VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON THE 4TH DAY OF MAY, 1983 AT

2:00 P.M.

PRESENT:

STEVE WEBER, CHAIRMAN
G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN
G.E. ROBERTSON, JR.
M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.

A.S. CLAY

L.G. ELDER B.M. HEATH

COUNTY ATTORNEY DEPUTY SHERIFF

ELECTION DISTRICT #2 ELECTION DISTRICT #1 ELECTION DISTRICT #2 ELECTION DISTRICT

ELECTION DISTRICT #4

#3

IN RE:

INVOCATION

The Reverend Eugene Hemphill, Pastor, Lebanon, Crawford, and Mt. Olivet Churches, delivered the Invocation.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the minutes of the April 20, 1983 special and regular meetings were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

The County Administrator pointed out that Check #860 to J.T. Russell Fencing reflects an additional gate that had to be added to the dog pound improvements.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 83-776 through 83-860 amounting to \$86,766.88.

IN RE: TREASURER

Mrs. Margaret W. Lewis presented her report for the month of April, 1983. Mr. Bennett commented that the balance in the General Fund looked good and asked if it was normal for this time of year. Mrs. Lewis indicated it was normal, and that there appears to be alot of surplus.

BUILDING INSPECTOR

Mr. James L. Blaha presented his report for the month of April, 1983.

IN RE: ANIMAL WARDEN

The Animal Warden was not present. The County Administrator read the Animal Warden's reports for the months of March and April, 1983.

The Chairman asked which side of the County were dogs to be confined at this time. The County Administrator indicated the east side of Interstate 85.

IN RE: LITTER CONTROL GRANT--FY 1984

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

BOOK 8

PAGE 120

May 4, 1983

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the existence of a litter problem within the boundaries of Dinwiddie County; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Litter Control Act of 1976 provides, through the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, for the allocation of public funds in the form of Grants for the purpose of enhancing local litter control programs; and

WHEREAS, having reviewed and considered the Regulations and the Application covering administration and use of said funds;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors:

HEREBY endorses and supports such a program for the County of Dinwiddie; and

HEREBY expresses the intent to combine with the Town of McKenney in a mutually agreed upon and Cooperative Program, contingent on approval of the Application by the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, and contingent on receipt of funds; and

HEREBY authorizes the County Administrator's Office to plan and budget for a cooperative litter control program, which shall represent said Program for all localities named in this resolution; and

FURTHER authorizes the County Administrator's Office to apply on behalf of all of the above named localities for a grant, and to be responsible for the administration, implementation, and completion of the program as it is described in the attached Application Form LC-G-1; and

FURTHER accepts responsibility jointly with the County Administrator's office, Dinwiddie County and the Town of McKenney for all phases of the program; and

FURTHER accepts liability for its pro rata share of any funds not properly used or accounted for pursuant to the Regulations and the Application; and

THAT said funds, when received, will be transferred immediately to the County Administrator's Office or if coordinated by the Planning District Commission, said funds will be sent directly to the Planning District Commission by the Department. All funds will be used in the Cooperative Program to which we give our endorsement and support.

HEREBY requests the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, Division of Litter Control, to consider and approve the Application and Program, said Program being in accord with Regulations governing use and expenditure of said funds.

IN RE: DIRECTOR, SOCIAL SERVICES

Mrs. K.B. Talley reported that her department had been very successful in distributing the cheese and butter on April 23, 1983 at the Administration Building. Mr. Weber asked how the volunteer help had been. Mrs. Talley indicated she only had one volunteer and he was invaluable at the truck. She added that what was left over was distributed to the Senior Citizens and other charitable organizations. Mrs. Talley stated there would not be another distribution until the Fall.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the total distribution has been so far. Mrs. Talley indicated her department had held three distributions totalling 32,000 lbs. of cheese and 14,600 lbs. butter.

The Chairman stated he felt the distribution had been good for the people.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION--ADOPTION OF 1983-84 SECONDARY ROADS IMPROVEMENT BUDGET

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held jointly with the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation on April 6, 1983 to receive public input on the 1983-84 Secondary Roads Improvement budget; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors met with representatives of the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation in a workshop session on April 25, 1983 to discuss the construction priorities for the 1983-84 Secondary Roads Improvement budget; and

WHEREAS, after giving consideration to the comments made at the public hearing and recommendations from the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation representatives, the Board of Supervisors concurs with the priorities as listed in the 1982-83 Secondary Roads Improvement budget; '

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the priority list of the construction projects for Dinwiddie County's 1983-84 Secondary Roads Improvement budget be adopted as presented by the Virginia Department of Highways and Transportation.

