former plea saith that he cannot gainsay the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Scott, the sum of Two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of December 1855. till paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this be-

half expended - And the trial of the issue upon the attachment in this cause is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff - This suit abated as relates held in the Clerk's office as to the defendant Wren by return of the Sheriff.

James H. Bufkin — Pltf. } In Debt
against
Peter H. Scott — Deft.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant by his attorney withdrawing his former plea saith that he cannot gainsay the plaintiff's action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3d day of December 1855 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - and the trial of the issue upon the attachment in this cause is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff. —

John L. Scott — Pltf. } In Debt.
against
Thos. Jones — Deft.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendant by his attorney withdrawing his former plea saith that he cannot gainsay the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of February 1856 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - And the trial of the issue upon the attachment in this cause is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff. —

David F. Hay — Pltf. } In Debt
against
Peter H. Scott, Thomas H. Scott, John L. Scott and James H. Bufkin — Defts.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendants by their attorney, withdrawing their former plea, say that they cannot gainsay the plaintiffs' action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred and eighty three dollars administrator's costs with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of January 1856 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - and the trial of the issue upon the attachment in this cause is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

John L. Scott — Pltf. } In Debt
against
Peter H. Scott — Deft.

This day again came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendant by his attorney, withdrawing his former plea, saith he cannot gainsay the plaintiffs action: and thereupon

the defendant in his own proper person confessed a judgment for the sum of forty nine dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of March 1855 till paid, and the costs of this suit: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of forty nine dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of March 1855 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended - and the trial of the fine upon the attachment which issued in this cause is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

Andrew L. Kelly Pltf } In Debt.
against
William B. Moore Deft

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney, it is ordered that this be dismissed.

James Bofseau attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court is allowed the sum of fifty dollars, David F. Hay sheriff, is allowed the sum of ten dollars, David F. Hay jailor is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, and Charles A Hargrave Clerk of this court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective services since the last term - which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

John E. Harris, John F. Young, Thomas O. Barrett, F. J. E. Jones, Joseph G. Bofseau, William A. Adams, Edward P. Scott, James M. Williams, Robert J. Hawks, Thomas B. Hamlin, Daniel E. Ramey, Samuel G. Wainwright, William P. Lipscomb, Robert W. Pegram, C. P. Bevill and William A. Lewis, are allowed each one dollar for their respective services rendered at this term as Grand Jurors, to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Ordered that the following allowances be made to petit jurors at this term to be paid out of the State Treasury as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| To Thomas E. Hargrave | $1.50 | To Ro W. Hall | $ .50 |
| To F. B. T. Emmins | .50 | To Wm P. Sandifer | .50 |
| To Edwin W. Lewis | .50 | To Thos B. Elder | 1.50 |
| To James W. Gunn | 1.00 | To Joseph M. Lewis | 1.50 |
| To Ro. Elder | 1.00 | To Samuel G. Wainwright | 1.00 |
| To Green Hill | 1.00 | | |
| To Allen J. Heath | 1.50 | | |
| To Wm P. Emmins | .50 | | |
| To James Hargrave | 1.00 | | |
| To D. T. Branton | .50 | | |
| To Leroy A Williams | 1.00 | | |
| To Benj Caudle | .50 | | |
| To Jno. D. Stone | 1.00 | | |
| To Fri Williams | 1.00 | | |
| To Ed Seymore | 1.00 | | |

Ordered that the following allowances be made to petit jurors at this term to be paid out of the County Treasury, as follows,

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| To A. D. P. Pol. | $4.25 | To John D. Stone | $1.00 |
| " T. E. Hargrave | 2.00 | " Francis William | 3.25 |
| " F. B. T. Cummins | 3.75 | " Edward Seymore | 3.25 |
| " William E. Ford | .50 | " Robert W. Hall | 2.50 |
| " John T. T. Young | .50 | " William P. Sandifer | .50 |
| " Edwin W. Lewis | 3.50 | " Thomas B. Elder | 3.00 |
| " James W. Gunn | 2.50 | " Saml. G. Wainwright | .50 |
| " Robert Elder | 4.25 | " Henry Thacker | .50 |
| " Green Hill | 3.50 | " James Wilson | .50 |
| " Allen J. Heath | 3.75 | " Oliver Chappell | 1.00 |
| " Joseph W. Lewis | 3.25 | " W. W. Thompson | .50 |
| " William Prosise | 2.50 | " Berthier Bott | .50 |
| " James Hargrave | 3.75 | " Manasseh Andrews | .50 |
| " David T. Brantley | 3.00 | " R. W. Barnes | .50 |
| " Wm. P. Spain | 3.50 | " Wm. A. Adams | .50 |
| " Benjamin Castle | 2.50 | " Paschal H. Jones | .50 |
| " Thomas W. Scott | 2.50 | " Martin B. Jones | .50 |
| " Leroy A. Williams | 2.00 | | |

Ordered that Edward Anderson be allowed the sum of Six dollars for mailing fires and attendance on the court during this term, which amount is certified to the first auditor for payment.

The Commonwealth against William Powell — Pltff / Deft — Upon a presentment of the Grand Jury made against him on Saturday last.

This day came the parties by their Counsel, and the defendant waiving the necessity of a summons to shew cause why an Information should not be filed to answer said presentment, and all other objection to said presentment, entered his appearance and filed a Special plea in writing to said presentment, to which the attorney for the Commonwealth demurred generally and the defendant joined therein. and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the said Demurrer and the arguments of Counsel upon consideration whereof, the Court is of opinion, that it was lawful for the County Court of Dinwiddie in granting their consent to the Petersburg and Boydton Plank Road Company to use and stop such parts and portion of the public road leading from Burchell's Bridge to Dinwiddie Court House as the said Company might think proper, to annex the conditions which were prescribed in the order of said Court of the 17th February 1854. to wit: "That the people of the said County be permitted to use the said Plank Road between the points aforesaid for all purposes of neighborhood travel with horses and pleasure Carriages, and for the further purpose of going to and returning from their Mills and Blacksmith Shops with waggons and carts within the limits aforesaid free from the charge of toll for the same". And the Court is further of opinion, that according to the true construction and meaning of the said order of court, it was the obvious intention of the Court to secure to the citizens of the County of Dinwiddie the right to use the road between the points aforesaid for all purposes of neighborhood travel and intercourse, and for the purpose of going to and returning from

their Mills and Blacksmith shops free from the payment of Toll so far as they might travel on the same within the limits aforesaid. The Court is therefore of opinion that if an inhabitant of the County of Dinwiddie is in the habit of carrying his grist to a Mill, or his work to a Blacksmith shop, even though the Mill or Blacksmith shop be located beyond the limits of the County, yet he has a right in going to and returning from the same to travel free of toll on so much of the Road as is located within the County of Dinwiddie, but that he is liable to pay Toll for all his travel on the road beyond the limits of said county. The Court is further of opinion, that the Petersburg and Boydton Plank Road Company have no right, to assume the distance of five miles, or any other arbitrary distance, as the limit of neighborhood visit. and that the true meaning of this provision of the said order is, that if an inhabitant of Dinwiddie County, is in good faith making a neighborhood visit, either upon business or social intercourse, he has a right to travel free of toll, on so much of the road as lies within the limits aforesaid, although the family or place he may visit may lie beyond the limits of the County. But in all cases of this sort, it must be strictly a neighborhood visit. It is therefore considered by the court that the Demurrer to said plea be sustained, but the parties ~~consenting thereto it~~ is ordered that the defendant have leave to file any other plea at the next term that he may be advised to offer and the cause is continued for that purpose until the next term.

George W. Roe for the benefit of David May Plt.
against
Charles A. Hargrave adr. of John Hargrave dec.d Deft.

Upon a Scire facias to revive a judgment of this court rendered on the 17th day of Sept.r 1845, for the sum of Six hundred and thirty five dollars and fourteen cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of July 1848, till paid and $1.84 cents costs, against John Hargrave and Isham E. Hargrave, and Hamlin Hargrave.

The defendant having been duly warned and not appearing, on the motion of the plaintiff, by his attorney, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for Six hundred and thirty five dollars and fourteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of July 1848 till paid, and $1.84 cents, the costs in the writ aforesaid specified; and also that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant, his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the deceased in the hands of the defendant to be administered of so much thereof he hath. But the said execution is to be credited for One hundred and seventy three dollars and seventy five cents paid January 17, 1849, for one hundred and eighty dollars paid the 2d March 1853, and also for Ninety one dollars and ninety one cents paid the 2d December 1853,

John Temples — Plt. } In Slander
against
William Branton — Deft.

Be it remembered, that on the trial of this cause the defendant by his attorney excepted to an opinion of the court given upon the said trial, and tendered his bill of exceptions, which was received, signed and sealed by the Court, and ordered to be made part of the record in the said cause.

An account of Peter W. Gent against the Commonwealth amounting to twenty two dollars, was examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the County Court of Dinwiddie for payment. This Court being satisfied that the services for which this account was rendered was in consequence of the insecurity of the jail of this County.

An account of David F. Hoy Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to three dollars was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jno. W. Nash.

---

## Office Judgments Confirmed the 27th March 1856.

Costs $6.95 — William G. Wynn — Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 — Loring Tillson — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy eight Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of March 1855 till paid and also his costs by him about this suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.95 — John Hatch who sues for the benefit of A. C. Butts adm.r de bonis non of
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 — Edward Waterman dec.d — Plt. } In Debt.
against
Benjamin Gladding — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty two Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of September 1851. till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.95 B. F. Childrey Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 21st April 1856 William J. Pinchbeck Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of September 1855, till paid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for one hundred and six Dollars and fourteen cents paid September the 11th 1855, as per endorsement on bond.

---

Costs $7.55 William H. Brown who sues for the benefit of William L. Williams bys Trustee Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 21st Apl 1856
against
Sterling M. Wells and Edward Wells Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty one Dollars and fifty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of April 1855, till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.43 Andrew L. Kelly Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 21st Apl 1856 William H. Carrington Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty two Dollars and eighty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of April 1841 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.43 Andrew L. Kelly and Samuel M. Lucy late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Lucy & Kelly Plts. } In Debt.
Fifa 21st Apl 1856
against
William H. Carrington Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover

against the defendant the sum of thirty one Dollars and fifty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of December 1837, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this execution is to be credited for eleven dollars and twenty five cents, paid the 29th day of December 1838, as per endorsement on bond.

---

Costs $7.30 E. H. Smith ex'or of Nelson Browder dec'd
who sues for the benefit of I. S. Keeler Plt.
Fifa 4th April 1856 against } In Debt:
James Nelson and Peter Vaden Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty three Dollars and ninety cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23d day of November 1854 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30 E. H. Smith ex'or of Nelson Browder dec'd
who sues for the benefit of I. S. Keeler Plt.
Fifa 4th Apl 1856. against } In Debt.
David Harmon and Isaac Roney Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of ninety three dollars and fifty cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23d day of November 1854, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30 Isaac I. Keeler ex'or of John Smith dec'd Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 David A. Harmon and Benjamin R.
Lewis Co-obligors with John M. Tucker - Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Forty six Dollars and fifteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of December 1855, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Robert Hawks Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 Benjamin Gladding Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against

the defendant the sum of Fifty five Dollars and seventy eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of October 1855, till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30 Frances Pool admx of D. P. Pool Plt
against
Fifa 21st Apl 1856 Mark R. Dyoswy & J. D. Dyoswy Defts } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and twelve Dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of December 1854, till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.70 Edward A. Myatt guardian of Robert Greenway's children who sue for
Fifa: 21st Apl 1856 the benefit of Alex Dunnan Plt } In Debt.
against
John B. Davies & Stephen P. Pool Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and five dollars with legal interest on Fifty Dollars to be computed from the 25th day of December 1846, till paid, and on Fifty five Dollars the residue thereof to be computed from the 25th day of December 1847, till paid — and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.70 Peter B. Mills, William Lea jr and William S. Brownley late merchants
Fifa: 21st Apl 1856 and partners trading under the firm and style of Mills, Lea and Brownley Plts } In Debt.
against
John W. Butterworth Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant says nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of sixty nine Dollars and ninety four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of March 1855 till paid, and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.95 R. Coleman and William L. Williams surviving partners of themselves and John
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 G. Adkins late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Adkins Coleman & Co. Plts } In Debt:
against
Joseph J. Alston Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and twenty nine Dollars and thirty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $6.80 Philip F. Leach Plt
against } In Debt:
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 B. D. Evans & co-obligor E. L. Evans Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and thirty four dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of November 1851 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.30 William C. Rogers Plt
against } In Debt:
Fifa 4th Apl 1856 Joseph A. Jackson & L. B. Sturdivant Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of December 1855, till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $10.66 Ann Patterson Plt
against } In Debt:
Fifa 4th Apl 56 [redacted] David Smith
and Manasseh Audas with Benjamin F. Smith co-obligors Defts

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of six hundred and seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1854, till paid, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Francis Williams Plt. } In Debt.
against
John P. Goodwyn and E. A. Wyatt Defts.

By Direction of the plaintiffs attorney, this suit was dismissed at rules held in the Clerks Office.

Judgments Confessed in the Clerks Office May the 19th 1856.

Costs $6.24. A. W. Handley Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 12 June 1856. G. B. Gibson Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff, by his attorney, as the defendant by Robert H. Mann his attorney, and thereupon the said defendant, by his attorney aforesaid, acting under a power of attorney, under the hand and seal of the defendant, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for Ninety six dollars and thirty six cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of June 1855, till paid, and costs of suit. Therefore, it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety six dollars and thirty six cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of June 1855 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $6.24. John Seabols Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 12 June 1856. The Same Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff, by his attorney, as the defendant by Robert H. Mann his attorney, and thereupon the said defendant, by his attorney aforesaid, acting under a power of attorney, under the hand and seal of the defendant, acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for Six hundred and twenty dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of June 1855 till paid, and costs of suit. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred and twenty dollars, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 22nd day of June 1855 till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Thursday the 18th day of September A.D. 1856 and in the 81st year of the Commonwealth:

Present Hon: John M. Nash Judge:

John E Harris Foreman with A.W. Boisseau Ro W. Vaughan Elisha Hardy, Joseph G. Boisseau & J.E. Jones N.M. Watts Benjamin R. Lewis Wm D. Young John A Young William J. Webb Edward P Scott C.P. Bevill George Whitmore John A Edmunds Alex M. Coisens, Robert B. Thweatt and Robert H. Sydnor were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, recieved their charge, withdrew and after sometime returned into Court with an indictment against James Field for Felony, "a true bill" and reported "we of the Grand Jury make no presentments." And thereupon the Grand Jury having nothing further to do were discharged.

William C Royers Plt
against
Jos A. Jackson, L. B. Sturdivant and I. S. Keller Defts

In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for one hundred and seventy seven dollars and twenty two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Eighty eight Dollars and sixty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of April 1856, till paid and said costs.

Philip F. Leach Plt
against
B. D. Evans & Ro. S. Thompson Defts

In a motion for an award of ex. on a forfeited forthcoming Bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for three hundred and eighty nine Dollars and seventy four cents the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and ninety four Dollars and eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of April 1856, till paid, and said costs.

Richardson H. Dance Executor of Richard G. Bland dec^d. Plt. } In a motion for an award of execn on a forfeited forthcoming Bond —
against
Lorenzo D. Harmon, David A. Harmon & Lucy King Defts.

This day came the plaintiff and being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for four hundred and seventy two Dollars and seventy eight Cents the penalty of said bond. And also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and thirty six dollars and thirty nine Cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of April 1856. till paid, and said costs.

E. Harvie Smith executor of Nelson Crowder dec^d who sues for the benefit of Isaac T. Steler Plt. } In a motion for an award of execn on a forfeited forthcoming Bond.
against
James Wilson, Peter Vaden & Jas. H. Boisseau Defts.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff ~~may~~ have execution against the defendants for One hundred and thirty seven dollars and thirty four cents the penalty of said bond. and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Sixty eight dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of April 1856. till paid, and said costs.

Stephen P. Pool Plt. } In a motion to recover of the deft. money paid by the plaintiff as his security &c — by virtue of an execution issued from the Circuit court of Dinwiddie county in favor of Wm. H. Roy against
against
Daniel G. Prosise Deft.

This day came the plaintiff, by his attorney, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, that the defendant hath had legal notice of this motion; he was solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty dollars and sixty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of April 1856. till paid, and also five per cent damages on said amount, and his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

Andrew L. Kelly Plt. } Upon a Summons issued against the Boydton & Petersburg Plank Road Company, suggesting money or effects
against
Wm. H. Carrington Deft.

of the defendant in the hands of the said Boyden and Petersburg Plank Road Company.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and dismissed this case.

Lucy & Kelly against William A. Cavaughn — Pltf. Upon a summons issued against the Deft. Boyden & Petersburg Plank Road Company suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of the said Boyden and Petersburg Plank Road Co.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and by his direction it is ordered that this case be dismissed.

Moore & Griffin vs B. M. Robertson — Pltf. Upon a summons issued against the Deft. the Exchange Bank of Virginia suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of the Exchange Bank of Virginia.

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney, and the summons issued in this case being returned executed on C. F. Fisher Cashier of the Exchange Bank at Petersburg, Thomas J. Gholson President of said Bank on oath returned a statement in writing which is ordered to be made a part of the record in this case, and the case is continued until tomorrow.

William N. Martin against Nathaniel T. Newbill — Pltf. Upon an attachment issued against Deft. A. W. Cousins as garnishee suggesting money or effects &c of the defendant is his hand.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as A. W. Cousins upon whom the attachment in this case appears to have been duly executed, who on oath filed a statement in writing, which is ordered to be made a part of the record in this case.

On the motion of Edward A. Young, Edward A. Mennally and William Stanhund and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rules made against them at the last term, for failing to attend as witnesses on behalf of George B. William A. Royall, defendants at the suit of Dixon B. Wells are discharged.

On the motion of George Perkinson and for reasons appearing to the Court, the Rule made against him at the last term, for failing to attend as a witness on behalf of William Hudson defendant at the suit of Joseph E. Parrean is discharged

Lewis Meredith and Laban Wells who were summoned to this term as petit jurors, are for reasons appearing to the Court, excused from service the balance of the term.

Ordered that Rules be awarded against Joseph L. White, Wm. P. Clark and John W. Fraser returnable here on monday next to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined

for their contempt in failing to attend here to-day as petit jurors, after having duly drawn and summoned for the purpose.

A certificate of the qualification of Charles F. Goodwyn, who has been duly elected attorney for the Commonwealth for Dinwiddie County, was presented in Court and ordered, and is in the words and figures following, to wit:

"In Vacation June 2d 1856.

This day Charles F. Goodwyn, who has been duly elected by the qualified voters of the County of Dinwiddie, attorney for the Commonwealth for said County, personally appeared before me, Jno. W. Nash, the Judge of the Circuit Court of said County, and took the several oaths prescribed by law as the attorney for the Commonwealth of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County Viz: the oath to support the Constitution of the United States, the oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth of Virginia, the oath disabling any person concerned in a duel from holding Office, (passed May 22d 1852) and the oath of Office as attorney for the Commonwealth in the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie. Given under my hand the day and year first above written

Jno. W. Nash"

Whereupon the said Charles F. Goodwyn is permitted to prosecute for the Commonwealth in this Court.

James Field late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, was led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this county, and was about being arraigned when it was suggested to the Court by his counsel that he is now insane, and the Court having heard the testimony of sundry witnesses, It is ordered that the Sheriff summon forthwith twelve free holders to be empannelled to enquire into the state of the prisoner's mind, as preliminary to his trial for said offence. Whereupon the following persons to wit: Lewis Meredith, Wm. C. Powell, James Stidd, Oliver Chappell, Allen J. Heath, David T. Williams, William F. Boyle, David J. Carr, David J. Wells, Benjamin S. Watkins, J. J. Todd, and William A. Dunnally, were duly summoned by the Sheriff in obedience to the above order, tried and sworn in the following words to say, viz: You shall enquire whether the Prisoner James Field is sane at this time or insane. If you find that he is insane at this time you will further enquire if he was insane at the time of committing the offence with which he stands charged. but if you find him sane at this time say so and no more." and the jury having heard the evidence of sundry witnesses and arguments of Counsel, retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into court and

reported their verdict in these words. "We of the Jury find that the prisoner James Field is sane at this time." And the prisoner thereupon being arraigned, by his counsel moved the Court to quash the ~~[illegible]~~ Indictment in this case, which motion was opposed by the Attorney for the Commonwealth — and the Court having heard the arguments of counsel on said motion takes time until tomorrow morning to consider of ~~the~~ judgment.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Friday September 19th 1856.
Present same Judge as yesterday.

On the motion of John W. Fraser, Joseph L. White and Wm. P. Clarke, the Rules awarded against them in yesterday as petit jurors are discharged.

Berthier Bott
against
C. P. Bevill

Pltf. } In Debt.
Deft.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained against the defendant in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally; and the said defendant filed at the same time an account, stating the nature of the payments and set-offs, which he desires to prove.

Mary Bott
against
Robert G. Barksdale

Pltf. } In Debt.
Deft.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained against the defendant in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally, and the said defendant filed at the same time an account, stating the nature of the payments and set-offs, which he desires to prove.

William Short guardian &c of King
against
Turner & Biggs

Pltf. } In Debt.
Deffts.

On the motion of the defendants by their attorney, it is ordered that the judgment obtained against the defendants in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendants by their attorney pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally. And leave is granted the defendants to plead

further in this case if they desire it.

Manasseh Andrus. Plt. } In Debt.
against
Wilson & Burke Defts }
The parties agreeing it is ordered that this suit be dismissed, the money having paid.

L. Blankenship admx. Plt. } In Debt.
against
Robertson & Moody Defts }
By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

James Field late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the jailor of this County, the prisoner by his Counsel withdrew his motion made on yesterday to quash the indictment in this case, and thereupon pleaded not guilty to the indictment. And the venire summoned in this case being called over and the Court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon twelve additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James Field be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted or not guilty. And the jurors summoned in obedience to the above order, being called over, and the Court still failing to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon four additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James Field be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted, and from the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order made in this case, a panel of twenty four ~~jurors~~ qualified jurors, free from exception was completed. Whereupon, the prisoner having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: Braxton Davis, John J. Polan, Silvester T. Chandler, John W. Tucker, William H. Tucker, Robert J. Hawks, Harrison B. Mitchell, Newman Williams, James F. Turner, James H. Ferguson, Lodwick Tally and William P. Clark, who being elected tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and after having heard the evidence and argument of counsel, retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court, and rendered their verdict in the following words, to wit: "We of the jury do find the prisoner guilty of the attempt to commit murder in the first degree as charged in the

indictment, and ascertain the term of his confinement in the Penitentiary for two years." And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to gaol.

The Commonwealth — Plt. } Upon an Information
against
David Starner — Deft }

It is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term for the Commonwealth.

The Same — Plt. } Upon an Information filed on a presentment
against
David L. Wells — Deft } of the Grand Jury &c

The defendant saith by his attorney, that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the information against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the Country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise.

The Same — Plt. } Upon an Information filed on a presentment
against
James Cabanis — Deft } of the Grand Jury &c

The defendant by his attorney saith, that he is not guilty in manner and form as in the information against him is alleged, and of this he putteth himself upon the Country; and the attorney for the Commonwealth.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

W. W. Nash.

Saturday Septr. 20th 1856.
Present Same Judge as yesterday

The Commonwealth against William Hudson — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon Indictment filed against the defendant at October term 1854, for an assault and battery on the person of Joseph E. Puryear.

This day came, as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Dustin A. Webb, Uriah Connelly, James A. Chappell, David A. Harmon, William A. Rogerson, Benjamin N. Watkins, Edwin W. Friend, J. J. Scott, Robert A. Sydnor, David T. Williams, James Kidd, and William P. Clark, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at one cent." Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Literary Fund, the fine assessed as aforesaid, and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

The Commonwealth against John B. Hudson — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon an Indictment filed against the defendant at Octber term 1854, for an assault and battery on the person of J. E. Puryear.

This day came, as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Dustin A. Webb, Uriah Connelly, James A. Chappell, David A. Harmon, William A. Rogerson, Benjamin N. Watkins, Edwin W. Friend, J. J. Scott, Robert A. Sydnor, David T. Williams, James Kidd, and William P. Clark, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Literary Fund, the fine assessed as aforesaid, and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

The Same against James Stargrave — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon an Indictment made against the defendant at October term 1855, for an assault and battery on the person of Oliver Chappell.

This day came, as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Edward A. Puckett, Asa Kidd, Robert F. Reade, William T. Roney, Joseph L. White, John C. Harvey, James W. P. Fort, Archibald Thweatt, John W. Fraser, Robert Jackson, Robert Studivant and George W. Lewis, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon

their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find the defendant not guilty." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth — Pltf.
against — Upon an Information filed on a presentment of the
James Hawkins — Deft. Grand Jury made against the defendant at April term 1855, for an assault and battery on the person of William H. Peebles.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Joseph L. White, John C. Hardy, William T. Roney, Robert Sturdivant, Asa Hidd, Robert Jackson, Archibald Thweatt, James Hargrave, James W. P. Port, George W. Lewis, Edward A. Puckett, and Pleasant A. Fisher, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find the defendant not guilty." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth — Pltf.
against — Upon an Information filed on a presentment of the Grand
James Cabaniss — Deft. Jury made against the defendant at October Term 1855, for an assault and battery on the person of Cornelius Parsons.

This day came, as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also, a jury, to wit: John W. Fraser, Robert F. Reade, John C. Hardy, George W. Lewis, Edward A. Puckett, Robert Jackson, William T. Roney, James Hargrave, Pleasant A. Fisher, Joseph L. White, Archibald Thweatt, and Robert Sturdivant, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Literary fund, the fine assessed as aforesaid and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

The Same — Pltf.
against — Upon an Indictment made against the defendant at
Oliver Chappell — Deft. October term 1855, for an assault and battery on the person of Ja. Hargrave.

This day came, as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Uriah Connelly, J. J. Tate, Robert A. Sydnor, James Hidd, William A. Royall, Benjamin N. Watkins, Dudley Webb, David T. Williams, James W. P. Port, David A. Harmon, Asa Hidd, and William D. Clark, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak

upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned their verdict in these words. "We the jury find the defendant not guilty." Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth against William G. Wynn — Pltff. / Deft. — Upon an Indictment made against the defendant at October term 1855 for unlawfully permitting three slaves under his control, to wit: James, Miles and Peter to go at large and hire themselves out, for the benefit of the said defendant in the County of Dinwiddie, on the 19th day of March 1855 and on divers other days within the twelve months next preceding the said 19th day of March 1855.

This day came, as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: James Kidd, Robert Jackson, Robert H. Lynn, David A. Harmon, Robert Sandifant, George W. Lewis, J. Scott, Robert F. Reade, Benjamin N. Wallis, James W. Pool, John C. Hardy and Edwin W. Friend, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, to wit: "We of the jury find the defendant not guilty." Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Same against David J. Wells — Pltff. / Deft. — Upon an Information

It is ordered that this ~~case~~ be continued until the first day of the next term.

The Same against John A. Wynn — Pltff. / Deft. — Indictment for an assault & battery

It is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the defendant.

The Same against Cornelius Parsons — Pltff. / Deft. — Upon an Information for an assault and battery.

The process heretofore awarded in this case, having been returned not executed, it is ordered that new process be awarded against the defendant as the law directs, directed to the Sheriff of Brunswick County, returnable to the first day of the next term.

The Same against William Powell — Pltff. / Deft. — Upon a presentment.

The Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, by consent of the Court, saith that he will not prosecute further

in behalf of the Commonwealth against the defendant, this case.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| The Commonwealth against E. Harvie Smith | Pltf / Deft | Upon Rules to shew cause why Information should not be filed |
| The Same against The Same | Pltf / Deft | upon presentment of the grand jury. |

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed informations against the defendants in these cases. Whereupon, it was ordered that the defendant be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said information.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| John B. Dyson & others against W. & W. Kirks | Pltfs / Defts | In Ejectment |

John T. Hubbard and Mary B. T. Hubbard his wife, on their motion by Counsel are admitted defendants in this suit in the room of W. & W. Kirks, and thereupon, by their said attorney, come and defend the force (and injury when &c.), plead the general issue, confess the lease, entry and ouster in the declaration supposed, and agree to insist at the trial only upon the title.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Derby, Smith & Zehmer against Sharp B. Miles | Pltfs / Deft | Upon a Summons suggesting money or effects of the defendant in the hands of Jas. Ennis |

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and James Ennis against whom the summons aforesaid is returned executed being solemnly called, and not appearing: on motion of the plaintiffs by their attorney, it is ordered that a rule be awarded against the said James Ennis, returnable here on Tuesday next at 10 O'Clock, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be attached for failing to appear here to-day (and answer the suggestion of the plaintiffs after having been duly summoned for the purpose.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| William N. Martin against Nathaniel P. Newbill | Pltf / Deft | In Trover |

This day came the defendant by Thomas S. Gholson his attorney, and entered his appearance, and on his motion the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at Rules held in the Clerk's Office is set aside; and thereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally. Whereupon, on the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney leave is granted him to amend his declaration in this case, and by consent of parties it is ordered that this suit retain its place on the docket.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until monday morning ten O'Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Monday, September the 22d 1856.
Present the same Judge as Saturday.

Nancy Hamlett executrix named in the last Will and Testament of David Hamlett decd Plt.

against

William M. Watts and Susan his wife, the Children of Turner Hamlett decd, Thomas W. Brown and Mary his wife, William Peterson and Nancy his wife, Children of Green B. Hamlett decd Richard Hamlett, John W. Hamlett and Sarah Ann Stevens Defts

On a motion to admit to probat a paper writing dated the 29th day of September 1855, purporting to be the last Will and Testament of David Hamlett deceased.

This day came Nancy Hamlett, by her attorney and offered to the Court for probat the said paper writing dated the 29th day of September 1855, as the true last Will and Testament of David Hamlett decd, and it appearing to the Court that William M. Watts and Susan his wife, Benjamin M. D. Hamlett, George W. Hamlett, ~~Henry T. Hamlett James C. Hamlett~~ Sally A. Hamlett, Charles R. Hamlett, Sterling L. Hamlett, Lucy E. Hamlett and Susan A. Hamlett, next of kin of said David Hamlett decd have been duly summoned to shew cause why the said Will should not be admitted to probat, and that an order of publication against Richd Hamlett, Thomas W. Brown and Mary his wife, William Peterson and Nancy his wife Children of Green B. Hamlett decd, John W. Hamlett, and Sarah Ann Stephens also next of kin of the said David Hamlett decd, has been duly published according to law, once a week for four successive weeks in the Intelligencer a newspaper published in the City of Petersburg. On motion of the said Nancy Hamlett by her attorney, Charles A. Hargrave is appointed guardian ad litem for the infant Children of Turner Hamlett decd to wit: Henry T., James C., George W., Sally A., Charles R., Sterling L., Lucy E. and Susan A. Hamlett: and thereupon William M. Watts and Susan his wife, John W. Hamlett, Richard Hamlett, and Benjamin M. D. Hamlett appeared and contested the said Will, and asked that a jury be empannelled to enquire whether the said paper writing dated the 29th day of September 1855, is the true last Will and Testament of David Hamlett decd. Whereupon the following jurors, to wit: William T. Roney, Robert Sandivant, Benjamin N. Walters, Robert H. Lyons, Edward A. Puckett, Robert Jackson, Robert F. Reade, William P. Clark, George W. Lewis, David A. Warner, Archibald Thweatt and James W. P. Pool were selected by lot, tried and sworn, well and truly to enquire whether the paper writing dated the 29th day of September 1855 purporting to be the last Will and Testament of David Hamlett decd and now offered for probat is the true last Will and Testament of the said David Hamlett decd or not, and sundry witnesses being sworn and examined and the arguments of counsel pro and con heard

and the Court being about to adjourn, the jury aforesaid were adjourned over until tomorrow morning ten O. Clock.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O: Clock.

Jno. M. Nash.

Tuesday September the 23rd 1856,
Present same Judge as yesterday

Nancy Stamlett executrix named in the last Will and Testament of David Stamlett decd. against William M. Watts & Susan his wife, the Children of Turner Stamlett decd. & others

Plt. / Defts.

} On a motion to admit to probat a paper writing dated the 29th day of Septr. 1855, purporting to be the last Will and Testament of David Stamlett decd.

This day came the parties by their attornies, and the jury sworn in this case on yesterday, appeared in Court according to their adjournment, and having fully heard the arguments of Counsel, retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and being unable to agree upon their verdict, William T. Roney one of the jurors in this case, was withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict, discharged. And the Cause is continued till the next Term, for a new trial to be had therein.

Derby Smith and Zehmer against Isham B. Miles

Plts. / Deft.

} Upon a Summons issued against James Ennis as Garnishee suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in his hands.

This day came as well the plaintiffs by their attorney, as the said James Ennis in his proper person, who upon oath acknowledged that he had in his hands the sum of fourteen dollars and seventeen cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of August 1856 till paid, belonging to the defendant. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said James Ennis pay to the plaintiffs the sum of Fourteen dollars and seventeen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of August 1856 till paid, in part satisfaction of the execution which is in this case in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendant. And on motion of the said James Ennis and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered that the Rule awarded against him in this case on Saturday last be discharged.

John Butterworth's Adm. against Bennett Aldridge

Plts. / Deft.

} In Caveat.

By Consent of parties by their Counsel and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered that this suit be removed to the Circuit Court for the City of Petersburg.

Moore & Griffin against B. W. Robertson — Pltfs. / Deft. — Upon a Summons issued against The exchange Bank of Virginia at Petersburg, suggesting money or effects &c. of the defendant in the hands of the said Exchange Bank of Virginia at Petersburg.

By Consent of parties by counsel it is ordered that this case be removed to the Circuit Court for the City of Petersburg.

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court, is allowed the sum of fifty dollars, David F. Stony Sheriff is allowed the sum of Ten dollars, David F. Stony jailor is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and Charles A. Margrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective public services rendered since the last term - which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

John E. Harris, Albert W. Bohrean, Robert W. Vaughan, Elisha Hardy, Joseph G. Bohrean, E. J. E. Jones, Nathan M. Watts, Benj. R. Lewis, Wm. D. Young, John F. Young, William J. Webb, Edw. P. Scott, Claudius P. Bevill, George Whitmore, John F. Edmunds, Alexander W. Cousins, Robert B. Threatt and Robert N. Sydnor are allowed each the sum of one dollar for their respective services as Grand Jurors at this term to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Robert Jackson, Uriah Connelly, Jas Kidd, David T. Williams, Robert F. Reade, Edwin W. Friend, Dudley N. Webb, Robert N. Sydnor, Robert Sturdivant, Archibald Threatt, Benjamin N. Watkins, William P. Clark, J. J. Scott, James W. P. Fox, John C. Hardy, Edward P. Willett, George W. Lewis, David A. Harmon, William T. Poney, Asa Kidd, Joseph L. White and William N. Rogerson are allowed each the sum of One dollar and twenty five cents, and Pleasant W. Fisher, John W. Fraser, James W. Chappell and Jas. Margrave are allowed each the sum of one dollar, for their respective service rendered for the trial of cases at the last & this term of the court as petit jurors to be paid out of the State Treasury.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Ten O' Clock.

Wm. W. Nash.

Wednesday September the 24th 1856.
Present the same judge as yesterday.

John Temple — Plt.
against — In Slander
William Branton — Deft.

The parties agreeing, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Falconer & Tucker — Plts.
against — In Case.
Freeman Abernathy — Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiffs by their attorney, as the defendant by his attorney, who thereupon filed the answers of the defendant to the interrogatories of the plaintiffs heretofore filed in this cause.

An account of David F. Hoy Sheriff of this County against
C The Commonwealth of Virginia, amounting to Nineteen dollars and twenty five cents, was sworn to in open court, examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

An account of Thomas D. Williams against the Commonwealth of Virginia, amounting to Thirty seven dollars for guarding James Field charged with Felony and confined
C in the jail of this County, was sworn to in open court, examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment. The Court being satisfied that the service rendered by the said Thomas D. Williams was not in consequence of the insecurity of the jail, but in consequence of the rebellious conduct of the prisoner

An account of B. T. Wells against the Commonwealth of Virginia, amounting to Thirty seven dollars for guarding James Field charged with Felony and confined in the
C jail of this County, was sworn to in open court, examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment. The Court being satisfied that the service rendered by the said B. T. Wells was not in consequence of the insecurity of the jail, but in consequence of the rebellious conduct of the prisoner.

An account of E. H. Smith acting jailor of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth of Virginia, amounting to
C Eleven dollars and sixty cents for the board &c. of James Field charged with Felony, was sworn to in open court, examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

James Field who was on friday last convicted of Felony, was this day, again led to the bar in custody of the jailor of this County, and it being demanded of him, if any thing for himself he had or knew to say, why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment

It is considered by the Court that the said James Field be imprisoned in the penitentiary for the term of two years, the period by the jurors in their verdict ~~[illegible]~~ ascertained. And it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do, as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court, remove and safely convey the said James Field from the jail of this County, to the said penitentiary, therein to be kept imprisoned, and treated in the manner directed by law. And thereupon the said James Field was remanded to jail.

The following allowances are made to petit jurors for services rendered at this term in Civil Cases to be paid out of the County Treasury.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pleasant A. Fisher | $2.75 | James A. Chappell | $2.75 |
| Robert Jackson | 2.25 | J. J. Scott | 2.75 |
| Uriah Connelly | 1.00 | James W. P. Pool | 2.25 |
| Laban Wells | .50 | John C. Hardy | 2.25 |
| James Kidd | 2.75 | Edward A. Puckett | 2.25 |
| David F. Williams | 2.75 | George W. Lewis | 2.25 |
| Ro. F. Reade | 2.25 | David A. Harmon | 2.25 |
| Edwin W. Friend | 2.75 | Wm. T. Roney | 2.25 |
| Dustin A. Webb | 2.75 | Asa Kidd | 2.75 |
| Robert H. Sydnor | 2.25 | Joseph L. White | 2.25 |
| John W. Fraser | 2.25 | Wm. A. Ferguson | 2.75 |
| Robert Sturdivant | 2.25 | James Hargrave | 2.00 |
| Arch. Threatt | 2.25 | Lewis Meredith | .50 |
| Benj. N. Watkins | 2.25 | | |
| Wm. P. Clark | 1.75 | | |

John G. Clarke, Plt. } In Trespass
against
Boyd & Scoggin, Defts

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, that the plaintiff in this suit is dead, it is ordered that the same be abated.

Lewis Lunsford and E. L. Lunsford merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of L. Lunsford & Son, Pltfs. } In Case
against
E. A. Smith, Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Ninety eight dollars and seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1856 till paid, and costs of suit. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety eight dollars and seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1856, till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Berthier Bitt Pltf } In Debt
against
C. P. Bevill Deft }

This day came the parties by their attorneys — Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the debt in the declaration mentioned, to wit: the sum of $340.29 with interest on $151.14 cts part thereof from the 2nd January 1855 till paid and on $186.15 cents balance thereof from the 2d January 1856 till paid, except as to the sum of $136.25 cents with interest from the 1st January 1856, amount put in controversy by the plea and account of set offs filed by the defendant as to which sum this case is continued until the next term. And that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in this case up to the filing of the plea of the defendant —

Ordered that court be adjourned until the first day of the next term. —

Wm. W. Nash.

Office Judgments confirmed September 24th 1856

Costs $7.65 H. P. Roney Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30. Sep 1856 Benjamin Gladding Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and two dollars and eighty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of October 1855 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 Silas M. Wright Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30 Sep. 1856 Benjamin Gladding Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty six dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 John Jordan Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa. 30. Sep. 1856 Benjamin M. Hardaway Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore its is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of ninety dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of July 1855 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 Berthier Bott Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30 Sep. 1856 Richard B. Lucy Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy three dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2d day of January 1856, till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.25 Samuel Blunt and William T. McCandlish survivors of themselves and A. S. Jones dec.d merchants and part=
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 ners in trade under the firm and style of Jones, Blunt & Co. Plts. } In Debt
against
Batty H. Evans and Robert Sturdivant surviving obligors of themselves and Richard Evans dec.d Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and sixty seven dollars and seventy one cents with legal interest thereon to be com=puted from the 18th day of July 1854 till paid, and the costs by them about their suit in this behalf ex=pended.

Costs $7.65 Peter B. Wills, William Lea and William T. Brownley merchants and partners in trade under the firm and
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 style of Wills, Lea and Brownley who sue for the benefit of William Lea Plts. } In Debt
against
Lorenzo D. Harmon. Dft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred and sixty two dollars and twenty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of March 1853, till paid, and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 William J. Old Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 Mary A. H. Goodwyn Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of forty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of May 1856 till paid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 William J. Old Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30th Sep 1856 William W. Brunett Dft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of May 1856 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8:38 George L. Rogers Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30: Sep: 1856 George H. Lucy Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and nineteen dollars and ninety cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of April 1852 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 John H. Wygan Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30. Sep. 1856 Joseph N. Brooks Dft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and forty four dollars and twenty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8:75 A. S. Shafer, G. A. Halsey, Samuel Halsey and R. M. Hunter merchants and partners in trade under
Fifa 30. Sep 1856. the firm and style A. S. Shafer & Co assignees of Archibald Threatt Plts } In Debt.
against
John D. Clarke & Peter J. Clarke Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of thirty six dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of March 1855 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $2.04 R. H. Dance exor of R. Y. Beard Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 A. M. Goodwyn Co-obligor with L. D. Harmon & L. A. Harmon Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day of December 1854 till paid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.25 William H. Tucker and Herbert Williams, admrs of Isham Browder decd sometimes called Isham Browder, executor of
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 Isham Browder, Senr decd who sue for the benefit of Hartwell Ogburn. Plt. } In Debt.
against
D. A. Harmon and R. M. Barnes Dft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of forty eight dollars and seventy cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of January 1854, till paid, and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.50 R. W. Harrison Plt } In Debt
against
Fifa 30 Sep 1856. Peter H. Scott & Thos W. Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Forty three dollars and sixty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1854 till paid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.50 James Hargrave Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 Peter H. Scott & Thomas W. Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and eleven dollars and ninety seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of May 1853 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 Manapeh Audas Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 30 Sep 1857 Peter H. Scott Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of forty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of January 1856, till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.67 William E. Steward Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa: 30: Sep 1856 Jacob B. White & Peter H. Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid and costs of Protest one dollar and eighty five cents: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.25 Samuel Blunt and William T. McCandlish survivors of themselves and A. T. Jones dec.d late merchants
Fifa 30: Sep 1856 and partners in trade under the firm and style of Jones Blunt & Co. Plts
against } In Debt.
Batty H Evans exor of the last will and testament of Richard Evans dec.d who was co obligor with Batty H Evans and Robt. Sturdivant Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty seven dollars and seventy one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of July 1854 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $1.79 Lawrence Myers and Philip Pike merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of
Fifa 30 Sep 1856 Lawrence Myers & Co. Plts
against } In Debt.
Peter Wren one of the ——— partners of the late firm ——— of Scott & Wren Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and four dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of December 1855 till paid and costs of Protest $1.88 cents and also his costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $1.79

Fifa 30. Sep. 1856

E. M. Featherston and W. Alexander Bass merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of E. M. Featherston & Co. Plts

against

Peter Wren one of the partners of the late firm of Scott and Wrenn Dfts

} In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of ninety three dollars and thirty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of January 1856, till paid, and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Levi B. Crowder Plt

against

Edward P. Scott & Watkins Turner Dfts

} In Debt

Silas M Wright a pee of M H Wright Plt.

against

Benjamin Gladding Dft

} In Debt

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney these suits were dismissed at Rules held in the Clerks Office

R B Warren Plt

against

William G Niblett Dft

} In Debt.

This suit abated at Rules held in the Clerks Office by the return of the sheriff

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 23rd day of March AD 1857 and in the 81st year of the Commonwealth

Present Hon John M. Nash Judge.

John E. Harris Foreman with Edward P. Scott George Whitmore John T. Young Roger P. Atkinson Elisha Hardy William A. Adams William J. Webb, Robert W. Vaughan, Burwood Carr, Charles W. Coker, Henry Cousins Benjamin R. Lewis William Trumbull William D. Young and William P. Spain were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County received their charge withdrew and after sometime returned into court with an Indictment against Edward Mayle "a true bill" and Indictment against Benjamin Mayle a "true bill" and also the following presentments which were read and ordered to be recorded, and follow in these words and figures to wit.

"We of the Grand Jury present Edward B. Ledbetter for an Assault and Battery on the person of Peter Davis in the County of Dinwiddie with a Bowie Knife, within the last six months, upon the evidence of Thomas W. Scott, Benjamin M. Hardaway and Balcam G. Perkins sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury to give evidence".

"We of the Grand Jury present James F. Turner for unlawfully selling by retail wine ardent spirits and a mixture thereof to be drank at his store house in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months without having paid the tax prescribed by law for the privilege so to do, and without having obtained from the County Court of Dinwiddie a certificate that he is a person of good character and that the Court saw no objection to such permission being granted, upon the evidence of Drury L. Wells sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury to give evidence".

Whereupon on motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, It is ordered that process be issued against the several persons so as aforesaid presented as the law directs returnable here on the first day of ~~September~~ Term next and the Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged.

Samuel Blunt and William T. McCandlish survivors of themselves and A. S. Jones dec.d partners in trade under the firm and style of Jones Blunt & Co Plts

against

Batty H. Evans Ro Sturdivant & John W. Butterworth Dfts

} In a motion for award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Four hundred and eighteen dollars and twenty cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and nine dollars and ten cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of October 1856, till paid, and said Costs.

R. W. Harrison Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of execution
Thomas W. Scott and James H. } of a forfeited forth-
Borjeau Co-obligors with Peter H. Scott Dfts } coming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and twenty three dollars and forty four cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of sixty one dollars and seventy two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of November 1856, till paid, and said Costs.

James Hargrave Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of execution
Thomas W. Scott and James H. } on a forfeited forth-
Borjeau Co-obligors with Peter H. Scott Dfts } coming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solmenly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Two hundred and seventy four dollars and seventy two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and thirty seven dollars and thirty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of November 1856, till paid, and said costs.

Edward Mayle late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County thereof arraigned, and pleaded not guilty to the indictment.

and the venire summoned in this case having been called over and the court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the sheriff of this County summon three additional jurors freeholders of this county and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Edward Mayle be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted and from the jurors summoned by the sheriff of this County in obedience to the order made in this case a panel of twenty four qualified jurors free from exception was completed Whereupon the prisoner having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said pannel, to wit: William C. Jones, Thomas O. Barret, Robert H. Sydnor, Joseph Crowder, Robert W Hall, Henry W. Ridney, John Moody, John Burgess, William H. Burgess, Louis Burgess, Robert Gibbs, and William H. Brown, who being elected, tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having heard the evidence and the court being about to adjourn the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the sheriff of this County who is directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to caise them to appear here to morrow morning at ten o. clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to John W Harmon and James A. Bishop Deputy sheriffs of this County in the following words- "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability, keep this jury, and neither speak to them yourself, nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial untill they return into court tomorrow; and thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail: Whereupon Burrell Belcher, a witnesh for the Commonwealth, comes into court and acknowledges himself to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of one hundred dollars of his ~~expectant~~ lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet upon this condition that if the said Burrel Belcher shall make his personal appearance before the Judge of this court tomorrow morning at ten o'clock to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against Edward Mayle charged with Felony, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge then this recognizance is to be void.

George Whitmore, who was summoned to this term as a petit juror, is for reasons appearing to the court, excused from service the balance of the term.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Ten O' Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Tuesday March 24th 1857
Present same Judge as Yesterday

Richard E Harrison who was summoned to this term as a petit juror, is for reasons appearing to the Court, excused from service the balance of the term.

Allen J. Heath who was summoned to this term as a petit juror, is for reasons appearing to the Court excused from service the balance of the term.

William Hancock, gentleman who hath been duly licensed to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion, has leave to practice in this Court; and thereupon he took the oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth, the oath of an attorney at law, ~~and the oath to support the Constitution of the United States~~.

Edward Mayle, late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and the jury sworn on yesterday for his trial were brought into Court in custody of the Sheriff of this County, the evidence and the argument of Counsel being concluded retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and not having agreed in their verdict were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County who is directed to keep them together and to cause them to appear here to-morrow morning ten o'clock. and thereupon an oath was administered to William Bishop Sheriff of this County and John W. Harrison and James A. Bishop Deputy Sheriffs of this County, in the following words "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor suffer any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial untill they return into Court tomorrow" and the prisoner was remanded to jail.

Benjamin Mayle late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County thereof arraigned and pleaded not guilty to the indictment, and the venire summoned in this case having been called over and the Court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs

for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon three additional jurors, freeholders of this County, and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Benjamin Mayle be guilty of the felony whereof he stands indicted, and from the jurors summoned by the sheriff of this County in obedience to the order made in this case, a panel of twenty four qualified jurors free from exception was completed. Whereupon the prisoner having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: James Hargrave, David A. Harmon, Newman Williams, William T. Reade, Drury L. Wells, William R. Gee, Oliver Chappell, Albert Smith, William H. Vaughan, William P. Clarke, Edwin W. Lewis and Charles F. Hawkins who being elected tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak and having fully heard the evidence and the court being about to adjourn the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County who is directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at ten O. clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to William Bishop and John W. Turner and James A. Bishop Deputy Sheriffs of this County in the following words, "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability, keep this jury and neither speak to them yourself, nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial untill they return into Court tomorrow," and thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail.

Ordered that Court be adjourned untill tomorrow morning ten O. clock.

R. W. Nash.

Wednesday March 25th 1857.
Present same Judge as Yesterday.

Edward Mayle late of the county of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County and the jury sworn on Monday last were brought into Court in custody of the sheriff of this County, retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned to court and reported their verdict in the words "We of the jury find the defendant guilty of Grand Larceny as charged in the indictment and ascertain the term of his confinement in the pen

tentiary to be five years". And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail. —

Benjamin Mayle late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the jailor of this County, and the jury sworn on yesterday for his trial were brought into Court in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported their verdict in these words - We of the jury find the defendant guilty of grand larceny as charged in the indictment and ascertain the term of his confinement in the penitentiary to be four years and six months". And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail. —

The Commonwealth against John A. Wynn

Pltf. } Upon an ~~Indictment~~ ~~Information~~ ~~or presentment~~ of the grand jury
Deft. } made against the defendant at October term 1855, for an assault and battery on the person of William G. Wynn in the County of Dinwiddie on the 19th day of March 1855.

This day came as well the Attorney Prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: John D. Alley, James M. Williams, William L. Hobbs, Thomas Rose, Benjamin P. Aldridge, Robert Jackson, Edmond Haddon, John E. Borseau, E. O. Fitzgerald, Isaiah Goodwyn, J. W. Bishop & William H. Brown, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, We of the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine to ~~twenty~~ dollars". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth (for the use of the Literary Fund) the fine assessed by the jury against him as aforesaid - and that he pay the costs of this prosecution. —

The Same against E. H. Smith

Pltf. } Upon an ~~information~~ presentment of the grand jury made against
Deft. } the defendant at March term 1856 for selling wine and ardent spirits and a mixture thereof, to a negro slave named Davy Wyatt, the property of John F. Young without the written consent of his master at his ordinary in the County of Dinwiddie

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury to wit: James H. Ferguson, Ezekiel P. Pool, John Watson Balaam, G. Perkins, Elisha Hardy, Benjamin E. Lee, Thomas R. Chambers, Leroy A. Williams, W. H. Tucker, D. H. Fraher, John F. Sutherland and C. P. Bevill, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words,

who pleaded not guilty and thereupon issue joined upon the country and the attorney for the commonwealth

"We of the jury find the defendant guilty". Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Literary fund, twenty dollars the fine imposed by law, and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

The Same against E. H. Smith

Plt. } Upon an Information filed on a presentment of the grand Jury made against
Deft. } the defendant at March term 1856 for selling wine and ardent spirits and a mixture thereof to a negro slave name Martin Van Buren the property of Nancy Sturdivant, without the written consent of his mistress at his Tavern house in the said county within the last six months.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, who pleaded not guilty to the information and thereof put himself upon the Country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: James H. Ferguson, Ezekiel P. Pool, John Watson, Balaam G. Rollins, Elisha Hardy, Benjamin C. Lee, Thomas R. Chambers, Leroy A. Williams, William H. Tucker, Dennis H. Fraher, John T. Sutherland and C. P. Bevill, who being duly selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined and having heard the evidence: The attorney for the Commonwealth, by consent of the Court, saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth this case, - Whereupon the jury was discharged and the prosecution dismissed.

The Same against David T. Wells

Plt. } Upon an Information filed on a presentment of the Grand Jury made
Deft. } against the defendant in the County Court of Dinwiddie at August term 1853, for unlawfully selling by retail wine, ardent spirits and a mixture thereof, at his dwelling house in the said County, without paying the tax and obtaining the certificate prescribed by law for the privilege so to do, within the last twelve months previous to said presentment - which information was filed in pursuance of leave granted by this Court at March term 1856.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: J. W. Bishop, Robert Jackson, Edmund Hatton, John D. Alley, William L. Hobbs, James M. Williams, E. O. Fitzgerald, Benjamin P. Aldridge, Thomas Rose, William H. Brown, John E. Bispham and Isaiah Goodwyn who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find the defendant guilty". Whereupon the defendant by his attorney moved the Court an arrest of judgment and the Court takes time to consider said motion.

B. F. Halsey, Jeptha C. Graham and William Tuppman merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of B. F. Halsey & Co Plaintiffs.

against (In Case.)

James Wilson Defendant

This day came the parties by their attornies, and the defendant withdrawing his plea of Non assumpsit, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be eighty nine dollars and twenty five cents, with legal interest on Seventy Two dollars and seventy five cents, ~~[illegible]~~ thereof, from the 1st day of July 1854. till paid, and on Sixteen dollars and fifty cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1855. till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained as aforesaid, with interest as aforesaid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Falconer & Tucker Pltfs } In Case

against

Freeman Abernathy Deft

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

John A Worsham Plt. } In Debt.

against

Peter H. Scott Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

Alex Mail Plt. } In Case

against

Robert Michaels Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

Alexander Dunman adm. Joseph Lewis dec'd Plt. } In Case

against

William B. Green Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

David Hamlett exr. Plt. } Motion to admit to probate the will of

against

David Hamlett Hrs Defts } David Hamlett dec'd

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

E. H. Smith — Plt.
against — In Debtum
Charles F. Goodwyn & B. R. Lewis — Defts.

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against — Upon an Information &c.
Cornelius Parsons — Deft.

The process awarded against the defendant at the last term, being returned "not found," On the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that new process be awarded against the defendant returnable here on the first day of the next term, to answer the information filed in this cause.

The list of petit jurors duly drawn and summoned to serve during this term being called over, and a sufficient number not being in attendance for the convenient despatch of business it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon eight additional persons qualified as the law directs to appear here forthwith to serve as petit jurors.

Isaac Roney — Plt.
against — Upon a summons issued against Daniel J. Mayes suggesting money, effects &c. of the defendants in the hands of the said Daniel J. Mayes.
J. M. Mayes, Judith P. Mayes and L. A. G. R. Hobbs. — Defts.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as Daniel J. Mayes the garnishee in this case upon whom the summons issued from the Clerk's office of this Court has been duly executed, and the said garnishee Daniel J. Mayes being sworn, upon his oath saith, "that he has not at this time any money or property in his hands belonging to any of the defendants" And upon the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, who suggests that the said Daniel J. Mayes has not fully disclosed the debts due by him to or the effects in his hands belonging to the defendants, or some one of them, it is ordered that a jury be empannelled, without any formal pleading, to enquire as to such debts and effects of the said defendants or any one of them in the hands of the said Daniel J. Mayes.

A. P. Roney — Plt.
against — Upon a summons issued against E. H. Smith suggesting money, effects &c. of the deft in his hands.
Benjamin Gladding — Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as E. H. Smith the garnishee in this case upon whom the process issued from the Clerk's Office of this Court has been duly executed, and the said garnishee E. H. Smith, being sworn, upon his oath saith, "that he has not at this time any money or property in his hands or possession belonging to the defendant Benjamin Gladding". Therefore it is considered and ordered by the Court that this case be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay the costs of the same.

Robert J. Hawks — Pltf. } Upon a Summons issued against Wm. R. Gee as Garnishee suggesting money offa
against — Deft. } to the deft. in his hands.
Benjamin Gladding

This day came as well the Attorney for the Plaintiff as William R. Gee the garnishee in this case upon whom the Summons issued from the Clerk's Office of this Court has been duly executed, and the said garnishee William R. Gee being sworn upon oath acknowledged that he had in his hands of the defendant the sum of twenty five dollars due 1st day of October 1856. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said William R. Gee pay to the Plaintiff the sum of Twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of October 1856 till paid, in part satisfaction of the Plaintiffs execution against the defendant issued from the Clerk's Office of this Court — and that the Plaintiff pay the costs of this case.

Robert Leslie — Pltf. } In case
against
Thomas F. Scott — Deft.

— It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term of this court at the costs of the defendant — Robert W. Pegram and William Fenn who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the defendant were solemnly called, but came not; Therefore, on the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered, that the said Robert W. Pegram and William Fenn be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock. —

Wm. W. Nash.

Thursday March 26th 1857
Present same Judge as Yesterday:

On the motion of Edwin G. Booth who made oath thereto and together with Thomas J. Gholson his security entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of one hundred dollars, conditioned as the law directs, Certificate is granted the said Edwin G. Booth for obtaining letters of administration on the estate of Robert H. Booth deceased in due form —

The Commonwealth — Pltf. } Upon an Information
against — } filed on a presentment of
David A. Harmon — Dft. } the grand jury made against him at April term 1853 for an assault and battery upon the person of Edwin Wells within the last six months previous to said presentment —

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney and thereupon came also a jury to wit: J. W. Bishop, E. O. Fitzgerald, Robert Jackson, Thomas Rose, Ezekiel P. Pool, John D. Alley, Isaiah Goodwyn, James M. Williams, William H. Brown, Edmund Haddon, Benjamen P. Aldridge, and John Watson, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at one cent," Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid, forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth (for the use of the Literary Fund) the fine assessed by the jury against him as aforesaid, and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

John D. Ragland — Plt.
against — In Covenant.
Isham Browder's adm'rs — Dfts

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney it is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at his costs.

Joseph E. Puryear — Plt.
against — In T. A. B.
William B. Hudson — Dft

It is ordered that this suit be continued untill the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

Dixon R. Wells — Plt.
against — In T. A. B.
George B. Royall and Wm. H. Royall — Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Balaam G. Perkins, James H. Ferguson, William L. Hobbs, Elisha Hardy, William H. Vaughan, Robert T. Hall, Henry L. Wheelhouse, Thomas H. Hawkins, R. M. Barnes, John H. T. Darby, Thomas R. Chambers and Buchrod Carr, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find William H. Royall not guilty, and George B. Royall guilty and assess the damage to one cent." Whereupon the plaintiff by his attorney moved the Court to set aside the said verdict and grant him a new trial, because the said verdict is contrary to evidence. Which motion the Court takes time to consider.

R. H. Dance exr. of R. G. Bland dec'd — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Mary H. Bland — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant. James N. Nichols, Ann Nichols and A. L. Smith who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for the defendant being solemnly called and not appearing. On motion of the defendant by her attorney

It is ordered that the said James N. Nichols, Ann Nichols and A L Smith be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt. And by consent of parties it is ordered that the plaintiff have leave to take the deposition of Eliza Dance to be read on the trial of this cause, the plaintiff first giving reasonable notice to the defendant of the time and place of taking the same.

Thompson Moore
against
Mary A. P. Dabney

Plt. } In Case
Deft.

On motion of the defendant by her attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally, and the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term at the costs of the defendant - and leave is granted the defendant to plead further in this case if she thinks fit.

John T. Turner who was summoned to appear here on this day as a witness for the defendant was solemnly called, but came not. Therefore on the motion of the defendant it is ordered that the said John T. Turner be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

The Same
against
Isaac T. Keeler

Plt. } In Case
Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

John T. Turner who was summoned to appear here on this day as a witness for the defendant was solemnly called but came not. Therefore on the motion of the defendant it is ordered that the said John T. Turner be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

James Hargrave exor. of Hamlin Hargrave dec^d
against
Oliver Chappell

Plt. } In Case
Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

The Same Plt. against The Same Deft. In Case

The parties, by their attorneys, mutually submit all matters in difference between them in this suit to the final determination of David May, to commence on the 8th day of May 1857, and agree that his award shall be made the judgment of the Court, and the same is ordered accordingly.

Ordered that the suit be adjourned until January next for Case.

Thomas Branch Plt.
against } In Debt
Adams & Pritchett Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Thomas R. Chambers, Elisha Hardy, William L. Webb, Edmund Haddon, Henry L. Wheelhouse, John Watson, James H. Ferguson, William H. Brown, Balaam G. Perkins, Thomas H. Hardin, Isaiah Goodwyn, Robert Jackson, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, having heard the evidence and arguments of Counsel, retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court and not having agreed on their verdict, were adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Friday March the 27th 1857
Present same Judge as Yesterday

Thomas Branch Plt.
against } In Debt
Adams and Pritchett Defts

This day came ~~xx xxxx~~ the parties by their attornies and the jury sworn yesterday to try the issue in this cause, appeared in Court according to their adjournment and by consent of the parties, and with the absent of the Court Thomas R. Chambers one of the jurors aforesaid, was withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict discharged and the cause is continued.

N. L. Edmunds Plt.
against } In Case
Peter H. Scott Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be One hundred and twenty two dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of June 1854. till paid, and the costs of this suit: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty two dollars and seventy five cents, with interest thereon as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel H. Marks Plt.
against } In case
Peter H. Scott one of the partners of the late firm of Scott & Wren Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid, and the costs of this suit Therefore it is

considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended -

Samuel Drummond and John W. Wyche merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of S. Drummond & Co. Plts. } In Case
against
J. B. White Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be One hundred and thirty three dollars and twelve cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of April 1857 till paid, and the costs of suit. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and thirty three dollars and twelve cents with legal interest thereon to be computed as aforesaid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended -

W. W. Anderson Plt. } In Debt.
against
Thomas W. Scott Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the debt in the declaration mentioned, to wit the sum of Three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of March 1855, till paid, except as to the sum of One hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon from the said 28th day of March 1855 the amount put in controversy by the plea of the defendant filed in this cause, as to which sum this case is continued until the next term - and that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs by him expended in this case up to the filing of the plea of the defendant.

Daniel Malone Plt. } In Case
against
George W. Perkins Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's be set aside - Whereupon the the said defendant by his attorney pleaded nunc pro tunc payment, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally; and the said defendant filed at the same time an account stating the nature of the payments and offsets, which he desires to prove.

J. M. Dallas Plt. } In Case
against
Benjamin W. Shelly Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside: Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty and Demurred

to the two first counts in the declaration; to which plea of not guilty the plaintiff replied generally and the said plaintiff joins in said demurrer.

S. Drummond & Co
against
J. B. White

Pltf. } Upon an attachment issued
Deft } & levied on the goods and chattels of the defendant,

This day came John W. McIntyre and by leave of the court filed his petition in this cause stating the nature of his claim and entered into a bond in the penalty of one hundred dollars condition as the law directs with William Hancock and Chas. J. Goodwyn his securities, for costs, and the parties requesting a jury to try the rights of the parties to the property attached in this cause, the court doth order that a jury be empanneled to enquire into such claim or rights of the parties, and the trial is continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiffs. And for reasons appearing to the court, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this county who levied the attachment in this cause do sell at public auction at the courthouse of this county on a Court day all the property attached by him under the attachment aforesaid, after advertising on one court day to sell at the next, upon the following terms, all sums under ten dollars for cash, and for all sums of ten dollars and upwards on a credit of ninety days, taking bond with good security, payable to himself, for the benefit of the party entitled, and shall return the said bond or bonds for the purchase or purchases to the court

Dixon B. Wells
against
Thomas Richardson

Pltf. } In Case
Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

James Borjeau
against
Peter H. Scott

Pltf. } Attachment
Deft.

This day came John L. Scott by his attorney, and by leave of the court filed his petition stating the nature of his claim on the property attached in this case. and thereupon came the plaintiff and defendant by their attornies and also a jury, to wit: Thomas Rose, William H. Brown, Benjamin P. Aldridge, John D. Alley, Robert Jackson, Edmund Hatton, Elisha Hardy, Balaam G. Perkins, John Watson, J. W. Bishop, Isaiah Goodwyn and James H. Ferguson, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined on the plea in this case, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find for the plaintiff on the issue joined in this plea."

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O. Clock

M. W. Nash.

Saturday March the 28th 1857.
Present the same Judge as yesterday

E. H. Smith who sues for the benefit of Greenhill Pltf
against
Thompson Moore Deft } In Case.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally, and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

E. H. Smith Pltf.
against
Isaac Roney Deft } In case

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Seventy nine dollars and two cents, with legal interest on eight dollars and twenty five cents a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1854 till paid, on forty four dollars and eighty four cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid, on twenty two dollars and seventy one cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid, and on three dollars and twenty two cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid and costs of suit. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy nine dollars and two cents, with legal interest on eight dollars ~~and twenty~~ and twenty five cents a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1854 till paid, on forty four dollars and eighty four cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid on twenty two dollars and seventy one cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid, and on three dollars and twenty two cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid and the his costs by them about his suit in this behalf expended

James Bosseau Pltf.
against
Peter H. Scott Deft } Attachment

On yesterday on the trial of the issue joined on the plea of abatement in this case, the defendant by his attorney excepted to an opinion of the Court given upon the said trial, and tendered his bill of exceptions, which was received, signed and sealed by the Court, and ordered to be made part of the record in the said cause.

Dixon B. Wells Pltf.
against
George B. Royall and Wm. H. Royall Deft } In T. A. B.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Court

overruling the motion of the plaintiff made in this case on Thursday last to set aside the verdict of the jury rendered on that day and to grant him a new trial: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant George B. Royall the sum of one cent the damages ascertained by the jury against him the said George B. Royall in their verdict aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit expended against him the said George B. Royall, the court certifying that the trespass assault and battery complained of by the plaintiff was willfull and malicious — and that the ~~plaintiff~~ pay to the defendant William H. Royall his costs by him expended about his defence of this suit. —

The Commonwealth. Pltff. } Upon an Information filed
against } on a presentment of the jury
David T. Wells Deft } made against him &c.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and the Court overruling the motion of the defendant made in this case on Wednesday last for an arrest of judgment: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence by him committed and charged in the information in this cause, forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth (for the use of the Literary fund) the sum of Thirty dollars the fine imposed by law — and that he pay the costs of this prosecution. —

Edward P. Scott, George Whitmore, John E. Harris, John T. Young, Roger P. Atkinson, Elisha Hardy, William A. Adam, William J. Webb, Robt. W. Vaughan, Bushrod Carr, Charles W. Coller, Henry Cousins, Benjamin C. R. Lewis, William Turnbull, William D. Young, and William P. Spain be allowed each the sum of one dollar to be paid out of the County Treasury for services rendered at this term as Grand Jurors. —

John E. Watson is allowed the sum of $1.50
Elisha Hardy 1.00
James M. William 1.50
James H. Ferguson 1.00
c E. O. Fitzgerald 1.50
John D. Alley 1.50
Edmund Hadden 1.50
John E. Bispham 1.00
(Copied all) Benjamin T. Aldridge 1.50
Thomas Roe 1.50
Wm. L. Hobbs 1.00
Isaiah Gordon 1.50
J. W. Bishop 1.50,
Ezekiel T. Pool 1.50
Robert Jackson 1.50
Wm. H. Brown 1.50
Balaam G. Perkins, B. E. Lee, Th. R. Chamber, L. A. Williams, Wm. H. Tucker, D. H. Fraher, J. T. Sutherland and C. P. Berrill, the sum of one dollar each, said several amounts to be paid

out of the State Treasury for their respective services rendered at this term as petit jurors in misdemeanor cases.—

Ordered that the following sums be allowed petit jurors for their services rendered at this term to be paid out of the county Treasury, to wit:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| John E. Walton | $3.25 | Isaiah Goodwyn | $3.25 |
| George Whitmore | .50 | Balaam G. Perkins | 3.75 |
| Elisha Hardy | 3.25 | Ezekiel P. Pool | 1.00 |
| James M. Williams | 2.50 | Robert Jackson | 3.25 |
| James H. Ferguson | 3.25 | Wm P. Clark | 1.50 |
| Wm H. Vaughan | 3.00 | Allen J. Heath | 1.00 |
| Richard E. Harrison | 1.00 | Wm H. Brown | 2.75 |
| E. O. Fitzgerald | 2.00 | Robert T. Tell | .50 |
| John D. Alley | 1.50 | Henry S. Wheelhouse | 2.25 |
| Edmund Hudson | 3.25 | Thomas H. Hawkins | 1.75 |
| John E. Boisseau | 1.50 | R. W. Barnes | .50 |
| Benjamin P. Aldridge | 1.50 | John H. T. Darby | .50 |
| Thomas Rose | 1.50 | Thomas R. Chambers | 1.75 |
| Edwin W. Lewis | 2.50 | Bushrod Carr | .50 |
| William S. Hobbs | 3.25 | | |
| J. W. Bishop | 2.00 | | |

(Copied all)

An account of William Bishop Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to Ninety nine dollars, was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment.

An account of Berryman T. Wells against the Commonwealth for guarding James Field confined in the Jail of this county on a charge of Felony, was sworn to in open Court, examined allowed to be certified to the first auditor for payment. The Court being satisfied that the service rendered by the said Berryman T. Wells was not in consequence of the insecurity of the jail, but in consequence of the rebellious conduct of the prisoner.—

An account of Robert G. Boisseau acting Jailor for this county against the Commonwealth amounting to Nine dollars was sworn to in open court, examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment. The Court being

Charles T. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this court, is allowed the sum of fifty dollars, William Bishop Sheriff is allowed the sum of ten dollars, William Bishop Jailor is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and Charles H. Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective public services rendered since the last term which serveral allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

An account of Lafayette Allen against the Commonwealth amounting to four dollars for conveying from Petersburg to Dinwiddie Courthouse Mary Blackman and Dshane Mayle witnesses for the Commonwealth against Edward Mayle charged

with Felony, which amount is certified to the first admin for payment - The Court being satisfied that the said Mary Blackman and Isham Mayle are paupers and were unable to get to Court, having no money upon hand - and that the prosecution depended greatly on their testimony.

Edward Mayle who was convicted of Felony on wednesday last, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and it being demanded of him, if any thing for himself he had or knew to say, why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Edward Mayle be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of five years the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained. and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do, as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court, remove and safely convey the said Edward Mayle from the jail of this Court, to the said Penitentiary, therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law - and thereupon the said Edward Mayle was remanded to jail. and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

Benjamin Mayle who was convicted on wednesday last of Felony, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and it being demanded of him, if any thing for himself he had or knew to say, why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Benjamin Mayle be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of four years and six months the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained - and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do, as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court, remove and safely convey the said Benjamin Mayle from the jail of this Court, to the said Penitentiary, therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law - and thereupon the said Benjamin Mayle was remanded to jail. and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| John L. Scott | vs | Peter H. Scott | Upon attachments issued against the goods and chattels of the defendants - |
| James H. Bufferan | vs | Same | |
| Same | vs | Same | |
| James P. Bufferan | vs | Same | |
| David F. Ary | vs | Same | |
| Wm L. Scott | vs | Same | |
| T. J. Southall | vs | Same | |
| Same | vs | Same | |
| Lawrence Myers & Co | vs | Same | |
| E. M. Featherston & Co | vs | Same | |
| S. H. Marks | vs | Same | |
| Julius Robinson | vs | Same | |
| James Hargrave | vs | Same | |
| Wm E. Steward | vs | Same | |
| Manfield Andrews | vs | Same | |
| Ro: W. Harrison | vs | Same | These cases are con= |

Turned until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

A. B. Gibson — Plaintiff
against — } On Petition
John Seasholz — Defendants

Upon the petition of A. B. Gibson suggesting that she is the owner of certain goods and chattels upon which a Fieri facias has been levied at the suit of John Seasholz against G. B. Gibson and the said John Seasholz having given an indemnifying bond and the said A. B. Gibson having given a suspension bond according to law and being desirous of trying the question whether the right of property in the said goods and chattels belongs to the said G. B. Gibson or to the said petitioner, it is ordered that the said John Seasholz and the said A. B. Gibson be summoned to appear before this Court on the first day of the next term of the Court for the purpose of trying the question whether the said goods and chattels are the property of the petitioner or of the said G. B. Gibson.

An account of Peter W. Gent against the Commonwealth amounting to two dollars for guarding James Field confined in jail charged with Felony, was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment – The Court being satisfied that the service rendered by the said Peter W. Gent was not in consequence of the insecurity of the jail, but in consequence of the rebellious conduct of the prisoner.

An account of William Lee against the Commonwealth amounting to three dollars for guarding James Field confined in jail charged with Felony, was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment – The Court being satisfied that the service rendered by the said William Lee was not in consequence of the insecurity of the jail, but in consequence of the rebellious conduct of the prisoner.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jno. W. Nash.

Office Judgments Confirmed March the 28th 1857:

Costs $8: 25 — R H Dance exor of R G Bland decd Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 31st March — David A Harmon & Lucy King Dfts.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and eighty eight Dollars and ninety nine Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of June 1855 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended:

Costs $7: 75 — Same Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 31. March 57 — John W Slate co obligor with William Slate Dfts.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty eight Dollars with legal interest on eighty one Dollars a part thereof to be computed from the 25th December 1855, and on Eighty seven Dollars the residue thereof from the 25th December 1856 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Costs $8: 25 — Mary E C Gilham Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa: 31st March 1857 — Wm H Pettus & Jno L Scott Dfts.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1856 till paid: and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended:

Costs $7: 65 — Alexander Mallory Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa 31st March 57 — Thompson Moore Dft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty three Dollars and seventy

cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of July 1856, till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Costs $7.65
Fifa: 31st March 1857

Alexander Mallory and George R. Mallory merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Alexander Mallory & Son Plts

against

Thompson Moore Dft } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty six Dollars and fifty eight cents with legal interest thereon sixty four Dollars and forty six cents part thereof to be computed from July the 5th 1856, and on one hundred and two Dollars and twelve cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of September 1856, till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Costs $7.65
Fifa: 31st March 1857

W C & E G James & Joseph T James merchants and partners in trade under the style and firm of W C & E G James & Co assignees of J B White Plts

against

Thompson Moore Dft } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred and fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1856, till paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Costs $8.75
Fifa 31st Mar 1857

R S Thompson & John W Thompson merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of R S Thompson & Co. Plts

against

Frederick A. Ford and R H. Ford merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of F A & R H. Ford Dfts } In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of sixty one Dollars and twenty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of January 1857, till paid: and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Costs $8.25 William G Birchett Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa: 31st Mar. 1857 E H. Smith & Green Hill Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or precluson of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two thousand and two Dollars and seventy six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of August 1856, till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended — But this judgment must be credited for seven hundred and fifty dollars paid November 25th 1856.

Costs $8.25 Edward A Wyatt Exor of Isham E. Hargrave decd. Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 31: Mar 1857 against
E H. Smith and Green Hill Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or precluson of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One thousand and forty two Dollars and ninety eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of June 1856, till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 David May Comd Plt. } In Debt.
against
Fifa: 31. Mar. 1857 Ira W Brooks Dft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or precluson of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty seven Dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of August 1855, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

D'Arcy Paul surviving partner of himself and James McIlvaine decd. late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Paul, McIlvaine & Co. Plts. } In Debt.
against
Jno. G. Thweatt Dft

Stephen P. Pool Plt. } In Case
against
Richard P. Bolling Dft

Z Pearman and S. C. Pearman merchants and partners trading under the firm and style of Z Pearman

Vs.

against

Francis Pace & James D. Eckles — Plts / Dfts — In Case:

Rhoderick W Emmons — Plt.

against

Francis Pace — Dft. — In Debt:

By direction of the plaintiffs attorneys these suits were dismissed at Rules held in the Clerks Office

The Commonwealth — Plt

against

Cornelius Parsons — Deft — Information

The process awarded against the defendant at the last Court, being returned executed, the defendant was solemnly called, and not appearing; on the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, a Writ of Capias is awarded against the said defendant, returnable here on the first day of the next term.

The Same — Plt. — Upon a presentment of the Grand Jury

against

James F. Turner — Defend — made against the defendant at March Term 1857.

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an Information against the defendant on the presentment aforesaid, to which the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty and thereof put himself upon the Country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise. and the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Friday the 18th day of September A.D. 1857, and in the 82d year of the Commonwealth:

Present Hon: John W Nash Judge:

John E Harris Foreman with H J Heartwell, James Greenway, Robert W Vaughan John F Young William J Webb, Edward P Scott William N Martin, Joseph G Boisseau Joseph F Wells, William D Young, Balaam G Perkins F J E Jones Benjamin H Boisseau, Joseph L White and Labin Harwell were sworn a Grand-Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, received their Charge withdrew and after sometime returned into Court with the following presentment which was read and ordered to be recorded, and follow in these words and figures to wit.

"We the Grand jury present Dr William W Anderson for unlawfully shooting at J W Pritchett in the County of Dinwiddie in the last six months, with a pistol loaded with powder and ball, at the residence of the said Dr W W Anderson, upon the evidence of Joseph G Boisseau one of our body sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury to give evidence."

Whereupon on motion of the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process be issued against the said W W Anderson so as aforesaid presented as the law directs returnable here on the first day of March Term next - and the grand jury having nothing farther to present were discharged

Alex Mallory and George R Mallory merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Alex Mallory & Son = Plts. } In a motion for award of Exn on a forfeited
against } forthcoming Bond -
Thompson Moore & J S Keeler Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not: Therefore it is considered by the Court that that the plaintiffs ~~may have~~ execution against the defendants for three hundred and eighty three dollars and fourteen cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended: But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and ninety one dollars and fifty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of April 1857, till paid and said costs -

Alexander Mallory Plt. } In a motion for award
against } of Ex^n on a forfeited forth=
Thompson Moore & I. S. Keeler Dfts } coming Bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for two hundred and fifty six dollars and eighty four cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and seventy eight dollars and forty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of April 1857 till paid and said costs.

Edward A Wyatt executor of Silvan E. Hargrave dec^d Plt. } In a motion for award
against E Harris Smith } of execution on a forfeited
Green Hill & Geo W Rose Dfts } forthcoming Bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of twenty two hundred and eighty two dollars and eighty two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of eleven hundred and forty one dollars and forty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of April 1857 till paid and said costs.

E H. Smith Plt. } In a motion for award
against } of ex^n on a forfeited
Isaac Roney & B R. Lewis Dfts } forthcoming Bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for two hundred and four dollars and sixty four cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and two dollars and thirty two cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of April 1857 till paid and said costs.

Richardson H. Dance executor of Richard G. Bland dec.d Plts } In a motion for
against } award of execution
David A Harmon Lucy } on a forfeited forthcom-
Rind & N A Harmon Dfts } ing Bond

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Four hundred and sixty one dollars and sixty eight cents the penally of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and thirty dollars and eighty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of April 1857 till paid, and said Costs—

Same Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of exn on
John H Slate ~~By Delaney Costs~~ } a forfeited forthcom-
~~Wm Slate~~ and H. Y. Mitchell Dfts } ing Bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of three hundred and eighty eight dollars and ninety two cents the penally of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and ninety four dollars and forty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of April 1857 till paid, and said costs

Mary E C Gilliam Plt. } In a motion
against } for award of exe-
Wm H Pettus Jno L. Scott } cution on a forfeit-
& Thomas W. Scott Dfts } ed forthcoming Bond—

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and sixty nine dollars and four cents the penally of said bond and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Eighty four

dollars and fifty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of April 1857 till paid and said Costs.

John Searles against George B. Gibson A B Gibson and T. E. Jones — Plt. / Defts. — In a motion for a=ward of execution on a forfeited forthcoming Bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and for reasons appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not, and this motion was ordered to be continued untill to morrow.

Robert H Jones, gentleman, who hath been duly licensed to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion has leave to practice in this Court, and thereupon he took the several oaths prescribed by law.

James A P Wells who was summoned to this term of the Court as a pettit juror, is for reasons appearing to the Court excused from service the balance of the term.

William B Stone a pettit jury summoned to this term of the Court, is for reasons appearing to the Court, excused from jury service untill Tuesday next.

The Commonwealth against Cornelius Parsons — Plt. / Defts. — Information.

The process awarded against the defendant at the last Court, being returned executed, the defendant was solemnly called, and not appearing, on the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, a Writ of Capias is awarded against the defendant, returnable here on the first day of the next term.

The State against James F. Turner — Plt. / Deft. — Upon a presentment of the Grand Jury made against the deft. at March term 1857.

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an Information against the defendant in the presentment aforesaid, to which the defendant pleaded not guilty, and thereof put himself upon the Country, and the attorney Commonwealth likewise. and the trial of the issue continued until tomorrow.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Saturday September the 19th 1857
Present - Same Judge as yesterday.

Robert J. Hawks — Plt. } Upon Summons Issued
against } against the President & Directors
Benjamin Gladding — Deft } of the Brunswick and Roanoke
Henry T. Raney — Plt. } Plank Road Company as Gar:
against } nishee
The Same — Deft

It is ordered that these cases be continued until the first day of the next term.

Robert Leslie — Plt. } In Case
against } In Case
Thomas F. Scott — Deft

John A Worsham — Plt. } In Debt
against } In Debt
Peter H. Scott — Deft

Butler & Stain — Plts. } In Case
against } In Case
Thomas Lewis — Deft

Joseph Lewis' adr — Plt. } In Case
against } In Case
William B Green — Deft

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term for the defendants except the third which is continued for the plts.

Hamlin Hargraves' exor. — Plt. } In Case
against } In Case
Oliver Chappell — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

Carter R Hudgins' adr — Plt. } In Debt
against } In Debt
William S. Forbes — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

C. J. Wells who was summoned to appear here on this day as a witness for the defendant was solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, on the motion of the attorney for the defendant, it is ordered that the said C. J. Wells be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

And on the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney leave is granted him to amend his declaration in this cause, and the case is remanded to rules for that purpose.

Hiss Mail — Plt. } In Case
against } In Case
Robert Michaels — Deft

This day came the defendant by his attorney and the plaintiff though solemnly called, came not, nor is his

and further prosecuted: Therefore, on the prayer of the said defendant, it is considered by the Court, that he recover against the plaintiff, five dollars damages according to law, and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Isaac Piney
against
J. M. Mayes, Judith P. Mayes and Sarah J. Mayes
A. G. R. Hobbs

Pl. } Upon a summons issued against Dan'l J. Mayes suggesting debts, money or effects of the defendants in his hands &c.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: William A. Tally, Wm D. Gibbs, A. B. Goodman, Isaac P. Miles, William H. Royall, Robt. J. Hell, Richard Coleman, Thomas Rogers, Robert P. Moore, Edward G. Hamlin, Paget H. Valentine, John W. Fraser, who being selected by lot tried and sworn diligently to enquire whether or not at the time of the service of the summons in this case against Daniel J. Mayes the garnishee, there were any money or effects of the defendants or either of them in his hands, having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported that they could not agree on a verdict. Whereupon, by consent of the parties and with the assent of the Court, William A. Tally, one of the jurors aforesaid, was withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict discharged. And the cause is continued until the next term, for a new trial to be had therein.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until Monday morning ten O'Clock.

Wm. N. Nash.

Sunday the 22nd September 1857
Present the same Judge as Saturday

John D. Bagland — Plt.
against — In Covenant
Isham Browder's administrators — Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as the defendants by their attorney, who moved the Court to allow them to file a plea of Non est factum in this cause, which plea, the Court refused to receive; and thereupon the cause was ordered to be continued until the next term.

John S. Phan, Richard O. Weeks and Silvanus Johnson who were summoned to appear here to-day as witnesses for the defendants were solemnly called, but came not. And thereupon on the motion of the defendants by their attorney is ordered that the said Phan, Weeks and Johnson be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to show cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

R. G. Bland's Exor. — Plt.
against — In Debt
Mary W. Bland — Deft.

Diana B. Wells — Plt.
against — In Case.
Thomas Richardson — Deft.

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendants.

John B. Dyson & others — Plts.
against — In Ejectment
John T. Stabbard & wife — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiffs.

Joseph E. Puryear — Plt.
against — In T. A. B.
William Hudson — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attornies, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: William W. Royall, Robert P. Moore, Benjamin Jolly, Joel Studivant, Robert W. Vaughan, William H. Mitchell, Roger P. Atkinson, John W. Fraser, Isham B. Miles, Thomas Rogers, William D. Gibbs, and Thomas B. Eller who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find for the defendant." Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff take nothing for his bill, but for his false clamor be in mercy &c and that the defendant go thereof without day, and recover against the plaintiff his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Thomas Branch — Plt.
against — In Debt
Abner Adams & Richard F. Pritchett — Defts.

The parties, by their attornies, mutually submit all matters of difference between them in this suit, to the final

determination of David May, and agree that his award shall be made the judgment of this Court, and the same is ordered accordingly.

Thompson Moore — Plt.
against — In Case
Mary A. P. Dabney — Deft.

The Same — Plt.
against — In Case
Isaac T. Keeler — Deft.

It is ordered that these suits be continued generally until the next term. And the plaintiff in these suits by his attorney consenting, it is ordered that the defendants have leave to take the deposition of John T. Turner to be read on the trial of these causes, the defendants first giving the plaintiff reasonable notice of the time and place of taking the same.

W. W. Anderson — Plt.
against — In Debt
Thomas W. Scott — Deft.

By Consent of parties by Counsel the defendant withdraws the pleas heretofore filed by him on condition that the plaintiff will ask no judgment against him for cost. Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover of the defendant One hundred and fifty dollars the balance in controversy of the debt in the declaration demanded with interest thereon from March 28th 1855 till paid.

John G. Clarke — Plt.
against — In Case
Boyd & Scoggin — Defts.

The representative of the plaintiff not having appeared and prayed for a Writ of Scire Facias to revive this suit against the defendant; and this being the second term since the death of the said plaintiff was suggested on the record, it is ordered that this suit be discontinued.

William N. Martin — Plt.
against — In Case
Nanthanial P. Newbill — Deft.

The parties agreeing it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Jeffrey Lumsden — Plts.
against — In Case
William Burgess — Deft.

Edward P. Scott — Plt.
against — In Case
Jane J. Organ — Deft.

By Direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed.

Samuel G. Jones — Plt.
against — In Debt
Napoleon B. Jones — Deft.

William Short guardian &c — Plt.
against — In Debt
Turner and Biggs — Defts.

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next Term.

A. D. Banks and A. M. Keily partners in trade under the firm and style of Banks & Keily — Plts.
against — In Case
R. Beverly Frazer — Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case the court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Seventy two dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy two dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas White, Peter R. Davis and William H. Hardie merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of White, Davis & Hardie — Plts.
against — In Case
William B. Jones — Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case the court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Twenty nine dollars and fifty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of March 1856 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty nine dollars and fifty two cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid - and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Julius Robinson — Plt.
against — In Case
Peter A. Pett — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Robert P. Moore, Henry Cousins, Page W. Vaden, James Kidd, Edward G. Hamlin, A. B. Goodwyn, Thomas B. Elder, William H. Mitchell, Benjamin Jolly, Roger P. Atkinson, William H. Royall and John W. Fraser, who being selected by lot tried and sworn diligently to enquire of damages in this suit upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at $57.25 cents with interest from Jany. 1st 1856." Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed by the jury against him as aforesaid, with legal interest thereon as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Silas M. Wright — Plt.
against — In case
Benjamin Gladding — Deft.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside: Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

John W. Vaden — Plt.
against — In case
Israel Lippincott — Deft.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerks be set aside: and thereupon, the defendant, by his attorney filed his demurrer to the plaintiff's declaration, and the plaintiff joins in the said demurrer: and the said defendant by his attorney also pleaded non assumpsit and offsets which pleas were accordingly filed, to which plea of non assumpsit and offsets filed, the plaintiff replied generally: Whereupon the Demurrer to the plaintiffs declaration being argued, the Court overruled the same and the trial of the issue upon the plea of non assumpsit and offsets filed is deferred until the next term.

J. M. Dallas — Plt.
against — In case
Benjamin Shelly — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and on motion of the defendant by his attorney leave is granted him to withdraw his demurrer filed at the last term in this case - which was accordingly done.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O: Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Wednesday Sept. 23d 1857.
Present same Judge as on yesterday.

Isaac N. Smith and William R. Mallory, merchants and partners in Trade under the firm and style of Smith & Mallory. Pltfs. } In case
against
Benjamin W. Johnson Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damage in this suit to be Ninety four dollars and fifty five cents with legal interest on Eighty dollars and ninety two cents from 1st day of July 1856 till paid, and on thirteen dollars and Sixty three cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest thereon as aforesaid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

The Commonwealth Plt. } Upon a Rule to shew cause &c
against
Edward B. Ledbetter Deft

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, and the process awarded against the defendant at the last term being returned, not found, on the motion of the said attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that new process be awarded against the said defendant directed to the Sheriffs of Dinwiddie, Sussex and Mecklenburg Counties respectively to shew cause why an Information should not be filed on the Presentment of the Grand Jury made against him as aforesaid, returnable to the first day of the next term.

John Seachols Plt. } In a motion for award of Com. or forfeiture
against
George B. Gibson, A. B. Gibson & J. E. Jones Defts } forthcoming bond.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, It is ordered that this case be dismissed.

A. B. Gibson Plt. } Upon petition &c
against
John Seachols Deft.

It is ordered that this case be dismissed.

Nancy Hamlett executrix named in the last Will and Testament of David Hamlett decd Plt. } Motion to admit to probate a paper writing purporting to be the last Will and Testament of David Hamlett decd bearing date the 29th day of September 1855.
against
William M. Watts and Susan his wife & other Heirs of David Hamlett decd Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and also a jury, to wit: William H. Mitchell, Thomas B. Elder, Page H. Vaden

Robert P. Moore, Robert J. Hell, Henry Cousins, Braxton Davis, Thomas Rogers, Robert L. Gresham, Joel Sturdivant, Robert W. Vaughan and Roger P. Atkinson, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, well and truly to enquire whether the paper writing dated the 29th day of September 1855, purporting to be the last Will and Testament of David Hamlett dec^d and now offered for probat is the true last Will and testament of David Hamlett dec^d, or not, having fully heard the evidence and partly heard the arguments of counsel, and the court being about to adjourn, the jury aforesaid were adjourned over until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

John E. Harris, W. J. Hartwell, James Greenway, Robert W. Vaughan, John F. Young, William J. Webb, Edward P. Scott, William N. Martin, Joseph G. Bispeau, Joseph T. Wells, William D. Young, Balaam G. Perkins, T. J. E. Jones, Benjamin H. Bispeau, Joseph S. White and Laban Barwell are allowed each the sum of one dollar for their respective services rendered at this term as grand jurors to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Charles T. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this court is allowed the sum of fifty dollars, William Bishop Sheriff is allowed the sum of ten dollars, William Bishop Jailor is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and Charles A. Hargrave Clerk of this court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars for their respective public services rendered since the last term - which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

Robert J. Hell is allowed $2, Rd. R. Tucker $2, Wm. H. Tally $2, Wm. D. Gibbs $2.50, Wm. B. Tone $1.50, Wm. H. Mitchell $2.50, Rd. L. Gresham $2, Page M. Vaden $2.00, Thomas Rogers $2, Joel Sturdivant $2, Ed. G. Franklin $2, Braxton Davis $2, T. B. Elder $2.50, Rich^d Coleman $2, R. P. Atkinson $2.50, Joseph G. Bispeau 50¢, Henry Cousins $2.50, Wm. H. Rowell $2.50, Benjamin Jolly $2.50, John W. Fraser $2.50, James Kidd $2, Isham B. Miles $2, A. Bloodwyn $2, James A. P. Wells 50 cents, Rd. W. Vaughan $2, Rd. P. Moore $2.50, for their respective services at this term as petit jurors to be paid out of the County treasury.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| James H. Bispeau | Plt. | Upon attachments sued out of the Clerk's Office in these causes against the defendants goods and Chattels. |
| against | | |
| Peter W. Scott | Deft. | |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |
| John L. Scott | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |
| James Bispeau | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |

Copied

Copied

David F. Hay — Pltf.
against
Peter H. Scott — Deft.
J. J. Southall — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
The same
Samuel H. Marks — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
Lawrence Myers & Co — Pltfs
against
The Same — Deft.
E. M. Featherston & Co — Pltfs
against
The Same — Deft.
Ro. W. Harrison — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
Manfuh Andro — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
W. E. Stewart — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
Julius Robinson — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
James Margrave — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.
James P. Bonneau — Pltf.
against
The Same — Deft.

Upon attachments out of the Clerk's office against Peter H. Scott's goods and Chattels.

This day came the parties by their Counsel and upon the motion of the defendant leave is granted him to withdraw his plea in abatement filed in each of the foregoing causes and thereupon these causes came on to be heard upon the petition of John L. Scott filed in each of the said causes and the parties having waived the necessity of a jury and agreed to submit the matters of Law and fact arising upon said petition to the decision of the Court. The Court is of opinion that the petitioner John L. Scott has a prior lien upon the slaves upon which the attachments in the foregoing cases have been levied, by reason of the deed of trust filed in this cause - and that the debts provided for in said deed should be first satisfied before the property attached can be subjected to the payment of said attachments. And it being agreed by the parties that Charles F. Goodwyn the trustee in the said deed and Edward P. Scott shall make sale of the said property for cash on the 3d Monday in October next at the Courthouse of this County, or as soon thereafter as possible - and after deducting the expenses of the sale, to pay off the several debts secured by said deed, subject to a credit of $460:75 cents on the 1st day of January 1856, it being the nett proceeds of the sale of a negro man Shaw. The court doth order that the said Charles F. Goodwyn and Edward P. Scott and out of the balance of said sales do pay off

to the several plaintiffs in the foregoing attachments the judgments which have heretofore been received by them against the said Peter H. Scott in the said cases in the order in which the said attachments were served. and in the event of a deficiency of funds to satisfy the whole of said judgments then the same is to be apportioned rateably among those of the attachment Creditors whose attachments were served at the same time according to their priority. and the said Charles T. Goodwyn and Edward P. Scott are ordered to make report to Court.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 9 O. Clock.

Jno. M. Nash

Thursday September the 24th 1857
Present the same Judge as yesterday

An account of Robert G. Boiseau acting Jailor of this County against the Commonwealth amounting to four dollars and ten cents was sworn to in open Court, examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

Nancy Hamlett executrix named in the last Will and testament of David Hamlett decd Pltf.
against
William M. Watts and Susan his wife, the Children of Turner Hamlett decd & other Defts

On a motion to admit to probat a paper writing dated the 29th day of September 1855 purporting to be the last Will and testament of David Hamlett decd

This day came the parties by their attornies, and the jury sworn in this case on yesterday, appeared in Court according to their adjournment, and having fully heard the arguments of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court, and reported their verdict in these words, to wit: We of the jury find that the within paper writing dated 29th Septr 1855, and now offered for probat, is the true last Will and testament of David Hamlett decd. And thereupon the Court ordered the said paper writing bearing date the 29th September 1855 to be admitted to probat as the true last Will and Testament of the said David Hamlett decd.

Robert T. Hill, Wm. H. Mitchell, Robert S. Gresham, Page H. Vader, Thomas Rogers, Joel Sturdivant, Braxton Davis, Thomas B. Elder, Roger P. Atkinson, Henry Cousins, Robert W. Vaughan and Robert P. Moore, are allowed each the sum of Seventy five cents for their respective services rendered to-day as petit jurors.

Allowed

Rd. R. Tucker, Wm. A. Tally, Wm. B. Stone, Wm. D. Gibbs, Edward G. Hamlin, Richard Coleman, Wm. H. Royall, Benjamin Jolly, John H. Fraser, James Kidd, Isham B. Miles, are allowed each the sum of fifty cents for their attendance to-day as petit jurors. which several allowances are certified to the Sheriff to be paid out of the county Treasury.

Petition of Celia Ann Sturdivant by Frances Crowder her next friend. This day came Hardy Perkins and brought into Court Celia Ann Sturdivant in obedience to the Writ of Habeas Corpus awarded in this Court on Tuesday last, and made his return on the said Writ. And the Court having considered the return to said Writ and examined sundry witnesses touching the same is of opinion that the defendant Hardy Perkins has no legal right to detain the custody of the child against the consent of any guardian that may be legally appointed by the Court. And Frances Crowder the aunt of said Orphan Child Celia Ann Sturdivant having now applied to the Court to become the guardian of said orphan and the Court regarding her as a suitable person doth appoint her as such. Whereupon the said Frances Crowder in open Court together with Charles F. Goodwyn her security entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of Five hundred dollars with condition according to law. And thereupon the Court doth order that the custody of the said Celia Ann Sturdivant be delivered over to the said Frances Crowder.

Smith for Hill — Pltf.
against — } In case
Thompson Moore — Deft

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jno. W. Nash

Office Judgments Confirmed 24th day of September 1857.

Costs $5.69. David F. Hoy Plt. } Upon a Scire facias to revive a judgment out of date against Peter H. Scott and the defendants
Fifa 7th Oct. 1857. against Thomas W. Scott, John L. Scott and James H. Barscan Defts.

rendered at March Term, (the 27th) 1856, in favor of the plaintiff against them for $483. with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of January 1856 till paid and $8.68 cents costs of suit.

The Defendants Thomas W. Scott, John L. Scott and James H. Barscan having been duly warned and not appearing, on the motion of the plaintiff, by his attorney, it is ~~ordered~~ considered by the Court, that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for Four hundred and eighty three dollars with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of January 1856 till paid and $8.68 cents the costs in the Writ aforesaid specified; and also, that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants, his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this Writ. —

Costs $10.68 John A. Hair Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Septr. 1857. against Berthier Bolt and Thomas B. Worsham late partners in trade by the style of Bolt & Worsham, and Benjamin Bridgforth Edward C. Robinson Co-obligors with Dabney Harry Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two thousand five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for Five hundred and forty dollars and Ten cents paid 25th July 1855 & for Eleven hundred and ten dollars paid April the 1st 1857 as per endorsement on bond.

Costs $8.75. James B. Vaughan Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Septr. 1857. against John Burgess and William H. Burgess Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and eighteen dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of March 1857 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.75. Charles A. Hargrave assignee of D. S. Prentice Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 26. Sept. 1857. against R. A. Christian and A. G. A. Coleman Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the

plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and thirty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of January 1857 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.75 C. F. Goodwyn Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Septr. 1857. Jane T. Orgain and John J. Prince Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One thousand and five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of December 1854. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for Sixty dollars and thirty cents paid the 30th May 1857. as per endorsement on bond.

Costs $7.65. Green Hill Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Septr. 1857. S. M. Moody Surviving obligor of himself and W. W. Moody decd. Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five hundred and seven dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1856. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.25. William B. Young admr. of Jane Draper decd. Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Septr. 1857. D. A. Harmon and Lucy King Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy nine dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of May 1857 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.25. F. J. E. Jones who sues for the benefit of Alex. Damant Plt.
against } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Septr. 1857. David A. Harmon and Isaac Raney Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and thirty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th December 1855. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit expended

Costs $7.75 Wm. O. Goode assignee of Peter Davis who was assignee of Richard H. Hayes. Plt. } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Sep: 1857. against
Henry E. Organ and J. S. Keeler Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant Keeler saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of February 1857. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. This suit abated as Rules held in the Clerk's office as to the defendant Henry E. Organ. —

Costs $7.65 William L. Williams Plt. } In Debt
Fifa 26. Sep: 1857. against
Thomas W. Scott Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and Ninety two dollars and thirteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of April 1857. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65 L. Jones who sues for the benefit of Alexr. Dennan Plt. } In Debt
Fifa 26th Sep: 1857. against
Thomas W. Scott Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of July 1857. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.65. Richard O. Britton, Bernard Todd & Benjamin Harrison late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Britton, Todd & Harrison Plts. } In Debt.
Fifa 26th Sep: 1857. against
James R. Craig Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and Seventy four dollars and twenty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of January 1856. till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 22d day of March A D 1858, and in the 82nd year of the Commonwealth.

Present Hon John W Nash Judge.

John E Harris Foreman with William F Doyle, F J E Jones, Thomas W. Scott S V. Archer Charles W. Coker, Wm A Adams, Geo W Goodwyn, Robert W Vaughan, Roger P Atkinson, James Greenway Benjamin R Lewis, Geo W Perkins, John S. Bleck, William Peebles, Peter W Ford and E P Scott were sworn a grand jury of inquest for the body of this County, received their charge withdrew, retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned and were discharged untill tomorrow morning ten o.clock.

William D Young adm.r of Jane Draper dec.d Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of execution
D A Harmon, Lucy King and N M Harmon Dfts } of a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and eighty nine dollars and sixty two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Ninety four dollars and eighty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of October 1857 till paid and and said Costs.

F J E Jones who sues for the benefit of Alexander Donnan Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of execution on a
D A Harmon, Isaac Roney & Lucy King Dfts } forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Five hundred and fifty Dollars and sixty four cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and seventy seven dollars and

thirty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of October 1857, till paid and said Costs

William W Anderson Plt } In a motion for award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond
against
Thomas W Scott & E P Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of three hundred and sixty five dollars and ninety six cents, the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and eighty two dollars and ninety eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of October 1857, till paid and said Costs

Isaac Smith and William R Mallory merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Smith & Mallory Plts } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond
against
R W Johnson, Thos G W Johnson and Dennis H Graber Dfts

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of two hundred and thirty one dollars and forty four cents the penalty of said bond, and also his Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and fifteen dollars and seventy two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of October 1857, till paid and said Costs

William L. Williams Plt } In a motion for award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond
against
Thomas W Scott & Edwd P. Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of six hundred and fifty two dollars and thirty six cents, the penalty of said bond and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended — But this judgment may be discharged by the payment

of Three hundred and twenty six dollars and eighteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of October 1857, till paid and said Costs -

C F Goodwyn a/c of Wm S Gholson Plt
against
John J Prince, J S Keeler, and Charles A Hargrave Defts

} In a motion for a-ward of exon on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of ~~twenty three~~ hundred and ~~thirty two~~ dollars and ~~thirty eight~~ cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of eleven hundred and sixty six dollars and nineteen cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of October 1857, till paid, and said Costs -

For reasons appearing to the court John F Young a pettit juror, is excused from jury service the balance of the term

Richard A Tally a pettit juror, excused from service untill wednesday next.

