

VIRGINIA: AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA, ON THE 17TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1990, AT 7:30 P.M.

PRESENT:	HARRISON A. MOODY, CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
	A. S. CLAY, VICE-CHAIRMAN	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
	EDWARD A. BRACEY, JR.	ELECTION DISTRICT #3
	CHARLES W. HARRISON	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	MICHAEL H. TICKLE	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
	DAN SIEGEL	INTERIM COUNTY ATTORNEY
	ROY HODGES	DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, voting "Aye".

Be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the January 3, 1990 Regular Meeting Minutes are hereby adopted and approved in their entirety.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie, Virginia, that the following claims be approved and funds appropriated for same using checks 7202-7330 (void check #7096 thru #7201): General Fund - \$129,032.83; E911 - \$40.59; Self-Insurance - \$867.83, with the exception of checks 7260 and 7216.

IN RE: DESIGNATION OF LANDFILL FEES

Mr. Tickle stated that a couple of weeks ago the Board negotiated a contract to take ash for a certain cause that will generate a certain amount of profit. He asked for a motion to earmark these funds from the landfill for the capital project funds to help finance, for example, Draper Aden landfill study or anything that may be environmental. There is a need to raise funds in order to update the comprehensive plan for the county. The estimated cost of putting together a comprehensive plan is between \$35-\$40,000. We are anticipating a profit from the ash disposal between \$175,000 to \$250,000 depending on how many loads of the ash that is received.

Mr. Ponder stated that the comprehensive plan will be taking most of the staff time and he would rather have an outside consultant deal with it. He has gotten bid figures between \$30-50,000 from various consultants. The Crater Planning District Commission has done some research on the northern and northeastern section of the county.

Mr. Bracey felt that the profits should be transferred back into the landfill budget. Mr. Clay also agreed.

Mr. Tickle stated that he still believes that some of the profits should go towards updating the comprehensive plan.

Mr. Bracey and Mr. Clay stated that they still disagreed with the profits being used for the comprehensive plan. Mr. Tickle stated he would withdraw his motion and action is tabled until the funds are received.

Mr. Dennis K. Morris, Crater Planning District Commission, stated the northeastern portion of the Land Use Plan has been completed.

IN RE: CITIZENS COMMENT

Mr. Richard Earl was concerned about the actual contract agreement for taking ash at the landfill. He suggested to the Board that the citizens would like to be notified of any further activities coming to the county.

Mrs. Mary Dunn Conover stated that there had been citizen contact. Mrs. Kay Winn pointed out this was not actually required in the Solid Waste ordinance.

Mr. Dick Farrington was concerned about certain types of minerals causing problems in the landfill and about the method of testing used.

Mr. Tickle and Mrs. Quesenberry explained the random testing process.

James B. Elder, Jr. spoke for the Dinwiddie Rescue Squad. He asked does the Board of Supervisors have any future plans for the Dinwiddie Volunteer Rescue Squad? Mr. Elder requested that funds be provided for personnel and volunteers. The reason for this is to provide service during the hours of 6 a.m. to 6 p.m., because there is a shortage of personnel. The evenings are covered from 6 p.m. to 6 a.m. Also he requested certification for Namozine Fire and Rescue Department.

Mr. Tickle stated the Board was considering all available alternatives. Mr. Elder was reminded that a tax relief ordinance was adopted for the volunteers.

Jack Mayes spoke against the Personal Property Taxes. He suggested that the Board lower personal property taxes by 20 or 25 percent until it is in line with the other rural localities in the southside and central Virginia area. He felt that the taxes are unfair.

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO AGENDA

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody, voting "Aye", the agenda was amended as follows:

7.1 Eastside School - Recommendation on Winterization

15.1 Bingo and Raffle Permits:

- a. Volunteer Services Council
- b. Namozine VFD

IN RE: SYNOPSIS OF COAL ASH REQUEST

Upon Mr. Tickle's request, Mrs. Quesenberry gave a brief synopsis of the events leading to the acceptance of the coal ash:

December 7 - she was contacted concerning the possibility of placing ash in the landfill and also the revenue involved.