IN RE: TRANSFER OF 1982-83 UNEXPENDED SECONDARY ROADS RURAL ADDITION FUNDS

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, there is expected to be a remaining balance in the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation 1982-83 secondary roads rural addition budget for the County of Dinwiddie; and

WHEREAS, the County may elect to carry forward this remaining balance for use in the 1983-84 fiscal year budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation be hereby authorized to transfer any unexpended rural addition funds in the 1982-83 Secondary Roads budget for Dinwiddie County to the rural addition funds in the 1983-84 Secondary Roads budget.

IN RE: VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

- l. Mr. Weber asked if the State would be burning off any bumps on U.S. #1 this year. Mr. Perry indicated they would and that it was becoming an annual job.
- 2. Mr. Bennett asked about the status of the speed bumps proposed to be placed at the intersection of Rt. 460 and U.S. #1. Mr. Perry stated that the Traffic and Safety people were experimenting with another type in another part of the State and they wanted to see how successful it was before trying it here. He indicated it was not actually a speed bump. It is a different texture surface to arouse the driver when his car hits it.

Mr. Hargrave commented that the different texture surface approach seems more economical than the flashing lights.

IN RE: DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC HEARING--A-82-2--VETERINARY HOSPITALS

Mr. Hargrave stated that since deciding on a public hearing for amendment A-83-2, Veterinary Hospitals, he felt a different approach

BOOK 8

might be needed to include looking at the Agricultural and Business districts. He felt the Board might consider rezoning of the area desired rather than extension of the R-1 uses.

Mr. W.C. Scheid, Director of Planning, advised the Board that he had not given them the material yet on the rezoning because the public hearing was not scheduled until June. He indicated that they were dealing with a specific situation and individual. He had researched other localities' ordinances and it was almost universal that the location of veterinary hospitals in an R-1 area was prohibitive. In agricultural and other districts, it was 50/50 as to whether they were allowed or not. He stated he had recommended to the Planning Commission consideration of A-1, A-2, A-3, B-1 and B-2. The County's ordinance presently allows veterinary hospitals in light industrial. He assumed that was because 15 to 20 years, most hospitals had outdoor runs. Now, their operation has changed and they are mostly self-contained.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Mr.}}$$  Hargrave suggested a motion in another direction if R-1 is not feasible so time-wise it could be considered the same night.

Mr. Scheid stated that a pick and choose amendment would be presented to allow the Board to delete those districts it desired to. The County Attorney stated that approach was legal. Mr. Hargrave asked if a parallel request for a rezoning could be considered the same night. Mr. Scheid stated it was not possible time-wise but the applicant could pursue a request in July.

Mr. Weber stated he was also concerned about the time. He felt it would be good for the County to have a veterinary hospital and the individual should start his rezoning request as soon as possible.

Mr. Robertson stated he concurred with Mr. Hargrave's concern. He knew that the citizens in that particular area had no problem with the location of the hospital, but the problem is if R-I is changed, then a veterinary hospital could be put in any R-I area. He stated he had alot of problem with locating in an R-I area. Even though a veterinary hospital may have a clean and efficient operation, there are still problems with people lining up with their pets in the morning before the office opens. A veterinary hospital has a busy atmosphere and there are also parking requirements to consider. Secondly, he felt in order to get to the public, they need to understand what is going to be considered, and he wanted to make sure the amendment is advertised and made well known. He stated he wanted to do the right thing but not open it to all R-I areas.

Mr. Scheid stated he had called around to different areas about veterinary hospitals but received little input.

Mr. Hargrave stated the location would need parking and to be off the main thoroughfare. He felt it was more demanding than a professional office and the ordinance did not even allow home occupations like hair dressing in R-1 areas.

The Chairman stated he had talked with the applicant and he felt alright with the area the applicant wanted to locate in. Mr. Robertson stated he had no problem with the particular locations discussed; however, the Board was considering an ordinance change and he objected to all R-l areas.

## IN RE: WORK TO BE DONE AT COURTHOUSE AREA

Mr. Clay asked the County Administrator the status of the water line to the Circuit Court Clerk's Office. The County Administrator responded that in October of 1982, and March of 1983, he had placed upon the agenda for the Board to tour the Courthouse area to view the various items that need to be done. Both in October and March, the Board did not tour the Courthouse area.