Thomas Lewis, Thomas J. Lewis, William Turnbull and Thomas M. Rowlett, who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the Commonwealth against John Boiseau defendant, were solemnly called, but came not; therefore, on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth, it is ordered, that the said Thomas Lewis, Thos J Lewis, William Turnbull and Thomas M Rowlett be summoned to appear here on tomorrow morning at 10 O'Clock to shew cause, if any they can, why they shouldnot be fined for their said contempt.

Edward P Scott, John Drewer, Ira Brooks, Ro: P Dabney, Wm M. Lewis, Thomas Williams, William T. Barnes and Leroy A. Williams came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be severally indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of one hundred dollars each of their respective lands and tenements, goods and Chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered yet upon this condition, that if the said Edward P. Scott, John Drewer, Ira Brooks, Robert P Dabney, William M Lewis, Thos Williams, William T. Barnes and Leroy A Williams shall severally make their person appearance before the Judge of this Court on tomorrow at ten O' Clock, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against John Boiseau and shall not depart thence without leave of the said

Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

L. D. Michaels, Robert Davis, James M. B. Stewart, Margaret Davis, Thomas Davis, John Thomas Lafsey, Dr. J. C. Branch, and Benjamin J. Eggleston, who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the Commonwealth against James T. Devlin and James Devlin defendants, were solemnly called but came not. Therefore, on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth, it is ordered, that the said L. D. Michaels, Robert Davis, James M. B. Stewart, Margaret Davis, Thomas Davis, John Thomas Lafsey, Dr. J. C. Branch and Benjamin J. Eggleston be summoned to appear here on tomorrow morning at 10 O'Clock, to shew cause, if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

Dr. J. W. Smith comes into court and acknowledged himself to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of one hundred dollars of his lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered; yet upon this condition, that if the said Dr. J. W. Smith shall make his personal appearance before the Judge of this court on tomorrow morning at 10, O'clock, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against James T. Devlin and James Devlin and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance to be void.

S. A. G. R. Hobbs Plt. } Upon a summons
against } issued against Daniel
Judith P. Mayes Deft. } J. Mayes as Garnishee

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the summons issued in this case against Daniel J. Mayes as garnishee being returned executed, the said Daniel J. Mayes was solemnly called but came not. Therefore on the motion of the counsel for the plaintiff, it is ordered, that the said Daniel J. Mayes be summoned to appear here on Friday next to shew cause, if any he can, why he should not be ~~fined~~ attached for his said contempt.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Tuesday March the 23rd 1858.
Present - The Same Judge as Yesterday.

The Grand Jury appeared according to their adjournment, except William F. Doyle and William A. Adams, in whose stead William T. Barnes and Thomas H. Borkeau were sworn; and the said Grand Jury were sent out of Court, and after some time returned and presented: An Indictment against John Borkeau for Larceny "a True Bill." and were again sent out of Court, and after some time returned and presented: An Indictment against James T. Devlin and James Devlin for Felony "a True Bill." And then the said Grand Jury, having nothing further to present, were discharged.

John Borkeau who stands bound by recognizance, entered into before the County Court of Dinwiddie County, with Joseph G. Borkeau his security, to appear here on the first day of this Term, to answer the Commonwealth of a certain Larceny of which he is accused, was solemnly called, but came not: Whereupon, it is ordered that a Writ of Scire facias be issued against the said John Borkeau and Joseph G. Borkeau his security returnable here on the first day of the next Term.

James T. Devlin and James Devlin who stand indicted for Felony, were this day led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and being thereof arraigned, the said James T. Devlin separately pleaded not guilty to the Indictment - and the said James Devlin also separately pleaded not guilty to the Indictment. Thereupon the said James T. Devlin by his Counsel moved the Court to be tried separate and apart from James Devlin on the Indictment aforesaid which motion the Court entertained, and ordered that the said James T. Devlin and James Devlin be tried separately. Whereupon on motion of the said James T. Devlin by his Counsel, the trial of his case is continued until Thursday next - And the said James T. Devlin and James Devlin are remanded to Jail.

L. D. Michaels, Robert Davis, James M. B. Stewart, Jesse T. Lupey, J. C. Branch, J. W. Smith, Benjamin J. Eglestion, James B. Read, Thomas Davis and Margaret Davis his wife by her husband said Thomas Davis, come into Court and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of one hundred dollars each, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and to the use of the said Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition, that if the said L. D. Michaels, Robert Davis, James M. B. Stewart, Jesse T. Lupey, J. C. Branch, J. W. Smith, Benjamin J. Eglestion, James B. Read, Thomas Davis and Margaret Davis his wife, shall severally make their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on Thursday next at ten O' Clock, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against James T. Devlin and James Devlin, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this

recognizance is to be void.

Thomas Whitworth comes into Court and acknowledged himself to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One hundred dollars of his lands and tenements, goods and Chattels to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered; yet upon this condition, that if the said Thomas Whitworth shall make his personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on Thursday next, at 10 O'Clock, to give evidence on behalf of James T. Devlin and James Devlin defendants at the suit of the Commonwealth plaintiff, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance to be void.

William T. Peterson, John Browder, James Davidson, Robert Kenney, Charles Ledbetter, Grandison Marks, James E. Watson, N. N. Andrews and Thompson who were summoned to appear here on the first day of this term, as witnesses for James T. Devlin and James Devlin defendants at the suit of the Commonwealth plaintiff were this day solemnly called, but came not; therefore, on the motion of the Counsel for the said defendants, it is ordered, that the said William T. Peterson, John Browder, James Davidson, Robert Kenney, Charles Ledbetter, Grandison Marks, James E. Watson, N. N. Andrews and Thompson be summoned to appear here on Thursday morning next at ten O'Clock, to shew cause, if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

The Commonwealth against Cornelius Parsons — Pltf. / Deft. — Information

The Capias awarded in this case at the last term being returned "not found", on the motion of the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that a new Capias be awarded against the defendant to be directed as well to the Sheriff of Brunswick County as the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County, returnable here on the first day of September term next.

The Same against Edward B. Ledbetter — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon a Rule to shew cause why &c.

The process awarded in this case at the last term being returned "not found", on the motion of the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that a new summons be awarded against the defendant to be directed to the Sergeant of Petersburg, returnable here on the first day of September term next.

The Same against James F. Turner — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon an Information

It is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term for the defendant.

The Commonwealth against Dr. William W. Anderson — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon a Presentment of the Grand Jury made against him at September term 1857.

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an Information against the defendant upon the presentment aforesaid. Whereupon it is ordered that a Summons be awarded against the defendant to answer said Information returnable to the first day of September term next.

Sarah A. G. R. Hobbs against Judith P. Mayes — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon a Summons issued against ~~Daniel J. Mayes~~ suggesting money, effects &c of the defendant in his hands &c.

This day came as well the plaintiff by her attorney, as the said Daniel J. Mayes the garnishee in this case upon whom the Summons issued from the Clerk's Office of this Court has been duly executed, and the said Daniel J. Mayes being sworn, upon his oath saith, "On the 8th day of October 1857 I had no money or property of the defendant Judith P. Mayes in my hands or possession, or any claim which she had any interest." Thereupon on the motion of the plaintiff by her attorney, who suggests that the said Daniel J. Mayes has not fully disclosed the debts due by him to or the effects in his hands belonging to the defendant, it is ordered that a jury be empannelled, without any formal pleading, to enquire as to such debts and effects of the defendant in the hands of the said Daniel J. Mayes. and the trial of the case is continued until tomorrow.

Berkier Bott against C. P. Bevill — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon a Summons issued against E. P. Wells and Isaac S. Keeler suggesting money, effects &c of the defendant in their hands.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as the said Isaac S. Keeler one of the garnishees in this case upon whom the Summons issued from the Clerk's Office of this Court has been duly executed, and the said Isaac S. Keeler being sworn, upon his oath returned a statement in writing which is ordered to be made a part of the record in this case.

Robert S. Hawks against Benjamin Gladding — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon Summons issued against the Brunswick & Roanoke Plank Road Company suggesting money effects &c of the defendant in their hands

Henry P. Piney against The Same — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon Summons issued against the Brunswick & Roanoke Plank Road Company suggesting money effects &c of the defendant in their hands

It is ordered that these cases be continued until the next term.

John D. Raglan — Plt.
against — In Covenant
Isham Bowden admr. — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

R. G. Bland & ux. — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Mary A. Bland — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

Thomas Branch — Plt.
against — In Debt
Adam & Pritchett — Defts.

Hamlin Margrave & ux. — Plt.
against — In Case
Oliver Chappell — Defts.

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term.

Samuel G. Jones — Plt.
against — In Debt.
N. B. Jones — Defts.

It is ordered that this suit be dismissed, by direction of plaintiffs attorney.

For reasons appearing to the Court, Richard R. Williams a petit juror is excused from service the balance of the term.

It appearing to the Court that David A. Harmon made an assault on Daniel J. Mayes at the Courthouse door of the said Harmon and Mayes being brought into Court by the Sheriff, and the Court having heard the statements of both parties, it is ordered, that the said David A. Harmon give security for his good behavior for the space of twelve months, towards all the citizens of this Commonwealth, and particularly to the said Daniel J. Mayes. And thereupon, the said David A. Harmon and F. J. E. Jones of the County of Dinwiddie here in Court, severally acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said Harmon in the sum of one thousand dollars and the said F. J. E. Jones in the sum of one hundred dollars, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and for the use of the Commonwealth rendered: Yet, upon this condition, that if the said David A. Harmon shall keep the peace and be of good behavior towards all the citizens of this Commonwealth, more especially towards the said Daniel J. Mayes for the space of twelve months from this time, then this recognizance is to be void.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

M. D. [illegible]

Wednesday March 24th 1858.
Present same judge as yesterday.

Sarah A. G. R. Hobbs — Plt.
against
Judith P. Mayes — Deft
Upon a summons issued against Daniel J. Mayes suggesting money, effects &c of the defendant in his hands.

Isaac Posey — Plt.
against
Judith P. Mayes & others — Defts
Upon a summons issued against Daniel J. Mayes suggesting money, effects &c of the defendants in his hands.

Robert Leslie — Plt.
against
Thomas F. Scott — Deft
In case

Joseph Lewis admr. — Plt.
against
William B. Green — Deft
In case

John W. Vadon — Plt.
against
Israel Lippincott — Deft
In case

Benjamin Bell — Plt.
against
C. P. Bevill — Deft
In Debt

Daniel Malone — Plt.
against
George W. Perkins — Deft
In case

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term. —

John Tinsley — Plt.
against
John N. Longwith — Deft
In case

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term. —

Smith & Stell — Plt.
against
Thompson Moore — Deft
In case

N. W. Harmon — Plt.
against
D. A. Harmon — Deft
In case

P. C. Spencer — Plt.
against
Johnanna & M. J. Alfrind — Deft
In case

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed. —

John A Worsham — Plt.
against
Peter H. Scott — Deft
In Debt

It is ordered that this suit be continued for the defendant

Butts & Hair in Thomas Lewis - In case -
It is ordered that this suit be continued for the plaintiffs

Dixon B. Wells — Pltf. } In Case
against — Deft.
Thomas Richardson

Silas M. Wright — Pltf. } In Case
against — Deft.
Benjamin Gladding

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term for the plaintiffs.

Stephen P. Fox — Pltf. } Upon a summons issued against George W. Rose cum. of Seth Rose as Garnishee.
against — Deft.
Daniel G. Prosise

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Thompson Moore — Pltf. } In Case
against — Deft.
Mary A. Dabney

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term. John Allen, Thomas Wade and wife who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for the plaintiff were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, on the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered, that the said John Allen, Thomas Wade & wife be summoned to appear hereon the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

John T. Turner who was summoned to appear here on this day as a witness for the defendant was solemnly called, but came not; Therefore, on motion of the defendant it is ordered, that the said John T. Turner be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any he can, why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

The State — Pltf. } In Case
against — Deft.
Isaac T. Keeler

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.
John Allen and Thomas Wade and wife who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for the plaintiff were solemnly called but came not. Therefore on the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered, that the said John Allen, and Thomas Wade & wife be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

John B. Dyson & others — Plts. } In Ejectment
against — Defts.
John T. Hubbard & wife

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the plaintiff Elizabeth Sandifer in this suit is dead, it is ordered that this suit abate as to her and the case is continued until the next term.

Mary Bott — Plt. } In Debt
against
Robert G. Barbeau — Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff by her attorney as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Richard A. Tally, Edwin W. Friend, William T. Posey, Robert W. Warren, Thomas W. Scott, William Davis, Richard W. Gosswold, Robert W. Vaughan, Dennis H. Fraher, Joseph M. Lewis, James B. Young and John B. Thrift, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, to wit: "We of the jury find for the plaintiff the Debt and interest in the declaration mentioned — subject however to the credit of Twenty dollars paid the 3rd March 1853 and for ten dollars paid the 28th April 1853."

Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 30th day of January 1853 till paid, subject to the credits aforesaid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

J. M. Dallas — Plt. } In Case
against
Benjamin W. Shelby — Deft.

It appearing to the satisfaction of the court, that the plaintiff is not an inhabitant of this State, on the motion of the defendant, by his attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed at the next term, unless security for the payment of such costs and damages as may be awarded the defendant, and also of the fees which will become due from the plaintiff to the officers of this court, be given with the Clerk, within sixty days from this time.

John W. Gilliam Exor. — Plt. } In Case
against
Martha S. Poyner — Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant by her attorney who pleaded Non assumpsit and Statute of limitations for five years, to which plea the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally — Whereupon on the motion of the defendant by her attorney, the Writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at Rules held in the Clerk's office be set aside and the trial of the issues be continued until the next term. — Thomas Jones who was summoned to appear here to-day as a witness for the defendant was solemnly called, but came not. Therefore on the motion of the defendant by her attorney, it is ordered that the said Thomas Jones be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

Reuben Wells Plt.
against } In case
Daniel P. Wells' executrix Deft.

This day came as well the ~~plaintiff~~ plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant by her attorney who pleaded Non assumpsit and Statute of limitation for five years, to which the plaintiff by his attorney who replied generally. Whereupon on the motion of the defendant by her attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside.

John V. Crowder Plt.
against } In case.
J. J. Meeler administrator with the Will annexed of Martha Wells. dec^d Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be one hundred and thirty four dollars and twenty five cents, with legal interest on seven dollars a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1853. till paid, on seven dollars and fifty cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1854. till paid on Thirty six dollars and twenty five cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1855. till paid, and on Ninety three dollars and fifty cents the balance thereof from the 1st day of January 1856 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the ~~damages~~ defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest as aforesaid and the costs aforesaid. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath to be administered.

Richard Sandivant, Josephus Hart and Samuel J. Hart partners in trade under the style of Sandivant Hart & Co. Plts. } In case
against
D. A. Harmon and Lucy King Defts.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Two hundred and forty one dollars and seventy three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid & costs of suit. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

William L. Barron Plt.
against } In case
E. A. ~~Smith~~ Trustee &c Deft.

~~The plaintiff in this suit by his attorney alleges~~ The motion

of Charles F. Goodwyn executor of the plaintiff, it is ordered that this suit be revived in his name as executor as aforesaid.

Daniel E. Ramey Pltf. } In Case
against
Lucy O. Field Defr.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant by her attorney who pleaded payment to which the plaintiff replied generally. Whereupon on the motion of the defendant by her attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term. leave being given the defendant to file her affidavit within sixty days from this time

Francis E. Buford, gentleman who hath been duly licensed to practice the law in the courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion, has leave to practice in this Court and thereupon, he took the several oaths prescribed by law.

Samuel Drummond & Co Pltf. } Upon the petition of
against John W. McIntyre heretofore
J. B. White Deft } filed in this cause claiming title to the property attached in this suit by the Sheriff under an attachment issued by the Clerk of this Court in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendant.

This day came as well the plaintiffs by their attorney as the petitioner John W. McIntyre by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury to wit: Thomas R. Adams, James W. Davis, Richard A. Tally, John Frend, Dennis W. Fraher, William A. Winfree, Richard W. Griswold, Edwin W. Frend, Wm. H. Royall, John B. Thrift, Robert W. Vaughan & Joseph M. Lewis, who being selected by lot tried and sworn to enquire into the claim of the said petitioner John W. McIntyre to the property attached as aforesaid, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find that the petitioner has an interest in and lien by virtue of his deed of trust bearing date the 6th day of January 1857 executed by J. B. White to Charles F. Goodwyn for the benefit of the petitioner on the property levied on by the attachment issued in this case."

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Thursday March 25th 1858
Present same Judge as yesterday.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this county; and the venire summoned in this case having been called over, and the Court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon twelve additional jurors, Freeholders of this County, and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James T. Devlin be guilty of the felony whereof he stands indicted. And the twelve additional jurors summoned by the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County in obedience to the above order being called over, and the Court being still unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon six additional jurors, Freeholders of this County, and qualified in other respects as the law directs, to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James T. Devlin be guilty of the felony whereof he stands indicted. and from the jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order in this case, a panel of twenty four qualified jurors free from exception was completed. Whereupon the prisoner, having struck from the panel the names of eight said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: Robert B. W. Warren, Richard E. Harrison, Francis Williams, William H. Killiard, Henry L. Whitehouse, John D. Leonard, J. W. Sutherland, A. B. C. Gordon, James Hargrave, Richard W. Williamson, George W. Nimmally and John C. Griffin, who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak and upon the premises to speak and having fairly heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County who is directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at 10 O'Clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to John W. Harman & William W. Bishop Deputy Sheriffs of Dinwiddie County in the following words. "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability, keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow." And thereupon the prisoner was recommanded to jail.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Ten O'Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Friday March the 26th 1858.
Present Same Judge as yesterday

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this court is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars; William Bishop Sheriff is allowed the sum of Ten dollars; William Bishop Jailor is allowed the sum of Ten dollars; and Charles A Hargrave Clerk is allowed the sum of Fifteen dollars, for their respective public services rendered since the last term. Which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

Sheriff & Jailor C

John E Harris, William T. Barnes, F. J. E. Jones, Thomas W. Scott, J. V. Archer, Charles W. Coker, Thomas H Bagwell, George W. Goodwyn, Robert W. Vaughan, Roger P. Atkinson, Jas. Greenway, Benjamin R. Lewis, George H. Perkins, John S. Blick, William Peebles, Peter W. Ford and Edward P. Scott W. A. Adams, W. F. Doyle are allowed each one dollar for their respective services at this term as Grand Jurors, to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Colored

John F. Young is allowed the sum of fifty cents, Robert W. Vaughan two dollars, Richard R. William one dollar, Thomas Whitworth one dollar and fifty cents, Rev: B. W. Warren, one dollar and fifty cents, Edwin W. Friend two dollars, John D. Stone one dollar and fifty cents, James B. Young one dollar and fifty cents, H. J. Hundley one dollar, Richard W. Grimsley two dollars, William H. Royall one dollar and fifty cents, James W. Davis one dollar and fifty cents, Thomas W. Scott one dollar and fifty cents, Thomas R. Adams one dollar and fifty cents, Joseph M. Lewis Two dollars, William T. Posey one dollar, William A. Winfree one dollar and fifty cents, James Greenway one dollar and fifty cents, John B. Thrift Two dollars, William Davis one dollar and fifty cents, William Peebles one dollar and fifty cents, John Friend one dollar and fifty cents, Richard one dollar, Dennis H. Fraher Two dollars and Henry Thacker one dollar, for their respective services as petit jurors at this term, to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Berthier Bott Pltf. } Upon a summons issued against
against } E. P. Wells and J. S. Slater as
C. P. Bevill Deft } Garnishees Vc

It is ordered by the plaintiffs attorney that this case be dismissed as to E. P. Wells.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the jailer of this County, and the jury sworn in this case on yesterday were brought into court in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and not having fully heard the evidence and the court being about to adjourn the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who was

directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at 9 O'Clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to William Bishop Sheriff and John W. Bannon Deputy Sheriff in the following words. "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability, keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow." And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 9 O'Clock.

H. W. Nash.

Saturday March the 27th 1858.
Present The same Judge as yesterday.

An account of Robert G. Boisseau acting Jailor of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Ninety dollars and 95 cts was sworn to in open Court examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment.

An account of William Bishop Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Seventy six dollars, & fifty cents was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

An account of John J. Slaughter against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Sixteen dollars and fifty cents for Conveyances to carry witnesses for the Commonwealth against James T. Devlin charged with Felony, from Petersburg to Dinwiddie Courthouse, was sworn to examined & allowed by the Court. said witnesses being very important for the Commonwealth and were too poor to provide conveyance within themselves - which account is certified to the first Auditor for payment

An account of Robert Clarke against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to six dollars for conveyance to carry witnesses for the Commonwealth against James T. Devlin charged with Felony, from Petersburg to Dinwiddie Courthouse, was sworn to examined & allowed by the Court - the said witnesses being very important for the Commonwealth and were too poor to provide conveyance within themselves - which account is certified to the first Auditor for payment.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County, and the Jury sworn in this case on Thursday last were brought into Court in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and having freely

heard the evidence and arguments of counsel, retired to their room and after some time spent therein, returned into court, and declared that they could not agree in a verdict; whereupon, by consent of the parties, and with the assent of the court, Robert B. W. Warren one of the jurors aforesaid, was withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict, discharged. And the cause is continued till the next term, for a new trial to be had therein - And thereupon the prisoner was remanded to jail. -

James Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the jailor of this county, and the term of the court being about to expire, and there being not sufficient time at this term of the court for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term of the court. The said James Devlin moved the court to be allowed to enter into a recognizance, with security for his appearance before the next term of this court, which motion the court entertained, and allowed the said James Devlin to enter into such recognizance, himself in the sum of Three hundred dollars, with sufficient security in a little sum: And thereupon the said James Devlin with John Lyon, T. H. Archer, Ro: H. Jones Jr., Albert W. Boisseau Joseph G. Boisseau, William A. Adams, John W. Harrison, David A. Harrison, William Bishop, Isaac S. Steele, William R. Gee, W. T. Scott, and James Vargrave his sureties came into court and acknowledged themselves indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, as follows, to wit: the said James Devlin in the sum of Three hundred dollars, and the said John Lyon, T. H. Archer, Ro: H. Jones Jr. Albert W. Boisseau, Joseph G. Boisseau, William A. Adams, John W. Harrison, David A. Harrison, William Bishop, Isaac S. Steele, William R. Gee, W. T. Scott and James Vargrave jointly, in the sum of Three hundred dollars, of their respective goods and chattels, lands and tenements to be levied, for the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said James Devlin shall make his personal appearance before the Judge of this court, at the next court which is directed by law to be holden at the courthouse of this county, on the first day of the said court, then and there to undergo a trial for a certain felony whereof he stands indicted, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said court, then this recognizance to become void.

Ordered that court be adjourned until the first day of the next term -

M. W. Nash.

# Office Judgments Confirmed March 27th 1858

Costs $8.85 — George G. Goodrich — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 29. March 1858. Thomas Lewis, J. S. Keeler & D. F. Hoy — Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of five hundred and eighteen dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of June 1856, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8:85. — Alexander Dorman — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 29th March 1858 — Jery Hitchcock, Susan Hitchcock & Jno. G. Hitchcock — Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and eight dollars and seventy two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of June 1856, till paid and also their costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended — But this judgment must be credited for one hundred dollars paid 18th January 1858 — as per endorsement on bond.

Costs $8:25 — Alexander Dorman & Jas M. Dorman executors of David Dorman dec.d — Plts — In debt.
Fifa: 29 Mar 1858 — against
Jery Hitchcock and Susan Hitchcock — Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred and nine dollars and thirty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of June 1856, till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7:65. — George B Tucker — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa: 29th March 1858. — Hamilton Preston — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended — But this judgment must be credited for one years interest paid 12th August 1857 as per endorsement on bond.

Cuts $7.65. Richard G Pegram adr. with the will annexed of Sarah Burnett dec.

Fifa: 29 Mar. 1858. against PLT. } In debt.

Stephen Reames DFT.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of July 1855 till paid and also his ~~interest~~ cuts by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Cuts $7.65 David G Roy PLT.

against } In debt.

Fifa: 29 Mar 1858 Ann G Sturdivant DFT.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five hundred and thirty nine dollars 52 cts with legal interest on $433.80 cents part thereof from the 21st april 1852 till paid and on $105.72 cents the residue from the 27th June 1855 till paid - and also his cuts by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Saturday the 18th day of September A. D. 1858, in the 83d year of the Commonwealth:

Present Hon: John W. Nash Judge

David F. Hoy Foreman with F. S. E. Jones Joseph G. Boisseau William A. Adams Isaac O. Epes William D. Young A W Reames, B G Perkins, Benjamin R Lewis H. S. Heartwell, George W Perkins, D. H. Webb William S Webb Wm. S Perkins John G. Hitchcock William R Gee David F. Branton and B H. Spiers were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, received their Charge withdrew and after some time returned into Court and presented an Indictment against Daniel Hamilton for Felony "a True Bill."

They also made the following Presentments: We the Grand Jury empannelled at September Term 1858 of the Circuit Court for the County of Dinwiddie, on our oath present Alexander A Dance Surveyor of road No 154 in this County, from the Courthouse road along the road by Nelson Crowder's to Horace Mitchells, for failing to keep the said road in order according to law for the last twelve months, on the evidence of Edward A Davis, sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury to give evidence.

We the Grand Jury on our oath present Robt. W. Wood of the County of Prince George, for an assault and battery on the person of Lewis W. Alley of the County of Prince George on the 27th day of March 1858, in the County of Dinwiddie on the Stalifax road about one mile from the Corporation line of Petersburg, upon the evidence of the said Lewis W. Alley sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury to give evidence.

And then the said Grand Jury were adjourned till Tuesday morning next at 11 O. Clock.

Richard Sturdivant, Josephus Stuart and Samuel J Stuart partners in trade under the style of Sturdivant Stuart & Co Pltfs.
against
David A. Harmon, Lucy King and N. M. Harmon Defts

} In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice, they were solemnly called, but came not: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for Five hundred and seventy three dollars eighty two cents the penalty of said bond, and also their costs by them about their motion in

this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and eighty six dollars and ninety one cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of April 1858. till paid and said costs.

George G. Goodrich Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of exn on
Thomas Lewis, Isaac S. Keeler } a forfeited forth=
and W. A. Adams Defts } coming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff ~~may~~ have execution against the defendants for the sum of Twelve hundred and sixteen dollars and eighty four cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended= But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Six hundred and eight dollars and forty two cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of April 1858. till paid, and said costs.

David F. Stoy Plt. } In a motion for
against } award of exn on
Ann G. Studivant and } a forfeited forth=
Isaac S. Keeler Defts } coming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called, but they came not: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of One thousand three hundred and seventy four dollars and sixty six cents, the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Six hundred and eighty seven dollars and thirty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of May 1858. till paid and said costs.

On the motion of Charles A Wingrave Clerk of this Court, Lewis E Peterson is permitted to qualify as his deputy: and thereupon he took the several oaths prescribed by law.

For reasons appearing to the Court, Richard P. Bolling and C. W. Cummings petit jurors summoned to this term, are excused from service

the balance of the Term —

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court according to the condition of his recognizance entered into on the 7th day of June 1858, before John W. Stach Judge of this court at his Chambers in the City of Petersburg, and was set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and the trial of his case is continued until Tuesday next at ten O. Clock. Whereupon the said James T. Devlin and James B. Read and Edward G. Jolly of the County of Dinwiddie here in Court severally acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said James T. Devlin in the sum of Five hundred dollars, and the said James B. Read and Edward G. Jolly in the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars each, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said James T. Devlin shall make his personal appearance here at Ten O. Clock on Tuesday next, before the Judge of this Court, to be tried for a certain Felony whereof he stands indicted as aforesaid, and shall not depart thence without the leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

William T. Peterson, William H. King, Thomas Davis, T. J. Lufsey, H. T. Jamison, J. M. B. Stewart and Robert Henry come into Court and acknowledge themselves to be severally indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One hundred dollars each, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said William T. Peterson, William H. King, Thomas Davis, T. J. Lufsey, H. T. Jamison, J. M. B. Stewart, and Robert Henry shall severally make their personal appearance here before the Judge of this Court at ten O. Clock on Tuesday next, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against James T. Devlin and shall not depart thence without the leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

Albert W. Bonneau, Edward G. Jolly and James B. Read come into Court and acknowledge themselves to be severally indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One hundred dollars each, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels, to be levied and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said Albert W. Bonneau, Edward G. Jolly and James B. Read shall severally make their personal appearance here before the Judge of this Court at ten O. Clock on Tuesday next, to give evidence on behalf of James T. Devlin at the suit of the Commonwealth and shall not depart thence without the leave of the said

Judge then this recognizance is to be void.

William H. Tappey, L. P. Dunnivant, Peter W. Farley, L. D. Michaels, Rv. Davis, Margaret Davis, J. C. Branch, J. W. Smith and B. J. Eggleston, who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for The Commonwealth against James T. Devlin, were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, on motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth, it is ordered, that the said Tappey, Dunnivant, Farley, Michaels, Rv. Davis, Margaret Davis, J. C. Branch, J. W. Smith and B. J. Eggleston be summoned to appear here on Tuesday next at ten O Clock, to shew cause if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

Mrs. Davis, John Browder, Rev. Rv. Michaels, James Davidson, N. N. Andrews, J. A. Thompson, John D. Keily, O. P. Haynes and Charles Ledbetter, who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for James T. Devlin at the suit of the Commonwealth, were solemnly called, but came not. Therefore, on motion of the said Devlin by his attorney, it is ordered, that they said Mrs. Davis, Browder, Rev. Rv. Michaels, Davidson, Andrews, Thompson, Keily, Haynes, and Ledbetter be summoned to appear here on Tuesday next at ten O. Clock, to shew cause if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

James Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court in pursuance of his recognizance entered into at the last term of this Court, and the trial of his case is continued until Wednesday next.

Daniel Hamilton late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and being thereof arraigned pleaded not guilty. And thereupon the trial of his case is continued until Tuesday next, and the said Daniel Hamilton is remanded to jail.

Rv. Ruffin, Thomas A. Farley, William E. Richardson, Benjamin P. Aldridge, James Aldridge, and Thomas L. Aldridge came into Court and acknowledge themselves to be severally indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of one hundred dollars each, of their respective lands and tenements, goods and chattels to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said Rv. Ruffin, Thomas A. Farley, William E. Richardson, Benjamin P. Aldridge, James Aldridge, and Thomas L. Aldridge shall severally make their personal appearance before the Judge of

this Court here on Tuesday next at ten O. Clock, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against Daniel Hamilton and shall not depart thence without the leave of the said Judge then this recognizance is to be void.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until monday morning next at 10 O. Clock.

Mo. W. Nash.

Tuesday September 21st 1858.
Present - Same Judge as Saturday

The Grand Jury appeared according to their adjournment, except Benjamin R. Lewis, and in place of him Edward P. Scott was sworn; and the said Grand Jury were sent out of Court, and after some time returned and presented.
An Indictment against Armistead Wells for Felony
A true Bill.
An Indictment against Wilkins W. Batte for Felony
A true Bill.
They also made the following presentments.
We the Grand Jury empannelled for the September Term of the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie, adjourned over till tuesday the second day of said Court, the 21st day of September 1858, on our oaths, we do present, Lewis W. Alley of the County of Prince George for making an assault & battery upon the person of R. W. Wood of the County of Prince George, within the last twelve months in the County of Dinwiddie on the Halifax Road about one mile from the Corporation line of Petersburg, upon the evidence of the said R. W. Wood, sent for by the Grand Jury, sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury to give evidence.
Also, we present Lewis W. Alley of the County of Prince George for making an assault and battery on the person of B. P. Aldridge of the County of Dinwiddie, in the old Stage Road near the point at which the Church Road crosses the said old Stage Road in Dinwiddie County within the last twelve months upon the evidence of the said B. P. Aldridge sent for by the Grand Jury, sworn in open Court and sent up before the Grand Jury to give evidence.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court according to the condition of his recognizance entered into before this Court on Saturday last, and was set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County; And the venire summoned in this case having been called yes, and the Court being unable

To make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon eight additional jurors, freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James T. Devlin be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted. The eight additional jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the above order having been called over, and the Court still being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon four additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James T. Devlin be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted. The four additional jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order made in this case having been called over, and the Court still being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon five additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James T. Devlin be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted. And the five additional jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order made in this case having been called over, and the Court still being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon eight additional jurors freeholders of this County & qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here on tomorrow at ten o. clock to recognize upon their oaths whether the said James T. Devlin be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted.

Whereupon the said James T. Devlin and James B. Read and Edward G. Jolly here in open Court acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said James T. Devlin in the sum of Five hundred dollars and the said James B. Read and Edward G. Jolly in the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars each, of their respective lands and tenements goods and Chattels to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said James T. Devlin shall make his personal appearance here on Tomorrow morning at ten O. clock before the Judge of

this Court to be tried for a certain Felony whereof he stands indicted as aforesaid, and shall not depart thence without the leave of the said Judge, then this recognizance is to be void.

Mark Edwards, Thomas Calligan, Henry Mail and J. A. Thompson who were summoned to appear here on this day as witnesses for James T. Devlin at the suit of the Commonwealth, were solemnly called but came not. Therefore on the motion of the said Devlin by his attorney it is ordered that the said Mark Edwards, Thomas Calligan Henry Mail and J. A. Thompson be summoned to appear here tomorrow at ten O' Clock, to show cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Wednesday September 22nd 1858.
Present. Same Judge as yesterday.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court according to the condition of his recognizance entered into on yesterday before this Court, and was set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County. And from the eight additional jurors summoned by the Sheriff of this County in obedience to the last order made in this case on yesterday, a panel of twenty four qualified jurors free from exception was completed: Whereupon the prisoner having stricken from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: William Parsons John G. Threatt, Newman Williams, Archer Williams, Page M. Valler, Edward A. Davis, James Stanklins, Dunkin E. Harrell, Benjamin P. Aldridge, Edwin W. Lewis, Thomas K. Hawkins and James A. Branch, who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak of and upon the premises to speak and having partly heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who was directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow at ten O' Clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to John W. Harmon and William W. Bishop Deputy Sheriffs of this County in the following words. You shall well and truly to the best of your ability keep this jury and neither speak to them yourself nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial nor

they return into Court tomorrow. Whereupon James T. Devlin, and James B. Read and Edward G. Jolly here in open Court acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, the said James T. Devlin in the sum of five hundred dollars, and the said James B. Read and Edward G. Jolly each in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars, of their respective lands and tenements goods and chattels to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this Condition that if the said James T. Devlin shall make his personal appearance here on tomorrow morning at ten O. Clock before the Judge of this Court to undergo a trial for a certain felony whereof he stands indicted as aforesaid, and shall depart thence without the leave of the said Judge then this recognizance is to be void.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until to-morrow morning ten O. Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Thursday September 23d 1858
Present - Same Judge as yesterday.

A writing bearing date the 10th day of February 1853, purporting to be the last Will and Testament of Mary M. Meade deceased, was produced in Court, and there being no subscribing witness thereto, John P. Goodwyn, George W. Goodwyn and Charles A. Hargrave, were sworn, and severally deposed, that they are well acquainted with the handwriting of the testator, and verily believe the said writing, and the name thereto subscribed, to be wholly written by the testatrix' own hand. Whereupon, the said writing is ordered to be recorded as the true last Will and Testament of the said Mary M. Meade deceased. And on the motion of John A. Meade who took the oath prescribed by law, and together with John P. Goodwyn and George W. Goodwyn his Security who justified as to their sufficiency, entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of $1200. a certificate is granted him for obtaining letters of administration on the decedent's estate with her Will aforesaid annexed in due form. Ophelia Meade Goodwyn by consent in open Court having renounced her right to administer on said estate.

Ordered that D. M. Bernard, Alexander Doman, Charles A. Hargrave, W. L. Watkins and Robert H. Mann, or any three of them, being first sworn for the purpose, do appraise in current money the personal estate of said Mary M. Meade decd and

make return of the same under their hands to the Clerk of this Court.

On the motion of Benjamin F. Childrey, and it appearing to the Court that Robert E. Peebles has been dead more than three months, and no one having applied for administration on his estate, it is ordered that the estate of the said Robert E. Peebles dec^d be committed to the hands of William Bishop Sheriff of this County to be administered according to Law. And it is ordered that John J. Gordon, Robert Gibbs, Paschal Fuller, Stanwell Wells and Charles F. Hawkins, or any three of them being first sworn for the purpose do appraise in current money the personal estate of the said Robert E. Peebles dec^d and make return of the same under their hands to the Clerk of this Court.

J. Andrew White and R. A. McKenny late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of White & McKenny Pltfs. } In Case
against
William R. Gee Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damage in this suit to be Twenty four dollars and forty seven cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1857 till paid and costs of suit. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty four dollars and forty seven cents with interest thereon as aforesaid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court according to the condition of his recognizance entered into on yesterday before this Court, and was set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and the jury sworn in this case on yesterday appeared in Court according to their adjournment, and having heard the evidence and partly the argument of Counsel, and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who was directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at Nine O'Clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to William Bishop Sheriff and William W. Bishop Deputy Sheriff of this County, in the following words: You shall well and truly to the best of

your ability keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Nine O: Clock.

M. W. Nash.

Friday September 24th 1858,
Present – Same Judge as yesterday

Z. Pearman & S. C. Pearman partners in trade under the firm and style of Pearman & Co. Pltfs. } In Case
against
W. W. Burnett Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Sixty four dollars and Seventy five cents, with legal interest, ~~thereon~~ to be computed from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid, and on Two dollars and Twenty five cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of July 1858, till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid, with interest as aforesaid, and their costs aforesaid.

The Same Pltfs. } In Case
against
W. A. H. Goodwyn Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a ~~jury~~ jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Sixty three dollars and Sixty two cents, with legal interest on Forty three dollars and eighty seven cents a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1858, till paid, and on Nineteen dollars and Seventy five cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of July 1858 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with the interest aforesaid and the costs aforesaid.

Alexander Dowman Pltf.
against } In Case.
Thomas W. Scott Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Three hundred and forty dollars and twenty four cents with legal interest on Three hundred and thirty three dollars and thirty three cents a part thereof from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court with the interest aforesaid and costs aforesaid.

Wilkins W. Batte late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, was this day set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and being thereof arraigned pleaded not guilty. The said Wilkins W. Batte moved the Court to be allowed to enter into a recognizance, with security for his appearance before the judge of this Court on the first day of the next term, which motion the Court entertained, and allowed the said Wilkins W. Batte to enter into such recognizance, himself in the sum of Two thousand and five hundred dollars, with sufficient security in a like sum. And thereupon the said Wilkins W. Batte and Robert H. Robinson and Hadrian A. Scaves here in open Court acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, that is to say the said Wilkins W. Batte in the sum of Two thousand five hundred dollars and the said Robert H. Robinson and Hadrian A. Scaves jointly in the sum of Two thousand five hundred dollars of their respective goods and chattels lands and tenements to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition that if the said Wilkins W. Batte shall make his personal appearance before the judge of the Circuit Court for Dinwiddie County on the first day of the next term thereof then and there to be tried for a certain Felony whereof he stands indicted, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said judge of said Court, then this recognizance to be void, or else to remain in full force and virtue.

John D. Bagland assignee of E. A. Madden Pltf.
against } In Debt.
Stephen P. Pool co-obligor with Wm. H. Madden Deft.

This day came the defendant by his attorney, and on his motion the judgment entered in this case at sales held in the Clerk's Office is set aside. Whereupon the said defendant by his attorney pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff replied generally, and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

Daniel A. Paschal Plt.
against } In Debt.
John C. Griffin co-obligor with Benj.d C. Cooke. Deft.

By Direction of the Plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Thompson Moore Plt.
against } In Case
Mary A. P. Dabney Deft.

The Same Plt.
against } In Case
Isaac T. Keeler Deft.

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, that the plaintiffs in these suits is dead, it is ordered that the same be entered.

James Hargrave exr. of Hamlin Hargrave decd. Plt
against } In Case
Oliver Chappell Deft.

By Consent of parties it is ordered that this suit be dismissed at the costs of the defendant, except the plaintiffs lawyers fee, which the plaintiff is to pay himself.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and the jury sworn in this case on Wednesday last appeared in Court according to their adjournment on yesterday, and having fully heard the arguments of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein and not having agreed on their verdict and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who was directed to keep them together without communication with any person, and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at Nine O. Clock. Whereupon an oath was administered to John W. Harmon and William W. Bishop Deputy Sheriffs of this County in the following words. "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow." And the said James T. Devlin is remanded to Jail.

Charles F. Goodwyn Attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars; William Bishop Sheriff is allowed the sum of Ten dollars; William Bishop Jailor is allowed the sum of ten dollars; and Charles Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of Fifteen dollars, for their respective public ser-

vices since the last term. Which special allowance are certified to the first Auditor for payment.

David F. Hoy, F. J. Eskines, Joseph G. Boisseau, Wm A. Adams, Isaac O. Epes, William D. Young, A. W. Ramey, B. G. Perkins, Benjamin R. Lewis, W. J. Heartwell, Geo W. Perkins, Dunlin H. Webb, William J. Webb, William J. Perkins, John G. Hitchcock, William R. Gee, David T. Branton, Benjamin H. Spiers and Edward P. Scott are allowed each one dollar for their respective services as Grand Jurors at this term to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Nine O'clock.

Jno. W. Nash.

Saturday September the 26th 1858.
Present Same Judge as yesterday.

The Commonwealth Pltf
against
John Boisseau & Joseph G. Boisseau Defts } Upon a Scire facias sued against the defendants on a forfeited recognizance.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant Joseph G. Boisseau by his attorney upon whom the process has been executed only, who pleaded no such record, to which the attorney for the Commonwealth replied there was such record and prayed that the Court would enquire into the same. Thereupon the record of the judgment in the Writ aforesaid, being seen and inspected, it seems to the Court here that there is such record of recovery, as by the said Writ is supposed. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Commonwealth of Virginia for the use of the Literary Fund may have execution, the goods and chattels and lands and tenements of the defendant Joseph G. Boisseau, the sum of five hundred dollars in the Writ aforesaid specified, according to the form and effect of his recognizance therein mentioned. Whereupon the said Joseph G. Boisseau paid into Court the sum of five hundred dollars aforesaid.

Hobbs vs Mayes - Summons vs W. J. Mayes as Garnishee
Posey vs Mayes & Hobbs - Summons vs Same as Garnishee
Bell vs Bevill - Summons vs J. J. Steele as Garnishee
Leslie vs Scott - In case
Worsham vs Scott - In Debt
Butts & Wife vs Lewis - In case
Rayland vs Brooks adm. In Covenant
Lewis' adm. vs Green - In Case
Bland's exr. vs Bland - In Debt
Branch vs Adams & Pritchett - In Debt
Wells vs Richardson - In case
Lyon & Co vs Stubbart & wife - In Ejectment
Vaden vs Lipscomb - In case
Wright vs Gladding - In case
Bell vs Bevill - In case
Ramsey & Co vs Jones' exr - Suit in Chancery.
~~Walker vs Shelby - In case~~
Malone vs Perkins - In case
Gillam's exr vs Poynor - In case
Shafer & Co vs White - In Case.
Wells vs Wells' exr - In case.
Tinsley vs Longworth In case.
Barrow's exr. vs Smith trustee & c In case.
Rainey vs Field In case
Ghollson & Jones vs Lewis In Case
Sheriff for Jones vs Organ & Slater - In Debt
Richardson vs Steele In case

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term.

An account of William Bishop Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to Ninety one dollars and fifty cents was sworn to examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment.

Robert G. Bolseau Keeper of the Courthouse is allowed the sum of six dollars for ~~cleaning at~~ furnishing water and keeping the courthouse clean at ~~Hatcher's Run the Court~~ this term to be paid out of the State Treasury - which allowance is certified to the first auditor for payment.

An account of William E. Lee against the Commonwealth for guarding the jail of this County twenty six days amounting to twenty six dollars was sworn to examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment - The Court certifying that the said jail is safe, but in consequence of the large number of prisoners therein confined for the said twenty six days, that it was prudent and proper that the same should be guarded.

An account of Richard E. Bolseau against the Commonwealth for guarding the jail of this County

Twenty six days amounting to twenty six dollars was sworn to, examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment. The Court certifying that the said jail is safe, but in consequence of the large number of prisoners therein confined for the said twenty six days, that it was prudent and proper that the same should be guarded.

Armistead Wells late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, was this day set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and being thereof arraigned pleaded not guilty. The said Armistead Wells moved the Court to be allowed to enter into a recognizance, with security for his appearance before the Judge of this Court on the first day of the next term, which motion the Court entertained, and allowed the said Armistead Wells to enter into such recognizance, himself in the sum of Four hundred dollars, with sufficient security in a like sum. And thereupon the said Armistead Wells and Peter W. Wells and Daniel G. Prosise here in open Court acknowledged themselves to be indebted unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, that is to say, the said Armistead Wells in the sum of four hundred dollars, and the said Peter W. Wells and Daniel G. Prosise jointly & severally in the sum of four hundred dollars of their respective lands and tenements goods and chattels to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered. Yet upon this condition, that if the said Armistead Wells shall make his personal appearance before the Judge of the Circuit Court for Dinwiddie County on the first day of the next term thereof, then and there to be tried for a certain Felony whereof he stands indicted, and shall not depart thence without leave of the said Judge of said Court, then this recognizance is to be void, or else to remain in full force and virtue.

William B. Green and James W. L. Blandleinship who stand bound by recognizance entered into before the County Court of Dinwiddie County on the 19th day of July 1858, in the penalty of $100, each, to appear here this day to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against Armistead Wells was solemnly called, but came not. Whereupon, it is ordered, that they be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause, if any they can, why a Writ of Scire Facias should not be issued against them upon their said recognizance.

The Commonwealth Pltf.
against } Upon an Information
Cornelius Parsons Deft.

The same Pltf.
against } Upon a Presentment
Edward B. Ledbetter Deft.

The Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth

by consent of the court, saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth these cases.

Robert J. Hawks Plt. } Upon summons against
against } Brunswick & Roanoke Plank
Benjamin Gladding Deft. } Road Company &c
~~Gladding~~ P. Roney Plt. }
against }
The Same Deft. }

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that these cases be dismissed.

The Commonwealth Plt. } Upon an Information &c
against }
Dr. William F. Anderson Deft. }

The process awarded against the defendant at the last term of the court, being returned executed, the defendant was solemnly called, and not appearing: on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth, a writ of capias is awarded against the said defendant, returnable here on the first day of the next term.

Daniel Hamilton late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, The said Daniel Hamilton moved the court to be allowed to enter into a recognizance, himself in the sum of ~~Four hundred dollars~~ with security for his appearance before the Judge of this court on the first day of the next term, which motion the Court entertained, and allowed the said Daniel Hamilton to enter into a recognizance, himself in the sum of Four hundred dollars, with sufficient security in the like sum. And thereupon the said Daniel Hamilton and Thomas A. Chappell, John J. Crawford, John W. Harmon, Daniel G. Prosise, Isaac S. Steele, William T. Perkins, Dr. S. Lanier, Thomas F. Scott, William Bishop, Thomas Rogers, John Lyon and Branch J. Epes, here in open court acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia, that is to say, the said Daniel Hamilton in the sum of Four hundred dollars, and the said Thomas A. Chappell, John J. Crawford, John W. Harmon, Daniel G. Prosise, Isaac S. Steele, William T. Perkins, Dr. S. Lanier, Thomas F. Scott, William Bishop, Thomas Rogers, John Lyon and Branch J. Epes jointly and severally in the sum of Four hundred dollars, of their respective goods and chattels lands and tenements to be levied, and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered: yet upon this condition that if the said Daniel Hamilton shall make his personal appearance here before the Judge of the Circuit Court for Dinwiddie County, on the first day of the next term thereof, then and there to be tried for a certain Felony whereof he stands indicted, and

shall not depart thence without the leave of the said Judge of the said Court, then this recognizance is to be void or else to remain in full force and virtue.

An account of Robert G. Boisseau acting Jailor of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to Ninety seven dollars and fifty cents was sworn to examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

William Short guardian of Elizabeth A. King Plt
against } In Debt
James T. Turner and Wm R. Biggs Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and they agreeing to what amount the Court shall give judgment for in this case, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1849. till paid - and that each party pay his own costs -

John M. Dallas Plt.
against } In Case
Benjamin W. Shelly Deft.

The plaintiff having failed to comply with the order entered in this cause at the last term, requiring security for the payment of such costs and damages as might be awarded to the defendant, and of the fees which would become due to the officers of the Court; on the motion of the defendant, by his attorney, it is ordered that the cause be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendant five dollars damages according to law, and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Samuel Drummond & Co Plts.
against
J. B. White. Deft.

It is ordered that the Sheriff of this County who sold certain property of the defendant under a former order in this case, collect the bond taken for the said property and make report to the next Court.

John E. Dedman is allowed the sum of $3, David T. Branton $2, C. W. Cummings 50 cents, Ludwick Tally $3. Wm T. Reade $2.50, Allen J. Heath $2.50, Thomas J. Cairns $2. Wm L. Hobbs $2. David T. Williams $2.50, Richard P. Bolling 50 cents, Joseph Benson $3. A. G. Mitchell 50 cents, David T. Hay $2.50, William Washer $3, Robert W. Hall $1.50, Archer T. Jolly $2.50, David A. Bowman $1.50, Daniel B. Mitchell $3. Bolling Chandler $3. Alex A Dance $3. Braxton Davis $2.50. and Joseph Minges 50 cents, for their respective attendance at this term as petit jurors to be paid out of the county Treasury.

Copied

The Court doth fine David A. Harmon five dollars for contempt of Court - which he paid into Court.

James T. Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie, who stands indicted for Felony, was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and the jury sworn in this case on Wednesday last, appeared in Court according to their adjournment yesterday, and retired to their room to consult of their verdict, and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported their verdict in these words. "We the Jurors find the prisoner James T. Devlin guilty of Involuntary manslaughter and affix the fine to Two hundred and forty one dollars and seventy five cents." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth of Virginia for the use of the Literary Fund the fine affixed by the jury against him as aforesaid and that he also pay to the Commonwealth the costs of this prosecution. And thereupon the defendant James T. Devlin was discharged from custody.

James Devlin late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County. Whereupon, the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, by consent of the Court, saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth this case. Therefore it is ordered, that he the said James Devlin be discharged from custody.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

James Boisseau & others
against
Peter H. Scott.

} Upon attachment

Jno. M. Nash.

This day came the parties by their Counsel and upon the motion of E. P. Scott Trustee in a deed of trust executed by P. H. Scott on the 8th day of March 1856, for the benefit of sundry creditors therein named, leave is given him to file his petition in this cause and upon the motion of Harrison & Blunt they are permitted to file their petition in this cause, and it appearing by the report of Comrs Charles F. Goodwyn and E. P. Scott appointed at the last September term of this Court to make sale of the slaves of P. H. Scott mentioned in Deed of trust executed by P. H. Scott to C. F. Goodwyn Trustee on the 24th day of September 1854, and the attachments in this court, that the said Deed of trust to C. F. Goodwyn Trustee

has been satisfied, and that the said attachments on said property have been fully paid and there is now remaining in their hands the sum of Three hundred and sixty two dollars and seventy nine cents subject to the order of the Court, the Court doth therefore order and adjudge that the said Commissioners pay to Harrison & Blunt the sum of fifteen dollars with interest from the 1st day of January 1856. till paid and thirty cents costs that being the amount of their judgment against P. H. Scott filed in this cause. and the balance pay over to E. P. Scott trustee in deed executed by P. H. Scott to him on the 8th day of March 1856, for the benefit of creditors therein named.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.—

Th. W. Nash.

Office Judgments confirmed September 26th 1858.

Costs $8.07 Alexander Mallory sometimes called trustee for Benjamin Gladding Plt.
Fifa. 29th Sep. 1858. against William A. Adams & E. O. Fitzgerald Defts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of June 1835 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended—

Costs $8.07 A. C. Butts Plt.
against
Fifa. 29th Sep. 1858. William R. Gee & W. T. Scott Defts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy eight dollars twenty five and a half cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of February 1858 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended—

Costs $8.07 James L. Hite & William Dixon partners in trade under the firm and style of Hite & Dixon Plts
Fifa: 29th Sep 1858. against } In debt.
William R Gee & William B Jones Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of October 1857 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.07 Thweatt & Lewis Plts
against } In debt.
Fifa: 29 Sep 1858 William J Pinchbeck & M A. Pinchbeck Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety six dollars and sixteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of April 1858, till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.47. Branch T. Hunt Plt.
against } In debt.
Fifa: 29 Sep: 1858. Benjamin P. Borjeau Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and seventeen dollars and five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1852 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment must be credited for fifteen dollars paid 25th March 1852 as per endorsement on bond.

Costs $8.67. Timothy Duane and Robert T Reade sometimes called Comm. Rs Plts
Fifa: 29th Sep 1858. against } In debt.
D. A. Harmon Lucy King & A M. Goodwyn Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Nine hundred and sixty three dollars and seventy five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of May 1857 till paid. also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.47 David May Plt. } In debt.
against
Fifa: 29th Sep: 1858. J. J. Northington Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of eleven hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23d day of May 1855 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment must be credited for two hundred dollars paid June 20th 1856, one hundred paid Aug: 27. 1856, and one hundred and thirteen dollars & 50 cents paid January 8th 1858 as per endorsements on bond.

Costs $7.47. David May Plt. } In debt.
against
Fifa: 29th Sep: 1858. J. J. Northington Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of eleven hundred and three dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23d day of May 1855 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.07. Abner H. Brittle for D May Plt. } In Debt
against
Fifa: 29 Sep. 1858. Thomas Lewis & Peter H. Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants Lewis & Scott say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Lewis the sum of sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of June 1857 till paid: also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.
This suit abated at rules held in the Clerk's office as to Scott by return of Sheriff no inhabitant

Costs $8.07. Abner H. Brittle for D May Plt. } In debt.
against
Fifa: 29 Sep 1858. Thomas Lewis & Peter H. Scott Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant Lewis say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Lewis the sum of twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. This suit abated at rules held in the Clk's office as to Scott by the return of the sheriff no inhabitant

Costs $8.07. George W Rose and C A Hargrave assignees of John L. Scott who sues for the benefit of D May - Plts. } In debt.
Fifa: 29 Sep 1858. against
J J Keeler & Thomas Lewis Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action;

Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred and fifty six dollars and seventeen cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of February 1858 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8:07 — Edward A. Wyatt Exor of J. E. Hargrave decd. Plt. against — In debt.
Fifa: 29th Sep: 1858. — Isaac S. Keeler & William A. Adams Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and seventeen Dollars and fifty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 10th day of June 1856 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7:47. — I. S. Keeler assignee of Wells & Gunn Plt. against — In debt.
Fifa: 29 Sep 1858. — Thomas Lewis Dft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty one dollars and eighty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Tuesday the 22nd day of March 1859 in the 83rd year of the Commonwealth
Present – Hon. John W. Nash Judge –

Alexander Mallory sometimes called trustee for Benjamin Gladding Plt.
against
William A. Adams, E. O. Fitzgerald and Robert G. Barksdale Defts
} In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. thereupon the ~~motion~~ was continued until tomorrow

Abner Burke for D May Plt.
against
Thomas Lewis & William A Adams Dfts
} In a motion for an award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. thereupon this motion was continued untill tomorrow

S. S. Keeler a/c Wells & Gunn Plt.
against
Thomas Lewis & Wm A. Adams Dfts
} In a motion for an award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not, thereupon this motion was continued untill tomorrow

Alex & James M Dunans exrs of David Dunan dec. Plt
against
Jeremiah Hitchcock, Lurian Hitchcock and William S. Butler Dfts
} In a motion for an award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond –

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Thereupon this motion was continued untill tomorrow –

E. A Wyatt Exr J E Hargrave dec. Plt.
against
S. S Keeler & A Adams, Wm H Royall and L B Sturdivant Dfts
} In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly caled but came not. Thereupon this motion was continued untill to-morrow –

Abner Burke for D May — Plt. — In a motion for an
against — award of exn on a
Thomas Lewis & W A Adams — Dfts — forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not thereupon this motion was continued untill tomorrow

Alexander Doman — Plt. — In a motion for an
against — award of exn on a
Jeremiah Hitchcock Susan Hitchcock, John G. Hitchcock & Wm S. Butler — Dfts — forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not thereupon this motion was continued untill to morrow

Timothy Duane & Ro. T. Reade admrs &c — Plts — In a motion for
against — an award of exn on
David A Harmon, Lucy King A.M. Goodwyn & A B Goodwyn — Dfts — a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not thereupon this motion was continued untill tomorrow

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

R. W. Nash.

Wednesday March 23d 1859
Present same Judge as yesterday.

Alexander Mallory sometimes called trustee for Benjamin Gladding Plt. against William A. Adams, E. O. Fitzgerald and R. G. Boiseau Defts } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney, and it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Three hundred and ninety six dollars and fourteen cents the penalty of said bond, and also ~~the costs of this motion~~ his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and ninety eight dollars and seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of October 1858. till paid and said costs.

Timothy Duane and Robert F. Reade admrs Plts against David A. Harmon, Lucy King A M Goodwyn and A. B. Goodwyn Defts } In a motion for an award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Two thousand one hundred and seventy dollars and ten cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One thousand, eight hundred ~~and eighty five dollars and~~ eleven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of October 1858, till paid and said costs.

Alexr & James M Donnans Exors of David Donnan decd. against Jeremiah Hitchcock, Susan Hitchcock, and William S Butler Plts Defts } In a motion for an award of exn on a forfeited forthcoming Bond.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Five hundred and fifteen dollars and sixty eight cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and fifty seven dollars and eighty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of August 1858, till paid and said costs.

Alexander Donnan against Jeremiah Hitchcock, Susan Hitchcock John G Hitchcock & Wm S. Butler Plt. Defts } In a motion for an award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it is

considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Two hundred and ninety nine dollars and sixty two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But this execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and forty nine dollars and eighty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of August 1858 till paid and said costs

Abner Burke for D May Plt. } In a motion for an award of exon on a forfeited fathcoming Bond
against
Thomas Lewis & William A Adams Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and seven dollars and fifty two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But this execution may be discharged by the payment of Eighty eight Dollars and seventy six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1858 till paid and said costs

Same Plt. } In a motion for an award of Exon on a forfeited fathcoming Bond
against
Same Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Eighty two Dollars and eighty two cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But this execution may be discharged by the payment of Forty one dollars and forty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1858, till paid and said costs

I S. Keeler afce Wells & Grum Plt. } In a motion for an award of exon on a forfeited fathcoming bond
against
Thomas Lewis & Wm A Adams Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Four hundred and fifteen dollars and thirty cents the penalty of said Bond, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and seven dollars and sixty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1858, till paid and said costs

John E Harris Foreman with Benjamin R Lewis, William F Doyle, Henry Cousins, Balaam G. Perkins Edward P. Scott, Roger Atkinson, John J. Abernathy, Joseph G. Boiseau Newman Williams, William A Adams, Charles W Coker, Samuel G. Wainwright, A W Reames, W H. Hardy, and Thomas A. Chappell were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County received their Charge, withdrew, retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned and were ~~adjourned~~ adjourned until tomorrow morning 11 o'clock.

Wilkins W Batte who stands bound by recognizance, entered into before this Court on the 24th day of September 1858, with Robert H. Robinson and Hadrian A Neaves his securities, to appear here on yesterday to answer the Commonwealth of a certain Felony of which he is indicted, was this day solemnly called but came not, whereupon the said Robert H Robinson and Hadrian A Neaves personally appeared in Court and waived the necessity of suing Writs of Scire facias against themselves as the security of the said Batte in the said recognizance: and thereupon the said Robert H. Robinson and Hadrian A Neaves in open Court acknowledged a judgment in favour of the Commonwealth for the sum of Two thousand five hundred dollars the amount for which they were bound as the securities of the said Wilkins W. Batte in the recognizance aforesaid, then paid into Court the said sum of Two thousand five hundred dollars in discharge of their suretyship aforesaid. which sum of money was received by the Clerk of this Court, who was ordered by the Court to pay the same into the Treasury of the State according to law for the use of the Literary-fund, And on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth a Writ of Capias is awarded against the said Batte to be directed to the Sheriff of this County and to the Sheriff of Surry County returnable here on the first day of the next term.

An account of Richard R. Williams against the Commonwealth amounting to two dollars was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment. The Court certifies that the said Richard R. Williams services were not rendered in consequence of the jail being insecure, but from the turbulent conduct of the prisoner James Field who was all the time trying to break out and thereby damaging the said jail.

The Commonwealth against Daniel Hamilton — Plt / Deft — } On an Indictment for Felony

This prosecution abates by the death of the defendant.

The Commonwealth Pltf.
against } Upon an Indictment
Dr. W. W. Anderson Deft.

This day came as well the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by A. C. Butts his Attorney who pleaded not guilty to the information and thereof put himself upon the Country and the Attorney for the Commonwealth likewise, but the trial of the issue is continued until the first day of the next term.

Armistead Wells late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court according to the Condition of his recognizance entered into before this Court at the last September Term thereof, and was set to the bar in Custody of the Sheriff of this County. And the venire summoned in this Case having been called over, and the Court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the Law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it was ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon five additional jurors freeholders of this County and qualified in other respects as the Law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize upon their oaths whether the said Armistead Wells be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted or not. And from the five additional jurors summoned in obedience to the above order, a panel of twenty four qualified jurors free from exception was completed. Whereupon the prisoner having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: William N. H. Edwards, William H. Spikely, Robert E. Bland, William Parsons, James H. Pettus, Albert E. Spain, Gustavus C. Spain, Stubbard Crowder, James H. Ferguson, Thomas Lambert, John W. Slate and James W. Gunn, who being tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and arguments of counsel, were sent out of Court to consult of their verdict, and after some time, not having agreed in their verdict, and the Court being about to adjourn, were committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of this County, who is directed to keep them together without communication with any other person: and thereupon an oath was administered to Thomas W. Scott Sheriff and William H. Bishop Deputy Sheriff of this County, to the following effect. "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow. So help you God". And the prisoner is remanded to jail.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until Tomorrow morning Ten O'Clock.

W. W. Nash.

Thursday ~~Wednesday~~ the 24th March 1859.
Present - Same Judge as yesterday.

The Commonwealth Plt.
against
James F. Turner Deft. } Upon an Information

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

Robert Leslie Plt.
against
Thomas F. Scott Deft. } In case

By Consent of parties it is ordered that this suit be removed to the Circuit Court of Petersburg.

John A. Worsham Plt.
against
Peter W. Scott Deft. } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: John T. Ledbetter, James A. Pettus, Joseph Perkins, Robert Gibbs, John M. Fraser, Edward Watkins, James Wright, Edward S. Pool, Joseph P. Whelchouse, William A. Nunnally, Hadrian A. Neaves, Hubbard Crowder, who being selected, tried and sworn by lot the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words - We of the jury find for the plaintiff the debt and interest in the declaration demanded, to wit: $100. with interest from the 29th of April 1853 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of April 1853. till paid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Butts & Stair Plts.
against
Thomas Lewis Deft. } In Case

Joseph Lewis' adr. Plt.
against
William B. Green Deft. } In Case.

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term.

John D. Ragland — Plt.
against — In Covenant
Isham Bowden's admrs. — Defts.

On the motion of the defendants by counsel it is ordered that suit be continued until the next term.

Thomas Branch — Plt.
against — In Debt.
Adams & Pritchett — Defts.

By consent of parties it is ordered that the order made in this case at September term 1857, be set aside. And thereupon the parties, by their attorneys, mutually submit all matters in difference between them in this suit to the final determination of David May and Robert H. Mann and their award, or the award of such person as they shall choose for an umpire thereupon, is to be made the judgment of the Court; and the same is ordered accordingly. And the case is continued.

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against — Upon Rules to shew
Alexander A. Dance — Defts. — cause why informations
The Same — Plt. — should not be
against — filed on presentments
Robert W. Wood — Defts. — of the Grand Jury

This day came the attorney prosecuting on behalf of the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an information against each of the defendants on the presentments aforesaid, the process awarded against them at the last term being returned executed: and on the motion of the said attorney, it is ordered that the said defendants be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said informations.

The Same — Plt.
against — Upon Rules to shew
Levis W. Alley — Defts. — cause why information
The Same — Plt. — should not be filed on
against — presentments of the
The Same — Defts. — Grand Jury made against him.

This day came the attorney prosecuting on behalf of the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an information against the defendant on each of the presentments aforesaid, the process awarded against the defendant at the last term being returned executed, to which information the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty and thereof put himself upon the country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise, and the trial of the issues are continued until the next term.

~~Widow Brown~~

16 William "

14 Marion "

7 Cannon "

18 Frances "

A M Orgain

A M Orgain

A M Orgain

Esqr

Esqr

A M Orgain

" " "

Armistead Wells late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands Indicted for Felony, was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff, and the jury sworn on yesterday for his trial appeared in Court according to their adjournment, retired to their room and after a short time spent therein ~~[illegible]~~ returned into Court and reported their verdict in these words- "We of the jury find the defendant not guilty." Thereupon the prisoner Armistead Wells was discharged from custody.

Richardson H. Dance executor of R. G. Bland dec.d Plff
against
Mary H. Bland Deft } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attornies and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: E. P. Pool, John B. Wright, Benjamin Watkins, James Wright, Robert Gibbs, Edward Watkins, Hubbard Crowder, Robert C. Elder, William S. Thomas, James N. Piney, Joseph P. Wheelhouse and William H. Scammell who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find for the defendant"- Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false clamor be in mercy &c. And that the defendant recover against the plaintiff her costs by her about her defence of this suit expended- So the levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the plaintiff to be administered if so much thereof he hath.

John B. Dyson, Herbert Dyson, L. D. Michaels, and Sarah his wife, Joshua T. Roland and Virginia his wife, Frances C. Dyson and George Patrick, Sarah B. and Mary Dyson, the last three infants of tender years who sue by the said Frances C. Dyson their mother guardian and next friend, and Elizabeth Sandifer. Plts.
against
John T. Hubbard trustee Deft. } In Ejectment

This day came the parties by their attornies and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: William S. Thomas, William H. Doyle, James Wright, John B. Wright, James N. Piney, James W. Gunn, Benjamin Watkins, Robert C. Elder, H. A. Neaves, Robert Gibbs, James H. Rives and E. P. Pool, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find for the defendant". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs take nothing by their bill but for their false clamor be in mercy &c. And that the defendants recover against the plaintiffs their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

The Grand jury appeared according to their adjournment, except John E. Harris in whose stead William N. W. Edwards was sworn as a Grand Juror, and Roger P. Allmon was sworn as foreman - and the said Grand Jury were sent out of Court, and after some time returned with the following presentments.

Second Judicial Circuit, Dinwiddie County, to wit. The jurors of the Commonwealth of Virginia in and for the County of Dinwiddie and now attending the said Court, upon their oath present. George B. Gibson for selling by retail wine ardent Spirits and a mixture thereof to Jacob L. Thrift, at his store house in the County of Dinwiddie, without a license therefor within the last twelve months on the evidence of Jacob L. Thrift and Alexander Thrift sworn in open Court and sent up before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Dick Butcher (free negro) at his store house in Dinwiddie County without a license within the last twelve months on the evidence of John Barner sworn in open Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Billy Butcher (free negro) at his store house in Dinwiddie County without license within the last twelve months on the evidence of John Barner sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Jacob L. Thrift at his store house in Dinwiddie County without license within the last twelve months on the evidence of Jacob L. Thrift and Alex Thrift sworn in Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Jacob L. Thrift at his store house in Dinwiddie County without license within the last twelve months on the evidence of John Barner sworn in Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Jacob L. Thrift at his store house in Dinwiddie County without License within the last twelve months on the evidence of W. W. Johnson sworn in Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Richard Stilland at his store house in Dinwiddie County without License within the last twelve months on the evidence of Richard Stilland sworn in Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent Spirits to Edward Brister at his store house in Dinwiddie County without license within the last twelve months on the evidence of John Barner sworn in Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent

Spirits to Nathaniel Williams at his store house in Dinwiddie county without license within the last twelve months on the evidence of John Banner sworn in Court and sent before the jury.

We present George B. Gibson for selling ardent spirits to T. J. C. Jones at his store house in Dinwiddie County without license within the last twelve months on the evidence of W. H. Johnson sworn in Court and sent before the jury

Whereupon on the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth it is ordered that process be issued against the said George B. Gibson on the several presentments aforesaid according to law returnable here on the first day of the next term. And the Grand Jury having nothing further to do were discharged.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Thompson Moore | Plt. | In Case |
| against | | |
| Mary A. P. Dabney | Deft. | |
| The same | Plt. | In Case |
| against | | |
| Isaac T. Keeler | Deft. | |

The death of the plaintiff in these suits having been suggested at the last term, and since then Chas. A. Hargrave having qualified as the executor of the last Will and testament of the said Thompson Moore dec'd on his motion these suits are revived in his name as executor as aforesaid as party plaintiff. Upon the defendants pleaded payment in each of the cases to which the plaintiff replied generally - and the suits are continued until the next term at the costs of the defendants.

The Rules awarded against William B. Green and Jas. W. L. Blankenship at the last term ~~for failing to~~ ~~[illegible]~~ returnable to the first day of this term to shew cause why writs of Scire facias should not be issued against them upon their recognizances entered into before the County Court of this county on the 15th day of July 1858, to appear before the Judge of this Court at the then next term, to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth against ~~the~~ Armistead Wells who stood accused of felony, are enlarged until the first day of the next term.

A Rule is awarded against John Burton returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his contempt to the Court offered in failing to attend here to day to give evidence before the Grand Jury after having been duly summoned for the purpose.

A Rule is awarded against James M. Ferguson a petit juror returnable here tomorrow morning at 10 O'Clock to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his contempt to the Court offered in absenting himself from the Court without the leave of the said Court.

Rial C. Lee — Pltf
against — In Debt
Longwith & Ruffin — Defts

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered this suit be dismissed.—

C. A. Hurlett's exor — Pltf
against — In Debt
The Same — Defts

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.—

Ordered that Court be adjourned until ~~the first~~ tomorrow morning ten O. Clock.

Wm. W. Nash.

Friday March 25th 1859
Present Same Judge as yesterday

Dixon B. Wells — Pltf
against — In Case
Thomas Richardson — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Robert Gibbs, Hubbard Crowder, Robert C. Elder, William A. Doyle, John K. Fraser, James Writt, William A. Nunnally, James A. Ferguson, James A. Roney, Edward Watkins, E. J. P. Pool, and James A. Pettus, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words— "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at ten dollars" Therefore, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of ten dollars the damages assessed by the jury aforesaid with legal interest thereon to be computed from this day till paid— and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Sarah A. G. R. Stobbs — Pltf
against — Upon summons issued against D. J. Mayes suggesting money or effects of the defendants in his hands
Judith P. Mayes — Deft
Isaac Roney — Pltf
against
Mayes & Stobbs — Defts

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the next term— and that the plaintiffs pay the costs of this term.

John W. Vaden — Plt.
against — In Case
Israel Lippincott — Deft

The parties agreeing, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Silas M. Wright — Plt.
against — In Case
Benjamin Gladding — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff

Berthier Bell — Plt.
against — In Debt
C. P. Bevill — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

Daniel Malone — Plt.
against — In Case
George W. Perkins — Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

Barnell B. Brown — Plt.
against — In Case
Joseph W. Harper — Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit to which the plaintiff replied generally. And the defendant by his attorney filed his demurrer to the plaintiffs' declaration and to each count thereof, and the plaintiff joined in the demurrers. and by consent of the parties the case is continued until the next term

Robert A. Neblett executor of John W. Gilliam dec'd — Plt.
against — In Case
Martha S. Poynor — Deft

This day came the parties by their attornies and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: John W. Fraser, John J. Lebbetter, William A. Nunnally, James W. Ferguson, James N. Roney, E. T. P. Pool, William T. Doyle, Edward Watkins, Hubbard Crowder, Joseph Perkins, Joseph P. Whelchose and Robert C. Elder who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words: We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at seventy eight dollars and eighty one cents with interest thereon from the 17th day July 1855. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the damages assessed by the jury aforesaid with interest aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A. J. Shafer & Co Plts
against } In Case
J. B. White Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

Reuben Wells Plt.
against } In Case
Daniel O. Wells' executrix Deft

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the ~~Defendant~~ plaintiff

John Finsley Plt.
against } In Case
John F. Longwith Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at Rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit and offsets to which the plaintiff replied generally. and leave is granted the defendant to file a special plea in this cause within thirty days. and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

The Rule awarded against James H. Ferguson on yesterday as a petit juror is discharged.

John C. Harris, Benjamin P. Lewis, William T. Doyle, Henry Cousins, Balaam G. Perkins, Edward P. Scott, Roger P. Atkinson, John Abernathy, Joseph G. Barham, Norman Williams, William H. Adams, Charles W. Coker, Samuel G. Wainwright, A. W. Reames, W. H. Hardy, Thomas H. Chappell and William H. H. Edwards are allowed one dollar each for their services at this term as Grand Jurors, to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, Thomas W. Scott Sheriff is allowed the sum of Ten dollars, Thomas W. Scott jailor is allowed the sum of ten dollars, and Charles A. Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of Fifteen dollars, for their respective public services since the last term — which several allowances are authorized to the jury auditor for payment.

E. A. Wyatt executor of J. E. Hargrave dec.d Plt. } In a motion for
against } an award of
Isaac T. Butler, W. A. Adams, Wm. H. Royall and L. B. Studwant. Deftds } execution on a forfeited forth.

Coming hand

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff

may have execution against the defendant for the sum of Two hundred and ninety eight dollars and ninety eight cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and forty nine dollars and forty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of November 1858 till paid and said costs.

Rayland Ap. Pl. } In Debt
against
Stephen P. Pool, Co-obligor Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' Clock.

Wm. W. Nash.

Saturday March 26th 1859.
Present - Same Judge as yesterday

Thomas S. Gholson and James Alfred Jones late partners in the practice of Law under the firm and style of Gholson & Jones Plts. } In Case
against
Thomas Lewis Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and thereupon came a jury, to wit James W. Gunn, William A. Nunnally, William F. Doyle, Robert C. Elder, John T. Ledbetter, Edward Watkins, John W. Fraser, James H. Ferguson, John B. Thrift, James A. Pettus, William J. Thomas and Joseph P. Wheelhouse, who being selected by lot tried and sworn diligently to enquire of damages in this suit upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. We the jury find for the plaintiffs and assess their damages at one hundred dollars with interest from the 1st of December 1853. till paid."
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars the damages assessed by the jury aforesaid with interest aforesaid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Daniel E. Rainey Pl. } In Case
against
Lucy O. Feild Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant

Charles F. Goodwyn exr. Wm L. Barrow decd Plt.
against
E. H. Smith Trustee for Ann G. Smith his wife and Children } Deft } In Case

On the motion of the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office, be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally. and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

William J. Wells Plt.
against } In Case
Benjamin F. Prentiss Deft

It appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendant is dead, it is ordered that the same be entered.

Isaac Roney Plt.
against } In Case
Nathaniel S. Thrift adr. Jno. A. Wells decd Deft.

On the motion of the defendant it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff replied generally. and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant

Smith for Hill Plt.
against } In Case
The Same Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office is set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit, to which the plaintiff replied generally. and the trial of the issue is continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

Berthier B&R Plt.
against } In Debt
C. P. Berrill Deft

It is ordered that the order made in this case on yesterday be rescinded, and the parties agreeing it is now ordered that this suit be dismissed.

The Same Plt. } Sump in S. S. Keeler as Garnishee
against
The Same Deft

By Direction of the plaintiffs' attorney it is ordered that this case be dismissed.

Thomas Richardson in S. S. Keeler — In Case

It is ordered that this suit be continued until

the next term at the costs of the plaintiff.

David S. Hay late Sheriff of Dinwiddie County who sues at the instance and relation and for the benefit of James A. Jones Plt. } In Debt
against
Jane T. Orgain and Isaac T. Steele Defts.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury, to wit: James W. Gunn, James A. Ferguson, William A. ~~Adams~~ Nunnally, A. A. Neaves, John B. Thrift, Hubbard Crowder, Edward Watkins, John W. Fraser, Joseph P. Wheelhouse, Charles W. Cotler, E. T. P. Pool and James A. Piney, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words - "We the jury find for the defendants." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false clamor be in mercy &c. And that the defendants recover against the plaintiff their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

An account of Thomas W. Scott Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to thirty nine dollars was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment.

The following allowances are made to petit jurors for services rendered at this term to be paid out of the County Treasury, to wit:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Wm. F. Doyle | $3.00 | Joseph P. Wheelhouse | $3.50 |
| John B. Thrift | 3.50 | Edward Watkins | 4.00 |
| Hubbard Crowder | 3.50 | Hadrian A. Neaves | 3.00 |
| James Writ | 2.75 | Robert C. Elder | 3.50 |
| Jas. A. Ferguson | 3.50 | James W. Gunn | 3.00 |
| Robert Gibbs | 3.25 | E. T. P. Pool | 3.75 |
| Jas. A. Pettus Capid | 3.00 | W. S. Thomas | 3.00 |
| John W. Fraser | 3.50 | Jas. B. Young | .50 |
| Joseph Perkins | 2.50 | | |
| John T. Lobetter | 2.50 | | |
| Benj. Watkins | 3.00 | | |
| Saml. Wainwright | 1.50 | | |
| Wm. A. Nunnally | 4.00 | | |
| Chas. W. Cotler | 2.00 | | |
| Jas. A. Piney | 3.50 | | |

Robert G. Bolseau is allowed the sum of five dollars for attendance on the Court during this term for making fires and furnishing water.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jno. W. Nash.

Office Judgment confirmed the 26th March 1859.

Cuts $8.36 Betty H Evans administrator on the estate of William H. Ledbetter decd who sues for the benefit of Lewis Mabry Plt.

against In Debt.

Francis A Foster and Horace H Foster. Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid — and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof, on Monday the 19th day of September 1859, in the 84th year of the Commonwealth.

Present - Hon. Thomas J. Gholson Judge

This being the first Court, in which the said Thomas J. Gholson has presided, since his election and qualification as Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit, it is ordered in obedience to Section 6, of Chapter 13 of Code of Virginia that his qualification be recorded in this Court, which is accordingly done, and is as follows.

State of Virginia, City of Petersburg, towit:

I, David M. Bernard, a Commissioner duly appointed by the Circuit Court of the City of Petersburg in the State of Virginia, do hereby certify that Thomas J. Gholson, Esquire, duly Commissioned as Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit in the Commonwealth of Virginia, this day appeared before me in my City aforesaid, and took the following oaths which I duly administered unto him - that is to say, the said Thomas J. Gholson declared on oath as follows.

"I declare myself a Citizen of the Commonwealth of Virginia and solemnly swear that I will be faithful to the said Commonwealth, and will support the Constitution thereof, so long as I continue to be a Citizen of the same. So help me God."

And "I further swear that I have not since the first day of March 1858, fought in a duel, or sent or accepted a challenge to fight in a duel, the probable issue of which might have been the death of the Challenger or Challenged, nor been knowingly the bearer of such challenge or acceptance, nor been a second to either party, nor in any manner aided or abetted in such duel, and that I will not be engaged or concerned directly or indirectly, in or about such duel during my continuance in office - So help me God."

And "I further swear that I will faithfully perform the duty of my Office of Judge to the best of my skill and judgment - So help me God."

And "I further swear that I will support the constitution of the United States" So help me God."

Given under my hand, at Petersburg, this 12th day of September A.D. 1859.

D. M. Bernard Comr
of the Circuit Court
of Petersburg.

On the motion of Charles A. Wargrave Clerk of this Court, Albert M. Organ is permitted to qualify as his Deputy: and thereupon, he took the oaths prescribed by law.

William B. Hamlin, James E. Collier and George S. Bernard, Gentlemen, who have been severally duly licensed to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth on their motion, severally have leave to practice in this Court: and thereupon, they severally took the several oaths prescribed by law.

John E. Harris, gentleman, with James C. Bain, William M. Field, F. J. E. Jones, John G. Hitchcock, William D. Young, Robert Cheatham, William F. Doyle, James Greenway, Joseph G. Birkeau, Ro: W. Hall, Loving Tillson, James A. Wright, Richard E. Harrison, Hubbard Williams, Benjamin R. Lewis Charles C. Wells, and Thomas H. Birkeau, were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, and having received their Charge, withdrew, and after some time returned into Court with the following presentments—

"We of the Grand Jury, empannelled this the 19th day of September 1859 in the said Court on our oaths present that Martha S. Poynor did unlawfully but not feloniously take carry away and destroy a rail fence on the premises of Francis Priest Jr. near Dinwiddie Courthouse now in possession of Robert G. Birkeau, she the said Martha S. Poynor not being the owner of said fence and premises and not having the consent therefor of the said Francis Priest Jr. or Robert G. Birkeau, in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months, on the evidence of Benjamin E. Lee and Elijah Smith sent for by the Grand Jury, sworn in open Court and sent up to the Grand Jury to give evidence.

We of the Grand Jury on our oaths present that Dixon B. Wells did unlawfully but not feloniously injure two mules the property of P. G. Jones by shooting and wounding said mules upon the land in the possession of said Dixon B. Wells in the County of Dinwiddie, within the last twelve months, he the said Dixon B. Wells not being the owner of said mules or land, on the evidence of P. G. Jones sent for by the Grand Jury, sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury to give evidence.

Whereupon on the motion of the Attorney Prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process be issued against the said Martha S. Poynor and Dixon B. Wells on the presentments aforesaid according to law returnable thereon

the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why information should not be filed against them respectively in the presentments aforesaid.

And the Grand Jury having nothing further to present, were discharged.

G. W. Ryan and C. A. Hargrave assignees of J. L. Scott for David May Pltfs. } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited
against
J. S. Neeley, Thos. Lewis Sr. & Wm. A. Adams Defts. } forthcoming or delivery bond.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Four hundred and sixty nine dollars and sixty four cents the penalty of said bond, and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and thirty four dollars and eighty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of June 1859 till paid, and said costs.

The Commonwealth Plt. } Upon a Rule to shew
against } cause why an infor-
George B. Gibson Deft. } mation should not be filed on a presentment of the Grand Jury made against the defendant at March term last for selling by retail wine and ardent spirits &c

This day came the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, and asked leave of the Court to file an information on the presentment aforesaid, whereupon the defendant came by his Counsel and opposed the filing of said information and moved the Court to quash the said presentment - which motion being overruled by the Court, the Court granted the Attorney for the Commonwealth leave to file said information - which was accordingly done. And thereupon on the motion of the said attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that process be awarded against the defendant to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said information.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

Thos. L. Gholson

Tuesday September the 20th 1859.
Present - Same Judge as yesterday

The Commonwealth Plt.
against
William B. Green Deft
The Same Plt.
against
James W. L. Blankenship Deft
} Upon Rules issued on forfeited Recognizances of the defendants

On the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that the said Rules be discharged.

The Commonwealth Plt.
against
Dr. W. W. Anderson Deft
} Upon an Information

It is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the defendant.

The Commonwealth Plt.
against
Alexander A. Dance Deft
} Upon an Information filed on a presentment of the Grand Jury made against the defendant at September term 1858, as surveyor of road No. 154, for failing to keep the said road in repair as the law directs within the last twelve months previous to said presentment.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant in his own proper person who pleaded not guilty to the information and thereof put himself upon the Country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise; and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: William Tally, B. E. Schanck, Richd L. Kirkland, Seth Andrews, James W. Howerton, James Rash, Nelson Stow, John G. Hitchcock, Alexander Crowder, Robert T. Flood, and E. W. P. Pool, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, on their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find the defendant not guilty". Therefore it is considered by the Court the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth Plt.
against
Lewis W. Alley Deft
} Upon an Information

This day came the parties by their attorneys and on the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term at his costs.
Robert Wynn and William Wynn who were summoned to appear here to-day as witnesses for the defendant were solemnly called, but came not; therefore, on the motion of the defendant by his

Attorney, it is ordered that the said Robert Wynn and William Wynn be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to show cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said Contempt.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| The Commonwealth | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| George B. Gibson | Deft. | |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | Upon Rules to show |
| The Same | Deft. | Cause why informa- |
| The Same | Plt. | tions should not be |
| against | | filed on Presentments |
| The Same | Deft. | of the Grand Jury |
| The Same | Plt. | made against the |
| against | | defendant at March |
| The Same | Deft. | Term 1859 for selling |
| The Same | Plt. | without licenses wine |
| against | | and ardent spirits |
| The Same | Deft. | &c |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |
| The Same | Plt. | |
| against | | |
| The Same | Deft. | |

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and asked leave of the Court to file an information on the presentment in each of their cases - Whereupon the defendant came by his attorney and opposed the filing of said informations and moved the Court to quash the presentment in each of these causes because there was not sufficient offence charged in said presentments which motion being considered by the Court was sustained and the presentments aforesaid quashed.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| The Commonwealth | Plt. | |
| against | | Upon an Information |
| James F. Turner | Deft. | |

It is ordered that this case be continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the Commonwealth.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| S A & R Hobbs<br>against<br>Judith P. Mayes<br>Isaac Roney<br>against<br>Mayes & Hobbs | Plt<br><br>Deft<br>Plt<br><br>Defts | Upon Summons' issued against Daniel J. Mayes suggesting money or effects of the defendants in his hands. |
| John D Ragland<br>against<br>Isaiah Brooks' adm | Plt<br><br>Deft | In Covenant |
| Joseph M Levi' adm<br>against<br>William B. Green | Plt<br><br>Deft | In Case |
| Thompson Moore' exor.<br>against<br>Mary A. P. Dabney | Plt.<br><br>Deft | In Case |
| The Same<br>against<br>Isaac T. Reeler | Plt<br><br>Deft | In Case |
| Thomas K Ramsey & others<br>against<br>Mary Ann Jones' exor &c | Plts<br><br>Deft | Same in Chy |

By Consent of parties by their attornies, it is ordered that these causes be removed to the Circuit Court of Petersburg.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Silas M. Wright<br>against<br>Benjamin Gladding | Plt.<br><br>Deft | In Case |

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant by his attorney who confessed a judgment in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from this day till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid - And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Daniel Malone<br>against<br>George W. Perkins | Plt.<br><br>Deft | In Case |

This day came the parties by their attornies and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Herbert Williams, Benjamin P. Aldridge, F. F. Threatt, A. O. Webb, Bartine Slater, William H. Mills, James M. Williams, Thomas B. Elder, R. M. Barnes, A. A. Dance, Page H. Vaden and John S. Polan, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at seventy one dollars and thirteen cents with legal interest thereon from 1st May 1856." Therefore it is considered by the Court

that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed against him by the jury aforesaid with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of May 1856 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Reuben S. Wells — Plff
against — In Case.
Daniel P. Wells' exts — Deft

This day came the defendant by his attorney, and the plaintiff though solemnly called, came not, nor is his suit further prosecuted: Therefore, on the prayer of the said defendant, it is considered by the Court, that he recover against the plaintiff his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended. The said defendant by her attorney waiving her right to claim according to law five dollars damages.

A. S. Shafer & Co — Plff.
against — Upon attachment
J. B. White — Deft. issued and levied on the goods and chattels of the defendant

This day came John W. McIntyre and by leave of the Court filed his petition in this cause stating the nature of his claim to the property attached. And Charles F. Goodwyn of this County, comes into Court, and undertakes for the petitioner John W. McIntyre, that he shall satisfy and pay all such costs and damages as may be awarded to the plaintiff, in case the said petitioner shall be cast in this suit; and also that he said petitioner shall satisfy and pay all fees which will become due from him to the officers of this Court, or that he the said Charles F. Goodwyn will satisfy and pay those costs, and damages and fees for him. The parties requesting a jury to try the rights of the parties to the property attached in this cause, the Court doth order that a jury be empannelled to enquire into such claim or rights of the parties, and the trial is continued until the next term at the costs of the petitioner.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O' clock.

Thos. S. Hobson

Wednesday September 21st 1859
Present - Same Judge as yesterday.

The Commonwealth Plt. } Upon a Rule to Shew
against } Cause why an informa=
Robert W. Wood Deft } tion should not be filed
on a presentment of the Grand Jury made against him &c

This day came as well the attorney as the defendant by his attorney who pleaded not guilty to the information in this cause and thereof put himself upon the Country and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise, and the trial of the issue is continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the defendant.

The Commonwealth Plt } Upon an Information
against } Deft
Lewis W. Alley

On the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is orded that this case be continued until the first day of the next term. Robert W. Wood who was summoned to appear here on this day, as a witness for the Commonwealth was solemnly called, but came not; Therefore on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that the said Robert W. Wood be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

C. F. Goodwyn exor of Wm L. Barrow decd Plt. } In Case
against } Deft
E. W. Smith Trustee &c

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant.

David E. Rainey Plt } In Case
against } Deft
Lucy O. Field

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the defendant. Edmund O. Fitzgerald who was summoned to appear here to day as a witness for the Commonwealth was solemnly called but came not; Therefore, on the motion of the defendant, it is ordered that the said Edmund O. Fitzgerald be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt

Rayland ads &c Plt. } In Debt.
against } Deft
S. P. Pool Co. obligor &c

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the

next Term.

Thomas Branch Plt. } In Debt
against
Adams & Pritchett Defts }

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the arbitrators to whom the determination of the matters in defference between the parties, were submitted by a former order of this Court, this day returned an award in these words - We, David May and Robert H. Mann, to whom was referred all matters in dispute in the above suit, having heard the parties by their Counsel and maturely considered the same, with all the evidence produced, do award that the defendants pay to the plaintiff the sum of $252.25, with legal interest on $250. part thereof from 9th April 1850 till paid - subject to a credit for $138.40 paid 18th April 1850 - And in consideration of the fact that the plaintiff was remiss in not giving the proper credit on the note at the time he should have done so and involving the matter in some doubt by his Course in relation thereto, we further award that the whole Costs of the suit be borne one half by the plaintiff and the other half by the defendants - Given under our hands and seals this 20th day of July A.D. 1859. David May (Seal) Rt. H. Mann (Seal)
And the plaintiff by his attorney in open Court acknowledging full satisfaction of the foregoing award it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

William J. Wells Plt. } In Case
against
Benjamin F. Perkins Deft }

By Consent of parties by their attorneys, it is ordered that this suit be revived against Thomas W. Scott Sheriff of Dinwiddie County & as such administrator of the defendant, & the trial of the cause is continued until the next term.

Thomas Richardson Plt } In Case
against
Isaac J. Keeler Deft }

By Consent of parties by their attorneys it is ordered that this suit be removed to the Circuit Court of Petersburg.

S. Drummond & Co Plt. } Attachment
against
J. B. White Deft }

On motion it is ordered that William Bishop late Sheriff of this County be summoned to appear here before this Court on the first day of the next Term to shew cause if any he can, why an attachment should not be sued forth against

him for his contempt in failing to execute the order entered in this cause at the last September term of this Court - and the cause is continued until the next term. —

Thomas W. Royston Plt. } In case
against
John W. Hannon Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this cause, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Sixty two dollars and sixty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1858 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed against him as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Demaddie Grigg Plt. } In Case
against
Jane T. Organ Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this cause, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Fifty two dollars and seventy nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of November 1858 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed by the Court against her as aforesaid with interest thereon as aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

William D Willson and John Alfriend merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Willson and Alfriend Plts. } In Case
against
R. A. Slaughter Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this cause the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Ninety three dollars and ninety seven cents with legal interest on thirteen dollars and seven cents part thereof from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid, on twenty eight dollars and thirty four cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid and on fifty ~~six~~ two dollars and fifty six cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1859 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant their damages assessed by the Court aforesaid, with interest

thereon as aforesaid - and also their costs by them about this suit in this behalf expended. -

Isaac Roney Plt. } In Case
against
N. S. Thrift adm. of James A Wells dec.d Deft

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at the costs of the plaintiff. -

E. W. Smith who sues for the benefit of Green Hill Plt. } In Case.
against
N. S. Thrift administrator of James A Wells dec.d Deft

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant who pleads the statute of limitations to which the plaintiff replies generally and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: William Tully, Richard L. Hilliard, Richard R. Williams, Thomas B. Elder, A. J. Webb, Nelson Star, James Greenway, E. W. P. Pool, Leroy A. Williams, John F. Young, Alexander Crowder and Daniel O'Rainey, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak on the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words - "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages to Fifty dollars with interest from the 1st January 1855 till paid." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed by the jury against him aforesaid with legal interest thereon as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath in his hands to be administered. -

Burwell B. Brown Plt. } In case
against
Joseph W. Harper Deft

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney leave is granted him to amend his declaration in this suit and the cause is sent to Rules for that purpose. -

John Finley Plt. } In Case.
against
John A. Longworth Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury to wit: B. B. Schanck, F. F. Threatt, John G. Hitchcock, Alexander Crowder, James W. Wonderton, Herbert Williams, James Rash,

Seth Andrews, Bartine Slater, B. P. Aldridge, Jas. M. Williams and William H. Kirk, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak on the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find for the Plff and assess his damages at $10 with int. from 1st January 1857." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed against him by the jury aforesaid with interest thereon as aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. The Court certifying that the matter in controversy was of greater value than twenty dollars, exclusive of interest.

John Flinn
against
John L. Crallé

Plt.
Deft } In Case

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term at his costs.

William C. Sneed who was summoned to appear here on this day, as a witness for the plaintiff was solemnly called, but came not; therefore on the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that that the said William C. Sneed be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

Charles F. Goodwyn Attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, Thomas W. Scott Sheriff is allowed the sum of Ten dollars, The same as jailor is allowed the sum of Ten dollars, and Charles A. Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective public services rendered since the last term - which several allowances are certified to the first Auditor for payment.

Robert G. Bickerson is allowed the sum of five dollars for attendance on the Court during this term in furnishing water &c which allowance is certified to the first Auditor for payment.

John E. Harris, James C. Bain, William M. Field, F. J. E. Jones, John G. Hitchcock, William B. Young, Robert G. Cheatham, William T. Doyle, James Greenway, Joseph G. Bickerson, Robert W. Hall, Loving Tilson, James A. Prewitt, Richard E. Harrison, Herbert Williams, Benjamin R. Lewis, Charles C. Wells, Thomas H. Bickerson, are allowed each one dollar for their respective services at this term as Grand Jurors to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Sheed

F. J. E. Jones, John Hardy, James B. Reade, John Hardy, John DeWeldning and A. W. Reames who were duly drawn and summoned to attend this term as petit jurors were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is ordered by the Court that they be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

William Tally, B. B. Schanck, Richard L. Kirkland, Seth Andrews, James W. Hawelon, John G. Hitchcock, Richard R. Williams, Alexander Crowder, Jas Rash, Nelson Stow, Robert T. Stone and E. W. P. Pool are allowed each fifty cents for services rendered at this term as petit jurors in a misdemeanor case, to be paid out of the State Treasury.

Ordered that the following persons be paid out of the County Treasury the sums opposite their names for their respective services rendered at this term as petit jurors in Civil Cases.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| William Tally | $1.00 | F. F. Thweatt | $1.50 |
| B. B. Schanck | 1.00 | Wm. A. Hills | 1.50 |
| Bartine Slater | 1.50 | Robert Williams | 1.50 |
| R. L. Kirkland | 1.00 | Nelson Stow | 1.00 |
| Jno. M. William | 1.50 | Jas Greenway | 1.50 |
| Seth Andrews | 1.00 | Ro. T. Stone | .50 |
| Jas W. Hawelon | 1.00 | E. W. P. Pool | 1.00 |
| John G. Hitchcock | 1.00 | R. M. Barnes | 50 |
| Benj. P. Aldridge | 1.50 | R. F. Dance | 50 |
| Richard R. Williams | 1.00 | Paget Paden | 50 |
| Alexr Crowder | 1.50 | John S. Polan | 50 |
| Jas Rash | 1.00 | Leroy A. William | 50 |
| Thos B. Elder | 1.50 | John F. Young | 50 |
| A. G. Webb | 1.50 | Daniel E. Railey | 50 |

An account of Robert G. Bohrean acting jailor of this County against the Commonwealth amounting to one dollar and ninety cents was drawn to examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until ~~Thursday morning the first Monday~~ Nine O. Clock

Thos. S. Gholson

Thursday September 22d 1859.
Present – Same Judge as yesterday

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Thos. S. Gholson

Office Judgments Confirmed the 22nd day of September 1859.

Fifa 23rd Sep 59 George Miller, Stephen W. Powers and James E. Hodges. Pltfs. } In Debt.
Costs $9.15.
against
William Cottenbrough Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and fifty five dollars and thirty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of December 1857 till paid - and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.21. William J. Branch, Charles T. River, John O. Bragg and Willy B. Branch Jr. Merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Branch River & Co. Pltfs. } In Debt.
Fifa 23rd Sep 1859.
against
Jane T. Grain and J. S. Reeler Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and sixty one dollars and eleven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of July 1859 till paid - and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County, at the Courthouse thereof, on Thursday the 22d day of March A.D. 1860 and in the 84th year of the Commonwealth.

Present Hon. Thomas J. Gholson, Judge

Dinwiddie Grigg against Jane T. Organ and J. T. Meler — Pltf. In a motion for an award of execution Deft. on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and ninety four cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of sixty six dollars and ninety seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of October 1859 till paid and said Costs.

William J Branch, Charles S. Rives, John O. Bragg and Miles B Branch fr. merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Branch, Rives & Co: Pltfs. In a motion for an award on a forfeited forthcoming bond. against Jane T Organ, J T Meler & W. A Adams Dfts.

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Three hundred and sixty two dollars and eighty four cents the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and eighty one dollars and forty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from 17th day of October 1859 till paid: and said costs.

John E Harris Foreman with John J Abernathy Wm H Brown (Colored) Wm T Perkins, George W. Field John T Young, Wm H Doyle, James Greenway, Charles W. Coker, Wm H Hawkins Wm D. Young John D Stone, N A Hobbs Geo. C. Nash, Joseph Bourdon, Oliver Chappell Wm H Mitchell and W N Sedbetter were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, received their charge withdrew and after sometime returned into Court and presented an Indictment against Winfield N Wells for Felony, "a true Bill." And the said Grand Jury were sent out of Court, and after sometime returned, and having nothing further to present were discharged.

Winfield N. Wells late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, appeared in Court according to the condition of his recognizance entered into before the County Court of said County at its last December term, and was left to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County, and being thereof arraigned pleaded not guilty to the indictment - and the venire summoned in this case being called over, and the Court being unable to make up the panel of jurors qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon three additional jurors, freeholders of this County, and qualified in other respects as the law directs to appear here forthwith to recognize on their oaths whether the said Winfield N. Wells be guilty of the Felony whereof he stands indicted - And from the jurors summoned by the Sheriff in obedience to the said order, a panel of twenty four qualified jurors free from exception was completed - Whereupon the prisoner by Counsel having struck from the panel the names of eight of said jurors, the following jurors were selected by lot from the remaining names on said panel, to wit: William Armistrong, John P. Tuckler, A. O. Webb, Edwin W. Lewis, Isaac O. Epes, S. Virginius Archer, Joseph P. Wheelhouse, Robert S. Gresham, Francis C. Gillman, Samuel S. Northington, Philip G. Jones and William B. Potts who being electorized and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn, the jurors aforesaid were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County who was directed to keep them together without communication any person and to cause them to appear here tomorrow morning at 9 O. Clock - Whereupon an oath was administered to H. J. Heartwell and Wm. W. Bishop Deputy Sheriffs in the following words - "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability keep this jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow morning - So help you God."
and owing to the illness of the prisoner the Sheriff was ordered to summon two guard to attend the prisoner in the Hotel during the night

Franklin Lewis who stands bound by a recognizance entered into before the County Court of Dinwiddie County on the 20th day of December 1859, in the penalty of $100 to appear here to-day to give evidence on behalf of Winfield N. Wells defendant at the suit of the Commonwealth was solemnly called, but came not. Whereupon it was ordered that he be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can, why a Writ of Scire facias should not be issued against him on the said recognizance

A. M. Godwyn who was summoned to appear here to-day as a grand juror was solemnly called but came not - Whereupon it was ordered that he be summoned to appear here on the first

day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

Benjamin H. White, William J. Davis, John P. Gordon and F. A. Carson who were summoned to appear here to-day as petit jurors were severally called but came not. Whereupon it was ordered that they be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning Nine O Clock.

Thos. S. Gholson

Friday March 23d 1860.
Present same Judge as yesterday

Winfield N. Wells late of the County of Dinwiddie who stands indicted for Felony, was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of this County. And the jury sworn in this case on yesterday appeared in Court according to their adjournment, and having heard the arguments of counsel, retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and rendered their verdict in these words. "We of the jury find the defendant guilty and ascertain the term of his confinement in the Penitentiary at eighteen months". And thereupon the prisoner was committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County.

The Commonwealth Plt.
against
James C. Turner Deft
The Same Plt
against
Dr. W. W. Anderson Deft

Upon Information filed & Presentment of the Grand Jury made against the defendants

It is ordered that this prosecution be continued until the first day of the next term at the costs of the Commonwealth.

The Same Plt.
against
Robert W. Wood Deft

Upon an information filed on a Presentment of the Grand Jury made against the defendant at September Term 1858, for an assault and battery on the person of Lewis W. Alley.

This day came as well the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his

Attorney and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Lebbeus Tally, Thomas R. Chandler, David T. Wells, Richard W. Williamson, William P. Spain, Thomas Lewis, Richard B. Preston, Thomas A. Bass, John Flower, Thomas J. Lambert, William H. Hickland and Norman Williams, who being selected by lot tried and sworn (except John Flower who affirmed) the truth to speak upon the issue joined, on their oath returned a verdict in these words - "We of the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at ten dollars" - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Library fund the fine assessed against him by the jury as aforesaid. and that he also pay the costs of this prosecution. -

The Commonwealth Plt
against
Lewis W. Alley Deft } Upon an Information

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that this prosecution be continued until the next term at his costs -
For reasons appearing to the Court the rule awarded at the last term against Robert W. Word a witness for the Commonwealth, is discharged.

The Commonwealth Plt. } Upon an Information
against } filed on a presentment of
Lewis W. Alley Deft } the Grand Jury made against the defendant at September term 1858. for an assault and battery on the person of Benjamin P. Aldridge

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: William A. Adams, Charles C. Wells, Thos. Hudson, George D. Baskerville, Joseph Bourdon, Samuel M. Moody, John L. Cronin, Benjamin H. Spiers, Thomas G. Chappell, George W. Perkins, John T. Young and William H. Richardson, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words - "We of the jury find the defendant not guilty" - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day - And for reasons appearing to the Court the rules awarded at the last term against Robert Wynn and William Wynn witnesses for the defendant, are discharged -

The Commonwealth Plt } Upon an Information
against } filed on a presentment
George B. Gibson Deft } of the Grand Jury -

This day came as well the Attorney for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, who pleaded not guilty and thereof put himself upon

the Country and the Attorney for the Commonwealth Waive and motion of the Attorney for the Commonwealth the trial of the issue is continued until the next term.