December 11 - referred contractor to the consultants, Draper Aden, who talked with them and received information and test results on the ash. Draper Aden then submitted a letter to the Department of Waste Management, requesting verification whether ash could be placed in the landfill.

The letter described the excavation site and how the ash would be used as fill material, not placed in the landfill.

December 12th or 13th - letter was mailed to Department of Waste Management.

During the next two weeks, the contractor called the office to check on the status from the Department of Waste Management.

December 21 - Checked with Department of Waste Management concerning the status of the letter. Couldn't get in touch with appropriate personnel. Contactor stated that he had a contract and the hauling of ash would have to be started soon.

December 28 - Draper Aden contacted the Department of Waste Management concerning fly ash--thought it would be approved w/conditions, but we were not told what they would be.

Over the New Year's weekend -- a couple of Board members contacted her asking her about the ash, the amount of revenue, and how far into the process are they? Found that contractor started hauling December 26, and half the potential pile had been removed.

January 2 - she kept trying all day to obtain an answer from the Department of Waste Management through Draper Aden.

January 3 - Department of Waste Management had faxed Draper Aden a letter giving approval with the conditions which were that the county meet the requirements of the Solid Waste Regulations, as well as, the original Landfill Regulations. She met with the contractor and Draper Aden at the site the day of the Board meeting

January 3 - A tentative contract was put together before the meeting should the Board decide to approve the ash.

The Board approved acceptance of the ash at their meeting held January 3.

Between 12:30 a.m. and 8 a.m. the staff worked on finalizing the contract. They started the process of the "Refuse Hauler Permit". Every truck that came through the landfill had to be inspected (about 100 trucks). The trucks had to be bonded and certain insurances had to be given to the county.

By Friday new trucks were coming in, and Landfill personnel continued the process of inspecting trucks.

Also, during the New Year's weekend she informed key people among the citizens who she felt would be concerned about the ash dump.

Mr. Tickle asked Mrs. Quesenberry to elaborate on the advantages of the ash dump, other than for a monetary reason.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated that the contractor will be using his own equipment and personnel, not the county's to handle the ash. The county personnel will be overseeing that all metals are picked out, sampling, etc. The contractor has improved the road into the landfill through the use of additional rocks and grading. Additional excavated dirt was included in the contract. If the clay material at the Landfill is suitable, the contractor will apply it at no additional cost to the county. If it is not suitable, the county pays for a synthetic liner.

IN RE: CENTRAL REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

Mrs. Quesenberry introduced Mr. Dennis Morris of the Crater Planning District Commission and Kevin Byrnes of the Richmond Planning District Commission. Mr. Morris and Mr. Byrnes outlined the proposal for the Central Regional Waste Management Authority.

The Commonwealth of Virginia has taken an initial lead toward the issue of solid waste management. Last year the General Assembly passed legislation that mandates recycling on a graduated scale, up to 25% of the waste flow by 1995.

Two years ago a group was formed to look into this issue. A completion of the Richmond Tri-Cities Area Regional Recycling study was done in the summer of 1989. From that point, it appeared to be the most equitable and cost effective way to perceive the establishment of an authority to actually implement programs in solid waste management. Mr. Morris distributed a copy of the Local Elected Official Briefing Papers on the Central Virginia Waste Management Authority and the proposed Articles of Incorporation for the Central Virginia Waste Management Authority.

Bills that are currently sitting in the General Assembly for consideration:

Require that a privately owned landfill operator be certified by the State Corporation Commission for both existing and proposed landfills.

Provide a tax credit to businesses which purchase machinery and equipment used in recycling.

Study how the state can most effectively promote the procurement and use of recyclable products by state agencies.

To impose a waste management tax and establish the waste management trust fund. The tax will be imposed upon retailers, manufacturers, wholesalers and distributors, based upon their gross receipts.