The water lines to the Circuit Court Clerk's Office are just one of the many things that need to be done, each needing to be done in conjunction with the other. However, if the Board desires, the water lines to the Circuit Court Clerk's office could be repaired without regard to the other projects.

Mr. Clay added that something needs to be done with the roof at the Clerk's Office.

Mr. Bennett asked the County Administrator if he was getting prices on the projects for the Courthouse area. The County Administrator responded that most of the work would be done by in-house personnel. That which could not be done by County forces would be done on an hourly basis, i.e. the plumber placing the sewer pipes in the ditch and the cement finisher for the sidewalks.

Mr. Bennett asked if there was a schedule set up for doing the work needed. The County Administrator responded there was no schedule at this time as he was waiting for the Board to view the area to determine if they wish to proceed with the various projects.

The list of projects includes but is not limited to the following:

- ٦. Removing trees and shrubs.
- Installing water and sewer lines. Installing drain lines. 2.
- 3.
- 4. Replacing sidewalks.
- Paving area behind Courthouse and in front of 5. Jail.
- Replacing roof on Circuit Court Clerk's Office

The County Administrator indicated he had talked with the Va. Dept. of Highways and Transportation and they had agreed to repair the road after the trees were removed and the pipes put in. The area needed to be paved was a result of drainage problems. A paving company will be working Rt. 619 the latter part of the summer. This would provide ample time to have all other work completed and the ground properly prepared for paving.

Mr. Hargrave suggested the County Administrator list the projects and the costs and a schedule for them to be done for the Board to act on. Mr. Clay stated that he felt the Board needed to start moving and suggested the County Administrator proceed with obtaining bids on the roof of the Clerk's Office. The Board of Supervisors was unanimously in agreement for the County Administrator to obtain bids to replace the roof on the Circuit Court Clerk's Office.

## IN RE: HONEYWELL BOSS SYSTEM

Mr. Robertson stated that the Honeywell Boss System had recently moved their control system to Atlanta and asked if they had been able to maintain the temperature and savings for the County as before.

The County Administrator stated there has been some difficulty which Honeywell has continually worked on and the County's savings have not been as great over the past six months. He stated there are still adjustments to be made since it seems to get rather cool in certain areas. However, he hoped it would be rectified in the next 30 days.

## IN RE: **VEPCO INCREASE**

Mr. Weber asked what information the County Administrator had gathered on the increase in the VEPCO rates. The County Administrator indicated he had just received the information and he would put it together to get out to the Board in the next couple of days. The Board could then decide what action they want to take.

## IN RE: PURCHASE OF FIRE HELMETS

Wendy Quesenberry, Admin. Assistant, advised the Board that a recap of the bids received on the 660C Cairns Metro fire helmets was included in the Board material they received. She asked if it was agreeable to them to accept the low bid and proceed with the order. The Board unanimously agreed to accept the low bid for the fire helmets.

IN RE: VANDALISM -- CHESDIN ROAD

Mr. Raymond McCants stated recently there has been a considerable amount of vandalism on Chesdin Road. Mr. Weber asked if the Sheriff's Department has been notified. Mr. McCants stated he was sure they have.

IN RE: STEPHEN KNOWLES--PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX

Mr. Stephen Knowles appeared before the Board to express his dissatisfaction with the personal property tax rate. He felt it was too high and questioned what benefits he was getting from the County.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board material for the May 4, 1983 meeting:

Recap of fire helmet bids.

2. Proposed letter to Sheriff C.L. Mitchell concerning payment of accumulated sick leave.

3. Letter from Governor's Office and Representative Beasley Jones concerning the Board's resolution on a low-level radioactive waste disposal site.

4. Letter from Dept. of Rehab. Services offering to appear before the Board to discuss their activities in Dinwiddie County.

5. Letter from Solid Waste Commission regarding action taken after public hearings on low-level radioactive waste sites.

6. Letter from Va. State Sheriff's Association concerning reimbursement from the Dept. of Corrections for jail expenses for the month of June, 1982.

7. Copies of House Joint Resolutions 98 and 130 and House Resolution 21.

8. Letter from Darvills Ruritan Club opposing low-level radioactive waste disposal site.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 3:20 P.M.

STEVE WEBER, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: /////