Wm. A. H. Edwards, Jacob L. Thrift, Alexander Thrift and John Higgins who were summoned to appear here to day as witnesses for the Commonwealth were solemnly called but came not. Whereupon on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that they be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

The Commonwealth against Martha T. Payne — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon a Rule to shew cause why an information should not be filed on a Presentment of the Grand Jury made against her at September term 1857.

This day came the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an information against the defendant on the Presentment aforesaid, the process awarded against her at the last term being returned executed. And on motion of the said attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that the defendant be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said information.

The Same against Dixon B. Wells — Pltf. / Deft. — Upon a Rule to shew cause why an information should not be filed on a Presentment of the Grand Jury made against the deft at Sept. Term 1857.

The process awarded in this case at the last term being returned "not found" on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that new process be awarded against the defendant returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why an information should not be filed on the Presentment aforesaid.

Shafer & Co. against J. B. White — Pltf. / Deft. — Attachment &c.

It is ordered that this case be continued until the next term at the costs of the petitioner John W. McIlhiney.

C. F. Goodwyn executor of Wm. L. Barnwell against E. A. Smith Trustee &c. — Pltf. / Deft. — In Case

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Wm. A. Adams, Chas. C. Wells, Theo. Hudson, George D. Bastemelle, Joseph Bandon, Samuel M. Mercy, John L. Crumpf, Benjamin A. Spiers, Thomas G. Chappell, George W. Perkins, John F. Gray and William H. Richardson, who being selected by lot tried

and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, and having fully heard the evidence, William A. Adams one of the jurors aforesaid was by consent of parties & with the assent of the Court withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict, discharged. And the trial of the cause is continued unto the next term. —

William J. Wells Plt.
against
Thomas H. Scott Sheriff of Dinwiddie County and as such administrator of Benjamin F. Prentiss decd. Deft. } In Case

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: William P. Spain, Thos. Hudson, Charles Wells, Benjamin H. Spiers, Norman Williams, John Flower, Wm. H. Kirkland, Lovick Tally, George D. Baskerville, Thomas J. Lewis, Thomas A. Bass and Thomas G. Chappell who being selected by lot tried and sworn (except Jno. Flower who affirmed) the truth to speak upon the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at $85, with interest from January 1st 1858". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed by the jury aforesaid against him with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath. —

John Flower Plt.
against
John L. Crallé Deft. } In Case.

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit to which the plaintiff replied generally. And thereupon a jury, to wit: Wm. P. Spain, Thos. Hudson, Charles C. Wells, Benjamin H. Spiers, Norman Williams, John Flower, Wm. H. Kirkland, Lovick Tally, George D. Baskerville, Thomas J. Lewis, Thomas A. Bass and Thomas G. Chappell, who being selected by lot tried and sworn, (except John Flower who affirmed) the truth to speak on the issue joined. The plaintiff was solemnly called but came not, neither is his suit further prosecuted. Therefore, it is ordered, that he be non-suited, and that he pay to the defendant his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended. The defendant by his attorney waiving his right to the five dollar damages. —

James Hall and James A. Dunn late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Hall & Dunn Plts. } In case
against
Ch. R. Thackleford Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Thirty one dollars and twenty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with legal interest thereon as aforesaid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

The same Plts. } In case
against
E. B. Bridgman Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys, and neither party requiring a jury in this case the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Seventy seven dollars and forty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained against him as aforesaid with interest thereon as aforesaid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

B. B. Brown Plt. } In case
against
Joseph W. Harper Deft

On motion of the defendant by his attorney the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office is set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty to the amended declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally. And the defendant by his attorney filed his demurrer to the whole of the amended declaration and to each count thereof, and the plaintiff joined in the said demurrers.

Thomas C. Elder gentleman, who hath been duly licensed to practise the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion, has leave to practise in this Court, and thereupon he took the several oaths prescribed by law.

Charles T. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this court, is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars. Thomas W. Scott Sheriff is allowed the sum of ten dollars, the same as Jailor is allowed the sum of ten dollars and Charles A. Hargrave Clerk is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars for their respective public services since the last term. Which several al=

lowance are certified to the first Auditor for payment.—

Robert G. Barkson is allowed the sum of five dollars for keeping fires, water in the court room during this term.—which allowance is certified to the first Auditor for payment.—

Lewick Tally, Thomas R. Chambers, David T. Wells, Richard W. Williamson, William P. Spain, Thomas J. Lewis, Richard B. Preston, Thomas A. Bass, John Hoover, Thomas J. Lambert, Wm. H. Stickland, Newman Williams, William A. Adams, Chs. C. Wells, Theo: Hudson, George D. Bachelder, Joseph Bowden, Samuel M. Moody, John L. Crump, Benjamin H. Spiers, Thomas G. Chappell, George W. Perkins, John T. Young and William H. Richardson are allowed each fifty cents for their service as petit jurors in misdemeanor cases at this term—which allowances are to be paid out of the State treasury.

Ordered that Wm. P. Spain a petit juror be fined five dollars for a contempt of court, in this, that he absented himself from the courtroom after being warned not to do so without the permission of the Court.—

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning half past ten O'Clock.

Thos. S. Wilson
JP

Saturday March the 24th 1860.
Present same Judge as yesterday.

Francis Priest Jr. by Isaac S. Keeler Plt.
against
John L. Scott and Martha E. Barrett Defts } In Covenant.

This day came the parties, by their attorneys, and thereupon the defendants prayed oyer of the writing obligatory in the declaration mentioned and demurred to the declaration and the plaintiff joined in the said demurrer—and the defendants pleaded conditions performed and offered filed, to which pleas the plaintiff replied generally—Whereupon on the motion of the defendants the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office is set aside

John W. Harmon Plt.
against
Thomas W. Scott Sheriff of Nottoway County Deft } In Detinue

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.—

Mary A. Punchbeck Plt. } In Covenant.
against
Thomas Wheeler and Gardner Lobetter Defts

On motion of the defendants by their attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendants by their attorney pleaded Condition performed, to which the plaintiff replied generally.

Lewis R. Sydnor Trustee &c Plt. } In case
against
Thomas W. Scott Sheriff of Dinwiddie County Deft

By consent of parties it is ordered that this suit be removed to the Circuit Court of the City of Petersburg.

B. B. Brown Plt } In case
against
Joseph W. Harper Deft

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed at his costs.

Thomas W. Scott Sheriff &c Plt. } In Debt.
against
Thomas Richardson & others Defts

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney, it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Taylor ~~for~~ Johnson admr. of Fraher Plt. } In Debt
against
Thomas Richardson Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon the defendant prayed over of the writing obligatory in the declaration mentioned, and demurred to the declaration, and the plaintiff joined in the said demurrer. And the defendant pleaded payment to which the plaintiff replied generally. Whereupon on the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that the conditional judgment entered in this case at rules held in the Clerk's office be set aside.

Johnson administrator of Fraher Plt. } In Debt
against
Thomas Richardson Deft

On motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the conditional judgment entered in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded payment and offered filed, to which plea, the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally

Daniel E. Rainey Plt. } In Case
against
Lucy O. Field Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, viz: William H. Adams,

Lodwick Tally, Thos. Hudson, Thomas J. Lambert, Thomas G. Chappel, Richard W. Williamson, Benjamin H. Spiers, Wm. H. Neilland, Richard B. Preston, Thomas A. Bass, Samuel M. Moody and William P. Spain, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words "We of the jury find that the defendants offsets are equal to the plaintiffs account" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing for his bill, but his false clamor be in mercy &c. And that each party pay his own costs.

Ordered that David S. Wells a petit juror be fined two dollars and fifty cents for a contempt of Court, in this, that he absented himself from the Courtroom after being duly warned not to do so without the permission of the Court — which amount of fine the said Wells paid into Court.

Ordered that the fine of five dollars entered against Wm. P. Spain on yesterday be abated two dollars and fifty cents — which fine of two dollars and fifty cents was paid into Court by the said Wm. P. Spain.

C. F. Goodwyn executor of Wm. L. Barron decd. Plt.
against
E. A. Smith Trustee &c. Deft. } In Case.

This day came the defendant by his attorney and on his motion, the Court grants leave to the defendant to file offsets in this suit — which offsets were accordingly filed.

Isaac Roney Plt.
against
Nathaniel S. Thrift adr. of Jas. A. Wells decd. Deft. } In case

By consent of parties, by their attorneys this suit is revived against Alexander Dorman administrator de bonis non of James A. Wells decd. the powers of Nathaniel S. Thrift as administrator having been revoked. And thereupon came a jury to wit: William A. Adams, John Flower, Thomas G. Chappell, Richard W. Williamson, Wm. P. Spain, Richard B. Preston, Newman Williams, George D. Baskerville, George W. Perkins, Charles C. Wells, Thomas R. Chambers and David S. Wells, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn (except John Flower who affirmed) the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at one hundred and forty four dollars and fifty cents". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages assessed by the jury against him as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath to be administered

James J. Taylor who sues for the benefit of B. W. Johnson administrator of Dennis H Fraker decd Plt. } In Debt.
against
Thomas Richardson Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon the matters of law arising upon the defendants' demurrer to the plaintiffs Declaration being argued, it seems to the Court that the said declaration and the matters therein contained, are not sufficient in law for the plaintiff to have and maintain his action against the said defendant. Whereupon, the plaintiff suggesting that the ground of the Court's opinion is such as can be removed by an amendment, the Court, at the instance of the said plaintiff, forbears to enter a final judgment for the defendant, upon his demurrer, and allows the plaintiff to withdraw his joinder therein, and amend his declaration - But the said plaintiff is to pay all the costs incurred by himself or occasioned to the defendant, by his filing the said insufficient declaration.

William H Tappey and William Lumsden merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Tappey & Lumsden Plts. } In Case
against
E. H. Smith Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be One hundred and fifty nine dollars and seventy three cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest as aforesaid - Also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Francis Priest Jr. by Isaac S. Keller Plt. } In Covenant
against
John L. Scott and Martha E Hamilton Defts

This day came the parties by their attornies and the defendants by their attorney with the assent of the Court withdrew their demurrer and pleas heretofore entered in this cause and the plaintiff thereupon withdrew with the assent of the Court his joinder in said demurrer and replications to said pleas - Whereupon by consent of parties the defendants confessed a judgment in favor of the plaintiff for twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860, till paid and costs of suit with the exception of an attorney's fee - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of twenty five dollars with interest thereon as aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, with the exception of an attorney's fee aforesaid.

Mary A. Pinchbeck Plt. } In Covenant
against
Thomas Wheeler and Gardner Ledbetter Defts

On motion of the defendants by their attorney, leave is granted them to file a special plea in writing in this case within sixty days from the adjournment of this Court.

Willis H. Abernathy, Charles G. Zehmer, Henry G. Mitchell, and John Hawkins who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the plaintiff were solemnly called but came not; therefore, on the motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered, that the said Willis H. Abernathy, Chas G. Zehmer, Henry G. Mitchell and John Hawkins be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to show cause, if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

Charles T. Williamson who was summoned to appear here to-day, as a witness for the defendants was solemnly called, but came not; therefore, on the motion of the defendants by their attorney, it is ordered that the said Charles T. Williamson be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to show cause if any he can, why he should not be fined for his said contempt. And the trial of the case is continued until the next term.

Johnson admr. of Fraher Plt. } In Debt.
against
Thomas Richardson Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term for the defendant.

J. A. Johnston, Dennis Murphy, James Muirhead, David F. Hay, J. E. Cunningham, F. J. E. Jones, B. S. Turner, Chas T. Williamson and B. W. Johnson who were summoned to appear here on this day, as witnesses for the defendant were solemnly called but came not; therefore, on the motion of the defendant, it is ordered, that the said J. A. Johnston, Dennis Murphy, James Muirhead, David F. Hay, J. E. Cunningham, F. J. E. Jones, B. S. Turner, Charles T. Williamson, and B. W. Johnson be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term, to show cause if any they can, why they should not be fined for their said contempt.

The following allowances are made to petit jurors for services rendered at this term to be paid out of the County Treasury.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| To Lewick Tally | $1.75 | Thos. J. Lambert | $1.00 | Thos. F. Chappell | $2.25 |
| " Thos. R. Chambers | 1.00 | Wm. H. Hilliard | 1.75 | George W. Pattine | 1.50 |
| " David T. Wells | 1.00 | Newman Williams | 1.75 | Jno. L. Crump | .50 |
| " Rich W. Williamson | 1.50 | Wm. A. Adams | 2.00 | Jno. F. Young | .50 |
| " Wm. P. Spear | 2.25 | Chas. C. Wells | 1.75 | Wm. H. Richardson | .50 |
| " Thos. J. Lewis | 1.75 | Geo. D. Bastinelle | 1.75 | Theo. Hudson | 1.75 |
| " Rich B. Bresley | 1.50 | Joseph Bowden | 1.50 | | |
| " Thos. A. Bass | 1.75 | Samuel M. Murry | 1.50 | | |
| " John Flower | 1.75 | Benj. H. Spiers | 1.75 | | |

Ordered that Court be adjourned until Tuesday morning next at 11. O'Clock.

Thos. S. Gholson

Tuesday March the 27th 1860.
Present - Same Judge as Saturday -

Winfield S. Wells who was convicted of Felony on Friday last, was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this county, and it being demanded of him, if any thing for himself he had or knew to say, why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Winfield S. Wells be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of eighteen months the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained - And it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do, as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court, remove and safely convey the said Winfield S. Wells from the Jail of this Court, to the said Penitentiary, therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law - And thereupon the said Winfield S. Wells was remanded to Jail - And that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

An account of Robert G. Bolseau against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to twenty dollars was, sworn to, examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment - The expenses therein mentioned being incurred for the board of the said Winfield S. Wells who was dangerously and instantly taken ill in open Court before sentence could be passed upon him - And physicians in attendance declaring to the Court that to place the prisoner in the Jail of the County in his then condition would in all probability cause his death.

An account of Thomas W. Scott Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Thirty Seven dollars and fifty cents, was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment.

(L. A. Williams a/c. exp. T. D. Williams a/c. exp.) Ordered that Leroy A. Williams be allowed four dollars, Thomas D. Williams four dollars, Duncan Williams two dollars and Peter C. Vaughan one dollar for their respective services rendered in guarding and nursing Winfield S. Wells charged with Felony and convicted while confined in the Hotel - The said guards being ordered by the Court - The said Winfield S. Wells being dangerously and instantly taken ill in open Court before sentence could be passed upon him - And physicians in attendance declaring to the Court that to place the prisoner in the Jail of the County in his then condition would in

all probability came his death. Which several allowances are certified to the first Auditor for payment.

S. Drummond & Co. Pltf.
against } Attachment
J. B. White Deft.

Ordered that William Bishop forthwith deposite in one of the Savings' Banks in the City of Petersburg to the Credit of the Court in this cause the sum of One hundred and eighteen dollars and six cents the amount in his hands for which the property attached in this suit was sold after deducting the expenses of sale &c. and that he file the certificate of the deposite in the papers in this cause.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Wm. J. Thomas

Office Judgments Confirmed the 27th day of March 1860.

Cont. ff 7.65. William Thomas administrator of Richard Coleman dec.d Pltf.
against } (In Debt.)
Fifa 3d April 1860. Martha T. Poyner Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One thousand five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 5th day of December 1857 till paid and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Cont. ff 8.25 David May assignee of David F. Hoy who was assignee of Gideon B. Hoy Pltf.
against } In Debt
Robert F. Borseau and James H. Borseau Defts.
Fifa 3d April 1860.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid. And also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Wednesday the 26th day of September AD 1860 and in the 85th year of the Commonwealth

Present Hon Thomas S Gholson Judge

A Certificate of the qualification of Charles F Goodwyn, who has been duly elected Attorney for the Commonwealth for Dinwiddie County, was presented in Court and ordered to be recorded, and is in the words and figures following to wit.

"It appearing to me Thomas S Gholson Judge of the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie Virginia by the return of the Commissioners who conducted the election at Dinwiddie Court-house, on the 24th May 1860, for the election of a Commonwealth's Attorney for the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie, and other offices of said County, that Charles F Goodwyn was duly elected Attorney for the Commonwealth in the County and Circuit Court of Dinwiddie The said Charles F Goodwyn this day appeared before me at my Chambers in the City of Petersburg, and qualified as attorney for the Commonwealth in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie by taking the oaths required by law, which is hereby certified to the Clerk of the said Circuit Court of Dinwiddie and ordered to be recorded: Given under my hand this the 12th June 1860.

Thos S Gholson

Whereupon the said Charles F Goodwyn is permitted to prosecute for the Commonwealth in this Court

Roger P Atkinson Foreman with James C Bain, William M Field, John J Abernathy, Joseph G Boisseau, John S Blick, William J Webb, Robert B W Warren, William H Hawkins, John F Young, Wm D Young, James W Davis, William N Ledbetter, Herbert Williams, James W Williams, Philip G Jones, Edward J Rawlings and Samuel G Wainwright were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County, received their charge withdrew and after some time returned into Court with the following present-ment "We the Grand Jury being first sworn in open Court do present Henry Edward Organ for an Assault and Battery on the person of Robert F Read at Dinwiddie Courthouse within the last six months on the evidence of B W Johnson and F J E Jones sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury", and the Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged.

Whereupon on motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Common-wealth, it is ordered that process be issued against the said Henry Edward Organ so as aforesaid presented as the law directs return-able here on the first day of March Term next

James Hall and James A Dunn merchants and partners under the firm and style of Hall & Dunn Pltfs
against
Charles R MacMlford & James W Davis Defts
} In a motion for award of execution of a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had

legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety seven dollars and sixty eight cents the penalty of said bond, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of the sum of Forty eight dollars and eighty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of July 1860 till paid, and said costs.

The Commonwealth against James F Turner

Plt. / Dft. — Upon an Information filed upon a presentment of the Grand Jury made against him at March term last for unlawfully selling by retail wine, ardent spirits and a mixture thereof, to be drank at his storehouse in the County of Dinwiddie.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: James N Bridgman, Lewis Williams, William A Reese, Thomas Childs, Peter N Ford, D A A Tucker, Robert Elder, E David T Smith, Robert Murdivant, William J Pinchbeck, Edward P. Scott and Kennon Stuart who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined returned upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We the Jury find the defendant guilty." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Literary Fund Thirty dollars the fine imposed by law, and that he pay the costs of this prosecution.

The Commonwealth against W W Anderson

Plt. / Dft. — Upon an Information filed on a presentment of the grand Jury made against him at September Term last 1857 for unlawfully shooting at J W Pritchett in the County of Dinwiddie, with a pistol loaded with powder and ball at the residence of the said W W Anderson within the last six months previous to said presentment.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney and thereupon came a jury to wit: Stephen Reames, Daniel Spain, John D Clarke, Henry Thacker, Wm B Stone, R A Slaughter, Heartwell Wells, Edward Conway, Dunlin H Webb, John G Organ, Lewis Williams and Peter N Ford who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We of the jury find the defendant not guilty." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth against George B Gibson — Plt. / Dft.

Upon an Information filed upon a presentment of the Grand Jury made against him at March Term last, for this that he did within the last twelve months previous to said presentment, sell by retail wine & ardent spirits and a mixture thereof to Jacob L Thrift without license therefor at his store house in the County of Dinwiddie &c.

This day came as well the Attorney prosecuting for the commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney and thereupon came also a jury to wit: William A Reese, Robert Elder, John D Clarke, David A Tucker, James W Bridgeman, Daniel Spain, Peter W Ford, Stephen Reames, Edward Conway, John G Orgain, Lewis Williams and R A Slaughter, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words: "We of the Jury find the defendant not guilty" — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be acquitted and go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth against Martha S Poynor — Plt. / Dft.

Upon an Information filed upon a presentment of the Grand Jury made against her at September Term 1859 for this that she did within the last twelve months previous to said presentment, unlawfully but not feloniously take carry away and destroy a rail fence on the premises of Francis Priest jr near Dinwiddie Courthouse now in the possession of Robert G Boisseau, she the said Martha S Poynor not being the owner of said fence and premises and not having the consent therefor of the said Francis Priest jr and Robert G Boisseau.

This day came as well the Attorney prosecuting for the commonwealth as the defendant by her attorney who pleaded not guilty and thereof put herself upon the country, and the Attorney for the Commonwealth likewise, and thereupon came a jury to wit: Hartwell Wells, Hennon Stewart, John D Clarke, Thomas Childs, William J Pinchback, R A Slaughter, Edward Conway, William A Reese, Peter W Ford, Robert Elder, E D Smith and William R Lane, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the fine at one cent". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant for the said offence forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth for the use of the Literary fund the fine assessed against her by the jury as aforesaid — and that she also pay the costs of this prosecution.

Peter J Belle, gentleman, who hath been duly licensed to practise the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion has leave to practise

in this Court and thereupon he took the several oaths prescribed by law.

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against — } Upon an Information
Lewis W. Alley — Deft.

On the motion of the defendant it is ordered that this prosecution be continued until the next term.

The Commonwealth — Plt.
against — } Upon a Rule to shew cause why an information should not be filed on a presentment of the grand jury
Dixon B. Wells — Deft.

The process awarded in this case at the last term being returned not found, on the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that new process be awarded against the defendant according to law returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why an information should not be filed on the presentment aforesaid.

On the motion of F. A. Carver, Benjamin K. White and Wilkins J. Davis and for reasons appearing to the Court, the rule made against them at the last term for failing to attend this Court as petit jurors is discharged.

On the motion of A. M. Goodwyn and for reasons appearing to the Court, the rule made against him at the last term for failing to attend this Court as a Grand Juror is discharged.

On the motion of Thomas J. Draper and Archer J. Jolly and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered that they be excused from serving as petit jurors at this term.

On the motion of Jacob L. Thrift and Wm. N. Edwards and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered that the rule made against them at the last term, for failing to attend as witnesses on behalf of the Commonwealth against George B. Gibson, be discharged.

On the motion of Willis H. Abernathy, Charles G. Zehmer and John Hawkins and for reasons appearing to the Court, the rules made against them at the last term for failing to attend this Court as witnesses on behalf of Mary A. Pinchback plaintiff against Thomas Wheeler and Gardner Lobliter defendants, are discharged.

On the motion of Charles E. Williamson and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered that the rule made against him at the last term for failing to attend this Court as a witness on behalf

Thomas Wheeler and Gardner Ledbetter Defendants at the suit of Mary A. Pinchbeck Plaintiff, be discharged

On the motion of J. Arthur Johnston, T. J. E. Jones, R. W. Johnson, John Cunningham and James Murphy and for reasons appearing to the Court, the rules made against them at the last term for failing to attend this Court as witnesses on behalf of Thomas Richardson defendant at the suit of Dennis H. Travers's adr plaintiff, are discharged.

Henry G. Mitchell who was summoned to appear here to shew cause if any he could, why he should not be fined for his contempt, in failing to attend at the last term as a witness on behalf of Mary A. Pinchbeck against Thomas Wheeler and Gardner Ledbetter was this day solemnly called, appeared, and the Court having heard his excuse and deeming it insufficient, It is considered by the Court that he be fined five dollars for his said contempt - To the use of the said Mary A. Pinchbeck

John P. Goodwyn who was summoned to appear here to shew cause if any he could, why he should not be fined for his contempt, in failing to attend at the last term as a petit juror was solemnly called, appeared, and the Court having heard his excuse and deeming it insufficient, ordered that he fined five dollars for his said contempt, to the use of the Commonwealth.

The Commonwealth against Franklin Lewis — Upon a Rule to shew cause why a scire facias should not be issued against him upon a recognizance demanded forfeited at the last term

On the motion of the defendant and for reasons appearing to the Court, it is ordered, that the rule entered at the last Court, for him to shew cause why a Writ of Scire facias should not be issued against him, be set aside.

Betty H. Evans admr of William H. Ledbetter decd who sues for the benefit of Lewis Mabry Plt. against T. A. & H. H. Foster — Upon a summons issued against Joseph W. Harper as garnishee suggesting money or effects of the defendants in the hands of the said Harper subject to the plaintiffs execution

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and it appearing to the Court that the said Joseph W. Harper has been duly summoned was solemnly called, but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Joseph W. Harper be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

**Pages 246-247 were missing in the original book.**

**Pages 246-247 were missing in the original book.**

Betty H. Evans administrator of Wm H. Ledbetter dec^d who sues for the benefit of Lewis Mabry Pl^ff } Upon a summons issued against John Cole as garnishee suggesting money or effects of the defendants in his hands.
against
F. A. & H. W. Foster Def^ts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and it appearing to the Court that the said Summons has been duly executed on the said John Cole he was solemnly called but came not. Therefore on the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the said John Cole be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he should not be fined for his said contempt.

A. S. Shafer & Co Pl^ff } Upon the petition of John W. McIlyre here-
against
J. B. White Def^t } filed in this cause claiming title to the property attached in this suit by the Sheriff under the attachment issued by the Clerk of this Court in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendant.

This day came as well the plaintiffs by their attorney as the petitioner by his attorney, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Austin W. Webb, Lemon Stewart, John D. Clark, Thomas Chiles, William J. Pinchback, R. A. Slaughter, Edward Conway, Wm A. Reese, Peter W. Ford, Robert Elder, E. B. T. Smith and William B. Stone, who being selected by lot tried and sworn to enquire into the claim of the said petitioner John W. McIlyre to the property attached as aforesaid, and having partly heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn, the jury was adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

John W. Harman for C. A. Hargrave Pl^ff } Inhelbt
against
John W. Glass Def^t

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorney it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock.

Ho. S. Sholar

Thursday September 27th 1860.

Present – Same Judge as yesterday.

T. W. Boynton — Plf. } In Case
against
William A Pettus — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Sixty three dollars and sixty two cents with legal interest on Forty six dollars and thirty seven cents a part thereof from the 1st day of July 1857 till paid, and on Seventeen dollars and twenty five cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid – Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. –

William J. Branch, Charles S. Rives, John O. Bragg, and Miles B. Branch Jr. merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Branch Rives & Co — Plts. } In Case
against
Joseph S. Brooks and Elizabeth Y. Brooks — Defts.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Sixty four dollars and sixty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1860 till paid – Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. –

George W. Sutherland — Plf. } In Case
against
James B. Read — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be One hundred and forty two dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid – Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid – And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. –

Thomas Wallace administrator of Wm E. Stewart dec.d — Plf. } In Case
against
Wm Lucy O. Field — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court

ascertained the damages in this suit to be eighty eight dollars and eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1859 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John A. Muir who sues for the benefit of W. L. Watkins his trustee — Plt. } In case
against
J. C. Branch — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be twenty six dollars and fifty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of May 1859 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest as aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John A. Muir who sues for the benefit of W. L. Watkins his trustee — Plt. } In Case
against
W. H. Rogerson — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Twenty two dollars and ninety nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of May 1859 till paid - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest as aforesaid - and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A. L. Shafer & C. against J. B. White — Plts. } Upon the petition of John W. McIntyre here setting up a Claim to the property attached in this suit by the Sheriff under the attachment issued by the Clerk of this Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant. — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and also the jury which was sworn in the case on yesterday, to wit; Dustin H. Webb, Kennon Stewart, John W. Clarke, Thomas Childs, William J. Pinchback, R. A. Slaughter, Edward Conway, William A. Reese, Peter W. Ford, Robert Elder, E. D. T. Smith and William B. Stone, who having fully heard the evidence and arguments of Counsel, retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into court and re-

port their verdict in the following words, "We the jury find that the petitioner John W. McIntyre had a lien on the property attached in this cause at the time of the issuing the attachment".
Whereupon the ~~plaintiffs~~ by their attorney moved the Court to set aside the said verdict and grant them a new trial which motion the Court overruled.

Mary A. Pinchbeck Plt.
against } In Covenant
Thomas Wheeler and Gardner Ledbetter Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon the defendants by their attorney filed a special plea in writing and a plea of offset to which the plaintiff replied generally. Whereupon came a jury, to wit Austin H. Webb, Robert Sturdivant, Peter W. Ford, Daniel Spain, Edward Conway, William B. Stone, John D. Claude, Edward P. Scott, David A. Tender, Joseph G. Berseau, R. A. Slaughter and C. W. Smith who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess her damages at $197 19/100 after deducting $27.81, shop and post office accounts from the $225.00, with interest from 1st of Jany 1860 on $197 19/100 and we find that the defendants has sustained no damages as alleged in his special plea"
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and ninety seven dollars and nineteen cents the damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended

John W. Ragland assignee of E. A. Maddera Plt. } Upon a Scire=
against } facias issued
Stephen P. Pool Co-Obligor with Wm. H. Maddox Deft } against James
H. King administrator with the Will annexed of
Stephen P. Pool decd to show cause if any he
can, why this action should not be proceeded
in to a final judgment against him as admin=
istrator as aforesaid.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the said James H. King administrator with the Will annexed of Stephen P. Pool decd has been duly summoned, was solemnly called, but came not. Therefore it is ordered that this suit be revived against the said James H. King administrator as aforesaid and be proceeded in to a final judgment against him as defendant - And on the motion of the said defendant by his attorney it is ordered that this suit be continued since the next term.

On the motion of John E. Harris who took the oath prescribed by law and entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of One thousand dollars conditioned as the law directs with James Bonneau his security, certificate is granted him for obtaining letters of administration on the estate of Catherine Hobbs decd in due form.

Ordered that Samuel S. Northington, Pleasant H. Fisher, J. T. S. Hobbs, Rufus B. Foster and William N. Martin or any three of them being first sworn for the purpose, do appraise in current money the personal estate of the said decedent and make return thereof under their hands to the Clerk of this Court.

B. W. Johnson administrator of D. H. Traher decd Plt. } Ejectment
against } Deft
Thomas Richardson

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Johnson Organ, Norman Stewart, Henry Thacker, Lewis Williams, Winfield Wells, James H. Bridgman, Robert Elder, Thos. Chilos, William A. Rene, William J. Pinchback, Stephen Reames and A. B. Jones, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined ~~on their oath~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ having heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn the jury was adjourned until tomorrow morning.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning half past ten O' Clock.

Wm. S. Holton

Friday September 28th 1860

Present Same Judge as yesterday.

William Bailey
against
H. Clay Pate
Plt. / Defts } In Case

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Six hundred dollars and two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of March 1859 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Branch T. Hunt
against
R. H. Ford
Plt. / Deft } In Case

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be Thirty one dollars and Seventy seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of July 1859 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid with interest as aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

S. A. Plummer
against
Robert A. Slaughter
Plt. / Deft } In Case

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant in his own proper person who confessed a judgment ~~against~~ in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of One hundred and sixty seven dollars and eighty one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of April 1860 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and sixty seven dollars and eighty one cents with interest as aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

James Hall and James A. Dunn late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of Hall & Dunn
against
R. A. Slaughter
Plts. / Deft } In Case

This day came as well the plaintiffs by their attorney as the defendant in his own proper person who confessed a judgment in favor of the plaintiffs for the sum of One hundred and fifteen dollars and ninety four cents with legal interest thereon to be

Computed from the 16th day of April 1860 till past — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of One hundred and fifteen dollars and ninety four cents with interest as aforesaid — And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

David May — Plt.
against — } In Debt
Isaac T. Hecker — Deft.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as the defendant in his own proper person, who confessed a judgment in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of One hundred and twenty nine dollars and twenty two cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of May 1860 till past — Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of One hundred and twenty nine dollars and twenty two cents with interest as aforesaid — And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John W. Goodwyn for Alex Dorman — Plt.
against — } In Debt
Wm H. Kirkland — Deft.

James J. Baldwin for Alex Dorman — Plt.
against — } In Debt
John G. Thweatt and William H. Thweatt — Defts.

J. S. Hawks — Plt.
against — } In Debt
John G. Thweatt, W. H. Thweatt and Wm Thweatt — Defts.

A. W. Martin, Brother & Co — Plts.
against — } In Debt
E. O. Fitzgerald — Defts.

Geo. M. Davis — Plt.
against — } In Debt
Green Hill — Defts.

By direction of the plaintiffs' attorneys, it is ordered that these suits be dismissed.

Robert F. Reade sometimes called R. F. Reade assignee of R. W. Vaughan who was assignee of W. T. Scott — Plt. } In Debt
against
E. P. Scott — Defts.

This day came the defendant by his attorney, and on his motion it is ordered that the judgment obtained in this case at rules held in the Clerk's office, be set aside — Whereupon the defendants by his attorney pleaded payment and offsets, which offsets were accordingly filed, to which plea the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally — and the trial of the issues is deferred until the next Term.

William Bailey Plt. } In Detinue
against
H. Clay Pate Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Robert Sturdivant, R. A. Slaughter, Peter W. Ford, Edward Conway, Dudley H. Webb, David A. A. Tucker & David T. Smith, John D. Clarke, Wm. B. Stone, Edward P. Scott, Daniel Spain and Joseph G. Borsean, who being selected by lot tried and sworn, diligently to ascertain the price of the negro man slave named Austin, in the declaration mentioned, and to enquire of damages in this cause, upon their oath do say, "We of the jury find that the defendant doth detain the slave named Austin in the Declaration mentioned in manner and form as the plaintiff against him hath complained and that the said Austin is of the value of $1500, and we do assess the damages which the plaintiff hath sustained by occasion of the said detention of the said slave at $250, besides his costs." Therefore, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said negro man slave named Austin, of the price aforesaid, if he may be had, but if not, then the price aforesaid of him, together with his damages assessed as aforesaid, and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Daniel Butts and John Altair late merchants and partners in trade under the style and firm of Butts & Altair. Plts. } In case
against
Thomas Lewis Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Robert Sturdivant, R. A. Slaughter, Peter W. Ford, Edward Conway, Dudley H. Webb, David A. A. Tucker, & David T. Smith, John D. Clarke, William B. Stone, Edward P. Scott, Daniel Spain and Joseph G. Borsean who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, on their oath returned a verdict in these words. "We of the jury find for the plaintiffs and assess their damage at fifty eight dollars and fifty five cents with interest from Jany 1. 1852 till paid." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas Branch, John Branch, James Branch and Frederick Scott partners under the style of Thomas Branch & Sons. Plts. } In Case
against
Jane T. Organ Deft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and thereupon came the defendant by Isaac S. Meder her

attorney who confessed a judgment in favor of the plaintiffs for the sum of Fifty eight dollars and two cents with legal interest on $30.18 cents a part thereof from the 23d day of May 1859 till paid, and on $27.84 cents the residue thereof from the 25th day of July 1859 till paid.
Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of Fifty eight dollars and two cents with interest as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

B. W. Johnson admr of Dennis W. Fraher decd Plt.
against
Thomas Richardson Deft } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the jury sworn in this case on yesterday, to wit: John G. Organ, Mennon Stewart, Henry Thacker, Lewis Williams, Warwell Wells, James W. Bridgman, Robert Elder, Thomas Childs, William A. Reese, William J. Pinchbeck, Stephen Reaves and A. B. Jones and having fully heard the evidence and the arguments of counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into court and reported the following verdict, "We of the jury find for the plaintiff the debt and interest in the declaration demanded, and ascertain the amount to which the defendant is entitled to be the sum of $490.56/100 with interest thereon from the 9th August 1859, till paid, which we allow as a set off against the plaintiffs demand." Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred and twenty nine dollars and thirteen cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of September 1855 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this ~~last~~ judgment is to be credited for the sum of Four hundred and ninety dollars and fifty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of August 1859 till paid, being the amount of the defendants set off as ascertained by the jury aforesaid

John A. Jeter for William P. Jeter Plt.
against
Henry G. Mitchell and James T. J. Moore Deft } In case

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney, leave is granted him to amend his declaration, and the cause is continued until the next term

Amy G. Browder Plt.
against
James W. Gunn Deft } In Case

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant by his attorney, it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerks office be set aside. Whereupon the said defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty

To which the plaintiff replied generally. and thereupon came a jury to wit: Daniel Spain, William B. Stone, Edward P. Scott, Hartwell Wells, Robert Elder, William Peachbeck, William A. Reese, Stemon Stewart, Dustin H Webb, Peter W. Ford, Lewis Williams and John G. Organ who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, having heard the evidence, were sent out of Court to consult of their verdict, after which consultation they returned into Court and declared that they could not agree in a verdict: Whereupon, by consent of parties, and with the assent of the Court, Daniel Spain one of the jurors aforesaid was withdrawn, and the rest of the jury from rendering their verdict discharged. and the cause is continued till the next term, for a new trial to be had therein.

William Childrey Plt
against } In case
John DeMaring Deft

On the motion of the defendant by his attorney it is ordered that this suit be continued until the next term.

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth on this Court is allowed the sum of fifty dollars, Thomas W. Scott Sheriff of this County is allowed the sum of ten dollars, the same as Jailor is allowed the sum of ten dollars and Charles A Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars for their respective services rendered since the last term - which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

Coffee

James C. Bain, Wm M. Field, John J Abernathy, Jr. G. Borham, John S. Blick, William J. Webb, Robert B W. Warren, William H Hawkins, John T. Young, James W Davis, William N. Lobetter, Herbert Williams, James M Williams, Philip G. Jones, Edward J. Rawlings, Samuel G. Wainwright and Roger P. Allmon and William D Young are allowed each one dollar for their respective service at this term as Grand jurors to be paid out of the County treasury.

Coffee

Ordered that the following allowances be made to petit jurors for services rendered at this term to be paid out of the State Treasury, to wit: Peter W. Ford $1.25 R. A. Slaughter $1.25 John D Clarke $1.25, Edward P Scott $1.25 William Spain $1.25, Edward Conway $1.25. Henry Thacker 50¢ Stephen Reamer $1.25, Stemon Stewart fifty cents. William B. Stone 50¢ Dustin H Webb $1.00, William J. Peachbeck 50¢ James W Bredgman $1.00. Robert Sturdivant 50¢ E. David D. Smith $1. Wm A Reese $1.25. Thomas Chiles 50¢ David A. A Tenter $1.25. Lewis Williams $1.25. Robert Elder $1.25, Hartwell Wells $1. John Organ $1.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning

Lew. A. Clerk

Saturday the 29th day of September 1860
Present - Same Judge as yesterday

Ordered that the following allowance be made to petit jurors for service rendered at this term to be paid out of the County Treasury, to wit:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Peter W. Ford | $2.00 | James W. Bridgman | $1.00 |
| R. A. Slaughter | 2.00 | Robert Sturdivant | 2.00 |
| John D. Clarke | 2.00 | E. David T. Smith | 2.25 |
| Edward P. Tell | 2.00 | Wm. A. Reese | 2.00 |
| Daniel Spain | 2.00 | Thomas Childs | 2.00 |
| Edward Conway | 2.00 | Joseph G. Barjeau | 2.00 |
| Henry Thacker | 1.00 | David A. Thacker | 1.50 |
| Stephen Reames | 1.00 | Levi Williams | 1.50 |
| Hannon Stewart | 2.50 | Robert Elder | 2.00 |
| William B. Stone | 2.75 | Hartwell Wells | 1.50 |
| Durlin H. Webb | 2.50 | John G. Organ | 1.50 |
| William Pinchbeck | 2.50 | N. B. Jones | 1.00 |

Copied

Ordered that Robert G. Barjeau be allowed the sum of five dollars for attending on the Court at this term, furnishing water lights and cleaning out the house - which allowance is certified to the first auditor for payment. -

Copied

Batty W. Evans administrator of Wm. H. Ledbetter dec^d - who sues for the benefit of Levi Mabry Pltf. against F. A. & H. H. Foster Defts } Upon a Summons fired against Jos. W. Harper suggesting that the said Harper has money or effects of the defendants in his hands which are liable to the payment of the execution of the plaintiffs against them and now in the hands of the Sheriff of this County. -

This day came the parties by their attorneys and for reasons appearing to the Court the rule made against the said Jos. W. Harper in this case on wednesday last is discharged. Whereupon the said Joseph W. Harper by David May his attorney acknowledges that he has in his hands funds of the defendant H. H. Foster sufficient to pay off the execution of the plaintiff aforesaid with all interest and costs which have accrued thereon, and also the costs of this summons. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Joseph W. Harper out of the funds of the defendant H. H. Foster acknowledged to be in his hands pay to the Sheriff of this County the sum of sixty five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid and $10.10 cents in discharge of the execution aforesaid - and also the costs of these proceedings. -

Copied

The Same against The Same Pltf. } Upon a Summons fired against John Cole as Garnishee Defts.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that the case be dismissed. -

Samuel Drummond & C. Plts. } Upon the petitions of John W. McIntyre filed in these causes respectively
against
J. B. White Defdt.
A. S. Shafer & C. Plts.
against
The same Defdt.

The jurors empannelled to try the issue in these causes, having by their verdict ascertained that the property attached was subject to the lien alleged by the petitioner, it is considered by the Court that the said petitioner recover of the said parties plaintiff respectively the costs incurred by him on the trial of the said issue. And it appearing to the Court that there is in the hands of William Bishop late Sheriff of this County the sum of One hundred and twenty five dollars and twenty nine cents the proceeds of the property attached and further that the said property belonged in law to C. F. Goodwyn Trustee in the deed of trust under which the petitioner claimed his lien, the Court with the assent of the said petitioner by counsel, doth direct that the said William Bishop late Sheriff as aforesaid, do pay the said Charles F. Goodwyn the sum of One hundred and twenty five dollars and twenty nine cents with interest from this date. And unless the said William Bishop late Sheriff as aforesaid shall pay the said sum within thirty days from this day, the said Charles F. Goodwyn may sue out an execution for the same

A. D. Thompson Plt. } In Covenant
against
Benjamin E. Lee Defdt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be fifty eight dollars and forty seven cents, with legal interest thereon on eleven dollars and fifty two cents from the 2d day of January 1860 till paid, and on forty six dollars and ninety five cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of March 1860 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

George Whitmore Commissioner called executor of A. J. Revill dec^d Plt. } In Covenant
against
Samuel S. Northington & C. W. Young Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court ascertained the damages in this suit to be one hundred and fifty four dollars the amount of the bond in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 29th day of December 1857 till paid, and

also the sum of ten dollars the damages ascertained for the breach of the covenant complained of - Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the damages ascertained by the Court as aforesaid with interest as aforesaid - And also ~~their~~ his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Young and Burwell Plts
against } In case
Thomas Richardson Deft

The parties, by their attorneys, mutually submit all matters in difference between them in this suit to the final determination of Charles A Hargrave and agree that his award shall be made the judgment of the Court. And the same is ordered accordingly.

An account of Robert G. Borseau acting jailor of this County against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Two dollars and thirty five cents was sworn to examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

An account of Richard E. Borseau against the Commonwealth amounting to two dollars and twenty five cents was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment - it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that an attempt was made to rescue Winfield S. Wells from the jail of the County, the Court certifies that it was proper that the Sheriff appointed a guard to guard the said Wells

An account of Elijah Smith against the Commonwealth amounting to two dollars and twenty five cents was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment. it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that an attempt was made to rescue Winfield S. Wells from the jail of the County, the Court certifies that it was proper that the Sheriff appointed a guard to guard the said Wells.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Thos. S. Shohan

# Office Judgments Confirmed September 29th 1860.

Costs $7.15 — Theodrick B Dyson Exor of Thomas N Dyson decd Plt.
against
Fifa 3d October 1860. Thomas A Bass Dft. } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and nine dollars and fifty cents with legal interest on twenty five dollars part thereof from the 20th day of February 1853 till paid, and on thirty four dollars and fifty cents another part thereof from the 1st day of January 1854 till paid, and on fifty dollars the residue from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.35 — C A Hargrave sometimes called the guardian of the Orphans of John Major decd. Plt.
against
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860. John L Scott, Wm A Adams & Henry Thaeller. Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and forty five dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1858 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.15 — Branch T. Hunt Plt.
against
Fifa 3d Octo 1860. James S. Rash. Dft. } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty three dollars and forty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2d day of July 1857 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.75 — James M Venable Plt.
against
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860. Albert T Moody & Wm A. Adams Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.75 — John Walsh Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860. William H. & Thos Richardson Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and sixty six dollars and sixty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of July 1860. till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.15 — William A Adams who sues for the benefit of Alexander Dorman Plt. — In debt.
Fifa 3d October 1860. against
Thomas Lewis Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty five dollars and forty seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of June 1860 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.35 — David Lanier Exor of William Lanier decd Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860. Isaac S. Keeler and William Dabney Young Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day 1859 till paid: and also his costs by him about this suit in this behalf expended: But this judgment must be credited for $16.67 cents paid 25th December 1859.

Costs $8.65 — David Lanier Exor of William Lanier decd Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Octo: 1860. I. S. Keeler, Wm A Adams & Wm H. Royall Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred and thirty six dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860, till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.35 — David Lanier Exor of William Lanier decd Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Octo 1860. I. S. Keeler, Wm A. Adams & C A Hargrave Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred and twenty two dollars and thirty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid: and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.35 — Alexander Dorman — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Octo 3d 1860. W T Scott, B J Hill & H J Heartwell — Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and eighteen dollars and seventy nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of July 1860 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.75 — James H Pettus — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Octo 1860. Susanna Davis and John E Harris — Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of February 1859 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.15 — Robert G. Borjeau — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa 3d Octo: 1860. William E. Lee — Dft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty six dollars and twelve cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of June 1860 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.75 — Charles A. Hargrave — Plt. — In debt.
against
Fifa — John W Harmon and Branch J Epes — Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23d day of March 1859 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.15. — Thomas Branch, James R Branch, and John P. Branch late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860. Thomas Branch & Sons — Plts. — In debt.
against
John W. Harmon — Dft.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1859 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.15 — Joseph D White and Benjamen B White partners under the firm and style of J D White & Son — Pltfs.
Fifa 3d Octo 1860 — against — In debt.
H. J. Heartwell admor of Ann G. Sturdivant decd. — Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and five dollars and seventy one cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $8.35 — Same — Plt.
against — In debt.
Fifa 3d Octo 1860 — I S Keeler and Wm A Adams — Dfts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and forty five dollars and sixteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.15 — Same — Plt.
against — In debt.
Fifa 3d Oct 1860. — Isaac S. Keeler — Dft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and eighteen dollars and fourteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid, and also his costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — Edmund O. Fitzgerald — Plt.
against — In debt.
Fifa 3d Octo: 1860 — Wm J & M A Pinckbeeks — Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and forty three dollars and fifty six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of June 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — William H. Spicely — Plt.
against — In debt.
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860. — Benjman J & Green Hill — Dfts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1859 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — C. H. Goodrum exor of William L. Barrow dec^d Plt.
against
Fifa: 3^d Oct: 1860: H. J. Heartwell exor. of Ann G. Sturdivant dec^d who was co. of with I. S. Keeler and H. H. Hobbs Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of October 1858 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — Same Plt.
against
Fifa 3^d Oct 1860. I. S. Keeler and H. H. Hobbs surviving obligors of themselves and Ann G. Sturdivant dec^d Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of October 1858 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — A. K. Bufeau who sues for the benefit of Ann May Plt.
against
Fifa 3^d Oct 1860. John W. Harmon & James H. Bufeau Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1859 till paid, and also his costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — William J. Branch, Charles S. Rives, John O. Bragg and Miles J. Branch jr merchants and partners in trade under the firm and
Fifa 3^d Oct: 1860. Style of Branch, Rives & Co Plts
against
Joseph N. Brooks and Elizabeth G. Brooks Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and twenty dollars and twenty eight cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of January 1860 till paid: And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $8.85 — Robert H. Sydnor adm^r of Thomas O. Barrett dec^d Plt.
against
Fifa 3^d Oct 1860 T. L. H. Young & Henry Thacker doing business under the firm and style of Young & Thacker and Charles Young Dfts } In debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of $370. with legal interest thereon to be computed

from the 25th day of December 1858 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment must be credited for $334.33 cents paid March 26th 1859.

Costs $7.75 — James H. Borjeau, Manasseh Audas, William Dabney, Robert G. Borjeau, Thomas N Scott & Jas. P. Borjeau Plts
Fifa 3d Oct 1858. against — In debt.
J N Brooks and William A Adams Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred and seventy one dollars and eighty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1857 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.75 — George Whitmore Plt.
against — In debt.
Fifa 3d October 1860. James H. Pettus and Charles N. Bruton Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seven hundred and seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 11th day of May 1859 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: But this judgment must be credited for $227.00 paid November 4th 1859.

Costs $7.15 — John J Kirkham Plt.
against — In debt.
Fifa 3d Oct 1860 Thomas A Chappell Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred and fifteen dollars and thirty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1859 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended —

Costs $7.67 — Nicholas S. Edmunds and Richard H Mitchell executors of Thomas Edmunds dec. Plt — Upon a scirefacias issued
Fifa 3d Oct. 1860 against — against the defendant
Nathaniel Mason late sheriff of Brunswick County and as such admor of Burwell Goodwyn dec. Deft — as admor of Burwell Goodwyn dec. to revive a judgment of $372 (seven hundred and forty four dollars) with legal interest from the 1st day of January 1825 till paid and costs $70.14 cents obtained against the said Burwell Goodwyn dec. in the Superior Court of Law for Dinwiddie on the 7th day of April 1830.

The judgment by default obtained in the Clerks office not being set aside It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendant for $372.00 (to be discharged by the payment of $744) with interest thereon to be computed at the rate of six per centum per annum from the 1st day of January 1825 till paid, the debt

Z. B. Williams by R. M. Spencer

and interest and $70:34 cents, the costs in the writ aforesaid specified. And also that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered ~

Costs $7.57 — Nicholas S. Edmunds and Richard H. Pritchett executors of Thomas Edmunds decd. Plts.
Fifa 3d Oct 1860. — against — Nathaniel Mason late Sheriff of Brunswick County and as such admr of Brunwell Goodwyn decd. Dft.

Upon a Scire facias issued against the defendant as admr of Brunwell Goodwyn decd to revive a judgment of $744: to be discharged by the payment of $372. with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1824 till paid (Subject to a credit by $88.62 cents paid the 3d day of July 1825) and Costs $9.74 cents obtained against the said Brunwell Goodwyn decd in the Superior Court of Law for Dinwiddie County on the 7th day of April 1830.

The judgment by default obtained in the Clerks office not being set aside; It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendant for $744 to be discharged by the payment of $372. with interest thereon to be computed at the rate of six per centum per annum from the 1st day of January 1824 till paid the debt and interest and $9.74 cents, the costs in the writ aforesaid specified. And also that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered ~

Costs $7.57 — Same — Plts.
Fifa 3d Oct 1860. — against — Same — Dft.

Upon a Scirefacias issued against the defendant as admr of Brunwell Goodwyn decd to revive a judgment of $744: to be discharged by the payment of $372. with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1826, till paid and costs $9.74 cents obtained against the said Brunwell Goodwyn decd in the Superior Court of Law for Dinwiddie County on the 7th day of April 1830 ~

The judgment by default obtained in the Clerks Office not being set aside. It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendant for $744 to be discharged by the payment of $372. with interest thereon to be computed at the rate of six per cent per annum from the 1st day of January 1826 till paid the debt and interest and $9.74 cents the costs in the writ aforesaid specified. And also that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting this writ. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered ~

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Wednesday the 20th day of March A.D. 1861 and in the 85th year of the Commonwealth.

Present. Hon. Thos. J. Gholson Judge.

John E. Harris Foreman with Samuel M. Moody, William D. Young, James M. Williams, Geo. C. Nash, Herbert Williams, William H. Mitchell, Newman Williams, James C. Bain, John G. Hotchcock, Balaam G. Perkins, T. F. Thweatt, Benj. H. Spiers, H. A. Neaves, D. A. Webb and Braxton Davis were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County received their charge withdrew, and after sometime spent therein returned into Court with the following presentments viz: "We present Henry T. Mitchell, he being the Surveyor of that part of a certain road leading from Cow Meadow Branch in the County of Dinwiddie to Sappony Creek in said County and in District No 3 for not keeping the said road cleared smoothed of rocks and obstructions and of the necessary width well drained and otherwise in good order and secure from the falling of dead timber therein within the past six months. This presentment made upon the testimony of G. J. Brown a witness called on by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury.

"We present John Connelly for an assault and Battery on the person of Daniel B. Mitchell at Dinwiddie Courthouse being the Courthouse of this County on the 18th March 1861, on the evidence of Henry E. Organ sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury.

"We present Daniel B. Mitchell for an assault and Battery on the person of John Connelly at Dinwiddie Courthouse being the Courthouse of this County on the 18th March 1861, on the evidence of Henry E. Organ sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury.

"We present John S. Hall and George Hall for placing obstructions and thereby preventing the safe travel on Road No 104 in the County of Dinwiddie within the past six months, on the evidence of John Sturdivant sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury.

"We present R. B. W. Warren he being surveyor of that part of a certain road leading from Malone's Bridge on Stony Creek to Goodrum's Quarter in the County of Dinwiddie in District No 3. No of Road 97 for not keeping said road cleared, smoothed of rock and obstructions, of the necessary width well drained and otherwise in good order and secure from the falling of dead timber therein within the past six months, on the evidence of H. A. Neaves one of the Grand Jury he having been sworn in open Court to give evidence.

Whereupon on the motion of the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that process be issued against the several persons so as aforesaid presented returnable here on the first day of September term next. And the Grand Jury having nothing further to

present, were discharged.

Joseph D White and Benjamin B White partners under the firm and style of J D White & Co. Plts
against
Isaac S Keeler and Jane T Orgain Dfts } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond &c.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Two hundred and seventy seven dollars and fifty four cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of one hundred and thirty eight Dollars and seventy seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of December 1860 till paid and said costs.

William H Spicely Plt.
against
B F Hill, Green Hill & Wm A Adams Dfts } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of of Four hundred and sixty Dollars and thirty six cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and thirty dollars and eighteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860 till paid and said costs.

Joseph D White and Benj B White partners under the style of Joseph D White & Co Plts
against
I S Keeler. W A Adams & R G Boileau Dfts. } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Two hundred and thirty nine dollars and eighty two cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and sixty nine dollars and ninety one cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860, till paid, and said costs.

David May Plt.
against
I S Keeler and Janet Orgain Defts } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the

satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Two hundred and ninety six dollars and twelve cents the penalty of said bond - and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and forty eight dollars and six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1860. till paid, and said costs. ~

Mary A. Pinchback Plt.
against
Thomas Wheeler, Gardner Ledbetter and William T. Doyle Defts
} In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Four hundred and ninety three dollars and fifty six cents the penalty of said bond, and also her costs by her about her motion in this behalf expended - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and forty six dollars and seventy eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860. and said costs. ~

David Lanier executor of William Lanier decd Plt.
against
J. S. Reeler, W. A. Adams, Wm H. Royall and Daniel E. Rainey. ~ Defts
} In a motion for award of execution on a forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Nine hundred and seventy four dollars and fifty eight cents the penalty of said bond - and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Four hundred and eighty seven dollars and twenty nine cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860, and said costs. ~

The Same Plt.
against
J. S. Reeler, Wm D. Young & C. A. Hargrave Defts
} In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came

This judgment is satisfied for and of record in this A. Whitten Clk

not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Four hundred and twenty four dollars and eighty cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Two hundred and twelve dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860 till paid, and said costs.

David Lanier executor of Wm Lanier decd Plff
against
S. S. Keeler, W. A. Adams, C. Hargrave and R. G. Bofman Defts

In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Nine hundred and forty three dollars and seventy six cents the penalty of said bond and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Four hundred and seventy one dollars and eighty eight cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860 till paid, and said costs.

Thomas Branch, John Branch, James Branch and Frederick Seth partners under the style of Thomas Branch & Sons.
against
James T. Orgain and S. S. Keeler Defts

Plff

In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of One hundred and forty six dollars and thirty two cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Seventy three dollars and sixteen cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860 till paid and said costs.

George Whitmore
against
James H. Felton, Charles W. Breiton and Robert C. River Defts

Plff

In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have

execution against the defendants for the sum of One thousand one hundred and six dollars and eighty eight cents the penalty of said bond - and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Five hundred and fifty three dollars and forty four cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day of October 1860. till paid, and said costs. -

A. W. Boyseau who sues for the benefit of David F. May Plt. against John W. Brown and James P. Boyseau Surviving Obligors of themselves and James W. Boyseau dec^d Defts. } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond

This day came the plaintiff and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not - therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Eight hundred and ninety five dollars and six cents the penalty of said bond, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended - But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Four hundred and forty seven dollars and fifty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of November 1860. till paid and said costs. -

Thomas S. Gholson and James Alfred Jones late partners in the practice of the law under the firm and style of Gholson & Jones Plts. against Thomas Lewis and J. P. Gilliam Defts. } In a motion for award of execution on a forfeited forthcoming bond.

This day came the plaintiffs and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, Isaac S. Keller attorney for the defendants in open Court confessed a judgment for the plaintiffs against the defendants for Three hundred and twenty two dollars and forty six cents the penalty of said bond, and also the costs of this motion. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum of One hundred and sixty one dollars and twenty three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of September 1860 till paid and said costs. -

The Commonwealth Plt. against Lewis W. Alley Deft } On an Information.

It is ordered that this prosecution be continued until the first day of the next term for the defendant.

The Same Plt. against Dixon B. Wells Deft } Upon a Rule to Shew Cause &c.

The process awarded in this case at the last

term being returned not found, on the motion of the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that new process be awarded against the defendant according to law returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why an information should not be filed on the presentment aforesaid.

The Commonwealth — Plt. } Upon a presentment
against } of the Grand Jury made
Henry E. Organ — Deft } against the defendant
at September term 1860 for an assault and battery on the person of Robert F. Reade

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an information against the defendant on the presentment aforesaid, the process awarded against the defendant at the last term being returned executed — to which information the defendant by his attorney pleaded not guilty and thereof put himself upon the Country, and the attorney for the Commonwealth likewise — and the trial of the issue is deferred until the first day of the next term.

A. S. Shafer & Co — Plt } In case
against
J. B. White — Deft }

William L. Barrow's exor — Plt. } In case
against
Ebenezer Prastie — Deft }

William Chilcoy — Plt } In case
against
John DeHamming — Deft }

It is ordered that these suits be continued until the first day of the next term.

Amy G. Browder — Plt } In case
against
James W. Gunn — Deft }

By direction of the plaintiff's attorney it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

John A. Jeter who sues for the benefit of Wm R. Jeter — Plt } In case
against
Henry G. Mitchell and James T. J. Moore — Defts }

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendants by their attorney who filed a plea in writing to which the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally — Whereupon the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's Office is on the motion of the defendants by their attorney set aside and the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

John D Rayland assignee of E A Maddera Plt
against
James A King administrator of Stephen P. Pool dec^d who was in his lifetime Co-obligor with Wm A. Maddox. Deft } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendant by his attorney withdrawing his plea heretofore entered in this cause said he cannot gainsay the plaintiff's action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty four dollars and eighty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of October 1853 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the deceased in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof he hath to be administered.

Henderson & Lee Plt
against
William W. Burnell Deft } In Case

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and on the motion of the defendant it is ordered that the writ of enquiry awarded in this suit at rules held in the Clerk's office be set aside and thereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded non assumpsit to which the plaintiff replied generally. And the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

R. F. Reade Sometimes called R F Reade assignee of R W Vaughan who was assignee of W T Tett Plt
against
E. P. Tett Deft } In Debt.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came also a jury, to wit: Albert S. Tuckler, John W. Sturdivant, William H. Hawkins, Robert B. Thweatt, W. W. Davis, William T. Perkins, John T. Blick, James C. Bain, James Field Jr, A. C. Nannally, Legrand M. Tuckler and D A Harmon, who elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, having heard the evidence, by consent of parties Albert S. Tuckler one of the jurors aforesaid was withdrawn and the rest of the jury of the jury from rendering their verdict discharged. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney withdrew his plea heretofore entered in this cause and said he could not gainsay the plaintiff's action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of August 1860 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel R Lucy assignee of John H Williamson Plt
against
Charles F. Williamson executor of Hambleton Williamson dec^d Deft } In Debt

This day came the defendant by his attorney, and on his motion it is ordered that the judgment obtained in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendant by his attorney pleaded payment and offset, which offsets were accordingly filed - to which plea the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally - And the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

Samuel R Lucy assignee of George H Lucy Plt.
against
R G Boisseau and T. S. Keeler Defts } In Debt

This day came the defendants by their attorneys and on their motion it is ordered that the judgment obtained in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendants by their attorneys pleaded payment and offsets, which offsets were accordingly filed - to which plea the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally - And the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

Lucy O'Feild Plt
against
Thomas B. Elder and Daniel E Rainey Defts } In Debt

This day came the defendants by their attorney, and on their motion it is ordered that the judgment obtained in this case at rules held in the Clerk's Office be set aside. Whereupon the defendants by their attorney pleaded payment, to which the plaintiff replied generally. And the trial of the issue is deferred until the next term.

R. E. Butts and P. H. Worsham gentlemen, who have been duly licensed to practise the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on their motion, have leave to practise in this Court; And thereupon, they took the several oaths prescribed by law.

On the motion of James H Ferguson who took the oath prescribed by law and entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penality of Two hundred dollars with Richardson H Dance his security conditioned according to law, certificate is granted him for obtaining letters of administration on the estate of John Cralle dec^d in due form.

Ordered that James J. Baldwin, Thomas J. Draper, George Whitmore, Samuel G. Wainwright and Richardson H Dance or any three of them being first sworn before a Justice of the peace do appraise in current money the personal estate of the said decedent and make return thereof under their hands to the Clerk of this Court.

James Warren administrator of Thomas Warren dec^d
Plff
against
Stanfield Wells
Deft

Upon a summons issued against Dan^l Malone administrator of Richard E. Wells dec^d suggesting money or effects of the defendants in the said Malone's hands as administrator as aforesaid which are liable to the payment of execution of the plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of $220, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 27th day of June 1841 till paid and costs of $5.09 cents and also costs of $7.38 cents - subject to a credit of $150, paid the 24th day of July 1852.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the said Daniel Malone administrator of said Richard E Wells dec^d, who being sworn, on oath, saith that he is the administrator of the said Richard E Wells dec^d and as such administrator he has effects belonging to the estate of the decedent in which the defendant has an interest - but said interest is not yet ascertained - And on the motion of the plaintiff this case is continued until the next term.

The Court this day appointed Dr John E Harris, John W. Darby and Richardson H Dance a committee to examine and inspect the Jail of this County - Whereupon they took the oath prescribed by law and were directed to make their report to the Court tomorrow morning.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning ten O'Clock

Thos. J. Gholson

Thursday March the 21st 1861.

Present The same Judge as yesterday.

Young and Burwell Pltf. } In Case
against
Thomas Richardson Deft }

This day came the parties, by their attornies, and the arbitrator to whom the determination of the matters in difference between the parties were submitted by a rule of this Court, the 29th day of September last past, this day returned his award in these words. "I, Charles A Hargrave to whom was referred by an order of the 29th September 1860, of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie, of all matters in difference between Young and Burwell plaintiffs and Thomas Richardson defendant, report, that after an examination of the papers in the cause and the evidence adduced before me, I find the defendant indebted unto the plaintiffs in the sum of nineteen dollars and thirty four cents, with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1860 till paid. Therefore I award that the said Thomas Richardson shall pay to the plaintiffs the said sum of $19:34 cents with interest as aforesaid and that he also pay the costs of this suit. In confirmation whereof, it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Nineteen dollars and thirty four cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1860, till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Dr John E Harris, John H. T. Darby and Richardson H Dance the Committee appointed on yesterday to examine and inspect the jail of this County, this day made their report, which was read and ordered to be certified to the County Court of this County, with the requisitions to cause the necessary repairs to be made to the said jail as speedily as possible.

An account of Dr E A Smith against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Forty four dollars and twenty five cents was proved by the oaths of said Smith and Charles A Hargrave, examined, allowed and ordered to be certified to the first Auditor for payment. The Court certifying that the prisoner Winfield S. Wells who was tried and convicted of a felony, was taken dangerously ill on the trial and the said Dr E A Smith directed by the Court to render medical aid to him. The Court also certifies that the said Winfield S. Wells was sworen by the oath of the said Charles A Hargrave to be insolvent.

An account of Dr E A Smith against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to ten dollars was proved by the oath of said Smith and Charles A Hargrave, examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the first auditor for payment.

Samuel K. Lucy adm^r for W. Williamson Plt
against
Charles T. Williamson executor of Hamilton Williamson dec^d Deft } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party requiring a jury, and it appearing to the Court that the offsets plead and filed by the defendant in this cause are more than the plaintiffs' demand against the defendant, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing for his bill - and that he pay to the defendant his costs by him about his defense in this behalf expended.

John E. Harris, Samuel M. Moody, William D. Gunn, James M. Williams, George C. Wach, Herbert Williams, William H. Mitchell, Norman Williams, James C. Bain, John G. Hitchcock, William H. Hawkins, Balaam G. Perkins, F. F. Threatt, Benjamin H. Spiers, W. A. Neaves, Dunlin H. Webb and Preston Davis are allowed each one dollar for their respective services rendered at this term as Grand Jurors to be paid out of the County Treasury.

James C. Bain, John W. Sturdivant, Albert S. Tucker, West Hamlin, Robert B. Threatt, Wm W. Davis, Wm T. Perkins, John S. Bliss, A. C. Nunnally, Sergeant M. Tucker, D. A. Harmon, James Kidd Jr, James Ferguson, Hubbard Crocker, John H. Daly, Thomas R. Hawton, William P. Claiborne, and William Dabney, are allowed each fifty cents for their respective services rendered at this term as petit jurors to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Samuel K. Lucy assignee of George A. Lucy Plt.
against
Robert G. Borseau and Isaac S. Keeler Defts } In Debt.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party requiring a jury in this case, the Court tried the issues in this case and ascertained that the defendants are indebted unto the plaintiff in the sum of One hundred and fifty five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day of March 1860 till paid, subject to a credit of Thirty one dollars and fifty four cents paid the 31st day of December 1860. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and fifty five dollars with interest aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid - And that the plaintiff recover against the defendants his costs about his suit in this behalf expended.

The Court certifies that E. W. Smith this day presented an account against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to twenty two dollars and fifty cents due in the year 1855, and proved by a witness that the same was just and reasonable under the circum-

tiaries. He made oath that the same had never been paid him; that he has not presented the same to be certified by the Court before because all his claims had been in other hands from which he had just received the same. Which account is certified to the first auditor for payment.

The Court certifies that E. H. Finch this day presented an account against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to $13.20, due in the year 1856, and proved by a witness to be just. That the free negro Dennis Simmons was insolvent, and made oath himself that the said account had never been paid him; that he has not presented the same to be certified by the Court before because all his claims had been in other hands from which he has just received the same. Which account is certified to the first Auditor for payment.

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, H. J. Heartwell Sheriff of this County is allowed the sum of ten dollars and Charles A. Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars, for their respective public services rendered since the last term. Which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment. The Court refuses to make an allowance of ten dollars to H. J. Heartwell as Jailer for services rendered since the last term, because of the facts set forth in the report of the Committee appointed to inspect the jail according to law, this day made to the Court.

Ordered that Robert G. Bapeau be allowed the sum of five dollars for attention to the Court, making fires & furnishing water during this term. Which allowance is certified to the first Auditor for payment.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Thos. S. Gholson

Office Judgment Confirmed the 21st March 1861.

Wm. N. Ledbetter who sues for the benefit of F. W. Barker Plt.
against (In Debt)
J. Winfield Bishop and Richard Winfield, Co-Obligors with Mary S. P. Pool Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff's action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1860. till paid; and also his costs by him expended about this suit to be credited for $17.50 paid 11th February 1860,

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Thursday the 20th day of March AD 1862 and in the 86th year of the Commonwealth.

Present-

Hon Thomas S. Tholson Judge

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court is allowed the sum of One hundred dollars, H. J. Heartwell Sheriff of this County is allowed the sum of Twenty dollars; the same as Jailor of this County is allowed the sum of Thirty dollars, and Charles A Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of thirty dollars, for their public services respectively rendered in the last twelve months- Which several allowances are certified to the first auditor for payment.

In consequence of the inclement weather, the Militia being called out, and Martial Law existing over ten miles of the County, it was impossible to empanel a grand jury.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Thos. S. Tholson

Office Judgments Confirmed the 20th day of March 1862,

Leonidas B. Hawks guardian of C D Pollards Children who sues for the benefit of Joseph B. Pollard. Plff

against

William C Jones co-obligor with S. G Jones Deft } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and sixty dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1860 till paid- and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended-

Robert F. Reade Plff

against } In Debt

S. S. Keeler and Philip G. Jones Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred

and ninety seven dollars with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of February 1861 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

F. J. E. Jones Plt.
against } In Debt
David A. Harmon, H. J. Bearwell, C. A. Hargrave, John W. Harmon and John H. Williamson Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th June 1859 till paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is to be credited for One hundred and thirty five dollars and ninety four cents paid the 18th day of January 1860, as per endorsement on bond.

| | |
|---|---|
| adj. | $300 |
| Stf. | 250 |
| Costs | 336 |
| | 8,86 |

Albert Dabney executor of the last Will and Testament of Catharine L. Butler decd. who sues for the benefit of Ann E. Butler Plt.
against } In Debt
Marianne Bibseau executrix of the last Will and Testament of Peter Bibseau decd. who was jointly bound with John A. Muir and William A. Muir. Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs' action: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two thousand three hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 5th day of February 1855, till paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of Peter Bibseau decd. in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof she hath to be administered. But this judgment must be credited for the interest paid up to 5th day of February 1860.

The Same Plt.
against } In Debt.
Marianne Bibseau executrix of the last Will and Testament of Peter Bibseau decd. who was jointly bound with John A. Muir & Henry Cousins. Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirteen hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of June 1855 till paid. And also his costs by him about

his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and Chattels of Peter Boiseau decd in the hands of the defendant to be administered if so much thereof she hath to be administered But this judgment must be credited for the interest paid up to 15th June 1858, and for the sum of Two hundred dollars paid the 22d June 1859.

At a Special Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof, on the 26th day of November 1862 and in the 87th year of the Commonwealth.

Present - Hon. Thomas S. Gholson Judge.

Charles F. Goodwyn attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court, is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, W. J. Mcartwell Sheriff of this County is allowed the sum of Ten dollars, the same as jailor of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and Charles A. Vaughan Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of Fifteen dollars for their public services respectively rendered since the last Term - which several allowances are certified to the first Auditor for payment.

An account current of James H. Ferguson administrator of John Cralle decd having been returned thirty days and no exceptions being filed to the same it is ordered that said account be recorded and that the administrator pay out the balance in his hands according to the report thereon of the Commissioner.

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next Term.

Thos. S. Gholson

Special

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Court-house thereof on ~~Tuesday~~ Monday the 20th day of ~~March~~ April 1863 and in the 87th year of the Commonwealth

Present- Hon. Thomas S. Shelton, Judge.

C. F. Goodwyn's & H. J. Heartwells allowance copied. & A. Hargrave cpd.

Charles F. Goodwyn Attorney for the Commonwealth in this Court is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, Charles A. Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and H. J. Heartwell Jailor of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and the same as Sheriff of this County is allowed the sum of ten dollars for their respective public services rendered since the last term- Which several allowances are certified to the first Auditor for payment.

Sheriff

James C. Bain Foreman with John H. T. Darby, Saml G. Wainwright, Samuel M. Moody, James M. Williams, William P. Spain, George C. Nash, George W. Goodwyn, Braxton Davis, Benjamin H. Bisseau, J. J. Abernathy, Wm. A. H. Edwards, Charles W. Coker, B. R. Lewis, Geo W. Perkins, Oliver Chappell and Richard W. Griswold were sworn a Grand Jury of inquest for the body of this County received their charge withdrew and after some time spent in their room, returned into Court with the following presentments.

We present Francis B. Jones, for a violent assault and battery on the person of the Rev. Isham R. Finley D.D., on a public highway in this County within the last six months against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth, on the evidence of the Rev I. R. Finley D.D. and Drury L. Wells witnesses sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury.

We present Philip Greenhill Jones for a violent assault and battery on the person of the Rev. Isham R. Finley D.D. on a public highway in this County within the last six months against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth upon the evidence of the Rev I. R. Finley D.D. and Drury L. Wells witnesses sworn in open Court and sent to the Grand Jury.

Whereupon on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that process be issued against the several persons as aforesaid presented returnable here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why informations should not be filed against them in the presentments aforesaid And the jury having nothing further to present were discharged

Ordered that each of the above named jurors be allowed one dollar each for their respective services as grand jurors to be paid out of the County Treasury

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term

Thos. S. Shelton

At a Circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on Saturday the 26th day of September AD 1863 -

Present - Hon. William J. Joynes, Judge -

The Commonwealth Plt. } Upon a rule issued
against } against the defendant
F. J. E. Jones Deft } to show cause why
an information should not be filed on a presentment of the grand jury made against him at April Term 1863 for a violent assault and battery on the person of Isham R. Finley D.D in the public highway in the County of Dinwiddie,

This day came the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an information against the defendant on the presentment aforesaid - Whereupon it is ordered that the defendant be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said information. —

The Same Plt } Upon a rule issued
against } against the defen=
Philip G. Jones Deft } dant to show cause
why an information should not be filed on a presentment of the Grand jury made against the defendant ~~on the presentment aforesaid~~ ~~at April~~ at April term 1863 for a violent assault and battery on the person of Isham R Finley D. D. in the public highway in the County of Dinwiddie

This day came the Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court filed an information against the defendant on the presentment aforesaid Whereupon it is ordered that the defen=dant be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to answer said information. —

Charles F. Goodwyn Attorney for the Common=wealth in this Court is allowed the sum of Fifty dollars, Charles A. Hargrave Clerk of this Court is allowed the sum of Fifteen dollars, and H. J. Heartwell Jailor of this Court is allowed the sum of fifteen dollars and the same as Sheriff of this County is al=lowed the sum of ten dollars for their respective public services rendered since the last term - Which several allowances are certified to the first Auditor for payment

separate

Roger P. Atkinson Foreman with William N. H. Edwards, John J. Abernathy, A. O. Webb, A. W. Snyder, R. G. Bilbeau, Isaac O. Eper, James C. Bain, Wm D. Young Jr., James W. P. Pott, John H. Williams, B. H. Bilbeau, George W. Goodwyn, Braxton Davis, Thomas B. Elder, A. W. Cassins, George Cobarh, and John H. Darby were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County. And having received their charge retired to their room. And after some time returned into Court, and made the following presentments

We present John N. Longworth that he did unlawfully but not felonionsly injure the property of the heirs of John Butterworth dec^d in the County of Dinwiddie within the last six months by cutting down and taking and carrying away wood and timber from the land of John Butterworth's heirs, not his own — on the evidence of William P. Porter and George Bailey called on by the Court, sworn in open Court and sent up to give evidence to the Grand Jury

We present Abbet Armstrong for making an assault and battery in the County of Dinwiddie in the ~~[illegible]~~ person of Private Lawson of the 32nd Va Regiment within the last twelve months on the evidence of Robert G. Bilbeau a witness sworn in open Court and sent ~~[illegible]~~ before the Grand Jury.

We present Azariah Armstrong for unlawfully assaulting and wounding with intent to kill A. Spain and R. G. Bilbeau in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months on the evidence of R. G. Bilbeau and Thos E. Hargrave, witnesses sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury

We present Phillip G. Jones for placing in the hands of Dick Hill a free negro, a bowie knife an unlawful weapon, within the last twelve months on the evidence of H. J. Heartwell and Charles N. Hargrave, witnesses sworn in open Court and called on by the Grand Jury — The Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged.

Whereupon the Judge of this Court this day issued a warrant from the bench to the Sheriff and any Constable of the County aforesaid directed, commanding him to apprehend the said Azariah Armstrong and carry him before a justice of the peace of said County to answer said charge, and to summon R. G. Bilbeau and Thos E. Hargrave to appear before the said justice to testify —

On the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that the said John

Ordered that Daniel May and A. C. Butler be appointed Commissioners to examine the Lee Hill and the records and papers in the Clerk's Office of this County and report whether the Clerk has kept his charge and report the first day of the next Term of this Court.

N. Longworth, Ashbel Armstrong and Philip G. Jones so as aforesaid presented to appear here on the first day of the next term to show cause if any they can why information should not be filed on the presentments aforesaid

Ordered that Roger P. Atkinson, W. H. H. Edwards, John J. Abernathy, A. O. Webb, A. W. Snyder, J. G. Bisheau, S. O. Eper, J. C. Bain, W. D. Gunn, Gr. James W. P. Peck, John H. Williamson, B. H. Bisheau, George W. Goodwyn, Braxton Davis, Thos. B. Elder, A. W. Cirvin, George C. Nash and John H. T. Darby be allowed each one dollar for their respective services at this Court as Grand Jurors to be paid out of the County Treasury.

9 Mark

Ordered that Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Wm L. Byrne

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Court house thereof on Monday the 21st day of March 1864 and in the 88th Year of our Commonwealth.

Present
Hon. Wm T. Joynes. Judge

The Attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth by consent of the Court saith that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth against John N. Longworth on a presentment for unlawfully but not maliciously injuring the property of the heirs of John Butterworth dec'd. It is therefore ordered that he be discharged from further prosecution in this cause

D R W. Griswold, E A Wyatt and Madison Gill are appointed Commissioners to examine the jail of this County and report to the Court, the number of apartments, accommodations, and general condition thereof—

On motion of E M. Mann, Gentleman, who hath obtained License to practice law in the Courts of this Commonwealth Leave is given him to practice in this Court, whereupon the said Mann appeared in Court and took the several oaths by law required

On motion of Charles A Hargrave Clerk of this Court Wm H Mann is permitted to qualify as his Deputy whereupon the said Mann appeared in Court and took the several oaths by law required

The committee appointed to examine the jail made the following report—
The undersigned having been appointed by the Judge of the Circuit Court March Term 1864, to inspect the jail of Dinwiddie County Respectfully report to the Court; that the jail is a substantial two Story Rock building with Four rooms - two above and two below each room being 12½ by 14 feet and every way comfortable with as much Ventilation as a jail can well have and all the rooms except one furnished with good Stoves & in every way respect answerable to the requirements of the 2nd Section of the 5th Chapter of the Code, except that there is no bed in any of the rooms, In our opinion the jail is a comfortable & safe one We further report that the jailor so far as we know or believe or have been able to ascertain has performed all the duties required of him by the 3rd Section of said 5th Chapter of the Code except that the walls of the rooms have not been white washed—

M R Griswold
Edward A Wyatt
M. M Gill

J. C. Bain foreman, Jas M. Williams, C. Wheeler R. W. Griswold, B. R. Lewis, C. C. Wells H. C. Wilson, G. C. Nash, J. H. T. Darby, Braxton Davis, Thos Johnson, Thos M. Jones, J. J. Abernathy, R. P. Atkinson James. F. Turner & Jas G. Boisseau were sworn a grand Jury of inquest for the body of this County, and having received their charge retired to their room, and after some time returned into Court and reported in the following words-

We the jurors of the Commonwealth of Virginia in and for the body of the County of Dinwiddie and now attending the said Court upon our oaths report no business -

The committee appointed to examine the Clerks office made the following report-

"To the Hon.r Judge of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie, the report of David May & Augustus C. Butts Commissioners appointed to examine the fee books and the condition of the records of the Clerks Office of this Court, respectfully report that we have examined the same and find the fee book regularly kept & the charges proper &c according to law. We find the records and papers in the Clerks office regularly kept and in good order and that so far as we can ascertain the Clerk has faithfully discharged his duties, Respectfully submitted.

March 21st 1864 -

David May } Commrs &c
Augt C. Butts }

| | |
|---|---|
| The Commonwealth of Virginia vs Lewis. W. Alley | Presenting } Upon a prest Defendant |
| Same vs Connelly | Pro } Upon a prest Deft |
| Same vs Wells | Pro } Upon a prest Deft |
| Same vs Healls | Pro } Upon a prest Defts |
| Same vs Warren | Pro } Upon a prest Deft |
| Same vs F. H. C. Jones | Pro } Upon a prest Deft |
| Same vs P. G. Jones | Pro } Upon a prest. Deft |

These causes are continued until the next term.

Commonwealth — Pros.
against — } Upon a venire
F. J. E Jones. — Deft

Same — Pros
vs — } Upon a prest
P. G. Jones — Defts

This day came as well the Commwlth by her attorney and the defendant by his his atty. and thereupon the said defendant pleaded not guilty to which the atty for the Commwlth replied generally and this cause is continued until the next term for the defendants

Commwlth of Virginia — Pros
vs — } Upon a prest
Henry Y. Mitchell — Defts

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the said defendant has departed this life. it is ordered that the prosecution against him abate.

Same — Pros
vs — } Upon a prest
P. G. Jones — Defts

On motion of the atty for the Commwlth leave is given him to file an information in this cause.

Same — Pros
vs — } Upon a prest
Jno. R. Longworth — Defts

This day came the attorney for the Commwlth and saith that he will not further prosecute the defendant in this cause, therefore it is considered that the said prosecution be dismissed, and the defts go thereof without day.

Same — Pros
vs — } Upon a prest
Armstrong — Defts

On motion of the atty for the Commwlth leave is given him to file an information in this cause.

The Commwlth of Va. — Pros
vs — } Upon a prest
Henry E. Coyner — Defts

This day came the atty for the commwlth and the defendant by his attorney and thereupon came a Jury to-wit: James Kidd, R.R. Geo. Robt. G. Bonicow. Robt Gibbs, James Hargrove, Wm. R. Sedditter, O.W. Chappell Hosanville Wells, Branch T Elder, Geo W. Perkins J. H. Williamson and H. A. Nevin, who being duly sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak returned their verdict in these words, "We of the Jury find the defendant Not Guilty. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be discharged & go thereof without day.

The Court doth allow unto C. A. Hargrove Clerk of
Cop MMM this Court fifty dollars for his services as such for the last six months,

The Court doth allow unto C. F. Gordon Atty for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services during the present term,

The Court doth allow unto H. I. Heartwell Sheriff the
Cop sum allowed by law for his services as such during the present term,

Cop The Court doth allow unto Ben Lee Sailor the sum allowed by law for his services during the present term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned till Court in course

Wm T. Joynes

In Vacation July 20th 1864

I William T Joynes Judge of the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie do hereby certify that William Hodges Mann who has been elected clerk of the said Court has this day qualified to his said office before me by taking the several oaths by law prescribed to-wit the oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth, the oath to support the Constitution of the Confederate States, the Anti duelling oath and the oath of office, And I further certify that he has delivered to me a bond in the penalty of Six thousand dollars conditioned according to law with J. C. Griffin & H. I. Heartwell his securities who have been accepted by me as sufficient, which bond is certified to the Clerk of the Court, Court of Dinwiddie together with the Power of Attorney executed by the said securities both to be recorded.

Wm T. Joynes

Teste.

Wm H. Mann Clerk.

In Vacation July 25th 1864

I William T Joynes Judge of the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie hereby certify that B. J. Epes who has been elected Attorney for the Commonwealth for the said County this day appeared before me and took the several oaths following namely the oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth the oath to support the Constitution of the Confederate States the Anti duelling oath and the oath of office as Attorney for the Commonwealth for the County of Dinwiddie

Wm T. Joynes

Teste

Wm H Mann Clerk

In Vacation
Dinwiddie Co Va
September 26th 1865

This day personally appeared before Judge of the Circuit Court for Dinwiddie County A. M. Organ and qualified as Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County above named by taking the Several oaths by law prescribed, The said Organ entered into and acknowledged a bond with Isaac S. Keller Wm F. Doyle & R. C. Shell his Securities in the penalty of Three thousand Dollars Conditioned as the law directs, which bond is certified to the Clerk of Dinwiddie County Court to be recorded.

Edw d K. Chambers;
Teste, A M. Organ clk

In Vacation
Dinwiddie Co Va.
September 26th 1865

R. H. Dance and A. M. Organ are hereby appointed Commissioners in Chancery for the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie and A M Organ has this day taken the Oaths of Office prescribed by law. Given under my hand this day & date above

Edw d K Chambers
Teste.
A. M. Organ Clk

In Vacation
Dinwiddie Co Va.

At the request of A. M. Organ Clerk of the Circuit Court for Dinwiddie County I consent to the appointment of R. C. Shell as Deputy Clerk of the same. Given under my hand this 26th September 1865.

Edw d K Chambers.
Teste.
A M. Organ Clk

Dinwiddie County To wit

This day personally appeared before me a Justice of the Peace in and for the above named County R. C. Shell Deputy Clerk in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County and qualified to his Office by taking the Several oaths required by law. Given under my hand this 26th day of September 1865.

Wm F. Doyle J.P.
Teste,
A. M. Organ Clk

State of Virginia }
Dinwiddie County } To wit.

This day R H Dance Esq. personally appeared before me a Justice of the Peace for the County aforesaid, and took the Oath prescribed by law to discharge the duties as Commissioner in Chancery as per above appointment, Given under my hand this the 16th day of October 1865.

James A Bain J.P.
Teste.
A. M. Organ Clk

**Pages 293-294 were missing in the original book.**

**Pages 293-294 were missing in the original book.**

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Tuesday 20th day of March 1866. and of the Commonwealth the 90th.

Present
Hon Edward K. Chambers Judge.

State of Virginia, County of Mecklenburg To wit.
I Wm Townes, a Justice of the Peace in and for the County of Mecklenburg, in the State aforesaid, do certify, that Edward K Chambers who is named in the Commission hereto annexed as Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit, this day personally appeared before me in my said County, and took the Oath of Allegiance to the restored Government of the State of Virginia, The "Oath of Fidelity" The oath against Duelling" and the "Oath of Office as Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit.
Given under my hand this 17th day of March in the year Eighteen hundred and Sixty Six (1866).

Wm Townes J.P.
Mecklenburg Co.
Teste. A.M. Organ Clk.

By order of the Judge the number of Grand Jurors are limited to Sixteen.

James C Bum, Foreman, with John F Young, Jno I. Mitchell, Charles C Mills, Wm P St Edwards, Geo C. Nash, William T Perkins, William D Young Jr. Jno H T Darby, Chas W Coker, Ro. W. Hall, I A Brough, A. W. Reams, Geo D. Baskerville, W T Doyle & John E Crump. were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the Body of this County received their charge, withdrew and after some time spent in their room returned into Court. ~~[illegible]~~ and having made no presentments ask to be adjourned for the purposes of sending for witnesses until Thursday the 22d Inst. On consideration the Court doth order the same adjourned, to meet here at 10 O'Clock on Thursday the 22d Inst.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 Clock
Ed. K. Chambers

Affirmed

Wednesday 21st March 1866.

Present Hon Edward R Chambers Judge.

Lucy A Field. Death of the Plaintiff. Field & Deft.
vs Daniel E Ramey. Engaged.
Elder & Ramey.

Daniel Malone Executor named in the last will & Testament of Thomas G. Chappell was offered for proof was thereupon

Ro G. Chappell & others Contestants. } Upon an appeal from
vs } the decision of the County.
Daniel Malone Exr. &c } Court.

This day this cause came on to be heard and the Contestants asking for a Jury. To wit Edward Conway. C P Bruce. W. D. Connally. Seth P Andrews. Jno S. Blick. Thomas N. Branch. Thos Jones. Jno D. Stone. Peter E Rose. Jos N. Brooks. Jones D Crew. & Jos N Crender who being duly sworn and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time returned into Court and reported a verdict in these words viz. We the Jury find the paper writing hereto annexed to be the true last will and testament of Thomas G. Chappell dec'd. Whereupon it is the opinion of this Court that the order of the County Court admitting said will to record be confirmed, and the said will is ordered to be recorded as the true last will and testament of Thomas G. Chappell dec'd. And thereupon Daniel Malone the Executor therein named in open Court refused to take upon himself the burthen of the Execution thereof. Alexander Damron and Edwin M Mann were appointed administrators with the will annexed of Thos G. Chappell dec'd. Whereupon the said Damron & Mann qualified as such by taking the several oaths by law required and with Daniel Malone and H. L. Hamlett their securities entered into and acknowledged their Official bond in the penalty of Twenty thousand Dollars conditioned as the law requires.

Daniel Malone. Sabin Harwell. D H Burge. B F Chappell & O N Chappell are then to act appraisers said estate and make report.

William F Thompson
vs
Stephen Reams adm. of A H Reams dec'd.

On the calling of this cause the Plaintiff introduced evidence and proved that the bond in the declaration mentioned was executed by the Defts intestate in the retirement and discharge of Bonds & debts due by the intestate to the Plaintiff before the 1st day of January 1862. To wit in 1860. which the Court ordered to be entered on the record & endorsed on the papers in this suit.

D A Hawkes
vs
Same.

On the calling of this cause the Plaintiff introduced evidence and proved that the bond in the declaration mentioned was

executed by the Defts intestate in the retirement and discharge of Bonds or debts due by the testator to the Plaintiff before the 1st day of January 1862 & not in 1860. which the Court ordered to be entered on the record and endorsed on the papers in this suits

Ordered that the Petit Jurors in the Chappell Case be allowed each 50 cents for their Services at this term of the Court

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow at 10 Oclk
Ed. R. Chambers

Thursday March 22d 1866.

Present Edward R. Chambers Judge.

The Court doth allow unto A. M. Organ Clerk of this Court ~~Fifty dollars~~ Thirty dollars for his Services as such for the past eight months.

The Court doth allow Branch J. Epes Commonwealths attorney the Sum of Fifty dollars for Services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow to Geo. J. Browne Sheriff of this County the Sum of Ten dollars dollars for Services at this time of the Court.

Thos H Hawkins
vs
Charles W Preston Sr.

By Consent of Parties By Consent Judgment by the Ct. as per Statement on file in this Cause.

The Committee appointed to examine the Jail made the following report. We the Committee appointed to examine and report on the Condition of the jail in this County, and how it has been kept for the last twelve months. Submit the following, Size of Jail 31 feet by 17½ feet, No. Apartments 4. Condition insecure and unclean. Insecure in this, that there is no fastening to the outer door. the trap doors to the inner apartments are fastened by a single bar secured by staples and pad lock. The windows as far as we know are such as usual. The partition between the cells is very slight, and easily broken through. Unclean in this a large accumulation of litter and filth. The walls have been very imperfectly whitewashed within the last few days. Uncomfortable in this that there is no bed or bedding of any discription, and the stoves out of order in one particular. The jail has only been in the hands of the Civil authorities for the last four months. During that time every prisoner has escaped, but whether or not it was dereliction on the part of the jailer we are not prepared to say.
March 22d 1866.

F. M. Parker
R. G. Burfoot
R. C. Shell

The Court doth allow the Jailer of this County The Sum of Twenty dollars for his Services,

Whereupon the Judge of this Court ordered a Summons to be issued against the Jailer of this County to appear here on the first day of the next term to show

Cause why the Jail of this County is not properly in Order.
Spa for Parker. Bispam & Shell.

An Account of R E Bishop against the Commonwealth amounting to $35.00 as Guard was examined and Certified to County Court to be paid out of the County levy. It appearing to the Court upon the report of Jurors that the Jail is insecure.

An Account of John Gibbs to the Commonwealth for Services as guard amounting to $19.00 was examined & Certified to the County to be paid out of the County levy. It appearing to the Court that the Jail is insecure,

An Account of S. D. Keys against the Commonwealth for Services as guard amounting to $64. was examined & Certified to the County Court to be paid out of the County levy. it appearing to the Court that the Jail is insecure,

The Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County sworn in on Tuesday the 20 March 1866 and adjourned over until Thursday 22 at 10 O'Clock. met according to adjournment Present James C Bain Foreman. Geo F Young Jno. J. Mitchell Chas. C Mills Wm H Sebetter Geo C. Nash Wm Perkins Wm D Young Jr. Robt T Darby. Chas W Coker. R. N. Hall. J A Brough A W Rooms Geo D Baskerville. W. F. Doyle. & John S Crump. Retired to their rooms and after sometime spent returned into Court and made the following Presentments. To wit. Present William Stevenson for feloniously taking stealing and carrying away a Grey horse from John F Young in said County within the last twelve months on the evidence of John F Young a member of the Grand Jury sent before the Court sworn and testified before the Grand Jury.

We present William Stephenson for feloniously stealing taking & carry away a Bay horse from the Plantation of Capt Wm Thomas in said County the property of Chas F. Goodwyn within the last twelve months on the evidence of Geo D Baskerville a member of the Grand Jury. Sworn in Open Court and testified before the Grand Jury.

We present J. N. Bishop a guard appointed by the Sheriff to guard the Jail in said County Containing J M. Chappell Charged with felony for neglect of duty in allowing said Chappell to escape on the 16th March 1866 from said Jail on the evidence of John T Brown a witness sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in open Court and testified before the Grand Jury.

We Present James Jackson a member of the Grand Appointed by the Sheriff to Guard the Jail in said County Containing J M Chappell Charged with felony for neglect of duty in allowing said Chappell to escape from said Jail on the 16th March 1866 on the evidence of J. N. Bishop a witness sent for by the Grand Jury sworn in Open Court and testified before the Grand Jury.

We Present Queen Meredith for a breach of the Peace and assault and Battery on the person of Edwin H. Young within the body of said County within the last six months. on the evidence of R M. Baines Jno P Dabney and Jas N Meredith witnesses

Sent for by the Grand Jury. Sworn in Open Court and testified before before the Grand Jury.

We Present D. T. Brown a member of the Guard appointed by the Sheriff to Guard the Jail in said County containing J. M. Chappell. Charged with felony for neglect of duty in allowing said Chappell to escape from said Jail on the 16th of March 1866. on the evidence of J. M. Bishop a witness sent for by the Grand Jury Sworn in Open Court and testified before the Grand Jury.

On motion of the Attorney for the Commonwealth it is ordered that a Summons be issued in the above case for the parties to appear here on the first day of the next term to shew cause if any they can why an information should not be filed upon the aforesaid presentments.

Ordered that each Grand Juror be allowed each Two dollars for services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County Treasury.

The Judge issues a warrant from the Bench directed to the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County commanding him to apprehend the William Stephenson and cause him before some Justice of the Peace of said County to answer said Charges and Summon D. T. Young as a witness to testify before said Justice.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Court in course.

Ed. R. Chambers

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Wednesday the 26th day of September 1866.

Present Hon Edward R. Chambers Judge.

By Order of the Judge the Number of Grand Jurors are reduced to Sixteen.

Jas C Bass foreman with Newman Williams J. G. Hitchcock Joseph Slater. John H Cox. Wm N Sebelle. W A Nunnally. R. W. Griswold. John J Mitchell. Henry A Williams. Jas W Davis. Jas H Pettus. B. J. Scott. John H O Dade. David T. Branton. W. D. Young Jr. who being a Grand Jury of inquest and having received their charge retired to their room, and after some time spent returned into Court with the following presentments to wit.

The Commonwealth
vs
Wm Stephenson } True Bill.

The Commonwealth
vs
Rias Carter } True Bill.

The Commonwealth
vs
Wm Burke } True Bill

The Commonwealth
vs
Richd J. Hobbs & others } True Bill.

The Commonwealth
vs
Baker Indian } True Bill.

We. of Grand Jury present. Phillip Jackson within the last six months. Being said County did assault and beat one Phillip Perry and other wrongs to him then and there did commit to the damage of the said Perry and against the Peace & dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia, One the evidence of Phillip Perry of Dinwiddie County a voluntary witness sworn and sent before the Grand Jury.

On motion of W. L. Williams E. M. Mann is appointed Trustee in the place & stead of Jas. E. Walson deed who was Trustee in a Deed of Trust made by the said Williams to said Walson dated 29 Feby 1857 and of record in the County Court of Desoto.

William H. Stephenson charged with felony, was this day led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff, and being arraigned pleaded not guilty. Whereupon of the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner and attending Twenty four were found duly qualified and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the said panel the names of Eight of the said jurors, from the remaining Sixteen a Jury was thereupon selected by ballot to-wit: B. P. Aldridge, J. R. Adams J. T. Newman James H. Hawkins J. R. Roffe A. D. Blick J. W. Watkins, W. B. Bishop, J. J. Abernathy, J. H. Ferguson, D. H. Webb and R. F. Hart who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak after having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room to consult of their verdict, and after some time spent therein returned into Court with the following verdict in these words to wit, We of the Jury find the prisoner Guilty and fix his term of imprisonment in the State penitentiary for twelve months. and the Sheriff is ordered to take Charge of the prisoner. and the prisoner was remanded to Jail.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning at 10 Oclock.

Eli Phlchambers

Thursday Sept 27th 1866

Present Hon Edward R. Chambers: Judge.

Reans Easter (Cold), charged with a felony, was this day led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned pleaded not guilty, and the Venire Summoned in this cause being called over and the Court being unable to make up the panel of Jurors and qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner. It is ordered that the Sheriff of this County Summon twelve additional jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the said panel the names of Eight of the said Jurors, from the remaining Sixteen a jury was therefore selected by ballot to wit, B. H. Spain, A. B. Gordon, J. C. Burton, W. S. Read, E. P. Wells, Jas. A. Wells, William H. Jolly, Thos. K. Hewlett, Jas. H. Ferguson, Jos. F. Wells, Jas. S. Cross, & Albert S. Tucker, who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, after having heard the evidence and argument retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court with the following verdict. We of the jury find the prisoner guilty of Grand larceny, & fix his term of imprisonment in the Public Jail and Penitentiary at ten years, and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

William Burke (Cold), charged with a felony, was this day led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned pleaded not guilty, and the venire Summoned in this cause being called over and the Court being unable to make up the panel of Jurors and qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner. It is ordered that the Sheriff of this County Summon ten additional jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the said panel the names of Eight of the said Jurors from the remaining Sixteen a jury was therefore selected by ballot to wit, R. D. Wells, Jas. S. Cross, Jas. Mayes, John Temple, J. W. Seay, R. A. Lewis, John E. Boisseau, Thos. B. Scott Esq., Wills W. H. Hawkins, W. E. Ford, & B. G. Perkins, who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, after having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court with the following verdict. We of the Jury find the prisoner guilty and fix his term of imprisonment in the Public Jail and Penitentiary of the State at four years and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Richd. S. Hobbs (Cold) Charged with House breaking with intent to Commit robbery and with Committing larceny armed with dangerous weapons was this day led to the bar in Custody of the Sheriff of this County, and being arraigned pleaded not guilty, and the Venire Summoned in this Cause being called for, and the Court being unable to make up the panel Jurors, and qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner it is Ordered that the Sheriff of this County Summon ten additional jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie, and qualified in other respects, And the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the Act of Assembly of striking from the said panel the names of Eight of the said Jurors, from the remaining Sixteen a jury was therefore selected by ballot, to wit. ~~Jacob A Wells~~ E. P. Wells. ~~Jas A Ferguson~~ Francis Williams, P. C. Clardy, John J. Blanton, B. F. Vaughan, G. A. Spain, John ~~A Grammer~~, Wm. Coleman, A. Dickinson, Wm. A. Brown, J. C. Gordon, ~~Wm H Jolly~~, C. C. Wells & E. H. Lewis who being sworn of the truth of and upon the premises to speak after having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room to consult of their Verdict and after some time, not having agreed in their verdict, and the Court being about to adjourn were committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of this County who is directed to keep them together without communication with any other person and thereupon an oath was administered to H. J. Heartwell and Wm. T. Bottom the Deputy Sheriff of this County, to the following effect. "You shall well and truly to the best of your ability keep this jury, and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they return into Court tomorrow, so help you God. And the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Richd S Kirkland who stands charged with a felony by him committed bound by a recognizance entered into before the County Court on the day of 1866. with H. J. Heartwell and Wm. T. Richardson his Securities to appear here on the 26th day of September 1866. to answer the Commonwealth of a certain felony of which he is indicted, was this day solemnly called but came not. On motion of the Attorney for the Commonwealth a Scire fa is awarded against the said Kirkland & Richardson to appear here on the first day of the next term to show cause if any they can why an execution should not issue against them for the amount of Bail in the recognizance set forth &c.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow at 10 o'clock

Ev. Rittenhouse

Friday Sept 28th 1866

Present Edward R Chambers Judge

On motion Jno Bissell is appointed Commissioner in Chancery for the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County whereupon the said Bissell took the Several Oths by law required.

Hunt &c
Bm
Pegram &c } Discontinued Plaintiffs costs

B P King
Bm
Pinchback & Young } Leave to amend & Cont'd.

Richard J Hobbs. who stands indicted for Felony was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff. and the Jury sworn in on yesterday for his trial appeared in Court according to their adjournment retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported their verdict in these words. We of the Jury find the prisoner at the bar Richd. J. Hobbs. guilty of breaking open & entering the house of Thomas Parish armed with dangerous weapons against the peace of the said Parish and the dignity of the Commonwealth of Va & fix the term of imprisonment in the Public Jail and Penitentiary Eight years, and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Daniel Jarvis. Henry Rives & Washington Jordan (Cold) charged with House breaking with intent to commit larceny, and with committing larceny armed with dangerous weapons. was this day led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff of this County and being arraigned pleaded Not guilty. and the Venire Summoned in this cause being called over. and the Court being unable to make up the panel of Jurors and qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner. it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County summon Fifteen additional jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects and the prisoner having exercised their right under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of Eight of the Jurors. the remaining Sixteen a Jury was therefore selected by ballot to wit. Jas F Wells. Thos J Dancet. Bushrod Carr. Geo C Nash. C M Bristow. Jas Reid. A B C Goodwyn. A. S. Tucker. Wm H Dolly. J A P Wells. B ~~Tucker~~ ~~[illegible]~~ W. M. B Potts. who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak. after hearing heard the evidence retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court with the following verdict, We. the Jury find the accused Henry Rives & Washington Jordan & Daniel Jarvis guilty & fix their term of imprisonment in the public Jail & State penitentiary for ten years. and the prisoners is remanded to Jail.

Baker Jordan who stands indicted for Felony was this day again set to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned pleaded not guilty, and the Venire summoned in this cause being called over and attending Twenty four were sworn duly qualified and the prisoner having exercised his peremptory under the act of Assembly of striking from said panel the names of Eight of said Jurors, from the remaining sixteen a Jury was thereupon selected by ballot to wit, Wm A Brown Jas Kidd Thos R Adams W B. Potts A Williams Thos K Atwerton Thos B. Scott R. E. Wynne, Chas W Bristow Wm O Read Jas A Ferguson & J. M. Cabaniss who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak after having heard the evidence retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time returned in to Court and reported the following verdict, We of the jury find the prisoner guilty & fix his term of imprisonment in the Public Jail & State Penitentiary for two years and the prisoner is remanded to Jail,

Shelton Stone & Co.
v
Jefferson & Williamson

Pltffs
by Mr Lear
Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon the defendants by their said attorney pleaded non assumpsit to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiffs replied generally and issue was thereupon joined,

Thos G. Chappell adm.
v
Wm Sen Perkins adr.
Dftn Chas Slade
v
E O Webb.