The following recommendations were recommended by the Attorney General:

The waste management department should require periodic renewal of all permits. Although the current permits are subject to amendment, not all are required to be renewed at any periodic basis. State statutes should be amended to require that all department permits must be renewed on a periodic basis and should make it clear that any change in circumstances or new technologies be addressed at the time of the permit renewal. The statutes should also make it clear that evidence of noncompliance with permit requirements or any other environmental law applicable to the operation of a particular facility will constitute grounds for non-renewal of the permit regardless of whether there's a showing of harm.

Operators of waste management facilities should be subjected to licensing and to certification requirements. Meaning that landfill operators are required to undergo training to become licensed to be certified.

There should be a statutory change permitting new grounds and procedures for permit verification.

There should be a statutory authorization for immediate suspension of a permit where there is a risk of harm to health or the environment.

There should be legislation establishing new and enhanced criminal and civil penalties for a violation of the Virginia Environmental Laws.

The Attorney General is committed to finding ways in which Virginia lawfully can regulate the importation of waste.

More bills will be written and submitted to the General Assembly.

Mr. Kevin Byrnes stated that there are three studies: (1) study by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission to look at the economic feasibility of waste to energy or waste disposal solutions for the whole Richmond Tri-Cities area, (2) a Regional Recycling Plan study process which took one year to complete -- address the issue of used tires and oil, (3) consider forming a Regional Solid Waste Authority to undertake and address the recycling program. The findings and recommendations of that study has been discussed by the members of the Richmond/Tri-Cities Solid Waste Task Force. Those recommendations have been approved unanimously by the Richmond Regional and Crater Planning District Commissions to encourage local governments to favorably consider the authority question to bring about a regional program that will help all the localities address the increasing professional requirements imposed on them by the state for sound waste management practices.

Within the fourteen local governments of the Richmond/Tri-Cities area approximately 2,500 tons of trash is generated; and expected to grow at about 3,400 tons a day by 1990.

The goal of the governments is to maximize the life of the capacity for the future by implementing a recycling or waste reduction program. (In 1989 a law was passed requiring each local government to develop and implement waste management plans which will achieve a phased waste recycling program over the next five years.)

The waste hierarchy which was adopted encourages the waste reduction, reusing waste, and recycling waste before considering incinerating, recovering energy, or landfilling. Recycling means taking material and reusing it to create another product that would be useful in today's society.

First step - There will be fourteen local governments considering this proposal.

Second step - Articles of Incorporation are presented to each locality as a legal document in a form for public hearing. An amendment will be provided stating the names and localities that will be participating in the formation of the Authority, who the board representative will be, and then there will be a presentation before each local government for a public hearing and local ordinance.

Those participating localities will have to file an Article of Incorporation with the State Corporation Commission for approval on the formation of the public service authority.

The purpose of the Authority is to address the state recycling goals for the first five years. The Authority will undertake a broad range of waste management activities as the need arises.

A member will be elected from each participating locality as a Board of Director based on population. Operating funds are raised through local contributions that will be allocated on a percentage basis among participants of the authority service area.

A representative will be elected to inform the public of what the Authority is and what its program goals are.

The Authority will design curb-side recyclable collection for urban areas, system of rural drop centers, marketing of material, processing of material. Processing and marketing of material can be done by the Authority, but can also contract private enterprises.

Common Concern: How much control will the localities have? Meaning will the Authority be able to come into the county and site a landfill. The Authority cannot do that. (1) Authority is not going to place anything in the county if they do not want it. (2) The Articles of Incorporation states that each jurisdiction has the right to participate in a project or not. (3) The Authority can not do anything in the county without the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

The Virginia Water/Sewer Authority Act states that the county governments are given additional powers and responsibilities to hold a public hearing and pass a local ordinance requesting and specifying specific performances of the Authority on projects before the Authority can enter into a contract with the county for services in that jurisdiction.

The Virginia Waste Management Act states that before a permit is issued by the Virginia Waste Management Board, the application has to be in compliance with the local land use ordinances.

Regional Projects sometimes can make it into a locality if the participating jurisdiction is willing to pay that locality an impact fee or some type of payment in rural taxes for the use of the property.