By consent of Parties these cause are removed to Circuit Ct Petersburg

The Commonwealth.
v
Wm H Stephenson

Charged with felony was arraigned and upon his arraignment by Counsel moved the Court to quash the indictment upon the ground that he had not been examined by an examining Court for said offence which motion the Ct sustained and the prisoner is sent back for examination

M E le Williams Gn
v
Baugh & Williams.

Plt
3 In Debt
Defs

Judgment by the Court for twenty nine dollars with Interest from 25th day of December 1859 to be compounded annually this year

The Com
v
1. Bishop
Dftn
v
Jackson
Dftn
v
Brown

The defts Counsel moved to quash the Presentment upon the ground that there was no offence charged in said presentment which motion was sustained by the Ct

Commonwealth
against
S Meredith

Plt
Upon a prest.
Deft

On motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth leave is given him to file an information in this cause.

Wm S Barrus Exor

Plt

E W Smith Trustee &

Deft

Ordered that this cause be continued until the next term of the Court

Wm H Stephenson who was convicted of Felony in Wednesday last was this day arraigned to the bar in custody of the Jailer of this County and it being demanded of him if any thing for himself he had or knew to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment It is considered by the Court that the said Wm H Stephenson be imprisoned in the penitentiary for the term of twelve months the term by the jurors in their verdict ascertained and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Wm H Stephenson from the Jail of this County to the penitentiary therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law and thereupon the said Wm H Stephenson is remanded to jail.

W S Staffordle
J B White

Dismissed by order of Plaintiffs atty.

Publes Hes
Jas P Berryman

Judgment by the Court for Seventy Six dollars and Sixty Eight cents with interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of April 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended

Seth P Hall
against
John P Gordon

Plt
In Debt
Deft

Judgment by the Court for the Plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of Sixteen hundred and forty two Dollars and fifty cents ($1642.50) with interest at 4 per cent from the 14th day of March 1861, till paid To be discharged by the payment of Ninety Nine Dollars & Eighty Nine Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of March 1864 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended.

Nancy Hall
against
John P Gordon

Plt
In Debt
Deft

Judgment by the Court against the defendant in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of Thirteen Hundred and Seventy six dollars and forty five cents with interest at the rate of 4

per Cent per Annum from 14th March 1862 till paid. to be discharged by the payment of Seventy Seven dollars and Eighty six 1 and third Cents $77.86⅔ with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 14th day of March 1862 till paid and Costs.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow at 10 O'clock.
Ed. R. Chambers

Saturday Sept. 29th 1866.

Present Edward R. Chambers Judge.

P H Espes Sometimes Called ad. of Archer S Espes. dec.
against
Geo D Baskerville.

This day Came the plaintiff by their Attorney and the defendants by their Attorney and demurred to the plaintiff declaration which demurrer the Court overruled and Judgment by the Court for the debt in the declaration mentioned To wit Fifty five Dollars and Seventy Cents with interest from 6th day of Decr 1865 till paid and Costs.

Rians Carter who was Convicted of Felony in was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailor of this County and it being demanded of him if any thing for himself he had or knew to say why the Court should not now proceede to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of Judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Rians Carter be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of ten years. the term by the jury in their verdict ascertained and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court receive and safely convey the said Rians Carter from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary there to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law. and thereupon the said ~~Hugh Stephenson~~ Rians Carter is remanded to Jail

Venable Alexander
against
Jeremiah Ford.

Plt
In Debt
Deft

This day Came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon the defendant by his said Attorney pleaded nil est factum. to which the plaintiff replied generally and the Cause is continued until another Court.

It is appearing to the Court that the services were rendered not on account of the insecurity of the Jail but on account of the large number of prisoners Confined therein the following allowances are ordered to be Certified to the auditor for to wit.

| | |
|---|---|
| To J W Bishop | 190.00 |
| S. C. Wells | 181.00 |
| R. T. Chambers | 150.00 |
| J W. Jackson | 90.00 |

Skelton Stone & Co
vs
Jefferson & Williamson } Cont'd until the next Cir of the Co.

Sydnor
vs
Taylor & Taylor.
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney & thereupon the defendant plead payment & offsets to which the plaintiff replied generally & issue was thereupon joined and Cont'd.

Thos Branch, Jos R Branch, John P Branch & F. A. Scott late partners in trade under the firm & style of Thos Branch & Sons. Plff
against
Jas. T. Organ, J S Kelly & W D Young. } Defts
Upon a Motion for a Judgment on a forfeited forthcoming or stay bond This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendants being called but Came not. Judgment is accordingly awarded against the defendts. in favor of the Plaintiffs for $162.56 But this Judgment is to be discharged by the payment of Eighty One dollars and 28 cents. with Interest from 20th day May 1861 till paid. evidence

Geo Dey pltf
vs
J Rennie. } Plf
In Debt
Deft

Same
vs
Same. } Plf
In Debt
Deft

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant by his Attorney plead Non apt to which the plaintiff replied generally & issue was thereupon joined and the Cause was Cont'd.

J W Parker
vs
W H Williamson
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant by his Attorney plead payment Non apt & offsets to which the plaintiff replied generally & issue was thereupon adjudged.

Horace Mitchell
against
Robert H Sydnor. } Plff
In Debt.
Deft

Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendant for the Sum of Four hundred and Seventy five dollars to be discharged by the payment of Three hundred and Ninety Eight Dollars and Seventy five Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 17th day of April 1862 till paid and also the costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

Joseph Mangum.
against
A. C. Webb & N. J. Webb. } Plff
In Case
Defts

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant by his Attorney and the ~~Plaintiff~~ demurs to the Plaintiffs declaration which is

demurrer was overruled by the Court and Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the defendants the sum of Seven Hundred Dollars to be discharged by the payment of Five Hundred and ten Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of September 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in that behalf expended

Wm Burke who was convicted of Felony in last was this day again led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff of this County and it being demanded of him if anything he had or knew to say for himself why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of Judgment it is considered by the Court that said Wm Burke be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of Four years the term by the Jurors in their verdict ascertained and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Wm Burke from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law and thereupon the said Wm Burke is remanded to Jail.

Richd I. Hobbs who was convicted of Housebreaking last was this day again led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff of this County and it being demanded of him if anything he had or knew to say for himself why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of Judgment it is considered by the Court that said Richd I Hobbs be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of Eight years the term by the Jurors in their verdict ascertained and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County remove and safely convey the said Richd I Hobbs from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law, and thereupon the said Richard I. Hobbs is remanded to Jail

Daniel Lars who was convicted of House breaking last was this day again led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff of this County and it being demanded of him if anything he had or knew to say for himself why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of Judgment it is considered by the Court that said Daniel Lars be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of Ten years the term by the Jurors in their verdict ascertained and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County remove and safely convey the said Daniel Lars from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law and thereupon the prisoner is remanded to Jail

Pl Hobbs agn Grunstile

Page H Vader

Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Deft for $157.39. Int from 16th Oct 1860 till paid which has been by him expended.

Washington Jordan Convicted of House breaking was on again led to the bar in the custody of the Jailor of this County and it being demanded of him if he had or knew any thing for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law, Nothing being offered or alledged in delay of Judgment it is therefore considered by the Court that the said Washington Jordan be imprisoned in the Public Jail and Penitentiary for the term of Ten years the time by the Jury in their Verdict ascertained and it is ordered by that Sheriff of the County do as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Washington Jordan from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law, whereupon the Prisoner is remanded to Jail

Baker Jordan Convicted of house breaking was on again led to the bar in the custody of the Jailor of this County and it being demanded of him if he have or know any thing for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law nothing being offered or alledged in delay of Judgment it is ~~therefore~~ considered by the Court that the said ~~Washington~~ Baker Jordan be imprisoned in the Public Jail and Penitentiary for the term of Five years the time by the Jury in their Verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Baker Jordan from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and treated in the manner directed by law whereupon the prisoner is remanded to Jail

M. E. C. Gilliam Jr. & Co Plaintiffs
vs
John M. Pettus Surv. &c Deft } Indebt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney & Deft. in his Attorney and there upon came the following Jury to wit: L. B. Thrift - H. L. Jones B. G. Perkins Thos. B. Johnson H. Williams W. B. Potts L. H. Ferguson R. E. Wynn & T. R. Hewelson L. F. Edmunds Kinnon Stewart E. A. Denis who being elected by lot tried & sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined having heard the evidence & argument of Counsel retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court the following verdict to wit: We of the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned with interest from the 25th Nov. 1845 till paid, It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Deft. the sum of $125 with interest & cost

L. F. Huggins — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
Bisoem & Weis — Defendants

This day Came the parties by their Attorneys and there upon Came the following Jury to wit: H. W. Lewis L. B. Wright - B. G. Perkins Thos. B. Johnson H. Williams W. B. Potts J. H. Ferguson R. E. Wynn Thos. K. Hewston J. F. Edmonds Remona Stewart & E. W. Lewis who being elected by lot tried & sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room to consider of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned in Court the following verdict to wit: We of the Jury find for the plaintiff the sum of five hundred & fifty dollars the amount of debt mentioned in the declaration with interest from the 25th day of Decr 1865 to be discharged by the payment of fifteen dollars & cents.

Hurt & Sew Surviving ptr. of Hurt Sturdivant & Co. — Plf
against } In Case
Patrick Roney — Deft

Neither party desiring a Jury in this Cause Judgment by the Court against the defendant in favor of the Plaintiff for Eighty Dollars and Ninety Seven Cents to be discharged by the payment of Forty Seven Dollars and Eighty One Cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of Jany 1863 till paid. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of $47.81 with Interest from 1st day of Jany 1863 till paid & costs.

Thos Jones Exr. Jas Jones decd. — Plf
against } In Debt
Ed D Baskerville & J. L. White — Deft

Plea withdrawn and Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant for One Hundred and fifty Dollars the amount of debt in the declaration mentioned with interest thereon to be computed from from the 22 day of March 1859 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

M. E. C. Gillison — Plf
against } In Debt
John W. Pettus & Thos Elder — Defts

Neither party desiring a Jury in this Cause Judgment by the Court against the defendant in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of One Hundred and Sixty dollars the amt in the declaration mentioned with Interest thereon from the 25th day of Decr 1860 till paid & costs.

Walton & Rain
vs.
P. G. Jones
Hart & Lee
vs.
Isaac Roney
Same
vs.
Same

} Plea of Non apt. & cont.

Duncan Gunn
vs } Plea of Nil Debt & Cont.
Jones Ads,
Branch Weisiger
R. T. Foster } Non Apt & Cont.
A Duncan Gunn
N. J. Pinchback } Dismissed by order of Plaintiffs Atty.
JAS. W. DAVIS
v.
WILLIAMSON & WILLIAMSON
~~Jas. W. Davis~~
~~vs~~ } Non Apt & Cont
~~Williamson & Williamson~~
Same
J. T. Williamson } Non Apt & Cont
Same
Geo. T. Williamson } Non Apt & Cont
Davenport & Stratton
Same } Non Apt & Cont.
The Commonwealth
P. G. Jones } Cont.
Hawkes
Taylor } Plt } In Detinue. Detinue

This day Came the parties by their Attorney and thereupon Came the following Jury to wit. Jas. H. Ferguson. John F. Ermond. John B. Thrift. Kennon Stewart. B. G. Perkins. Thos. B. Johnson. W. B. Potts. R. E. Wynn. Herbert Williams. Thos. K. Houston. E. W. Lewis. & J. L. Crump. who being elected by lot tried & sworn the truth to speak and truly try the issue joined herein before the Court. after having heard the evidence & argument. retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time returned into Court. the following verdict to wit. We of the Jury find the mule to be the property of the plaintiff and fix his value at One hundred Dollars and One Cent Damage for detention it is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the deft the said mule and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

B. Carr
against } Plt } In Debt.
Mary C. Coleman } Deft

Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant the sum Three thousand Dollars the amount in the declaration mentioned to be discharged by the payment of fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of July 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about the suit in that behalf expended.

Ordered that the following allowances be made to petit Jurors to be paid out of the County treasury for services at this term of the Court to wit.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jas H Ferguson | $1.25 | W B Potts | $1.25 | H W Jones | .50 |
| John F Edmunds | 1.25 | R. E. Wynn | 1.25 | | |
| John B Streit | 1.25 | Herbert Williams | 1.25 | | |
| Remer Stewart | 1.25 | Thos K Hunter | 1.25 | | |
| B G Perkins | 1.25 | E. W. Lewis | 1.25 | | |
| Thos B Johnson | 1.25 | John S Crump | 1.25 | | |

Opinion

The Commonwealth allow to Branch J. Barnett Esqr Attorney for the Commonwealth for public services at this term of the Court the sum of twenty five Dollars and for prosecuting Eight Cases of Felony $80.00 and for prosecuting four Cases of Misdemeanor $20 tried in this Court.

An account of Jas Hackney against the Commonwealth amounting to $32.00 for boarding the Jail was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the County Ct to be paid of the levy.

An account of John T Brim against the Commonwealth for 7 days attending C. $24.00 was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the County Court to be paid out of the County levy

Ordered that the following allowances be made to witnesses for services rendered at this term of the Court to be paid out of the State treasury To wit Thos R Adams $2. B. P. Aldridge J. T. Newman $1. Jas H Hawkins $1 R. F. Hurt $1 John R Roffe $1.00 J. W. Watkins $1 W. B. Bishop $1 John J. Abernathy $1.00 J. H Ferguson $2 D H Webb $1.00 A J Blick $1.00 W H Brown $1.00 Jas Kidd $2 W B. Potts $2.00 Herbert Williams $1 Thos K Hunter $1.00 Thos B Scott $1.00 R. E. Wynn $1.00 Chas W Bristow $2. W. S Reade $1.00 ~~Jas H Ferguson~~ Jas M Calvin $1 Jas F Wells $1 Thos J Lambert $1.00 B Carr $1.00 Geo C Nash $1.00 A B Goodwyn $1.00 A. S. Tucker $1.00 J A P Wells $1. B. F. Wooster $1 W H Jolly $1 E P Wells $4.00 Francis Williamson $4.00 P C Clardy $4.00 J. J. Blanton $4.00 B. T. Vaughan $4.00 G O Grain $4.00 W B. Colman $4.00 A D Dickinson $4.00 W H Brown $4.00 J C Brandon $4.00 C C Wells $4.00 E W Lewis $4.00 A H Owen $1.00 A. B. Goodwyn $1.00 J C Brandon $1 W S Reade $1 E P Wells $1.00 J A P Wells $1.00 W H Jolly $1.00 T. R. Hunter $1.00 Jas H Ferguson $1.00 J. F. Wells $1.00 A. S. Tucker $1.00 R. F. Hurt $1.00 R. D. Wells $1.00 Jas S Crump $1.00 Tom Temple John W Seay $1.00 R A Lewis $1.00 John E Robinson $1.00 Thos B. Scott E. J. Wells $1.00 W. H. Hawkins $1.00 W E Ford $1.00 B G Perkins $1.00 Jas Mayes $1.00

The Court doth allow unto A M. Organ Clerk of this Court the sum allowed for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow unto Geo. J Brown Sheriff the sum allowed by law for his services during this term of the Ct.

The Court doth allow unto Geo J Brown Jailor the sum allowed for his services during the present term.

Ordered that the Sheriff be allowed Ten dollars for his attendance to the Court from last date.

An account of J W Bishop against the Commonwealth of Va for guarding the Jail amounting to $106.00 was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the County Court to be paid out of the County Levy. It appearing to the Court that the Jail was insecure at that time.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the next term.

Ed. Alphambra

# Office Judgments Confirmed Sept 29th 1866.

Costs J.

Wm P. Roach who sues for the benefit of John Alfriend and John T. Woodward surviving partners of themselves and William D Willson deceased late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of Willson Alfriend & Co — Plt

vs — In Debt

John P. Goodwyn. — Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and forty nine dollars and seventy seven cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 29th day of May 1861 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

David A Crafton — Plt.

against — In Debt.

James P. Bossieux. — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of March 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

R. F. Sexton Saml. V. Watkins P. A. Sealey, and W Nick Davis merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of R F Sexton & Co. — Plt.

vs — In Debt

Geo D Baskerville. — Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty four dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of September 1865 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

M D Parham admr of Benjamin Tucker decd for the use &c — Plt.

against — In Debt.

John P. Goodwyn Wm Tamblett & Edward A Wyatt. — Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Twenty Eight Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of March 1858 till paid Cr by One hundred Dollars Interest paid 25th March 1859 & $168 Interest paid 25th March 1860. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Saml. G. Wainwright who sues for the benefit of Geo Whitmore — Plt

against — In Debt.

James P Bossieux. — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Six Hundred and Forty Four dollars and Forty Seven Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day of January 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Bushrod Carr. Plt.
against } In Debt.
James P Brisscau. } Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Three Hundred and Sixty four dollars and Fifty One Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of May 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

M E C Gilliam Plt.
&c } In Debt.
Edward B. Bristow & Archd Thweatt. } Defts

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety three dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1860 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $17.00 paid April 1861

Bushrod Carr. Plt.
against } In Debt.
William Thweatt. } Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Three Hundred and fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of September 1856 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Memo This judgment is entitled to the following credits as per memo. of the Plaintiff filed with the papers. 1st of 135.00 paid January 1861 and $42.00 paid 5th May 1865. A M C.

P S White & W. J. White late partners in trade under the firm & style of P L White & Co. Plt.
&c } In Debt.
Wm T. Cross & Wm Thomas. } Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of October 1860 till paid and also their cost by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas Jones Executor of Joseph Jones dec'd.
against
L Young.

Plt
In Debt.
Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Ten dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of March 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

G B Gibson who sues for the benefit of Thomas Wallace Trustee for P B Wells.
against
D A Harmon. Lucy King & Lucy J King

Plt.
In Debt.
Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Twenty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of March 1861 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Benjamin Davis. Philip Abrahams & Geo Dyer late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of Davis Abrahams & Dyer agts of M S Posner.
against
C P Revell. Jas P Bierman & Joseph M Lewis.

Plts.
In Debt.
Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of December 1861 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $32.94 paid April 21st 1863

D W Spencer.
against
Jas C Bain who was jointly bound with Jas Greenway dec'd

Plt
In Debt.
Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Eight dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of October 1859 till paid. and also his cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended.

D W. Spencer.
Ex
Edward A Wyatt Exr Jas Greenway

Plt
In Debt.
Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant

Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Two Hundred and Eight dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of October 1859 till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

satisfied as to J. S. Kuler by order of Pltff atty A C Winstanley

John J. Mitchell Exor of H. G. Mitchell dec'd — Plt
v — In Debt
John J. Organ & J. S. Kuler Co of &c — Defts

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Ten hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of October 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $75. paid October 12th 1860.

Thos Jones Exr of Jas Jones dec'd who was surviving partner of himself & Jas H Evans dec'd late partners in trade under the firm & Style of Jones & Evans — Plt
v — In Debt
John J. Edmunds — Deft

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Two Hundred and forty dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of August 1861 till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A. C. Butts & R. R. Collier Sometimes called Comrs for the Sale of Stephen P Pools Land — Plts
v
Richd W Brown, John A. Brown & A. G. Mitchell surviving obligors of themselves & Wm Mitchell & H. G. Mitchell dec'd — Defts.

This day Come the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendants Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defts the Sum of Three thousand four hundred and twenty Six dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of October 1860 till paid and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

A C Butts & C. F. Goodwyn Sometimes called Comrs for the Sale of Sallie P Evans land. — Plts.
v — In Debt
Thos M Jones Surv of himself John D Clark dec'd & J. E. Jones dec'd — Deft

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against

the defendant the Sum of Seven Hundred and Twenty One dollars & Eighty Seven & a half cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 20th day of September 1858 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $619.84 3/8 paid March 20th 1861.

A S Shafer Geo A Halsey Robert M Hunter and Saml Halsey late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & Style of A S Shafer Neo. Plts.
against 3 In Debt.
J Warner Lewis. 3 Deft.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Eighty Five dollars and Seventy eight cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 3rd day of July 1860 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same Plts.
3 In Debt.
William Turnbull. 3 Deft
This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred and twenty five dollars and thirty cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 2nd day of January 1863 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same Plts.
3 In Case.
J Warner Lewis. 3 Deft
This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Fifty Two Dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same Plt.
3 In Debt.
Joseph P. Gilliam. 3 Deft.
This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Fifty dollars and Ninety Seven cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 2 day of January 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same Plt.
3 In Debt.
F. F. Threatt. 3 Deft.
This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore

It is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum Fifty two dollars and Eighty Six cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1863 till paid. And also their Costs by their about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same

Pltf.
In Debt
Deft

5 James O. Bain.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred and Sixty nine dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of March 1862 till paid. And also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same

Pltf.
In Debt
Deft

6 E. T. Paul.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred & twenty one dollars and ~~one~~ Cent. with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 2nd day of July 1861 till paid. And also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same

Pltf
In Case
Deft

7 F. V. Crowder.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Fifty dollars and twenty five Cents. with legal interest thereon to be computed from the first day of January 1860 till paid and also their Cost by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Same.

Pltf.
In Case.
Deft.

8 John T. Cullinden

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore. It is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred and Eighteen dollars and forty five Cents. with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

T. A. St Clair & W. H. Colwell. partners under the firm & style of St Clair & Colwell.

Pltf
In Case
Deft

9 James O Bain

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the

defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum Seventy two dollars and fifty Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of May 1866 till paid and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Venetia Rose Extrx of Geo. W. Rose dec'd. — Plt
vs — In Debt
Richard Winfield — Deft

This day Came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred and Ninety dollars and six Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 12th day of February 1860 till paid. And also her Costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

$6.57 E A Mason apu John Dodson — Plt
vs — In Debt
John M Tucker and Patrick Rooney — Defts

This day Came the plaintiff by her Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Six Hundred and Eighty Eight dollars and thirty three Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 6th day of August 1859 till paid and also her Costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

$6.57 The Same. — Plt
vs — In Debt
The Same — Defts

This day Came the plaintiff by her Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Six Hundred and Eighty Eight dollars and thirty three Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 6th day of August 1859 till paid and also her Costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Joseph Crowder. — Plt
against — In Debt
Stephen Reams. — Deft

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum One Thousand & Thirty Eight Dollars & Sixty five Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 2d day of January 1866 till paid. And also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John B Thrift Sometimes called John Thrift — Plt
against — In Debt
Abner Adams Surv obligor of himself & W A Adams. — Deft

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Four Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 4th day of January 1858 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr by Int. paid up to January 4th 1864.

Paschal Tucker who sues for the benefit of Jas. P. Tucker. Plt
vs In Debt.
E. O. Fitzgerald Deft

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One thousand Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 7th day of March 1860 till paid. And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended Cr by $180.00 paid March 7th 1863.

William J. Branch, Charles S. Ruis and Miles R. Branch late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of Branch Ruis & Co. Plt.
vs In Debt.
Wm. H. Rogers, William Turnbull & John P. Goodwyn. Defts

This day Came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Three Hundred and Eighty Eight Dollars and Sixty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 28th day of June 1861 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas Thrift Plt
vs In Debt.
John W. Sherrard, Wm. M. Field, R. J. Slem, W. A. Neaves and E. O. Fitzgerald Sur obligors of themselves and W. A. Adm. of S. S. Hill Defts

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John W. Harmon Plt.
vs In Debt.
David A. Harmon Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Nineteen Hundred and Sixty five dollars and fifty Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 19th day of March 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John B. Thrift Plt
vs In Debt.
W. B. Thrift Sur obligor of himself B. J. Hill & Green Hill dec'd. Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendt the sum of Two Hundred and fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 31st day of March 1859 till paid and costs.

Venetia Rose Extx. of Scott Rose dec.

Plt.
In Debt.
Deft

To
John L Scott. Thomas H Scott and James P. Brisseau.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One thousand and Thirty Eight Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of February 1859 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

Wm J Branch Chas S Rives and Miles B Branch late merchants and in trade under the firm & style of Branch Rives & Co.

Plts.
In Debt.
Deft

To
William Tunstell.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Two Hundred and Twenty four dollars and Twenty three Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of August 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Alexander Dunnan adm. of Wm Crew dec.

Plt
In Debt.
Deft.

To
Robert W. Hall Sur obligor of himself and Green Hill dec.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Four Hundred and Twenty eight Dollars and Eighty five Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of November 1858 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $36.00 paid 20th Nov. 1860. and by $76.50 paid Nov. 20th 1861. and by $90.00 paid 28th Nov. 1859.

O. C. Vincent.

Plt.
In Debt.
Deft

To
Wm J. Reichbeck.

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred and Thirty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 8th day of January 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

M. F. Hurt administratrix of Branch T Hurt dec.

Plt
In Debt.
Deft

To
H. A. Neaves.

This day Came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Eight hundred and thirteen Dollars and three cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid and also his her costs by her in this behalf expended.

M. F. Hunt admx of Branch T. Hunt dec'd — Plt.
vs. — In Debt
John W. Slate — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty Dollars and Seventy two cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 26th day of January 1861 till paid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

The Same — Plt.
vs. — In Debt.
John W. Slate — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the sum of Six Hundred and Ninety two dollars and Eighty Six cents. with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended, Cr by $100.00 paid May 22d 1860. and by $245.21 paid Oct 19th 1860.

A. J. Mallory Jr. — Plt
vs. — In Debt.
R. M. Spencer — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and forty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 24th day of September 1860 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

H. J. Hearteville administrator of John E. Harris dec'd sometimes called John E Harris admr H. S. Hobbs dec'd — Plt
vs. — In Debt.
Thomas Hancock and Francis Fitz Hancock — Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred and Eight Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the day of December 1861 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Emeline J. Perkinson admx of Geo Perkinson dec'd — Plt
vs. — In Debt.
Geo. J. Brown Shff of Dinwiddie and as such adm. Jno. F. Bass — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety Six Dollars and Eighty Eight cents. with legal interest on $30.19 a part thereof from the 4th day of March 1858 till paid. on $35 another part thereof from the 23 April 1858 till paid and on $31.69 the residue thereof from Jan 1st 1860 till paid & costs

Wm T. Perkins admr. of Robt C Baily dec'd Demetrius Caller. Wm T. Perkins admr. of Robt C Baily dec'd. Plt.
against } In Debt.
William Satchfield Sur. obligor of himself and R. A. Slaughter. Defts
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Fifty three Dollars and Sixty Eight Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 17th day of May 1859 till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Credit $23.78 cents paid Nov 19. 1860

Fredrick Jackson. Plt.
against } In Debt.
Jas C Bain & Wm Hyatt. Defts
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Nine Hundred and Ninety dollars and Eight Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 29th day of September 1860 till paid. and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas P. Sanders. Plt.
against } In Debt.
W D Young Surg ob of himself & W. H. Young. Defts
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Two Hundred and Seventeen dollars and fifty Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

B. R. Duval who sues for the benefit of J. J. Crawford. Plt.
against } In Debt.
M L King. Deft.
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum Twelve Hundred and twenty two dollars and twenty One Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 17th day of December 1861 till paid. and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

David May admr. of Nancy V Nelson dec'd. Plt.
against } In Debt.
M A Pritchett. Deft.
This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Twelve hundred dollars with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 17th day of December 1859 till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

B. J. Hunts admx. Plt.
vs. } In Debt.
Jas. P. Brisean. } Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by her Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Three thousand One hundred and twenty Seven dollars and fifteen Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid. And also his Costs by her about her Suit in this behalf expended. Cr. by $75.00 paid January 12th 1861. and by $31.40 paid 19th January 1861. By $1500.00 paid 31st March 1863. and By $1680.00 paid 9th July 1863

Saml. S. Hunt & John S. Gee Survivors of themselves and Richard Sandivant Josephus Hunt and Wm H Gee dec'd late Merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of Sandivant Hunt Gee & Co. Plt.
vs. } In Debt.
Isaac Nesey. } Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred and five dollars with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1863 till paid. And also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

The Same Plt.
vs. } In Debt.
The Same. } Deft.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Sixty three dollars and fifty Seven Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 4th day of May 1863 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

The Same. Plt.
vs. } In Case.
Patrick Nesey. } Deft.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Eighty Dollars and ninety Seven Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1863 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended. Judgment to be discharged by the payment of $47.81.

Peter T. Davis Survivor of himself and Wm H Harder dec'd late Merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Davis & Harder Plt.
vs. } In Debt.
Geo D Baskerville sometimes called Geo D Baskerville Jr. } Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Eighty five dollars and twenty one cents with legal interest on $92.71 apart thereof from 4th April 1859 till paid and on $92.50 the balance thereof from 16th Sept. 1859 till paid. and also their costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Branch T. Hunts ass. — Plt
vs — In Debt.
P. H. Vader — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred and thirteen dollars and forty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 15th day of February 1852 till paid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $100.00 paid 20th Oct. 1862.

Mary V. Dyner admrx of Joseph A. Dyner dec'd Sometimes called Exr of Lewis Meredith dec'd — Plt
against — In Debt.
E. Fitzgerald and Thomas R. Chambers — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and ten dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 13th day of June 1860 till paid. And also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

C. A. Harper ass. vs John E. Harris — Plt
vs — In Debt.
J. P. Gillam — Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 4th day of February 1862 till paid, And also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A. S. Shafer, Geo. A. Haley, Robt. M. Hunter and Samuel Healey late Merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of A. S. Shafer &c. — Plts
vs — In Case
Henry Field — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Forty five dollars and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1861 till paid. And also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Andrew Dunn Survivor of himself and A.P. Spencer decd late merchants Copartners in trade under the firm & Style of Dunn & Spencer who sues for the benefit of John Mann & C.S. Stringfellow his Trustees. Plts.
vs. In Case.
John F. Edmonds. Deft

This day Came the plaintiffs by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Thirty two dollars and Ninety nine Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended

Saml J. Hunt and John G. Gee Survivors of themselves Richd Sturdivant, Josephus Hunt & Wm H. Gee decd late merchants & Partners in trade under the firm & Style of Sturdivant Hunt & Gee vs. In Debt.
Thos R. Davis. Deft

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Ninety Six dollars and fifty four Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 11th day of April 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended

Andrew Dunn Survivor of himself and A.P. Spencer decd late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Dunn & Spencer who sues for the benefit of John Mann & C.S. Stringfellow his Trustees. Plt.
vs. In Debt.
Edmund O. Fitzgerald. Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Forty Seven dollars and ninety Six Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1859 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The Same Plt.
vs. In Case.
Hume Field. Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Thirty four dollars and Seventy two cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid and also his Cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

James P. Tucker Plt.
vs. In Debt.
Hume Field Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant

saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 18th day of February 1861. till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John Moore — Plt.
vs. In Debt.
John P. Goodwyn & Geo. H. Goodwyn — Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Three Hundred and Twenty three Dollars and Seventy Seven Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of July 1862 till paid and also the Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John Dennon and Alexander Dennon Admrs. of Wm Brantly dec'd — Plt
vs. In Debt.
Edward A. Wyatt — Deft

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Three hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 20th day of May 1862 till paid. And also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

E. P. Wells Executor of Abram V. Wells dec'd — Plt.
vs. In Debt.
R. J. Pritchett and John F. Edmunds — Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of One thousand Five hundred and Twenty Eight dollars and fifty Eight Cents with legal interest on $1000.00 apart thereof from the 25th day October 1855 till paid & on $528.58 the Residue thereof from the 20th day of May 1859 till paid. and also their Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr. by $360 paid 19th Nov. 1856. & Interest paid on $1000 up to 29th Oct. 1860.

E. P. Wells. Exor of Abram V. Wells dec'd — Plt
vs. In Debt.
N. S. Edmunds. Est Edmunds. John F. Edmunds. Thomas D. Edmunds — Deft.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum Two thousand three hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 12th day of September 1857. till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr. by Interest paid to Sept. 12th 1860.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Wednesday the 20th day of March 1867 and of the Commonwealth the 91st

Present
Hon Edward R. Chambers Judge

On the motion of John E Jones Gentleman, who hath obtained license to practice law in the Courts of this Commonwealth leave is given him to practice in this Court whereupon the said Jones appeared in Court and took the several oaths by law required.

James C Bain Foreman with John H Darby, Benjn H Bispham Wm Spain, F H Stone, L M Tucker, Jas H Pelham, A H Parkins, D H Webb, John J Mitchell, Robt Rives, Newman Williams, John Shotwell, Wm B. Bishop, A. S. Tucker, Robt E Wynne & Wm Tally were sworn a Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County and having received their charge retired to their room and after some time returned into Court and made the following presentments.

We present Henry Jenkins a freedman for an assault & battery on the person of George Lewis Jr in this that he did within the last six months unlawfully beat wound and ill treat him within said County against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Va on the evidence of Benjn H Bispham a member of the Grand Jury sent before the Court sworn & testified before the Grand Jury.

We present George Lewis Jr. for an assault and battery on the person of Henry Jenkins a freedman in this that he did unlawfully beat wound and ill treat him within the past six months and within said County on the evidence of B H Bispham a member of the Grand Jury sent before the Court sworn and testified before the Grand Jury.

The Commonwealth — Plt

vs

Philip G Jackson & Lewis Merrill — Deft.

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court and filed an information in the above case.

Wm H Parkinson charged with a felony by him committed was this day led to the bar in Custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned pleaded not guilty whereupon the venire Summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over and the Court being unable to make up the panel of Jurors and qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner it is ordered the Sheriff Summon twelve additional Jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualify in other respects and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of Eight of the Jurors from the remaining Sixteen a Jury was whereupon selected by ballot to wit Thos Keys, C C Wells, F O Bristow, John J Polan, John R Roffe, Thomas B Elder, M J Puryear, C M Drewry, Wm C Jolly, H D Dickerson, Jas A Vaughan & E H Berry who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, after having heard the

evidence and arguements retired to their Room to Consult of their verdict and after some time not having agreed upon their verdict, and the Court being about to adjourn were Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of this County, who is directed to Keep them together without Communication with any other person, and thereupon an oath was administered to Henry Clements Sheriff and Wm M Dean Deputy Sheriff of this County to the following effect You shall well and truly to the best of your ability Keep this Jury and neither speak to them yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into Court tomorrow at 10 O'clock So help you God and the prisoner is remanded to Jail

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock

Ev. R. Chambers

Office Judgments September Term 1866

P. C. Hobbs ofsen of Green Hill — Pltff
vs
Page & Vader — Deft
} In Debt

This day came the plaintiff and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred & fifty seven dollars & thirty nine cents with interest from 16th Oct. 1860 till paid also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel Hargrave.

Received in full of B. F. Efpes Dec. 21st 1869
Jas Hargrave
Exr of S. Hargrave C.

B. F. Efpes Co Deft suit Wm Scott
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of $76.79 with interest on $75.00 apart from the 17th May 1861 till paid & costs therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $76.79 with interest as aforesaid.

Jacob Kull Ass. — Plaintiff
vs
D W Shelly — Defendant
} In Case

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy ~~five~~ dollars with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of November 1865 till paid. and also their costs.

Thursday March 21st 1867

Present Hon Edward R. Chambers Judge

Wm H Perkinson who stands indicted for felony was this day again ~~[illegible]~~ the bar in Custody of the Sheriff and the Jury sworn in on yesterday for his trial appeared in Court according to their adjournment and reported their verdict in these words, We of the Jury find the defendant guilty and ascertain his term imprisonment in the Public Jail and State Penitentiary at five years, under the Circumstances of this case for the Jury.

Shortridge Bro & Co

To

Jefferson & Williamson

Pltfs

In Case

Deft.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney & pleaded Non asst to which the Defts by Counsel replied generally and thereupon issue was joined

Thomas & Johnson

Pltfs

To

Jas. C. Bain

Deft

This day came the ~~Plaintiff~~ Partiff by his attorneys and thereupon the said defendt by their said Attorney pleaded Non asst to the Plaintiffs decl to which the Plaintiff replied generally.

The Grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County sworn in on yesterday the 20th day of March 1867 except R H Perkins a member of the Grand Jury being taken Seriously ill is discharged by the Court & Wm H Dolly sworn in his stead & John Stubbins a Juryman to attend Wm A Leobette sworn in his stead. Met according to adjournment Present. James C Bain Foreman John T. T. Darby Benja H Bierpeau. Wm P. Spain. H. C. Hunt L M Tucker. D H Webb. John T. Mitchell, R C Ruis Newman Williams. Wm Bishop. A. S. Tucker. Ro. E. Wynn. Wm Tally. Wm H. Dolly & Wm A Leobette retired to their room and after some time returned into the Court with the following presentments To wit. We present - H. L. Royal for failing to keep in repair for the safe conveyance across the bridge across Stony Creek near Mr. J. F. Young & within the last six months on the evidence of J W Brooks Rand J. M. Cabaniss, Witnesses sworn in open Court - & sent - the to the Grand Jury.

We present Philip C. Jones for a breach of the Peace and battery on the person of Evans in this that - he did unlawfully beat - wound and otherwise ill treat - him, within the last six months, within the said County on the Public high way on the Petersburg & Boydton plank road, against - the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia, on the Evidence of A. C. Cabaniss a witness sworn in open Court - & sent - to the Grand Jury.

We present - Thadeus C. Jones for a breach of the Peace by assault - on the person of Evans in this that - he did unlawfully draw a Pistol against - him within the past - six months on the Public highway on the Petersburg & Boydton Plank Road & within said

le

County against the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia On the Evidence of A. C. Cabiness witness sworn in open Court & sent before the Grand Jury.

M. M. Perkinson Convicted of Felony was this day lead to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff & it being demanded of him if he had or knew any thing for himself he had or knew to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce sentence against him, and nothing being offered or alledged in delay of Judgement it is therefore considered by the Court that the said M. M. Perkinson be imprisoned in the Public Jail or State Penitentiary for the Term of five years, the time by the Jury in their verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of the County do as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court remove & safely convey the said M. M. Perkinson from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law, therefore the Prisoner is remanded to the Jail

On motion of the proper authorities, the following persons are appointed to fill the vacancies of Trustees for the different Churches in West Dinwiddie To wit, Trinity Church M. Anderson M. A. Spain Benj M. Matthews Henry Thacker & Thos. B. Hamlin, Butterwood " R. B. Foster Trent E. Harrison Chas. Young Saml. Wainwright & John T. S. Young. "White Oak Church" S. S. Worthington B. P. Reade Thos. M. Fisher P. M. Fisher & John T. S. Young. "Meanoms Church" Jas. M. Ferguson Richard Ferguson Thos. Rogers R. C. Rives & Jas M. Davis, "Corinth Church" B. M. Boisseau Jos. Crowder D. J. Clay Stephen Reams Jno. T. Pegram & P. S. Reams. "Rogers Church" R. A. Stilkerson Chas. M. Driver Peter Sandifer Robt. Stell & Jos. Goulden "Olivet Church" Alfred James. "Buckskin Church" R. M. Crawley M. Moore & M. M. Johnson "Hawkins Church" M. M. Gray John A. Gibbs, "Cranfords Church" M. F. Doyle & John E. Boisseau

L. M. Tucker who stands charged with a felony was this day lead to the Bar in the Custody of the Sheriff, And being arraigned pleaded not Guilty, whereupon the Venire Summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over, and the Court being unable to make up the panel of Jurors duly qualified as the law directs for the trial of the prisoner, it is ordered that the Sheriff summon Twelve additional Jurors freeholders & qualified in other respects as the law requires. a panel of twenty from who made up and completed & the prisoner having exercised the priviledge under the act of the General Assembly of striking from the list the names of eight of the Jurors from the remaining sixteen the Jury was made up, whereupon the following Jury were selected by ballot to wit George A. Rose Peter M. Shurt M. P. Williams J. M. Brooks Thos. M. Boisseau Jeremiah Perkinson J. M. Ford M. S. Read R. A. Lewis M. D. Dickerson C. H. Burger & John Temple who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak after hearing heard the evidence & argument of Counsel retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein not having agreed upon their verdict & the Court being about to adjourn were committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County

Who is directed to keep them together without communication with any other person, thereupon an Oath was administered to Henry Lerrigin Sheriff and to M. M. Lewis Deputy Sheriff of the County to the following effect, You shall well & truly to the best of your ability keep this Jury, and neither speak to them yourself nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the Case at bar, until they shall returned into Court tomorrow at 10 Oclock So help you God, And the prisoner is remanded to Jail

Majr Jones — Plt
vs
P. G. Jones

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys & the Deft by his Atty & pleaded Non est factum & payment — to which the Plt replied generally

Margraves Comittee — Plt
vs
M. S. Read

This day came the parties by their attys and the Deft by his Atty & pleaded [redacted] Plant & offset, to which the Plt replied generally

Crow & ux — Plt
vs
Williamson

This day came the parties by their attys, & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payment & plea writing, to the Plt dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Perkins — Plt
vs
Dearing — Deft

This day came the parties by their Attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded want of Consideration the Plaintiffs dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Shelly — Plt
vs
Shelly — Deft

This day came the parties by their Attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Non est factum & offset to the Plt dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Jas A. Turner — Plt
vs
Winston & Brooks — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payment to the Plt dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Smith Stone & Banks — Plt
vs
A. C. Winston — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payt & offset to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Branch Reis & Co — Plt
vs
Williamson & wife — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the Plt by his Attorney & pleaded Non est & Payt to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

M. N. Spiceley — Plt
vs
Moore & Hawkins — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payt to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

M. N. Love — Plt
vs
Hill & Williamson — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the Defts by their Attorney & pleaded Payt to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Hargraves Centre — Plt
vs
Poole & Pegram — Defts

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the defts by their Attorney & pleaded Payt & offset to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Hargraves Centre — Plt
vs
D. C. Hobbs — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payt & offset to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Harris for use — Plt
vs
Hobbs — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payt & offset to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Harris for use — Plt
vs
Hobbs — Deft

This day Came the parties by their attorneys & the Deft by his Attorney & pleaded Payt & offset to the Plts dec. to which the Plt replied generally

Ordered that the following Grand Jurors be allowed each for their services at this Term of the Court as follows to wit.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| To James C. Bain | $2.00 | L M Tucker | $2.00 | R C Rives | $2.00 |
| " John H Darby | 2.00 | Jas H Pettus | 2.00 | Neuman Williams | 2.00 |
| " Benja H Bispham | 2.00 | R H Perkins | 2.00 | John H Hubbard | 2.00 |
| " Wm P Spann | 2.00 | D H Webb | 2.00 | Wm Bishop | 2.00 |
| " F C Hunt | 2.00 | John J Mitchell | 2.00 | A S Tucker | 2.00 |
| " R C Rives | 2.00 | Wm Tate | 2.00 | Wm H Dolly | 1.00 |
| | | Wm A Ledbetter | $1.00 | | |

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Ed. R. Chambers

Friday Morning March 22d.

Present Hon Edward R Chambers Judge.

L. H. Tucker who stands indicted for felony was this day led to the bar in custody of the Shff & the jury sworn in on yesterday for his Trial appeared in Court — according to their adjournment & reported their verdict in these words: "We of the jury find the Defendant guilty & ascertain his term of imprisonment — in the public Jail & State Penitentiary at Seven years

James & wife
vs
Bristow.
Judgment for Plaintiff agt the Defts for Twenty Seven & Seventy Seven cents with interest from the 15th Augt 1863 till paid & costs.

Same
vs
Ford & Hamlin
Judgment for Plaintiff agt the Defts for Twenty two dollars & Seventy three cents with interest from 16th March 1864 till paid & costs.

Same
vs
Jas H Pettus
Judgment for Plaintiff vs Defts for Thirty Dollars with interest from the 25th March 1865 till paid & costs.

Blewett Curator for &c
vs
S. & Hobbs.
Judgment for the Plaintiff vs Defts for Thirty four dollars with interest from 25th Decr 1862 till paid & costs.

Same
vs
Hobbs & Northington.
Judgment for Plaintiff vs Defts for One hundred & forty one dollars with interest from 25th Decr 1862 till paid & costs.

Same
vs
Hobbs & Fisher.
Judgment for Plaintiff vs Defts for Ninety five dollars with interest from the 25th Decr 1863 till paid & costs.

Kirkland for &c
vs
Bristow & Williamson
Judgment for the Plaintiff vs the Defendants for Eight hundred Dollars with interest from the 25th Nov. 1860 till paid subject to Credit of $245. paid 29th Oct 1862 & costs.

Jones amt
vs
James A Allen

Judgment for the ~~defendant~~ Plaintiff for Fifty One dollars and thirty Eight cents being the difference between the offset filed by the deft in this case & the Plaintiffs demand.

Jones amt
vs
James A Allen

Judgment for the Plaintiff vs the defendant for thirty dollars with interest from 25th Dec 1865 till paid & costs.

Same
vs
Royall & Hearlihie

Judgment for the deft for Eighty one dollars & thirty Eight cents with interest from 24th April 1862 till paid being the amt of his offset filed in this case subject to a credit of Fifty dollars with interest thereon from the 17th Nov 1862 being the amount allowed the Plaintiff in his demand in this case & costs.

Same
vs
Royall & Abinally

Judgment for the Plaintiff for Fifty dollars with interest from 15th Decr 1862 till paid subject to a credit of thirty five dollars & Seventy cents being offset allowed the defendants with interest from 12.80 against from the 25th Sept 1864 on an Eighteen dollars & thirty six cents & another part thereof from 25th Feby 1865 till paid, and from $4.80 the residue thereof from 4th May 1865 & costs.

Same
vs
Wm H Royall

Judgment for the Plaintiff against the defendant for thirty One dollars Eight one cents with interest from 21st Sept 1864 till paid & costs.

Jones amt
vs
Fitzgerald & Hancock

Dismissed by order of Plaintiffs atty

Wm Jones for use
vs
Fitzgerald & Gillam

Dismissed by order of Plaintiffs atty

Jones amt
vs
Scott & Beisman

Judgment for the Plaintiff vs Deft for One hundred and forty two dollars & Eighty five cents with interest from the 19th Decr 1865 till paid & costs.

Hunt Dunfee
vs
Hamon & Drinkwater

General plea of Non est factum withdrawn and the issue joined on the second plea withdrawn & demurrer filed to said plea & pending demurrer

H. Childers
vs
John. Dorlaming

Plt — In case — Deft — Dismissed by Order of the Plts attorney

D. L. See
vs
H. H. Barnett

Plt — In case — Deft —

Venable Alexander
vs
Jeremiah. Ford

Plt — In Debt — Deft — Continued for Plt

Stratton Rains
vs
P. G. Jones

Plt — In case — Deft — Judgement by the Court in favor of the Plts against the Deft for $24.10

Messrs Wm H Rogall & H J Heartwell

TAKE NOTICE, that I shall move the Judge of the Circuit Court of the County of Dinwiddie on the 2d day of the March term next, 1868, for a Judgment and award

I hereby assign the the within mentioned debt to H. J. Hartwell without recourse ~~against~~

T. A. Jones

Agent for E. A. Jones & Co.

with interest from 1st day of July 1861 till paid & cost—

Sydnor
vs.
Taylor & Taylor — Plt— In Debt— Deft— Continued until the next term of ct

Branch, T. & Hunt Admr's
vs
Page & Vaden — Plt— In deft— deft— Judgement for the Plt— vs the deft— for four hundred & forty dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of Feb. 1862 till paid & cost—

Hunt & Gee Ady. Pls—
vs
Isaac Roney — Plt— In case Continued until the Deft— next term of the court—

Same
vs
Same — Plt— In case Deft—

Jas H. Davis Garte
vs
Williamson & Williamson — Plt— In case Deft—

Same
vs
John H. Williamson — Plt— In case Deft—

Same
vs
Geo. H. Williamson — Plt— In case Deft—

By consent of parties the cases are referred to referees

Davenport & Strallin
vs
Geo. H. Williamson — Plt— In case Deft—

Gillian
vs
Ford & Thomas — Plt— In Debt— Deft

It is ordered that the cases be continued until the next Term

Alex. Duncan Guard & C
vs
C. A. Jones Admr. &c — Plt— Deft—
Plea withdrawn & Judgement by the Court in favor of the Plt— vs Deft— for the sum of forty dollars & twenty five cents with interest thereon from 1st day of January 1863 till paid & cost—

Branch Riens & Co
vs
R. B. Foster — Plt— In Debt— Deft—
Leave is given the Plt— to amend his dec. & case continued

Williams for Andrews
vs
Thos. A. Chapp — Plt— In case Deft—

Mary A. Dabney — Plt
vs — In debt
J. S. Keeler — Deft

Dennis & Johnston — Plt
vs — In case
A. H. Puryear — Deft

Hoy's Repros — Plt
vs — In debt
Julia Connell — Deft

Geo. L. Brown Shff &c — Plt
vs — In debt
J. H. Harper — Deft

Ordered that these Cases be continued until the next Term

F. H. Parker — Plt
vs — In case
H. H. Williamson — Deft

This day Came the Parties by their Attys & thereupon Came also a Jury to Wit: Robt Sturdivant B. H. Spiers F. F. Threatt H. B. Stone J. B. Hitchcock S. M. Moody J. N. Ruey R. H. Williamson A. H. Gunga Jeremiah Perkinson Thos. H. Barsson H. D. Dickerson & H. T. Thrift who being Sworn the truth to speak upon the issue Joined upon their Oaths returns a verdict in these words. "We the Jury find for the Plt & ofses his damages at seventy seven dollars & twenty five cents with interest from the 1st day of January 1864 till paid, Eight dollars of which amount is not stayed by the Stay Law it is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plt recover of the Deft the damages assessed by the Jury in their verdict with interest as aforesaid & also his Cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended

C. A. Hargrave Comlt — Plt
vs — In Debt
H. S. Read & Wife — Deft

Judgement by the Court in favor of the Plt & the Deft for Eight dollars & forty seven Cents with interest from 25th Decr 1863 till paid & cost

Same — Plt
vs — In Debt
Hall & Davis — Deft

Judgement by the Court in favor of the Plt & the Deft for Sixty six dollars & Sixty six Cents with interest from the 25th day of Decr 1862 till paid & cost

Same — Plt
vs — In debt
Vaughan & Hall — Deft

On motion of the Plt this suit is continued until the next Term

Hargrave Conlee — Plt
v. } In Debt
P.C. Hobbs — Deft

Same — Plt
v. } In Debt
Hobbs & Nutting — Deft

John A. Harris Admr &c — Plt
v. } In Debt
P.C. Hobbs & others — Deft

Ordered that these cases be continued until the next Term of the Court

C. A. Hargrave Conlee — Plt
v. } In Debt
Poole & Pegram — Deft

Judgment in favor of Plt & against Defts for sixty dollars with interest from 25th Decr 1860 till paid subject to a credit of thirty five dollars paid April 2nd 1866 & cost

Same — Plt
v. } In Debt
Poole Smyth — Deft

Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plt & against Defts for the sum of Seventy One dollars & Seventy five cents with interest from 25th 1862 till paid & cost

M. H. Spicely — Plt
v. } In Debt
Moore & Hawkins — Deft

Plea withdrawn & Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plt & against the Defts for forty eight dollars & twenty five cents with interest from the 6th day of January 1866 till paid & cost

Jno M. Hynes &c — Plt
v. } In Debt
Jno S. Blick — Deft

Gordon Lightfoot admr — Plt
v. } In Case
Spencer & Griswold — Deft

Jas. F. Turner — Plt
v. } In Case
Jno B. Jones — Deft

Same — Plt
v. } In Case
Threatt & Threatt — Deft

Goodwyn — Plt
v. } In Case
Moody & Moody — Deft

W. F. Goodwyn — Plt.
vs. In Debt
Williams & Bennett — Deft.

J. M. Donnan Trustee &c — Plt.
vs. In Case
Thos. Slaughter — Deft.

Jno. F. Graham — Plt.
vs. In Case
W. C. H. Tucker — Deft.

J. C. Stallin Admtr — Plt.
vs. In Debt
Tucker & others — Deft.

Same — Plt.
vs. In Case
Business & others — Deft.

By order of the Plts. Atty. the suit is dismissed

H. J. Hartman Admr. who sues for the benefit of B. J. Epes Contra

vs.

P. C. Hobbs Dec'd

This day came the parties by their attorney & thereupon came also a jury to wit: Robt. Sanderson, B. H. Spain, F. F. Thweatt, H. B. Stone, J. B. Hitchcock, S. M. Moody, J. M. Perry, R. H. Williamson, C. H. Gorge, Jennings Perkinson, Thos. H. Bacon, H. O. Dickerson & H. T. Thrift who being sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths report the following verdict "We of the jury find for the Plt. the debt & decr. mentioned with interest thereon from Decr. 25th 1859 till paid. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plt. recover of the deft. the debt aforesaid with interest as aforesaid & also the cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended

The debt for which this judgment was rendered has been finally paid & satisfied Sept. 18th 1867
Teste R. C. Shell

C. A. Hargrave

A. S. Bargemin — Plt.
vs. In Case
H. H. Beasley — Deft.

By consent of parties this suit is removed to the Circuit Court of the City of Petersburg

The undersigned a Committee appointed to examine and report upon the condition of the Jail of this County respectfully submit the following report. The Jail is 31 feet by 17½ on the out side, having two rooms, below stairs and two above the Jail, have good stoves & the prisoners have comfortable bedding & the apartments are in good condition as to cleanliness & have been recently whitewashed. There are some repairs absolutely necessary, it seems to your Committee, The flag stones are some of them rather loose and should be properly placed, and cornice and one of the upper floors has been burned by the stove pipe and should be repaired, No fact at all criminating the Jailor as to the conduct for the last twelve months has come to knowledge altho several prisoners have escaped from the Jail in the last twelve

months, but none since the first of January last. The prisoners complain that sometimes the supply of wood is insufficient, but as the wood is furnished under a contract made by the County Court, the Jailor does not seem to have any responsibility in that respect.

Respectfully
R. C. Shell
John J. Crawford M.D.
John S. Crump
Committee

Gardner Sedbetter Admr.
vs
E. H. Smith & others

Plt
In case
Deft

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for thirty four dollars & eighty cents with interest thereon from 19th day of Sept. 1868. tax paid & cost.

Jas. F. Turner
vs
Williams & Williams

Plt.
In case
Deft

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for

Same
vs
H. N. Sedbetter

Plt.
In case
Deft

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for forty nine dollars with interest from 23rd of Nov. 1865 tax paid & cost, subject to a credit of twenty eight dollars & sixty eight cents paid Feby 18th 1866

Same
vs
Thweatt & Thweatt

Plt.
In case
Deft.

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for fifty five dollars & five cents, with interest from 23rd of Nov. 1865 tax paid & cost.

Same
vs
Christian & Brooks

Plt.
In case
Deft.

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for one hundred & forty four dollars & thirty cents with interest from 23rd day of Nov. 1865 tax paid & cost.

~~Stanfield J. Jackson~~ Shelly
vs
~~S. S. Harrington~~ Shelly

Plt.
In debt
Deft.

It is ordered that this suit be continued until the next Term of the Court.

Thos. Crawford
vs
Jas. D. Tucker

Plt.
In debt
Deft.

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of

of the Plt-O Deft-for three hundred & ninety six dollars & fifty cents with interest from 1st of June 1863 till paid & cost

M. H. Lane — Plt
O — In Case
Hill & Williamson — Defts
Ordered that this suit be continued until the next term of the Court

Same — Plt
O — In Case
Perkins & Jolley — Deft
By Order of the Plt-Atty this suit is dismissed

Same — Plt
O — In Case
Johnson & Williamson — Defts
Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt-O Defts for thirty five dollars & fifty cents with interest from 20th day of Sept 1865 till paid & cost

Same — Plt
O — In case
Smith Morris — Defts
Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt-O Defts for

H. H. Heartwell for C — Plt
O — In Debt
Powell & Edmonds — Deft
Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt-O ~~Deft for~~ H. S. Powell for one hundred & sixty dollars with interest from 22d of June 1859 till paid & cost

Branch Rives & Co — Plt
O — In Debt
John H. Williamson — Deft
Leave to amend the dec. & continued

H. A. Sedbetter for C — Plt
O — In Debt
Thos. Rogers — Deft
Dismissed by order of Plt's atty

Thos. S. Chappell admr — Plt
O — In Debt
Crump & Bissoon — Defts
Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers in favor of the Plt-O Defts for Two hundred & four dollars & twenty five cents with interest from 10th of January 1866 till paid & cost

Ann S. Sturdivant — Plt
O — In Case
J. S. Rives — Defts
Death of the Plt's Intestate

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until to morrow morning 10 o'clock

Ed R Chambers

Saturday 23rd March 1867

Present Hon Edward R. Chambers, Judge.

Hurt Surg. pl re — Plt
v. — In Debt
Hannen & Dinkwater. — Deft

Hearthill — Plt
v. — In Debt
Crawley. — Deft

Continued until the next term of the Court.

Beatty wife
v. — General Demurrer to the Plaintiffs declaration
E. J. Mills — overruled by the Court & Continued until the next term of the Court, & plea of Non Detinet.

Francis Priest — Plt
v. — In Debt
J S Keller, R. G. Boisseau & James Hargrave. — Defts.
Plea withdrawn & Judgment by the Court for Two hundred dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of Decr 1866. till paid & Costs.

John Fleshman — Plt
v. — In Case.
F. W. Parker. — Deft
Plea of Non aft. & issue &

Duncan & Johnson. — Plt
v. — In
James C Bain. — Deft.
Judgment by the Court in favour of the Plaintiff against the defendant the Sum of One hundred and fifty nine dollars & 19 Cents with interest from the 17th day of January 1867 till paid & Costs.

A. A. Dance

Fifa 10th Nov 69.

Wm H Williamson.
Judgment for the Plaintiff against the defendant the Sum of twenty four dollars & Ninety Cents with interest from the 1st day of January 1864 till paid and Costs.

Gorrow for pl — Plt
v. — Deft.
Robertson & Hacker.
Judgment by the Court in favour of the Plaintiff against the defendant for Fifteen dollars & three Cents & Costs with Int from 19th January 1863.

Majr Jones. Plt
vs
In case.
P. G. Jones. Deft

Referred to J. B. Clenton & Thomas H Boisseau. whose award shall be entered as the Judgment of the Court.

L H Tucker. convicted of Felony in yesterday was this day again led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff & it being demanded of him if he had or knew for himself to say for himself to say. why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of Judgment; it is therefore considered by the Court that the said L H Tucker. be imprisoned in the Public Jail and State Penitentiary for the term of Seven years, the time by the Jury in their verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of the County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of the Court remove and safely convey the said L H Tucker. from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned. and treated in the manner directed by law, thereupon the prisoner is remanded to the Jail.

Ordered An account of Wm F Smith against the Commonwealth of Va for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail amounting to $33. was examined and allowed by the Court & ordered to be certified to the Auditor for payment.

Ordered An account of E T Kayo. against the Commonwealth. for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail Amty to $83.10 was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified.

Ordered An account of Alex Chappell against the Commonwealth for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail amounting to $69.00 was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified.

Ordered An account of R F Chambers agt the Commonwealth. for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail Amty to $172. was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified.

Ordered An account of J H. Bishop. agt the Commonwealth for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail Amty to $93. was examined & ordered certified.

An account of O. C. Walls. agt the Commwlth. of Va for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail Amty to $23.00 was examined & allowed.

J. J. Epes Admr. of C. A Musgrave. Plt
vs
In Debt
J. D. Keeler Dumpt. Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant pleaded payment & offered to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally thereupon issue was joined, & came a jury.

R. E. Wynne & ors Plt
vs
In Debt
E. O. Fitzgerald. Deft

Judgment in favor of the Plt vs Deft for $70.50 with int from 10th Sept 1874

Ordered that the Sheriff be allowed ~~fees~~ board of each of the following Jurors: Geo. A Rew $2.00 Peter Ghent $2.00 N. P. Williams $2.00 J. N. Brooks $2.00 Thomas H Bessear $2.00 Jeremiah Perkinson $2.00 J. N. Ford 2.00 W. S. Reade $2.00 R. A. Lewis $2.00 H. D. Dickerson. 2.00 Est George $2.00 John Temple $2.00 C. C. Wells $2.00 F. O. Bristow $2.00 John S Polem $2.00 Thos Keys $2.00 C. M. Dinkwater $2.00 John R Roffe $2.00 C. P. Folly $2.00 Est George $2.00 H. D. Dickerson $2.00 Jas Brayk $2.00 Thomas B Elder $2.00 M. L. Pryor $2.00 Ordered that each of the following Veniremen be allowed each ten dollars for their services as veniremen at this term of the Court to be paid out of the State treasury to wit. C. C. Wells 2.00 F. O. Bristow $2.00 John S Polard 2.00 Thomas Keys 2.00 C. M. Dinkwater $2.00 John R. Roffe $2.00 C. P. Folly 2.00 Est George $2.00 H. D. Dickerson $2.00. Jas Brayk $2.00 Thomas B Elder $2.00 M. L. Pryor $2.00 Geo A Rew $2.00 P. W. Ghent $2.00 N. P. Williams $2.00. J. N. Brooks $2.00. Thomas H. Bessear $2.00. J. N. Ford $2.00 W. S. Reade $2.00 R. A. Lewis $2.00 H. D. Dickerson. $2.00 Est George $2.00 John Temple 2.00

Ordered that the following allowances be made to the following petit jurors to be paid out of the County levy. To wit. R. Sturdivant $3.00 B. H. Spear $2.50 F. F. Thornton 3.00. W. B. Stone 3.00 E. B. Hitchcock 3.00 R. A. Williams $1.50 John Temple $1.50 R. E. Wynn $1.50 J. M. Perry 3.00 Est George 3.00 M. Nesbitt 1. Archer Crawford 50. S. M. Mosby 3.00 W. B. Bishop 1. Thos H. Bessear $1. Jeremiah Perkinson $1. Jno. J. Stubbs $1. H. D. Dickerson $1. C. M. Dinkwater 1.50 Olin Chappell $1.00. Jno. G. Chandler $1. Wm. T. Wright 1. Wm. C. Burr 50. R. F. Chambers 1. B. E. Bishop $1.

The Court doth allow unto A. M. Organ Clerk of this Court the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow unto Henry Lemsin Sheriff the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow unto the Sheriff of this County the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow W. Brack Jones Esq. Atty for the Commonwealth for public services at this term of the Court the sum of twenty five dollars for prosecuting two cases of felony $20. for ~~[illegible]~~

Maj. Jones Pltf
J. G. Jones Deft } In case, Award of Referees.

We to whom were referred the matters in controversy in this case having heard the evidence of witnesses, and having maturely considered the whole subject, find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at two hundred and eighty six dollars and twenty nine cents ($286.29) and interest thereon from the first day of January 1867 until paid and pursuant to the above award it is considered by the Court the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of ($286.29) to be maintained with interest from the 1st day of January 1867 until paid & this cause is stricken from the docket.

The Commonwealth Plt

vs. Upon a writ of Error to a Judgt of

P. G. Jones. Deft. the County Court

Upon the suggestion of the Commonwealth's atty that the record is incomplete a writ of Certiorari is awarded for a complete copy of the record & on motion of the Plaintiff it is ordered that this cause is cont'd until the next term.

The Commonwealth Plt — Upon a scire facias to shew cause why

vs. execution should

R. L. Kirkland, H. J. Hearlurte & W. H. Richardson Defts issue upon a forfeited recognizance, Upon the motion of the Plaintiff the Scire facias is quashed and a new one awarded.

Ordered that the cause be adjourned until the next term

Ent. R. G. Harrison

# Office Judgments Confirmed March 1867.

$8.29 Costs. Chas Sebellin ad. Gardner Sebellin dec'd who sues for the benefit of Thos Wallace Exr of Ann A Byron — Plt
vs. — In debt.
E Smith, H. I. Hearthwole & James C Bain. — Defts
This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover agt the Defts Hearthwole & Bain the Sum of Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of Augt 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.79 Martha H Speedy — Plt
vs. — In Debt.
H. S. Purcell & N. S. Edmunds Trustee — Deft
This day Came the Plaintiff by her attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Sixty three Dollars and fifty Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of January 1866 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

7.67 The Same — Plt
vs. — In Debt.
Jacob L Thrift & H. I. Hearthwole — Defts
This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum Fifty Dollars and Seventy five Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of January 1866 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

8.27c John L Camp — Plt
vs. — In Debt
Benja Hardaway Jr & Benja Hardaway Sr — Defts
This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of One hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from 1st day of December 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.07 J. C. Spragg — Plt
vs. — In case
Robt Bossman — Deft
This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Seventy four dollars and five cents with interest thereon from the 29th day of March 1866 till paid & also his costs by him about his suit expended.

Certs. f. 7 Sol. Samuel Peebles & Chas Peebles merchants and partners under the firm & style of Peebles & Co. — Plts

vs. — In case.

David Blessford — Deft.

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and Seventy six dollars and Sixty three Cents (176 73) with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of April 1866 till paid and also their Costs by them about their suit in that behalf expended.

Cert. f. 8 7 Francis D. Espes afsee of William Devell — Plt

vs. — In Debt

Amorette Eames & Alfred Eames — Defts

This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of July 1866 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $19 92 paid 25th June 1866 and $30 00 paid 21st Jan 1867.

" f. 8 7 Sylvanus Kennedy for E. M. Webb — Plt

vs. — In Debt

Jas. P. Brisseau & Albert Dalney — Defts

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred & thirty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of April 1866 till paid & also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

7 7 Alexander Denneen — Plaintiff

vs. — In Debt

James Deering — Defendant

This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 27th day of Decr. 1865 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

f. 7 7 Lewis Tillson — Plt

vs. — In Debt

Richard Hymes & Lewis Hymes — Defts

This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 3rd day of August 1865 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Martha H. Speedy — Plt

vs. — In Debt

Wm B. Moore & Thomas E. Hawkins — Defts

This day Came the Plaintiff by her attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Forty Eight Dollars & ninety five cents with interest in the 1st day 1866 till paid and her Costs expended.

L Abernathy — Plt
vs. — In Debt
Richard Hill — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Deft the Sum of Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of January 1866 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

H. L. Hearlurie — Plt
vs. — In Debt
Nicholas Lynch — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Eighty Dollars with interest thereon from the 19th day of January 1866 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel D Hart & John D Gee Survivors of themselves & Richard Sandridge & Josephus Hunt & Wm T Gee dec'd late Merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Sandridge Hunt & Gee Plts.
vs. — In Case
H. L. Hearlurie — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiffs by their attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Sum of Fifty Seven Dollars and thirty One Cents with legal int thereon from the 1st day of January 1867 till paid. and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Andrew Dunn survivor of himself & A. P. Spencer dec'd late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Dunn & Spencer who sues for the benefit of John Mann and C. S. Shingfield Trustees of said Andrew Dunn Bankrupt as aforesaid. Plt
vs. — In Debt
H. L. Hearlurie — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Ninety Dollars and twenty nine Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of February 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

H. L. Hearlurie admr de bonis non of John E Harris dec'd who sues for the benefit of B. L. Epes Committee of Castongrave. Plt
vs. — In Debt.
T. B. Johnson. — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Thirty three Dollars and Thirty three Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1859 till paid. and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The State
vs.
A W Reames.

Pltf
In Debt
Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Thirty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of June 1859 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Archer D Brown sometimes called Archer D Brown Guardian of Jas E Brown
vs.
Wm H Brown surg obligor of himself and N. I. Brown

Pltf
In Debt
Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of One hundred & ten Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

B. J. Epes Committee of Le A Hargrave
vs.
Wm H Brown.

Pltf
In Case.
Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Forty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1859 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Stansfield J Jackson
vs.
Samuel S Northington.

Pltf
In Debt
Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Five hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 23d day of February 1858 till paid & also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; Credit by Four hundred Dollars paid Oct 12th 1860 & Twenty five Dollars paid 21st May 1862.

M H Reid
vs.
A. M. Spencer.

Pltf
In Case,
Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action, and it appearing that the Defendant was served with a copy of the account Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Twenty Nine Dollars with interest thereon from the 28th day of November 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended.

John H Dendefer who sues for the benefit of H J Hearlurtee
vs.
Wm D Young surg oblig. of himself & W. M. Young.

Pltf
In Debt
Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum Ninety Six Dollars & fifteen Cents with interest from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended:

Branch & Epes. Committee of C A Hargrave. Pltf
vs. In Debt.
Wm. D. Young. Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred & Sixty Dollars and Ninety Nine Cents with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of October 1862 tee paid & also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. This judgt is to be credited by $54.50 as of Oct. 3rd 1862. C. A. Hargrave, by J. Boisseau, his atty.

C A Hargrave sometimes called Executor of Angulas Tucker dec.d who sues for the benefit of A Denman ad. of A Tucker dec.d Pltf.
vs. In Debt.
W. W. Chappell. Deft.

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Twenty Seven Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 23rd day of December 1862 tee paid and also his Cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

C F Goodwyn & B. J. Epes. who sues for the benefit of A Denman Trustee of C F. Goodwyn. Pltf
vs. In Debt.
John W. Hamner. Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirty One Dollars and ten Cents with legal interest thereon from the 10th day of January 1860 tee paid and also their Cost by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Charles F. Goodwyn who sues for the benefit of Abraham Denman his Trustee. Pltf
vs. In Debt.
John P. Goodwyn. Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirty One Dollars and fourteen Cents with legal interest thereon from the 14th day of December 1861 tee paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The State Pltf
vs. In Debt.
Thomas H Hawkins. Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Forty Dollars with interest from the 16th day of April 1860. tee paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The State Pltf
vs. In Debt.
D. A Hamner. Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Twenty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of May 1858 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit expended.

The State — Plaintiff
vs. In Debt
Rebecca E Smith — Defendant

This day Come the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum One thousand Five hundred and Twenty five Dollars and thirty three Cents with interest from the 1st day of July 1860 till paid and also her Costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended

R S Dunn Ass. Thos J. Draper dec.d — Plaintiff
vs. In Debt
R D Mills & Samy A Pillis — Defendants

This day Come the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Two hundred and forty Dollars with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 16th day of July 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel Hunt and Jno J Gee Survivors of themselves and Richard Sherriard. Josephus Hunt & Wm H Gee dec.d late Merchants and partners in trade under the firm & Style of Sherriard Hunt & Co — Plaintiffs
vs. In Case.
Thomas A Bass — Deft

This day Come the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. And it appearing that the Defendant was served with a Copy of the account, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Two hundred and Seventy five Dollars and Ninety nine Cents with interest from the 19th day of Nov 1860 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended; but this Judgment must be Credited By $27.8 paid 28th March 1861 and $19.13 paid 12th April 1861.

C F Gerwyn who sues for the benefit of A Dunn Trustee — Plt
vs. In Debt.
Mary E C Gillespie — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Eighty three Dollars and thirty nine Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of July 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John C Shafer & Lewis C Gillespie late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & Style of John C Shafer & Co — Plts.
vs. In Debt.
J Warner Lewis — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is Considered

by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Sum of Eighty four Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1860 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

M. E. C. Gilliam who sues for the benefit of Benw Gilliam Plaintiffs
vs. In Debt
Geo. N. Watson Surviving obligor of himself & E. E. Roper Defendant
This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of One hundred and thirty two Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1861 till paid and also her Costs by her about her Suit in this behalf expended.

Alexander Dennen ex. of Mrs Fenn. dec.d Plaintiff
vs. In Debt
Jos. Blair & A. Wyatt Defendants
This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Seventy Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 28th day of March 1862 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas Smyth H. J. Stone & R. R. Banks late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Smyth Stone & Banks Plaintiffs
vs. In Debt
✓ Wm J. Pritchett Defendant
This day Came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Sum of Thirty One Dollars and Thirty Eight Cents with legal interest on $28.37 apart thereof from the 11th day of Augt 1858 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit expended.

H. J. Heartwell ex. & bn. of John E. Harris dec.d who was the surviving obligor of himself and Wm Cy. called John E. Harris & Wm Cy Ewing of John B. Mc Spindle who sues for the benefit of B. J. Espes Committee of C. A. Hargrave Plaintiff
vs. In Debt
Chas H. Wilson Deft
This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recovers against the Defendant the Sum of Twenty Nine Dollars and Thirty Six Cents with interest from the 5th day of November 1862 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in that behalf expended.

Archer J. Brown Guardian of James E. Brown Plt
vs. In Debt
Geo. J. Brown Exor of the last will and Testament of Wm J. Brown dec.d who was Cordlgr of himself & Wm H. Brown Deft
This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action, Therefore it is

considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred and ten Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of Decr. 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

H. J. Hearsteele Admr DBN of John E. Harris decd who was the surviving partner of himself & Wm Cox called John E. Harris & Wm Cox. Exors of John P. Cox decd who sues for the benefit of B. J. Epes Committee of C. A. Hargrave — Pltf
vs. In Debt.
George Lewis & Wm D. Young — Defts

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendants doth nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Thirty six Dollars and Ninety five Cents with interest thereon from the 5th day of Nov 1852 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

H. J. Hearsteele adm. de bonis non. of John E. Harris decd sometimes called adm. of S. W. Hobbs who sues for the benefit of B. J. Epes Committee of C. A. Hargrave — Pltf
vs. In Debt.
Joseph Lewis & Samuel S. Nottington — Defts

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant doth nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Twenty six Dollars and Thirty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of July 1859 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Andrew Dunn Survivor of himself & A. P. Spencer decd late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of Dunn & Spencer who sues for the benefit of John Mann and C. S. Stringfellow Trustees of said Andrew Dunn surviving pt. as aforesaid — Plaintiff
vs. In Debt.
R. T. Stone — Deft

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Seventy two Dollars and Eighty Eight Cents with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of November 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Daniel J. Hunt & John Lee survivors of themselves and Richard Hundriant Joseph Hunt & Wm H. Lee decd late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Hundriant Hunt & Lee. — Plaintiffs
vs. In Case.
R. M. Barnes — Deft

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum One hundred and nine Dollars and thirty four Cents with legal interest on $109.71 apart thereof from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

H. J. Hearsteele adm DBN of John E. Harris decd sometimes called John E. Harris Exor of Wm P. Cox decd who sues for the benefit of B. J. Epes Cmtee C. A. Hargrave — Pltf
vs. In Debt.
Wm Thornton — Defr.

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith Nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore It is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of One hundred & Eighty One dollars & fifty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 2d day of July 1865 till paid. And also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

Branch J. Epes Committee of C. A. Hargrave — Plaintiff
v. — In Debt.
Colson E. Tucker — Defendant

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Three hundred and thirty five Dollars and Eleven cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Dec. 1865 till paid. and also his costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

Branch J. Epes apee of Thomas Lewis Jr. — Plt
v. — In Debt.
Thomas Lewis Jr. — Deft

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action Therefore It is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant, the Sum of Fifty Eight Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 3d day of August 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

Branch J. Epes Committee of C. A. Hargrave — Plt
v. — In Debt.
Thomas E. Hargrave — Defendant

I have been authorized & requested by C. A. Hargrave to endorse on this judgment, that it has been satisfied by an arrangement & agreement between him & Thos E. Hargrave
Jas Boisseau
Octo: 1867.

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action, Therefore It is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of One thousand and Ninety nine Dollars and Seventy One cents ($1099.71) with legal interest thereon from the 24th day of August 1863 till paid and also his costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $300.00 paid 24th Aug 1863

B. P. Kemp apee of Wm Bishop — Plaintiff
v. — In Debt.
Wm J. Pritchett & John E. Yancey — Defendant

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of December 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

H. J. Hamlett admr DBN. of J. E. Hamlett dec'd who was sing of himself & Wm Cy. called J. E. Hamlett & Wm Cy. Exr of J. E. Cory who sue for B. J. Epes Committee of C. A. Hargrave

v.

W. D. Young.

This day Came the Plaintiff by his Attorney the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiff's action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Nine hundred Dollars with Interest from Day 11th 1852 till paid & Cost. Cr by $52.00 paid Feby 23rd 1854 and $35.00 paid May 1866, (by cost deaf.)

Judgments Confessed in the Clerks Office March 1867.

M. F. Hunt admr of Branch T Hunt dec'd. Plt } In Debt.

v

S. S. Northington. Deft

This day come as well the Plaintiff by his attorney as the Defendant by E M Mann his attorney and thereupon the said defendant, by his attorney aforesaid, under the hand & seal of the defendant acknowledged the Plaintiffs action for Two thousand six hundred and fifty Seven Dollars and Ninety six cents with legal interest on $2177.76 Two thousand one hundred & Seventy Seven Dollars & Seventy six cents apart thereof from the 1st day 1861 till paid and on Four hundred & Eighty Dollars Ninety cents from 20th Oct 1862 till paid and costs of suit, Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two thousand six hundred and fifty Seven Dollars and Ninety six cents each column as aforesaid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office Sept 16th 1867.

Wm P. Dennis admr of George E Atkinson dec'd. Plt } In Debt.

v

S. S. Northington. Deft

This day come as well the Plaintiff by his attorney, as the defendant in his proper person. and the said Defendant acknowledges the Plaintiffs action for Five hundred thirty Dollars apart of the Debt in the writ mentioned, with interest thereon from the 6th day of February 1862 till paid, and costs; and the plaintiff being willing to take a Judgment for the same, it is therefore considered that that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Five hundred & thirty dollars with interest thereon as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

P. F. Buckley who sues for the benefit of M. F. Hunt admr of B T Hunt. Plaintiff

v. } In Debt.

Edward Johnson. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy five Dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Thursday the 3rd day of October 1867 and of the Commonwealth 92

Present Hon E.R. Chambers Judge.

On the motion of A. M. Organ Clerk of this Court - Jas. W. Bispham is allowed to qualify as his deputy - whereupon the said Bispham appeared in Court & qualified to his said Office by taking the several oaths prescribed by law.

---

J. H. Pettus
vs
John M. Pettus

Plt } Upon a Notice under the
Deft } act of assembly known as the Stay law.

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing Judgement & Award of execution in favor of the Plt. - against the Deft - for seven dollars & fifty - cents - being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of one hundred & twenty five dollars. It is therefore Considered that the Plt - recover against the Deft - the said sum of seven dollars & fifty - cents & also his cost - by him about - this motion expended.

John E. Jenny
vs
W. I. Pinchbeck

Plt } Upon a motion under the act - of assembly known as the Stay law
Deft }

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing Judgement & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for ninety - six dollars & thirty - eight - cents, being proven that - the said Jenny was the security - of the said Pinchbeck. It is therefore Considered that - the plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of Ninety - six dollars & thirty six cents & also his cost - by him about - this motion expended

Bridgforth
vs
Pettus

Plt } Upon a motion under the act - of assembly known as the Stay law
Deft }

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing. Judgement & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for nine dollars being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of one hundred & fifty - dollars. It is therefore Considered that the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of nine dollars & also his cost by him about - this motion expended

Wynn
vs
Fitzgerald

Plt } Upon a motion under the act - of assembly known as the Stay law
Deft }

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing Judgement & award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for four dollars & twenty - four cents, being in amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of It is therefore Considered that - the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of four dollars & twenty - four cents & also his cost - by him about - this motion expended

C. A. Hargrave
vs
W. D. Young Jr

Plt } Upon a motion under the act - of assembly known as the Stay law
Deft }

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing, Judgement & award of execution in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for twelve dollars, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of two hundred dollars, It is considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of twelve dollars & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Sandifer vs Young — Plt. / Deft. — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing, Judgement & award of execution in favor of the ~~Deft~~ vs Deft. for thirteen dollars & five cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of two hundred & seventeen dollars & fifty cents, It is therefore considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of ~~twelve~~ thirteen dollars & five cents & also his cost by him about this motion expended

S. H. Epes who sues for the benefit of B. F. Epes vs Bain & Wyatt — Plt. / Deft. — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing, Judgement & award of execution in favor of the Plt. vs Deft. for fifty six dollars & twenty five cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt nine hundred & thirty seven dollars & thirty seven cents. It is therefore considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum fifty six dollars & ~~thirty seven cents~~ cents & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Jas. C. Tucker vs Bain & Wyatt — Plt. / Deft. — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing, Judgement & award of execution in favor of Plt. vs the Deft. for Eighty seven dollars & Eighty cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of fourteen hundred & sixty three dollars & forty five cents. It is therefore considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of Eighty seven dollars & Eighty cents & also his cost by him about this motion expended

H. C. Lewis for A. Doman vs Williams & Williams — Plt. / Deft. — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing. Judgment & award of execution in favor of Plt. vs the Deft. for ten dollars, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of one hundred & sixty six dollars & seventy five cents subject to a credit of twenty five dollars & twenty five cents paid April 15th 1861, It is therefore considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of ten dollars & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Epes vs E. A. Wyatt — Plt. / Deft. — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly, known as the stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing. Judgment & award of execution

in favor of the Plt- vs the Deft- for ninety three dollars & seven cents being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of fifteen hundred & fifty one dollars & twenty seven cents. It is therefore considered that the Plt recover vs the Deft the said sum of ninety three dollars & seven cents, & also his cost by him about this motion expended.

S. W. Epes vs L. C. Bass — Plt / Deft — upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay Law.

Notice proved & the Deft called & not appearing, Judgment & award of execution in favor of the Plt vs the Deft for ninety three dollars & seven cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of fifteen hundred & fifty one dollars & twenty seven cents; It is therefore considered that the Plt recover vs the Deft the said sum of ninety three dollars & seven cents & also his cost by him about this motion expended

A. O. Hobb E. W. Perkins R. H. Perkins adrs with the will annexed of Wm. Perkins dec^d who sues for Jas. O. Perkins vs M. E. C. Killian — Plt / Deft — upon a motion under the act of Assembly, known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & the Deft called & not appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt vs the Deft for ninety dollars being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of fifteen hundred dollars. It is therefore considered that the Plt recover vs the Deft the said sum of ninety dollars & also his cost by him about this motion expended

The same vs Same — Plt / Deft — upon a motion under the act of Assembly, known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft called & not appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt vs the Deft for One hundred & twenty dollars, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of two thousand dollars, Int from the 4th of April 1861, Credit by interest paid 4th of April 1861. debt due by Garrett E. O. Fitzgerald & J. P. Bafen. It is therefore considered that the Plt recover vs the Deft the said sum of one hundred & twenty dollars & also his cost by him about this motion expended.

L. Blunt who sues for the benefit of V. M. Epes vs E. A. Wyatt admr of Greenway — Plt / Deft — upon a motion under the act of Assembly, known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft called & not appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt vs the Deft for fifty two dollars & thirty five cents; being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of Eight hundred & seventy two dollars & fifty nine cents. It is therefore considered that the Plt recover vs the Deft the said sum fifty two dollars & thirty five cents to be levied of the goods & chattels in the hands to be administered & also his cost by him about this motion expended

S. Blunt - who sues for the benefit -
of V. M Espes Guardian — Plt } upon a motion under the
vs } act of Assembly, known as
S. C. Bair — Deft } the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for fifty - two dollars & thirty five cents; being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of Eight - hundred & seventy - two dollars & fifty nine cents; It - is therefore Considered That - the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of fifty - two dollars & thirty five cents & also his Cost - by him about - this motion expended

M. E. C. Gilliam — Plt - } upon a motion under the
vs } act of Assembly known as
Pettus & Felder — Deft } the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for nine dollars & fifty cents; being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of One hundred & fifty dollars, It - is therefore Considered that - the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of nine dollars & fifty cents & also his Cost - by him about - this motion expended

~~Mark H~~ Bartlett adm of Mason — Plt - } upon a motion under
vs } the act of Assembly, known
John M. Tucker — Deft } as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for Eighty - two dollars & fifty - nine cents, being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of thirteen hundred & twenty six dollars & fifty six cents. It - is therefore Considered that - the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of Eighty - two dollars & fifty - nine cents, & also his cost - by him about - this motion expended.

C. A Hargrave — Plt - } upon a motion under
vs } the act of Assembly,
C. E. A. Tucker — Deft } known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for seventeen dollars & nineteen cents; being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of two hundred & Eighty six dollars & fifty six cents - It - is therefore Considered that - the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of seventeen dollars & nineteen cents & also his cost - by him about this motion expended,

M. A. Adams admty M. A. Adams dec'd — Plt - } upon a motion under
vs } the act of Assembly known
~~M. M. Lewis~~ — Deft } as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Deft - Called & not - appearing, Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt - vs the Deft - for ten dollars & sixty cents - being an amount - equal to one years interest - upon the principal debt - of One hundred & seventy six dollars & sixty seven cents, It - is therefore considered that - the Plt - recover vs the Deft - the said sum of ten dollars & sixty cents; & also his cost - by him about - this motion expended

C. A. Hargrave — Plt — } upon a motion under
vs } the act of Assembly known
Royall & Williamson — Deft — } as the stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing. Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt. vs the Deft. for Eighteen $18 00 dollars, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of three hundred dollars. It is therefore Considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of Eighteen dollars & also his Cost by him about this motion expended

C. A. Hargrave — Plt — } upon a motion under the
vs } act of Assembly known as
Ann R. Cox — Deft — } the stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing. Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt. vs the Deft. for six dollars & Eighteen cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of one hundred & three dollars, It is therefore Considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of six dollars & Eighteen cents & also his Cost by him about this motion expended

H. L. Hearlwell adr of Mason dec — Plt. — } upon a motion
vs } under the act known
James A. Roney adr of P. Roney dec — Deft. — } as the stay law

Notice proved & Deft. Called & not appearing Judgment & Award of execution in favor of the Plt. vs the Deft. for Eighty-two dollars & fifty-nine cents; being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of thirteen hundred seventy six dollars ~~& fifty~~ six cents; It is therefore Considered that the Plt. recover vs the Deft. the said sum of Eighty-two dollars & fifty-nine cents; to be levied of the goods & chattels of the decedent in the hand of the adr if so much thereof be haeto, & also his Cost by him about this motion expended.

Martha H. Specely — Plaintiff — } In a motion for a
to } award of execution
Jacob S. Thrift H. L. Hearlwell & B. L. Epes — Defendants — } on a forfeited for the

coming or delivery bond. This day came the Plaintiff by her attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion. They were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of One hundred and thirty two dollars and ninety two cents the penalty of said Bond, and also her Costs by her about her motion in this behalf expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Sixty six Dollars and forty six cents; with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of June 1867 till paid & said Costs.

A. Dorman & E. M. Mann Executors of Thos. B. Chappell dec — Plaintiffs — } In a motion for a
vs } ~~award of execution~~ judgment on
G. W. Lemmon, J. P. Bispham & J. L. Lemmon — Defendants — } a forfeited for the coming

or delivery bond,

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the ~~Defendant~~ have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not; Therefore it is considered by the

Court that the Plaintiff may have ~~Execution~~ Judgment against the Defendants for the sum of four hundred & seventy nine dollars & ninty four cents, the penalty of the said Bond, & also their cost by him about his ~~motion~~ in this behalf expended. But the said ~~Execution~~ Judgment may be discharged by the payment of two hundred & thirty nine dollars & ninety seven cents, with interest from the 5th day of May 1867, tis paid & said cost.

Sol. F. Turner who sue for the benefit of B. F. Turner
vs
A. C. Winston & R. E. Wynn

Plt } In a motion ~~for Execution~~ Judgment ~~of Execution~~ on a forfeiture
Deft } forth coming or delivery bond
This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney And it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff may have ~~Execution~~ Judgment against the Defendants for the sum of three hundred & forty nine dollars & ten cents the penalty of the said Bond & also his cost by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But the said ~~Execution~~ Judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred & seventy four dollars & fifty five cents, with legal interest thereon from the 19th day of June 1867 tis paid & said cost.

Downing Tilson
vs
Richd. Wynn Louisa Wynn
R. E. Wynn & W. B. Stone

Plt } In a motion for ~~Execution~~ Judgment ~~of Execution~~ on a forfeiture
Defts } forth coming or delivery bond. This day Came the Plaintiff by his attorney And it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court That the Plaintiff may have ~~Execution~~ Judgment against the Defendants for the sum of two hundred & forty nine dollars & ninety four cents, the penalty of this bond, & also his cost by him about his motion in this behalf expended. but the said ~~Execution~~ Judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred & twenty four dollars & ninety seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of May 1867, tis paid & said cost

W. A. Crafton
vs
I. P. Boiseau

Plt } Summons as Garnishee against Gunn & Crowder
Deft } Garnishee dismissed

~~Notice proved & Continued~~

Notice proved in the following Cases & Continued

P. C. Hobbs
vs
Page H. Vaden

Plt } upon a motion
Defendant } Notice proved & Continued

Lucy I. Kirby by John M. Kirby her next friend
vs
Paschal Tucker

Plt
Deft

Goodwyn Chandler
vs
W. H. Royall

Plt
Deft

C. Stargram
vs
N. R. Hobbs

Plt
Deft

H. L. Hurliee adr of Mesar — Plt.
vs
John H. Harman & Alex. Doman — Deft.

John Doman & C. Doman
& L. A. Johnston merchants & pt. trading under the firm & style of Domans & Johnston — Plt.
vs
H. L. Hurliee — Deft. } In Case

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney as the Defendant by Alex. Doman his attorney in fact acting under a power of attorney under the hand & seal of the Defendant & acknowledges the Plaintiffs action for the sum of One hundred & Twenty Eight dollars & Eighty cents with interest from the 25th day of May 1867. till paid & cost, therefore it is considered that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred & Twenty Eight dollars & Eighty cents with interest as aforesaid, & also their cost by them in this suit expended

Jas. C. Bain Foreman with John L. Abernathy R. T. Stone Lewis Jones Geo. W. Baskerville R. C. Rives B. R. Lewis John P. Tucker Jas. A. Brough John H. Gillian Jas. H. Pettus Newman Williams Edward Conway David T. Williams E. P. Hargrave S. S. Nottingham W. A. Spain Benj. H. Bolling A. W. Reams A. M. Watts. Were sworn & impanneled as a Grand Jury of inquest for the body of this County & after having received their Charges retired to their Room & after some time spent therein returned into Court with the following presentments & indictments to wit

The Commonwealth
vs
Albert Williams — Indictment for house breaking a true Bill

The Commonwealth
vs
Albert Williams } Indictment for Grand Larceny a true Bill

The Commonwealth
vs
Archer Bland } Indictment for Felony a true Bill

We of the Grand Jury present John T. Williamson in this that he did unlawfully within the last twelve months commit an assault & Battery on the person Thaddeus C. Jones at Rocky Run Church in said County on the Sabbath day against the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia, on the evidence of R. C. Rives a member of the Grand jury sworn & testified before the Grand Jury

We present Thaddeus C. Jones in this that he did within the last twelve months commit a Breach of the peace, by unlawfully committing an assault & Battery on the person of John T. Williamson at Rocky Run Church on the Sabbath day within the said County upon the evidence of R. C. Rives a member of the Grand jury sworn before the Court & sworn & testified before the Grand Jury

We present Robt. E. Wynn in this that the said Robt. E. Wynn did unlawfully commit a Breach of the peace by an assault & Battery on the person of Archer L. Brown at the Court House of said County of Winnisadi this the 5th day of October 1867 against the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia

upon the evidence of Jas. M. Williams & W. H. Jolly sent for by the Grand Jury, Sworn in Court - & testified before the Grand Jury

We the Grand Jury present Archer J. Brown in this that - ~~the said~~ Archer J. Brown did unlawfully Commit - a Breach of the peace by an assault & Battery on the person of Robt. E. Hynes at the Court-House of said County of Dinwiddie on the 3rd day of October 1867 against the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia on the evidence of Jas. M. Williams & W. H. Jolly sent for by the Grand Jury Sworn in Court & testified before the Grand Jury

The Grand Jury having ~~nothing~~ further to present - were discharged

Albert Williams who stands indicted for House Breaking was this day led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff & being arraigned pleaded not - guilty. the Defendant - by Counsel moved the Court to be allowed to withdraw the plea of not - guilty, which motion the Court sustained & the the plea was withdrawn, the Defendant - by Counsel then moved the Court - to quash the indictment - upon the ground of a variance of the offence charged in the said Indictment - from that - ~~charged in the Certificate of the Committing Justice~~ upon which he had been examined before the examining Court, upon which the Prisoner was examined which motion the Court - sustained, & quash the indictment -

Albert Williams who stands indicted for Grand Larceny was this day led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff, & being arraigned pleaded not - guilty, whereupon the venire summoned for the trial of the Prisoner being called over, & the Court - being unable to make up the panel of Jurors & qualified as the law directs; It is ordered that - the Sheriff of this County summon five additional Jurors freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie & qualified as other respects, from the number so summoned the Jury of twenty - four were made up & completed for the trial of the Prisoner, & the Prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act - of Assembly of striking from the said panel the names of Eight - of the said Jurors, from the remaining sixteen the jury was thereupon selected by ballot - to wit - R. E. Hynes L. B. Hitchcock Robt. Host. - Jas. Hawkins Chas. Hawkins C. P. Jones W. H. Jolly E. W. Broaster R. G. Chappell & F. H. Barnes, (Who being sworn the truth of & upon the premises to speak, & having heard the evidence, the Prisoner by Counsel moved the Court - to instruct - the Jury that - to constitute - the offence of Grand Larceny with which the Prisoner is charged, the property alleged in the indictment - to be stolen must - have been the value of fifty - dollars or more in specie, which motion the Court - overruled, & thereupon the Prisoner by Counsel moved the Court - to exclude from the Jury the evidence in regard to the Larceny of the notes & Coin charged in the Indictment - to have been stolen, upon the grounds that - the indictment - alleged no value to the said notes & Coin, which motion the Court - overruled, The Prisoner by Counsel then moved the Court - to exclude from the Jury the evidence of the Larceny of the articles alleged in the indictment - to have been stolen of the goods & Chattels of S. H. Clay, upon the ground that - the indictment - did not charge that - they were the property - of any person, which motion the Court - overruled, & thereupon the Case being submitted to the Jury, they retired to their room & after sometime spent - there in returned into Court - with the following verdict - We of the Jury find the Prisoner guilty of Grand Larceny & fix his term of imprisonment - in the public Jail ~~or~~ State Penitentiary at - three years, & the Prisoner is remanded to Jail

Copied

Ordered that the presentments made by the Grand Jury at this Term of the Court be certified to the County Court—

The Commonwealth
vs
Archer J. Brown } Rule to show cause

Ordered that L. H. Westmoreland & R. A. Baylor be discharged from serving as Petit-Jurors at this Term of the court.

M. H. Lowe
vs
C. G. Johnson, John Williams & H. L. Hartwell Defts } Rule on a motion for judgment of forfeiture on a forfeited forth coming or delivery bond. This day came the Plaintiff by her Attorney, & it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff may have judgment against the Defendants for the sum of One hundred dollars & fifteen cents the penalty of the said Bond, & also her cost by her about this motion in the behalf expended. But the said judgment may be discharged by the payment of fifty dollars & Eight cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th day May 1867, till paid & said cost—

Ordered that the following Grand Jurors be allowed each One dollar for their services at this Term of the court,— Lee C. Bain John L. Abernathy R. T. Stone Lewis Jones Geo. D. Battenfield Robt. C. Rice B. R. Lewis John P. Tucker Jas. A. Brough John W. Williams Jas. H. Pettus Norman Williams Edward Conway David T. Williams E. P. Hargrave S. S. Nottingham Wm. A. Spain Benj. H. Boisseau A. W. Reams N. M. Watts

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow at 10 o'clock

Ev. T. Leftwich

Friday Oct 4th 1867

Present Hon. Edward R. Chambers Judge

Ordered that the Rule entered against Archer J. Brown on yesterday be discharged,

The minutes of yesterday were read & signed

Jos. A. Brooks adr of Martha Lunsford dec — Plt — upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs
W. P. Barnes Surg ob of himself & Geo. S. Sandifer dec — Defts

Notice proved & Defts Called & not appearing. Judgment & award of execution in favor of the Plt vs the Defts for nineteen dollars & fifty cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of three hundred & twenty five dollars. Judgment in the County Court. It is therefore considered that the Plt recover vs the Defts the said sum of nineteen dollars & fifty cents. & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Pascal Jucks — Plt — upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs
Jno. P. Goodwyn & Geo. M. Goodwyn — Defts

Notice proved & Defts Called & not appearing. Judgment & award of execution in favor of the Plt vs the Defts for Twenty nine dollars & fifty four cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of six hundred & fifty nine dollars & forty cents, subject to a credit of two hundred dollars, paid the 15th day of November 1858, being a judgment in the County Court. It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Twenty nine dollars & fifty four cents & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Jas. Hargrave — Plt — upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs
Jas. P. Borjeau — Deft

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment & award of execution in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Twenty five dollars, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of four hundred & six=ten dollars & sixty seven cents on which a judgment has been obtained in the County Court; It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Twenty five dollars & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Archer Bland who stands indicted for felony was this day led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned pleaded not guilty; Whereupon the Venire Summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over and the Court not being able to make up the panel of Jurors and qualified as the law directs ordered that the Sheriff Summon six additional persons freeholders of the County of Dinwiddie and qualified in other respects from the Number to Summon a panel of Twenty four men made up and completed for the trial of the prisoner. and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the list the Names of Eight

of the jurors from the remaining Selection a Jury was thereupon Selected by lot to wit J. B. Wills R Butler W B Potts W E Robertson. E Watkins. E O Spain. G Williams W W Tally. P E Fraser. M C Clardy Wm P Sandifer & Stephen Butler who being Sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time returned into Court with the following Verdict. We of the Jury find the prisoner Guilty & fix his term of imprisonment in the public Jail and State Penitentiary at one year and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

Copied

The Commonwealth — Pltf
v. — Upon appeal
George Lewis &c. — Deft

On the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth leave is given him to file an information in this cause.

The Commonwealth — Pltf
v. — Upon appeal
Henry Jenkins — Deft

On the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth leave is given him to file an information in this cause.

The Commonwealth — Pltf
v. — Upon appeal
T. C. Jones — Deft

On the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth leave is given him to file an information in this cause.

The Commonwealth — Pltf
v. — Upon appeal
P. G. Jones — Deft

On the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth leave is given him to file an information in this cause

The Commonwealth — Pltf
v. — Upon appeal
Wm L Royall — Deft

This day came the attorney for the Commonwealth and saith that he will not further prosecute the Defendant in this cause therefore it is considered that the said prosecution be dismissed.

The Commonwealth — Pltf — Upon an Information
against — filed in a presentment of the
Lucius Meredith. — Deft — Grand Jury made against the Defendant at March Term 1866 for an assault on battery on the person of E H Young.

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney and thereupon came also a Jury to wit Business Cum Jas Kidd. Peter E Rice. Salome Hamill. M D. Pershaw. B F Moody. R H Sydner. T A Clay. B W Ford. Geo W McKenny Wm Dabney & M J Puryear, who being selected by lot tried and Sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words, We the Jury find the Defendant guilty and assess his fine at Seven Dollars.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant for the Offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth the fine assessed against him by the Jury as aforesaid and that he also pay the costs of this prosecution.

The Commonwealth — Plaintiff — Upon an Information filed in a presentment of the Grand Jury.
v.
Philip Jackson — Defendant

This day came as well the attorney prosecuting for the Commonwealth as the Defendant by his attorney and thereupon came also a Jury to wit. Geo C Nash. Jno D Crew. C H Coker. E S Young. John P Dalney. Jas N. Meredith. H D Young. A J Bonner. C H Driskdale. J W Seay. R G. Chappell. & W J Hudson. who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, on their oath returned a verdict in these words We of the Jury find the Defendant Guilty and assess his fine at one cent; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant for the Offence aforesaid forfeit and pay to the Commonwealth the fine assessed against him by the Jury as aforesaid and that he also pay to the Costs of this prosecution.

J. G. Bressean
v.
Jas C Bass
Same
v.
C A Hargrave
Paschal Tucker
v.
Nemus Sals.

Notice proved in the above cases & Cont.

Jacob Alexander — Plaintiff — In Debt.
v.
Jeremiah Ford — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a Jury to wit W J Pierce. M D Parham. Bustrod Carr. Benja F. Moody. J A Clay. Wm Dalney. Sabern Harrell. Peter E Rice. R H Syner. W E R Hunt. Jas Kidd & Geo W. McKenny, who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, on their oath returned a verdict in these words. We the Jury find for the Defendant. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover against the plaintiff his costs by him in this behalf expended.

Ordered that that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Ed R Chambers

Saturday Oct 5th 1867

Present Hon. E. R. Chambers.

It appearing to the Court that the Courthouse is unsafe the Old Clk's Office is adopted as the Courthouse for the balance of the term.

The minutes of yesterday were read and signed.

M. F. Hunt Admr of Branch T. Hunt — Plaintiff } Upon a mo. for a Judgment
v.
S. S. Northington. — Defendant } under the act known as the Stay law. Notice proved and Defendant called & not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum One hundred & fifty Nine Dollars and forty Seven Cents, being an amount equal to one years interest on the Principal Debt of $2657.96. upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of $159.47 and also her Costs by her about this motion expended.

Same — Plaintiff } Upon a Motion for a Judgment under the act of assembly known
v.
H. A. Mears. — Defendant } as the Stay law. Notice proved and defendants called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defdt for the Sum of Forty Eight Dollars and Seventy Eight Cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the Principal Debt of $813.03, (Judgment in Circuit Court of Dinwiddie) Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $48.78 Cents and also her Costs by her about her motion expended.

Same — Plaintiff } Upon a Motion for a Judgment under the act known as the Stay
v.
Pope H. Vaden. — Defendant } law. Notice proved and defendant called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Eighteen Dollars and Eighty Cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of $313.44 (Judgment in Circuit Court Dinwiddie) Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of Eighteen Dollars & Eighty Cents and also her Costs by her about her motion expended.

James H. Pellew — Plaintiff } Upon a motion for a Judgment under the act
v.
John M. Pellew & Wm H. Mitchell. — Defendant } known as the Stay law. Notice proved and Defendants called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Five Dollars & fifty Eight Cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of $93. (Judgment Circuit Court Dinw Co). Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of 5.58 & costs.

Jas Tucker — Plaintiff } Upon a Motion for a Judgment under the Act known as the Stay Law
vs
Martha J Ranny Extx of D E Ranny dec'd — Defendant
Notice proved and Defendant called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Forty Nine Dollars & Eighty Cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of Eight hundred & Thirty Dollars Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of Forty Nine Dollars & Eighty Cents and also his costs by him expended

White McKenny Hrs — Plaintiffs } Upon a motion for a Judgment under the act
vs
John T Gorsuch — Defendant
known as the Stay law. Notice proved and Defendant called & not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Thirty four Dollars fifty two Cents being an equal to one years interest on the Principal Debt for $592.06 Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dorchester Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $35.52 and also their Costs by them about their Suit expended.

Joshua Pretlow wife who sues for John Murray — Plaintiff } Upon a motion under the act known as the Stay Law.
vs
Wm A Hargrave & Jonas Hargrave — Defendants
Notice proved and Defendant Called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant the Sum of Nineteen Dollars & Sixty five Cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of $327.50 Judgment in County Court Dorchester, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $19.65 and also their Costs by them about their Suit expended

John S. Slaughter — Plaintiff } Upon a motion under the act known as the Stay Law
vs
W. A Hargrave — Defendant
Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Eleven dollars & thirty one Cents, being an amount equal to One years Interest upon the principal debt of $188.50. Judgment in the County Court of Dorchester, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Eleven dollars & Thirty One Cents & also his Cost by him expended about this motion.

W. T. Haynes — Plaintiff } Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs
J. A. Brooks surviving of W. A. Adams dec'd — Defendant
Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Nine dollars being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $150. Judgment in the County Court of Dorchester, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $9 & also his Cost by him about this motion expended

Alex. Dorman
vs.
Jas. P. Bafeau & W. H. Brown
Who were jointly bound with W. T. Scott — Defendant — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Sixty dollars, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $1000 Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $60, & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Alex. Dorman — Plaintiff — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs.
Wm. Bishop — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of fourteen dollars & sixteen cents being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $235.76. Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $14.16 & also his cost by him about this motion expended.

Lucy Perkins who sues for the benefit of W. J. Webb — Plaintiff — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs.
John T. Goodwyn — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of fourteen dollars & seventy five cents; an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $245.95. Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $14.75 & also her cost by her about this motion expended.

A. S. Shafer & Co — Plaintiff — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs.
John T. Crittenden — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of seven dollars & ten cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $118.45. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $7.10, & also his cost by him about this motion expended

The Same — Plaintiffs — Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law
vs.
E. T. Powell — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of seven dollars & twenty six cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $121.04. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $7.26 & also their cost by them about this motion expended

John. L. Crawford — Plaintiff
vs
Jas. C. Bain & E. A. Wyatt — Defendants
} upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Fourteen dollars & nine cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $234.90 Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $14.09, & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Isaiah. G. Perkins — Plaintiff
vs
D. A. Harman, Wm. H. Royall Lucy J. King & M. L. King — Defendants
} upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of Twenty three dollars & Sixty Eight cents, being an amount equal to One years Interest upon the principal debt of $394.72. Judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $23.68 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Alex Donnan adr of Wm Fenn dec'd — Plaintiff
vs
Jas. C. Bain & E. A. Wyatt — Defendants
} upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of four dollars & twenty cents being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $70 Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $4.20 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

John Donnan & Alex Donnan adrs with the will annexed of Wm Bromley dec'd — Plaintiff
vs
Edward A. Wyatt — Defendants
} upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Eighteen dollars being an amount equal to one years Interest upon a principal debt of $300. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $18.00 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

A. S. Shafer & Co — Plaintiff
vs
John V. Crowder — Defendant
} upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Three dollars & One cent being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $50.25 Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $3.01, & also their cost by them about this motion expended

The same — Plaintiffs
vs
F. F. Threatt — Defendant
} upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the

Plaintiffs against the Defendant for the sum of three dollars & seven-teen cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $52.86, judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $3.17, & also their cost by them about this motion expended

The Same — Plaintiff
vs. — upon a motion under the act of Assembly
L. H. Lewis — Defendant — known as the Stay Law

Notice is proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiffs against the Defendant for sum of ~~sixty~~ & eight dollars, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $68.18 judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $8.18 & also their cost by them about this motion expended

The Same — Plaintiffs
vs. — upon a motion under the act known as the Stay Law
The Same — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of three dollars & thirteen cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $52.25, judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the said sum of $3.13, & also their cost by them about this motion expended

The Same — Plaintiffs
vs. — upon a motion under the act of Assembly
Jos. T. Gilliam — Defendants — known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiffs against the Defendant for the sum of three dollars & five cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $50.97. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $3.05, & also their cost by them about this motion expended

Branch Sons & Co — Plaintiffs
vs. — upon a motion under the act of Assembly
W. H. Rogerson, Wm Turnbull & John D. Goodwyn — Defendants — known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiffs against the Defendants for the sum of ~~one~~ & thirty three dollars & thirty one cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $388.65, judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the said sum of $23.31, & also their cost by them about this motion expended

C. F. Goodwyn for A. Dorman Lois Trustee — Plaintiff
vs. — upon a motion under the act of Assembly
John D. Goodwyn. — Defendant — known as the Stay Law

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of one dollar & eighty six cents being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $1.14, judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $1.86, & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Alx. Donnan Adm of L. T. Read — Plaintiff
vs
I. I. Kuler & Phillip G. Jones — Defendants

Upon a motion under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved & Defendants Called & not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of Fifteen dollars & thirty six cents being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $256. Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $15.36 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Doctor Shafer Adm — Plaintiff
vs
I Warner Lewis — Defendant

Upon a motion under the act known as the stay law

Notice proved & Defendant Called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Five Dollars & ten cents being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal Debt of Eighty five Dollars upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Five Dollars & Eighty cents and also their costs by them about this motion expended.

R R Duval for I. I. Crawford — Plaintiff
vs
M S King — Defendant

Upon a motion for a Judgment under the act of Assembly known

Notice proved and Defendant Called and not appearing Judgment is granted the plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Seventy three Dollars & twenty seven cents being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal Debt of $1222.21. Upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Seventy three Dollars & Seventy seven cents and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

M. D. Pinkham and Ben Tucker for G. G. Goodrich — Plaintiff
vs
John P. Goodwyn Adm Tunstall & E W Wynne — Defendants

Upon a motion for a Judgment under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law

Notice proved and Defendants Called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of One hundred & Sixty Eight Dollars being an amount equal to one years interest on the Principal Debt of $2800 upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of One hundred and Sixty Eight Dollars and also his Costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

A Dunn adm Wm Dunn dec'd — Plaintiff
vs
R W Stell surviving ob of Green Stell dec'd — Deft

Upon a mo for a Judgment under the act known as the Stay law

Notice proved and Defendant called and not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Twenty three Dollars and three cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of $478.85 subject to a c. of $95 dd 1st Nov 20th 59 Upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sd sum of Twenty Dollars & three cents and also his Costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

John Dennis & C. Dennis & John A. Johnston Surviving pts &c of David Dennis Merchants & partners trading under the firm & style of Dennis & Johnston — Plaintiffs

vs

Jas. C. Bain & E. A. Wyatt Exors of Jas Greenway dec.d & the said Wyatt in his own right — Defendants

Upon a Motion for a Judgment under the act of Assembly known as the Stay law, Notice prov.d and Defts called and Not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiffs against the Defts for the Sum of Seventy four Dollars and thirty five Cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of $1239.83 Upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie C. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $74.34. and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended.

Thos A. King Jas. S. King & John M. King Exors & Wm. King dec.d — Plaintiffs

vs

E. A. Wyatt — Deft

Upon a Motion for Judgment.

Notice proved and Defendant Called and Not appearing Judgment is granted the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of Twenty three Dollars & five cents being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal Debt of $384.00 Upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $23.04 and also their Costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended.

David. May adm of Green Hill dec.d — Plt

vs

D. G. Jones — Defendant

Upon a motion for Judgment

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Fourteen forty four being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $240.80 Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $14.44 & also his Costs by him about this motion expended. The Debt has been satisfied T. Donnavant

John B. Thrift: sometimes called John Thrift

vs

Abner Adams Ext of of W. A. Adams — Plaintiff — Defendant

Upon a motion for Judgment

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Twenty four dollars being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $400. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $24. & also his Costs by him about this motion expended.

Jos. Crowder

vs

Stephen Pearce — Plaintiff — Defendant

Upon a motion for Judgment

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff as the Defendant for the sum of Sixty two dollars & thirty one cents being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $1038.65. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is

Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $62.31 & also his cost by him about the motion expended

W. P. Roche who sues for John Alfriend & John T. Woodward surv pts of themselves & W. D. Wilson and W. T. Upon a Merchants & pts in trade under the firm & style of Wilson affiriatio — Motion

vs — for a

John T. Goodwyn — Deft — Judgment

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing Judgment is granted the Plaintiffs against the Defendant for the sum of Eight Dollars & ninety Eight Cents, being an amount equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $149.77 Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $8.98 & also their cost by them about this motion expended

D. P. Perkins for John Cole — Plaintiff — In Debt

vs

Jas. Dearing — Defendant

This day came the ~~Plaintiff~~ Defendant by his Attorney & filed a special plea in writing to the Plaintiffs Decr to which the Plaintiff replied, & thereupon issue was joined.

Wm. J. Thompson — Plaintiff — In Debt

vs

Herman Bradt — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney & pleaded payments & offsets to the Plaintiff Decr to which the Plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

Samuel J. Hurt & John J. Gee surv of themselves & Richard Standifant Josephus Hurt & Wm H. Gee dec'd late Merchants & pts in trade under the firm & style of Standifant Hurt & Gee — Plaintiffs — In Case

vs

Isaac Doney — Defendant

Plea withdrawn & Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the debt in the Decr Mentioned. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixty three Dollars & fifty seven cents with Interest thereon from the 4th day of May 1863 till paid & also their cost by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Same — Plaintiffs — In Case

vs

Same — Defendant

Continued generally until the next Term

Ordered that the following Cases be Continued until the next Term

Watkins for Andas — Plaintiff — In Case

vs

Thos. A. Chappell — Defendant

Fraser & Dorman — Plaintiffs — In

vs

Cornwell — Defendant

Alx. Doman adm of Wm Crow dec — Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Jas. W. T. Poole — Defendant

Erastus Armstrong — Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
McIntyre — Defendant
This day came the Defendant by his Attorney & filed a Special Plea to the Plaintiffs Dec to which the plaintiff replied thereupon issue was joined

Dehaven & Kirkpatrick
vs
Smith Stone & Banks
Dismissed by order of the Plaintiff Attorney

A Thweatt who sues for the benefit of Thos M Rawlett — Plaintiff } Upon a Motion for Judgment
against
E C Fitzgerald. F. F. Thweatt Thomas Thweatt & Henry Field. — Deft
Notice proved and defendants called and not appearing Judgment is granted the plaintiff against the Defts Fitzgerald and Thweatts for the sum of $60.00 being an amount equal to one green in the principal debt of 1000. with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of Dec 1860 upon which a Judgment has been obtained in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defts for the said sum of 60.00 and also his Costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. It appearing to the Court that the Defendant Field has applied for Bankruptcy & having filed a Certificate this motion is continued as to him.

Dunnans & Johnston — Plaintiffs } In Debt.
&
Perryman — Deft.
Ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Ordered that the following causes be dismissed agreed. Harris adm for use vs Hobbs. Hargrave Carter & Keller. Same & P C Hobbs. Same & Hobbs & Northington. Harris adm for use & Hobbs sals. Same & P C Hobbs.

Smith Stone & Banks — Plaintiffs } In Case.
&
A C Wilson — Deft.
Continued for the plaintiff until the next term.

Shortridge Bro. & Co — Plaintiffs } In Case
&
Jefferson & Williamson — Defts
Continued generally until the next term.

W. J. Macauley agent &c — Plaintiff } In Debt.
&
R H Crawley — Deft
Cont until the next term.

Jas. E. Griffin — Plaintiff } In Case.
&
C C Davis — Deft
Continued generally until the next term.

L J Shelly — Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
B W Shelly & ors — Defendants

This day came the Defendants by his attorney and filed a special plea to Plaintiffs Declaration to which the Plaintiff replied generally and thereupon the Case is continued until the next term.

J C Beatty wife — Plaintiffs } In Ejectment
vs
E J Wells — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a Jury to wit, Lalem Hamill. B F Moody, Benj Fen. R H Symes. Jas Kidd. J D Crow, G C Nash. W J Puryear. B Carr P E Rice. H D Young & Wm Dalney who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined having heard the evidence the jury is adjourned over until Monday Morning 10 o'clock.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Monday 10 o'clock.

Ew. R. Chambers

Monday Morning Oct 7th 1867

Present Hon Edward R. Chambers Judge

W. P. Lewis adm of Geo. E. Perkinson decd Plaintiff } Upon a Motion for Judgment
vs
S. S. Northington Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of thirty one dollars & eighty Cents, being a sum equal to one years Interest upon the principal debt of $520. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $31.80. & also his Cost by him about this motion expended

John W. Harman Plaintiff } Upon a Motion for Judgment
vs
D. A. Harman Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of ~~Sixty four~~ one thousand & twenty dollars & ~~eighty~~ eighty three Cents, being a sum equal to one years Interest upon the principal Debt of $1965.50. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $117.95. & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Jas. C. Beatty & wife Plaintiffs } In Detinue
vs
E. J. Wells Defendant

This day came the Jury sworn in on Saturday last in this cause to wit: L. Harwell B. F. Moody B. W. Ford R. H. Lyons Jas. Kidd L. D. Crow G. C. Nash M. J. Pingean B. Carr W. D. Young P. E. Rose Wm Dabney, having heard the Argument of Counsel on their oaths returned a verdict in these words. We of the Jury find the large mule in the Dec mentioned title the property of the plaintiff detained by the Defendant valued at $85.00 & assess the damages for the detention of the same at 50. as to the other mule we find for the Defendant; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said mule in the Dec mentioned valued at $85.00 and also the damages aforesaid. ~~and~~ also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Scott Harrison &c Plaintiffs } In Debt
vs
Wm A Brown Defendant

Geo Field Exor &c Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Thomas E Frame Defendant

By order of the plaintiffs attorney these suits are dismissed.

Goodwyn Chandler Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Wm A Reynolds Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his attorney and filed a special plea to the plaintiff's Decl to which the plaintiff replied the issue was joined.

David May ass of Green Stice dec.d — Plaintiff } In case.
vs
Jos C Bentley Lewis R Lee Ass — Defts

Neither party desiring a Jury in this case and it appearing to the Court Judgment is granted the plaintiff against the Defts for the sum of $86.95 with interest from Nov 1st 1864 till paid, for the consideration Bond a Confederate transaction. It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Eight hundred and two Dollars and Ninety five cents. with interest thereon from the 2d day of November 1864 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Same — Plaintiff } In Cost.
vs
David Blomsford — Defendant

Neither party desiring a jury in this case and it appearing to the Court that Consideration was Confederate money, Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Forty Eight Dollars and Ninety three cents with Interest from the 2d day of Nov 1864 till paid It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum aforesaid with interest as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Same — Plaintiff } In Cost
vs
Fricker — Defendant

Neither party desiring a jury in this case & it appearing to the Court that consideration was Confederate money, Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Seventeen Dollars with Int from the 2d of November 1864 till paid. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum aforesaid with interest as aforesaid, and also their cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended

Same — Plaintiff } In Cost
vs
Spain — Defendant

Neither party desiring a Jury in this case & it appearing to the Court that Consideration was Confederate money. Judgment is granted the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Two Dollars & twenty five cents with Interest from the 2d day of Nov 1864 till paid. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum aforesaid with Interest as aforesaid, & also his cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended.

A. L. Brown — Plaintiff } In Case
vs
C. A. Hargrave — Defendant

~~Plea withdrawn & Judgment for the Plaintiff in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of with Interest from the day of 18 till paid, & also his cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended.~~

John Coleman — Plaintiff } In Cost
vs
C. T. Taylor — Defendant

Neither party desiring a Jury, Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff

against the Defendant for the sum of One hundred Dollars with Interest from the 1st day of January 1861, tile paid, subject to a Credit of Twenty Dollars paid the 1st day of Jany 1861, & also his cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended

Whitmore's adm — Plaintiff
v — In Debt
J. W. Harper — Defendant

Young & Thacker — Plaintiff's
v — In case
South Side Rail Road Co — Defendants

Geo H. Williamson — Plaintiff
v — In case
I. W. Davis Exor — Defendant

Williams Exor — Plaintiffs
v — In Debt
Scott & Hartwell — Defendants

Ordered that the above cases be continued until the next Term

Thos. Wilder — Plaintiff
v — In Case
Jasper Taylor — Defendant

Wm. Brantow — Plaintiff
v — In Debt
M. A. Adams admr of W. A. Adams dec'd — Defendant

Judgment by the court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of twelve hundred & fifty Dollars with Interest thereon from the 10th day of June 1863 tile paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $1250. with Interest as aforesaid & also his cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended

H. H. Tappey & W. C. Lumsden merchants & partners trading under the firm & style of Tappey & Lumsden — Plaintiffs
v — In case
M. E. C. Gillam — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys & thereupon came also the jury to wit: Jas. Kidd. J. D. Crow. B. F. Moody M. D. Perham T. A. Clay W. H. Rogerson B. W. Ford N. D. Young C. W. Coster & Harvey W. Dalney & M. L. Purgeer who being selected by both parties & sworn the well & truly try the issue joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in these words. We the jury find for the Defendant. Therefore it is considered by the court that the Defendant recover against the Plaintiffs his cost by her about this defence in this behalf expended

John. Dodson who sues for the benefit of
H. J. Heartwell Adm of E. H. Mason dec'd — Plaintiffs
vs
John. W. Harman & Ale Dunnan — Defendants } In Debt

Judgment by the Court on inspection of the papers for the sum of One hundred & Seventy seven Dollars Eighty three Cents with Interest thereon from the 25th Dec 1859. till paid. Subject to a Credit of twenty three Dollars & Eighty three Cents paid 25th day of Dec 1861, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $187.83. with interest as aforesaid subject to a Credit as aforesaid, & also his Cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended.

The Same — Plaintiff
vs
Same — Defendants } Upon a motion for Judgment

Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of two dollars & sixty six Cents, being an Amount equal to One years interest upon the principal Debt of $177.83. Judgment of this Court. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $10.60. & also his Cost by him about this motion expended.

John S Crawford — Plaintiff
vs
H. J. Heartwell — Defendant } In Debt

Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the Defendant on inspection of the papers for the sum of One hundred & Seventy six Dollars & forty two Cents with interest thereon from the 22d day of March 1866 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Deft for the said sum with interest as aforesaid, and also his Costs by him about his Debt in this behalf expended.

John F Gresham — Plaintiff
vs
F. W. Parker. — Defendant } In Case.
with power to give a

Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the Deft for the sum of Forty Dollars with interest thereon from the 25th day of Oct 1865 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of Forty Dollars with interest as aforesaid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Branch Revis & Co. — Plaintiff
vs
Wm H Williamson. — Deft } In Case.

Ordered that this Case be continued until the next term of the Court.

Hannah Hudgins — Plaintiff
vs
Diggs & Young. — Deft } In Debt

This day Came the Defendants, by their Attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiffs Declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined

W. A. Hargrave Admr. called Guardn. — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
F. Wells Surg. et al. — Defendants

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleads payment to the Plaintiffs Decr. to which the Plaintiff replies generally & thereupon issue was joined.

Harris Ass for &c — Plaintiffs
vs. } In Debt
John S. Young — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleads payment to the plaintiffs decr to which the plaintiff replies generally and thereupon issue was joined.

Same — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
Norborne R. Robb. et al — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleads "Infancy" to the Plaintiffs decr to which the Plaintiff replied generally and thereupon issue was joined

D. A. Hawthorne Admr — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
W. J. Barron — Defend

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleads payment to the Plaintiffs Decr to which the Plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

Tapley & Simmons — Plaintiff
vs. } In Case.
Isaac S. Kuler — Defendant

This day Defd by his atty upleads non assp. to the Plaintiffs Decr to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

A. S. Shafer Adm — Plaintiff
vs. } In Case.
C. A. Hargrave — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleads payment to the Plaintiffs Decr to which the Plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

Same — Plaintiff
vs. } In Case.
M. J. McCandlish — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleads payment to the plaintiffs Decr to which the plaintiff replied generally and thereupon issue was joined

M. J. Bridging — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
E. F. Williamson — Defendant

Ordered that this suit be dismissed.

Harris Ass for &c — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
J. S. Young — Deft

} Plea acknowledgment by the Court for the pltff & Defd. for the sum of $200.00 with Int from Dec 23rd 1861 till paid. subject to a credit of $114.15 paid Dec 22nd 1862. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the Deft as aforesaid

J P Bissean Ins Co — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Wm H Brown — Defendant

This day came to Defendant by his attorney and pleads payment to the Plaintiffs Decr to which the Plaintiff replies generally whereupon issue was joined.

A S Shafer Adm — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
John J Mitchell Exor &c — Defendant

[illegible]

A J Brown — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Hargrave Contr — Defts

It being suggested to the Court that since the last Calling of this Cause the powers of B J Espen as Contr of Ed Hargrave have been revoked the said suit abates as to him and on the motion of the Plaintiff as Scifacias is now ordered to Hargrave to shew cause why the said suit should not be revived in his name.

John S Black — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
R E Wynn Exor of John M Wynn — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his attorney and pleads non assumpsit to the Plaintiffs decr to which the Plaintiff replies generally and thereupon issue was joined.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 Oclock

Ed R Chambers

Tuesday Octo 8th 1867.

Present Hon E R Chambers.

Chas Dobbs ex of Gardner Dobbs dec^d } Plaintiff } In Covenant.
v
E N Edmunds and William Pierce } Defts

Neither parties desiring a Jury in this case Judgment by the Court in favour of the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum Fifty four Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 22d day of November 1865 till paid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of Fifty four Dollars with legal interest as aforesaid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

Harriet Hudgins } Plaintiff } In Debt.
against
Diggs & Young } Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorney and thereupon came also a Jury to wit. C H Coker. J D Crew. Wm Dabney. M D Parham. P E Rice. B W Ford. S Harrell. B F Moody. M J Puryear. B Cam. Geo C Nash & Wm H Rogerson. being selected by lot tried and sworn to speak the truth the issue joined on their oath returns a verdict in these words to wit We of the Jury find for the Plaintiff the Debt in the Deer mentioned with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1865 till paid to be discharged by the payment of Forty Seven Dollars and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm H Tappey & H C. Saunders Merchants & partners under the firm & style of Tappey & Saunders } Plaintiffs } In Case.
v
J P Gillison } Defendant

This day came the parties by their Attorney's and thereupon came also a Jury Twit. C H Coker. J D Crew. Wm Dabney. M D Parham. P E Rice. B W Ford. S Harrell. B F Moody. M J Puryear. B Cam. Geo C Nash & Wm H Rogerson, being selected by lot tried and sworn to speak the truth the issue joined on their oath returns a verdict in these words to wit We of the Jury find for the Defendant, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover against the Plaintiffs their Costs by them about their Suit expended.

J M Moody per } Plaintiff } In Debt.
v
J P Gillison & M E Gillison } Defendants

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney offered his Special plea in writing which the Court rejected and entered Judgment for the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Eight Hundred & Ninety Dollars with interest thereon from the 25th day of Dec 1860 till paid Hence it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defts the said Sum with interest thereon as aforesaid & also his Costs by him about this suit expended.

Hezekiah Eurq pltf } Plaintiff } In Debt.
v
Herman & Dewitt Walters } Defts

The Court intimating its opinion that the Demurrer filed to the Defts plea is well

~~not sufficient in law~~ shows be amended by leave of the Court the Plaintiff withdrew the same and by leave also of the Court the Defendant reserved his general plea of Non est factum and thereupon the Plaintiff replied generally to both the pleas in the Cause and thereupon issue was joined and continued until the next term of the Court.

Chas Lesbetter an Gardner Lesbetter dec^d — Plaintiff
vs. } In Covenant
Wm H Royall & T Williamson & John I. Abernathy — Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came also a Jury to wit C M Coker J D Crew Wm Dalney M D Parham T E Rice B W Ford L Hancock B F Moody M J Puryear B Cam Geo C Mash & Wm H Rogerson who being selected by lot tried and sworn to wit and truly try the issue joined upon their oath respectively the following verdict We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at Ninety three Dollars & Seventy five cents with legal interest thereon from 22^d Nov 1865 till paid subject to a Credit of Forty three Dollars and 50 cents paid 19^th Nov 1865 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff the said Sum of $93.75 with interest as aforesaid subject to the Credit aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm J Branch Chas S Rives & Miles B Branch late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Branch Rives & Co — Plaff
vs. } In Debt
R B Fate — Deft

Neither party demanding a Jury in this Case Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of One hundred and Nine Dollars and Seventy two cents with interest on Ninety Seven Dollars and two cents from the 20^th day of May 1861 till paid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant for the said Sum of $109.72 with interest as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

B A Davis Philip Abrahams & George Syon late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Davis Abrahams & Syon — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
H J Hearn Exr — Defendant

Neither party demanding a Jury in this Case Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of One hundred and forty four Dollars and twenty cents with interest thereon from the 28^th day of February 1862 till paid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of One hundred & forty four Dollars and twenty cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

S A Hawks an E L Wershaw — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
A J Barnes & W Dazh R G Shelt & Pl Webb — Defendant

This day came the Defendant and filed offsets to the Plaintiff Declaration amounting to One hundred & Eight Dollars, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Thirty two Dollars and twenty four cents the same not controverted by the Defendants plea with interest thereon from the 24^th day of July 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended as to the issue joined on the Defts plea the Case is continued.

T. L. Hobbs — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion for a Judgment under
Isaac H Vader — Defendant — the act known as the stay law

Judgment against the Defendant in favour of the plaintiff for the sum of Nine Dollars and forty four Cents being an amount equal to two years interest on the principal Debt of One hundred & fifty Seven Dollars and Thirty Nine Cents. Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

James P Bissean Sometimes called Trustee of Elizabeth Stand — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Wm H Brown — Defendant

Plea withdrawn and Judgment by the Court in favour of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of One hundred and Twenty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of November 1860 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of One hundred and Twenty five Dollars with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the following Case be continued until the next term.

Sydnor & Taylor & Taylor. Thompson & Brady. Gilliam & Daniel Exr. Davis & Williamson & Same & John H Williamson. Davenport & Stratton & Geo H Williamson. Gilliam & Trid & Thomas. Brown Adm & c. & Harper. Perkins for &c & Dearing. Crawford & c & Wynn. Wilder & Taylor. Bleik & Wynne Exr & c. Armstrong & McIntyre.

Neans assignee — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Hobbs Ccl. — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleaded payment to the Plaintiff Deer to which the Plaintiff replied generally, whereupon issue was joined and the case is Cont'd.

Goodwyn Chandler — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
[redacted] H Royale — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his Attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiff Deer to which the Plaintiff replied generally, whereupon issue was joined the case is Cont'd.

W. H. Tappey & W. C. Semmes Merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of Tappey & Semmes — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
J S Keeler — Deft

Plea withdrawn and Judgment by the Court in favour of the Plaintiffs against the Defendant for the sum of One hundred and Eighty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Sept 1863 till paid. It is therefore considered that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $180 with interest as aforesaid & also their costs by them about their suit expended.

A S Shafer Ex — Plaintiff
vs
C A Hargrave — Defendant
In Case

Ordered that this case be continued for the Plaintiff until the next Term

B J Epes Ex'tor of C A Hargrave — Plaintiff
vs
Noble Griffin — Defendant
In Debt

This day came the Defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment to the Plaintiff Dem. to which the Plaintiff replied generally and. Thereupon issue was join and the case is continued until the next Term

An account of R T Chambers for Guarding Dinwiddie County Jail was examined & allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public accounts for payment; It appearing to the Court the Guard was necessary. Cost $5.00

An acct of E T Key Guard Dinwiddie Jail County $~~5.00~~ 25.00 was examined & allowed & ordered to be certified

An account of C Chappell against the Commonwealth for Guarding Dinwiddie Jail County $46.00 was examined and allowed & certified

An acct of B S Keys against the Commonwealth for Guarding Dinwiddie Jail County Twenty three Dollars was examined and allowed & certified to Auditor

An acct of John T Smith against the Commonwealth of Virginia County $81.00 was examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the auditor for payment

An acct of C A Kuck against the Commonwealth of Va for Guarding Dinwiddie Jail County $25.00 was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the auditor for payment

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be allowed [illegible] the sum opposite their names for their services at this Di[illegible] the Court to be paid out of the County Treasury To wit W B Ford $3.00 G C Hart $3.00 W D Young $3.00 C W Coker $3.00 J D Drew $3.00 W D Parham $3.00 Burwell Gun $3.00 R H Tyler $3.00 W J Perryman $3.00 Jas Kidd $3.00 P E Rice $3.00 B F Moody $3.00 W H Roperson $3.00 G W McKenny $3.00 Thaddeus A Clay $3.00 Sabino Harris $3.00

An acct of Henry Conyers Shff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth County $3.00 for Summoning 2 Venires at the last term of the Court was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the auditor of Public Accounts for payment

Ordered that that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow to Order

Ew R Chambers

Wednesday Oct 9th 1867

Present Hon E R Chambers

Archer Bland who was convicted of felony on Friday last was this day again led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff of this County and it being demanded of him if anything for himself he had or knew to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of Judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Archer Bland be imprisoned in the Penitentiary for the term of twelve months, the term by the Jury in their verdict ascertained and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Archer Bland from the Jail of this County to the Penitentiary there kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law and thereupon the said Bland is remanded to Jail.

Albert Williams who was convicted of felony on Thursday last was this day again led to the bar in the custody of the Jailor. Being asked why sentence should not be pronounced against him, His Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict of the Jury in this case, and to award the prisoner a new trial on the ground that the Court had erred in the instructions given to the Jury and for errors and misprisons in the indictment, and after hearing arguments of the Counsel for the prisoner and the Attorney for the Commonwealth, The Court being of opinion that it erred in refusing to give to the Jury the instructions asked for by the Counsel for the prisoner that in estimating the value of the property stated in the indictment to have been stolen, they should disregard the claim of $35 stated in the indictment to be of Notes of the Currency of the United States, the indictment containing no averment that it was of any value and for this and other reasons the Court doth set aside the verdict of the Jury and award the prisoner a new trial and the Court doth order that the case be transferred to the County Court of Dinwiddie for trial in that Court.

F H Parker, James Bruce and R C Bruce the Committee appointed to examine the jail made their report which was ordered to be filed.

The Court doth allow unto Branch J Epes Attorney the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow to the Sheriff of this County the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow to the Jailor the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow to the Clerk of this Court the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Commonwealth Plaintiff

vs

P. G. Jones. Defendant

This cause came on this day to be heard on the argument of the Commonwealth's Atty and of the Counsel for the Defendant on consideration whereof and upon an examination of the record the Court is of opinion that for errors appearing on the record the Lower Court did not err in overruling the Deft's motion for a new trial it is therefore ordered that the judgment overruling the Deft's motion for a new trial be reversed and that a new trial be awarded him and that the cause be dismissed in this Court for further proceedings and that the Defendant be remanded to the next term of the Court to answer the Information.

An account of Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to $12.00 for board of prisoners at this term of the Court it appearing to the Court that the cause was a protracted one it is allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of public accounts for payment.

The Commonwealth Plaintiff } Mr Scarfe.

vs

R. L. Kirkland & H. J. Humphries &c Defts

Ordered that this cause be continued until the next term of the Court

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the next term,

Eu. P. Chambers

Office Judgments Confirmed the 9th day of October 1867.

Certif'g 25
Fi fa 12th Oct.

E. M. Webb — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Wm Turnbull & R. T. Stone — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Two Hundred and One Dollars and Eighty Seven Cents, with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of August 1867 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$7.00
Fi fa 12th Oct.

B. McRobertson M. A. Clarke & H. Clarke late merchants and partners doing business under the firm & style of B. M. Robertson & Co — Plaintiffs.
vs. } In Case.
Wm Pearce — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the Defendants saith Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of Eighty four Dollars and thirty five Cents, with Int on $53 apart thereof from the 1st day of January 1866 and on $31.35 the residue thereof from the 1st day of June 1866 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended Cr by $18.67 cy.

$6.75
Fi fa 12th Oct.

Edward Watkins — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
William Turnbull — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Eighty Seven Dollars and Sixty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of March 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$7.25
Fi fa 12th Oct.

M. L. Wheeler admr of Thomas Wheeler dec'd — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Wm H. Richardson & James Deaveny — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Fifty One Dollars and Seventy Cents with interest thereon from the 14th May 1867 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

$7.25
Fi fa 12th Oct.

The Same — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
James Deaveny & Thomas Richardson — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Twenty Six Dollars and Ten Cents ($26.10) with legal interest thereon from the 14th day of May 1867 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Fifa 12th Oct — Mrs Ela Gillian — Plaintiff
Costs $7 25 — vs — In Debt
A O Winston & J A Brooks — Defendants

This day Came the plaintiff by her Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Seventy five Dollars and Thirty five Cents with legal interest from 22d day of Nov 1866 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended

$7.83 — B E Wynn vs N M Orgain — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
J H Mingy and Dawes Meadows — Defendants

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of One hundred Twenty five Dollars with interest from the 18th Dec 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this Judgment must be credited by $36.00 paid 18th July 1867

R D Gregg & Young Dunning partners of themselves and Dominadia Gregg dec late merchants partners doing business under the firm & style of D Gregg & Son who sues for the benefit of R D Gregg Exor of said D Gregg — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
James P Bayless and Albert Dabney — Defendants

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Seventy five Dollars and Eleven Cents with interest thereon from the 26th day of June 1866 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Costs $7 57 — L N Hawkes vs E S Wersham — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
R C Shell P C Hobbs & O J Barnes — Defendants

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of One hundred and Fifty Nine Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 24th day of July 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Same — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
B W Jackson S V Jackson & J Shaw B Miles — Defendants

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Fifty six Dollars with interest thereon from the 24th day of July 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Garson F Hawkins who sues for the benefit of R E Goodwyn — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
J M Turnbull — Defendant

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the

the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and twenty four 23/100 Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of June 1865 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment must be Credited by $14.00 Paid to 1st July 1866.

$7.37 Geo M Prince assee Wm A Ledbetter — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Thos H. J. Hearlines & Henry Cousins — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Two Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of November 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas Smith — Plaintiff
vs — In Case.
Geo D Baskerville — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Twenty Eight Dollars and Fifty Seven Cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of December 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$6.81 D E Covington — Plaintiff
vs — In Case.
J. S. Keeler — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Sum of Thirty One Dollars & fifty Cents with Interest thereon from June 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$7.06 Bernard Todd C C Pugh Wm L. Cheers & Wm T Smith Carter. Merchants Partners in trade under the firm and style of Todd Pugh & Co. — Plaintiff
vs — In Case.
C A Hargrove — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the Defendant saith saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of One hundred and Thirty four Dollars and Three Cents with legal interest thereon from the 6th day of July 1866 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

$7.00 Same — Plaintiff
vs — In Case.
James P. Berryman — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred Twenty One Dollars and thirty one cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1866 till paid

and also their Costs by them about their Suit expended.

fi fa 12 — Bella Robertson McA Martin and H Clarke late merchants & partners trading under the firm & style of B M. Robertson & Co. } Plaintiffs
vs } In Case
W E Berryman } Defendant

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Forty four Dollars and Thirty Eight Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of March 1861 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended

Cert. fi fa 12 / Fifa 12th Oct — McM Davis & C Drake and E H Davis merchants and partners trading under the firm & Style of Davis Drake & Co. } Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
J J Gillam } Defendant

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Twenty Seven Dollars with legal interest from the 1st day of May 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Thos Hand — Plaintiffs
vs } In Case
Wm H Mitchell — Defendant

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Sixty One Dollars and Forty three Cents with Interest on $44.02 from 1st January 1861 till paid and on $17.41 the residue thereof from the 1st day of July 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

F M Wright & John H Bradberry surv partners of themselves & E A Bonney dec'd late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Bonney Wright & Bradberry } Plaintiffs
vs } In Case
William Turnbull } Defendant

This day Came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Sixty Dollars and Eighty three Cents with legal interest thereon from the first day of January 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Geo Harrison adm with the will annexed of L B Harrison dec'd } Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
William Turnbull & E R Turnbull } Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Ninety Dollars with legal Interest thereon from the 25th day of Decr 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

W D Taylor adm of R H Taylor dec'd who was surviving partner of himself & R H Publes dec'd late merchants in trade under the firm & Style of Taylor & Publes } Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
Wm Turnbull who sometimes signs himself Wm Turnbull agt for A H Hawthorne } Defendt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum One Hundred and Eighty four Dollars & Sixty three Cents with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of March 1862 till paid and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A B Mahood & W H Mahood late merchants and partners in trade under the firm & style of A B Mahood & Bro. } Plaintiffs
} In Case.
Thaddeus Branch } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Twenty Nine Dollars & Six Cents with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of July 1862 till paid and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

T H Foster Ex'r of T Foster Alotm } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
Wm T Beasley & R N Nesbitt } Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 24th day of December 1864 till paid. and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

S G Branch & E B Branch late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of S G Branch & Bro. } Plaintiffs
} In Case.
Geo D Baskerville Jr. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Thirty Ten Dollars and twenty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1860 till paid and also their Cost by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

M. M. Davis Nicholas Clark & J D Clarke late merchants and partners trading under the firm & style of M. M. Davis & Co. } Plaintiffs
} In Debt.
C A Hargrave } Deft

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Twenty three Dollars and forty six Cents with legal interest thereon from the 2d day of January 1862 till paid and also their costs by them about the suit in this behalf expended.

T H. Royston } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
Stephen Reams } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the

Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty six Dollars & forty three Cents with legal interest thereon from the 24th day of August 1860 till paid and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A. S. Wentworth Adm. Debonis non of J E Stewart dec'd. Exec. J E Stewart Adm of L W Hobb dec'd who sues for the benefit of C A Hargrave. } Plaintiff
} In Debt
Ann B Cox. Surv obligee of herself and S W Allgood } Defs

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & three dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1860 till paid, and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John H Hamm who sues for the benefit of W.S. Bromley.

Thomas N. Scott and James P. Bispham.

The judgment obtained at the Rules against the defendant James P Bispham not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment against him, it is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant James P Bispham the sum of One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Sept 1860 till paid and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John H Jones } Plaintiff
} In Debt
Saml. S. Northington. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred & fifty one Dollars & Seventeen Cents with legal interest on $571.17 a part thereof from the 16th day of June 1860 till paid and on One hundred & fifty Dollars the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1861 the residue thereof till paid and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

B A Davis, Philip Abrahams & Benjn. Lyon late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Davis Abrahams & Lyon who sues for the benefit of A.C. Butts Trustee } Plaintiff
} In Debt
C A Hargrave. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty four Dollars and Eighty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of February 1862 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel Peebles, H.C. Plummer & J.J. Thompson late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Peebles Plummer & Co. } Plaintiff
} In Debt
A.H. Bispham. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred & Seventy eight ~~dollars~~ and fifty four cts with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of June 1862 till paid & costs.

Samuel Peebles & Thos. White Jr. late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Peebles & White. } Plaintiffs
} In Debt
vs
A. H. Bispeau. Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Four Hundred & Eighty Seven Dollars and Eighty three Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1862 till paid. and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Wm. S. Bromly & Lucius Green late merchants & partners in trade, under the firm & style of Bromly Green & Co who sue for W. S. Bromly. } Plaintiffs
} In Debt
vs
H. A. Neaves. Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Seven Hundred & Ninety Ten Dollars and Eighteen Cents. with legal interest thereon from the 2d day of January 1861 till paid and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Peter E. Rose Executor of Benjamin F. Rose. Plaintiff
} In Debt
vs
H. A. Neaves & Wm. H. Lobeller Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four Hundred & fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 30th day of May 1862 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A. J. Gravell. Plaintiff
} In Debt
vs
John T. Mayo Surv of & who was jointly bound with G. M. Tuttin. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred Dollars with Int on $100 against thereof from 1st Jany 1861 and on $100 the residue thereof from the 25th Decr 1861 till paid and also his Cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Peter E. Rose Exr of B. F. Rose Plaintiff
} In Debt
vs
H. A. Neaves and James H. King Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant James H. King the sum of Two Hundred and thirteen Dollars & twelve Cents, with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of July 1862 till paid and also his Cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended, But this judgment is entitled to a credit of $30 00 paid 20th April 1866.

W S Bromley Lucius Green & J E Horner late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Bromley Green & Co who sues for W.S. Bromley } Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
John W Harmon & J S Kuler. } Defendants

This day Came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety Seven Dollars & Seventy five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of November 1859 till paid, and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas H Boyston. } Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
N. O. Shell. } Defendant

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff the sum of Thirty Six Dollars and twenty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of March 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

James H Mangum sometimes called Jno of N. R. Jackson } Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
Mc E Haverton & H. J. Hendrick } Defendants

This day Came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty Six Dollars and Sixty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

A J. Shafer George A Halsey Samuel Halsey Daniel Halsey
& W M Hamlin late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style
of A J Shafer & Co. } Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
Richard P Bolling } Defendant

This day Came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty four Dollars and fifty Cents with interest from 1st day of July 1861 till paid & also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended.

John Dennan S O Dennan & J A Johnston Survivors of themselves &
D Dennan dec'd late merchants & partners in trade under the firm &
style of Dennans & Johnston } Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
John J Mitchell Exor of A. G. Mitchell dec'd who was coobliger } Defendant
with James C Bain.

This day Came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & Thirteen Dollars and twenty three Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1856 till paid and also their Costs by them about their Suit in this behalf expended, But this judgment must be credited by $57.00 paid Oct 23 1856.

W J Branch Chas S Rives John O Bragg and Miles B Branch late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Branch Rives & Co. — Plaintiffs

vs — In Debt

H. I. Hearturer, — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Three Hundred & thirty ~~one~~ Dollars and Sixty four Cents with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of September 1861 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit expended.

George Harrison adm. of L B Harrison dec'd — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

Wm Turner & R T. Stone. — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three Hundred and Sixty Dollars with Int on $100 apart thereof from the 25th Dec 1856 & on $130.00 another part thereof from the 25th Dec 1857 and on $130 the residue thereof from the 25th day of Dec 1858 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

General Publes & Thomas White late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Publes & White. — Plaintiffs

vs — In Debt

Thomas A Bass. — Defendant

This day came the defendants by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Forty two Dollars & Seventy Nine Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1859 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

B B Wilks adm. of John D Brown dec'd — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

George D Bastenville Jr & J L. White. — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty Nine Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 14th of Dec. 1858 till paid. and also his costs by him ~~about~~ his suit in this behalf expended

R. Cousins. — Plaintiff

vs — In Case

A W. Bussean. — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fourteen Hundred and Eighty six Dollars and fifty Cents, with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of July 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

George Harrison adm. of L B Harrison dec'd. — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
William Tumbleo & Chas. Tumbleo — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred Thirty Dollars with Int thereon from 27th day of December 1858 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; But this judgment is credited to a Credit of $30.00 paid 25th Dec 1859. & for the Interest up to 25th Dec 1859.

David May adm. of J P May dec'd who sues for J H Thompson — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
Wm. B. Wilson — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Five Hundred and Thirty three Dollars and Seventy nine Cents with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of August 1861 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in that behalf expended.

A. J. Henderson as be nonis nom of J E Harris called J E Harris adm of G W Hobbs dec'd who sues for C A Hargrove — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
Ann R Cox, Exr obo c. — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Forty four Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1860 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Peter F. Coghill & Chas. E. Allen late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Coghill & Allen — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
Bushrod Carr & Saml J Northington Exr & of & Executors of J. F. Carr — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by their Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Four Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of Nov 1860 till paid and also their Costs by the about their suit in this behalf expended,

S. A. Plummer Agent of A H Foster — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
Geo D Baskerville — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One Hundred & Six Dollars and fifty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1862 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Samuel Peebles, H. L. Plummer & A. J. Thomson late merchants under the firm & style of Peebles Plummer & Co. — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
William Tumbleo — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendants saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that

the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Five Hundred & Ninety Eight Dollars and fifteen Cents, with legal Interest thereon from the 30th day of September 1862 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

J. B. Wilkes ass. of J. D. Braun who sues for the benefit of E. O. Wills. } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
vs
George D. Baskerville. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and twelve Dollars and fifty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1859 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, but this judgment is entitled to a credit of $75.00 paid Nov 12th 59. as (per endorsement on back)

Cits p/y 25
Sfa 12th Oct.

Henry Jones. } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
vs
Robert Michaels & John T. Newman. } Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Eighty Eight Dollars and sixty Six Cents with legal interest thereon from the 2d day of April 1861 till paid; and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm. J. Branch, Chas. S. Revis, John C. Bragg & Miles B. Branch late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Branch Revis & Co } Plaintiffs
} In Debt.
vs
John H. Williamson. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & Seventy One Dollars & forty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of May 1861 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

R. E. Lewis Guar of Williams. } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
vs
J. Warner Lewis. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred & forty six Dollars and Ninety four Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Kirkland Griffin who sues for the benefit of R. E. Lewis Guar &c } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
vs
Same } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by their Attorney and the defendant said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred & fifty Seven Dollars & ten Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Jany 1861 till paid. & costs.

Peter F. Coghlin & Chas E. Allen late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Coghlin & Allen. } Plaintiffs
} In Debt
}
M L Wheeler admr of Thomas Wheeler dec'd } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifteen Hundred and Sixty nine Dollars and Eighty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of Oct 1860 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and Chattles of the decedent in the hands of the defendant if so much thereof she hath but this Judgment is entered to a credit of $700 paid 30th April 1863.

H. J. Scarvelle. } Plaintiff
} In Debt
}
John F. Meagher adm of Geo H. Perkins dec'd } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred Dollars with legal interest on $100 a part thereof from the 1st day of Jany 1861 till paid and $100 the residue thereof from the 25th Dec 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, To be levied of the goods and Chattles of the decedent in the hands of the defendant if so much thereof he hath,

D. C. Gooch } Plaintiff
} In Debt
}
Winston Sutherland adm of Elizabeth Sutherland dec'd } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of June 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods and Chattles of the decedent in the hands of the defendant if so much thereof he hath,

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Court House thereof, on the 18th day of March 1868, and of the Commonwealth the 93

Present Hon. Edward R. Chambers Judge

Turner — Plaintiff
v.
Winston & Wynne — Defendants

On the application of H. Cousins Sheriff of this County who says that having in his hands a writ of fieri facias which was issued by the Clerk of this Court, under date of the 8th Aug. last against the goods and chattels of A. C. Winston & R. E. Wynne, in favour of Jas. T. Turner, for the benefit of R. S. Turner, he the said Sheriff levied upon $180 in his hands; the amount of a County Claim allowed to the said A. C. Winston by the County Court of this County at its July term last, but that he did not pay the said money to the plaintiff for the reason that, the same was claimed by One B. P. King. It is ordered that the said B. P. King A. C. Winston R. E. Wynne & R. S. Turner be summoned to appear before the Court on Saturday next, the 21st inst, to protect their respective interest in the premises, and to abide what judgment may be given according to the right & justice of the case

Ordered that the Law Office of J. S. Kuler at Dinwiddie Ct. H. be adopted as the Court of this County until the further order of the Court

Chas. Sedbitter adm of Gardner Sedbitter — Plaintiff
v.
S. D. Norman W. A. Norman & John W. Norman — Defendants

Upon a motion for Judgment upon a forfeited performing Bond

Notice proved and Defendants called & not appearing, Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of Seventy seven Dollars & Seventy Eight cents. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $77.78, But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Thirty Eight Dollars & Eighty nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of December 1867 till paid & also his Cost by him about this motion expended, It appearing to the Court that John W. Norman has been adjudged a Bankrupt this Cause is continued as to him,

Ordered that James Fungerson, John B. Bear, John M. Pettus & John S. Blicker be discharged as Petit Jurors during this term of the Court

John W. Jones — Plaintiff
v.
Samuel S. Northington — Defendant

Upon a motion for Judgment

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of Seventy Eight pounds & fourteen cents, being an amount equal to One years interest upon the principal debt of $651.17, evidenced by a Judgment of this Court. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $78.14 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

W. H. Munn agent of W. A. Adams — Plaintiff
v.
D. S. Kuler — Defendants

Upon a motion

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. judgment for the plaintiff

against the Defendants for the Sum of Twelve Dollars & Twelve cents, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $101 evidenced by Judgment of the County Court. Therefore it is Considered by the Court That the plaintiff recover against the Defendant for the said Sum of $12.12 & also his cost by him about this Motion expended.

Samuel S. Hunt & John L. Gee Surv of themselves and Richard Sturdivant Josephus Hunt & W. H. Gee deceased, late Merchants & partners under the firm & style of Sturdivant Hunt & Gee &c — Plaintiffs — Upon a Motion

vs

B. W. Ford — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of Thirty two Dollars & Thirty Eight cents, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $142.02. Evidenced by a Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $32.38 & also their cost by them about this Motion expended.

The Same — Plaintiff — Upon a Motion

vs

N. B. Jones — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Sixty Dollars & fourteen cents, being an Amount equal to one year interest upon the principal debt of $269.13. Evidenced by a Judgment in the County Court. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $16.14 & also their Cost by them about this motion expended.

The Same — Plaintiffs — Upon a Motion

vs

W. L. Blanks & W. H. Mitchell — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Sixteen Dollars & Seventy cents, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $139.81. Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court. Therefore it is Considered by the Court That the plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $16.70 & also their Cost by them about this motion expended.

Peter E. Davis Survivor of himself & W. H. Harder deceased late Merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Davis & Harder — Plaintiffs — Upon a Motion &c

vs

Geo D. Baskerville Sometimes called Geo. D. Baskerville Jr — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of twenty two Dollars & twenty two cents being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $185.21. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $22.22 & also his cost by him about this motion expended.

Saml. T. Organ for R. H. Mann — Plaintiff — Upon a Motion

vs

A. G. Pettus R. B. Howard & John N. Crowder — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff

against the Defendants for the Sum of Seven Dollars & Eighty cents being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $65.00 Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $7.80 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Samuel S. Hunt & John S. Gee Surviving of Thompson, Rich Sturdivant Josephus Hunt & W. N. Gee deceased, late Merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Sturdivants Hunt Gee & Co — Plaintiffs

vs — Upon a motion

J. S. Ruber — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of twenty Dollars & Eighty five cents being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $17.68. Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $20.84, and also their Cost by them about this motion expended

A. Donnan — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

J. S. Ruber R. G. Boiseau Chas. A. Horgan and Mary A. Adams admrx of W. A. Adams — Defendants

Notice proved & defendants Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Twenty five Dollars & Ninety five cents being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $432.65 Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $25.95 & also his cost by him about this Motion expended, It appearing to the Court that R. G. Boiseau is an applicant for Bankruptcy this Cause is Continued as to him

H. C. Howlett — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

J. S. Ruber et al — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of ~~Fourteen Dollars & fifty four~~ Eighty five cents being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $14.54. Evidenced by Judgment. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of 87 Cents & also his cost by him about this motion expended,

James Moore's admr — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

B. R. Lewis & John P. Goodwyn — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Five Dollars & Eight Cents, being an Amount equal to ~~Three~~ years interest upon the principal debt of $48.40. Evidenced by Bond, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $5.80 & also his cost by him about this motion expended. It appearing to the Court that John P. Goodwyn is an applicant for Bankruptcy, this cause is continued as to him

Joshua Prestlow & Ann E. his wife formerly Ann E. Butler who was sometimes called Guardian of C. S. Butler, who has intermarried with John Murry who sues for the benefit of John Murry — Plaintiffs

vs — Upon a motion

C. A. Hargrave & James Hargrave — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendants for the sum of Nineteen Dollars & Sixty five cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $527.50, Evidenced by judgment in the Circuit Court. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $19.65 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

H. I. Hearlwell who sues for the benefit of A. Donnan — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

J. D. Ruler and R. G. Boifeur — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants waived the calling. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the sum of Nine Dollars, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $150. Evidenced by judgment in the County Court, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $9.00 & also his cost by him about this motion expended, It appearing to the Court that R. G. Boifeur is an applicant for Bankruptcy This cause is continued as to him

A. Donnan — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

D. A. Nanman John W. Nanman & John L. Mitchell Exor of H. G. Mitchell dec'd — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of Four Dollars & twenty five cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $70.96. Evidenced by judgment in the County Court. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $4.25 & also his cost by him about this motion expended, It appearing to the Court that John W. Nanman is an applicant for Bankruptcy this cause is continued as to him.

Sally Nelles — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

J. D. Ruler — Defendant

Notice proved & defendant called not appearing judgment for the plaintiff against the Deft for the sum of Seven Dollars & twelve cents being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of One hundred & eighteen Dollars & Eighty two cents Evidenced by Judgement in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Deft the said sum of Seven Dollars & twelve cents also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

R. S. Thompson — Plaintiff

vs — Upon a motion

Isaac S. Ruler — Defendant

Notice proved & defendant called not appearing judgement for the Plaintiff against the Deft for the sum of Six Dollars & twenty four cents being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of Fifty two Dollars & Three cents, Evidenced by judgement. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Deft the said sum of Six Dollars & twenty

four cents and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

Elizabeth Wells — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a Motion.
Isaac L Keller — Deft.

Notice proved and Deft called and not appearing Judgement for Plaintiff against the Deft for the sum of Seven Dollars & Sixty Six cents being the amount equal to one years interest on the principal debt of One hundred & twenty Seven Dollars and Eighty One cents evidenced by judgement of the the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Deft the sum of Seven Dollars and Sixty-Six cents and also his costs by her about her motion in this behalf expended.

John B Thrift — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion.
J N Harman, J. J. Keller; R B Thweatt & B. J. Epes. — Defts

Notice proved and Deft Called & not appearing, Judgement for Plaintiff against the Defts for the sum of Twelve Dollars being the amount equal to two years interest on the principal debt of One Hundred Dollars Evidenced by judgement of the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Deft the sum of twelve Dollars and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. (It appearing to the Court that the Deft Jno N Harman is an applicant for Bankruptcy this is continued as to him.

Jno Donnan & Alex.r Donnan Adm.rs with the will annex.d of Wm Brownley deceased — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a Motion
Edward A Ngatt — Deft.

Notice proved & deft called & not appearing Judgement for the plaintiffs against the Deft for the sum of Eighteen Dollars being the amount equal to one years interest on the principal sum of Three Hundred Dollars, Evidenced by Judgement of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Deft the sum of Eighteen Dollars, and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

Wm T Jones. — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a Motion
J N Brooks Surv obligor of N A Adams dec.d — Defendant

Notice proved & defendant called and not appearing Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of Nine Dollars being an amount equal to one years interest on the principal debt of $150.00 Evidenced by judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Nine Dollars and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

John S Crawford — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a Motion
James C Bain & E Anzatt — Defendants

Notice proved and defendants called and not appearing judgment for the plaintiff against the defendant E Anzatt for the sum of Fourteen Dollars and Nine Cents being an amount equal to one year interest on the principal debt $234.90 evidenced by judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of $14.09. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended (It appearing to the Court that the defendant Bain is an applicant for Bankruptcy this case is continued as to him)

H A Tuckers Exors. — Plaintiffs
vs — Upon motion
R M Barnes & M B. Barnes — Defendants

Notice proved and defendants called and not appearing Judgment for the plaintiffs against the defendants for the Sum of Three Dollars fifty one Cents being an amount equal to one years Interest on the principal debt of $58.63 Evidenced by Judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the said Sum of $3.51. and also their Cost by them about their motion in this behalf expended.

Sarah H Epes. — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
E A Wyatt — Defendant

Notice proved and defendant called and not appearing Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendant for the Sum of Ninety three Dollars and Seven Cents being and amount equal to one years Interest on the principal debt of $1557.29 Evidenced by judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said Sum of $93.07 and also his Cost by him about his motion in this behalf expended.

Thos Branch Jas R Branch F R. Scott & John P. Branch partners trading under the firm & Style of Thos. Branch Sons vs — Plaintiffs
vs — Upon a motion
E A Wyatt — Defendant

Notice proved and defendant called not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of one hundred & Eighteen Dollars & fifty seven Cents, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $1975.25. Evidenced by judgment in the County Court. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $118.57 and also their Cost by them about their motion in this behalf expended

M. F. Hunt admr of B. F. Hunt — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
M. A. Pinchbeck & R. W. Vaughan — Defendants

Notice proved & Continued until Saturday

Andrew Dunn Survivor of himself & A. P. Spencer dec'd Merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Dunn & Spencer who sues for the benefit of John Mann & C. S. Stringfellow his Trustees — Plaintiffs
vs — Upon a motion
W. H. Royall — Defendant

Notice proved & Continued On account of being a Bankrupt

A. Donnan — Plaintiff
vs
John W. Hannan B. J. Epes & C. A. Hargrave — Defendants

Notice proved & Continued until Saturday

Mary V. Sydnor Ex'x of J. A. Sydnor dec'd Sometimes called admr of Lewis Meredith who sues for the benefit of Jas. P. Tucker — Plaintiffs
vs
Jas G. Taylor & Robt D. Taylor Surv'ors of themselves & W. A. Adams dec'd — Defendants

Notice proved & Continued

Waynesboro Geo.

Pettus, Bristow, & Revis. } Plaintiff
Notice proved & continued until Friday. } Defendants } Upon a Notice.

John P. Tucker Foreman S. S. Nottingham. Edwin Conway. W. D. Young James C. Bain. John J. Abernathy. Wm M. Field. Newman Williams. James Hutchins A. W. Cousins Morton Davis, Henry L. Wheelhouse, L. M. Tucker. Wm A. Spain R. B. A. Bissram, Henry W. Jones. B. W. Ford. R. C. Hardy. W. Bushrod Carr. Were sworn a grand Jury of inquest for the body of this County, and having received their charge, retired to their room, and after some time returned into Court, and reported the following presentments,

We present John W. Madden for a breach of the peace and an assault & battery in the County of Dinwiddie on the body of James Deaning within the last six months on the testimony of Charles E. Abernathy, sworn in open Court & sent before the Grand Jury.

We present James Deaning for a breach of the peace & an assault & battery in in the County of Dinwiddie on the body of John W. Madden within the last six months on the testimony of Charles E. Abernathy & Jacob Thrift sworn in Open Court & testified before the grand Jury.

We present Robert W. Moore for a breach of the peace and an assault & battery in the County of Dinwiddie on the body of James Deaning within the last six months on the testimony of Chas. E. Abernathy & Jacob Thrift sworn in open Court & testified before the grand Jury.

We present Wm Davis Surveyor of the road from Nottaway river to Buck Skin Creek in District No 4 in the County of Dinwiddie, for not keeping said road in proper condition, as the law directs on the testimony of John J. Abernathy & Wm M. Field members of the grand Jury. The grand Jury having nothing further to present they were discharged.

Ordered that each of the above sworn Jurors receive One Dollar for their services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County Levy.

The Commonwealth } Prosecuting } Upon presentment.
v. } Defendant
George Lewis Jr.

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the defendant by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit. John S. Hall. D. E. Davis. Thos. B. Keys. A. J. Barnes. A. Drinkwater. B. J. Wynn. Jas. Hawkins Wm. N. Martin John F. Young B. C. Wells R. A. Sydnor. & J. A. P. Wells who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak returned their verdict in their words, We of the Jury find the defendant "Not Guilty" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be discharged & go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth } Prosecuting } Upon presentment.
v. } Defendant
Henry Jenkins.

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the defendant by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit. John S. Hall. D. E. Davis Thos. B. Keys. A. J. Barnes. A. Drinkwater B. J. Wynn. Jas. Hawkins Wm N. Martin John F. Young. B. C. Wells R. A. Sydnor. & J. A. P. Wells. who being duly sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak returned their verdict in their words, We of the Jury find the defendant Not Guilty Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be discharged & go thereof without day.

The Commonwealth — Prosecuting
vs. } Upon a presentment
P. G. Jones — Defendant

This day Came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the defendant by his Attorney and Moved the Court to quash the information which motion the Court Sustains &c it is therefore Considered by the Court that the said information be quashed and set aside and the defendant be discharged thereof without day.

The Commonwealth — Prosecuting
vs. } Upon presentment
P. G. Jones — Defendant

Came — Prosecuting
vs. } Upon presentment
T. C. Jones — Defendant

Ordered that the above case be continued until the next term.

Wm. H. Martin — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
R. P. Reese — Defendant

By order of the plaintiffs Attorney this case is dismissed.

Wm. C. Purcell — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
Nick Lynch &c. — Defendants

This day Came the defendants by their attorney and filed a special plea in writing to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally and thereupon issue was joined.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clock

Ewd. R. Chambers

March 19th 1868

Present The Hon E. R. Chambers Judge

A. G. Pence gentleman has having received a license to Practice the Law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, leave is given him to Practice in this Court, whereupon the said Pence took the oaths by law prescribed

E. A. Jones admr of J. L. E. Jones dec'd Plaintiff
vs
C. M. Bristow Defendant } Upon a motion

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of three dollars & thirty three Cents, being an amount equal to ~~two~~ one years interest upon the principal debt of $27.77 Evidenced by judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $3.33, & also her cost by her about this motion expended

The Same Plaintiff
vs
B. W. Ford & Thos. B. Hamlin Defendants } Upon a motion

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Two dollars & Eighty five Cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $ 25.73. Evidenced by judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $ 2.85, & also her cost by ~~him~~ about this motion expended

The Same Plaintiff
vs
D. A. Harman H. S. Heartwell C. A. Hargrave
John W. Harman & John H. Williamson Defendants } Upon a motion,

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Twenty dollars & Ninety four Cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $ 300. Subject to a credit of $ 135.94. Evidenced by judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $20.94, & also her cost by her about this motion expended. It appearing to the Court that John W. Harman is an applicant for Bankruptcy, this cause is continued by the Court

The Same Plaintiff
vs
W. H. Royall & John L. Abernathy Defendants } Upon a motion

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Six dollars, being an amount equal to ~~one~~ ten years interest upon the principal debt of $ 50, Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $6. and also her cost by her about this motion in her behalf expended. It appearing to the Court that W. H. Royall is an applicant for the benefit of the Bankrupt Law, this cause is continued as to him

The State — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
James P. Boifeau — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum Seventeen Dollars fourteen cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $142.85 Evidenced by a Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $17.14, & also her cost by her about this motion expended.

J. M. Moody who sues for the benefit of R. H. Sydnor — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
A. P. Gilliam & Mary E. C. Gilliam — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of One hundred & Six Dollars & Eighty cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $89, Evidenced by judgments in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $106.80, & also his cost by him about this motion expended. It appearing to the Court that A. P. Gilliam is an applicant for the Bankruptcy, this cause is continued as to him

Frederick Jackson — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
E. S. Perkins & John F. Magee admrs of G. W. Perkins dec'd — Defendants

The State — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
Littleton W. Perkins — Defendant

Notice proved in the above cases & continued until Saturday

A. S. Brown — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
C. A. Hargrave — Defendant

By consent of parties this suit is revived against C. A. Hargrave. Neither party desiring a jury in this case, Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Fifty one Dollars & Eighty cents, with legal interest on Fifty Dollars part thereof from the 21st day of January 1861, till paid & one Dollar & Eighty cents the residue thereof from the 1st day of March 1861 till paid, ~~& that~~ This Judgment is entitled to a Credit of twelve Dollars & Eighteen cents paid the 22d of April 1856, with interest. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $51.80, with interest as aforesaid. Subject to the Credit as aforesaid, & also his cost by him about this suit expended.

M. E. C. Gilliam — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
W. S. Thomas & W. E. Force — Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon came the following jury to wit. B. C. Wills C. W. Whitlock Thos B. Keys R. H. Sydnor John F. Young A. S. Barrow Jas. Hawkins S. A. P. Wells W. L. Ruse D. A. Davis B. G. Hynes & H. H. Martin, who being sworn well & truly try the issue joined now before the Court. Reported the following verdict to wit. We of the jury find that the effects of the Defendant exceeds the claim of the plaintiff, and that therefore we find for the Defendant the Sum of five Dollars & Eighty one cents with interest from the 25th day of Dec 1862 until paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the ~~plaintiffs~~ Defendants

recover against the Plaintiffs the Said Sum of Five Dollars, the amount by the jury in their verdict ascertained & also his by him about this Suit expended

B. J. Wynn discharged as a Petit Juror at this term of the Court

H. S. Hoarton against — Plaintiff } In Debt
R. H. Brawley — Defendant }
dismissed by order of the plaintiff's Attorney

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock

Eli Shepherd

March 20th 1868

Present The Hon E. R. Chambers Judge

M. E. C. Gilliam for Arthur Johnston — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
John M. Pettus — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Eighteen Dollars being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $150. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $18, & also his cost by him about this motion expended

M. E. C. Gilliam for J. Arthur Johnston — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
J. H. Pettus & Thos. B. Elder — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Nine Dollars & Sixty cents, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $160. Evidenced by a Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Said Sum of $9.60 & also his cost by her about this motion expended. It appearing to the Court that Jas. H. Pettus is an applicant for the Bankruptcy this Cause is continued as to him.

W. P. Gillam Adm of Geo. E. Perkinson — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
S. S. Northington — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Thirty one Dollars & Eighty cents. being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt $530, Evidenced by judgment in the Chancery Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $31.80. & also his cost by him about this motion expended.

Stallm Strother — Plaintiffs
vs — In case
Jefferson & Williamson — Defendants

Shortridge Brothers — Plaintiffs
vs
Jefferson & Williamson — Defendants

The above Causes are dismissed by order of the plaintiffs Attorney

Sydnor — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Taylor & Taylor — Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorneys & thereupon came the following jury to Wit, A. C. Winston John P. Dabney E. H. Nunnally A. C. Calcraft J. H. T. Darby W. N. Martin John F. Young B. C. Wills C. W. Dinkwater W. S. Ruger Jas H. Watkins & Jas A. P. Wells. Sworn to try the issue joined now before the Court reported the following verdict. We of the Jury find for the Plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned to Wit the Sum of Forty three Dollars & fifty cents with interest thereon from the 1st June 1860 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $43.50

with interest as aforesaid, and also his Cost by him about this Suit in his behalf expended.

Davis Exr — Plaintiff
&
Williamson & Williamson — Defendants

The Same — Plaintiff
&
John H. Williamson — Defendant

The Same — Plaintiff
&
Geo H. Williamson — Defendant

Ordered that the above Cause be continued until the next Term

Ordered that the following Causes be Continued Davenport & Stallon & Geo H. Williamson, Wilkins for Andrew & T. A. Chappell Hays Rept & Julia A. Cornwell, Smith Stem Banks & A. C. Winston Branch Rios Leo & W. H. Williamson. M. E. & Gilliam & R. H. Dance Young & Thacker & S. S. R. R. Co. Thos. Wilder & Jasper Taylor, Williams for e. & Scott & Hearnsville, Duncan atty of bras & J. H. Pool, A. S. Shafer Leo & C. A. Harper The Same & H. S. Hearnsville.

Geo. S. Brown Sheriff, as such atty of Whitmore &c — Plaintiff
&
S. H. Harper — Defendant
Sci. Debt

This day Came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon Came the following Jury to wit, A. C. Winston S. P. Dabney E. H. Nunnally A. C. Cubiness S. H. T. Darby W. N. Martin S. F. Young B. C. Wills C. W. Drinnon W. L. Rose Jas. Hawkins & Jas A. P. Wills Sworn to try the issue joined now before the Court, reported the following verdict, We of the Jury find for the Defendant. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover against the plaintiff his Cost by him about his defence of this Suit expended, The plaintiffs Attorney moved the Court to set Aside the verdict ~~to~~ which Motion the Court over ruled. and thereupon the plaintiff accepted & tendered his bill of Exceptions,

Hunt Sug pt — Plaintiff
&
Harman & Drinkwater — Defendants
Sci Debt
It being suggested that the Defendants are applicants for Bankruptcy this Cause is continued

Crow apee &c — Plaintiff
&
R. W. Williamson & J. H. Pettus — Defendants
It being suggested that the Defendants are applicants for Bankruptcy this Cause is Continued

D. P. Perkins for &c — Plaintiff
&
Jas. Deering — Defendant
Sci Debt
Plea withdrawn & dismissed

Jas. E. Griffin — Plaintiff
&
E. E. Davis — Defendant } In case
Dismissed agreed

S. A. Hawks adm of Whorsham dec'd — Plaintiff
&
A. J. Barrow C. W. Doyle R. C. Shell & P. C. Hobbs — Defendants } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came the following jury to wit, J. B. Kay W. N. Martin J. N. Brooks Geo. J. Brown Oliver Chappell A. C. Winston James Hawkins J. H. Grammer B. C. Wills S. R. Sydnor W. S. Rice A. C. Cabiness sworn to try the issue joined now before Court, reported the following verdict We of the Jury find for the plaintiff the Debt in the declaration mentioned to wit. One hundred & forty dollars & twenty five cents, with interest thereon from July 24th 1867 till paid, subject to a Credit of $32.25 as of Oct. 8th 1867, for which a judgment was rendered on the said Oct 8th 1867, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $140.25 with Int as aforesaid Subject to a Credit as aforesaid, & also his cost by him about this suit expended,

Erastus Armstrong — Plaintiff
&
McIntyre — Defendant

By consent of parties this case is removed to the Circuit Court of Petersburg.

Goodwyn Chandler — Plaintiff
&
W. H. Royall — Defendant

It being suggested that the Defendant is an applicant for Bankruptcy this cause is continued

Thos. A. King — Plaintiff
&
Dance C. Vaughan — Defendant

The Demurrer to the plaintiffs declaration is overruled & cause continued

Lewis — Plaintiff
&
Bently — Defendant

It being suggested that the Defendant is an applicant for Bankruptcy this cause is continued,

Peebles Plummer & bro — Plaintiffs
&
W. C. Powell — Defendant

This day came the Deft by his attorney & pleaded payment & offsets to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiffs replied generally & issue upon the was joined.

Tucker's adm — Plaintiff
&
Seward — Defendant

Dismissed agreed

Gilliam for 1st & Pettus. Gilliam for 1st & Hanson & Hanson Dennent & Johnson & Brooks. Rame & Jackson & Roffe. Thos Smith & John P. Goodwyn. John Jackson & John B Roffe. Morris adv. & P.G. Lewis — This day came the defendants in the above case and pleaded payment to the Plaintiffs declaration, and it being suggested that the defendants are applicants for Bankruptcy the case are continued.

Branch & Herbert — Plaintiffs
&
E W Reed — Defendant
} In Ven.

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally and thereupon issue was joined.

C F Goodwyn adm James Moore dec'd — Plaintiff
&
B. B. Lewis surv of 1st — Defendant
} In Debt.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither pray deserving a Jury. Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of Seventeen Dollars and Sixty Cents (the Plaintiff being willing to accept the same) being the Scaled value of the debt in declaration mentioned) Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Seventeen Dollars & Sixty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of November 1862 till paid.

Same — Plaintiff
&
John L. Crump & O. H. Chappell — Defendants
} In Debt

Neither party deserving a Jury in this case Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Fourteen Dollars & Eighteen Cents with legal Interest thereon from the 18th day of November 1862 till paid being the Scaled value of the debt in the declaration mentioned, the plaintiff being willing to accept the same; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $14.18 with Interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

B. J. Eppes Ass'ee 1st — Plaintiff
&
John C Griffin — Defendant
} In Debt

Neither party deserving a Jury in this case. Judgment by the Court for the Plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of Sixty Six Dollars and fifty Cents with legal Interest on Eight Dollars thereof from the 18th day of January 1859 till paid and on Fifty Eight Dollars & fifty Cents the residue thereof from the 18th day of September 1864 till paid being the Scaled value of the debt in the declaration mentioned and the plaintiff being willing to accept the same, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $66.50 with Interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Malone — Plaintiff
&
Powell — Defendant
} In case

Dismissed by order of the plaintiff's Attorney

Ordered that the House be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clock

Ed. H. Chambers

March 21st 1868

Present Hon E. R. Chambers Judge

John Frayer adm of D. T. Hoy — Plaintiffs
vs — Upon a motion
John W. Harman S. R. Sydnor & D. T. Sydnor — Defts

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Seventy Dollars & five cents, to be levied of the goods & chattels of D. T. Sydnor dec'd in the hands of S. R. Sydnor Exr. if so much he hath, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $28.49. Subject to Credits. Evidenced by judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said Sum of $70.05 & also his cost by him about this motion expended. It appearing to the Court that John W. Harman is an applicant for Bankruptcy this Cause is Continued as to him

~~John T. Sydnor adm of~~ D. T. Hoy — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
Mary V. Sydnor Ex of D. A. Sydnor dec'd — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Seventy Dollars & five cents to be levied of the goods & chattels of D. A. Sydnor dec'd. now in the hands of Mary V. Sydnor the Ex & c. if so much she hath, being an amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $28.49. Subject to Credits. Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $70.05 & also his cost by him about this motion expended.

John R. Patterson & Co — Plaintiffs
vs — Upon a motion
Geo. D. Baskerville — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of Twenty one Dollars & forty four Cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $178.67. Evidenced by Judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant for the Sum of $21.44 & also their cost by them about this motion expended

Jas. L. Ryon who sues for the benefit of Hook. Skinner & Co — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
Geo. D. Baskerville — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Three Dollars & fifty six Cents being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $29.75. Evidenced by judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of $3.56 & also his cost by him about this motion expended

Charles Corling — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a motion
Geo. D. Baskerville — Deft.

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Eighteen Dollars being an amount equal

to two years interest upon the principal debt of $150. Evidenced by Judgment, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff Recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $18. & also his Cost by him About this Motion expended

H. H. Burg of adm of D. H. Sprague — Plaintiff
v. — Upon a motion
D. F. & H. H. Gresham — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Forty Seven Dollars & Ninety three cents, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $1053.89. Subject to Credits. Evidenced by Judgment. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff Recover against the Defendants the Said Sum of $47.93. & also his Cost by him About this Motion expended,

A. S. Shafer & Co — Plaintiffs
v. — Upon a motion
R. P. Bolling — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Two Dollars & Ninety four cents. being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $24.50. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs Recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $2.94 & also their cost by them About this motion expended

Dornan & Johnston Surg pts — Plaintiffs
v. — Upon a motion
H. G. Mitchell Exor — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendant & called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendants for the Sum of Six Dollars & Seventy four cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $115.23. Subject to Credit. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs Recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $6.74. & also their cost by them About this motion expended

M. D. Parham adm of Benj. Tucker dec'd
Who Sues for the benefit of G. G. Goodrich — Plaintiff
v. — Upon a motion
J. P. Goodwyn & E. A. Wyatt — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of One hundred & Sixty Eight Dollars being an Amount equal to One years interest upon the principal debt of $2800. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $168. & also his cost by him About this motion expended. It appearing to the Court that the Defendant John P. Goodwyn is an Applicant for Bankruptcy this Cause is Continued as to him.

Branch Rins & Co — Plaintiffs
v. — Upon a motion
John H. Williamson — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of Twenty Dollars & fifty six cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $171.45. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs Recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $20.56 & also their cost by them About this motion expended

The Same — Plaintiffs
vs — upon a motion
B. A. Epes — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the sum of Nine Dollars & thirty Eight cents, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $156.48. Evidenced by a Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $9.38. & also their Cost by them about this motion expended,

A. S. Shaffer & Co — Plaintiffs
vs — upon a motion
John V. Crowder — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of Three Dollars, being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $50.25. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $3. & also their cost by them about this motion expended,

White, McKenny & Co — Plaintiffs
vs — upon a motion
Thaddeus Branch — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of Three Dollars & thirty Six cents, being an Amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $28.12. Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie, County. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendants ~~for the~~ Said Sum of $3.36 & also their cost by them about this motion expended,

The Same — Plaintiffs
vs — upon a motion
Geo. W. Baskerville — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Five Dollars & forty two cents being an Amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $45.24. Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $5.42. & also their cost by them about this motion expended.

D. F. Hoy's Exor — Plaintiff
vs — upon a motion
R. H. Pegram — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Eighten Dollars being an Amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $150. Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $18. & also his Cost by him about this motion expended,

Jackson for Wesley Boyd Exor — Plaintiff
vs — upon a motion
S. S. Northington — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff

against the Defendant for the Sum of Nine Dollars & Sixty cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $200 Subject to Credits Evidenced by Judgments in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $9.60 & also his cost by him about this Motion expended,

The Same — Plaintiff
vs. — upon a Motion
John E. Young Exor of W. D. Young dec'd — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Eight Dollars & Seventy Eight cents, being an Amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $79.78. Evidenced by judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff Recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $8.78 & also his cost by him about this Motion expended,

B. B. Wilkes admr of J. D. Brown — Plaintiff
vs. — upon a Motion
Geo. D. Baskerville & Jos. L. White — Defendants

Notice proved & Defendants Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Eight Dollars & twenty eight cents, being an amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $69. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Said Sum of $8.28. & also his Cost by him about this motion expended

The Same — Plaintiff
vs. — upon a Motion
Geo. D. Baskerville — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of four Dollars & fifty cents, being an Amount equal to two years interest upon the principal debt of $112.50 Subject to a Credit. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $4.50 & also his cost by him about this motion expended,

Wiley Kings' Exors — Plaintiffs
vs. — upon a Motion
E. A. Wyatt — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendant for the Sum of twenty three Dollars & four cents, being an Amount equal to One years interest upon the principal debt of $384, Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $23.04. & also their cost by them about this motion expended,

A. Donnan admr of Wm Finn — Plaintiff
vs. — upon a Motion
E. A. Wyatt — Defendant

Notice proved & Defendant Called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Four Dollars & twenty cents, being an amount equal to One years interest upon the principal debt of $70. Evidenced by Judgment in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the Said Sum of $4.20. & also his Cost by him about this motion expended

James C. Tucker — Plt
vs — upon a motion
E. A. Wyatt — Deft

Notice proved & Deft called & not appearing: Judgment for the plt against the Deft for the Sum of Eighty Seven Dollars & fifty cents being an Amount equal to one years interest upon the principal debt of $1463.45, Evidenced by Judgment in the County Court of Dinwiddie County. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Deft the said Sum of $87.50, & also his cost by him about this. Motion expended.

A. Dorman — Plt
vs — upon a motion
Harman Epes & Hargrave — Defts

Ordered that this case be Continued until the next term

Hurt admrt — Plt
vs — upon
Pinchback & Vaughan — Defts

Ordered that this case be Continued until the next term

Mary V. Sydnor Exx of Jos. A. Sydnor — Pts
vs — upon a motion
Jas. G. ~~Chappell~~ & Robt. D. Taylor surv ob & c — Defts

This day came the Defts by their attorney and moved the Court to set aside the verdict in this case & grant them a new trial, which motion the Court sustained. It is therefore ordered that the verdict aforesaid be set aside and a new trial awarded

Jos. Mingen — Plt
vs — In Case
A. C. & W. S. Webb — Defts

This day came the Defts by their attorney & filed a general Demurrer to the Plts declaration in these words, And the said Defts say that the plts Amended declaration is not Sufficient in Law, in which demurrer the plaintiff joined. And the said Defts also pleaded Non assumpsit, in these words, And the said Defts by their attorney come & defend the wrong & injury, when &c, and say that they did not undertake & promise in manner & form as the said plaintiff in his Amended Declaration hath Complained, and of this they put themselves upon the Country; And the plaintiff doth the like, And thereupon on motion of the Defts by their attorney, the Office Judgment & writ of Enquiry Awarded at rules is set aside. And thereupon the Defts demurrer to the plaintiffs Amended Declaration being Argued, it seems to the Court that the plaintiffs Declaration is Sufficient in Law, & therefore it is Considered by the Court that said demurrer be overruled, And ~~thereupon~~ the parties by their attorneys by their Consent entered of record waived the right to have a jury, and therefore the Court proceeding to hear & determine the whole matter of law & fact, after hearing the testimony of both parties, doth find for the plaintiff upon the issue joined, ~~and~~ & Assessing the Compensation Damages to the same Doth assess his damage at Two hundred & Ninety Seven Dollars & Ninety Seven cents ($297.97) with interest thereon from the first day of June 1865, till paid, subject however to a Credit for thirty one Dollars & Sixty cents paid on the 2d day of October 1867. Wherefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said Defts the Sum of $297.97 with interest thereon from the first day of June 1865 till paid. Subject however to a Credit for $30.60 paid October 2d

1867, & his Cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended since the judgment of the District Court of Appeals at Petersburg was rendered,

Jesse W Burton and Abraham Burton surviving Partners of themselves and James E Burton dec'd late merchants and partners in trade under the firm and style of James E Burton & Bro. — Plaintiffs

v. — In Case

Thomas B Booth — Defendant

Neither party desiring a Jury in this case. Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendant for the Sum of Sixty Nine Dollars and Nineteen Cents, with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Aug 1863 till paid, being the sealed value of the debt in the declaration mentioned. The plaintiff being willing to accept the same, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said Sum of Sixty Nine Dollars and Nineteen Cents with interest as aforesaid and also their Costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Wm J Thompson

v.

Hannah Brent.

Harris adv.

v.

Hobbs.

Peebles Plummer & Co

v.

Wm Farrell.

Ordered that the above causes be continued until the next term

Wm Farrell — Plaintiff

v. — In Debt

Lynch rule. — Defendants

The Court overruled the the general demurrer to the Plaintiffs declaration & case continued until the next term.

Geo Whelmore — Plaintiff

v. — Upon Motion

Pellen Bristow Rives. — Defendant

Continued until the next term.

Copied. An account of Geo. J. Brown late Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to 5.60 was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the auditor of Public accounts for payment.

Copied 10 Dec 70 An account of B. J. Eppes Attorney for the Commonwealth amounting to $70.00 was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the auditor of public accounts for payment.

Copied 5 Dec 70 J. R. Brooks acct v Commonwealth certified to auditor

Copied 7 Dec 70 An account of O Chappell certified to auditor

An account of James Martin certified to auditor

Copied 7 Dec 70 An account of Eddie Lee certified to auditor

Copied The Court doth allow to the Clerk the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court

The Court doth allow to the Sheriff the sum allowed by law for his services at this term

The Court doth allow to the Jailor the sum allowed by law for his services at this term,

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive each the amount opposite their names for their services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the State treasury to wit, B J Wynne $1. Wm W Martin $1. John G Young $1. Jno S Hale $1. J B Keys $1. Jas Hawkins $1. C M Drinkwater $1. J A P Wells $1. D E Davis $1. A J Brown $1. R A Sydnor $1. B C Wells $1.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive as follows to be paid out of the County Levy J H T Daily $3. B J Wynne $1. Wm W Martin $3. John G Young $3. John S Hale $1. J B Keys $3. Jas Hawkins $3. C M Drinkwater $3. J B Coleman $3.00 Jas A Pace $3. Com L Kent $3. D E Davis $3. A J Brown $3. R A Sydnor $3. B C Wells $3. T A Clay $2. D A Hamm $3. J M Brooks $3. Chr E Atwell $3.00 B F Chappell $3. A C Winston $3. E A Kennedy $3. A Chappell $3. W H Grimm $2. R A Sydnor $1. H D Dickerson $1. Geo F Brown $2. Peterson Wells $1.

R. E. Wynne — Plaintiff
B. P. King & als — Defendants

A. C. Winston R. E. Wynne, R. S. Turner & B. P. King having appeared in obedience to a Summons awarded at the first day of this term, on the application of H. Cousins Shff. B. P. King interpleaded & Claimed that the money to wit $130 which was allowed by the County Court of this County at its July term to A. C. Winston, and now in the hands of the said Sheriff, is his, by virtue of an assignment of the said Claim to him by the said Winston made date of August 19th 1867; which Claim was controverted by the said R. E. Wynne, who says that the said Winston assigned the said Claim to him, on the 19th day of June 1867, an issue being thus thereupon made up between the said Wynne & King, and the said parties waiving a jury, the Court proceeded to determine their claims in a Summary way, and having heard the evidence of witnesses, and being of the opinion that the plaintiff Wynne having executed the Delivery Bond as the surety of the said Winston, upon his promise and agreement that the Debt due from the County to the said Winston should be appropriated and applied to the payment and discharge in part of the said Delivery Bond, and the said Wynne thereby acquired an interest in the said debt, which the said Winston could not afterwards divest by a transfer to the said King. It is therefore ordered that the Shff pay the said money to the plaintiff in the Execution lately in his hands for the benefit of the said R. S. Turner, against the said Winston & Wynne To which judgment of the Court the defendant came by his Counsel excepting and prays his bill of exceptions might be signed & sealed & made part of the record which was accordingly done, and on his motion this judgment is suspended for sixty days.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term

Ch. F. L. Hansbrough

# Office Judgments Confirmed 21st March 1868.

Jas. H. Abernathy — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt
Thomas Abernathy — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant Thirty three Dollars and forty one Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of April 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Jas. H. Abernathy — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt
Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County and as such adr with the will annexed of Sarah Abernathy decd who was Co-obligor with Thomas Abernathy decd — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty three Dollars & forty one Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 25th day of April 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Same — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt
Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie Co. and as such adr of Sarah Abernathy — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty Seven Dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 27th day of April 1860 till paid to be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant if so much thereof he hath. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

E. W. Coleman — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt
R. W. Moore — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and Seven Dollars and twelve Cents with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of November 1867 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Cost. fly 15
Fefa 30th March.

M. L. Wheler adm'tx of Thomas Wheler decd — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt
R. H. & Thomas G. Crawley — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty Dollars & fifty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 14th day of May 1864 till paid & also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Jas. H. King adr S. P. Pool decd — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt
B. G. Perkins & Charles C. Wells — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1859 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Martha H Specely — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Geo H. Lucy adr of Wm H Specely dec^d — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eight Hundred and Seventy two Dollars and fifty three cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid ~~to be~~ levied of the goods and Chattels of the decedent in the hands of the said admr if so much thereof he hath and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

By consent of parties by consent this judgment is secured at $2.75 per one receiving the sum of $34.25 the other it is agreed by the Court that the plaintiff may be discharged by the payment of $34 with his costs.

C F Goodwyn adr James Moore dec^d — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
H. I. Hearlures — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty Six Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of November 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The same — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
George J. Brown Esq of of himself & Mrs. Goodwyn — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and four dollars and Sixty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of November 1862 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The Same — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
A. W. Lemsins adr with the will annexed of Jas. I. Baldwin dec^d — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty four Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of November 1856 till paid. to be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be levied if so much thereof he hath. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

James H King — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
I. B. Thrift Esq of Ad — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court

that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1862 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

J. A. Barker — Plaintiff
vs. } In Case
W. D. Parham — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty One Dollars and Ninety three Cents with legal interest thereon on Fifty Eight Dollars and Thirty Eight Cents apart thereof from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

M. Ele Williams Executrix who sues for the benefit of John H. Williams — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
James A. Branch and John H. Williamson — Defendant

This day came the Plaintiff by her attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Thirty Dollars with legal Interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1859 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

M. Ele Williams who sues for the benefit of John H. Williams — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
John M. Pierce — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty five Dollars with legal interest from the 25th day of December 1861 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended

A. L. Scott Robt Harrison Jr George A Kenn & Spencer Scott late merchants & partners under the firm & style of Scott Harrison & Co — Plaintiffs
vs. } In Case.
Geo D. Baskerville Jr. — Defendants

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

John Rowlett D. Allensger & H. McTanner late partners trading under the firm & style of John Rowlett & Co — Plaintiffs
vs. } In Case
Joseph Wilson — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Nineteen Dollars & Ninety Cents, with Interest thereon from 17th day of July 1860 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

John T. Goodwyn — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
John T. McKinley — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of March 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Cost $6.90
Fi fa 30th March.

C. B. Rami & Jas W Jackson and Charles A Jackson. merchants & partners in trade under the firm & Style of Rami & Jackson. } Plaintiffs

} In Case.

D. B. Mitchell } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & thirty two Dollars and fifty nine Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of October 1865 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Cost $6.65
Fi fa 30th March.

Julia A Comwell who sues for the benefit of E. F. Williamson. } Plaintiff

} In Debt.

Robt Crawley. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of December 1865 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Cost $7.15
Fi fa 30th Mar

C. B. Bishop & Samuel Donald partners in business under the firm & Style of Bishop & Donald } Plaintiffs

} In Debt.

E. D. Harmon & H. J. Hearlurle } Defendants

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety five Dollars and Eighty Eight Cents with legal interest on 94.00 from the 25th Feby 1865 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Cost $7.55

E. M. Coleman } Plaintiff

} In Debt

R. E. Bishop. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1864 till paid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Geo. V. Scott & Geo Kerne late partners trading under the firm & Style of Scott & Reed. } Plaintiffs

} In Case.

W. H. Boyerson } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing

1869 May 5th Recd of Jno Brisco Esq. Sheriff Dallas Co. in full satisfaction by way of Compromise of point but part of Judgment. Recorded in the Circuit Court of Dallas Co. County at the March Term 1868. Issued Court in favor of Charles White agt. Jno Brisco and Jno O'Bragg on a note of hand for the principal of five hundred dollars. Said note being for the purchase of a Carriage & Harness. Cost by Barge after deducting and being credited on the 15th day of Oct. 1861 the sum of Twenty five dollars. Cost to be paid by the defendants. Jno. H. Parham Clk

Jno Brisco

Jno O'Bragg

Plaintiff

In Debt

Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five Hundred & two Dollars and Seventy five Cents, with legal Interest thereon from the 17th day of June 1861. till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

James A Mangum sometimes called A. R. Jackson

Plaintiff

In Debt

B. M. Spencer & A. H. Spencer

Defendant

See Addition C.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty nine Dollars and Sixty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of October 1861. till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

M. A. Rose

Plaintiff

In Case

M. D. Parham

Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty Dollars and fifty Cents with legal Interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1861. till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

M. E. C. Gilliam who sues for the benefit of J. H. Gilliam

Plaintiff

In Debt

Benjamin M. Spain

Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty five Dollars with legal Interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1861. till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Same

Plaintiff

In Debt

Same

Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty Dollars with legal Interest thereon from the 28th day of Dec. 1861. till paid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

John J. Slaughter

Plaintiff

In Debt

Geo. H. Lucy adm Wm H. Spicely

Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in

bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One thousand Dollars with legal Interest thereon from the 23rd day of August 1859 till paid to be levied of the goods and Chattels of the deceased in the hands of the said admtr if so much thereof he have, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is enclosed to the following Credits to wit $63.50 paid 7th of June 1860 & $276.00 paid 2d July 1861. & $52.40 paid 12th July 1862 & 200.00 paid 4th June 1862. and for $46.00 paid 9th March 1863. as per end on Bond.

John Fraser Exor of D.F. Hoy dec'd — Plaintiff

To — In Debt.

John H Grammer — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Dollars with legal Interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm D. Tayler exor R.H. Tayler dec'd who was surv'g ptr of himself R.H. Rubles dec'd late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Tayler & Rubles — Plaintiff

To — In Debt.

R.M. Spencer — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or, preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy four Dollars and one Cent with Interest on Seventy One Dollars and Eighty four Cents from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Saturday the 3rd day of October 1868 and of the Commonwealth the 93d.

Present The Hon: Edward R Chambers

Jno T Tucker Foreman, with L L Mitchell, H C Wilson, Jno H. Daly, L N Roney, Jno F Mayes, W A Spain, N W Jones, Wm N Martin, Braxton Davis, R C Rives, L M Tucker, J W Gunn, Alfred James, Wm K. Kittrell, R P Abernathy, & R H Perkins were sworn a grand Jury of inquest for the body of the County, and having received their charge retired to their room, and after some time returned into Court, and reported the following presentment. We present N Bunkhard for a violation of the revenue laws, in this that he did within the last twelve months in the County of Dinwiddie sold ardent spirits without having paid the tax & obtained the license required by law, on the testimony of Lemuel Keys, sent for, sworn in Court and testified before the Grand Jury. The Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged, and it is ordered that each of the above Grand Jurors receive one dollar each ($1.00) for their services at this Term of the Court to be paid by the County

F Jackson — Plaintiff
&
E. L. Perkins & Jno. T. Mayes as of Geo W Perkins dec'd — Defts
} Upon a motion.

It appearing to the Court that the defendant has paid to the plaintiff the interest demanded. It is therefore considered by the Court that the deft recover of the plaintiff his costs by him about his defense of this motion expended.

Francis Priest — Plaintiff
&
L. S. Keeler, James Hargrave, & R. C. Shell — Defendants
} Upon a motion for a judgment against Defendants of Execution under a fieri facias forthcoming or delivery bond. Notice proved & defendants called & not appearing. Judgment for the plaintiff against the Defendants for the sum of Two hundred & sixty three Dollars & forty six cents to be discharged by the payment of One hundred & thirty one Dollars & seventy ~~three cents~~ with interest from the 15th April 1868, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $131.73 with interest as aforesaid & Cost.

C. R. Bishop & Saml. Donald — Plaintiffs
&
L. D. Hanman & H. J. Heartwell — Defendants
} Upon a motion for Judgment & Award of Execution under a fieri facias forthcoming or delivery bond, Notice proved & defendants called & not appearing, Judgment for the plaintiffs against the Defendants for the sum of Two hundred & thirty one Dollars & Eighty two cents, to be discharged by the payment of One hundred & fifteen Dollars & ~~Eighty four~~ eighty two cents with interest thereon from the 25th day of April 1868. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the said sum of $115.82, with interest as aforesaid & Cost.

M. S. Wheeler admr. Thos. Wheeler dec'd — Plaintiff
to
R. H. & Thos. G. Crawley & Samuel H. Lucy — Defendants
} upon a motion for a judgment & award of Execution under a forfeiture forthcoming or delivery Bond, Notice proved & defendants called & not appearing judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of One hundred & fifty dollars & twenty two cents, to be discharged by the payment of Seventy five dollars & eleven cents, with interest thereon from the 13th day of April 1868, It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $75.11 with interest as aforesaid & cost

Julia A. Conner who sues for the benefit of A. T. Williamson — Plaintiff
to
R. H. & Thos. G. Crawley & J. J. Mitchell — Defendants
} upon a motion for a judgment & award of Execution under a forfeiture forthcoming or delivery Bond notice proved & defendants called & who thereupon appearing by counsel & pleaded & it appearing to the Court that the Defendants Josh & Cuda the plaintiff demand, therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff take nothing by his suit & that the Defendants recover of the plaintiff their cost by them about their defence in this motion expended

H. T. Barham — Plaintiff
to
H. L. Moore R. C. & L. M. Wynn — Defendants
} upon a motion for a judgment & award of execution under a forfeiture forthcoming or delivery bond, notice proved & Defendants called & not appearing, judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Three hundred & ninty four Dollars & thirty two cents, to be discharged by the payment of one hundred & ninty seven Dollars & sixteen cents, with interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1868, It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $197.16, and interest as aforesaid & cost,

Ordered that the following cases be continued Williams for use to Scott & Heartwell, Williamson's Exor to G. H. Williamson Same to G. H. & J. H. Williamson, Same to J. H. Williamson

Albert Williamson — Plaintiff
to
Edward Williamson adr of Geo Williamson dec'd Deft
} Inv. Deft
This day came the plaintiff by his atty & the Deft Edward Williamson by his attorney J. M. Dorman acting under a power of attorney under the hand & seal of the Defendant & confessed a judgment in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of Seventy five dollars with Interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1849, till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $75: with interest as aforesaid & also his costs by him about this suit in his behalf expended

Coleman D Crowder — Plaintiff
vs — In Detinue
James P. Bergeron — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit H O Bridgeman. T Branch. Jos Richardson. W L Webb. Geo McKenney. L M. Tucker. R Kirkland. T E Hargrave. F O Wells. L Harmer. Wm Lewis & N T Phillips who being selected by lot tried and sworn to try and truly try the issue joined now before the Court upon their oaths returns the following Verdict. We the Jury find for the Plaintiff the sum in the Declaration mentioned & affix her value at Seventy five dollars: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum by the Jury in their Verdict ascertained value at Seventy five dollars and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Monday Morning 10 o'clock.

Ewd R Chambers

M. S. Wheeler admr of Thomas Wheeler dec. Plaintiffs } Upon a
v. } motion &
R. H. & Thos G. Crawley & Samuel H. Lacy Defendants } Awarded ff
Execution under a forfeiture forthcoming

Monday Morning Oct. 5th 1868.

Present Hon E. R. Chambers.

Mary V. Sydner Extx of J. A. Sydner sometimes called
ex of Lewis Meredith who sues for J. P. Tucker } Plaintiffs
v. } In Debt
Jas G. Taylor & Robt D. Taylor Defts

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury viz T. E. Hargrave, F. Wells, John W. Kirby, Geo W. McKenney, Jas Richardson, Jas W. Staverton, Thos Branch, N. T. Phillips, R. A. Lewis, A. Williams, Wm P. Sandifer & J. W. Bridgeman, who being selected by lot kissed and sworn to tell and truly try the issue joined now before the Court; Upon their oaths returned the following verdict, We of the Jury find for the Plaintiff the Debt & interest in the declaration demanded viz Forty three Dollars & fifty three cents with interest from the 13th day of June 1860 till paid; But this judgment is subject to a credit of Thirty four Dollars paid Sept 10th 1860. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Forty three Dollars & fifty cents with interest as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $9.62

C. A. Hargrave sometimes called Guar of John Mayes & others } Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Peterson Wells Sur ob. of himself & W. H. T. Watkins dec'd Defendant

Memo this judgment is for the benefit of B. F. King as per order of the Plaintiff filed in the papers.

Issued Am Organ c. 1869 July 1st Cr by $13.50 Int to date.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury viz T. E. Hargrave, F. W. Wells, John W. Kirby, Geo W. McKenney, Jas Richardson, Jas W. Staverton, Thos Branch, N. T. Phillips, R. A. Lewis, Archer Williams, Wm P. Sandifer & J. W. Bridgeman, who being selected by lot kissed and sworn to tell and truly try the issue joined now before the Court; Upon their oaths returned the following verdict, We the Jury find for the Plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned, To be discharged by the payment of Twenty one Dollars & fifty cents with interest on $20 from the 25th day of Dec 1857 till paid; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said amount in the decl. mentioned to be discharged by the said sum of $21.50 with interest as aforesaid & also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expd.

Nes Wilson } Plaintiff
v. } In Case.
T. T. Threatt Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiff's declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

Wynn } Plaintiff
v.
H. J. Hearlurie Defts

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment & statute of limitations to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally. Thereupon issue was joined.

John A. Slade — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
A. O. Hobb — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment & Offsets to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

F. Jackson — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
L. W. Jenkins — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

F. Jackson — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
E. L. Jenkins & Scott Mayer adm of G. W. Jenkins — Defendants

This day came the defendants by their attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiffs declaration to which the replied generally & thereupon issue was joined.

A. Dorman adm of Wm Lewis — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Jas H. T. Pool — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorney and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. T. E. Hargrave, F. W. Wells, W. L. Hobbs, Geo W. McKinney, Jos Richardson, Jas W. Staunton, Thad Branch, H. T. Phillips, R. A. Lewis, Archer Williams, Wm T. Sandifer & J. W. Bridgeman, who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well & truly try the issue joined now before the Court, upon their oaths. The plaintiff being solemnly called but came not it is therefore considered that they be non suited & the defendant waving the five dollars damages it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff pay to the defendant his cost by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

A. S. Shafer & Co — Plaintiffs
vs — In Case
H. A. Heartwell — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following jury to wit. T. E. Hargrave, F. W. Wells, W. L. Hobbs, Geo W. McKenny, Jos Richardson, Jas W. Staunton, Thad Branch, H. T. Phillips, R. A. Lewis, Archer Williams, Wm T. Sandifer & J. W. Bridgman, who being selected by lot tried & sworn to well & truly try the issue joined now before the Court, upon their oaths. The plaintiff being solemnly called but came not it is therefore considered that they be non suited & the defendant waving the five dollars damages it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs pay to the defendant his cost by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clock.

Ed. R. G. Hamilton

Sixty Seven Dollars & Seventy Cents of this judgment is subject to the provisions of the Stay Law.

Tuesday Oct 6th 1868

Present Hon. E. R. Chambers.

Young & Thacker Plaintiffs
vs } In ~~Debt~~ Case
S. S. Rail Road Co Defendants

This day came the parties by their attorneys whereupon came the following Jury to wit. D. A. Harmon Wm Lewis W. D. Connelly Geo W. McKenny E. A. Fitzgerald W. J. Boswell J. N. Brooks F. W. Parker Peterson Wells F. F. Thweatt R. G. Chappell & Geo. W. Jones who being selected by both tried & sworn to well & truly try the issue joined now before the Court, upon their oaths return the following verdict. "We the Jury find for the plaintiffs and assess their damages at $1303.75 with interest from 1st day of Jany 1866 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the said sum of $1303.75 with interest as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

A. Duncan adm. vs Wm Crow Pltff
vs } In Debt
Geo. Poole Deft

The Jury sworn in this case on yesterday this day again appeared in Court to wit, Thos E. Hargrove F. A. Wells W. L. Hobbs Geo W. McKenny Jas Richardson Jas W. Stevenson Thos Branch Jas Phillips R. A. Lewis Archer Williams Wm Sandifer & J. W. Bridgman, and rendered the following verdict, "We of the Jury find for the plaintiffs the debt and interest in the declaration demanded, to be discharged by the payment of $800. with interest from the 1st day of Jany 1863 till paid. Now therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $800. with interest as aforesaid, and also his costs by him in his suit in this behalf expended

M. E. McGillivan Pltff
vs } In Case
R. H. Dance Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. D. A. Harmon Wm Lewis W. D. Connelly Geo W. McKenny E. A. Fitzgerald W. J. Boswell J. N. Brooks F. W. Parker Peterson Wells F. F. Thweatt R. G. Chappell & Geo. W. Jones who being selected by both tried & sworn to well & truly the issue joined now before the Court upon their oaths return the following verdict, We of the Jury find for the Plaintiff & assess her damages at $300.00" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $300.00 and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended

Hunt Thompson & Co Pltff
vs } In Case
Isaac Roney Deft

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff for $60.00 with interest

from 1st day of Jany 1863. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of $61.00 with interest as aforesaid. and also their cost by them in this behalf expended.

James D Crow — Pltff
vs } In Case
O Cole Cappell — Deft

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff for $120.00 with interest thereon from 19th July 1862. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $120.00 with interest as aforesaid and also his cost about his suit in this behalf expended

Jackson — Pltff
vs } In Debt
E L Perkins & Mary E W Perkins admx — Deft

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of $209.00 with interest thereon from 17th Decr 1862 till paid subject to a credit of $75.30 paid 6th Feby 1868. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $209.00. with interest as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid. and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Cost $7.12

Jackson — Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
L W Perkins — Defendant

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of $209.00 with interest thereon from 17th Decr 1862 till paid subject to a credit of $75.30 paid 6th Feby 1868. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $209.00 with interest as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid. and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Cost $6.52

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clock.

Eli Alphandery

Wednesday Oct. 7th 1868

Present Hon E R. Chambers.

James A Williams Trustee of Mary F. Ford & children. Plaintiff
vs } In Covenant.
Robert W Pegram & Geo F. Pegram. Defendants.