Mr. Morris stated that the market is the most important part of a recycling program. This Authority is not going to start buying equipment and begin operation until told so by the participating jurisdiction.

The Commonwealth of Virginia is in the process of formulating regulations that will require each local jurisdiction to develop a solid waste management plan. This plan has to be submitted by July 1, 1991. If the plan is not submitted, formally adopted, and accepted by the Department of Waste Management, then the permit can be denied for operation. Its better to have a regional waste management authority than a individual authority.

Mr. Tickle stated that some localities have more players than others and this can cause other localities to be out voted. Also, why have one vote for each locality?

Mr. Morris replied that the options for one jurisdiction are one vote up to proportional representation where the "Big 3" will be receiving six (6) votes. Voting Structure: Operational Budget ("Big 3") Henrico - 101,000, Chesterfield - 89,700, Richmond 107,000, and Dinwiddie would be 10,500. Operational Budget handles the operations and educational requirements for getting involved with recycling. The safeguard is that each jurisdiction has the authority to opt in or out when a proposal comes before them.

Mr. Bracey asked didn't the county hire Draper Aden to find out the needs and wants as far as solid waste is concern?

Mrs. Quesenberry replied that Draper Aden is investigating the present situation and coming up with some type of plan of what the county needs to do budget wise. Right now the county does not have a operating plan and a closure plan. Also included is ground water monitoring wells which will be done by 1991. The county also has a grant which we are working with on recycling.

Mr. Bracey stated that the comprehensive plan has to be written before making a commitment.

Mr. Morris stated that they are in the process of initiating conversations with several jurisdictions to the south. Primary reason is that they invited them when they had discussion about the formulation of this Authority, because they might gain from the conversation the detail data on markets and recycling. He stated he was in the process of scheduling another meeting in the near future with southern jurisdictions. This is a viable option for Dinwiddie County.

Advantages: (1) The county will receive credit for recycled percentages which will be heavier in urban areas than rural. (2) Economy of scale operation (population base of 800,000 operating a solid waste system versus a 100,000. (3) Market share.

Mr. Byrnes referring back to Mr. Bracey's statement "wait to see the consultant's study before making a commitment", stated the cost that might be accrued to the County to achieve the state certification of complying with state laws may be less.

Going back to Mr. Tickle's statement about the Northern/Southern Approach. Mr. Byrnes stated that this approach has been studied and that there was alot of citizens concern toward this. Also, there was opposition toward the waste incineration system.

Mr. Bracey asked Mr. Byrnes is there a timetable for the response?

Mr. Byrnes replied that the timetable for local response is by mid-February. All local governments would have had the opportunity to consider passage of the Resolution of Support, naming the representative to the Board of Directors of the Authority and indicating an interest on the jurisdiction's behalf of participating in the formation of the Authority. There's only two steps. No public hearing has been held.

The ordinance is put before each local government. The ordinance states who will be participate and who are the appointees to serve on the Board of Directors. The ordinance has to be advertised thirty days prior to the public meeting. If the ordinance does not pass in the any of the 14 jurisdictions, the ordinance will have to be reconstructed, change the resolution of support, and it will take about 3 months to come up with another ordinance, etc.

If you want to be in the regional program, then the adoption of the resolution of support is the first step. If all 14 jurisdictions want regional waste management, all ordinances are placed in the package with applications for FCC and State Corporation Commission. Ask them to certify this public service corporation and the Board of Directors are then authorized to discharge its powers under the act.

Mrs. Quesenberry stated that the decision on the resolution would not be considered until the February 7th meeting. Tonight is an information period

Mr. Morris suggested that the Board of Supervisors discuss with the consultants the new waste management regulations for planning and development.

CITIZENS COMMENTS:

Ron Abernathy clarified that the initial cost would be \$14,000 instead of \$10,500 because of the interim budget from now to July 1st. The project specification states that they will set recycling programs. Costs would be an addition to the basic assessment of the authority for general operations. The Authority creates and finances projects, but the financial impact on the local governments can not be determined. The county can't get out unless they buy out the Authority bonds.