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause. Judgt by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1867 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $60.00 with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Thomas A King. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Jas O. Vaughan. Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. Jos Richardson. W. P. Sandifer. W L Webb. Arche William. W J Lewis. R A Lewis. Jno Staunton. F W Wells. Jno W Kelly. Thos E Hargrave. N. T Phillips & J W Bridgeman who being selected by lot true sworn to try and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. Upon the oaths returned the following Verdict. We the Jury find for the defendant; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs by him about his defense of this expended.

Davenport & Stratton Plaintiffs
vs } In Case
Geo H Williamson Defendant

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause Judgment by the Court for the plaintiffs for the sum of $ with interest thereon from the day of 186. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the said sum of $ with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the following cases be continued until the next Term Branch Rives & Co vs Williamson. Smith Stone & Banks vs Winston. Wilda vs Taylor. Fraser & Duncan vs Connell. Shafer & Co vs Hargrave. Peebles Plummer & Co vs Powell. Powell vs Lynch & als. &c.

H H Cartwell Pltff
vs } In Debt
Lewis Hays. R H Tucker & C P Dolly Defts.

Neither party desiring a Jury in this case Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of $78.12 with legal thereon from the 16th Feby 1863 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $78.12 with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Slade — Plaintiff
vs
Webb — Defendant } In Case

Plea withdrawn, and Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of $59.00 with interest thereon from the 1st July 1865 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $59.00 with interest as aforesaid. and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Wm Thompson — Plaintiff
vs
Hamon Brooks — Defendant } In Debt

Plea withdrawn, and Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of $164.50. with legal interest thereon from the 1st day Feby 1867 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $164.50 with interest as aforesaid. and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm G Wynn Exr of Nancy Roberts — Plaintiff
vs
H. D. Heartwell Surv of himself & Mary A E Jones — Defendants } In Debt

Plea withdrawn ~~and~~ Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum ~~of $30.00~~ with legal interest thereon from 25th Decr 1856 till paid, and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended

H. D. Heartwell — Plaintiff
vs
D. A. Hamon & M. L. King — Defendants } In Debt

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of $50.00 with legal interest thereon from 6th day of June 1863 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of $50.00 with interest as aforesaid. and also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

1869 Feb. 1st Rec'd of Lyman M Tucker Security to the bond the sum of Ninety five dollars, as security for said debt. I hereby release him from all for the responsibility for the same the said Debt having been assigned to me by Jas. A. Goodrich, on the 25th day of Feby 1869 per writing. Given under my hand & seal the day & date above written.
Witness
J. C. Butts.
The W. Goodrich
The above receipt was proven before me by the oath of J. C. Butts. Given under my hand this 1st day of March 1869.

Jas A Goodrich — Plaintiff
vs
L M Tucker Surv of himself & Timothy Dinane dec'd — Defendant } In Debt

Neither party desiring a Jury in this cause. Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of $800.00 with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Jany 1861 till paid. Subject to the following credits to wit. $338.06 paid Sept 22d 1859 $100.00 paid Decr 7th 1862. $134.53 paid Mch 13th 1863 $17.25 paid Sept 12th 1867 & $17.25 paid Oct 3rd 1868.
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of $800.00 with interest as aforesaid subject to the credits as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended,

D. P. Perkins for &c — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
James Deering — Defendant.

The plaintiff being solomnly called but came not it is therefore considered by the Court that for his false claim be go out of Court & the Defendant waiving the five Dollars damages it is considered by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs by him about his defence of this suit expended.

A. J. Hartness adm for C. A. Hargrave — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
A. R. Hobbs Sen. ad &c — Defendant

Neither party demanding a Jury. Plea withdrawn & Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of One hundred & Seventy Dollars and ~~costs~~ with Interest thereon from the 25th day of Dec 1860 till paid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $ ~~with interest~~ as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

An account of G. A. Borsfeau against the Court for guarding the Jail was examined & certified to the Auditor for payment.

An account of James N. Borsfeau against the Court was certified to the Auditor

An account of L. N. Brooks against the Commonwealth was certified to the Auditor

The account current of A. L. Dorman & E. M. Mann adm. of Thos G. Chappell's dec'd, having remained in the Clerk's office thirty days & no exceptions being filed thereto is confirmed & ordered to be recorded

The Court doth allow to the Attorney for the Commonwealth, the Sheriff, Clerk & Jailor each the sum allowed by law for their respective services at this term of the Court

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive each the amounts opposite their names for their services at this Term of the Court to be paid out of County levy To wit, Thad. Branch $3. G. W. McKenny $3. Geo. Gunn $1 Archer Jones $1. Jas N. Howton $4. T. E. Hargrave $4 F. N. Wells $4 R. A. Lewis $4. Wm Polland $1. W. P. Sandifer $4 W. L. Hobbs $4 Archer Williams $4 Jas Richardson $4. J. W. Bridgman $4. J. Wilkins $3 L. M. Tucker $1. L. Harwell $1. J. Pritchett $2. W. P. Lewis $4 D. A. Harmon $2. Geo. Marks $1 W. E. Robinson $1. F. F. Threadgill $2 P. G. Jones $1. Stephen White $1. J. W. Harmon $1 W. F. Jones $1 R. W. Williamson $1. T. F. Rives $1. R. Moore $1. S. C. Wells $2 W. J. Bevill $2. J. W. Parker $1. J. N. Brooks $1. G. H. Williamson $1 R. G. Chappell $1. W. D. Connelly $1. Peterson Wells $1. N. T. Phillips $4. C. P. Jolly $1. N. L. Rush $1. T. M. Thrift $1. W. N. Martin $1

E. O. Fitzgerald $1, H. Bradt $1 J. P. Klein $1 P. E. Isiel $1

On motion of the proper authorities the following person are appointed to fill the vacancies of Trustees for the different Churches in Dinwiddie. To wit, Buck-Skin Church James Houston, Grassy Run Church Dr. James P. Boisseau and Leroy A. Williams. Hawkins' Church Geo. A. Rose & James Hawkins, Ocran Church, Winfield J. Traylor & A. Wesley Hawks, Rocky Run Church F. W. Barker & Thos. R. Chambers, Thomas R. Houston. John H. Lee, Daniel F. Rainey, Geo. C. Nash and Albert D. Mays, Poplar Spring Church property John Smith James Smith, A. Wesley Hawks, E. D. T. Smith and John E. Clements,

Ordered that the Court do adjourn until tomorrow morning 10 O'clock

Ew. R. Chambers

Thursday Oct 8 1868

Present Hon E. R. Chambers

An account of Geo. J. Brown against the Commonwealth of Va amounting to $3.75 was examined allowed ordered to be certified to the auditor for payment

The Commonwealth

vs

P. G. Jones.

It appearing that in this cause a subpoena was issued by the Clerk of this Court directed to the Sheriff of Brunswick County commanding him to summon certain witnesses on behalf of the Commonwealth to appear here on the 3rd day of Oct 1868 & have here on that day the said writ & it further appearing that said writ was delivered in due time to the Sheriff and that he has failed to return the same. On the motion of the Attorney for the Commonwealth the Court doth order that Chas. Tomlinson Sheriff of said County be summoned to appear here on the first day of the next term to show cause if any he can why an attachment should not be issued out for his said contempt

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be continued until the next term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Edw. R. Chambers

Office Judgments Confirmed Oct 8th 1868.

John Dodson called Mates of E A Curtis who sues for A J Newtonville admr of E A Mason. Plaintiff

vs. } In Debt.

Joseph A Winfield & Richard Winfield Defendants

Costs
Writ 3 00
Sheff 1 00
Order 2 38
Premium 98
$ 7,36
Fy to Sept 1871
1871 10 Feby 1871

This day came the plaintiffs by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety Dollars with interest thereon from the 25th day of Decr 1858 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended; But this judgment is entitled to the following Credits. Forty Dollars & $5.00 paid July 16th 1866.

William G. Wynn Executor of Nancy Roberts dec'd Plaintiff

vs. } In Debt.

H. J. Newtonville surv obligor of himself & Mary A Ed Jones Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the Defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety Dollars with legal interest thereon from ~~from~~ the 25th day of December 1856 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

C E Burgess Plaintiff

vs. } In Debt.

Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County and as such admr of Lewis Burgess dec'd Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred Twenty five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of October 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

George V. Scott and George Rees late merchants & partners in trade under the firm style of Scott & Rees } Plaintiffs

vs. } In Case

Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County and as such admr of Lewis Burgess dec'd. } Defendants

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the Defendants say Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of Forty four Dollars Twenty eight cents with interest from the 1st day of July 1861 till paid to be levied of the goods and chattels of the deceased in the hands of the Deft if so much thereof he hath & also their costs by them about his suit in this behalf expended

N E Dibble Richd W Clark & Addison B Bickford merchants & partners in trade under the firm style of N. E. Dibble & Co } Plaintiffs

vs. } In Debt.

S. S. Nottingham Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred Forty Eight Dollars with interest from the 26th day of October 1860 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Rush Burgess admr of John Burgess dec^d Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
A W Berman. Defendant

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of August 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Fifa 13th Oct 68
Costs $7.25

A. J. Hearlurle Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
W Berryman & Agnes P Berryman Defendants

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred & Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of May 1867 till paid. But this judgment is entitled to a credit of $31.94 paid Sept 24th 1867.

Costs $6.65
Fifa 15th Oct. 68

Same Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Wm E. Morris. Defendant

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of sixty dollars, with interest thereon from the 9th day of February 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

R & M Robinson Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
C W Coker Surviving obligor of himself & Daniel Malone dec^d Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of ($230.00) two hundred & thirty dollars with interest from the 25th day of December 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to a credit of ($130.) One hundred & thirty dollars paid May the 25th 1861

Todd Pugh & Co for John P Branch their Trustee Plaintiffs } In Case
vs
M B Sweeney Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty eight dollars & thirty seven cents, with interest ~~thereon~~ from the 1st day of May 1868. on $56.97. till paid. also ~~their~~ costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

W. F. Jackson Exor of F W Jackson dec^d Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Ed McAllen Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the Defendant say

nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred & sixty dollars, with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1853 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, But this Judgment is entitled to a credit of $20.75 paid Jany 1st 1868, one of $200. paid Feby 12th 1856 & one paid 20th August 1867 of $20.75.

James A Goodrich — Plaintiff
vs
Legrand M Tucker Surviving obligor of himself & Timothy Dunn — Defendant
In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of eight hundred dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this Judgment is entitled to the following credits to wit: $338.06 paid 22d September 1859, $100. paid Decr 7th 1862. $134.53. paid March 13th 1863. $17.25 paid Septr. 12th 1867.

Tappey & Lamsdein — Plaintiffs
vs
W Colin Bias — Defendants
In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred & fifty one dollars & fifty cents with interest thereon from the 6th day of Sept. 1860, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended But this Judgment is entitled to the following credit to wit, $10. paid Octo 18th 1860.

Frederick Jackson — Plaintiff
vs
George W Perkins & L W Perkins — Defendants
In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the Defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Six hundred Twenty seven dollars & fifty six cents with interest from Decr 17th 1862 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Henry Cousins Sheriff as such adm of E E. Roper decd — Plaintiff
vs
M A Ford — Defendant
In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars & eighty cents with legal interest thereon from the 28th day of March 1861 till paid, also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

J Denman & E M Mann adm of J. G. Chappell decd — Plaintiff
vs
E P Griffin & Jas F Turner — Defendant
In Debt

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and the Defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against

the Defendants the sum of Twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1862 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Frederick Jackson Plaintiff
vs
Littleton W Perkins surviving obligor of Geo W Perkins Defendant } In Debt

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney, and the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Six hundred twenty seven dollars & fifty six cents, with interest from Decr 17th 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Susan C Flood Plaintiff
vs
Jos W Grigg & Thos A Farley Defendant } In Debt.

This day Came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendant say Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred twenty five Dollars, with interest thereon from the 10th day of May 1860 till paid. and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended; But this judgment is credited to the following Credits. by cash paid May 10th 1861. $7.50 paid 10th May 1862. $20.00 paid March 21st 1862. & $5.00 paid May 8th 1862.

Thomas W Boyston who sues for the benefit of Alexander Donnan Plaintiff
his agent
vs
Robert H Sydner. Defendant } In case.

This day Came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the Defendant say Nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred Seventy two Dollars & Seventy five cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1858 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Gabon Abernathy Plaintiff
vs
C. E. Leyhie & A. G. Mitchell. Defendants } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Ten hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of February 1863 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

William Satchfield. Plaintiff
vs
Benj Vaughan surfe Defendants } In Debt

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred & fifty two dollars with interest from the 4th day of December 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

V W Eppes adm of S A Blunt — Plaintiff

vs

W D Parham adm Benj Tucker dec'd who was Ex'r — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One thousand Dollars with legal interest from the 1st day of January 1855 till paid to be levied of the goods and Chattels of the deceased in the hands of the adm if so much thereof he hath and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

costs $6.65 — Fifa 5 Oct 68.

S J Jackson who sues for A Dawson — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

Samuel S Northington — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 14th day of May 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to credit of $25.00 paid $20.00 Jany 1858.

$6.65 — Wm Thomas — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

Geo D Baskerville — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Eight Dollars & thirty four cents with interest from the 1st day of February 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$7.25 — Maria H Lord — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

James McCabonifs & Elizabeth Meredith — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Forty One dollars with legal interest thereon from the 19th day of Sept 1865 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to credit of $20.00 paid Feby 16 1867.

$7.15 — B F King — Plaintiff

vs — In Case

B G Brisseau — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred & forty Nine dollars & fifty six cents with legal interest from the 28th day of February 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

E F Williamson for H J Hearlurch — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

C G Zhemer — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred dollars with interest thereon from the 27th day of September 1866 till paid

and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $6.65 Cayler Williams. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
Andrew McKissick. Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety Two dollars with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of Dec 1869 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$6.80 Benson Todd & C. C. Pugh. W. T. Smith & W. L. Chaves late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Todd Pugh & Co. for Jno P. Branch } Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
James P. Bipson. Defendant
This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred Twenty One dollars and thirty One cents with interest from the 5th day of April 1866 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

$7.25 John Payler who sues for the benefit of Jno Q. Harrell & W. T. Hubbard merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Harrell & Hubbard } Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
James O. Perkins & Elb. Perkins. Defendants
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Forty ~~two~~ $42.82 Dollars & Eighty two cents with legal interest thereon from the 6th day of January 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$6.90 Benson Todd & C. C. Pugh. W. T. Smith & W. L. Chaves. late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of of Todd Pugh & Co. for Jno P. Branch } Plaintiffs. } In Case.
vs.
Thomas E. Hargrave. Defendant
This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defts the sum of Forty four Dollars & Ninety two Cents with legal interest thereon from 12th day of August 1866 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

6.75 David T. Brunton ex of Wm Brunton dec'd Plaintiff } In Debt.
against
James W. Mayes & James Mayes. Defendants
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defts.

the sum of One Hundred & twelve Dollars & Thirty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 14th day of January 1867 till paid and also six Cents by him actual his cost in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Court House thereof, on Thursday March the 18th 1869. and of the Commonwealth the 93rd

Present. Hon. Edward R. Chambers Judge

R P King — Plaintiff
vs
B G Barksdale & James Barksdale — Defendants
} Upon a motion for a judgment upon a forfeited forthcoming bond

Notice proven. defendants called and not appearing. Judgment & award of execution against the Defendants for the sum of three hundred & forty three dollars & twenty cents. to be discharged by the payment of one hundred & seventy one dollars & sixty cents with interest thereof from 28th day of November 1868 till paid & also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended

Wm D Thompson — Pltff
vs
Harmon Brady. L S Kelly. Jos B Barksdale. Wm Burgess & Clarke Burgess — Defts
} Upon a motion for a judgment upon a forfeited forthcoming bond

Notice proven. defendants called and not appearing Judgment & award of execution against the Defendants for the sum of three hundred & ninety eight dollars & sixty six cents to be discharged by the payment of One hundred & ninety nine dollars & thirty three cents with interest from the 1st day of December 1868 till paid & also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended

James O Williams Inst. for Ford & children — Plaintiff
vs
D T Pegram. R W Pegram & Wm E Ford — Defendants
} Upon a motion for a judgment upon a forfeited forthcoming bond

Notice proven. Defendants called and not appearing judgment & award of execution against the Defendants for the sum of One hundred & fifty three dollars & twenty four cents. to be discharged by the payment of the sum of Seventy six dollars & sixty two cents with interest thereon from the 5th day of December 1868 till paid & also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended

For reasons appearing to the Court. R W Williams is discharged for the balance of the term as a petit Juror

Tucker for Hoole
vs
Hill & Meredith

This day came the Defendants by their attorney & pleaded payment to the Declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally. whereupon issue was joined

The Same
vs
White & Bishop

This day came the Defendants by their attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiff's Declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally whereupon issue was joined

B M Robertson & Co
vs
Hubbard

This day came the Defendant by his attorney and pleaded payment & offsets to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiffs replied generally & thereupon issue was joined

E W Jones
vs
Jones & Jones

This day came the Defendants by their attorney and pleaded payment & offsets to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined

D Y Huddleston
vs
Reen & Robbs

This day came the defendants by their attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiffs declaration. To which the plaintiff replied generally. & thereupon issue was joined

A Dennan Com^r
vs
Mitchell & Cole

F A Foster & Bro
vs
Jones & Jones

Williams Trustee &c
vs
Pegram & Vaden

By order of the plaintiff's attorney the above cases are dismissed

A W Cousins foreman with B H Berkeley. W W Jones. D. Pegram L A. Williams. Edward Watkins. M C Clardy. ~~A W Cousins~~ Herbert Williams H C Williams. H L Wheelhouse. Jno Gilliam Jno J. Mitchell. Geo. C Nash Edward Conway. A S Tucker. Labon Harwell. Bushrod Carr. Wm A Martin & David S Wells were impannelled & sworn a Grand Jury of inquest for the body of this County and having received their charge, retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court with the following presentments to wit: "We the Grand Jury present J H Ragsdale for a breach of the peace an assault and battery on the body of John C. Hardy on the third day of Mar. 1869. in the County of Dinwiddie against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia on the testimony of John C Hardy and Calhoun Hardy first sworn in Court and sent before the Grand Jury

The Grand Jury having nothing further to present were discharged and it is ordered by the Court that they receive out of the County treasury each the sum of one dollar for their services at this term of the Court

Ordered that F W Parker John J Mitchell John A T Darby be and they are hereby appointed a Committee to examine report the condition of the Jail returned into Court & made their report which was ordered to be recorded

Fraser Exr &c
vs
Hays. Heirs

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Commissioner Exr of W. Hay upon the report of Commissioner Brisseau to which there is no exceptions was argued by Counsel and it appearing to the Court that all the parties interested have had legal notice of this proceeding, the Court doth confirm the same and the Court doth order that the said Testimony be perpetuated and the Court doth order that T.B. Hay the costs of this proceeding.

It appearing to the Court that a Guard was necessary not on acct of the insecurity of the Jail but on account of the number of prisoners. Confines therein doth order the following accounts to the auditor of Public accounts for payment out of the public money viz: E.P. Leo $33.00 Jas Keys. $124.00 G.A. Brisseau $162.00 Jas O Spain $57.00 Mt Bullemulk $43.00 W.R. Ren $3.00

J. & 47 Peebles Plaintiffs Hes. Plaintiffs
vs. } for cons.
W. le Fevre. Defendts

This day came the Defendants and says that he can not further gainsay the plaintiffs action & confesses a judgment in favor of the said Plaintiffs for the sum of Four hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 10th day of Decr 1862 till paid and costs Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the said Defts the sum of Four hundred Dollars with interest as aforesaid & said costs.

The Court doth allow to A.W. Ogden Clerk of this Court the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Sheriff of this County the sum allowed by law for his services at this term.

The Court doth allow to Bennett & Epes Attorney for the Comm the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court.

The Court doth allow To Henry Cousins Jailor of this County the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive each, for their attendance at this term of the Court the sum of one dollar to be paid by the County, to wit: J.T. Pegram, Ben Watkins, Laban Hanvell, David Brisseau, H.C. Wilson, R.E. Spiers, Geo White, Jno E. Watkins, Jno W. Moore, Alex Keys, Jno T. Hubbard, W.T. Perkinson, R.C. Hardy, R.O. Williams, Jno Wilkinson, Jas E. Spiers, R.A. Lewis & R.A. Wilkinson

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until 10 O'clk to morrow morning

Ew. T. Chambers

Friday March 19th 1869.

Present. Hon. E R Chambers. Judge

On the motion of Jas E Budd Gentleman he having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth. on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court whereupon the said Budd took the oaths by law prescribed.

James W Davis Exor of H Williamson dec^d — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt

Geo H & Jno H Williamson — Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorney and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. Geo White. R A Lewis. R C Hardy. Jno E Watson. Alex Keys. David Bispham. W C Jones. Jas H Wilkinson. Jones Crow. H Williams. Edward Williamson. R Wilkinson. who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. reported the following verdict to wit. "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the decl mentioned, to wit. ($60) sixty dollars. with interest from 21st Dec 1859 till paid". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of sixty dollars. with interest thereon from the 21st day of December. 1859 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The Same — Plaintiff

vs — In Case

John H Williamson — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. Geo White. R A Lewis. R C Hardy. Jno E Watson. Alex. Keys. David Bispham. W C Jones. Jas. H Wilkinson. Jones Crow. H Williams. Edward Williamson. R H Wilkinson. who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. reported the following verdict to wit. "We the Jury find for the defendant". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended

Ordered that the following cases be continued to wit:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Davis Ex^r vs | Wilkinson Ex^r | R J Shelly. | Crow adm^r |
| vs | vs | vs | vs |
| Williamson H. | T A Chappell. | B W Shelly adm^r. | Williamson |
| Hufsen | Williams Ex^r. | Branch River & Co | Smith Stone & Banks |
| vs | vs | vs | vs |
| Davis Ex^r | Scott & Heartwell | Williamson | A C Winter |
| Wilder | Fraser & Dorman. | A S Shafer & Co. | Wells. |
| vs | vs | vs | vs |
| Taylor | Cornwell | Harpster | Chappell adm^r |
| Branch & Herbert. | Williamson Ex^r. | Powell. | Davis & wife |
| vs | vs | vs | vs |
| Rose | Crawley | Lynch & al. | Williamson |
| Alfriend & wife pl. | | | |
| vs | | | |
| Young. | | | |

By order of the plaintiffs atty the following case was dismissed

Shafer & Co

vs

Mitchell

Jno C Griffin
vs
Jno. A Brown

On motion this case is revived in the name of L D. Higby adm in Bankruptcy of J C Griffin, and continued

B F Childrey — Plaintiff
vs
Wm L Reese — Defendant
} In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came the following jury, to wit: Geo White, R A Lewis, R C Hardy, Jno E Watson, Alex Keys, David Burkeen, W C Jones, Jas H Wilkinson, Jones D Cross, H Williams, Edward Williamson, O R A Wilkinson, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court, retired to their room, and not being able to agree upon a verdict, the name of one of the jurors was withdrawn, & case continued

Jno Alfriend Sery pt
vs
D. T. S Young

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following jury to wit Geo. White, R A Lewis, R C Hardy, Jno E Watson, Alex Keys, David Burkeen, W C Jones, Jas H Wilkinson, Jones D Cross, H Williams, Edward Williamson, O R A Wilkinson, who being selected by lot, tried and sworn, on motion of the Deft. he is allowed to enter the plea of payment to which the plaintiff replies generally whereupon issue was joined, and the name of one of the jurors was withdrawn.

Benj. F Hudgins — Plaintiff
vs
J L H Young & Henry Thacker partners under firm of Young & Thacker & J T S Young — Defendants
} In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following jury to wit Geo White, R A Lewis, R C Hardy, Jno E Watson, Alex Keys, David Burkeen, W C Jones, Jas H Wilkinson, Jones D Cross, H Williams, Edward Williamson, O R A Wilkinson, who being selected by lot, tried, sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court, returned the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find the debt in the declaration mentioned, to be a Confederate debt, and having scaled it find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned to be discharged by the payment of $3.64. with interest from 25th day of Dec. 1865 - till paid subject to a credit of $250 as of that day. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said debt in the declaration mentioned to be discharged by the payment of three dollars & sixty four cents with interest as aforesaid, subject to the credit aforesaid and also his cost by him about this suit in this behalf expended

Jno Alfriend Sery pt — Plaintiff
vs
S S Northington — Defendant
} In Case

This day came the defendant by his attorney & pleaded payment to the plaintiff's declaration to which the plaintiff replied

generally whereupon issue was joined & case continued

Wm Francis — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
J T Spivey — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his Attorney and pleaded payment offers to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally and thereupon issue was joined and the case ends

A C Wickham — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
R Mosley — Defendant

This day came the ~~plaintiffs~~ by his Attorney and pleaded non est payment offers to the plaintiff declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally and thereupon issue was joined

E W Jones
vs
Jones & Jones

This day came the Defendants by their Attorney and pleaded payment to the plaintiff Declaration & benefit the Bankruptcy of the plaintiff and thereupon issue was joined

B A Chilcoy — Plaintiff
vs — In debt
A L Reese — Defendant

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit: Jas White R A Lewis R C Hardy. Jas E Watson. Alex Keys. David Bridgeman. W C Jones. Jno. H Wilkinson Jones D Crew. H Williams. Edward Williamson. W H Wilkinson; who being selected by lot sworn and empannelled and duly try the issue joined now before the Court and not being able to agree upon a verdict the name of one of the Jurors was withdrawn and the case was continued.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clock

Eric R Chambers

Saturday March 20th 1869.

Present Hon E. R. Chambers Judge.

A C Worsham — Plaintiff
vs — In case
Townsend Wilder — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and thereupon came the following Jury. L N Brooks. David Burfoot B.G. Burfoot. L D Harmon. Thos A Bass. Jones D Cox. Geo White. R A Lewis. Alex Keys. J H. Wilkinson W L Reese & R A Wilkinson. who being elected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined. upon their oaths returned the following verdict. We the Jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at $78.49. with interest from the 1st day of January 1869 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of $78.49. with interest as aforesaid costs. The Defendant by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict and grant him a new. which motion the Court sustained. and granted the Defendant a new trial

Worsham — Plaintiff
vs — In case
Mouldes — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and thereupon came the following Jury, to wit: L N Brooks. David Burfoot B G Burfoot L D Harmon. Thos. A Bass. Jones D Cox. Geo White. R A Lewis. Alex Keys J H Wilkinson. W L Reese & R A Wilkinson, who being selected by lots tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined. Upon their oaths returned the following verdict: "We the jury find for the plaintiff & assess his damage at $75.749 cents with interest from the 1st day of Jan. 1869 till paid". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Seventy-five dollars & forty-nine cents. with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1869 till paid. & also his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the following petit jurors be paid as follows for their services at this term of the Court out of the County treasury viz: David Burfoot $2. Geo White $2. Jno E Watson $2. A Keys $2. Scott Stubbs $1. J H Wilkinson $1. R C Anderson $1. Jno H Wilkinson $2. R A Wilkinson $2. Jones D Cox $2. H Williams $2. E Williams $1. W L Reese $2. R A Lewis $2. W C Jones $1. L N Brooks $1. B G Burfoot $2. L D Harmon $2. R E Wynn $1. E O'Fitzgerald $1. Jno Harrison $1. Jno Young $1. W T Doyle $1. J S Northington $1. R E Burfoot $1. Jno C Chambers $2. Jno E Burfoot $1. J C Wells $1. R H Wynne $1. E A Davis $1. H P Williams $1. Jno Davis $1. Thos A Bass $1.

Francis J Elder Attorney for the Commonwealth this day appeared in Court and took the oaths of office prescribed by law including the oath prescribed by act of Congress approved July 11th 1868.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term

E R Chambers

Office Judgments confirmed March 20th 1869.

Alexander Dorman — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Geo W Smith. William Smith. & Wm Holly — Defendants

The defendants having been summoned & not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred ~~fifty~~ dollars with interest on seventy five dollars from 1st day of July 1868 and on seventy five dollars from 1st day of January 1869 till paid. subject to the following credits. Twenty five dollars paid Dec. 25 1868 & forty dollars paid Feby. 3. 1869. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

E M Mann — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Ls Chappell. Geo W Chappell & Richd L Chappell — Defendants

The defendants having been summoned & not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel. it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred & fifty dollars. with interest thereon from the 29th day of December 1865 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Ls Ex admr. Mary Puryear — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
E H Allen & W B Coleman — Defendants

The defendants having been summoned & not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of one hundred & forty dollars. with interest thereon from the 29th of December 1860. till paid & also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓ B T Whitmore — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Ethelbert Bristow — Defendant

The defendant having been summoned & not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel. it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars. with interest thereon from November 25th 1855 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Chester B. White called admr. of Mary White decd — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Benjn M Skinner. W L Reese. & L Keeler — Defendants

The defendants having been summoned & not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel. it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of one hundred & thirty-seven dollars & fifty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1867 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is subject to the following credits, to wit: $7.72 paid May 13th 1867. $6.50 paid July 25th 1867 and $32. paid August 29th 1867

J L Scott who sues for the benefit of H H Eartwell Plaintiff
vs
Wm E Morriss Defendant } In Debt

The defendant having been summoned not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Two hundred dollars. with interest from the 20th day of February 1867 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

William A Tally Plaintiff
vs
W P Spain Committee of Louisa Jordan Defendant } In case

The Defendant having been summoned and not appearing. on motion of the plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixty dollars. with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1869 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm F Thompson Plaintiff
vs
D W Pollard Defendant } In Debt

The Defendant having been summoned. and not appearing on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred twenty five dollars. with interest from January 1st 1867 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

W A Malone & Alexander Dorman admrs of Danl Malone Plaintiffs
vs
O J Tayler. Jos G Birkeau & James G Tayler Defendants } In Debt

The Defendants having been summoned, and not appearing. on motion of the Plaintiffs by counsel it is considered by the Court. that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the sum of One hundred three dollars with interest from the 9th day of June 1868 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

John Fraser Ex's of D F Hoy Plaintiff
vs
Scot Young adm. of L P Lanier Defendant } In Debt

The Defendant having been summoned and not appearing. on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court. that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of one hundred dollars with interest from the 25th April 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. subject to a credit of $30.00 paid April 25th 1866.

Wm Heddell and Alex W Heddell late partners under the firm and style of Wm Heddell & Co who sue for the benefit of Edwin McMann Trustee of Alex W Heddell Plaintiff
vs
H H Eartwell Defendant } In Case

The Defendant having been summoned and not appearing on motion of the Plaintiffs by counsel it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirty seven dollars & thirty three

cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1867 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

W. J. Spires — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
N L Moore & B J Epes — Defendants

The Defendants having been summoned and not appearing, on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of seventy five dollars with interest thereon from the 10th day of December 1868 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

M A Moncure — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
N L. Moore — Defendant

The Defendant having been summoned, and not appearing, on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of two hundred and seventeen dollars & ninety cents, with interest from the 22d of November 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

W R C Gregory who sues for the benefit of Alex Donnan — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
R G Chappell Geo W Chappell & Rich^d L Chappell — Defendants

The Defendants having been summoned and not appearing, on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of two hundred & fifty dollars with interest thereon from the 19th day of December 1865 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

B M Robertson H C Clarke & M. A Martin late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of B M Robertson & Co who sue for the benefit of W L. Watkins — Plaintiffs
vs — In Debt
John T. Hubbard — Defendant

The Defendant having been summoned, and not appearing, on motion of the Plaintiffs by counsel it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirty eight dollars & ninety two cents, with interest thereon from the 20th day of Augt 1867, till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended; subject to a credit of $10. paid November 18th 1867

Alexander Donnan — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Heartwell Wills & Isaac S Kuler — Defendants

The Defendants having been summoned, and not appearing on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of forty six dollars & forty eight cents with interest from the 30th day of November 1868 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Alex Dennian & Jas Boiseau Exors in suit of Nancy vs Nancy Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
John F Gresham & N H Gresham Defendants
The Defendants having been summoned and not appearing on motion of the Plaintiffs by counsel it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendants the sum of three hundred & seventy five dollars with interest thereon from October 7th 1867 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

J A Keech Exor &c who sues for the benefit of M M Young Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
Geo E Spain & Robert C Williamson Defendants
The Defendants having been summoned and not appearing, on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel. it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Thirty four dollars eighty five cents with interest from the 13th of December 1860 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Thomas Crowder Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
John L McNeill admr. R E Harrison decd Defendant
The Defendant having been summoned, and not appearing, on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel. It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Fifty three dollars with interest thereon from the 22d of November 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.
Subject to a credit of $14.00 paid July . 1867

J Andrew White, R A McKenney and Geo Reid late Merchants and Partners in trade under the firm & Style of White, McKenney & Co Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
Durkin & Webb Defendants
The Defendant having been summoned. and not appearing on motion of the Plaintiffs by counsel It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred & Twenty one dollars & forty seven cents, with interest from the 4th day of June 1868 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Daniel Hall Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
John L Mitchell admr of R E Harrison decd Defendant
The Defendant having been summoned, and not appearing on motion of the Plaintiff by counsel. It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Fifty three dollars & ninety eight cents with interest from the 5th of September 1866 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

J L Scott who sues for the benefit of N W Bridgman Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
William E Morris Defendant
The Defendant having been summoned, and not appearing on

motion of the plaintiff by counsel. it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of Two hundred dollars with interest from the 20th of February 1867 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is subject to the following credits: $50.00 paid Nov. 27th 1867. $25.00 paid March 7th 1868. $50.00 paid January 27th 1869

Benj. F. Hodgens Pltff } In Debt.
vs. Defts.
Thos J Caine & D. F. Branton.

The defendants having been summoned and not appearing, on motion of the plaintiff by counsel. it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred Seventy dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1865 till paid and also his costs.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerk's Office May 17th 1869.

D M Tucker. Plaintiff } In Debt.

J W V. Tucker. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant in his proper person & the defendant acknowledges the plaintiffs action for the debt in the writ mentioned to be the sum of Twelve Hundred & forty six dollars with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of May 1869 till paid. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Twelve Hundred & forty six dollars with interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Amelia Co Va.
April 28th 1869.

In Vacation,

I S. S. Weisiger Judge of the Circuit Court for the County of Dinwiddie do hereby Certify that Augustus C Winston who has been by the Commanding General of this distrect duly appointed Clerk of the said Court, this day personally appeared before me and took the several oaths by the laws of the State of Virginia and the oath prescribed by act of Congress approved July 2d 1862. and entered into and acknowledged bond in the penalty of six thousand Dollars Conditioned according to law, with David G. Carr Thomas A Boisseau W. T. Perkins John E. Boisseau and A M. Organ his securities the said David G. Carr Thomas A Boisseau W. T. Perkins & John E. Boisseau appearing and acting through A M Organ their attorney in fact. And in the matter of the said A C. Winston A M Organ is permitted to qualify as his deputy whereupon the said A M Organ personally appeared before me and took the several oaths by the laws of the state of Virginia required, and the bond of the said A C Winston and the power of attorney under which the said A M Organ acts are hereby certified to the Clerk of the County Court of Dinwiddie to be recorded, Given under my hand this 28th day of April 1869.

Saml S. Weisiger

Teste.

A C Winston Clk

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office Sept. 23rd 1869.

Wm M Gill curator of Geo Whitmore. called Exr of A. J. Bevill, who sues for the benefit of Jno. A Fraser. } Plaintiff
} In Debt.
vs } Defendant
C Yerney & Dr J. Yerney.

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney, as the defendant C Yerney in his proper person, and the said defendant acknowledges the plaintiff's action for One thousand Dollars a part of the debt in the writ mentioned with interest thereon from the 23rd day of September 1869. till paid and costs. And the plaintiff being willing to take a Judgment for the same, it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of One thousand Dollars with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 4th day of October 1869 and of the Commonwealth the 94th

Present Hon. T. S. Wiseger Judge.

On the motion of A. C. Winston Clerk Thomas H Bispham is allowed to qualify as his Deputy whereupon the said Bispham appeared in Court and qualified by taking the oaths of office prescribed by the laws of Virginia

W. L. Spears — Plaintiff } On motion
vs } for judgment
H. L. Morris B. J. Epes & A. M. Ogburn — Defendants } upon forfeited bond.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney & it appearing to the Court that the defendants have legal notice of this motion, was solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of One Hundred & Seventy Nine Dollars Sixteen Cents the penalty of said bond and also their costs by them about this motion expended. But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of Eighty Nine Dollars & fifty Eight Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1869 till paid.

Alexander Duncan & Jas. Bispham Commissioners &c — Plaintiff } On motion &
vs } judgment upon
A. H. Buchanan Sr. & A. H. Buchanan Jr. — Defendants } bond &c.

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney & it appearing to the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion was solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of Eight Hundred & Seventy Eight Dollars Ten Cents the penalty of said bond & also their costs by them about this motion expended. But the said Execution may be discharged by the payment of Four Hundred & thirty Nine Dollars & five cents with legal interest thereon from the 5th day of July 1869 till paid.

The Commonwealth of Va — Plaintiff }
vs }
P. G. Jones — Defendant }
Same — Presenting }
vs }
J. C. Jones — Defendant }

Ordered that the above case be continued until the next term for the Commonwealth.

By order of the Plaintiff attorney the following cases are dismissed. R. T. Shelly & Shelly an. Case against & Williamson. Rutherglen against & A. C. Nunnally. Thos. Milder & Taylor.

W. L. Wheeler admr. of Thos. Wheeler dec'd — Plaintiff } Upon a scire facias
vs } against Mrs. Wm.
H. H. Richardson & Jas. Dearing — Defendants } suggesting money or effects in his hands belonging to the defendant Richardson.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney as did the

Wm B. Moore the Garnishee in this case upon whom sirms. issued from the Clerk's Office of this Court has been duly executed, and being sworn says that he is indebted to the defendant Richardson in a sum sufficient to pay of the debt due the plaintiff but with the sum of $59.53, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said W.B. Moore pay to the plaintiff the sum of Fifty Nine Dollars & fifty three Cents & the costs of this case.

W. A. Thompson — Plt. } Upon a sirms issued against
} A W Owensby garnishee
I W Pollard — Deft } money effects &c of the defendant in his hands &c.

~~Notice~~ served &c

George T. Williams who sues for the benefit of D.B. Dugger and R.P. Stainback partners under the firm and style of Dugger & Stainback — Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
John L. Scott & H. L. Hearlette — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorney and neither party requiring a jury Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of Thirty One Dollars & ten cents in Gold or its equivalent with interest from the 13th day of Oct 1865 till paid or by $18.50 paid Dec 1st 1865. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Thirty One Dollars & ten Cents with interest as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John Fraser Exr of David F Hay dec'd & A Denman adm. with the will annexed of D.F. Hay dec'd — Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
Julia A Cornwell — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. T H Hewitt. T D Williams. T. T. Bynum. H W Jones. E B Thomas. R. L. Wynn. Peter Espes. J A Fraser. E H Chambers. Wm Edmunds. Wm Dalney & N Bleckley who being selected by lot tried and sworn well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. respond the following verdict We the Jury find for the defendant. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant recover against the plaintiff her costs by her about her defense of this suit expended.

W. C. Pearce — Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Nich Lynch Anderson. Bris & C Stell & E.T. Williamson — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and on motion of the plaintiff by his attorney the case is dismissed as to E.T. Williamson; & thereupon came the following Jury to wit. W Gregg Sen. W Gates Sen. A Keys. B W Ford. Peter Espes. T H Hewitt. D H Stancil. H W Jones. E B Thomas. T D Williams. F W Tarver. W E Hawes. who being selected by lot tried and sworn well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. respond the following verdict. ~~Therefore it is considered by the C~~ We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned. with the sum of Three Hundred & fifty Dollars with interest from the 1st day of July 1868 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $350.00 cents and costs.

W. L. Hunstable Foreman with R. C. Rives. Wm Young. Boyles Davis. B. G. Perkins. A Wilmoth. W. N. Martin. Edward Conway. Jas O Perkins. B. B. Pehanse. W. H. Kirkland. Perry Williams. Geo. G. Hitchcock. Geo J. McStephen. Geo B. Hitchcock. Geo Y. Bristow. B. H. Bispham. L. N. Penny. A. E. Wynn. Geo. S. Black. & E. W. Lewis were sworn a grand Jury of Inquest for the body of this County received their Charge withdrew. and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported the following presentments. We the grand Jury present Chas Drinkwater for lewdly & licentiously associating ~~with~~ & cohabiting with Alice Slaughter in Accomacc County within the last twelve months against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth upon the evidence of E. A. Davis and David T. Wills sworn in Court and sent for in the witness of the grand jury.

We the grand jury present Alice Slaughter for lewdly & licentiously associating & cohabiting with Chas Drinkwater in Accomacc County within the last twelve months against the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth upon the evidence of E. A. Davis and David T. Wills sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury

We the Grand Jury present A. A. Dennis for an assault and battery upon the person of One Bennett Wilkins at the house of said A. A. Dennis in Accomacc County on the 29th Sept 1869 upon the evidence of Bennett Wilkins a voluntary informant.

The grand Jury having nothing for the Court ~~[illegible]~~ discharged and the Court doth allow each of the said Jurors the sum of One dollar to be paid out of the County treas

B. F. Chilcoy

vs

W. L. Rees

Plaintiff } In Debt.
Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the jurors, duly sworn. Geo. Bagby. Geo Gale. G. A. Keys. Benj. Nelson. Nelson Epps. T. R. Stewart. J. H. Stewart. J. W. Jones. E. B. Thomas. T. D. Williams. F. W. Parker. W. E. Hawkins. who being selected by the parties and sworn to try the issue joined now before the court. at the court being about to adjourn the Jury were discharged until tomorrow 9 o'clock.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 9 o'clock

Jas J. Weisiger

Tuesday Oct. 5th 1869.

Present. Hon. J. S. Wiseyer.

A. Rutherglen apu. B. G. Turner for se — Plaintiff
vs — In case
T. B. Elder. — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded non apt to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined

Same — Plaintiff
vs — In case
Ro Hart. — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded non apt payment & offers to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & thereupon issue was joined

Same — Plaintiff
to — In case
Davis Exr. &c. — Defendants

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded non apt to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally. thereupon issue was joined.

W H Mann — Plaintiff
to — In case
W. C. Dance ass of R H Dance dec'd. — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded non apt against the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & issue was thereupon joined.

B. F. Chilton — Plaintiff
to — In Debt
W. L. Rose. — Defendant

The Jury sworn in this case on yesterday again appeared in Court according to adjournment have Leonidas Gwathes G. A. Keys. B. W. Tno. Rte. Espes. T. K. Henderson I. H. Hawks. H. W. Jones. E. B. Thomas. T. D. Williams. F. W. Parker. W. E. Hawks. & having fully heard the evidence retired to their room & after some time returned into Court & respectfully they were unable to agree upon a verdict. whereupon the name of F. W. Parker one of the jury was withdrawn & the case continued until the next Term.

Thomas Smith H. L. Slone. & R. R. Banks. late partners under the firm style of Smith Slone & Banks. — Plaintiffs
vs — In Case
A. C. Warlow. — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party requiring a Jury. Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendant for the sum of Twenty two Dollars & twenty six Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1861 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of Twenty two Dollars & twenty six Cents with interest thereon as aforesaid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Branch Sterbert. Plaintiff } In case.
vs. Defendants
On motion of the plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

E. F. Williamson Plaintiff } In case.
vs. Defendant
R H Crawley.
Continued.

Thos Branch & Sons. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs. Defendt
Gilliam & Mebane.
Cont. for Plaintiff.

It appearing to the Court that a writ of subpoena was issued by the Clerk of this Court directed to the Sft of the City of Petersburg commanding him to summon Mat Branch as trustee in behalf of William Mebane in a certain matter of controversy pending and undetermined in said Court between Thos Branch & Sons Plaintiffs & sd William Mebane Defts. to appear before the Judge of the Circuit Ct. on the 5th day of Oct 1869. & it appearing that s^d Sub. was delivered to the Sft in due time.

R H Crawley. Plaintiff } In case.
vs. Defendant
D Greggs Exr.
This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded ~~[illegible]~~ & plets fully administered to which the plaintiff replied generally & issue was thereupon joined.

W. F. Thompson. Plaintiff } Appeal & Garnishee.
vs. Defendant
N O Pollard.
The said A W Clements appeared and answered that he has in his hands belonging to the defendant N O Pollard $42.90 with legal interest thereon from Jany 20th 1869 and $42.27 with legal interest from June 22nd 1869. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said A W Clements pay the said Pollard shall of the costs of the proceeding in which behalf the said Summons issued to Wm R Beam atty 10.00 to Attn. Ogden 1.89 to Clerk 50 to Sheriff Burfee 4.00 to the pltff W Thompson the balance in hands to wit $42.90 with interest from Jany 20 1869 & $20.74 with interest from June 22nd 1869.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jas J Weisiger

Wednesday Oct 6th 1869.

Present Hon T. S. Weisiger Jr.

Richd Wells — Plaintiff
vs. In Case.
John Barrett — Defendant

On the motion of the defendant upon his affidavit, the plaintiff declaration is ordered to be amended as against Frederick St John Barrett, which is in fact the name of the defendant in this case. Upon motion further by consent of all parties by their Counsel it is ordered that this case be removed to the Circuit Court for the City of Petersburg.

Wm S. Hawks. — Plaintiff
vs. Upon appeal of supersedeas to a judgment of the Court of Dinwiddie County rendered on the 23 day of May 1868. by the plaintiff
Thomas Hobbs. — Defendant

against the defendant for one some more of the value of $116 2/3 and costs, This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon the transcript of the record of the judgment aforesaid being seen and inspected, it seems to the Court that there is error in the said judgment in this that the said County Court of Dinwiddie ought to have corrected the error of the Clerk in entering the rules in not entering a new suit at the May Term 1868. and that the verdict of the Jury on the issue made on the special plea was substantially for the defendant in the Court below, the judgment of the said Court ought to have been for the said defendant, Therefore it is considered that the said judgment be reversed and annulled and that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him in this behalf expended and this Court proceeding to give such judgment as the said County Court ought to have given, it is further considered that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendant his costs by him in his defence expended.

A. G. Hawks. — Plaintiff
vs. Upon appeal of supersedeas to a judgment of the Court of Dinwiddie County, rendered at the Sept Term 1869 of said County Court on motion to correct and scale the judgment of the County Court of said County rendered at the May Term 1869.
S. S. Northington & W. N. Martin — Defendant

thereof in the ~~case~~ of A. G. Hawks vs S. S. Northington & W. N. Martin in which S. S. Northington and Wm N. Martin were the plaintiff and A. G. Hawks the defendant which said last mentioned judgment was for the sum of $160. with interest thereon from the 12th day of April 1863 and the costs in the original suit in behalf of said A. G. Hawks, and the costs of said motion in favor of said S. S. Northington, Wm N. Martin. This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon the transcript of the record of the judgment aforesaid being seen and inspected it seems to the Court here that there is error in the said last mentioned judgment in this that the said Court ~~reversed~~ reversed the judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie of the May term 1869 in favor of A. G. Hawks plaintiff vs S. S. Northington & Wm N. Martin for the sum of $800. with interest thereon from the 12th day of April 1863 and the costs, and entered judgment for the said A. G. Hawks vs the said S. S. Northington & Wm N. Martin for the sum of $160. with interest thereon from the 12th day of April 1863 and the costs of the original suit, and the costs of that motion in favor of the said S. S. Northington & W. N. Martin against the said A. G. Hawks, therefore it is considered by the Court that the said judgment of the County Court of Dinwiddie of the September term 1869 be reversed and annulled and that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him in this behalf expended, and this Court proceeding to give such judgment as the said County Court ought to have given, it is further considered that the motion of the said S. S. Northington & Wm N. Martin vs A. G. Hawks in the County Court of Dinwiddie at the September term 1869 be dismissed and that the plaintiff pay the defendant

his costs about his defence in this motion expended.
to which opinion and judgement of the Court the defendants by their Counsel excepted and prayed that their Bill of exceptions might be signed and sealed by the Court which was done
Ordered that the following cases be continued Wilson & Wm Walker & Jones & Jones.

Jas W Davis Exr of C T Williamson decd was in his lifetime Executor of Hamilton Williamson decd
vs
George H Williamson.
Plaintiff } In case.
Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit R L Wynne H W Jones Jno T Dalney Jno F Edmunds E B Thomas B W Ford C W Bristow W T Doyle J W Craig G W Gates F W Parker T T Sydnor who being selected by lot tried and sworn to try the issue joined now before the Court on their oaths reported the following verdict to wit We the Jury find for the Plaintiff refer his damages at One Hundred Twenty six dollars & fifty three cents with interest on $109.30 apart thereof from the 1st day of May 1866 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of One Hundred & twenty six dollars & fifty cents with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Geo H Williamson
vs
Jas W Davis Exr of C T Williamson decd
Plff } In Case
Defe.
Cont'd. until next Term.

E A Davis wife
vs
Edward Williamson.
Plaintiff } In case.
Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit R H Crawley H W Jones Jno T Dalney Jno F Edmunds E B Thomas B W Ford C W Bristow W T Doyle J W Craig G W Gates F W Parker T T Sydnor who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined ~~now before the Court upon their oaths reported the following verdict to wit~~ reported that they were unable to agree upon a verdict whereupon the name of R H Crawley one of the Jurors was withdrawn & the case was continued until the next term.

Ordered that the following cases be dismissed Alfred Sey pt for & H S Young & Wm & S S Northington.

Martha H Spicely
vs
Jno W Spate Sr.
Plaintiff } In case.
Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and neither party requiring a Jury in this case the Court ascertains the damages in this suit to be One Hundred & Eighty Dollars & seventy five Cents with legal interest thereon from from the 31st day of Dec 1868 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said damages ascertained by the Court aforesaid, with interest as aforesaid & costs.

L H Higby agee in Bankruptcy of Jno. C. Griffin : Plaintiff
vs } In case,
Jno A Brown. : Defendant

This day come the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit T. K. Hamilton J. H. Hawks. G. A. Keys. T. D. Williams. Peter Espes. R. R. Wynn Jno E. Bispeau. Est Chambers. Jno. G. Bristow. E. A. Pickett C. H. Martin & C. S. Wells. who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined now before the Court and the Court being about to adjourn the Jury were discharged until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jam Spreizer

Thursday Oct 7th 1869

Present Hon P. P. Wright

The following accounts against the County of Va. in favour of D. J. Barner for $34.00 Jno Stevenson $55.00 G A Bispham 33.00 B. P. Keys 33. Peter Butler $171. Wm P. Williams $78.00 B E Lee $15.00 for guarding Dismissed County Jail were examined allowed and ordered to be certified to the auditor for payment, it appearing to the Court that the Guard was necessary not on account of the insecurity of the Jail but on account of the number of prisoners confined therein.

Ordered that F W Parker M. S. W D Connally Jno P. Dalton be appointed to examine report the condition of the Jail of this County &c. and their report which was ordered to be filed.

B W Robertson H C Clark & W A Martin late merchants & partners in trade under the firm style of B W Robertson & Co. who sue for the benefit of W. L. Watson — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt.

Jno P. Hubbard — Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury. Judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendants for the sum of Thirty Eight Dollars & 92 cents with legal interest thereon from 17th day of August 1869 till paid subject to a credit of $12.50 as of 18th Nov 1869. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $38 92 with interest as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid & costs.

Ordered that the following cases be cont'd. Ferrell vs Hubbard. Frances vs Young. Tucker vs Butterworth. Mercer vs Wm. Wells vs Chapman. Tucker for use vs Hill & Hill vs Meredith same vs White & Bishop. Chatham vs Elder. Same vs Hurt. Same vs Elder. Mann vs Dances adm.

Wm H Hagler agent of Jno C. Griffin — Plaintiff

vs — In Case.

Jno A Brown — Deft.

The Jury sworn in this case on yesterday again appeared in Court and on their oaths reported the following verdict We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and ofes his damages at Two Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 2d day of Nov 1869 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said Damages with int as afore said & costs. the attch case to be dismissed in relation thereto unless the suit be

Wm H Martin — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt.

B. P. Reid — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney & filed his debt Payment notice to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally rely on the fore going

Copd } The Court doth allow to R J Eper attorney for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court

The Court doth allow to A C Winston Clerk of this Court the sum
Copd allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court as such.

Copd The Court doth allow to W T Perkins Sheriff the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court as such

Copd The Court doth allow to the Jailor of this County the sum allowed by law for his services.

The Court doth recommend to the Comwlth Genl the following for appointment as Commissioners in Chancery for the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie to wit. Wm Eller A C Winston R J Eper

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be allowed for their services at this term of the Court each as follows to wit,

T H Lanier wife } Plaintiff } In Equitment
to
R Hogan. } Deft.

Dismissed by order of Plaintiff Atty

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be continued until the next.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors recover as follows to wit to be found by the County to. J H Craig 3 vs E H Pucket $4. R H Crawley $3. C W Briston $4. G W Parker $3. G W Gates $4. G W Akers $4 vs Jno T Edmunds $4 vs R Luck $4. S C Wells $4. T D Williams $4 J A Tatum $2. J W Hawks $4. G B Nicholas $3. Sta Jones $4. Bowers $4 T K Hunt $4. Peter Eller $4. T T Lyons $4. T E Barnes $3 Jno E Bishop $3. Jno T Vaughan $4 E B Thomas $4. W N Hunt $2 Jno G. Briston $3

L D Harmon } Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
A O Webb. Jas A Perkins. Richd A Perkins & Eli Perkins. Defendts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Court hearing the evidence, overruled the demurrer to the plaintiffs declaration. Plea nil debet & issue taken & judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Twenty ~~Three Dollars Sixty three~~ dollars thirty three cents with interest on $23.63 from 15th Nov 1867. till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the said sum of $23.63 with interest as aforesaid and costs

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the next Term

Jas H Wiseiger

# Office Judgments Confirmed October 7th 1869

$7.57 cts Saml Jones — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Robert Jackson John Smith & L. L. Meredith — Defendants
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25 day of September 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to credit of $33.52 paid July 1st 1869.

---

1.57 Washington Bradbury — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Edward A Wyatt — Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and thirty by dollars thirty nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of August 1859 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $80.18 paid July 28th 1869.

---

$1.57 R. E. Lewis — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
George D Baskerville — Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred thirty three Dollars & fifty nine cents with interest thereon from the 4th day of May 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$6.57 Wm A Gregory — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
R. C. Shell — Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty Dollars & Eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 13th day of June 1868 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant with interest as aforesaid & costs subject to the following Credit to wit. $36.00 in part March 15th 1869.

John DeVenning Sometimes Called Agent Piedmont Life Insurance Company — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
A. G. Mitchell — Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore

it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty six dollars & three cents with legal interest from the 23rd day of September 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

John DeBlanning sometimes called Agent of Piedmont Life Insurance Company. } Plaintiff } In Debt.
To
A. G. Mitchell } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty seven dollars & fifty seven cents, with legal interest thereon from the 22d day of June 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

C. C. Davis admr of R. A. Deane dec'd called guardian of John W. Beard who sues for the benefit of James Bufsean guardian of John W. Beard. } Plaintiff } In Debt.
To
Chas. Young surviving obligor of himself & C. W. Young. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & twenty seven Dollars & twenty nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 30th day of January 1862 till paid. Subject to the following Credits to wit, $48.54 paid Dec. 4th 1868 on an assessment & $76 paid Sept 25th 1869. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

W. M. Spencer sometimes called Exr of Thos E. Spencer dec'd who sues for the benefit of Martha E. A. Spencer } Plaintiff
To
Jno. L. Mitchell Exr of A. G. Mitchell dec'd who was in his lifetime jointly bound with C. E. Cayce who still survives the said A. G. Mitchell dec'd } In Debt. } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & fourteen dollars & fifty cents with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended to be levied of the goods & chattels of the deceased in the hands of the Exr if so much thereof he hath;

Costs
Ady 3.00
Sff 1.00
CCR 3.36
" .98
$7.34
Fifty to Mary Rules 1871

Edward P. Bailey sometimes called Exr of Edmund C. Bailey dec'd } Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
O. P. Rendall & R. E. Rendall } Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Thirty Dollars & Eighty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of Dec 1861 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

J. S. Kuler. } Plaintiff } In Debt.
To
R. L. Kirkland } Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney & the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of May 1866 till paid also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $1.82 Fifa.

Wm E Badger & R R Moore partners in trade under the firm & style of Badger & Moore. } Plaintiffs
vs } In Case
Robt Dalney adm of Rebecca Ampy dec'd } Defendants

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety four Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of August 1868 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods & chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant if so much thereof he hath.

Cost. $7.17.

James Bressie Guardian of W H Weel. } Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
James W Gray & John T Dalney. } Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of March 1870 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

W F Rives W B Jordan & Joseph Carr late merchants & partners under the firm & style of W F Rives & Co assignees of C Watson Doyle who was a partner & Hennes } Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
R W Grisewood } Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants said nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Forty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of August 1861 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

The Same } Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
Jno J Mitchell Exr of Henry G Mitchell dec'd } Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of August 1861 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. To be levied of the goods & chattels of the decedent in the hands of the deft if so much thereof he hath.

Thomas Smith. Plaintiff } In Case
vs
Jno W Williams. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred & fifty three Dollars & fifty one cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th July 1868 on $132.35 & on Twenty one dollars from the 19th April 1869 till paid also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $6.57 David B Meade. Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Jno P. Goodwyn. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant saith nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum Forty nine Dollars & fifty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of June 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$6.85 John E Young Ex. of W A Young dec'd Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Richard A Williams & Jno A Brough (co-deft with) Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum Sixty nine dollars & five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$7.99 Wm McGill Curator of the estate of Geo Whitmore dec'd Plaintiff } In Debt
vs
Robt Crawley & Thos. G. Crawley. Defendants

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of seventy dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

In Vacation April 1st 1870

A C Winston Clerk of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County this day personally appeared before me and renewed his bond as such with W J Perkins warren Brown Thos H Boisseau & A M Osgain his securities the said W J Perkins Warren Brown Thos. H. Boisseau & A M Osgain acting & signing by R. H Jones their attorney in fact and Alex Donnan testifying to the sufficiency of said sureties pursuant to act of assembly of be passed on the 3rd day of March 1870 Given under my hand this 1st day of April 1870

Saml. S. Weisiger

The said bond is here to annexed as well as the Power of attorney which is Ordered to be certified to the Clerk of the Cty Ct for Record there

Saml. S. Weisiger

Teste
A C Winston Clk

In Vacation
Petersburg Va May 24th 1870

I, S. S. Weisiger, Judge of the Circuit Court for the County of Dinwiddie, do hereby certify that A. M. Osgain who has been appointed Clerk of the said Court by the Judge of the County Court of Dinwiddie County, pursuant to the act of the General Assembly of Virginia approved March 5th 1870, commonly known as the "Enabling Act", this day personally appeared before me and took the oaths prescribed by law, and entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of Six thousand dollars, conditioned according to law, with R E Boisseau, Jno E. Young, E L Perkins, Jas Hargrave, Albert Dabney & H Cousins his securities, appearing & acting by R. H Jones Jr their attorney in fact

Saml S Weisiger

The said bond, qualification & power of attorney are certified to the Clerk of the County Court of Dinwiddie County to be by him recorded

In Vacation
Petersburg June 1st 1870

Upon the application of A M Osgain, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, John Y. Harris is allowed to qualify as his deputy: whereupon the said Harris took the oaths prescribed by law: which is certified to the said Clerk of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie.

Saml S. Weisiger.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Court House thereof on the 3rd day of October 1870. and of the Commonwealth the 95th.

Present Hon S. S. Wiseger Judge.

A A Dance. Plaintiff } Upon a Gen Iss.
v.
W H Williamson. Deft

Notice proved & continued until to morrow.

H. A. Heartwell. Plaintiff } Upon Gen Iss.
v.
James Hogan et al. Defts.

Dismissed agreed.

Jas Bispham Guar. Plaintiff } Upon a motion for a judgment against of Ex
v.
Jas M. Seay & W. M. Bishop. Defts. } Upon forfeiture for their bond.

Notice proved and defendants called and not appearing Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Twohundred & sixty one dollars twelve cents the penalty of said bond. To be discharged by the payment of One hundred & thirty dollars & fifty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1869 till paid & costs. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred & thirty dollars & fifty six cents with interest as aforesaid & costs. Subject to a credit of $62.00 paid Feby. 21st 1870. by Jno S Dabney

Todd Pugh & Co.
v.
Hargrave & Hargrave.

Notice proved & continued until to morrow.

C. F. Gordon and James Moore dec'd Plaintiffs } Upon a motion for a judgment
v. } & award of Ex. Upon a forfeiture
Benj. R. Lewis & Alice Gordon. Defts. } of forthcoming bond.

Notice proved & defendants called and not appearing Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Sixty five dollars & fifty eight cents the penalty of said bond. To be discharged by the payment of Thirty two dollars & seventy nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of June 1870 till paid & costs. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $32.79 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

Ordered that the following Cases be continued. Chiles & Reese Treasurer for Hawkes & Hill & Meredith. Sams. & White & Bishop.

$97.15 E M Jones Plaintiff } In Debt
v.
W. C. & S. G. Jones. Defendants

Neither party desiring a trial in this case Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of One hundred & forty dollars with legal interest thereon from the 10th day of August 1861 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $140 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

William Francis — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Jno. S. Young — Defendant

Ordered that this ~~following~~ case be dismissed by order of the Plaintiffs atty without damages.

W A Moncure — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
R E Wynn — Defendant

Plea withdrawn and Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendt for the sum of Eighty One dollars with legal interest from 12th June 1866 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $81.00 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

Nicholas Walker — Plaintiff
vs — Defendants
M. Ives

This day came the parties by their attorney and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. E H Lewis. E O Pyram. Geo J. Brown. Jno T Brown. T B Booth. W W Bishop. D M. Bishop. A S Harrell. A S Vaiden. Silas Lewis. T B Scott. G W Spain. who being sworn to write and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument retire the following verdict, "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess the damages at one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of one cent the sum by the Jury assessed and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

W H Mann — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
R H Daniel — Defendant

Dismissed by order of plaintiffs atty.

Ordered that the following cases be continued Cornwell & A. G. Jones same vs T C Jones.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jam J Kiziger

C. W. Frier — Tuesday Oct 4th 1870.

Present Hon S. S. Wingfield Judge.

C. W. Frier — Plaintiff } Upon a writ of Error to a
vs } Judgment of the County Court
The Commonwealth of Va — Defendant } of Dinwiddie County rendered

at the August Term 1870 of said Court. This day came the parties by their Attorneys and the transcript of the record being seen & inspected. and the argument of Counsel hearing heard, The Court is of opinion that the County Court erred in refusing the instructions asked for by the defendants Counsel & in lieu thereof in giving the instructions in said record contained, it is therefore considered by the Court that the Judgment of the County Court be reversed and set aside & that the case be remanded to the County Court for a new trial,

J. H. King, ass Pl. — Pltff } In Debt.
vs } Deft.
S. T. K. Lee sel.

On the motion of the defendants by their Attorney the office judgment entered against them at Rules is set aside, and thereupon, for plea they say that they have well and truly paid the debt in the plaintiffs declaration mentioned and of this they put themselves upon the County, and the plaintiff likewise and issue is joined,

W. G. Wynne — Plaintiff } In Case.
vs } Deft.
Roberts Exor.

The parties appeared by their Attorneys, and the defendant demurred generally to the declaration in which the plaintiff joined.

A. Miller — Plaintiff } In case.
vs } Deft.
Jas. Hoagrave.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and the defendant plead payment to the plaintiffs declaration with leave to file offsets which he desires to form to which the plaintiff replies generally & issue is joined.

Martin O'Brisley — Plaintiff } In Case.
vs } Deft.
Thomas Hobbs.

On the motion of the defendant by his Attorney, the office judgment and writ of Enquiry entered against him at Rules are set aside whereupon he pleads payment & offsets to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally & issue is joined.

Ira Carr.
vs
Jno Hart.

This day came the defendant by his Attorney and pleads Confession Judgment and

now demurrer to the plaintiff's declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue is joined.

Vaughan & Wray.

vs.

Parker & Parker.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant plead. Non assit & Statute of limitations to the plaintiff's declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally issue.

W. N. Martin & C. H. Tinsley, late partners in trade under the firm style of Martin & Tinsley. } Plaintiffs

vs. } In case.

Thomas Hobbs. Defendt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. Geo. J. Brown, E. H. Lewis, J. B. Scott, Jno. T. Brown, R. F. Chambers, W. H. Bishop, Robt. G. Spain, E. O. Pegram, B. S. Keys, A. J. Harrell & Silas Lewis, who being being selected by lot tried and sworn ~~the truth of and~~ to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict, We of the Jury find for the defendant. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover against the Plaintiffs his costs by him about his defence of this suit expended. The defendant moves the Court to set aside the verdict and grant him a new trial which motion the Court overrules.

Ira Clark.

vs.

Jno. Hart.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. Geo. J. Brown, W. H. Bishop, E. H. Lewis, R. F. Chambers, W. H. Bishop, J. B. Scott, Robt. G. Spain, Silas Lewis, R. N. Wilkinson, A. J. Harrell & Jno. T. Brown who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict, "We the jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned to wit: $800. to be discharged by the payment of $254. with interest from the 6th. day of Nov. 1862 subject to a credit of $100. as of 10th day Sept. 1866". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $800. to be discharged by the payment of $254. with interest as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid and also his costs.

W. R. Vaughan & John Wray

vs.

Jno. A. Parker Sr. & Jno. A. Parker Jr.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit: A. K. Meade, A. L. Moore, L. O. Perkins, T. B. Booth, R. H. Perkins, R. M. Barnes, L. W. Bergeron, W. Brown, A. C. Winston, E. O. Pegram, A. M. Goodwyn & B. S. Keys, who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict, to wit: "We the jury find for the Plts. & assess their damages at $900. with int. thereon from the 14th day of June 1857 till paid", Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the said sum of ~~[illegible]~~ hundred dollars with

interest thereon from the 24th day of June 1857 till paid and also their costs expended

Ordered

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until to-morrow morning at 10 O'Clock

Jam[s] J Weisiger

Wednesday October 5th 1870.

Present Hon S S Weisiger Judge.

William J Thompson. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
Harmon Brach & Joseph L. Buisson. Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and neither party desiring a Jury in this case. Judgment for the Plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Twenty One dollars & thirteen cents with legal interest thereon from the 5th day of October 1870 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of $21.13 with interest as aforesaid and costs.

Bernard Todd. Leb. Pugh, Co. T Smith, and W L Chenin merchants Partners under the firm style of Todd Pugh & Co. who sues for the benefit of Jno. P. Branch. Plaintiffs } Upon a rule for a Judgment Upon a forfeiture forthcoming bond.
vs.
Thomas E Hargrave & James Hargrave Defts

Judgment for the Plaintiffs against the defendants for the sum of One hundred and Eighteen dollars & twenty eight cents. the penalty of said bond. To be discharged by the payment of Fifty Nine dollars & thirty Nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of September 1869 till paid. and costs.

Thomas Branch Adm. Plaintiff
vs.
Gilliam & Hiblett. Defts.

On motion of the Plaintiff the above case is continued until the next term.

Jas H King ad Trol. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
S K Rice sals. Deft

On motion of the defendants the above case is continued.

F M Hale wife. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
Wilmond and. Defendants

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and the defendant plead payment to the plaintiff declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally & issue is joined.

Edwin Fraser. Pltff } In Debt.
vs.
Thorcate & Fraser. Defts.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant plead Conditions performed & Non damnificatus to the Plaintiff declaration to which the replied generally & issue is joined.

F M. Parker. Plaintiff } In Case.
vs.
H. P. Rivey. Defendant

The death of the Plaintiff having been suggested On motion the case is revived in the name of H Cousins Curator of the Estate of said Parker & continued.

A.S. Shafer Geo A Halsey Samuel Halsey Daniel Halsey and R M Venter late merchants and partners in trade under the firm style of A.S. Shafer & Co. } Plaintiffs } In Case.

vs

C A Hargrave. } Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came the following Jury trie. W.W. Bishop. Mos. Brown. R.F. Chambers Geo. J. Brown. A.S. Heavile Robert. B.S. Keys. A.M. Gordigan. G.O. Spain. R. Wilkinson. & Silas Dennis, & W.O. Bishop. who being selected by lot tried sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument returned the following verdict trie, "We the Jury find for the Plaintiffs assess their damages at Twenty One dollars Twenty five cents with legal interest thereon from January 1862 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of Twenty One dollars Twenty five cents with legal interest as aforesaid & costs.

W A Moody late Ex of the City of Petersburg and as such administrator of the Estate of Francis Epes. decd } Pltff } In Debt.

vs.

Beard Hawks. } Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant Beard plead a discharge in Bankruptcy & the Deft Hawks filed a special plea in writing to which the plaintiff replies generally issue.

E A Davis wife. } Plaintiffs } In Case.

vs.

Edward Williamson. } Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the following jury trie. J.O. Perkins. H L Moore. A.C. Winston. G.J. Brown. R.F. Chambers. A.S. Heavile. E.H. Lewis. R.H. Perkins, W.W. Bishop. Mos. Brown. R.F. Hart. W.B. Scott. who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly trie the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument; having failed to agree upon a verdict were adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 O'clock.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 O'clock.

Jam G Weisiger

Thursday Octr 6th 1870.

Present Hon S. S. Wissinger Judge.

John H Patterson, late Sergeant of the City of Petersburg and as such administrator of Louisa S. Ruffin dec'd who sues for the benefit of Robt Mann Trustee of said Louisa S. Ruffin — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt.

S. T. Spring. Co Deft with Wm Francis. — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorney's and neither party demanding a jury. Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff for the sum of Seven dollars & sixty four cents with interest on $3.92 apart thereof from Dec 25th 1863 till paid and on $3.92 the residue thereof from Dec 25th 1864 till paid & costs; the plaintiff being willing to accept the same. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the deft. the s'd sum of $7.84 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

E A Davis mfr.

vs.

Edward Williamson.

The name of W Perkins one of the firm was withdrawn & case continued.

A A Dance.

vs.

W H Williamson.

The Garnishee W. W. Bishop having answered that he is indebted to the Plaintiff in a sum sufficient to pay the plaintiffs debt, & costs. It is ordered that the said W W Bishop pay to the plaintiff Twenty four dollars & twelve cents with interest from the 1st day of January 1864 till paid & costs.

The Committee to examine the Jail this day made their report which was ordered to be certified to the County Court.

An account of Stephen Butler against the County of Pa. for $ G A Bofseau $28.00 Thomas H. Bofseau $191.00 Jno H Weinstein $14.00 for Guarding Dennis Dé County Jail was examined rendered & certified to the auditor of public accounts for payment pursuant to Chap. 210. Sec. 2 of the Code of Va. of 1866.

Hendrickson &c. — Pltff

vs.

Rees. — Deft.

Tucker — Pltff

vs.

Butterworth &c. — Deft.

Ordered that the above cases be continued

Thomas Farrell — Plaintiff

vs. — In case

Jno H. Hubbard — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party demanding a jury judgment for the Plaintiff against the defendant for the sum of Thirty two dollars & Eighty three cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1869 till paid. the plaintiff being willing to accept the same. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the s'd sum of $32.83 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

H. Commis. late Sheriff of Dinwiddie County
and as such admr of W.H. Mitchell dec.d } Plaintiff
vs } In Detinue
Jno. B. Gibbs. Deft. }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came also the following jury to wit. R.A. Chambers. T.B. Scott. W.W. Bishop. G.T. Brown. Jas. O. Jenkins. G.O. Spain. R. Hunt. A.S. Hamlin. E.H. Lewis. R.A. Wilkinson. Jno. T. Brown & E.D. Pegram being selected by lot tried and sworn to try and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired the following verdict. We the jury find for the plaintiff the mule in the declaration mentioned which we find to be of the value of $30.— Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover ~~against~~ of the mule in the declaration mentioned of the value of $30 and also his costs by him about this suit expended.

W. J. Branch. C.S. Rives. Jno. O. Bragg & M.B. Branch.
late merchants under the firm style of Branch Rives & Co. } Plaintiffs.
vs. } In case.
William H. Williamson & C.M. his wife, who before her intermarriage with the said W.H. Williamson was C.M. Winston } Defts.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the parties not desiring a jury Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of One hundred then. dollars & Eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th Septr 1860 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of $110.87 with ~~interest~~ aforesaid costs.

F.M. Hale wife. } Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt.
E.B. Weldmireland. Co-Deft. with J.R. Weldmireland. } Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party desiring a jury. Judgment for the plaintiff against the defendants the sum of Sixty dollars with interest thereon from the 2d day of November 1865 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Sixty dollars with interest as aforesaid & costs.

A paper writing purporting to be the last will and testament of F. W. Parker dec.d bearing date the 8th day of June AD 1870. was this day produced in Court and offered for proof was proven by the oaths of James Bolseau & Jno. C. Harris. the subscribing witnesses thereto and ordered to be recorded as the true last will & testament of said F. W. Parker dec.d

Wm. N. Morton. } Plaintiff
vs } In case.
B.P. Rose. } Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came the following jury to wit. J.M. Bolseau. A.C. Winston. W.L. Moore. R.M. Barron. Jno. M. Pelham. Jno. W. T. Darby. T.B. Boyd. Jas. H. Pelham. J.W. Bishop. E.H. Lewis. B.S. Eyre. & W.L. Rose. having heard the evidence one of the jurors was withdrawn & cause

The Committee to examine the Clerk's Office made the following report to wit.
To the Hon. S.S. Wiseger Judge of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County.
October Term 1870. We, the undersigned, appointed to inspect and make report of the condition of the Records and Papers in the Clerk's Office of the

Circuit Court of Dinwiddie, respectfully report, That we have made as thorough and minute and examination of the Records and Papers as the time allowed us would permit, The said Clerk giving us all the assistance in the production of Records and Papers that we asked and found the same generally in order, The "Fee Book" we found in some of the Charges therein named rather too indefinite, The "Execution Book" in some few cases failed to note the returns made upon the Executions, The "Process Book" is without Index, but we find it is a Continuation of the Book used by former Clerks of this Court, and now indexed by his Predecessors, At the request of the Clerk we would state that all the Books and Papers in the Office of this Court anterior to the year 1833 were destroyed by the Casualties of the war, All of which is respectfully submitted.
Oct 6th 1870.

Ordered that Wm R. Bland Jno Dodson & A Thos Powell Comtee appointed to examine the Clerk's Office be allowed each the sum of Five dollars for their Services which is ordered to be certified to the auditor of Public accounts for payment,

Abraham
vs
Jno Hart
This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Plaintiff by her attorney moved the Court to set aside ~~said Verdict~~ the verdict in this case and grant her a new Trial, It is ordered that said verdict of the Jury be set aside and a new trial granted.

Elizabeth Nunnally David T. Branton, adm Wm Branton dec'd & Geo H Williamson Pltffs Issue
vs
Jno H Williamson E R Williamson Martha J Williamson & Jas F. Turner Defts } in Chancery
This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came also the following Jury viz, H. L Moore A C Winston, J M Bishop Jno T Brown T B Booth, J W Bessear E H Lewis, W L Reese Jno M Miller, Geo T. Brown, B S Keys Roberts, who being selected by lot tried and sworn to enquire into and decide whether the deed made by John H Williamson wife to E R Williamson bearing date on the day of 1868 is fraudulent or void or whether the same was made for a valuable Consideration, having heard the evidence and argument & being unable to agree upon a verdict the name of H. L. Moore one of the Jurors was withdrawn & the Case Cont'd

The Court doth allow to A. M. Organ Clerk of this Court the sum of Thirty dollars for his services as such during the last twelve months.

The Court doth allow to Branch J Epes Atty for the Commwlth the sum of Fifty dollars the sum allowed by law for his services as such for the last twelve months.

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Sheriff the sum allowed by law for his services as such Twenty dollars

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Jailer the sum allowed by law for his services as such Thirty dollars.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clock

Jam J Weisiger

Friday Oct 7th 1870.
Present Hon S S Weisiger Judge

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive out of the County Treasury for their services at this term of the Court as follows to wit. Jas W Bispham $4.00 A C Meade $1. J H Bishop $4 T B Brock $4 A C Wenisten $2 W H Brown $1. Jno T Brown $4 Wm Pollard $1. R M Barnes $3 R H Tucker $1. B S Keys $3 N F Thompson $1. Geo J Brown $4 E O Tyrone $4 E H Lewis $4 W W Bishop $4 Jno T Daily $1. Jno M Pettus $1. Jas H Pettus $1. W D Kerr $1. R Walker $4 R H Pettus $3 Geo O Spain $4 A S Harvey $4 Jas O Pettus $4 A M Gordon jr $2 W H Royston $1. H L Mann $3 R F Chambers $3 R F Hurt $3 Silas Lewis $4 T B Scott $4.

Warren Brown. Plaintiff
v. } In attachment.
J. J. Packetbrest. Deft

By consent of parties this case is continued generally.

An account of R. Butterworth against the Commonwealth of Va. $63.00 & an Account of B. S. Keys of $48.00 examined and allowed & certified to the Auditor of Pub accounts for payment pursuant to Chap 210. Sec 2 of the Code of 1860.

Ordered that Mr G. Harris one of the Commissioners in Chancery of this Court be and he is hereby designated as the Commissioner of accounts of this Court in accordance with act of Assembly, approved July 11th 1870

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and are hereby continued until the next term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

Jam S Weisiger

# Office Judgments Confirmed. Oct 4th 1870.

Costs $7.17

David May & Ale Butts, Commissioners &c who sue for the benefit of James Boisseau, Commissioner &c — Plaintiffs
vs — In Debt.
Wm F. Doyle & Archer J. Brown — Defendants

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Three thousand one hundred & eighty three dollars & thirty cents, with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of December 1859 till paid and also their costs by them about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to the following credits to wit, $150.00 paid July 4th 1863. $215.34 paid May 9th 1863. $700.00 paid July 4th 1863. & $1000.00 paid Septr 25th 1863.

---

$7.17

Virginius H Baugh — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
J O Perkins & Eth Perkins — Defendants

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty Eight dollars & forty cents with legal interest thereon from the 10th day of September 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.17

William Glynn — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Peter Scott & John H Malone Co Defts &c — Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of November 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

$7.17

B F Winfield Exr of Frederick Jackson decd — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Henry Cousins Co Deft with John Winfield — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of February 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to credit for interest paid up to Feby 8th 1869 & $30 00 paid Sept 7th 1869.

---

$6.72

Wm A Kelly — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Stephen Lecanus — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety Dollars & eighty five Cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of August 1869 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

$6.72 Isaac D. Kuler. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Wm E. Boisseau. Defendant
This day Come the parties by their attorneys and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of August 1869 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended,

---

$6.17 J. C. Clements. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
R B W Warren Co Deft &c Defendant
This day Come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty two Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 12th day of December 1869 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.17 S H Kennedy. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Thomas A Bass & James T. Boisseau. Defendants
This day Come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred & ten Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1866 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.77 William G Hynes Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Wm B Stone, D B Mitchell & Wm Perkins Defendants
This day Come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Twenty One dollars & thirty five Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $7.77 Same Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Peter Scott, Chas Johnson & Phill Bote- Defendants
This day Come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Thirty five dollars & sixty five Cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 1st day of December 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

Costs $ 7.77 John J. Mitchell admr of W. N. Ledbetter.
vs
David A Harrison M S King and H. J. Heartwell,
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred & forty dollars with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of December 1866 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to a Credit of $75.00 paid July 26th 1867.

---

$ 6.72 Wm H Betts. Plaintiff } In Debt,
vs
Robt Crawley Co Deft with L D Cole. Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Forty three dollars and twenty five Cents, with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of November 1869 till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

$ 6.72 Alex A Gordon Plaintiff } In Case,
vs
Benjamin F. Lewis. Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the Defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four thousand Six hundred Sixteen dollars & Eighty nine Cents with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1870 till paid and also her Costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended,

---

$ 6.72 W H Lemoine, Plaintiff } In Case,
vs
Richard C Shell. Defendant
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & Eight dollars & four Cents with legal interest thereon from the 5th day of February 1870 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended,

---

Costs $ 7.17 J. H. Murdock Sometimes called Jeff. son of Catharine Hawkes. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
A H Hawkes & R T Webster. Defendants
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiff action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred & fifteen dollars & fifty Cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of April 1870 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

1872 May 23 Rec of Attorney Commissioner Eighty Dollars in full satisfaction of this judgment. Jas M Lewis

Assn of Joshua Butler w/ife

Cert $6.17 Joshua Butler & Ann E his wife formerly Butler. Plaintiffs

vs

Henry Clements Sr obligor of himself & C B Burle dec'd Defendant

In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty eight dollars with legal interest thereon from the 19th day of June 1861 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

$6.17 E A Davis aged Isaac Perry. Plaintiffs

vs

James T. Bisseau Defendant

In Debt.

This day came the plaintiffs by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 31st day of December 1863 till paid and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to the following Credits. $20.00 paid June 20th 1866. & $15.50 paid Aug 15th 1868.

---

Cert. $6.41. W H Drewry & W D Barkley Merchants & partners in trade under the firm style of Drewry & Barkley Plaintiffs

vs

E T Owen Defendant

In Case.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty three dollars & fifty six cents. with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of August 1869 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

January

In Vacation
Petersburg January 21st 1871

In the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County
John W Hughes and others
vs
Robert H Crawley

Order in

On motion of the plaintiffs by Counsel, it is ordered, that it be referred to one of the Commissioners of this Court to take an account of and the Judgments and other liens against the real estates of the defendant Crawley and their priorities also an account of the real estate of the said Crawley and its annual rents as per Simples Values and to make report to Court with any other matters pertinent by himself or required by any party to the suit.

James J Wells
January 21st 1871

To the Clerk of the
Circuit Court
Dinwiddie County

Assignment of Debts in this Bill
See Chancery Order Book

Virginia.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Court House thereof on the 7th day of April 1871 and of the Commwlth the 95th

Present Hon S. S. Wisinger Judge

The Commonwealth. Prosecuting

vs

P. G. Jones & R. C. Jones Defts } Upon Seems.

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and by leave of the Court says that he will not further prosecute in the above cases

On the motion of A. C. Winston Clerk of this Court A. M. Organ is allowed to qualify as his Deputy whereupon the said Organ appeared in Court and took the oaths prescribed by law.

Ira Kent. Plaintiff } Upon Attachment.

vs

S. Hale. Deft

Dismissed by order of Plaintiff Atty.

Jno. J. Crawford Plaintiff } Upon a motion for Judgt upon a forfeit of Ang bond.

vs

H. J. Hearlwee & R. C. Shell Defts.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the Defendants have legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendants the Sum of Four Hundred and thirty Eight Dollars & twenty four Cents the penalty of said bond. and also his costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended. But this Judgment may be discharged by the payment of Two Hundred & Nineteen Dollars & Forty Seven Cents with legal interest thereon from the 23rd day of August 1870 till paid, and said costs.

Geo. V. Scott. Plaintiff } In case.

vs

W. H. Anderson Deft

On the motion of the Plaintiffs Attorney it is ordered that the above case be discontinued.

Ann E. Jones admtx of F. J. E. Jones dec.d Plaintiff } Upon a Scire facias to revive a Judgment against the Defts in the name of E. A. Jones admtx &c.

vs

David A. Harmon H. J. Hearlwee & A. Hargrave
Jno. H. Harmon & Jno. H. Williamson. Defts.

recovered on the 20th day of March 1862 in favor of F. J. E. Jones against the Defendants for the Sum of Three Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of June 1859 till paid & $8.86 Cents subject to a credit of $135.94 paid 18th January 1860, The Defendants Jno. H. Williamson not having been served with a copy of this notice it is ordered that this suit abate as to him, and the Defendant Jno. H. Harmon pleads Bankruptcy whereupon the case is continued as to him.

The Defendants David A Harmon H. J. Hearlurie & Ro A Hargrave having been duly warned and not appearing, on the motion of the Plaintiff by her attorney it is considered by the Court that the said judgment be revived and that the Plaintiff may have execution against the Defts. David A Harmon H. J. Hearlurie & Ro A Hargrave for the sum of Three hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of June 1859 till paid and $8.86 Costs. Costs in said writ mentioned subject to a credit of $135.94 paid 18th January 1860. and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendants ~~their~~ costs by her expended in this behalf.

James P. Tucker who sues for the benefit of J D Hoole. Pltff
vs
John White & J. W. Bishop. Defts
} In Debt.

This day came the Defendants by their attorney and says that they can not further gain say the plaintiffs action. Therefore the plea of payment was withdrawn. a judgment by the Court in favor of the pltff against the Defts for the sum of Seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1859 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Seventy five Dollars with legal interest as aforesaid and also his costs.

Wilkins for Appellants & Chappell Contra.
Chilcoy & Reese Contra for Pltff.

E. A. Davis wife. Pltffs
vs
Edward Williamson Defte
} In Case.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. A McGoodwyn. L. H. Seay. Sy. Gilbert. I. H. Bierseau. Geo A Whitington. A. S. Harvile. T. B. Connelly. T. B. Rogers. R. A. Williamson. W. T. Jones. R. A. Williamson. & V. A. Rivis who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined having heard the evidence reported that they could not agree upon a verdict whereupon they were adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

James P. Tucker who sues for the benefit of J D Hoole Pltff.
vs
William E. Hill, Lucius L. Meredith, Defts
} In Debt.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. Jno T. Brown. Andrew Meade. J. O. Peterson. R. H. Perkins. A. A. Jones. Jno F. Wells. Jno E. Watson. W. L. Rose. R. G. Chappell. W. T. Butterworth. I. H. Pellin & R. E. Wynn. who being selected by lot tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue now before the Court reported the following Verdict "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned subject to a credit of $140 paid 1st January 1869. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the sum of Seventy five Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1869 till paid and also his Costs subject to the credit aforesaid as of the date aforesaid.

Burton atty & Rose & Hobbs Contra.

Everett Waddey Co., Stationers and Printers, Richmond, Va. } Form No. 125.

# The Commonwealth of Virginia,

*To the Sheriff of the County of* Dinwiddie, *Greeting:*

WE COMMMAND YOU, That you summon and cause to come before the Circuit Court of the county of Dinwiddie, on the 16th day of May, 1904, (that being the 1st day of May term of said court), ~~twelve~~ nine persons, to-wit:

| | |
|---|---|
| R D Temple | Berkeley Clarke |
| × C H Wynn | Archer Jolly |
| E P Perkins | T W Atkinson |
| W T White | |
| J D Boisseau | |
| × J A Bentley | |

they being the drawn jurors for the said court of the said county to serve as jurors during the term aforesaid. And have then there this writ and the names of the jurors.

WITNESS, A M. Orgain, Clerk of our said Court, at the courthouse, the 6th day of May, 1904, and in the 128th year of the Commonwealth.

A M. Orgain, Clerk

Drawn in my presence, this 6th day of May, 1904.

J W Harris, Comm in Chy

Designated for the purpose

Executed by summoning
R. D. Temple, C. H. Wynn
E. P. Perkins, J. D. Bonsian
J. A. Bentley. Burkley Clark
Archer Jolly & W. F.
Atkinson. W. F. White
having moved to
Brunswick Co.
May. 12th 1904
J. W. Galusha Sheriff
J. Cutler Galusha D.S.

FORM No. 125.

**VENIRE FACIAS—PETIT JURORS.**

To May Term,
Dinwiddie Circuit Court,
Comety of Dinwiddie.

W. H. Lemoine Pltff

vs Upon a motion.

R. C. Shell. A. M. Organ & Jno E. Young Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and it appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court that the defts have legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the Sum of Two hundred & fifty three dollars & fifty two Cents the penalty of said bond. and also his Costs by him about his motion in this behalf expended, But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred Twenty six dollars & Seventy Six Cents with legal interest thereon from the 5th day of December 1870 till paid and said Costs.

H. H. Hearlwile & Dinwiddie County. Benjamin Clements & Same. E. T. Williamson & Same, Alex Duncan & Same. H. H. Hearlwile vs Same, Same & Same, J. M. Duncan & Same. A. T. Smith & Same. A. Duncan adr. & Same, Aikin Rtt & Same, Daniel A. T. & Same. On the motion of the Defts by her attorney the writ of enquiry awarded in the above Cases at Rules held in the Clks Office is set aside. Whereupon the Defendants plead Non asst. to each of the Case. to which the plaintiff by Counsel replied generally &c

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Comm 10 O'clk

Jam G Moisiger

Virginia,

Saturday April 8th 1871.

Present Hon. S. S. Weisiger. Judge

On the motion of J. S. Budd. admr. of D.B.N. Wofford Hill decd. It is ordered that the Judgment of this Court at the October Term 1867. in favor of David May late admr of Green Hill decd. vs. J. G. Bently wife, be reversed in the name of the said Joseph S. Budd. admr D.B.N. of Green Hill decd.

E. A. Davis. wife

vs.

Edward Williamson

Pltff. } In Case.
Defts.

The Jury sworn in this case on yesterday this day appeared in Court to wit. A. McGoodwyn. J. M. Seay. S. H. Gillam. J. H. Bigham. Geo. A. Whitman. A. S. Hamill. T. Bloomsley. T. B. Rogers. R. A. Wilkinson. W. F. Jones. R. A. Williamson. & V. A. Rives. and reported the following verdict to wit. "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess their damages at Six hundred dollars. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Six hundred dollars. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. The Deft by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict and grant a new trial & the Court took time to consider.

C. S. Wells.

vs.

T. B. Chappells. adm.

Court for Defs.

E. F. Williamson

vs.

R. V. Crawley.

Plaintiff } In Case.
Defendant.

This day came the parties by their attorney and came also the following Jury to wit. L. D. Stanson. R. A. Wilkinson. Jno. B. Brown. R. G. Chappell. Jno. W. Seay. A. S. Hamill. L. D. Wells. Jno. E. Watson. J. A. Meredith. W. L. Reese. C. P. Smith. W. F. Butler constr. who being selected by lot tried and sworn to try the issue joined now before the Court reported the following verdict. We the Jury find for the plaintiff assess his damages at One Hundred Seventy five dollars with interest from the 2nd day of November 1865 till paid therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants the said sum of One hundred Seventy five dollars & cents with interest as aforesaid

Jno. Rowlett & Co.

vs.

C. F. Williamson's admr.

Pltff. } In case.
Deft.

Ordered that the above case be continued for the Plaintiff

J. Higby assee &c. who sues for the benefit of.
Jno. Dorman. Saml. Dorman. Peter Dorman. & Arthur Johnston.
merchants and partners under the firm & style of Dorman's & Johnston.

vs.

Robert Hoster

This day came the Parties by their attorneys and thereupon came,

the following Jury to wit. L D Harmon. R A Wilkinson Jno B. Brown R G. Chappell. J. H. Gray. A S. Harvie. J A Hill. Jno Ellatson J A Meredith W S Rose C P Smith. & W T Butterworth. who being selected by lot. tried and sworn reported the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find for the Plaintiffs and ass's their damage at Fourteen Dollars & forty four Cents with legal interest thereon from the 6th March 1860 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Deft the said sum of $14. 44. with interest as aforesaid & costs.

R H Crawley. Pltff
vs. } In Case
R D Gregg Exr of Dennis Gregg decd Deft

The above case is dismissed by order of the Plaintiffs attorney.

L Higby Agt of B S Dennis who sues for the benefit of John Dorman. Sam Dorman Peter Dorman & Arthur Johnson merchants and partners under the firm style of Dormans & Johnson.

Thomas B Elder.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit L D Harmon. R A Wilkinson Jno B Brown. R G Chappell. J. H. Gray A. S. Harvie. J A Hill. Jno Ellatson. J A Meredith W S Rose C. P. Smith W T Butterworth who being selected by lot tried and sworn to try and truly try the issue joined now before the Court reported the following verdict, "We the Jury find for the plaintiffs & ass's their damages at Eighty Seven dollars & seven Cents with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of June 1861 till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty Seven dollars & seven Cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also their Costs.

Elizabeth Nunnally & al. Pltff.
vs. } Issue in Ch.
Jno H Williamson & al. Defends

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit L D Harmon. R A Wilkinson Jno B Brown. R G Chappell. J. H. Gray A. S. Harvie. J A Hill. Jno Ellatson J A Meredith W S Rose. C P Smith. W T Butterworth who being selected by lot tried and sworn to wit and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. and having heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn were adjourned until Monday Morning 10 o clock.

On the motion of Wm Jeffries & Jno Mann Executors of the last will and testament of David May decd it is ordered that the judgment of this Court, in favor of the said David May as admr of Green Hill decd against C E A Rucker be revived in the name of Wm Jeffries & Jno Mann Exors as aforesaid of David May decd to be prosecuted for the benefit of M Budd admr DBN of Green Hill decd

On motion of Wm. Haynes & Jno Mann. Executors of the last will and testament of David May decd it is ordered that the judgment of this Court in favor of the said David May as admr of Green Hill decd against D. L. Spain be revived in the name of Wm. Haynes & Jno Mann. Exors as aforesaid of David May decd to be prosecuted for the benefit of Joseph S. Budd. admr D.B.N. of Green Hill decd.

On motion of Wm. Haynes & Jno Mann. Executors of the last will and testament of David May decd it is ordered that the judgment of this Court, in favor of the said David May as admr of Green Hill decd against D. Blandford be revived in the name of Wm. Haynes & Jno Mann Exors as aforesaid of David May decd, to be prosecuted for the benefit of Joseph S. Budd admr D.B.N. of Green Hill decd,

Order, that the Court be adjourned until Monday 11 o'clock

Jam S Weisiger

Monday April 10th 1871.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

P. R. Jones Jr. — Pltff
vs — Iss Detinue.
C. P. Jolly — Deft

The above case is dismissed by order of the Plaintiff's attorney.

Wm. N. Martin — Plaintiff
vs — Iss Debt.
B. F. Rose — Defendant

Ordered that the above case be continued generally.

E. H. Nunnally als — Plaintiff
vs — Issue
John H. Williamson als — Defendants Iss Chy.

The Jury sworn in this case on Saturday last this day again appeared in Court according to adjournment. viz. Sd Harmon R. A. Wilkinson. Jno Brown. R. G. Chappell. J. M. Gray. A. S. Harvie. J. F. Wells. Jno E. Watson. J. A. Meredith. W. L. Rose. C. T. Smith. Wm. Butterworth, having fully heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict, To wit, "We the Jury find the Deed fraudulent and void". The Defendants by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict which motion sustained.

Ara Carr. Plaintiff
vs } In Covenant.
Jno Hart. Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit J. H. Bussian W. T. Jones J. H. Ellis T. A. Bass, R. E. Wynn, T. B. Rogers, T. Blomeelly, V. A. Rivis, B. C. Wills, J. H. Bussian, S. G. Gillam & J. H. Roney who being selected by lot tried and sworn to write and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find for the plaintiff and assess her damages at $737 with interest from 10th April 1865 Subject to a credit of $100 paid 10th Sept 1866 Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Seven hundred & thirty Seven Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 10th April 1865 till paid & also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended Subject to the credit aforesaid.

Edwin Fraser. Pltff
vs } In Debt.
William Fraser & Jno. M. Fraser. Defts.

Neither party demanding a Jury in this case judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Four hundred & Eighty Six Dollars & forty one cents to be discharged by the payment of Ninety Seven dollars & twenty eight cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st January 1859 till paid To be discharged by the payment aforesaid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said Sum of $486.41. To be discharged by the amount aforesaid with interest as aforesaid & costs.

Henry Cousins Curator of the Estate of F. W. Parker dec.d Pltff
vs } In case.
H. S. Roney. Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit W. A. Butterworth, J. W. Seay, B. B. Brown, B. A. Wilkinson, A. S. Hardie, L. L. Harmon, C. P. Jolly, R. G. Chappell, Jno. M. Butterworth, Jno. E. Watson, W. S. Rees, C. P. Smith, who being selected by lot tried and sworn to write and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find for the defendant" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs by him about his defence of this suit expended.

James H. Craig.
vs
S. T. K. Rice

On the motion of the Plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

W A Moody late Sergeant of the City of Petersburg and as such administrator of the Estate of Frances Epes. decd. unadministered by Bernard Todd late administrator of said Estate, with the will annexed of the said Frances Epes. decd.

Pltff

vs

~~Wm~~ A. Hawkes. Co Deft with Geo. Beard

In Debt.

Defendt

Plea withdrawn and Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendant the ~~defendant~~ for the sum of One Hundred & ten dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of February 1857 till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said sum of One hundred & ten dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A Miller.

Pltff

vs

Jas Hargrave.

In Case

Defendt

Ordered that the above case be cont'd generally

On the motion of Henry Cannon Sheriff of Dinwiddie Co. and as such admr of Paschal Tucker decd it is ordered that the suit pending in this Court in the name of P. Tucker vs Butterworth &c. be revived and prosecuted in in the name of said Cannon Shff &c as aforesaid.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock

Jam S Weisiger

Virginia:

Tuesday April 11th 1871.

Present. Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

E A Davis adm. of Isaac Roney.

Plaintiff

vs

Mary E Roney admtx of Isaac Roney decd

In Debt.

Defendant

This day came the defendant by her attorney and plead ~~payment~~ non assumpsit to the plaintiffs declaration, to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was thereupon joined.

James May.

Plaintiff

vs

T B Booth.

In Debt

Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and plead payment & filed a special plea in writing to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was thereupon joined.

James H King. Pltff

vs

N. D. Perkins late Sheriff of Dinwiddie County. Warren Brown. Thos H Boisseau. H Cousins Jno B. Hitchcock. R. L. Chappell. Geo. Nash. Jas. Boisseau. G. Gleaver. R. D. Major. Wm Burgess. } Defts

Assum & Notice.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and by consent of parties Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the Sum of Fifty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1870 till paid; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said Sum of Fifty dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his Suit in this behalf expended.

---

Edward G James. James B Marshall & T. D. Brooks. Merchants and partners under the firm and Style of James Marshall & Co. Pltffs

vs

C. & Nicholas R. & Moody late merchants and partners under the firm & style of Nicholas & Moody. Defts } In Case

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party demanding a jury Judgment by the Court for the plaintiff against the defendants for the Sum of One Hundred and Sixty three Dollars & thirty four Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1869 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said Sum of One Hundred and Sixty three dollars and thirty four cents with legal interest as aforesaid and costs.

---

E. F. Williamson who sues for the benefit of H. I. Heartwell

vs

The County of Dinwiddie

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury Viz. P. B. Rogers. R. A. Wilkinson. W. F. Jones. A. S. Hamill. Jno W. Butterworth. W. C. Bass. F. O. Jones. W. F. Butterworth. C. B. Smith & Jno E. Watson who being selected by lot tried and sworn to try the issue joined now before the Court; The plaintiff being solemnly called and not appearing to prosecute his suit it is ordered by the Court that he suffer a Non Suit and that he pay to the Defts his costs and Five Dollars Damages.

---

E. A. Davis adm of James P. Bass Plaintiff

vs

Mary G. Roney admx of Isaac Roney dec'd Deft. } In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party demanding a jury judgment by the Court for the Defendant against the plaintiff. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the plaintiff her costs by her about her defence of this suit expended.

✓ M B Branch & J H Herbert merchants and partners trading under the firm & style of Branch & Herbert. Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
H. J. Hearnlee. Deft
This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendant says that he can not further gain say the plaintiffs action. Whereupon the plea of payment was withdrawn and Judgment for the plaintiffs against the defendant for the sum Two Hundred and Eighty five dollars & thirty two Cents with interest thereon from the 18th day of January 1871 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of $285.32 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

---

✓ E A Davis wife.
vs
Edward Williamson.
The motion for a new trial in this case is overruled.

---

✓ Henry Cincin Shff Bennicoke and as such admr of Rachael Tucker decd.
vs
John W Butterworth ulr.
This day came the Defendants by their Attorney and the plaintiff being called and not appearing to prosecute his suit it is considered by the Court that he suffer a Non suit and that he pay to the defendant his costs and Five Dollars Damages.

---

✓ James May. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Thomas B Booth. Defendant
This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury viz J W Butterworth. R A Wilkinson. Jno E Watson Jno B Brown. F A Jones. T B Rogers. W A Butterworth. W C Bass. T. B. Connally. A. S. Harrell. C. D. Smith. W. A. Jones. who being selected by lot tried and sworn truely try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict viz, "We the Jury find for the Plaintiff the debt in the Declaration demanded to be discharged by the payment of $371.90 with interest from the 1st January 1866 till paid (allowing for the Defts offsets $353.10 as of that date. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Three hundred & Seventy one dollars & Ninety Cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1866 till paid & costs.

---

✓ On motion of the plaintiff the following Cases are continued until the next term of the Court viz Bufkin & Cannon vs Dinicoke C. Williamson Ex vs Same. Duncan A vs Same. Hearnlee H J vs Same, Same vs Same, Duncan J M vs Same Smith A J vs Same, Duncan A adm vs Same R W Aitken vs Same Dance A A vs Same.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow week.

Jam S Weisiger

Wednesday April 12th 1871

Present Hon S S Weisiger Judge

E F Williamson — Plaintiff — Dismissed agt
vs
R H Crawley — Defendant
Motion for new trial overruled

Warren Brown — Plaintiff — Upon a attachment
vs
Jno I Quackenbush — Defendant
On the motion of the Defendant by Counsel it is ordered that the attachment in the above case be quashed.

Penniman & Smith — Plaintiffs — Upon Motion
vs
E L Boisseau — Defd
For reasons appearing to the Court the rule awarded in this case against E L Crenshaw & A W Boisseau is discharged

Penniman & Smith — Plaintiffs
vs
E L Boisseau — Defendant
For reasons appearing to Court the rule awarded against Andrew J. Boisseau Jr. G. Boisseau & James P. Boisseau are discharged

Robert Penniman & James Smith — Plaintiffs — Ejectment
vs
Edward L. Boisseau — Defendant
This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury trial. M. Seay, B. L. Hill, S. D. Starnes, R. E. Wynn, W. C. Bass, W. T. Butterworth, Jno. B. Brown, Jno. W. Butterworth, C. P. Smith, W. S. Rees, A. S. Harrell & T. A. Jones having been selected by lot tried and sworn truly and truly try whether the defendt Edward L Boisseau unlawfully withholds the possession of 375 acres of land in the County of Dinwiddie known as Derby's and more particularly described in the plaintiffs declaration having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find that the Deft E. L. Boisseau does unlawfully withhold from the plaintiffs the possession of the real estate described in this declaration and we assess the Plaintiffs damages at one cent & that the plaintiffs are entitled to the possession of the same, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the Deft the possession of the said tract of land in the verdict of the Jury mentioned and also their costs by them about their suit expended.

Copied

The Court doth allow to A C Winston Clerk of this Court the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the public treasury.

Copied

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court payable out of the public treasury.

Copied

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Jailer the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court payable out of the public treasury.

Copied

The Court doth allow to R J Eyer Attorney for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services at this term of the Court payable out of the State treasury.

Copied

An account of A Edwards against the Commonwealth of Va amounting to $3.00 for guarding Dinwiddie County prisoner and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of public accounts for payment it appearing to the Court that said Guard was necessary on account of the prisoner confined therein & not on account of the insanity of the Jail

Copied

An account of S A Bispham against the Commonwealth of Va amounting to $3.00 for guarding Dinwiddie County Jail examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the auditor of public accounts for payment out of the public treasury it appearing to the Court that the Guard was necessary not on account of the insanity of the Jail but on account of the prisoner confined therein

Copied

It Ordered that the following petit Jurors be allowed each the sum as follows for their services as such at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County treasury viz. Jno E Watson $4 V A Jones $4 T B Rogers $4 James A Merritt $4 J M Agney $1 A A Jones $5 B C Hill $2 R H Perkins $4 C P Doles $1 J W Bispham $4 C P Smith $4.00 S A Wilkinson $4 T A Bass $1 T B Campbell $4 Jas A Peters $4 W A Jones $4 J H Bispham $4 Jno B Brown $5.00 W S Rose $5 L D Harmon $5 E A Gillam $4 A S Haizle $5 R L Chappell $4 W A Butterworth $5.00 J F Wills $4 J T Perkins $1 R E Wynn $5.00 W C Bass $2 A M Goodwyn $2 R A Williams $2 Geo A Whitmore $2 Jno W Butterworth $3

Copied

On the motion of R A Chappell a creditor the Estate of Ann M Chappell is committed to the hand of Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County to be by him administered.

W. G. Wynn — Plaintiff — In Debt

vs.

Nancy Robert Eyer — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorney and the Court sustained the demurrer to the plaintiffs declaration & on the motion of the

Plaintiff leave is given him to amend his Declaration whereupon the Case is remanded to rules.

Ordered that Henry Cousins Keeper of the Co. Jail be allowed the sum of Ten Dollars for Keeping the same in order during this term of the Court. payable out of the State Treasury.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

Sam: G Weisiger

## Office Judgments Confirmed April 12th 1871.

Cents $7.20 John Ries. Plaintiff
vs
Richard Gholson. Defendant
In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty One dollars & fifty two cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of February 1868 till paid & also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr. April 7th 1868 by $5.46 & $10 on June 1869.

Geo. V. Scott. Plaintiff
vs
J. D. Whitehead. Defendant
In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Seventy six dollars & Eighty four cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of October 1869 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Cents $7.40 Mary G Posey adm'tx of Isaac Posey dec'd Plaintiff
vs
James P. Bufkin. Defendant
In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by her Attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seven Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of September 1862 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Cents. $9.40 The Same Plaintiff
vs
The Same Deft
In Debt.

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney, and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two thousand five hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 28th day of August 1861 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

---

John Dorman S. C. Dorman & J. A. Johnston late partners in trade under the firm & style of Dormans & Johnston — Plaintiffs
vs. — In Debt
A. S. Gregg. — Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty Nine Dollars & sixty seven cents with interest thereon from the 9th day of January 1869 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

---

A. S. Shafer Daniel Halsey & Geo A. Halsey late partners in trade under the firm & style of A. S. Shafer & Co. — Plaintiffs
vs. — In Debt
H. J. Heartsill. — Deft

This day come the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred & fifteen Dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1869 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended,

---

James S. Gillison — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
Martin Granderson & Alexander Tucker. — Defendants

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred Dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of April 1869 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr by $11.36 paid Nov 30th 1866.

---

7,524 William P. Lewis administrator of Geo E. Jackson dec'd — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt
Joseph Lewis & P. C. Hobbs. — Defts

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy five dollars with interest thereon from 1st day of January 1862 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Cr for interest paid up to the 12th day of October 1869.

D. H. Spencer Exr of J. E. Spencer decd. Plaintiff } In Debt.

vs

Richard Weinfield. Defendant

This day Come the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Eighty two dollars with interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1857 till paid, and also his Costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

The Same Plaintiff } In Debt.

vs

The Same Surv ot of himself & Hy Mitchell Deft

This day Come the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendant Say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of Seventy Dollars with interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1857 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

---

At a circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Ct. H. thereof on the 3rd day of October 1871 and of the Commonwealth the 96th

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The account Current of A Dunn & E M Mann, admrs with the will annexd. of Thomas G. Chappell decd having remained in the Clerks Office Thirty Days and no exceptions or exception being filed field thereto was conf. and ordered to be recorded.

Jno. J Cook Gentleman having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court whereupon the said Cook took the oath prescribed

A J Heartwell Gentleman having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court Whereupon the said Heartwell took the oath prescribed.

A Paper writing purporting to be the true last will and Testament of Wm S. Davis decd bearing date on the 17th day of November 1870 was this day produced in Court and offered for proof was proven by the oaths of Wm B Williamson R F Lester & E M Williamson the subscribing witnesses thereto and ordered to be recorded as the true last will and testament of the said Wm S Davis decd

Ordered that W B Williams, E M Williams, R W Mann, Thomas Wright & Wm Harwood appraise said estate report to Court,

W. W. Adams Gentleman having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, On his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court Whereupon the said Adams took the oath prescribed

Davis Abrahams & Lyons — Plaintiffs
vs
A J. Heartwell & A M Organ — Defts

Mo in D B

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney; and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs may have execution against the defendants for the sum Two Hundred Sixty Seven dollars and fifty cents, the penalty of said bond, and also their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended, But the said execution may be discharged by the payment of One Hundred and thirty three dollars and Seventy five cents, with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 16th day of February 1871 till paid and said costs,

Williams for Andas & Chappell, Geo A Williamson & Davis Exr &c. B F Chilton & W L Rose, Burtons adr & Rose & Hobbs, A Miller & Hargrave Wm H. Martin & B. P. Rose.

By direction of the plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

On motion of the property authority it is ordered that Peter A. Rowlett, Thaddeus Branch, Jas Davis, Elisha Hardy, Wm Hyde, Wm Pierce Jr. and Edwin S. Hardy, be and are hereby appointed a board of Trustees for the Church property known as White Chapel

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jam. S. Weisiger

Wednesday October 4th 1871

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

A. G. Hawkes for B. Epes adm &c — Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
Jno P. Epes adm — Defendant

This day came the Defendant by his attorney and plead the statute of limitation to the plaintiff's declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was joined. & the case continued with leave to file additional pleas in ten days.

Jno Rawlett & N. W. Tanner late merchants and partners under the firm style of John Rawlett & Co. — Plaintiffs
vs } In Case.
J. W. Davis adm. of C. Wheatman dec'd — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiffs against the defendant for the sum of Twenty nine dollars & ten cents with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of June 1869 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the decedent in the hands of the adm'r so much thereof he hath the said sum of Twenty nine dollars & ten cents with interest as aforesaid & also their costs.

On motion of the proper Authority it is ordered that the following Justices be appointed according to law Court. Per Oak Grove Church. Wm J. Webb. A. O. Webb. J. S. Beck Ed. Conway. Jas O. Perkins. Jno Spears & Jno T. Tucker.
Tabernacle Church. Chas W. Coker. E. L. Perkins. A. G. Hemmally. W. A. Hemmally. Jno T. Magee & Salem Hanover.
Leyds Church. Richd Winfield. Thos Rev. Wm Hilliard & David Williams.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk

Jam. S. Weisiger

Thursday October 5th 1871.
Present Hon S. S. Weisiger. Judge.

Ann R. Coy. Exr of Wm Coy dec'd
vs
Chas. A Hargrave.

Plaintiff } Upon a garnishee summons vs
} Robt Jones Jr. Commissioner in
Defendant } suit of W D Young's Adm'r vs Young Exr

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and the Garnishee Robt Jones Jr. appeared in obedience to said summons filed a written answer. And it appearing to the Court by said answer that there is in the hands of said Jones of cash and Bonds due the Creditors of W D Young dec'd amounting to $2768.35 and that Chas A Hargrave is a creditor of the estate of the said W D Young dec'd to the amount of $655.03 and it farther appearing to the Court that the assets of the said W D Young are not pay more than 70 cents in the dollar. Therefore it is considered by the Court by consent of parties as a matter of compromise that of the amount due C A Hargrave in the hands of said Jones as he shall collect said bonds he shall pay to B J Esper as of this day $87.00 to James Bufrean $62.00 to Albert M Bryant $100.00 to Henry Cousins $40.00 John A Hilsman $85.00 and to Ann R. Coy Exr of Wm Coy dec'd the residue of said amount.

Certified

An account of L A Bufrean & H E Cousins against the Commonwealth of Va. for guarding Dinwiddie County Jail each for the sum of Five dollars and it appearing to the Court that at the issue the Jail was insecure doth order that said accounts be certified to the Board of Supervisors for payment.

Copied

The Court doth allow to B J Esper Attorney for the Commonwealth for his services as such during the last six months the sum allowed by law to wit The sum of Twenty five Dollars.

Copied

The Court doth Allow A. C. Winston Clerk of this Court for his services as such during the last six months the sum allowed by law to wit the sum of Fifteen Dollars payable out of the public Treasury.

Copied

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Jailer the sum allowed by law for his services as such at this term of the Court payable out of the public Treasury. ~~to wit the sum of Four dollars.~~

Copied

The Court doth allow Henry Cousins Keeper of Lot. the sum of Five dollars for keeping the same in order during this term of the Court payable out of the public Treasury. to the Sheriff the sum allowed by law.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

Sam'l S. Weisiger

Friday October 6th 1871.

Present Hon S. S. Wiseyer Judge.

Ordered that the following petit Jurors venire as follows out of the County Treasury viz. L W Warren $2. D B Meade $1. W. Lewis $3 W. N. Martin $3. W. L. Ross $3 R. L. Chappell $3. W Dobbins $3. A Tally $3 G B Royale $3. Wm McLain $3. B C Wills $3. L R Lyman $3. R. Chapman $3. Miles Lanier $3. C O Bass $3. A C Kennally $3. R. P. Abernathy $3 T W Kaney $3. R M Barnes $3. C P Smith $3. C Mason $3. Bertrand $3. H. C. Wilson $3. Wiley Hawkes $3. T. H. Bufman $3 Jno W Warren $3 W Poland $3.

Ordered that a Rule be issued against the following absent Jurors C. Bass & A. W. Dunn.

C A Hargrave Plaintiff } Upon a garnishee
against } Sum. Sufficient money
N R Hobbs Defendant } in the hands of
Jas Bufman belonging to the Deft. This day came the Pltff by his attorney and the garnishee James Bufman, having answered that there is in his hands belonging to the defendant N R Hobbs the sum of $63.00 and the parties in open Court consenting thereto the Court doth order that James Bufman pay to the plaintiff C A Hargrave the said sum of $63.00 and it being admitted by the parties that the judt obtained by N R Hobbs the defendant upon which the execution filed in this cause was issued, was obtained against him as the security of G W Allgood & that the same debt has been proved by the plaintiff against the estate of the said G W Allgood in the Chancery suit of Gibbs & Allgood. It is further ordered the parties thereto consenting, that the said C A Hargrave plaintiff shall proceed to collect the balance due on his said Judgment & execution from the estate of G W Allgood before proceeding further against N R Hobbs. That after the said balance is paid to the said Hargrave that the said Hobbs shall be substituted to all the rights of the said Hargrave as to the said sum of $63.00 herein directed to be paid to the plaintiff & that the defendant N R Hobbs pay the costs of this proceeding.

Thomas Branch & Sons. Plaintiffs } In Case.
vs
William T Nobles. Defts

On the motion of the plaintiffs this cause is continued until the next term. And on the motion of the Defts the Rule is given the plaintiffs to speed the cause.

Bufman & Cousins vs Dennis & Dennis County. E T Williamson vs The same. Alex. Dorman vs The same. W. J. Hearthcote vs The same. The same vs The same. J. M. Dorman vs The same. A. T. Smith vs The same. A Dorman & ux vs The same. R. H. Atkins vs The same. A A Dance vs The same. Ordered that the above causes be continued generally until the next term.

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be continued until the next Term.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

Jam. J. Weisiger

Cost $7.40 Office Judgments Confirmed October 6th 1871.

I. H. Paull. Plaintiff

vs. In Debt

H. J. Hearlicll. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and twenty six dollars and seventy four cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of May 1871 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm M Gill surviving obligee of himself & Jas W Davis who sues for the benefit of D. S. Davis admr. of Jas W Davis dec'd. Plaintiff

vs. In Debt.

George D Baskerville Jr. Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant say nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and six dollars with legal interest thereon from the 6th day of June 1860 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Virginia;

At a circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Thursday the 3d day of October 1892 and of the Commonwealth the 97th

Present Hon S. S. Wisiger Judge

E. A. Davis wife. — Plaintiffs — Upon a motion upon a forfeited forthcoming Bond.

v.

Edward Williamson &c. — Defendants

On the motion of the plaintiffs it is ordered that the action can be dismissed.

Wm Cox's Extr. — Plaintiff — Upon a garnishment Debts. & Keiler and Davis.

v.

Nargran & A. — Defendant

Ordered that the action can be dismissed

Thomas Branch Dens. — Plaintiff — In Debt.

v.

William Nobles. — Defendants

Ordered that the action can be continued generally until the next term of the Court.

James Boisseau Henry Cousins Exors of S. D. K. Rice dec. — Plaintiff — Upon aft.

v.

The County of Dinwiddie. — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. R. H. Reames, T. Clardy, S. S. Jameson, Jno. McCracker, Wm Bishop, Geo. R. Banks, L. A. Williams, B. E. Bishop, West Lee, S. G. Gillman, J. H. Brooks, D. E. Somerville who, being selected by lot, tried and sworn to speak and truly try the issue joined now before the Court, having heard the evidence and argument reported the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find for the defendant." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant recover against the said James Boisseau and Henry Cousins Exors of S. D. K. Rice dec. of the goods and chattels of said Rice if so much thereof they have in their hands the costs of the defence of this suit expended. The plaintiff by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict which motion the Court overruled to which ruling of the Court the plaintiffs by Counsel excepted and tendered a bill of exceptions which was signed sealed and made a part of the record.

Alexander Dennan admr de bonis non with the will annexed of Agulen Tucker dec. — Plaintiff — In asst.

v.

The County of Dinwiddie. — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit J. N. Jameson, R. H. Reams, S. G. Gillman, Geo. R. Banks, L. A. Williams, West Lee, T. Clardy, Donald Baird, D. E. Somerville, Wm Bishop, J. H. Brooks, & B. E. Bishop who being elected tried sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oath do say that the defendant did not unadvisedly and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & returned their verdict in these words, "We the Jury find for the defendant." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill

but for his false claim be in mercy &c. & that the defendant go thence without day, and recover against the plaintiffs the defendants costs about its defence in this behalf expended.

Brown.
v.
Hargrave
Ley Exty.
v.
Hargrave.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and also J.E. Blankenship and interpleader in the above cases, and the Garnishee S.S. Keller appeared & answered that he had in his hands $149.69 belong to the defendant Hargrave and thereupon came the following jury to wit who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined now before the Court reported the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find the amount reported in the hands of S.S. Keller Garn to be the money & property of J.E. Blankenship, Therefore it is considered by the Court that J.E. Blankenship recover of S.S. Keller the sum of $149.69 the amount reported in his hands in part satisfaction of his claim against Hargrave

Richd Atkinson sues for the benefit of W.J. Thompson. Plaintiff
v. } In apt.
The County of Dinwiddie. Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit. S.S. Anson. R.H. Reams. S.G. Gillian. J.H. Banks. L.A. Williams. J.H. Lee. P. Clardy. D.W. Baird. D.E. Cornell. W. Bishop. J.N. Brooks. B.E. Bishop. who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say that the defendant did not undertake and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & release their verdict in these words, "We the jury find for the defendant, Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false claim be in mercy &c & that the defendant go hence without day, and recover against the plaintiff the defendants costs about its defence in this behalf expended.

A.T. Smith. Plaintiff
v. } In apt.
The County of Dinwiddie. Deft

This day came the plaintiff by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit S.S. Anson R.H. Reams. S.G. Gillian. J.H. Brooks. L.A. Williams. J.H. Lee. P. Clardy. D.W. Baird. D.E. Cornell. W. Bishop. J.N. Banks. B.E. Bishop. who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, upon their oaths do say that the defendant did not undertake and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & release their verdict in these words, "We the jury find for the defendant, Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false claim be in mercy &c & that the defendant go hence without day, and recover against the plaintiff the defendants costs about its defence in this behalf expended.

H.J. Stanfield admr of E.A. Mason dec'd
v.
The County of Dinwiddie.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit S.S. Anson R.H. Reams. S.G. Gillian. J.H. Brooks. L.A. Williams

Jno H Lee P Coleady. D Nold Baird D E Commee Wm Bishop J R Brooks. B E Bishop. who being elected tried & sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say that the defendant did not undertake and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & returns a verdict in their words. "We the jury find for the defendant" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false Claim be in mercy &c. That the defendant go thereof without day and recover against the plaintiff the defendants costs about its defence in this behalf expended.

A Dannan admr de E Taylor. Plaintiff } Ex apt.
v.
The County of Dinwiddie. Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit J. N. Hanson R H Reams S G Gilliam Jno R Banks L A Williams Jno H. Lee P Coleady D Nold Baird D E Commee Wm Bishop J R Brooks B E Bishop who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say that the defendant did not undertake and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & returns a verdict in their words "We the jury find for the defendant" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false Claim be in mercy &c. That the defendant go thereof without day & recover against the plaintiff the defendants costs about its defence in this behalf expended.

James M Dannan who sues for the benefit of
A Dannan admr de bonis non of A Tucker.
v.
The County of Dinwiddie.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit J. N. Hanson R H Reams S G Gilliam Jno R Banks L A Williams Jno H. Lee P Coleady D Nold Baird D E Commee Wm Bishop J R Brooks B E Bishop who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say that the defendant did not undertake and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & returns a verdict in their words "We the jury find for the defendant" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill but for his false Claim be in mercy &c. That the defendant go thereof without day & recover against the plaintiff the defendants costs about its defence expended.

The Commonwealth. Plaintiff } Upon a Rule
v.
Jones. Defts

Order that the above Rule be dismissed

Robinson Plaintiff } Upon attachment
v.
Shelly. Deft

Allison Pltff } Upon attachment
v.
Shelly. Deft

Ordered that the above cause be continued until the next term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jas. J. Weisiger

Friday Oct 4th 1872.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

N. J. Hearsleile who sues for the benefit of James Bispham
vs Henry Cousins Exr of Rice Sr. K.

Plaintiffs
} In Case upon
Deft apt.

The County of Dinwiddie.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit, Jas Hawkins, Rob Gibb, Daniel Baird, R H Reams, Jas M Tucker, L T Harrison, Frank Mitchell, Jno R Banks, Wm Bishop, Jno H Lee, B E Bishop & L Williams who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say that the defendant did not undertake and promise in manner & form as the plaintiff has complained & alleged against in their words, "We the jury find for the defendant;" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill, but for his false claim be in mercy &c. & that that the defendant go thereof without day. & recover against the plaintiff the defendants costs about its defence expended, The plaintiff by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict which motion the Court overruled to which ruling of the Court the plaintiff excepted & tendered his bill of exceptions which was signed sealed & made part of the record.

A. A. Dance.

Plaintiff
} In Case upon apt.
Defendant.

The County of Dinwiddie.

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be continued.

Bispham R E

Plaintiff
} Upon Garnishee Ex.
Defts

Gordon. C. T.
Dodson Jno.

Plaintiff
} Upon Garnishee Ex.
Defts

Gordon C. T.

Ordered that the above cases be continued generally

Wills.

Chappell T. G. admr.

Ordered that the case be continued until the first day of next term.

On the motion of N. E. Odem the Estate of Josephine Brown dec'd wife of R W Brown is committed to the hands of Henry Cornin Sheriff of the County of Dinwiddie for administration it appearing to the Court that said Brown has been dead more than three months.
A G Winston. B J Eppes. J W Bolling. J S Kent. Wm Ettenborough - appraisers

On the motion of N. E. Odem. the Estate of Sereno T. Peal dec'd is committed to the hands of Henry Cornin Sheriff of the County of Dinwiddie for administration it appearing to the Court that said Peal has been dead more than three months.
A G Winston. B J Eppes. J W. Bolling. J S. Kent. Wm Ettenborough appraisers

Claiborne Spain — Plaintiff
To — In Ejectment
William Spain — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit, Robt Gibbs. Jas Hawkins. D Mord Baird. A N Reams. S T Jones. Jno R Banks. Frank Mitchell. J K Brooks. Wm Bishop. Jno H Lee. B E Bishop. Jno M. Tucker. who being selected by lot tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak upon the issue joined now before the Court. returns a verdict in these words. "We the jury find that the plaintiff is entitled to the land in the declaration mentioned & that the defendant unlawfully withholds the same from said plaintiff." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the possession of the land in the declaration mentioned containing about by acres. known as Williams home. Also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Whereupon the plaintiff prays a writ, to the Sheriff of this County to be directed to cause him to have his possession &c.

A. G. Hawkes. who sues for the benefit of Jas. T. Eppes adm. of Jno A Wilson dec'd. — Plaintiff
To — In Debt
Charles H Wilson. Wm B Wilson. by obligors of the under. Jno T Cox dec'd — Defendants

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came also the following jury to wit B. G. Bolling. B. S. Key. W Mitchell. J W. Bolling. Wm Ettenborough. L A Williams. Jas Hargrave. D Edmunds. Geo J Bass. J. Budd. Chas T. Gordon. Clark Stell. who being elected tried and sworn truly and truly by the issue joined upon their oaths reported the following verdict to wit "We the jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned with interest from the 18th Sept 1847. till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Three Hundred & fifty dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid & costs.

Alexander G. Hawkes. who sues for the benefit of Jas. T. Eppes. adm of Jno. A Wilson dec'd. — Plaintiff
To — In Debt
Jas. Bolling. adm of Jno T. Cox dec'd — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit. Jas Hawkins. S. T. Jones. A N Reams. Robt Gibbs. Jno R Banks. Frank Mitchell. D Mord Baird. Jno M Tucker. Jno H Lee. Wm Bishop. B E Bishop. J K Brooks. who being elected tried and sworn truly by the issue joined & the jury not being able to agree in a verdict were adjourned until tomorrow 11 o'clock.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Tomorrow 10 o'clock.

Jam. F. Weisiger

Saturday Oct. 5th 1872.

Present Hon T. S. Weisiger Judge

The Court doth allow W. B. J. Eppes attorney for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services during the last twelve months to wit the sum of Fifty dollars.

The Court doth allow to A. G. Winston Clerk of this Court for his services during the last twelve months to wit the sum of Twenty dollars.

The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Sheriff the sum of Twenty dollars for his services as such during the last twelve months.

The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Jailor the sum of ~~Thirty~~ dollars for his services during the last last twelve months $30.00

The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Keeper of the Court house the sum Five Dollars for services at this Term of the Court

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive as follows out of County Levy to wit Jno W. Tucker $3. P. Clardy $1. D. E. Clement $2. J. J. Dawson $3. Jno R. Banks $3. R. H. Ream $3. R. N. Putney $1. Mort Lee $3. P. G. Gilliam $1. Robt Gibbs $3. J. N. Brooks $3. B. C. Bishop $3. Jas Hawkins $3. W. Jolly $1. Frank Mitchell $3. Wm Bishop $3. C. E. Tuck $2. D. M. Bains $3. B. G. Bufkin $1. B. S. Tays $1. W. M. Tuck $1. J. M. Bufkin $1. Wm Etheridge $1. L. T. Williams $3. Jas Hunter $1. Geo. T. Brown $1. Jno S. Budd $1. C. A. Goodwyn $1.

A. G. Hawkes who sues for the benefit of James F. Eppes admr Mc Allister dec'd — Plaintiff

vs.

James Bufkin admr Jno T. Lee dec'd — Defendant

} In Debt

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the jury sworn in this case on yesterday again appeared in Court according to adjournment and retired to their room to consult on a verdict and after some time returned without agreeing they could not agree in a verdict whereupon the name of one of the jurors was withdrawn the case continued.

Ordered that all causes not otherwise disposed of be continued until the next term of this Court.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jam. F. Weisiger

Office Judgments Confirmed October 5th 1872

Costs $7.45 William Etherington Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
C. R. Lewis. Defendant

The judgment obtained at rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty three dollars & sixty Eight cents with legal interest on $82.68 apart thereof from the 17th day of January 1871 till paid, the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Costs $7.45 William H. Brown Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Joseph Lewis. Defendant

The judgment obtained at rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1871 till paid, the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45 Theodrick Crowder admr of J. J. Crowder decd Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Enoch Crowder. Defendant

The judgment obtained at rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred & thirty nine dollars with interest from the 30th day of December 1859 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned.

R T Still

Bought of Accounts &c

Virginia,

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 7th day of April 1873 and of the Commonwealth the 97th.

Present Hon S. P. Weisiger Judge.

Mannie Davis & Fannie D. Davis orphans of W W Davis decd over the age of 14 years this day made by paper writing addressed to the Court ~~this day~~ made choice of Chas. F. Collier as their Guardian who together with R W Collier as his security the said R W Collier appearing & acting by Jas S. Rudd his attorney in fact entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of Four Hundred Dollars Conditioned according to law.

On the motion of Charles F. Collier who made oath thereto he is about to qualify as the administrator of W W Davis decd who together with R W Collier his security the said R W Collier appearing & acting by Jas S. Rudd his attorney in fact entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of Eight Hundred Dollars Conditioned according to law. Certificate is granted him for obtaining letters of administration on said estate in due form.

Ordered that Wm Budd Jas R Banks. Ernest Stewart & Jas H. Andrews. Petitioners ats. & Lewis & Hobbs

Bipeam R & ⁶ R B Goodwyn
Jno Dodson ⁶ Same

Ordered that these cases be continued until next term of the Court, and the death of James Bipeam the garnishee suggested.

Scott ⁶ Whitehead & others

Pltff } motion upon delivery bond
Defts

Ordered that this case be dismissed

Ordered that the following cases be continued to wit:

A A Danner ⁶ Dinwiddie County
Mary Executor ⁶ L L Meredith
Same ⁶ J A Meredith

On motion of the plaintiffs, it is ordered that the following cases be dismissed, it appearing to the Court that John P Cox's ~~admr. [illegible]~~ being one of the obligors in the bond upon which this suit was brought ~~has~~ and that his admr Jas Bipeam has discharged the same by the payment of Five hundred Dollars it is ordered that he be substituted to the rights of the Plaintiff to the amt of said judgt.

Ca S. Weller ⁶ Chappelle admr

Plaintiffs } ordered that this case
Defts } be dismissed.

Williams for friend. Plaintiff } In Case.
vs.
Atkinson. Defendant

Ordered that the above Case be continued until the next term of the Court.

S. S. Gordie. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
Geo. W. Gates. Defendant

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that this Case be dismissed.

Henry Cousins Shff of Dinwiddie County and as such admr. D.B.N. of R. St. Dance dec'd. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs.
Geo. D. Barksdale & Chas. H. Cuthbert. Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Thirty one Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 7th day of April 1873 till paid. Thereupon it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Thirty one Dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid ~~and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended~~ without Costs.

Ordered that the following petit Jurors be allowed each the sum of One Dollar to be paid out of the County Treasury for their services and attendance at this term of the Court. Geo. D. Barksdale, J. C. Butler, W. W. Brown, J. Bogarth, Wm. D. Jones, W. T. Paine, Wm. R. Banks, Jas. W. Green, J. T. Simon, R. L. Leftwich, Wm. H. Lee, Geo. L. Nash, Jas. H. Boisseau, R. E. Ragsdale, Jas. R. Ellis, W. R. Perry, Richd. Kirkland & Geo. Smith.

It appearing to the Court that B. W. Grisson was duly summoned by the Sheriff of this County to appear here to day as a petit Juror. He failing to appear it is ordered that ~~he Rule Issue~~ issue against him returnable to the next term of the Court to show cause if any he can why he should not be fined for said contempt.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk.

Jas. J. Weisiger

Tuesday April 8th 1873

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

E. T. Fisher — Plaintiff
vs — In case
Dinwiddie County — Defendant

Ordered that this case be reinstated on the Court docket for further proceedings,

A. A Dana — Plaintiff
vs — In case
Dinwiddie County — Defendant

Thomas Branch & Son — Plaintiffs
vs — In debt
Gillian & Nobles — Defendants

Ordered that the above cases be Continued,

Ignatius Allsup — Plaintiff
vs — In attachment
Daniel W. Shelly — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff & the garnishees James Hargrave & D. S. Heller, the said James Hargrave answering by said D. S. Heller as his atty, and in answer to the plaintiff's Suggestion admitted in open Court that said James Hargrave has in possession sufficient money belonging to the Defendant to pay the plaintiffs Judgment & Costs & also his Costs in these attachment proceedings. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against said James Hargrave his said Judgment against the dft. to wit, the sum of One hundred dollars, with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of June 1868. till paid & the Sum of $14.03 said plaintiff's Costs by said Judgment recovered, And that the plaintiff recover against the said Hargrave his Costs in these attachment proceedings expended, And it appearing to the Court that said Judgment was rendered upon an order of publication duly executed as to said defendant, the plaintiff shall not have the benefit of this order until he shall have given bond in the penalty of $200, with security to be approved by the Clerk of this Court and Conditioned according to law said bond to be filed with the said Clerk,

L. J. Robinson — Plaintiff
vs — In attachment
Jno. A. Shelly — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff & the garnishees James Hargrave & D. S. Heller, the said James Hargrave answering by said D. S. Heller as his atty, and in answer to the plaintiff's Suggestion admitted in open Court that said James Hargrave has in his possession sufficient money belonging to the defendant to pay the plaintiff's Judgment & Costs & also his Costs in these attachment proceedings expended. Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against said Jas. Hargrave his said Judgment against the defendant, to wit, the sum of Seventy five dollars and ninety one cents, with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1860 till paid & the sum of $14.03 said plaintiff's Costs by said Judgment recovered and that the plaintiff recover against said Hargrave his costs in these attachment proceedings expended,

Ordered that the court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock

Sam'l S. Weisiger

Wednesday April 9th 1873

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Copied & sd. The Court doth allow to B J Epes attorney for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty five dollars for his services as such during the last six months.

(Copied) The Court doth allow to H Cousins Sheriff of this County the sum of Ten Dollars for his services as such for the last six months.

(Copied) The Court doth allow to H Cousins Jailer the sum of Fifteen dollars for his services as such during the last twelve months.

(Copied) The Court doth allow to H Cousins for services during this term in waiting on the Court the sum of Ten Dollars.

Copied. The Court doth allow to A C Weisiger the sum of Fifteen dollars for his services as Clerk during the last six months.

Ordered that all causes not otherwise disposed of be continued until the next Term of this Court.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next Term.

Jas S Weisiger

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office Augt 30th 1873.

G. B. Nicholas, Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
J. D. Reuter. Defendt

This day came as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant in his proper person and the defendant acknowledges the plaintiffs action for Twenty five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 30th day of August 1873 till payment & the costs. Therefore with the assent of the plaintiff it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of Twenty five dollars with interest as aforesaid & costs.

P. J. C

Virginia;

At a circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Friday the 3rd day of October 1873 and of the Commonwealth the 97th

Present Hon S. S. Wisiger Judge

J. W. Harper — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a Garnishee
Saml. T. Gibbs — Deft

Ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Jacob Kull. Ads. — Plaintiff
vs — Upon a Garnishee
D. W. Shelly — Defendant

This day come the plaintiff & the Garnishee James Hargrave and answering says that he has in his hands sufficient funds belonging to the defendant to pay the plaintiffs judgment & costs & also the costs of this proceeding. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said Hargrave his judgment aforesaid twelve Seventy Six dollars with legal interest from the 3d day of October 1865 till paid & $6.45 cents. also their costs by them in this proceeding expended.

William R. Kidd — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Jas. Kidd — Deft

The death of the Plaintiff having been suggested and no application having been made for a Scire facias and this being the second Term since the suggestion was made it is ordered that said suit be discontinued.

Etterbrough adm. — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Adams. adm — Deft

The Same — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
The Same — Deft

Ordered that the above cases be continued.

Alexander Sheriff vs Tucker. — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Hargrave Thomas & A. H. Heartwell — Deft

Ordered that the above case be continued until the next term of the Court.

Alexander Sheriff of Dinwiddie County &c
as such admr of Geo. Davis dec.d — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Jas. H. Davis & S. S. Davis — Defendants

This day come the parties by their attorneys, and the defendants say they can not further gain say the Plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against

the defendants the sum of Sixty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of August 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

On the motion of Geo. A. Porter the Estate of Geo. T. Wilson dec'd is committed to the hands of M. Dennis Sheriff of Dinwiddie for administration it appearing to the Court that said Wilson has been dead more than three months.

W. H. Rogerson. N. B. Booth. Jno. D. Southerland. Robt. Richardson. Jno. C. Barnes. appearing.

Warren Brown — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
W. C. Sandford — Defe
Same — Plaintiff
vs — Upon Attachment
The Same — Defe

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the above cases be dismissed.

J. G. McCall. Est Allen. J. H. Tunell. & O. Clarke came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia each in the sum of One hundred Dollars to be levied of their respective lands and goods and chattels etc to the use of the Commonwealth of Virginia rendered upon the following Conditions. The Condition of the above recognizance is such that if the said J. G. McCall Est Allen J. H. Tunell. & O. Clark shall make their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the first day of the next term to give evidence on behalf of the Commonwealth of Va. in her prosecution against Henry Jenkins and not depart thence without the leave of the said Court then this obligation to be void else to remain in full force and virtue.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o clock

Jas. J. Weisiger

Saturday October 4th 1873.

Present Hon. S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Thomas Branch Exors. — Plaintiffs
vs — In Debt.
William V Nebett. — Defendants

On the motion it is ordered that the above cases be continued. it appearing to the Court that Dr T. Branch has been regularly summoned to this term of the Court as witness for the defendant, & he failing to appear it is ordered that a Rule be awarded against him returnable to the next term of the Court to show cause if any he can why he failed to appear at this term of the Court and produce the books &c.

Williams by &c. — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
R. P. Atkinson — Deft

This day came the defendant & plead not guilty to which the Plaintiff replies generally upon is thereupon joined. And it appearing to the Court, Jacob Hugh, Geo Williams & Edward Abernathy witness for the Plaintiff have been regularly summoned and they failing to appear it is ordered that they be summoned to the next term of the Court to show cause if any they can why they should not be fined.

A A Dance. — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Dinwiddie County — Deft
Hearlee for &c — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Dinwiddie County — Deft

Ordered that the above cases be continued.

Joseph Lewis. — Plaintiff
vs — In Case.
John Craig. — Deft
The same. — Plaintiff
vs — In Case.
Thomas N. Craig. — Deft

This day came the defendants by their attorney and plead not guilty & interest a general demurrer to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally & thereupon issue was joined. and on the motion of the plaintiffs the above cases are continued

M. E. L. Gillium — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
C. E. N. Tucker & Thomas R. Chambers. — Defts

This day came the defendants by their attorney and plead payment offered to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally issue was thereupon joined. Office judt set aside.

Dobie. — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Magee Bro & Co. — Deft

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

H. C. Workman — Plaintiff
vs. } In Case.
N. R. Hobbs. — Deft

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney, and neither party requiring a jury the Court ascertains the damages to be Seventy Five dollars with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 9th day of November 1869 the suit and judgment accordingly.

James R. Williamson — Plaintiff
vs. } Trespass.
The A. M. & Ohio Rail Road Company. — Defts

This day come the parties by their attorneys and thereupon come the following jury lawfully J. J. Mitchell. B. H. Ragsdale. G. A. Beipson W. H. Dale. J. N. Remy. W. M. Field L. L. Meredith Jas Crowder. Saml. Pierce W. W. Beipson. L. A. Meredith & Morris Stepson who being selected tried and sworn lawfully and truly to try the issue joined now before the Court. Upon their oaths returned the following verdict, viz., "We the jury find for the Defendants," Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs by them about their defence of this suit expended.

G. D. Talbott & A. Talbott & A. Talbott partners trading under the firm style of Talbott Bros. — Plaintiffs
vs. } In Case.
Wm. P. Davis. — Defendant

This day come the parties by their attorneys and thereupon come the following jury lawfully J. M. Bridgeman Marcus Bishop W. J. Bevier A. J. Wells. M. Beipson B. B. Schenck A. J. Mendy Jno. A. Lee. R. A. Williams R. E. Beipson. Henry Epper. & L. R. Reams. Who being selected tried and sworn lawfully and truly to try the issues joined now before the Court on their oaths returned the following verdict viz: "We the jury find for the Plffs & assess their damages at One hundred & twenty four dollars & twenty one cents $124.21. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of One hundred & twenty four dollars and twenty one cents. with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of October 1873 the suit and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

The Court doth allow to B. J. Epper attorney for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty five dollars for his services as such during the last six months

Copies. The Court doth allow to H. C. Winston Clerk the sum of Fifty dollars for his services as such during the last six months.

Copies. The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Sheriff the sum of Ten dollars for his services as such during the last six months

Copies. The Court doth allow H. Cousins Jailer the sum of Fifteen dollars for his services as such during the last six months.

Copies. The Court doth allow H. Cousins for services during this term the sum of Ten dollars.

Ordered that the following petit jurors be paid as follows out of the County Treasury for their services at this term of the court to wit,
Jno H Lee $2. R.E. Bispeau $2. A J Moody $2. Marcus Bishop $2. Adam Wills $2. G.H. Bridgeman $2. Sam Powell $2. L R Reams $2. Jas W Bispeau $2 Merritt Hopson $2. Henry Epps $2. W J. Belvill $2. R A Wilkerson $2. B.B. Schrum $2. J.J. Mitchell $1. B H Raysdon $1. G A Bispeau $1. W. Doyle $1 J N Kelly $1. W M Reid $1 L.L. Meredith $1. Jr. Crisler $1. Henry Gilliam $2.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until Monday 10 O'clock

Garrett J. Wessinger

Monday October 6th 1893.

Present Hon S.S. Wessinger Judge.

Be it remembered that Jno. S. Thompson & ~~A A Hardy came~~ who stands bound by a recognizance heretofore entered into this day appeared in Court in discharge of said recognizance and on motion is allowed to renew his recognizance whereupon the said Jno S. Thompson and A A Hardy came into Court and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia as follows the said Jno. S. Thompson in the sum of Five Hundred dollars and the said A.A. Hardy in the like sum of Five hundred dollars to be levied of their respective lands and tenements goods and chattels and to the use of the Commonwealth of Virginia rendered yet upon this condition. The condition of the above recognizance is such that if the above bound Jno S. Thompson shall make his personal appearance before the judge of this Court on the first day of the next term to answer the Commonwealth of and concerning a certain Felony whereof he stands charged and not depart thence without the leave of the Court otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

M. Ed Gilliam. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
C E A Tucker & Herman R. Chambers. Defts

Ordered that the above case be continued generally until the next term.

W. F. Doyle Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
Solomon Johnson & Martin Lawson. Defts.

Neither party desiring a jury a judgment by the Court for the sum of Fifty seven dollars and Eighty six cents with interest from the 6th day of October 1893 till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that

the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum Dem of Fifty Seven Dollars Eighty Six cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Ordered that all Cases not otherwise disposed of be continued

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Tomorrow 10 Oclk.

Jam: J. Weisiger

Tuesday Oct 7th 1873

Present Hon J J Weisiger Judge

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Jam: J. Weisiger

Office Judgments Confirmed Oct 7th 1873.

Cert. $8.05 Wm Etheridge afm of Isaac Skeler Pltff } In Debt.
Charles W. Bristow Robin Chandler Deft

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Ninety four dollars and thirty seven nine three cents with interest on $31.79 1/3 apart from 25th Dec 1871 and on $31.97 another part thereof from 16th Oct 1871 and on $31.97 the residue thereof from 16th day of Oct 1871 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs expended

J.J.

Costs $7.45 A Whitehead.

C M Hardaway.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars with interest thereon at the rate of 10 per cent per annum from 25th November 1871 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit expended

J.J.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office. November 1st 1873.

William Ettenbrough. Plaintiff } In Debt.

vs

Nedham Robinson Defendant

This day came the parties in their proper person and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for Fifty five dollars and ninety cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1873 till paid and costs. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Fifty five dollars and ninety cents with interest as aforesaid & costs.

Virginia:

At a circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 2d day of March 1894 and of the Commonwealth the 98th

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

Drewry & Barkley — Plaintiffs
vs. } In Debt
Henry Cousins — Deft

This day came the defendant by Counsel & plead payment with leave to plead further to the plaintiffs declaration to which the replied generally issue. & Cont.

Richardson — Plaintiff
vs. } In Case
Anderson — Defe

This day came the defendant by his attorney and plead non assumpsit to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue with leave to plead further at the term of the Court.

A M Cousins foreman with F A Jones, H N Martin, J T Bunn, A B Goodwyn, Boston Davis, Blettell, T E Hargrave, Bracey, H Raspberry, T H Brisbean, T B Booth, W T Bass, Jas Hawkins, Jno Williams, Jno B Jones, H A Nicas, J N Kenny, F F Scott & Wm Bishop, were sworn and impanelled a Jury of inquest for the body of this County and after having received their charge and after some time spent therein returned into Court having found the following indictments, to wit, The Commonwealth vs Jno S. Thompson Indictment for felony, a true bill, The Commonwealth vs Henry Walker Indictment for felony, a true bill, The Commonwealth vs Henry Jenkins Indictment for felony, a true bill, The Commonwealth vs John Morse Indictment for felony, a true bill, The Commonwealth vs James Fuller Indictment for felony, a true bill, The Commonwealth vs Woody Brown Indictment for felony, a true bill. The Commonwealth vs Albert Mapsulling Indictment for felony, a true bill. The Commonwealth of Va vs Marcus Jefferson alias Wm Jefferson Indictment for felony, a true bill, The Grand Jury having nothing further to present they were discharged and it is ordered that they receive each for their services as such the sum of One dollar to be paid out of the County Treasury.

On the motion of Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County with the consent of the Court G A Brisbean Gentleman is allowed to qualify as his deputy whereupon the said Brisbean appeared in Court and qualified as such by taking and subscribing the oaths required by law.

The Commonwealth of Va — Presenting
vs. } Upon Indict.
Jno S Thompson — Defendant

Jno S Thompson who stands indicted for a felony this day appeared in Court according to the conditions of his recognizance entered into before this Court at its last October Term, and was set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and being thereof arraigned

plead not guilty: and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over, a pannel of sixteen were found qualified and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the pannel the names of four of said jurors, and the following twelve jurors remaining for the trial of the prisoner to wit A. S. Mason, C. J. Brown, E. L. Goodwyn, Jno Temple, S. L. Hay, W. D. Young, B. L. Brisscau, Henry Simmons, Jno Rose, Jno G. Bristow, Wm A. Ramey, & Geo A. Kemp, who being tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and arguments of Counsel, were sent out of Court to consult of their verdict, and after some time, not having agreed in their verdict, and the Court being about to adjourn were committed to the custody of the Sheriff of this County, who is directed to keep them together without communication with any other person, and thereupon the following oath was administered to E. A. Carrington and G. A. Brisscau Deputies of H. Carrington Sheriff to the following effect, "You shall well and truly and to the best of your ability keep this jury not allow them to separate and neither speak to them yourselves nor to permit any other person to speak to them touching any matter relative to this trial until they shall return into Court tomorrow morning, so help you God, and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clk.

Jami S. Kheisiger

Tuesday March 3rd 1874

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Va. Prosecuting } Upon Indictment.
vs
Jno S. Thompson. Defendant

Jno S. Thompson who stands indicted for a felony was this day again set to the bar in custody of the Sheriff, and the Jury sworn in on yesterday appeared in Court according to their adjournment and returned ~~with a verdict~~ to their room and after some time returned into Court and reported the following verdict, "We the jury find the prisoner Guilty of unlawful shooting with the intent charged in the within indictment, and fix his term of imprisonment at one year in the State Penitentiary," and thereupon the defendant moved and arrest of judgment upon the ground that the indictment found against the said Jno S. Thompson by a Grand Jury summoned and impannelled without authority of Law, and the prisoner is remanded to jail; the Court took time to consider.

The Commonwealth Prosecuting } Upon Indictment.
vs
Henry Walker. Defendant

Henry Walker who stands indicted for a felony was this day led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff of the County and being thereof arraigned plead not guilty and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called, over a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified to serve as jurors and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of assembly of striking from the panel the names of four of said jurors, and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit, T B Scott, J S Williams, R L Chappell, Archer Williams, R A Williamson, E A Gray, B B Brooks, A J Mundy, J T Dawson, R L Jackson, Sa H Brooks, and J H Burgess, who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and arguments of Counsel, We the jury find the prisoner guilty of grand larceny and fix the term of imprisonment in the State penitentiary for the term of four years," and it being asked of the prisoner if he has or knows anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alledged in delay of judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Henry Walker be imprisoned in the public jail and State Penitentiary for the term of Four years, the time by the jury in their verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Henry Walker from the jail of this County to the Public Jail and State Penitentiary thereon to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner prescribed by law and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

The Commonwealth of Va. Prosecuting } Indictment.
vs
Woody Brown. Defendant

Woody Brown who stands indicted for felony was this day led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff of the County and being thereof arraigned

plead not guilty. and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified to serve as jurors and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of four of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit. R M Barnes. J E Branch. H Wells. A A Dance. R L Wynn. F G Williams. L Bloodsworth. R G Chappell. C W Bairfoot. W A Gordon. Henry Simmons. and F H. Barnes. who being tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel, retired to their room and after some time returned into Court having found the following verdict, "We the jury find the prisoner guilty of an attempt to commit Murder in the first degree and fix his term of Confinement in the State Penitentiary at four years" and it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law. and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Woodly Brown be imprisoned in the in the Public Jail and State Penitentiary for the term of four years. the time by the jury in their verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Woodly Brown from the jail of this Court to the Public Jail and State Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner prescribed by law. and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

The Commonwealth of Va. — Presenting Upon Indictment.

vs

Jim Tucker. — Defendant

Jim Tucker. who stands indicted for felony. was this day led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff of the County and being thereof arraigned plead not guilty. and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified to serve as jurors, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of four of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit. D. P. Lewis. R. T. Chamberlin. W A Ramey. Jno Rice. A. G. Mason. J G Bristow. F. G. Hey. S. L. Meredith. W D Young. Geo Bufo. Jno Temple. W. E. Ford. who were sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak. having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel. retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court having found the following verdict to wit. We the jury find the Prisoner guilty of house breaking with the intent charged in the within indictment and fix his term of confinement in the State Penitentiary at 2 years. And it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Jim Tucker be imprisoned in the Public jail and State Penitentiary for the term of two years the term by the jury in their verdict ascertained. and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Jim Tucker from the jail of this Court to the Public jail and State Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

On the motion of Henry Cousins Sheriff of this County and with the consent of the Court Thomas B Booth Gentleman is allowed to qualify as his Deputy who thereupon appeared in Court and qualified as such by taking the oaths prescribed by law.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

James L Weisiger

Wednesday 4th March 1874.

Present Hon L. L. Weisiger Judge.

H J Hearsleve for Ed Fisher
vs
Dinwiddie County.

On the motion of the plaintiffs counsel it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Wm Ettenbrough
vs
F. R. Adams admr.
The same
vs
The same.

Ordered that the above cases be continued generally until the next term.

Ho Cousins Sheriff &c
vs
Hearsleve.

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed H J Hearsleve paying the costs.

The Commonwealth of Va. Presenting } Upon Indictment
vs
Manuel Jefferson alias Wm Jefferson. Defendant

Manuel Jefferson alias William Jefferson who stands indicted for felony was this day led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and being thereof arraigned plead not guilty, and the Venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of Sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly, of striking from the panel the names of eight of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit, F B Scott R L Chappell R A Williamson D P Lewis R L Jackson F N Bass Wm W Brooks R L Wynn S E Coleman, A J Moody W A Goodwyn R L Chappell who being first sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak and having fully heard the evidence and

and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court, and reported the following Verdict Viz, "We the jury do the Jury find the prisoner guilty of malicious cutting with the intent charged in the within indictment & ascertain his term of confinement in the State Penitentiary at Eighteen years and it being asked of the prisoner if anything for himself he has, or knows to say why this Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment, it is considered by the Court that the said Manuel Jefferson alias Wm Jefferson be imprisoned in the public jail and penitentiary house of this Commonwealth for the term of six years (the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained) And the Sheriff of this County is ordered, as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court, to remove and convey the said Manuel Jefferson alias Wm Jefferson from the Jail of this County to the public Jail and penitentiary house of this Commonwealth, therein to be kept imprisoned, and treated in the manner directed by law, for the term aforesaid, And the prisoner is remanded to Jail,

The Commonwealth of Va — Presenting
vs — 3 Upon Indictment
Henry Jenkins — Defendant

Henry Jenkins who stands bound by a recognizance entered into before the Judge of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County on the 26th day of November 1873 ~~and~~ this day appeared in Court in discharge of his recognizance, and being arraigned plead not guilty to the Indictment, and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of assembly of striking from the panel the names of eight of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner Viz, A.G. Mason, T.M. Shrift, J.H. Brooks, E.A. Goodwyn, C.J. Brown, R.M. Barnes, L.L. Meredith, L.G. Ney, Henry Simmons, M.J. Puryear, Hearlinle Wells, and T.E. Branch who being tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein & the Court being about to adjourn an oath was administered to H. E. Cousins & A. Bolyeau Deputies of Henry Cousins Sheriff to the following effect, You shall well and truly keep this jury together and not allow them to separate and neither speak to them yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into Court and the Sheriff is ordered to take charge of the Jury

The Commonwealth of Va — Presenting
vs — 3 Upon Indict.
Henry Jenkins — Defendant

Henry Jenkins who stands indicted for felony was again set to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and the jury again appeared in Court according to adjournment and retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported that they could not agree upon a verdict & the Court being about to adjourn an oath was administered to H. E. Cousins & A. Bolyeau P. B. Cousins Deputies of Henry Cousins Sheriff to the following effect, You shall well and truly keep this jury together and not allow them to separate and neither speak to them yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into

Court tomorrow morning to help you God. and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

On the motion of R. E. Wynn it is ordered that John B. Harris be and is hereby substituted trustee in the place and stead of James Bailey dec.d who was trustee in a deed of trust executed on the 21st day of January 1870 by said Wynn and of record in the Clerks Office of Dinwiddie County Court, it appearing to the Court that the proper notice has been given and the said Harris appearing in Court and accepting the trust and it further appearing to the Court from the affidavit of the beneficiary in the deed, that the debt secured in the deed has been satisfied.

Marcia, Dinah and William Brown Children of Lannon Brown dec.d over the age of 14 years by a paper writing addressed to the Court this day made Choice of William Starke as their guardian who together with Roger T. Atkinson his security entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of two Hundred Dollars, conditioned according to law.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clk

Jam.s J. Heisiger

Thursday March 5th 1874

Present Hon S. S. Wiseger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting } Upon Indict.
vs. } Defendant
Henry Jenkins.

Henry Jenkins charged with felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and the jury sworn in on yesterday again appeared in Court according to adjournment, and retired to their room and after some time returned into Court and reported "We the jury find the prisoner guilty of unlawful cutting with the intent charged in the within indictment and ascertain his term of confinement in the State Penitentiary at one year", and it asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law. it is considered by the Court that the said Henry Jenkins be imprisoned in the Public Jail and Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth for the term of one year (the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained) and the Sheriff of this County is ordered as soon possible after the adjournment of this Court, to remove and convey the said Henry Jenkins from the Jail of this Court, to the Public jail and Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth, therein to be kept imprisoned, and treated in the manner directed to law for the term aforesaid, and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting } Upon Indictment.
vs. } Defendant
Osborne Mafferting

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff, and the Attorney for the Commonwealth comes and says that he will not further prosecute in the above case. and on his motion he is detained in custody remanded before a single Justice

The Commonwealth of Virginia. — Presenting } Upon Indictment.
vs. } Defendant
John Morse.

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff of the County and being thereof arraigned plead not guilty to the indictment, and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called was a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of assembly of striking from the list the names of eight of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit. L. W. Williams. Archer Williams. C. O. Bass. W. W. Bolyeau. B. B. Brooks. Jno P. Dabney. Thomas D Williams. R. L. Chappell. W. A. Goodwyn. A. J. Moody. R. L. Wynn. and L. E. Coleman. who were tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room to consult of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit, and the jury not being able to agree they were adjourned, until 3 o clock. and an oath to the foreman was administered to B. A. Bolyeau & P. B. Cousins to the following effect: you

shall well and truly keep this jury and not speak to it yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to it touching the case at bar until they shall return into Court so help you God. And the prisoner is remanded to jail.

The Commonwealth of Va

vs.

James Coleman.

On the motion of the defendant it is ordered that the above case be continued until the next term of the Court. Whereupon the said James Coleman. R H Jones Jr. C Mason & Jack Coleman come into Court and acknowledge themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia as follows that is to say the said James Coleman in the sum of One Hundred Dollars, and the said R H Jones Jr. C. Mason & Jack Coleman in a like sum of One hundred dollars to be levied of their respective lands tenements goods & chattels and to the use of the Commonwealth rendered yet upon the under written condition.

The condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound James Coleman shall answer his personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the 3d day of the June term next to answer the Commonwealth of and concerning a certain misdemeanor whereof he stands accused then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

Henry Thompson Max Williamson Ned Coles, Baker Johnson. Archer Taylor Montague Sally Anna Sally James Taylor. T. F. Scott. T B Scott. Wm B Chamberlain Billy Smith Maggie Smith come into Court and acknowledge themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth each in the sum of one Hundred Dollars to be levied of their respective lands & tenements goods and chattels and to the the use of the Commonwealth rendered upon the following condition That if the said Henry Thompson Max Williamson Ned Coles Baker Johnson. Archer Taylor Montague Sally Anna Sally James Taylor. T F Scott T B Scott Wm B Chamberlain. Billy Smith & Maggie Smith shall render their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on the 3d day of the June Term next to give evidence in the case of the Commonwealth of James Coleman then this obligation to be void or else to remain in full force and effect.

The Commonwealth of Va

vs.

Wm Morse.

The jury seven in this case again appeared in Court according to adjournment and retired to their room and after some time returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit. We the jury find the prisoner guilty of house breaking with the intent charged in the within indictment & fix his term of imprisonment in the State Penitentiary at three years, and it being asked of the prisoner if anything he had or knew why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law. and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Wm Morse be imprisoned in the Public Jail and Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth for the term of Three years (the term by the Jurors in their verdict ascertained) and the Sheriff of this County is ordered as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court to remove and convey the said Wm Morse from the jail of this County to the Public Jail Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth therein to be imprisoned and treated in the

manner directed by law. and the prisoner is remanded to Jail,

Williams for &c — Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
Atkinson — Defendant

Ordered that the above case be continued for the defendant, and it appearing that the Rule awarded against Jack High. Ben Williams & Edward Abernathy at the last term of the Court for failing to appear as witnesses having been returned executed and they still failing to appear in answer hereto, an attachment is awarded against them, returnable the first day of our next term, and a Rule is awarded against the Plaintiff to Shew cause &c

M. E. Gillian — Pltff } In Debt.
vs
C. E. H. Tucker & R. R. Chamberlain — Defts

Ordered that the above case be continued for the defendants until the 1st day of the next term.

An account of Wm McReid Inman for Pay of venire at this term of the Court in felony cases amounting to $108.00 One hundred and Eight Dollars was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public accounts for payment.

An account of B. J. Epes Atty for the Commonwealth against the Commonwealth amounting to Seventy Dollars was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of public accounts for payment.

An account of A. C. Warren Clerk against the Commonwealth for Seventy dollars was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of public for payment

An account of H. Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County against the Commonwealth amounting to Ninety One dollars was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of public accounts for payment.

The Commonwealth
vs
Jno. S. Thompson.

Jno. S. Thompson. who was on Tuesday last convicted of Felony was this day again led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and the Court having fully considered the motion for an arrest of judgment doth overrule the same. and it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment it is considered by the Court that the said Jno. S. Thompson be imprisoned in the Public jail and Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth for the term of one year, (the term by the jurors in their verdict ascertained) and the Sheriff of this County is ordered as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court to remove and convey the said Jno. S. Thompson from the jail of this County to the Public jail & Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law. and the prisoner is remanded to jail, and on the motion of the defendant the execution of this judgment of the Court is suspended for thirty days in order to allow him time to apply for a writ of error.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Sam: S. Weisiger

Friday 6th March 1874.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

An account of A. H. Dyson against the Commonwealth of Virginia amounting to Four Dollars twenty cents was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public accounts for payment.

Ordered that the following Venirmen be paid out of the County treasury as freeman viz: L. E. Coleman $1. Barnes $1 M. 50. Jno Temple 50 S. L. Meredith 50 Jno. Y. Bristow 50. Bispham Bk $1. Jno A Rose $1. Doyle W. J. $1 Keys Geo A $1. R. F. Chambers $1. W A Ruining 50. T E Branch 50. Neathville Wiley 50. W E Ford $1. Puryear M J $1. Jno H. Brooks $1. J H Bispham $1. R. L. Chappell $1. J. T. Jones $1 50 T B Scott $1. E Alvey $1. R A Williamson $1. Barnes F N $1. Lewis D P $1. Jackson Rb. $1. Wynn R L. 50 A J Moody 50 Goodwyn W A 50 F D Williams $1. Jno P. Dalney 150 Rb Chappell 50. B B. Brooks $1. S G Williams $1. W W Bispham $1. Archer Williams $1. Bap Ch C. $1. J W. Bispham 50. J N Brooks $1. A A Dunn $1 G C Nash $2 00

Dyson off

Joseph Lewis — Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
Thomas H. Craig — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following jury viz: Wm F. Doyle, Thomas Hadden, John H. Connally, S. L. Meredith, Wm Bishop, Jno Gaston, Nicholas Lynch, Robt Dalney, Meriwether Yates, David Mumford, Washington Manlove, Jno Abraham, who being tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined on their oaths do find the following verdict. "We the jury find for the Plaintiff and assess his damages at Two Hundred and fifty dollars; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of Two Hundred and fifty dollars with legal interest thereon from the 6th day of March 1874 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. The defendant by Counsel moves the Court to set aside the verdict and grant him a new trial on the grounds that it is excessive and contrary to the evidence which motion the Court overruled.

R. B. Richardson — Plaintiff } In Case
vs
Wm Anderson — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and filed a further plea in writing and paid into Court the sum of Thirty five dollars & says that the plaintiff has not sustained damages to a greater amount than the said sum; which said sum of Thirty five Dollars the plaintiff accepts in part satisfaction of his demand and replied generally to the plea & issue was thereupon joined, whereupon the

number of jurors to try the issue was reduced to seven by consent of parties and thereupon came the following jury to wit R. T. J. Spain, Wm. J. Burell, Hezrauce Fisher, J. N. Brooks, Thaddeus Delay, J. A. Meredith, and J. H. Bripsear who were sworn to write and truly try the issue joined now before the Court and having partly heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn they were adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

Saml. S. Weisiger

Saturday March 7th 1874.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Copied An account of Henry Cousins Sheriff Sheriff of Dinwiddie County agt the Commonwealth for conveying Henry Jackson charged with felony before the Judge. Under cent of H. C. was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified.

Copied The Court doth allow H Cousins Sheriff the sum of Six dollars and Sixty cents being one third of the amount allowed the Sheriff by law for his services as such during twelve months.

Copied The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Jailor the sum of Ten Dollars it being one third of the amount allowed by law for his services as such during twelve months.

The Court doth allow to A. McKinstry Clerk the sum of Ten Dollars being one third of the sum allowed by law for his services during twelve months.

Copied The Court doth allow Wm J. Eaps Atty for the Commonwealth Sixteen Dollars & Sixty Six cents One third of the amt allowed by law for his services during twelve months.

Copied The Court doth allow H Cousins Sheriff the sum of Five Dollars for his attendance during this term of the Court.

Copied An account of G. A. Bripsear, P. B. Clements agt the Commonwealth of Va. for guarding Dinwiddie County Jail amounting to $38.00 Thirty Eight Dollars each was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the auditor of public accounts for payment. It appearing to the Court that said guard was necessary not on account of the insecurity of the Jail but on account of the character of the prisoner confined therein.

Examined off Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be paid as follows out of the County levy for their services as such during this term of the Court to wit. Thos Hudson J. S. Wm T. Doyle J. S. & Wm Bishop J. S.

J Alday J.S. J N Connally J.S. Jno Galen J.S. Nicholas Lynch J.S. Ro Dabney J.S. Mercy Yately J.S. Claiborne Spain J.S. Munford Davis J.S. Manlove Washington J.S. Graham Jno E. J.S. L L. Meredith J.S. Meredith J A J.S. Bevill Lo J J.S. R J Spain J.S. Horace Fisher J.S. J W Corpeam J.S. J M Brooks J.S. Geo A Keys.

Subpoena off

R B Richardson Plaintiff
vs In Case
Wm Anderson Defendant

This day Come the parties by their attorneys and the Jury sworn in this case on yesterday again appear in Court according to adjournment and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time returned into Court and report the following verdict. Viz, We the jury find for the plaintiff assess his damages at One hundred & fourteen dollars & thirty Cents with interest from the 7th day of March 1874 Subject to credit of Thirty five dollars paid the 6th March 1874 and judgment accordingly.

R E Bapeam.
vs
Left Gordon.
Pettisons ex
vs
Lewis Hobb.
Dorsey
vs
Gordon.
This day Come the plaintiffs by their attorney and R H James Jr. filed his written answer in each of the above cases it is ordered that the same be continued.

Wenham
vs
Hobb.
The death of the plaintiff being suggested in the motion of B A Hudgins Executor of H. Wenham dec'd it is ordered that the same be revived and prosecuted in the name of said Hudgins.

Stuart Buchanan & Co
vs
Dennis & Co
This cause is continued until the next term of the Court with liberty to any party to make any motion or file any plea or make any objection whether of law or fact with the same legal effect as if at this term of the Court.

Ordered that all causes not otherwise disposed of be and the same are hereby continued.

R. G. Pegram Trustee and Devisee under the will of J. D. Raymond dec'd — Plaintiffs

vs — 3 US.

R. Michaels. — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant being called and not appearing, and it appearing to the court that said defendant has had notice of this motion according to law, It is considered by the court that the said defendant unlawfully withholds from the plaintiff a certain Mesuage and tenement situated in the County of Dinwiddie on which the said Michaels has resided being a part of what was the "Model Farm" and judgment according, and aware of possession is awarded the plaintiff.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until the first day of the next term.

Sam'l Vaughan

Office Judgments Confirmed March 7th 1874.

Costs $8.55 — The Commonwealth of Virginia who sues for the benefit of R. H. Jones Jr. Special Commissioner — Plaintiff

vs — 3 In Debt

Jno. E. Young & Edwin Alvey surviving obligors of themselves & Jno. F. Young dec'd — Defendants

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Thousand dollars, and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Five hundred and forty seven dollars and twenty cents with interest thereon from the 7th day of April 1871 & the said costs.

Costs $7.95 — The Commonwealth of Virginia who sues for the benefit of R. H. Jones Jr. Special Commissioner &c — Plaintiff

vs — 3 In Debt

Mary A. Young Exty of John F. Young dec'd — Defendant

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Thousand Dollars and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of Five hundred and forty seven dollars and twenty cents with interest thereon from the 7th day of April 1871 the said costs to be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of said defendant if so much thereof she hath.

Costs $7.45. John Rowlett, N. M. Palmer & D. A. Weisiger late merchants and partners trading under the firm & style of John Rowlett & Co. Plaintiffs

vs

Joseph Wilson. Defts } In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty dollars & Eighty cents with interest thereon from the 21st May 1860 till paid, the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

vs

Costs $8.38. Seth P. Hale. Plaintiff

vs

John Winfield. Defendant } In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty one dollars and sixty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to the following Credits viz, Twenty five dollars paid 1st Sept. 1871, and Fifty dollars paid the 8th January 1873.

vs

Virginia At a circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Friday the 8th day of June 1894 and of the Commonwealth the 98th

Present Hon T. L. Weisiger Judge,

The Commonwealth of Virginia, Presenting
vs. } Upon Indictment.
Osborne Mapsenburg Deft

This day Come the Attorney for the Commonwealth, and the defendant was led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and being thereof arraigned plead not Guilty to the Indictment, and the venire Summoned, for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of Sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the list the names of four of said Jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit Ell Coleman C J Brown M J Puryear B B Brooks J H Bapsen Jas Bum C A Doyle R A Williamson Henry Simmons J A Coleman Jno T Dalney J F Hudson who were tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit, "We The Jury find the prisoner guilty ~~and ascertain~~ of entering the house as charged in the indictment & ascertain his term of imprisonment in the public Jail & State Penitentiary at two years, and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term of the Court be reduced to Nineteen

Sworn off. J. C. Smith Foreman with W. F. Doyle, E. T. Pearce, Clement Claudy H W Hawthorne J F Wills T T Sydnor W E Ford D B Meade R S Wyckoff W D Young W P Brown T K Hewlett Geo C Nash W A Goodwyn T M Jones R B Perkins R B Thweatt Harrison were sworn and impannelled a Grand Jury of inquest for the body of the County and having received their charge retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court with the following Indictments and presentments to wit, The Commonwealth vs. Osborne Mapsenburg Indictment for felony a true Bill, The Commonwealth vs Jake Turner and Ben Coker Indictment for Felony a true Bill. The Commonwealth v. Spencer Green Indictment for Misdemeanor not a true Bill. "We the Jury present that Spencer Green on the 26th day of May 1894 in said County did unlawfully refuse to keep the peace when so ordered by Judge Robt H Jones a conservator of the peace against the peace & dignity of the Commonwealth" whereupon it is ordered that said presentment be certified to the County Court of Dinwiddie County according to law. the Grand Jury having nothing further to present they were discharged, and it is ordered that they be allowed each the sum of One dollar for their services payable out of the County Treasury.

Ordered that the following cases be continued generally until the next term of the court. to wit. Bispham & Goodwyn. Dobson & Goodwyn. Perkinson ex & Lewis Hobbs. Ellenbrough & Adams admr, Same & Same.

M E Williams — Plaintiff
v. } In Debt.
Fuller & Chambers. — Defts

On the motion of the plaintiff. it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

The Commonwealth of Va — Presenting
v. } Upon Indictment for
Spencer Green. — Defts } Mur.

The Grand Jury having therefore the Indictment it is considered that the defendant go hence without day.

The Commonwealth of Virginia
v.
Ben Coker.

This day came the attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned plead not guilty.

Ordered that the case be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock.

Saturday June 6th 1874.

Present Hon S. S. Wisinger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting & upon Indictment.
vs
Jefe Turner — Defendant

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner Jefe Turner who was indicted jointly with Ben Coker was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and elects to be tried seperately and being thereof arraigned plead not guilty to the Indictment; and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found qualified according to law for the trial of the prisoner and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of four of said jurors, and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit, Silas Lewis, Geo. J. Brown, L. E. Coleman, A. J. Moody, S. P. Lewis, J. H. Bridgeman, H. P. Roney, J. H. T. Daly, T. F. Reid, J. F. Ramey, G. A. Keys, & R. E. Bispham, who were tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel, retire to their room and after some time and reported the following Verdict, We the Jury find the Prisoner Jefe Turner guilty of Petit larceny, and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting & upon Indictment.
vs
Ben Coker — Defendant

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner Ben Coker who was indicted jointly with Jefe Turner was led to the Bar in custody of the Sheriff and elected to be tried seperately and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found qualified according to law for the trial of the prisoner and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of four of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit, Silas Lewis, Geo. J. Brown, L. E. Coleman, A. J. Moody, S. P. Lewis, J. H. Bridgeman, H. P. Roney, J. H. T. Daly, T. F. Reid, J. F. Ramey, Geo. A. Keys, R. E. Bispham, who were tried and sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel, retire to their room and after some time and reported the following verdict, We the Jury find the Prisoner Ben Coker guilty of Petit larceny, and it being asked of the prisoner Ben Coker & Jefe Turner if they had or knew any thing for themselves to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against them according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment, it is considered by the Court that the said Ben Coker & Jefe Turner be imprisoned in the County Jail for five months and receive twenty and thirty lashes on their bare backs and the prisoners are remanded to Jail.

Jefe Williams By R. M. Williams his next friend — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Roger D. Atkinson — Deft

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came the following jury to wit, Chas. F. Brown, V. C. Andrews, G. A. Bispham, H. C. Jones, R. L. Chapman, W. J. Williams, Jno. H. Rose, F. W. Hill, J. F. Hardin, Editor Wilson

W H Mumford. Gilliam Manson. who being selected tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the court and having fully heard the evidence and argument to consider of their verdict and after some time spent therein returned into court and after some time spent therein returned into court and reported the following verdict viz, "We the Jury find for the defendant, The plaintiff by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the verdict and grant a new trial upon the grounds that the verdict was contrary to the evidence which motion the Court overruled. ~~Therefore it is considered by~~ the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs by him about his defence of this suit expended

Ordered that the court be adjourned until Monday 10th inst.

Jam: G Weisiger

Monday June 8th 1874

Present Hon J G Weisiger Judge

M E Gilliam — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Jam G O — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and plead payment filed a bill of offset to the plaintiff declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was joined.

D C Jones admr — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
A H Cousins — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney and filed a plea of Bankruptcy to which the plaintiff replied generally whereupon the offset judgment is set aside & the case is continued.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting
vs — Upon Presentment
James Coleman — Defendant

This day came the attorney for the Commonwealth, as did the defendant and plead not guilty to the Indictment to which the attorney for the Commonwealth replied generally issue was thereupon joined & there came the following Jury viz. B A Williamson, A J Moody, B B Brooks, H M Lewis, R L Chappelle, V O Andrews, D P Lewis, Sy Gilliam, A W Jones, F W Wiley, Chas L Brown & A Beispan who being selected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined on their oath returned a verdict in these words, to wit, "We the Jury find the prisoner not guilty" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant be thereof acquitted and go hence without day.

Thomas Branch Ads. Plaintiffs
against } In Debt.
J. P. Gilliam & R. N. Noblin. Defendants

By consent of parties the above case is removed to the Circuit Court for the County of Nottoway.

D. Mays Exr Plaintiff
} In Case
S. L. Meredith. Deft

Came Plaintiff
} In Case.
James A. Meredith. Deft

Ordered that the above cases be continued generally until the next term of the court.

The following accounts of P. B. Lawrence & G. A. Bipsean against the Commonwealth of Virginia for guarding Dinwiddie County Jail amounting to Four dollars each were examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public Accounts for payment it appearing to the Court that said guard was necessary not on account of the insecurity of the jail but on account of the number & character of the prisoners confined therein.

Ordered that the following witnesses be paid as follows out of the County Treasury for their attendance at this term of the Court viz: Lilas Lewis $1. Wills B. E. 50. Spain J. C. $1. Smith C. R. 50. Brown B. F. 50 Moody A. J. 50. Lewis D. P. 50. Bridgeman J. H. 50. Coleman L. E. 50 Raney H. P. 50. Raney D. T. 50. T. F. Rives 50. Daily Jno T. $1. G. A. Keys 50. Hargrave J. E. $1. Bipsean R. E. 50. Wilson H. C. 50. W. Bishop $1.00 Archer Wm. $1. Perkins P. G. 50. Rice J. H. $1. Keys B. S. $1. Jones Geo. $1. Raney L. N. $1.00 Chappell R. L. $1. Rice W. L. $1. Sydnor William $1. Bray E. T. $1. Brown C. J. 50. Pumpian M. J. 50. Brown B. B. 50. Bipsean J. H. 50. Brown J. T. 50. C. W. Doyle 50. Simmons Henry 50. Bipsean G. A. $1. Coleman J. N. 50. Hudson J. T. 50. Daly J. P. 50. Goodwyn Elt. $1. Brown J. N. $1. Rice Elt. $1. Hay Pimpy $1. Meredith L. L. $1. Bipsean B. G. $1. Brandon J. C. $1. W. Bishop $1

Drawn off

An account of A. C. Winston agt the Commwlth of Va. county to $7.50 examined and allowed & certified

An account of Wm. M. Field agt Commwlth county to $24.00 examined
C. allowed & certified

An account of B. J. Epes agt the Commwlth county to $30.00 examined
C allowed & certified

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

John J. Weisiger

Tuesday June 9th 1874

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting & Upon Indictment for felony.

Osborne Mapenburg — Defendant

The prisoner who was on Friday the June 5th convicted of felony was this day again led to the bar in custody of the Jailer and it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment, it is considered by the Court that said Osborne Mapenburg be imprisoned in the Public Jail and State Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth for the term of two years (the period by the jurors in their verdict ascertained) and the Sheriff of this County is ordered as soon as possible after the adjournment of this Court to remove and convey the said Osborne Mapenburg from the Jail of this Court to the public Jail & Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law for the term aforesaid, and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

The Court doth allow to Hancock Sheriff of Pittsylvania County for services of himself and Deputy the sum of $14.— during this term of the Court it being $2 per day for himself & $1.50 for his Deputy

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Jailer the sum of 6.66 dollars for his services as such during this term since the last term of the Court

The Court doth allow to B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth during this term since the last term of the Court the sum of Sixteen dollars Sixty Six cents it being one third of the amount allowed by law for his services as such during twelve months

The Court doth allow to A Winston Clerk of this Court the sum of ten dollars it being one third of the amount allowed by law for his services as such during twelve months

The Court certifies that it is necessary for the Sheriff to have one guard in conveying Osborne Mapenburg from the Jail of this Court to the State Penitentiary & that it was necessary for said Sheriff to employ four guards to assist him in conveying the prisoner convicted at the March term last of this Court to the Penitentiary.

Ordered that the following petit jurors be allowed each the sum opposite their names as follows viz: B B Brooks $2, Wm M Lewis $2, C J Brown $4, H Wynne $3, G A Berryman $4, W H Mumford $4, Ellis Wilson $4, Sy Gillium $3, J W Rose $3, Gillium Mason $2, V O Andrews $3, A W Wilson $3, D P Lewis $2, C A Moody $1, R A Williamson $2, J T Haddon $2, R L Chappell $2, Jas Burgess $1, T M Coleman $1, payable out of the County treasury.

Drawn off

H J Hearsville vs.

Thomas W Scott &c

Upon a notice to substitute a trustee in the place stead of T W Scott in a deed from R Hiett to said Scott dated the 9th July 1861 and recorded in in Dinwiddie County Court Clerk's office, it appearing to the Court that the proper notice has been given, it is ordered that R L Shell be and he is hereby substituted trustee in the place and stead of said Scott trustee in the deed aforesaid the said Shell appearing in Court and accepting the trust. But the said R L Shell shall not act as such substitute trustee until he shall have entered into a bond with security to be approved by the Clerk of this Court in the penalty of Three hundred dollars conditioned according to law.

Stuart Buchanan &c — Appellant

vs.

Dinwiddie County — Appellee

[illegible]

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the Court having fully heard the evidence doth reserve its decision until the next term of the Court, the case is continued, with leave to either party to file in vacation a note of argument & authority.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of the next term of the Court.

James J Weisiger

Judgment Confessed in the Clerk's Office September 9th 1874.

Costs $3.49 Wm Ettenborough Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Samuel Bristow. Defendant

This judgment is subject to a credit of Fifty two dollars and fifty cents this 21st day 1882 $52.50 Wm Ettenborough

This day came the parties in their proper person, and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for Fifty four dollars and twenty six cents with interest thereon from the 20th day of September 1872 till paid at the rate of 10 per cent per annum and costs of suit. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty four dollars and twenty six cents with interest at the rate of 10 per cent per annum from the 20th day of September 1872 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$8.27 costs. B. P. King. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
B. E. Bishop & James A. Bishop. Defendants

This judgment is for the benefit of Wm Ettenborough. May 17th 1875 B P King

This day came the plaintiff and the defendant B. E. Bishop in their own proper person, and the defendant James A. Bishop by Wm Ettenborough, who produced a power of attorney for confessing a judgment in this action under the hand of the said James A. Bishop. Whereupon the said Wm Ettenborough atty for the defendant James A. Bishop by virtue of said power of attorney and the said B. E. Bishop acknowledged the plaintiffs action for Sixty five dollars with interest on $32.50 apart thereof from the 25th day of December 1867 till paid & on $32.50 the residue thereof from the 1st day of August 1868 till paid & costs of suit. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty five dollars with interest on $32.50 apart thereof from the 25th day of December 1867 till paid & on $32.50 the residue thereof from the 1st day of August 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is subject to a credit of Fifteen dollars paid 19th July 1869. Confessed in the Clerk's Office 17th Sept 1874.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerk's Office October 9th 1874.

Costs $3.49 Wm Ettenborough. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
L. S. Keys. Defendant

This day came the parties in their proper person, and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for Seventy one dollars and twenty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of October 1874 till paid and costs of suit. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy one dollars and twenty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of October 1874 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $3.49 Wm Ettenborough Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
L. S. Keys. Defendant

This day came the parties in their proper person, and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for Seventy six

dollars and eighty seven cents with interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from the 23d Jan. 1894 till paid and costs of suit, Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy six dollars and eighty seven cents, with interest thereon from the 23d Jan. 1894 till paid at the rate of 8 per cent per annum and costs of suit, But this judgment is satisfied to credit of Twenty dollars paid 30th March 1894.

#615 cts. Judgments Confessed in the Clerks Office October 26th 1894.

L. E. Coleman — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Thomas N. Coleman — Defendant

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant in his proper person and acknowledges the plaintiffs action for Three hundred and eighty six dollars and ninety cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of October 1894 till paid, Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Three hundred & Eighty six dollars and ninety cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of October 1894 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

#616 Dennis A Coleman — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Thomas N Coleman & Ell Coleman — Defts

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants in their proper person and acknowledges the plaintiffs action for Nine hundred and twenty seven dollars and thirty cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of December 1892 till paid, Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Nine hundred and twenty seven dollars and thirty cents with legal interest thereon from the 26th day of December 1892 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, But this judgment is satisfied to credit of Ninety dollars paid May 19th 1894.

Virginia, At a circuit Court held for the county of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 2d day of November 1874 and of the Commonwealth the 99th.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Va — Prosecuting

vs.

Jack Baker als Pleasants — Defdt

Jack Baker als Jack Pleasants who stands bound by a recognizance entered into before a justice of the Peace was this day brought into Court by L. L. Meredith his security and surrendered in Court, on the motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth & by consent of the Court the attorney for the Commonwealth comes into Court and says that he will not further prosecute in the above case whereupon the said Baker als Pleasants is is discharged from custody.

Ordered that the following cases be continued until next term viz: Bripson & Goodwyn, Dodson & same. Tuttinson's adm & Lewis Hobb. A A Dance & Dinwiddie County. Mary Ann & Meredith same & Meredith. Ettenbrough & Adams adm. same & same Jones adm. & others. Washburn Ann & Hobb.

On the motion of the plaintiffs it is ordered that the following cases be dismissed viz: Niblett & Bishop. Doyle & Owens. Kendall & Jones.

Merchants Nat'l Bank vs.

J G Bripson wife.

The defendant by their attorney plead payment with leave to file other pleas. Whereupon, on the motion of the said defendants it is ordered that the judgment entered in the office against them be set aside.

A. A. Dance

Eth. Coleman vs.

The defendants by their attorney plead payment. Whereupon on the motion of the said defendants it is ordered that the judgment entered in the Clerks office against them be set aside.

The same

Gilliam & Gilliam.

The defendants by their attorney plead payment. Whereupon, on the motion of the said defendants it is ordered that the judgment entered in Clerks office against them be set aside.

The same.

A C Shell wife.

The defendants by their attorney plead payment & offer. Whereupon on the motion of the said defendants it is ordered that the judgment entered in the Clerks office against them be set aside

The Commonwealth of Virginia.

vs

Richard Brit.

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth, and the prisoner was led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff and being thereof arraigned pleaded not guilty to the indictment. and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over. a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the list the names of five of said jurors. the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit J C Spain T B Scott B B Brooks. A J Brown. E W Coleman. Jas Reid. C T Hawkins. W Algood jun. C E Wills. R P Mosby. J A P Wells. J. N. Raney. Who were duly sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak. having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after sometime returned into Court. and reported the following verdict. to wit, We the Jury find the prisoner guilty as charged in the within indictment ascertain his term of confinement at six years in the State Penitentiary; and it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Richard Brit be imprisoned in the Public Jail and State Penitentiary for the term of six years. the term by the Jury in their verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court safely convey the said Richard Brit from the Jail of this County to the Public Jail and State Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner prescribed by law. and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term of the Court be summoned to sit then.

A Thos Purcell. Foreman with. R

were sworn and empannelled a Grand Jury of inquest in and for the body of this County and having received their charge retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court having found the following Indictments and presentments to wit, The Commonwealth of Va vs. Richard Brit Indictment for Felony a true bill. The Commonwealth of Va vs. Wm Lewis Indictment for Felony a true bill, We the Jury present that Joe Bland on the 30th day of August 1874 in the said County did unlawfully and feloniously take steal and carry away about one Bushel of Wheat of the value of One dollar & thirty cents. of the goods and Chattels of Peterson & Epps.

We the Jury present that Richard Brit on the 20th day of October 1874 in the said County did unlawfully maliciously and feloniously take steal and carry away about Two Barrels of Corn of the value of Eight Dollars of the goods and Chattels of Peterson & Epps and also about Four hundred lbs fodder of the value of four dollars of the goods and Chattels of Peterson & Epps.

We the Jury present that Richard Brit on the 23d day of October 1874 in the said County did unlawfully and feloniously take steal and carry away one Cow and Calf of the value of Twenty dollars of the goods and chattels of one Peterson & Epps.

Ordered that the foregoing presentments be certified to the County Court and the grand jury having nothing further to present were discharged. it is ordered that they be allowed each the sum of One dollar for their services to be paid out of the County Treasury.

A A Dawson. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
T N Coleman et al. Defts

The defendants by their Attorney plead payment offered with leave to file other pleas, whereupon on the motion of said Defts. it is ordered that judgment entered against them in the office be set aside.

F W Page. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
W L Shell. Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and produced a power of attorney for confessing judgment in this action, under the hand and seal of the defendant, attested by Alexander Dorman who proves the due execution thereof. Whereupon R H Jones Jr. Esq. appeared for the defendant by virtue of said power and the defendant, by his said attorney, acknowledges the plaintiffs action for Five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from 1st day of January 1874 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1874 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until tomorrow morning 10 o'clk.

Jas. J. Steisiger

Wednesday September 4th 1874.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger. Judge.

J Andrew White surviving partner of Thomas Smith and J Andrew White late merchants and partners under the firm and style of Smith & White — Plaintiffs

vs — In Case.

V. J. Rose. — Deft.

Costs. J6.95

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the court in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of Forty dollars and twenty eight cents with legal interest thereon from the 27th day of July 1871 till paid; Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Forty dollars twenty eight cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid & costs.

On motion the Estate of Enoch Davis is committed to the hands of Henry Lawson Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration it appearing to the court that said Davis has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for admin.

By Expts J O Kale & W. Bispham, & A Bispham R. J. Spain appeared & Estate.

Wm F. Bamer next friend — Appellee

Elizabeth A Bamer, D. J. Bamer, Mo R Bamer, Robin R. Bamer, Julia A Bamer, Wm F Bamer, Walter V Bamer, D L Bamer, Geo H Bamer and Drewry F Young the last three of whom are infants of tender years being the devisees under the paper writing purporting to be the last will and testament of Mary A Young dec'd — Appellees

} Upon an appeal from the order of the County admitting to record the will of Mary A Young

On motion of W. F. Bamer A M. Ryan is assigned guardian ad litem to defend the interest of the infant appellees Drewry F Young, D L. Bamer and George H. Bamer and thereupon W. F. Bamer the appellant, and all of the appellees, being all of the legatees and devisees under the said paper writing purporting to be the last will and testament of Mary A Young deceased appeared in Court the said infant appellees by their said guardian ad litem and the other appellees by their attorney, and thereupon by consent of said parties this cause came on to be heard on the Copy ~~of the Copy~~ of the record of this cause in the County Court upon the said paper writing purporting to be the last will & testament of Mary A Young dec'd propounded by the said devisees & legatees as the last will & testament of said Mary A Young dec'd and upon the evidence adduced,

Thursday Nov. 5th 1874.

Present Hon. G. D. Wise Judge.

The Commonwealth of Virginia. Presenting

vs } Upon Indictment.

Wm Lewis. Deft

This day came the attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and being thereof arraigned pleas not guilty to the Indictment. and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of assembly of striking from the list the names of four of said jurors. and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner. to wit. J C Shaw. J N Brook. T B Scott. S S Meredith Jas Kidd. W. W. Bolphan. C J Brown. B B. Brook. R B Moody H D Fleming W A Goodwyn. Wrayton Davis. who being sworn to try and decide the issue joined now before the Court, and after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel. retired to their room and after some space therein returned into Court having found the following verdict. to wit. We the Jury find the prisoner guilty of Malicious Stabbing with the intent charged in the within Indictment & fix the punishment at six years imprisonment in the State Penitentiary. The defendant by Counsel moves the Court to set aside the verdict and grant him a new trial and the Court took time to consider. and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

An account of Wm M. Fiels witness. agt Commwlth. amtg to $24.00 examd allowd & certified.

An account of A. C. Winston Clerk agt Commwlth amtg to $7.50 examd allowd & certified.

An account of B J Epes. atty for Commwlth amtg to $20.00 examined allowd & certified.

Wm F. Bamer. Appellant }

vs. } Upon an appeal.

Elizabeth R. Bamer adx. Appellee }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Court having fully heard the argument of Counsel. took time to consider.

Friday Morning Nov. 6th 1874

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Va — Prosecuting
vs — } In Debt.
Wm Lewis. — Deft

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was again led to the bar in the Custody of the Sheriff, and the Court having fully considered the motion to arrest the judgment for a new trial doth overrule the same, and it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against him according to law and nothing being offered or alledged in delay of judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Wm Lewis be imprisoned in the Public Jail and Penitentiary for the term of six years the term by the Jury in the verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon practicable after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said Wm Lewis from the Jail of this Court to the Public Jail and State Penitentiary therein to be kept and imprisoned and treated in the manner prescribed by law. and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

A. A. Dance. — Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
E. M. Coleman T. N. Coleman & L. E. Coleman. — Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit B. B. Brooks, D. L. Chappell, G. A. Boisseau, R. B. Moody, Geo. F. Brown, R. A. Williamson, A. Stone, L. L. White, B. F. Wynn, D. A. Jones, H. P. Raney & T. M. Jones who being elected tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having fully heard the evidence and argument retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit, "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the Debt in the declaration mentioned with interest from 1st day of October 1873 subject to a credit of $10.00. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of October 1873 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to a credit of Ten dollars.

A. A. Dance.

vs.

O. G. Gilliam & J. P. Gilliam.

On the motion of the Defts it is ordered that the above case cont'd. & it appearing to the Court that H. M. Fisher has been regularly summoned not appears it is ordered that a Rule be entered against him returnable to 1st day of next term to show cause why he should not be fined.

Same — Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
T. N. Coleman & al. — Defts

On the motion of the defendant it is ordered that the above case be continued. Rule vs. L. E. Coleman.

Same. — Pltff
vs } In Debt.
R. C. Shell surfi — Defts

On the motion of the Defts the above case is filed & Rule against Surfy,

The Court doth allow to B J Eaves attorney for Com wealth $16.66 being 1/3 of the amount allowed by law for his services as such during twelve months.

The Court doth allow to H Cousins Jailer the sum $16.66 being 1/3 of the amount allowed by law during twelve months.

The Court doth allow H Cousins Sheriff the sum of $20.00 for services of himself & deputy during this term being $2.00 per day for himself and 1.50 each for two Deputys.

The Court certifies that it is necessary for the Sheriff to have summoned each to depute him in conveying to the Penitentiary Reid Bird and Wm Lewis. Convicts of felony.

Drawn 4/6 Ordered that the following petit jurors receive as follows out of the County Treasury to wit: J L White $4. A Hume $4. S T Davis $3. G A Burgess $1. F A Jones $4 N B Reavy $4. Geo J Brown $1. T M Jones $4. B G Wynn $1. B B Brock $4. R L Chapman $4. R A Williamson $4. B F Moody $4.

~~Ordered that the following women receive out of County Treas for their attendance: L E Abernathy $1. J N Brock $1. J C Spain $1.50 T B Scott $0. The Sheriff 1.50 Jno B Thrift $1.50 L L Meredith $1. Jno Kerr $1. Chas Hall $1. W M Burgess $1. C J Brown $1. B B Brock $1. A B Moody $1. J H Long $1.50 H Dyson $1. W Lowrey $1. Boston Davis $1. Jno Cole $1. W F Doyle $1. Chas Hawkins $1. Geo Brown $0. E M Colson $1. Rob Gibbs $0.~~

W F Bonner Appellant ~~Deft~~
vs
Elizabeth A Bonner wife Appellee ~~Deft~~ } Upon Appeal

This day came again the parties by their Attorneys, and the Court having maturely considered all the matters in controversy in this cause being of opinion that the paper writing aforesaid is not the last will and testament of Mary A Young dec'd ~~the and the same~~ is Therefore it is considered by the Court that the order of the County Court admitting the same to probate be and and the same is hereby reversed, and doth refuse to admit the said paper writing purporting to be the last will and testament of Mary A Young and to probate as her last will and testament, and doth order that the appellant W F Bonner pay one half of the costs and also the sum of $10. to J B Harris for reducing the testimony in this cause to writing & that the appellee pay the other half. & that each party pay half of the costs incurred in the County Court. to which judgment of the Court the appellee excepted in open court of exception which was signed sealed & made part of the record

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and the same is hereby cont'd.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until 1st day of next term.

James J Strickland

Office Judgments Confirmed Nov. 6th 1874

$8.65 A. A. Dance — Plaintiff
vs
Jno. C. Duane & W. H. Jolly, Co-Defts. — Defts
In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and fifty Eight dollars with interest thereon from the 31st day of December 1872 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓ But this judgment is subject to a credit of $30.00 paid April 20th 1874.

$8.65 A. A. Dance — Plaintiff
vs
A. M. Gordon & J. McRoney, Co-Defts with Wm. Royal. — Defts
In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two Hundred & thirty nine dollars & fifteen cents with interest thereon from the 31st day of December 1872 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, subject to a

✓ credit of $50.00 paid March 16th

$8.65 A. A. Dance — Plaintiff
vs
B. E. Wells, D. L. Wells & John St. Lee — Defts
In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Forty five Dollars with interest thereon from the 31st day of December 1874 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓

$9.35 Virgil O. Wynn — Plaintiff
vs
George W. Lewis — Defts
In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty Dollars with interest thereon from the 5th day of January 1869 till paid, the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓

$9.35 Joseph S. Bird & R. H. Jones Jr. Commissioners &c — Plaintiffs
vs
S. H. & Richd. Ferguson — Defts
In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Seven hundred and ninety one dollars & forty three cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of Dec 1869 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned, and also their

& costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

F. J. 45 — H. J. Hearlurle who sues for the benefit of Pope & Thomas
Jno E. Shelli Esqr & Geo M. Pancy Esqr A. Mallory — Plaintiff
vs
A. Mlgordsign — Deft. } In Debt

The judgment obtained at the Rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and thirty dollars with interest from the 1st day of October 1891 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and also his costs by him about his suit in & in this behalf expended.

Virginia,

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Wednesday the 9th day of April 1895 and of the Commonwealth the 99.

Present Hon S. S. Wingfield Judge.

Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term of the Court be limited to sixteen.

Drawn off

T. A. Coleman, Foreman with Thos A. Bagby, A. Golder, L. A. Levy, Wm P. Lewis, Jas A. Meredith, Erick Stew, B. L. Bonneau, B. B. Brook, B. B. Schenck, A. Webb, R. W. Warren, R. B. Howard, Jno Ragsdale, B. F. Pugsley, J. F. Hudson were sworn and empannelled a jury of inquest for the body of this County and having received their charge retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court having found the following Indictments and presentments to wit. The Commonwealth of Virginia vs James Diggs Indictment for felony a true Bill; We the Jury present Arthur Lewis & Alpha Wenger for lewdly and lasciviously apprecating & cohabiting together within the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months on the evidence of S. P. Wells & Martha Nelsone sworn in open Court sent before the Grand Jury.

We present Henry Williams for a assault and battery upon the person of Lucy Jones in the County of Dinwiddie on the 29th day of March 1895 On the evidence of Lucy Jones & Lizzy Bolling sworn in Open Court and sent before the Grand Jury.

We present Dan Stew for resisting Robert Fraser Constable in the discharge of his legal duties & threatening to shoot said Officer in Dinwiddie County on the 4th day of Dec 1894 On the evidence of Robert Fraser & C. Abernathy sworn in Open Court sent before the grand Jury.

We present William B. Stew & Betty Smith for lewdly & lasciviously cohabiting together in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months Sept 9th 1895 - On the evidence of Martha Nelson sworn in Open Court and sent before the Grand Jury.

The Grand Jury having nothing further to present they were discharged and it is ordered that they be paid out of the County Treasury each the sum of one dollar for their services as such.

Ordered that the foregoing presentments of the Grand Jury be certified to the Identity Court.

Ordered that the Rule awarded against A. M. Fisher for failing to attend at the last term of the Court as witness in the case of Daniel vs Williams be discharged.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Boisseau vs Goodwyn | Plaintiff, Deft | Upon a bar Sum |
| Dodson vs Same | Plaintiff, Deft | Upon a bar Sum |
| Perkinson adm. vs Lewis & Robb | Plaintiff, Deft | Upon a bar Sum |

Ordered that the above cases be dismissed.

Thos G. Watkins Gentleman who has been licensed to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court whereupon the said Watkins appeared in Court and took the oath prescribed.

M. E. Gillium who sues for the benefit of Jno N. Gillium — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
L. O. Spain Co Deft with A. G. Spain — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant the sum of Eighty Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum Eighty Dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also her costs by her about her costs in this behalf expended.

The Commonwealth of Virginia — Presenting
vs — Upon Indictment.
James Diggs — Defendant

This day came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff of the County and being thereof arraigned plead not guilty. And the venire Summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over a panel of sixteen were found duly qualified for the trial of the prisoner and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the panel the names of four of said jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit. W. O. Read C. S. Brown. W. T. Doyle. R. L. Jackson. S. S. Meredith Jno P. Dalsey. A. J. Moody. Jno Brooks. T. D. Williams. E. W. Coleman. E. H. Chambers. & W. T. Elder. who being tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined before the Court and after hearing the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court having found the following verdict to wit, "We the Jury find the prisoner not guilty." Therefore it is considered by the Court that that the prisoner be thereof acquitted and go hence &c.

R. L. Pegram — Plaintiff
vs — Upon U.D.
Robt Michaels — Deft

Ordered that the above case be dismissed on the motion of the Plaintiff

A. A. Dame & Dennis Dennis County, D. May Ex a Meredith J. A. Dame vs Meredith L. L., Etterbrough & Adams ass. Dame & same, Dicery & Barkley & Cousins. Jones. on &c Cousins. Ordered that the foregoing cases be continued,

Wychor Parker — Pltff
vs — In Debt
W. S. King — Defd

Peebles wife — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
W. L. Peebles — Defd

This day came the Defendants by their Attorneys and plead adjudication in Bankruptcy whereupon the said cases were continued.

Ordered that the Cause be agreed until term on 10 ocll

Jas. L. Steisiger

Thursday April 8th 1895

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

A. A. Dawe — Plaintiff
vs — } Wm a bar Dismd.
E. W. Coleman et al. — Defendants

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed

Jno Ellyson — Plaintiff
vs — } In Case.
Warren Brown — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorney and the defendant files a special plea in writing to which the plaintiff replied generally and thereupon issue was joined

John Ellyson — Plaintiff
vs — } In Case.
Warren Brown — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit Jno. T. Thomas, Jno Brooks, W. B. Wolff, Jno C. Dabney, A. J. Moody, R. A. Williamson, A. G. Mason, Edgar Harrison, J. B. Miles, Thos D. Williams, S. S. Meredith, C. J. Brown who being tried and sworn to wrle and truly try the issue joined sitting before the Court and having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff & assess the damages at Forty one Dollars and Sixty Six and two third cents. The defendant by Counsel moved the Court to set aside the Judgment and grant a new trial upon the ground that the verdict is contrary to the law and the evidence which motion the Court overruled and entered Judgment accordingly with
Ss interest from the 8th day of April 1895 till paid & costs.

A. A. Dawe — Plaintiff
vs — } In Debt.
Sy of J. P. Gillian — Defendants

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that that the above case be dismissed

H. C. Workman Exr. — Plaintiff
vs. } Upon a Scire facias.
N. R. Hobbs. — Defdt } Summons

On the motion of the Plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Harper & M. — Plaintiff
vs. } Upon a Garnishment
D. P. Gibbs. — Defts } Assumpsit

Ordered that the above case be continued.

The Merchants Nat'l Bank for &c — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Bispham & wife. — Defdt

This day came the defendants by attorney & filed a special plea in writing, and thereupon the case is continued for the plaintiff until the next term of Court.

H. Mills Sheriff &c — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Jno. H. Grammer. — Defdt

Ordered that the above case be continued.

A. A. Dance — Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
R. C. Shue & wife. — Defts

Ordered that the Scire facias issued against E. Alvey for failing to attend at the last term of the Court as garnishee in the above case be dismissed.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock

Jam: C. Weisiger

Friday April 9th 1875

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

Ordered that the following to wit are hereby appointed Trustees of Olive Branch Church. Lewis Phil Walker. Gary Marshall. Mack Crump Wm Ampy W. H. Mumford Berry Hardaway & Austin Nicholas. It appearing to the Court that they have been duly elected by the proper Authority.

A A Dance — Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
T. W. Coleman Ed Coleman & Coleman — Defendants

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon come the following Jury Lewis L S Meredith A J Moody. C J. Brown. Jno T. Dabney. J B Miles. Jno S. Thomas W B Wolfe. R A Williamson. Edgar Harrison Ira Brooks. W A Spain & A G. Mason. who being selected tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court. and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court. and rendered the following verdict Lewis. "We the Jury find for the Plaintiff the Debt in the declaration mentioned with interest thereon from the 31st day of December 1872 till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two hundred Seventy five dollars and twenty two cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Drawn off

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors receive out of the County Treasury as follows for their services at this term of the Circuit Court. Jno S. Thomas $3. Ira Brooks $3 W A Spain $3. W B McGill $3. J T Dabney $3. A J Moody $3 R A Williamson $3. A G Mason $3 Edgar Harrison $3 Jno Elfring $3. J B Miles $3. Thos D Williams $2. L S Meredith $2 C J Brown $2.

The following accounts of L A Bispham & Peter Blair for services as county Jailor each for guarding Dixie Wilson in the County Jail were examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Board of Supervisors of the County for payment it appearing to the Court that said Guard was necessary on account of the insecurity of the Jail

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 O'clock

Jam: S. Weisiger

Saturday April 10th 1875

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Copy An account of Wm McFeid Jrumen against the Commonwealth amounting to $12.00 was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public accounts for payment.

Drawn off Ordered that the following Veniremen by allowed each the sum opposite their names for their attendance at this term of the court payable out of the County Treasury. Viz: A A Dance 50. S G Gillian 50. B D Keys 50. Archer Williams 50. Hewitt Wells 50. Geo Marsh 50. B B Brooks 50. Robt Fraser 50. W Bishop 50. Geo A Keys 50 Robt Gibbs 50. L Eberleman 50.

Copy Ordered that Henry Lemmins Sheriff be allowed the sum of ~~fourteen~~ Seventeen Dollars for his service during this term of the court payable out of the State Treasury it being $2.00 per day for himself and $1.00 per day for his Deputy.

Copy The Court doth allow to Henry Lemmins Jailer the sum of fifteen dollars it being the amount due for his public services as such during six months.

Copy The Court doth allow to B J Epes atty for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty five dollars for his services as such during six months.

The Court doth allow to A M Ogram Clerk of this Court the sum of Fifteen dollars for his services as such during six months.

Stuart Buchanan &c. Appellants } On an Appeal from the decision of the Board
vs. } of Supervisors of Dinwiddie
The County of Dinwiddie Appellee.

Dinwiddie County disallowing the claim of the appellants against the Appellee and which appeal was by an order of the County Court of Dinwiddie County removed from it to this Court.

This day came again the parties by their attorneys and having waived their right to have a jury for the trial of the cause and agreed that the whole matter of law and fact may be heard and determined and judgment given by the Court, and both parties being fully heard by their attorneys, and the evidence adduced and the return made out by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County of the proceedings in the case before the said Board with their decision thereon filed by the said Clerk together with the bond and all the papers in his possession with the Clerk of the County Court of Dinwiddie County being maturely considered and the Court having fully considered of its judgment to be rendered, it seems to the Court that there was error in the decision of the said Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County in disallowing the claim of the appellants against the appellee. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the decision of the said Board of Supervisors disallowing the said claim of the appellants against the said appellee be reversed and annulled, and the Court here now

proceeding to give such decision as the said Board of Supervisors ought to have given, it is further considered by the court here that the appellants recover of the appellee the sum of Three thousand Six hundred and Ninety five dollars and thirty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1873 till paid & their costs by them expended in the prosecution of their appeal aforesaid. Whereupon the appellee by Counsel states to the court that the appellee means to apply for a writ of error or supersedeas to correct the judgment, and on motion of the said appellee by Counsel the execution of the judgment is suspended for the period of ninety days from this day provided bond be given before the Clerk of this Court in the penalty of $5000. payable to the appellants with good security and with such conditions as the law directs. Upon the giving of which said bond the execution of the ~~same~~ said judgment shall be suspended accordingly for the said period of Ninety days. The appellee tendered a bill of exceptions which was signed and made part of the record.

An account of Henry Cannon against the Commonwealth of Va. amounting to $7.40 which was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified.

Copied. The Court doth allow to Henry Cannon Keeper of the C.H. the sum of fifteen dollars. during this and the two last terms of the Court it appearing that no allowance was made at said last two terms, payable out of public money.

An account of A.M. Ogden against the Commonwealth of Va. amounting to $2.50 for acting as Clerk at the trial of James Driggs is examined and allowed and certified to the Auditor of public accounts for payment.

All cases not otherwise disposed of are hereby continued until until the next term

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

Wm. J. Meisiger

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office April 9th 1875

Costs $3.37 Wm H Crowder Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Thomas B Hamlin Deft

This day Come the parties in their own proper person, and the defendant acknowledges the plaintiffs action for Thirteen hundred and thirty Seven dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirteen Hundred and thirty Seven dollars with legal interest thereon to be Computed from the 1st day of January 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office September 13th 1875.