In Section 15.1-1250; Eminent Domain is granted to the Authority. If we had a commercial landfill developed in Dinwiddie which the county approved, not with the authority, we could impose the tipping fees. If leased to or part of by the Authority, we only get the machinery tax and use of the land. No impact fee could be imposed for those groups bringing in trash.

The citizens feel that it is too early for the county to consider participating in the Authority.

Kay Winn quoted from Mr. Byrnes paper "local control over Authority activities--the final mechanism of local control, which applies to all localities" meant the filing of the notification of intent by the Authority should it desire a permit from the Department of Waste Management?

Mr. Byrnes stated yes.

Mrs. Winn pointed out that the local governing body must notify (mandatory) the Virginia Department of Waste Management that the facility is in conformance with all local and land use control ordinances. If the facility does not conform to all local ordinances, the state must return that permit application to the applicant. Last year when Department of Waste Management proposed a new regulation this was one point that she made a strong public comment about. She received a response from Department of Waste Management. If a notice of intent is filed, the locality has 30 days to respond that it does not conform. And if you do not respond within 30 days, you waive the right to complain on the basis of local ordinance. This packet was received by the county government on December 20 and citizens did not get it, until it was solicited last Friday.

She is uncomfortable with the presentation of the paper and feels that it a misrepresentation. Corrections need to be made.

Mr. Byrnes agreed with Mrs. Winn concerning the notice of intent.

Mr. Willie Clarke was concerned about other localities dumping their waste in our county and we end up paying for it.

Mr. Richard Earl doesn't agree with having an Authority in the County.

Mrs. Mary Dunn Conover suggested that the Board read the Virginia Water and Sewer Authority act which is 25 pages. She quoted from the code "No political subdivision shall be permitted to withdraw from any authority after any obligation has been occurred by the Authority". They make debt and we're in forever. We can try to buy out, but it is not in the code.

Mr. Bracey stated he felt we should wait for our plan before taking action.

The Board took a five minute recess at 9:53 p.m. Return from recess at 10:04.

Mr. Clay agreed with Mr. Bracey. He stated that Dinwiddie County is too small to be combined with Richmond, Chesterfield, and Henrico for a regional waste management authority.

Mr. Bracey moved that the County not participate at this time. Mr. Clay seconded the motion.

Mr. Tickle would like to know more about the north/south division before voting no. If the southern part is more advantageous, he would like to investigate that further. He asked Mr. Morris and Mr. Byrnes before the next meeting could they forward some information as an alternative plan or is this the only plan offered before February 7th and the board can vote yes or no it this time. Or do we need to amend the motion made by Mr. Bracey.

Mr. Morris stated that the southern group will be holding a meeting early next week. This will be an exploratory meeting to see if anyone is interested. He would like to share with the Board any information and results from that meeting.

Mr. Moody stated that he wanted more time to study this issue further. Mr. Harrison stated that we don't have to make a decision tonight.

Upon motion by Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey voting "aye", Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, and Mr. Moody abstaining.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby does not wish to participate in the Central Regional Waste Management Authority at the present time.

IN RE: EASTSIDE SCHOOL - RECOMMENDATION ON WINTERIZATION

Dr. Vaughan requested suggestions or direction from the Board to winterize Eastside Elementary School and also whether to have asbestos removed from the boiler room, pipes, etc. The job will cost \$15,586. Dr. Vaughan pointed out that the School Board does not see a need for the school in the near future.

Upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia does hereby authorize the School Board to the remove the asbestos at a cost of \$15,586, and to winterize the school until a decision is made on the future use.

IN RE: TELEPHONE SYSTEM -- SELECTION OF VENDOR

Mrs. Quesenberry stated that four proposals were received for new telephone equipment for the Administration offices exclusive of the School Board, as follows:

Executone - Isoetec	\$14,033.00
Northern Telecom - Norstar	\$15,010.00
AT & T - Merlin II	\$22,303.00
Commonwealth Telephone	\$15,767.00
Communications - Executech II	

Based on price, service, references, and presentation of proposal, she "recommended to the Board the Executone System with Isoetec equipment on a 36 month lease purchase price agreement. It will cost \$462.82 per month. After the lease period is over, the county would have a \$1 buy out, then only maintenance payments will be made. Total monthly savings of \$669.78 per month will be realized including Centrex and present rental of AT & T equipment. During the second year the cost will increase to \$595 per month which includes the maintenance fee.

Rick Starling, a representative from AT & T, was present.



Upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors, of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, does hereby accept the lowest bid by Executone at the price of \$14,033 to be funded with a lease purchase agreement for 36 months.

IN RE: E-911 SYSTEM

Mr. Clarke reported that the building numbering is 80% completed with a total of 200 maps to be reviewed. Street signs that have been duplicated have been identified and removed from the street sign list at a savings to the County at \$4,500. New street names from recently recorded subdivisions have been added to the list resulting in a total of about 1,206 to be ordered. He is halfway through the process of assigning block numbers to be placed on all secondary roads. He has hired a part-time helper to assist with the E-911 project which saved him 45 hours. A community service worker will also assist him for 18 1/2 hours.

Remaining work includes marking of the numbering maps for the hand delivery of notices, obtaining the current address for the 250 or so structures that were located on the map since April of 1989, and continuing to work on the preparation of obtaining bids for the signs. These should be completed by January 31st. Actual mailing will be in late February. Once C & P Telephone receives 95% of the response from customers, the system can be hooked up and operation should begin in 18 to 25 months. Mr. Clarke added that addresses will be given out now by request.

IN RE: PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR

Mr. James C. Rice asked for approval to attend the Emergency Management Association Conference to be held January 24-26, at the Sheraton Hotel, Charlottesville, Virginia.

Upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors, of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes Mr. Rice to attend the Emergency Management Association Conference.

IN RE: FIRE PROGRAMS FUND POLICY

Mr. Rice requested adoption of the Fire Programs Fund Policy. The policy was approved and adopted by all Fire Chiefs at the Chief's meeting on January 10th.

Upon motion by Mr. Tickle, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye". Mr. Bracey abstaining.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors, of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby approves the adoption of the Fire Program Fund Policy, as presented.

IN RE: VOLUNTEER MOTOR VEHICLES - TAX RELIEF

Mr. Tickle recommended that a committee consisting of members of the rescue squads, fire chiefs, their assistants, and Mr. Rice come before the Board with a recommendation for the volunteer motor vehicles tax reduction.

Mrs. Deborah Marston, Commissioner of Revenue, reported that as of July 1, 1989 the ordinance states that the fire chief must, during the month of January, certify to the Commissioner of Revenue the vehicles that are going to be eligible. She needs a list of vehicles that are owned and regularly used by each volunteer rescue squad and fire department member to be certified as a volunteer motor vehicle; then she can assess the vehicles, give the total value, and the Board of Supervisors can determine the tax rate for these vehicles. The current rate could be between \$.05 to \$4.90.

Mr. Tickle withdrew his recommendation. Action on the tax rate will be taken when the County budget and tax rates are adopted.

IN RE: REFUSE HAULER - PERMIT APPROVAL

Mrs. Quesenberry reported that Under Section 17-9 of the County's Solid Waste Ordinance, a permit is required for individuals to collect and transport refuse, garbage, or trash for others for consideration. The Board of Supervisors may issue permits when all the requirements of this chapter are met. There is a \$2,000 bond on each truck and each truck is inspected as it enters the landfill.

The ordinance states that a refuse hauler permit expires within a year. In this case, she suggested a 60 day limitation to cover the ash disposal period. The deadline will be March 1, 1990. If there are no new trucks coming in, then the contractor will pay a \$25 license fee per truck to the Commissioner of Revenue. License fees shall be paid upon issuance of the refuse hauler permit.

Mr. Denny King, Director of Sanitation approved of the March 1, 1990 deadline.