Costs $1.90 William Ettenbrough Plaintiff
vs } In Debt
D. C. Bowden. Defendant

This day came the parties in their own proper person, and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for One hundred and twenty two dollars and forty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of June 1875 till paid. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty two dollars and forty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 18th day of June 1875 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Virginia

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Ct. House thereof on Tuesday the 5th day of October 1875 and of the Commonwealth the 100th.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term of the Court be limited to Sixteen.

Alexander Hamilton who having produced a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth, on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court whereupon the said Hamilton appeared in Court and took the oaths required by law.

On the motion of Edward Conway administrator the Estate of Jas. Boyd is committed to the hands of Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration it appearing to the Court that said Boyd has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for administration. J. L. Bipsam J. R. Bunch. Rilettie J. Smith Ex. Smith appraisers are sworn.

Ordered that the following cases be dismissed by direction of the Pltff atty. Lewis Branch Herbert & Co. vs Gideon Raisinville as Spain. No Debt

The Commonwealth of Va
vs
T. R. Wallace

Indictment
Pltff Prosecuting
Defendant
Felony

This day came the attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff, and the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner being called over and the Court being unable to make up a panel for the trial of the prisoner as qualified as the law directs. The Court ordered that an alias Venire facias be issued directed to the Sheriff commanding him to summon Twenty four additional jurors residing remote from the place where the felony is charged to have been committed of which the prisoner is accused and qualified in other respects to serve as jurors to appear before the Judge of this Court immediately to recognize in their oaths whether the prisoner be guilty or not guilty, and the Sheriff having returned the venire facias executed, the prisoner by Counsel moves the Court to quash the venire facias upon the ground that the venire facias commanded the Sheriff to summon four holders which motion the Court sustains and quashes the venire facias together with the paper thereto attached marked No. 1 and thereupon the Court directed a pluries venire venire facias to issue directed to the Sheriff of the County commanding him to summon Twenty four jurors of the County to appear before the Judge of the Court immediately to rendering remote from the place where the felony was charged to have been committed and qualified in other respects as jurors to recognize in their oaths whether the prisoner be guilty or not guilty, and the Sheriff having returned the venire facias executed, and the Jury so summoned by the Sheriff being called over a panel of Sixteen were formed duly qualified to serve as jurors and from

from legal exceptions, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the list the names of four of said jurors, the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit. Geo A Keys Alex Keys James Lacy Wm W. Stout, J E Snider, John Kirkland Thos A. Bass. W. F. Doyle. S E Vaider. W J. Davis Jno T. Crow. E. C. Powell. who being sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court and having partly heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn the jury were adjourned over until tomorrow 10 O'clock, and the following oath administered to R. T. J Spain & Peter Blessing Deputys for Henry Cousins Sheriff. "You shall well and truly keep this jury together and not allow them to separate and not speak to them yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into Court tomorrow morning, so help you God, and the Sheriff is ordered to take charge of the prisoner.

Drawn Off

A T Powell Foreman with H Graham. J Newman. H Gordon R P Moore. J W Olate. S S Davis. Thos H Pritchet. J H Spain. C M. Patterson J R Banks J H Rogsdale. J T Hadden. W S Reade. T H Thomas. W H Ellis. were empannelled and sworn a Grand Jury of inquest in and for the body of Dinwiddie County and having received their charge retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following presentments to wit. We the Jury present that Dan White, John Malone. Joseph Chappell, J H Brown. Ed Syms. J B Barnes. Alfred Green. S Gordon. Jack Williams. George Epes. Wm Scott Jno Bragg. S Johnson E Wilson. B Tucker. within the last twelve months in said County. did unlawfully attempt to intimidate and impede the Judge of said County in the administration of Justice in said Court on the evidence of the Hon R H Jones. sworn in Court sent before the grand jury.

We of the Grand Jury present that E J. Powell. did within the last twelve months cut down. a line tree between the Counties of Nottoway and Dinwiddie thereby obstructing a public road of Dinwiddie County. on the evidence of S. S. Davis sworn in Court sent before the grand Jury.

We the Grand Jury present that John H Pritchet on the 26th day of August 1895 in said County did unlawfully assault James Hargrave on the public roads of this County. on the testimony of James Hargrave sworn in Court sent before the Grand Jury.

We the Grand Jury present that R. D. Ruffin has been practicing law in the Courts of this Commonwealth within the last twelve months without having obtained the license required by law. and that said R D Ruffin appeared as Counsel in the case of the Commonwealth vs Alpha Mizigia & the case of the Commonwealth vs. Arthur Lewis in the County Court of Dinwiddie at its July term 1895 on the evidence of B J Epes & A M. Organ sworn in Court sent before the grand Jury, The Grand Jury having nothing further to present they were discharged.

Ordered that the Grand Jury at this term of the Court be allowed with the sum of One Dollar for their services to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Ordered that the foregoing presentments of the Grand Jury be certified to the circuit court of Dinwiddie for trial.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk.

Jam. J. Weisiger

Wednesday October 6th 1875

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Va
vs
T. R. Wallace

Prosecuting
Upon Indictment
Defendant

This day came again the attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff. and the jury again appeared in court according to adjournment, viz. Geo. A Keys, Alex Keys, James Dacy, Wm H. Street, J Elmonder, John Kirkland, Thos A Baf, W F Doyle, S E Vaiden, H J Davis, Jno T. Crow, E C Powell. and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel, and the court being about to adjourn the following oath was administered to C J Brown & R T J Spain Deputys for H Cousins Sheriff "You shall well and truly keep this jury and not speak to them yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into court so help you God. and the Sheriff is ordered to take charge of the prisoner;

The Commonwealth of Virginia
vs
T. R. Wallace

Prosecuting
Upon Indictment
Defendant

This day came again the attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and the jury appeared in court according to adjournment viz. Geo A Keys, Alex Keys, James Dacy, Wm H. Street, J Elmonder, John Kirkland, Thos A Baf, Wm F. Doyle, S. E. Vaiden, H J Davis, Jno T. Crow & E C Powell. and having heard the argument of Counsel retired to their room and after considerable spent therein returned into court. and reported that they could not agree upon a verdict and the court being about to adjourn the following oath was administered to R T J Spain & Chas J. Brown Deputys for H Cousins Sheriff, "You shall well and truly keep this jury together and not allow them separate and not speak to them yourselves nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into court tomorrow morning so help you God. and the Sheriff is ordered to take charge of the prisoner.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk Wade.

Jam. J. Weisiger

Thursday October 4th 1894

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

The Commonwealth of Va — Prosecutor
vs — } Upon Indictment
F. R. Wallace — Defendant

This day come again the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the prisoner was led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and the Venire appeared in Court according to adjournment. Geo A Keys. Alex Keys. James Lacy. Wm W. Street. J. E. Gerrider. John McKeand. Thos A. Bass. W. F. Doyle. S. E. Weiden. W. J. Davis. Jno T. Cox. E. C. Parrish and retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit. "We the Jury find the prisoner F. R. Wallace guilty as charged in the first Count of the within indictment and fix his term of imprisonment in the penitentiary and State Penitentiary at Eight years" and the prisoner is remanded to Jail.

Ordered that the following cases be continued Morgan vs Organ. Dame & Spencer. Harper & Lipsh. Edinburgh vs Adams. Adm. Dame & Dame. Cousins Sheriff &c vs Grammer.

On the motion of the Plaintiffs it is ordered that the following cases be dismissed. viz. Wyche Parker &c vs W. S. Berry. Publey wife vs Publey.

A A Dame — Plaintiff
vs — } In Debt.
R C Shell & O Shell & E Alvey — Defendants

This day came the parties by their attorney and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. S. S. Meredith. Mers Parker. H J Bowie. H J. Davis. Moses Fisher. Robert Dalney. T J Elder. Eli Hodgins. F M Jones. J T. Cox. R Alvey & E Alvey. who being sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room & after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit. We the Jury find for the Plaintiff the Debt & Interest in the Declaration subject to a credit of $18.60 as of January 1st 1894. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty Seven dollars and forty four cents with legal interest thereon from the 31st day of December 1892 till paid subject to a credit of $18.60 as of the 1st day of January 1894 and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Thos A. Dame — Plaintiff
vs — } In Debt.
J A Bishop — Defendant

This day come the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. T H Barnes. J A Waugh. Jno H. Moody. R H. Barnes. Jno C. Spain. Clement Waugh. E Alvey. R R Williams. W J. Puryear. A A Dame. Richd Gholson & Archer Williams. who being sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired

to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit, We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration subject to credit of $38.50 as of the 4th day of Oct 1895. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Sixty Dollars with interest on $150.00 part thereof from the 1st day of August 1890 till paid and on $40.00 the residue thereof from the 18th day of August 1892 till paid subject to the following credits to wit. $20.00 paid 15th Feby 1891. $20.00 paid 20 March 1891. $10.00 paid 4th Augt 1891. 98 cents paid Dec 12th 1891. & $38.50 paid 4th Oct 1895. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Thos R. Dewitt & A Bishop, W B Jones for pltff. Brough & Brough. Branch Herbert & Co. & Jas W Bain. This day came the defendants and plead payment to the Pltffs declaration to which the plaintiffs replied generally other issue was joined.

Wm B Jones who sues for B J Epes. Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
Clement Brough & James A. Brough Defts

This day came the ~~Attorneys~~ parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. E A Gray, A A Dance, T A Barnes, R H Barnes, Archer Williams, L W Moore, Sylvester Williams, J C Mann, W J Pryor, Edgerton R. R. Williams, Sylvester Williams, who being sworn to try and truly try the issue joined now before the Court having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned subject to the following credits to wit $25 20th Dec 1892. & $20 20th March 1893. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Seventy five Dollars with interest thereon from the 20th day of December 1892 till paid at the rate of 10 per cent per annum subject aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Wm Ettenbrough. Plaintiff
vs } In case.
Alb Weirston. Deft

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney, and the defendant not appearing to require a jury, and the plaintiff requiring none, the Court proceeded to assess the damages which the plaintiff has sustained by occasion of the nonfeasance in the declaration mentioned and to ascertain the time from which interest on the principal sum shall run. Whereupon the Court doth assess the said damages at Four hundred and two Dollars forty two cents and ascertain the time from which interest shall run on the same to be the 13th day of January 1895. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his damages aforesaid as aforesaid, with interest thereon to be computed from the said 13th day of January 1895 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

Jas J. Weisiger

Friday October 8th 1875

Present Hon. S. S. Weisiger Judge

The Court doth allow to B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty five Dollars for his services during six months

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Sheriff the sum allowed by law for his services during six months, it being proper day for Burwell Clay & Allen the day during this term of the court

The Court doth allow to H Cousins Jailor the sum fifteen dollars for his services as such during six months.

The Court doth allow to H Cousins Keeper of the Asylum for services

~~The Court doth allow to B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth the sum of $25.00 for his services during the last six months.~~

The Court doth allow to A M Organ Clerk the sum of Fifteen dollars it being for services during the last six months.

An account of A M Organ for $30 for acting as Clerk at the trial of T R Wallace chgd with felony registering said account examined & allowed rectified.

An account of Wm M Reid & the Commonwealth county to $36.00 for Board of Irvin Cornett & Wallace exd and allowed rectified

An account of H Cousins Sheriff county to $36.00 for Board of Irvin Cornett & Wallace exd and allowed rectified.

M E Gilliam Exor of Jno H Gilliam for the benefit of J A Johnston — Plaintiff

vs — In Debt.

N E Spears Exor of H E Spears decd — Deft

This day came the plaintiff by her attorney and thereupon came the following Jury to wit: J C Spears, M J Puryear, Geo A Gray, I M Burfoot, J T Elder, W J Bivill, S L Meredith, Stroud Fisher, R A Spears, R H Barnes, T H Barnes, Moses Parker, who being sworn to enquire of damages in the case now before the Court, and having heard the evidence and argument of counsel retired to the following verdict to wit: We the Jury find for the plaintiff and assess her damages at Eighty nine Dollars with legal interest from Dec 25th 1861 till paid subject to a credit of $11.00 paid Sept 7th 1868 & judgment accordingly.

The Court doth allow the Sheriff agent of the State to assist in conveying T R Wallace convicted of felony at this term to the Penitentiary.

B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth presents an account against the Commonwealth for prosecuting to conviction T R Wallace chgd with felony at this term of the Court amounting to $10.00 and allowed and ordered to be certified to the auditor of public accounts for payment.

R. H. Bainsin Ex

vs

John H. Bain.

Ordered that the above case be cont'd generally until the next term of the Court

Mitchell Hall Bank for Dft

vs

Benjamin Wife.

Ordered the above case be continued for the plaintiff & it is ordered that a Rule be issued agt the Plaintiff to appear before the Court at its next term to shew cause why the said case should not be prosecuted with or dismissed

The Commonwealth of Va — Prosecution

vs — }

D. R. Wallace. — Defendant

D R Wallace who was convicted of Felony on yesterday was this day again led to the bar in Custody of the Sheriff and it being asked of the prisoner if he had or knew anything for himself to say why the Court should not now proceed to pronounce Sentence against him according to law and nothing being offered or alleged in delay of judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that that the said D R Wallace be imprisoned in the public jail and Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth for the term of Eight years the term by the jurors in their verdict ascertained, and it is ordered that the Sheriff of this County do as soon as practicable after the adjournment of this Court remove and safely convey the said D. R. Wallace from the jail of this County to the Public jail and Penitentiary house of this Commonwealth therein to be kept imprisoned and treated in the manner directed by law and the Sheriff is ordered to take charge of the prisoner.

Order

Ordered that the following petit jurors be allowed as follows for their services at this term of the Court. D. Eldridge $4, Jno Stott $1. Dan Prosser $2. R A Gunn $4. H Crowder $1. Sol Mendrick $4 R Dabney $4 W J Berry $3. F Jones $1. G Gray $4. Isaac Fisher $4. W J Elder $4 W J Davis $4. Miles Parker $4. J T Crow $3. R A Bendall $1. E Gray $2. A A Dance $2. F H Bass $2. R W Barney $2. Archer Williams $2. W Moody $2. S G Gillam $1. Robt Nolen $2. Jno O Shaw $2. M J Pinson $2. E Gruder $1. R R Williams $1. B Mills $2,

Order

Order

Ordered that the following sums be allowed as follows for their services to be paid out of the County Treasury viz. Henry Epes 50. S S Mendrick 50. V Hogan 50. Chas Jordan 50. J B Hitchcock 50. Jas Stewart 50. J H G Day 50. J B Booth 50. J B Jones 50. W J Elder 50. A J Baugh 50. V A Rice 50. H T Wright 50. R D Taylor 50. A A Dance 50. W J Berry 50. D S Stewart 50

Order

An account of A Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for Supp. Venire & Concurrent entitled to this term of the Court amts $230 1x and allowance on file to the auditor of public accounts for payment

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and the same is hereby continued.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until the 1st day of next term.

Jam. J. Strieiger

Office Judgments Confirmed October 8th 1875

Costs $9.45
Fi fa 12th Oct.

M B Branch & J H Herbert late partners in trade under the name and firm of Branch Herbert & Co. who sue for the benefit of R. H. L. Rawls & E. W. Cooper partners in trade under the name and firm of R. H. L. Rawls & Co.

Plaintiffs
} In Debt.
Defendant

vs

W D Parham.

The judgment obtained at rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Eight Dollars and forty five cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1874 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.05
Fi fa 12th Oct.

M. L. Wheeler

Plaintiff
} In Debt.
Defendants

vs

H. C. Williamson & J. B. Miles.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty four dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1875 till paid and also his costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.56
Fi fa 12 Oct.

James Graham & Samuel Martin merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of James Graham & Co.

Plaintiffs
} In Case.
Defendant

vs

J. G. McCall.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty four Dollars & twelve cents with legal interest thereon to be computed from the 21st day of December 1874 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $9.25
Fifa 12th Oct.

R. S. Wynn who sues for the benefit of A. L. Moore and B. J. Eyer. — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt.
Richd Wilson J. B. Stroud J. P. Wells & W. S. Williamson. — Defendants.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred and fifteen dollars with interest thereon from the 23d day of March 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to credit of $35.00 paid 23d September 1868.

√√

Costs $8.05
Fifa 12th Oct.

Joseph S. Budd Commissioner in the suit of Miles Kings heirs vs Miles Kings heirs. — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt.
Cly Zelmer & J. C. Brenden — Defts

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four hundred and fifty two dollars with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1872 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

√√

Costs $7.45
Fifa 12th Oct.

Jno E. Nyche & Henry Parker Copartners trading under the firm and style of Nyche & Parker — Plaintiffs
vs. — In Debt
J. G. McCall. — Deft

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and twenty three dollars & fifty seven cents with interest thereon from the 28th day of May 1875 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

√√

Costs $7.70
Fifa 12th Oct.

R. W. S. Ranson & E. K. Cooper partners in trade under the name and firm of R. W. S. Ranson & Co. — Pltffs
vs. — In Case
Thomas B. Hamlin. — Defts

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum Sixty five dollars & thirty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1874 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

√√

Costs $7.75
Fifa 12th Oct.

W. R. Connelly — Plaintiff
vs. — In Debt.
J. H. Reney Co Deft with J. M. Tuckter. — Defendant

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff

recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty six dollars and Eighty nine cents with interest thereon from the 21st Oct 1892 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ff 745 — R D Dickerson Sometimes called Trustee of M E Harrison — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
J W Harper — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars and thirty three cents with interest from the 25th day of February 1894 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ca, Ff 745 — M S Wheeler — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Nathaniel Baird — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and twenty four dollars with interest from the 1st day of January 1895 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

B G Bussman — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
W L Parham & R M Spencer Sureties of obligors of themselves & H J Parham — Defts

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty dollars with interest thereon from the 16th day of September 1866 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended Credit by $40.00 paid 28th Apl 1871.

Costs Ff 745 Fifa 12th Oct. — A G Barnes — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Wm S Reese — Defendant

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty three Dollars and Eighty one cents with legal interest thereon from the 13th day of December 1861 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs Ff 745 Fifa 12 Oct. — Howard Machine Company — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt.
Wm E Morris — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover

against the defendant the sum of Forty five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 10th day of July 1893 till paid; and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to a credit of $20.00 paid 28th August 1893.

Costs $7.45
Fi fa 12th Oct.

R. W. & R. Rasin & E. K. Cooper Partners in trade under the firm of R. W. & R. Rasin & Co. — Plaintiffs
vs
William E. Morris. — Defendant
} In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and nineteen dollars and fifty six cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of August 1893 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45
Fi fa 12th Oct.

D. B. Markham — Plaintiff
vs
Thos. A. Coleman. — Deft
} In Debt.

The judgment obtained at the rules in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy four Dollars with interest thereon from the 2d day of November 1867 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerk's Office Nov 15th 1895 —

J. W. Harper — Plaintiff
vs
D. A. Wells. — Defendant
} In Debt.

This day came the parties and the defendant acknowledges the plaintiff's action for the sum of Seventy two dollars and twenty four cents with interest thereon from the 4th day of June 1895 till paid. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Seventy two dollars and twenty four cents with interest as aforesaid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Virginia; At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Friday the 9th day of April 1896 and of the Commonwealth the 120th

Present Hon J. J. Weisiger Judge.

Upon the petition of Thos Walker duly sworn to by him it is ordered that A. M. Organ Clerk of the County Court of the County of Dinwiddie after having been previously served with a copy of this order do appear here on the 3d day of the present term of this Court and show cause if any he can why the Commonwealths writ of Mandamus should not be awarded him the said Thos Walker commanding him the said A. M. Organ Clerk as aforesaid in accordance with the act of Assembly in such case made and provided to issue to the said Thos Walker a license to marry one Laura Elam a white woman over the age of twenty one years.

Upon the petition of Thos Walker duly sworn to by him it is ordered that A. M. Organ Clerk of the County Court after having been previously served with a copy of this order do appear here on the 3d day of the present term of this Court and show cause if any he can why the Commonwealths writ of Mandamus should not be awarded him the said Thos Walker commanding him the said A. M. Organ Clerk as aforesaid in accordance with the act of Assembly in such case made and provided to issue to the said Thos Walker a license to marry one Georgianna Ellis a white woman over the age of twenty one years.

Wm Ellinstrength Plaintiff
vs. } Ex Case.
P. E. Gill Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant the sum of Seventy nine dollars and fifty cents with interest on Seven Dollars and fifty cents from the 1st day of January 1893 and on Thirty six dollars from the 1st day of January 1894 till paid & on Thirty six dollars the residue thereof from the 1st day of January 1895 till paid & thereafter it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of $79.50 with interest as aforesaid & also his costs by him expended. Subject to credit of $11.63 as of the 9th day of April 1896

M B Branch of H Herbert late partners in trade under the name and firm of Branch Herbert Ples. who sue for the benefit of R. H. L. Rasin & E. K. Cooper partners in trade under the name & firm of R. H. L. Rasin Ples

Plaintiffs
vs } In Debt
Jno W. Rasin Defe

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit Geo D Barkesville Wm F Doyle F Palmer J H Lee A B Gordon T H Bulpass P Elgin J E Dougherty J M Heavenor Wm M Lewis J H Bulpass Hen W Chappell who being tried and sworn to try and

truly try the issue joined now before the Court and having fully heard the evidence and argument retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit We the Jury find for the Plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned to wit: One Hundred and twenty Dollars and Eighty five cents with interest from the 1st day of November 1893 subject to a credit of Thirty dollars and ninety two cents paid Nov 30th 1894. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of One Hundred and twenty dollars and Eighty five cents with interest as aforesaid, subject to the aforesaid credit and also their costs by them about this suit in this behalf expended

Isaac Jackson

v.

Edward Hardaway. This day came the Defendant &c & the deft saith that he did not assume upon himself, in the manner and form as the Pltff against him hath complained, and of this he putteth himself upon the Country and the Pltff likewise. and the said Deft at the same time filed notice of set off.

Jolly & ass.

v.

Doyle & Rives.

Pltff's Int debt.

Defts

This day &c and the defts saith that they have well and truly paid the debt in the Deer mentioned and of this he putteth himself upon the Country & the Pltff likewise.

D.G. Williams, Foreman with W.D. Brown. J.T. Brooks. A.O. Saidem. Jno H. Fisher. Ro Ford. Hamon Brady. A.O. Green. W.T. Barnes. W.E. Gresham. D.W. Bushfield. Albert Lewis. E.A. Gray. B.B. Brooks. A.L. Cabaniss. R.W. Grissett. Jno H. Rose. M.E. Bishop. Jno Eggong & Eli Goodwyn who being sworn a Grand Jury of inquest in and for the body of the county and having received their charge retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following presentments to wit,

Dismissed Pltff

We the Grand Jury present William Bland Jr. for an unlawful assault and Battery upon the person of Mary Marable in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months upon the evidence of Mary Marable. Milly Ampy & Rosetta Claiborne. sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury.

We the Grand Jury present Wm Bland Jr. for unlawfully lewdly & lasciviously cohabiting and associating with Sarah Marable they not being married to each other in the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months upon the evidence of Mary Marable. Milly Ampy and Rosetta Claiborne sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury.

We the Grand Jury present Sarah Marable for unlawfully lewdly & lasciviously cohabiting and associating with Wm Bland Jr. together they not being married to each other within the County of Dinwiddie within the last twelve months upon the evidence of Mary Marable. Milly Ampy and Rosetta Claiborne sworn in open Court and sent before the Grand Jury.

The Grand Jury having nothing further to present they are discharged. It is ordered that they be allowed each the sum of One Dollar for their service at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Ordered that the foregoing presentments of the Grand Jury be entered on the County Court of Dinwiddie County.

Wm. T. Jolly adm. of W. T. Jolly Sr. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
J. T. Doyle & Als. Heirs. Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendants say that they can not further gainsay the plaintiffs action and in their motion they are allowed to withdraw their plea. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty three dollars and twelve cents with legal interest from Sept 24th 1866. till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit expended. Subject to the following credits $40.00 paid 16th Oct 1866 & $6.00 paid 16th Oct 66.

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the following cases be dismissed viz. Bloan adm & Hobbs. Dunn & Dunn Dunn for &c & Dunn. Beard for & Hobbs Fisher. Perkins adm. and & Hobbs & Lewis. Cheatham adm. & Lewis Hobbs. Beard for &c & Lucy Hobbs. Dunn & Hobbs. Wyche & Parker & King Publishing & Publishing.

Ordered that the following cases be continued until the next term of this court. Morgan &c & Morgan Dunn & Spencer Dunn & Dinwiddie Co. Mays Exr & Meredith. Dunn & Dunn. Ettenbrough & Adams admr Dunn & Dunn. Drewry Barkley & Cousins.

Ordered that this court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jam. J. Treisiger

Saturday April 8th 1876

Present Hon S S Wiseger Judge

Ordered that Peter Blessing be and he is hereby appointed a Commissioner in Chancery for the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County whereupon the said Blessing appeared in Court and took the oath prescribed by law.

Wm Ettenbrough. Plaintiff
vs } Upon Attachment.
A M Winston. Deft

The plaintiff having obtained an attachment against of the deft and the Sheriff having returned that he executed the said attachment on funds in the hands of A.M. Organ summoned him as a garnisher this day came the plaintiff by his attorney. Whereupon came also Dinwiddie County Wm Daniel Atty General of the State of Va and claimed the funds and the said Garnisher being sworn answered that he had nothing in his hands belonging to the Deft. Therefore it is considered that the said attachment be dismissed and that the plaintiff pay the costs.

Copies Ordered that B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth be allowed the sum of Twenty five dollars the sum allowed by law for his services during the last six months.

Copies The Court doth allow to H Cousins Sheriff the sum ~~of Eight dollars allowed by law for his services during the last six mon~~ it being two dollars per day for himself and one deputy during this term of the Court.

Copies The Court doth allow to H Cousins Jailor the sum allowed by law for his services during the last six months.

The Court doth allow A.M. Organ Clerk of this Court the sum of Fifteen dollars, the sum allowed by law for his services during six months.

Copies The Court doth allow to H Cousins Sheriff Keeper of the Co. the sum of four Dollars for his attendance in the Court keeping the Co. in order during this term.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be paid as follows for their services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County Treasury viz: Geo O Barkesdale T H Bispham P Elgee Bev. Jno E Bevgrove T A Jones ~~W H Lee~~ A B Glendwyn J M Stan W T Doyle J M Stanton Wm M Jones Geo A Chappell Sr Bispham.

Dismissed

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and hereby continued until the next term.

Merchts Nat Bank for Va Pltff
vs } Motion
Bispham & wife Deft

It appearing to the Court that the Rule against the

Plaintiff's suit has been duly executed & the Plaintiff being solemnly called and not appearing it is ordered that the said cause be dismissed & it is ordered that the defts recover their costs.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

James Strisinger

## Office Judgments Confirmed April 8th 1896.

---

Costs $9.90 — R.W. & Rawson & E.K. Cooper partners in trade under name and firm of R.W. & Rawson & Co. — Plaintiffs

vs — In Case.

John J. Mitchell. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside. and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Four hundred and Sixty two dollars and ninety two cents, with interest on $462.82 from 1st Nov 1894 to 7th June 1895 & on $349.77 from 7th June 1895 to Feby 18th 1896. and on $249.77 from February 18th 1896 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. Said judgment is entitled to the following credits $113.15 paid June 7th 1895 & $100.00 paid Feby 18th 1896.

Costs $9.45 — The same. — Plaintiffs

vs — In Debt.

William Satchfield. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty one dollars and fifty six cents. with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1895 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $9.45 — The same. — Plaintiffs

vs — In Debt.

Robert Mesatrco. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of Thirty four Dollars & Ninety nine cents. with interest from the 1st day of December 1895 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit expended.

Costs $9.45 — The same. — Plaintiffs

vs — In Debt.

E. O. Pegram — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside

and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty two dollars and forty cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Nov. 1895 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs. ff. 4s) The same — Plaintiffs, vs. In Debt
Wm Spain. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty five dollars and thirty seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of August 1895 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

ff. 4s) The same — Plaintiffs, vs. In Debt
William B Ritchie. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars and Twenty four cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of Dec 1895 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

ff. 4s) The same — Plaintiff, vs. In Debt
C.C. Bishop. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty two dollars and Eighty cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of May 1894 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs ff. 4s — The same. — Plaintiff, vs. In Debt
Jas P. Tucker. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and thirty three dollars and fifty five cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1894 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs ff. 7 4s — John L. Crawford — Plaintiff, vs. In Debt
W. E. H. Tucker. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff

recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and three dollars with interest thereon from the 20th day of January 1867 the same also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

J J

Costs. No. 45) J. Andrew White & T. Carling & B. A. McKenney merchants & partners under the firm and style of White Carling & Co. Plaintiffs

vs. } In Debt.

R. J. Tucker. Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the Defendant the sum of Sixty nine dollars and seventeen cents with interest from the 2d day of April 1894 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

J J

No. 45) R. Coleman & R. A. Coleman administrators of R. A. Bierman dec'd sometimes called agent for R. W. L. Dawson & Co. Plaintiffs,

vs. } In Debt.

John J. Mitchell. Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Seven hundred and Seventy four dollars and twenty one cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1895 till paid; also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

J J

No. 45) Charles F. Collier and Joseph S. Budd Copartners carrying on business under the firm and style of Collier & Budd sometimes called Attorneys for the Dome Rock Gin Company. Plaintiffs

vs. } In Debt.

John J. Mitchell. Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the Plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Six hundred and Eighty Dollars and forty cents with interest from the 4th day of December 1895 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

J J

No. 45) Robert C. Elder Plaintiff

vs. } In Debt

Thomas B. Elder Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum Seventy two dollars and twenty Eight cents with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of December 1891 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit expended.

J J

Costs. $4.50 M B & Branch of A Herbert late partners in trade under the name & firm of Branch Herbert & Co. who sues for the benefit of R W & Rain & E K Cooper partners in trade under the firm style of R W & L Rain & Co

vs

R A. Perkins

The judgment obtained in the Clerk Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty three dollars and fifty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1876 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

√√

$7.55 A J Mearturle admr of Jno H. Slate dec'd — Plaintiff — In Debt

vs

John H Slate. — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and sixty seven dollars and nine cents with interest from 1st March 1870 till paid. and also his costs expended. c by $15.00 paid 18th May 1871.

√√

Costs. $8.50 P A Tilleway — Plaintiff — In Debt

vs

E J Graham & John W Speers — Defendants

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Four Hundred Dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of August 1872 till paid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

√√

Costs $7.70 Petersburg Car Works. — Plaintiff — In case.

vs

Jno J. Mitchell. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of One Hundred & Eighty Eight dollars and forty nine cents with interest on $100.49 from June 1st 1875 till paid on $20.00 from June 9 1875 on $20.00 from July 9th 1875 & on $20.00 from Aug 20th 1875 on $20.00 from Oct 15th 1875 till paid & also the costs expended. But this judgment is subject to credit of $100.00 from Feb 6 1876.

√√

Costs. $7.45 Theo Crowder — Plaintiff — In Debt

vs

J C. Benson. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Seven Dollars and forty Six cents with interest thereon from 3d day of July 1874 till paid at the rate of 8 per cent per annum.

√√

Costs. fee. 45 — R. C. Shell & A. J. Heartwell — Plaintiffs
vs — In Debt
J. T. Morris — Defdt

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Dollars with interest thereon from the 7th day of March 1893 till paid also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs. $7.45 — A. W. Wright — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
Jas A. Brough — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and seven dollars and sixty cents with interest thereon from the 28th day of November 1895 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended: Subject to the following credits. $35.00 & $40.00

Costs. $7.45 — J. Crowder — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
C. E. H. Tucker — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and five dollars and ninety three cents with interest from the 5th day of January 1895 till paid costs.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office May 25 1896.

$365 — Wm Ettenbrough — Plaintiff
vs — In Debt
John B. Strand — Defdt

This day came the parties and the defendant acknowledged the Plaintiffs action for the sum of One Hundred and forty four dollars and twenty three cents with interest thereon from the 2d day of May 1896 till paid. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of One hundred and forty four dollars and twenty three cents with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office 21st August 1876.

#615 Saml D Davis — Pltff
vs — In Debt.
Charles Brown. — Deft

This day came, as well the Plaintiff, by his attorney as the defendant, By S. D Davis his attorney and thereupon the said Defendant by his attorney aforesaid, acting under a Power of Attorney, under the hand and seal of the Defendant, acknowledgeth the Plaintiffs action for One hundred Dollars with interest from the 21st day of August 1876 till paid; Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of One hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of August 1876 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Virginia,

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Thursday the 5th day of October 1876 and of the 101st.

Present Hon S. S. Wiseiger Judge.

C. F. Collier & J. S. Budd Attys for the Daniel Poole Gin Company — Pltffs — Upon a motion on a forfeited S & B.
vs
Jno J. Mitchell & A. G. Mitchell — Defts
Motion heard and continued.

W. B. Branch of J. H. Herbert late merchants, & partners trading under the firm & style of Branch & Herbert &c. — Plaintiffs — Upon a motion on a forfeited Forthcoming or Delivery Bond.
vs
John H. Bain & Jno H. Bass — Defts

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendants being solemnly called but came not, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of Two Hundred and forty five dollars and thirty Eight cents the penalty of said bond, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment may be discharged by the payment of One hundred and twenty five Dollars and sixty nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of June 1876 till paid and costs.

R. A. Connally &c. — Plaintiff — Upon Ejectment.
vs
Jno B. Stand — Defts

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleaded not guilty to the Plaintiffs declaration to which the Plaintiff replied generally & issue was thereupon joined.

Charles J Calmisk Foreman. Wm P. Branch. D. E. Conway J. T. Brooks. Thos Branch. R M Stamper. W. E. Gresham. D. A Burkfield. J. G. Bickerson. C. M. Powell. J. H Raysdale. Geo A. Keys. Cor Coker. W. E. Harris. James Hays. A. C. Calmisk. John Bishop. J. D. Allen. & D. L. Barnes who being tried and sworn a body of Inquest in and for the body of Dinwiddie County and having received their Charge retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported that they had nothing to present whereupon they were discharged and it is ordered that there be allowed each the sum of one dollar for their services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County Treasury.

Copied

$3 65 R. W. L. Rawlings & E. K. Cooper partners in trade under the name & firm of R. W. L. Rawlings & Co Plaintiffs

vs

W. T. Gee. Deft } In Debt.

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant by A. D. Hamilton his attorney in fact, and thereupon the said defendant by his said attorney acting under a Power of Attorney under the hand and seal of said defendant acknowledged the Plaintiffs action for Thirty Dollars and fifty one cents with interest from the 16th day of August 1898 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of Thirty dollars and fifty one cents with interest as aforesaid & costs.

$3 65 Joseph Crowder. Plaintiff

vs

J. W. P. Pool. Deft } In Debt.

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant in his own proper person, and thereupon the said defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for One Hundred Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 24th day of May 1893 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest as aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

I Andrew White. C. T. Corling and R. A. McKenney partners in trade under the name and style of White, Corling & Co. Pltffs

vs

A. G. Mitchell. Deft } In Case.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney and the defendant by R. B. Jones Jr his attorney and the said defendant by his said attorney acknowledgeth the plaintiffs action for the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned to wit the sum of One hundred and thirty nine dollars and nine cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of March 1895 till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the said defendant the said sum of One hundred and thirty nine dollars and nine cents with interest as aforesaid and costs.

360

150

210

100 + M J Thomas

50 H W Crow

210 May Mining Ale Gov

360

D/S

314.00
211.25

6.28

R — 370.00

N. 425.50

1320.75

7.40 8.51.00

4/1256
314-00

211.25
2
422.50

4/845
211.25

/1480
370

1702
425.50

James Morgan als. Pltf

vs

A M Organ

On the motion of the appellants it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Geo A Connelly & als. Plaintiffs

vs } In Ejectment

John B Strond. Defdt

This day came the parties by their attorneys and there came also the following jury to wit. Geo D Baskerville R Algeo J B Thrift. J W Bingham. C W Bistro. C B Aldridge. Albert Lewis Cy Lee. Claiborne Spain. A B Goodwyn. Jas A Fisher & Robert A. Lewis. who being tried and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the Court and having heard the evidence and the Court being about to adjourn they were adjourned over until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk

Yann J Weisiger

Friday October 6th 1876.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Geo A Connelly als. Plaintiffs

vs } In Ejectment.

John B. Strond. Defendant

This day came again the parties by their attorneys and the jury sworn in this case on yesterday again appeared whom according to their adjournment to wit. Geo D Baskerville. R Algeo. J B. Thrift. J W Bingham. C W Bistro. C B. Aldridge. Albert Lewis. Cy. Lee. Claiborne Spain. A B Goodwyn. Jas A Fisher & Robert A Lewis, and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit "We the jury find for the pltffs the premises as specified in the declaration, and we further find that the plaintiffs William Walker and Robert Walker each have an undivided one third share in fee simple in said lands. and that the plaintiff Martha F. Connelly has a life undivided one third share therein subject to the marital rights of the plaintiff George A Connelly in her said one third share. which said rights we find that said George A Connelly has as her husband. We further assess the damages of the plaintiffs at Seventy dollars. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the premises in the verdict of the jury mentioned and also the sum of Seventy Dollars. the damages by the jury in their verdict ascertained. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. to which judgment of the Court the Defendant by Counsel excepts and tendered his bill of exceptions and prayed the Court to sign and seal the same which is accordingly done and made a part of the record.

T. E. Harrison
vs
Jno Harper.

This day comes &c. and the defendant saith, that he did not assume upon himself in manner and form as the pltff against him hath complained and of this he puttetth himself upon the County, and the plaintiff likewise.

J. H. D. Pool. Plaintiff
vs } In Case.
John Hardy. Deft

This day comes &c. and the defendant saith that he is not guilty of the trespass in the declaration mentioned in manner and form as the plaintiff against him hath complained and of this he puttetth himself upon the County and the Plaintiff likewise.

Drury & Barkley. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Henry Cousins. Deft

On the motion of the Plaintiff attorney it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Jno Reid Adm. D.C. Jones. dec Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
A. W. Cousins. Deft

On the motion of the Plaintiff attorney it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

T. E. Harrison. Plaintiff
vs } In Case.
J. W. Harper. Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came also a jury to wit. Geo. Barkesdale R. A. Gunn J. B. Wright J. W. Bispham. C. W. Bristow C. B. Aldridge. Albert Lewis Cy Sen. Claiborne Spain A. B. Gordon Jas. A. Fisher. and Robert A. Lewis. who sworn to try the issue joined now before the Court and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel. retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported that they were unable to agree upon a verdict whereupon the name of one of said jurors was withdrawn & the case continued.

Clark Adams & Co. Plaintiff
vs } In
J. H. McCall. Defts

This day came &c. and the defendant saith that he did not assume upon himself in manner and form as the plaintiff against him hath complained and of this he puttetth himself upon the County and the plaintiff likewise.

J. J. Townsend. Plaintiff
vs } In Case
J. W. Harper. Deft

This day came &c. the defendant pleads non assumpsit & filed a bill of offset which he desires to form a issue upon jointly & continued.

Ordered that the following cases be continued viz Demos v Dill Co Mayo Eqn v Meredith Same v. Meredith Eltzenbaugh v Adams an Same v Same Lewis Sheriff v Grammer Jackson v Hardaway

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Court 10 O'clk

Jam. J. Weisiger

Saturday October 7th 1876

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Geo A. Connally mlo. vs Jno B. Strand — Plaintiff, Deft — In Equit.

This day came again the defendant by Counsel and moved the Court to set aside the Judgment rendered in this case on yesterday and grant him a new trial, which motion the Court overruled.

On the motion of Wm F. Bamer the Executor named in the last will and testament of Mary O'Young dec'd who made oath thereto and ~~complied~~ qualified and acknowledged bond in the penalty of One thousand dollars conditioned according to law without security the will requiring none. Certificate is granted him for obtaining a probate of said will in due form.

Thos Walker vs A M. Organi Clerk of Dinwiddie County Court — Upon Petition for Mandamus.

This day came the parties and the Court having fully heard the evidence is of opinion that the said Thomas Walker is a person of mixed blood having less than one fourth of negro blood. It is order that a writ of Mandamus issue commanding the said A M. Organi Clerk to issue a license for the marriage of said Walker according to the prayer of his said petition.

Thos Walker vs A M. Organi Clerk of Dinwiddie County Court — Upon Petition for Mandamus.

This day came the parties and the Court having fully heard the evidence is of opinion that the said Thomas Walker is a person of mixed blood having less than one fourth of negro blood It is order that a writ of Mandamus issue commanding the said A M. Organi Clerk to issue a license for the marriage of said Walker according to the prayer of his said petition.

Copied & Delivered

The Court doth allow B. J. Eggers attorney for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services during six months.

Proff The Court doth allow to A. Cousins Sheriff Eighteen dollars it being two dollars per day for himself and two Deputies during this term of the Court.

Proff The Court doth allow to A. Cousins Jailer the sum allowed by law for his services during six months.

Proff The Court doth allow to A. Cousins Sheriff the sum of five dollars for his attendance on the Court during this term.

The Court doth allow to A. M. Organ Clerk the sum allowed by law for his services during six months.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be paid out of the County by a fourth term. C. W. Bristow Jr. Jas A. Fisher Jr. Geo. D. Baskerville Jr. Albert Lewis Jr. Lewis R. A Jr. Somers D. Sh. Jas W. Bristow Jr. C. G. Lee Jr. Claiborne Spain Jr. Robert Dalby Jr. R. A. Green Jr. Jno. E. Shrift Jr. C. B. Aldridge Jr. J. B. Chandler Jr.

Order

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be continued until the next term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term of the Court.

Jam. J. Theisiger

# Office Judgments Confirmed October 7th 1876.

Costs $7.45 W. B. Branch. Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
J. H. Boisseau. Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum Eighty three dollars & Eleven cents with interest thereon from the 22d day of September 1874 till paid at the rate of 10 per cent per annum. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.
Cr by $20-00 paid 11th March 1875 and $7.41 paid Septr 21st 1874.

Costs $7.45 Alexander Dennan Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Aline Goodwyn Surviving obligor of A M. Goodwyn dec'd & James Ennis dec'd. Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Twenty five dollars with interest thereon at the rate of 10 per centum per annum from the 5th day of August 1873 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45 T. Crowder. Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
N Connis Sheriff of Dinwiddie County & as such administrator of A M Goodwyn dec'd. Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Sum of One hundred and Seventy two dollars and fifty seven cents with interest thereon from the 4th day of February 1875 till paid. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the deceased in the hands of the defendant if so much thereof he hath to be administered.

Costs $7.45 James W. Allison & E. B. Addison Jr merchants and partners trading under the name & firm of Allison & Addison Plaintiffs
vs. } In Debt.
Wm E Morris. Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the Sum of Seventy six dollars and Seventy four cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1874 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

B W Benson Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt
Barney E Wells & Wm F. Barnes. Defts

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the

plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of sixty dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1895 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45

M. B. Branch & J. H. Herbert late merchants and partners in trade under the name & firm of Branch Herbert & Co. who sue for the benefit of R. W. L. Ranieri & E. K. Cooper merchants and partners in trade under the name and firm of R. W. L. Ranieri & Co.

Plaintiffs

vs

S. G. Gillian

Defd

3 fa Debt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and twenty nine dollars and thirty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of November 1893 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. Cr by J. Sig. 32 fees October 5th 1895.

Costs $8.05

R. A. Lane Jr Commissioner &c.

Plaintiff

vs

John S. Scott and John J. Mitchell

Defendants

3 fa Debt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum Three Hundred and Sixty six dollars and Sixty six cents with interest thereon from the 17th day of November 1893 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45

Alexander Lawson Bankrupt Collector Comm. in lieu of Jacob's Pool

Plaintiff

vs

John J. Peterson

Defd

3 fa Debt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eleven Hundred and seventy two dollars and seventy eight cents with interest thereon from the 2nd day of January 1895 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45

Samuel Stell

Plaintiff

vs

Dennis O'Reilly

Defendant

3 fa Debt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Five Hundred and fifty dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of Jan. 1896 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended

Costs. $5.00 $103. Thorpe Administrator of Oct. Thorpe — Plaintiff

vs

George W. Arnold and William Arnold surviving obligors of Thompson and J. T. Colby deceased — Defts

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Two Hundred Dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of February 1873 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Mr. Wells — Plaintiff

vs

R. J. Hamm & W. J. King — Defts

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Eighty five dollars with interest from the 25th day of December 1866 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerk's Office February 5th 1877

$3 65 — R. M. Spencer — Plaintiff

vs

David A. Harmon & M. L. King — Defendants

This day came the plaintiff in his own proper person and did the defendants D. A. Harmon & the defendant M. L. King by A. M. Ogden his attorney in fact acting under a power of attorney under the hand & seal of the said defd M. L. King & confess in judgment the plaintiff action as did the said Defd Harmon for the sum of One Hundred and five dollars and ninety five cents with interest thereon from the 27th day of May 1876 till paid at the rate of 8 per cent per annum. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of One hundred and five dollars and ninety five cents with interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Virginia,

At a circuit Court held for Dinwiddie County at the Courthouse thereof on the 9th day of April 1877 and of the Commonwealth the 101st.

Present Hon S. S. Wingfield Judge.

R. D. Dunlop Gentleman having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court whereupon the said Dunlop appears in Court and took the oath required by law.

W. B. Branch of A. Herbert who sues for the benefit of R. & L. Rasin & Co. Plaintiff } Upon a motion for a judgment on a
S. J. Gillam & Jno W. Gillam Defts } of L. & B.

On the motion of the Plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Wm Etheridge Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
T. R. Adams admr. Deft.

The same Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
Abner Adams admr. Deft

J. H. P. Pool Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
Hardy Deft

On the motion of the Plaintiff it is ordered that the above cases be dismissed.

Thomas A. Fisher Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
W. H. Staples & W. L. Stobbs. Defendants

On the motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

A Grand Jury Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term of the Court be limited to sixteen.

Copied

A. Thos Powell Foreman. Geo A. Whitmore. S. D. Allen. R. B. Howard. W. A. Snead. James Crawford. E. A. Davis. Clark Wilday. B. P. Aldridge. A. L. Moore. W. S. Reade Sr. R. J. Tucker. T. W. Atkinson. A. E. Abernathy. J. A. Perry. & A. L. Meade. were empannelled and sworn a Grand Jury of inquest in and for the body of the County and having received their charge retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported that they had nothing to present and were discharged.

Ordered that the above Grand Jurors be paid each the sum of One dollar out of the County treasury for their services at this term of the Court.

On the motion of Wm Doyle a creditor the Estate of A J Barnough dec'd is committed to the hands of Henry Evans Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration it appearing to the Court that said Barnough has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for administration on his estate.

Ordered that S H Fisher Jno Stobb. Jno C Chambers W H Staples & E S Stobb appraise said Estate.

Henry Evans. Archer Epes. Jno Chilton. Jas Moody. D S. Wells. Dick Morgan. John Chambers. Washington Hardy & Parthenia Sandy. come into Court and acknowledge themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia each in the sum of Five hundred dollars to be levied of their respective lands and tenements goods and Chattels, and to the use of the Commonwealth of Virginia rendered yet upon this condition, The condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound Henry Evans. Archer Epes Jno Chilton. Jas Moody. D S. Wells. Dick Morgan. John Chambers. Washington Hardy & Parthenia Sandy. shall make their personal appearance before the Judge of this Court on Thursday the 12th day of April 1897 to give evidence in behalf of the Commonwealth against Dick Pleasants alias Pleasant Baker charged with felony and not depart thence without the leave of the Court then this obligation to be void as if otherwise to remain in full force & virtue.

4.90 Jno T Clark & W J Clark. partners in trade under
50 the firm style of Clark Adams & Co
3.10 the firm style of Clark Adams & Co
8.90

J G McCall.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiffs against the defendant for the sum of Eighty nine dollars and thirteen cents with interest thereon from 5th June 1893 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the said sum of $89.13 with interest as aforesaid and costs.

Eff Persons

J J Slade admr of Emmanuel Jackson dec'd.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant the sum of Sixty three dollars with interest thereon from the 30th day of April 1896 till paid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of $63.00 with interest as aforesaid and costs to be levied of the goods and Chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'cl

James J Strisinger

Tuesday April 10th 1877

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

T E Harrison — Plaintiff
vs — In case
I W Harper — Deft

Ordered that the above case be dismissed agreed.

Isaac Jackson — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
Edward Hardaway — Deft

On the motion of the Plaintiff it is ordered that the above case be continued until the next term & it is ordered that a Rule be issued against the Sheriff of Nottoway returnable to the 1st day of the next term to shew cause if any he can why he shall not be attached and fined for failing to return the process of this Court.

J J Townsend — Plaintiff
vs — In Case
I W Harper — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a Jury judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the Sum of Forty five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 10th day of April 1877 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against the Defendant the said Sum of Forty five dollars with interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk.

Geo. S. Weisiger

Wednesday April 11th 1877

Present Hon S S Weisiger Judge

Ordered that the following petit Jurors be paid out of the County treasury for their services at this term of the Court viz. Henry Epes $2. F H Wren $2. B W Ford $2. David Meade $2. J H T Darby $1. R L Jackson $2. J E Jackson $1. J A Bailey $1. Eb Hudgins $2. W M Lewis $2. Saml Powell $2. J Perkins $1. C P Jolly $1. Jno Elgraham $2.

Copy

Ordered that the Court doth allow to Henry Gunnis Jailor the sum of Fifteen dollars for his services as such during six months.

Copy

The Court doth allow to B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty five Dollars for his services as such during six months.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clk

Jam. J. Weisiger

Thursday April 12th 1877.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

The Commonwealth of Va. — Presenting, Upon Indictment for murder.

vs

Jack Pleasants als Pleasants Baker. — Defendant

Jack Pleasants als Pleasants Baker charged with murder was this day led to the bar in custody of the Sheriff and being arraigned pleaded not guilty, whereupon the venire summoned for the trial of the prisoner and attending Jurors were found duly qualified, and the prisoner having exercised his privilege under the act of Assembly of striking from the said panel the name of four of said Jurors and the following twelve remained for the trial of the prisoner to wit, J E Neath, R A Lewis, Alex Keys, Andrew Meade, Zack Clark, Mortimer Mitchell, Jno H Harmon, Herbert Crowder, Jno W Slate, W H Bishop, Jenkins Hayes, & W W Street, who being sworn the truth of and upon the premises to speak, and having partly heard the evidence and the Court being about to take a recess, the following oath was administered to R T J Spann Deputy of Henry County Sheriff, "You shall well and truly keep this jury together and not allow them to separate nor to speak to them yourselves, nor permit any other person to speak to them touching the case at bar until they shall return into Court so help you God!" and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

Jack Pleasants als Pleasants Baker charged with murder was again led to the bar in the custody of the Sheriff and the jury again appeared into Court according to adjournment, to wit J E Neath, R A Lewis, Alex Keys, Andrew Meade, Zack Clark, Mortimer Mitchell, Jno H Harmon, Herbert Crowder, Jno W Slate, W H Bishop, Jenkins Hayes, & W W Street, having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel relative to their form and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and upon the following verdict to wit: We of the Jury find the prisoner guilty of murder in the first degree as charged in the within indictment; and the prisoner is remanded to jail.

An account of B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth agt. the Comwlth. amounting to Ten Dollars was examined and allowed and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public Accts for payment.

An account of Wm M Reid Sheriff against the Commonwealth of Va amounting to twelve dollars was examined and allowed by the Court and ordered to be certified to the Auditor of Public Accounts for payment.

An account of A M Dyson against the Comwlth amounting to $3.00 examined and allowed and certified.

On application of the proper authorities A. P. Chappell, Jno. Harrison, Jno. D. Hitchcock, Ruth H. Chappell, Geo. Hays, Thos. Harrison & Peter E. Vaughan be confirmed as Trustees of Cranbourne? Methodist Episcopal Church. J. P. Dizmang? R. A. Williamson Leroy A. Williams, Thos. A. Hays & L. T. Williamson be confirmed as Trustees of Connelly? Run Methodist Episcopal Church. W. T. Keade? Sr. W. T. Keade Jr. J. T. Miles, Robt. Rainey & Jos. K. Slate be confirmed as Trustees of Buckskin Methodist Episcopal Church. Thos. C. Chambers, T. R. Haunter?, Jno. H. Lee, Lanier? P. Rainey, Geo. C. Hays, Albert B. Mayes & R. A. Tucker be confirmed as Trustees of Rocky Run Episcopal Church. W. F. Neale, C. H. Doyle, ? P. Tucker, Thos. Hawkins, D. T. Chappell & Jos. Hawkins be confirmed as Trustees of Hawkins Methodist Episcopal Church. Wm. T. Doyle, C. H. Lee, J. T. Lee, C. P. A. Bass?, T. R. Chambers, A. Hooten? & D. F. Chappell be confirmed as Trustees of Lanier's? Cott? Methodist Episcopal Church & J. C. Smith, J. T. Smith, A. H. Hawker, E. R. T. Smith & Wm. E. Clement be confirmed as Trustees of Smith Grove Methodist Episcopal Church.

Copied

An account of Henry Cousins against the Commonwealth of Va amounting to Three 10/100 dollars which was examined and allowed, and ordered to be certified.

Copies.

Ordered that the following named persons be paid out of the County Levy as follows: Sammie? Triston 1.00 E. S. Hobbs 1.00 Horace Fisher 1.00 Moses Parker 1.00 Jno. E. Heath 50 James Kee? 1.00 R. A. Lewis 50 Alex Kee 50 Gilliam Manson 1.00 T. C. Wynn 1.00 Jas. A. Fisher 1.00 L. E. Conwell 100 Andrew Meade 50 Zack Clarke 50, Mortimer Mitchell 50 Robert Rainey 100 Wm. H. Hannon 50 Hubert Crowder 50 Wm. Slate 50 E. T. Powell 50 W. H. Bishop 50 Junius Hayes 50 Wm. Street 50.

Copies

Ordered that Henry Cousins Sheriff be and he is hereby allowed the sum of ~~twenty~~ four Dollars it being two Dollars per day for himself & [illegible] deputies, during this term of the Court.

Copied

Ordered that Henry Cousins sheriff be allowed Five Dollars for his attendance during this term of the Court, for keeping C.H. & furnishing fire &c.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 9 o'clk

Sam. J. Spriggs

Friday April 13th 1877

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger—Judge

Jack Pleasants als. Pleasants Baker of the County of Dinwiddie who stands charged of murder in the first degree was again led to the bar in the custody of the jailer of this Court, and thereupon it being demanded of him, if anything for himself he had or knew to say, why the Court here to judgment and execution against him of and upon the premises should not proceed, he said he had nothing but what he had before said. Therefore, it is considered by the Court that he be hanged by the neck until he be dead, and that execution of this judgment be made and done upon him the said Jack Pleasants alias Pleasant Baker by the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County at some suitable and convenient place in the County of Dinwiddie on Friday the 8th day of June next between the hours often in the forenoon and two in the afternoon of the same day. And thereupon the said Jack Pleasants alias Pleasant Baker is remanded to Jail, there to be closely confined.

Ed Pearce. Appellee } Upon an Appeal.
vs } No 1.
The Commonwealth of Va. Appellant

This day came the parties by their their attorneys and thereupon the Copy of the record of the Court below being seen and inspected by the Court here now. It appears to the Court that the County Court erred in refusing to quash the indictment in this case. Therefore it is considered that the defendant go hence &c.

Ed. Pearce Appellee } Upon an Appeal
vs } from the County Ct.
The Commonwealth. Appellant } No 2.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon the Copy of the record of the Court below being seen and inspected by the Court here now it seems to the Court here that the County Court erred in refusing to quash the indictment in this case. Therefore it is considered by the Court the Defendant go hence &c.

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and are hereby continued until the next term.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

Jas. S. Weisiger

# Office Judgments Confirmed April 13th 1897.

Certs $7.45 James H. Allison & E. B. Addison Jr. merchants and partners trading under the name & firm of Allison & Addison — Plaintiffs

vs. — In Debt.

R. W. Spencer — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Three hundred and forty six dollars and sixty two cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1894 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is entitled to a credit of $80.00 paid 21st August 1896.

$7.45 Eli. James & Meadows Harrison partners under the name and style of Eli James & Co who sue for the benefit of the Raleigh National Bank — Plaintiffs

vs. — In Debt.

John H. Bain — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Eight hundred and forty four dollars and sixty four cents with interest on $215.94 a part thereof from March 24th 1896 till paid & on $266.20 another part thereof from April 1st 1896 till paid and on $363.80 the residue thereof from May 1st 1896 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

$8.15 R. H. Jones Jr Commissioner &c — Plaintiff

vs. — In Debt.

Jno L. Scott & John J. Mitchell — Defendants

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred and fifty Eight Dollars and thirty four cents with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of December 1893 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$8.65 R. H. Jones Jr Commissioner &c — Plaintiff

vs. — In Debt.

C. E. Abernathy, A. M. Abernathy & J. C. Abernathy — Defendants

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Sixty three dollars with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of December 1894 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

R. H. Jones Jr Commissioner &c — Plaintiff

vs. — In Debt.

Jno L. Mitchell — Defendant.

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and twenty five dollars and Eighty four cents with interest thereon from the 20th day of June 1894 till paid, and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

$7.70 Wm. Drury & S. M. Green late partners doing business under the firm name of W. H. Drury & Co. who sue for the benefit of H. L. Watkins & Wm. G. Watkins their trustees. — Plaintiffs

against — In case

H. W. Street. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Ninety Six dollars and forty cents, with interest on $48.20 apart thereof from the 30th day of January 1896 till paid & on $48.20 the residue thereof from the 29th day of February 1896 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

$7.45 Jas. H. Allison & E. B. Addison Jr merchants & partners trading under the name & firm of Allison & Addison — Plaintiffs

vs — In Debt.

Geo A Rose. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and Eleven dollars and Ninety five cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of December 1894 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in their behalf expended.

$7.75 Chas. A. Booth & Jerome Sommers. late merchants & partners in trade under the firm & style of Booth & Sommers. who sue for the benefit of E. J. Stirk. — Plaintiffs

This Judgment satisfied per Statement of Geo. S. Bernard Pltff. Atty. — In Debt.

vs

John H. Bain. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Thirty Six dollars and Thirty two cents, with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1895 till paid. and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office May 26th 1877

$ 87.75 Cts. Wm Ettenbrough. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
D. W. Meinford. Deft

This day came the parties and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for the sum of Thirty Nine Dollars & Eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 19th day of August 1873 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Thirty Nine dollars and eighty seven cents with legal interest as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to the following credits to wit $2.40 paid July 6th 1874 $2.03 paid January
N 14th 1876 & $2.00 paid May 15th 1876.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office 31st May 1877.

Wm Ettenbrough. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Jas. P. Tucker. Deft

This day came the plaintiff in his own proper person as and the defendant by A. M. Bryant his attorney in fact acting under a Power of Attorney under the hand of the defendant and acknowledges the Plaintiffs action for Seventy Six Dollars & Eighty Seven cents with interest thereon from the 30th day of May 1877 till paid. Therefore it is
N considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover said Debt in Costs.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office June 18th 1877.

F. M. Sutherland. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
B. E. Sutherland. Deft

This day came the plaintiff in his own proper person as did the defendant and acknowledged the plaintiffs action for the sum of Four hundred Dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1875 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Four hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the
N 1st day January 1875 till paid & costs.

Virginia:

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Friday the 8th day of October 1899 and of the Commonwealth the 102d

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Harper
vs
Gibbs
Etherborough
vs
Winston.
Morgan ads.
vs
Spencer
~~[illegible]~~ Mays Exr.
vs
S. S. Meredith.
Same.
vs
Jas A Meredith.
H. Cannis Shff &c.
vs
Grammer.

Ordered that the above cases be continued until the next term of the Court.

Phil Jackson
vs
Taylor ads.

This day came the defendants by their attorney and pleaded new ast. payment offers to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was joined.

Daniel Rakeley
vs
J. G. McCall.

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleads payment offers to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was joined.

W. G. Roney admr of Isaac Roney dec
vs
N. H. Drayton ads.

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleads payment & filed abst of offers to which the plaintiff replied generally issue was joined.

P. A. Pettway — Plaintiff
vs
J. J. Slade &c. — Deft

This day came the defendant J. J. Slade & pleads payment offers to the plaintiffs declaration with leave to file other other plea & on motion of Counsel it is ordered to Circuit Court

Coleman vs.
vs.
Dalmy

This day came the ~~plaintiff~~ by their attorney and thereupon the defendants prayer over of the ~~writ~~ origin the declaration & demurred to the plaintiff declaration and the plaintiff joined in said demurrer which being argued the Court overruled.

A Paper writing purporting to be the true last will and testament of Perry L. Daly and bearing date the day of November 1872 was this day produced in Court and offered for proof were proven by the oaths of Chas J. Cabaniss and Peter King the subscribing witnesses thereto and ordered to be recorded. And on the motion of Henry L Daly one of the Executors therein named who made oath thereto entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of Five hundred Dollars conditioned according to law without security (the will requiring none) Certificate for obtaining a probate of said will is granted him in due form.

Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term of the Court be limited to sixteen.

John R. Banks Foreman with W A Snead B B Howard S S Allen Boyles Davis J N Prichett R B Thomas T B Scott Clark Burg J K E A Davis Richard Rieley W T Crawford James A Polley L L Keys A L Meade and J Elcilder ——— were sworn and impannelled a Grand Jury of inquest in and for the body of the County and having received their charge retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported the following presentments to wit. We the Jury present that Morris Smith on the 5th day of October in the year one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven in the said County did commit an assaut and battery on Mahlon Perryman against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Va upon the oath of Wm Field & Robert Fraser sworn in open Court and sent to us by our request.
We the Jury present that Thomas M. Thrift on the 29th day of September in the year one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven in the said County did commit an assaut on Rb O'Neil against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia upon the oath of R. O'Neil & Isaac Elder sworn in open Court and sent to us by our request.
We the Jury present that Holmes Perryman on the 2d day of September in the year one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven in the said County did unlawfully ~~[illegible]~~ shoot at with intent to kill D. E. H. Smith to wit Court open to wit against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia upon the oath of D. E. H. Smith, Henry Adams Barryman Jas. & John Gunter sworn in open Court and sent to us. The Grand Jury having nothing further to present they were discharged, and it is ordered that they be allowed each the sum of One dollar for their service at this term of the Court payable out of the County treasury.

Ordered that the presentments of the Grand Jury made at this term of the County Court be certified to the County Court of Dinwiddie for trial.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until 10 o'clock

Jam: S. Weisiger

Saturday October 6th 1877.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge

Ordered that the following petit Jurors be paid out of the County Treasury for their services at this term of the Court as follows to wit. M. J. Perry Cor. L. A. Prichett, D. L. Barner, W. H. Kirkland, T. B. Hawkins, Jno. B. Jones, E. N. Nunnally, B. F. Mitchell, J. E. Schneider, James E. Yates, E. A. Gurley, E. A. Ingo, G. A. Williams, F. W. Wells, & John Crayton.

Coleman vs.
D. Abney.

This day came the parties by their attorney and the deft plead not guilty and files special pleas in writing to which plea of not guilty the plaintiff replies generally upon which issue was joined with leave to file other pleas and the case continued until the next term.

Mary G. Riney admtx of Joab Riney dec. Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
H. H. Trayler, R. C. Shell & David S. Wells. Defendants.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the parties waived the right of a jury and the defendant says that he can not further gain say the plaintiffs action for Eighty two dollars with interest thereon from the 6th day of October 1877 till paid the plaintiff being willing to accept the same. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Eighty two dollars and fifty cents with interest as aforesaid and also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Jackson Plaintiff
vs. } In Debt.
Hardaway. Defendant

Ordered that the above case be dismissed agreed.

Copied. The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Sheriff for his services at this term as Keeper of Co. furnishing work warden $5.00.

Copied. The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Jailor the sum of Fifteen dollars for his services as such during the last six months.

Copied. The Court doth allow to B. F. Eperson for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty five dollars for his services as such during last month.

Phil Jackson.

vs

Taylor et al.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party requiring a jury judgment by the Court for the defendants. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs by them in their defense of this suit expended.

The Court doth allow to A.M. Bryant Clerk the sum of Thirty dollars the same being the amount allowed by law for his services during twelve months.

Copied

The Court doth allow to Henry Cousins Sheriff the sum of Ten Dollars it being two Dollars per day for himself and one Deputy two days & himself one day during this term.

David A Harmon & M S King.

vs

William Wee.

Plaintiffs upon a motion to set aside a judgment Defts obtained at the April Term last of the Court in favor of William Wee against the said David A Harmon & M. S King. This day came the parties by their counsel the Court having fully heard the argument of counsel is of the opinion that said judgment was improvidently entered. Therefore it is considered by the Court that said judgment of April Term 1877 be and the same is set aside and that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants their costs by them about their motion in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the ~~Monday~~ ~~next~~ 8th day of October 1877

James F. Stringer

Monday October 8th 1877

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Daniel Rokeby.

vs

J. G. McCaw.

Plaintiff in case

Deft

This day came the parties by their attorney and neither party desiring a jury judgement by the Court in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of Four Hundred and three dollars and twenty eight cents with interest on $403.13 a part thereof from the 1st day of March 1875 till paid & on $14.15 the residue thereof from the 8th day of April 1876 till paid. Judgment accordingly.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of next term.

James F. Weisiger

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office. December 29th 1877.

Costs $4.92 William Ettenborough Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
John Butcher. Defendant

This day came the parties in their proper person and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs for the sum of Forty nine dollars and forty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1874 till paid. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of Forty nine dollars and forty six cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Virginia.

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Monday the 8th day of April 1878 and of the Commonwealth the 102.

Present The Hon S. S. Wingfield Judge.

Ordered that the Grand Jury to serve at this term be limited to Eighteen.

Thomas A. Bisgeer Foreman with Geo W McKenney. W A Martin. Jas F. Wells. J H Wells. J Stokes. F. T. Jones. C M Patteson. Geo W Harris. J H Ragsdale. R A. Williamson. Jas B. Bisgeer. N C Lewis. Richard Williamson. [illegible] H W. Barnes. B B Bisgeer. Jas Hawkins. & Jno C Slate were sworn and empanelled a Grand Jury of Inquest in and for the body of the County of Dinwiddie and having received their Charge retired to their and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported that they had no presentments to make. Whereupon it is ordered that the Grand Jury be discharged. and it is ordered that they be allowed each the sum of One Dollar for their services at this term of the Court to be paid out of the County Treasury.

A S Tucker a Grand Juror Summoned to this term of the Court having been this day guilty of a contempt to Court in the presence thereof it is ordered that for said Contempt he pay to the Commonwealth of Va the sum of Twenty five dollars for the use of said Commonwealth.

J H. Prichett. Plaintiff
vs } Open Attachment.
C A Williams. Deft

J. H. Prichett, Plaintiff, in a certain action of Debt having obtained an attachment from the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County Va against the estate of C A Williams mentioned and described by endorsements in said Attachment as a certain tract of land lying and situate in the County of Dinwiddie adjoining the land of James Hargrave & others containing 110 acres upon affidavit that the said C A Williams Defendant is justly indebted to the said Plaintiff in the sum of $2500 with interest from the 13th day of October 1877 till paid, that there is pending cause of action therefor that the said C A Williams is a non resident of the State of Virginia, and that he believes he the said defendant has estate or debts due him within the County of Dinwiddie Va. This day came the said J. H. Prichett Plaintiff and his Attorneys and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant is justly liable to the Plaintiff in the sum of $2500 with interest from the 13th day of October 1877 till paid, and that the same is now due and payable, and that the allegations of the plaintiff in his affidavit aforesaid are true and the defendant not having discharged or replevied the attached property as above described it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of $2500 with interest thereon from the 13th day of October 1877 until paid together with his costs, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff of Dinwiddie County Va. M'Kay Cousins shall make sale of the attached property above mentioned and described in due form of law for enough

cash to pay the said sum of $2500 with interest from the 13th day of October 1877 until paid, and all of the costs of this suit, and for the balance if there be any, give a credit of twelve months from the day of sale, taking from the purchaser bond with good security for said deferred payment, payable to himself, for the benefit of said J H Prichard and he shall return the same to this Court, and it is further ordered that when the purchase money shall have been fully paid the said Henry Cousins Sheriff, shall execute to the purchaser or purchasers such conveyance or conveyances as may be deemed necessary and report the same to this Court:

Alfred Udell
vs
B L Udell

Plaintiff } Upon Attachment
Deft

Alfred Udell, Plaintiff in a certain action of assumpsit, having obtained an attachment from the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie County, against the Estate of B L Udell mentioned and described by indorsement on said attachment as an undivided half interest in 241 acres 2 Rods and 13/16 poles of land upon which the plaintiff now resides, subject to a deed of trust for $5000, the other half of said land being owned by the plaintiff and also one 1/2 interest in the personal property now upon said farm and owned by said defendant, upon affidavit that the said B L Udell is justly indebted to him in the sum of $903.75 with interest thereon from February 26th 1877 till paid, and in the further sum of $375.00 with interest thereon from July 1st 1877 till paid, that there is a present cause of action therefor, that the said B L Udell is a non resident of the State of Virginia and that he the said B L Udell, has estate or debts due him within the County of Dinwiddie. This day came the said Alfred Udell, Plaintiff, and his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the defendant is justly liable to the plaintiff in the sum of $903.75 with interest thereon from February 26th 1877 till paid and in the further sum of $375.00 with interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1877 till paid and that the said sums are now due payable, and that the allegations of the plaintiff in his affidavit are true, and the defendant not having discharged or replevied the attached property as above described, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of $903.75 with interest thereon from the 26th day of February 1877 till paid and also the further sum of $375.00 with interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1877 till paid, together with his costs, and it is further ordered that Henry Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County shall make sale of the attached property above mentioned and described, in due form for ready cash to pay the said sum of $903.75 with interest thereon from the 26th day of February 1877 until paid and the further sum of $375 with interest thereon from the 1st day of July 1877 till paid with all of the costs of this suit and for the balance if there be any give a credit of twelve months from the day of sale taking from the purchaser or purchasers bond or bonds with good security for said deferred payments payable to himself for the benefit of Alfred Udell the aforesaid plaintiff, and they shall return the same to this Court, and it is further ordered that when the purchase money shall have been fully paid, the said Henry Cousins, Sheriff, shall execute such conveyance or conveyances as may be deemed necessary and report the same to this Court.

Harrison & Gibbs. Ettenborough & Winston. Morgan & Spencer.
Mays Esq & Meredith J A Scott & Meredith L L. Cousins Sheriff & Freeman.
Ordered that the above case be continued.

Peal Gholson
vs
Alvi Gordon.

Plaintiff } In Debt.
Deft }

On the motion of the Plaintiffs attorney it is ordered that the above case be dismissed.

Geo S. Bernard & J. S. Budd Committee appointed at a meeting of the Bar and Citizens of the County to present the resolutions adopted relative to the death of Mr R Bland this day presented the same which were ordered to be recorded in the minutes. Viz.
"Whereas the late Wm R. Bland of Nottoway County has recently departed this life, and his death has removed from our bar one of its oldest, ablest and most respected members. Resolved.
1st That we do most sincerely regret the death of the deceased and feel that we have sustained a serious loss.
2d That in the deceased was found a man whose strict probity, high & chivalrous sense of honor, and fine intelligence commanded the respect of all, and whose warm heart made friends of all with whom he came in contact, 3d That we tender the family of the deceased our deepest sympathy.
4th That these resolutions be presented to the Circuit Court of this County with the request that they be spread upon the records of said Court, and that a copy thereof be sent to the family of the deceased, and be published in the newspapers of the City of Petersburg. On the motion of Geo S. Bernard it is ordered that the Court do now adjourn.

Jas J. Weisiger

Tuesday April 9th 1878.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

Jas H King Exr of John J. Mitchell dec. Plaintiff } In Detinue.
vs
Geo. W. Barner. Deft

This day came the defendant by his attorney and says that that he does not detain the property in the manner and form as the plaintiff in his declaration hath complained to which the plaintiff replied generally.

Jas. H. King Exr of John J. Mitchell dec. Plaintiff } In Detinue.
vs
Geo W. Barner. Deft

This day came the parties by their attorney and there came also the following jury to wit A. S. Henry. Jas A. Bispham R. Butterworth, James Hawkins Peter Brown Jas. E. Brown, E. E. Hudgins, E. H. Hawkins R. A. Wilkerson Alex Lloyd. M. E. Bishop, Henry Johnson who being tried and sworn to speak the truth and truly try the issue joined and having fully heard the evidence and argument retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit, We the Jury find for the defendant. Therefore it is considered by the Court, that the plaintiff take nothing by his false clamour, be in mercy &c and that the said defendant go thereof without day, and recover against the Plaintiff his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Defts costs
Damages 5.00
Atty fee 2.50
Costs 1.41
8.91

Lillie Mabry. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Jno W. Bain Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came a jury to wit Wm Avery, C. P. Jolly, J. W. Bispham, A. G. Jackson, C. J. Brown, G. A. Bispham, B. F. Rice, B. F. Mitchell, D. A. Starman, D. C. Duane, J. G. Organ, R. O. Dabney, who being elected tried and sworn, the truth to speak upon the issue joined, the Plaintiff was solemnly called but came not, neither is her suit further prosecuted, Therefore, it is ordered, that she be nonsuited, and pay to the defendant $5 Damages, and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

On the motion of A. S. Tucker who appeared in court & gave bond with security the Court doth reduce the fine entered against him on yesterday, to Ten Dollars, which he paid in Court.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be paid out of the County Treasury for their services at this term as follows to wit. R. A. Wilkerson $2. Alex Lloyd $2. M. E. Bishop $2. A. S. Henry $2. E. H. Hawkins $2. Jas Hawkins $2. P. E. Brown $2. Jas E. Brown $2. Henry Johnson $2. Jas A. Bispham $2. O. A. Newman $1. E. E. Hudgins $1. R. Butterworth $1. G. A. Bispham $2. C. P. Jolly $1. Jas E. Bispham $1. Rly Jackson $1. C. J. Brown $1. B. F. Rice $1. B. F. Mitchell $1. D. A. Starman $1. D. C. Duane $1. Jas G. Organ $1. R. O. Dabney $1.

Coleman vs Dabney. A. A. Dawson for Deft & Defendants.
Ordered that the above cases be continued until the next term of this court.

N. R. Dew — Plaintiff

vs

J. A. Bishop — Deft } Replevin & for.

This day came the Deft by his attorney and plead payment to which the Plaintiff replied generally issue was thereupon joined and the case is continued.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow morning at 10 o'clk

Jam. J. Weisiger

Wednesday April 10th 1878.

Present Hon J. J. Weisiger Judge.

Copied — The Court doth allow to H Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County the sum of Sixteen dollars it being two dollars per day for himself and two Deputies two days and two dollars for himself & one Deputy one day during this term to be paid by the State.

Copied — The Court doth allow to H Cousins Sheriff the sum of Five dollars for his services at this term of the Court as Keeper of the Cts in furnishing water &c.

Copied — The Court doth allow to H Cousins Jailer of this County the sum allowed by law for his services as such during the last six months.

Copied — The Court doth allow to B J Epes Attorney for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services as such during six months.

Ordered that the cause be adjourned under the first day of the next term.

Jam. J. Weisiger

# Office Judgments Confirmed April 10th 1878.

Costs $7.55 Daniel Rakeley — Plaintiff — In Debt
Defa 17th Apl. vs C. H. Staples — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the Plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty Six dollars with interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1875 till paid. and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. (Subject to credit of $45.00 paid July 12th 1877.

Costs $8.55 Alexander Duncan Foster — Plaintiff — In Debt
Defa Apl 17th vs Alfred Udell. — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One thousand Dollars. with interest thereon from the 20th day of February 1877 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.70 Wm P. Davis — Plaintiff — In Case
Defa Apl. 17th 1878 vs J. S. Johnson. — Deft

The Judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and fifty dollars. with interest thereon from the 2d day of February 1872. till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.70 Jacob Cohen — Plaintiff — In Case
Defa Apl 17 vs B. E. Sutherland — Deft

The Judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and one dollars. and ~~Eighty~~ four cents, with interest ~~on One hundred and Eighty~~ thereon from the 24th day of December 1876 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.95 A. Rosenstock of J. S. Gilliam merchants & partners trading under the firm & style of Rosenstock & Co. — Plaintiff — In Case
Defa Apl 17 vs B. E. Sutherland. — Defts

The Judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty One Dollars & fifty two cents. with interest thereon from the 5th day of August 1877. till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

$7.95 Jacob Kule. Eli Kule & Marx E Kule. merchants & partners trading under the firm & style of Jacob Kule & Sons. — Plaintiff — In Case
Defa Apl 17 vs Richard M. Crowder. — Deft

The Judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitle to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and fifty seven dollars and twenty four cents with interest from 10th May 1875 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Judgment Confessed in the Clerks Office May 20th 1878.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| $6.15 Costs Fifty 12½ June 1878. | D. G. Carr<br>vs<br>C. E. Abernathy & Wm M. Field surviving obligees of themselves & J. J. Abernathy & A. McGordwyn dec'd. | Plaintiff<br>} In Debt<br>Defendants |

This day came the parties in their proper person and the defendants acknowledged the plaintiffs action for the sum of Two hundred dollars with interest thereon from the 19th day of March 1872 till paid at the rate of 12 per cent per annum. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of Two hundred dollars with interest thereon from the 19th day of March 1872 till paid at the rate of 12 per cent per annum and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to the following credits to wit $15.00 paid 24th January 1873. $9.00 paid 8th April 1873. & $68.98 paid May 3rd 1879.

Judgment Confessed in the Clerk Office August 19th 1878.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| $6.12 | James A King Exr of J. J. Mitchell dec'd<br>vs<br>Geo A Rose | Plaintiff<br>} In Debt.<br>Deft |

This day came the parties in their proper person and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiff action for the sum of Two hundred and sixty nine dollars and eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon from 19th day of August 1878 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Two hundred and sixty nine dollars and eighty seven cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. C. by $79.57 as of 1st Augt 1878.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| $6.25 | C. A. Collier.<br>vs<br>Wm F Barner. | Plaintiff<br>} In Debt.<br>Deft |

This day came the parties in their proper person and the defendant acknowledged the plaintiffs action for the sum of Eight hundred and Eighty eight dollars and eighteen cents with interest thereon from the 26th day of July 1878 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said sum of Eight hundred eight dollars & eighteen cents with legal interest thereon as aforesaid and

& also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

R D Gillam, Guardian ad lem

Virginia,

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Saturday the 5th day of October 1878 and of the Commonwealth the 103.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

B J Davis — Plaintiff
vs
Martha Stew alias Patsey Stew & John Calvin Stew — Defts } In Case No.

This day come the plaintiff and by leave of the Court amend his declaration in the above case, and the defendants by Counsel plead not guilty to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally upon which issue was thereupon joined.

B J Davis — Plaintiff
vs
Martha Stew alias Patsey Stew & John Calvin Stew — Defendants } In Case No 1.

This day come the parties by their attorneys and thereupon come the following Jury to wit. J H Bierfear. H P Rency. J T Brown. Wm E Gresham. T E Branch. G A Williams. Allen Delop. C Mann. James Eckates. Allison Newlette. E H Hawkins & Washington Manders who being selected tried and sworn to wise and truly try the issue joined now before the Court and having ~~fully heard~~ partly heard the evidence and ~~argument of Counsel retired to their room and after consider upon their verdict returned~~ the Court being absent to adjourn they were adjourned over until tomorrow 10 O'clk.

B J Davis — Plaintiff
vs
Martha Stew & John Calvin Stew — Defts } In case No 2

This day come the defendants by their attorney and plead not guilty to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally upon ~~issue~~ thereupon joined.

Ordered that the following cases be dismissed Hamilton Stuff & wife vs Gravinny. Eiguebrum vs A S Tucker Comm. vs Comm.

Ordered that the following cases be continued Lewis Exr vs Meredith L L. Same vs Meredith J A.

J J Mitchell Exr — Plaintiff
vs
Wm Mitchell — Defdt } In Debt.

This day came the defendant by his attorney & plead payment to the plaintiffs declaration upon a bond in the nature of a scire facias which he does not prove to which the plaintiff replied generally upon issue joined upon which issue put to trial.

Wm Etenborough admr — Plaintiff
vs
T C Brandon Exr of A P Brandon dec'd — Defdt } In case

This day came the defendant by his attorney & plead non assumpsit to the plaintiffs declaration to which the plaintiff replied generally upon

Lettie Maby
vs
Jno M Bain

Plaintiff
Deft } In Covenant

This day come the defendant by his attorney and plead Covenants performed, & file a special plea in writing and at the same time file offers to which the plaintiff replies generally & issue was thereupon joined.

R D Williams Guttman having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth on his motion leave is given him to practice in this Court who thereupon appeared in Court and took the oath as required by law.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until Monday 10 O'clock

Jamie J. Weisiger

Monday Morning October 7th 1878.

Present Hon J. J. Weisiger Judge.

B. J. Davis
vs
Martha Steele als. Patsey Steele & John Calvin Steele

Plaintiff
} In case No 1
Defts

This day come again the parties by their attorneys and the jury sworn in this case on Saturday again appeared in Court according to their adjournment to wit I H Bierman. H. P. Roney. J. P. Brown. Wm E. Gresham. J E Branch. G. A Williams. A Tim Deloy. C Mason. Jas Elgates. Allison Hewlett. E H Hawkins. Washington Manlove. and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after sometime spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit. We the jury find the defendants guilty and assess the damages at Seventy three dollars. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of seventy three dollars with legal interest thereon from the 9th day of October 1875 till paid and also his costs by him about in this behalf expended

Chas H Leslie
vs
Joseph Mason & Emma Mason

Plaintiff
} In Debt
Defts

This day came the plaintiff in his own proper person and the defendants by R C Shell attorney at law practicing in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddie being a court of recording and acting under a Power of Attorney under the hand and seal of the defendants. and acknowledged the plaintiff action for One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of October 1878 till paid Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the said sum of One hundred dollars with legal interest thereon from the 4th day of October 1878 till paid and also his costs by him about in this behalf expended

Willie Maloy — Plaintiff } In Covenant
vs
Jno H. Bain — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit, Chas J. Brown. B Keys. Geo W Barnes. Geo H. Chappell. Jno H Connelly. Geo A Harris. Horace Fisher. W W Bufscum. R L. Chappell. Eli Hudgins. C A Pallen. & Jy A Bufscum who being sworn to well and truly try the issue joined now before the court and having fully heard the evidence and argument of Counsel retired to their room and after some time spent therein returned into Court and reported the following verdict to wit, "We the jury find for the deft and fix his damages at One Dollar. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One dollar with interest thereon from the 9th day of October 1878 till paid and also his costs by him about his defence of this suit expended.

Ordered that the court be adjourned until tomorrow 11 o'clock.

Jam. J. Weisiger

Tuesday October 8th 1878.

Present Hon J. J. Weisiger Judge.

James H King Exor of John D. Mitchell dec'd — Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Wm H Mitchell — Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the court in favor of the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant against the plaintiff for the sum of Ten Dollars. Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant recover against the plaintiff the said sum of Ten Dollars with legal interest thereon from the 8th day of October 1878 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the court that the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant recover of the defendant to be levied of the goods and Chattels of the deceased in the hands of the defendant to be administered so much thereof he hath, and also his costs by him about his defence of this suit expended.

B J Davis — Plaintiff } In Case No 2
vs
Martha Stell & John Calvin Stell — Defts

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendants with the consent of the plaintiff confess a judgment in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of One cent the plaintiff being willing to accept the same. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One cent and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

A A Dame. Plaintiff
vs } In case.
Dinwiddie County. Deft

Ordered that the above case be continued until the first day of the next term.

R C Shell & H J Heartwell lawyers doing business under the firm & style of Shell & Heartwell who sues for the benefit of Wm Ettenbrough. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Thos C. Bourdon. Deft

This day came the defendant by his attorney and plead

Wm Ettenbrough assee of Shell & Heartwell Plaintiff
vs } In case.
T. C. Bourdon Exr of A. P. Bourdon dec'd. Deft

This day came the parties by their attorney and neither party desiring a jury judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant the sum of Seventy five dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of March 1892 till paid subject to a credit of $50.00 paid paid 29th Nov 1897. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant said Seventy five dollars with legal interest thereon as aforesaid subject to the credit aforesaid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf. to be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the Exr if so much thereof he hath to be administered.

R. C. Shell & H J Heartwell lawyers doing business under the firm & style of Shell & Heartwell who sues for the benefit of Wm Ettenbrough. Plaintiff
vs } In Debt.
Thos C. Bourdon. Deft

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party desiring a jury in this case judgment by the Court in favor of the plaintiff for the sum of One Hundred and forty dollars with legal interest thereon from the 15th March 1892 till paid subject to the following credits viz $120.00 paid January 22nd 1898. & $19.97 paid Oct 8th 1898. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant said sum of One hundred and forty dollars with legal interest as aforesaid subject to the credits aforesaid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Copied

The Court doth allow to H Cousins Sheriff the sum of Four dollars for his services at this term of the Court as keeper of Ct. in furnishing water &c.

Copied

The Court doth allow to H Cousins Jailer of this Court the sum allowed by law for his services as such during six months.

The Court doth allow to B J Epes, Attorney for the Commonwealth the sum allowed by law for his services as during six months.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be allowed for their services to be paid out of the County Treasury viz: E. A. Hawkins $3. A. P. Rogers $3. Washington Manlove $3. C. Mann $3. T. E. Branch $2.00 G. Aycock $3. A. W. Delzell $3. M. P. Brown $3. Jas. A. Barfoot $3. T. S. Lemons $2. Jas. Eshelman $3. Allison Newlin $3. Geo. Chappell $1. R. L. Chappell $1. Geo. Barnes $1. Geo. T. Harris $1. Isaac Fisher $1. W. W. Barfoot $1. G. A. Barfoot $1. C. L. Brown $2. B. S. Hays $2. Eli Hedgpeth $1. Jno. Connally $1. C. E. Paul $1.

The Court doth allow to A. M. Organ Clerk of this Court Thirty dollars the sum allowed by law for his services as such during the last 12 months.

Copied

The Court doth allow to A. Lennis Sheriff the sum of Eighteen Dollars, it being Two dollars per day for himself and two Deputys three days during this term of the Court to be paid out of the State Treasury.

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and are hereby continued until the next term:

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the first day of next term.

Jas. F. Weisiger

# Office Judgments Confirmed October 8th 1898

Costs $7.55
Fifa 9th Oct 1898

O. B. Morgan & W. B. McElwaine late merchants & partners trading under the firm style of Morgan & McElwaine who sue for the benefit of W. B. McElwaine — Plaintiffs

vs

C. E. Abernathy — Defendants

} In Debt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum Seventy five dollars & fifty six cents with legal interest thereon from the 2d day of January 1899 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.97
Fifa 9th Oct 98.

John Elfering Exor. of Wm Elfering Sr. — Plaintiff

vs

Jas W. P. Pool — Deft

} In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Eighty one dollars and sixty cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1868 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, But this judgment is subject to the following credits viz: $100.00 paid January 25th 1869. $40.00 paid January 28th 1870. & $20.00 paid January 20th 1873.

Costs $7.45
Fifa 9th Oct.

William Ettenbrough — Plaintiff

vs

R. S. Tucker — Defendant

} In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy Nine dollars and three cents with legal interest thereon from the 27th day of May 1895 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.95
Fifa 9th Oct.

Wm Ettenbrough — Plaintiff

vs

Thos. N. Coleman & E. W. Coleman — Defendants

} In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of One Hundred and twenty nine dollars and one cent with interest thereon from the 24th day of October 1896 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.05
Fifa 9th Oct 98

Atlantic & Virginia Fertilizing Company — Plaintiff

vs

N. P. Branch & A. W. Cousins — Defendants

} In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of Fifty Six dollars and Sixty three cents with interest thereon from the 26th May 1896 till paid and costs. But this judgment is subject to the following credits viz: $34.43 paid July 9th 1897 & $26.00 paid Sept 16th 1898

Cts $7.45 John W Overly admr of R. Rash dec'd — Plaintiff
Fifa 9th Oct 1898 — vs — } In Debt
Robert A Rash — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and Ninety Seven dollars and Sixty cents with an $40.00 apart thereof from the 9th day of July 1897 till paid and an $150.00 the residue thereof from the 23d day of August 1897 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓✓

Costs $7.45 C. E. S. Tucker — Plaintiff
Fifa 9th Oct 98 — vs — } In Debt
F. M. Williamson — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty Six dollars and seventy five cents with interest from 31st March 1897 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓✓

Cts. $8.50 Theo Crowder — Plaintiff
vs — } In Debt
Wm F. Barner — Defendant

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Three Hundred and twenty nine dollars with interest on $48.22 apart thereof from the 31st day of August 1896 till paid on $40.48 another part thereof from 17th February 1897 till paid on $90.30 another part thereof from the 1st day of September 1896 till paid on $150.00 the residue thereof from the 21st day of August 1898 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

✓✓

Cts $8.70 Theo Crowder — Plaintiff
vs — } In Debt.
Wm F Barner Executor of Mary A Fenny dec'd — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Sixty two dollars and Thirty five cents with legal interest thereon from the 31st day of July 1894 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended to be levied of the goods and chattels of the decedent in the hands of the defendant to be administered so much thereof he hath

✓✓

Cts $7.45 Theo Crowder surviving obligee of himself and
Fifa 9th Oct 1898 Joseph Crowder late partners trading under the style
and firm of T. Crowder & Bro. — Plaintiff
vs — } In Debt
Wm F Barner — Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover

against the defendant the sum of seventy Eight Dollars & seventeen cents. with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1869 till paid, and also his costs by
J J him about his suit in this behalf expended.

I M Davis. Plaintiff } In Debt.
vs
Wm Satchfield. Deft

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant for the sum of Eighty Six dollars & fifty six cents. with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1878 till paid.
J J and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

Virginia

At a Circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie at the Courthouse thereof on Tuesday the 8th day of April 1879. and of the Commonwealth the 103d.

Present Hon S. S. Weisiger Judge.

H B McClellan & Robertson Jr Gentlemen having obtained a license to practice the law in the Courts of this Commonwealth. on their motion leave is given them to practice in this Court who thereupon appeared in Court and took the oath prescribed by law.

On the motion of Alex Dimock Comm'r &c. a vendor the Estate of Hy Mitchell decd is committed to the hands of A Craddock Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration D B N. with his wife annexed it appearing to the Court that said Mitchell has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for administration.

Ordered that B J Epes J S Keller Henry Thompson G A Brisson & R E Brisson appraise said estate.

Martha Stell Plaintiff } In case.
vs
B J Davis Deft.

This day came the Defendant by his attorney and the plaintiff though solemnly called came not, nor is her suit further prosecuted, Therefore on the prayer of the said Defendant, it is considered by the Court, that he recover against the Plaintiff $5 damages according to law, and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Martha Stell Plaintiff } In Case.
vs
E A. Davis. Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney, and the plaintiff though solemnly called came not, nor is her suit further prosecuted. Therefore on the prayer of the said defendant, it is considered by the Court, that he recover against the plaintiff $5. Damages according to law. and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

636

Martha Olive — Plaintiff
vs } In case
Chas. Pattison — Defendant

This day came the defendant by his attorney, and the plaintiff though solemnly called came not, nor is her suit further prosecuted, Therefore on the prayer of the said defendant it is considered by the court that he recover against the said plaintiff his Damages according to law and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

On the motion of A A Hardy Distributee the Estate of Mary A Hardy dec'd is committed to the hands of A Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration it appearing to the court that said Mary A Hardy has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for administration.
Ordered that T F Rives Wm Reed J A Dunn J M Worthington & Eld Purcell appraise said estate.

On the motion of Sally Rufus Blackwell Distributee the Estate of Nancy F Gill dec'd is committed to the hands of A Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration it appearing to the court that said Nancy F Gill has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for administration.
Ordered that T F Rives Wm Reed J A Dunn J M Worthington & Eld Purcell appraise said estate.

On the motion of Annette Bonsel Distributee the Estate of E S Hardy dec'd is committed to the hands of A Cousins Sheriff of Dinwiddie County for administration it appearing to the court that said E S Hardy has been dead more than three months and no one has applied for administration
Ordered that T F Rives Wm Reed J A Dunn J M Worthington & Eld Purcell appraise said Estate.

B F Brown — Plaintiff
vs } In case
R G Malone — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendant saith that he did not assume upon himself in manner and form as the plaintiff against him hath complained, and of this he puttes himself upon the country, and the plaintiff doth the same, and on motion and by consent of parties it is ordered that this cause be removed to the Circuit Court of the City of Petersburg.

Max Cohen — Plaintiff
vs } In case
T J Sydnor — Defd

This day came the parties by their attorney and the Defendant saith that he did not assume upon himself in manner and form as the plaintiff against him hath complained and of this he puttes himself upon the country and the plaintiff doth the same, with leave to plead further.

Ordered that the following case be continued until the first day of the next term Civil Magt Ex o Meredith J A Dunn o Meredith & d.

Coleman Nelson — Plaintiff
vs } Ex D.
Mary A F Dabney — Defd

Ordered that above case be dismissed on motion of plaintiff

alleys.

On the motion of J. A. & L. Meredith distributees in the estate of Louis Meredith dec'd it is ordered that the Estates of Mary E. Tucker & W. W. Tucker dec'd be committed to the hands of H. Cousins Sheriff of Dimiddie County for administration it appearing to the court that said parties have been dead more than three months, and no one has applied for administration.

Ordered that J. W. Bispham R. E. Bispham B. J. Epes Thos. Thompson & H. Edwards appraisers be sworn.

Ordered that the following Petit Jurors be paid out of the County Jury fund for their services at this term of the court viz. G. A. Williams $2. Jno. Loraylen $2. Jno. Brownson $2. A. J. Mardy $2. Jno. S. Bell $2. A. S. Harwell $2. Geo. A. Keys $2. C. S. Bunn $2. R. A. Williams $2. Alex. Deloyd $2. Daniel Baile $2. W. A. Hitchcock $1. G. A. Bispham $1. E. H. Hardwick $2. Richard Mason $2. T. B. Thornton $2.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock.

James G. Meisinger

Wednesday April 9th 1879.

Present Hon. S. S. Meisinger Judge.

The County of Dimiddie — Defendant
adv. } In case.
A. A. Dunn — Plaintiff

This day came the parties by their attorney and neither party desiring a jury and the whole matter of law and of fact arising in this case was submitted to the court and the court having heard the evidence entered judgment for the County of Dimiddie. Therefore it is considered by the court that the County of Dimiddie recover against the said A. A. Dunn her costs by her about her defense of this suit expended, the defendant counsel excepts to the judgment of the court and tendered a bill of exceptions and prays the court to sign, seal the same and make it a part of the record which is accordingly done.

Mary Cohen — Plaintiff
vs } In case.
Thomas T. Seymour — Defendant

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant says that he can not further gainsay the plaintiff's action. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Four Hundred and forty four dollars and ninety two cents with legal interest thereon from the 3rd day of October 1879 her past and also her costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

The court doth allow to H. Cousins Sheriff of Dimiddie County the sum of Seven Dollars, it being two dollars per day for himself and one deputy, three days during this term.

The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Sheriff the sum of Five Dollars, for his services at this term of the Court in attending to the Grand Jury two days.

The court doth allow to H Cowan Junior the sum of Dollars by law for his services during the last six months

Robert Vs The court doth allow to B J Espy attorney for the Convicts Seventy four Dollars
Adm the sum allowed by law for his services during six months.

Ordered that all cases not otherwise disposed of be and are hereby continued.

On the complaint of W A Young Guardian of Drury Young & this day came the parties by their Counsel. and thereupon W F Barrow Executor of Mary A. Young dec'd. and Administrator of that he had legal notice of this motion and refused to give such new security It is ordered that his power as Executor as aforesaid be revoked and cancelled.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until 1st day of next term.

Jam: J. Weisiger

Office Judgments Confirmed April 9th 1879.

Costs $7.45 Winfield Branch — Plaintiff
vs
John Brown — Defendt — In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty Dollars with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1876 till paid, also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to a credit of $32.00 paid 19th April 1877.

$8.05 S M Kennedy & Edward Kennedy trading & partners under the firm & style of S M Kennedy & Son — Plaintiffs
vs
P L Williamson & P M Williamson — Defendants — In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of One hundred and ten dollars with interest thereon from the 20th day of February 1878 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

$7.45 O B Morgan & W B McElwain late partners trading under the name & style of Morgan & McElwain — Plaintiffs
vs
A Cousins — Deft — In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Seventy one dollars and sixty six cents with interest thereon from the 2d day of March 1878 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $7.45 The same — Plaintiffs
vs
Wm T Burns — Defendant — In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Eighty five Dollars and fifty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of February 1878 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $8.05 Alex Dunman & Alex Hamilton partners under the firm & name of Dunman & Hamilton sometimes called Dunman & Hamilton attorneys for heirs of Jas Specley — Plaintiffs
vs
Le E. Abernathy — Defts — In Debt.

The judgment obtained in the Clerks Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and twelve Dollars and fifty cents with interest thereon from the 10th October 1877 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $ 7.45 Alex Duncan & John Mann Substituted Trustees under a Trust Deed from R.E. Smith Plaintiffs
against
W. L. Ulese Defdt } In Debt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Sixty four dollars and Sixty Cents with interest thereon from the 16th day of December 1892 till paid. But this judgment is subject to a credit of $40.75 paid May 1st 1897.

Costs $ 7.45 J. D. Cooper & E. Spotswood merchants and partners trading under the name and firm of Cooper & Spotswood Plaintiffs
vs
R. G. Malone } In Debt
Defdt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and Sixty four dollars and forty eight cents with interest thereon from the 16th day of October 1898 till paid and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $ 7.45 Theo Crowder Plaintiff
vs
Reg le Braun } In Debt
Defdt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifty three Dollars and fifty one cents with interest thereon from the 4th day of November 1898 till paid and also his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. Subject to a credit of $20.00 paid 7th Nov. 1898.

Costs $ 7.45 Alex Duncan & Alex Hamilton partners under the firm and name of Duncan Hamilton Sometimes called Duncan Hamilton Attorneys for the heirs of Jas. Specley Plaintiffs
vs
C. E. Abernathy } In Debt
Defdt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One Hundred and twelve dollars and fifty cents with interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1879 till paid, and also their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Costs $ 7.45 Anthony Rosenstock & James Skelton Gillespie merchants & partners trading under the name & style of A. Rosenstock & Co. Plaintiffs
vs
S. O. Smith } In Debt
Defdt

The judgment obtained in the Clerk's Office not having been set aside, and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant the sum of One hundred and seventy four dollars and

fourd cents with interest on $74.05 from 2d March 1878 & on $57.00 from 30th March 1878. & on $57.00 from April 29th 1878 till paid and also their costs by them

Virginia, At a circuit Court held for the County of Dinwiddie October 6th 1879 and of the Commonwealth the 104th.

Present Hon. S. S. Weisiger Judge.

It having been certified to the Court that Thos. G. Haddon had been duly elected Trustee of the M. E. Church South at Dinwiddie C.H. to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of Geo. Nash by the proper authority whereupon the Court confirm the said appointment.

Martha O'Bell
vs
B. J. Davis.

Pltff
Deft
} In case.

This day came the defendant B. J. Davis by his attorney and plead not guilty to the Plaintiff's declaration to which the plaintiff replies generally issue was thereupon joined.

Martha O'Bell
vs
B. J. Davis.

Plaintiff
Defendant
} In case.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came the following Jury to wit. A. A. Clark, Geo. Gill, Wm. Avery, Jno. Fitzgerald, Eli Powell, Geo. W. Chappell, W. A. Ramey, J. H. Rose, A. S. Vaiden, S. E. Reams, Allison Newlin & Jno. Croxton who being selected tried and sworn to wise and truly try the issue joined now before the Court upon their oaths returned a verdict in these words, "We the jury find for the plaintiff and assess her damages at $15.25" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of Fifteen Dollars & twenty five cents with interest thereon from the 6th day of October 1879 till paid & also her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended.

Ordered that the following petit jurors be paid out of the County treasury for their services at this term of the County Court as follows to wit. Eli Powell, A. S. Vaiden, Wm. Avery, Geo. Gill, Allison Newlin, A. A. Clark, J. H. Rose, Jno. Croxton, S. E. Reams, Geo. W. Chappell, W. A. Ramey, John Fitzgerald & B. A. Chappell

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until tomorrow 10 o'clock

Jam. S. Weisiger

Tuesday October 7th 1879.

Present Hon. S. S. Weisiger Judge.

~~Ordered that the following cases be continued Ruffin for use Hatton & Sam v Nelly. Same v Dabney & Same v R. P. Dabney Mayes Ex v Meredith Same v Same & Dist.~~

Ordered that the following case be dismissed. Same Davis v R. S. Wynne

The Court doth allow to B. J. Eaves attorney for the Commonwealth the sum of Twenty two Dollars the sum allowed by law for his services & expenses during last six months

The Court doth allow to H. Cousins Sheriff Eight Dollars being two Dollars per day for himself and one Deputy two days during this term.

The Court doth allow to A. Cousins Jailor the sum allowed by law for his services as such during six months. & Five Dollars for his services in waiting on the Court during term &c.

The Court doth allow to A.M. Organ Clerk the sum of Thirty Dollars for his services as such during twelve months.

R. Ruffin for C.T. Collier Trustee &c. Plaintiff
vs.
Thos Walker sometimes called Thos Bufkum & sometimes called Sawney Walker. Defts } In Case.

Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Seventy one dollars and two cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1878 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against said Defts said sum with interest as aforesaid and Costs.

The same. Pleff
vs.
James Kelly. Defts } In Case.

Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of Thirty one dollars & Seventy seven cents with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1878 till paid & Judgment accordingly.

Same. Pleff
vs.
Robert P. Dalney. Defts } In Case.

Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of Seventy Eight Dollars Seventy one cents with legal interest from 1st July 1878 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against said Defts said sum of Seventy Eight Dollars Seventy one cents with interest as aforesaid & costs.

Same. Pleff
vs.
Henry Dalney. Defts } In Case.

Judgment by the Court in favor of the Plaintiff for the sum of Thirty Six dollars Seven cents with interest from the 1st day of January 1878 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover against said Defts said sum of $36.07 with interest as aforesaid & costs.

Thos. R. Dew. Plaintiff
vs.
J. A. Bishop Defts } Judgment Defts

Ordered that the above case be continued.

Ordered that the Court be adjourned until the 1st day of the next term.

Jamil Weisiger