Upon motion by Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, approves a Refuse Hauler Permit for William B. Sloan Companies to expire March 1, 1990.

IN RE: REQUEST FOR BUDGET & FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Mrs. Quesenberry requested authorization to have Spencer Elmore with Robinson, Farmer, Cox, and Associates assist the county with the budget preparation. The county does have \$2,500 available to pay for Mr. Elmore's services.

Upon motion by Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Tickle, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, authorizes contracting with Robinson, Farmer, Cox, and Associates for budget and financial assistance.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

Mrs. Spain reported that the Board had postponed the appointment of the members to the Airport Authority and the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority.

Upon motion by Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bracey, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Board of Supervisors will postpone the appointment of members to the Airport Authority and the Dinwiddie Industrial Development Authority until the February 7th meeting.

IN RE: POSITION ANNOUNCEMENT

Mr. Moody, Chairman reported that Mr. Dewey P. Cashwell has accepted the position as County Administrator effective February 1, 1990 at a salary of \$50,000.

Upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye". Mr. Bracey voting "nay".

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Board of Supervisors appoints Mr. Dewey P. Cashwell as County Administrator, effective February 1, 1990, at a salary of \$50,000.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman and Vice-Chairman are authorized to execute a contract of employment.

IN RE: BINGO & RAFFLE PERMITS - NAMOZINE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT

Mrs. Quesenberry recommended approval of the Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1990 for Namozine Volunteer Fire Department.

Upon motion by Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Namozine Volunteer Fire Department has requested a Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1990; and

WHEREAS, the Namozine Volunteer Fire Department meets the requirements of the State Code and has paid the \$10 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Namozine Volunteer Fire Department be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1990.

IN RE: BINGO AND RAFFLE PERMIT - VOLUNTEER SERVICES COUNCIL OF  
CENTRAL STATE HOSPITAL AND SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA TRAINING  
CENTER

Upon motion by Mr. Bracey, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Volunteer Services Council of Central State Hospital and Southside Virginia Training Center has requested a Bingo and Raffle permit for the calendar year 1990; and

WHEREAS, the Volunteer Services Council of Central State Hospital and Southside Virginia Training Center meets the requirements of the State Code and has paid the \$10 application fee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the Volunteer Services Council of Central State Hospital and Southside Virginia Training Center be granted a Bingo and Raffle Permit for the calendar year 1990.

Note: Mr. Bracey requested that the application fee be increased to \$25. Mrs. Quesenberry stated that the increase was allowed by Code if the Board chooses to take action.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion by Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", pursuant to Section 2.1-344(1), (5), (7) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 11:28 p.m. to discuss personnel, industrial, and legal matters. A vote having been made and approved, the meeting reconvened into Open Session at 1:03 a.m.

IN RE: CERTIFICATION OF EXECUTIVE MEETING

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the following Certification was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County convened an executive meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.1-344.1 of the Code of Virginia, requires a certification by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, that such Executive meeting was conducted in conformity with the Virginia law;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, hereby certifies that, to the best of each

member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the executive meeting to which this certification resolution applies; and (2) only public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the executive meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia.

IN RE: CONTRACT FOR DARE CAR FOR SHERIFF DEPARTMENT

Mrs. Quesenberry reported that the cost of the present contract for the DARE car for the Sheriff's Department has increased to \$450 per month. She asked for authorization to execute a new contract with Suburban Auto Sales at a monthly cost of \$250.

Upon motion by Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, authorizes the County Administration to execute a new contract with Suburban Auto Sales at a monthly cost of \$250 for the DARE car for the Sheriff's Department.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Mr. Tickle, seconded Mr. Harrison, Mr. Bracey, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Tickle, Mr. Clay, Mr. Moody voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned at 1:13 a.m. to be continued at 8:15 p.m., January 22, 1990.

Harrison A. Moody  
Harrison A. Moody, Chairman

ATTEST: Wendy W. Quesenberry  
Wendy W. Quesenberry  
Interim County Administrator